

DUALITY FOR COHEN–MACAULAY COMPLEXES THROUGH COMBINATORIAL SHEAVES

RICHARD D. WADE AND THOMAS A. WASSERMAN

ABSTRACT. We prove a duality theorem for Cohen–Macaulay simplicial complexes. This is a generalisation of Poincaré Duality, framed in the language of combinatorial sheaves. Our treatment is self-contained and accessible for readers with a working knowledge of simplicial complexes and (co)homology. The main motivation is a link with Bieri–Eckmann duality for discrete groups, which is explored in a companion paper [WW24].

1. INTRODUCTION

A space X is Cohen–Macaulay (CM) of dimension n if the reduced homology $\tilde{H}_*(X)$ and local homology groups $H_*(X, X - x)$ for $x \in X$ are trivial unless $* = n$. CM spaces appear throughout combinatorial, algebraic and geometric topology. If X satisfies just the local homology condition, X is called locally CM. Locally CM spaces can be thought of as homology manifolds with mild singularities. Akin to Poincaré duality, a locally CM and locally finite simplicial complex X admits an isomorphism induced by a cap product between cohomology and homology with appropriate coefficients. We call this isomorphism *CM duality*.

1.1. Results.

1.1.1. CM Duality. A *combinatorial sheaf* on a simplicial complex X is a functor out of the poset of simplices in X , ordered by inclusion. A *combinatorial cosheaf* is functor out of X^{op} . Taking local homology at simplices defines a (combinatorial) sheaf h_* on X , whereas local cohomology defines a cosheaf h^* .

Theorem A (CM Duality). *Let X be a locally finite, locally Cohen–Macaulay simplicial complex of dimension n . Then there is a fundamental class $[X] \in H_n^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*)$ in locally finite homology, such that the cap product induces isomorphisms*

$$[X] \cap - : H_c^p(X; h_*) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n-p}(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$[X] \cap - : H_c^p(X; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n-p}(X; h^*),$$

where H_c^* denotes compactly supported cohomology.

A more comprehensive statement is given in Theorem 4.25. There we work over an arbitrary commutative ring R and relative to subcomplexes, and give corresponding versions for non-compactly-supported cohomology and locally finite homology.

1.1.2. *Cap product.* In Section 2.4 we give an explicit construction of the cap product between combinatorial cosheaf homology and sheaf cohomology as a chain map out of a double complex. At the chain level this involves some choices that depend on an orientation of X ; we prove that the induced map on (co)homology is independent of these choices.

1.1.3. *Naturality.* CM duality is natural in the following sense:

Theorem B. *Let X and Y be locally CM simplicial complexes and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a local homeomorphism that restricts to a simplicial isomorphism on stars. Then the duality isomorphism from Theorem A is natural with respect to the maps induced by f in (co)homology.*

This is a part of Theorem 5.16. When a group G acts on a locally CM complex X the (co)homology groups that appear in CM duality are G -modules. Theorem B implies that the isomorphisms from Theorem A are isomorphisms of G -modules.

1.1.4. *Cosheaf homology.* If \mathcal{F} is a sheaf then $H^0(X; \mathcal{F})$ has a geometric description as the group of global sections $\Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} . In this paper we examine cosheaf homology in degree 0, for the particular case of the local cohomology cosheaf h^* . With some restrictions on the local homology sheaf, we show that $H_0(X; h^*)$ is subgroup of the dual to the global section group $\Gamma(h_*)$ of the local homology sheaf.

Recall that an inverse system of abelian groups is called semi-stable if the images of the maps in the system stabilise (sometimes this is called the *Mittag-Leffler condition*). A homomorphism $f: \Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ in the dual of $\Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ is *compactly determined* if there exists a compact set K such that $f(s) = 0$ for every section s that is trivial on K .

Theorem C. *Let X be a locally CM simplicial complex, and let $\{K_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a filtration of X by finite subcomplexes. Then the inverse system $\{\Gamma(h_*|_{K_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is semi-stable if and only if $\Gamma(h_*)$ is a free abelian group. In this case,*

$$H_0(X; h^*) \cong \text{Hom}_c(\Gamma(h_*), \mathbb{Z}),$$

where Hom_c denotes the group of compactly determined homomorphisms.

This follows from Corollary 3.18 in the text, which is phrased over PIDs rather than \mathbb{Z} .

1.2. A brief history: CM complexes, duality, and combinatorial sheaves.

1.2.1. *Cohen–Macaulay complexes.* The name *Cohen–Macaulay complex* has its origin in a theorem of Reisner [Rei76], who showed that a finite simplicial complex is CM if and only if its *face ring* (also called the *Stanley–Reisner ring*) is Cohen–Macaulay as a ring (although the CM definition for spaces given above is a reformulation due to Munkres [Mun84]). This equivalence was a key component in Stanley’s proof of the Upper Bound Conjecture on triangulations of spheres ([Sta75], see also [Bjö16, Hoc16] for surveys), and is an important bridge between commutative algebra and combinatorial topology. In the study of Stanley–Reisner ring dualities related to the ones discussed in this paper have been observed [Yan03, RWY01] for finite complexes. In [VY24] these dualities are used to prove duality theorems for (homology) manifolds.

A few years after the papers of Stanley and Reisner, Quillen proved that the geometric realizations of certain subgraph posets satisfy a stronger, homotopical

version of the CM condition [Qui78]. In part due to Quillen's influence, the homotopical version of the CM property is more common in papers in algebraic/geometric topology.

1.2.2. CM duality and combinatorial sheaves. In algebraic topology, CM spaces appear in Bredon's book on sheaf theory [Bre97] as *weak homology manifolds*, and without being explicitly named in McCrory's study of the *Zeeman spectral sequence* [McC79]. Zeeman introduced his spectral sequence as a generalisation of Poincaré duality [Zee63]. Zeeman also introduced combinatorial sheaves under the name of stacks [Zee62]. McCrory [McC79] took Zeeman's work further. He used Zeeman's spectral sequence to obtain results for relative (co)homology and gave a geometric interpretation of the groups on the E_2 -page. He also abstractly identifies [McC79, Section 6.3] a cap product on homology manifolds with the edge homomorphisms of this spectral sequence.

These duality results for CM complexes are not well-known. One reason for this might be the success of *intersection homology* (pioneered by Goresky and MacPherson [GM80]) in studying (co)homology of singular spaces. Intersection homology is most often applied to *pseudomanifolds*, which form a generalisation of manifolds orthogonal to CM spaces.

More recently, the cellular cousins to combinatorial sheaves have been used by Curry [Cur14] in the context of Topological Data Analysis. In [GK94] combinatorial sheaves are used to work with sheaves over operads.

1.2.3. Verdier duality. In the same year that Zeeman published his generalisation of Poincaré duality, Verdier [Ver63] announced what is now called *Verdier duality*. While our proof of CM duality does not directly use this, CM duality can be seen as a consequence of Verdier duality and our strategy can be viewed as providing an explicit counterpart to abstract (derived) considerations. For context, we briefly sketch these considerations here. We have included a more detailed explanation in Appendix B.

It is well-known that Verdier duality for sheaves gives rise to Poincaré duality (see for example [Ive86]). Lurie [Lur17, Section 5.5.5] recasts Verdier duality as the statement that taking compactly supported sections induces, after passing to derived categories, an equivalence between the category of sheaves and the category of cosheaves on a (nice) space. If one were to spell this out for a finite-dimensional locally finite Hausdorff space X , one would get the following. The equivalence takes the constant sheaf \mathbb{Z} to a cosheaf of chain complexes c^* of which the cohomology is the local cohomology cosheaf h^* , and the constant cosheaf \mathbb{Z} is correspondingly mapped to a sheaf of chain complexes c_* computing h_* . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} H_*^{\text{lf}}(X; \mathbb{Z}) &= H^*(X; c_*) \\ H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}) &= H_*^{\text{lf}}(X; c^*). \end{aligned}$$

Here the right hand sides are computed by resolving for each k the sheaf c_k (or cosheaf c^k) on X and taking cohomology (or homology) of the resulting double complex. This gives rise to *hyper(co)homology* spectral sequences

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad E_{-p,q}^2 &= H^p(X; h_q) \Rightarrow H_{q-p}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \\ (2) \quad E_2^{-p,q} &= H_p^{\text{lf}}(X; h^q) \Rightarrow H^{q-p}(X; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

These spectral sequences are closely related to Zeeman's spectral sequence. When X is locally CM the spectral sequences collapse to give isomorphisms like those in Theorem A. Note that this description makes no reference to a cap product or fundamental class, and inherently requires working in the derived category.

1.2.4. Duality groups. A major motivation for this paper comes from *duality groups*. Bieri and Eckmann [BE73] defined a duality group of dimension n to be a group G that has a fundamental class $c \in H_n(G; \mathcal{D})$ so that

$$H^p(G; M) \xrightarrow{c \cap -} H_{n-p}(G; M \otimes \mathcal{D})$$

is an isomorphism for all G -modules M and some fixed G -module \mathcal{D} called the *dualizing module*. The reader will notice the similarity between this and CM Duality. This similarity is not coincidental; every duality group with finite classifying space known to the authors acts freely and cocompactly on a contractible CM space. This connection is explored further in [WW24], where we use Theorem C to give new descriptions of dualizing modules.

1.3. A sketch of proof of CM duality. The CM Duality Theorem (Theorem 4.25) contains eight different duality isomorphisms for a locally finite oriented locally Cohen–Macaulay simplicial complex X of dimension n and a full subcomplex L . We now sketch a proof of the duality isomorphism between the compactly supported cohomology of the local homology sheaf $H_c^p(L; h_n|_L)$ restricted to L and the homology $H_{n-p}(X, L^{\text{vc}})$ of X relative to the *vertex complement* L^{vc} spanned by the vertices of X that are not in L . That is, we will sketch how to show that

$$[X] \cap - : H_c^p(L; h_n|_L) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n-p}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Our strategy of proof is closely related to the hyper(co)homology spectral sequences (Equations (1) and (2)). We use the spectral sequences coming from the row and column filtrations of the double complex $D_\bullet(L)$ given by

$$D_k^l(L) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in L_l} C_k(\sigma).$$

Here L_l denotes the set of l -simplices of L and $C_\bullet(\sigma)$ is the simplicial chain complex that computes the local homology of X at σ . This double complex can always (without the local CM condition) be augmented with a map

$$C_\bullet(X, L^{\text{vc}}; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} D_\bullet(L)$$

to a double complex with exact rows (Proposition 4.4). This gives the isomorphism

$$\epsilon_* : H_k(X, L^{\text{vc}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_k(\mathbf{Tot} D_\bullet(L)).$$

When X is locally CM, the column spectral sequence for $D_\bullet(L)$ collapses, and we get

$$(3) \quad \epsilon_* : H_{n-p}(X, L^{\text{vc}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_c^p(L; h_n|_L),$$

where $H_c^p(L; h_n|_L)$ is the group in position (p, n) on the E_2 page.

We then show that this isomorphism is inverse to the cap product with a fundamental class. We introduce the CM fundamental class $[X] \in H_n(X; h^n)$ in Section 4.2.1. The cap product with this class gives a map

$$[X] \cap - : H^p(L; h_n|_L) \rightarrow H_{n-p}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

To show this cap product agrees with an inverse \mathfrak{c} for ϵ_* we prove a beefed up version of the snake lemma (Python Proposition 4.11) homologouing a cycle in $D_k^l(L)$ to a cycle in $D_{k-l}^0(L)$ by doing iterative *last-vertex lifts*. To our knowledge this procedure is completely new, and results in an explicit chain level formula for \mathfrak{c} that manifestly agrees with the chain level expression for $[X] \cap -$. This shows that the isomorphism in Equation (3) is induced by the cap product.

1.4. Outline.

1.4.1. *Setup.* The first half of Section 2 is devoted to giving the necessary background for this paper. We introduce combinatorial sheaves and cosheaves, and then discuss the local homology sheaf and local cohomology cosheaf. After this we discuss the cap product in this setting, and show that it is a chain map and independent of any choices made.

1.4.2. *CM complexes.* In Section 3 we review Cohen–Macaulay complexes. We prove the characterisation of the degree zero homology of the local cohomology cosheaf of a CM complex from Theorem C here.

1.4.3. *CM Duality.* In Section 4 we prove CM duality (Theorem 4.25).

1.4.4. *Functoriality.* In Section 5 we discuss naturality for CM duality, with respect to a class of maps we refer to as star-local homeomorphisms. These are simplicial local homeomorphisms that additionally behave well on stars of simplices.

1.4.5. *Comparison with classical sheaves and Verdier duality.* In Appendix A we show that combinatorial sheaves on a simplicial complex X correspond to constructible sheaves on the simplicial stratification of X . In Appendix B, we explain how one can obtain CM duality (without an explicit cap product) through abstract considerations involving ∞ -categories.

Acknowledgments. We thank Vidity Nanda for many helpful discussions, particularly when this project was in its infancy. We thank André Henriques for pointing us to Lurie’s work on Verdier duality and other helpful discussions. Both authors are supported by the Royal Society of Great Britain.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2. Combinatorial Sheaves and Cosheaves	6
2.1. Introduction to combinatorial sheaves	6
2.2. Sheaf cohomology and cosheaf homology	7
2.3. The local homology sheaf and the local cohomology cosheaf	9
2.4. The cap product	11
3. Overview of Cohen–Macaulay Complexes	15
3.1. Cohen–Macaulay complexes	15
3.2. Properties of locally CM complexes	16
4. Duality for Cohen–Macaulay Complexes	21
4.1. The Mayer–Vietoris spectral sequence	21
4.2. Duality	33
4.3. Example: trees	40
5. Functoriality and Naturality	42
5.1. Maps between combinatorial (co)sheaves	42
5.2. Local (co)homology	46

5.3. Naturality of duality	47
Appendix A. Comparison with Classical Sheaves	50
A.1. Constructible sheaves on simplicial complexes	51
Appendix B. CM Duality as a Consequence of Verdier Duality	52
B.1. Poincaré-Verdier duality	52
B.2. Duality between sheaves and cosheaves	53
B.3. The cap product	54
Appendix C. Orientation Independence of the Cap Product	54
C.1. Proof of Proposition 2.13	55
References	57

2. COMBINATORIAL SHEAVES AND COSHEAVES

In this section we introduce combinatorial sheaves and cosheaves in Section 2.1 and discuss their cohomology and homology in Section 2.2. We then introduce the local homology sheaf and local cohomology cosheaf in Section 2.3. In Section 2.4 we discuss the cap product on the (co)homology of these sheaves.

2.1. Introduction to combinatorial sheaves. We fix our notation for simplicial complexes in §2.1.1. We then introduce the main tools of this paper, combinatorial sheaves and cosheaves, in §2.1.2, and discuss their sections in §2.1.3.

2.1.1. Simplicial complexes. Throughout we fix a locally finite oriented simplicial complex X . Recall that an *orientation* of a simplicial complex is an ordering of the vertices in each simplex such that face inclusions are order preserving. We will denote by X_\bullet the poset of simplices of X partially ordered by inclusion.

Notation 2.1. We will denote the set of k -simplices of X by X_k . For $0 \leq j \leq k \leq l$ and simplices $\sigma \in X_k$ and $\tau \in X_l$ we will denote by

- $\sigma \leq \tau$ the simplex σ being a face of τ of any codimension;
- $\sigma < \tau$ the simplex σ being a face of τ of any positive codimension;
- $\sigma_j \in X_0$ the j th vertex of σ ;
- $\sigma_{(j)} \in X_{k-1}$ the j th face of σ , spanned by the vertices of σ excepting σ_j ;
- $\sigma_{\leq j} \in X_j$ the j -front face of σ , spanned by the first $j+1$ vertices;
- $\sigma_{\geq j} \in X_{k-j}$ the $k-j$ -back face of σ , spanned by the last $k-j+1$ vertices;
- $\sigma \star \tau$ the (*internal*) *join*¹, the simplex spanned by the union of the vertices of σ and τ (this is possibly degenerate, and is empty if the vertices do not span a simplex in X);
- $\text{st}\sigma$ the *star* of σ , the full subcomplex on those simplices that meet σ ;
- $\mathring{\text{st}}\sigma$ the *open star* of σ , the union of the interiors of the simplices that meet σ ;
- $\text{lk}\sigma$ the *link* of σ , consisting of those simplices τ not intersecting σ for which $\sigma \star \tau$ is not empty.

By a subcomplex L we will always mean a full subcomplex, meaning that whenever vertices in L span a simplex in X that simplex is also in L . This is for simplicity: any subcomplex is homeomorphic to a full subcomplex of the barycentric subdivision of X .

¹This internal notion of join is different from the usual join in that we require the vertices to span a simplex in X .

2.1.2. Combinatorial sheaves and cosheaves. Throughout this paper we fix a commutative ring R , the reader can take this to be \mathbb{Z} for concreteness. A *combinatorial sheaf* (of R -modules) \mathcal{F} on X is a covariant functor from the poset X_\bullet to the category of R -modules $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$.² A *combinatorial cosheaf* \mathcal{G} on X is a contravariant functor from X_\bullet to $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$. In the rest of this text we will refer to these as sheaves and cosheaves whenever there is no confusion possible with the classical notions of sheaf and cosheaf.

To expand on the above definitions, a sheaf \mathcal{F} assigns a module $\mathcal{F}(\sigma)$ to each simplex of X and if $\sigma < \tau$ there is an associated morphism

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma < \tau): \mathcal{F}(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\tau).$$

Furthermore these maps are compatible in the obvious way: if $\sigma < \tau < \nu$ then $\mathcal{F}(\sigma < \nu) = \mathcal{F}(\tau < \nu) \circ \mathcal{F}(\sigma < \tau)$. A cosheaf is similar, however the maps go down rather than up (with respect to the dimension of the simplices): if $\sigma < \tau$ we have a morphism $\mathcal{G}(\tau > \sigma)$ from $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$ to $\mathcal{G}(\sigma)$ and again these morphisms are compatible in the obvious way.

Combinatorial sheaves and cosheaves on X form abelian categories $\mathbf{sSh}(X)$ and $\mathbf{sCoSh}(X)$.

2.1.3. Sections and cosections of sheaves and cosheaves. Given a (combinatorial) sheaf \mathcal{F} and a subcomplex $L \subset X$ we have the *restriction* $\mathcal{F}|_L$ of \mathcal{F} to L given by precomposing \mathcal{F} with the inclusion of L into X . The *sections* $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}, L)$ on L is the R -module of natural transformations between the constant sheaf R and $\mathcal{F}|_L$:

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{F}, L) = \{s: R \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_L\}.$$

Unpacking this, we see that a section s is given by a choice of element $s_\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(\sigma)$ for each simplex σ of L , subject to the condition that for $\sigma < \tau$ we have (here, and on many subsequent occasions, we will drop the parentheses around arguments to prevent a wall of brackets)

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma < \tau)s_\sigma = s_\tau.$$

From this we see that a section is completely determined by its values on the vertices of L .

Dualizing gives the notion of a *cosection* of a cosheaf. Cosections of cosheaves are also determined by their values on the vertices of L .

Warning 2.2. One might expect that $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}, \sigma)$ is the same as $\mathcal{F}(\sigma)$ for a sheaf \mathcal{F} , but this is generally false unless σ is a vertex. $\mathcal{F}(\sigma)$ can be thought of as the sections over the open star $\mathring{\sigma}$ of the constructible sheaf associated to \mathcal{F} , see Appendix A, while $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}, \sigma)$ is the module of sections of that sheaf on the union of the open stars of the vertices of σ .

2.2. Sheaf cohomology and cosheaf homology. In this section we give definitions of sheaf cohomology and cosheaf homology through explicit chain complexes computing these groups in §2.2.1, and define relative versions in §2.2.2. The definitions given will depend on a choice of orientation, we discuss the orientation independence of the resulting (co)homologies in §2.2.3.

²See Appendix A for a comparison with the classical definition of a sheaf.

2.2.1. *Homology and cohomology for combinatorial (co)sheaves.* Recall that X_k denotes the set of k -simplices of X and that X is assumed to be oriented. Given a combinatorial sheaf \mathcal{F} there is a cochain complex $C^*(X, \mathcal{F})$ where

$$(4) \quad C^k(X, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{\sigma \in X_k} \mathcal{F}(\sigma).$$

The boundary operator δ is defined similarly to usual cohomology: if $\phi \in C^k(X, \mathcal{F})$ and σ is an $k+1$ simplex then

$$(5) \quad (\delta\phi)_\sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \mathcal{F}(\sigma_i < \sigma) \phi_{\sigma_{(i)}}$$

is the value of $\delta\phi$ at σ , where $\phi_{\sigma_{(i)}}$ is the value of ϕ on the i th face of σ . The resulting cohomology modules $H^*(X, \mathcal{F})$ are the *sheaf cohomology of \mathcal{F} on X* . There are also *compactly supported cohomology modules* $H_c^*(X, \mathcal{F})$ where we replace the direct product with a direct sum in the definition of the chain complex (i.e. we require the cochains to be nonzero on only finitely many simplices). The local finiteness of X ensures that the boundary map remains well-defined.

