

A GENERATION THEOREM FOR THE PERTURBATION OF STRONGLY CONTINUOUS SEMIGROUPS BY UNBOUNDED OPERATORS

XUAN-QUANG BUI, NGUYEN DUC HUY, VU TRONG LUONG,
AND NGUYEN VAN MINH

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the well-posedness of the evolution equation of the form $u'(t) = Au(t) + Cu(t)$, $t \geq 0$, where A is the generator of a C_0 -semigroup and C is a (possibly unbounded) linear operator in a Banach space \mathbb{X} . We prove that if A generates a C_0 -semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$ in a Banach space \mathbb{X} and C is a linear operator in \mathbb{X} such that $D(A) \subset D(C)$ and $\|CR(\mu, A)\| \leq K/(\mu - \omega)$ for each $\mu > \omega$, then, the above-mentioned evolution equation is well-posed, that is, $A + C$ generates a C_0 -semigroup $(T_{A+C}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying $\|T_{A+C}(t)\| \leq Me^{(\omega+MK)t}$. Our approach is to use the Hille-Yosida Theorem. Discussions on the persistence of asymptotic behavior of the perturbed equations such as the roughness of exponential dichotomy are also given. The obtained results seem to be new.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this paper we study the well-posedness of the evolution equation of the form

$$(1.1) \quad u'(t) = Au(t) + Cu(t), t \geq 0,$$

where A is the generator of a C_0 -semigroup and C is a (possibly unbounded) linear operator in a Banach space \mathbb{X} . To be precise, we will find conditions on A and C so that $A + C$ generates a C_0 -semigroup of linear operators in \mathbb{X} . We then go further studying the "size" of (possibly unbounded) perturbation C under which an asymptotic behavior (exponential dichotomy) of the unperturbed equation persists.

Perturbation of strongly continuous semigroups is one of the central topics in the semigroup theory. We refer the reader to some classic results in [3] [5, p. 631–641], [6, Chapter III], [7, 8, 9], [11] and their references for more information. The case of unbounded perturbation is of particular interest as it is very hard to measure the size of the perturbation. In this note we will go further in this direction to study some conditions for the operator $A + C$ to generate a strongly continuous semigroup. Our approach is to use the Hille-Yosida Theorem for the perturbed semigroups rather than using the Variation-of-Parameters Formula to prove the perturbed operator generates a C_0 -semigroup. Given an operator A that generates a C_0 -semigroup we propose a norm for a linear space of (possibly unbounded) linear operators associated with A (see Definition 3.1 and Condition (ii) of Theorem 2.4) that can be used to measure the size of the perturbation for which the operator $A + C$ generates a C_0 semigroup and that can be used to study the persistence of exponential dichotomy under perturbation. We then discuss this "size" with the so

Date: May 14, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 47D06.

Key words and phrases. Strongly continuous semigroup, perturbation, generation theorem. The authors would like to thank.

call Yosida distance between linear operators that was introduced recently to study the perturbation of asymptotic behavior of C_0 -semigroups. Finally, we revisit an example in [5, Example p. 639] to illustrate our result, and more generally, a class of unbounded perturbation discussed in [5, p. 630]. Our main result is Theorem 2.4 that seems to be new.

In the paper we use some standard notations: \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C} stand for the fields of real and complex numbers, respectively. By \mathbb{X} we often denote a Banach space over \mathbb{C} with norm $\|\cdot\|$. The space $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$ of all bounded linear operators on \mathbb{X} with norm $\|\cdot\|$, by abuse of notation for our convenience if this does not cause any confusion. For a linear operator A in \mathbb{X} we denote by $D(A)$ its domain, and $\sigma(A)$ and $\rho(A)$ its spectrum and resolvent set, respectively. If $\mu \in \rho(A)$, then $R(\mu, A) := (\mu - A)^{-1}$. We recall the Hille–Yosida Theorem for the generation of strongly continuous semigroups that we will use later on (see [10, Theorem 5.3, p. 20], [12])

Theorem 1.1 (Hille–Yosida Theorem). *A linear operator A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, if and only if*

