

SEMI-STABLE AND SPLITTING MODELS FOR UNITARY SHIMURA VARIETIES OVER RAMIFIED PLACES. II.

I. ZACHOS AND Z. ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We consider Shimura varieties associated to a unitary group of signature $(n - 1, 1)$. For these varieties, we construct p -adic integral models over odd primes p which ramify in the imaginary quadratic field with level subgroup at p given by the stabilizer of a vertex lattice in the hermitian space. Our models are given by a variation of the construction of the splitting models of Pappas-Rapoport and they have a simple moduli theoretic description. By an explicit calculation, we show that these splitting models are normal, flat, Cohen-Macaulay and with reduced special fiber. In fact, they have relatively simple singularities: we show that a single blow-up along a smooth codimension one subvariety of the special fiber produces a semi-stable model. This also implies the existence of semi-stable models of the corresponding Shimura varieties.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminaries	5
3.	The unitary moduli problems	7
4.	Affine Charts	9
5.	Flatness and Reducedness of the splitting model M_I^{spl}	13
6.	Moduli description of the irreducible components of $M_I^{\text{spl}} \otimes k$	14
7.	A resolution for M_I^{spl}	16
8.	Application to Shimura varieties	18
	References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The aim of this paper is to construct “good” integral models of Shimura varieties over places of bad reduction. Here, we consider Shimura varieties associated to unitary groups of signature $(n - 1, 1)$ over an imaginary quadratic field K . These Shimura varieties are of PEL type and so they can be described as moduli spaces of abelian varieties with polarization, endomorphisms and level structure. It is desirable to define such integral models by a suitable extension of the moduli problem. Such models should be useful in various arithmetic applications.

Shimura varieties have canonical models over the reflex number field E . In the cases we consider here the reflex field is $E = K$. They are also expected to give rise to reasonable integral models. However, the behavior of these depends very much on the “level subgroup”. The level subgroup we consider here is determined by the

choice of a vertex lattice in a hermitian space of dimension n . This stabilizer, by what follows below, is not connected when n is even, so not parahoric. However, by using the work of Rapoport-Zink [15] we first construct p -adic integral models, which have simple and explicit moduli descriptions but are not always flat. The étale local structure of all these models is controlled by the local structure of certain simpler schemes the *naive local models* which are defined in terms of linear algebra data inside the product of Grassmannian varieties. Inspired by the work of Pappas-Rapoport [10], we consider a variation of the above moduli problem (parametrizing abelian schemes), *the splitting model*, where we add in the moduli problem an extra linear data of a flag of the Hodge filtration with some restricting properties. This is essentially an instance of the notion of a “linear modification” introduced in [9]. Then, we show that the splitting models are flat, normal, Cohen-Macaulay and with reduced special fiber. We also resolve their singularities which leads to regular models for these Shimura varieties over the p -adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p . We anticipate that our constructions will have applications to the study of arithmetic intersections of special cycles and Kudla’s program. (See for example, [2] and [5], for some works in this direction.)

1.2. Let us give some details. To explain our results, we need to introduce some notation. Let W be a n -dimensional K -vector space, equipped with a non-degenerate hermitian form ϕ . Consider the group of unitary similitudes $G = GU_n$ for (W, ϕ) of dimension $n \geq 3$ over K . Fix a conjugacy class of homomorphisms $h : \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow GU_n$ that corresponds to a Shimura datum $(G, X) = (GU_n, X_h)$ of signature $(n-1, 1)$. The pair (G, X) gives rise to a Shimura variety $Sh(G, X)$ over the reflex field $E = K$. Let p be an odd prime number which ramifies in K and set $K_1 = K_v$ where v is the unique prime ideal of K above (p) . Denote by \mathcal{O} the ring of integers of K_1 and let π be a uniformizer of \mathcal{O} . Set $V = W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$. We assume that the hermitian form ϕ is split on V , i.e. there is a basis e_1, \dots, e_n such that

$$\phi(ae_i, be_{n+1-j}) = \bar{a}b\delta_{i,j} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in K_1,$$

where $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ is the nontrivial element of $\text{Gal}(K_1/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We denote by

$$\Lambda_i = \text{span}_{\mathcal{O}}\{\pi^{-1}e_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}e_i, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n\}$$

the standard lattices in V . Consider the stabilizer subgroup

$$P_I := \{g \in GU_n \mid g\Lambda_i = \Lambda_i, \quad \forall i \in I\},$$

for the nonempty subsets $I \subset \{0, \dots, m\}$ where $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ with the property that

$$(1.2.1) \quad \text{for } n \text{ even, if } m-1 \in I \implies m \in I.$$

As in §2.2, when n is odd the stabilizer P_I is a parahoric subgroup. When n is even, P_I is not a parahoric subgroup since it contains a parahoric subgroup with index 2 and the corresponding parahoric group scheme is its connected component P_I° . Let \mathcal{L} , as in §2.1, be the self-dual multichain consisting of lattices $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in n\mathbb{Z} \pm I}$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \underline{\text{Aut}}(\mathcal{L})$ be the (smooth) group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_p with $P_I = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ as its generic fiber.

Choose also a sufficiently small compact open subgroup K^p of the prime-to- p finite adelic points $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ of G and set $\mathbf{K} = K^p P_I$. The Shimura variety $\text{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}}(G, X)$ is of PEL type and has a canonical model over the reflex field K .

Next, by using the work of Rapoport and Zink [15, Definition 6.9] we define the moduli scheme $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$ over \mathcal{O} whose generic fiber agrees with $\text{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}}(G, X)$. In particular, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$ is a moduli of quadruples $(A, \iota, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\eta})$ where A is an abelian variety and $(\iota, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\eta})$ are some additional data of polarization and level structure (see §8 for more details). The functor $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$ is representable by a quasi-projective scheme over \mathcal{O} . The moduli scheme $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$ is connected to the *naive local model* M_I^{naive} via the *local model diagram*

$$(1.2.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}} \xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbf{K}}} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}} \xrightarrow{q_{\mathbf{K}}} M_I^{\text{naive}}$$

where the morphism $\pi_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a \mathcal{G}_I -torsor and $q_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a smooth and \mathcal{G}_I -equivariant morphism. Equivalently, there exists a relatively representable morphism of algebraic stacks

$$\phi : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}} \rightarrow [M_I^{\text{naive}}/\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}]$$

which is smooth of relative dimension $\dim(G)$. In particular, since \mathcal{G}_I is smooth, the above imply that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$ is étale locally isomorphic to M_I^{naive} . Next, we form the cartesian product of ϕ with the morphism $M_I^{\text{loc}} \hookrightarrow M_I^{\text{naive}}$, where M_I^{loc} is the *local model*, defined as the scheme theoretic closure of the generic fiber $M_I^{\text{naive}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K_1$ in M_I^{naive} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{loc}} & \longrightarrow & [M_I^{\text{loc}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}} & \longrightarrow & [M_I^{\text{naive}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}}]. \end{array}$$

The scheme $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{loc}}$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$ and is a linear modification of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$ in the sense of [9, §2].

We now consider a variation of the moduli of abelian schemes $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ where we add in the moduli problem of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$ an additional subspace in the Hodge filtration $w_{A^\vee} \subset M(A)$ where $M(A)$ is the dual of the deRham cohomology $H_{dR}^1(A)$ of the universal abelian variety A (see §8 for more details) with certain conditions to imitate the definition of the splitting model M_I^{spl} ; see §3.2 for the explicit definition of the splitting models. (Here A^\vee is the dual abelian scheme.) There is a forgetful morphism $\tau : M_I^{\text{spl}} \rightarrow M_I^{\text{naive}}$ and as above we can form the cartesian product of ϕ with τ and get

$$(1.2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}} & \longrightarrow & [M_I^{\text{spl}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}} & \longrightarrow & [M_I^{\text{naive}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}}]. \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ has the same étale local structure as M_I^{spl} and it is a linear modification of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$.

Next, we want to mention some results that have already been obtained in this set-up: The π -modular case, when $n = 2m$ is even and $I = \{m\}$, and the almost π -modular case, when $n = 2m + 1$ is odd and $I = \{m\}$, have been studied in [11, §5.3] and [1, Prop. 4.16] respectively. These cases are of exotic good reduction, the local models M_I^{loc} are smooth and hence also are the corresponding integral models $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{loc}}$. Moreover, using the splitting models we get that in the π -modular case $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ is smooth [22, Thm. 1.1], but in the almost π -modular case $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ has semi-stable reduction [16, §5]. For the self-dual case, $I = 0$, it was shown in [9, §4.5] that M_I^{loc} does not have semi-stable reduction but this can be resolved by blowing up the unique singular point of its special fiber. In [7], the author using the corresponding

splitting model constructed a regular semi-stable integral model $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ (see also [21]). In what follows, we will exclude these cases. However, it is worth mentioning that our constructions and proofs of the splitting models generalizes to all those cases except the π -modular case, where in the moduli problem of the splitting model one needs to add the “spin condition” to get an honest splitting model; see [22, Thm. 1.2] for more details.

Therefore, from now on, we will focus on the non-empty subsets $I = \{\ell\}$ where $0 \leq \ell \leq m$ and $\ell \neq 0, m-1, m$ when $n = 2m$ and $\ell \neq 0, m$ when $n = 2m+1$. We will call such index sets *strongly non-special*. In a very recent paper [8], Luo gave a moduli description of M_I^{loc} by adding in M_I^{naive} “the wedge and spin conditions”. In this paper, we consider M_I^{spl} and show that by imposing the existence of the additional subspace in M_I^{naive} implies the wedge and spin conditions. Thus, we deduce that the scheme theoretic image $\tau(M_I^{\text{spl}})$ coincides with M_I^{loc} . Notably, the spin conditions are very complicated to check. Therefore, the splitting model that we consider here has a more compact moduli description. Moreover, we want to highlight the novelty in our splitting model’s construction: instead of adding two subspaces as expected from [10, Definition 14.1], we add only one (see Remark 3.3). This variation was first used by Richarz [16] in the almost π -modular case.

1.3. In what follows, we will adhere to the strongly non-special case with signature $(n-1, 1)$. One of the main results of the present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For every K^p as above, there is a scheme $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$, flat over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$, with*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K_1 = \text{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}}(G, X) \otimes_K K_1,$$

and which supports a local model diagram

$$(1.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}(G, X) & \\ \pi_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}} \swarrow & & \searrow q_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}} & & M_I^{\text{spl}} \end{array}$$

such that:

- a) $\pi_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ is a \mathcal{G} -torsor and $q_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ is smooth and \mathcal{G} -equivariant.
- b) $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ is normal and Cohen-Macaulay and has a reduced special fiber.