The (compactly supported) cohomology in degree zero of a sheaf \mathcal{F} on a simplicial complex X is exactly its module of (compactly supported) global sections $\Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ (or $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{F})$). One way to express this is that the cochain complexes computing (compactly supported) sheaf cohomology admit an augmentation:

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^0(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^1(X; \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma_c(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C_c^0(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C_c^1(X; \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Both maps take a section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ (or $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{F})$) to $\sum_{v \in X} s_v$, where $s_v \in \mathcal{F}(v)$ is the value of the section at v .

Cosheaf homology of a cosheaf \mathcal{G} is defined as the homology of the chain complex $C_*(X, \mathcal{G})$ with

$$C_n(X, \mathcal{G}) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in X_n} \mathcal{G}(\sigma).$$

The boundary operator ∂ is defined on $a \in C_n(X, \mathcal{G})$ by

$$(8) \quad \partial a = \sum_{\sigma \in X_n} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \mathcal{G}(\sigma > \sigma_i) a_\sigma$$

The homology of this chain complex is denoted $H_*(X, \mathcal{G})$. *Locally finite homology* $H_*^{\text{lf}}(X, \mathcal{G})$ is defined by replacing the sum with a direct product in the definition of the chain complex (i.e. allowing for infinite chains). This can be seen as a simplicial version of Borel–Moore homology.³

Notation 2.3. Recall that we have fixed a commutative ring R . We will often suppress R from the notation and write $C^*(X)$ (or $C_c^*(X)$) for the (compactly supported) cochains of X with coefficients in the constant sheaf R , with corresponding notation for the cohomology of these chain complexes. We similarly write $C_*(X)$ (or $C_*^{\text{lf}}(X)$) for the (locally finite) chains of X with coefficients in the constant cosheaf R , extended to notation for the homology in the obvious way.

³We remind the reader that we assume our simplicial complexes to be locally finite, dropping this assumption would lead to issues when defining the differential in locally finite homology.

Remark 2.4. The sheaf cochain complex for a combinatorial sheaf \mathcal{F} defined here can be viewed as the Čech cochain complex for a constructible sheaf naturally associated with \mathcal{F} . For details see Appendix A.

2.2.2. Relative sheaf cohomology and cosheaf homology. Let L be a subcomplex of X . For a combinatorial sheaf \mathcal{F} or cosheaf \mathcal{G} on X we have the restrictions $\mathcal{F}|_L$ and $\mathcal{G}|_L$ to L , with the associated (co)chain complexes $C^\bullet(L, \mathcal{F}|_L)$ and $C_\bullet(L, \mathcal{G}|_L)$ and (co)homology $H^*(L, \mathcal{F}|_L)$ and $H_*(L, \mathcal{G}|_L)$. The inclusion map $i: L \hookrightarrow X$ induces maps

$$\begin{aligned} i^\bullet: C^\bullet(X, \mathcal{F}) &\rightarrow C^\bullet(L, \mathcal{F}|_L) \\ i_\bullet: C_\bullet(L, \mathcal{G}|_L) &\rightarrow C_\bullet(X, \mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

Using these maps, we define the relative (co)homology of the pair (X, L) to be

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(X, L; \mathcal{F}) &:= H^*(\text{Ker } i^\bullet) \\ H_*(X, L; \mathcal{G}) &:= H_*(\text{Coker } i_\bullet), \end{aligned}$$

The compactly supported and locally finite versions are defined analogously. We will extend convention from Notation 2.3 and often suppress R from the notation if we are taking coefficients in the constant (co)sheaf.

2.2.3. Orientation independence of (co)homology. The differentials for sheaf cohomology (Equation (5)) and cosheaf homology (Equation (8)) depend on a choice of orientation of X . The resulting (co)homology modules do not, as we briefly discuss here. Note that a change of orientation gives for each k -simplex a permutation of its vertices, and that conversely a change of orientation for a locally finite complex is specified by a (possibly infinite) collection of permutations.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a locally finite simplicial complex and let \mathcal{F} be sheaf on X . Pick two orientations of X and denote the corresponding simplicial chain complexes by $C^\bullet(X; \mathcal{F})$ and $\tilde{C}^\bullet(X; \mathcal{F})$. Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} C^k(X; \mathcal{F}) &\rightarrow \tilde{C}^k(X; \mathcal{F}) \\ \sum_\sigma \phi_\sigma &\mapsto \sum_\sigma \pm_\sigma \phi_\sigma \end{aligned}$$

where \pm_σ is the sign of the permutation corresponding to the change of orientation on σ , is an isomorphism of chain complexes.

Proof. Left as an exercise. □

The obvious analogue of this map induces an isomorphism in homology.

2.3. The local homology sheaf and the local cohomology cosheaf. We now explain how to view local homology as a sheaf and local cohomology as a cosheaf in §2.3.1, and provide tools for computing them in §2.3.2.

2.3.1. Local homology and cohomology. Recall that the *open star* $\mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma)$ of a simplex σ is the set of (open) simplices τ such that $\sigma \leq \tau$. The complement $X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma)$ is then the simplicial subcomplex of X spanned by the simplices of X that do not contain σ . The local homology of X at a simplex σ is the graded R -module

$$h_*(\sigma) = H_*(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma)).$$

If $\sigma < \tau$ then $\mathring{\text{st}}(\tau) \subset \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma)$ and $X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma) \subset X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\tau)$. The identity map on X induces a morphism

$$h_*(\sigma < \tau): H_*(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma)) \rightarrow H_*(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\tau)),$$

making h_* into a combinatorial sheaf with values in graded R -module called the *local homology sheaf* of X . The *local cohomology cosheaf* is defined by replacing homology with cohomology, so that

$$h^*(\sigma) = H^*(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma)).$$

When $\sigma < \tau$ there is an induced morphism $h^*(\tau > \sigma): h^*(\tau) \rightarrow h^*(\sigma)$ given by the same inclusion of pairs as above.

For a fixed n there are associated sub (co)sheaves h_n and h^n given by taking (co)homology in a given degree. In this paper, we will mostly be working with spaces where there exists n such that $h_* = h_n$ and $h^* = h^n$, and as a result may blur this distinction.

2.3.2. Computing $h_n(\sigma)$ and $h^n(\sigma)$. For any simplex σX , the complex $C_\bullet(X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma))$ is the subcomplex of $C_\bullet(X)$ spanned by simplices that do not contain σ , so the quotient complex $C_\bullet(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma)) = C_\bullet(X)/C_\bullet(X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma))$ freely generated by simplices that contain σ . Cf. Notation 2.3 we have suppressed our fixed commutative ring R from the notation here. We introduce the following:

Notation 2.6. For a simplex $\sigma \in X$ we denote by $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha \in C_k(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma))$ the element associated to a k -simplex $\alpha \in X_k$. Note that $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha = 0$ whenever $\sigma \not\leq \alpha$.

We record the following observation:

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\sigma \in X_l$. Then $h_k(\sigma) = 0$ for $k < l$. Additionally, if σ is not maximal then $h_l(\sigma) = 0$.*

When X is n -dimensional, we have that

$$(9) \quad h_n(\sigma) = \ker \left(C_n(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma)) \xrightarrow{d} C_{n-1}(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma)) \right).$$

Remembering that X is assumed to be locally finite, every element $a \in h_n(\sigma)$ can be written as a sum $a = \sum k_i \sigma \triangleleft \alpha_i$, where $k_i \in R$, and $\sum k_i \partial \alpha_i$ is an element of $C_{n-1}(X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma))$. If $\sigma < \tau$ then the sheaf maps have the simple form $h_n(\sigma < \tau)(\sum k_i \sigma \triangleleft \alpha_i) = \sum k_i \tau \triangleleft \alpha_i$, where the only difference is that the homology class is relative to $X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\tau)$ in the image rather than $X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma)$. In particular, $h_n(\sigma < \tau)$ takes $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha_i$ to zero if α_i does not contain τ .

Recall that a ring R is called *hereditary* if every submodule of a projective module is projective. Any principal ideal domain is hereditary. We record the following useful observation:

Lemma 2.8. *Let R be a hereditary ring. If X is a complex of dimension n , then $h_n(\sigma)$ is projective for every $\sigma \in X$.*

Proof. By Equation (9) for every $\sigma \in X$, the module $h_n(\sigma)$ is a submodule of the free, hence projective module $C_n(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma))$. \square

We will use α^* to denote the basis element of $C^\bullet(X)$ corresponding to a simplex α . The complex $C^\bullet(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma))$ is the subcomplex of $C^\bullet(X)$ spanned by the α^*

such that α contains σ . When X is n -dimensional the local cohomology in degree n has the simple description

$$h^n(\sigma) = \text{coker} \left(C^{n-1}(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma) \xrightarrow{\delta} C^n(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma) \right).$$

As above, this allows one to also write the cosheaf maps from $h^n(\sigma)$ to $h^n(\tau)$ as $h^n(\sigma > \tau)[\sum k_i \sigma \triangleleft \alpha_i^*] = [\sum k_i \tau \triangleleft \alpha_i^*]$, extending Notation 2.6 in the obvious way.

Notation 2.9 (Abuse of notation). In the rest of this paper it will often be most convenient to work in terms of the *(co)chains* $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha$ (or $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^*$) for the local (co)homology, rather than the classes. As a result, maps will often be implicitly defined at the level of $C_*(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma)$ (or $C^*(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma)$) rather than $h_*(\sigma)$ (or $h^*(\sigma)$).

2.4. The cap product. In this section we define cap products for local (co)homology. There will be several variants, half of which use a pairing between the local homology and local cohomology introduced in §2.4.1. After giving a chain level formula for the cap products in §2.4.2, we show that they descend to (co)homology by showing that they define a chain map. The chain level definition of the cap products depends on an orientation of the simplicial complex, we show in §2.4.3 that in (co)homology this orientation dependence disappears. After this, we define the cap product for relative (co)homology in §2.4.4.

2.4.1. Pairing between local homology and cohomology. For a fixed degree n and any simplex σ there is the usual pairing

$$(10) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: h^n(\sigma) \otimes h_n(\sigma) \rightarrow R$$

given by evaluating a relative cohomology class on a relative homology class. The restriction and co-restriction maps of h^* and h_* are adjoint for this pairing, in the sense that for $\sigma < \tau$ and $\eta \in h^n(\tau)$ and $\phi \in h_n(\sigma)$ we have

$$\langle h^n(\tau > \sigma)\eta, \phi \rangle = \langle \eta, h_n(\sigma < \tau)\phi \rangle.$$

This follows directly from the fact that the restriction and co-restriction are both defined through the map of pairs $(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma) \rightarrow (X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\tau)$.

2.4.2. Simplicial cap product. There are two versions of the cap product with coefficients, one using the pairing and one not. The first uses the pairing from Equation (10) to give maps

$$\begin{aligned} H_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^n) \otimes H^l(X; h_n) &\xrightarrow{\cap} H_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X; R) \\ H_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^n) \otimes H_c^l(X; h_n) &\xrightarrow{\cap} H_{k-l}(X; R), \end{aligned}$$

where $l \leq k$. These maps are both defined at the chain level by the formula (recall Notation 2.1 and the assumption that X is a locally finite and oriented simplicial complex)

$$(11) \quad \eta \cap \phi = \sum_{\sigma \in X_k} \langle h^*(\sigma > \sigma_{\geq k-l})\eta_\sigma, \phi_{\sigma_{\geq k-l}} \rangle \sigma_{\leq k-l},$$

where η is a locally finite chain and ϕ a (compactly supported) cochain, with values a_σ and ϕ_σ at σ . On generators $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^* \in h^*(\sigma)$ where σ is a k -simplex and $\tau \triangleleft \beta \in h_*(\tau)$

where τ is an l -simplex this pairing gives

$$(12) \quad \sigma \triangleleft \alpha^* \cap \tau \triangleleft \beta = \begin{cases} \langle \alpha^*, \beta \rangle \sigma_{\leq k-l} & \text{if } \sigma_{\geq k-l} = \tau \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The second version does not use the pairing \langle, \rangle and gives for $l \leq k$ maps

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} H_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^n) \otimes H^l(X; R) &\xrightarrow{\cap} H_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X; h^n) \\ H_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^n) \otimes H_c^l(X; R) &\xrightarrow{\cap} H_{k-l}(X; h^n), \end{aligned}$$

defined by

$$(14) \quad \eta \cap \psi = \sum_{\sigma \in X_k} \psi(\sigma_{\geq k-l}) h^n(\sigma > \sigma_{\leq k-l}) \eta_\sigma,$$

where η is a locally finite chain with coefficients in h^* and ψ a (compactly supported) cochain with R -coefficients. On elements $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^* \in C^\bullet(X, X - \text{st}\sigma)$ used to define elements of $h^*(\sigma)$ where σ is a k -simplex and τ^* where τ is an l -simplex, this cap gives

$$(15) \quad \sigma \triangleleft \alpha^* \cap \tau^* = \begin{cases} \sigma_{\leq k-l} \triangleleft \alpha^* & \text{if } \sigma_{\geq k-l} = \tau \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

These cap products are chain maps in the sense that:

Proposition 2.10 (Leibniz Rule). *Let X be a locally finite oriented simplicial complex. The chain-level cap product*

$$C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \otimes C^l(X; h_*) \xrightarrow{\cap} C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X; R)$$

defined in Equation (11) is a chain map with respect to the differential of the tensor product complex given by

$$\partial_{\text{tot}}(\eta \otimes \phi) = d\eta \otimes \phi + (-1)^{k-l} \eta \otimes \partial\phi.$$

The other cap products in this section are also chain maps with a similarly defined differential on the respective tensor product complexes.

Proof. Elements of $C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*)$ and $C^l(X; h_*)$ can be represented by linear combinations of elements of the form $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^* \in C^\bullet(X, X - \text{st}\sigma)$ and $\tau \triangleleft \beta \in C_\bullet(X, X - \text{st}\tau)$, respectively. We will show that

$$d(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^* \cap \tau \triangleleft \beta) = d(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^*) \cap \tau \triangleleft \beta + (-1)^{k-l} \sigma \triangleleft \alpha^* \cap \partial(\tau \triangleleft \beta).$$

One works out the left hand side to be (suppressing the (co)restriction maps from the notation):

$$(16) \quad d(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^* \cap \tau \triangleleft \beta) = \delta_{\sigma_{\geq k-l}, \tau} \langle \alpha^*, \beta \rangle \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} (\sigma_{\leq k-l})_{\langle i \rangle} + (-1)^{k-l} \sigma_{\leq k-l-1} \right),$$

where we have split the sum in the differential for future use. Meanwhile one finds that

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} d(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^*) \cap \tau \triangleleft \beta &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} (-1)^i \delta_{\sigma_{\geq k-l}, \tau} \langle \alpha^*, \beta \rangle (\sigma_{\langle i \rangle})_{\leq k-l-1} \\ &+ \sum_{i=k-l}^k (-1)^i \delta_{(\sigma_{\langle i \rangle})_{\geq k-l-1}, \tau} \langle \alpha^*, \beta \rangle \sigma_{\leq k-l-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and one sees that the first term of this cancels with the first term on the right hand side of Equation (16). The final term is $(-1)^{k-l}$ times

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \lhd \alpha^* \cap \partial \tau \lhd \beta &= \delta_{\sigma_{\geq k-l}, \tau} \langle \alpha^*, \beta \rangle \sigma_{\leq k-l-1} \\ &+ \sum_{i=k-l}^k (-1)^{i-k+l+1} \delta_{(\sigma_{(i)})_{\geq k-l-1}, \tau} \langle \alpha^*, \beta \rangle \sigma_{\leq k-l-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that the first term of this cancels with the second term from Equation (16), while the second term cancels with the second in Equation (17).

The proofs for the other cap products are analogous. \square

In particular we have found that for $\eta \in C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*)$ and $\phi \in C^l(X; h_*)$

$$d(\eta \cap \phi) = d\eta \cap \phi + (-1)^{k-l} \eta \cap \partial \phi,$$

and similar for the other versions of the cap product. From this it follows that:

Corollary 2.11. *The cap products defined in this section descend from the chain level to (co)homology.*

2.4.3. Orientation independence of the cap product. In defining the cap product we used the orientation of the simplicial complex X . Just like the (co)homology itself, the cap products at the level of (co)homology do not depend on this choice:

Theorem 2.12. *For a locally finite oriented simplicial complex the cap products from the previous section do not depend on the chosen orientation at the level of (co)homology.*

The proof of this relies on the following proposition, the proof of which will be given in Appendix C.

Proposition 2.13. *Let X be an oriented simplicial complex and $[u, w]$ be an oriented 1-simplex. Write $\tilde{C}_\bullet(X)$ for the chain complex associated to the orientation where u and w are swapped and $\tilde{\cap}$ for the associated cap product. Then the diagram involving the cap from Equation (14)*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \otimes C^l(X) & \xrightarrow{\cap} & C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \tilde{C}_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \otimes \tilde{C}^l(X) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\cap}} & \tilde{C}_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \end{array}$$

(where the vertical maps are the isomorphisms from Lemma 2.5) commutes up to chain homotopy. The corresponding diagram for the cap product from Equation (11) also commutes. The analogous statements for the cap product involving compactly supported cohomology hold.

Remark 2.14. In Appendix C we also prove the corresponding statements for the cap product for (co)homology with R -coefficients.

Proof of Theorem 2.12. The cap product on a generator $\sigma \otimes \tau^*$ only depends on the orientation of the simplices of σ and τ , which span a finite subcomplex. A change of orientation of X restricted to this finite subcomplex is given by a product of transpositions. By Proposition 2.13 the cap products in (co)homology are invariant under changing the orientation by a transposition, so we are done. \square

Remark 2.15. In the literature (see for example [Hat02, Page 239]) one often finds the cap product

$$\sigma \cap \tau^* = \tau^*(\sigma_{\leq l})\sigma_{\geq l},$$

which is not a chain map for a reasonable choice of signs in the chain complexes involved. It is related to our cap product by reversing the order of the vertices in each of the simplices of X . By the above, this means one picks up a factor $(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(l-2)(l-1)}$ corresponding to the sign of the total reversal permutation of l elements, and one needs to modify the alternative definition by that sign to get a chain map.

2.4.4. Relative caps. We will also need versions of the cap product relative to subcomplexes. Because the cap product makes reference to the front and back faces of simplices with respect to the orientation of the simplicial complex X , some care needs to be taken in how one orients the subcomplexes. This is done through the following definition:

Definition 2.16. Let $L \subset X$ be a full subcomplex of an oriented simplicial complex X . The *vertex-complement* L^{vc} of L is the full subcomplex spanned by the vertices of X not in L . We will say that L^{vc} is *before* L if X is oriented so that in each simplex the vertices of L^{vc} come before those of L .

We record some obvious features of orienting L^{vc} before L to facilitate cap product computations:

Lemma 2.17. *Let $L \subset X$ and assume that L^{vc} is before L . Let $\sigma \in X_k$. Then, for $0 \leq j \leq k$,*

- (1) *if $\sigma_{\leq j} \in L$, then $\sigma \in L$;*
- (2) *if $\sigma_{\leq j} \notin L^{\text{vc}}$, then $\sigma_{\geq j} \in L$;*
- (3) *if $\sigma_{\geq j} \in L^{\text{vc}}$, then $\sigma \in L^{\text{vc}}$;*
- (4) *if $\sigma_{\geq j} \notin L$, then $\sigma_{\leq j} \in L^{\text{vc}}$.*

With this orientation, we have the relative cap products:

Proposition 2.18. *Let $L \subset X$ be a subcomplex, and let the orientation of X be so that L^{vc} comes before L . Then there are chain level relative caps*

$$(18) \quad C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^n) \otimes C^l(X, L; h_n) \xrightarrow{\cap} C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(L^{\text{vc}}; R),$$

$$(19) \quad C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^n) \otimes C^l(L; h_n) \xrightarrow{\cap} C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; R)$$

$$(20) \quad C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^n) \otimes C^l(X, L; R) \xrightarrow{\cap} C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(L^{\text{vc}}; h^n)$$

$$(21) \quad C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^n) \otimes C^l(L; R) \xrightarrow{\cap} C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; h^n),$$

which descend to (co)homology. These restrict on compactly supported cochains to maps that take values in finite chains.

Proof. We only discuss the first two maps, the second two are similar. We start by giving the relative cap from Equation (18). We want to show that, given a chain $a \in C_k(X; h^*)$, capping with a takes $C^l(X, L; h_n)$ to $C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(L^{\text{vc}}; R)$. For any cochain $\phi \in C^l(X, L; h_n)$ consider for a $k-l$ -simplex $\tau \notin L_{k-l}^{\text{vc}}$ the τ -component of the cap product $(a \cap \phi)_\tau$. This has a contribution from each σ for which $\tau = \sigma_{\leq k-l}$. Then, by Lemma 2.17, $\sigma_{\geq k-l} \in L_l$, so $\phi(\sigma_{\geq k-l}) = 0$ and thus $a \cap \phi(\tau) = 0$. In other words $a \cap \phi \in C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(L^{\text{vc}}; R)$.

