

THERADIA WoZ: An Ecological Corpus for Appraisal-based Affect Research in Healthcare

Hippolyte Fournier, Sina Alisamir, Safaa Azzakhnini, Hanna Chainay, Olivier Koenig, Isabella Zsoldos, Eléonore Trân, Gérard Bailly, Frédéric Elisei, Béatrice Bouchot, Brice Varini, Patrick Constant, Joan Fruitet, Franck Tarpin-Bernard, Solange Rossato, François Portet, Fabien Ringeval

Abstract—We present THERADIA WoZ, an ecological corpus designed for audiovisual research on affect in healthcare. Two groups of senior individuals, consisting of 52 healthy participants and 9 individuals with Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), performed Computerised Cognitive Training (CCT) exercises while receiving support from a virtual assistant, tele-operated by a human in the role of a Wizard-of-Oz (WoZ). The audiovisual expressions produced by the participants were fully transcribed, and partially annotated based on dimensions derived from recent models of the appraisal theories, including novelty, intrinsic pleasantness, goal conduciveness, and coping. Additionally, the annotations included 23 affective labels drawn from the literature of achievement affects. We present the protocols used for the data collection, transcription, and annotation, along with a detailed analysis of the annotated dimensions and labels. Baseline methods and results for their automatic prediction are also presented. The corpus aims to serve as a valuable resource for researchers in affective computing, and is made available to both industry and academia.

Index Terms—Ecological corpus, Computerised Cognitive Training, Appraisal theories, Dimensional / Categorical Affect Recognition

I. INTRODUCTION

OVER the past decade, special attention has been given to the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies to improve healthcare. One of the rationales behind this craze is that the automation of tasks that do not necessarily require human intervention saves therapists time and mental load, resulting in more efficient practices and less burden for both patients and clinicians. Recent reviews have however evidenced that, even though AI technologies' performance can rival that of

H. Fournier, S. Alisamir, S. Azzakhnini, F. Portet, S. Rossato, and F. Ringeval are with the Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Inria, CNRS, Grenoble INP, LIG. E-mail: {hippolyte.fournier, sina.alisamir, safaa.azzakhnini, francois.portet, solange.rossato, fabien.ringeval}@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr.

H. Chainay, O. Koenig, I. Zsoldos, and E. Trân are with the Université Lyon 2. E-mail: {hanna.chainay, olivier.koenig, isabella.zsoldos, eleonore.tran}@univ-lyon2.fr.

G. Bailly and F. Elisei are with the GIPSA-lab, Univ. Grenoble Alpes. E-mail: {gerard.bailly, frederic.elisei}@gipsa-lab.grenoble-inp.fr.

B. Bouchot and B. Varini are with ATOS company. E-mail: {beatrice.bouchot, brice.varini}@atos.net.

P. Constant is with Pertimm company. E-mail: {patrick.constant}@pertimm.com.

J. Fruitet and F. Tarpin-Bernard are with Humans Matter company. E-mail: {j.fruitet, f.tarpin}@humansmatter.com.

This work has been submitted to the IEEE for possible publication. Copyright may be transferred without notice, after which this version may no longer be accessible.



Fig. 1. Head movements, gaze, speech, and articulation of a remote operator were captured in real-time to drive a virtual assistant as a Wizard-of-Oz [6].

humans in certain assignment tasks, such as serum analysis, or assessment of cardiovascular MRI images, they still struggle to deal with social interaction skills [1], [2].

While the definition of social interaction skills remains a subject of debate [3], they can be summarised as the ability of autonomous agents to maintain equilibrium in a dynamic relationship with another agent, resulting in the development of autonomous relationships [4]. Applied to the context of interactions between AI technology and a patient in healthcare, it corresponds to the capabilities of systems to detect and respond appropriately to signals from patients that may pose a threat to the exchange equilibrium; e.g., patients may express that they feel misunderstood or unsatisfied. It is important to note that patients may convey feelings of being misunderstood through a verbal request, as well as the expression of an affective state. While verbally expressed requests are fairly well understood by today's AI technologies, it is still difficult for them to accurately detect patients' affective states from non-verbal signals [5].

Yet using AI technologies for social interactions in healthcare would have far-reaching consequences. A prime illustration of their relevance lies in Computerised Cognitive Training (CCT), which aims to enhance or preserve cognitive functions in patients suffering from cognitive impairment through the repetition of exercises that target specific functions such as attention or memory [7]. Although the effectiveness of CCT has been evidenced by meta-analyses [7]–[10], it seems to be conditioned by social interaction during the process [11], which requires the presence of the therapist. Thus, entrusting social interaction to AI technologies would be highly beneficial in making the process autonomous. To move in this

direction, it is important to use meaningful data and reliable methods to accurately recognise patients' affective state in the context of CCT.

In this study, we introduce the THERADIA WoZ corpus, an ecological corpus specifically tailored for the audiovisual detection of affective states in the healthcare domain. The corpus was built to help the development of a virtual assistant supporting CCT sessions at home, for older healthy people and patients [6]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first audiovisual corpus of affect in healthcare that fully relies on models of appraisal theories, while being accessible to both industrial and academic research communities¹.

The corpus data come from natural interactions in French involving healthy and Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) senior participants. The interactions revolve around CCT exercises facilitated by a virtual assistant, and operated remotely by a human acting as a Wizard-of-Oz (WoZ), cf. Figure 1. Expressions of the participants were then fully transcribed and partially annotated based on the dimensions of recent appraisal theories models, along with labels derived from the literature of achievement affects.

A comprehensive analysis of the annotated labels was carried out, enabling the identification of a core set comprising ten affective states in the context of AI technology-human interactions in healthcare. This core set of affective labels was then used along the appraisal dimensions to evaluate the performance of inference models based on audio, visual, and textual data.

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. We review both the definition and annotation methods of affect according to the appraisal theory, along with the existing corpora in healthcare in section II. The protocol used for the construction of our data set is then presented with the material and the participants in section III. The segmentation and the annotation of the recordings are explained in section IV. A comprehensive analysis of the affective annotations is then reported in section V, and results obtained in their automatic prediction are finally reported in section VI, before concluding in section VII.

II. STATE OF THE ART

In this section, we first review the definition of affective states and their annotation methods through the lens of appraisal theories, and then provide a concise overview of the affect corpora available in healthcare.

A. Affective states in the lens of the appraisal theory

According to appraisal theories, affective phenomena are defined as multi-component processes aiming to maintain the well-being and survival of individuals in their environment [12], [13]. Roughly speaking, affective phenomena would arise when the meaning of environmental stimuli are – cognitively – appraised as potentially threatening to the equilibrium of individuals in their environment.

This appraisal process would be divided into four criteria: the relevance of the events for the individual's well-being

and/or survival, their implications, the possible coping with these implications, and their normative significance, i.e., the degree of matching with individual's norms and values [14].

Following this appraisal process, the activation of organism's subsystems, i.e., physiological responses, action tendencies and motor expressions, would be synchronised to help individuals in carrying out the behaviors that contribute to the restoration of their homeostasis. In this perspective, an affective state serves as a marker reflecting how individuals appraise themselves between the plausible deregulation of their homeostasis caused by the environment, and the search for the behavior leading to a return to equilibrium.

The cognitive appraisal process is supposed to be causal in the elicitation of affective episodes [15]. This position assumes a necessary and sufficient relationship would link appraisal to the synchronisation of the organism's subsystems [16]. In other words, a specific pattern of criteria of the appraisal process would trigger a specific synchronised activation of organism's subsystems. Conversely, the observation of a specific synchronised activation would allow to predict the pattern of criteria of the appraisal process performed by the experimenter of the affective episode.

Based on these premises, the Tripartite Emotion Expression and Perception model postulates that the way humans perceive affect of others is conditioned by this causal relationship [17]. The idea is that if an individual A is able to determine the affective state of an individual B, this is because A inferred – in part unconsciously – the cognitive appraisal process performed by B on the basis of the apparent motor expressions, e.g., facial expressions, that B has produced. In brief, appraisal theories propose to solve the problem of affective state differentiation based on a n -dimensional hyperplane representing the criteria of the appraisal process.

B. Differentiable annotations of affective states

1) *Dimensional representations*: Therefore, one way of describing individuals' affective states would be to consider them as points on a plane. Based on this approach, most of the literature have used the Circumplex model to represent them, a Cartesian plane defined by two axes, namely valence – from unpleasant to pleasant – and arousal – from deactivation to activation [18], [19]. However, this approach drew a number of criticisms for its ability to differentiate affective states; fear and anger are both defined by high arousal and negative valence, and the concept of valence itself should be rather seen as a multidimensional representation of several dimensions [20]. It has therefore been stated that more than two dimensions should be taken into account to appropriately distinguish affective states [21]. Thus, appraisal theory represents a sound framework for selecting relevant dimensions in the context of affective state annotation.

2) *Categorical representations*: Labels are another common way of approximating individual's affective states. One of the crucial points of such an approach is to select affective labels likely to be relevant in the context of interest. While basic emotion labels, i.e., fear, disgust, happiness, sadness, anger are commonly used to model affective states [22], they

¹The URL will be provided for camera-ready

are not necessarily the most appropriate in the context of healthcare and AI-patients interactions, as they refer to affect from an evolutionary perspective.

3) *Relation between categorical and dimensional representations*: Appraisal theories suggest that the use of labels represents a way for humans to characterise the conscious part of the activation states of the organism’s subsystems, i.e., of the cognitive appraisal process, the physiological responses, the action tendencies, and the motor expressions [23], [24], assuming that each affective label would represent a specific pattern of criteria of the appraisal process [17], [25], [26].

C. Affect corpora for healthcare

Automatic affect analysis can be applied to various aspects of healthcare [27]: to support clinicians for the diagnosis of affective disorders [28], to facilitate the monitoring of patients’ emotions during the therapy [6], which is the focus of this study, or between therapy sessions [29].

Whereas numerous audiovisual corpora are available in the literature for affect recognition in human interactions [30], few of them were acquired in the context of healthcare. There are many reasons for this gap in the literature. One of them concerns the sensitive nature of the data that are protected by law and cannot be easily released. Moreover, populations related to healthcare are often fragile, and setting up experimental protocols to contrast affect corpora can be challenging because of their cognitive fatigue.