- i) A is closed and $D(A)$ is dense in \mathbb{X} ;
- ii) the resolvent set $\rho(A)$ of A contains the ray (ω, ∞) and

$$(1.2) \quad \|R(\lambda, A)^n\| \leq \frac{M}{(\lambda - \omega)^n}, \quad \text{for all } \lambda > \omega, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Note that when $M = 1$ the inequality (1.2) can be simplified to be

$$(1.3) \quad \|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - \omega}, \quad \text{for all } \lambda > \omega.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

To prove the main result (Theorem 2.4) we need the following lemmas that deal with several special cases.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in \mathbb{X} such that for all $t \geq 0$*

$$\|T(t)\| \leq e^{\omega t}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where ω is a given real number. Assume further that C is a linear operator in \mathbb{X} such that

- i) $D(A) \subset D(C)$;
- ii) There exist a constant $K > 0$ such that if $\mu > \omega$, then

$$(2.1) \quad \|CR(\mu, A)\| \leq \frac{K}{\mu - \omega}.$$

Then, $A + C$ generates a C_0 -semigroup, denoted by $(T_{A+C}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ that satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \|T_{A+C}(t)\| \leq e^{(\omega+K)t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof. First, we will prove

$$(2.3) \quad \rho(A + C) \supset (K + \omega, \infty).$$

In fact, for each $\mu > K + \omega$, as $K > 0$ we have $\mu \in \rho(A)$, and

$$(2.4) \quad (\mu - (A + C))R(\mu, A) = \mu R(\mu, A) - AR(\mu, A) - CR(\mu, A) = I - CR(\mu, A)$$

so,

$$(2.5) \quad (\mu - (A + C)) = [I - CR(\mu, A)](\mu - A)$$

Therefore, for $\mu > K + \omega$ we have $K/(\mu - \omega) < 1$, so $\|CR(\mu, A)\| < 1$. Consequently, the operator $I - CR(\mu, A)$ is invertible, and thus the operator $(\mu - (A + C))$ is invertible as well, and (2.3) follows. In particular, this also yields that $A + C$ is a closed operator (as $\rho(A + C) \neq \emptyset$).

Next, for each $\mu > K + \omega$, it is easy to verify the identity

$$(2.6) \quad R(\mu, A + C) - R(\mu, A) = R(\mu, A + C)CR(\mu, A).$$

Hence,

$$(2.7) \quad R(\mu, A + C)[I - CR(\mu, A)] = R(\mu, A).$$

By assumption (2.19), for $\mu > K + \omega$ as $\|CR(\mu, A)\| \leq K/(\mu - \omega) < 1$, the operator $[I - CR(\mu, A)]$ is invertible and

$$(2.8) \quad \|[I - CR(\mu, A)]^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - K/(\mu - \omega)}.$$

Consequently, for all $\mu > K + \omega$

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|R(\mu, A + C)\| &= \left\| R(\mu, A)[I - CR(\mu, A)]^{-1} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu - \omega} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - K/(\mu - \omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu - (\omega + K)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Hille–Yosida Generation Theorem, since $D(A) \subset D(A + C)$ is densely everywhere in \mathbb{X} , (2.9) yields that the closed linear operator $A + C$ generate a C_0 -semigroup, denoted by $(T_{A+C}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ that satisfies

$$(2.10) \quad \|T_{A+C}(t)\| \leq e^{(K+\omega)t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in \mathbb{X} such that*

$$\|T(t)\| \leq M, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $M \geq 1$ is a given real number. Assume further that C is a linear operator in \mathbb{X} such that

- i) $D(A) \subset D(C)$;
- ii) There exist a constant $K > 0$ such that if $\mu > 0$, then

$$(2.11) \quad \|CR(\mu, A)\| \leq \frac{K}{\mu}.$$

Then, $A + C$ generates a C_0 -semigroup, denoted by $(T_{A+C}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ that satisfies

$$(2.12) \quad \|T_{A+C}(t)\| \leq Me^{MKt}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof. We re-norm \mathbb{X} by using

$$|x| := \sup_{t \geq 0} \|T_A(t)x\|, \quad x \in \mathbb{X}.$$

Then, as is well known, for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$,

$$(2.13) \quad \|x\| \leq |x| \leq M\|x\|$$

and $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a C_0 -semigroup of contraction in $(\mathbb{X}, |\cdot|)$. Next, for each $\mu > 0$ we have