For Equation (19), recall that both

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow C^l(X, L; h_n|_L) \rightarrow C^l(X; h_n|_L) \rightarrow C^l(L; h_n|_L) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and} \\ 0 \rightarrow C_{k-l}(L^{\vee c}; R) \rightarrow C_{k-l}(X; R) \rightarrow C_{k-l}(X, L^{\vee c}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

are short exact sequences of chain complexes. The argument for Equation (18) shows that capping takes the kernel of the first sequence to the kernel of the second, so the cap product descends to the quotients as

$$C_k(X; h^*) \otimes C^l(L; h_n) \xrightarrow{\cap} C_{k-l}(X, L^{\vee c}; R).$$

Using Proposition 2.10 we see that this descends to (co)homology. \square

3. OVERVIEW OF COHEN–MACAULAY COMPLEXES

We now discuss the basics of Cohen–Macaulay complexes, starting with their definition and some examples in Section 3.1, and then proceed to give a characterisation of the zeroth homology of the local cohomology cosheaf of a CM complex in terms of homomorphisms on sections of the local homology sheaf in Section 3.2.

3.1. Cohen–Macaulay complexes. We discuss the Cohen–Macaulay condition and some basic consequences in §3.1.1, give examples of CM complexes in §3.1.2, and discuss a characterisation of the CM condition in terms of links in §3.1.3.

3.1.1. The (homological) Cohen–Macaulay condition. CM complexes are defined through a condition on the local homology at simplices:

Definition 3.1 (Cohen–Macaulay complex). A simplicial complex X is *Cohen–Macaulay of dimension n* over a commutative ring R if the following two conditions hold:

- (1) For each nontrivial simplex σ in X , the local homology $h_*(\sigma)$ over R is concentrated in degree n .
- (2) The reduced homology $\overline{H}_*(X)$ is concentrated in degree n .

We say that X is *locally Cohen–Macaulay* (or *locally CM* for short), if X satisfies condition (1).

If L is a subcomplex of X , we say that X is *locally CM of dimension n at L* if $h_*|_L$ is concentrated in degree n .

Remark 3.2. In many papers these two conditions are combined into one by noting that the open star $\mathring{\text{st}}(\emptyset)$ of the empty simplex \emptyset is the whole space, so that $\mathcal{H}(\emptyset) = \overline{H}_*(X)$. We separate the two statements as we consider (1) to be a *local* condition on X , whereas (2) is a *global* condition.

We say that a finite dimensional simplicial complex is *pure* if all maximal simplices are of the same dimension.

Lemma 3.3. *Any simplicial complex X that is locally CM of dimension n is pure of dimension n .*

Proof. If σ is a maximal simplex of dimension k in a simplicial complex then

$$h_k(\sigma) = H_k(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}(\sigma)) = R,$$

so every maximal simplex is n -dimensional. \square

Remark 3.4. Lemma 3.3 means we do not have to distinguish between “locally CM of dimension n ” and “ n -dimensional and locally CM” for a simplicial complex X . However, the condition that X be locally CM of dimension n at a subcomplex L does not imply that X is n -dimensional, and in what follows we will often need to separately assume that X is n -dimensional.

Remark 3.5. The local homology at a simplex σ is the same as the local homology of X at a point p in the interior of σ . It follows that the CM property is independent of a given triangulation of X (see [Mun84] for more details). However, it is generally badly behaved under homotopy.

Remark 3.6. Bredon’s book on sheaf theory [Bre97, Chapter II.9] has the notion of a *weak homology manifold*. This is a version of the locally CM condition that applies to a larger family of locally compact spaces.

3.1.2. *Examples.* CM complexes are ubiquitous:

- Any triangulated manifold is locally CM.
- Any pure 1-dimensional simplicial complex is locally CM, these are just graphs in which every vertex has an adjacent edge. It is CM if it is additionally connected.
- A pure 2-dimensional simplicial complex is CM if and only if the link of every vertex is connected.
- Any shellable complex is homotopy CM [Bjö84].
- Spherical buildings are homotopy CM [Bjö84]. Many other coset complexes are also homotopy CM [Qui78, Brü20].
- The spine of Culler-Vogtmann Outer space is CM [Vog90].
- The Salvetti complex of a right-angled Artin group is locally CM if and only if the RAAG is a duality group [BM01].

For surveys on CM complexes from the point of view of combinatorial topology see [Bjö16, Hoc16].

3.1.3. *Characterisation in terms of links.* Other texts use a characterisation of the local homology in terms of the homology of the links of simplices. For completeness we include the following result relating this to our characterisation:

Lemma 3.7 ([Mun84, Lemma 3.3]). *Let $\sigma \in X_l$ be an l -simplex of a simplicial complex X . Then*

$$h_i(\sigma) \cong \tilde{H}_{i-l-1}(\text{lk}\sigma).$$

Remark 3.8. It is often easier to compute the homology of links than the relative homology at stars (for example Salvetti complexes for RAAGs have links that can be described in terms of their defining graph). However, this has the drawback that there is no direct map $\tilde{H}_*(\text{lk}\sigma) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(\text{lk}\tau)$ for $\sigma < \tau$, obscuring the restriction maps of the sheaf h_* .

3.2. Properties of locally CM complexes. When an n -dimensional complex X is locally CM at L over a hereditary ring R , the Universal Coefficient Theorem tells us that for each simplex $\sigma \in L$

$$(23) \quad h^*(\sigma) \cong \text{Hom}(h_*(\sigma), R),$$

so the local cohomology cosheaf is the dual cosheaf to the local homology sheaf. If R is not a PID, this statement still holds – we prove this in §3.2.1. There, we also show that one can further drop the assumption that X is n -dimensional

When R is hereditary, this duality allows us to give interpretations of the degree zero homology of h^* in terms of homomorphisms on the sections (see §2.1.3) of h_* . For locally finite homology this is fairly straightforward, we discuss this in §3.2.2. Characterising the non-locally finite homology of h^* is more involved, and is done in terms of *compactly determined homomorphisms* on sections of h_* , whose values are determined by the behaviour of the sections on a finite subcomplex. This characterisation requires a semistability condition on the sections of h_* . We discuss compactly determined homomorphisms and this semistability condition for an arbitrary sheaf in §3.2.3, and apply this to the local (co)homology in §3.2.4.

3.2.1. *A universal coefficient theorem for local (co)homology of CM complexes.* We will show the following:

Proposition 3.9. *Let X be a simplicial complex that is locally CM of dimension n at a subcomplex L . Then for every $\sigma \in L$ we have*

$$h^n(\sigma) \cong \text{Hom}(h_n(\sigma), R).$$

If $\sigma < \tau$, then $\text{Hom}(-, R)$ takes $h_n(\sigma < \tau)$ to $h^n(\tau > \sigma)$ under these isomorphisms.

The proof of this is an application of the well-known spectral sequence generalisation of the Universal Coefficient Theorem. We will need this spectral sequence again in §4.1.6 and §4.2.4, so we record it here. For details on spectral sequences coming from double complexes see for example [Bre97, Appendix A], [Bro82, Chapter 7], or [Wei94, Section 5.6].

Theorem 3.10 (Universal Coefficient Spectral Sequence). *Let C_\bullet be a chain complex of projective R -modules and M be an R -module. Then there is a convergent spectral sequence:*

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathbf{Ext}_R^q(H_p(C_\bullet), M) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\text{Hom}(C_\bullet, M)).$$

Proof. Pick an injective resolution $M \rightarrow I^\bullet$ of M . Then we define a double complex

$$U^{p,q} = \text{Hom}(C_q, I^p),$$

where we consider p to be the horizontal grading and q to be the vertical grading. Taking the row differentials first yields a spectral sequence that collapses on the E_2 -page to show that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$H^k(\text{Hom}(C_\bullet, M)) \cong H^k \mathbf{Tot}(U),$$

where we used that C_\bullet is a chain complex of projectives. The E_2 -page for the column spectral sequence is

$$E_2^{\text{col}}(U)^{p,q} = \mathbf{Ext}_R^p(H_q(C_\bullet), M).$$

This spectral sequence converges as it is a first quadrant spectral sequence. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.9. Under the assumption that X is locally CM at L for $\sigma \in L$ the spectral sequence from Theorem 3.10 applied to $C_\bullet = C_\bullet(X, X - \text{st}\sigma)$ (recall that this is a chain complex of free modules, see §2.3.2) and $M = R$ collapses on the E_2 -page. This gives

$$h^k(\sigma) = \mathbf{Ext}_R^{k-n}(h_n(\sigma), R).$$

Setting $k = n$ now gives the desired result. The spectral sequence from Theorem 3.10 is natural in C_* , so is compatible with the (co)restriction maps. \square

3.2.2. Locally finite homology in degree zero. For the remainder of Section 3.2 we will assume that R is a hereditary ring. Recall that in degree zero (compactly supported) sheaf cohomology corresponds to (compactly supported) sections. We have the following characterisation of the locally finite homology in degree zero of the local cohomology cosheaf:

Proposition 3.11. *Let X be n -dimensional and locally CM of dimension n at a subcomplex L , and assume that R is a hereditary ring. We have an isomorphism*

$$(24) \quad H_0^{\text{lf}}(L; h^n|_L) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(\Gamma_c(h_n|_L), R),$$

natural with respect to inclusions of subcomplexes at which X is locally CM. This map is at the chain level on a generator $v \triangleleft \alpha^ \in C_0(L; h^n|_L)$ given by*

$$(25) \quad v \triangleleft \alpha^* \mapsto (s \mapsto s_\alpha^v),$$

where s_α^v denotes the $v \triangleleft \alpha$ -component of $s^v \in h_n(v)$.

Proof. Applying $\text{Hom}(-, R)$ to the augmented cochain complex for the compactly supported cohomology of h_n over L

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_c(h_n|_L) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} C_c^0(L, h_n|_L) \rightarrow C_c^1(L; h_n|_L) \rightarrow \dots$$

gives the augmented chain complex

$$(26) \quad \dots \rightarrow C_1^{\text{lf}}(L; h^n|_L) \rightarrow C_0^{\text{lf}}(L; h^n|_L) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^*} \text{Hom}(\Gamma_c(h_n|_L), R) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here we used that $h^n|_L \cong \text{Hom}(h_n|_L, R)$ by Proposition 3.9. To see that the last map is indeed surjective, note that $\text{im } d_0$ is a submodule of a projective module and R is hereditary,

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_c(h_n|_L) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} C_c^0(L, h_n|_L) \rightarrow \text{im } d_0 \rightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of projective R -modules. Hence ϵ admits a splitting and so does its dual ϵ^* in Equation (26). On cohomology ϵ^* therefore induces the isomorphism from Equation (24). One checks it is indeed given by the above formula. This formula also makes it clear that this isomorphism is natural. \square

3.2.3. Compactly determined homomorphisms and semistability. To characterise the (non-locally finite) cosheaf homology in degree zero, we use the following definition:

Definition 3.12. Let X be a complex, let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X , and let M be an R -module. A homomorphism $f: \Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow M$ is called *compactly determined* if there exists a finite subcomplex K of X such that for all sections $s \in \Gamma(X; \mathcal{F})$ with $s|_L = 0$ one has $f(s) = 0$. The *group of compactly determined homomorphisms* will be denoted $\text{Hom}_c(\Gamma(\mathcal{F}), M)$.

Under a semistability condition on \mathcal{F} these compactly determined homomorphisms come up naturally as dual to a colimit of sections over a filtration of X by finite complexes. Recall that an inverse system $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called *semistable* if for every i there is a $j \geq i$ such that for all $k \geq j$ the images of the maps $\Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_i$ agree with the image of the map $\Gamma_j \rightarrow \Gamma_i$. To prove the result about compactly determined homomorphisms, we need the following consequence of semistability for an inverse system of projective modules over a hereditary ring.

Lemma 3.13. *Let R be a hereditary ring, let $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a semistable inverse system of projective R -modules and write $\Gamma = \lim_i \Gamma_i$. Then for all large enough k the module Γ_k splits as a direct sum*

$$\Gamma_k \cong I \oplus Z_k,$$

where I is isomorphic to a submodule of Γ (independent of k) along the canonical map $r_k: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_k$ and $Z_k = \ker(\Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_i)$ for some i .

Proof. By semistability of $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ there exists $j \geq i$ such that for all $k \geq j$ the restriction maps from Γ_j and Γ_k to Γ_i have the same image I . Because the Γ_k are projective and R is hereditary, I is projective. So we get for each $k \geq j$ a direct sum decomposition

$$\Gamma_k \cong I \oplus Z_k$$

where $Z_k = \ker(\Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_i)$. This allows us to define a system of maps $\{I \rightarrow \Gamma_k\}_{k \geq j}$ which extends to a system of maps into the diagram $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ by composing with the maps in the inverse system. By the universal property this gives a morphism $i: I \rightarrow \Gamma$, which for $k \geq j$ and $s \in I \subset \Gamma_k$ satisfies $r_k i(s) = s$, exhibiting I as a submodule of Γ . \square

This has a useful consequence for the colimit of the dual system. Write M^\vee for the R -dual $\text{Hom}(A, R)$ of a module M .

Lemma 3.14. *Let $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a semistable inverse system of projective modules over a hereditary ring R and write $\Gamma = \lim_i \Gamma_i$. Then the canonical map*

$$\rho: \text{colim}_i \Gamma_i^\vee \rightarrow \Gamma^\vee$$

is injective.

Proof. To prove that ρ is injective, suppose that $\phi \in \ker \rho$ and pick $\phi_i \in \Gamma_i^\vee$ representing ϕ . We want to show that ϕ_i represents the trivial element in the colimit, for this it suffices to show that there exists $k > i$ such that $\phi_i r_i^k = 0$, where $r_i^k: \Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_i$ is the restriction map. Picking k large enough, we can apply Lemma 3.13 and evaluate $\phi_i r_i^k$ on $\Gamma_k \cong I \oplus Z_k$ by evaluating on elements in I and Z_k . By definition, $\phi_i r_i^k$ is zero on the Z_k summand, while for $s \in I$ we have $r_i^k(s) = r_i i(s)$, where $i: I \rightarrow \Gamma$ exhibits I as a submodule of Γ . But this means

$$\phi_i r_i^k(s) = \phi_i r_i i(s) = \rho(\phi)(i(s)) = 0,$$

so $\phi = 0$. \square

For sheaves of projective modules over a hereditary ring we additionally have:

Lemma 3.15. *Let $\{K_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a filtration of X by finite subcomplexes such that the inverse system $\{\Gamma(\mathcal{F}|_{K_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sections of a sheaf \mathcal{F} of projective modules over a hereditary ring on X is semistable. Then we have that the map*

$$\text{colim}_i \text{Hom}(\Gamma(\mathcal{F}|_{K_i}), R) \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Hom}_c(\Gamma(\mathcal{F}), R),$$

induced by the universal property for the system of maps given by pulling back along the restriction maps $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{F}|_{K_i})$, is an isomorphism.

Proof. The universal property induces a map to $\text{Hom}(\Gamma(\mathcal{F}), R)$. Note that this map factors through $\text{Hom}_c(\Gamma(\mathcal{F}), R)$ by construction. We need to show that it is an isomorphism onto this submodule.

Write $\Gamma_i = \Gamma(\mathcal{F}|_{K_i})$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ and $r_i: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_i$ for the restriction maps. Note that the Γ_i are finite direct sums of projective modules, hence projective, so by Lemma 3.14 the map ρ is injective. We still need to show that ρ surjects onto the compactly determined homomorphisms. So let $f \in \text{Hom}_c(\Gamma, R)$, and suppose that f is compactly determined on K_i , so that $f(s) = 0$ whenever $r_i(s) = 0$. We want to show that f can be obtained by restriction to a finite subcomplex. By Lemma 3.13, there exists a finite subcomplex $K_k \supseteq K_i$ such that $\Gamma_k \cong I \oplus Z_k$ where $Z_k = \ker(\Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_i)$. Define $f' \in \Gamma_k^\vee$ by setting $f'|_{Z_k} = 0$ and $f'|_I = f \circ i$, where $i: I \rightarrow \Gamma$ is the map that exhibits I as a submodule of Γ satisfying $ir_k = \text{id}_I$. We claim that f' is such that precomposing f' with the restriction $r_k: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_k$ is equal to f . Note that r_k and i split I as a direct summand of Γ , with $\ker(r_k)$ as the complement. For $s \in \ker(r_k)$ we have $r_i(s) = r_k r_k^i(s) = 0$, so $f(s) = 0$ as f is compactly determined on K_i . For $s \in I$ we have

$$f(s) = f(ir_k(s)) = f'r_k(s)$$

as claimed. \square

3.2.4. *Characterising $H_0(L; h^n|_L)$ under semistability assumptions.* Applying the preceding discussion to the local homology sheaf gives the following analogue of Proposition 3.11:

Theorem 3.16. *Let R be a hereditary ring, and assume that an n -dimensional locally finite complex X is locally CM of dimension n over R at a subcomplex L . If $\{K_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a filtration of L by finite subcomplexes such that the inverse system $\{\Gamma(h_*|_{K_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is semistable we have*

$$(27) \quad H_0(L; h^n|_L) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_c(\Gamma(h_n|_L), R).$$

which is at the chain level given by the formula from Equation (25).

Proof. Observe that taking a colimit over the filtration by finite subcomplexes K_i of L we have, using that homology commutes with filtered colimits,

$$H_0(L; h^n|_L) \cong \text{colim}_i H_0(K_i; h^n|_{K_i}) = \text{colim}_i H_0^{\text{lf}}(K_i; h^n|_{K_i}).$$

Noting that $\Gamma_c(h_n|_{K_i}) = \Gamma(h_n|_{K_i})$ for finite K_i , we then have by Proposition 3.11

$$(28) \quad H_0(L; h^n|_L) \cong \text{colim}_i \text{Hom}(\Gamma_c(h_n|_{K_i}), R) = \text{colim}_i \text{Hom}(\Gamma(h_n|_{K_i}), R).$$

Noting that h_n is a sheaf of projective modules (Lemma 2.8), by Lemma 3.15 we then have

$$H_0(L; h^n|_L) \cong \text{Hom}_c(\Gamma(\mathcal{F}), R).$$

This is what we wanted to show. \square

Remark 3.17. Note that Propositions 3.11 and Theorem 3.16 also hold under the weaker assumption that h_* is a projective module in every degree by applying the universal coefficient theorem and the sequence of arguments above degree by degree.

When R is a PID we have a consequence of this and results from [Geo08]:

Corollary 3.18. *Let R be a PID and assume that an n -dimensional locally finite complex X is locally CM of dimension n over R at a countable subcomplex L . Then for any filtration $\{K_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of L by finite subcomplexes the inverse system $\{\Gamma(h_*|_{K_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is semistable if and only if $H_0(L; h^*|_L)$ is a (countably generated) free R -module. In this case, we have*

$$H_0(L; h^n|_L) \cong \text{Hom}_c(\Gamma(\mathcal{F}), R).$$

Proof. Like in the proof of Theorem 3.16, we have

$$H_0(L; h^n|_L) \cong \text{colim}_i \text{Hom}(\Gamma(h_*|_{K_i}), R).$$

Note that this module is countably generated as L is countable and X is locally finite. The result [Geo08, Proposition 12.5.6] states that $\{\Gamma(h_*|_{K_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is semistable if and only if $\text{colim}_i \text{Hom}(\Gamma(h_*|_{K_i}), R)$ is a countably generated free module, establishing the equivalence claimed.

In the case that either of these equivalent conditions holds, Lemma 3.15 gives the isomorphism. \square

4. DUALITY FOR COHEN–MACAULAY COMPLEXES

In this section we prove the CM Duality Theorem. In Section 4.1 we set up, for a full subcomplex L of a locally finite oriented simplicial complex X , a *Mayer–Vietoris double complex* $D_\bullet^\bullet(L)$ such that the homology of the total complex of $D_\bullet^\bullet(L)$ is the homology $H_l(X, L^{\text{vc}})$ of X relative to the vertex complement L^{vc} (Definition 2.16) of L . When X is locally CM of dimension n at L , the column spectral sequence of the MV double complex $D_\bullet^\bullet(L)$ collapses and gives an isomorphism $H_{n-p}(X, L^{\text{vc}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_c^p(X; h_n|_L)$.

In Theorem 4.9 we provide a chain level expression for the inverse to this isomorphism, which is reminiscent of the cap product. This is used to establish CM Duality Theorem in Section 4.2.

4.1. The Mayer–Vietoris spectral sequence. In this section we define the Mayer–Vietoris (MV) double complex $D_\bullet^\bullet(X)$ in §4.1.1, and discuss its column spectral sequence in §4.1.2. We then prove that the homology of $D_\bullet^\bullet(L)$ is isomorphic to $H_l(X, L^{\text{vc}})$ by providing an augmentation for $D_\bullet^\bullet(L)$ by $C_*(X, L^{\text{vc}})$ and proving the resulting rows are acyclic in §4.1.3. The main technical tool is a map λ called the *last vertex lift* (Definition 4.5). Using λ , we provide an explicit chain homotopy equivalence $\mathbf{c}: \text{Tot} D \rightarrow C_*(X, L^{\text{vc}})$ in §4.1.4. This \mathbf{c} will be compared to the cap product later. Variants of the MV double complex are needed to prove duality results involving other versions of the cap product discussed in Proposition 2.18. These are discussed in §4.1.5 and §4.1.6.