From (1.2.3) and the fact that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ is flat we get that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{loc}}$ is the image of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$. From the local model diagram (1.3.1) it follows that every point of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ has an étale neighborhood which is also étale over M_I^{spl} , and thus it is enough to show that M_I^{spl} has the above nice properties. To do this, we explicitly calculate an open affine covering $\cup_{i_0=1}^n \mathcal{U}_{i_0}$ of $\tau^{-1}(\ast)$ where \ast is the “worst point” of M_I^{loc} , i.e. the unique closed \mathcal{G} -orbit supported in the special fiber; see §4 for more details. The open subschemes \mathcal{U}_{i_0} are isomorphic to

$$\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}[X_1, X_2, Y] / \left(\text{rk} \left(\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) - 1, \wedge^2 [X_2 \mid HY], Y^t \cdot X_2 - 2\pi \right)$$

where X_1, X_2 and Y are matrices of sizes $2\ell \times 1$, $(n-2\ell) \times 1$ and $(n-2\ell) \times 1$ with indeterminates as entries and H is the unit antidiagonal matrix of size $(n-2\ell) \times (n-2\ell)$. The rank condition is expressed by imposing that a certain i_0 -th entry of

the matrix $[X_1^t \mid X_2^t]$ is a unit. It is worth noting that these affine local charts are very similar to the one that the first author found in the orthogonal local models [20] (see also [13]). By using this observation, we show in §5, that this covering has the nice properties of Theorem 1.3.1 (b) and thus we obtain

Theorem 1.2. *a) The splitting model M_I^{spl} is flat, normal and Cohen-Macaulay.
b) The special special fiber of M_I^{spl} is reduced and has three irreducible components which admit moduli descriptions.*

Under the local model diagram, (see §8), $\tau^{-1}(*)$ corresponds to the locus where the Hodge filtration w_{A^\vee} of the universal dual abelian scheme A is annihilated by the action of the uniformizer π . Consider the blow-up $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ along this locus. The second main result of the paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}}$ is a semi-stable integral model for the Shimura variety $\text{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}}(G, X)$.*

Since blowing-up commutes with étale localization and the étale local structure of the moduli scheme $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ is controlled by the local structure of the local model M_I^{spl} , it is enough to show the above statement for the corresponding splitting model. By using the explicit open affine covering $\cup_{i_0=1}^n \mathcal{U}_{i_0}$ of $\tau^{-1}(*)$ we show

Theorem 1.4. *The blow-up M_I^{bl} of M_I^{spl} along the smooth irreducible component $\tau^{-1}(*)$, which is a projective space of dimension $n-1$ of its special fiber, is regular and has special fiber a divisor with normal crossings. In fact, M_I^{bl} is covered by open subschemes which are smooth over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}[u, x, y]/(uxy - 2\pi))$.*

Lastly, let us mention that we can obtain similar results for the Shimura varieties $\text{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}'}(G, X)$ where $\mathbf{K}' = K^p P_I^\circ$ (see §8). (Recall that P_I° is the parahoric connected component of the stabilizer P_I .) Also, we can apply these results to obtain regular (formal) models of the corresponding Rapoport-Zink spaces.

Acknowledgements: We thank G. Pappas for several useful comments on a preliminary version of this article. The first author would like to thank W. Zhang for presenting this problem to him.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Pairings and Standard Lattices. Let F_0 be a complete discretely valued field with ring of integers O_{F_0} , perfect residue field k of characteristic $\neq 2$, and uniformizer π_0 . We will choose F/F_0 to be a ramified quadratic extension and $\pi \in F$ a uniformizer with $\pi^2 = \pi_0$. Consider the F -vector space V of dimension $n > 3$ and let

$$\phi : V \times V \rightarrow F$$

be an F/F_0 -hermitian form which we assume is split, i.e. there is a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of V such that

$$\phi(ae_i, be_{n+1-j}) = \bar{a}b\delta_{i,j} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in F,$$

where $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ is the nontrivial element of $\text{Gal}(F/F_0)$. Attached to ϕ are the respective alternating and symmetric F_0 -bilinear forms $V \times V \rightarrow F_0$ given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{F/F_0}(\pi^{-1} \phi(x, y)) \quad \text{and} \quad (x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{F/F_0}(\phi(x, y)).$$

For any O_F -lattice Λ in V , we denote by $\hat{\Lambda} = \{v \in V \mid \langle v, \Lambda \rangle \subset O_{F_0}\}$ the \langle, \rangle -dual of Λ and $\hat{\Lambda}^s$ is related to the $(,)$ -dual $\hat{\Lambda}^s = \{v \in V \mid (v, \Lambda) \subset O_{F_0}\}$ by the formula

$\hat{\Lambda}^s = \pi^{-1}\hat{\Lambda}$. Both $\hat{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}^s$ are O_F -lattices in V , and the forms $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and (\cdot, \cdot) induce perfect O_{F_0} -bilinear pairings

$$(2.1.1) \quad \Lambda \times \hat{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} O_{F_0}, \quad \Lambda \times \hat{\Lambda}^s \xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)} O_{F_0}$$

for all Λ . Also, the uniformizing element π induces a O_{F_0} -linear mapping on Λ which we denote by t .

For $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, we define the standard lattices

$$(2.1.2) \quad \Lambda_i = \text{span}_{O_F} \{\pi^{-1}e_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}e_i, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n\}.$$

We consider nonempty subsets $I \subset \{0, \dots, m\}$ where $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ with the requirement that

$$(2.1.3) \quad \text{for } n \text{ even, if } m-1 \in I \implies m \in I;$$

see [11, §1.2.3(b)] for more details. (For odd n , no condition on I is imposed). We complete the Λ_i with $i \in I$ to a self-dual periodic lattice chain \mathcal{L}_I by first including the duals $\Lambda_{n-i} := \hat{\Lambda}_i^s$ for $i \neq 0$ and then all the π -multiples: For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the form $j = k \cdot n \pm i$ with $i \in I$ we put $\Lambda_j = \pi^{-k}\Lambda_i$. Then $\{\Lambda_j\}_j$ form a periodic lattice chain \mathcal{L}_I (with $\pi\Lambda_j = \Lambda_{j-n}$) which satisfies $\hat{\Lambda}_j = \Lambda_{-j}$.

2.2. Unitary Similitude Group and Parahoric Subgroups. Consider the unitary similitude group

$$G := GU(V, \phi) = \{g \in GL_F(V) \mid \phi(gx, gy) = c(g)\phi(x, y), \quad c(g) \in F_0^\times\}$$

and as in [11, §1.1] choose a partition $n = r + s$ with $s \leq r$. We refer to the pair (r, s) as the signature. Identifying $G \otimes F \simeq GL_{n,F} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,F}$, we define the cocharacter $\mu_{r,s}$ as $(1^{(s)}, 0^{(r)}, 1)$ of $D \times \mathbb{G}_m$, where D is the standard maximal torus of diagonal matrices in GL_n ; for more details see [11, §1.1]. Denote by E the reflex field of $\{\mu_{r,s}\}$ and by $O := O_E$ its ring of integers. Note that $E = F_0$ if $r = s$ and $E = F$ otherwise (see [11, §1.1]).

We next recall the description of the parahoric subgroups of G from [11, §1.2]. We distinguish two cases. When $n = 2m + 1$ is odd, we let I be a non-empty subset of $\{0, \dots, m\}$ and consider the stabilizer

$$P_I := \{g \in G \mid g\Lambda_i = \Lambda_i, \quad \forall i \in I\}$$

of the lattice chain Λ_I . From [11, §1.2.3(b)], we obtain:

Proposition 2.1. *P_I is a parahoric subgroup and every parahoric subgroup of G is conjugate to P_I for a unique nonempty $I \subset \{0, \dots, m\}$. The special maximal parahoric subgroups are exactly those conjugate to $P_{\{0\}}$ and $P_{\{m\}}$. \square*

When $n = 2m$ is even, we let I be a non-empty subset of $\{0, \dots, m\}$ satisfying (2.1.3) and we consider the subgroup

$$P_I := \{g \in G \mid g\Lambda_i = \Lambda_i, \quad \forall i \in I\}.$$

P_I is not a parahoric subgroup in general since it may contain elements with non-trivial Kottwitz invariant. Consider the kernel of the Kottwitz homomorphism:

$$P_I^0 := \{g \in P_I \mid \kappa(g) = 1\}$$

where $\kappa_G : G(F_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ (see also [18, §3] for more details). In this case the following statement holds (see [11, §1.2.3(b)]):

Proposition 2.2. P_I^0 is a parahoric subgroup and every parahoric subgroup of G is conjugate to P_I^0 for a unique nonempty $I \subset \{0, \dots, m\}$ satisfying (2.1.3). For such I , we have $P_I^0 = P_I$ exactly when $m \in I$. The special maximal parahoric subgroups are exactly those conjugate to $P_{\{m\}}^0 = P_{\{m\}}$. \square

Definition 2.3. A non-empty subset $I = \{\ell\}$ where $0 \leq \ell \leq m$ is called *strongly non-special* if $\ell \neq 0, m-1, m$ when $n = 2m$ and $\ell \neq 0, m$ when $n = 2m+1$.

Next, let I be a strongly non-special index set and denote by P_I, \mathcal{L}_I the stabilizer and self-dual multichain defined above for this choice of I . Let $\mathcal{G} = \underline{\text{Aut}}(\mathcal{L}_I)$ be the (smooth) group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_p with $P_I = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ the subgroup of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ fixing the lattice chain \mathcal{L}_I . Also, the group scheme \mathcal{G} has G as its generic fiber. From the above we deduce that when n is odd, the stabilizer P_I is a parahoric subgroup but when n is even, P_I is not a parahoric subgroup since it contains a parahoric subgroup with index 2. The corresponding parahoric group scheme is its connected component P_I^0 . (See [11, §1.2] for more details.)

3. THE UNITARY MODULI PROBLEMS

In this section, we briefly recall the definition of certain variants of local models and splitting models for ramified unitary groups that correspond to the local model triples $(G, \mu_{r,s}, P_I)$ where $I = \{\ell\}$ is a strongly non-special index (see Definition 2.3) and $(r, s) = (n-1, 1)$. Note that, given $\dim V = n \geq 3$ and $s = 1 < r$, the reflex field $E = F$.