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} |CR(\mu, A)x| &\leq M \|CR(\mu, A)x\| \\ &\leq M \cdot \frac{K}{\mu} \|x\| \leq \frac{MK}{\mu} |x| \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, the closed operator $A+C$ generates a C_0 -semigroup in $(\mathbb{X}, |\cdot|)$ that satisfies

$$|T_{A+C}(t)| \leq e^{MKt}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Therefore, by (2.13)

$$(2.15) \quad \|T_{A+C}(t)x\| \leq |T_{A+C}(t)x| \leq e^{MKt}|x| \leq Me^{MKt}\|x\|.$$

□

Remark 2.3. If C is a bounded linear operator, the estimate (2.12) can be improved a little bit. In fact, in this case K can be replaced with $M\|C\|$. Next, in (2.14) we can improve the estimate as follows: Since the semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in $(\mathbb{X}, |\cdot|)$ is an contraction semigroup, $|R(\mu, A)| \leq 1/\mu$, so

$$(2.16) \quad |CR(\mu, A)x| \leq |C| \cdot |R(\mu, A)x| \leq \frac{|C|}{\mu} |x|$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1,

$$(2.17) \quad \|T_{A+C}(t)x\| \leq |T_{A+C}(t)x| \leq e^{|C|t}|x| \leq Me^{|C|t}\|x\|.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |Cx| &:= \sup_{t \geq 0} |T(t)Cx| = M \|Cx\| \\ &\leq M \|C\| |x|. \end{aligned}$$

That means, $|C| \leq M\|C\|$, and thus,

$$(2.18) \quad \|T_{A+C}(t)\| \leq Me^{M\|C\|t}.$$

For the general C_0 -semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ we have the following theorem that is the main result of the paper:

Theorem 2.4. *Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in \mathbb{X} such that for all $t \geq 0$*

$$\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where ω is a given real number. Assume further that C is a linear operator in \mathbb{X} such that

- i) $D(A) \subset D(C)$;
- ii) *There exist a constant $K > 0$ such that if $\mu > \omega$, then*

$$(2.19) \quad \|CR(\mu, A)\| \leq \frac{K}{\mu - \omega}.$$

Then, $A+C$ generates a C_0 -semigroup, denoted by $(T_{A+C}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ that satisfies

$$(2.20) \quad \|T_{A+C}(t)\| \leq Me^{(\omega+MK)t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Set $S(t) := e^{-\omega t}T_A(t)$. The generator of $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is $B := A - \omega$. We now apply Lemma 2.2 to the semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$. In fact, for $\mu > 0$, we have

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \|CR(\mu, B)\| &= \|CR(\mu + \omega, A)\| \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\mu + \omega - \omega} = \frac{K}{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, the operator $B+C$ generates a C_0 -semigroup $(T_{B+C}(t))_{t \geq 0}$. It is easy to see that the semigroup $(e^{\omega t}T_{B+C}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ has $B + C + \omega = A + C$ as its generator. That is, the C_0 -semigroup $(e^{\omega t}T_{B+C}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is generated by $A + C$, so $e^{\omega t}T_{B+C}(t) = T_{A+C}(t)$. The theorem is proved. \square

Remark 2.5. If C is a bounded linear operator, the estimate (2.20) can be improved a little bit. In fact, in this case K can be replaced with $M\|C\|$. Therefore, by Remark following Lemma 2.2

$$(2.22) \quad \|T_{A+C}(t)\| \leq Me^{(\omega + M\|C\|)t}.$$

3. FURTHER DISCUSSION ON WELL-POSEDNESS OF ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF PERTURBED EQUATIONS

In this section we further study the affect of "small" (possibly unbounded) perturbation on the asymptotic behavior of solutions like exponential dichotomy. In the previous section we actually introduced the following quantity to measure the size of the (unbounded) perturbation C to the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with $\|T_A(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$,

$$(3.1) \quad \|C\|_A := \frac{1}{M} \sup_{\mu > \omega} \|(\mu - \omega)CR(\mu, A)\|.$$

