

CENTERS OF UNIVERSAL ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS

YAPING YANG AND DAIHAO ZENG

ABSTRACT. The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of a current (super)algebra or loop (super)algebra \mathfrak{g} is considered over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} with characteristic $p \geq 0$. This paper focuses on the structure of the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. In the case of zero characteristic, $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by the centers of \mathfrak{g} . In the case of prime characteristic, $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by the centers of \mathfrak{g} and the p -centers of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. We also study the structure of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ in the semisimple Lie (super)algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathfrak{l} be a Lie (super)algebra over a field \mathbb{K} . Its universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{l})$ is an associative (super)algebra with unity together with a homomorphism of Lie (super)algebras $\iota : \mathfrak{l} \mapsto U(\mathfrak{l})$ characterized by the universal property. It associates the representations of a Lie (super)algebra to representations of an associative (super)algebra (see [5, 9]). The center $Z(\mathfrak{l})$ of $U(\mathfrak{l})$ is defined as the set

$$\{z \in U(\mathfrak{l}) \mid [z, u] = 0, \forall u \in U(\mathfrak{l})\},$$

where $[z, u] = zu - uz$ (resp. $[z, u] = zu - (-1)^{|z||u|}uz$) if \mathfrak{l} is a Lie algebra (resp. Lie superalgebra). Let \mathfrak{g} be the current algebra of the finite-dimensional classical simple Lie algebras \mathfrak{l} over a complex field, and \mathfrak{g} has a Lie algebraic structure (see Definition 2.1). Molev discussed the connection between the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the center of the Yangians (see [12, 1.7]). Subsequently, more scholars have investigated this connection and used it as a foundation for calculating the centers of specific (super) Yangians (see [1, 4]).

In zero characteristic, it is known that the center of the universal enveloping algebra of a reductive Lie algebra in a complex field is isomorphic to its homomorphic image under twisted Harish-Chandra homomorphisms (see [8, 1.10]). Konno ([11]) provided a precise description of the Harish-Chandra homomorphic image of $\mathfrak{sl}(m, 1)$ in the case of Lie superalgebras. For a finite-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{l} over a field with zero characteristic, Dixmier ([6]) proves that $Z(\mathfrak{l})$ is a unique factorization domain and Moeglin ([13]) proves that each height one prime ideal in $U(\mathfrak{l})$ is generated by a central element. For finite-dimensional classical simple Lie algebras \mathfrak{l} over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, Gauger ([7]) obtains algebraically independent generators of $Z(\mathfrak{l})$.

In prime characteristic, Veldkamp ([15]) proves that $Z(\mathfrak{l})$ is generated by the generators of the p -center and the Harish-Chandra center, which \mathfrak{l} is the Lie algebra of a split semisimple algebraic group G over a perfect field \mathbb{K} with characteristic $p > 0$ satisfying a condition. Many subsequent studies of $Z(\mathfrak{l})$ have Veldkamp's theorem in mind. Braun ([2]) generalized the works of Dixmier ([6]) and Moeglin ([13]) to the prime characteristic field. For a

Date: May 15, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B05, 17B35, 17B50, 17B65.

Key words and phrases. universal enveloping algebra, current algebra, loop algebra.

finite-dimensional solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{l} over a prime characteristic field, Braun and Vernik ([3]) obtain several equivalent conclusions for $Z(\mathfrak{l})$ being the unique factorization domain. Further, when \mathfrak{l} is a Lie algebra of a connected reductive group over an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic, R. Tange ([14]) proves that $Z(\mathfrak{l})$ is the unique factorization domain. Brundan and Topley ([1]) obtained the free generators of the centers of the universal enveloping algebra of shifted current algebra of type A over a prime characteristic field. H. Chang and H. Hu ([4]) discusses the free generators of the center $Z(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}[x])$ of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}[x])$.

Let \mathfrak{g} denote the current (super)algebra or loop (super)algebra of Lie (super)algebra \mathfrak{l} . The main goal of this paper is to obtain the generators of the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$. Our main results are as follows.

Theorem A. *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie (super)algebra over \mathbb{K} with $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\text{ch}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, then the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to $\mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}]$. In particular, if $C(\mathfrak{l}) \neq \{0\}$, $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is freely generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$.*

Theorem B. *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie (super)algebra over \mathbb{K} with $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\text{ch}(\mathbb{K}) = p > 0$, then the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ and $Z_p(\mathfrak{g})$.*

(1) *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie algebra, then $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is freely generated by*

$$\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\} \cup \{e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]} \mid i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}\};$$

(2) *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie superalgebra, then $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is freely generated by*

$$\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\} \cup \{e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]} \mid i \in I_0 \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}.$$

See subsection 2.1 for a description of the relevant symbols in Theorem A and Theorem B.

