

AREA-PRESERVING ANISOTROPIC MEAN CURVATURE FLOW IN TWO DIMENSIONS

ERIC KIM AND DOHYUN KWON

ABSTRACT. We study the motion of sets by anisotropic curvature under a volume constraint in the plane. We establish the exponential convergence of the area-preserving anisotropic flat flow to a disjoint union of Wulff shapes of equal area, the critical point of the anisotropic perimeter functional. This is an anisotropic analogue of the results in the isotropic case studied in [JMPS22]. The novelty of our approach is in using the Cahn-Hoffman map to parametrize boundary components as small perturbations of the Wulff shape. In addition, we show that certain reflection comparison symmetries are preserved by the flat flow, which lets us obtain uniform bounds on the distance between the convergent profile and the initial data.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the long-term behavior of the flat flow solution to the area-preserving anisotropic mean curvature flow in the plane. The anisotropic mean curvature flow of sets $E_t \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, which preserves the volume $|E_t|$, is given by

$$(1.1) \quad V_t = \psi^\circ(\nu_{E_t})(-\kappa_{E_t}^\phi + \lambda_t) \quad \text{on } \partial E_t.$$

Here, V_t is the outward normal velocity along ∂E_t , ν_{E_t} is the outward normal vector, and $\phi, \psi^\circ : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are norms which represent surface energy density and mobility, respectively. Moreover,

$$\lambda_t := \frac{\int_{\partial E_t} \kappa_{E_t}^\phi \psi^\circ(\nu_{E_t}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}}{\int_{\partial E_t} \psi^\circ(\nu_{E_t}) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}}$$

is the Lagrange multiplier enforcing the volume constraint. The anisotropic mean curvature $\kappa_{E_t}^\phi$ represents the first variation of the anisotropic perimeter functional P_ϕ ,

$$(1.2) \quad P_\phi(E) := \int_{\partial E} \phi(\nu_E) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \quad \text{for a set } E \subset \mathbb{R}^N.$$

The volume-preserving flow (1.1) arises in a number of applications, including in physics and material science to model solidification processes [CRCT95, TWG72], as well as in image segmentation for computer vision [CDFV00]. Anisotropic surface energies arise naturally in the study of nematic liquid crystals, where the shared orientation of rod-shaped particles contributes to an anisotropic surface tension [Pal17].

The flow (1.1) can be interpreted as a (formal) L^2 -gradient flow of the functional P_ϕ . The minimizer of P_ϕ among all sets of finite perimeter with a prescribed volume is a scaled and translated version of the Wulff shape

$$W_\phi := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x \cdot \nu \leq \phi(\nu) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{R}^N\}.$$

It has been shown in [DRKS20] that the only critical points of the volume-constrained problem are finite disjoint unions of Wulff shapes with equal area. Consequently, it is reasonable to expect the long-term convergence of the anisotropic mean curvature flow to these critical points under a volume constraint.

The main goal of our paper is to better understand the long-term behavior of (1.1) in the plane. To this end, we present two types of results: (1) the exponential convergence of a flat flow solution of (1.1) to a disjoint union of Wulff shapes given *any* initial data, and (2) more precise characterizations of the limiting profile given additional assumptions on the initial data. For the latter, we are particularly interested in assumptions which allow for non-convexity of the initial data. The *flat flow* solution that we consider is a minimizing movement scheme based on the gradient flow structure of (1.1) and is discussed in further detail in Section 1.3.

1.1. Long-time behavior of the evolution. Most results in the literature regarding the long-term convergence of (1.1) have relied on some geometric property of the initial set which is preserved over time, such as convexity [And01, BCCN09], or a geometric condition associated with reflection symmetries of the Wulff shape [KKP21]. Recently, [JMPS22] showed in the isotropic case that for *any* initial set of finite perimeter in dimension $N = 2$, the area-preserving flat flow converges exponentially to a disjoint union of equally sized disks.

For our first main theorem, we generalize the results of [JMPS22] to the anisotropic setting. More specifically, we show that the *area-preserving flat* (ϕ, ψ) -flow in $N = 2$ converges exponentially to a disjoint union of Wulff shapes of equal area. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first convergence result for (1.1) in the anisotropic regime, which makes no geometric assumptions on the initial data.

We let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denote the space of norms on \mathbb{R}^N . For $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, we will let $\mathcal{M}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$) denote the space of all *regular elliptic integrands* on \mathbb{R}^N (see Definition 2.1) which belong to $C^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ (resp. $C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$). In most cases, we will consider a surface energy $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and mobility $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Interestingly, the regularity of ψ (or lack thereof) does not play a role in obtaining convergence. In the following, $W_\phi(x, r)$ refers to the scaled and translated Wulff shape $x + rW_\phi$. We now present our first main result, whose proof is given in Section 5.

Theorem 1.1. *For $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, let $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an area-preserving flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow (defined in Theorem 4.1) starting from a bounded set of finite perimeter $E_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Then there exists a disjoint union of Wulff shapes $E_\infty = \cup_{j=1}^d W_\phi(x_j, r)$ such that $|E_0| = |E_\infty|$, $P_\phi(E_\infty) \leq P_\phi(E_0)$, and such that for some constants $C, C_0 > 0$ and all $t \geq 0$,*

$$(1.3) \quad \sup_{E(t) \Delta E_\infty} d_{E_\infty}^\psi \leq C e^{-t/C_0}$$

where C_0 depends only on ϕ , E_0 , and L_ψ given in (2.3).

We remark that in contrast to the analogous result in [JMPS22], we are unable to show convergence of the energies $P_\phi(E(t))$ to $P_\phi(E_\infty)$. The difficulty arises from the absence of an almost-minimality property for disjoint unions of Wulff shapes; such a property relies on a calibration argument that does not seem to extend to the anisotropic setting easily.

The primary ingredient needed to prove Theorem 1.1 is the following geometric result establishing that if a bounded set of finite perimeter has anisotropic mean curvature close to a constant (in the L^2 sense), then it is in fact well approximated by a disjoint union of Wulff shapes.

Theorem 1.2 (Quantitative Alexandrov Theorem). *For $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and positive constants m and M , there exist constants $\varepsilon_0(\phi, m, M) \in (0, 1)$ and $C(\phi, m, M) > 0$ such that for any bounded C^2 open set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying $|E| = m$, $P_\phi(E) \leq M$, and $\|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)} \leq \varepsilon_0$, the following hold:*

(1) *E is diffeomorphic to a disjoint union of Wulff shapes $F = \cup_{j=1}^d W_\phi(x_j, r)$ such that $|E| = |F|$ and*

$$(1.4) \quad |P_\phi(E) - P_\phi(F)| \leq C \|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)}^2.$$


(2) *Each boundary component of E may be parametrized as the normal graph over some $W_\phi(x_j, r)$, whose $C^{1,1/2}$ norm is less than $C \|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)}$.*

The isotropic case of Theorem 1.2 was proven in [JMPS22] by using the Gauss-Bonnet theorem in the plane to obtain sufficient compactness, and then by showing that the arclength parametrization of ∂E is well-approximated by circular arcs. The novelty of our approach is in exploiting the *Cahn-Hoffman map*, which parametrizes the Wulff shape, to obtain suitable anisotropic analogues of both the Gauss-Bonnet theorem (Lemma 3.1) and arclength parametrization. Some arguments are parallel to those in [JMPS22], but it is delicate to verify that the quadratic exponent in (1.4) is not lost in the anisotropic regime; see the discussion prior to Lemma 3.4.

The quadratic exponent on the righthand side of (1.4) is sharp. Moreover, one can interpret (1.4) as an infinite-dimensional example of the *Lojasiewicz inequality*, which states that near any critical point $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ of an analytic function f , one has the estimate

$$(1.5) \quad |f(x) - f(z)| \lesssim |\nabla f(x)|^\alpha$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 2]$. Via a standard energy dissipation argument, one may use (1.5) to estimate the rate of convergence of the gradient flow of f , which is an exponential rate when $\alpha = 2$. Thus the quadratic


 FIGURE 1. Example of a set E satisfying $(*)_H$

exponent in (1.4) is essential to obtaining the exponential decay in Theorem 1.1, for which we apply a discretized dissipation argument.

An interesting open question is whether exponential convergence of (1.1) is true for $N = 3$; our method does not extend since the Gauss-Bonnet theorem (for mean curvature) holds only in the plane. In the isotropic case, [JN20] were able to establish convergence up to translations (but without a rate) by proving a weaker quantitative Alexandrov theorem. The authors have found that even the preliminary compactness result in [JN20] is not easily available to the anisotropic setting, as it relies on the Michael-Simon inequality, whose anisotropic analogue remains an open problem.

1.2. Reflection Property. Once we have unconditional convergence of the flat flow from Theorem 1.1, a natural follow-up question is whether we can constrain the arrangement of Wulff shapes in the convergent profile E_∞ , given additional assumptions on the initial data E_0 . For instance, one might hope to bound the distance of E_∞ from E_0 . This does not follow immediately from Theorem 1.1, since the rate of convergence depends delicately on the flat flow, which may not be unique. Interestingly, we can make progress by showing certain reflection comparison symmetries to be preserved by the flat flow if they are satisfied by the initial set.

First, we establish some notation and terminology. Given a half-space $H \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, we say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfies the property $(*)_H$ (see Fig. 1) if

$$(1.6) \quad \Psi(E) \cap H \subseteq E \cap H \quad \text{where } \Psi \text{ denotes reflection across } \partial H.$$

Moreover, E satisfies the stricter property $(*)'_H$ if

$$(1.7) \quad E \text{ satisfies } (*)_H \quad \text{and} \quad \partial \Psi(E) \cap \partial E \subset \partial H.$$

We say that a norm ϕ is *compatible* with $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ if $\phi(x) = \phi(x - 2(x \cdot \nu)\nu)$ for all $\nu \in \mathcal{P}, x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Lastly, given subsets $E, D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and a set $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, we define E to satisfy $(**)_D, \mathcal{P}$ (resp. $(**)'_D, \mathcal{P}$) if E satisfies $(*)_H$ (resp. $(*)'_H$) for every half-space $H \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ which contains D and is normal to a vector in \mathcal{P} .

Our main result regarding the preservation of such properties is the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $N \in \{2, 3\}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ strictly convex. Consider a half-space H with normal vector ν and suppose ϕ, ψ are compatible with ν . If $E_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded set of finite perimeter which satisfies $(*)'_H$ and is C^1 near ∂H , then any flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow $E(t)$ with initial set E_0 satisfies $(*)_H$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

The property $(*)_H$ is motivated by the stronger notion of ρ -reflection first studied by [FK14]. The preservation of $(*)_H$ has been established for continuous viscosity solutions of (1.1) in the isotropic case [KK20a, KK20b] and the anisotropic case [KKP21]. Our results extend this perspective by investigating the preservation of $(*)'_H$ along the flat flow, which is new, even in the isotropic case. Without access to the comparison principle, our proof of Theorem 1.3 proceeds by constructing an energy competitor in the




FIGURE 2. Example of Corollary 1.4

event that the property $(*)'_H$ is violated. The difficulty in our case is that the energy competitor needs to be a strict improvement due to the nonuniqueness of the approximate flow, and this is why we assume the stronger property $(*)'_H$ for the initial data.

For $N = 2$, one may apply Theorem 1.1 to constrain the possible arrangements of Wulff shapes in the convergent profile in various ways. For instance, in Corollary 1.4 below, we establish a bound on E_∞ which depends only on the diameter of E_0 ; see Fig. 2. In the absence of a comparison principle, the standard barrier argument is not applicable to (1.1). Our finding, although straightforward, serves as the only uniform bound for the limit of (1.1).

Corollary 1.4. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be compatible with some set $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{S}^1$, such that ψ is strictly convex and $\text{span}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathbb{R}^2$. Then, the limiting set E_∞ of the volume-preserving flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow starting from a bounded set E_0 of finite perimeter is uniformly bounded:*

$$(1.8) \quad E_\infty \subseteq D + (|E_0|/|W_\phi|)^{1/2}W_\phi$$

for any convex polygon $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ whose edges are normal to vectors in \mathcal{P} and $E \subset D$.

As another application, in Corollary 1.5 we show that sufficiently many reflection comparison symmetries force E_0 to converge to a single Wulff shape; see Fig. 3.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be compatible with some set $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{S}^1$, such that ψ is strictly convex. If $E_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a C^1 set of finite perimeter and satisfies $(**)_{D,\mathcal{P}}$ for a convex polygon $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ whose edges are normal to vectors in \mathcal{P} and such that*

$$|E_0| > 2(\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1} + \alpha)^2|D| \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha := \frac{P_\phi(D)}{2|W_\phi|^{1/2}|D|^{1/2}},$$

then every area-preserving flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow $E(t)$ starting from E_0 converges to a single Wulff shape.

Ideally, one would like to weaken the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3 so that the initial set E_0 does not need to be C^1 near ∂H or need only satisfy the non-strict property $(*)_H$ as opposed to $(*)'_H$. To this end, we show a form of stability of the volume-preserving flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow with respect to initial data. While stability does not hold true in general, it is true if we enforce enough reflection symmetries on the initial data to obtain compactness via a uniform cone condition (Proposition 6.5). Moreover, due to the absence of uniqueness, we are only able to prove an existential form of symmetry preservation:

Theorem 1.6. *For $N \in \{2, 3\}$, let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be compatible with some set $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{S}^1$, such that ψ is strictly convex. Suppose $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ is a root system [see (6.5)] such that $\text{span}(\mathcal{P} \setminus K) = \mathbb{R}^N$ for any hyperplane K through the origin. Then there exists $c = c(\mathcal{P})$ such that the following holds: For any bounded set of finite perimeter $E_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying $(**)_{B_\rho(0),\mathcal{P}}$ for $\rho < c|E_0|^{1/N}$, there exists a flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow $E(t)$ starting at E_0 which satisfies $(**)_{B_\rho(0),\mathcal{P}}$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

1.3. The Flat Flow. Let us now clarify our notion of solution. It is well-known that (1.1) can encounter topological singularities in finite time, such as self-intersections and pinch-offs [May01], so weaker formulations of the flow are needed to grant global-in-time existence. Although existence of solutions to (1.1) is well studied in the isotropic case [MSS16, Tak23], existence for a general anisotropy remains an open question




FIGURE 3. Example of initial data E_0 converging to a single Wulff shape by Corollary 1.5

unless the initial set is convex [And01, BCCN09] or satisfies a geometric property associated with reflection symmetries of the Wulff shape [KKP21].

In our paper, we define a notion of flat flow solution to (1.1), which is a natural choice to accommodate the gradient flow structure. Almgren, Taylor, and Wang [ATW93], and Luckhaus and Sturzenhecker [LS95] first introduced a flat flow solution to the unforced mean curvature flow using a minimizing movements approach, which takes a limit of discrete flows obtained from iterating an energy minimization problem. Later the flat flow solution was adapted by Mugnai, Seis, and Spadaro [MSS16] to (1.1) in the isotropic case, by incorporating a soft volume penalization term which becomes a hard constraint in the limiting flow. In this paper, we adapt the construction of [MSS16] to define a flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow solution to (1.1). It is worth noting that, in contrast to the unforced flow, existence in the volume-preserving case is considerably more delicate due to its nonlocal nature and the absence of a comparison principle. We also expect that under a standard energy convergence assumption, the flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow is also a distributional solution to (1.1). However, this is not the focus of the present work, so we do not comment on this further.

1.4. Outline of the Paper. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2 using the Cahn-Hoffman map. In Section 4, we establish the existence of volume-preserving flat (ϕ, ψ) -flows and necessary estimates for the long-term behavior. Theorem 1.1 is proven in Section 5. In Section 6, we prove Theorem 1.3 as well as some corollaries regarding the long-term profile.