Let us define $\mathcal{GL}_A(\mathbb{X})$ to be the space of all linear operator C in \mathbb{X} with $D(C) = D(A)$ and $\|C\|_A < \infty$. We have showed that if $C \in \mathcal{GL}_A(\mathbb{X})$ with $\|C\|_A < \infty$, then $A + C$ generates a C_0 -semigroup $(T_{A+C}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with

$$\|T_{A+C}(t)\| \leq Me^{(\omega + M^2\|C\|_A)t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

It is easy to see that if $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{X})$, then, by the Hille-Yosida Theorem (applying to A and the C_0 semigroup $T_A(t)$),

$$\frac{1}{M} \sup_{\mu > \omega} \|(\mu - \omega)R(\mu, A)\| \leq 1,$$

so we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|C\|_A &= \frac{1}{M} \sup_{\mu > \omega} \|(\mu - \omega)CR(\mu, A)\| \\ &\leq \|C\| \frac{1}{M} \sup_{\mu > \omega} \|(\mu - \omega)R(\mu, A)\| \\ &\leq \|C\|. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Given a C_0 -semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with $\|T_A(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$. Then, $(\mathcal{GL}_A(X), \|\cdot\|_A)$ is a normed space.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$(3.3) \quad \|C\|_A \geq 0,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \|\mu C\|_A = |\mu| \|C\|_A,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \|C + B\|_A \leq \|C\|_A + \|B\|_A,$$

for all $B, C \in \mathcal{GL}_A(\mathbb{X})$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. We just need to show that $\|C\|_A = 0$ implies that $C = 0$ (on $D(A)$). In fact, if $\|C\|_A = 0$, then for every $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $\mu > \omega$ we have $CR(\mu, A)x = 0$. Since $R(\mu, A)$ is a bijective map from \mathbb{X} on $D(A)$, for every given $y \in D(A)$ there exists an $x \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $y = R(\mu, A)x$. Therefore, for each $y \in D(A)$, $Cy = CR(\mu, A)x = 0$. The lemma is proved. \square

In Theorem 2.4 we show that for each $C \in \mathcal{GL}_A(\mathbb{X})$, the operator $A + C$ generates a C_0 -semigroup $(T_{A+C}(t))_{t \geq 0}$, and the growth bound of this semigroup is $(\omega + M^2\|C\|_A)$. This has a consequence on the roughness of the stability of C_0 -semigroups under small perturbation by C in $\mathcal{GL}_A(\mathbb{X})$. It is interesting to go further on the question as how a small perturbation in $\mathcal{GL}_A(\mathbb{X})$ would affect the asymptotic behavior of the unperturbed semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ like exponential dichotomy. This study needs to give estimates on the difference between $(T_{A+C}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in terms of $\|C\|_A$.

Recall that given two linear operators A and B in \mathbb{X} , the Yosida distance $d_Y(A, B)$, assuming that $\rho(A)$ and $\rho(B)$ contain the ray $[\omega, \infty)$ for some $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined as, see [1, 2],

$$(3.6) \quad d_Y(A, B) := \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda^2 \|R(\lambda, A) - R(\lambda, B)\|.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in \mathbb{X} satisfying $\|T_A(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$. Then, for any $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{GL}_A(\mathbb{X})$ the following assertions are true:*

i) For $j = 1, 2$, $A + C_j$ generate C_0 -semigroups $(T_{A+C_j}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with

$$(3.7) \quad \|T_{A+C_j}(t)\| \leq Me^{(\omega + M^2\|C_j\|_A)t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

ii) The following estimate is valid

$$(3.8) \quad d_Y(A + C_1, A + C_2) \leq \|C_1 - C_2\|_A.$$

Proof. Part (i): The claim follows immediately from Theorem 2.4.