The proofs of both Theorem A and Theorem B depend on ([1]) and ([4]). In Theorem B, item (1) (resp. item (2)) generalizes the results in ([1]) (resp. ([4])) (see Remark 4.4 and 4.10). The Harish-Chandra center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is trivial if \mathfrak{g} is a semisimple Lie (super)algebra (see Proposition 3.3, 3.7, 4.5 and 4.9).

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces some necessary background and specifies the notation used. Sections 3 and 4 provide detailed discussions on the generators of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$, where Section 3 focuses on the case of zero characteristic and Section 4 considers the case of prime characteristic. Both Sections 3 and 4 give applications of the main theorem to semisimple Lie (super)algebra.

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{K} denotes an algebraically closed field with characteristic $p \geq 0$.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The current (super)algebra and loop (super)algebra.

Definition 2.1. ([10, 7.1]¹) For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{l} over \mathbb{K} , $\mathfrak{l}[x] := \mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathbb{K}[x]$ (resp. $\mathfrak{l}(x) := \mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathbb{K}[x, x^{-1}]$) is a Lie algebra with Lie bracket $[e \otimes x^r, f \otimes x^s] = [e, f] \otimes x^{r+s}$ (resp. $[e \otimes x^u, f \otimes x^v] = [e, f] \otimes x^{u+v}$) for each $e, f \in \mathfrak{l}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ (resp. $e, f \in \mathfrak{l}, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$), which is called the *current algebra* (resp. *loop algebra*) of \mathfrak{l} .

¹[10, 7.1] gives only the definition of the loop algebra, and the definition of the current algebra is similar.

Definition 2.2. ([4, 2.1]²) For a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{l} over \mathbb{K} , $\mathfrak{l}[x] := \mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathbb{K}[x]$ (resp. $\mathfrak{l}(x) := \mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathbb{K}[x, x^{-1}]$) is a Lie superalgebra with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading is defined by $|e \otimes x^r| = |e|$ (resp. $|e \otimes x^u| = |e|$) and the Lie bracket is given by $[e \otimes x^r, f \otimes x^s] = [e, f] \otimes x^{r+s}$ (resp. $[e \otimes x^u, f \otimes x^v] = [e, f] \otimes x^{u+v}$) for each $e, f \in \mathfrak{l}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ (resp. $e, f \in \mathfrak{l}, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$), which is called the *current superalgebra* (resp. *loop superalgebra*) of \mathfrak{l} .

Entail the whole paper without special mention. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}[x]$ or $\mathfrak{l}(x)$, where \mathfrak{l} is a Lie algebra or Lie superalgebra, and denote $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{N}$ if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}[x]$ or $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{Z}$ if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}(x)$. Recall that $C(\mathfrak{l})$ is the center of \mathfrak{l} while $Z(\mathfrak{l})$ is the center of $U(\mathfrak{l})$.

Let $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{l} such that $\{e_j \mid j \in J\}$ is a basis of $C(\mathfrak{l})$ where $J \subseteq I$. In particular, $C(\mathfrak{l})$ has trivial center if and only if $J = \emptyset$. If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie superalgebra, since the center $C(\mathfrak{l})$ consists of only even elements, we can assume that $\{e_k \mid k \in I_0\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{l}_{\overline{0}}$ and $\{e_l \mid l \in I_1\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{l}_{\overline{1}}$ such that $I = I_0 \cup I_1$ and $J \subseteq I_0$ (see [5, 2.2.2]). Denote $e_{ir} := e_i \otimes x^r \in \mathfrak{g}$ with $i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I}$.

Lemma 2.3. For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{l} over \mathbb{K} , we have that $C(\mathfrak{l}) \otimes \mathbb{K}[x] \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{g})$ if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}[x]$ and $C(\mathfrak{l}) \otimes \mathbb{K}[x, x^{-1}] \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{g})$ if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}(x)$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}[x]$. The Lie bracket $[e \otimes x^r, f \otimes x^s] = [e, f] \otimes x^{r+s}$ for each $e, f \in \mathfrak{l}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $C(\mathfrak{l}) \otimes \mathbb{K}[x] \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{g})$.