1.5. Acknowledgements. The authors thank Inwon Kim for helpful comments on the manuscript. DK was partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. RS-2023-00252516) and the POSCO Science Fellowship of POSCO TJ Park Foundation.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notations. A Lebesgue measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of finite perimeter is one such that the distributional gradient $\mu_E := -D1_E$ is a finite Borel measure, in which case the perimeter $P(E)$ is defined to be the total variation $|\mu_E|(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The reduced boundary ∂^*E is defined as the set of points $x \in \text{spt } \mu_E$ such that the following limit exists:

$$\nu_E(x) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu_E(B_r(x))}{|\mu_E|(B_r(x))}.$$

The structure theorem of De Giorgi establishes that $\mu_E = \nu_E \mathcal{H}^{N-1}|_{\partial^*E}$ and $|\mu_E| = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}|_{\partial^*E}$. We refer the reader to [Mag12] for further background on sets of finite perimeter.

Definition 2.1. We say that a norm $\phi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a **regular elliptic integrand** if the restriction $\gamma := \phi|_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}$ is C^2 and strictly positive, and there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad e \cdot (D^2\phi(\nu)e) \geq c|e - (\nu \cdot e)\nu|^2 \quad \forall \nu, e \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}.$$

In this case, there is an *ellipticity constant* $\Lambda_\phi > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda_\phi^{-1} I_{N-1} \leq \gamma(\nu) I_{N-1} + D^2 \gamma(\nu) \leq \Lambda_\phi I_{N-1} \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}.$$

Here is a list of notations we use throughout the paper:

- Given a norm ψ on \mathbb{R}^N and a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, we define the signed ψ -distance function

$$\text{sd}_E^\psi(x) := \begin{cases} \text{dist}^\psi(x, E) & x \in E^c \\ -\text{dist}^\psi(x, E^c) & x \in E \end{cases}$$

where $\text{dist}^\psi(x, E) := \inf \{\psi(x - y) : y \in E\}$. We also denote $d_E^\psi(x) := |\text{sd}_E^\psi(x)| = \text{dist}^\psi(x, \partial E)$. We will always identify a bounded set of finite perimeter $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with its set of Lebesgue points so that the function sd_E^ψ is well-defined.

- Given a norm ϕ on \mathbb{R}^N , we denote L_ϕ to be the smallest constant such that

$$(2.3) \quad L_\phi^{-1} \leq \phi(\nu) \leq L_\phi \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}.$$

We denote the dual norm

$$\phi^\circ(y) := \sup \{x \cdot y : \phi(x) \leq 1\}$$

and recall that $(\phi^\circ)^\circ = \phi$. It is standard to check that $L_{\phi^\circ} = L_\phi$.

- We say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfies the *interior rW_ϕ -property* at $x \in \partial E$ if there is some $y \in E$ such that $W_\phi(y, r) \subset E$ and $x \in \partial W_\phi(y, r)$.

2.2. Anisotropic perimeter and mean curvature. For a norm ϕ , we define the associated ϕ -perimeter as

$$P_\phi(E; A) := \int_{\partial^* E \cap A} \phi(\nu_E) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$$

for any set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of locally finite perimeter and any set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. We sometimes refer to the anisotropic surface density $dP_\phi := \phi(\nu) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$.

Definition 2.2. For $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we say that a bounded set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of finite perimeter has (scalar) *mean ϕ -curvature* $\kappa_E^\phi \in L^1(\partial^* E)$ if

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\partial E} \kappa_E^\phi \nu \cdot X d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \int_{\partial E} \text{div}_{\tau, \phi} X dP_\phi \quad \forall X \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^N)$$

where

$$\text{div}_{\tau, \phi} X := \text{tr} \left(\left(I - \nabla \phi(\nu_E) \otimes \frac{\nu_E}{\phi(\nu_E)} \right) \nabla X \right).$$

One can check that the righthand side of (2.4) is the first variation of P_ϕ along X . In the case where E is C^2 , we have $\kappa_E^\phi = \text{div}_\tau \nabla \phi(\nu_E)$. In particular, when ∂E is a C^2 curve in \mathbb{R}^2 , one has the simpler expression $\kappa_E^\phi = \kappa_E(\gamma + \gamma'')(\nu_E)$ where κ_E is the isotropic mean curvature. It is a standard result that the Wulff shape has $\kappa^\phi \equiv 1$.

For $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, ∂W_ϕ is explicitly parametrized by the Cahn-Hoffman map $\xi : \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ [Koi21]

$$\xi(\nu) := \nabla \phi(\nu) = \gamma(\nu)\nu + \nabla \gamma(\nu)$$

where the gradient $\nabla \gamma(\nu)$ is naturally embedded into the tangent plane to ν in \mathbb{R}^N . Moreover, ξ is a C^1 -embedding and each $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ is in fact the outward normal vector to W_ϕ at $\xi(\nu)$. The Cahn-Hoffman map will be a critical tool for parametrizing boundary components in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

3. QUANTITATIVE ALEXANDROV THEOREM

In this section, we establish one of our main results, Theorem 1.2. Some of the arguments are parallel to the ones in the isotropic case presented in [JMPS22]. The first new ingredient is an anisotropic version of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for curves shown below. We recall the notation $\gamma := \phi|_{\mathbb{S}^1}$.

Lemma 3.1 (Anisotropic Gauss-Bonnet theorem). For $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and any closed C^2 curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\int_\Gamma \kappa^\phi dP_\phi = 2|W_\phi|.$$

Proof. We parametrize Γ by arclength via $\zeta : [0, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, and let $\theta(s)$ be the angle of the normal vector to $\zeta(s)$, so that $\theta'(s) = \kappa(\zeta(s))$ and $\theta(0) = 0$. Identifying $\mathbb{S}^1 \simeq [0, 2\pi]$, we can reparametrize the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} \kappa^\phi dP_\phi &= \int_{\Gamma} \kappa[\gamma(\nu) + \gamma''(\nu)]\gamma(\nu) d\mathcal{H}^1 \\ &= \int_0^l \theta'(s)[\gamma(\gamma + \gamma'')](\theta(s)) ds \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \gamma(\gamma + \gamma'') d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is in fact equal to $P_\phi(W_\phi)$, by parametrizing with the Cahn-Hoffman map $\xi(\nu) = \gamma(\nu)\nu + \nabla\gamma(\nu)$. In terms of θ coordinates, one can compute

$$(3.1) \quad |\xi'(\theta)| = (\gamma + \gamma'')(\theta).$$

and thus

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \gamma(\gamma + \gamma'') d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi'(\theta)|\gamma(\theta) d\theta = \int_{\partial W_\phi} \gamma(\nu) d\mathcal{H}^1 = P_\phi(W_\phi).$$

Since the Wulff shape solves the anisotropic isoperimetric inequality (A.1), we have $P_\phi(W_\phi) = 2|W_\phi|$, concluding the proof. \square

Using Lemma 3.1, one is able to show the following compactness result in Proposition 3.2. The proof is a direct adaptation of the argument from [JMPS22, Proposition 2.1], which we provide in Appendix A for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $M, m > 0$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then there exist constants $c, C > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ which depend on L_ϕ, M, m such that the following holds: Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded C^2 open set such that $|E| = m$, $P_\phi(E) \leq M$, and $\|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)} \leq \varepsilon_0$. Then*

- (a) $c \leq \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi \leq C$
- (b) E consists of at most C components, which are all simply connected.

Next, provided $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we explicitly parametrize each boundary component of E as a $C^{1,1/2}$ -small perturbation of a scaled Wulff shape. In the isotropic case, parametrizing the boundary ∂E with respect to arclength is enough, as argued in [JMPS22]. In our case, we parametrize at a weighted speed associated to the anisotropy, and show that the angle along such a parametrization is approximately linear.

Proposition 3.3. *Under the same setting as in Proposition 3.2, we additionally assume that $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The boundary of each connected component E_j of E can be parametrized by $\xi_j + \sigma_j$ where for some $C = C(L_\phi, \Lambda_\phi, \|\phi\|_{C^{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^1)}, m, M) > 0$, $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $r_j > 0$,*

- (1) ξ_j is the arclength parametrization of a Wulff shape $\partial W_\phi(x_j, r_j)$ satisfying $|E_j| = |W_\phi(x_j, r_j)|$ and $|r_j - (\bar{\kappa}_E^\phi)^{-1}| \leq C\|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)}$, and
- (2) $\|\sigma_j\|_{C^{1,1/2}} \leq C\|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)}$.

Proof. In what follows, C is a constant depending only on $L_\phi, \Lambda_\phi, \|\phi\|_{C^{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^1)}$, m , and M which may change from line to line. We recall that $\Lambda_\phi^{-1} \leq \gamma + \gamma'' \leq \Lambda_\phi$. For convenience, we denote $\varepsilon := \|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)}$.

Fix a connected component $F \subset E$ with boundary Γ , let $\zeta : [0, \ell] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be an arclength parametrization of Γ , and let $\theta(s)$ be the angle of the outward normal to Γ at $\zeta(s)$. Without loss of generality, we set $\theta(0) = 0$.

To obtain initial estimates on θ , it will be convenient to momentarily reparametrize to $\tilde{\zeta}(t) = \zeta(h(t))$ and $\tilde{\theta}(t) = \theta(h(t))$ (with $h(0) = 0$) so that we have the speed

$$(3.2) \quad |\tilde{\zeta}'(t)| = (\gamma + \gamma'')(\tilde{\theta}(t))$$

and hence the relation

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{\theta}'(t) = \kappa_E(\tilde{\zeta}(t))(\gamma + \gamma'')(\tilde{\theta}(t)) = \kappa_E^\phi(\tilde{\zeta}(t)).$$

Note (3.2) is equivalent to the ODE $h'(t) = (\gamma + \gamma'')(\theta(h(t)))$, so h exists and is unique in C^2 . By (3.3) and the fact that $|\tilde{\zeta}'(t)| \leq \Lambda_\phi$, we find that $\tilde{\theta}$ grows almost linearly, with error controlled by ε : for any $t \in [0, h^{-1}(\ell)]$,

$$(3.4) \quad |\tilde{\theta}(t) - \overline{\kappa}_E^\phi t| \leq \int_0^t |\kappa_E^\phi(\tilde{\zeta}(s)) - \overline{\kappa}_E^\phi| ds \leq \Lambda_\phi^{1/2} t^{1/2} \|\kappa_E^\phi - \overline{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Let us denote the tangent and normal vectors $\tau(\theta) := (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta)$ and $n(\theta) := (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$. By (3.4), we have the estimate on velocities

$$(3.5) \quad |\tilde{\zeta}'(t) - \xi'(\overline{\kappa}_E^\phi t)| = |(\gamma + \gamma'')(\tilde{\theta}(t))\tau(\tilde{\theta}(t)) - (\gamma + \gamma'')(\overline{\kappa}_E^\phi t)\tau(\overline{\kappa}_E^\phi t)| \leq \text{Lip}(\gamma + \gamma'')|\tilde{\theta}(t) - \overline{\kappa}_E^\phi t| \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Thus by integrating the previous bound, we obtain that for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and all $t \in [0, h^{-1}(\ell)]$,

$$(3.6) \quad |\tilde{\zeta}(t) - x_0 - (\overline{\kappa}_E^\phi)^{-1}\xi(\overline{\kappa}_E^\phi t)| \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Since ϕ is equivalent to the Euclidean norm, we have the containments

$$W_\phi(x_0, (\overline{\kappa}_E^\phi)^{-1} - C\varepsilon) \subseteq F \subseteq W_\phi(x_0, (\overline{\kappa}_E^\phi)^{-1} + C\varepsilon)$$

so that by the intermediate value theorem, there exists $|r - (\overline{\kappa}_E^\phi)^{-1}| \leq C\varepsilon$ such that $|F| = |W_\phi(x_0, r)|$. By repeating the calculations for (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6), one finds that $|\tilde{\theta}(t) - r^{-1}t| \leq C\varepsilon$ for all $t \in [0, h^{-1}(\ell)]$ and hence

$$\|\tilde{\zeta}(t) - x_0 - r\xi(r^{-1}t)\|_{C^1} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

To obtain the sharper $C^{1,1/2}$ -bound, we return to arclength parametrization. Denote the perturbation

$$\sigma(s) := \zeta(s) - x_0 - r\xi(r^{-1}h^{-1}(s))$$

for which we have shown $\|\sigma\|_{C^1} \leq C\varepsilon$. Note that $r \geq 1/C$ for ε_0 sufficiently small by Proposition 3.2. Since both ζ and $\xi \circ h^{-1}$ travel at unit speed, we may bound for all $s \in [0, \ell]$

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |\sigma''(s)| &= |[\tau(\theta(s)) - \tau(r^{-1}h^{-1}(s))]'| \\ &= \left| \frac{\kappa_E^\phi(\zeta(s))}{(\gamma + \gamma'')(\theta(s))} n(\theta(s)) - \frac{r^{-1}}{(\gamma + \gamma'')(r^{-1}h^{-1}(s))} n(r^{-1}h^{-1}(s)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|\kappa_E^\phi(\zeta(s)) - r^{-1}|}{(\gamma + \gamma'')(\theta(s))} + r^{-1} \left| \frac{n(\theta(s))}{(\gamma + \gamma'')(\theta(s))} - \frac{n(r^{-1}h^{-1}(s))}{(\gamma + \gamma'')(r^{-1}h^{-1}(s))} \right| \\ &\leq \Lambda_\phi |\kappa_E^\phi(\zeta(s)) - r^{-1}| + \text{Lip} \left(\frac{n(\cdot)}{(\gamma + \gamma'')(\cdot)} \right) |\theta(s) - r^{-1}h^{-1}(s)| \\ &\leq C \left(|\kappa_E^\phi(\zeta(s)) - \overline{\kappa}_E^\phi| + \varepsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the previous bound then yields that for any $0 \leq s < t \leq \ell$,

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |\sigma'(s) - \sigma'(t)| &\leq C \left(\int_s^t |\kappa_E^\phi(\zeta(s)) - \overline{\kappa}_E^\phi| ds + C\varepsilon|s - t| \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|\kappa_E^\phi - \overline{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)} |s - t|^{1/2} + \varepsilon|s - t| \right) \leq C\varepsilon|s - t|^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\|\sigma'\|_{C^{1/2}} \leq C\varepsilon$, completing the proof. \square

The perturbation given in Proposition 3.3 can be recast as a normal perturbation of a Wulff shape. This will be used in conjunction with Lemma 3.5 to approximate the area and anisotropic perimeter of E .

We also note that the argument in [JMPS22, Proposition 2.1] made use of a quantitative Alexandrov theorem for normal perturbations of the sphere, taking the form

$$(3.9) \quad \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{S}^1)} \leq C \|\kappa_{E_f} - \overline{\kappa}_{E_f}\|_{L^2(\partial E_f)}$$

where $E_f \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the region bounded by the graph $x \in \mathbb{S}^1 \mapsto (1 + f(x))x$. There does not seem to exist an anisotropic analogue of (3.9). However, we find that with sufficient bookkeeping, the use of such an inequality is not necessary to prove Theorem 1.2.

To be more precise, (3.9) was needed in [JMPS22] because they only asserted an estimate of the form

$$\|f\|_{C^{1,1/2}} \leq \omega(\|\kappa_{E_f}^\phi - \overline{\kappa}_{E_f}^\phi\|_{L^2})$$

for some modulus of continuity ω . However, Lemma 3.4 implies that ω is, in fact, linear.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a C^2 curve with arclength parametrization $\zeta(s)$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following holds: any curve given by $\tilde{\zeta}(s) = \zeta(s) + \sigma(s)$ satisfying $\|\sigma\|_{C^1} < \varepsilon$ can instead be parametrized as a normal perturbation of Γ , i.e. as $x + f(x)\nu(x)$ for $x \in \Gamma$ where ν is the outer normal vector to Γ , such that*

$$\|f\|_{C^1} \leq C(\varepsilon, \Gamma)\|\sigma\|_{C^1}.$$

Moreover, if Γ is $C^{2,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then we may further estimate

$$\|f\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C(\varepsilon, \Gamma, \alpha)\|\sigma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}.$$

Proof. For $l = P(\Gamma)$, define the map $\Phi : [0, l] \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ via $\Phi(s, t) = \zeta(s) + t\nu(s)$. Then for ε sufficiently small, Φ is a C^1 -diffeomorphism onto a tubular neighborhood of Γ . Setting $(x(s), t(s)) := \Phi^{-1}(\tilde{\zeta}(s))$, we can define the desired height function f by $f(x(s)) := t(s)$ provided x is monotone, which we will show soon.