3.1. Rapoport-Zink Local Models and Variants. The *naive local model* of Rapoport-Zink [15], M_I^{naive} , is the projective scheme over $\text{Spec } O_F$ representing the functor that sends each O_F -scheme S to the set of subsheaves

$$\mathcal{F}_\ell \subset \Lambda_\ell \otimes \mathcal{O}_S, \quad \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell} \subset \Lambda_{n-\ell} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$$

such that

- (1) $\mathcal{F}_\ell, \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}$ as \mathcal{O}_S -modules are Zariski locally on S direct summands of rank n ;
- (2) The maps induced by the inclusions $\Lambda_\ell \subset \Lambda_{n-\ell}$ and $\Lambda_{n-\ell} \subset \pi^{-1}\Lambda_\ell$ restrict to maps

$$\mathcal{F}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\mathcal{F}_\ell;$$

- (3) $\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}$ is the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{F}_ℓ with respect to $(,) : \Lambda_{n-\ell} \times \Lambda_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$;
- (4) (Kottwitz condition)

$$\text{char}_{t|\mathcal{F}_\ell}(X) = (X + \pi)^r (X - \pi)^s$$

and the analogous statement holds true for $\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}$.

The *wedge local model* M_I^\wedge is the closed subscheme of M_I^{naive} defined by the additional condition that

- (5) (Wedge condition) The exterior powers

$$\wedge^{r+1}(t - \pi|\mathcal{F}_\ell) = (0) \quad \text{and} \quad \wedge^{s+1}(t + \pi|\mathcal{F}_\ell) = (0)$$

vanish and the same holds true with \mathcal{F}_ℓ replaced by $\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}$.

The inclusion $M_I^\wedge \subset M_I^{\text{naive}}$ is an isomorphism on generic fibers, which both identify naturally with the (smooth) Grassmannian $Gr(s, n) \otimes E$ of dimension rs (see [11, §1.5.3]). Also, the schemes $M_I^\wedge, M_I^{\text{naive}}$ support an action of \mathcal{G} .

Remarks 3.1. In [11, §1.5.1], the authors define the naive local model M_I^{naive} that sends each O_F -scheme S to the families of $O_F \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ -modules $(\mathcal{F}_i \subset \Lambda_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_S)_{i \in n\mathbb{Z} \pm 1}$ that satisfy the conditions (a)-(d) of loc. cit. By periodicity, one can restrict the moduli functor M_I^{naive} into the sub-lattices chain $\Lambda_\ell \subset \Lambda_{n-\ell} \subset \pi^{-1}\Lambda_\ell$ without losing any information. Also, we want to mention that (c) of loc. cit. is equivalent to (3) above.

There is a further variant: let M_I^{loc} be the scheme theoretic closure of the generic fiber $M_I^{\text{naive}} \otimes_{O_F} F$ in M_I^{naive} . The scheme M_I^{loc} is called the *local model*. We have closed immersions of projective schemes

$$M_I^{\text{loc}} \subset M_I^\wedge \subset M_I^{\text{naive}}$$

which are equalities on generic fibers (see [11, §1.5] for more details). Moreover, M_I^\wedge is topologically flat in the strongly non-special case, or in other words, the underlying topological spaces of M_I^\wedge and M_I^{loc} coincide. When n is odd, this follows directly from [17] and when n is even, this follows from [18, Proposition 7.4.7].

Moreover, from [11, Theorem 0.1] we deduce:

Proposition 3.2. *The special fiber of the local model M_I^{loc} is reduced, and each irreducible component is normal, Cohen-Macaulay, and Frobenius-split.* \square

Let us add that, by [6], none of the models M_I^{loc} are smooth or semi-stable. Lastly, we want to mention that very recently Luo [8] gave a moduli description of M_I^{loc} by adding in M_I^{naive} the so-called “spin conditions”; this will play no role in this paper.

3.2. Splitting Models. Next, we consider the moduli scheme M_I^{spl} over O_F , the *splitting model* as in [16, §5] (see also [10, Definition 14.1]), whose points for an O_F -scheme S are the set of triples $(\mathcal{F}_\ell, \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}, \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell})$ with $(\mathcal{F}_\ell, \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}) \in M_I^\wedge(S)$ and

$$\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}$$

which is Zariski locally \mathcal{O}_S -direct summand of rank 1, subject to the following conditions:

$$(3.2.1) \quad (t + \pi)\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell} \subset \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}, \quad (t - \pi)\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell} = (0).$$

The functor is represented by a projective O_F -scheme M_I^{spl} . The scheme M_I^{spl} supports an action of \mathcal{G} and there is a \mathcal{G} -equivariant projective morphism

$$\tau : M_I^{\text{spl}} \rightarrow M_I^\wedge \subset M_I^{\text{naive}}$$

which is given by $(\mathcal{F}_\ell, \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}, \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_\ell, \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell})$ on S -valued points. (Indeed, we can easily see, as in [7, Definition 4.1], that τ is well defined.) The morphism $\tau : M_I^{\text{spl}} \rightarrow M_I^\wedge$ induces an isomorphism on the generic fibers (see [7, Remark 4.2]).

Remark 3.3. We want to highlight that in our definition above there is only one subspace being added in a dual pair $(\Lambda_i, \hat{\Lambda}_i^s) = (\Lambda_i, \Lambda_{n-i})$, instead of two subspaces as expected from [10, Definition 14.1]. In fact, this variation is necessary because, as one can check, adding two subspaces results in a non-flat model. This variant first appeared in [16, §5.2] where Richarz considered the almost π -modular case, i.e., when $n = 2m + 1$ and $I = \{m\}$, and showed that M_I^{spl} has semi-stable reduction.

Remark 3.4. In the moduli functor of M_I^{spl} we do not need to impose the Kottwitz condition or the wedge condition. These conditions are implied by condition (3.2.1) combined with (3); see §4.2 for more details.

Lastly, in §5, we will prove that M_I^{spl} is flat and combining all the above we have that

$$M_I^{\text{spl}} \xrightarrow{\tau} M_I^\wedge$$

factors through $M_I^{\text{loc}} \subset M_I^\wedge$ because of flatness.

4. AFFINE CHARTS

4.1. Affine Charts around $\tau^{-1}(*)$. In what follows, we assume that $I = \{\ell\}$ is a strongly non-special index and $(r, s) = (n - 1, 1)$.

There is a natural embedding of the special fiber $M_I^{\text{loc}} \otimes k$ into a partial affine flag variety \mathcal{F}_I associated to the unitary group $GU(r, s)$ (see [11, §3]. Let $\mathcal{A}_I(\mu) \subset \mathcal{F}_I$ be the union of affine Schubert varieties over the μ -admissible set, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A}(\mu) = \cup_{\omega \in \text{Adm}(\mu)} \mathcal{S}_\omega.$$

By the Pappas-Rapoport coherence conjecture we deduce that $\mathcal{A}(\mu)$ is isomorphic to the special fiber $M_I^{\text{loc}} \otimes k$ under the natural embedding (see [11, Prop. 3.1], [23]). There is a unique closed Schubert cell in $M_I^{\text{loc}} \otimes k$, which we call the “worst point”, denoted by $*$ in $M_I^{\text{loc}} \subset M_I^\wedge$. In our case, the worst point can be easily represented by the standard lattices Λ_i : For $I = \{\ell\}$, a strongly non-special index, the worst point $*$ = $(t\Lambda_\ell, t\Lambda_{n-\ell}) \in M_I^{\text{loc}} \otimes k$.

To simplify the computation in the following, we reorder the basis of Λ_ℓ (resp. $\Lambda_{n-\ell}$):

$$(4.1.1) \quad \Lambda_\ell = \text{span}_{O_{F_0}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e_{n-\ell+1}, \dots, e_n, \pi^{-1}e_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}e_\ell, e_{\ell+1}, \dots, e_{n-\ell}, \\ \pi e_{n-\ell+1}, \dots, \pi e_n, e_1, \dots, e_\ell, \pi e_{\ell+1}, \dots, \pi e_{n-\ell}. \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\Lambda_{n-\ell} = \text{span}_{O_{F_0}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e_{n-\ell+1}, \dots, e_n, \pi^{-1}e_1, \dots, \pi^{-1}e_\ell, \pi^{-1}e_{\ell+1}, \dots, \pi^{-1}e_{n-\ell}, \\ \pi e_{n-\ell+1}, \dots, \pi e_n, e_1, \dots, e_\ell, e_{\ell+1}, \dots, e_{n-\ell}. \end{array} \right\}.$$

By using this new basis order, we have the inverse image

$$\tau^{-1}(*) = \{(t\Lambda_\ell, t\Lambda_{n-\ell}, \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}) \mid \text{rk}(\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}) = 1, t\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell} = 0\}.$$

Note that the relation $t\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell} = 0$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell} \subset t\Lambda_{n-\ell} \otimes k$. Thus, $\tau^{-1}(*)$ contains all 1-dimensional subspaces in $t\Lambda_{n-\ell} \otimes k$ and is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} . It is contained in a union of affine charts \mathcal{U}_{i_0} , i.e.,

$$\tau^{-1}(*) \subset \cup_{i_0=1}^n \mathcal{U}_{i_0},$$

where \mathcal{U}_{i_0} is an affine neighborhood around the point $(t\Lambda_\ell, t\Lambda_{n-\ell}, k\{\pi e_{n-\ell+i_0}\})$ for $1 \leq i_0 \leq \ell$ and the point $(t\Lambda_\ell, t\Lambda_{n-\ell}, k\{e_{-\ell+i_0}\})$ for $\ell+1 \leq i_0 \leq n$. In order to find the explicit equations that describe \mathcal{U}_{i_0} , we use similar arguments as in the proof of [21, §3] (see also [22, §4]). In our case, we ask $(\mathcal{F}_\ell, \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}, \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}) \in \mathcal{U}_{i_0}$ to satisfy the following conditions:

- (1). $\mathcal{F}_\ell \subset \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell} \subset t^{-1}\mathcal{F}_\ell$ under the inclusion maps $\Lambda_\ell \subset \Lambda_{n-\ell} \subset t^{-1}\Lambda_\ell$.
- (2). $(\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}, \mathcal{F}_\ell) = 0$ with respect to $(,) : \Lambda_{n-\ell} \times \Lambda_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$.
- (3). $\mathcal{G}_{n-2\ell} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n-2\ell}$, $(t + \pi)\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell} \subset \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}$, $(t - \pi)\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell} = (0)$.
- (4)(Kottwitz condition). $\text{char}_{t|\mathcal{F}_\kappa}(T) = (T + \pi)^r (T - \pi)^s$ for $\kappa = \ell$ or $n - \ell$.
- (5)(Wedge condition). $\wedge^{r+1}(t - \pi|\mathcal{F}_\kappa) = (0)$, $\wedge^{s+1}(t + \pi|\mathcal{F}_\kappa) = (0)$ for $\kappa = \ell, n - \ell$.