Nevertheless, there have been initiatives in the construction of affective corpora supporting clinicians during therapy. For instance, speech interactions between seniors and a virtual assistant playing the role of a health professional coach, were collected for the purpose of the EMPATHIC project [31], which aims to help the elderly maintain their independence as they age [32]. Authors collected $\sim 13\text{k}$ speech segments ($\sim 13\text{h}$ in total) from 136 participants over 64 years and from different countries (Spain, France, and Norway), that were further annotated by three annotators according to five labels: calm, happy, puzzled, tense, and sad, and three dimensions: arousal, valence, and dominance.

Another corpus of affect has recently been collected for children with developmental disorders using a mobile therapeutic game [33]. The corpus contains $\sim 2\text{k}$ video sequences of 456 children from all over the world and was annotated by 11 individuals according to seven labels: happy, surprised, sad, fearful, angry, disgust, and neutral.

III. DATA COLLECTION

The whole data collection protocol of this study has been documented in details, reviewed, and approved by the Ethics Committee for Research in Grenoble Alpes with the reference: CERGA-Avis-2021-1. As the experiment lasted around three hours in average, and participants were asked to consent for the commercial exploitation of their data under the protection of the European General Data Protection Regulation laws, a 20€ gift card was awarded. We also provided reimbursement for travel expenses to participants coming from a certain distance. The protocol used to collect data for the THERADIA WoZ corpus is detailed below.



Fig. 2. Office of the experimenter operating the virtual assistant. The dialog was fully scripted and overlaid onto the participant’s video (A). The participant’s screen (B) was only visible during the exercise completion, and the virtual assistant was visible to the participant only outside the exercise completion. The virtual assistant’s rendering was consistently displayed (C) to monitor any tracking issue.

A. Participants

A total of 52 healthy older participants (40 females; mean age = 67.9, SD age = 5.1) were recruited based on advertisements published in regional newspapers, i.e., “Le Progrès” and “Le Dauphiné Libéré”. 9 participants diagnosed with MCI (two females; mean age = 75.8, SD age = 2.1) were recruited as patients of clinicians collaborating on the project. Inclusion was based on compliance with the following criteria: fluency in French, normal or corrected-to-normal vision and hearing, and consent prior to the start of the experiment. Regarding the level of education, 40% of healthy older participants had a master’s degree or higher, 33% a bachelor’s degree and 27% a baccalaureate. As for MCI participants, 22% had obtained a master’s degree or higher, 22% a bachelor’s degree, and 56% a National Vocational Qualification (French CAP), or lower.

B. CCT supported by a Virtual Assistant

All participants carried out a CCT session, which was based on eight exercises selected from the HappyNeuronPro CCT platform². The exercises involved different cognitive functions such as memory, language, attention, and planning; details on these exercises are provided in section I.A of the supplementary material.

The CCT was supported by a virtual assistant, developed by the Dynamixyz company³, and controlled by one co-author of this study acting as a WoZ, cf. Figure 2. More specifically, head movements, gaze, speech, and articulation of the experimenter were captured in real-time to drive the 3D virtual assistant whose rendering was cast to the participant’s screen. The speech was based on a dialogue tree designed to cover a wide range of interactions, envisioned prior to the study. If none of the planned branches made sense within a given dialogue, free intervention was allowed. Eventually, the dialogue tree was updated if the unplanned interaction was deemed relevant. Technical problems that could not be solved by the operator of the virtual assistant were addressed

²<https://www.happyneuron.fr>

³Dynamixyz has been acquired by Take-Two Interactive in 2021.

by a second experimenter, who was sitting outside the room for the healthy participants, and inside the room for the MCI participants.

C. Material

Questionnaires were used to interrogate various psychological, affective and cognitive dimensions of the participants, as well as to evaluate the virtual assistant and the CCT [34]. Experiments were carried out in two rooms of the EMC laboratory of Université Lyon 2, one for the participant, and one for the experimenter.

As the original lighting conditions did not allow a robust tracking of the operator of the virtual assistant, we equipped each room with an additional continuous light (Amzdeal Softbox) that provided a color temperature closed to daylight (5500K). Each room was equipped with a high-end computer, comprising a 24 inches monitor, a webcam (Logitech streamcam), a headset with a built-in unidirectional microphone (Sound blasterX H6), that was plugged to an external sound card (Presonus AudioBox), for both audio recording and streaming to the CCT application.

As pre-tests showed that the video recordings had random freezes that did not show up during the CCT session, we used an iPhone X to provide a stable video recording on the participant’s side, as well as an additional audio recording captured by a far-field omnidirectional microphone. Audio data were sampled at 44.1 kHz with 16 bits, whereas video data were sampled at a constant frame rate of 30 fps with a resolution of 1920x1080 and the YUV420p color format.

D. Procedure

Concerning the MCI participants, the session began with the completion of the consent form and the above-mentioned questionnaires. Then, after a few instructions, the experimenter left the room so that the CCT session could start. It comprised the realisation of four exercises, each performed twice. The difficulty level of the second exercise was adjusted based on the performance of the first. It was increased in the case of success and decreased in the case of difficulty. Throughout the session, participants were guided and engaged with a virtual assistant under the impression it was operated using AI technology, whereas it was actually operated by a human as a WoZ. The story line of the session started with a welcome dialogue designed to acquaint the participant with the virtual assistant, and to collect some information such as the participant’s mood and motivation. This was followed by the exercise phase divided into five parts: the introductory dialogue detailing each exercise, the exercise completion, the feedback dialogue commenting on participant’s performance, the repetition of the exercise, as well as the feedback dialogue, and the closing dialogue, which ended the session. Once the CCT session was terminated, the whole set-up was revealed.

Concerning the healthy older participants, the procedure was the same, except for the following: First, a session consisted of eight exercises instead of four. Second, healthy older participants were divided into five groups. Twenty of them were assigned to a group without induction. The special

TABLE I
OVERALL STATISTICS OF THE DATA COLLECTED IN THE
THERADIA-WoZ.

Data	Participants	# Seq.	Seq. duration	Overall
			Mean (std)	duration
Transcribed	Senior	14,788	9.00 s (7.82 s)	36h59m16s
	MCI	1,105	8.24 s (5.03 s)	2h31m44s
	Total	15,893	8.95 s (7.66 s)	39h31m00s
Annotated	Senior	2,513	8.20 s (5.27 s)	5h43m27s
	MCI	222	10.09 s (6.49 s)	37m20s
	Total	2,735	8.35 s (5.40 s)	6h20m47s

feature of this group was that it carried out two CCT sessions, one week apart. This resulted in the set-up being revealed at the end of the second session. The remaining 32 healthy older participants were divided into four induction groups, designed to increase the chances of observing affectively-charged expressions. To this end, induction was used in one out of two of the eight exercises based on the combination of three parameters: Presenting the exercise as easy or hard beforehand; Actually setting the difficulty as easy or hard; And giving feedback from the WoZ as critical or congratulatory. Details on the groups and the inductions are reported in section I.B of the supplementary material.

IV. DATA SEGMENTATION AND ANNOTATION

We collected about 80 hours of data from the 52 healthy older participants, and about 6 hours of data from the 9 MCI participants. We describe in this section the methods used for the segmentation, transcription, and annotation of those data.

A. Segmentation, transcription and selection of sequences

The segmentation of the recordings was based on variations in breath groups, ensuring they form a single proposition if they conveyed the same semantic information, or separate propositions if they related to different types of semantic information. Non-verbal expressions occurring within or proximate to a proposition were marked by a diacritic, and those occurring at distance were specifically segmented. Temporal markers for proposition start and stop were both positioned at a certain distance to allow a sufficient observation space for the affect annotation.

The transcription relied mostly on the ESLO project principles [35], respecting spelling and spoken structures; details regarding our convention are reported in section II.A of the supplementary material. Recordings were transcribed with the ELAN software [36] by five Master students in Language Engineering at the Université Grenoble Alpes with a two-steps process: an initial stage of segmentation and transcription, followed by a subsequent verification stage conducted by another student. The segmented sequences were selected to retain only those containing expressions of affect, and a fraction was randomly removed to reduce the overall duration. Obtained sequences were then all reviewed and some were further removed to reduce redundancy. Statistics of the sequences are given in table I.

B. Selection of affective labels and dimensions

The context of CCT aligns closely with the literature on achievement affects [37]–[41], which considers the following types of affect: (i) the activity affects – generated by the task achievement itself; (ii) the outcome affects – generated by the success or failure results, whether retrospective or prospective; (iii) the epistemic affects – generated by a form of meta-cognition on the perception of the achievement; and (iv) the social affects – generated by another individual.

These affects are particularly relevant in the context of AI-assisted healthcare, as they comprehensively cover those that can be experienced during CCT, i.e., those generated by the CCT itself (activity affects), those generated by past or future CCT failure or success (outcome affects), those generated by the perception of the cognitive abilities involved in CCT (epistemic affects), and those generated by AI-patients interactions (social affects). Our categorical representations of affect relied on the following 23 labels: angry, annoyed, anxious, ashamed, confident, contemptuous, curious, desperate, disappointed, embarrassed, excited, frustrated, interested, guilty, happy, hopeful, impatient, proud, relaxed, satisfied, sad, surprised, and upset.

The dimensions consisted of the four most important appraisal dimensions in the context of affect recognition [17], [25], [26], [42], namely: novelty, intrinsic pleasantness, goal conduciveness, and coping, plus arousal. In the context of appraisal theory, arousal is a marker of the depth of the appraisal process, which is relevant to qualify the intensity of the affective state.

C. Annotation tool and guidelines

We took benefits from previous collaborations with the company Viadialog⁴ engaged in the development of a web-based annotation tool originally tailored for audio data: ANNOT. This tool has been adapted to the needs of our project and is available online⁵. The platform allowed annotators – 6 French students (3 females) from different backgrounds (engineering, business, and biology) and places (Lyon and Toulouse) – to view and annotate the selected audiovisual sequences at home, on their own computer.