4.1.1. The Mayer–Vietoris double complex. For a full subcomplex L of a locally finite oriented simplicial complex X we define the *Mayer–Vietoris double complex* $D_\bullet^\bullet(L)$ by setting

$$(29) \quad D_k^l(L) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in L_l} C_k(X, X - \text{st}\sigma).$$

We define the differentials below. To aid reading this section, Figure 4.1.1 sketches this double complex and relevant maps, some of which will be defined in later sections. In what follows we will suppress L from the notation and just write D_k^l .

Cf. Notation 2.6 we denote the generators of $C_k(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma) = C_k(X)/C_k(X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma)$ by $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha$ with $\alpha \in X_k$ containing $\sigma \in L_l$. We use the convention that $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha = 0$ if α does not contain σ , and $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha = 0$ in D_k^l if $\sigma \notin L_l$. We can write $\phi \in D_k^l$ as

$$\phi = \sum_{\sigma \in L_l} \sum_{\alpha \geq \sigma} \phi_\alpha^\sigma \sigma \triangleleft \alpha,$$

where $\phi_\alpha^\sigma \in R$ are the coefficients of the generators $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha$. We take the grading on D_\bullet^l to be cohomological in the horizontal direction and homological in the vertical direction, this is also reflected in the position of the indices.

The horizontal differential $i^l: D_k^l \rightarrow D_k^{l+1}$ is induced by the inclusions $(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma_{\langle j \rangle}) \hookrightarrow (X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma)$, where $\sigma_{\langle j \rangle}$ denotes the j th face of σ . Similarly to the differential for sheaf cohomology from Equation (5), it is given by

$$i^l \phi = \sum_{\sigma \in L_{l+1}} \sum_{\alpha \geq \sigma} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} (-1)^j \phi_\alpha^{\sigma_{\langle j \rangle}} \sigma \triangleleft \alpha.$$

Note for future use that the coefficient of $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha$ in $i^l \phi$ is

$$(30) \quad (i^l \phi)_\alpha^\sigma = \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} (-1)^j \phi_\alpha^{\sigma_{\langle j \rangle}}.$$

The vertical differentials d_k are the direct sums of the differentials on $C_*(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma)$. For $\phi \in D_k^l$ this means

$$d_k \phi = \sum_{\sigma \in L_l} \sum_{\alpha \in X_k} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \phi_\alpha^\sigma \sigma \triangleleft \alpha_{\langle j \rangle},$$

so the coefficient of $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha$ for $\alpha \in X_{k-1}$ in the differential is

$$(31) \quad (d_k \phi)_\alpha^\sigma = \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{\beta_{\langle j \rangle} = \alpha} (-1)^j \phi_\beta^\sigma.$$

As the horizontal differentials are induced by maps of spaces they commute with the vertical differentials, so D_\bullet^l is indeed a double complex. The associated *total complex* $\mathbf{Tot} D_\bullet$ is the chain complex with chain modules $\mathbf{Tot} D_j$ obtained by summing over the diagonals $k - l = j$. We equip this with the differential that on the D_k^l summand is given by

$$(32) \quad d_k^{D,l} = (-1)^{k-l} d_k + i^l.$$

Here $(-1)^l$ is the usual sign in the differential of a total complex. The $(-1)^k$ is a non-standard convention we use here to circumvent sign issues, and corresponds to the sign in the differential in Proposition 2.10. It does not change the homology of the total complex.

Remark 4.1. The Mayer–Vietoris double complex is a variant of the double complex that gives rise to the Mayer–Vietoris spectral sequence (see [BT82, Chapter II.8]).

The following is a standard fact about the kernels of differentials in double complexes:

Lemma 4.2. *Fix l . The kernel $\ker i^l$ of i^l equipped with the restriction of the vertical differentials is a subcomplex of $\mathbf{Tot} D$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\
D_n^0 & \dots & D_n^{l-1} & D_n^l & D_n^{l+1} & \dots & D_d^n & 0 \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
D_k^0 & \dots & D_k^{l-1} & \xleftarrow{\lambda} D_k^l \xrightarrow{i^l} D_k^{l+1} & \dots & D_k^d & 0 \\
& & & \swarrow \Lambda \quad \downarrow d_k & & & & \\
D_{k-1}^0 & \dots & D_{k-1}^{l-1} & D_{k-1}^l & \dots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & & D_d^d & 0 \\
& & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\
\vdots & & & \vdots & & \ddots & & \\
D_l^0 & & & D_l^l & 0 & & & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & 0 & & & & \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \\
D_0^0 & \ddots & & & & & & \\
0 & & & & & & &
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. The MV double complex $D(L)$ of a d dimensional subcomplex L of an n -dimensional complex X with the differentials i^l and d_k , the last vertex lift λ , and the diagonal shift Λ .

Proof. The kernel of i^l clearly consists of submodules of D_k^l . For any $\phi \in (\ker i^l)_k$ we have $id\phi = di\phi = 0$, so $d\phi \in (\ker i^l)_{k-1}$. To check that the inclusion map is a chain map, observe that $d^D\phi = (-1)^k d\phi$. \square

4.1.2. *The column spectral sequence of the MV double complex.* Associated to a double complex are two spectral sequences, both of which abut to the homology of the total complex.

We call the spectral sequence obtained by taking the vertical differentials first the *column spectral sequence* $\mathbf{Col}D$. The E^1 -page of the column spectral sequence for D_\bullet is

$$(33) \quad \mathbf{Col}D_k^{1,l} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in L_l} h_k^X(\sigma),$$

where h_k^X denotes the degree k part of the local homology sheaf of X . The induced horizontal differentials on the E^1 -page are exactly the sheaf cohomology differentials

from Equation (4), so the E^2 -page is

$$\mathbf{Col}D_k^{2,l} = H_c^l(L; h_k^X|_L).$$

The following observation makes it clear why this double complex is relevant to us:

Proposition 4.3. *If X is a n -dimensional locally Cohen–Macaulay complex at a full subcomplex L the spectral sequence $\mathbf{Col}D(L)$ collapses on the E^2 -page to give*

$$H_{n-l}(\mathbf{Tot}D(L)) \cong H_c^l(L; h_n^X|_L).$$

Proof. Note that if X is locally CM at L , only the n th row survives to the E_1 -page, and this row is exactly the cochain complex that computes $H_c^l(L; h_n^X|_L)$. \square

4.1.3. The augmented Mayer–Vietoris complex and the last vertex lift. We will now show that the homology of the total complex of D is isomorphic to $H_*(X, L^{\text{vc}})$ (regardless of whether X is locally CM). For each k the rows of the double complex D_k^\bullet admit augmentations by $C_k(X, L^{\text{vc}})$ that are compatible with the vertical differentials:

Proposition 4.4. *Let L be a full subcomplex of a locally finite oriented simplicial complex X with L^{vc} ordered before L (see Definition 2.16). Let D_\bullet^l denote the l -th column of $D_\bullet^\bullet(L)$ equipped with the vertical differentials d_k . Then the following sequence of chain complexes is exact:*

$$0 \rightarrow C_\bullet(X, L^{\text{vc}}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} D_\bullet^0 \xrightarrow{i^0} D_\bullet^1 \xrightarrow{i^1} \cdots,$$

where ϵ is the map with components induced by $(X, L^{\text{vc}}) \rightarrow (X, X - \text{st}v)$ for $v \in L_0$.

Note that as ϵ is induced by maps of spaces it is a chain map. In the proof of this proposition, we will use an explicit splitting of the differential i^l . We will also make use of this splitting in finding our explicit inverse to the augmentation ϵ in §4.1.4. It is defined as follows:

Definition 4.5. Let L be a full subcomplex of X oriented so that L^{vc} is before L . Then the *last-vertex lift* $\lambda\phi$ of a chain $\phi \in D_k^l(L)$ is the chain in $D_k^{l-1}(L)$ with coefficients

$$(34) \quad (\lambda\phi)_\alpha^\sigma = (-1)^l \phi_\alpha^{\sigma \star \alpha_k}.$$

This defines a degree -1 map

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda: D_k^l &\rightarrow D_k^{l-1} \\ \phi &\mapsto \lambda\phi, \end{aligned}$$

where we declare $\lambda\phi = 0$ if $\phi \in D_k^0$.

On a generator $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha \in D_k^l$, one can show that this gives

$$(35) \quad \lambda(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha) = \begin{cases} (-1)^l \sigma_{\leq l-1} \triangleleft \alpha & \text{if } \sigma_l = \alpha_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For the proof of Proposition 4.4 the crucial property of λ is:

Lemma 4.6. *For $l > 0$ and $\phi \in D_k^l$ the last vertex lift satisfies*

$$(36) \quad \lambda i\phi + i\lambda\phi = \phi.$$

For $l = 0$ and a generator $\alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha \in D_k^0$ we have

$$\lambda i(\alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha & \text{for } m = k \\ \alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is a direct computation. For $l > 0$, note that if $\phi \in D_k^l$, then $i\phi \in D_k^{l+1}$ and $\lambda\phi \in D_k^{l-1}$. Let $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha \in D_k^l$ be a generator. Then the component $(\lambda i\phi)_\alpha^\sigma$ of $\lambda i\phi$ for $\phi = \sum \phi_\beta^\tau \tau \triangleleft \beta$ at this generator is

$$(\lambda i\phi)_\alpha^\sigma = (-1)^{l+1} (i\phi)_\alpha^{\sigma \star \alpha_k} = \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} (-1)^{l+1+j} \phi_\alpha^{(\sigma \star \alpha_k)(j)} = \sum_{j=0}^l (-1)^{j+l+1} \phi_\alpha^{\sigma(j) \star \alpha_k} + \phi_\alpha^\sigma,$$

where we used Equation (30) in the second equality, and the fact that the $l+1$ th face of $\sigma \star \alpha_k$ is σ in the last. For $i\lambda\phi$ we find that the corresponding coefficient is

$$(i\lambda\phi)_\alpha^\sigma = \sum_{j=0}^l (-1)^j (\lambda\phi)_\alpha^{\sigma(j)} = \sum_{j=0}^l (-1)^{j+l} \phi_\alpha^{\sigma(j) \star \alpha_k}.$$

Adding these together gives the desired result.

For $l = 0$, note that for $\alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha \in D_k^0$ we have

$$i(\alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\alpha_j \star \alpha_m) \triangleleft \alpha - \sum_{j=m+1}^k (\alpha_m \star \alpha_j) \triangleleft \alpha$$

as only non-degenerate edges of α contribute to the differential, with positive sign exactly when α_m is the last vertex (equivalently zeroth face) of the edge. Applying λ to this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda i(\alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha) &= \sum_{j=m+1}^k \lambda((\alpha_m \star \alpha_j) \triangleleft \alpha) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \lambda((\alpha_j \star \alpha_m) \triangleleft \alpha) \\ &= \begin{cases} -\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha & \text{for } m = k \\ \alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

At the left end of the augmented chain complex we will use the map

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa: D_k^0 &\rightarrow C_k(X; L^{\text{vc}}) \\ \alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha &\mapsto \delta_{m,k} \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{m,k}$ denotes the Kronecker delta. For this map we have:

Lemma 4.7. *For κ as defined above*

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \kappa &= \text{id} - \lambda i^0 \\ \kappa \epsilon &= \text{id}, \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ is the augmentation map from Proposition 4.4.

Proof. This is another direct computation. In terms of the generators introduced above, the map ϵ gives on a k -simplex $\alpha \in X_k$

$$\epsilon(\alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha,$$

where α_j denotes the j th vertex of α . So for a generator $\alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha$ we get

$$\epsilon\kappa(\alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha & \text{for } m = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So we have, using Lemma 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} (\epsilon\kappa + \lambda i^0)(\alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha) &= \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha & \text{for } m = k \\ 0 + \alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

For the other composite, on $\alpha \in X_k - L_k$ we have

$$\kappa\epsilon(\alpha) = \kappa\left(\sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha\right) = \alpha.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.4. Fix k . We will show that the k th row is exact. To do this, we will show that the identity on the augmented cochain complex

$$\tilde{C}^\bullet = 0 \rightarrow C_k(X, L^{\text{vc}}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} D_k^0 \xrightarrow{i^0} D_k^1 \xrightarrow{i^1} \cdots,$$

is null homotopic. Write \tilde{i} for the differential on the augmented chain complex. Our null-homotopy $\tilde{\lambda}: \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}[-1]$ is defined by setting $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda$ on D_k^l with $l > 0$. On D_k^0 we set $\tilde{\lambda} = \kappa$, note that necessarily $\tilde{\lambda} = 0$ on $C_k(X, L^{\text{vc}})$. Then we have

$$(38) \quad \phi = \tilde{\lambda}\tilde{i}\phi + \tilde{i}\tilde{\lambda}\phi$$

for any $\phi \in \tilde{C}^\bullet$. For $l > 0$ this is Lemma 4.6, for $l = 0$ this uses the first equation from Lemma 4.7, and for $l = -1$ this is the second equation from Lemma 4.7. This implies that \tilde{C}^\bullet is exact. \square

From the above we can deduce that ϵ is a chain map onto the subcomplex (Lemma 4.2) $\ker i^0 \subset \mathbf{Tot}D$. The map κ provides an inverse chain map in the other direction:

Lemma 4.8. *The map κ from Equation (37) restricts to a chain map*

$$\kappa|_{\ker i^0}: \ker i^0 \rightarrow C_\bullet(X, L^{\text{vc}}).$$

inverse to ϵ .

Proof. By Lemma 4.7 the maps $\kappa|_{\ker i^0}$ and ϵ are mutually inverse, and a module-wise inverse to a chain map is necessarily a chain map. \square

4.1.4. *An explicit inverse to the augmentation map.* Proposition 4.4 from the last section implies that the augmented double complex $C_\bullet(X, L^{\vee c}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} D_\bullet^\bullet$ is a first-quadrant double complex with exact rows, so by a standard spectral sequence argument ϵ induces an isomorphism in homology (see [BT82, Chapter II.8]): as the rows of D_\bullet^\bullet are exact, the E^1 -page of the row spectral sequence $\mathbf{Row} D$ is concentrated the zeroth column. Considering the augmentation ϵ we additionally see that this column is isomorphic to $C_\bullet(X, L^{\vee c})$. The spectral sequence then collapses on the E^2 -page to show that ϵ indeed induces an isomorphism from $H_*(X, L^{\vee c})$ to $H_*(\mathbf{Tot} D)$.

We now show how to construct an explicit chain level inverse to ϵ . We will later compare this to taking the cap product with a fundamental class. Before doing this, we need to pay the price⁴ for our non-standard sign convention in the differential (Equation (32)) on the total complex for D_\bullet^\bullet : we have effectively multiplied the vertical differential on each k th row of D_\bullet^\bullet by $(-1)^k$, so in order for ϵ to give a chain map $C_\bullet(X, L^{\vee c}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tot} D_\bullet$, we should do the same to $C_\bullet(X, L^{\vee c})$. So we define $\bar{C}_\bullet(X, L^{\vee c})$ by setting

$$\bar{C}_k(X, L^{\vee c}) = C_k(X, L^{\vee c}),$$

with differential

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{d}: \bar{C}_k(X, L^{\vee c}) &\rightarrow \bar{C}_{k-1}(X, L^{\vee c}) \\ a &\mapsto (-1)^k da, \end{aligned}$$

where d denotes the usual differential on $C_\bullet(X, L^{\vee c})$. Note that

$$H_k \bar{C}_\bullet(X, L^{\vee c}) = H_k C_\bullet(X, L^{\vee c}) = H_k(X, L^{\vee c}),$$

for all k . With this definition, we have:

Theorem 4.9. *Let L be a full subcomplex of a locally finite, finite dimensional complex X oriented so that $L^{\vee c}$ comes before L , and let $D_\bullet^\bullet(L)$ be as defined in Section 4.1.1. Then there is a chain homotopy equivalence*

$$\mathbf{c}: \mathbf{Tot} D_\bullet \rightarrow \bar{C}_\bullet(X, L^{\vee c})$$

with inverse $\epsilon: \bar{C}_\bullet(X, L^{\vee c}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tot} D_\bullet$, defined summand-wise by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_l^k: D_k^l(L) &\rightarrow C_{k-l}(X, L^{\vee c}) \\ \phi &\mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in X_k} \phi_\alpha^{\alpha \geq k-l} \alpha_{\leq k-l}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore \mathbf{c} induces a natural (for inclusions of subcomplexes) isomorphism

$$(40) \quad H_*(\mathbf{Tot} D) \cong H_*(X, L^{\vee c}).$$

Note the similarity between \mathbf{c} and the cap product (Equation (11)). The isomorphism is natural in the sense that:

Lemma 4.10. *Let $K \subset K'$ be full subcomplexes of a locally finite oriented simplicial complex X . Then the inclusion $f: K \rightarrow K'$ induces a map*

$$\begin{aligned} f_\bullet: D_\bullet^\bullet(K) &\rightarrow D_\bullet^\bullet(K') \\ \sigma \triangleleft \alpha &\mapsto \sigma \triangleleft \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

⁴Compared to the sign issues avoided, we assure you that this is quite a small price.

Denote by \mathbf{c}_K and $\mathbf{c}_{K'}$ the isomorphism from Theorem 4.9 for K and K' respectively. Then we have

$$(\mathbf{c}_{K'})_* f_*^* = f_*^* (\mathbf{c}_K)_*$$

for the induced maps on homology.

Proof. The fact that f_\bullet^* is a chain map is a routine check. To see that \mathbf{c} is natural observe that, for ϵ_X and ϵ_Y the corresponding morphisms from Theorem 4.9,

$$\epsilon_Y f_\bullet^* = f_\bullet^* \epsilon_X$$

already at the level of chains. We show in the proof of Theorem 4.9 that ϵ and \mathbf{c} are inverses for each other at the level of homology and then the statement follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.9. We need to show that \mathbf{c} is a homotopy inverse for ϵ , and in particular that it is indeed a chain map. To see that it is a chain map, we claim that \mathbf{c} can be written as

$$\mathbf{c} = \kappa \Lambda^m,$$

where κ is the map from Equation (37), m is a large enough integer, and Λ is the diagonal shift

$$(41) \quad \Lambda = \text{id} - \lambda d - d \lambda.$$

The map $\Lambda: \mathbf{Tot} D \rightarrow \mathbf{Tot} D$ is a chain map by construction. We show in Proposition 4.11 that, for m high enough, Λ^m projects onto $\ker i^0$. We know that $\kappa|_{\ker i^0}$ is a chain map by Lemma 4.8, so $\kappa \Lambda^m$ is a chain map.

To show that $\mathbf{c} = \kappa \Lambda^m$, we compare both on generators. On a generator $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha \in D_k^l$ the map \mathbf{c} is

$$(42) \quad \mathbf{c}(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{\leq k-l} & \text{if } \sigma = \alpha_{\geq k-l} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We prove below, in Proposition 4.17, that for $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha \in D_k^l$ and any $m > l$ we have

$$\Lambda^m(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha & \text{if } \sigma = \alpha_{\geq k-l} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Composing this with κ indeed gives \mathbf{c} .

To show that \mathbf{c} is a homotopy inverse to ϵ , note that for $\alpha \notin L^{\text{vc}}$ we have $\alpha_k \in L$ and therefore

$$\mathbf{c}(\epsilon \alpha) = \mathbf{c} \left(\sum_{i=0}^q \alpha_i \triangleleft \alpha \right) = \alpha,$$

so $\mathbf{c}\epsilon = \text{id}$. The other composite gives, when evaluated on a generator $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha \in D_k^l$,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \mathbf{c}(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha) &= \begin{cases} \epsilon(\alpha_{\leq k-l}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-l} \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha_{\leq k-l} & \text{if } \sigma = \alpha_{\geq k-l} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \Lambda^m(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

for $m > l$. The map Λ is chain homotopic to the identity by construction, so any power of it is. This shows that $\epsilon \mathbf{c}$ is homotopic to the identity and we are done. \square

This also completes the proof of Lemma 4.10, establishing that \mathbf{c} is natural. In the proof of Theorem 4.9 we used:

Proposition 4.11 (Python Proposition). *For high enough m the chain map Λ^m projects onto the kernel of i^0 .*

We prove this in two steps. The first uses:

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\phi \in D_k^l$. Then for Λ from Equation (41)*

$$\Lambda\phi = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k-l}(d\lambda\phi - \lambda d\phi) & \text{for } l > 0 \\ \phi - \lambda i\phi & \text{for } l = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $l > 0$, Equation (36) tells us that $\lambda i\phi + i\lambda\phi = \phi$, so

$$\Lambda\phi = \phi - (i\lambda + \lambda i)\phi - (-1)^{k-l}\lambda d\phi - (-1)^{k-l-1}d\lambda\phi = (-1)^{k-l}(d\lambda - \lambda d)\phi.$$

For $l = 0$, the elements $\lambda d\phi$ and $\lambda\phi$ are zero by definition of λ . \square

In particular this tells us that Λ shifts elements along the diagonal. The second step in the proof of Proposition 4.11 uses:

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\phi \in D_k^0$, then $\Lambda^2\phi = \Lambda\phi$ and*

$$i\Lambda\phi = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.12, Λ acts as $\text{id} - \lambda i$ on D_k^0 . Note that by Lemma 4.6

$$(\lambda i)^2 = \lambda(i\lambda)i = \lambda(\text{id} - \lambda i)i = \lambda i.$$

So Λ on D_k^0 is the complement to an idempotent, hence idempotent. For the second claim, note

$$i\Lambda\phi = i(\text{id} - \lambda i)\phi = (i - i(\text{id} - \lambda i))\phi = 0,$$

again using Lemma 4.6. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.11. By Lemma 4.12, the map Λ maps D_k^l to D_{k-1}^{l-1} for $l > 0$, and D_k^0 to itself. On D_k^0 , Lemma 4.13 tells us that Λ projects onto $\ker i^0$. As X is finite dimensional there is an N such that every $\phi \in D_k^l$ for some $l < N$, so for $m > N$ the map Λ^m projects onto $\ker i^0$. \square

Remark 4.14. Note that if $\phi \in D_k^l$ is d^D closed, then using Lemma 4.6 we get

$$\Lambda\phi = \phi - d^D\lambda\phi = \phi - i\lambda\phi - (-1)^{k-l+1}d\lambda\phi = (-1)^{k-l}d\lambda\phi,$$

so $\Lambda\phi$ acts by lifting horizontally and subsequently taking the vertical differential on closed forms. Furthermore

$$i\Lambda\phi = (-1)^{k-l}id\lambda\phi = (-1)^{k-l}di\lambda\phi = (-1)^{k-l}d\phi = 0,$$

so $\Lambda\phi \in \ker i$ and has a unique lift $\lambda\Lambda\phi \in D_{k-1}^{l-2}$. This corresponds to the connecting homomorphism in the usual snake lemma, our Python Proposition 4.11 can be viewed as a form of the snake lemma with iterated lifts.