4.2. Equations of the affine charts \mathcal{U}_{i_0} . A point $(\mathcal{F}_\ell, \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}, \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell})$ in the affine chart \mathcal{U}_{i_0} can be presented by the following matrices with respect to the basis order (4.1.1):

$$\mathcal{F}_\ell = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where matrices X, Y are of sizes $n \times n$ and G_1, G_2 are of sizes $n \times 1$. From condition (1) we have the inclusion maps $A_\ell : \Lambda_\ell \rightarrow \Lambda_{n-\ell}$, $A_{n-\ell} : \Lambda_{n-\ell} \rightarrow t^{-1}\Lambda_\ell$ which are represented by the following matrices:

$$(4.2.1) \quad A_\ell = \begin{bmatrix} I_{2\ell} & & & \\ & & \pi_0 I_{n-2\ell} & \\ & I_{2\ell} & & \\ & & & I_{n-2\ell} \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_{n-\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} & & \pi_0 I_{2\ell} & \\ & I_{n-2\ell} & & \\ I_{2\ell} & & & \\ & & & I_{n-2\ell} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The symmetric pairing $(,) : \Lambda_{n-\ell} \times \Lambda_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ is given by

$$(4.2.2) \quad (,) = \begin{bmatrix} & & J_{2\ell} & \\ & & & -H_{n-2\ell} \\ -J_{2\ell} & & & \\ & H_{n-2\ell} & & \end{bmatrix},$$

where H_κ is the unit anti-diagonal matrix of size κ , and $J_{2\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} & H_\kappa \\ -H_\kappa & \end{bmatrix}$.

Observe that $J_{2\kappa}^2 = -I_{2\kappa}$. In the rest of this section, we will omit the lower indices of H_κ and $J_{2\kappa}$ for simplicity.

To find all the equations of \mathcal{U}_{i_0} we claim that it is enough to check the conditions (1)-(3). Observe that condition (2) is equivalent to say that $\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}$ is the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{F}_ℓ . By using the above matrices, it translates to:

$$(4.2.3) \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} -J & \\ & H \end{bmatrix} \cdot X^t \cdot \begin{bmatrix} J & \\ & H \end{bmatrix}.$$

Condition (3) implies that $\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}$ satisfies the Kottwitz condition and the Wedge condition, i.e.,

$$\det(T \cdot I_n - Y) = (T + \pi)^r (T - \pi)^s, \\ \wedge^{r+1}(Y - \pi I_n) = 0, \quad \wedge^{s+1}(Y + \pi I_n) = 0.$$

Note that $\begin{bmatrix} -J & \\ & H \end{bmatrix}$ is an invertible matrix, with $\begin{bmatrix} -J & \\ & H \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} J & \\ & H \end{bmatrix}$.

From equation (4.2.3), the characteristic polynomial of X is the same as the characteristic polynomial of Y . Similarly, from (4.2.3) and the invertibility of the above matrix we deduce

$$\wedge^{r+1}(X - \pi I_n) = 0, \quad \wedge^{s+1}(X + \pi I_n) = 0.$$

We break up the matrices X, Y into blocks as follows:

$$(4.2.4) \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 & Y_2 \\ Y_3 & Y_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

where X_1 (resp. Y_1) are of sizes $2\ell \times 2\ell$, and X_4 (resp. Y_4) are of sizes $(n - 2\ell) \times (n - 2\ell)$.

Lemma 4.1. *The affine chart \mathcal{U}_{i_0} is isomorphic to $\text{Spec } O_F[X, Y, V, Z]/I$, where V, Z are the matrices of sizes $n \times 1$, and I is the ideal generated by the following relations:*

- (a) $Y - VZ^t + \pi I_n, Z^tV - 2\pi$;
- (b) $Y_1 + JX_1^tJ, Y_2 + JX_3^tH, Y_3 - HX_2^tJ, Y_4 - HX_4^tH$;
- (c) $X_1 - (Y_1 + Y_2X_3), X_2 - Y_2X_4, Y_4X_4 - \pi I_{n-2\ell}$;
- (d) $X_1Y_1 - \pi_0 I_{2\ell}, Y_3 - X_3Y_1, Y_4 - (X_4 + X_3Y_2)$.

Proof. From the above discussion, it is enough to check the conditions (1)-(3). Note that

$$M_t = \begin{bmatrix} & \pi_0 I_n \\ I_n & \end{bmatrix}$$

is the matrix giving multiplication by t . Condition (3) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ I_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot G_2; \quad \exists Z_{n \times 1} : \begin{bmatrix} \pi Y + \pi_0 I_n \\ Y + \pi I_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot Z^t; \quad G_1 = \pi G_2.$$

Set $V = G_2$. Since $G_1 = \pi V$ and $Y = VZ^t - \pi I_n$, we get $VZ^tV = 2\pi V$ from $G_1 = YG_2$. Recall that $\mathcal{G}_{n-2\ell}$ has rank one. From $G_1 = \pi G_2$, we deduce that there should be a unit element in $G_2 = V$. Thus, $VZ^tV = 2\pi V$ translates to $Z^tV - 2\pi I_n = 0$, and therefore we get the relations in (a).

Condition (2) translates to equation (4.2.3). By using the block matrices from (4.2.4), we obtain the relations in (b).

Lastly, condition (1) is equivalent to $A_\ell \mathcal{F}_\ell \subset \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}, A_{n-\ell} \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell} \subset t^{-1} \mathcal{F}_\ell$. By using the equation (4.2.1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= Y_1 + Y_2X_3, & X_2 &= Y_2X_4, & Y_3 + Y_4X_3 &= 0, & Y_4X_4 &= \pi I_{n-2\ell}, \\ X_1Y_1 &= \pi_0 I_{2\ell}, & X_1Y_2 + X_2 &= 0, & Y_3 &= X_3Y_1, & Y_4 &= X_4 + X_3Y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the relations in (b), it is easy to see that equation $Y_3 + Y_4X_3 = 0$ is equivalent to $X_2 = Y_2X_4$, and $X_1Y_2 + X_2 = 0$ is equivalent to $Y_3 = X_3Y_1$. Thus, we get the relations in (c) and (d). \square

Our next goal is to give a simplification of the ideal I from Lemma 4.1. From the proof of the above Lemma, the matrices X, Y, G_1, G_2 can be expressed in terms of V, Z . Conversely, $V = G_2$, and $Y + \pi I_n = G_2Z^t$ implies that Z^t can be expressed in terms of Y , since there exists a unit element in G_2 .

For later use, we also break up the matrices V, Z into blocks as follows:

$$(4.2.5) \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $V_1 = [v_1 \cdots v_{2\ell}]^t$ (resp. $Z_1 = [z_1 \cdots z_{2\ell}]^t$) and $V_2 = [v_{2\ell+1} \cdots v_n]^t$ (resp. $Z_2 = [z_{2\ell+1} \cdots z_n]^t$).

Proposition 4.2. (1) *For $1 \leq i_0 \leq 2\ell$, the affine chart \mathcal{U}_{i_0} is isomorphic to*

$$\text{Spec } \frac{O_F[V_1, V_2, Z_2]}{(v_{i_0} - 1, \wedge^2[V_2 | HZ_2], Z_2^t \cdot V_2 - 2\pi)}.$$

(2) *For $2\ell + 1 \leq i_0 \leq n$, the affine chart \mathcal{U}_{i_0} is isomorphic to*

$$\text{Spec } \frac{O_F[V_1, V_2, u]}{(v_{i_0} - 1, u \cdot (V_2^t H V_2) - 2\pi)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 (a) and (4.2.5), the equation $Y = VZ^t - \pi I_n$ is equivalent to

$$(4.2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_1 &= V_1 Z_1^t - \pi I_{2\ell}, & Y_2 &= V_1 Z_2^t, \\ Y_3 &= V_2 Z_1^t, & Y_4 &= V_2 Z_2^t - \pi I_{n-2\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, X can also be expressed in terms of V, Z by Lemma 4.1 (b):

$$(4.2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1 &= -JZ_1 V_1^t J - \pi I_{2\ell}, & X_2 &= JZ_1 V_2^t H, \\ X_3 &= -HZ_2 V_1^t J, & X_4 &= HZ_2 V_2^t H - \pi I_{n-2\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1: Assume that $1 \leq i_0 \leq 2\ell$. Consider the equation $X_1 = Y_1 + Y_2 X_3$ in Lemma 4.1 (c). From the above, it translates to $JZ_1 V_1^t J + V_1 Z_1^t = V_1 (Z_2^t H Z_2) V_1^t J$. Set $a = Z_2^t H Z_2$. The left-hand side of the above relation is $(\pm v_{2\ell+1-j} z_{2\ell+1-i} + v_i z_j)_{i,j}$, where \pm depends on the position of i, j as follows:

$$(4.2.8) \quad \pm = \begin{cases} - & \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell, \text{ or } \ell + 1 \leq i, j \leq 2\ell, \\ + & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, the right-hand side equals to $(\pm a \cdot v_i v_{2\ell+1-j})_{i,j}$, where \pm is negative if $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, and is positive if $\ell + 1 \leq j \leq 2\ell$. Next, by using this observation, we consider the position $(i, j) = (i_0, 2\ell + 1 - i_0)$.