The arguments are similar if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}(x)$ which will be omitted here. \square

Lemma 2.4. For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{l} over \mathbb{K} , we have that $\{e_{ir} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. It is easy to know that \mathfrak{g} is \mathbb{K} -spanned by $\{e_{ir} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ since \mathfrak{l} is \mathbb{K} -spanned by $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$. Let

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{(i,r) \in I \times \mathbb{I}} b_{ir} e_{ir} = 0,$$

where $b_{ir} \in \mathbb{K}$ and $b_{ir} = 0$ for all $(i, r) \in I \times \mathbb{I}$ except finite many. (2.1) implies that

$$\sum_{i \in I} b_{ir} e_i = 0,$$

for each $r \in \mathbb{I}$. As $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{l} , we conclude that $b_{ir} = 0$ for all $(i, r) \in I \times \mathbb{I}$ which implies that $\{e_{ir} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} . \square

The proof of the following conclusion is omitted here, which is analogous to Lie algebra.

Lemma 2.5. For a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{l} over \mathbb{K} , we have that $C(\mathfrak{l}) \otimes \mathbb{K}[x] \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{g})$ if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}[x]$ and $C(\mathfrak{l}) \otimes \mathbb{K}[x, x^{-1}] \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{g})$ if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}(x)$.

Lemma 2.6. For a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{l} over \mathbb{K} , we have $\{e_{ir} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} . In particular, $\{e_{kr} \mid i \in I_0, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ (resp. $\{e_{lr} \mid i \in I_1, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$) is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$).

Suppose $\{a_{ijk} \in \mathbb{K} \mid i, j, k \in I\}$ are the structure coefficients of \mathfrak{l} . Namely,

$$[e_i, e_j] = \sum_{k \in I} a_{ijk} e_k,$$

²[4, 2.1] gives only the definition of the current superalgebra, and the definition of the loop superalgebra is similar.

for all $i, j \in I$. For each $i, j \in I$, it follows that $a_{ijk} = 0$ for all $k \in I$ except finite many. In particular, if $i \in J$ or $j \in J$, then $a_{ijk} = 0$ for all $k \in I$. Moreover,

$$[e_{ir}, e_{js}] = \sum_{k \in I} a_{ijk} e_{k, r+s},$$

for all $i, j \in I$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{I}$. We can know that $\{a_{ijk} \in \mathbb{K} \mid i, j, k \in I\}$ are also the structure coefficients of \mathfrak{g} since Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6. Define $\deg_x(e_{i_1 r_1} \cdots e_{i_t r_t}) = r_1 + \cdots + r_t$ the x -degree of the monomial $e_{i_1 r_1} \cdots e_{i_t r_t} \in S(\mathfrak{g})$.

In prime characteristic, the current (super)algebra, as well as loop (super)algebra \mathfrak{g} admits a natural structure of restricted Lie (super)algebra, with p -th power map $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$ defined by $(e_i \otimes x^r)^{[p]} = e_i^{[p]} \otimes x^{rp}$ for all $i \in I$ and $r \in \mathbb{I}$. Consequently, if \mathfrak{l} is a Lie algebra, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ has a large p -center generated by the elements

$$\left\{ e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I} \right\}.$$

If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie superalgebra, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ also has a large p -center generated by the elements

$$\left\{ e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]} \mid i \in I_0, r \in \mathbb{I} \right\}.$$

2.2. Symmetric invariants. Let $S(\mathfrak{g})$ be the symmetric algebra (resp. supersymmetric algebra) if \mathfrak{l} is a Lie algebra (resp. Lie superalgebra). There is a filtration

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{I}} F_r U(\mathfrak{g})$$

of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ which is defined by placing e_{ir} in degree $r+1$, that is, $F_r U(\mathfrak{g})$ is the span of all monomials of the form $e_{i_1 r_1} \cdots e_{i_s r_s}$ with total degree $(r_1+1) + \cdots + (r_s+1) \leq r$. The associated graded algebra $\text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic (both as graded algebra and as a graded \mathfrak{g} -module) to $S(\mathfrak{g})$. If $\text{ch}(\mathbb{K}) > 0$, the degree of e_{ir}^p (resp. $e_i^{[p]} \otimes x^{rp}$) is $(r+1)p$ (resp. $rp+1$), and hence $\text{gr}(e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]}) = e_{ir}^p$. It follows that

$$(2.2) \quad \text{gr } Z(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Remark 2.7. (2.2) is independent of the characteristic of \mathbb{K} .

