

SUMS OF HURWITZ CLASS NUMBERS, CM MODULAR FORMS, AND PRIMES OF THE FORM $x^2 + ny^2$

MIKULÁŠ ZINDULKA

ABSTRACT. We consider sums of Hurwitz class numbers of the type $\sum_{t \equiv m \pmod{M}} H(4p - t^2)$, where M is composite. For $M = 6$ and 8 , we show that these sums can be expressed in terms of coefficients of CM cusp forms. This leads to explicit formulas which depend on the expression of p in the form $x^2 + ny^2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Modular forms found many applications in and outside of number theory. Given an arithmetic function $a(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $f(\tau) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$ be its generating function, where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. Provided that the series converges for τ in the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} , we may hope that f is a modular form, or that it at least satisfies a weight κ modularity for some $\kappa \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$.

The example relevant for this paper is the generating function

$$\mathcal{H}(\tau) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(n)q^n,$$

where $H(n)$ is the n th Hurwitz class number (defined in Section 2). It turns out that \mathcal{H} is not a modular form but a weight $\frac{3}{2}$ mock modular form. Mock modular forms have been the subject of an ongoing research interest, see for example [5, 6, 7, 21].

One motivation for studying Hurwitz class numbers comes from their connection to elliptic curves. If p is a prime, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and E is an elliptic curve over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^r} , then its *trace of Frobenius* is defined by

$$\mathrm{tr}(E) := p^r + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}).$$

By the Hasse bound, $|\mathrm{tr}(E)| \leq 2\sqrt{p^r}$. When counting elliptic curves, it is quite natural to weight E by 1 over the size of its automorphism group. Thus, for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ we let

$$N_A(p^r; t) := \sum_{\substack{E/\mathbb{F}_{p^r} \\ \mathrm{tr}(E)=t}} \frac{1}{\#\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^r}}(E)},$$

where the sum is taken over all elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_{p^r} with trace of Frobenius equal to t . If $p \geq 3$, $|t| \leq 2\sqrt{p^r}$ and $p \nmid t$, then by [12, Theorem 3],

$$2N_A(p^r; t) = H(4p^r - t^2).$$

The last equality can be used to show the identity

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} H(4p - t^2) = 2p$$

for a prime p [9, p. 154].

More generally, one can consider the sums

$$H_{m,M}(n) := \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ t \equiv m \pmod{M}}} H(4n - t^2),$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. In [1], Brown et al. proved explicit formulas for $H_{m,M}(p)$ when p is a prime and $M \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. They also conjectured a formula for $M = 5$ and gave an incomplete formula for $M = 7$. For example, if $M = 5$ and $m = 0$, then

$$H_{0,5}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p+1}{2} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{5}, \\ \frac{p+1}{3} & \text{if } p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}, \\ \frac{p-3}{2} & \text{if } p \equiv 4 \pmod{5}. \end{cases}$$

Date: May 14, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11E41, 11F11, 11F27, 11F37, 11G05.

Key words and phrases. Hurwitz class number, modular form, complex multiplication.

The author acknowledges support by Czech Science Foundation (GAČR) grant 21-00420M, Charles University project PRIMUS/24/SCI/010, GAUK project No. 134824, and the Charles University Research Centre program UNCE/24/SCI/022.

Bringmann and Kane [2] developed a unified approach to these sums for M prime, proved the conjecture for $M = 5$ and a complete formula for $M = 7$. Their technique was further simplified by Mertens [16] as an application of his development of the theory of Rankin-Cohen brackets of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ mock modular forms and weight $\frac{1}{2}$ modular forms.

Besides sums of Hurwitz class numbers, one can also consider higher moments. For $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let

$$H_{\kappa, m, M}(n) := \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ t \equiv m \pmod{M}}} H(4n - t^2)t^\kappa.$$

Kane and Pujahari gave an asymptotic formula for the even moments $H_{2k, m, M}(n)$ expressed in terms of the zeroth moment [11, Theorem 1.1], which they subsequently applied to elliptic curves, namely the weighted even moments with respect to the trace of Frobenius [11, Theorem 1.2]. Odd moments were similarly treated in [4, Theorem 1.4].

In this paper, we find explicit formulas for $H_{m, M}(p)$ for the composite moduli $M = 6$ and $M = 8$. Let us state our results for $m = 0$. The following is a famous fact from elementary number theory [8, p. 7]: If p is a prime, then

$$\begin{aligned} p = x^2 + y^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z} &\iff p = 2 \text{ or } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ p = x^2 + 2y^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z} &\iff p = 2 \text{ or } p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}, \\ p = x^2 + 3y^2, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z} &\iff p = 3 \text{ or } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

If p is an odd prime such that $p = x_0^2 + y_0^2$ with $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then exactly one of x_0, y_0 is odd, hence p is also of the form $x^2 + 4y^2$. If we require $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then this expression is unique. More generally, if $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and p is an odd prime of the form $x^2 + ny^2$, then there exists a unique solution to the equation $p = x^2 + ny^2$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ [8, Exercise 3.23].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime. For $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, let $p = x^2 + 3y^2$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. If χ_{-3} denotes the non-principal character modulo 3, then*

$$H_{0,6}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p+1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\chi_{-3}(x)x & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{2p-4}{3} & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 4.4. □

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime. For $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, let $p = x^2 + 4y^2$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. If χ_{-4} denotes the non-principal character modulo 4, then*

$$H_{0,8}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p+1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{-4}(x)x & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{p+1}{3} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p-3}{2} & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 4.6. □

We prove formulas of this type for all other m . It is interesting to note that for $M \leq 5$, the formulas are linear in p , while in our theorems we have the “error terms” $\frac{1}{3}\chi_{-3}(x)x$ and $\frac{1}{2}\chi_{-4}(x)x$ of size $O(\sqrt{p})$. As will become clear from the proof, they appear as coefficients of CM cusp forms. For example, $\frac{1}{3}\chi_{-3}(x)x$ comes from $\frac{1}{6}\Psi_3(\chi_{-3}, \tau)$, where

$$\Psi_3(\chi_{-3}, \tau) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{x^2+3y^2=n} \chi_{-3}(x)x \right) q^n = q - 4q^7 + 2q^{13} + 8q^{19} + O(q^{20})$$

(the inner sum runs over all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x^2 + 3y^2 = n$). This is a newform in the space $S_2(\Gamma_0(36))$ with complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, as we show in Lemma 4.1. A similar situation occurs for $M = 7$: the sum $H_{0,7}(p)$ depends on the coefficient of a newform in $S_2(\Gamma_0(49))$ with complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ [2, Corollary 4.5].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the preliminaries about Hurwitz class numbers, modular forms, and CM forms. Section 3 contains auxiliary lemmas. The explicit formulas for $H_{m, M}(p)$ are proved in Section 4.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was accomplished during a research visit to Professor Ben Kane at the University of Hong Kong. I would like to thank the Department of Mathematics for their hospitality and Ben Kane for his invitation and countless helpful suggestions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin by defining Hurwitz class numbers. Let \mathcal{Q}_D be the set of binary integral quadratic forms of discriminant D . For $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_D$, let Γ_Q be the stabilizer group of Q in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 2.1. Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$, $D \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$. The $|D|$ -th Hurwitz class number is defined by

$$H(|D|) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_D / \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \frac{1}{\omega_Q},$$

where

$$\omega_Q := \frac{|\Gamma_Q|}{2} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } Q = a(x^2 + y^2), \\ 3 & \text{if } Q = a(x^2 + xy + y^2), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We also set $H(0) := -\frac{1}{12}$ and $H(n) := 0$ if $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ or $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$.