In the proof of Theorem 4.9 we also used the explicit value of powers of Λ on generators. The first step in computing these is:

Lemma 4.15. *Let $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha \in D_k^l$. Then*

$$\Lambda(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha) = \begin{cases} (\delta_{\sigma_l, \alpha_k} - \delta_{\sigma_l, \alpha_{k-1}})\sigma_{\leq l-1} \triangleleft \alpha_{\leq k-1} & \text{for } l > 0 \\ \delta_{\sigma, \alpha_k} \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha & \text{for } l = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\delta_{\sigma_l, \alpha_k}$ denotes the Kronecker delta.

Proof. By Lemma 4.12, for $l > 0$ we need to compute λd and $d\lambda$ on $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha \in D_k^l$. Using Equation (35) this gives:

$$\lambda d\sigma \triangleleft \alpha = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \lambda(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha_{\langle j \rangle}) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{j+l} \delta_{\sigma_l, (\alpha_{\langle j \rangle})_{k-1}} \sigma_{\leq l-1} \triangleleft \alpha_{\langle j \rangle},$$

Note that

$$(\alpha_{\langle j \rangle})_{k-1} = \begin{cases} \alpha_k & \text{for } j < k \\ \alpha_{k-1} & \text{for } j = k, \end{cases}$$

so we have

$$\lambda d\sigma \triangleleft \alpha = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{j+l} \sigma_{\leq l-1} \triangleleft \alpha_{\langle j \rangle} & \text{for } \sigma_l = \alpha_k \\ (-1)^{k-l} \sigma_{\leq l-1} \triangleleft \alpha_{\leq k}. & \text{for } \sigma_l = \alpha_{k-1}. \end{cases}$$

For $d\lambda$ we get:

$$d\lambda\sigma \triangleleft \alpha = (-1)^l \delta_{\sigma_l, \alpha_k} d\sigma_{\leq l-1} \triangleleft \alpha = \delta_{\sigma_l, \alpha_k} d\sigma_{\leq l-1} \triangleleft \alpha = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{j+l} \sigma_{\leq l-1} \triangleleft \alpha_{\langle j \rangle}.$$

So when $\sigma_l = \alpha_k$, all but the $j = k$ summands in $d\lambda$ and λd match. So we find

$$(-1)^{k-l} (d\lambda\sigma - \lambda d\sigma) \triangleleft \alpha = (\delta_{\sigma_l, \alpha_k} - \delta_{\sigma_l, \alpha_{k-1}}) \sigma_{\leq l-1} \triangleleft \alpha_{\leq k}.$$

To see that this agrees with the expression in the statement of the lemma,

For $l = 0$, Lemma 4.12 tells us that we need to compute λi^0 . This was done in Lemma 4.6, this gives

$$\Lambda(\alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha) = \alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha - \lambda i(\alpha_m \triangleleft \alpha) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha & \text{for } m = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This is what we wanted to show. \square

Powers of Λ behave nicely:

Lemma 4.16. *Let $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha \in D_k^l$ and $0 < m \leq l$. Then*

$$\Lambda^m(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha) = \partial \sigma_{\geq l-m+1}^* (\alpha_{\geq k-m}) \sigma_{\leq l-m} \triangleleft \alpha_{k-m}.$$

Here ∂ denotes the cochain differential.

Proof. We will use induction on m . The base step is Lemma 4.15, observing that

$$\partial \sigma_l^* (\alpha_{\geq k-1}) = \delta_{\sigma_l, \alpha_k} - \delta_{\sigma_l, \alpha_{k-1}}.$$

For the induction step, assume we have established the formula for $m < l$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{m+1}(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha) &= \partial \sigma_{\geq l-m+1}^* (\alpha_{\geq k-m}) \Lambda(\sigma_{\leq l-m} \triangleleft \alpha_{\leq k-m}) \\ &= \partial \sigma_{\geq l-m+1}^* (\alpha_{\geq k-m}) \partial \sigma_{l-m}^* ((\alpha_{\leq k-m})_{\geq k-m-1}) \sigma_{\leq l-m-1} \triangleleft \alpha_{\leq k-m-1} \\ &= \partial \sigma_{\geq l-m+1}^* (\alpha_{\geq k-m}) \partial \sigma_{l-m}^* (\alpha_{k-m-1} \star \alpha_{k-m}) \sigma_{\leq l-m-1} \triangleleft \alpha_{\leq k-m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For this to be non-zero, we need $\sigma_{l-m} = \alpha_{k-m}$ or $\sigma_{l-m} = \alpha_{k-m-1}$. In the former case, $\partial \sigma_{l-m} (\alpha_{k-m-1} \star \alpha_{k-m}) = 1$ and α_{k-m} is not a vertex of $\sigma_{\geq l-m+1}$. Note that

$$(43) \quad \partial \sigma_{\geq l-m+1}^* (\alpha_{\geq k-m}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^j & \text{if } \sigma_{\geq l-m+1} = (\alpha_{\geq k-m})_{\langle j \rangle} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The only face of $\alpha_{\geq k-m}$ that does not contain α_{k-m} is the zeroth, so when $\sigma_{l-m} = \alpha_{k-m}$, we need $\sigma_{\geq l-m+1} = \alpha_{\geq k-m+1}$ to get a non-zero value. These together mean $\sigma_{\geq k-m} = \alpha_{k-m} = (\alpha_{k-m-1})_{\langle 0 \rangle}$.

In the latter case when $\sigma_{l-m} = \alpha_{k-m-1}$ we have $\partial \sigma_{l-m}(\alpha_{k-m-1} \star \alpha_{k-m}) = -1$. Equation (43) further shows that we then get $\partial \sigma_{\geq l-m+1}^*(\alpha_{\geq k-m}) = (-1)^j$ if $\sigma_{\geq l-m} = (\alpha_{\geq k-m-1})_{\langle j+1 \rangle}$. Putting the cases together gives

$$\partial \sigma_{\geq l-m+1}^*(\alpha_{\geq k-m}) \partial \sigma_{l-m}(\alpha_{k-m-1} \star \alpha_{k-m}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^j & \text{if } \sigma_{\geq l-m} = (\alpha_{\geq k-m-1})_{\langle j \rangle} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

This is exactly $\partial \sigma_{\geq l-m}^*(\alpha_{\geq k-m-1})$, as desired. \square

Higher powers of Λ give:

Proposition 4.17. *For $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha \in D_k^l$ we have*

$$\Lambda^m(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha & \text{if } \sigma = \alpha_{\geq k-l} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

for all $m \geq l+1$.

Proof. We just need to apply Λ to the expression for Λ^l from Lemma 4.16, using Lemma 4.15. This gives

$$\Lambda^{l+1}(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha) = \partial \sigma_{\geq 1}^*(\alpha_{\geq k-l}) \Lambda(\sigma_0 \triangleleft \alpha_{k-l}) = \partial \sigma_{\geq 1}^*(\alpha_{\geq k-l}) \sigma_0^*(\alpha_k) \sum_{j=0}^{k-l} \alpha_j \triangleleft \alpha_{k-l}.$$

For this to be non-zero we need $\sigma_0 = \alpha_{k-l}$, and with that $\partial \sigma_{\geq 1}^*(\alpha_{\geq k-l}) = 1$ when $\sigma_{\langle 0 \rangle} = \alpha_{\geq k-l+1}$. These together give $\sigma = \alpha_{k-l}$, and we are done. \square

4.1.5. *The product Mayer–Vietoris complex.* As the arguments used in the proofs above are chain-by-chain, they also apply to the double complex D^\square which is defined analogously to D with products instead of direct sums. This gives:

Corollary 4.18. *The morphism*

$$\mathbf{c}^\square: (D^\square)^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet(X, L^{\text{vc}})$$

defined by the same formula as \mathbf{c} from Theorem 4.9 induces an isomorphism

$$H_*(\mathbf{Tot} D^\square) \cong H_*^{\text{lf}}(X, L^{\text{vc}}).$$

In particular, $\mathbf{Col} D$ and $\mathbf{Col} D^\square$ abut to $H_*(X, L^{\text{vc}})$ and $H_*^{\text{lf}}(X, L^{\text{vc}})$ respectively, and in the Cohen–Macaulay case this gives an isomorphism between the relative homology of X and L^{vc} and the cohomology of the local homology sheaf over L . Comparing Equations (11) and (40), we see that this isomorphism is closely related to the cap product, and we will show below that it is in fact given by capping with a fundamental class.

4.1.6. *The dual Mayer–Vietoris complex.* We now establish the analogue of Theorem 4.9 needed for the duality statements involving the second version of the cap product (Equation (13)). To do this, we consider the dual double complex

$$B_\bullet^*(L) = \text{Hom}(D_\star^\bullet(L), R),$$

so that

$$B_l^k = \prod_{\sigma \in L_l} C^k(X, X - \text{st} \sigma).$$

Observe that the column spectral sequence has

$$\mathbf{Col}B_{1,l}^k = H^k\left(\prod_{\sigma \in L_l} C^k(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma)\right) \cong \prod_{\sigma \in L_l} h^k(\sigma)$$

as its E_1 -page, and the E_2 -page is therefore

$$\mathbf{Col}B_{2,l}^k = H_l^{\text{lf}}(L, h^k).$$

We will show that this abuts to $H^*(X, L^{\text{vc}})$, and establish the analogous statement for compactly supported cohomology and cosheaf homology. In doing this, we will also use the double complex

$$(B_c(L))_l^k = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in L_l} C^k(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma),$$

for which the E^2 -page of the column spectral sequence is $H_l(L, h^k)$.

Theorem 4.19. *Let L be a full subcomplex of a locally finite oriented simplicial complex X . Define the maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}^\vee &: C^q(X, L^{\text{vc}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tot}B^q \\ \mathbf{c}_c^\vee &: C_c^q(X, L^{\text{vc}}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Tot}B_c)^q \end{aligned}$$

on generators by sending for a q -simplex β with at least one vertex in L the generator $\beta^ \in C^q(X, L^{\text{vc}})$ to*

$$(44) \quad \mathbf{c}^\vee(\beta^*) = \mathbf{c}_c^\vee(\beta^*) = \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in L_k} \beta^*(\alpha_{\leq q}) \alpha_{\geq q} \triangleleft \alpha^*.$$

These induce natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H^q(X, L^{\text{vc}}) &\cong H^q \mathbf{Tot}B \\ H_c^q(X, L^{\text{vc}}) &\cong H^q \mathbf{Tot}B_c. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove this in several steps. First, we establish that \mathbf{c}^\vee is dual to \mathbf{c} . From this the statement about non-compactly supported cohomology follows, and hence also the statement for compactly supported cohomology when L is a finite subcomplex. For infinite subcomplexes we complete the argument using a limit over finite subcomplexes.

Lemma 4.20. *The dual $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{c}, R)$ of \mathbf{c} from Theorem 4.9 is \mathbf{c}^\vee from Theorem 4.19.*

Proof. We just need to check this for a generator $\beta^* \in C^q(X, L^{\text{vc}})$ like in the statement of Theorem 4.19. Evaluating $\mathbf{c}^\vee(\beta^*)$ on $\sigma \triangleleft \gamma \in D_l^k$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}^\vee(\beta^*)(\sigma \triangleleft \gamma) &= \sum_{k'=q}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in L_{k'}} \beta^*(\alpha_{\leq q}) (\alpha_{\geq q} \triangleleft \alpha^*) (\sigma \triangleleft \gamma) \\ &= \sum_{k'=q}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in L_{k'}} \beta^*(\alpha_{\leq q}) \delta_{\alpha_{\geq q}, \sigma} \delta_{\alpha, \gamma} \\ &= \beta^*(\gamma_{\leq q}) \delta_{\gamma_{\geq q}, \sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\delta_{\gamma \geq q, \sigma}$ to be non-zero $\gamma \geq q$ needs to be an l -simplex, meaning that $q = k - l$. The image of β under the dual of \mathfrak{c} evaluated on the same element is

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^*(\mathfrak{c}(\sigma \triangleleft \gamma)) &= \beta^*\left(\sum_{\alpha \in X_k} \delta_{\sigma, \alpha \geq k-l} \delta_{\alpha, \gamma \leq k-l}\right) \\ &= \beta^*(\gamma \leq k-l) \delta_{\gamma \geq k-l, \sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

so the dual of \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{c}^\vee indeed agree. \square

This immediately gives us:

Corollary 4.21. *The morphism \mathfrak{c}^\vee induces an isomorphism in cohomology for all full subcomplexes of X .*

Proof. By Theorem 4.9, \mathfrak{c} is a chain homotopy equivalence, so its image \mathfrak{c}^\vee under the additive functor $\text{Hom}(-, R)$ is also a chain homotopy equivalence. \square

This gives us all we need to prove Theorem 4.19.

Proof of Theorem 4.19. The statement for \mathfrak{c}^\vee is the content of Corollary 4.21. For \mathfrak{c}_c^\vee , let K be a full finite subcomplex. Then the double complexes $B(K)$ and $B_c(K)$ agree, so by Corollary 4.21 the map $\mathfrak{c}_{c,K}$ induces an isomorphism between $H_c^l(X, K^{\vee c})$ and $H^l \mathbf{Tot} B$. Observe that if K' is another finite full subcomplex and $\iota: K \hookrightarrow K'$ we have by dualizing Lemma 4.10 the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(X, K^{\vee c}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\mathfrak{c}_K^\vee} & H^*(B_c(K)) \\ \iota^* \uparrow & & \uparrow \iota^* \\ H^*(X, (K')^{\vee c}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\mathfrak{c}_{K'}^\vee} & H^*(B_c(K')). \end{array}$$

For a full subcomplex L taking a colimit over full finite subcomplexes of L gives

$$\text{colim}_{K \subset L} H^*(X, K^{\vee c}) \cong H_c^*(X, L^{\vee c})$$

and

$$\text{colim}_{K \subset L} B_c(K) \cong B_c(L).$$

Commutativity of the diagram above means that the \mathfrak{c}_K^\vee induce \mathfrak{c}_c^\vee in the colimit to give

$$\mathfrak{c}_c^\vee: H_c^*(X, L^{\vee c}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(B_c(L)),$$

which is what we wanted to show. \square

4.2. Duality. In this section we prove the CM Duality Theorem (Theorem 4.25). We first define a fundamental class $\bar{X} \in H_n^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*)$ of an n -dimensional locally finite oriented simplicial complex X and compute the cap product with this class (Lemma 4.23). We compare this with the quasi-isomorphism \mathfrak{c} (from Theorem 4.9) and its dual \mathfrak{c}^\vee (from Theorem 4.19) in § 4.2.2. When X is locally CM, the CM Duality Theorem follows quickly in § 4.2.3. In § 4.2.4 we show how to modify the proof to obtain CM duality for (co)homology with coefficients in R -modules. With a relaxed form of the local CM condition there are still duality isomorphisms in some degrees; we examine this in § 4.2.5.

4.2.1. *The fundamental class.* If X is a n -dimensional complex, we have that $h^n(\sigma) = \langle \sigma^* \rangle$ whenever σ is an n -simplex. This allows us to define:

Definition 4.22. The *fundamental class* $[\bar{X}] \in H_n^{\text{lf}}(X, h^*)$ of an n -dimensional complex X is the class of the “diagonal” locally finite cycle with coefficients in the local cohomology cosheaf given by

$$(45) \quad \bar{X} = \sum_{\alpha \in X_n} \alpha \triangleleft \alpha^* \in \prod_{\alpha \in X_n} h^*(\alpha).$$

To see that this chain is closed observe that at $\sigma \in X_{n-1}$

$$d\bar{X}_\sigma = \sum_{\alpha > \sigma} [\sigma : \alpha] \sigma \triangleleft \alpha^* = \delta(\sigma \triangleleft \sigma^*) \in \text{Im}(C^{n-1}(X, X - \mathring{\sigma}\sigma) \xrightarrow{\delta} C^n(X, X - \mathring{\sigma}\sigma)),$$

where $[\sigma : \alpha] = \pm 1$ tracks whether σ is an odd or even face of α .

Capping with the fundamental class behaves quite nicely:

Lemma 4.23. *Let L be a subcomplex of an n -dimensional locally finite oriented simplicial complex X with L^{vc} before L (Definition 2.16). Take $\phi \in C_c^l(L, h_n)$ and write $\phi = \sum \phi_\alpha^\sigma \sigma \triangleleft \alpha$. Taking the relative cap product from Proposition 2.18 with the fundamental class gives*

$$\bar{X} \cap \phi = \sum_{\alpha \in X_n} \phi_\alpha^{\alpha \geq n-l} \alpha_{\leq n-l} \in C_{n-l}(X, L^{\text{vc}}).$$

Similarly, for $\psi \in C^l(X, L)$ written as $\psi = \sum \psi_\alpha^\sigma \sigma \triangleleft \alpha$ we have

$$\bar{X} \cap \psi = \sum_{\alpha \in X_n} \psi_\alpha^{\alpha \geq n-l} \alpha_{\leq n-l} \triangleleft \alpha^* \in C_{n-l}(L^{\text{vc}}; h^n).$$

Proof. Capping with the representative of the fundamental class gives

$$(46) \quad \bar{X} \cap \phi = \sum_{\sigma \in L_l} \sum_{\alpha \geq \sigma} \phi_\alpha^\sigma \bar{X} \cap (\sigma \triangleleft \alpha).$$

Using the expression (Equation (45)) for the fundamental class, we see that we want to compute (using Equation (11)) for $\beta \in X_n$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \triangleleft \beta^* \cap \sigma \triangleleft \alpha &= \delta_{\beta \geq n-l, \sigma} \langle h^*(\beta_{\geq n-l} < \beta) (\beta \triangleleft \beta^*), \beta_{\geq n-l} \triangleleft \alpha \rangle \beta_{\leq n-l} \\ &= \delta_{\beta \geq n-l, \sigma} \langle \beta_{\geq n-l} \triangleleft \beta^*, \beta_{\geq n-l} \triangleleft \alpha \rangle \beta_{\leq n-l} \\ &= \delta_{\beta \geq n-l, \sigma} \delta_{\beta, \alpha} \beta_{\leq n-l}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into Equation (46) now gives the first equation. The second is proved similarly. \square

4.2.2. *Capping with the fundamental class versus \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{c}^\vee .* We now compare capping with the fundamental class with the map \mathfrak{c} from Theorem 4.9. When X is n -dimensional we have the maps $h_n(\sigma) \hookrightarrow C_n(X, X - \mathring{\sigma}\sigma)$ including the homology as the kernel of the differential. This gives a map (see Figure 4.1.1 for a visual aid)

$$C_c^l(L; h_n|_L) \xrightarrow{\iota} D_n^l(L) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in X_l} C_n(X, X - \mathring{\sigma}\sigma).$$

Similarly, we want to compare \mathfrak{c}^\vee (and its compactly supported version) from Theorem 4.19 with $\bar{X} \cap -$. For n -dimensional X we have a map induced from the quotient maps $C^n(X, X - \mathring{\sigma}\sigma) \rightarrow h^n(\sigma)$ to give

$$B_n^l(L^{\text{vc}}) \xrightarrow{q} C_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(L^{\text{vc}}; h^n),$$

that we will use for the comparison.