3. IN ZERO CHARACTERISTIC

In this section, the characteristic of \mathbb{K} is zero.

3.1. Lie algebra.

Lemma 3.1. *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} , then the invariant algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is equal to $\mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}]$. In particular, if $C(\mathfrak{l}) \neq \{0\}$, $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is freely generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$.*

Proof. The method of proof is highly depends on [1, Lemma 3.2]. If $C(\mathfrak{l}) \neq \{0\}$. It is obvious that $e_{jr} \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ for each $j \in J$ and $r \in \mathbb{I}$. Let $I(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}]$, then $I(\mathfrak{g})$ is a subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$. Since the elements $\{e_{ir} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ give a basis for \mathfrak{g} , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathfrak{g}) &= \mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}][e_{ir} \mid i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}], \\ I(\mathfrak{g}) &= \mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}] \end{aligned}$$

with both being free polynomial algebras. Hence, $S(\mathfrak{g})$ is free as an $I(\mathfrak{g})$ module with basis

$$\left\{ \prod_{i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}} e_{ir}^{\omega(i,r)} \mid \omega \in \Omega_1 \right\},$$

where $\Omega_1 = \{\omega : I \setminus J \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \mid \omega(i, r) = 0 \text{ for all but finite many } (i, r) \in I \times \mathbb{I}\}$.

Given $f \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$, we can write

$$f = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_1} c_{\omega} \prod_{i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}} e_{ir}^{\omega(i,r)}$$

for all $c_{\omega} \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, all but finitely many of which are zero. In order to prove $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq I(\mathfrak{g})$, we have to prove that $c_{\omega} = 0$ for all non-zero ω .

Now, fix a non-zero ω appearing in the expression of f such that $\omega(j, r) > 0$ for $j \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}$. If $J = I$, we have that $S(\mathfrak{g}) = I(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})$, so $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = I(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $J \subsetneq I$, since $e_j \notin C(\mathfrak{l})$, there is $i \in I \setminus J$ such that $[e_i, e_j] \neq 0$. Namely, $a_{ijk} \neq 0$ for some $k \in I$. For each integer $s > \deg_x(f)$, which implies that s is larger than all $r' \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $\omega(i', r') > 0$ for $(i', r') \in I \setminus J \times \mathbb{I}$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \text{ad}(e_{is})(f) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_1} c_{\omega} \sum_{\substack{j \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I} \\ \omega(j,r) > 0}} \left(\sum_{k \in I \setminus J} a_{ijk} \omega(j, r) e_{k,r+s} + \sum_{k \in J} a_{ijk} \omega(j, r) e_{k,r+s} \right) \\ \times e_{jr}^{\omega(j,r)-1} \prod_{\substack{j' \in I \setminus J, r' \in \mathbb{I} \\ (j', r') \neq (j, r)}} e_{j'r'}^{\omega(j', r')}.$$

Thanks to the choice of s , the coefficient of

$$e_{k,r+s} e_{jr}^{\omega(j,r)-1} \prod_{\substack{j' \in I \setminus J, r' \in \mathbb{I} \\ (j', r') \neq (j, r)}} e_{j'r'}^{\omega(j', r')}$$

is $a_{ijk} c_{\omega} \omega(j, r)$ for all $k \in I \setminus J$, and the coefficient of

$$e_{jr}^{\omega(j,r)-1} \prod_{\substack{j' \in I \setminus J, r' \in \mathbb{I} \\ (j', r') \neq (j, r)}} e_{j'r'}^{\omega(j', r')}$$

is $a_{ijk} c_{\omega} \omega(j, r) e_{k,r+s}$ for all $k \in J$. They must be zero since $f \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$. As $\omega(j, r) > 0$ and $a_{ijk} \neq 0$ for some $k \in I$, we conclude that $c_{\omega} = 0$ which implies that $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq I(\mathfrak{g})$.

The arguments are similar if $C(\mathfrak{l}) = \{0\}$ which will be omitted here. \square

Theorem 3.2. *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} , then the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to $\mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}]$. In particular, if $C(\mathfrak{l}) \neq \{0\}$, $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is freely generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$.*

Proof. The proof, which uses Lemma 3.1 and (2.2), is similar to the proof of [1, Theorem 3.4]. Let $Z = \mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}]$, then Z is a subalgebra of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$. We want to prove that $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq \text{gr } Z$. If $C(\mathfrak{l}) = \{0\}$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{K} = \text{gr } Z$.