In what follows, implicit constants depend only on Γ and ε . Let $\tau(s)$ and $\kappa(s)$ denote the unit tangent vector and curvature at $\zeta(s)$. By computing the partial derivatives

$$\partial_s \Phi(s, t) = (1 + t\kappa(s))\tau(s), \quad \partial_t \Phi(s, t) = \nu(s),$$

we observe a global bound $1/C \leq D\Phi \leq C$ provided ε is sufficiently small, where C depends only on Γ and ε . Hence the Taylor approximation

$$(x(s), t(s)) = \Phi^{-1}(\tilde{\zeta}(s)) = (s, 0) + D\Phi^{-1}(\zeta(s)) \cdot \sigma(s) + o(\sigma(s))$$

implies the estimates

$$(3.10) \quad x(s) = s + O(\|\sigma\|_{C^1}), \quad t(s) \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{C^1}.$$

(Note that one can, in fact, obtain the precise bound $|t(s)| \leq |\sigma(s)|$ by the fact that $\tilde{\zeta}(s)$ is the closest point on Γ to $\zeta(x(s))$.)

We now derive estimates for $x'(s)$ and $t'(s)$. By differentiating $\Phi(x(s), t(s)) = \tilde{\zeta}(s)$, we obtain the relation

$$x'(s)[1 + t(s)\kappa(x(s))]\tau(x(s)) + t'(s)\nu(x(s)) = \tau(s) + \sigma'(s).$$

By (3.10), we have $\tau(x(s)) = \tau(s) + O(\|\sigma\|_{C^1})$ and $\nu(x(s)) = \nu(s) + O(\|\sigma\|_{C^1})$. Thus we may estimate

$$(3.11) \quad x'(s) = \frac{(\tau(s) + \sigma'(s)) \cdot \tau(x(s))}{1 + t(s)\kappa(x(s))} = 1 + O(\|\sigma\|_{C^1})$$

$$(3.12) \quad t'(s) = (\tau(s) + \sigma'(s)) \cdot \nu(x(s)) = O(\|\sigma\|_{C^1}).$$

Hence f is well-defined and $f'(x(s)) = \frac{t'(s)}{x'(s)} = O(\|\sigma\|_{C^1})$, proving the first desired inequality.

Now implicit constants may additionally depend on α . To obtain the $C^{1,\alpha}$ bound, it suffices to show $[t'(s)/x'(s)]_{C^\alpha} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$. Because $x'(s)$ is bounded away from 0, we have

$$[t'/x']_{C^\alpha} \lesssim \|t'\|_\infty [x']_{C^\alpha} + \|x'\|_\infty [t']_{C^\alpha} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{C^1} [x']_{C^\alpha} + [t']_{C^\alpha},$$

so it is enough to check that $[t']_{C^\alpha} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ and $[x']_{C^\alpha} \lesssim 1$. For the former, we denote $\delta(s) := \nu(x(s)) - \nu(s)$ and observe that $\|\delta\|_{C^1} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{C^1}$ by (3.11). Since $t'(s) = \sigma'(s) \cdot \nu(x(s)) + \tau(s) \cdot \delta(s)$, we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad [t']_{C^\alpha} \lesssim [\sigma']_{C^\alpha} + \|\sigma'\|_\infty [x]_{C^\alpha} + \|\delta\|_{C^1} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}.$$

Finally, from (3.11), we are left to estimate

$$[x']_{C^\alpha} \lesssim [(\tau(s) + \sigma'(s)) \cdot \tau(x(s))]_{C^\alpha} + [t(s)\kappa(x(s))]_{C^\alpha}.$$

A similar estimate as (3.13) yields the first term on the righthand side is $O(\|\sigma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}})$. For the second term, we remark that the curvature κ is C^α since Γ is $C^{2,\alpha}$, so

$$[t(s)\kappa(x(s))]_{C^\alpha} \leq \|t\|_\infty [\kappa(x(s))]_\alpha + \|\kappa\|_\infty [t]_\alpha \lesssim \|t\|_{C^1} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{C^1}$$

and hence $[x']_{C^\alpha} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{C^1}$, concluding the proof. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and let E_f be the normal perturbation of $W_\phi \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ by $f : \partial W_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i.e. the bounded region whose boundary is parametrized by the map $u(x) = x + f(x)\nu_{W_\phi}(x)$. Then there exists $c = c(L_\phi, \Lambda_\phi, \|\phi\|_{C^{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^1)}) > 0$ such that if $\|f\|_{C^1} \leq c$, then*

$$(3.14) \quad |E_f| = |W_\phi| + \int_{\partial W_\phi} f d\mathcal{H}^1 + O(\|f\|_{L^\infty}^2)$$

$$(3.15) \quad P_\phi(E_f) = P_\phi(W_\phi) + \int_{\partial W_\phi} f d\mathcal{H}^1 + O(\|f\|_{C^1}^2)$$

where the implicit constant also depends only on L_ϕ, Λ_ϕ , and $\|\phi\|_{C^{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^1)}$.

Remark 3.6. The linear terms in (3.14) and (3.15) may be obtained from standard first variation calculations (e.g., Theorem 17.5 and Proposition 17.8 in [Mag12]). We provide an alternate derivation to ensure the error depends quadratically on $\|f\|_{C^1}^2$.

Proof. For $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, denote the unit normal and tangent vectors $\nu(\theta) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ and $\tau(\theta) = (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta)$. For the proof, we will use the Cahn-Hoffman map to parametrize f with respect to \mathbb{S}^1 rather than ∂W_ϕ :

$$u(\theta) = \xi(\theta) + f(\theta)\nu(\theta) = (\gamma + f)\nu + \gamma'\tau.$$

Note that this change is permitted since $\|f\|_{C^1(\mathbb{S}^1)} \sim_{\Lambda_\phi} \|f \circ \xi^{-1}\|_{C^1(\partial W_\phi)}$ by (3.1). Moreover, the desired linear term is now

$$\int_{\partial W_\phi} f \circ \xi^{-1} d\mathcal{H}^1 = \int_0^{2\pi} f(\gamma + \gamma') d\theta.$$

The argument of u is given by

$$\omega(\theta) := \arg u(\theta) = \theta + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\gamma'(\theta)}{\gamma(\theta) + f(\theta)} \right).$$

We compute

$$\omega' = \frac{(\gamma + f)(\gamma + \gamma'' + f) - \gamma'f'}{(\gamma + f)^2 + (\gamma')^2}.$$

Provided $\|f\|_{C^1} \leq c$ for $c(L_\phi, \Lambda_\phi, \|\phi\|_{C^{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^1)})$ sufficiently small, ω is monotonic, so we may use polar coordinates and a change of variables to express the area of the perturbed region as

$$\begin{aligned} |E_f| &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |u(\theta)|^2 \omega'(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} [(\gamma + f)(\gamma + \gamma'' + f) - f'\gamma'] d\theta \\ &= |W_\phi| + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} [f(2\gamma + \gamma'') - f'\gamma' + f^2] d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Via integrating by parts and (3.1), we obtain the desired formula for area:

$$|E_f| = |W_\phi| + \int_0^{2\pi} f(\gamma + \gamma'') d\theta + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} f^2 d\theta.$$

Now we show the perimeter approximation. Note that we have the Taylor approximation

$$\frac{u'}{|u'|} = \frac{(\gamma + \gamma'' + f)\tau + f'\nu}{[(\gamma + \gamma'' + f)^2 + (f')^2]^{1/2}} = \tau + \frac{f'}{\gamma + \gamma'' + f}\nu + O(|f'|^2),$$

which by a rotation, is equivalent to the estimate

$$\nu_{E_f}(u(x)) = \nu - \frac{f'}{\gamma + \gamma'' + f}\tau + O(|f'|^2).$$

Altogether, we obtain the desired approximation via the area formula and integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_\phi(E_f) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \gamma(\nu_{E_f}(u(\theta))) \sqrt{(\gamma + \gamma'' + f)^2 + (f')^2} d\theta \\
 &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\gamma - \frac{f'\gamma'}{\gamma + \gamma'' + f} + O(|f'|^2) \right] [\gamma + \gamma'' + f + O(|f'|^2)] d\theta \\
 &= P_\phi(W_\phi) + \int_0^{2\pi} (f\gamma - f'\gamma') d\theta + O(\|f\|_{C^1(\mathbb{S}^1)}^2) \\
 &= P_\phi(W_\phi) + \int_0^{2\pi} f(\gamma + \gamma'') d\theta + O(\|f\|_{C^1(\mathbb{S}^1)}^2). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2:

Let ε_0, C be constants depending only on $L_\phi, \Lambda_\phi, \|\phi\|_{C^{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^1)}, M, m$, and let ε_0 be small enough so that the conclusion of Proposition 3.3 holds; C may change from line to line. We let E_1, \dots, E_d be the (simply connected) components of E , and for convenience, we denote $\varepsilon := \|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)}$.

By Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, each boundary component ∂E_j is the normal perturbation of a Wulff shape $\partial W_\phi(x_j, r_j)$ by f_j such that $|E_j| = |W_\phi(x_j, r_j)|$, $|r_j - (\bar{\kappa}_E^\phi)^{-1}| \leq C\varepsilon$, and $\|f_j\|_{C^{1,1/2}(\partial W_\phi(x_j, r_j))} \leq C\varepsilon$. Due to Lemma 3.5, we have the approximation for each j that

$$(3.16) \quad |P_\phi(E_j) - P_\phi(W_\phi(x_j, r_j))| \leq C\|f_j\|_{C^1}^2.$$

By (3.16) and the fact that E has at most C components, we deduce

$$\left| P_\phi(E) - \sum_{j=1}^d P_\phi(r_j W_\phi) \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^d |P_\phi(E_j) - P_\phi(r_j W_\phi)| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^d \|f_j\|_{C^1(\mathbb{S}^1)}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

We now show that the previous estimates on normal perturbations and perimeter approximation are not affected by replacing the individual radii r_j with a uniform radius r , chosen so that E has area equal to $F := \cup_{j=1}^d W_\phi(x_j, r_j)$. That is, we set r such that $dr^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d r_j^2$. Since $|r - (\bar{\kappa}_E^\phi)^{-1}| \leq C\varepsilon$, we may repeat the calculations in (3.4)-(3.8) and invoke Lemma 3.4 to deduce ∂E_j is the normal perturbation of $\partial W_\phi(x_j, r)$ by g_j such that $\|g_j\|_{C^{1,1/2}(\partial W_\phi(x_j, r))} \leq C\varepsilon$.

In order to show $|P_\phi(E) - P_\phi(F)| \leq C\varepsilon$, it suffices to check

$$\left| dr - \sum_{j=1}^d r_j \right| \leq C\varepsilon^2,$$

which amounts to estimating the strictness of Cauchy-Schwarz. Since $1/C \leq r_j, r \leq C$, we have

$$\frac{1}{C} \left| dr - \sum_{j=1}^d r_j \right| \leq d^2 r^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^d r_j \right)^2 = d \left(\sum_{j=1}^d r_j^2 \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^d r_j \right)^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} (r_i - r_j)^2.$$

Since $|r_j - (\bar{\kappa}_E^\phi)^{-1}| \leq C\varepsilon$ for all j , the desired result follows. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let $m, M > 0$, $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a C^2 set such that $|E| = m, P_\phi(E) \leq M$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(L_\phi, \Lambda_\phi, \|\phi\|_{C^{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^1)}, m, M) > 0$ such that*

$$(3.17) \quad \min_{d \in \mathbb{N}} |P_\phi(E) - P_d| \leq C \|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)}^2$$

where $P_d := 2\sqrt{|W_\phi|md}$ is the perimeter of d disjoint Wulff shapes of area m/d .

Proof. If $\|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)} \leq \varepsilon_0$ with ε_0 as in Theorem 1.2, then (3.17) follows immediately. Otherwise, if $\|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)} > \varepsilon_0$, the desired inequality holds with $C = \frac{2M}{\varepsilon_0^2}$:

$$\min_{d \in \mathbb{N}} |P_\phi(E) - P_d| \leq 2M \leq \frac{2M}{\varepsilon_0^2} \|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)}^2. \quad \square$$

4. THE FLAT FLOW

In this section, we study the volume-preserving flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow in any dimension $N \geq 2$, closely following the minimizing-movements scheme introduced by [ATW93] and adapted by [MSS16] and [KK20b] to the isotropic volume-preserving case.

We fix an initial bounded set $E_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with volume $m := |E_0| > 0$. Given a bounded set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and a time step $h > 0$, we consider the energy functional

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{F}_h(E, F) := P_\phi(E) + \frac{1}{h} \int_E \text{sd}_F^\psi dx + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} ||E| - m|.$$

Note that $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F)$ has a minimizer among bounded sets of finite perimeter by a standard compactness argument (e.g., see [MSS16, Lemma 3.1]).

An **approximate flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow** is defined by setting $E_0^{(h)} := E_0$ and iteratively letting $E_{k+1}^{(h)}$ minimize $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, E_k^{(h)})$. With respect to time, we denote $E^{(h)}(t) := E_{\lfloor t/h \rfloor}^{(h)}$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$. The flat flow is then obtained by taking an appropriate subsequence as $h \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $E_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded set of finite perimeter, and for all $h > 0$, let $\{E^{(h)}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an approximate flat flow starting at E_0 . Then there exists a family of sets of finite perimeter $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, which we call a **volume-preserving flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow** starting at E_0 , such that $E(0) = E_0$ and for some subsequence $h_n \rightarrow 0$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |E^{(h_n)}(t) \Delta E(t)| = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, for all $0 \leq s \leq t$ and a constant $C = C(N, L_\phi, L_\psi)$,*

$$(4.2) \quad |E(s) \Delta E(t)| \leq C |s - t|^{1/2}$$

$$(4.3) \quad |E(t)| = m$$

$$(4.4) \quad P_\phi(E(t)) \leq P_\phi(E_0)$$

where L_ϕ and L_ψ are given in (2.3).

Before proving Theorem 4.1, we will need a number of technical lemmas. Some of the arguments for (4.1) are parallel to the ones in [MSS16] with instances of the isotropic perimeter functional replaced by P_ϕ . However, to the best of our knowledge, the statements specifically for (4.1) in the anisotropic setting are not available. Therefore, for the sake of completeness, we write it out in full in Section 4.1. Some of the standard estimates and proofs are deferred to Appendix B. In Sections 4.2 and 4.3, we highlight cases where the anisotropy introduces a nontrivial obstacle.

In Theorem 4.1, we assume $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, restricting to this level of regularity for two reasons. The first is to invoke a priori regularity of (Λ, r_0) -minimizers of P_ϕ , per [PM14], and the second is to apply Schauder estimates in Proposition 4.7 below.

4.1. Standard estimates. The dissipation of two bounded sets E and F is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}^\psi(E, F) := \int_{E \Delta F} d_F^\psi dx.$$

Due to the relation,

$$\int_E \text{sd}_F^\psi dx = \int_{E \Delta F} d_F^\psi dx - \int_F d_F^\psi dx,$$

we see that replacing the term $\int_E \text{sd}_F^\psi dx$ in the definition of \mathcal{F}_h with $\mathcal{D}^\psi(E, F)$ makes no difference to the minimization problem. Hence we obtain the following dissipation inequality:

$$(4.5) \quad P_\phi(E_{k+1}^{(h)}) + \frac{1}{h} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E_{k+1}^{(h)}, E_k^{(h)}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} ||E_{k+1}^{(h)}| - m| \leq P_\phi(E_k^{(h)}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} ||E_k^{(h)}| - m|.$$

By iterating (4.5), we further get for all $k \geq 0$:

$$(4.6) \quad P_\phi(E_k^{(h)}) + \frac{1}{h} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E_{i+1}^{(h)}, E_i^{(h)}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} ||E_k^{(h)}| - m| \leq P_\phi(E_0).$$

The following two lemmas are standard estimates adapted from [MSS16]; their proofs can be found in Appendix B.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded set of finite perimeter and let E be a minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F)$. Then there exist constants $c, C > 0$ depending only on N, L_ϕ, L_ψ such that*

(i) (L^∞ estimate)

$$(4.7) \quad \sup_{E\Delta F} d_F^\psi \leq c\sqrt{h}$$

(ii) (L^1 estimate) For all $\ell \leq c\sqrt{h}$,

$$(4.8) \quad |E\Delta F| \leq C \left(\ell P_\phi(E) + \frac{1}{\ell} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E, F) \right)$$

(iii) (L^2 estimate)

$$(4.9) \quad \int_{\partial^* E} (d_F^\psi)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq C \mathcal{D}^\psi(E, F).$$

where $\partial^* E$ is the reduced boundary of E .