Proposition 4.24. *Let X be an n -dimensional locally finite oriented simplicial complex with fundamental class $[\bar{X}] \in H_n^{\text{lf}}(X; h^n)$ and subcomplex L , oriented so that L^{vc} is before L . Then the composite*

$$C_c^l(L; h_n|_L) \xrightarrow{\iota} D_n^l(L) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} C_{n-l}(X, L^{\text{vc}})$$

is equal to the cap product $\bar{X} \cap -$. The corresponding statement for non-compactly supported cohomology and locally finite homology also holds. Similarly

$$C_c^l(X, L; R) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^\vee} B_n^l(L^{\text{vc}}) \xrightarrow{q} C_{n-l}(L^{\text{vc}}; h^n)$$

agrees with $\bar{X} \cap -$ and the analogous statement for non-compactly supported cohomology and locally finite homology holds.

Proof. The first statement follows immediately by comparing the formula for $\bar{X} \cap -$ from Lemma 4.23 with the expression for \mathfrak{c} from Theorem 4.9.

For the second we need to take a little care. Theorem 4.19 provides a map out of $C^q(X, L^{\text{vc}})$, so we would like to apply this theorem with L^{vc} playing the role of L . However, we have oriented L^{vc} before L . This means that to apply Theorem 4.19 we should reverse the orientation of X . The map \mathfrak{c}^\vee (see Equation (44)) then becomes the map that takes $\beta^* \in C_c^l(X, L)$ to a chain in $B(L^{\text{vc}})$ with components in $B_n^l(L^{\text{vc}})$ given by

$$\sum_{\alpha \in L_n} \beta^*(\alpha_{\geq n-l}) \alpha_{\leq n-l} \triangleleft \alpha^*.$$

Here we used that reversing the orientation of X exchanges front faces and back faces. Composing this with the map $B_n^l(L^{\text{vc}}) \rightarrow C_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(L^{\text{vc}}; h^n)$ indeed agrees with the expression found in Lemma 4.23. \square

4.2.3. The CM Duality Theorem. We have now gathered all we need to prove the CM Duality Theorem. There will be eight different duality isomorphisms in this theorem. These are subdivided into four that map out of cohomology with coefficients in h_* , and four that map out of R -cohomology. Within these groups there is a further subdivision into pairs based on whether one needs the local CM condition to hold on all of the simplicial complex or only at a subcomplex. In each of the resulting four pairs there is both a variant where the domain is the compactly supported cohomology or cohomology without restriction on support.

Theorem 4.25 (CM Duality). *Let X be a locally finite complex of dimension n with local homology sheaf h_n and local cohomology sheaf h^n . Let L be a full subcomplex of X and order L^{vc} before L . Then the following maps*

1. a. *when X is locally CM at L*
 - i. $H_c^l(L; h_n|_L) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; R),$
 - ii. $H^l(L; h_n|_L) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; R)$
- b. *when X is locally CM*
 - i. $H_c^l(X, L; h_n) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}(L^{\text{vc}}; R)$
 - ii. $H^l(X, L; h_n) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(L^{\text{vc}}; R)$
2. a. *when X is locally CM at L^{vc}*
 - i. $H_c^l(X, L; R) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}(L^{\text{vc}}; h^n|_{L^{\text{vc}}})$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{ii. } H^l(X, L; R) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(L^{\text{vc}}; h^n|_{L^{\text{vc}}}) \\
& \text{b. when } X \text{ is locally CM} \\
& \quad \text{i. } H_c^l(L; R) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; h^n) \\
& \quad \text{ii. } H^l(L; R) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; h^n)
\end{aligned}$$

induced by taking the cap product with the fundamental class are all isomorphisms.

Proof. 1.a.i: Recall the MV double complex $D_\bullet^\bullet(L)$ from § 4.1.1. Because X is CM at L the column spectral sequence of this double complex collapses (Proposition 4.3) to give an isomorphism

$$H_c^*(L; h_n|_L) \cong H_* \mathbf{Tot} D,$$

induced by the inclusion of $C^*(L, h_n)$ as the n th row of the E^1 -page. Combining this with Theorem 4.9 gives an isomorphism

$$H^l(L; h_n|_L) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n-l}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; R).$$

By Proposition 4.24 this isomorphism agrees with $[\bar{X}] \cap -$, establishing that the map in 1.a.i is an isomorphism.

1.a.ii: Observe that when X is locally CM at L we have

$$H_*(\mathbf{Tot} D^\square) \cong H^*(L; h^n|_L),$$

by a similar spectral sequence argument as for D . Applying Corollary 4.18 and Proposition 4.24 then yields the result.

2.a.i and 2.a.ii: We prove the map from 2.a.i is an isomorphism, the argument for the map from 2.a.ii is analogous. For X locally CM at L , the double complex B_c 's column spectral sequence collapses to give

$$H^l(\mathbf{Tot} B_c(L^{\text{vc}})) \cong H_{n-l}(L^{\text{vc}}, h^n).$$

Using Proposition 4.24 now yields the result.

The fact that the rest of the maps are isomorphisms now follows by using the long exact sequences for the short exact sequences of chain complexes from Equation (22) (characterising the relative chain complex as a cokernel and the relative cochain complex as a kernel) used to set up the relative caps and the five-lemma. We present the argument here for 1.b.i, the rest is similar. The short exact sequence for cochains restricts to a short exact sequence for cochains with compact support. This together with the short exact sequence for chains gives

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & C_c^l(X, L; h_n) & \longrightarrow & C_c^l(X; h_n) & \longrightarrow & C_c^{l-1}(L; h_n|_L) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \bar{X} \cap - & & \downarrow \bar{X} \cap - & & \downarrow \bar{X} \cap - \\
0 & \longrightarrow & C_{n-l}(L^{\text{vc}}) & \longrightarrow & C_{n-l}(X) & \longrightarrow & C_{n-l+1}(X, L^{\text{vc}}) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

Note that the proof of Proposition 2.18 implies that this is a commutative diagram. Additionally, as \bar{X} is closed $\bar{X} \cap -$ is a chain map, so we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H_c^{l-1}(L; h_n|_L) & \longrightarrow & H_c^l(X, L; h_n) & \longrightarrow & H_c^l(X; h_n) \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& & \cong \downarrow \bar{X} \cap - & & \cong \downarrow \bar{X} \cap - & & \cong \downarrow \bar{X} \cap - \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{n-l+1}(X, L^{\text{vc}}) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-l}(L^{\text{vc}}) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-l}(X) \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

for the long exact sequences. As X is locally CM, it is also locally CM at L , so both the maps on the sides are isomorphisms by 1.a.i. Applying the five-lemma then shows that the map in the middle is too. \square

Remark 4.26. For a triangulated manifold without boundary, the local homology sheaf over \mathbb{Z} is a locally constant sheaf with stalks \mathbb{Z} . An orientation is the same as an isomorphism of sheaves of graded abelian groups between h_* and the constant sheaf \mathbb{Z} in degree n . This is equivalently (by the Universal Coefficient Theorem) an isomorphism of cosheaves of graded abelian groups between the constant cosheaf \mathbb{Z} in degree n and h^* . With these observations in mind one recovers the usual Poincaré duality for both oriented and unorientable manifolds from the Duality Theorem above. Note that for a non-orientable n -manifold M there are two distinct versions:

$$H^k(M; h_*) \cong H_{n-k}(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

as well as

$$H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{n-k}(M; h^*).$$

When M is orientable and has boundary ∂M , the local homology is trivial on the boundary and one sees that

$$H^*(M; h_*) \cong H^*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}),$$

so the Duality Theorem gives Lefschetz duality.

4.2.4. Duality with coefficients in modules. In this section, we explain how to modify the ingredients of the CM Duality Theorem 4.25 in order to encompass coefficients in an R -module M .

Definition 4.27. Let M be an R -module and $\sigma \in X$ be a simplex. Then the *local homology of X at σ with coefficients in M* is the homology

$$h_*^M(\sigma) = H_*(C_\bullet(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma; M)).$$

Similarly, the *local cohomology of X at σ with coefficients in M* is the cohomology

$$h_M^*(\sigma) = H^*(C^\bullet(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma; M)).$$

Together with the maps $h_*^M(\sigma < \tau)$ and $h_M^*(\tau > \sigma)$ induced, for $\sigma < \tau$ by $(X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma) \rightarrow (X, X - \mathring{\text{st}}\tau)$ these form the *local M -homology sheaf* and *local M -cohomology cosheaf* respectively.

We say that a simplicial complex X is *locally CM of dimension n over M at a subcomplex L* if $h_*^M(\sigma)$ is concentrated in degree n for all $\sigma \in L$.

We can write each element $\phi \in h_n^M(\sigma)$ as $\sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha^\sigma \sigma \triangleleft \alpha$, where $\phi_\alpha^\sigma \in M$. We define a pairing

$$\begin{aligned} h^n(\sigma) \otimes h_n^M(\sigma) &\xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_M} M, \\ \eta \otimes \phi &\mapsto \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha^\sigma \eta(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

We remind the reader that multiplying $\eta(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha) \in R$ and $\phi_\alpha^\sigma \in M$ makes sense as M is an R -module. This gives cap products analogous to Equation (11) with relative versions

$$C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \otimes C^l(L; h_*^M|_L) \xrightarrow{\cap} C_{k-l}(X; L^{\text{vc}}; M)$$

and

$$C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \otimes C^l(X, L^{\text{vc}}; h_*^M) \xrightarrow{\cap} C_{k-l}(L; M),$$

both defined by the formula

$$\eta \cap \phi = \sum_{\sigma \in X_k} \langle h^*(\sigma > \sigma_{\geq k-l}) \eta_\sigma, \phi_{\sigma_{\geq k-l}} \rangle_M \sigma_{\leq k-l}.$$

There are corresponding versions for compactly supported cohomology.

We have a version of the MV double complex for M :

$$D_\bullet^\bullet(L; M) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in L_\bullet} C_\bullet(X, X - \text{st}\sigma; M) = D_\bullet^\bullet \otimes M.$$

Because additive functors preserve chain homotopy equivalences, $\otimes M$ sends the chain homotopy equivalence \mathfrak{c} from Theorem 4.9 to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{c}^M &= \mathfrak{c} \otimes \text{id}_M: D_k^l(L; M) \rightarrow C_{k-l}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; M) \\ \phi &\mapsto \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}^{\alpha_{\geq k-l}} \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which is also a chain homotopy equivalence. If X is CM of dimension n at L over M , then the column spectral sequence of $D(L; M)$ collapses to give an isomorphism

$$H^* \mathbf{Tot} D(L; M) \cong H_c^*(L; h_*^M|_L).$$

The inclusion of $h^n(\sigma)$ into $C^n(X, X - \text{st}\sigma)$ as the kernel of d^n gives a map $C_c^l(L; h_*^M|_L) \rightarrow D_n^l(L; M)$. Furthermore, composing this map with \mathfrak{c}_M gives

$$\bar{\mathfrak{c}}: C_c^l(L; h_*^M|_L) \rightarrow C_{n-l}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; M)$$

sending $\phi = \sum_{\sigma \triangleleft \alpha} \phi_{\alpha}^{\sigma} \sigma \triangleleft \alpha$ to

$$\bar{\mathfrak{c}}(\phi) = \sum_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}^{\alpha_{\geq k-l}} \alpha.$$

A similar computation to the one done for Lemma 4.23 shows the cap product with the fundamental class agrees with $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}$. This means we have the following version of the duality theorem:

Theorem 4.28. *Let M be an R -module. Then the following maps*

a. when X is locally CM of dimension n over M at L

$$i. H_c^l(L; h_*^M|_L) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; M)$$

$$ii. H_c^l(X, L; h_*^M) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}(L^{\text{vc}}; M)$$

b. when X is locally CM of dimension n over M

$$i. H^l(L; h_*^M|_L) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; M)$$

$$ii. H^l(X, L; h_*^M) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(L^{\text{vc}}; M)$$

are isomorphisms.

Proof. Imitate the proof of the Duality Theorem 4.25.1.a.i, mutans mutandis. \square

For the version of the cap product from Equation (14), note that we can use the natural map

$$(47) \quad \begin{aligned} h^*(\sigma) \otimes M &\rightarrow h_M^*(\sigma) \\ \phi \otimes m &\rightarrow \phi m, \end{aligned}$$

to give a cap product

$$C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \otimes C^l(X; M) \rightarrow C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X; h_M^*),$$

with obvious relative and compactly supported versions. The chain level formula for this cap product on $\eta \in C^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*)$ and $\psi \in C^l(X; M)$ is

$$\eta \cap \psi = \sum_{\sigma \in X_k} \psi(\sigma_{\geq k-l}) h^n(\sigma > \sigma_{\leq k-l}) \eta_\sigma.$$

There is also a version of the dual MV complex with coefficients in M defined by

$$B(L; M) = \text{Hom}(D(L), M).$$

Recall that $C^*(X, L^{\text{vc}}; M) = \text{Hom}(C_*(X, L^{\text{vc}}), M)$, so the M -dual of \mathfrak{c} is a chain homotopy

$$\mathfrak{c}^M : C^*(X, L^{\text{vc}}; M) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tot} B(L; M)^*.$$

A similar computation to the one done for Lemma 4.20 shows that the formula for \mathfrak{c}^M is, for $\psi = \sum_\alpha \psi_\alpha \alpha^* \in C^q(X, L^{\text{vc}}; M)$, given by

$$\mathfrak{c}^M \psi = \sum_{k=q}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta \in X_k} \psi_{\beta \leq q} \beta_{\geq q} \triangleleft \beta^*.$$

When X is n -dimensional, the quotient maps $C^n(X, X - \text{st}; M) \rightarrow h_M^n(\sigma)$ induce a map

$$B(L; M) \rightarrow C_*^{\text{lf}}(L; h_M^*|_L),$$

which is an isomorphism when X is CM over M at L (by the usual spectral sequence argument). Composing this map with \mathfrak{c}^M gives a map that, by a computation similar to the one done for Lemma 4.23, agrees with capping with the fundamental class. So we have:

Theorem 4.29. *For an R -module M the following maps*

a. when X is locally CM of dimension n over M at L

$$i. H_c^l(X, L; R) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}(L^{\text{vc}}; h_M^*|_{L^{\text{vc}}})$$

$$ii. H_c^l(L; R) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; h_M^*)$$

b. when X is locally CM of dimension n over M

$$i. H^l(X, L; R) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(L^{\text{vc}}; h_M^*|_{L^{\text{vc}}})$$

$$ii. H^l(L; R) \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; h_M^*)$$

are isomorphisms.

Proof. Modify the proof of Theorem 4.25.2.a.i. □

4.2.5. Weaker forms of duality. For completeness, we now discuss sharpenings of CM Duality that can be obtained by studying the column spectral sequences of $D_\bullet(L)$ and the other double complexes more closely. We will only discuss D_\bullet , the others are similar.

The basic observation is that if $H_{n-p}(\mathbf{Tot} D)$ is isomorphic to $H_c^p(L; h_n|_L)$, Theorem 4.9 still tells us that this group is isomorphic to $H_{n-p}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; \mathbb{Z})$. The group $H_c^p(L; h_n|_L)$ is the group in position (p, n) on the E_2 -page of the column spectral sequence, so sufficient conditions for $H_{n-p}(\mathbf{Tot} D)$ to be isomorphic to this group are that (a) the differentials into or out of $H_c^p(L; h_n|_L)$ are zero on all pages from E_2 -onwards and (b) all other groups on the same diagonal vanish. Because we are assuming that X is n -dimensional, all differentials out of $H_c^p(L; h_n|_L)$ are trivial.

Recall (see Figure 4.1.1) that by Lemma 2.7 from the E_1 -page onwards all groups below the line $(l, l+1)$ vanish, except for possibly the group at $\mathbf{Col}D_n^{1,n}$. Additionally all groups above the line $k = n$ as well as right of the line $l = n$ vanish for dimension reasons. The conditions (a) and (b) are then captured as follows:

Lemma 4.30. *Let X be a simplicial complex of dimension n and let L be a subcomplex. Fix $0 \leq p \leq n$. Assume that $H^l(L; h_k|_L) = 0$ for*

- (a) $0 \leq l \leq p-2$ and $k = l + n - p + 1$;
- (b) or $0 \leq l \leq p-1$ and $k = l + n - p$.

Then the map

$$H_c^p(L; h_n|_L) \rightarrow H_{n-p}(\mathbf{Tot}D),$$

induced by the maps $h_n(\sigma) \rightarrow C_n(X, X - \text{st}\sigma)$ (see the discussion before Proposition 4.24) is an isomorphism.

Proof. Condition (a) ensures that all groups that (for $l \geq 2$) have differentials on the E_l -page into the position (p, n) that the map $C_c^p(L; h_n|_L) \rightarrow D_n^p(L)$ maps into are zero. Indeed, (see also Figure 4.1.1), on the E_l -page of the column spectral sequence of D the groups with differential into $\mathbf{Col}D_n^{l,p}$ are the groups in position $(l, l + n - p + 1)$ with $0 \leq l \leq p-2$. So under condition (a) we find that

$$H_c^p(L; h_n|_L) \cong \mathbf{Col}D_n^{2,p} \cong \mathbf{Col}D_n^{\infty,p}.$$

Condition (b) ensures that on the E_∞ -page there are no other non-zero groups on same diagonal $(l, l + n - p)$ with $0 \leq l \leq p-1$ as $\mathbf{Col}D_n^{\infty,p}$. This allows us to conclude

$$\mathbf{Col}D_n^{\infty,p} \cong H_{n-p}(\mathbf{Tot}D),$$

which is our desired isomorphism. \square

For $p = 0$ both conditions are vacuous. Using Proposition 4.24 therefore gives:

Corollary 4.31. *If X is a locally finite oriented simplicial complex of dimension n with a full subcomplex L so that L^{vc} comes before L , then the cap product with the fundamental class \bar{X} induces an isomorphism*

$$H^0(L; h_n) \cong H_n(X, L^{\text{vc}}; R).$$

More generally we have:

Corollary 4.32. *Let X be a simplicial complex of dimension n and let L be a subcomplex with vertices ordered after L^{vc} . Assume further that the hypotheses of Lemma 4.30 are satisfied for some $0 \leq p \leq \dim L$. Then capping with the fundamental class $[\bar{X}]$ gives an isomorphism*

$$[\bar{X}] \cap - : H_c^p(L; h_n|_L) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n-p}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; R).$$

Proof. Combine Proposition 4.24 and Lemma 4.30. \square

4.3. Example: trees. In this section we illustrate our results in the simplest non-trivial case: trees.

4.3.1. *Trees.* The simplest examples of locally CM simplicial complexes are simplicial trees. We fix an oriented tree T . Its edge set is E , its vertex set is V , the function $i: E \rightarrow V$ assigns initial vertices to edges and $t: E \rightarrow V$ assigns terminal vertices. A *direction* at $v \in V$ we mean a choice of connected component of $T - \{v\}$. A *ray* is an embedded half line $r: [0, \infty) \rightarrow T$.

Definition 4.33. The *boundary* ∂T of a tree is the set of equivalence classes of rays in T , where we take two rays r and r' to be equivalent if their intersection $r \cap r'$ is also a ray. The boundary ∂T has a topology induced by directions, where we insist that the set of all rays lying in a direction is open in ∂T . By a bi-infinite path we mean a path in T connecting two points on ∂T .

4.3.2. *Compactly supported cohomology.* If T is a locally finite regular tree of valence at least three then ∂T is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. The cohomology of ∂T is hence non-zero only in degree zero where it is

$$H^0(\partial T; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbf{LC}(\partial T),$$

the group of locally constant \mathbb{Z} valued functions on ∂T . As the topological space $T \cup \partial T$ is a compact bordification of T we can compute the compactly supported cohomology of T as

$$H_c^*(T; \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(T, \partial T; \mathbb{Z}).$$

The latter group is in turn isomorphic to the reduced cohomology of ∂T shifted by one, as one sees from the long exact sequence for the pair $(T, \partial T)$. So, the only non-zero compactly supported cohomology group of T is

$$(48) \quad H_c^1(T; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbf{LC}(\partial T)/\mathbb{Z},$$

where the quotient is by the constant functions. CM duality tells us that $H_c^1(T; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H_0(T; h^1)$. Below we will see this explicitly through the cap product.