We briefly summarize some basic facts about modular forms; for details, see [13, 17]. Let $F : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote a function on the upper half-plane. The *weight κ slash operator* is defined as follows: if $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$(F|_{\kappa}\gamma)(\tau) := (c\tau + d)^{-\kappa} F(\gamma\tau),$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and if $\kappa \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$(F|_{\kappa}\gamma)(\tau) := \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{2\kappa} \varepsilon_d^{2\kappa} (c\tau + d)^{-\kappa} F(\gamma\tau),$$

where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4)$, (\cdot) is the *extended Legendre symbol*, and

$$\varepsilon_d = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ i & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Let Γ be a congruence subgroup containing $T := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and such that $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_0(4)$ if $\kappa \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. We say that F satisfies *modularity of weight κ* on Γ with Nebentypus character χ if

$$F|_{\kappa} = \chi(d)F.$$

Moreover, if F is holomorphic on \mathbb{H} and $F(\tau)$ grows at most polynomially in v as $\tau := u + iv \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cup \{i\infty\}$, then F is called a *(holomorphic) modular form*. If F vanishes at the cusps of Γ , then it is called a *cuspidal form*. The modular (resp. cuspidal) forms of weight κ on Γ with character χ form a \mathbb{C} -vector space which will be denoted by $M_{\kappa}(\Gamma, \chi)$ (resp. $S_{\kappa}(\Gamma, \chi)$).

If F satisfies weight κ modularity and there exist holomorphic functions F_j for $0 \leq j \leq \ell$ such that $F(\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} F_j(\tau)v^{-j}$, then F is called an *almost holomorphic modular form* and the function F_0 is called a *quasimodular form*.

The *U-operator* is defined for $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $F(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$ by

$$(F|U_M)(\tau) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(Mn)q^n,$$

and the *V-operator* is defined for $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $F : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(F|V_M)(\tau) := F(M\tau).$$

Suppose that F satisfies weight κ modularity on $\Gamma_0(N_1) \cap \Gamma_1(N_2)$ with character χ , where $N_2 | N_1$ and where we assume $4 | N_1$ if $\kappa \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Then $F|U_M$ satisfies weight κ modularity on $\Gamma_0(\mathrm{lcm}(N_1, M)) \cap \Gamma_1(N_2)$ with character $\chi \cdot \left(\frac{M}{\cdot}\right)^{2\kappa}$, and $F|V_M$ satisfies weight κ modularity on $\Gamma_0(N_1 M) \cap \Gamma_1(N_2)$ with character $\chi \cdot \left(\frac{M}{\cdot}\right)^{2\kappa}$.

For a Dirichlet character ψ with modulus M , the *ψ -twist* of $F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$ is defined by

$$(F \otimes \psi)(\tau) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi(n)a(n)q^n.$$

If $F \in M_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$, then $F \otimes \psi \in M_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(NM^2), \chi\psi^2)$.

For $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the *sieving operator* $S_{M,m}$ is defined by

$$(F|S_{M,m})(\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(Mn + m)q^{Mn+m}.$$

We will show how this operator acts on spaces of modular forms in Lemma 3.5.

To check whether two modular forms in the same space are equal, we use the Sturm bound, which is a consequence of the valence formula.

Theorem 2.2 (Sturm bound, [20, Corollary 9.20]). *Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a congruence subgroup, and χ be a character. If $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$ and $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n)q^n$ are two modular forms in $M_{\kappa}(\Gamma, \chi)$ such that*

$$a(n) = b(n) \text{ for } 0 \leq n \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\kappa m}{12} \right\rfloor,$$

where $m = [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]$, then $f = g$.

In the situations where we apply Theorem 2.2, we use the following simple lemma to compute the index of the group Γ .

Lemma 2.3 ([3, Lemma 2.2]). *If $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $N_2 \mid N_1$, then*

$$[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(N_1) \cap \Gamma_1(N_2)] = N_1 \prod_{p \mid N_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \varphi(N_2),$$

where the product runs over all primes dividing N_1 . In particular,

$$[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(N_1)] = N_1 \prod_{p \mid N_1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Next, we recall the definition of CM modular form.

Definition 2.4 ([18, p. 34]). Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and χ be a Dirichlet character. Let $f(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(n)q^n$ in $S_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$, and assume that f is a newform. Let φ be a Dirichlet character modulo M for some $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. The form f has *complex multiplication by φ* if

$$\varphi(p)a(p) = a(p)$$

for all primes p in a set of primes of density 1.

If $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$, $D \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ is a quadratic field, and $\varphi = \left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right)$ is the quadratic character of K , then we say that f has *complex multiplication by K* .

The following theorem due to Hirzebruch and Zagier states that one can complete the generating function \mathcal{H} for the Hurwitz class numbers to obtain a *harmonic weak Maass form*. Since we will not use this theorem directly, we do not define harmonic weak Maass forms and instead refer to, e.g., [15, Definition 3.1].

Theorem 2.5 ([10, Theorem 2]). *The function*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}(\tau) := \mathcal{H}(\tau) + \frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{v}} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 4\pi n^2 v\right) q^{-n^2},$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the incomplete Gamma function, is a *harmonic Maass form of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$* .

If we let

$$\theta_{m,M}(\tau) := \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \equiv m \pmod{M}}} q^{n^2},$$

then the generating function

$$\mathcal{H}_{m,M}(\tau) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{m,M}(n) q^n$$

satisfies

$$\mathcal{H}_{m,M} = (\mathcal{H}\theta_{m,M})|U_4.$$

Definition 2.6 ([11, p. 5]). For F_1, F_2 transforming like modular forms of weight $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, respectively, define for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the k -th *Rankin-Cohen bracket*

$$[F_1, F_2]_k := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{\kappa_1 + k - 1}{k - j} \binom{\kappa_2 + k - 1}{j} F_1^{(j)} F_2^{(k-j)}$$

with $\binom{\alpha}{j} := \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{j! \Gamma(\alpha-j+1)}$. Then $[F_1, F_2]_k$ transforms like a modular form of weight $\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + 2k$.

Note that for $k = 0$, the Rankin-Cohen bracket $[F_1, F_2]_0$ is simply the product $F_1 F_2$. Let

$$\Lambda_{\ell, m, M}(\tau) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{\ell, m, M}(n) q^n, \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_{\ell, m, M}(n) := \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\substack{t > s \geq 0 \\ t^2 - s^2 = n \\ t \equiv \pm m \pmod{M}}} (t - s)^{\ell}.$$

The * next to the sum means that terms for $s = 0$ are taken with weight $\frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma 2.7 ([11, Lemma 3.3]). *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the function*

$$\left([\mathcal{H}, \theta_{m,M}]_k + 2^{-1-2k} \binom{2k}{k} \Lambda_{2k+1,m,M} \right) \Big|_{U_4}$$

is a holomorphic cusp form of weight $2 + 2k$ on $\Gamma_0(4M^2) \cap \Gamma_1(M)$ (resp. $\Gamma_0(4M^2)$) if $M \nmid m$ (resp. $M \mid m$) if $k > 0$ and quasimodular on that group if $k = 0$.