Part (ii): By (2.6) we have

$$(3.9) \quad R(\mu, A + C_1) - R(\mu, A + C_2)$$

$$(3.10) \quad = R(\mu, A + C_1)C_1R(\mu, A) - R(\mu, A + C_2)C_2R(\mu, A).$$

Therefore, by part (i) and the Hille–Yosida Theorem for C_0 -semigroups,

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|R(\mu, A + C_1) - R(\mu, A + C_2)\| \\ & \leq \|R(\mu, A + C_1)\| \cdot \|C_1R(\mu, A) - C_2R(\mu, A)\| \\ & \quad + \|R(\mu, A + C_1) - R(\mu, A + C_2)\| \cdot \|C_2R(\mu, A)\| \\ & \leq \frac{M}{\mu - (\omega + M^2\|C_1\|_A)} \|(C_1 - C_2)R(\mu, A)\| \\ & \quad + \|R(\mu, A + C_1) - R(\mu, A + C_2)\| \cdot \|C_2R(\mu, A)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for large μ , by assertion (i),

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mu^2 \|R(\mu, A + C_1) - R(\mu, A + C_2)\| (1 - \|C_2R(\mu, A)\|) \\ & \leq \frac{\mu^2 M}{\mu - (\omega + M^2\|C_1\|_A)} \|(C_1 - C_2)R(\mu, A)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by the definition of $\|\cdot\|_A$, for $\mu > \omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|C_2 R(\mu, A)\| &\leq \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{\|C_2\|_A}{\mu - \omega}, \\ \|(C_1 - C_2)R(\mu, A)\| &\leq \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{\|C_1 - C_2\|_A}{\mu - \omega},\end{aligned}$$

so, for sufficiently large $\mu > 0$,

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned}\mu^2 \|R(\mu, A + C_1) - R(\mu, A + C_2)\| &\left(1 - \frac{\|C_2\|_A}{\mu - \omega}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu^2}{\mu - (\omega + M^2\|C_1\|_A)} \cdot \frac{\|C_1 - C_2\|_A}{\mu - \omega}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}d_Y(A + C_1, A + C_2) &= \limsup_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \mu^2 \|R(\mu, A + C_1) - R(\mu, A + C_2)\| \\ &= \limsup_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \left[\mu^2 \|R(\mu, A + C_1) - R(\mu, A + C_2)\| \left(1 - \frac{\|C_2\|_A}{\mu - \omega}\right) \right] \\ &\leq \limsup_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu^2}{\mu - (\omega + M + \|C_1\|_A)} \cdot \frac{\|C_1 - C_2\|_A}{\mu - \omega} \\ &= \|C_1 - C_2\|_A.\end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved. \square

We recall the following concepts that are found in [4, 5]:

Definition 3.3. Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in a Banach space \mathbb{X} . Then, a closed linear operator P acting in \mathbb{X} is said to be *in a class* $\mathcal{P}(A)$ if

- i) $D(P) \supset D(A)$;
- ii) For each $t > 0$, there exists a constant $K_t < \infty$ such that $\|PT(t)\| \leq K_t \|x\|$ for each $x \in D(A)$;
- iii) The constant K_t in (ii) may be chosen so that $K := \int_0^1 K_t dt$ exists and finite.

As shown in (see e.g. [5, p. 637]) that for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, there exists a sufficiently large $\omega_1 > 0$ such that for each $x \in \mathbb{X}$

$$(3.14) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega_1 t} \|PT(t)x\| dt < \infty.$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then, there exist positive constants N and ω_0 such that