When $1 \leq i_0 \leq \ell$ and $(i, j) = (i_0, 2\ell + 1 - i_0)$ the above relation gives $2z_{2\ell+1-i_0} = a$. By setting $z_{2\ell+1-i_0} = \frac{a}{2}$ and considering the i_0 -row, we obtain that $Z_1 = -\frac{a}{2} J V_1$. When $\ell + 1 \leq i_0 \leq 2\ell$, we get $2z_{2\ell+1-i_0} = -a$. By setting $z_{2\ell+1-i_0} = -\frac{a}{2}$, a similar direct calculation shows that $Z_1 = -\frac{a}{2} J V_1$. To sum up, we obtain:

$$(4.2.9) \quad [z_1 \cdots z_\ell \ z_{\ell+1} \cdots z_{2\ell}]^t = \frac{a}{2} [-v_{2\ell} \cdots -v_{\ell+1} \ v_\ell \cdots v_1]^t.$$

All other positions in $X_1 = Y_1 + Y_2 X_3$ can be obtained by the relation (4.2.9). Note that relation (4.2.9) gives $Z_1^t V_1 = 0$. Thus, equation $Z^t V = 2\pi$ in Lemma 4.1 (a) reduces to $Z_2^t V_2 = 2\pi$.

Next, consider the equation $Y_4 = X_4 + X_3 Y_2$ in Lemma 4.1 (d). By the equations (4.2.6) and (4.2.7), we get $V_2 Z_2^t = -HZ_2 (V_1^t J V_1) Z_2^t + HZ_2 V_2^t H$. Note that $V_1^t J V_1 = 0$ since J is a skew-symmetric matrix. Thus, the above relation translates to $V_2 Z_2^t = HZ_2 V_2^t H$. By a direct calculation, the following quadratic polynomials $v_{2\ell+i} z_{2\ell+j} - v_{n+1-j} z_{n+1-i}$ vanish for $1 \leq i, j \leq n - 2\ell$. Thus,

$$(4.2.10) \quad \wedge^2 [V_2 \mid HZ_2] = 0, \text{ i.e., } \wedge^2 \begin{bmatrix} v_{2\ell+1} & \cdots & v_n \\ z_n & \cdots & z_{2\ell+1} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

It is easy to see that all other relations in Lemma 4.1 (c), (d) are automatically satisfied by the relations (4.2.9) and (4.2.10). For instance, the relation $X_1 Y_1 = \pi I_{2\ell}$ translates to $\pi(V_1 Z_1^t - JZ_1 V_1^t J) = 0$, which is satisfied since $Z_1 = -\frac{a}{2} J V_1$. Relation $X_2 = Y_2 X_4$ is equivalent to $aV_2^t = 2\pi Z_2^t H$. Recall that $a = Z_2^t H Z_2$. By $V_2 Z_2^t = HZ_2 V_2^t H$, we get $Z_2^t V_2 Z_2^t H = 2\pi Z_2^t H$. It is satisfied since $Z_2^t V_2 = 2\pi$. Similarly, relation $Y_4 X_4 = \pi_0 I_{n-2\ell}$ is equivalent to $V_2 (aV_2^t - 2\pi Z_2^t H) = 0$, and relation $Y_3 = X_3 Y_1$ is equivalent to $JV_1 (aV_2^t - 2\pi Z_2^t H) = 0$. Therefore, by all these simplifications we get that \mathcal{U}_{i_0} is isomorphic to the spectrum of the quotient ring

$$(4.2.11) \quad \frac{O_F[V_1, V_2, Z_2]}{(v_{i_0} - 1, \wedge^2 [V_2 \mid HZ_2], Z_2^t \cdot V_2 - 2\pi)}.$$

Case 2: Assume that $2\ell + 1 \leq i_0 \leq n$. Consider the relation $Y_4 = X_4 + X_3 Y_2$. From the above, it is equivalent to $\wedge^2 [V_2 \mid HZ_2] = 0$. Note that in this case

$v_{i_0} = 1$ and v_{i_0} is an entry from V_2 . Using this and the minor relations we get:

$$(4.2.12) \quad [z_{2\ell+1} \cdots z_n]^t = u \cdot [v_n \cdots v_{2\ell+1}]^t, \quad \text{where } u = z_{n+2\ell+1-i_0}.$$

Thus, $Z_2 = u \cdot HV_2$. The relation $X_2 = Y_2 X_4$, from Lemma 4.1 (c), translates to $(JZ_1 - (a - \pi u)V_1)V_2^t = 0$ by using (4.2.12). Since v_{i_0} is an entry from V_2 with $v_{i_0} = 1$ we deduce

$$(4.2.13) \quad Z_1 = -(a - \pi u)JV_1.$$

So far, the matrices Z_1, Z_2 are expressed in terms of V_1, V_2, a, u . By $Z^t V = 2\pi$, from Lemma 4.1 (a), and (4.2.13) we get $Z_2^t V_2 = 2\pi$, which in turn translates to $u \cdot (V_2^t HV_2) = 2\pi$ since $Z_2 = u \cdot HV_2$. Note that, in this case, $a = Z_2^t H Z_2 = 2\pi u$ and so $Z_1 = -\pi u \cdot JV_1 = -\frac{a}{2}JV_1$ (which is the same as relation (4.2.9)).

All the other relations from the ideal I are automatically satisfied by using the above relations. Thus, \mathcal{U}_{i_0} is isomorphic to the spectrum of the quotient ring:

$$(4.2.14) \quad \frac{O_F[V_1, V_2, u]}{(v_{i_0} - 1, u \cdot (V_2^t HV_2) - 2\pi)}.$$

□

Remarks 4.3. (a) As we can see from the above proof of *Case 2*, the relation $\wedge^2 [V_2 | HZ_2] = 0$ translates to $Z_2 = u \cdot HV_2$ and $Z_2^t V_2 = 2\pi$ is equivalent to $u \cdot (V_2^t HV_2) = 2\pi$. Thus, it is not very hard to observe that for $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$:

$$(4.2.15) \quad \mathcal{U}_{i_0} \simeq \text{Spec} \frac{O_F[V_1, V_2, Z_2]}{(v_{i_0} - 1, \wedge^2 [V_2 | HZ_2], Z_2^t \cdot V_2 - 2\pi)}.$$

(b) From Proposition 4.2 (2), the special fiber of \mathcal{U}_{i_0} has two irreducible components when $2\ell + 1 \leq i_0 \leq n$, i.e.,

$$(4.2.16) \quad V(I) = V(u) \cup V(V_2^t HV_2).$$

Both of them are smooth of dimension $n - 1$ and their intersection is smooth of dimension $n - 2$. Thus, in this case, the affine chart \mathcal{U}_{i_0} has semi-stable reduction over O_F , i.e., it is regular and its special fiber is reduced with normal crossings. However, when $1 \leq i_0 \leq 2\ell$, \mathcal{U}_{i_0} does not have semi-stable reduction; see Remark 7.4. We will study this case in detail in the next section.

5. FLATNESS AND REDUCEDNESS OF THE SPLITTING MODEL M_I^{spl}

In all of Section 5, we assume $I = \{\ell\}$ is a strongly non-special index (see Definition 2.3) and $(r, s) = (n - 1, 1)$. Our goal in this section is to show that M_I^{spl} is flat over $\text{Spec } O_F$ and its special fiber is reduced. In the course of proving these we will also deduce that M_I^{spl} is normal and Cohen-Macaulay.

Theorem 5.1. *a) The splitting model M_I^{spl} is O_F -flat, normal and Cohen-Macaulay.*

b) The special fiber of M_I^{spl} is reduced.

Proof. From the construction of splitting models and §4, it's enough to show that the open subschemes

$$U_{i_0} = \text{Spec} \frac{O_F[V_1, V_2, Z_2]}{(v_{i_0} - 1, \wedge^2 [V_2 | HZ_2], Z_2^t \cdot V_2 - 2\pi)}$$

for $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$, are flat, normal, Cohen-Macaulay and with reduced special fiber. By Remark 4.3, when $2\ell + 1 \leq i_0 \leq n$, U_{i_0} is regular and its special fiber is reduced with normal crossings. So, it's enough to consider the case $1 \leq i_0 \leq 2\ell$. In this case, we have that $U_{i_0} \cong \mathbb{A}_{O_F}^{2\ell-1} \times T$ where

$$T = \text{Spec } O_F[V_2, Z_2]/(\wedge^2 [V_2 \mid HZ_2], Z_2^t \cdot V_2 - 2\pi).$$

We have the isomorphism

$$\frac{O_F[V_2, Z_2]}{(\wedge^2 [V_2 \mid HZ_2], Z_2^t \cdot V_2 - 2\pi)} \cong \frac{O_F[V_2, Z_2]}{(\wedge^2 [V_2 \mid Z_2], Z_2^t \cdot H \cdot V_2 - 2\pi)}$$

given by $V_2 \mapsto V_2$, $Z_2 \mapsto H \cdot Z_2$. Also, by setting $\mathcal{Z}_1 := V_2^t$, $\mathcal{Z}_2 := Z_2^t$ where $\mathcal{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{Z}_1 \\ \mathcal{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix} = (z_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times (n-2\ell)}$ we get that the scheme T is isomorphic to

$$\text{Spec } O_F[\mathcal{Z}]/(\wedge^2 \mathcal{Z}, \sum_{i=1}^{n-2\ell} z_{1,i} z_{2,n-2\ell+1-i} - 2\pi)$$

and its special fiber \bar{T} is isomorphic to

$$\text{Spec } k[\mathcal{Z}]/(\wedge^2 \mathcal{Z}, \sum_{i=1}^{n-2\ell} z_{1,i} z_{2,n-2\ell+1-i}).$$

This scheme has already been studied at [20] (see also [13, Theorem 5.1.1]) in a more general setting where the size of the matrix \mathcal{Z} was $n \times m$. In particular, from [20, §5, §8] we have that T is O_F -flat, Cohen-Macaulay and of relative dimension $n - 2\ell$. Also, from [20, §6, §8] we get that \bar{T} is reduced. Since, T is O_F -flat with smooth generic fiber (see §3) and reduced special fiber, it follows by [14, Proposition 9.2] that T is normal. In the course of proving the reducedness of the special fiber in [20], the first author also determines its irreducible components (see [20, §7.1, §9.2.2]). Translating his results in our setting we obtain that the irreducible components of \bar{T} are $V(J_1)$, $V(J_2)$, $V(J_3)$ where

$$J_1 = (Z_2), \quad J_2 = (\wedge^2 [V_2 \mid HZ_2], Z_2^t \cdot V_2, V_2^t \cdot H \cdot V_2, Z_2^t \cdot H \cdot Z_2), \quad J_3 = (V_2).$$

From [20, §7], we obtain that $V(J_2)$ has dimension $n - 2\ell$ and it is smooth over $\text{Spec}(k)$ outside from its closed subscheme of dimension 0 that is defined by the ideal (V_2, Z_2) . Also, we can easily see that $V(J_1)$, $V(J_3)$ are smooth affine spaces of dimension $n - 2\ell$. \square

Remark 5.2. From the above proof and more precisely from the fact that the irreducible component $V(J_2)$ is singular, we conclude that the splitting model M_I^{spl} is not semi-stable over $\text{Spec } O_F$.