This result was originally stated by Mertens [16, p. 379] in the special case when $M = p$ is prime. Kane and Pujahari [11] pointed out that it remains valid for M composite and corrected the constant appearing in front of $\Lambda_{2k+1,m,M}$.

3. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

Lemma 2.7 for $k = 0$ is the main ingredient in our proof of explicit formulas like the one in Theorem 1.1. In this section, we find a different expression for $\Lambda_{\ell,m,M}$ which is more suitable for calculations. Similar formulas were given by Mertens in the case when $M = p$ is a prime, see [16, Proposition 7.2] and also [15, Proposition V.4.3]. It would be sufficient to evaluate $\Lambda_{\ell,m,M}$ only for $\ell = 1$. However, finding the evaluation for general ℓ presents very little additional difficulty.

For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $M, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, let

$$\mu_{\ell,a,b,M}(n) := \sum_{\substack{t > s \geq 1 \\ t^2 - s^2 = 4n \\ t \equiv a \pmod{M} \\ s \equiv b \pmod{M}}} (t - s)^\ell.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $M = 2^e M_1$, where $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $M_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ is odd. Let $f = 0$ if $e = 1$ and $f = e$ if $e \geq 2$. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be such that $\gcd(n, M) = 1$.*

- (1) *If a or b is odd, then $\mu_{\ell,a,b,M}(n) = 0$.*
- (2) *If $a = 2a_1$ and $b = 2b_1$, then*

$$\mu_{\ell,a,b,M}(n) = 2^\ell \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < \sqrt{n} \\ d \equiv a_1 - b_1 \pmod{2^{e-1}M_1}}} d^\ell$$

if $n \equiv a_1^2 - b_1^2 \pmod{2^f M_1}$ and $\mu_{\ell,a,b,M}(n) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. To prove (1), note that since we assume $\gcd(n, M) = 1$, the equality $\frac{(t-s)}{2} \frac{(t+s)}{2} = n$ implies that $\frac{t-s}{2}$ and $\frac{t+s}{2}$ are odd, hence t and s are even.

Next, we prove (2). First, suppose $e = 1$, hence $M = 2M_1$ for M_1 odd. If $\mu_{\ell,a,b,M}(n)$ is non-zero, then there exist $t > s \geq 1$, $t \equiv 2a_1 \pmod{M}$, $s \equiv 2b_1 \pmod{M}$ such that $t^2 - s^2 = 4n$, hence

$$n = \frac{(t-s)}{2} \frac{(t+s)}{2} \equiv (a_1 - b_1)(a_1 + b_1) \pmod{M_1}.$$

We show that if $n \equiv a_1^2 - b_1^2 \pmod{M_1}$, then

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\substack{t > s \geq 1 \\ t^2 - s^2 = 4n \\ t \equiv a \pmod{M} \\ s \equiv b \pmod{M}}} (t - s)^\ell = 2^\ell \sum_{\substack{t > s \geq 1 \\ \frac{(t-s)}{2} \frac{(t+s)}{2} = n \\ t \equiv 2a_1 \pmod{M} \\ s \equiv 2b_1 \pmod{M}}} \left(\frac{t-s}{2} \right)^\ell = 2^\ell \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < \sqrt{n} \\ d \equiv a_1 - b_1 \pmod{M_1}}} d^\ell.$$

Let us prove the second equality. If $t > s \geq 1$ are such that $t \equiv 2a_1 \pmod{M}$, $s \equiv 2b_1 \pmod{M}$, and $\frac{(t-s)}{2} \frac{(t+s)}{2} = n$, then we set $d = \frac{t-s}{2}$. We have $d | n$, $d < \sqrt{n}$, and $d \equiv a_1 - b_1 \pmod{M_1}$. Conversely, let $d | n$, $d < \sqrt{n}$ be such that $d \equiv a_1 - b_1 \pmod{M_1}$. By assumption, n is coprime to M , hence d is invertible modulo M_1 and $\frac{n}{d} \equiv a_1 + b_1 \pmod{M_1}$. We find t and s such that $\frac{t-s}{2} = d$ and $\frac{t+s}{2} = \frac{n}{d}$, which satisfy $t = \frac{n}{d} + d \equiv 2a_1 \pmod{M_1}$ and $s = \frac{n}{d} - d \equiv 2b_1 \pmod{M_1}$. Moreover, since n is odd, d and $\frac{n}{d}$ are odd, while t and s are even. Thus, $t \equiv 2a_1 \pmod{2M_1}$ and $s \equiv 2b_1 \pmod{2M_1}$.

Secondly, suppose $e \geq 2$. If $\mu_{\ell,a,b,M}(n)$ is non-zero, then there exist $t > s \geq 1$, $t \equiv 2a_1 \pmod{M}$, $s \equiv 2b_1 \pmod{M}$ such that $t^2 - s^2 = 4n$. If we let $t = Mv + 2a_1$ and $s = Mu + 2b_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \frac{(t-s)}{2} \frac{(t+s)}{2} = (2^{e-1}M_1(v-u) + a_1 - b_1) (2^{e-1}M_1(v+u) + a_1 + b_1) \\ &\equiv 2^{e-1}M_1(v-u)(a_1 + b_1) + 2^{e-1}M_1(v+u)(a_1 - b_1) + (a_1 - b_1)(a_1 + b_1) \equiv a_1^2 - b_1^2 \pmod{M}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that if $n \equiv a_1^2 - b_1^2 \pmod{M}$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{t>s\geq 1 \\ t^2-s^2=4n \\ t\equiv a \pmod{M} \\ s\equiv b \pmod{M}}} (t-s)^\ell = 2^\ell \sum_{\substack{t>s\geq 1 \\ \frac{(t-s)}{2} \frac{(t+s)}{2} = n \\ t\equiv 2a_1 \pmod{M} \\ s\equiv 2b_1 \pmod{M}}} \left(\frac{t-s}{2}\right)^\ell = 2^\ell \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<\sqrt{n} \\ d\equiv a_1-b_1 \pmod{2^{e-1}M_1}}} d^\ell.$$

Again, let us prove the second equality. If $t > s \geq 1$ are such that $t \equiv 2a_1 \pmod{M}$, $s \equiv 2b_1 \pmod{M}$, and $\frac{(t-s)}{2} \frac{(t+s)}{2} = n$, then we set $d = \frac{t-s}{2}$. We have $d | n$, $d < \sqrt{n}$, and $d \equiv a_1 - b_1 \pmod{2^{e-1}M_1}$. Conversely, let $d | n$, $d < \sqrt{n}$ be such that $d \equiv a_1 - b_1 \pmod{2^{e-1}M_1}$. We find t and s such that $\frac{t-s}{2} = d$ and $\frac{t+s}{2} = \frac{n}{d}$. Either $d \equiv a_1 - b_1 \pmod{M}$ or $d \equiv 2^{e-1}M_1 + a_1 - b_1 \pmod{M}$. In the first case, $\frac{n}{d} \equiv a_1 + b_1 \pmod{M}$, hence $t = \frac{n}{d} + d \equiv 2a_1 \pmod{M}$ and $s = \frac{n}{d} - d \equiv 2b_1 \pmod{M}$. In the second case,

$$(2^{e-1}M_1 + a_1 - b_1)(2^{e-1}M_1 + a_1 + b_1) \equiv 2^{e-1}M_1 \cdot 2a_1 + (a_1 - b_1)(a_1 + b_1) \equiv n \pmod{M},$$

hence $\frac{n}{d} \equiv 2^{e-1}M_1 + a_1 + b_1$, and again $t \equiv 2a_1 \pmod{M}$, $s \equiv 2b_1 \pmod{M}$. \square