$$(3.15) \quad \|PR(\mu, A)\| \leq \frac{N}{\mu - \omega_0}$$

Proof. As the function $PT(t)x$ is continuous in t (see [5, p. 631–633]), by (3.14) for $\lambda > \omega_0 := \max\{\omega_1, \omega\}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|PR(\lambda, A)x\| &= \left\| \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} PT(t)x dt \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^\delta e^{-\lambda t} \|PT(t)x\| dt + \|PT(\delta)\| \int_\delta^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \|T(t-\delta)x\| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\delta e^{-\lambda t} \|PT(t)x\| dt + \|PT(\delta)\| \int_\delta^\infty e^{-\lambda t} M e^{\omega_0(t-\delta)} dt \|x\| \\ &= \int_0^\delta e^{-\lambda t} \|PT(t)x\| dt + M e^{-\omega_0 \delta} \|PT(\delta)\| \int_\delta^\infty e^{-(\lambda-\omega_0)t} dt \|x\| \\ &\leq \int_0^\delta e^{-\lambda t} \|PT(t)x\| dt + \frac{M}{\lambda - \omega_0} e^{-\omega_0 \delta} \|PT(\delta)\| \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, integrating both sides in δ from 0 to 1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|PR(\lambda, A)x\| &\leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\delta e^{-\lambda t} \|PT(t)x\| dt \right) d\delta + \frac{M}{\lambda - \omega_0} \int_0^1 e^{-\omega_0 \delta} \|PT(\delta)\| \|x\| d\delta \\ (3.16) \quad &\leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\delta e^{-\lambda t} \|PT(t)x\| dt \right) d\delta + \frac{MK}{\lambda - \omega_0} \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by changing the order of integration and by integration by part

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 dt \int_0^t e^{-\mu s} \|PT(s)x\| ds &= \int_0^1 ds \int_{1-s}^1 e^{-\mu s} \|PT(s)x\| dt \\ &= \int_0^1 s e^{-\mu s} \|PT(s)x\| ds \\ &= \int_0^1 e^{-\mu s} \|PT(s)x\| ds - \int_0^1 dt \int_0^t e^{-\mu s} \|PT(s)x\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(3.17) \quad \int_0^1 dt \int_0^t e^{-\mu s} \|PT(s)x\| ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 e^{-\mu t} \|PT(t)x\| dt.$$

Set

$$g(\mu) := \int_0^1 e^{-\mu t} \|PT(t)x\| dt, \quad \mu > 0.$$

Then, by integration by part,

$$\begin{aligned} g'(\mu) &= - \int_0^1 t e^{-\mu t} \|PT(t)x\| dt \\ &= - \int_0^1 e^{-\mu t} \|PT(t)x\| dt + \int_0^1 dt \int_0^t e^{-\mu s} \|PT(s)x\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.17),

$$g'(\mu) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 e^{-\mu t} \|PT(t)x\| dt = -\frac{1}{2} g(\mu).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.18) \quad g(\mu) &:= e^{-\mu/2} g(0) = e^{-\mu/2} \int_0^1 \|PT(t)x\| dt \\ &\leq K e^{-\mu/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 dt \int_0^t e^{-\mu s} \|PT(s)x\| ds &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-\mu/2} \int_0^1 \|PT(t)x\| dt \\ &\leq \frac{Ke^{-\mu/2}\|x\|}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\mu} \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \|PR(\mu, A)x\| &\leq \frac{K}{\mu} \|x\| + \frac{MK}{\mu - \omega_0} \|x\| \\ (3.19) \qquad &\leq \frac{(1+M)K}{\mu - \omega_0} \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Proposition 3.5. Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\|T_A(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$, and $P \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then, $A + P$ generates a C_0 -semigroup.

Proof. The assertion of the proposition follows immediately from Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 2.4 that $A + P$ generates a C_0 -semigroup $(T_{A+P}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ such that

$$\|T_{A+P}(t)\| \leq Me^{(\omega_0 + M(M+1)K)t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Next, given a linear operator A acting in \mathbb{X} , we recall that a linear operator C in \mathbb{X} is said to be A -bounded, if $D(A) \subset D(C)$ and there are positive constants a and b such that

$$(3.20) \qquad \|Cx\| \leq a\|Ax\| + b\|x\|, \quad x \in D(A).$$

Proposition 3.6. Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ such that $A \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ and C be a linear operator in \mathbb{X} such that C is A -bounded. Then, $A + C$ generates a C_0 -semigroup.