4.3.3. *Local cohomology of trees.* The local cohomology of a tree is $\mathbb{Z} = \langle e^* \rangle$ at every edge, whereas at a vertex we have

$$h^1(v) = \bigoplus_{e > v} \langle e^* \rangle / \langle \sum_{e > v} [v : e] e^* \rangle.$$

which is a free abelian group of rank one less than the valence of the vertex. The cosheaf maps $h^*(e > v)$ are given by $e \triangleleft e^* \mapsto v \triangleleft e^*$. One shows that:

Lemma 4.34. *Let T be a locally finite infinite tree. Then $H_1(T; h^1) = 0$.*

4.3.4. *Cap product on a tree.* The cap product with the fundamental class takes a simple form on a tree:

Lemma 4.35. *For $[\psi] = [\sum_{e \in E} \psi_e e^*] \in H_c^1(T; \mathbb{Z})$ the image under the duality isomorphism is*

$$[\bar{T}] \cap \psi = \sum_{e \in E} \psi_e i(e) \triangleleft e^* \in H_0(T; h^1).$$

Proof. It suffices to consider e^* for an edge e and one summand $e' \triangleleft (e')^*$ of $\bar{T} = \sum_{e' \in E} e' \triangleleft (e')^*$ at a time. We compute

$$(e' \triangleleft (e')^*) \cap e^* = \sum_{e'' \in E} e^*(e'') i(e'') \triangleleft (e'')^* \delta_{e', e''} = i(e) \triangleleft e^*.$$

The formula for the cap product now follows by linearity. \square

Remark 4.36. Lemma 4.35 works for a general locally CM oriented simplicial complex X of dimension n for a top dimensional simplex $\alpha \in X_n$:

$$\bar{X} \cap \alpha = \alpha_0 \triangleleft \alpha^*.$$

4.3.5. *From locally constant functions to compactly supported sections.* As sections on subtrees always extend to larger subtrees, Theorem 3.16 tell us that

$$H_0(X; h^1) \cong \text{Hom}_c(\Gamma(h_1); \mathbb{Z}).$$

Combining this with the Duality Theorem 4.25 and Equation (48) gives:

$$\mathbf{LC}(\partial T)/\mathbb{Z} \cong \text{Hom}_c(\Gamma(h_1); \mathbb{Z}).$$

It is interesting to consider what this isomorphism looks like explicitly. To do this, we will consider *path-sections*. Given a directed bi-infinite path p we get a section $s_p \in \Gamma(h_*)$ supported on the edges and vertices of p , where each edge has multiplicity ± 1 depending on whether its orientation matches that of p , and the value at each vertex v is the corresponding sum of the incoming and outgoing edge of p at v . We will denote the endpoints in ∂T of p by p^+ and p^- . Tracing through the results of this section we find:

Proposition 4.37. *Let T be a locally finite infinite tree. Then under the identifications from Theorem 3.16 and Equation (48) the cap product with the fundamental class gives a map*

$$[\bar{T}] \cap - : \mathbf{LC}(\partial T)/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_c(\Gamma(h_1); \mathbb{Z})$$

that sends a locally constant function f to a homomorphism ϕ_f that acts on path sections as

$$\phi: s_p \mapsto f(p^+) - f(p^-).$$

The image of path sections under ϕ_f suffices to reconstruct the entire compactly determined homomorphism, despite the fact that path sections do not span the group of all sections. This is because the space of sections over any finite subtree is spanned by path sections. One can first show that ϕ_f is compactly determined, and then use a determining subtree to evaluate it on arbitrary sections via restriction to the subtree.

5. FUNCTORIALITY AND NATURALITY

This section is devoted to establishing naturality of the duality isomorphisms. In order to do this, we examine in what sense sheaf cohomology and cosheaf homology are functorial in Section 5.1. We then apply this to the local homology sheaf and the local cohomology cosheaf in Section 5.2. This allows us to establish naturality of CM duality in Section 5.3.

5.1. Maps between combinatorial (co)sheaves. So far we have mostly considered (co)sheaves on a fixed simplicial complex. We will show in §5.1.1 how to map between (co)sheaves on different simplicial complexes and how to obtain induced maps on (co)homology in §5.1.2.

5.1.1. *Maps between simplicial complexes.* As a warmup we examine maps in simplicial (co)homology.

Definition 5.1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a simplicial map between oriented simplicial complexes and assume that $f\sigma$ has the same dimension as σ . The *orientation index* $\mathbf{o}_f(\sigma)$ of $f\sigma$ is the sign of the permutation taking the vertices of $f\sigma$ ordered according to X to the vertices ordered using the ordering of Y .

When $\mathbf{o}_f(\sigma) = 1$ for all $\sigma \in X$, we say that f is *orientation preserving*.

If the dimension of the image of a simplex σ is less than the dimension of the simplex we say that σ *degenerates under* f .

Example 5.2. Consider the orientation reversing automorphism r of the oriented 1-simplex $[0, 1]$ that switches the vertices. Then $\mathbf{o}_r([0, 1]) = \text{sign}(01) = -1$.

We state the following routine (but non-trivial) lemma, leaving the proof as an exercise.

Lemma 5.3. Let $\sigma \in X$ be a simplex in an oriented simplicial complex and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a simplicial map between oriented simplicial complexes. Assume that $f\sigma$ has the same dimension as σ , and that $(f\sigma)_i = f(\sigma_j)$. Then we have

$$(-1)^i \mathbf{o}_f(\sigma_{\langle j \rangle}) = (-1)^j \mathbf{o}_f(\sigma).$$

With this lemma in place, the following is easy to prove:

Lemma 5.4. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a simplicial map between oriented complexes. Then $f: \sigma \mapsto f\sigma$, induces a chain map

$$\begin{aligned} f_*: C_l(X) &\rightarrow C_l(Y) \\ \sigma &\mapsto \mathbf{o}_f(\sigma) f\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

between the simplicial chain complexes of oriented simplices of X and Y , where we take $f\sigma = 0$ if σ degenerates under f .

For the local (co)homology (co)sheaf there is only a limited class of maps for which we have a good functoriality result. These maps are called *star-local homeomorphisms*:

Definition 5.5. A *star-local homeomorphism* $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between simplicial complexes is a simplicial map such that for every simplex σ of Y and $\tau \in f^{-1}\sigma$ the map

$$f|_{\text{st}\tau}: \text{st}\tau \rightarrow \text{st}\sigma$$

is a simplicial isomorphism. We say that a star-local homeomorphism between oriented simplicial complexes is *orientation preserving* if additionally each $f|_{\text{st}\tau}$ preserves the orientation of $\text{st}\tau$.

Proposition 5.6. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a star-local homeomorphism and $\sigma \in Y$, then for all $\tau, \rho \in f^{-1}\sigma$ we have $\text{st}\tau \cap \text{st}\rho = \emptyset$ whenever $\tau \neq \rho$.

Proof. Suppose that $\tau \neq \rho$ and $\text{st}\tau \cap \text{st}\rho$ contains a vertex v . Then $\tau \star v$ and $\rho \star v$ are not equal and both belong to $\text{st}v$. Further, $f(\tau \star v) = f(\rho \star v) = \sigma \star f(v)$, but this implies that $f|_{\text{st}v}$ is not injective. \square

Remark 5.7. One can show that any simplicial map that induces a local homeomorphism on geometric realisations is a star-local homeomorphism.

Remark 5.8. We will need the orientation preserving property when we work with the cap products: we have defined these on (co)chain complexes of *oriented* simplices by selecting the front and back faces. By pulling back the orientation of the image, one can pick an orientation of the domain that ensures a star-local homeomorphism is orientation preserving.

5.1.2. *Functoriality of sheaf (co)homology and cosheaf homology.* Given a map of simplicial complexes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and a sheaf $\mathcal{F}: Y \rightarrow R - \mathbf{Mod}$ (or a cosheaf $\mathcal{G}: Y^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R - \mathbf{Mod}$) we have the *pullback sheaf* $\mathcal{F}f: X \rightarrow R - \mathbf{Mod}$ (or *pullback cosheaf* $\mathcal{G}f: X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R - \mathbf{Mod}$) obtained by precomposing with f . Given a simplicial map we want a way to compare (co)sheaves. This is provided by:

Definition 5.9. Consider a simplicial map $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Given sheaves \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' (or cosheaves \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}') on X and Y respectively a *lift* of f is a natural transformation $\Phi: \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}'f$ (or $\Psi: \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}'f$). A *colift* of f is a natural transformation $\Theta: \mathcal{F}'f \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ (or $\Xi: \mathcal{G}'f \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$).

Maps of simplicial complexes with a colift (or lift) induce maps on sheaf cohomology (or cosheaf homology). Though this result will not be needed we include it for completeness. The proof, like the proof of Lemma 5.4, is mostly an exercise in tracking signs and will be omitted.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $\mathcal{F}: X \rightarrow R - \mathbf{Mod}$ and $\mathcal{F}': Y \rightarrow R - \mathbf{Mod}$ be combinatorial sheaves on simplicial complexes and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be simplicial map and $\Theta: \mathcal{F}'f \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a colift for f . Then we have a map of cochain complexes*

$$(f, \Theta)^*: C^l(Y; \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow C^l(X; \mathcal{F})$$

$$\phi \mapsto (\sigma \mapsto \mathbf{o}_f(\sigma)\Theta(\phi_{f\sigma})).$$

If f has finite fibres this map is also defined for compactly supported cohomology.

When $\mathcal{G}: X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R - \mathbf{Mod}$ and $\mathcal{G}': Y^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R - \mathbf{Mod}$ are cosheaves and Ψ is a lift for $f: X \rightarrow Y$ we have a map

$$(f, \Psi)_*: C_k(X; \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C_k(Y; \mathcal{G}')$$

$$(\sigma, \alpha \in \mathcal{G}(\sigma)) \mapsto \mathbf{o}_f(\sigma)(f\sigma, \Psi\alpha),$$

where we declare $(f\sigma, \Psi\alpha) = 0$ whenever $f\sigma$ has dimension less than k . This map extends to locally finite chains when f has finite fibres.

It turns out that a map of simplicial complexes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ does not generally have a colift $f^*h_Y^* \Rightarrow h_X^*$ to local homology sheaves, nor a lift $h_X^* \Rightarrow f^*h_Y^*$ to local cohomology sheaves. We will however construct a lift $h_X^* \Rightarrow f^*h_Y^*$ to local homology sheaves and a colift $f^*h_Y^* \Rightarrow h_X^*$ to local cohomology cosheaves below, under the additional assumption that f is a star-local homeomorphism.

For a simplicial map f and lift of f to a pair of sheaves, we again need the assumption that f is a star-local homeomorphism to get induced “wrong way” maps. Under the same condition we get induced maps when we have a colift of f to a pair of cosheaves.

Proposition 5.11. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a star-local homeomorphism and $\mathcal{F}: X \rightarrow R - \mathbf{Mod}$ and $\mathcal{F}': Y \rightarrow R - \mathbf{Mod}$ be sheaves. If $\Phi: \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}'f$ is a lift of f , we*

have a chain map

$$(f, \Phi)_! : C_c^l(X; \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^l(Y; \mathcal{F}'f)$$

$$\phi \mapsto \left(\sigma \mapsto \sum_{\tau \in (f^{-1}\sigma)_l} \mathfrak{o}_f(\tau) \Phi(\phi_\tau) \right).$$

Here the sum is over simplices of dimension l in the pre-image of the simplex σ . If f has finite fibres then $(f, \Phi)_!$ lands in compactly supported cochains. It is then additionally well-defined as map from non-compactly supported to non-compactly supported cochains.

Given a colift $\Xi: \mathcal{G}'f \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of f to cosheaves $\mathcal{G}: X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ and $\mathcal{G}': Y^{\text{op}} \rightarrow R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$, there is a chain map

$$(f, \Xi)^! : C_k^{\text{lf}}(Y; \mathcal{G}') \rightarrow C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; \mathcal{G})$$

$$(\sigma, \alpha \in \mathcal{G}'(\sigma)) \mapsto \sum_{\tau \in (f^{-1}\sigma)} \mathfrak{o}_f(\tau)(\tau, \Xi\alpha),$$

which descends to a map of ordinary chains if the fibres of f are finite.

Proof. We start by showing the result for cohomology. Observe that $(f, \Phi)_!$ is well-defined as each $\phi \in C_c^l(X; \mathcal{F})$ is non-zero on only finitely many chains. We further need to show that $(f, \Phi)_!$ is a chain map, ie. that for every $\phi \in C_c^l(X; \mathcal{F})$ and simplex $\sigma \in Y_{l+1}$ we have

$$(\partial(f, \Phi)_!(\phi))_\sigma = (f, \Phi)_!(\partial\phi)_\sigma.$$

Unpacking both sides, we see that we need to establish

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l+1} (-1)^i \sum_{\tau \in f^{-1}\sigma_{\langle i \rangle}} \mathfrak{o}_f(\tau) \Phi(\phi_\tau) = \sum_{\rho \in f^{-1}\sigma} \mathfrak{o}_f(\rho) \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} (-1)^j \Phi(\phi_{\rho_{\langle j \rangle}}).$$

Observe that, as f is star-local, for each i and $\tau \in f^{-1}\sigma_{\langle i \rangle}$ there is a unique $\rho \in f^{-1}\sigma$ and $0 \leq j \leq l+1$ such that $\tau = \rho_{\langle j \rangle}$. This means that we just need to show

$$(-1)^i \mathfrak{o}_f(\rho_{\langle j \rangle}) = (-1)^j \mathfrak{o}_f(\rho),$$

this is Lemma 5.3. The observations about the versions of $(f, \Phi)_!$ when f has finite fibres are obvious.

The proof for the statement about chains is analogous; we only explain why $(f, \Xi)^!$ is well-defined. Let $\eta \in C_k^{\text{lf}}(Y; \mathcal{G}')$ be given by $\eta = \sum_{\sigma \in Y_k} (\sigma, \eta_\sigma)$. Then the image of η under $(f, \Xi)^!$ is

$$(f, \Xi)^!(\eta) = \sum_{\sigma \in Y_k} \sum_{\tau \in f^{-1}\sigma} (\tau, \Xi\eta_\sigma).$$

The component $(f, \Xi)^!(\eta)_\rho$ over a simplex $\rho \in X_k$ of this is

$$(f, \Xi)^!(\eta)_\rho = \sum_{\sigma \in Y_k} \delta_{f\rho, \sigma} (\rho, \Xi\eta_\sigma) = (\rho, \Xi\eta_{f\rho}),$$

which is indeed a component of a locally finite chain. \square

5.2. Local (co)homology. We now provide (co)lifts for maps between simplicial complexes to the local (co)homology (co)sheaves. This then allows us to apply Proposition 5.11 above to get naturality with respect to star-local homeomorphisms in Corollary 5.13.

5.2.1. (Co)lifts for local (co)homology. Denote by h_*^X (and h_X^*) the local (co)homology of a simplicial complex X . Observe that if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a star-local homeomorphism (Definition 5.5) between locally finite simplicial complexes we get for each simplex $\sigma \in Y_n$ a homomorphism

$$H_*(X, X - \cup_{\tau \in f^{-1}\sigma} \mathring{\text{st}}\tau) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_*(Y, Y - \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma) = h_*^Y(\sigma),$$

using that $f(\cup_{\tau \in f^{-1}\sigma} \mathring{\text{st}}\tau) = \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma$. By Proposition 5.6, the closed stars of the simplices in the preimage of σ under f are disjoint, so by excision we have

$$\bigoplus_{\tau \in f^{-1}\sigma} h_*^X(\tau) \cong H_*(X, X - \cup_{\tau \in f^{-1}\sigma} \mathring{\text{st}}\tau).$$

For $\tau \in X$, we then let f_τ be the composite

$$(49) \quad f_\tau: h_*^X(\tau) \hookrightarrow H_*(X, X - \cup_{\sigma \in f^{-1}f_\tau \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma} \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma) \xrightarrow{f_*} h_*^Y(f\tau).$$

Note that

$$(50) \quad f_\tau(\tau \triangleleft \alpha) = \mathbf{o}_f(\alpha) f_\tau \triangleleft f\alpha,$$

by Lemma 5.4. Similarly, we get a homomorphism

$$(51) \quad f^\tau: h_Y^*(f\tau) = H^*(Y, Y - \mathring{\text{st}}f\tau) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^*(X, X - \cup_{\sigma \in f^{-1}f_\tau \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma} \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma) \rightarrow h_X^*(\tau),$$

where the last map is projection. As f is assumed to be a star-local homeomorphism, $f|_{\mathring{\text{st}}\tau}$ is invertible. We have

$$(52) \quad f^\tau(f\tau \triangleleft \alpha^*) = \mathbf{o}_f(f|_{\mathring{\text{st}}\tau}^{-1}\alpha) \tau \triangleleft (f|_{\mathring{\text{st}}\tau}^{-1}\alpha)^*,$$

where $f|_{\mathring{\text{st}}\tau}^{-1}\alpha$ is the unique simplex in $\mathring{\text{st}}\tau$ that is mapped to α .

Lemma 5.12. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a star-local homeomorphism. Then the homomorphisms $f_\sigma: h_*^X(\sigma) \rightarrow h_*^Y(f\sigma)$ from Equation (49) (and $f^\sigma: h_Y^*(f\sigma) \rightarrow h_X^*(\sigma)$ from Equation (51)) constitute (co)lifts of f .*

Proof. We need to check that the f_σ (and f^σ) are compatible with the (co)restriction maps in the sense that for $\sigma < \tau$ we have

$$(53) \quad f_\tau h_*^X(\sigma < \tau) = h_*^Y(f\sigma < f\tau) f_\sigma$$

and

$$f^\sigma h_Y^*(f\tau > f\sigma) = h_X^*(\tau > \sigma) f^\tau.$$

For f_σ , we check this on an element $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha \in h_*^X(\sigma)$. The left hand side of Equation (53) evaluated on this element is

$$f_\tau h_*^X(\sigma < \tau)(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha) = f_\tau(\tau \triangleleft \alpha) = \mathbf{o}_f(\alpha) f_\tau \triangleleft f\alpha,$$

recalling from §2.3.2 how the restriction maps act, and using Equation (50). For the right hand side of Equation (53), we have

$$h_*^Y(f\sigma < f\tau) f_\sigma(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha) = \mathbf{o}_f(\alpha) h_*^Y(f\sigma < f\tau)(f\sigma \triangleleft f\alpha) = \mathbf{o}_f(\alpha) f_\tau \triangleleft f\alpha.$$

This indeed agrees with the left hand side of Equation (53). For f^σ , let $f\tau \triangleleft \alpha^*$ be a cochain for $h_Y^*(f\tau)$. Then

$$f^\sigma h_Y^*(f\tau > f\sigma)(f\tau \triangleleft \alpha^*) = f^\sigma(f\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^*) = \mathfrak{o}_f(f|_{\text{st}\sigma}^{-1}\alpha)\sigma \triangleleft (f|_{\text{st}\sigma}^{-1}\alpha)^*,$$

while

$$h_X^*(\tau > \sigma)f^\tau(f\tau \triangleleft \alpha^*) = h_X^*(\tau > \sigma)\mathfrak{o}_f(f|_{\text{st}\tau}^{-1}\alpha)\tau \triangleleft (f|_{\text{st}\tau}^{-1}\alpha)^* = \mathfrak{o}_f(f|_{\text{st}\tau}^{-1}\alpha)\sigma \triangleleft (f|_{\text{st}\tau}^{-1}\alpha)^*.$$

Because f is star-local we have that for $\sigma < \tau$

$$(54) \quad f|_{\text{st}\sigma}^{-1}\alpha = f|_{\text{st}\tau}^{-1}\alpha,$$

so we are done. \square

Using Proposition 5.11 we then have:

Corollary 5.13. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a star-local homeomorphism (Definition 5.5). Then we have chain maps defined using chains by*

$$\begin{aligned} f_! : C_c^*(X; h_*^X) &\rightarrow C^*(Y, h_*^Y) \\ \sigma \triangleleft \alpha &\mapsto \mathfrak{o}_f(\sigma)\mathfrak{o}_f(\alpha)f\sigma \triangleleft f\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and using cochains for the local cohomology groups $h^*(\sigma)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f^! : C_*^{\text{lf}}(Y; h_*^Y) &\rightarrow C_*^{\text{lf}}(X; h_*^X) \\ \sigma \triangleleft \alpha^* &\mapsto \sum_{\tau \in f^{-1}\sigma} \mathfrak{o}_f(\tau)\mathfrak{o}_f(f|_{\text{st}\tau}^{-1}\alpha)\tau \triangleleft (f|_{\text{st}\tau}^{-1}\alpha)^*. \end{aligned}$$

If f has finite fibres, then f also induces maps $f_! : C_c^*(X; h_*^X) \rightarrow C_c^*(Y, h_*^Y)$ and $f^! : C_c^*(X; h_*^X) \rightarrow C_c^*(Y, h_*^Y)$, as well as $f^! : C_*(Y; h_*^Y) \rightarrow C_*(X; h_*^X)$.

Proof. We check that the (co)lifts defined in Proposition 5.11 above indeed give rise to the maps claimed. For $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha \in C^l(X; h_*^X)$ and $\tau \triangleleft \beta \in C^l(Y; h_*^Y)$ we want to find the coefficient $f_!(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha)_\beta^\tau$ of $f_!(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha)$ at $\tau \triangleleft \beta$. By Proposition 5.11, this involves a sum over the pre-images ρ of τ and finding $f_\rho((\sigma \triangleleft \alpha)_\rho)$. Unpacking the notation, we note that $(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha)_\rho$ is $\sigma \triangleleft \alpha$ if $\sigma = \rho$ and zero otherwise. We thus find for the coefficient

$$\begin{aligned} f_!(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha)_\beta^\tau &= \begin{cases} \mathfrak{o}_f(\sigma)f_\sigma(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha)_\beta & \text{if } f\sigma = \tau \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \mathfrak{o}_f(\sigma)\mathfrak{o}_f(\alpha)f\sigma \triangleleft f\alpha & \text{if } f\sigma = \tau, f\alpha = \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the last step uses Equation (50). The proof for the induced map on homology is similar. \square

5.3. Naturality of duality. We now establish naturality of the duality isomorphisms. We first show that the cap product is natural with respect to star local homeomorphisms in §5.3.1. In §5.3.2 we show that the fundamental class is preserved under these maps, which allows us to conclude naturality for the duality isomorphisms in §5.3.3.