The annotation consisted of three steps: a time-continuous annotation, cf. Figure 3, followed by a summary value, for each of the five dimensions, and a summary value of intensity for one or multiple labels. Thus, each sequence was viewed five times, once for each dimension. At the end of the time-continuous annotation, a graph showing the dynamics of the dimension over time was presented to the annotators, who could then validate or perform the annotation again. After the validation, annotators were asked to provide a summary value for the whole sequence. Finally, once all dimensions were annotated, annotators were asked to indicate if one or more labels could describe the affect they had recognised in the sequence and provide an intensity value for them. Annotators could annotate as many labels as they wished, or none at all




Fig. 3. Example of the ANNOT web-base platform for time-continuous annotation of the intrinsic pleasantness dimension.

if the sequence did not contain any particular affect. Further details on the annotations steps are reported in section II.B of the supplementary material.

A document was provided for each annotator as guidelines. It was explained that the annotation task had to be performed in full screen mode in a silent place. The document advised against annotating too many sequences consecutively and suggested a ten-minute break after an hour of work. We also stressed that there were no right or wrong answers in the annotation, as the information to be judged was subjective in nature. Thus, the annotations were to be made by trying to put oneself in the participant’s shoes to assess his or her feeling about the expression produced in the selected sequences. These expressions could include the chosen words, the way they were communicated orally, and the expressive dynamics of the face and body. Finally, the document provided definitions about dimensions and labels. Each dimension was defined as follows:

- *Novelty*: “To what extent does the participant feel that what is happening is predictable or unexpected?”; ranging from *predictable* to *unexpected*.
- *Intrinsic pleasantness*: “At what point does the participant express pleasure or displeasure?”; ranging from *unpleasantness* to *pleasantness*.
- *Goal conduciveness*: “How much does what is happening seem to correspond to the participant’s wishes?”; ranging from *not at all* to *absolutely*.
- *Coping*: “How well does the participant seem able to cope with what is happening?”; ranging from *not at all* to *absolutely*.
- *Arousal*: “How asleep or awake does the participant seem?”; ranging from *asleep* to *awake*.

V. CORPUS ANALYSIS

This section provides a comprehensive statistical analysis of the annotation data. We first assessed the annotation frequency of the affective labels as well as the inter-annotator agreement on their frequency and intensity. We then evaluated the cognitive delay involved in the annotation of each dimension, as well as the inter-annotator agreement. Relationships between

⁴<https://viadialog.com/>

⁵The URL will be provided for camera-ready




Fig. 4. Frequency of the annotated labels according to the agreement of at least one, two or three annotators among six; the vertical axis is on a logarithmic scale to ease the reading.

dimensions and affective labels were also estimated, and a label core set was finally selected, based on compliance with consistency criteria relating to each of the analyses mentioned above.

A. Categorical annotations

The frequency at which the affective labels were selected by at least one, two, or three annotators is reported in Figure 4. Based on the sample of annotation frequency per label for at least one annotator, Cohen's d was calculated to identify the theoretical value at which the effect size of its deviation from the sample mean can be considered at least "small" (i.e., Cohen's $d > 0.2$ [43]). This theoretical value was equal to 747 sequences. The annotation frequency per label for at least one annotator is reported in Table II, and shown in bold when above this theoretical value.

We evaluated the inter-annotator agreement on the presence of each affect label, i.e., when the intensity of a label was superior to zero, for each pair of annotators, and averaged over all pairs, by computing the Unweighted Average Recall (UAR) as follows:

$$UAR = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{N_c^i}{N^i} \quad (1)$$

where k is the total number of classes, which corresponds here to the presence or absence of a label. N^i is the total number of annotated sequences for a given affect label, and N_c^i is the number of commonly identified classes, whether present or absent. Results are reported in Table II. According to a two-tailed z -test, the inter-annotator agreement would be defined as statistically above chance if it would exceed 53%, which is the case for all the labels excepted embarrassed; those labels are marked in bold style in Table II.

We further evaluated the inter-rater agreement on the intensity of the affective labels using the PCC. The inter-rater agreement was defined as sufficiently high if its Cohen's d , i.e.,

TABLE II
STATISTICS ON THE ANNOTATED AFFECTIVE LABELS: FREQUENCY, INTER-ANNOTATOR AGREEMENT ON THE PRESENCE, AND INTENSITY, AND CORRELATION TO APPRAISAL'S DIMENSIONS. MOST RELEVANT LABELS IN THE CONTEXT OF AI-ASSISTED CCT ARE MARKED IN BOLD.

Label	Frequency	Agreement on		Correlation to Appraisals
		Presence	Intensity	
Relaxed	1683	59.24 %	.114	✓
Interested	1525	54.99 %	.194	✓
Frustrated	1315	59.05 %	.211	✓
Satisfied	1216	60.69 %	.097	✓
Confident	1238	60.97 %	.116	✓
Happy	902	62.81 %	.280	✓
Surprised	851	58.44 %	.260	✓
Annoyed	856	57.52 %	.130	✓
Desperate	826	58.99 %	.276	✓
Anxious	783	54.53 %	.283	✓
Embarrassed	745	51.43 %	-.256	
Disappointed	520	56.00 %	.387	
Guilty	400	57.44 %	.129	
Contemptuous	363	53.61 %	.359	
Angry	311	56.76 %	.487	✓
Curious	328	55.60 %	.439	
Hopeful	223	55.62 %	.480	✓
Proud	249	58.79 %	.015	
Excited	159	56.39 %	.150	
Ashamed	144	54.22 %	-.014	
Impatient	138	53.14 %	-.338	
Upset	74	53.48 %	1.000	
Sad	63	57.28 %	.668	

its correlation coefficient value divided by the sample standard deviation, would be superior to .2 [43], which corresponds to a PCC greater than .065. This concerned most of the affective labels, which are reported in bold style in Table II.

B. Dimensional annotations

The cognitive delay appearing in the continuous annotation of each dimension was evaluated, and results showed that the delay associated with the annotation of goal conduciveness

TABLE III

INTER-ANNOTATOR AGREEMENT ON EACH SEQUENCE, OR CCT SESSION, COMPUTED WITH THE PEARSON'S CORRELATION COEFFICIENT FOR BOTH TIME-CONTINUOUS AND SUMMARY VALUES OF APPRAISAL DIMENSIONS; MEAN (STANDARD-DEVIATION).

	Sequence	Session
Time-continuous values		
Arousal	.381 (.034)	.425 (.060)
Coping	.586 (.026)	.573 (.032)
Goal conduciveness	.602 (.019)	.539 (.031)
Intrinsic pleasantness	.588 (.088)	.547 (.038)
Novelty	.307 (.088)	.294 (.128)
Summary values		
Arousal	.248 (.039)	.228 (.065)
Coping	.679 (.024)	.676 (.038)
Goal conduciveness	.695 (.015)	.680 (.032)
Intrinsic pleasantness	.662 (.020)	.619 (.036)
Novelty	.401 (.113)	.403 (.150)

(3.3 seconds) was significantly longer than the delay observed on the other dimensions (less than 2 seconds), suggesting that annotators had more difficulty assessing it. Further details on this evaluation are provided in section III.A of the supplementary material.

We assessed the inter-annotator agreement on the dimensions for both time-continuous and summary annotations, using the Pearson Correlation Coefficient (PCC), which was computed either on each sequence, or each CCT session, cf. Table III. An high agreement was observed on the dimensions of coping, goal conduciveness, and intrinsic pleasantness, with a more pronounced consistency observed in summary annotations compared to time-continuous annotations, which is expected since time-continuous data imply a greater variation in the possible outcomes. A lower agreement was however found for arousal and novelty, which might be explained by several reasons as detailed below.

The novelty dimension was assumed to be more difficult to annotate, as it probably concerned very specific cases of our study, e.g., unplanned feedback from the virtual assistant on the participant's performance, occurring in fewer sequences than the other dimensions. This assumption was corroborated by an analysis evidencing that the frequency of high-value annotations was lower for novelty compared to other dimensions; for a high-value threshold set at .85, the proportion of high-value annotations for novelty accounted for only .13% of the time-continuous annotation data, whereas other dimensions were at least twice more frequent: .30% for arousal, .24% for intrinsic pleasantness, .62% for goal conduciveness, and .36% for coping. A similar trend was observed in summary annotations, with the occurrence of high-value annotations for novelty accounting to .26%, whereas all other dimensions were twice as prevalent; arousal: .92%, intrinsic pleasantness: .52%, goal conduciveness: 1.35%, and coping: 2.16%.

The arousal dimension was thought to be more difficult




Fig. 5. Heatmap of the PCC between each dimension (summary value) presented in the columns – AR: arousal, CP: coping, GC: goal conduciveness, IP: intrinsic pleasantness, and NV: novelty – and each affective label on the rows, which are sorted in alphabetical order from positive (+) to negative (-). Significant correlations (p -value ≤ 0.05) are coloured either in red for positive values, or blue for negative values. Non-significant correlations (p -value > 0.05) are presented with 0 shown in grey.

to annotate, as the context of the study, i.e. exercising on a computer and conversing with an AI, is likely to provide little variation for this dimension. This assumption is supported by the fact that the variance of arousal dimension was systematically among the lowest, which was observed for both time-continuous annotations (arousal: .010, novelty: .022, intrinsic pleasantness: .009, goal conduciveness: .017, coping: .020), and summary annotations (arousal: .008, novelty: .026, intrinsic pleasantness: .012, goal conduciveness: .021, coping: .027).

C. Relation between dimensions and affective labels

We further analysed the agreement between annotators by exploring the relationship between the appraisal dimensions and affective labels. To measure this relationship, we calculated the PCC between each pair of dimension-affective label across all sequences and for each annotator, using the summary values. Then, we evaluated how much the annotators agreed on the correlations between affective labels and dimensions. To better understand this approach, we present as a simplified view in Figure 5 a heatmap of these associations calculated on the average of the evaluations from the six annotators (see section III.B of the supplementary material for a complete view of the heatmap of each annotator). Each cell of the heatmap corresponds to the value of the PCC calculated between a specific dimension (summary) and an affective label.