Let

$$G_{\ell,m,M}(\tau) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{\ell,m,M}(n)q^n, \quad \text{where} \quad g_{\ell,m,M}(n) := \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d<\sqrt{n} \\ d\equiv \pm m \pmod{M}}} d^\ell$$

and

$$T_{\ell,m,M}(\tau) := \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n\equiv \pm m \pmod{M}}}^{\infty} n^\ell q^{n^2}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $M = 2^e M_1$, where $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $M_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ is odd. Let $f = 0$ if $e = 1$ and $f = e$ if $e \geq 2$ and let $\chi_{M,0}$ denote the principal character modulo M .*

(1) *If $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is odd, then*

$$\Lambda_{\ell,m,M}|U_4 \otimes \chi_{M,0} = 0.$$

(2) *If $m = 2m_1$, where $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then*

$$\Lambda_{\ell,m,M}|U_4 \otimes \chi_{M,0} = 2^\ell \sum_{\substack{b_1 \pmod{2^{e-1}M_1} \\ (m_1^2 - b_1^2, 2^{e-1}M_1) = 1}} G_{\ell, m_1 - b_1, 2^{e-1}M_1} |S_{2^f M_1, m_1^2 - b_1^2} |S_{2,1} + 2^{\ell-1} T_{\ell, m_1, 2^{e-1}M_1} \otimes \chi_{M,0}.$$

Proof. By definition, the left-hand side equals

$$\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n,M)=1}}^{\infty} \lambda_{\ell,m,M}(4n)q^n = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n,M)=1}}^{\infty} \sum_{\pm} \left(\sum_{\substack{t>s\geq 1 \\ t^2-s^2=4n \\ t\equiv \pm m \pmod{M}}} (t-s)^\ell + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{t\geq 1 \\ t^2=4n \\ t\equiv \pm m \pmod{M}}} t^\ell \right) q^n.$$

Let us split this sum into two, namely

$$\Sigma_1 := \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n,M)=1}}^{\infty} \sum_{\pm} \left(\sum_{\substack{t>s\geq 1 \\ t^2-s^2=4n \\ t\equiv \pm m \pmod{M}}} (t-s)^\ell \right) q^n,$$

$$\Sigma_2 := \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n,M)=1}}^{\infty} \sum_{\pm} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{t\geq 1 \\ t^2=4n \\ t\equiv \pm m \pmod{M}}} t^\ell \right) q^n.$$

We have

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{b \pmod{M}} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n,M)=1}}^{\infty} \sum_{\pm} \mu_{\ell, \pm m, b}(n) q^n.$$

Now we prove (1). Since m is odd, $\mu_{\ell, \pm m, b}(n) = 0$ by Lemma 3.1 (1). The inner sum in Σ_2 is also zero.

Next, we prove (2). By Lemma 3.1, $\mu_{\ell, \pm 2m_1, b}(n) = 0$ unless $b = 2b_1$ and $n \equiv m_1^2 - b_1^2 \pmod{2^f M_1}$, hence

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{b_1 \pmod{2^{e-1} M_1}} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \equiv m_1^2 - b_1^2 \pmod{2^f M_1}}}^{\infty} \sum_{\pm} \mu_{\ell, \pm 2m_1, 2b_1}(n) q^n.$$

Consider n such that $n \equiv m_1^2 - b_1^2 \pmod{2^f M_1}$. If $e \geq 2$, then $f = e$, and $(m_1^2 - b_1^2, 2^{e-1} M_1) = 1$ if and only if $(n, M) = 1$. However, if $e = 1$, then $f = 0$, and $(m_1^2 - b_1^2, 2^{e-1} M_1) = 1$ if and only if $(n, M_1) = 1$. Thus,

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{\substack{b_1 \pmod{2^{e-1} M_1} \\ (m_1^2 - b_1^2, 2^{e-1} M_1) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \equiv m_1^2 - b_1^2 \pmod{2^f M_1}}}^{\infty} \sum_{\pm} \mu_{\ell, \pm 2m_1, 2b_1}(n) q^n.$$

Using Lemma 3.1 and putting together the terms for b_1 and $-b_1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= \sum_{\substack{b_1 \pmod{2^{e-1} M_1} \\ (m_1^2 - b_1^2, 2^{e-1} M_1) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \equiv m_1^2 - b_1^2 \pmod{2^f M_1}}}^{\infty} \sum_{\pm} \left(2^\ell \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < \sqrt{n} \\ d \equiv \pm m_1 - b_1 \pmod{2^{e-1} M_1}}} d^\ell \right) q^n \\ &= 2^\ell \sum_{\substack{b_1 \pmod{2^{e-1} M_1} \\ (m_1^2 - b_1^2, 2^{e-1} M_1) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \equiv m_1^2 - b_1^2 \pmod{2^f M_1}}}^{\infty} \sum_{\pm} \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < \sqrt{n} \\ d \equiv \pm(m_1 - b_1) \pmod{2^{e-1} M_1}}} d^\ell \right) q^n \\ &= 2^\ell \sum_{\substack{b_1 \pmod{2^{e-1} M_1} \\ (m_1^2 - b_1^2, 2^{e-1} M_1) = 1}} G_{\ell, m_1 - b_1, 2^{e-1} M_1} | S_{2^f M_1, m_1^2 - b_1^2} | S_{2, 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $t = 2t_1$ in Σ_2 , we get

$$\Sigma_2 = 2^{\ell-1} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\substack{t_1 \geq 1 \\ t_1 \equiv \pm m_1 \pmod{2^{e-1} M_1} \\ (t_1, M) = 1}} t_1^\ell q^{t_1^2} = 2^{\ell-1} T_{\ell, m_1, 2^{e-1} M_1} \otimes \chi_{M, 0}. \quad \square$$

We apply Lemma 3.2 to the particular cases $M = 6$ and $M = 8$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\chi_{6,0}$ denotes the principal character modulo 6, then*

$$\Lambda_{\ell, m, 6} | U_4 \otimes \chi_{6,0} = \begin{cases} 2^{\ell+1} G_{\ell, 1, 3} | S_{6, 5} & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \equiv 1, 3, 5 \pmod{6} \\ 2^\ell G_{\ell, 1, 3} | S_{6, 1} + 2^{\ell-1} T_{\ell, 1, 6} & \text{if } m \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.2 with $e = 1$, $M_1 = 3$, and $f = 0$.