Proof. Since A is a C_0 -semigroup, there are positive constants M and ω such that $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$. By the Hille–Yosida Theorem and Lemma 3.4, there are positive constants N and $\omega_1 \geq \omega$, and by the A -boundedness of C , there exist positive constant a and b such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|CR(\mu, A)x\| &\leq a\|AR(\mu, A)x\| + b\|R(\mu, A)x\| \\ &\leq a \frac{N\|x\|}{\mu - \omega_1} + b \frac{M\|x\|}{\mu - \omega} \\ &\leq \frac{aN + bM}{\mu - \omega_1} \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we choose $\omega_1 \geq \omega_0$ with ω_0 is chosen as Lemma 3.4. Finally, the proposition follows from Theorem 2.4. \square

For $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ recall that the following is a metric in $\mathcal{P}(A)$ (see [4])

$$(3.21) \qquad d_A(C_1, C_2) := \int_0^1 \|(C_1 - C_2)T(t)\| dt.$$

Corollary 3.7. Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup. Then,

$$(3.22) \quad \mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{GL}_A(\mathbb{X}),$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad d_Y(A + C_1, A + C_2) \leq \|C_1 - C_2\|_A \leq \frac{1 + M}{M} d_A(C_1, C_2).$$

Proof. The corollary follows immediately from (3.19) with P replaced with $(C_1 - C_2)$. \square

Definition 3.8 (Exponential dichotomy). A linear C_0 -semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in a Banach space \mathbb{X} is said to have an *exponential dichotomy* or to be *hyperbolic* if there exist a bounded projection P on \mathbb{X} and positive constants N and α satisfying

- i) $T(t)P = PT(t)$, for $t \geq 0$;
- ii) $T(t)|_{\ker(P)}$ is an isomorphism from $\ker(P)$ onto $\ker(P)$, for all $t \geq 0$, and its inverse on $\ker(P)$ is defined by $T(-t) := (T(t)|_{\ker(P)})^{-1}$;
- iii) the following estimates hold

$$(3.24) \quad \|T(t)x\| \leq Ne^{-\alpha t}\|x\|, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \quad x \in \text{Im}(P),$$

$$(3.25) \quad \|T(-t)x\| \leq Ne^{-\alpha t}\|x\|, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \quad x \in \ker(P).$$

Corollary 3.9. Let A be the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in \mathbb{X} satisfying $\|T_A(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$. Assume further that for a given $C_1 \in \mathcal{GL}_A(\mathbb{X})$, the semigroup $(T_{A+C_1}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ generated by $A + C_1$ has an exponential dichotomy. Then, for any $C_2 \in \mathcal{GL}_A(\mathbb{X})$, the semigroup $(T_{A+C_2}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ generated by $A + C_2$ has also an exponential dichotomy provided that either $\|C_1 - C_2\|_A$, or $d_A(C_1, C_2)$ is sufficiently small.

As shown in [1, 2], if A is the generator of a C_0 -semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in \mathbb{X} that has an exponential dichotomy, then a C_0 -semigroup $(T_B(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in \mathbb{X} also has an exponential dichotomy of the Yosida distance $d_Y(A, B)$ is sufficiently small. By Corollary 3.7 we see that if $d_A(C_1, C_2)$ is sufficiently small, then $d_Y(A + C_1, A + C_2)$ is also sufficiently small. Therefore, if $(T_{A+C_1}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in \mathbb{X} that has an exponential dichotomy, then a C_0 -semigroup $(T_{A+C_2}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in \mathbb{X} also has an exponential dichotomy provided that $d_A(C_1, C_2)$ is sufficiently small. A similar claim is true for the distance $\|C_1 - C_2\|_A$.

4. AN EXAMPLE

Example 4.1. We revisit the following problem in [5, Example, p. 639]

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + h(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \alpha u, & -\infty < x < +\infty, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \end{cases}$$

where α is a given positive constant, $h \in \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\varphi \in \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R})$.

We define $\mathbb{X} := \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R})$, $A := \mathcal{D}^2 - \alpha$, and $[Py](x) = h(x)y'(x)$ for each $y \in D(A)$, where

$$D(A) := \{y \in \mathbb{X} : y', y'' \text{ exist as elements in } \mathbb{X}\}.$$

In [5, Example, p. 639] this example was treated by showing the above mentioned operator P belongs to $\mathcal{P}(A)$ by verifying the conditions in Definition 3.3 that involve the semigroup $(T_A(t))_{t \geq 0}$, to show that $A + P$ generates a C_0 -semigroup. Here we

will show that it can be studied using our Theorem 2.4 by directly verify conditions involving only the generator A but not the semigroup generated by A .