Let $C(\mathfrak{l}) \neq \{0\}$, then Z is generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$. For $j \in J$ and $r \in \mathbb{I}$ we have that $e_{jr} \in F_{r+1} U(\mathfrak{g})$, so

$$\text{gr}(e_{jr}) = e_{jr} \in S(\mathfrak{g}).$$

From Lemma 3.1 we know that $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ are lifts of the algebraically independent generators of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Thereby we easily get $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq \text{gr } Z$. Thanks to (2.2), we also have $\text{gr } Z \subseteq \text{gr } Z(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$, so $\text{gr } Z = \text{gr } Z(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$. This implies $Z = Z(\mathfrak{g})$. \square

The structure of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is simple when \mathfrak{g} is a special Lie algebras.

Proposition 3.3. *If \mathfrak{l} is a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} , then we have $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}$.*

Proof. It is easy to know that $C(\mathfrak{l}) = \{0\}$ since \mathfrak{l} is a semisimple Lie algebra, we know that $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}$ by Theorem 3.2. \square

Remark 3.4. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}(x)$, the above proof is unaffected, as are all the following conclusions.

3.2. Lie superalgebra.

Lemma 3.5. *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{K} , then the invariant algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is equal to $\mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}]$. In particular, if $C(\mathfrak{l}) \neq \{0\}$, $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is freely generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$.*

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as Lemma 3.1, except that we need to consider the odd elements. We use essentially the same notations as in the argumentation process of Lemma 3.1.

If $C(\mathfrak{l}) \neq \{0\}$. It is obvious that $e_{jr} \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ for each $j \in J$ and $r \in \mathbb{I}$. Since the elements $\{e_{ir} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ give a basis for \mathfrak{g} , it follows that

$$S(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in I_0, r \in \mathbb{I}] \otimes \Lambda[e_{ir} \mid i \in I_1, r \in \mathbb{I}],$$

where $\Lambda[e_{ir} \mid i \in I_1, r \in \mathbb{I}]$ is the exterior algebra. Hence, $\mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in I_0, r \in \mathbb{I}]$ and $I(\mathfrak{g})$ with both being free polynomial algebras and $S(\mathfrak{g})$ is free as an $I(\mathfrak{g})$ module with basis

$$\left\{ \prod_{i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}} e_{ir}^{\omega(i,r)} \mid \omega \in \Omega_1' \right\},$$

where

$$\Omega_1' = \left\{ \omega : I \setminus J \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \mid \begin{array}{l} \omega(i,r) \geq 0, \forall (i,r) \in I_0 \setminus J \times \mathbb{I} \text{ and } \omega(i,r) \in \{0,1\}, \forall (i,r) \in I_1 \times \mathbb{I}, \\ \omega(i,r) = 0 \text{ for all but finite many } (i,r) \in I \setminus J \times \mathbb{I} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The rest of the argument is the same as Lemma 3.1 except for (3.1).

If $i \in I_0$ or $i \in I_1$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \text{ad}(e_{is})(f) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_1'} c_{\omega} \sum_{\substack{j \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I} \\ \omega(j,r) > 0}} \left(\sum_{k \in I \setminus J} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\omega, j, r)} a_{ijk} \omega(j, r) e_{k, r+s} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k \in J} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\omega, j, r)} a_{ijk} \omega(j, r) e_{k, r+s} \right) \times e_{jr}^{\omega(j,r)-1} \prod_{\substack{j' \in I \setminus J, r' \in \mathbb{I} \\ (j', r') \neq (j, r)}} e_{j'r'}^{\omega(j', r')}.$$

where $\text{sgn}(\omega, j, r) \in \{0, 1\}$ depends on ω, j, r . Since $(-1)^{\text{sgn}(\omega, j, r)} \neq 0$, we still have $c_{\omega} = 0$ by the argument of Lemma 3.1.

The arguments are similar if $C(\mathfrak{l}) = \{0\}$ which will be omitted here. \square

The proof of the following conclusion will be omitted here, which is identical to the Lie algebra.

Theorem 3.6. *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{K} , then the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to $\mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}]$. In particular, if $C(\mathfrak{l}) \neq \{0\}$, $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is freely generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$.*

Proposition 3.7. *If \mathfrak{l} is a semisimple Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{K} , then we have $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}$.*

4. IN PRIME CHARACTERISTIC

In this section, the characteristic of \mathbb{K} is prime p .