Lemma 4.3 (Hölder continuity in time). *Let $E^{(h)}(t)$ be an approximate flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow from initial set E_0 . Then there exists a constant $C = C(N, L_\phi, L_\psi)$ such that for all $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$,*

$$(4.10) \quad |E^{(h)}(s)\Delta E^{(h)}(t)| \leq C P_\phi(E_0) \max\{|t-s|, h\}^{1/2}.$$

4.2. Elliptic regularity.

Definition 4.4. *Let ϕ be a norm on \mathbb{R}^N . We say a bounded set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of finite perimeter is a (Λ, r_0) -minimizer of P_ϕ if for all $r < r_0$ and $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $E\Delta F \subset\subset B_r(x)$, we have*

$$(4.11) \quad P_\phi(E; B_r(x)) \leq P_\phi(F; B_r(x)) + \Lambda |E\Delta F|.$$

We show that a minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F)$ is a (Λ, r_0) -minimizer of P_ϕ .

Lemma 4.5. *Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded set of finite perimeter and let E be a minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F)$. Then E is a (Λ, r_0) -minimizer of P_ϕ for any $r_0 > 0$ and $\Lambda = \frac{c+1}{\sqrt{h}} + \frac{2L_\psi r_0}{h}$, where c is the constant from Lemma 4.2(i).*

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and suppose $G \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is such that $E\Delta G \subset\subset B_r(x)$ where $r < r_0$. By minimality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_\phi(E) &\leq P_\phi(G) + \frac{1}{h} \int_{G\Delta F} d_F^\psi + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} ||G| - m| - \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_{E\Delta F} d_F^\psi + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} ||E| - m| \right) \\ &\leq P_\phi(G) + \frac{1}{h} \int_{E\Delta G} d_F^\psi + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} |E\Delta G|. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2(i), we have $\sup_{E\Delta F} d_F^\psi \leq c\sqrt{h}$ and hence $\sup_{E\Delta G} d_F^\psi \leq c\sqrt{h} + 2L_\psi r_0$. Thus

$$P_\phi(E) \leq P_\phi(G) + \left(\frac{c+1}{\sqrt{h}} + \frac{2L_\psi r_0}{h} \right) |E\Delta G|.$$

Since $P_\phi(E) - P_\phi(G) = P_\phi(E; B_r(x)) - P_\phi(G; B_r(x))$, we are done. \square

Lemma 4.6 (Euler-Lagrange equation). *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded set of finite perimeter and let E be a minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F)$. Then E satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation*

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{\text{sd}_F^\psi}{h} = -\kappa_E^\phi + \lambda \quad \text{on } \partial^* E$$

for some Lagrange multiplier $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover if $|E| \neq m$, then $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \text{sgn}(m - |E|)$.

In light of the Euler-Lagrange equation, for any approximate flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow $E^{(h)}(t)$, we define the discrete velocity

$$v^{(h)}(t, x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h} \text{sd}_{E^{(h)}(t-h)}^\psi(x) & t \in [h, \infty) \\ 0 & t \in [0, h) \end{cases}$$

and set $\lambda^{(h)}(t)$ to be the Lagrange multiplier for $E^{(h)}(t)$.

Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6 yield the elliptic regularity:

Proposition 4.7 (Elliptic regularity). *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded set of finite perimeter, and let E be a minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F)$. Then there exists a relatively closed singular set $\Sigma \subset \partial E$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-3}(\Sigma) = 0$ and $\partial E \setminus \Sigma$ is locally a $C^{2,\alpha}$ manifold for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

Remark 4.8. When $N \leq 3$, Σ is empty, so ∂E is simply a $C^{2,\alpha}$ manifold.

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, E is a (Λ, r_0) -minimizer of P_ϕ , so by [PM14], ∂E is locally a C^1 manifold outside of a singular set Σ with $\mathcal{H}^{N-3}(\Sigma) = 0$. We need only upgrade C^1 regularity to $C^{2,\alpha}$.

Fix a regular point $x_0 \in \partial E \setminus \Sigma$, and let $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 function such that in a neighborhood U of x_0 , $\partial E \cap U = \{x \in U : u(x) = 0\}$ and ∇u does not vanish on U . Assuming a priori regularity of $\nu_E = \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}$, we can express the Euler-Lagrange equation (4.12) on $\partial E \cap U$ as

$$(4.13) \quad \kappa_E^\phi = \operatorname{div}_\tau(\nabla \phi(\nu_E)) = \operatorname{tr} \left(D^2 \phi \left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) \left[\frac{D^2 u}{|\nabla u|} - \frac{\nabla u \otimes \nabla u}{|\nabla u|^3} \right] \right) = -\frac{\operatorname{sd}_F^\psi}{h} + \lambda.$$

Recalling that $\Lambda_\phi^{-1} I \leq D^2 \phi|_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \leq \Lambda_\phi I$, we see that (4.13) is an elliptic equation in non-divergence form with continuous coefficients, and it follows from standard Schauder estimates (e.g., [FRRO22, Proposition 2.31]) that $u \in C^{1,\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Since $D^2 \phi$ and sd_F^ψ are Lipschitz, we now obtain an elliptic equation with C^α coefficients, and applying Schauder estimates once more yields that $u \in C^{2,\alpha}$, completing the proof. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.1. We are almost in a position to prove Theorem 4.1, but we must first ensure that the approximate flow remains bounded in finite time in order to obtain compactness. In addition, some control over the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda^{(h)}(t)$ for $E^{(h)}(t)$ is needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1 to limit the amount of time during which the volume restraint $|E^{(h)}(t)| = m$ is inactive. Both of these issues are resolved in Proposition 4.10.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and suppose E is a (Λ, r_0) -minimizer of P_ϕ which is contained in a half-space $H \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Then any point $x \in \partial E \cap \partial H$ must be a regular point of E .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $x = 0$. We observe that for any $r > 0$, the rescalings $E_r := r^{-1}E$ are $(\Lambda r, r_0/r)$ -minimizers of P_ϕ and are also contained in H . By applying [PM14, Lemma 2.1] with a diagonalization, there exists $r_j \rightarrow 0$ such that E_{r_j} converges in L^1_{loc} to some set $\tilde{E} \subset H$ which is an absolute P_ϕ -minimizer, i.e. it is a $(0, \infty)$ -minimizer of P_ϕ . Then [SSA77, Theorem 1.2] implies that 0 is a regular point of $\partial \tilde{E}$. By [PM14, Lemma 2.1], we have that 0 is a regular point of ∂E_{r_j} for sufficiently large j , and hence also of ∂E by rescaling. \square

Note that for $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^2$, κ^ϕ satisfies a monotonicity property:

$$(4.14) \quad \text{if } E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^N \text{ are } C^2 \text{ such that } F \subseteq E, \text{ then for any point } x \in \partial E \cap \partial F, \text{ we have } \kappa_E^\phi(x) \leq \kappa_F^\phi(x).$$

This is proven, for instance, in [CMP15] for a general class of perimeter functionals. We observe as a consequence that if E satisfies the interior rW_ϕ -property at $x \in \partial E$ and ∂E is C^2 near x , then $\kappa_E^\phi(x) \leq \frac{1}{r}$. Indeed, this follows by applying (4.14), which is a local statement, to $F = W_\phi(x - r\nu_E(x), r)$.

Proposition 4.10 (Boundedness in finite time). *Let $\{E^{(h)}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an approximate flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow and fix $T > 0$. There exist $h_0 = h_0(m, P_\phi(E_0)) > 0$ and $r_T = r_T(N, L_\phi, L_\psi, m, P_\phi(E_0), \operatorname{diam}(E_0), T)$ such that $E^{(h)}(t) \subset r_T W_\phi$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $h \leq h_0$.*

Proof. In what follows, C depends only on N, L_ϕ, L_ψ and may change from line to line. Fix $h > 0$, and for any $t \in [0, T]$ define

$$r_t := \inf\{r > 0 : E^{(h)}(t) \subset rW_\phi\}.$$

Choose $x \in \partial E^{(h)}(t) \cap \partial(r_t W_\phi)$. There exists a half-space H containing $E^{(h)}(t)$ and satisfying $x \in \partial H$. By Lemma 4.9, x is a regular point. Then we can apply the monotonicity principle (4.14) to deduce $\kappa_{E^{(h)}(t)}^\phi(x) \geq \frac{1}{r_t}$, and hence by the Euler-Lagrange equation, $v^{(h)}(t, x) = -\kappa_{E^{(h)}(t)}^\phi(x) + \lambda^{(h)}(t) \leq \lambda^{(h)}(t)$. Thus $r_t \leq r_{t-h} + C\lambda^{(h)}(t)h$ and by iterating,

$$(4.15) \quad r_\tau \leq r_0 + C \int_0^\tau |\lambda^{(h)}(t)| dt.$$

To bound the Lagrange multiplier with respect to r_t , we invoke the divergence theorem: letting X be a C^1 vector field such that $X(x) \equiv x$ in $r_t W_\phi$, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} n|\lambda^{(h)}(t)||E^{(h)}(t)| &= \left| \int_{E^{(h)}(t)} \lambda^{(h)}(t) \operatorname{div} X dx \right| = \left| \int_{\partial^* E^{(h)}(t)} \lambda^{(h)}(t) \nu \cdot X d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\partial^* E^{(h)}(t)} (\kappa_{E^{(h)}(t)}^\phi + v^{(h)}) \nu \cdot X d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\partial^* E^{(h)}(t)} \operatorname{div}_{\tau, \phi} X dP_\phi \right| + \left| r_t \int_{\partial^* E^{(h)}(t)} v^{(h)} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right| \\ &\leq (N-1)P_\phi(E^{(h)}(t)) + r_t \left(\int_{\partial^* E^{(h)}(t)} v^{(h)}(t, x)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used that $\operatorname{div}_{\tau, \phi} X = N - \nabla \phi(\nu) \cdot \frac{\nu}{\phi(\nu)} = N - 1$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Note that for $h \leq h_0(m, P_\phi(E_0))$ sufficiently small, $|E^{(h)}(t)| \geq \frac{m}{2}$ by dissipation. Hence, by integrating the previous estimate in time and applying Proposition B.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\tau |\lambda^{(h)}(t)| dt &\leq \frac{2}{m} \left(P_\phi(E_0) \tau + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\tau r_t \left(\int_{\partial^* E^{(h)}(t)} v^{(h)}(t, x)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right)^{1/2} dt \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{m} P_\phi(E_0) \tau + \frac{C}{m} P_\phi(E_0)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^\tau r_t^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ (4.16) \quad &\leq C' \left(\tau + \left(\int_0^\tau r_t^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where C' depends only on $N, L_\phi, L_\psi, m, P_\phi(E_0)$. Altogether, by (4.15) and (4.16) we have

$$(4.17) \quad r_\tau \leq r_0 + C' \tau + C' \left(\int_0^\tau r_t^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

The desired boundedness now follows from using integrating factors as argued in [MSS16, Lemma 3.8]. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1:

By Proposition 4.10, we may find a sequence $h_n \rightarrow 0$ such that for all $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, $E^{(h_n)}(q)$ converges in L^1 to some $E(q)$. We can check that the Hölder continuity in time (B.6) is preserved in the limit: for $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$,

$$(4.18) \quad |E(p)\Delta E(q)| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(|E(p)\Delta E^{(h_n)}(p)| + |E^{(h_n)}(q)\Delta E(p)| + C \max\{h_n, |p - q|^{1/2}\} \right) = C|p - q|^{1/2}.$$

We can then define the entire flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow via continuity in L^1 : for $t \geq 0$,

$$E(t) := \lim_{\substack{q \rightarrow t \\ q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}}} E(q),$$

for which well-definedness is standard to check using (4.18). Moreover the Hölder continuity in time is again preserved: for $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$|E(s)\Delta E(t)| \leq |E(s)\Delta E(p)| + |E(q)\Delta E(t)| + C|p - q|^{1/2}$$

and sending $p \rightarrow s$ and $q \rightarrow s$, we obtain $|E(s)\Delta E(t)| \leq C|s - t|^{1/2}$. By dissipation, we have for all $t \geq 0$

$$P_\phi(E^{(h_n)}(t)) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_n}} |E^{(h_n)}(t) - m| \leq P_\phi(E_0)$$

and by sending $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $|E(t)| = m$ and $P_\phi(E(t)) \leq P_\phi(E_0)$ by the lower semicontinuity of anisotropic perimeter. \square

4.4. Further estimates. We conclude this section with the following corollaries, which will be used in the subsequent section, particularly in the proofs of Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 4.11. *Let $\{E_t^{(h)}\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an approximate flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow and fix $T > 0$. There exists constants $h_0 = h_0(m, P_\phi(E_0))$ and $C = C(N, L_\phi, L_\psi, m, P_\phi(E_0), \text{diam}(E_0), T)$ such that for $h \leq h_0$,*

$$\int_0^T |\lambda^{(h)}(t)|^2 dt \leq C$$

and

$$|\{t \in [0, T] : |E^{(h)}(t)| \neq m\}| \leq Ch.$$

Proof. The first inequality follows from (4.16) in the proof of Proposition 4.10 and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. For the latter inequality, we observe that $|\lambda^{(h)}(t)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}$ whenever $|E^{(h)}(t)| \neq m$, and hence

$$|\{t \in [0, T] : |E^{(h)}(t)| \neq m\}| \leq \int_0^T h |\lambda^{(h)}(t)|^2 dt \leq Ch. \quad \square$$

We will also need the following distance comparison result, that if E is close to a disjoint union F of Wulff shapes, then the flat flow from E remains close to F for a short amount of time. Its proof is parallel to the argument from the isotropic case given in [JN20, Lemma 4.3] as a consequence of Corollary 4.11 and the monotonicity principle (4.14).

Corollary 4.12 (Distance Comparison Result). *Suppose $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $P_\phi(E) \leq C_0$, and $F = \cup_{i=1}^N W_\phi(x_i, r)$ is a disjoint union of Wulff shapes such that $\frac{1}{C_0} \leq r \leq C_0$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0, h_0, C > 0$ depending only on C_0, N, L_ϕ, L_ψ such that if $\sup_{E \Delta F} d_F^\psi \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $h \leq \min\{\sqrt{\varepsilon}, h_0\}$, then*

$$(4.19) \quad \sup_{E^{(h)}(t) \Delta F} d_F^\psi \leq C\varepsilon^{1/9}$$

for all $t < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

5. LONG-TERM CONVERGENCE

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. The proof runs parallel to that of [JMPS22, Theorem 1.2]; we include it for completion's sake and to highlight the applications of Theorem 1.2, which are twofold. First, we apply the quantitative Alexandrov theorem in the form of Corollary 3.7 to obtain exponential decay of dissipations via a Grönwall type argument, from which we can deduce the exponential convergence of the flat flow in L^1 . Then, we apply Theorem 1.2 to show the approximate flat flow is an exponentially small perturbation of a disjoint union of Wulff shapes.

One might hope that the rate of exponential convergence asserted in Theorem 1.1 is uniform with respect to basic geometric properties of the initial set E_0 , particularly $|E_0|, P_\phi(E_0)$ and $\text{diam}(E_0)$. However, as pointed out by [JMPS22], this is not true if one considers in the isotropic case the example of two disks of different radii. The smaller disk disappears in finite time, but as the initial radii are closer to equal, it takes arbitrarily long for the convergence to occur.