Broadly speaking, our results are in line with the predictions of appraisal theories regarding the link between affective labels and dimensions: positive affective labels are correlated with the ability to cope, goal conduciveness, and pleasantness, while negative affective labels are correlated with disability to cope, goal obstructiveness, and unpleasantness.

Some of our results are nonetheless surprising. The anger affective label is correlated with an absence of coping, whereas




Fig. 6. Overview of the predictive experiments performed on the corpus.

we would expect the opposite [44], as it generally refers to a situation in which an event is appraised as goal obstructive, but individuals appraise themselves capable of coping with it, e.g., being mugged in the street but wanting to defend themselves. In the context of CCT, where participants sit in front of a computer, different forms of anger may likely occurred, probably involving an inability to cope.

The literature suggests that the affective label of interest would be associated with a balanced mix between coping, i.e., the ability to understand, and novelty [41]. Although our results showed a correlation between interest and coping, an inverse correlation was found between interest and novelty, i.e., higher interest coincided with diminished novelty. This could be explained by the fact that the annotations in our study focused on only one sub-dimension of novelty, i.e., predictability, and did not take into account the other existing sub-dimensions such as complexity and unfamiliarity. As expected, the affective label of surprise is highly correlated with novelty. Even though the surprise affective label is generally considered to be positive or negative depending on the context, it seems to be rather negative in our results as it is correlated with unpleasantness, goal obstructiveness, and no coping. This could be explained by the fact that the occurrence of unexpected situations were more related to negative events such as unexpected negative feedback from the virtual assistant.

Although all the affective labels reported in the Figure 5 seem to be correlated with the appraisal dimensions, with the exception of sad, some correlations actually reflect the result of only one annotator. Affective labels were thus defined as irrelevant in the context AI-assisted CCT if no significant correlation is found with any appraisal dimension for at least two annotators, which was the case for several labels, as reported in Table II; labels consistent with the appraisal dimensions are marked with ✓.

D. Label core set selection

A core set of the likely most relevant affective labels in the context of AI-assisted CCT was defined based on our analyses. Four main criteria of selection were used: 1) the frequency is significantly higher than the average on all affective labels, 2) the agreement on presence is significantly higher than chance, 3) the agreement on intensity is sufficiently high, and 4) there is no significant correlation with at least one dimension for at least two annotators. Ten affective labels meet these four criteria, five positive and five negative, cf. Table II.

TABLE IV
SUMMARY STATISTICS OF THE PARTITIONS.

	Training	Val	Test	Total
Participants	34	14	13	61
Videos	1110	851	774	2735
Duration	2h44m36s	1h51m42s	1h44m28s	6h20m47s
Population group				
Senior	29	12	11	52
MCI	5	2	2	9
Gender (seniors)				
Male	6	3	3	12
Female	23	9	8	40
Emotion Induction (seniors)				
With induction	18	7	7	32
Without induction	11	5	4	20
Education (seniors)				
High school	5	2	1	8
Professional certificate	3	1	2	6
Undergraduate	9	5	3	17
Graduate	12	4	5	21

VI. AUTOMATIC RECOGNITION OF AFFECTIVE STATES

In this section, we report on the automatic prediction of affective labels and dimensions. An overview of the experiments performed in this section is given in Figure 6. In brief, we extract different types of representations (expert or deep) from audio, textual, and visual data, and train specific models for the prediction of the affective labels and dimensions.

All the experiments are based on a single partitioning of the data set that was divided into three partitions: training, validation and test set, consisting of 56 %, 23 % and 21 % of the participants respectively, c.f. Table IV. These partitions were defined to ensure that the statistics – 1) ratio of female vs. male gender, 2) ratio of senior vs MCI participants, 3) ratio of participants based on affective induction, and 4) distribution of education levels – were preserved in the different population groups. All results are reported on the test set.

In the following, we introduce the used representations of the audio, visual, and textual data, along with the predictive models and strategies, and then report on the results.

A. Representations of audio, textual and video data

We included both expert and deep representations of audio, visual, and textual⁶ data to evaluate their respective contribution in the analysis of affect. Expert based features rely on the statistical description of perceptually salient patterns in the data, and involve relatively light-weight computational resources, whereas deep representations exploit self-supervised learning (SSL) methods on large scale datasets [45]–[47].

1) *Expert based representations*: We used the Mel-scale Filter Banks (MFBs), Term Frequency - Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF), and Facial Action Units (FAUs), as representations of the audio, textual, and visual data, respectively.

⁶In order to make the comparison fair, textual data did not rely on the human transcriptions but on the outputs of an ASR, which is evaluated in details in section IV.A of the supplementary material.

MFBs are popular features in acoustic processing. They are based on a simple signal processing pipeline which consists in taking the Fourier transform of the acoustic signal through a sliding window (25 ms shifted forward in time every 10 ms), and then mapping the power spectrum to a mel-scale (80 filter banks), which is a non-linear perceptual scale based on the human auditory system. MFBs were extracted using the SpeechBrain toolkit [48].

The TF-IDF is a classical feature in text processing, capturing the frequency of a word within a document (TF), relative to its rarity across a given set of documents (IDF). The method therefore tokenises the words of a sentence, which is represented by a vector of the count of the words it contains, and further normalised by the term frequency across the entire training partition of the dataset.

FAUs describe the intensity of movements of facial muscles related to an apparent facial expression, and are commonly used as facial descriptors in affective computing. FAUs were extracted using OpenFace [49], which provides estimations of the intensity of a set of 17 FAUs with a framerate of 30 Hz.

2) *Self-supervised representations*: Deep representations of audio, textual, and video data include the following models: Wav2Vec2 [50], BERT [51], and CLIP [52], respectively.

We used a multilingual Wav2Vec2 model that exploits both convolutional and transformer layers to distinguish positive and negative samples of speech in a contrastive approach [46]. The model⁷ we used has a large architecture, and was trained on 56 different languages [53], including French, and then fine-tuned for ASR.

Transformer-based representations such as BERT are popular in text processing, as they can encode the context of the words, which is crucial for affect related tasks [54], [55]. We used a BERT model⁸ fine-tuned for sentiment analysis on six languages (English, Dutch, German, French, Spanish, and Italian), and with the base architecture.

CLIP⁹ is a model developed by OpenAI [52] and trained on a large dataset that includes both images and their corresponding textual descriptions allowing the model to learn the semantic relationship between visual and textual information. The architecture consists of image and text encoders that encode the input image and text into fixed-length embeddings using a contrastive learning mechanism.

B. Models and training strategies

The aforementioned multimodal representations were used to model the affective labels and dimensions. We evaluated the three following predictive models for the labels intensity and dimensions summaries:

- 1) Linear: One linear layer on top of the representations to map the features to the desired number of outputs.
- 2) Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP): The linear layer as above, combined with a hidden linear layer whose size was the number of features divided by two.

3) Gated Recurrent Units (GRU): A GRU model with the hidden size defined to be the feature size divided by two. Outputs of the Linear and MLP models were averaged over the sequence, whereas the last output of the GRU model was used to represent the output of the whole sequence. Preliminary results obtained in the prediction of the affective labels showed that the performance of the MLP model was consistently better than the performance of Linear and GRU models, both for the classification and regression tasks.

For dimension summaries, the model was trained to predict the five dimensions altogether in a multi-task approach. Our first experiments revealed that the Linear model consistently outperformed both the MLP and GRU models.

In order to predict the time-continuous annotations of the dimensions, we had to re-sample some features to match the annotation frame rate, which was 10 Hz. Audio representations, which had a sampling rate of 100 Hz for MFBs, and 50 Hz for Wav2vec2, were simply averaged into chunks of 10 ms to match the sampling frequency of the annotations; the same strategy was applied to the FAUs and CLIP visual representations. Regarding textual representations, we only exploited BERT as the TF-IDF returns a single feature vector for the whole sequence. Textual features were aligned to the size of the sequence using a spline interpolation, which provided a smooth and continuous representation of the features over time. As the Linear and MLP models did not achieve good performance for the prediction of time-continuous dimensions, we further experimented with various GRU models:

- 1) One-Layer GRU: A single-layered GRU with the hidden size equal to half of the input feature size, or equal to the input feature size.
- 2) Two-Layers GRU: Similar to the One-Layer GRU but with two hidden layers.
- 3) Three-Layers GRU: Similar to before, but with three hidden layers. A set of fixed hidden sizes was also tested: 128, 256, and 512.

Results showed that a GRU model with three hidden layers of the same size as the input was optimal for deep representations, whereas the same model with a hidden size of 256 yielded the best results for the hand-crafted representations.

For multimodal fusion, we experimented with middle and late fusion techniques to deal with the asynchronous inputs. The best performing approach for both labels and dimension summaries was a decision-based fusion using an Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression model¹⁰. Fusion of the time-continuous dimensions was operated with a GRU as a weighted averaging layer to take into account the temporal dynamics of the features. The weights of the GRU were optimised on the training set.

All the models were trained with the Adam optimizer and an initial learning rate of 10^{-3} for affective labels and 10^{-4} for dimensions. The batch size and gradient accumulation were set to 10 for labels and 1 for dimensions. The maximum number of training epochs was set to 50 and an early stopping strategy was used with five epochs. Training of the models was done using the Mean Squared Error (MSE) as loss function, and

⁷<https://huggingface.co/voidful/wav2vec2-xlsr-multilingual-56>

⁸<https://huggingface.co/nlptown/bert-base-multilingual-uncased-sentiment>

⁹<https://openai.com/research/clip>

¹⁰<https://scikit-learn.org>

TABLE V
CORE-SET LABEL INTENSITY PREDICTION RESULTS (CCC).