4.1. Lie algebra.

Lemma 4.1. *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} , then the invariant algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ together with $\mathfrak{g}^p := \{e^p \mid e \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. In fact, $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is freely generated by*

$$\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\} \cup \{e_{ir}^p \mid i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}.$$

Proof. The method of proof is highly depends on [1, Lemma 3.2]. It is obvious that $e_{jr} \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ for each $j \in J$ and $r \in \mathbb{I}$. Since $p > 0$, we have that $\mathfrak{g}^p \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $I(\mathfrak{g})$ be the subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ and \mathfrak{g}^p . Since the elements $\{e_{ir} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ give a basis of \mathfrak{g} , it follows that

$$S(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}][e_{ir} \mid i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}],$$

$$I(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}][e_{ir}^p \mid i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}]$$

with both being free polynomial algebras. Hence, $S(\mathfrak{g})$ is free as an $I(\mathfrak{g})$ module with basis

$$\left\{ \prod_{i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}} e_{ir}^{\omega(i,r)} \mid \omega \in \Omega_2 \right\},$$

where

$$\Omega_2 = \left\{ \omega : I \setminus J \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \mid \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \omega(i,r) < p \text{ for all } (i,r) \in I \setminus J \times \mathbb{I}, \\ \omega(i,r) = 0 \text{ except finite } (i,r) \in I \setminus J \times \mathbb{I} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Given $f \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$, we can write

$$f = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_2} c_{\omega} \prod_{i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}} e_{ir}^{\omega(i,r)}$$

for all $c_{\omega} \in I(\mathfrak{g})$, all but finitely many of which are zero. In order to prove $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq I(\mathfrak{g})$, we have to prove that $c_{\omega} = 0$ for all non-zero ω .

Now, fix a non-zero ω appearing in the expression of f such that $\omega(j,r) > 0$ for $j \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}$. If $J = I$, we have that $S(\mathfrak{g}) = I(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})$, so $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} = I(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $J \subsetneq I$, since $e_j \notin C(\mathfrak{l})$, there is $i \in I \setminus J$ such that $[e_i, e_j] \neq 0$. Namely, $a_{ijk} \neq 0$ for some $k \in I$. For

each integer $s > \deg_x(f)$, which implies that s is larger than all $r' \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $\omega(i', r') > 0$ for $(i', r') \in I \setminus J \times \mathbb{I}$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \text{ad}(e_{is})(f) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_2} c_\omega \sum_{\substack{j \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I} \\ 0 < \omega(j, r) < p}} \left(\sum_{k \in I \setminus J} a_{ijk} \omega(j, r) e_{k, r+s} + \sum_{k \in J} a_{ijk} \omega(j, r) e_{k, r+s} \right) \\ \times e_{jr}^{\omega(j, r)-1} \prod_{\substack{j' \in I \setminus J, r' \in \mathbb{I} \\ (j', r') \neq (j, r)}} e_{j'r'}^{\omega(j', r')}.$$

Thanks to the choice of s , the coefficient of

$$e_{k, r+s} e_{jr}^{\omega(j, r)-1} \prod_{\substack{j' \in I \setminus J, r' \in \mathbb{I} \\ (j', r') \neq (j, r)}} e_{j'r'}^{\omega(j', r')}$$

is $a_{ijk} c_\omega \omega(j, r)$ for all $k \in I \setminus J$, and the coefficient of

$$e_{jr}^{\omega(j, r)-1} \prod_{\substack{j' \in I \setminus J, r' \in \mathbb{I} \\ (j', r') \neq (j, r)}} e_{j'r'}^{\omega(j', r')}$$

is $a_{ijk} c_\omega \omega(j, r) e_{k, r+s}$ for all $k \in J$. They must be zero since $f \in S(\mathfrak{g})^\mathfrak{g}$. As $0 < \omega(j, r) < p$ and $a_{ijk} \neq 0$ for some $k \in I$, we conclude that $c_\omega = 0$ which implies that $S(\mathfrak{g})^\mathfrak{g} \subseteq I(\mathfrak{g})$. \square

Remark 4.2. If \mathfrak{g} is the shifted current algebra defined in [1, 3.2], using Lemma 4.1 we can have that [1, Lemma 3.2].