In Theorem 1.1, we show that the rate of exponential convergence is uniform once the limiting energy $p(t)$ defined in (5.2) is close enough to converging. To be more precise, we will show that if

$$(5.1) \quad P_d < p(t) < P_{d+1} - \delta \quad \text{for all } t \geq T_0$$

for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T_0, \delta > 0$, then $(E(t))_{t \geq T_0}$ converges exponentially at a rate which depends only on $L_\phi, \Lambda_\phi, \|\phi\|_{C^{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^1)}, L_\psi, |E_0|, P_\phi(E_0), \text{diam}(E_0)$ and δ . This highlights that the main obstruction to obtaining a uniform rate of convergence is that T_0 may depend rather arbitrarily on the flat flow $E(t)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1:

Let $E_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded set of finite perimeter such that $|E_0| = m$. Let $E(t)$ be a volume-preserving flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow starting from E_0 , and $E^{(h_n)}(t)$ a corresponding sequence of approximate flows. We will frequently pass to a subsequence of h_n without relabeling. By dissipation, we note that the energy

$$P_\phi(E^{(h_n)}(t)) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_n}} ||E^{(h_n)}(t)| - m|$$

is decreasing in t and uniformly bounded by $P_\phi(E_0)$. Thus, we may pass to a subsequence such that the following pointwise limit exists:

$$(5.2) \quad p(t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(P_\phi(E^{(h_n)}(t)) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_n}} ||E^{(h_n)}(t)| - m| \right).$$

We assume that $p(t)$ is not eventually constant (the alternative can be argued exactly as in Case 2 of [JMPS22, Theorem 1.2]). Recall that $P_d := 2\sqrt{|W_\phi|md}$ is the ϕ -perimeter of d disjoint Wulff shapes of area m/d . Then there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) \in [P_d, P_{d+1})$, and moreover there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that (5.1) is satisfied for $\delta := \frac{1}{2}(P_{d+1} - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t))$.

Lemma 5.1 (Exponential Decay in Dissipations). *Suppose $T_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ satisfy (5.1). Then for any $T > T_0$, there exists $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_T$ and $t \in [T_0, T]$,*

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{j=\lfloor t/h_n \rfloor}^{\lfloor T/h_n \rfloor - 1} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E_{j+1}^{(h_n)}, E_j^{(h_n)}) \leq 2P_\phi(E_0)e^{-(t-T_0)/C_0}$$

where $C_0 = C_0(L_\phi, \Lambda_\phi, \|\phi\|_{C^{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^1)}, L_\psi, m, P_\phi(E_0), \text{diam}(E_0), \delta)$. Moreover, for $s > t \geq T_0$,

$$(5.4) \quad |E(s)\Delta E(t)| \leq C_0e^{-(t-T_0)/2C_0}.$$

Remark 5.2. We note that the estimate (5.4) (which is of the flat flow and not of the approximate flat flow) implies exponential convergence to some set $E_\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ in L^1 . It remains to characterize E_∞ as a disjoint union of Wulff shapes and show that exponential convergence is also achieved with respect to the distance between the boundaries.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. In what follows, C_0 is a constant that may change from line to line and whose dependencies are as aforementioned. From (5.1), for $n \geq n_T$ sufficiently large and for all $t \in [T_0, T]$, we have

$$(5.5) \quad P_\phi(E^{(h_n)}(t)) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_n}} ||E^{(h_n)}(t)| - m| \in (P_d, P_{d+1} - \delta).$$

We claim that for all $t \in [T_0, T]$ and $n \geq n_T$ such that $|E^{(h_n)}(t)| = m$, we have the estimate

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{j=\lfloor t/h_n \rfloor}^{\lfloor T/h_n \rfloor - 1} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E_{j+1}^{(h_n)}, E_j^{(h_n)}) \leq \frac{C_0}{h_n} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E^{(h_n)}(t), E^{(h_n)}(t - h_n)).$$

Once we obtain (5.6), the proof of (5.5) is given as follows. Let $D(t) := \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{j=\lfloor t/h_n \rfloor}^{\lfloor T/h_n \rfloor - 1} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E_{j+1}^{(h_n)}, E_j^{(h_n)})$, so that (5.6) can be equivalently expressed as

$$(5.7) \quad D(t) \leq \frac{C_0}{h_n} [D(t - h_n) - D(t)] \quad \text{and thus} \quad D(t) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + C_0/h_n} \right) D(t - h_n).$$

Recall from Corollary 4.11 that

$$(5.8) \quad |\{ \lfloor T_0/h_n \rfloor \leq i \leq \lfloor T/h_n \rfloor : |E_i^{(h_n)}| \neq m \}| \leq C' = C'(L_\phi, L_\psi, m, P_\phi(E_0), \text{diam}(E_0), T),$$

which means (5.6) fails for at most C' many timesteps i . Therefore, starting at any $t \in [T_0, T]$ (not necessarily satisfying $|E^{(h_n)}(t)| = m$), we may iterate the second inequality in (5.7) at least $\lfloor t/h_n \rfloor - \lfloor T_0/h_n \rfloor - C'$ many times to obtain the estimate

$$(5.9) \quad D(t) \leq D(T_0) \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + C_0/h_n} \right)^{\lfloor t/h_n \rfloor - \lfloor T_0/h_n \rfloor - C'}.$$

By using dissipation to bound $D(T_0) \leq P_\phi(E_0)$ and taking n_T sufficiently large, we obtain (5.3).

It remains to prove the claim (5.6). For all $t \in [T_0, T]$ satisfying the volume constraint $|E^{(h_n)}(t)| = m$, we may use the iterated dissipation inequality as in (4.6):

$$(5.10) \quad \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{j=\lfloor t/h_n \rfloor}^{\lfloor T/h_n \rfloor - 1} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E_{j+1}^{(h_n)}, E_j^{(h_n)}) \leq P_\phi(E^{(h_n)}(t)) - P_d.$$

By (5.5), we have $\delta < P_{d+1} - P_\phi(E^{(h_n)}(t)) < P_{d+1} - P_d$, which yields

$$(5.11) \quad P_\phi(E^{(h_n)}(t)) - P_d \leq \frac{P_{d+1} - P_d}{\delta} \min_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |P_\phi(E^{(h_n)}(t)) - P_k|.$$

Using the inequalities (5.5) and (5.11), we apply the quantitative Alexandrov theorem in the form of Corollary 3.7 to bound

$$(5.12) \quad \frac{1}{h_n} \sum_{j=\lfloor t/h_n \rfloor}^{\lfloor T/h_n \rfloor - 1} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E_{j+1}^{(h_n)}, E_j^{(h_n)}) \leq C \|\kappa_{E^{(h_n)}(t)}^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_{E^{(h_n)}(t)}^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E^{(h_n)}(t))}^2.$$

Then, our claim follows from (5.12) and Lemma 5.3 below.

On the other hand, the proof of (5.4) follows from a standard application of Lemma 4.2(ii) and (5.3) as in the proof of [JMPS22, Theorem 1.2]. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F)$. Then for $C = C(N, L_\phi, L_\psi)$,*

$$(5.13) \quad \|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial^* E)} \leq \frac{C}{h^2} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E, F).$$

Proof. Observe that for any $f \in L^2(\partial^* E)$, the quantity

$$\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \|f - c\|_{L^2(\partial^* E)}$$

is attained at $c = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^1(\partial^* E)} \int_{\partial^* E} f d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$. Thus, recalling the Euler-Lagrange equation $\frac{\text{sd}_F^\psi}{h} = -\kappa_E^\phi + \lambda$ and using Lemma 4.2(iii), we may estimate

$$\|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)} \leq \|\kappa_E^\phi - \lambda\|_{L^2(\partial E)} = \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{\partial F} (d_F^\psi)^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{h^2} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E, F). \quad \square$$

In what follows, C is a constant that does not depend on time or the timestep h_n , and C_0 denotes the constant from Lemma 5.1 and will remain static. Moreover, $T_1 \geq T_0$ will be a time which may change from line to line but will be such that the increment $T_1 - T_0$ depends only on $L_\phi, \Lambda_\phi, \|\phi\|_{C^{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^1)}, L_\psi, m, P_\phi(E_0), \text{diam}(E_0), \delta$.

For given $t \geq T_1$, we show that there exists $n_t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_t$ and for some $t_n \in [t - e^{-t/4C_0}, t]$,

$$(5.14) \quad k_n(t_n) \leq e^{-t/4C_0} \quad \text{and} \quad |E^{(h_n)}(t_n)| = m$$

where we denote $k_n(s) := \|\kappa_{E^{(h_n)}(s)}^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_{E^{(h_n)}(s)}^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E^{(h_n)}(s))}$ for $s \geq 0$. Using Markov's inequality, for any $n \geq n_t$ we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\{s \in [t - e^{-t/4C_0}, t] : k_n(s) > e^{-t/4C_0}\}| &\leq e^{t/2C_0} \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t k_n(s)^2 ds \\ &\leq \frac{e^{t/2C_0}}{h_n} \sum_{j=\lfloor (t - e^{-t/4C_0})/h_n \rfloor}^{\lfloor t/h_n \rfloor - 1} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E_{j+1}^{(h_n)}, E_j^{(h_n)}) \quad \text{by Lemma 5.3} \\ &\leq C e^{-t/2C_0} \quad \text{by (5.3).} \end{aligned}$$

By the above and Corollary 4.11,

$$(5.15) \quad |\{s \in [t - e^{-t/4C_0}, t] : k_n(s) \leq e^{-t/4C_0}, |E^{(h_n)}(s)| = m\}| \geq e^{-t/4C_0} - C e^{-t/2C_0} - C_t h_n.$$

By taking $t \geq T_1$ sufficiently large, the righthand side of (5.15) is at least $\frac{1}{2}e^{-t/4C_0} - C_t h_n$. It then follows that the righthand side is positive for $n \geq n_t$ sufficiently large, in which case (5.14) follows.

Next, it follows from Theorem 1.2 that if T_1 is sufficiently large, then $E^{(h_n)}(t_n)$ is the normal graph f_n over a disjoint union F_n of equally sized Wulff shapes such that $|F_n| = m$ and $\|f_n\|_{C^{1,1/2}} \leq C e^{-t/4C_0}$. Moreover, the constraints $P_\phi(E^{(h_n)}(t_n)) \in (P_d, P_{d+1} - \delta)$ and $|P_\phi(E^{(h_n)}(t_n)) - P_\phi(F_n)| < C e^{-t/2C_0}$ force the equality $P_\phi(F_n) = P_d$ if T_1 is large enough, in which case F_n has exactly d components and we may express $F_n = \cup_{j=1}^d W_\phi(x_{j,n}, r)$ where $dr^2 |W_\phi| = m$.

By Proposition 4.10, the F_n are uniformly bounded, so we may pass to a subsequence in which $t_n \rightarrow t' \in [t - e^{-t/4C_0}, t]$, $x_{j,n} \rightarrow x_j(t)$, and $f_n \rightarrow f_t$ in $C^{1,\alpha}$ where $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. It follows that F_n converges in Hausdorff

distance to $F(t) := \cup_{j=1}^d W_\phi(x_j(t), r)$ and that the L^1 -limit $E(t') = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E^{(h_n)}(t_n)$ is the normal graph of f_t over $F(t)$ such that $\|f_t\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq Ce^{-t/4C_0}$. In particular, we obtain the estimate $|E(t')\Delta F(t)| \leq Ce^{-t/4C_0}$.

Recall that by (5.4), the flat flow converges exponentially fast in L^1 to some set $E_\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, thus

$$(5.16) \quad |F(t)\Delta E_\infty| \leq |F(t)\Delta E(t')| + |E(t')\Delta E_\infty| \leq Ce^{-t/4C_0}.$$

Hence E_∞ is itself a disjoint union of Wulff shapes and the centers $x_j(t)$ converge exponentially fast. Thus we deduce the estimate

$$(5.17) \quad d_H(F(t), E_\infty) \leq Ce^{-t/4C_0}.$$

Since $F_n \rightarrow F(t)$ in Hausdorff distance, we find that for n sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{E^{(h_n)}(t_n)\Delta E_\infty} d_{E_\infty}^\psi &\leq \sup_{E^{(h_n)}(t_n)\Delta F_n} d_{F_n}^\psi + d_H(F_n, E_\infty) \\ &\leq \|f_n\|_\infty + d_H(F_n, F(t)) + d_H(F(t), E_\infty) \\ &\leq Ce^{-t/4C_0}. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 4.12 and the fact that $t - t_n \leq e^{-t/4C_0} \leq (Ce^{-t/4C_0})^{1/2}$,

$$(5.18) \quad \sup_{E^{(h_n)}(t)\Delta E_\infty} d_{E_\infty}^\psi \leq Ce^{-t/36C_0}.$$

Since this estimate is uniform in n , we obtain (1.3), concluding the proof of Theorem 1.1:

$$(5.19) \quad \sup_{E(t)\Delta E_\infty} d_{E_\infty}^\psi \leq Ce^{-t/36C_0}.$$

Finally, we observe retroactively that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) = P_d$, so $\delta = \frac{1}{2}(P_{d+1} - P_d)$ depends only on m and $P_\phi(E_0)$, and hence we may remove the dependence of any prior constants on δ . \square

6. REFLECTION PROPERTY

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. We recall the reflection comparison properties $(*)_H$ and $(*)'_H$ defined in (1.6) and (1.7) for half-spaces $H \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. We occasionally use the notation $\Pi_t(\nu) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x \cdot \nu \leq t\}$ for half-spaces.

Lemma 6.1. *If $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfies $(*)_H$ for some half-space $H = \Pi_\nu(s) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compatible with ν , then*

$$(6.1) \quad \text{sd}_F^\psi(x) \leq \text{sd}_F^\psi(\Psi(x)) \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Moreover if ψ is strictly convex and F satisfies $(*)'_H$, then equality in (6.1) holds only if there exists $y \in \partial F \cap \partial H$ at which both distances $d_F^\psi(x)$ and $d_F^\psi(\Psi(x))$ are attained. In particular, if F satisfies $(*)'_H$ and ∂F is C^1 near $\partial F \cap \partial H$, then (6.1) is strict for all $x \in H$.

Proof. First let $x \in \overline{F} \setminus \text{int}(\Psi(F))$. Then (6.1) is trivial since $\text{sd}_F^\psi(x) \leq 0 \leq \text{sd}_F^\psi(\Psi(x))$ for such x . Moreover if F satisfies $(*)'_H$, then equality cannot occur, since $\text{sd}_F^\psi(x) = 0 = \text{sd}_F^\psi(\Psi(x))$ implies $x \in (\partial F \cap \partial \Psi(F)) \cap H$.

We will now prove the statement for $x \in \text{int}(\Psi(F)) \cap H$ and the remaining case is a symmetric argument. Let $y \in \partial F$ be such that the minimum ψ -distance of x to ∂F is attained at y . If $y \in H$, then along the line segment from x to y there must be a point $z \in \partial \Psi(F)$, in which case by reflection symmetry of ψ ,

$$(6.2) \quad d_F^\psi(\Psi(x)) \leq \psi(\Psi(x) - \Psi(z)) = \psi(x - z) \leq \psi(x - y).$$

If $y \notin H$, then

$$(6.3) \quad d_F^\psi(\Psi(x)) \leq \psi(\Psi(x) - y) \leq \psi(x - y).$$

The second inequality in (6.3) may be justified as follows: if P is the hyperplane parallel to ∂H which contains y , and z is the reflection of x across P , then $\Psi(x) = (1-t)x + tz$ for some $t \in (0, 1]$ and hence

$$\psi(\Psi(x) - y) \leq (1-t)\psi(x - y) + t\psi(z - y) = \psi(x - y).$$

Either way, it follows that $d_F^\psi(\Psi(x)) \leq \psi(x - y) = d_F^\psi(x)$, proving (6.1).