Label	Expert Representations				Deep Representations			
	Text TF-IDF	Audio MFB	Video FAU	Multi.	Text BERT	Audio W2V2	Video CLIP	Multi.
Annoyed	.075	.047	.274	.255	.255	.231	.311	.280
Anxious	.115	.081	.129	.171	.087	.192	.123	.214
Confident	.233	.176	.168	.422	.473	.482	.247	.487
Desperate	.172	.148	.193	.259	.221	.277	.175	.252
Frustrated	.327	.151	.175	.387	.222	.340	.239	.465
Happy	.117	.273	.446	.490	.108	.303	.462	.508
Interested	.147	.135	-.023	.207	.364	.204	.133	.300
Relaxed	.314	.300	.385	.515	.363	.245	.440	.529
Satisfied	.323	.225	.253	.428	.057	.287	.326	.486
Surprised	.167	.165	.024	.197	.143	.238	.095	.275
Average	.199	.170	.202	.333	.229	.280	.255	.380

for evaluation, the Concordance Correlation Coefficient (CCC) was calculated on all the sequences [56]. All experiments were carried out using Pytorch¹¹ [57], with random seeds set to zero. The computer’s operating system was Debian GNU/Linux 10, and the GPU used to train the models was an NVIDIA Quadro RTX 6000 with 23 gigabytes of memory, CUDA version 11.3.

C. Affect prediction for the core set labels

Results obtained on the intensity of the labels are reported in Table V and show some strong dependencies between the modalities and the affective labels (see section III.B of the supplementary material for further details on prediction bias based on population demographics). For example, the label “happy” was more accurately evaluated by the video modality, the label “interested” by the textual modality, and the labels “frustrated” and “surprised” by the audio modality with deep representations. The choice of using either expert or deep features may further lead to important differences in the results according to the modality. For example, “frustrated” was detected with a much higher CCC (.327) with the TF-IDF compared to MFB (.151), but the opposite is observed when using the SSL representations. On average, deep representations of the textual, audio, and video modalities performed significantly better compared to their hand-crafted representations.

The fusion of the different modalities provided the best results on average, and for most affective labels. An analysis of the fusion weights showed that the relevance of each modality strongly depends on its representation. For example, when using expert features, the TF-IDF presents a much greater influence on the results compared to the MFBs and FAUs, with an averaged fusion coefficient of 0.8, compared to 0.1 for audio and video, whereas the contribution of those two modalities outweigh that of text when using deep representations, with averaged coefficients of .28, .35, and .35 for text, audio, and video respectively.

An affective label was defined as present for a given sequence if at least one annotator had reported an intensity value for this label. We investigated two modeling options for their prediction: learning a binary classification model for each affective label, or learning a threshold from the regression models. As the binary classification task achieved significantly

TABLE VI
CORE-SET LABEL CLASSIFICATION RESULTS (%UAR).

Label	Expert Representations				Deep Representations			
	Text TF-IDF	Audio MFB	Video FAU	Multi.	Text BERT	Audio W2V2	Video CLIP	Multi.
Annoyed	63.2	57.5	62.4	66.0	63.1	63.7	65.1	69.4
Anxious	66.3	59.3	57.0	67.2	65.5	64.9	58.2	67.6
Confident	70.4	66.3	59.8	71.6	73.4	73.1	59.5	76.0
Desperate	71.3	68.6	62.4	72.1	69.3	74.9	65.6	75.7
Frustrated	72.2	63.9	63.3	74.1	70.4	69.9	63.4	74.5
Happy	65.4	62.5	71.1	73.5	65.8	66.8	73.9	76.7
Interested	61.7	57.5	50.1	62.4	64.9	60.5	59.1	64.1
Relaxed	68.7	64.9	68.7	75.1	69.0	67.3	64.1	74.0
Satisfied	70.4	63.9	64.0	72.2	73.9	70.0	63.8	75.3
Surprised	62.3	56.8	52.2	61.8	62.1	61.7	54.1	62.3
Average	67.2	62.1	61.1	69.6	67.7	67.3	62.7	71.6

worse results compared to a decision based on the regression models, we therefore chose the latter for the experiments.

Results are shown in table VI. Similar to the previous experiments, there exists some dependencies between the best performing modality and the affective labels, even though the difference is less contrasted. Overall, we do not observe a significant difference between the expert and deep representations for the different modalities, excepted for the audio modality, and the fusion improved the performance almost systematically.

D. Dimensions summaries prediction

Results are reported in table VII for two different gold standards: the average of annotations and the median (see section III.B of the supplementary material for further details on prediction bias based on population demographics). Comparing those two gold standards, we notice that the average of the annotations yielded the best performance across the five dimensions. Regarding the dimensions, arousal was best predicted by the audio modality and the two others modalities did not improve the performance during fusion. Arousal also achieved the lowest scores compared to the others dimensions, which might be explained by the reasons given in V-C. Coping was the best predicted dimension where all modalities performed similarly well and showed a complementarity during fusion. Goal conduciveness was best predicted by the textual representations, and fusion with the audio and video modalities also improved performance. Intrinsic pleasantness was best captured by the video modality; textual representations were relevant only when computed at the whole level of the sequence with the TF-IDF, and deep representations of audio performed similarly well. Novelty appeared to be really challenging for the video modality with extremely low scores, whereas textual representations captured better this dimension.

By analysing the relative importance of each modality based on the coefficients obtained from the linear regression model, we observed that a very low importance was given to audio features for arousal, in particular when using expert features. This may explain the decrease in performance for the multimodal approach, compared to using the audio modality alone. For the others dimensions, it was observed that the contributions of the modalities were influenced by the complexity of the representations. When using deep features,

¹¹<https://pytorch.org>

TABLE VII
DIMENSION SUMMARY PREDICTION (CCC).

Dimensions	GS	Modalities							Expert	Deep
		Text	Audio	Video	Multimodal					
Arousal	Mean	.311	.195	.390	.309	.234	.209	.356	.310	
	Median	.264	.178	.276	.282	.252	.219	.326	.276	
	EWE	.264	.178	.276	.282	.252	.219	.326	.276	
Coping	Mean	.506	.452	.294	.499	.336	.460	.599	.684	
	Median	.488	.459	.302	.493	.329	.497	.603	.666	
	EWE	.488	.459	.302	.493	.329	.497	.603	.666	
Goal conduciveness	Mean	.520	.495	.347	.428	.345	.380	.630	.662	
	Median	.491	.468	.291	.426	.361	.389	.605	.642	
	EWE	.491	.468	.291	.426	.361	.389	.605	.642	
Intrinsic pleasantness	Mean	.399	.313	.296	.357	.500	.573	.606	.609	
	Median	.376	.202	.261	.346	.483	.463	.574	.594	
	EWE	.376	.202	.261	.346	.483	.463	.574	.594	
Novelty	Mean	.429	.377	.357	.371	.015	.312	.465	.559	
	Median	.329	.265	.277	.298	.021	.172	.376	.420	
	EWE	.329	.265	.277	.298	.021	.172	.376	.420	

the audio modality emerged as the most influential, but this contribution was reduced for expert features, with textual information showing more important contributions.

E. Continuous dimensions prediction

Results are reported in table VIII for three gold standards: the average and the median of the time-continuous annotations, and a version based on the Evaluator Weighted Estimator (EWE), which corresponds to a weighted mean of the annotations using the average of the cross-CCC between each rater’s as weights [58] (see section III.B of the supplementary material for further details on prediction bias based on population demographics). Overall, the EWE approach systematically outperformed the others two gold standards on the five dimensions.

Arousal was the best predicted dimension, but this is due to the low variance observed within this dimension, as explained in the previous section. For coping, goal conduciveness and novelty, the Wav2vec2 audio representations performed better than the textual features, differently from what was observed for the summarised dimensions, which might be due to the fact that textual features provide a static representation that may not capture the continuous variations within the data. Regarding intrinsic pleasantness, the three modalities performed similarly and proved complementary during fusion, which is consistent with the observations made in the previous section. In addition, the multimodal approach consistently improved the performance. An analysis of the fusion weights revealed that when using the mean of annotations as the gold standard, the model evenly distributed importance across all modalities. However, when using the median or the EWE gold standards, the audio modality appeared as the most significant, whereas text and video contributed similarly.

An examination of the weights learned by the GRU during fusion showed that the model assigned equal importance to all modalities when using the mean of annotations as the gold standard. However, when considering the median and

TABLE VIII
TIME-CONTINUOUS DIMENSION PREDICTION (CCC).

Dimensions	GS	Modalities						Deep
		Text	Audio	Video	Multimodal			
Arousal	Mean	.533	.575	.545	.539	.500	.545	
	Median	.644	.705	.665	.696	.643	.684	
	EWE	.554	.709	.699	.651	.643	.691	
Coping	Mean	.356	.254	.281	.198	.239	.346	
	Median	.186	.269	.406	.213	.260	.340	
	EWE	.376	.308	.422	.183	.251	.418	
Goal conduciveness	Mean	.320	.230	.236	.207	.199	.357	
	Median	.168	.224	.390	.218	.249	.347	
	EWE	.310	.248	.339	.191	.183	.420	
Intrinsic pleasantness	Mean	.258	.202	.188	.262	.231	.317	
	Median	.125	.195	.308	.272	.256	.324	
	EWE	.262	.250	.289	.242	.245	.370	
Novelty	Mean	.224	.154	.196	.094	.175	.231	
	Median	.139	.116	.308	.111	.203	.274	
	EWE	.262	.203	.279	.071	.202	.339	

EWE gold standards, the audio modality emerged as the most influential, while the text and video modalities showed comparable contributions to the overall predictions.

VII. CONCLUSION

This paper introduced the THERADIA WoZ corpus, an annotated multimodal corpus on affect in the context of healthcare. The corpus relies on current affective science findings from recent forms of appraisal theories, and is made available to the affective computing research community. The data consist of 39.5h of multimodal sequences from 52 healthy older participants and 9 MCI participants performing WoZ-assisted CCT. Affect inductions were performed on 32 of the healthy older participants to maximise the chance of capturing affective episodes in the data. All sequences were fully transcribed and partially annotated based on four appraisal dimensions (i.e., novelty, intrinsic pleasantness, goal conduciveness, and coping) plus the arousal dimension, as well as 23 achievement affect labels. Dimensions were annotated both in continuous time and in summarised form, while labels were only annotated in summarised form in terms of presence and intensity. Combining the results of different analyses yields a core set of ten affective labels (five positive, five negative), evidenced as highly relevant in the context of AI-assisted CCT.

Extensive baseline experiments and analyses were then conducted to model affect for both labels and dimensions using three modalities of text, audio and video. We also explored the fusion of different modalities for both computationally inexpensive feature extraction techniques and more contextual deep representations. Results showed some dependencies between the modalities and the different representations of affect. This work provides new insights into the field of affect recognition in healthcare and helps to provide additional data for benchmark comparisons.