For $m \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, b_1 runs over $\{1, 2\}$, hence

$$\Lambda_{\ell, 0, 6} | U_4 \otimes \chi_{6,0} = 2^\ell (G_{\ell, -1, 3} | S_{3, -1} | S_{2, 1} + G_{\ell, -2, 3} | S_{3, -1} | S_{2, 1}) = 2^{\ell+1} G_{\ell, 1, 3} | S_{5, 6}.$$

For $m \equiv 1, 3, 5 \pmod{6}$, the function is zero by Lemma 3.2 (1).

For $m = 2m_1 \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$, only the term with $b_1 = 0$ appears in the sum, and we have

$$\Lambda_{\ell, m, 6} | U_4 \otimes \chi_{6,0} = 2^\ell G_{\ell, m_1, 3} | S_{3, m_1^2} | S_{2, 1} + 2^{\ell-1} T_{\ell, 1, 3} \otimes \chi_{6,0} = 2^\ell G_{\ell, 1, 3} | S_{6, 1} + 2^{\ell-1} T_{\ell, 1, 6}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\chi_{8,0}$ denotes the principal character modulo 8, then*

$$\Lambda_{\ell, m, 8} | U_4 \otimes \chi_{8,0} = \begin{cases} 2^{\ell+1} G_{\ell, 1, 4} | S_{8, 7} & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8}, \\ 2^\ell G_{\ell, 1, 4} | S_{4, 1} + 2^{\ell-1} T_{\ell, 1, 4} & \text{if } m \equiv 2, 6 \pmod{8}, \\ 2^{\ell+1} G_{\ell, 1, 4} | S_{8, 3} & \text{if } m \equiv 4 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.2 with $e = 3$, $M_1 = 1$, and $f = 3$.

For $m \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, b_1 runs over $\{1, 3\}$, hence

$$\Lambda_{\ell, 0, 8} | U_4 \otimes \chi_{8,0} = 2^\ell (G_{\ell, -1, 4} | S_{8, -1} + G_{\ell, -3, 4} | S_{8, -1}) = 2^{\ell+1} G_{\ell, 1, 4} | S_{8, 7}.$$

For m odd, the function is zero by Lemma 3.2 (1).

For $m = 2m_1 \equiv 2, 6 \pmod{8}$, b_1 runs over $\{0, 2\}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{\ell, m, 8}|U_4 \otimes \chi_{8,0} &= 2^\ell \left(G_{\ell, m_1, 4}|S_{8, m_1^2} + G_{\ell, m_1-2, 4}|S_{8, m_1^2-4} \right) + 2^{\ell-1} T_{\ell, m_1, 4} \\ &= 2^\ell (G_{\ell, 1, 4}|S_{8, 1} + G_{\ell, 1, 4}|S_{8, 5}) + 2^{\ell-1} T_{\ell, 1, 4} \\ &= 2^\ell G_{\ell, 1, 4}|S_{4, 1} + 2^{\ell-1} T_{\ell, 1, 4}.\end{aligned}$$

For $m = 2m_1 = 4$, b_1 runs over $\{1, 3\}$, hence

$$\Lambda_{\ell, 4, 8}|U_4 \otimes \chi_{8,0} = 2^\ell (G_{\ell, 1, 4}|S_{8, 3} + G_{\ell, -1, 4}|S_{8, -5}) = 2^{\ell+1} G_{\ell, 1, 4}|S_{8, 3}. \quad \square$$

To prove the explicit formulas for $H_{m, M}(p)$ in Section 4, we twist the quasimodular form in Lemma 2.7 by the principal character $\chi_{M,0}$. It is necessary to know to which space the resulting function belongs. The answer is provided by the next lemma. An analogous result for weight $\kappa \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ is [3, Lemma 2.3 (2)].

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$, $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and χ be a Dirichlet character of conductor N_χ such that $N_\chi \mid N_2 \mid N_1$. Let $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$ satisfies weight κ modularity on $\Gamma_0(N_1) \cap \Gamma_1(N_2)$ with character χ and*

$$\Gamma := \Gamma_0(\text{lcm}(N_1, M^2, MN_2, MN_\chi)) \cap \Gamma_1(\text{lcm}(N_2, M)),$$

then $f|S_{M,m}$ satisfies weight κ modularity on Γ with character χ . In particular, if $\chi_{M,0}$ denotes the principal character modulo M , then $f \otimes \chi_{M,0}$ satisfies weight κ modularity on Γ with character χ .

Moreover, if $M \mid 24$, then we can replace Γ with $\Gamma_0(\text{lcm}(N_1, M^2, MN_2, MN_\chi)) \cap \Gamma_1(N_2)$.

Proof. We show that if $\gamma := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(\text{lcm}(N_1, M^2, MN_2, MN_\chi)) \cap \Gamma_1(N_2)$, then

$$(f|S_{M,m})|_{\kappa}\gamma = \chi(d)f|S_{M,ma^2}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}(f|S_{M,m})(\tau) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)q^n \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\ell \pmod{M}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i m \ell}{M}} e^{\frac{2\pi i n \ell}{M}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\ell \pmod{M}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i m \ell}{M}} f\left(\tau + \frac{\ell}{M}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\ell \pmod{M}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i m \ell}{M}} f \Big|_{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ell \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\tau),\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(f|S_{M,m})|_{\kappa}\gamma = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\ell \pmod{M}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i m \ell}{M}} f \Big|_{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ell \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \gamma.$$

For every $\ell = 0, \dots, M-1$, let $\ell' := d^2 \ell$. Since $ad \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$, we have $a\ell' \equiv d\ell \pmod{M}$. Let γ' be a 2×2 matrix of determinant 1 such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ell \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \gamma = \gamma' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ell' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e.,

$$\gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ell \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\ell' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + \frac{c\ell}{M} & -\frac{\ell'a}{M} - \frac{c\ell\ell'}{M^2} + b + \frac{d\ell}{M} \\ c & -\frac{c\ell'}{M} + d \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition $a\ell' \equiv d\ell \pmod{M}$ together with $M^2 \mid c$ implies that the entries of γ' are integers. Because $MN_2 \mid c$, we have $a + \frac{c\ell}{M} \equiv a \equiv 1 \pmod{N_2}$ and $-\frac{c\ell'}{M} + d \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{N_2}$, and we get $\gamma' \in \Gamma_0(N_1) \cap \Gamma_1(N_2)$. Thus,

$$(f|S_{M,m})|_{\kappa}\gamma = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\ell \pmod{M}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i m \ell}{M}} f \Big|_{\kappa} \gamma' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ell' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \chi\left(\frac{-c\ell'}{M} + d\right) \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\ell \pmod{M}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i m \ell}{M}} f \Big|_{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ell' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We assume $MN_\chi \mid c$, hence $\chi\left(\frac{-c\ell'}{M} + d\right) = \chi(d)$. Changing the index of summation to ℓ' and using $\ell \equiv d^{-2}\ell' \equiv a^2\ell' \pmod{M}$, we get

$$(f|S_{M,m})|_{\kappa}\gamma = \chi(d) \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\ell' \pmod{M}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i ma^2\ell'}{M}} f \Big|_{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ell' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \chi(d)f|S_{M,ma^2}.$$