We remark that the inequality (6.2) becomes strict if F satisfies $(*)'_H$ and (6.3) is strict if $y \notin \partial H$ and ψ is strictly convex. Thus, we deduce that if F satisfies $(*)'_H$ and ψ is strictly convex, then equality

$\text{sd}_F^\psi(\Psi(x)) = \text{sd}_F^\psi(x)$ can only occur if the distance $d_F^\psi(x)$ is attained at some $y \in \partial F \cap \partial H$, in which case $d_F^\psi(\Psi(x))$ is also attained at y by reflection symmetry. In this case, we have $W_{\psi^\circ}(x, r) \cup W_{\psi^\circ}(\Psi(x), r) \subseteq F$ where $r = \psi(x - y)$, but this cannot happen if ∂F is C^1 near y . \square

Lemma 6.2. *The property $(*)_H$ is stable under convergence in L^1 . That is, if $E_\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is the L^1 -limit of sets E_j which satisfy $(*)_H$, then E_∞ satisfies $(*)_H$.*

Proof. Suppose for sake of contradiction that E_∞ does not satisfy $(*)_H$, so the set $G := (\Psi(E_\infty) \setminus E_\infty) \cap H$ is of positive measure. Since $G \subset \Psi(E_\infty)$, we see that $\Psi(E_j) \cap G \rightarrow G$ in L^1 . Similarly, because $G \cap E_\infty = \emptyset$, $G \setminus E_j \rightarrow G$ in L^1 . Thus, for sufficiently large j , we have

$$|\Psi(E_j) \cap G| \geq \frac{2}{3}|G|, \quad |G \setminus E_j| \geq \frac{2}{3}|G|$$

and hence $|(\Psi(E_j) \setminus E_j) \cap G| \geq \frac{1}{3}|G| > 0$. As $G \subset H$, it follows that such E_j do not satisfy $(*)_H$, a contradiction. \square

In light of Lemma 6.2, in order to prove Theorem 1.3, it is enough to check that $(*)_H'$ is preserved by the approximate (ϕ, ψ) -flow.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $N = 2, 3$. Consider a half-space $H = \Pi_\nu(s)$ and suppose $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are compatible with ν . If $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfies $(*)_H'$ and ∂F is C^1 near $\partial F \cap \partial H$, then any minimizer E of $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F)$ also satisfies $(*)_H'$.*

Proof. First we show that E satisfies $(*)_H$. Suppose not for the sake of contradiction. Recalling the convention that E coincides with its set of Lebesgue points, it follows that $G := (\Psi(E) \setminus E) \cap H$ is of positive measure. We claim that $\tilde{E} := (E \cup G) \setminus \Psi(G)$ satisfies $\mathcal{F}_h(\tilde{E}, F) < \mathcal{F}_h(E, F)$, contradicting that E is a minimizer. Since $|G| = |\Psi(G)|$, $G \cap E = \emptyset$, and $\Psi(G) \subset E$, we observe that $|\tilde{E}| = |E|$.

To compare the dissipation terms, we note that $\text{sd}_F^\psi(x) < \text{sd}_F^\psi(\Psi(x))$ for all $x \in H$ by Lemma 6.1. Since G is of positive measure, we have

$$\int_G \text{sd}_F^\psi dx < \int_{\Psi(G)} \text{sd}_F^\psi dx.$$

By adding $E \setminus \Psi(G)$ to the region of integration, it follows that $\mathcal{D}^\psi(\tilde{E}, F) < \mathcal{D}^\psi(E, F)$.

For the surface energies, we check $P_\phi(\tilde{E}) \leq P_\phi(E)$. Note that we can equivalently express

$$\tilde{E} = [(\Psi(E) \cup E) \cap H] \cup [\Psi(E) \cap E \cap H^c].$$

By reflection symmetry of ϕ and the submodularity principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.4) \quad P_\phi(\Psi(E) \cup E; H) + P_\phi(\Psi(E) \cap E; \Psi(H)) &= P_\phi(\Psi(E) \cup E; H) + P_\phi(\Psi(E) \cap E; H) \\ &\leq P_\phi(E; H) + P_\phi(\Psi(E); H) \\ &= P_\phi(E; H) + P_\phi(E; \Psi(H)). \end{aligned}$$

We further claim that $\partial^* \tilde{E} \cap \partial H$ and $\partial^* E \cap \partial H$ are equivalent up to a \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -null set, from which the desired inequality $P_\phi(\tilde{E}) \leq P_\phi(E)$ then immediately follows after (6.4) and [Mag12, Theorem 16.3]. It suffices to show that if $x \in \partial H$, then $x \in \tilde{E}^{(1/2)}$ if and only if $x \in E^{(1/2)}$. This is true since for any $r > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{E} \cap B_r(x)| &= |(E \cup \Psi(E)) \cap H \cap B_r(x)| + |E \cap \Psi(E) \cap H^c \cap B_r(x)| \\ &= |(E \cup \Psi(E)) \cap H \cap B_r(x)| + |E \cap \Psi(E) \cap H \cap B_r(x)| \\ &= |E \cap H \cap B_r(x)| + |\Psi(E) \cap H \cap B_r(x)| \\ &= |E \cap H \cap B_r(x)| + |E \cap H^c \cap B_r(x)| \\ &= |E \cap B_r(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown E satisfies $(*)_H$.

Lastly we show that E satisfies $(*)_H'$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that ∂E and $\partial \Psi(E)$ intersect at some point $x \in H$. Recall that since $N \leq 3$, E is $C^{2,\alpha}$ by Proposition 4.7, so by the monotonicity principle (4.14), we have $\kappa_E^\phi(\Psi(x)) = \kappa_{\Psi(E)}^\phi(x) \geq \kappa_E^\phi(x)$, and hence $\text{sd}_F^\psi(x) \geq \text{sd}_F^\psi(\Psi(x))$ by the Euler-Lagrange equation (4.12). However, this contradicts $\text{sd}_F^\psi(x) < \text{sd}_F^\psi(\Psi(x))$ from Lemma 6.1, completing the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3:

Since $N \leq 3$, recall the approximate flow $E^{(h)}(t)$ is $C^{2,\alpha}$ by Proposition 4.7 for all $t \geq h$. Thus by iterating Proposition 6.3, if the initial set E_0 satisfies $(*)_H'$, then $E^{(h)}(t)$ satisfies $(*)_H'$ for all $t \geq 0$. By Lemma 6.2, the flat flow $E(t)$ also satisfies $(*)_H$. \square

Remark 6.4. The restriction to dimensions $N \in \{2, 3\}$ in Theorem 1.3 is needed so that the Euler-Lagrange equation (4.12) holds globally on the approximate flow.

6.1. On the Long-term Profile. We now apply Theorem 1.1 in tandem with Theorem 1.3 to prove Corollary 1.4 and Corollary 1.5, which each establish some conditions on the initial set that allow us to confine the long-term profile of the flat flow in the plane.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $D_\varepsilon = (1 + \varepsilon)D$. For every half-space H containing D_ε , E_0 is contained in H and the intersection $\partial E_0 \cap \partial H$ is empty. Thus E_0 trivially satisfies $(**)'_{D_\varepsilon, \mathcal{P}}$ as well as the condition that E_0 is C^1 near ∂H for all such half-spaces. Hence E_∞ satisfies $(**)'_{D_\varepsilon, \mathcal{P}}$ by Theorem 1.3, so E_∞ must be contained in $D_\varepsilon + (|E_0|/|W_\phi|)^{1/2}W_\phi$ since D_ε is convex. As ε is arbitrary, the claim follows. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Recall that by Theorem 1.1, the limiting set E_∞ is a disjoint union of Wulff shapes $\cup_{j=1}^d W_\phi(x_j, r)$ where $r = (|E_0|/|W_\phi|)^{1/2}$. By Theorem 1.3, E_∞ satisfies $(**)'_{D, \mathcal{P}}$, so the centers x_j must lie in \overline{D} ; otherwise, the property $(*)_H$ would be violated for some half-space H which contains D but excludes x_j . In particular, $E_\infty \subset D + rW_\phi$ so $|D + rW_\phi| \geq |E_0|$. Since r is decreasing with respect to d , it suffices to show $|D + rW_\phi| < |E_0|$ when $d = 2$.

As D and W_ϕ are convex, it follows by a standard result of mixed volumes that

$$|D + rW_\phi| = |D| + rP_\phi(D) + r^2|W_\phi|.$$

Thus it is equivalent to show

$$|D| + \alpha\sqrt{2|D||E_0|} - \frac{|E_0|}{2} < 0$$

which occurs precisely when

$$\left(\frac{|D|}{|E_0|}\right)^{1/2} < \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1} - \alpha}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad \square$$

6.2. Stability. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.6.

We say that \mathcal{P} is a *root system* if

$$(6.5) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{P}, \quad -\nu \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } \Psi_\nu(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}.$$

Moreover, we say that $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfies the *r-cone property* (with respect to \mathcal{P}) if for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, there exists a basis $A \subset \mathcal{P}$ of \mathbb{R}^N such that

$$\begin{aligned} x + r \operatorname{cone}(A) &\subseteq E^c && \text{if } x \in E^c \\ x - r \operatorname{cone}(A) &\subseteq E && \text{if } x \in E \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{cone}(A)$ is defined to be the open convex hull of $A \cup \{0\}$.

It is shown in [KKP21] that a set which satisfies $(**)'_{D, \mathcal{P}}$ for a large enough family of half-spaces satisfies a uniform cone condition and hence enjoys uniform Lipschitz regularity:

Proposition 6.5. [KKP21, Theorem 2.2] *Suppose $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ is a root system such that $\operatorname{span}(\mathcal{P} \setminus K) = \mathbb{R}^N$ for any hyperplane K through the origin. Then there exists $c = c(\mathcal{P})$ such that the following holds: if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfies $(**)'_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}$ for some $\rho < c|E|^{1/N}$, then E satisfies the *r-cone condition* for some $r > 0$, with locally constant cone directions which are independent of E .*

Remark 6.6. We refer the reader to [KKP21] for a precise characterization of the constant $c(\mathcal{P})$. See also Appendix C for the characterization in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Lemma 6.7 (Compactness result). *Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ be a root system, and suppose $E_k \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a uniformly bounded sequence of sets satisfying the statement of Proposition 6.5 for \mathcal{P} . Then, there exists a subsequence that converges in Hausdorff distance and in L^1 (to the same limit).*

Proof. Suppose $E_k \subset B_R$ for some $R > 0$. We observe that by Proposition 6.5, there exists a finite open cover $(U_i)_i$ of B_R with corresponding normal vectors $\nu_i \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ such that for all k and i , $\partial E_k \cap U_i$ either is empty or is given by a Lipschitz graph

$$(6.6) \quad x \cdot \nu_i = f_k^{(i)}(x - (x \cdot \nu_i)\nu_i)$$

where the Lipschitz constant of $f_k^{(i)}$ is uniform with respect to i, k .

Passing to a subsequence, we can ensure that for each i , one of the following is true:

- (1) $U_i \subset E_k$ for all k
- (2) $U_i \subset E_k^c$ for all k
- (3) $\partial E_k \cap U_i$ is a Lipschitz graph over U_i of the form (6.6) for all k .

The desired statement now follows from Arzelà-Ascoli. \square

Lemma 6.8 (Γ -convergence). *Suppose $(F_k)_{k \geq 1}, (E_k)_{k \geq 1}$ are sequences of bounded sets of finite perimeter in \mathbb{R}^N such that $E_k \rightarrow E$ in L^1 and $F_k \rightarrow F$ in Hausdorff distance. Then*

$$(6.7) \quad \mathcal{F}_h(E, F) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_h(E_k, F_k).$$

In particular, if $E_k \in \operatorname{argmin} \mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F_k)$ for all k , then $E \in \operatorname{argmin} \mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F)$.

Proof. Note that L^1 -convergence $E_k \rightarrow E$ implies $|E_k| \rightarrow |E|$ and $P_\phi(E) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_\phi(E_k)$ by lower-semicontinuity. Moreover, by Lemma 4.2(i) and the Hausdorff convergence $F_k \rightarrow F$, we observe that the E_k are uniformly bounded and that $\operatorname{sd}_{F_k}^\psi$ converges uniformly to sd_F^ψ . Thus for the dissipation terms, we may estimate

$$\left| \int_E \operatorname{sd}_F^\psi dx - \int_{E_k} \operatorname{sd}_{F_k}^\psi dx \right| \leq \int_{E \Delta E_k} d_F^\psi + \int_{E_k} |\operatorname{sd}_F^\psi - \operatorname{sd}_{F_k}^\psi| dx \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

proving (6.7).

Now assume $E_k \in \operatorname{argmin} \mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F_k)$ and suppose there exists G such that $\mathcal{F}_h(G, F) < \mathcal{F}_h(E, F)$. By the previous argument, we find

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_h(G, F_k) = \mathcal{F}_h(G, F) < \mathcal{F}_h(E, F) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_h(E_k, F_k)$$

which for large enough k contradicts the fact that E_k minimizes $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F_k)$. \square

Lemma 6.9. *Suppose $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is bounded and satisfies $(**)_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}$, where $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ is a root system and $\rho < c(\mathcal{P})$ is such that Proposition 6.5 applies. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a C^∞ set F which satisfies $(**)'_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}$ and $d_H(E, F) < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and consider the set

$$F := \{x \in E : d_E(x) > \varepsilon g(x)\} \quad \text{where} \quad g(x) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}} |x \cdot \nu| = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}: x \cdot \nu > 0} x \cdot \nu.$$

We claim that F satisfies $(**)'_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}$. Fix a half-space $H = \Pi_{\nu_0}(s)$ containing $B_\rho(0)$ where $\nu_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ and the reflection map $\Psi(x) = \Psi_H(x) = x + 2(s - x \cdot \nu_0)\nu_0$. We wish to prove

$$(6.8) \quad d_E(\Psi(x)) - \varepsilon g(\Psi(x)) > d_E(x) - \varepsilon g(x) \quad \forall x \in E \cap \Psi(H),$$

since it then follows that $\Psi(x) \in \operatorname{int}(F)$ for all $x \in \overline{F} \cap \Psi(H)$, implying $(*)'_H$. By Lemma 6.1 we have $d_E(\Psi(x)) \geq d_E(x)$, so it suffices to show

$$(6.9) \quad g(\Psi(x)) < g(x) \quad \forall x \in \Psi(H).$$

First, we show the inequality

$$(6.10) \quad y \cdot \nu_0 \geq 0, t \geq 0 \quad \implies \quad g(y + t\nu_0) - g(y) \geq t.$$

Indeed, using the fact that $\Psi_{\nu_0}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}$, we may estimate the directional derivative $D_{\nu_0}g(y)$ (where it exists) for any y such that $y \cdot \nu_0 > 0$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.11) \quad D_{\nu_0}g(y) &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}} (\nu \cdot \nu_0) \mathbf{1}_{\{y \cdot \nu > 0\}} \\
 &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}: \nu \cdot \nu_0 > 0} \left[(\nu \cdot \nu_0) \mathbf{1}_{\{y \cdot \nu > 0\}} + (\Psi_{\nu_0}(\nu) \cdot \nu_0) \mathbf{1}_{\{y \cdot \Psi_{\nu_0}(\nu) > 0\}} \right] \\
 &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}: \nu \cdot \nu_0 > 0} (\nu \cdot \nu_0) \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{y \cdot \nu > 0\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{y \cdot \Psi_{\nu_0}(\nu) > 0\}} \right] \\
 &\geq \nu_0 \cdot \nu_0 = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from observing that if $\nu \cdot \nu_0 > 0$ and $y \cdot \Psi_{\nu_0}(\nu) > 0$, then

$$y \cdot \nu = y \cdot \Psi_{\nu_0}(\nu) + 2(\nu \cdot \nu_0)(y \cdot \nu_0) > 0.$$

Since $D_{\nu_0}g$ exists at cofinitely many points along any line parallel to ν_0 , (6.10) follows from integrating (6.11). Applying (6.10), we deduce that for any $x \in \Psi(H)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x) - g(\Psi(x)) &\geq 2(x \cdot \nu_0 - s) && \text{when } x \cdot \nu_0 \in (s, 2s) \\
 g(x) - g(\Psi(x)) &= g(x) - g(\Psi_{\nu_0}(\Psi(x))) \geq 2s && \text{when } x \cdot \nu_0 \in [2s, \infty)
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies (6.9), completing the proof that F satisfies $(**)'_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}$.

Note we must further modify F to be C^∞ , for which we use a radial mollifier. Let $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\rho \geq 0$, $\text{spt}(\rho) = B_1(0)$, $\int \rho(x) dx = 1$, and $\rho(x) = \eta(|x|)$ where η is strictly decreasing on its support. Consider $u_\varepsilon := 1_F * \rho_\varepsilon$ where $\rho_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-N} \rho(\cdot/\varepsilon)$. By Sard's theorem, the set $F_\varepsilon := \{u_\varepsilon > t\}$ is C^∞ for some $t \in (0, 1)$.