In conclusion, we believe that this multidisciplinary work, combining recent advances in affective computing and psychology, fits perfectly with current research challenges. Indeed, we believe that such collaborations will benefit both affective computing and psychology by pushing back the boundaries of our knowledge of affect and how it can be modelled.

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has received funding from the Banque Publique d'Investissement (BPI) under grant agreement THERADIA, the Association Nationale de la Recherche et de la Technologie (ANRT), under grant agreement No. 2019/0729, and has been partially supported by MIAI@Grenoble-Alpes, (ANR-19-P3IA-0003).

REFERENCES

- [1] K.-H. Yu, A. L. Beam, and I. S. Kohane, "Artificial intelligence in healthcare," *Nature biomedical engineering*, vol. 2, no. 10, pp. 719–731, 2018.
- [2] M. S. Jaliaawala and R. A. Khan, "Can autism be catered with artificial intelligence-assisted intervention technology? a comprehensive survey," *Artificial Intelligence Review*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 1039–1069, 2020.
- [3] S. G. Little, J. Swangler, and A. Akin-Little, "Defining Social Skills," in *Handbook of Social Behavior and Skills in Children*, J. L. Matson, Ed. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2017, pp. 9–17.
- [4] H. De Jaegher, E. Di Paolo, and S. Gallagher, "Can social interaction constitute social cognition?" *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 441–447, Oct. 2010.
- [5] L. Pepa, L. Spalazzi, M. Capecchi, and M. G. Ceravolo, "Automatic emotion recognition in clinical scenario: A systematic review of methods," *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 1675–1695, 2023.
- [6] F. Tarpin-Bernard, J. Fruitet, J.-P. Vigne, P. Constant, H. Chainay, O. Koenig, F. Ringeval, B. Bouchot, G. Bailly, F. Portet, S. Alisamir, Y. Zhou, J. Serre, V. Delerue, H. Fournier, K. Berenger, I. Zsoldos, O. Perrotin, F. Elisei, M. Lenglet, C. Puaux, L. Pacheco, M. Fouillen, and D. Ghenassia, "THERADIA: Digital Therapies Augmented by Artificial Intelligence," in *Advances in Neuroergonomics and Cognitive Engineering*, H. Ayaz, U. Asghar, and L. Paletta, Eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2021, vol. 259, pp. 478–485, series Title: Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems. [Online]. Available: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-030-80285-1_55
- [7] N. T. Hill, L. Mowszowski, S. L. Naismith, V. L. Chadwick, M. Valenzuela, and A. Lampit, "Computerized cognitive training in older adults with mild cognitive impairment or dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *American Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 174, no. 4, pp. 329–340, 2017.
- [8] I. H. Leung, C. C. Walton, H. Hallock, S. J. Lewis, M. Valenzuela, and A. Lampit, "Cognitive training in parkinson disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Neurology*, vol. 85, no. 21, pp. 1843–1851, 2015.
- [9] H. M. Gavelin, M. E. Domellöf, I. Leung, A. S. Neely, N. H. Launder, L. Nategh, C. Finke, and A. Lampit, "Computerized cognitive training in Parkinson's disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *Ageing Research Reviews*, vol. 80, p. 101671, 2022.
- [10] M. Hu, X. Wu, X. Shu, H. Hu, Q. Chen, L. Peng, and H. Feng, "Effects of computerised cognitive training on cognitive impairment: a meta-analysis," *Journal of Neurology*, vol. 268, no. 5, pp. 1680–1688, 2021.
- [11] A. Lampit, H. Hallock, and M. Valenzuela, "Computerized cognitive training in cognitively healthy older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of effect modifiers," *PLoS medicine*, vol. 11, no. 11, p. e1001756, 2014.
- [12] N. H. Frijda, "Emotions and Action," in *Feelings and Emotions*, 1st ed., A. S. R. Manstead, N. Frijda, and A. Fischer, Eds. Cambridge University Press, Apr. 2004, pp. 158–173. [Online]. Available: https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/CBO9780511806582A019/type/book_part
- [13] D. Sander, *Models of emotion: The affective neuroscience approach*. Cambridge University Press, 2013, pp. 4–54.
- [14] D. Sander, D. Grandjean, and K. R. Scherer, "A systems approach to appraisal mechanisms in emotion," *Neural networks*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 317–352, 2005.
- [15] A. Moors, "On the causal role of appraisal in emotion," *Emotion Review*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 132–140, 2013.
- [16] M. Siemer, I. Mauss, and J. J. Gross, "Same situation–different emotions: how appraisals shape our emotions," *Emotion*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. 592, 2007.
- [17] K. R. Scherer, H. Ellgring, A. Dieckmann, M. Unfried, and M. Mortillaro, "Dynamic facial expression of emotion and observer inference," *Frontiers in psychology*, vol. 10, p. 508, 2019.
- [18] J. A. Russell, "Emotion, core affect, and psychological construction," *Cognition and emotion*, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 1259–1283, 2009.
- [19] J. Russell, "A circumplex model of emotions," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, vol. 39, pp. 1161–1178, 1980.
- [20] H. Fournier and O. Koenig, "Combined effects of intrinsic and goal relevances on attention and action tendency during the emotional episode," *Emotion*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 425–436, 2023.
- [21] J. R. Fontaine, K. R. Scherer, E. B. Roesch, and P. C. Ellsworth, "The world of emotions is not two-dimensional," *Psychological science*, vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 1050–1057, 2007.
- [22] J. L. Tracy and D. Randles, "Four models of basic emotions: A review of Ekman and Cordaro, Izard, Levenson, and Panksepp and Watt," *Emotion review*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 397–405, 2011.
- [23] D. Grandjean, D. Sander, and K. R. Scherer, "Conscious emotional experience emerges as a function of multilevel, appraisal-driven response synchronization," *Consciousness and cognition*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 484–495, 2008.
- [24] A. Moors, "Flavors of appraisal theories of emotion," *Emotion Review*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 303–307, 2014.
- [25] K. R. Scherer, M. Mortillaro, and M. Mehu, "Understanding the mechanisms underlying the production of facial expression of emotion: A componential perspective," *Emotion Review*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 47–53, 2013.
- [26] K. R. Scherer, M. Mortillaro, I. Rotondi, I. Sergi, and S. Trznadel, "Appraisal-driven facial actions as building blocks for emotion inference," *Journal of personality and social psychology*, vol. 114, no. 3, p. 358, 2018.
- [27] D. M. Low, K. H. Bentley, and S. S. Ghosh, "Automated assessment of psychiatric disorders using speech: A systematic review," *Laryngoscope investigative otolaryngology*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 96–116, 2020.
- [28] F. Ringeval, B. Schuller, M. Valstar, N. Cummins, R. Cowie, M. Soleymani, M. Schmitt, S. Amiriparian, E.-M. Messner, L. Tavabi, S. Song, S. Alisamir, S. Lui, Z. Zhao, and M. Pantic, "AVEC 2019 Workshop and Challenge: State-of-Mind, Depression with AI, and Cross-Cultural Affect Recognition," in *Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Audio/Visual Emotion Challenge, AVEC'19, co-located with the 27th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, MM 2019*, F. Ringeval, B. Schuller, M. Valstar, N. Cummins, R. Cowie, and M. Pantic, Eds., ACM, Nice, France: ACM, October 2019, pp. 3–12.
- [29] A. Adikari, D. de Silva, H. Moraliyage, D. Alahakoon, J. Wong, M. Gancarz, S. Chackochan, B. Park, R. Heo, and Y. Leung, "Empathic conversational agents for real-time monitoring and co-facilitation of patient-centered healthcare," *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 126, pp. 318–329, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167739X2100323X>
- [30] J. Kossaiifi, R. Walecki, Y. Panagakis, J. Shen, M. Schmitt, F. Ringeval, J. Han, V. Pandit, A. Toisoul, B. Schuller, K. Star, E. Hajjiyev, and M. Pantic, "SEWA DB: A Rich Database for Audio-Visual Emotion and Sentiment Research in the Wild," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 1022–1040, Mar. 2021.
- [31] C. Palmero, M. deVelasco, M. A. Hmani, A. Mtibaa, L. B. Letaifa, P. Buch-Cardona, R. Justo, T. Amorese, E. González-Fraile, B. Fernández-Ruanova *et al.*, "Exploring emotion expression recognition in older adults interacting with a virtual coach," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.05567*, 2023.
- [32] J. M. Olaso, A. Vázquez, L. Ben Letaifa, M. De Velasco, A. Mtibaa, M. A. Hmani, D. Petrovska-Delacrétaz, G. Chollet, C. Montenegro, A. López-Zorrilla *et al.*, "The empathic virtual coach: A demo," in *Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*, 2021, pp. 848–851.
- [33] P. Washington, H. Kalantarian, J. Kent, A. Husic, A. Kline, E. Leblanc, C. Hou, C. Mutlu, K. Dunlap, Y. Penev *et al.*, "Training an emotion detection classifier using frames from a mobile therapeutic game for children with developmental disorders," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.08678*, 2020.
- [34] I. Zsoldos, E. Trân, H. Fournier, F. Tarpin-Bernard, J. Fruitet, M. Fouillen, G. Bailly, F. Elisei, B. Bouchot, P. Constant, F. Ringeval, O. Koenig, and H. Chainay, "The value of a virtual assistant to improve