If we additionally assume $\gamma \in \Gamma_1(M)$, then $a \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$, hence

$$(f|S_{M,m})|_{\kappa}\gamma = \chi(d)f|S_{M,m}$$

and $f|S_{M,m}$ satisfies weight κ modularity on Γ . To prove the ‘‘moreover’’ part, we note that if $M \mid 24$, then $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$ for every a such that $(a, M) = 1$, hence $f|S_{M,ma^2} = f|S_{M,m}$. \square

4. EXPLICIT FORMULAS MODULO 6 AND 8

In this section, we prove the explicit formulas for $H_{m,M}(p)$, where $M \in \{6, 8\}$ and p is a prime. We begin by proving that certain functions are CM cusp forms and identify the spaces to which they belong.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and an odd Dirichlet character χ , let

$$\psi_k(\chi, n) := \sum_{x^2 + ky^2 = n} \chi(x)x,$$

where the sum runs over all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x^2 + ky^2 = n$, and

$$\Psi_k(\chi, \tau) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_k(\chi, n) q^n.$$

The $\frac{1}{2}$ in front of the sum normalizes it in such a way that the coefficient of q equals 1. If we set

$$\theta_0(\tau) := \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{y^2}$$

and

$$\theta(\chi, 1, \tau) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi(x) x q^{x^2},$$

then we see that

$$\Psi_k(\chi, \tau) = \theta(\chi, 1, \tau) \cdot (\theta_0|V_k)(\tau).$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let χ_{-3} and χ_{-4} denote the non-principal characters modulo 3 and 4, respectively. The functions $\Psi_k(\chi, \tau)$ satisfy the following:*

- (1) $\Psi_3(\chi_{-3}, \tau)$ is a newform in $S_2(\Gamma_0(36))$ and has complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ (LMFDB label 36.2.a.a).
- (2) $\Psi_4(\chi_{-4}, \tau)$ is a newform in $S_2(\Gamma_0(64))$ and has complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ (LMFDB label 64.2.a.a).
- (3) $\Psi_2(\chi_{-4}, \tau) \in S_2(\Gamma_0(64), (\frac{2}{\cdot}))$. It satisfies

$$\Psi_2(\chi_{-4}, \tau) = \frac{f(\tau) + \bar{f}(\tau)}{2} + \frac{f(\tau) - \bar{f}(\tau)}{2i},$$

where $f(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$ is the newform with LMFDB label 64.2.b.a and $\bar{f}(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{a(n)}q^n$. The form f has complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

Proof. If χ is an odd character of conductor N_χ , then by [17, Theorem 1.44], $\theta(\chi, 1, \tau) \in S_{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4N_\chi^2), \chi\chi_{-4})$. By [17, Proposition 1.41], $\theta_0(\tau) \in M_{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$, hence $(\theta_0|V_k)(\tau) \in M_{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4k), (\frac{k}{\cdot}))$.

Thus, if $k = 3$ and $\chi = \chi_{-3}$, then $\theta(\chi_{-3}, 1, \tau) \in S_{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma_0(36), (\frac{12}{\cdot}))$ and $(\theta_0|V_3)(\tau) \in M_{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(12), (\frac{3}{\cdot}))$, hence $\Psi_3(\chi_{-3}, \tau) \in S_2(\Gamma_0(36))$.

If $\chi = \chi_{-4}$, then $\theta(\chi_{-4}, 1, \tau) \in S_{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma_0(64), \chi_{-4}^2) = S_{\frac{3}{2}}(\Gamma_0(64))$. For $k = 4$, we get $(\theta_0|V_4)(\tau) \in M_{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(16))$, hence $\Psi_4(\chi_{-4}, \tau) \in S_2(\Gamma_0(64))$.

For $k = 2$, we get $(\theta_0|V_2)(\tau) \in M_{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(8), (\frac{2}{\cdot}))$, hence $\Psi_2(\chi_{-4}, \tau) \in S_2(\Gamma_0(64), (\frac{2}{\cdot}))$.

Next, we identify these forms with forms in the LMFDB [14]. We have $[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(36)] = 72$ and $[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(64)] = 96$ by Lemma 2.3. To show that two forms on $\Gamma_0(36)$ (respectively $\Gamma_0(64)$) are equal by Theorem 2.2, we must check the first $\frac{72}{6} = 12$ (respectively $\frac{96}{6} = 16$) coefficients. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_3(\chi_{-3}, \tau) &= q - 4q^7 + O(q^{12}), \\ \Psi_4(\chi_{-4}, \tau) &= q + 2q^5 - 3q^9 - 6q^{13} + O(q^{16}), \\ \Psi_2(\chi_{-4}, \tau) &= q + 2q^3 - q^9 - 6q^{11} + O(q^{16}), \end{aligned}$$

$\Psi_3(\chi_{-3}, \tau)$ is equal to the form with LMFDB label 36.2.a.a. and $\Psi_4(\chi_{-4}, \tau)$ to the form with LMFDB label 64.2.a.a. These are newforms with complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, respectively. Finally, the form f with LMFDB label 64.2.b.a has q -expansion

$$f(\tau) = q + 2iq^3 - q^9 - 6iq^{11} + O(q^{16}),$$

hence

$$\bar{f}(\tau) = q - 2iq^3 - q^9 + 6iq^{11} + O(q^{16}).$$

The formula for $\Psi_2(\chi_{-4}, \tau)$ follows. □

The function

$$D(\tau) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n)q^n,$$

where $\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d$, is an example of a quasimodular form. Let

$$E_2(\tau) := 1 - 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n)q^n$$

be the Eisenstein series of weight 2. If $\tau = u + iv$, then

$$\widehat{E}_2(\tau) := E_2(\tau) - \frac{3}{\pi v}$$

satisfies weight 2 modularity on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ [13, p. 113]. We have $D(\tau) = \frac{1}{24} - \frac{1}{24}E_2(\tau)$, hence

$$\widehat{D}(\tau) := D(\tau) - \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{8\pi v} = -\frac{1}{24}\widehat{E}_2(\tau)$$

also satisfies weight 2 modularity on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 4.2. *If $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $M \nmid m$, then $D|S_{M,m} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(M^2))$. In particular, if $\chi_{M,0}$ denotes the principal character modulo M , then $D \otimes \chi_{M,0} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(M^2))$.*

Proof. We have

$$E_2(\tau) - ME_2(M\tau) = \widehat{E}_2(\tau) - M\widehat{E}_2(M\tau).$$

The left-hand side is holomorphic and the right-hand side satisfies weight 2 modularity on $\Gamma_0(M)$, hence $E_2 - ME_2|V_M$ is a holomorphic modular form and belongs to $M_2(\Gamma_0(M))$. Since $M \nmid m$, we get

$$E_2|S_{M,m} = (E_2 - ME_2|V_M)|S_{M,m} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(M^2))$$

by Lemma 3.5, hence also $D|S_{M,m} = -\frac{1}{24}E_2|S_{M,m} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(M^2))$. \square

It is enough to determine $H_{m,M}(n)$ for $0 \leq m \leq \frac{M}{2}$ since, by definition,

$$H_{m,M}(n) = \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ t \equiv m \pmod{M}}} H(4n - t^2) = H_{-m,M}(n).$$