We claim F_ε also satisfies $(**)'_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}$. Let H be a half-space such that F satisfies $(*)'_H$. We will show F_ε also satisfies $(*)'_H$, for which it suffices to show that for any $x \in \Psi(H)$, $u_\varepsilon(x) \geq t$ implies $u_\varepsilon(\Psi(x)) > t$. For $x \in \Psi(H)$, we have

$$(6.12) \quad u_\varepsilon(\Psi(x)) - u_\varepsilon(x) = \int_F [\rho_\varepsilon(z - \Psi(x)) - \rho_\varepsilon(z - x)] dz$$

Observing the identity

$$\int_{F \cap \Psi(F)} \rho_\varepsilon(z - \Psi(x)) dz = \int_{F \cap \Psi(F)} \rho_\varepsilon(z - x) dz$$

due to reflection symmetry, we may further express

$$(6.13) \quad u_\varepsilon(\Psi(x)) - u_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{F \setminus \Psi(F)} [\rho_\varepsilon(z - \Psi(x)) - \rho_\varepsilon(z - x)] dz.$$

Note that $F \setminus \Psi(F) \subset H$ since F satisfies $(*)_H$, and $|z - \Psi(x)| < |z - x|$ for any $z \in H$. Thus from (6.13) we deduce $u_\varepsilon(\Psi(x)) \geq u_\varepsilon(x)$.

It remains to verify $u_\varepsilon(\Psi(x)) > t$ in the case that $u_\varepsilon(x) = t$. Suppose we have $u_\varepsilon(\Psi(x)) = u_\varepsilon(x) = t$. Then (6.13) as well as the strict monotonicity of η implies that $B_\varepsilon(\Psi(x)) \cap [F \setminus \Psi(F)]$ is empty. Since F satisfies $(*)'_H$, the space $(\Psi(F) \cup F^c) \cap H$ is disconnected, so we may deduce $B_\varepsilon(\Psi(x)) \cap H$ is contained in either $\Psi(F)$ or F^c . Then $B_\varepsilon(\Psi(x))$ is contained in either $\Psi(F)$ or F^c , so $u_\varepsilon(\Psi(x)) \in \{0, 1\}$, which is a contradiction. Thus we deduce F_ε satisfies $(**)'_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}$.

Lastly, we need to check that F_ε is close to E with respect to Hausdorff distance. Since $F \subseteq E$, we have $d_H(E, F) = \sup_{x \in E} \text{dist}(x, F)$. Recall that by Proposition 6.5, E satisfies the r -cone property with respect to \mathcal{P} for some $r > 0$. For a fixed $x \in E$, let $A \subset \mathcal{P}$ be a basis such that $x - r \text{cone}(A) \subset E$. Then for $\varepsilon \lesssim_{\mathcal{P}} r$ sufficiently small, we may find a point $y \in x - r \text{cone}(A)$ such that $d_E(y) > \varepsilon \sup_{z \in E} g(z) \geq \varepsilon g(y)$ and $|x - y| \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \varepsilon$. In particular, y belongs to F , so $d_H(E, F) \lesssim_{\mathcal{P}} \varepsilon$.

Similarly we find that $d_H(F, F_\varepsilon) \lesssim_{\mathcal{P}} \varepsilon$. The bound $\sup_{x \in F_\varepsilon} \text{dist}(x, F) \leq \varepsilon$ is immediate from definition, while the bound $\sup_{x \in F} \text{dist}(x, F_\varepsilon) \lesssim_{\mathcal{P}} \varepsilon$ can be argued as above using the interior r -cone property of F . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6:

By Lemma 6.9, there exists a sequence of C^1 sets $E_k \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ which satisfy $(**)_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}'$, such that $E_k \rightarrow E_0$ in Hausdorff distance. Fix $h > 0$, and let $E_k^{(h)}(t)$ be an approximate flow with initial set E_k . By applying Lemma 6.7 and Proposition 4.10 with a diagonalization argument, we may pass to a subsequence such that for all $t \geq 0$, $E_k^{(h)}(t)$ converges in L^1 and in Hausdorff distance to some set $\tilde{E}^{(h)}(t)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Note that by Lemma 6.8, $\tilde{E}^{(h)}(t)$ is an approximate flow starting from E . Moreover $E_k^{(h)}(t)$ satisfies $(**)_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}'$ for all $t \geq 0$, and hence $\tilde{E}^{(h)}(t)$ satisfies $(**)_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}$ by Lemma 6.2. By diagonalizing w.r.t h and applying Lemma 6.2 once more, we obtain a flat flow from E_0 which satisfies $(**)_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}$, and we are done. \square

Corollary 6.10. *Under the same setting as in Theorem 1.6, we additionally assume $N = 2$. Then there exists an area-preserving flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow $E(t)$ starting from E_0 which converges to a single Wulff shape.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.6, there exists a flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow $E(t)$ starting at E_0 which satisfies $(**)_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thanks to Proposition C.2, $E(t)$ is a star-shaped domain for all $t \geq 0$ and thus, the limit given in Theorem 1.1 is a single Wulff shape. \square

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.2

For any norm ϕ , we recall the anisotropic isoperimetric inequality [Mag12]: for any $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$(A.1) \quad P_\phi(E) \geq N|W_\phi|^{1/N}|E|^{(N-1)/N}.$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Our argument makes frequent use of Lemma 3.1, so it is more natural to work with the measure dP_ϕ rather than $d\mathcal{H}^1$. Hence, we consider the ϕ -weighted average

$$\tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi = \frac{1}{P_\phi(E)} \int_{\partial E} \kappa_E^\phi dP_\phi.$$

Note that

$$\|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)} \leq \|\kappa_E^\phi - \tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)} \leq L_\phi \|\kappa_E^\phi - \tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(dP_\phi)}$$

and similarly $\|\kappa_E^\phi - \bar{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E)} \geq L_\phi^{-1} \|\kappa_E^\phi - \tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(dP_\phi)}$. Thus, it makes no harm to assume $\|\kappa_E^\phi - \tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(dP_\phi)} \leq \varepsilon_0$ and to show the desired bounds in (a) for $\tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi$.

Let E_1, \dots, E_d be the connected components of E , Γ_i the outer component of ∂E_i , and \hat{E}_i the interior of Γ_i . Let c, C be constants depending only on m, M, L_ϕ , which may change from line to line. In particular, they may depend on $|W_\phi|$ since $\pi L_\phi^{-2} \leq |W_\phi| \leq \pi L_\phi^2$. We proceed in the following steps:

- (1) There exists \hat{E}_k such that $|\hat{E}_k| \geq c$:

Letting $Q = (0, 1)^2$, we claim there is a constant $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(\phi) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |E \cap (z + Q)| \geq \tilde{c} \frac{|E|^2}{P_\phi(E)^2} \geq c$$

Indeed, letting $\beta := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |E \cap (z + Q)|$, we have by the relative isoperimetric inequality,

$$P_\phi(E) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} P_\phi(E; z + Q) \geq \tilde{c} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |E \cap (z + Q)|^{1/2} \geq \frac{\tilde{c}}{\beta^{1/2}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |E \cap (z + Q)| = \frac{\tilde{c}}{\beta^{1/2}} |E|.$$

Without loss of generality, we may translate the components E_i to satisfy $\text{dist}(E_i, E_j) > \sqrt{2}$ for $i \neq j$, in which case each square $z + Q$ intersects only one component E_i . Thus there exists k such that $|\hat{E}_k| \geq |E_k| \geq c$.

- (2) $c \leq |\tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi| \leq C$:

For any boundary component Γ of ∂E , we can use Lemma 3.1 to bound

$$(A.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi - \frac{2|W_\phi|}{P_\phi(\Gamma)} \right| &= |\tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi - \tilde{\kappa}_\Gamma^\phi| = P_\phi(\Gamma)^{-1/2} \|\tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi - \tilde{\kappa}_\Gamma^\phi\|_{L^2(\Gamma, dP_\phi)} \\ &\leq P_\phi(\Gamma)^{-1/2} (\|\kappa_E^\phi - \tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E, dP_\phi)} + \|\kappa_E^\phi - \tilde{\kappa}_\Gamma^\phi\|_{L^2(\Gamma, dP_\phi)}) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon_0 P_\phi(\Gamma)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon_0 < \frac{|W_\phi|}{2M^{1/2}}$, we obtain the lower bound

$$\tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi \geq \frac{2(|W_\phi| - \varepsilon_0 M^{1/2})}{P_\phi(\Gamma)} \geq \frac{|W_\phi|}{M}.$$

For an upper bound, note that $P_\phi(\Gamma_k) \geq 2|W_\phi|^{1/2}|\hat{E}_k|^{1/2} \geq c$ by the anisotropic isoperimetric inequality (A.1) and step 1. Hence applying (A.2) to Γ_k yields

$$\tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi \leq \frac{2(|W_\phi| + \varepsilon_0 M^{1/2})}{P_\phi(\Gamma_k)} \leq \frac{3|W_\phi|}{c}.$$

(3) $P_\phi(\Gamma_j) \geq c$ for all j : For any j , we may use Lemma 3.1 and Cauchy-Schwarz to bound

$$\begin{aligned} 2|W_\phi| &= \int_{\Gamma_j} \kappa_E^\phi dP_\phi \\ &\leq P_\phi(\Gamma_j)|\tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi| + \int_{\Gamma_j} |\kappa_E^\phi - \tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi| dP_\phi \\ &\leq P_\phi(\Gamma_j)|\tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi| + P_\phi(\Gamma_j)^{1/2} \|\kappa_E^\phi - \tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi\|_{L^2(\partial E, dP_\phi)} \\ &\leq P_\phi(\Gamma_j)^{1/2}(M^{1/2}C + \varepsilon_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we deduce $P_\phi(\Gamma_j) \geq c$ and hence E has at most M/c components.

(4) For ε_0 small enough, each component E_j is simply connected:

If there is some component of E which is not simply connected, then we may find two boundary components $\Gamma_i, \Gamma_j \subset \partial E$ such that

$$\int_{\Gamma_i} \kappa_E^\phi dP_\phi = 2|W_\phi|, \quad \int_{\Gamma_j} \kappa_E^\phi dP_\phi = -2|W_\phi|.$$

Hence by the triangle inequality and (A.2), we obtain the bound

$$\frac{4|W_\phi|}{M} \leq \frac{2|W_\phi|}{P_\phi(\Gamma_i)} + \frac{2|W_\phi|}{P_\phi(\Gamma_j)} \leq \left| \tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi - \frac{2|W_\phi|}{P_\phi(\Gamma_i)} \right| + \left| \tilde{\kappa}_E^\phi + \frac{2|W_\phi|}{P_\phi(\Gamma_j)} \right| \leq 2\varepsilon_0(P_\phi(\Gamma_i)^{-1/2} + P_\phi(\Gamma_j)^{-1/2}) \leq \frac{4\varepsilon_0}{c^{1/2}}.$$

For ε_0 small enough, the above inequality is a contradiction, in which case all components of E must be simply connected. \square

APPENDIX B. FLAT FLOW STANDARD ESTIMATES

The following lemmas are a collection of results adapted from [MSS16]. We recall the functional

$$\mathcal{F}_h(E, F) := P_\phi(E) + \frac{1}{h} \int_E \text{sd}_F^\psi(x) dx + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} ||F| - m|$$

and assume throughout this section that ϕ, ψ are norms on \mathbb{R}^N .

Before showing the standard estimates, we need a one-sided density lemma:

Lemma B.1. *Let ϕ be a norm on \mathbb{R}^N . There is a constant $c = c(N, L_\phi)$ such that the following holds: Suppose $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded set of finite perimeter and $x \notin E$, $r_0 > 0$ are such that*

$$(B.1) \quad P_\phi(E) \leq P_\phi(E \cup B_r(x)) \quad \forall 0 < r < r_0.$$

Then $|B_r(x) \setminus E| \geq cr^N$ for all $r < r_0$.

Proof. Define $f(r) := |B_r(x) \setminus E|$. Recalling the convention that E is its Lebesgue representative, we have $f(r) > 0$ for all $r > 0$ since $x \notin E$. Note that by monotonicity and the coarea formula, for a.e. $r > 0$ we have f is differentiable and $f'(r) = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial B_r(x) \setminus E)$.

Moreover, for a.e. $r > 0$ we have $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial^* E \cap \partial B_r(x)) = 0$, yielding the following identities via [Mag12, Theroem 16.3]:

$$\begin{aligned} P_\phi(B_r(x) \setminus E) &= P_\phi(B_r(x); E^c) + P_\phi(E; B_r(x)) \\ P_\phi(E \cup B_r(x)) &= P_\phi(B_r(x); E^c) + P_\phi(E; B_r(x)^c). \end{aligned}$$

Adding the two identities and invoking (B.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2P_\phi(B_r(x); E^c) &= P_\phi(B_r(x) \setminus E) + P_\phi(E \cup B_r(x)) - P_\phi(E) \\ &\geq P_\phi(B_r(x) \setminus E). \end{aligned}$$

By the anisotropic isoperimetric inequality (A.1), we have the bound

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(B_r(x) \setminus E) \gtrsim_{L_\phi} 2P_\phi(B_r(x); E^c) \geq P_\phi(B_r(x) \setminus E) \gtrsim_{N, L_\phi} |B_r(x) \setminus E|^{(N-1)/N}.$$

That is, we have shown $f'(r) \gtrsim_{N, L_\phi} f(r)^{(N-1)/N}$, which implies $f(r) \gtrsim_{N, L_\phi} r^N$ upon integrating. \square

Lemma B.2 (L^∞ estimate, Lemma 4.2(i)). *Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded set of finite perimeter and let E be a minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F)$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(N, L_\phi, L_\psi) > 0$ such that $\sup_{E\Delta F} d_F^\psi \leq c\sqrt{h}$.*

Proof. In what follows, C is a constant depending only on N, L_ϕ , which may change from line to line. Suppose $x_0 \in E\Delta F$ satisfies $d_F^\psi(x_0) > c\sqrt{h}$ for some $c > 2$.

Without loss of generality, assume $x_0 \in F \setminus E$ and hence $\text{sd}_F^\psi(x_0) < -c\sqrt{h}$, for the other case is symmetric. Then for any $r \leq \frac{c\sqrt{h}}{2L_\psi}$, we have $B_r(x_0) \subset F$, and thus from the inequality $\mathcal{F}_h(E, F) \leq \mathcal{F}_h(E \cup B_r(x_0), F)$, it follows

$$(B.2) \quad P_\phi(E) \leq P_\phi(E \cup B_r(x_0)) + \frac{1}{h} \int_{B_r(x_0) \setminus E} \text{sd}_F^\psi dx + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} |B_r(x_0) \setminus E|.$$

For $r \leq \frac{c\sqrt{h}}{2L_\psi}$, by the triangle inequality we have that $\text{sd}_F^\psi < -\frac{c\sqrt{h}}{2}$ on $B_r(x_0)$, and hence

$$(B.3) \quad P_\phi(E) \leq P_\phi(E \cup B_r(x_0)) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \left(\frac{c}{2} - 1 \right) |B_r(x_0) \setminus E|.$$

Thus Lemma B.1 applies for any $\Lambda > 0$, and hence $|B_r(x_0) \setminus E| \geq C^{-1}r^N$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \left(\frac{c}{2} - 1 \right) |B_r(x_0) \setminus E| &\leq P_\phi(E \cup B_r(x_0)) - P_\phi(E) \\ &\leq \int_{\partial B_r(x_0) \setminus E} dP_\phi \\ &\leq L_\phi \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial B_r(x_0) \setminus E) \leq Cr^{N-1}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$(B.4) \quad r \leq \left(\frac{c}{2} - 1 \right)^{-1} C\sqrt{h}.$$

Taking $r = \frac{c\sqrt{h}}{2L_\psi}$ yields

$$c \leq \left(\frac{c}{2} - 1 \right)^{-1} 2CL_\psi$$

which implies c is bounded above by some function of N, L_ϕ, L_ψ . \square

We observe the following standard density estimate for (Λ, r_0) -minimizers, which is proven, for instance, in [PM14, Lemma 2.8].