Corollary 5.3. *The projective morphism $\tau : M_I^{\text{spl}} \rightarrow M_I^\wedge$ factors through M_I^{loc} .*

6. MODULI DESCRIPTION OF THE IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF $M_I^{\text{spl}} \otimes k$

In this section, we give a moduli description of the irreducible components of $M_I^{\text{spl}} \otimes k$ when the signature is $(n - 1, 1)$ and the index set $I = \{\ell\}$ is strongly non-special. Recall that we have a symmetric bilinear form

$$(6.0.1) \quad (,) : (\Lambda_{n-\ell} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S) \times (\Lambda_\ell \otimes \mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S.$$

For simplicity, we omit the base change from the above notation. Set $(t \pm \pi)\Lambda_\kappa = \{(t \pm \pi)x \mid x \in \Lambda_\kappa\}$. It is easy to see that

$$(6.0.2) \quad ((t + \pi)\Lambda_{n-\ell})^\perp = (t + \pi)\Lambda_\ell, \quad ((t - \pi)\Lambda_{n-\ell})^\perp = (t - \pi)\Lambda_\ell.$$

By (6.0.2), we have a perfect pairing between $(t + \pi)\Lambda_{n-\ell}$ and $\Lambda_\ell/(t + \pi)\Lambda_\ell$. Note that the last lattice is isomorphic to $(t - \pi)\Lambda_\ell$ via $e_i \mapsto (t - \pi)e_i$. Thus, we have an induced perfect pairing

$$(6.0.3) \quad \{ , \} : (t + \pi)\Lambda_{n-\ell} \times (t - \pi)\Lambda_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S,$$

given by $\{(t + \pi)x, (t - \pi)y\} = ((t + \pi)x, y)$. For points $(\mathcal{F}_\ell, \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}, \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell})$ in the splitting model M_I^{spl} , we have $\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell} \subset (t + \pi)\Lambda_{n-\ell}$ since $(t - \pi)\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell} = (0)$. Thus, we can consider the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}$ under this new modified pairing, which we denote by $\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}^{\perp'} \subset (t - \pi)\Lambda_\ell$.

From the inclusion maps $A_\ell : \Lambda_\ell \rightarrow \Lambda_{n-\ell}, A_{n-\ell} : \Lambda_{n-\ell} \rightarrow t^{-1}\Lambda_\ell$, we define:

Definition 6.1. *Let M_i be the closed subscheme in the special fiber of M_I^{spl} , which send each $(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes k)$ -algebra R to the set of families:*

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(R) &= \{(\mathcal{F}_\ell, \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}, \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}) \in (M_I^{\text{spl}} \otimes k)(R) \mid t\mathcal{F}_\ell = 0, \quad t\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell} = 0\}, \\ M_2(R) &= \{(\mathcal{F}_\ell, \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}, \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}) \in (M_I^{\text{spl}} \otimes k)(R) \mid tA_\ell^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}) \subset \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}^{\perp'}, A_\ell(\mathcal{F}_\ell) \subset t\Lambda_{n-\ell}\}, \\ M_3(R) &= \{(\mathcal{F}_\ell, \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}, \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}) \in (M_I^{\text{spl}} \otimes k)(R) \mid \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell} \subset A_\ell(\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}^{\perp'})\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above definition, we get

Theorem 6.2. *The special fiber of the splitting model M_I^{spl} has three irreducible components, M_1, M_2 and M_3 .*

Proof. By passing to the affine chart \mathcal{U}_{i_0} of the inverse image of the worst point as in §4 and the proof of Theorem 5.1, we only need to identify the three irreducible components $V(J_1), V(J_2), V(J_3)$ with M_1, M_2, M_3 respectively.

Choose a point $(\mathcal{F}_\ell, \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}, \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}) \in \mathcal{U}_{i_0}$ as §4.1:

$$\mathcal{F}_\ell = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ I_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that relation $t\mathcal{F}_\ell = 0$ is equivalent to $X = 0$. Note that $X = 0$ if and only if $Y = 0$ by $(\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}, \mathcal{F}_\ell) = 0$, and $Y = 0$ is equivalent to $t\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell} = 0$. In the special fiber, we have $Y = VZ^t$. Recall that there is a non-vanishing element in V by $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}) = 1$. Thus, the relation $Y = 0$ translates to $Z = 0$, which amounts to $Z_2 = 0$ by $Z_1 = -\frac{a}{2}JV_1, a = Z_2^tHZ_2$. Therefore, the relations $t\mathcal{F}_\ell = 0, t\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell} = 0$ are equivalent to $Z_2 = 0$, where the ideal (Z_2) is J_1 by Theorem 5.1.

For $M_3(R)$, consider the orthogonal complement $\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}^{\perp'}$. Note that the modified pairing $\{ , \}$ under the reordered basis of $t\Lambda_\ell, t\Lambda_{n-\ell}$ in (4.1.1) is

$$(6.0.4) \quad \{ , \} = \begin{bmatrix} -J_{2\ell} & \\ & H_{n-2\ell} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the above, we get

$$(6.0.5) \quad \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}^{\perp'} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} W_1 \\ W_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid -V_1^tJW_1 + V_2^tHW_2 = 0 \right\} \subset t\Lambda_\ell,$$

where W_1 (resp. W_2) is of size $2\ell \times 1$ (resp. $(n-2\ell) \times 1$). Note that $\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}^{\perp'}) = n-1$. The image of $\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}^{\perp'}$ under A_ℓ is

$$(6.0.6) \quad A_\ell(\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}^{\perp'}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} W_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid \exists W'_{(n-2\ell) \times 1} \text{ such that } -V_1^t J W_1 + V_2^t H W' = 0 \right\}.$$

Thus, the relation $\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell} \subset A_\ell(\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}^{\perp'})$ is equivalent to $V_2 = 0$, since $V_1^t J V_1 = 0$. We deduce that $V(J_3) = V((V_2))$ represents $M_3(R)$.

Lastly, note that

$$(6.0.7) \quad tA_\ell^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}) = \text{span}_k \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ V_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subset t\Lambda_\ell.$$

By (6.0.5), the relation $tA_\ell^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}) \subset \mathcal{G}_{n-\ell}^{\perp'}$ is equivalent to $V_2^t H V_2 = 0$. It is easy to see that $A_\ell(\mathcal{F}_\ell) \subset t\Lambda_{n-\ell}$ translates to $X_1 = 0, X_2 = 0$. When $2\ell + 1 \leq i_0 \leq n$, it is automatically satisfied since $X_1 = -\frac{a}{2} V_1 V_1^t J$, $X_2 = \frac{a}{2} V_1 V_2^t H$, and $a = 2\pi u = 0$ in the special fiber. So it is enough to consider $1 \leq i_0 \leq 2\ell$, where the relation $X_1 = 0, X_2 = 0$ is equivalent to $a = Z_2^t H Z_2 = 0$ by the proof of Proposition 4.2. Thus, we get $V(J_2)$ represents $M_2(R)$. \square

7. A RESOLUTION FOR M_I^{spl}

In what follows, we continue to assume that $I = \{\ell\}$ is a strongly non-special index and the signature $(r, s) = (n-1, 1)$.

While M_I^{spl} is flat over O_F from Theorem 5.1, it does not have semi-stable reduction since one of the irreducible components of $M_I^{\text{spl}} \otimes k$ is not smooth; see Remark 7.4. In this section, we will construct a semi-stable resolution $\rho : M_I^{\text{bl}} \rightarrow M_I^{\text{spl}}$ and show that M_I^{bl} is the blow-up of M_I^{spl} along the closed subscheme $\tau^{-1}((t\Lambda_\ell, t\Lambda_{n-\ell}))$.

7.1. Blow-up of \mathcal{U}_{i_0} . From Proposition 4.2 and Remarks 4.3 (a), we have that $\mathcal{U}_{i_0} \simeq \text{Spec } A = \text{Spec } O_F[V_1, V_2, Z_2]/I$, where I is given by:

$$(7.1.1) \quad I = (v_{i_0} - 1, \wedge^2 [V_2 \mid HZ_2], Z_2^t \cdot V_2 - 2\pi)$$

for $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$. The smooth closed subscheme $U_{i_0} \cap \tau^{-1}((t\Lambda_\ell, t\Lambda_{n-\ell}))$ is defined by the ideal $I' = (Z_2)$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}}$ be the blow-up of \mathcal{U}_{i_0} along the ideal $I' = (Z_2)$ and $\rho : \mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{i_0}$ the blow-up morphism. We have:

$$(7.1.2) \quad \mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}} = \text{Proj}(\tilde{A}),$$

where \tilde{A} is the graded A -algebra $\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} I^d$ with $I^0 = A$.

Proposition 7.1. $\mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}}$ has semi-stable reduction over O_F for $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$.

Proof. When $1 \leq i_0 \leq 2\ell$, we get

$$(7.1.3) \quad \mathcal{U}_{i_0} = \text{Spec } A = \mathbb{A}_{O_F}^{2\ell-1} \times \text{Spec } \frac{O_F[V_2, Z_2]}{(\wedge^2 [V_2 \mid HZ_2], Z_2^t \cdot V_2 - 2\pi)}.$$

There are $(n-2\ell)$ -affine patches in the blow-up of $\text{Spec } A$ along the ideal $I' = (Z_2) = (z_{2\ell+1}, \dots, z_n)$. Let $t_{2\ell+1}, \dots, t_n$ be the represented elements of $z_{2\ell+1}, \dots, z_n$ in the graded algebra \tilde{A} , considered as homogeneous elements of degree 1.