Using the restricted structure, define the p -center $Z_p(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U_p(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the subalgebra of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $x^p - x^{[p]}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since the p -th power map is p -semilinear, we have that

$$Z_p(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}[e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]}] = (e_i x^r)^p - e_i^{[p]} x^{rp} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I}.$$

Theorem 4.3. *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} , then the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ and $Z_p(\mathfrak{g})$. In fact, $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is freely generated by*

$$(4.2) \quad \{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\} \cup \{e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]} \mid i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}.$$

Proof. The proof, which uses Lemma 4.1 and (2.2), is similar to the proof of [1, Theorem 3.4]. Let Z be the subalgebra of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by (4.2). We want to prove that $S(\mathfrak{g})^\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \text{gr } Z$.

For $j \in J, i \in I \setminus J$ and $r \in \mathbb{I}$ we have that $e_{jr} \in F_{r+1} U(\mathfrak{g})$ and

$$e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]} \in F_{(r+1)p} U(\mathfrak{g}),$$

so $\text{gr}(e_{jr}) = e_{jr} \in S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\text{gr}(e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]}) = e_{ir}^p \in S(\mathfrak{g})$. From Lemma 4.1 we know that (4.2) are lifts of the algebraically independent generators of $S(\mathfrak{g})^\mathfrak{g}$. Thereby we easily get $S(\mathfrak{g})^\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \text{gr } Z$. Thanks to (2.2), we also have $\text{gr } Z \subseteq \text{gr } Z(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^\mathfrak{g}$, so $\text{gr } Z = \text{gr } Z(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{g})^\mathfrak{g}$. This implies $Z = Z(\mathfrak{g})$. \square

Remark 4.4. If \mathfrak{g} is the shifted current algebra defined in [1, 3.2], using Theorem 4.3 we can have that [1, Theorem 3.4].

Similar to the case of characteristic zero, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5. *If \mathfrak{l} is a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} , then we have $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is freely generated by*

$$\{e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I}\}.$$

Proof. It is easy to know that $C(\mathfrak{l}) = \{0\}$ since \mathfrak{l} is a semisimple Lie algebra, we know that

$$Z(\mathfrak{g}) = Z_p(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}[e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I}]$$

by Theorem 4.3. □

4.2. Lie superalgebra.

Lemma 4.6. *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{K} , then the invariant algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ together with $\mathfrak{g}_0^p := \{e^p \mid e \in \mathfrak{g}_0\}$. In fact, $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is freely generated by*

$$\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\} \cup \{e_{ir}^p \mid i \in I_0 \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}.$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as Lemma 4.1, except that we need to consider the odd elements. We use essentially the same notations as in the argumentation process of Lemma 4.1.

It is obvious that $e_{jr} \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ for each $j \in J$ and $r \in \mathbb{I}$. Since $p > 0$, we have that $\mathfrak{g}_0^p \subseteq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $I(\mathfrak{g})$ be the subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ and \mathfrak{g}_0^p . Since the elements $\{e_{ir} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ give a basis of \mathfrak{g} , it follows that

$$S(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in I_0, r \in \mathbb{I}] \otimes \Lambda[e_{ir} \mid i \in I_1, r \in \mathbb{I}],$$

where $\Lambda[e_{ir} \mid i \in I_1, r \in \mathbb{I}]$ is the exterior algebra. Hence, $\mathbb{K}[e_{jr} \mid j \in I_0, r \in \mathbb{I}]$ and $I(\mathfrak{g})$ with both being free polynomial algebras and $S(\mathfrak{g})$ is free as an $I(\mathfrak{g})$ module with basis

$$\left\{ \prod_{i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}} e_{ir}^{\omega(i,r)} \mid \omega \in \Omega_2' \right\},$$

where

$$\Omega_2' = \left\{ \omega : I \setminus J \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \mid \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \omega(i, r) < p, \forall (i, r) \in I_0 \setminus J \times \mathbb{I} \text{ and } \omega(i, r) \in \{0, 1\}, \forall (i, r) \in I_1 \times \mathbb{I}, \\ \omega(i, r) = 0 \text{ for all but finite many } (i, r) \in I \setminus J \times \mathbb{I} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The rest of the argument is the same as Lemma 4.1 except for (4.1).