Lemma B.3. *Let ϕ be a norm on \mathbb{R}^N and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ a (Λ, r_0) -minimizer of P_ϕ . There exists a constant $C = C(N, L_\phi) > 0$ such that for all $x \in \partial E$ and $r < \min\{r_0, \Lambda^{-1}\}$,*

$$(B.5) \quad \min\{|E \cap B_r(x)|, |B_r(x) \setminus E|\} \geq C^{-1}r^N$$

$$(B.6) \quad C^{-1}r^{N-1} \leq P_\phi(E; B_r(x)) \leq Cr^{N-1}.$$

Remark B.4. Now that we have shown the L^∞ estimate, we recall by Lemma 4.5 that \mathcal{F}_h -minimizers are (Λ, r_0) -minimizers for any $r_0 > 0$ and $\Lambda = \frac{c+1}{\sqrt{h}} + \frac{2L_\psi r_0}{h}$, where c is the constant from Lemma B.2. In particular, r_0 can be chosen so that $\Lambda r_0 = 1$ and $r_0 \sim_{N, L_\phi, L_\psi} \sqrt{h}$, so the estimates in Lemma B.3 are valid for all $r \leq c\sqrt{h}$, up to modifying the constant C . This is useful for proving the L^1 and L^2 estimates.

Lemma B.5 (L^1 estimate, Lemma 4.2(ii)). *Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded set of finite perimeter and let E be a minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F)$. Then there exist a constant $C = C(N, L_\phi, L_\psi) > 0$ such that for all $\ell \leq c\sqrt{h}$,*

$$|E\Delta F| \leq C \left(\ell P_\phi(E) + \frac{1}{\ell} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E, F) \right).$$

Proof. We split up $E\Delta F$ into two regions:

$$|E\Delta F| \leq |\{x \in E\Delta F : d_F(x) \leq \ell\}| + |\{x \in E\Delta F : d_F(x) \geq \ell\}|.$$

The second term is easily bounded via Markov's inequality:

$$|\{x \in E\Delta F : d_F(x) \geq \ell\}| \leq \frac{L_\psi}{\ell} \int_{E\Delta F} d_F^\psi(x) dx = \frac{L_\psi}{\ell} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E, F).$$

For the first term, we apply a covering argument. By Vitali's covering lemma, we may find a finite disjoint collection of balls $\{B_\ell(x_i)\}_{i \in I}$ such that $x_i \in \partial E$ and the dilated balls $\{B_{3\ell}(x_i)\}_{i \in I}$ cover the region $\{x \in E\Delta F : d_F(x) \leq \ell\}$. By the density estimates in Lemma B.3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in E\Delta F : d_F(x) \leq \ell\}| &\leq \sum_{i \in I} |B_{3\ell}(x_i)| \\ &\leq 3^N C \ell \sum_{i \in I} P_\phi(E; B_\ell(x_i)) \\ &\leq 3^N C \ell P_\phi(E) \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(N, L_\phi, L_\psi)$. \square

Lemma B.6 (Hölder continuity in time, Lemma 4.3). *Let $h \leq 1$ and let $\{E^{(h)}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be an approximate flat flow. Then for all $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ and a constant $C = C(N, L_\phi, L_\psi) > 0$,*

$$(B.7) \quad |E^{(h)}(s)\Delta E^{(h)}(t)| \leq C P_\phi(E_0) \max\{h, |t-s|\}^{1/2}.$$

Proof. We may assume $t-s \geq h$, for otherwise, we can replace t with $s+h$. Applying Lemma B.5 for any $0 < \ell \leq c\sqrt{h}$, we may bound

$$\begin{aligned} |E^{(h)}(t)\Delta E^{(h)}(s)| &\leq \sum_{j=\lfloor s/h \rfloor}^{\lfloor t/h \rfloor - 1} |E_{(j+1)h}^{(h)} \Delta E_{jh}^{(h)}| \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=\lfloor s/h \rfloor}^{\lfloor t/h \rfloor - 1} \left(\ell P_\phi(E_{(j+1)h}^{(h)}) + \frac{1}{\ell} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E_{(j+1)h}^{(h)}, E_{jh}^{(h)}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.6) simplifies the bound to

$$(B.8) \quad |E^{(h)}(t)\Delta E^{(h)}(s)| \leq C \left(\ell \frac{|t-s|}{h} P_\phi(E_0) + \frac{h}{\ell} P_\phi(E_0) \right).$$

In particular, we may set $\ell := c \frac{h}{|t-s|^{1/2}} \leq c\sqrt{h}$ to balance the righthand terms:

$$|E^{(h)}(t)\Delta E^{(h)}(s)| \leq C |t-s|^{1/2} P_\phi(E_0). \quad \square$$

Lemma B.7 (L^2 estimate, Lemma 4.2(iii)). *Let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded set of finite perimeter and let E be a minimizer of $\mathcal{F}_h(\cdot, F)$. Then there exist a constant $C = C(N, L_\phi, L_\psi) > 0$ such that*

$$(B.9) \quad \int_{\partial^* E} (d_F^\psi)^2(x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq C \mathcal{D}^\psi(E, F).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha := 2L_\psi$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $A_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \alpha^k < d_F^\psi(x) \leq \alpha^{k+1}\}$. Note that ∂E is covered by the collection of A_k over all k such that $\alpha^k \leq c\sqrt{h}$, where c is the constant from Lemma B.2.

For any $x \in \partial E \cap A_k$, we note by the triangle inequality that $\alpha^{k-1} < d_F^\psi(y) \leq \alpha^{k+2}$ for all $y \in B_{\alpha^{k-1}}(x)$, and in particular $B_{\alpha^{k-1}}(x)$ is contained in either F or F^c . Thus by the density estimates in Lemma B.3, we obtain the bound

$$\int_{(E\Delta F) \cap B_{\alpha^{k-1}}(x)} d_F^\psi(y) dy \geq \alpha^{k-1} \min\{|E \cap B_{\alpha^{k-1}}(x)|, |B_{\alpha^{k-1}}(x) \setminus E|\} \gtrsim_{N, L_\phi, L_\psi} \alpha^{k-1} \alpha^{N(k-1)} = \alpha^{Nk-N+k}$$

and similarly

$$\int_{\partial E \cap B_{\alpha^{k-1}}(x)} (d_F^\psi)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \lesssim_{N, L_\phi, L_\psi} (\alpha^{k+2})^2 \alpha^{(N-1)(k-1)} = \alpha^{Nk - N + k + 5}.$$

Altogether we obtain

$$\int_{\partial E \cap B_{\alpha^{k-1}}(x)} (d_F^\psi)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \lesssim_{N, L_\phi, L_\psi} \int_{(E \Delta F) \cap B_{\alpha^{k-1}}(x)} d_F^\psi(y) dy.$$

By Besicovitch's covering lemma, $\partial E \cap A_k$ can be covered by finitely many disjoint subcollections of $\{B_{\alpha^{k-1}}(x) : x \in \partial E \cap A_k\}$, where the number of subcollections is bounded by a dimensional constant. It follows that

$$\int_{\partial E \cap A_k} (d_F^\psi)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \lesssim_{N, L_\phi, L_\psi} \int_{(E \Delta F) \cap [A_{k-1} \cup A_k \cup A_{k+1}]} d_F^\psi(y) dy.$$

Summing over all k such that $\alpha^k \leq c\sqrt{h}$ yields the desired result. \square

Proposition B.8. *Let $\{E^{(h)}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a flat (ϕ, ψ) -flow. Then*

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\partial^* E^{(h)}(t)} v^{(h)}(t, x)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} dt \leq CP_\phi(E_0)$$

where $C = C(N, L_\phi, L_\psi)$.

Proof. For any $T > 0$, we have by Lemma B.7 and dissipation that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\partial^* E^{(h)}(t)} v^{(h)}(t, x)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} dt &\leq \frac{1}{h} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor T/h \rfloor - 1} \int_{\partial^* E_i^{(h)}} (d_{E_{i+1}}^\psi)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{h} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor T/h \rfloor - 1} \mathcal{D}^\psi(E_{i+1}^{(h)}, E_i^{(h)}) \\ &\leq CP_\phi(E_0). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by sending $T \rightarrow \infty$. \square

APPENDIX C. REFLECTION PROPERTY IN TWO DIMENSIONS

Let us show that any two-dimensional cross-section of a finite root system \mathcal{P} given in (6.5) is Q_{2m} for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, up to a rotation, where

$$(C.1) \quad Q_j := \left\{ \left(\cos\left(\frac{2\pi i}{j}\right), \sin\left(\frac{2\pi i}{j}\right) \right) : 1 \leq i \leq j \right\} \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proposition C.1. *Let \mathcal{P} be a finite root system in \mathbb{R}^N . For any two dimensional hyperplane Π in \mathbb{R}^N , if $\mathcal{P} \cap \Pi$ is nonempty, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$(C.2) \quad \mathcal{P} \cap \Pi = Q_{2m}$$

up to a rotation. Here Q_{2m} is given in (C.1).

Proof. First, if $\mathcal{P} \cap \Pi = \{\pm p\}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, then $\mathcal{P} \cap \Pi = Q_2$ up to a rotation.

Suppose that $\mathcal{P} \cap \Pi$ contains at least two linearly independent vectors. Choose p_1 and p_2 in $\mathcal{P} \cap \Pi$ such that the angle $\theta_{21} > 0$ between the two vectors is smallest among all pairs of two linearly independent vectors in $\mathcal{P} \cap \Pi$. As \mathcal{P} is a root system, we can find a sequence of vectors p_i in $\mathcal{P} \cap \Pi$ given by

$$(C.3) \quad p_i := 2(p_{i-1} \cdot p_{i-2})p_{i-1} - p_{i-2} \text{ for } i \geq 3.$$

As \mathcal{P} is finite, there exists $i^* \geq 2$ such that $p_1 = p_{i^*+1}$ and thus

$$(C.4) \quad i^* \theta_{21} = 2k\pi$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, $\theta_{21} > 0$ denotes the angle between p_1 and p_2 . As θ_{21} is smaller than or equal to the angle between p_i and p_j for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain that $k = 1$, $i^* = 2m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{P} \cap \Pi = \{p_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, i^*\}}$. \square

Proposition C.2. *Under the same setting as in Proposition 6.5, we additionally assume $N = 2$. Then E is star-shaped with respect to the origin.*

Proof. Recall from Proposition C.1 that $\mathcal{P} = Q_{2m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 3$. Applying [KKP21, Theorem 2.7] to the assumption $\rho < c|E|^{1/N}$, there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$(C.5) \quad B_{\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2(\rho+2r)}(0) \subset E$$

where $\sigma_1 = \cos \frac{\pi}{m}$ and $\sigma_2 = 1/\cos \frac{\pi}{2m}$.

Choose $x_0 \in E \setminus B_{\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2(\rho+2r)}(0)$. There exist $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$(C.6) \quad x_0 = a_1 p_1 + a_2 p_2, a_1 \geq 0, a_2 \geq 0, \text{ and } p_1 \cdot p_2 = \cos \frac{\pi}{m}.$$

Applying the reflection property $(**)_{B_\rho(0), \mathcal{P}}$ iteratively, as in [KKP21, Lemma 2.6], we have that

$$(C.7) \quad \mathcal{I}_1 := (x_0 - \text{cone}_\infty(\{p_1, p_2\})) \cap \{x : x \cdot p_1 \geq \rho \text{ and } x \cdot p_2 \geq \rho\} \subset E$$

where $\text{cone}_\infty(\{p_1, p_2\}) := \{c_1 p_1 + c_2 p_2 : c_1, c_2 \geq 0\}$. Thanks to (C.6), $B_{\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2(\rho+2r)}(0)$ contains the region

$$(C.8) \quad \mathcal{I}_2 := \text{cone}_\infty(\{p_1, p_2\}) \cap \{x : x \cdot p_1 < \rho \text{ or } x \cdot p_2 < \rho\}.$$

Because the line segment joining x_0 to the origin is covered by \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 , E is star-shaped. □

REFERENCES

- [And01] Ben Andrews. Volume-preserving anisotropic mean curvature flow. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, pages 783–827, 2001.
- [ATW93] Fred Almgren, Jean E. Taylor, and Lihe Wang. Curvature-driven flows: A variational approach. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 31(2):387–438, 1993.
- [BCCN09] Giovanni Bellettini, Vicent Caselles, Antonin Chambolle, and Matteo Novaga. The volume preserving crystalline mean curvature flow of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n . *Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées*, 92(5):499–527, 2009.
- [CDFV00] Italo Capuzzo-Dolcetta and Stefano Finzi Vita. Area preserving curve shortening flows: From phase separation to image processing. *Interfaces Free Bound*, 4, 06 2000.
- [CMP15] Antonin Chambolle, Massimiliano Morini, and Marcello Ponsiglione. Nonlocal curvature flows. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 218(3):1263–1329, Dec 2015.
- [CRCT95] W.C. Carter, A.R. Roosen, J.W. Cahn, and J.E. Taylor. Shape evolution by surface diffusion and surface attachment limited kinetics on completely faceted surfaces. *Acta Metallurgica et Materialia*, 43(12):4309–4323, 1995.
- [DRKS20] Antonio De Rosa, Sławomir Kolasiński, and Mario Santilli. Uniqueness of critical points of the anisotropic isoperimetric problem for finite perimeter sets. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 238(3):1157–1198, 2020.
- [FK14] William M. Feldman and Inwon C. Kim. Dynamic stability of equilibrium capillary drops. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 211(3):819–878, Mar 2014.
- [FRRO22] Xavier Fernández-Real and Xavier Ros-Oton. *Regularity Theory for Elliptic PDE*. EMS Press, dec 2022.
- [JMPS22] Vesa Julin, Massimiliano Morini, Marcello Ponsiglione, and Emanuele Spadaro. The asymptotics of the area-preserving mean curvature and the mullins–sekerka flow in two dimensions. *Mathematische Annalen*, pages 1–31, 2022.
- [JN20] Vesa Julin and Joonas Niinikoski. Quantitative alexandrov theorem and asymptotic behavior of the volume preserving mean curvature flow. 05 2020.
- [KK20a] Inwon Kim and Dohyun Kwon. On mean curvature flow with forcing. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 45(5):414–455, 2020.
- [KK20b] Inwon Kim and Dohyun Kwon. Volume preserving mean curvature flow for star-shaped sets. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 59(2):81, Apr 2020.
- [KKP21] Inwon Kim, Dohyun Kwon, and Norbert Požár. On volume-preserving crystalline mean curvature flow. *Mathematische Annalen*, pages 1–42, 2021.
- [Koi21] Miyuki Koiso. *Uniqueness Problem for Closed Non-smooth Hypersurfaces with Constant Anisotropic Mean Curvature and Self-similar Solutions of Anisotropic Mean Curvature Flow*, pages 169–185. 05 2021.
- [LS95] Stephan Luckhaus and Thomas Sturzenhecker. Implicit time discretization for the mean curvature flow equation. *Calculus of variations and partial differential equations*, 3(2):253–271, 1995.
- [Mag12] Francesco Maggi. *Sets of Finite Perimeter and Geometric Variational Problems: An Introduction to Geometric Measure Theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [May01] Uwe F. Mayer. A Singular Example for the Averaged Mean Curvature Flow. *Experimental Mathematics*, 10(1):103 – 107, 2001.
- [MSS16] Luca Mugnai, Christian Seis, and Emanuele Spadaro. Global solutions to the volume-preserving mean-curvature flow. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 55(1):18, Feb 2016.
- [Pal17] Bennett Palmer. *Geometry and Materials*, pages 37–48. 01 2017.
- [PM14] Guido Philippis and Francesco Maggi. Dimensional estimates for singular sets in geometric variational problems with free boundaries. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 0, Jul 2014.

- [SSA77] R. Schoen, L. Simon, and F. J. Almgren. Regularity and singularity estimates on hypersurfaces minimizing parametric elliptic variational integrals. *Acta Mathematica*, 139(none):217 – 265, 1977.
- [Tak23] Keisuke Takasao. The existence of a weak solution to volume preserving mean curvature flow in higher dimensions. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 247(3):52, May 2023.
- [TWG72] L A Tarshis, J L Walker, and M F X Gigliotti. Solidification. *Annual Review of Materials Science*, 2(1):181–216, 1972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UCLA, LOS ANGELES, USA

Email address: `ericym@math.ucla.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SEOUL, SEOUL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `dh.dohyun.kwon@gmail.com`