Assume that $t_{2\ell+1} = 1$. The affine chart $D_+(t_{2\ell+1}) \subset \mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}}$ is given by

$$(7.1.4) \quad \text{Spec } \frac{A[t_{2\ell+2}, \dots, t_n]}{J_{2\ell+1}},$$

where

(7.1.5)

$$J_{2\ell+1} = \{f(t_{2\ell+2}, \dots, t_n) \in A[t_{2\ell+2}, \dots, t_n] \mid \exists N \geq 0, z_{2\ell+1}^N \cdot f \in (z_{2\ell+1}t_i - z_i)_{2\ell+1 \leq i \leq n}\}.$$

From (7.1.5), it is easy to see that $v_i - t_{n+2\ell+1-i}v_n \in J_{2\ell+1}$. Thus, the affine chart $D_+(t_{2\ell+1})$ is isomorphic to

$$(7.1.6) \quad \mathbb{A}_{O_F}^{2\ell-1} \times \text{Spec} \frac{O_F[v_n, z_{2\ell+1}, t_{2\ell+1}, \dots, t_n]}{(t_{2\ell+1} - 1, v_n z_{2\ell+1} \cdot q - 2\pi)}$$

where $q = \sum_{i=2\ell+1}^n t_i t_{n+2\ell+1-i}$. In the special fiber, we have three irreducible components, corresponding to $J_1 = (v_n)$, $J_2 = (z_{2\ell+1})$, and $J_3 = (q)$. All of them are isomorphic to \mathbb{A}_k^{n-1} , and their intersections are smooth of correct dimension. Next, note that the special fiber of $V(J_{2\ell+1})$ is reduced, since $(v_n z_{2\ell+1} q) = J_1 \cap J_2 \cap J_3$. We can directly see that the ideal J_1, J_2, J_3 are principal over $V(J_{2\ell+1})$. Thus, by [4, Remark 1.1.1], $V(J_{2\ell+1})$ is regular, and has semi-stable reduction.

By symmetry, all other affine patches $D_+(t_i)$ in $\mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}}$ are isomorphic to $D_+(t_{2\ell+1})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}}$ has semi-stable reduction.

When $2\ell + 1 \leq i_0 \leq n$, we get

$$(7.1.7) \quad \mathcal{U}_{i_0} \simeq \text{Spec} \frac{O_F[V_1, V_2, u]}{(v_{i_0} - 1, u \cdot (V_2^t H V_2) - 2\pi)}$$

by Proposition 4.2 (2). Note that the ideal $I' = (Z_2)$ translates to $I' = (u)$ in this case. Thus, we get $\mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}} = \mathcal{U}_{i_0}$ and so it has semi-stable reduction by Remark 4.3 (b). \square

Set $T = [t_{2\ell+1} \cdots t_n]^t$. As a consequence of the proposition above, we have:

Corollary 7.2. *The blow-up $\mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}}$ is isomorphic to*

$$(7.1.8) \quad \text{Proj} \frac{B[T]}{(\wedge^2 [Z_2 \mid T], \wedge^2 [V_2 \mid HT])},$$

for $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ where $B = O_F[V_1, V_2, Z_2]/(v_{i_0} - 1, Z_2^t \cdot V_2 - 2\pi)$.

7.2. A resolution for M_I^{spl} . Recall that $\tau^{-1}(t\Lambda_\ell, t\Lambda_{n-\ell})$ is the smooth \mathcal{G} -invariant closed subscheme, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} in the special fiber of the splitting model M_I^{spl} , and $\tau^{-1}(t\Lambda_\ell, t\Lambda_{n-\ell}) \subset \cup_{i_0=1}^n \mathcal{U}_{i_0}$. Consider the blow-up of M_I^{spl} along the subscheme $\tau^{-1}(t\Lambda_\ell, t\Lambda_{n-\ell})$. This gives a \mathcal{G} -equivariant, birational projective morphism:

$$(7.2.1) \quad \rho : M_I^{\text{bl}} \rightarrow M_I^{\text{spl}},$$

which induces an isomorphism on the generic fibers.

Theorem 7.3. *The scheme M_I^{bl} is regular, and has semi-stable reduction over O_F .*

Proof. Since $\tau^{-1}(t\Lambda_\ell, t\Lambda_{n-\ell}) \subset \cup_{i_0=1}^n \mathcal{U}_{i_0}$, it is enough to check the restriction of $\rho^{-1}(\cup_{i_0=1}^n \mathcal{U}_{i_0})$. From §7.1, the blow-up of \mathcal{U}_{i_0} along $\mathcal{U}_{i_0} \cap \tau^{-1}((t\Lambda_\ell, t\Lambda_{n-\ell}))$ is $\mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}}$, i.e., we have $\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{i_0}) = \mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}}$. We obtain a \mathcal{G} -equivalent, birational, semi-stable resolution

$$(7.2.2) \quad \rho : \mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{i_0}$$

by Proposition 7.1. Thus, $\rho^{-1}(\cup_{i_0=1}^n \mathcal{U}_{i_0})$ is also regular, and has semi-stable reduction over O_F and so is M_I^{bl} . \square

The semi-stable reduction of M_I^{bl} implies that it is flat over O_F . Combining all the above, we have the \mathcal{G} -equivariant projective morphisms

$$(7.2.3) \quad M_I^{\text{bl}} \rightarrow M_I^{\text{spl}} \rightarrow M_I^{\text{loc}},$$

which induces isomorphisms on the generic fibers. Moreover, from Theorem 7.3 and the proof of Proposition 7.1, we deduce that M_I^{bl} is covered by open subschemes which are smooth over $\text{Spec}(O_F[u, x, y]/(uxy - 2\pi))$.

Remark 7.4. From Subsection 7.1 and Theorem 7.3, we deduce that the special fiber of M_I^{bl} has three smooth irreducible components of dimension $n - 1$. Also, the exceptional locus of (7.2.3) is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} \times_k \mathbb{P}_k^{n-2\ell-1}$ which is isomorphic to $V(I')$ over the intersection with $\mathcal{U}_{i_0}^{\text{bl}}$; see the proof of Proposition 7.1 and Corollary 7.2 for more details. Thus, the exceptional locus is a smooth scheme of dimension $n - 1$ and is, in fact, an irreducible component of the special fiber of M_I^{bl} .

8. APPLICATION TO SHIMURA VARIETIES

In this section, we demonstrate the most immediate application of Theorems 5.1 and 7.3 to ramified unitary Shimura varieties of signature $(n - 1, 1)$ and where the level subgroup is the stabilizer of a lattice which is neither special nor self-dual. We start by briefly discussing the construction of p -adic integral models of Shimura varieties, defined in [15], which have simple and explicit moduli descriptions and are étale locally isomorphic to naive local models. We follow [11, §1] for the description.

Let K/\mathbb{Q} be an imaginary quadratic extension. Let W be a n -dimensional K -vector space, equipped with a non-degenerate hermitian form ϕ . Consider the group $G = GU_n$ of unitary similitudes for (W, ϕ) of dimension $n \geq 3$ over K . Fix a conjugacy class of homomorphisms $h : \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow GU_n$ that corresponds to a Shimura datum $(G, X) = (GU_n, X_h)$ of signature $(n - 1, 1)$. The pair (G, X) gives rise to a Shimura variety $Sh(G, X)$ over the reflex field $E = K$. Let p be an odd prime number which ramifies in K and set $K_1 = K_v$ where v is the unique prime ideal of K above (p) . Denote by \mathcal{O} the ring of integers of K_1 and let π be a uniformizer of \mathcal{O} . Set $V = W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$. We assume that the hermitian form ϕ is split on V , i.e. there is a basis e_1, \dots, e_n such that

$$\phi(ae_i, be_{n+1-j}) = \bar{a}b\delta_{i,j} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in K_1,$$

where $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ is the nontrivial element of $\text{Gal}(K_1/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Fix such a basis, and consider the self-dual lattice chain

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\Lambda_i\}_{i=\pm I+n\mathbb{Z}}$$

in V , with Λ_i as in (2.1.2) and where $I = \{\ell\}$ is a strongly non-special index (see Definition 2.3). Let $\mathcal{G} = \underline{\text{Aut}}(\mathcal{L})$ be the (smooth) group scheme over \mathbb{Z}_p with $P_{\{\ell\}} = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ as its generic fiber. Here, we denote by $P_{\{\ell\}}$ the stabilizer of $\Lambda_{\{\ell\}}$ in $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. As in §2.2, when n is odd the stabilizer $P_{\{\ell\}}$ is a parahoric subgroup. When n is even, $P_{\{\ell\}}$ is not a parahoric subgroup since it contains a parahoric subgroup with index 2 and the corresponding parahoric group scheme is its connected component $P_{\{\ell\}}^\circ$.

Choose also a sufficiently small compact open subgroup K^p of the prime-to- p finite adelic points $G(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ of G and set $\mathbf{K} = K^p P_{\{\ell\}}$ and $\mathbf{K}' = K^p P_{\{\ell\}}^\circ$. As pointed out in [11, §1.3], the Shimura varieties $\text{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}'}(G, X)$ and $\text{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}}(G, X)$ have isomorphic geometric connected components. Thus, from the point of view of constructing

reasonable integral models, we may restrict our attention to $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}}(G, X)$; since $P_{\{\ell\}}$ corresponds to a lattice set stabilizer, this Shimura variety is given by a simpler moduli problem. The Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}}(G, X)$ with complex points

$$\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}}(G, X)(\mathbb{C}) = G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X \times G(\mathbb{A}_f) / \mathbf{K}$$

is of PEL type and has a canonical model over the reflex field K .

We consider the moduli functor $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathrm{naive}}$ over $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}$ given in [15, Definition 6.9]: A point of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathrm{naive}}$ with values in the $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}$ -scheme S is the isomorphism class of quadruples $(A, \iota, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\eta})$ consisting of:

- (1) An object (A, ι) , where A is an abelian scheme with relative dimension n over S (terminology of [15]), compatibly endowed with an action of \mathcal{O} :

$$\iota : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{End} A \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

- (2) A \mathbb{Q} -homogeneous principal polarization $\bar{\lambda}$ on (A, ι) containing a polarization λ such that $\ker \lambda \subset A[\iota(\pi)]$ of height $n - 1$.
- (3) A K^p -level structure

$$\bar{\eta} : H_1(A, \mathbb{A}_f^p) \simeq W \otimes \mathbb{A}_f^p \pmod{K^p}$$

which respects the bilinear forms on both sides up to a constant in $(\mathbb{A}_f^p)^\times$ (see loc. cit. for details).

The abelian scheme A should satisfy the determinant condition (i) of loc. cit.