- engagement in computerized cognitive training at home: An exploratory study." *JMIR Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies*, In press.
- [35] I. Eshkol-Taravella, O. Baude, D. Maurel, L. Hriba, C. Dugua, and I. Tellier, "Un grand corpus oral «disponible»: le corpus d'Orléans 1 1968-2012." *Revue TAL*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 17–46, 2011.
- [36] H. Brugman, A. Russel, and X. Nijmegen, "Annotating multimedia/multi-modal resources with elan." in *LREC*, 2004, pp. 2065–2068.
- [37] R. Pekrun, "The control-value theory of achievement emotions: Assumptions, corollaries, and implications for educational research and practice," *Educational psychology review*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 315–341, 2006.
- [38] R. Pekrun and E. J. Stephens, "Academic emotions," in *APA educational psychology handbook, Vol 2: Individual differences and cultural and contextual factors*. American Psychological Association, 2012, pp. 3–31.
- [39] —, "Achievement emotions: A control-value approach," *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 238–255, 2010.
- [40] R. Pekrun, "Achievement emotions," *Emotions in late modernity*, vol. 142, 2022.
- [41] P. J. Silvia, "Confusion and interest: The role of knowledge emotions in aesthetic experience." *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, vol. 4, no. 2, p. 75, 2010.
- [42] K. R. Scherer, A. Dieckmann, M. Unfried, H. Ellgring, and M. Mortillaro, "Investigating appraisal-driven facial expression and inference in emotion communication." *Emotion*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 73, 2021.
- [43] J. Cohen, "A power primer." *Psychological Bulletin*, pp. 155–159, 1992.
- [44] K. R. Scherer, H. Ellgring, A. Dieckmann, M. Unfried, and M. Mortillaro, "Dynamic facial expression of emotion and observer inference," *Frontiers in psychology*, vol. 10, p. 508, 2019.
- [45] S. Alisamir and F. Ringeval, "On the Evolution of Speech Representations for Affective Computing: A brief history and critical overview," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 12–21, November 2021.
- [46] T. Parcollet, H. Nguyen, S. Evain, M. Zanon Boito, A. Pupier, S. Md-haffar, H. Le, S. Alisamir, N. Tomashenko, M. Dinarelli, S. Zhang, A. Allauzen, M. Coavoux, Y. Estève, M. Rouvier, J. Goulian, B. Lecouteux, F. Portet, S. Rossato, F. Ringeval, D. Schwab, and L. Besacier, "Lebenchmark 2.0: A standardized, replicable and enhanced framework for self-supervised representations of french speech," *Computer Speech & Language*, vol. 86, p. 101622, 2024.
- [47] S. Latif, R. Rana, S. Khalifa, R. Jurdak, J. Qadir, and B. W. Schuller, "Deep representation learning in speech processing: Challenges, recent advances, and future trends," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.00378*, 2020.
- [48] M. Ravanelli, T. Parcollet, P. Plantinga, A. Rouhe, S. Cornell, L. Lugosch, C. Subakan, N. Dawalatabad, A. Heba, J. Zhong, J.-C. Chou, S.-L. Yeh, S.-W. Fu, C.-F. Liao, E. Rastorgueva, F. Grondin, W. Aris, H. Na, Y. Gao, R. D. Mori, and Y. Bengio, "SpeechBrain: A general-purpose speech toolkit," 2021, arXiv:2106.04624.
- [49] T. Baltrušaitis, P. Robinson, and L.-P. Morency, "Openface: an open source facial behavior analysis toolkit," in *2016 IEEE winter conference on applications of computer vision (WACV)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–10.
- [50] A. Baevski, Y. Zhou, A. Mohamed, and M. Auli, "wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 33, pp. 12 449–12 460, 2020.
- [51] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding," in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 4171–4186. [Online]. Available: <https://aclanthology.org/N19-1423>
- [52] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, C. Hallacy, A. Ramesh, G. Goh, S. Agarwal, G. Sastry, A. Askell, P. Mishkin, J. Clark *et al.*, "Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision," in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2021, pp. 8748–8763.
- [53] A. Conneau, A. Baevski, R. Collobert, A. Mohamed, and M. Auli, "Un-supervised cross-lingual representation learning for speech recognition," in *Proc. Interspeech 2021*, Brno, Czech Republic, 2021, pp. 2426–2430.
- [54] C. Sun, L. Huang, and X. Qiu, "Utilizing BERT for aspect-based sentiment analysis via constructing auxiliary sentence," in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, vol. 1, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA, Jun. 2019, pp. 380–385. [Online]. Available: <https://aclanthology.org/N19-1035>
- [55] K. Yang, D. Lee, T. Whang, S. Lee, and H. Lim, "Emotionx-ku: Bert-max based contextual emotion classifier," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.11565*, 2019.
- [56] F. Weninger, F. Ringeval, E. Marchi, and B. Schuller, "Discriminatively Trained Recurrent Neural Networks for Continuous Dimensional Emotion Recognition from Audio," in *Proceedings of the 25th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI 2016)*. New York City (NY), USA: IJCAI/AAAI, July 2016, pp. 2196–2202.
- [57] A. Paszke, S. Gross, F. Massa, A. Lerer, J. Bradbury, G. Chanan, T. Killeen, Z. Lin, N. Gimelshein, L. Antiga, Z. Lin, N. Gimelshein, and L. Antiga, "Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library," in *Proceedings of the thirty-third Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*. Vancouver, BC, Canada: Neural Information Processing Systems Foundation, 2019, pp. 8026–8037.
- [58] L. Stappen, L. Schumann, B. Sertolli, A. Baird, B. Weigell, E. Cambria, and B. W. Schuller, "Muse-toolbox: The multimodal sentiment analysis continuous annotation fusion and discrete class transformation toolbox," in *Proceedings of the 2nd on multimodal sentiment analysis challenge*, 2021, pp. 75–82.

THERADIA WoZ: An Ecological Corpus for Appraisal based Affect Research in Healthcare

Supplementary material

The supplementary material provides details for specific sub-sections of the main manuscript. The section and sub-section names in this document correspond to those in the main manuscript to aid in understanding which parts are being referred to, excepted for the last section which specifically deals with bias and fairness in the affect predictions.

I. DATA COLLECTION

A. CCT supported by a Virtual Assistant

All participants carried out a CCT session, which was based on eight exercises selected from the HappyNeuronPro CCT platform¹. The Figure 1 illustrates the on-screen trial of these exercises that aimed at training various aspects of memory (e.g., visual, verbal), planning, reasoning, mental arithmetic, and grammatical logic.



Fig. 1: Display of on-screen trial of the eight exercises selected for the data collection.

¹<https://www.happyneuron.fr>

B. Procedure

Induction was carried out for every second exercise in the induction groups. Three parameters were manipulated for induction: the exercise introduction, in which the difficulty was advertised as easy or hard regardless of the real difficulty of the exercise; the real difficulty of the exercise, in which the difficulty was easy or hard regardless of the advertised difficulty; and the feedback of the performance, in which participants were either a bit congratulated, highly congratulated, a bit criticised, or highly criticised, regardless of the performance. Induction groups were assigned to possible induction combinations on the basis of a Latin square, cf. Table I.

TABLE I: Types of induction assigned to the different groups according to exercise introduction, difficulty and feedback.

Participant group	Task number	Exercise introduction	Real difficulty of the exercise	Feedback induction
A	2	Easy	Easy	Congratulation
A	4	Easy	Hard	Critical +
A	6	Hard	Easy	Critical
A	8	Hard	Hard	Congratulation +
B	2	Easy	Easy	Congratulation +
B	4	Easy	Hard	Congratulation
B	6	Hard	Easy	Critical +
B	8	Hard	Hard	Critical
C	2	Easy	Easy	Critical
C	4	Easy	Hard	Congratulation +
C	6	Hard	Easy	Congratulation
C	8	Hard	Hard	Critical +
D	2	Easy	Easy	Critical +
D	4	Easy	Hard	Critical
D	6	Hard	Easy	Congratulation +
D	8	Hard	Hard	Congratulation

II. DATA SEGMENTATION, ANNOTATION, AND ANONYMISATION

A. Segmentation, transcription, and annotation of sequences

The reference dictionary was “Le Petit Robert”, and unconventional words were preceded with the commercial symbol. Punctuation was not transcribed, excepted for the question mark. The use of quotes, uppercase letters (except for proper nouns), and apostrophes was also restricted. Elisions were retained if the schwa maintained a melodic content without being assimilated to “euh”. Truncated words were marked with a hyphen. Verbal expressions were preserved, with deformations within the lexicon retained and others corrected. Incorrect elisions were not corrected orthographically. Onomatopoeia and interjections were listed to agree upon their spellings. The text further introduced diacritics for marking the beginning and end of virtual assistant interactions, as well as the presence of non-verbal information.

B. Data anonymisation

In the public version of the dataset that will be released, the data has been anonymised to protect participants privacy by removing personal identifiers including participants names, ages, cities, family backgrounds, and occupations. We used regular expressions to detect these identifiers from sequences transcriptions. Name and location patterns were detected based on capitalised words, age patterns look for numerical numbers followed by "ans", and family and job patterns search for a list of keywords that might indicate someone's job, such as "travail", "profession", "retraité", or words related to family relationships, such as "fils", "fille", or "enfants". Any audio segments that contained private information were carefully removed, and corresponding intervals in the complete audio files were replaced by silence. Similarly, for the complete video file, frames containing private information have been replaced with a black screen.

C. Data annotation

To ease the time-continuous annotation process, the position of the mouse was freeze once the video was played, and movements of the mouse were only applied to the annotation cursor which was always located at the middle of the scale. At the end of the time-continuous annotation, a graph showing the dynamics of the dimension over time was presented to the annotators, who could then validate or perform the annotation again as shown in Figure 2. After the validation, annotators were asked to provide a summary value for the whole sequence as depicted in the Figure 3. Finally, once all dimensions were annotated, annotators were asked to indicate if one or more labels could describe the affect they had recognised in the sequence and provide an intensity value for them as illustrated in the Figure 4. Labels were presented in different parts of the screen according to their valence. The order of the positive labels, and negative labels, were defined randomly for each annotator. In order to reduce the number of operations to be effected by the annotators to provide their rating, the annotation bar was displayed when a click was maintained on an annotation label, and vertical displacements of the mouse allowed to change the intensity value.

III. CORPUS ANALYSIS

A. Dimensional annotations

To better understand how difficult each dimension was to annotate, we evaluated the "cognitive delay" related to the annotation of each dimension. We measured this delay by calculating the time interval between the affective event apex and the apex of the continuous time annotation curve for each dimension and participant, cf. Figure 5. After performing it on several selected extracts, average of difference time between annotators within each selected extract for each dimension was calculated. Extracts were selected on the basis of whether they described a bell-shaped curve, facilitating the apex identifications. The cognitive delay between dimension annotations was close, with the exception of the goal conduciveness annotation, for which the cognitive delay was longer. Results are reported in Table II.