If $i \in I_0$ or $i \in I_1$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \text{ad}(e_{is})(f) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_2'} c_{\omega} \sum_{\substack{j \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I} \\ \omega(j,r) > 0}} \left(\sum_{k \in I \setminus J} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\omega, j, r)} a_{ijk} \omega(j, r) e_{k, r+s} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k \in J} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\omega, j, r)} a_{ijk} \omega(j, r) e_{k, r+s} \right) \times e_{jr}^{\omega(j,r)-1} \prod_{\substack{j' \in I \setminus J, r' \in \mathbb{I} \\ (j', r') \neq (j, r)}} e_{j'r'}^{\omega(j', r')}.$$

where $\text{sgn}(\omega, j, r) \in \{0, 1\}$ depends on ω, j, r . Since $(-1)^{\text{sgn}(\omega, j, r)} \neq 0$, we still have $c_{\omega} = 0$ by the argument of Lemma 4.1. □

Remark 4.7. In fact, $\{e_{ir}^p \mid i \in I \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}\} = \{e_{ir}^p \mid i \in I_0 \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^p = \mathfrak{g}_0^p$. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}[x]$, using Lemma 4.6 we can have that [4, Lemma 2.1].

Similar to Lie algebra, the p -center $Z_p(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is the subalgebra of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $x^p - x^{[p]}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, we still have that

$$Z_p(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}[e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]} = (e_i x^r)^p - e_i^{[p]} x^{rp} \mid i \in I_0, r \in \mathbb{I}].$$

The proof of the following conclusion will be omitted here, which is identical to the Lie algebra.

Theorem 4.8. *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{K} , then the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by $\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ and $Z_p(\mathfrak{g})$. In fact, $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is freely generated by*

$$\{e_{jr} \mid j \in J, r \in \mathbb{I}\} \cup \{e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]} \mid i \in I_0 \setminus J, r \in \mathbb{I}\}.$$

Proposition 4.9. *If \mathfrak{l} is a semisimple Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{K} , then we have $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is freely generated by*

$$\{e_{ir}^p - e_{ir}^{[p]} \mid i \in I_0, r \in \mathbb{I}\}.$$

Remark 4.10. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}[x]$, using Theorem 4.8 we can have that [4, Theorem 2.3].

Acknowledgments. The second named author would like to give some special thanks to Ke Ou for his valuable insight and guidance with this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Brundan and L. Topley, *The p -centre of Yangians and Shifted Yangians*, Moscow Math. J., 18(2018), no. 617-657.
- [2] A. Braun, *Factorial properties of the enveloping algebra of a nilpotent Lie algebra in prime characteristic*, Journal of Algebra, 308(2007), no. 1-11.
- [3] A. Braun and G. Vernik, *On the center and semi-center of enveloping algebras in prime characteristic*, Journal of Algebra, 322(2009), no. 1830-1858.
- [4] H. Chang and H. Hu, *The centre of the modular super Yangian $Y_{m|n}$* , Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 107(2023), no. 1074-109.
- [5] S.-J. Cheng and W. Wang, *Dualities and representations of Lie superalgebras*, American Mathematical Soc., 2012.
- [6] J. Dixmier, *Sur l'algèbre enveloppante d'une algèbre de Lie nilpotente*, Archiv der Mathematik, 10(1959), no. 321-326.
- [7] M.A. Gauger, *Some remarks on the center of the universal enveloping algebra of a classical simple Lie algebra*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 62(1976), no. 93-97.
- [8] J.E. Humphreys, *Representations of Semisimple Lie Algebras in the BGG Category \mathcal{O}* , American Mathematical Soc., 2008.
- [9] J.E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Springer Science, 2012.
- [10] V.G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge university press, 1990.
- [11] K. Konno, *The structure of the center of the universal enveloping algebra for the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}(m, 1)$* , RIMS Kokyuroku, 108(1997), no. 110-117.
- [12] A. Molev, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, American Mathematical Soc., 2007.
- [13] C. Moeglin, *Factorialité dans les algèbres enveloppantes*, CR Acad. Sci. Paris A, 280(1976), no. 1269-1272.
- [14] R. Tange, *The Zassenhaus variety of a reductive Lie algebra in positive characteristic*, Advances in Mathematics, 224(2010), no. 340-354.
- [15] F.D. Veldkamp, *The center of the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra in characteristic p* , Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, 5(1972), no. 217-240.

(Yaping Yang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, YUNNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, KUNMING 650500, CHINA
Email address: `yypawan@126.com`

(Daihao Zeng) SCHOOL OF STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS, YUNNAN UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND
ECONOMICS, KUNMING 650221, CHINA
Email address: `202202110812@stu.ynufe.edu.cn`