Proposition 4.3. *If $\chi_{6,0}$ denotes the principal character modulo 6, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}\theta_{0,6})|U_4 \otimes \chi_{6,0} + 2G_{1,1,3}|S_{6,5} &= \frac{1}{3}D|S_{6,1} + \frac{2}{3}D|S_{6,5} + \frac{1}{6}\Psi_3(\chi_{-3}, \cdot), \\ (\mathcal{H}\theta_{1,6})|U_4 \otimes \chi_{6,0} &= \frac{1}{4}D|S_{6,1} + \frac{1}{6}D|S_{6,5} + \frac{1}{12}\Psi_3(\chi_{-3}, \cdot), \\ (\mathcal{H}\theta_{2,6})|U_4 \otimes \chi_{6,0} + G_{1,1,3}|S_{6,1} + \frac{1}{2}T_{1,1,6} &= \frac{1}{2}D|S_{6,1} + \frac{1}{3}D|S_{6,5} - \frac{1}{12}\Psi_3(\chi_{-3}, \cdot), \\ (\mathcal{H}\theta_{3,6})|U_4 \otimes \chi_{6,0} &= \frac{1}{6}D|S_{6,1} + \frac{1}{3}D|S_{6,5} - \frac{1}{6}\Psi_3(\chi_{-3}, \cdot). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove in detail the first formula. By Lemma 2.7, the function

$$\left(\mathcal{H}\theta_{0,6} + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1,0,6} \right) \Big| U_4$$

is a quasimodular form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(4 \cdot 6^2)$. Since 6 divides 24,

$$\left(\mathcal{H}\theta_{0,6} + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1,0,6} \right) \Big| U_4 \otimes \chi_{6,0}$$

also satisfies weight 2 modularity on $\Gamma_0(4 \cdot 6^2)$ by the ‘‘moreover’’ part of Lemma 3.5. By Lemma 3.3,

$$\Lambda_{1,0,6}|U_4 \otimes \chi_{6,0} = 4G_{1,1,3}|S_{6,5},$$

hence

$$(\mathcal{H}\theta_{0,6})|U_4 \otimes \chi_{6,0} + 2G_{1,1,3}|S_{6,5} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(4 \cdot 36)).$$

By Lemma 4.2, $D|S_{6,r} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(36))$ for $r \in \{1, 5\}$, and by Lemma 4.1 (1), $\Psi_3(\chi_{-3}, \tau) \in S_2(\Gamma_0(36))$. Thus, both the left-hand side and the right-hand side are modular forms in $M_2(\Gamma_0(4 \cdot 36))$.

If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, then the coefficient of q^n on the left-hand side equals $H_{0,6}(n)$, while the coefficient of q^n on the right-hand side equals $\frac{1}{3}\sigma(n) + \frac{1}{12}\psi_3(\chi_{-3}, n)$.

If $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, then the coefficient of q^n on the left-hand side equals $H_{0,6}(n) + 2g_{1,0,6}(n)$, while the coefficient of q^n on the right-hand side equals $\frac{2}{3}\sigma(n) + \frac{1}{12}\psi_3(\chi_{-3}, n)$.

We have $[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(4 \cdot 36)] = 288$ by Lemma 2.3, hence we have to compare the first $\frac{288}{6} = 48$ coefficients to conclude that the two modular forms are equal by Theorem 2.2. The proof of the remaining formulas is similar. \square

We checked that the coefficients of the forms on both sides are equal in SageMath [19]. The code is available at <https://github.com/zimnik/Hurwitz-class-numbers>.

Now we prove the explicit formulas for $H_{m,6}(p)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime. For $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, let $p = x^2 + 3y^2$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. If χ_{-3} denotes the non-principal character modulo 3, then*

$$H_{m,6}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p+1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\chi_{-3}(x) & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{2p-4}{3} & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, & p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{p+1}{4} + \frac{1}{6}\chi_{-3}(x) & \text{if } m \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{p+1}{6} & \text{if } m \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}, & p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{1}{6}\chi_{-3}(x) & \text{if } m \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{p+1}{3} & \text{if } m \equiv 2, 3, 4 \pmod{6}, & p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{p+1}{6} - \frac{1}{3}\chi_{-3}(x) & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We prove the formula in the case $m \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. It follows from Proposition 4.3 that if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, then

$$H_{0,6}(p) = \frac{1}{3}\sigma(p) + \frac{1}{12}\psi_3(\chi_{-3}, p) = \frac{p+1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\chi_{-3}(x)x$$

and if $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, then

$$H_{0,6}(p) + 2 = \frac{2}{3}\sigma(p) = \frac{2p+2}{3}.$$

The other cases follow from the other formulas in Proposition 4.3. \square

Proposition 4.5. *If $\chi_{8,0}$ denotes the principal character modulo 8, then*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}\theta_{0,8})|U_4 \otimes \chi_{8,0} + 2G_{1,1,4}|S_{8,7} &= \frac{1}{4}D|S_{4,1} + \frac{1}{3}D|S_{8,3} + \frac{1}{2}D|S_{8,7} + \frac{1}{4}\Psi_4(\chi_{-4}, \cdot), \\ (\mathcal{H}\theta_{1,8})|U_4 \otimes \chi_{8,0} &= \frac{1}{6}D \otimes \chi_{8,0} + \frac{1}{6}\Psi_2(\chi_{-4}, \cdot), \\ (\mathcal{H}\theta_{2,8})|U_4 \otimes \chi_{8,0} + G_{1,1,4}|S_{4,1} + \frac{1}{2}T_{1,1,4} &= \frac{5}{12}D|S_{4,1} + \frac{1}{4}D|S_{4,3}, \\ (\mathcal{H}\theta_{3,8})|U_4 \otimes \chi_{8,0} &= \frac{1}{6}D \otimes \chi_{8,0} - \frac{1}{6}\Psi_2(\chi_{-4}, \cdot), \\ (\mathcal{H}\theta_{4,8})|U_4 \otimes \chi_{8,0} + 2G_{1,1,4}|S_{8,3} &= \frac{1}{4}D|S_{4,1} + \frac{1}{2}D|S_{8,3} + \frac{1}{3}D|S_{8,7} - \frac{1}{4}\Psi_4(\chi_{-4}, \cdot). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us prove the first formula. By Lemma 2.7, the function

$$\left(\mathcal{H}\theta_{0,8} + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1,0,8} \right) \Big| U_4$$

is a quasimodular form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(4 \cdot 8^2)$. Since 8 divides 24,

$$\left(\mathcal{H}\theta_{0,8} + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1,0,8} \right) \Big| U_4 \otimes \chi_{8,0}$$

satisfies weight 2 modularity on $\Gamma_0(4 \cdot 64)$ by the ‘‘moreover’’ part of Lemma 3.5. By Lemma 3.4,

$$\Lambda_{1,0,8}|U_4 \otimes \chi_{8,0} = 4G_{1,1,4}|S_{8,7},$$

hence

$$(\mathcal{H}\theta_{0,8})|U_4 \otimes \chi_{8,0} + 2G_{1,1,4}|S_{8,7} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(4 \cdot 64)).$$

By Lemma 4.2, $D|S_{4,1} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(16))$ and $D|S_{8,r} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(64))$ for $r \in \{3, 7\}$. By Lemma 4.1 (2), $\Psi_4(\chi_{-4}, \tau) \in S_2(\Gamma_0(64))$. Thus, both the left-hand side and the right-hand side are modular forms in $M_2(\Gamma_0(4 \cdot 64))$.