Fig. 2: Example of a time-continuous annotation of the arousal dimension; time is given in ms.




Fig. 3: Example of a summarised annotation of the arousal dimension.

B. Relation between dimensions and affective label

The Figure 6 present a heatmap of the associations between affective labels and dimensions (summary) for each of the six annotators. Each cell of the heatmap corresponds to the value of the PCC calculated between a specific dimension and an affective label. Analysing the six heatmaps, we observe varying degrees of agreement in the correlations between appraisal dimensions and affective labels across the six annotators. For instance, no significant correlation was obtained between the label 'ashamed' and the five dimensions for annotators 3, 4 and 5. However, this label was correlated with at least one dimension for annotators 1, 2 and 3. On the opposite, the affective label 'surprise' shows consistently a strong correlations with the dimension of novelty for all six annotators, which indicates a reliable association. Conversely, the label 'sad' lacks significant correlation with any of the five dimensions for most annotators, showing its irrelevance in the context of AI-assisted CCT. Therefore, as explained in section V.C of the paper, affective labels that do not demonstrate significant correlations with appraisal dimensions for at least two annotators is considered one of the key selection criteria for excluding labels from the core set.




Fig. 4: Example of summary annotation of the labels.




Fig. 5: An example of continuously valued annotation results on intrinsic pleasantness illustrating the cognitive delay of each annotator.

IV. AUTOMATIC RECOGNITION OF AFFECTIVE STATES

A. Representations of audio, textual and video data

In real-life applications such as THERADIA, human transcriptions are not always available. Therefore, the use of automatic transcription is required to experiment through the text modality. To this end, a benchmark was carried out to select the more robust ASR among those available. In accordance with an open science approach, the focus was placed on ASRs that are readily available and free of charge. The following candidates were selected: SpeechRecognition² toolkit, the Google, and the three Vosk³ models that were trained on French speech (using the Kaldi toolkit⁴).

To compare the ASR models, Word Error Rate (WER) was calculated on all of the annotated sequences (see Table III). The Google ASR achieved the best results (33.69 %

TABLE II: Cognitive delay in continuous annotation as a function of dimensions

	Arousal	Novelty	Intrinsic pleasantness	Goal conduciveness	Coping
Number of sequences	4	6	5	5	7
Cognitive delay (s)	1.99 ± 0.43	1.32 ± 0.51	1.13 ± 0.36	3.30 ± 0.65	1.33 ± 0.48

average WER), followed by the Vosk-linto model (38.76 % average WER). Thus, automatic transcriptions of the current experiment were performed using the Google ASR.

The performance of predicting the intensity of affective labels of the core set was compared based on human or automatic transcriptions, using TF-IDF and BERT features. The results are reported in table IV. They suggest a small drop in performance when using automatic transcriptions instead of human transcription. Specifically, similar performance was revealed between human and automated transcriptions with the use of TF-IDF ($p > .05$ based on two tailored z-test). However, a small effect of better performance was evidenced for human compared to automated transcription with the use of BERT (Cohen's $d = 0.25$).

Given the small drop in performance compared with human transcription, automatic transcription seems suitable for predicting affective labels. It should also be noted that these results are in line with the literature [1]–[4].

B. Prediction bias based on population demographics

A prediction bias analysis of affective labels and dimensions was performed based on population demographics, i.e., male vs. female; healthy seniors vs. MCI. To this end, the test partition of the corpus was divided according to the two groups of participants, i.e., seniors and MCI, then the seniors were divided according to the gender. This analysis was performed on multimodal predictions of time-continuous dimensions using the EWE as gold standard, the average of dimension summaries, and the average of label intensities.

The results for the prediction of label intensity and dimensions are reported in table V, and table VI, respectively. Based on two-tailed z-test, both expert and deep representations show better performance for the female group than for the male group, $p < .05$. This could be explained by the female to male ratio in the participant sample (40/12), which translates into more robust training for the female group. Moreover, significantly better performances were evidenced for the MCI group compared to the healthy senior group, $p < .05$. This result was unexpected, given that the ratio of MCI to healthy seniors is low in the sample of participants (9/41), leaving less data for the models to train on. It is possible that MCI participants expressed more pronounced affects than healthy seniors facilitating the model training and prediction. The rationale of this assumption is underpinned by the fact that they were likely more involved in the CCT since they were directly concerned by the cognitive remediation aspect.

²<https://pypi.org/project/SpeechRecognition/>

³<https://alphacephei.com/vosk/>

⁴<https://kaldi-asr.org/>




Fig. 6: Heatmaps of the PCC between each dimension (summary value) presented in the columns – AR: arousal, CP: coping, GC: goal conduciveness, IP: intrinsic pleasantness, and NV: novelty – and each affective label on the rows, which are sorted in alphabetical order from positive (+) to negative (-), for the evaluations from each of the six annotators. Significant correlations (p-value ≤ 0.05) are coloured either in red for positive values, or blue for negative values. Non-significant correlations (p-value > 0.05) are presented with 0 shown in grey.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Heusser, N. Freymuth, S. Constantin, and A. Waibel, “Bimodal speech emotion recognition using pre-trained language models,” *arXiv preprint*

TABLE III: Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) results on all the transcribed sequences, where there exists text based on human transcription. The results are mean (std) of Word Error Rate (WER) for all sequences according to different ASR models

ASR model	Senior			MCI			Senior + MCI		
	Emotional	Neutral	All	Emotional	Neutral	All	Emotional	Neutral	All
Google	55.45% (43.40%)	49.48% (44.96%)	54.15% (43.82%)	49.56% (39.83%)	46.72% (43.98%)	47.80% (42.45%)	55.22% (43.28%)	48.95% (44.78%)	53.65% (43.74%)
Vosk-small	57.79% (43.97%)	52.60% (44.84%)	56.65% (44.21%)	48.67% (39.45%)	40.33% (41.51%)	43.50% (40.92%)	57.43% (43.83%)	50.23% (44.47%)	55.63% (44.10%)
Vosk-medium	48.00% (49.05%)	38.49% (45.49%)	45.92% (48.45%)	39.82% (42.72%)	29.04% (39.60%)	33.14% (41.12%)	47.68% (48.84%)	36.67% (44.57%)	44.92% (48.04%)
Vosk-linto	56.51% (44.66%)	50.90% (44.94%)	55.28% (44.78%)	49.10% (39.42%)	40.04% (41.12%)	43.48% (40.70%)	56.21% (44.49%)	48.81% (44.43%)	54.36% (44.58%)
Frequency	7859	2201	10060	322	526	848	8181	2727	10908
Word / second	.791 (.624)	.719 (.563)	.775 (.611)	.877 (.641)	.748 (.601)	.797 (.620)	.794 (.625)	.725 (.570)	.777 (.612)

TABLE IV: Affect label intensity prediction from text using either human or automatic transcriptions (CCC).

Label	TF-IDF		BERT	
	Human	Automatic	Human	Automatic
Annoyed	.031	.075	.122	.255
Anxious	.140	.115	.124	.087
Confident	.263	.233	.518	.473
Desperate	.211	.172	.322	.221
Frustrated	.381	.327	.183	.222
Happy	.127	.117	.157	.108
Interested	.156	.147	.336	.364
Relaxed	.341	.314	.295	.363
Satisfied	.344	.323	.364	.057
surprised	.209	.167	.197	.143
Average	.220	.199	.262	.229

- [2] S. Yoon, S. Byun, S. Dey, and K. Jung, "Speech emotion recognition using multi-hop attention mechanism," in *2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. Brighton, United Kingdom: IEEE, 2019, pp. 2822–2826.
- [3] W. Wu, C. Zhang, and P. C. Woodland, "Emotion recognition by fusing time synchronous and time asynchronous representations," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. Online, Canada: IEEE, 2021, pp. 6269–6273.
- [4] Z. Peng, Y. Lu, S. Pan, and Y. Liu, "Efficient speech emotion recognition using multi-scale cnn and attention," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. Online, Canada: IEEE, 2021, pp. 3020–3024.

TABLE V: The bias and fairness analysis of the multi-modal emotion regression models. The reported results are in Concordance Correlation Coefficients (CCC).

Label	Traditional features					Deep representations				
	Female	Senior Male	F+M	MCI	Senior+MCI	Female	Senior Male	F+M	MCI	Senior+MCI
Annoyed	.210	.131	.247	.367	.255	.302	.199	.284	.328	.280
Anxious	.202	.099	.174	.128	.171	.234	.087	.209	.266	.214
Confident	.434	.361	.424	.337	.422	.498	.358	.477	.575	.487
Desperate	.285	.178	.260	.218	.259	.249	.252	.248	.333	.252
Frustrated	.472	.143	.377	.508	.387	.478	.403	.463	.491	.465
Happy	.456	.402	.471	.597	.490	.508	.455	.508	.523	.508
Interested	.208	.179	.210	.212	.207	.269	.336	.295	.374	.300
Relaxed	.492	.529	.510	.548	.515	.520	.475	.516	.646	.529
Satisfied	.439	.351	.435	.365	.428	.490	.496	.499	.348	.486
Surprised	.204	.072	.179	.339	.197	.284	.146	.268	.327	.275
Average	.340	.244	.329	.362	.333	.383	.321	.377	.421	.380

TABLE VI: The bias and fairness analysis of the multi-modal dimension regression models. The reported results are in Concordance Correlation Coefficients (CCC).

Dimensions	Time-continous					Summaries				
	Female	Senior Male	F+M	MCI	Senior+MCI	Female	Senior Male	F+M	MCI	Senior+MCI
Arousal	.695	.638	.682	.777	.691	.280	.361	.315	.360	.310
Coping	.430	.328	.406	.511	.418	.690	.608	.674	.799	.684
Goal conduciveness	.422	.382	.413	.490	.420	.668	.610	.658	.711	.662
Intrinsic pleasantness	.392	.281	.366	.404	.370	.634	.448	.598	.709	.609
Novelty	.351	.242	.325	.455	.339	.607	.348	.543	.709	.559