(We refer the reader to loc.cit., 6.3–6.8 and [9, §3] for more details on the definitions of the terms employed here.) The functor $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathrm{naive}}$ is representable by a quasi-projective scheme over \mathcal{O} ; recall that we assume that K^p is sufficiently small. As in loc. cit., since the Hasse principle is satisfied for the unitary group we can see that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathrm{naive}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K_1 = \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}}(G, X) \otimes_K K_1.$$

Extending the abelian scheme A and its dual abelian scheme A^\vee periodically, we get an \mathcal{L} -set of abelian schemes. Denote by $H_{dR}^1(A)$ the first de Rham cohomology sheaf, and let $M(A) = H_{dR}^1(A)^\vee$ be the dual of de Rham cohomology. Here $M(A)$ is a finite locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of rank $2n$. Thus we have the covariant Hodge filtration

$$(8.0.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega_{A^\vee} \rightarrow M(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(A) \rightarrow 0,$$

where ω_{A^\vee} is a locally free \mathcal{O}_S -module of rank n (see [3, §6.3, §14] and [19, §5.3] for more details). As is explained in [15] (see also [9]), the naive local model M_I^{naive} is connected to the moduli scheme $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathrm{naive}}$ via the local model diagram

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathrm{naive}} \xleftarrow{\psi_1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathrm{naive}} \xrightarrow{\psi_2} M_I^{\mathrm{naive}}$$

where the morphism ψ_1 is a \mathcal{G} -torsor and ψ_2 is a smooth and \mathcal{G} -equivariant morphism. Equivalently, there should be a relatively representable morphism of algebraic stacks

$$\phi : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathrm{naive}} \rightarrow [M_I^{\mathrm{naive}} / \mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}]$$

which is smooth of relative dimension $\dim(G)$. Let us form the cartesian product of ϕ with the morphisms $M_I^{\mathrm{spl}} \rightarrow M_I^{\mathrm{loc}} \hookrightarrow M_I^{\mathrm{naive}}$, where M_I^{spl} and M_I^{loc} are the

splitting and local model respectively (see §3 for more details),

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}} & \longrightarrow & [M_I^{\text{spl}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{loc}} & \longrightarrow & [M_I^{\text{loc}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}} & \longrightarrow & [M_I^{\text{naive}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}}]. \end{array}$$

The scheme $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{loc}}$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$ and is the image of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$. $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{loc}}$ is a linear modification of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$ in the sense of in the sense of [9, §2] (see also [10, §15]); similarly, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ is a linear modification of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$.

Theorem 8.1. *For every K^p as above, there is a scheme $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$, flat over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$, with*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K_1 = \text{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}}(G, X) \otimes_K K_1,$$

and which supports a local model diagram

$$(8.0.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}(G, X) & \\ \pi_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}} \swarrow & & \searrow q_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}} & & M_I^{\text{spl}} \end{array}$$

such that:

- a) $\pi_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ is a \mathcal{G} -torsor and $q_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ is smooth and \mathcal{G} -equivariant.
- b) $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ is normal and Cohen-Macaulay and has a reduced special fiber.

Proof. By the above discussion and Theorem 5.1, the proof of the theorem follows. \square

Moreover, we can obtain an explicit moduli description of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ by adding in the moduli problem of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$ an additional subspace in the Hodge filtration $\omega_{A^\vee} \subset M(A)$. More precisely, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ associates to an \mathcal{O} -scheme S the set of isomorphism classes of objects $(A, \iota, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\eta}, \mathcal{G})$. Here $(A, \iota, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\eta})$ is an object of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}(S)$. The final ingredient \mathcal{G} of an object of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}}$ is the subspace $\mathcal{G} \subset \omega_{A^\vee} \subset M(A)$ of rank one which satisfies the following conditions:

$$(8.0.3) \quad \mathcal{O} \text{ acts by } \sigma_1 \text{ on } \mathcal{G}, \text{ and by } \sigma_2 \text{ on } \omega_{A^\vee}/\mathcal{G},$$

where $\text{Gal}(K_1/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \{\sigma_1 = id, \sigma_2\}$. Note that condition (8.0.3) translates to condition (3.2.1) by the isomorphism $M(A) \simeq \Lambda_{-\ell} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \simeq \Lambda_{n-\ell} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$. There is a forgetful morphism

$$\tau : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{spl}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{naive}}$$

defined by $(A, \iota, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\eta}, \mathcal{G}) \mapsto (A, \iota, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\eta})$.

Theorem 8.2. *For every K^p as above, there is a scheme $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}}$, flat over $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$, with*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K_1 = \text{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}}(G, X) \otimes_K K_1,$$

and which supports a local model diagram

$$(8.0.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}}(G, X) & \\ \pi_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}} \swarrow & & \searrow q_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}} & & M_I^{\text{bl}} \end{array}$$

such that:

- a) $\pi_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{sp1}}$ is a \mathcal{G} -torsor and $q_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{sp1}}$ is smooth and \mathcal{G} -equivariant.
- b) $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}}$ is regular and has special fiber which is a divisor with normal crossings.

Proof. From the local model diagram (8.0.2) we have that $q_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{sp1}} : \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{sp1}}(G, X) \rightarrow M_I^{\text{sp1}}$ is smooth and \mathcal{G} -equivariant. We set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{sp1}} \times_{M_I^{\text{sp1}}} M_I^{\text{bl}}$$

which carries a diagonal \mathcal{G} -action. Since $M_I^{\text{bl}} \rightarrow M_I^{\text{sp1}}$ is given by a blow-up, is projective, and we can see ([9, §2]) that the quotient

$$\pi_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{reg}} : \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}} := \mathcal{G} \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}}(G, X)$$

is represented by a scheme and gives a \mathcal{G} -torsor. In fact, since blowing-up commutes with étale localization, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}}$ is the blow-up of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{sp1}}$ along the locus of its special fiber where $\pi\omega_{A^\vee} = 0$. The projection gives a smooth \mathcal{G} -morphism

$$q_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{reg}} : \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}} \rightarrow M_I^{\text{bl}}$$

which completes the local model diagram. Property (b) follows from Theorem 7.3 and property (a) which implies that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}}$ and M_I^{bl} are locally isomorphic for the étale topology. \square

Corollary 8.3. $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{bl}}$ is the blow-up of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\text{sp1}}$ along the locus of its special fiber where the Hodge filtration w_{A^\vee} is annihilated by the action of the uniformizer π .

Proof. It follows from the proof of the above theorem. \square

- Remarks 8.4.** (1) From the above discussion, we can obtain a semi-stable integral model for the Shimura variety $\text{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}'}(G, X)$ where $\mathbf{K}' = K^p P_I^\circ$. In this case, the corresponding local models M_I^{loc} of $\text{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}'}(G, X)$ agree with the Pappas-Zhu local models $\mathbb{M}_{P_I^\circ}(G, \mu_{r,s})$ for the local model triples $(G, \{\mu_{r,s}\}, P_I^\circ)$. (See [14, Theorem 1.2] and [14, §8] for more details.)
- (2) Similar results can be obtained for corresponding Rapoport-Zink formal schemes. (See [6, §4] for an example of this parallel treatment.)

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Arzdorf, *On local models with special parahoric level structure*, Michigan Math. J. 58 (2009), 683–710.
- [2] J. Bruinier, B. Howard, S. Kudla, M. Rapoport, T. Yang, *Modularity of generating series of divisors on unitary Shimura varieties*, Asterisque, 421 (2020), 8–125.
- [3] T. Haines, *Introduction to Shimura varieties with bad reduction of parahoric type*, in Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 4, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005, p. 583–642.
- [4] U.T. Hartl, *Semi-stability and base change*, Arch. Math. 77 (2001), 215–221.
- [5] Q. He, C. Li, Y. Shi, T. Yang, *A proof of the Kudla-Rapoport conjecture for Krämer models*, Invent. Math., 234(2):721–817, 2023.

- [6] X. He, G. Pappas, M. Rapoport, *Good and semi-stable reductions of Shimura varieties*, Journal de l'Ecole polytechnique - Math., Vol. 7 (2020), p. 497–571.
- [7] N. Krämer, *Local models for ramified unitary groups*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 73 (2003), p. 67–80.
- [8] Y. Luo, *On the moduli description of ramified unitary Local models of signature $(n - 1, 1)$* , 2024, arXiv:2404.10723.
- [9] G. Pappas, *On the arithmetic moduli schemes of PEL Shimura varieties*, J. Alg. Geom. 9 (2000), 577–605.
- [10] G. Pappas, M. Rapoport, *Local models in the ramified case. II. Splitting models*, Duke Math. Journal 127 (2005), 193–250.
- [11] G. Pappas, M. Rapoport, *Local models in the ramified case. III. Unitary groups*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 8 (2009), no. 3, 507–564.
- [12] G. Pappas, M. Rapoport, B. Smithling, *Local models of Shimura varieties, I. Geometry and combinatorics*, in Handbook of moduli. Vol. III, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. 26, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013, p. 135–217.
- [13] G. Pappas, I. Zachos, *Regular integral models for Shimura varieties of orthogonal type*, Compos. Math. 158(4) (2022), 831–867.
- [14] G. Pappas, X. Zhu, *Local models of Shimura varieties and a conjecture of Kottwitz*, Invent. math. 194 (2013), 147–254.
- [15] M. Rapoport, T. Zink, *Period spaces for p -divisible groups*. Ann. of Math. Studies 141, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996.
- [16] T. Richarz, *Schubert varieties in twisted affine flag varieties and local models*, J. Algebra, 375:121–147, 2013.
- [17] B. Smithling, *Topological flatness of local models for ramified unitary groups. I. The odd dimensional case*. Adv. Math. 226 (2011), 3160–3190.
- [18] B. Smithling, *Topological flatness of local models for ramified unitary groups. II. The even dimensional case*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 13 (2014), no. 2, p. 303–393.
- [19] B. Smithling, *On the moduli description of local models for ramified unitary groups*, Int. Math. Res. Not., (24):13493–13532, 2015.
- [20] I. Zachos, *On orthogonal local models of Hodge type*, International Mathematics Research Notices, Volume 2023, Issue 13, (2023), 10799–10836.
- [21] I. Zachos, *Semi-stable models for some unitary Shimura varieties over ramified primes*, preprint (2022), arXiv:2210.14043.
- [22] I. Zachos, Z. Zhao, *Semi-stable and splitting models for unitary Shimura varieties over ramified places. I*, 2023, arXiv:2309.16463.
- [23] X. Zhu, *On the coherence conjecture of Pappas and Rapoport*, Ann. of Math. 180 (2014), 1–85.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOSTON COLLEGE, CHESTNUT HILL, MA 02467, USA
E-mail address: zachosi@bc.edu

MORNINGSIDE CENTER OF MATHEMATICS, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING, 100190, CHINA

E-mail address: zhihaozhao@amss.ac.cn