The coefficient of q^n on the left-hand side equals

$$H_{0,8}(n) + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3, 5 \pmod{8}, \\ 2g_{1,1,4}(n) & \text{if } n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

while the coefficient of q^n on the right-hand side equals

$$\frac{1}{8}\psi_4(\chi_{-4}, n) + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}\sigma(n) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{1}{3}\sigma(n) & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{2}\sigma(n) & \text{if } n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

We have $[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(4 \cdot 64)] = 384$ by Lemma 2.3, hence we have to check the first $\frac{384}{6} = 64$ coefficients to conclude that the two modular forms are equal by Theorem 2.2.

We also prove the second formula. By Lemma 2.7, the function

$$\left(\mathcal{H}\theta_{1,8} + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1,1,8} \right) \Big|_{U_4}$$

is a quasimodular form of weight 2 on $\Gamma_0(4 \cdot 8^2) \cap \Gamma_1(8)$. By Lemma 3.4,

$$\Lambda_{1,1,8} \Big|_{U_4} \otimes \chi_{8,0} = 0,$$

hence if we let $\Gamma(8) := \Gamma_0(4 \cdot 64) \cap \Gamma_1(8)$, then by Lemma 3.5,

$$(\mathcal{H}\theta_{1,8}) \Big|_{U_4} \otimes \chi_{8,0} \in M_2(\Gamma(8)).$$

By Lemma 4.2, $D \otimes \chi_{8,0} \in M_2(\Gamma_0(64)) \subset M_2(\Gamma(8))$. By Lemma 4.1 (3), $\Psi_2(\chi_{-4}, \tau) \in S_2(\Gamma_0(64), (\frac{2}{d}))$. We have $M_2(\Gamma_0(64), (\frac{2}{d})) \subset M_2(\Gamma(8))$ because if $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(8)$, then $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, hence $(\frac{2}{d}) = 1$. Thus, both sides belong to $M_2(\Gamma(8))$ and the rest of the proof is similar. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime. For $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, let $p = x^2 + 4y^2$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. If χ_{-4} denotes the non-principal character modulo 4, then*

$$H_{m,8}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p+1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{-4}(x)x & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{p+1}{3} & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, & p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p-3}{2} & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, & p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{5p-7}{12} & \text{if } m \equiv 2, 6 \pmod{8}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{p+1}{4} & \text{if } m \equiv 2, 6 \pmod{8}, & p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{p+1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\chi_{-4}(x)x & \text{if } m \equiv 4 \pmod{8}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{p-3}{2} & \text{if } m \equiv 4 \pmod{8}, & p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p+1}{3} & \text{if } m \equiv 4 \pmod{8}, & p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We prove the formula in the case $m \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. It follows from Proposition 4.5 that if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then

$$H_{0,8}(p) = \frac{1}{4}\sigma(p) + \frac{1}{8}\psi_4(\chi_{-4}, p) = \frac{p+1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\chi_{-4}(x)x,$$

if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, then

$$H_{0,8}(p) = \frac{1}{3}\sigma(p) = \frac{p+1}{3},$$

and if $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, then

$$H_{0,8}(p) + 2 = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(p) = \frac{p+1}{2}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.7. *Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime. For $p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$, let $p = x^2 + 2y^2$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. If χ_{-4} denotes the non-principal character modulo 4, then*

$$H_{m,8}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p+1}{6} + \frac{1}{3}\chi_{-4}(x)x & \text{if } m \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{8}, & p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p+1}{6} & \text{if } m \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \pmod{8}, & p \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p+1}{6} - \frac{1}{3}\chi_{-4}(x)x & \text{if } m \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}, & p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We prove the formula in the case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. By Proposition 4.5,

$$H_{1,8}(p) = \frac{1}{6}\sigma(p) + \frac{1}{12}\psi_2(\chi_{-4}, p) = \frac{p+1}{6} + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}\chi_{-4}(x)x & \text{if } p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Brown, N. Calkin, T. Flowers, K. James, E. Smith, and A. Stout, *Elliptic curves, modular forms, and sums of Hurwitz class numbers*, J. Number Theory **128** (2008), 1847–1863.
- [2] K. Bringmann and B. Kane, *Sums of class numbers and mixed mock modular forms*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **167** (2019), 321–333.
- [3] K. Bringmann and B. Kane, *Class numbers and representations by ternary quadratic forms with congruence conditions*, Math. Comp. **91** (2021), 295–329.
- [4] K. Bringmann, B. Kane, and S. Pujahari, *Odd moments for the trace of Frobenius and the Sato-Tate conjecture in arithmetic progressions*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.08205> (2021).
- [5] K. Bringmann and K. Ono, *The $f(q)$ mock theta function conjecture and partition ranks*, Invent. Math. **165** (2006), 243–266.
- [6] K. Bringmann and K. Ono, *Dyson's rank and Maass forms*, Ann. of Math. **171** (2010), 419–449.
- [7] J. Bruinier and J. Funke, *On two geometric theta lifts*, Duke Math. J. **125** (2004), 45–90.
- [8] D. Cox, *Primes of the Form $x^2 + ny^2$, Fermat, class field theory, and complex multiplication*, Pure Appl. Math., Wiley, second edition, 2013.
- [9] M. Eichler, *On the class of imaginary quadratic fields and the sums of divisors of natural numbers*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **19** (1955), 153–180.

- [10] F. Hirzebruch and D. Zagier, *Intersection numbers of curves on Hilbert modular surfaces and modular forms of Nebentypus*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 57–113.
- [11] B. Kane and S. Pujahari, *Distribution of moments of Hurwitz class numbers in arithmetic progressions and holomorphic projection*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.15325v2> (2022).
- [12] N. Kaplan and I. Petrow, *Traces of Hecke operators and refined weight enumerators of Reed-Solomon codes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **370** (2018), 2537–2561.
- [13] N. Koblitz, *Introduction to elliptic curves and modular forms*, Graduate texts in Math. **97**, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [14] The LMFDB Collaboration, *The L-functions and modular forms database*, <https://www.lmfdb.org>, 2024.
- [15] M. Mertens, *Mock Modular Forms and Class Numbers of Quadratic Forms*, PhD thesis, Universität zu Köln, 2014.
- [16] M. Mertens, *Eichler-Selberg type identities for mixed mock modular forms*, Adv. Math. **301** (2016), 359–382.
- [17] K. Ono, *The web of modularity: arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and q -series*, CMBS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **102** (2004), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA.
- [18] K. Ribet, *Galois representations attached to eigenforms with Nebentypus*, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. **601** (1977), 17–51.
- [19] SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.5), the Sage developers, <https://www.sagemath.org>, 2024.
- [20] W. Stein, *Modular forms, a computational approach*, Grad. Stud. Math. **79** (2007), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA.
- [21] S. Zwegers, *Mock theta functions*, PhD thesis, Utrecht University, 2002.

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: mikulas.zindulka@matfyz.cuni.cz