We will compute $CR(\mu, A)$ for $\mu > 0$. By the definition of the linear operator A , we can show that for each $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R})$, $y := R(\mu, A)f$ is the unique bounded solution of the second order equation

$$(4.2) \quad \mu y' - (y'' - \alpha y) = f.$$

Using the Green Function method, we have

$$(4.3) \quad R(\mu, A)f(t) = \frac{1}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{\mu_2(t-s)} f(s) ds + \int_t^{\infty} e^{\mu_1(t-s)} f(s) ds \right),$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad \mu_1 = \frac{\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 + 4\alpha}}{2}, \quad \mu_2 = \frac{\mu - \sqrt{\mu^2 + 4\alpha}}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} R(\mu, A)f(t) = \frac{1}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \left(\mu_2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\mu_2(t-s)} f(s) ds + \mu_1 \int_t^{\infty} e^{\mu_1(t-s)} f(s) ds \right),$$

and thus

$$(4.6) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{d}{dt} R(\mu, A)f(t) \right| = \frac{\|f\|}{|\mu_1 - \mu_2|} \left(-\mu_2 \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\mu_2(t-s)} ds + \mu_1 \int_t^{\infty} e^{\mu_1(t-s)} ds \right)$$

$$(4.7) \quad = \frac{2\|f\|}{\sqrt{\mu^2 + 4\alpha}}$$

$$(4.8) \quad \leq \frac{2\|f\|}{\mu}.$$

Therefore, for each $\mu > 0$

$$(4.9) \quad \|CR(\mu, A)f(t)\| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{R}} |h(t) (R(\mu, A)f(t))'| \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |h(t)| \cdot \frac{2\|f\|}{\mu},$$

so

$$(4.10) \quad \|CR(\mu, A)\| \leq \frac{2\|h\|}{\mu}.$$

By Theorem 2.4 the operator $A + C$ generates a C_0 -semigroup.

$$(4.11) \quad \|T_{A+C}(t)\| \leq e^{(-\alpha + 2\|h\|)t}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] X.-Q. Bui and N.V. Minh, Yosida distance and existence of invariant manifolds in the infinite-dimensional dynamical systems. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. Accepted.
- [2] X.-Q. Bui and N.V. Minh. Unbounded perturbation of linear partial functional differential equations via Yosida distance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.04873*. Submitted
- [3] C.J.K. Batty, On a perturbation theorem of Kaiser and Weis. *Semigroup Forum*, **70** (2005), 471-474.
- [4] S.N. Chow and H. Leiva, Unbounded perturbation of the exponential dichotomy for evolution equations. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **129** (2) (1996) 509-531.
- [5] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators General Theory, Part I*, Wiley, New York, 1988.
- [6] K.J. Engel and R. Nagel, *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 194, Springer, 2000.
- [7] D. Henry, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 840, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981.

- [8] C. Kaiser, L. Weis. A Perturbation Theorem for Operator Semigroups in Hilbert Spaces. *Semigroup Forum*, **67** (2003), no. 1, 63-75.
- [9] H. Megdiche, A new generation criterion theorem for C_0 -semigroups implying a generalization of Kaiser-Weis-Batty's perturbation theorem. *Semigroup Forum*, **107** (2023), 491-524.
- [10] A. Pazy, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences **44**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [11] J. Voigt, On the perturbation theory for strongly continuous semigroups. *Mathematische Annalen* **229**(1977), no.2, 163-171.
- [12] K. Yosida, Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

FACULTY OF FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES, PHENIKAA UNIVERSITY, HANOI 12116, VIETNAM

Email address: quang.buixuan@phenikaa-uni.edu.vn

VNU UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, VIETNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY AT HANOI, 144 XUAN THUY, CAU GIAY, HANOI, VIETNAM

Email address: huynh@vnu.edu.vn

VNU UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, VIETNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY AT HANOI, 144 XUAN THUY, CAU GIAY, HANOI, VIETNAM

Email address: vutrongluong@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS AT LITTLE ROCK, 2801 S UNIVERSITY AVE, LITTLE ROCK, AR 72204. USA

Email address: mvnguyen1@ualr.edu