5.3.1. *Naturality of the cap product.* The two versions of the cap product both satisfy a kind of naturality using the maps $f_!$ and $f^!$ from Corollary 5.13:

Lemma 5.14. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an orientation preserving star-local homeomorphism of simplicial complexes. If $\eta \in C_k^{\text{lf}}(Y; h_Y^*)$ and $\phi \in C_c^l(X; h_*^X)$, then the cap product from Equation (11) satisfies*

$$f_*(f^! \eta \cap \phi) = \eta \cap f_! \phi.$$

The cap product from Equation (14) satisfies, for $\eta \in C_k^{\text{lf}}(Y; h_Y^*)$ and $\psi \in C^l(Y)$,

$$f^! \eta \cap f^* \psi = f^! (\eta \cap \psi).$$

If f is not orientation preserving, the above holds after passing to homology.

Proof. The statement for when f is not orientation preserving follows from Theorem 2.12 and the rest of the content of this lemma. So assume that f is orientation preserving. It suffices to prove the above statements on generators at the chain level. For the first equation let $\eta = \sigma \triangleleft \alpha^*$ and $\phi = \tau \triangleleft \beta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(f^! \eta \cap \phi) &= f_* \left(\sum_{\rho \in f^{-1}\sigma} \rho \triangleleft (f|_{\text{st}\rho}^{-1} \alpha)^* \cap \tau \triangleleft \beta \right) && \text{(Lemma 5.13)} \\ &= f_* \left(\sum_{f\rho=\sigma} \delta_{\rho \geq k-l, \tau} \langle (f|_{\text{st}\rho}^{-1} \alpha)^*, \beta \rangle \rho \triangleleft k-l \right) && \text{(Equation (12))} \\ &= \alpha^*(f\beta) \sum_{f\rho=\sigma} \delta_{\rho \geq k-l, \tau} f(\rho \triangleleft k-l). && \text{(as } \langle (f|_{\text{st}\rho}^{-1} \alpha)^*, \beta \rangle = \alpha^*(f\beta)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the indices $\mathfrak{o}_f(\rho)$ play no role as f is orientation preserving. As f is a star-local homeomorphism and the preimages of σ are disjoint, among those ρ that f maps to σ there can be at most one that has τ as its back face. Furthermore, as f is orientation preserving we have that $f(\rho \geq k-l) = f(\rho) \geq k-l = \sigma \geq k-l$. This means

$$f_*(f^! \eta \cap \phi) = \alpha^*(f\beta) \delta_{\sigma \geq k-l, f\tau \sigma \leq k-l}.$$

On the other side we have (using Lemma 5.13)

$$\eta \cap f_! \phi = (\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^*) \cap (f\tau \triangleleft f\beta) = \alpha^*(f\beta) \delta_{\sigma \geq k-l, f\tau \sigma \leq k-l},$$

establishing the result.

For the second equality, let $\eta = \sigma \triangleleft \alpha^*$ be as above and take $\psi = \beta^*$ to be the dual for a simplex $\beta \in Y_l$. Then the left hand side computes as

$$\begin{aligned} f^! (\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^*) \cap f^* \beta^* &= \left(\sum_{\rho \in f^{-1}\sigma} \rho \triangleleft (f|_{\text{st}\rho}^{-1} \alpha)^* \right) \cap f^* \beta^* && \text{(Lemma 5.13)} \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in f^{-1}\sigma} \beta^*(f\rho \geq k-l) (\rho \leq k-l \triangleleft (f|_{\text{st}\rho}^{-1} \alpha)^*) && \text{(Equation (15))} \\ &= \sum_{\rho \in f^{-1}\sigma} \delta_{\sigma \geq k-l, \beta} (\rho \leq k-l \triangleleft (f|_{\text{st}\rho}^{-1} \alpha)^*) && \text{(star-locality).} \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $h_X^*(\rho > \rho_{\leq k-l})f^\rho = f^{\rho_{\leq k-l}}h_Y^*(\rho > \rho_{\leq k-l})$. On the right hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^!(\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^* \cap \beta^*) &= f^!(\delta_{\beta, \sigma_{\geq k-l}} \sigma_{\leq k-l} \triangleleft \alpha^*) && \text{(Equation (15))} \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in f^{-1}\sigma_{\leq k-l}} \delta_{\beta, \sigma_{\geq k-l}} \tau \triangleleft (f|_{\text{st}\tau}^{-1}\alpha)^* && \text{(Lemma 5.13).} \end{aligned}$$

By the star-local property the indexing sets for the sums are in bijection: for $\tau \in f^{-1}\sigma_{\leq k-l}$ there is exactly one $\rho \in f^{-1}\sigma$ such that $\tau = \rho_{\leq k-l}$. By Equation (54) we have that $(f|_{\text{st}\rho}^{-1}\alpha)^* = (f|_{\text{st}\rho_{\leq k-l}}^{-1}\alpha)^*$, so we are done. \square

5.3.2. Functoriality of the fundamental class. The fundamental class is invariant under star-local homeomorphisms in the following sense:

Lemma 5.15. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a star-local homeomorphism between oriented locally finite locally CM complexes of dimension n and denote by h_X^n and h_Y^n the local cohomology cosheaves of X and Y , respectively. Then the map*

$$f^!: H_k^{\text{lf}}(Y; h_Y^n) \rightarrow H_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h_X^n)$$

defined in Corollary 5.13 takes the fundamental class of Y to the fundamental class of X .

Proof. Computing the image of the diagonal representative of the fundamental class of Y under $f^!$ gives:

$$f^!(\bar{Y}) = f^!\left(\sum_{\alpha \in Y_n} \alpha \triangleleft \alpha^*\right) = \sum_{\alpha \in Y_n} \sum_{\beta \in f^{-1}\alpha} \mathfrak{o}_f(\beta) \mathfrak{o}_f(f|_{\text{st}\beta}^{-1}\alpha) \beta \triangleleft (f|_{\text{st}\beta}^{-1}\alpha)^*.$$

We note that $f|_{\text{st}\beta}^{-1}\alpha = \beta$. The sets $\{f^{-1}\alpha\}_{\alpha \in Y_n}$ partition X_n , so we can rewrite this as

$$f^!(\bar{Y}) = \sum_{\beta \in X_n} \beta \triangleleft \beta^* = \bar{X},$$

using that $\mathfrak{o}_f(\beta)^2 = 1$. \square

5.3.3. Naturality of the duality isomorphisms. The isomorphisms in the Duality Theorem 4.25 satisfy a particular kind of naturality for star-local homeomorphisms, covariant for the first four (under 1) and contravariant for the second four (under 2).

Theorem 5.16. *Let $f: (X, L) \rightarrow (Y, K)$ be a star-local homeomorphism between pairs of locally Cohen–Macaulay complexes and full subcomplexes. Write h_*^X (and h_X^*), and h_*^Y (and h_Y^*) for the local (co)homology of X and Y , respectively. Then for the duality isomorphism from Theorem 4.25.1.a.ii the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_c^l(L; h_*^X) & \xrightarrow{[\bar{X}] \cap -} & H_{n-l}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; R) \\ \downarrow f_! & & \downarrow f_* \\ H^l(K; h_*^Y) & \xrightarrow{[\bar{Y}] \cap -} & H_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(Y, K^{\text{vc}}; R) \end{array}$$

where $f_!$ is the map introduced in Corollary 5.13, commutes. A similar diagram commutes for the isomorphism in Theorem 4.25.2.b.ii. If f additionally has finite fibres, the corresponding diagrams for the isomorphisms from Theorem 4.25.1.a.i

and 4.25.2.b.i also commute. For the isomorphisms under Theorem 4.25.2 the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^l(L; R) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{[\bar{X}] \cap -} & H_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(X, L^{\text{vc}}; h_X^*) \\ f^* \uparrow & & \uparrow f^! \\ H^l(K; R) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{[\bar{Y}] \cap -} & H_{n-l}^{\text{lf}}(Y, K^{\text{vc}}; h_Y^*) \end{array}$$

and its variants (subject to the condition that f be proper for those involving compactly supported cohomology) for the other items commute.

Proof. We know from Lemma 5.15 that $f^!$ takes the fundamental class of Y to the one of X . So for the first diagram we are trying to show that for any cohomology class represented by a cocycle ϕ we have

$$f_*([f^! \bar{Y}] \cap [\phi]) = [\bar{Y} \cap f_! \phi],$$

but this is the first part of Lemma 5.14. Similarly, for the second diagram we are checking that

$$f^! \bar{Y} \cap f^* \psi = f^! (\bar{Y} \cap \psi),$$

this is the second part of Lemma 5.14. \square

Remark 5.17. Let M be an orientable n -manifold with an orientation reversing $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -action along $f: M \rightarrow M$. Working with $R = \mathbb{Z}$, Lemma 5.15 tells us that the co-lift constructed in Lemma 5.12 ensures that the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -action given by $f^!$ on $H_n^{\text{lf}}(M; h^*)$ is trivial. However, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ will act by -1 on $H_n^{\text{lf}}(M; \mathbb{Z})$, meaning that while $H_n^{\text{lf}}(M; h^*) \cong H_n^{\text{lf}}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ as \mathbb{Z} -modules, they are not $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariantly isomorphic. This shows that the CM fundamental class defined here is a different object from the usual fundamental class for orientable manifolds. In particular, the usual Poincaré duality for orientable manifolds is not natural with respect to orientation reversing homeomorphisms.

A G -simplicial complex is a simplicial complex with an action of a group G along simplicial homeomorphisms. Theorem 5.16 applies to this setting to give:

Corollary 5.18. *Let X be a CM G -simplicial complex of dimension n . Then the duality isomorphism*

$$H^l(X; R) \xrightarrow[\cong]{[\bar{X}] \cap -} H_{n-l}(X; h^*)$$

from Theorem 4.25 is an isomorphism of G -modules.

APPENDIX A. COMPARISON WITH CLASSICAL SHEAVES

In this appendix we establish an equivalence of categories between the category of combinatorial sheaves on a simplicial complex X and the category of constructible sheaves on the simplicial stratification of X . In this appendix we reserve the word sheaf for the classical notion, and say combinatorial sheaf whenever we mean a combinatorial sheaf.

A.1. Constructible sheaves on simplicial complexes. Recall that a *locally constant sheaf* is a sheaf $\pi: E \rightarrow X$ such that each $x \in X$ has a neighbourhood U such that $\pi^{-1}(U) \cong U \times M$ for some R -module M . Such a sheaf is sometimes called a *local system*. Associated to X is its *path category*, whose objects are the points of X and whose morphisms are homotopy classes of paths between these points. When X is path connected its path category is equivalent to its fundamental group. A locally constant sheaf induces a representation of the path category of X in $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ and is completely determined by this representation. On a connected space this is equivalent to the monodromy representation $\pi_1(X, *) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ associated to the locally constant sheaf.

A simplicial complex X carries an obvious stratification by its k -skeleta X_k , where each k -stratum \mathring{X}_k is the union of the interiors of the k -simplices of X . We will refer to this as the *simplicial stratification*. A *constructible sheaf* on a stratified space is a sheaf that is locally constant over the strata. Analogously to locally constant sheaves, constructible sheaves are equivalently described by representations of the so-called *exit path category* [Lur17, Theorem A.9.3]. For arbitrary stratifications the exit path category can be complicated.

For the simplicial stratification of a simplicial complex the exit path category agrees with the poset of simplices, so representations of the exit path category are exactly combinatorial sheaves. So by Lurie's result the category $\mathbf{CSh}_\Delta(X)$ of constructible sheaves for the simplicial stratification on a simplicial complex X is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{sSh}(X)$ of combinatorial sheaves on X . As the proof of Lurie's theorem is somewhat complicated, we will give a proof in the simplicial setting below.

For the rest of this section, let X be a simplicial complex, viewed as a stratified space with the simplicial stratification. Given a constructible sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , we get a combinatorial sheaf \mathcal{F}^Δ , by setting

$$\mathcal{F}^\Delta(\sigma) = \mathcal{F}(\mathring{\text{st}}\sigma).$$

The restriction maps $\mathcal{F}^\Delta(\sigma < \tau)$ come from evaluating \mathcal{F} on the inclusion $\mathring{\text{st}}\tau \subset \mathring{\text{st}}\sigma$.

The key observation that links constructible sheaves and combinatorial sheaves is this:

Lemma A.1. *Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{CSh}_\Delta(X)$. Then for any x in the interior of a simplex σ we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_x \cong \mathcal{F}(\mathring{\text{st}}\sigma).$$

Proof. The stalk at x is the colimit over the diagram of the values of \mathcal{F} on open neighbourhoods of x . Note that $\mathring{\text{st}}\sigma$ is homotopy equivalent to x . We can (by using for example the straight-line homotopy) fix a homotopy H_t with $t \in [0, 1]$ between the identity on $\mathring{\text{st}}\sigma$ and the characteristic function of x for with $H_t(\mathring{\text{st}}\sigma)$ open for $t < 1$. Then every neighbourhood of U contains $H_t(\mathring{\text{st}}\sigma)$ for some $t < 1$, and therefore $\{H_t(\mathring{\text{st}}\sigma)\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ forms a cofinal diagram for the colimit. As \mathcal{F} is constant on interiors of simplices, the group of sections over $H_t(\mathring{\text{st}}\sigma)$ is the same as the group of sections over $\mathring{\text{st}}\sigma$. This means that $\{H_t(\mathring{\text{st}}\sigma)\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ is the constant diagram $\mathcal{F}(\mathring{\text{st}}\sigma)$. \square

The assignment $(-)^{\Delta}$ extends to morphisms of sheaves in the obvious way. We have:

Proposition A.2. *Let X be a simplicial complex. Then*

$$\mathbf{CSh}_\Delta(X) \xrightarrow{(-)^\Delta} \mathbf{sSh}(X)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. We will show that $(-)^\Delta$ has a weak inverse that we will define by going through an étale space. Given a combinatorial sheaf \mathcal{G} , define the étale space $\mathrm{Et}^\Delta(\Gamma)$ of \mathcal{G} to be $\coprod_{\sigma \in X} G(\sigma) \times \sigma / \sim$ where the equivalence relation identifies, for $\tau < \sigma$ elements in $G(\tau) \times \tau \sqcup G(\sigma) \times \sigma$ by $(a, t) \sim (\mathcal{G}(\tau < \sigma)(a), t)$. The result is clearly a constructible sheaf: the restriction of $\mathrm{Et}^\Delta(\Gamma)$ to the interior of a simplex is simply $\Gamma(\sigma) \times \mathring{\sigma}$.

We now want to show that Et^Δ is an inverse to $(-)^\Delta$. To start, let us compute for a simplex σ the sections of $\mathrm{Et}^\Delta(\mathcal{G})$ over the open star $\mathring{\mathrm{st}}\sigma$ of σ , this is the value of $(\mathrm{Et}(\mathcal{G}))^\Delta$ on σ . For each $\tau \geq \sigma$, the restriction of a section s to the interior of τ is an element s_τ of $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$. In order for this to be compatible with the equivalence relation \sim , we need that $s_\tau = \mathcal{G}(\sigma < \tau)s_\sigma$. That is, s is completely determined by $s_\sigma \in \mathcal{G}(\sigma)$, and we have $\Gamma(\mathrm{Et}^\Delta(\mathcal{G}), \mathring{\mathrm{st}}\sigma) \cong \mathcal{G}(\sigma)$, as desired.

In the other direction, let \mathcal{F} be a constructible sheaf. Consider $\mathrm{Et}((\mathcal{F})^\Delta)$, we want to show that this agrees with \mathcal{F} . To do this, it suffices to show that the stalks agree. So let $x \in X$, then x lies in the interior of a unique simplex σ . The stalk over x of $\mathrm{Et}((\mathcal{F})^\Delta)$ is then $\mathcal{F}^\Delta(\sigma) = \mathcal{F}(\mathring{\mathrm{st}}\sigma)$. By Lemma A.1, this agrees with \mathcal{F}_x . \square

This in particular implies that these categories are equivalent as abelian categories. One checks (by using the fact that a section of a combinatorial sheaf is determined by its values on the vertices) that this equivalence sends the global sections functors on either side to each other. From this it follows that the combinatorial sheaf cohomology defined in this paper agrees with the classical sheaf cohomology.

APPENDIX B. CM DUALITY AS A CONSEQUENCE OF VERDIER DUALITY

We now explain how our work is related to a modern perspective on duality for sheaves and cosheaves (cf. [Lur17, Section 5.5.5]).

B.1. Poincaré-Verdier duality. One of the central results of manifold topology is that any compact n -manifold M satisfies *Poincaré duality*,

$$H^*(M; h_n) \cong H_{n-*}(M; \mathbb{Z}),$$

relating the cohomology of M with coefficients in the *orientation sheaf* h_n with the homology of M . The orientation sheaf is the sheaf of *local homology groups*. Its stalk at $m \in M$ is $h_n(m) = H_n(M, M - m; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(D^n, D^n - 0) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, by excision and the fact that M is locally euclidean. When M is closed and orientable this sheaf has a global section $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow h_n$ whose image in $h_n(m)$ is a generator for all $m \in M$. The Poincaré duality isomorphism is then given by the *cap product* $[M] \cap -$ with a generator $[M]$ for $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Many proofs of Poincaré duality exist in the literature. A particularly powerful point of view is that of *Verdier duality* [Ive86]: if one passes to derived categories there is a duality that for any locally compact Hausdorff space S gives

$$H^*(S; \mathcal{D}_\bullet) \cong H_c^*(S; \mathbb{Z})^\vee,$$

where \mathcal{D}_\bullet is the *dualizing sheaf* and $H_c^*(S; \mathbb{Z})^\vee$ coincides for nice S (by the Universal Coefficient Theorem) with locally finite (or Borel-Moore) homology. The dualizing sheaf is a *derived* sheaf, a chain complex of sheaves on S . One obtains Poincaré duality by proving that for manifolds $\mathcal{D}_* \cong h_n$: the dualizing sheaf agrees with the local homology sheaf (shifted to degree n) for manifolds. Close examination of this proof teaches that it only uses that the stalks of the local homology sheaf h_* are concentrated in degree n (and hence a free abelian group in this degree): the proof also works for locally CM spaces. As a consequence of Verdier duality we then have for a locally CM space X

$$(55) \quad H^*(X; h_n) \cong H_{n-*}^{\text{lf}}(X; \mathbb{Z}).$$

This is one the dualities we prove using combinatorial sheaf techniques without passing through derived sheaves or invoking Verdier duality.

B.2. Duality between sheaves and cosheaves. Another perspective on Verdier duality for a locally compact Hausdorff space S with topology \mathcal{T}_S (viewed as a poset under inclusion) is provided by [Lur17, Section 5.5.5]. Sheaves with values in the category of abelian groups \mathbf{Ab} are functors $\mathcal{T}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ and one dually has the notion of *cosheaves*: functors $\mathcal{T}_S \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$. Trivially, a cosheaf is a sheaf with values in \mathbf{Ab}^{op} , and one might wonder whether the categories of sheaves $\mathbf{Sh}(S)$ and cosheaves $\mathbf{CoSh}(S)$ on S are equivalent. There is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Sh}(S)^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow \mathbf{CoSh}(S) \\ \mathcal{F} &\mapsto (U \mapsto \Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{F})), \end{aligned}$$

where Γ_c denotes taking compactly supported sections, the corestriction $\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(V, \mathcal{F})$ for an inclusion $U \subset V$ is extension by zero. This functor is an equivalence after passing to derived categories. This was proven by Curry [Cur18] for sheaves and cosheaves with values in vector spaces, while Lurie [Lur17, Proposition 5.5.5.10] proves this result for sheaves with values in any stable ∞ -category. When S is finite dimensional, Lurie's result applies in particular to the derived categories $\mathbf{DSh}(S)$ of sheaves and $\mathbf{DCoSh}(S)$ of cosheaves [Sch22, Lecture VII]. The equivalence is then

$$R\Gamma_c: \mathbf{DSh}(S) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{DCoSh}(S)$$

where $R\Gamma_c$ is the derived functor. The image of the constant sheaf \mathbb{Z} under $R\Gamma_c$ is obtained by taking an injective resolution $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow I_\bullet$ and evaluating:

$$R\Gamma_c(U; \mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma_c(U; I_\bullet) \cong C_c^\bullet(U; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Here $C_c^\bullet(U; \mathbb{Z})$ is a chain complex that computes the compactly supported cohomology $H_c^*(U)$ of U . When S is additionally a CW complex and $U \subset S$ is a small enough open we have by excision

$$H_c^*(U; \mathbb{Z}) \cong h^*(U),$$

where h^* denotes the *local cohomology cosheaf*. When the local cohomology groups are free this implies that $R\Gamma_c(-; \mathbb{Z}) \cong h^*$. Because $R\Gamma_c$ is an equivalence and natural in S the cohomology of \mathbb{Z} and the cosheaf homology of the derived cosheaf h^* agree. The latter is to be interpreted as hyperhomology (see for example [Wei94,

Section 5.7]) and can be computed as the homology of a double complex obtained by resolving each h^k individually. This gives rise to a spectral sequence

$$(56) \quad E_{p,-q}^2 = H_p^{\text{lf}}(S; h^q) \Rightarrow H^{-p+q}(S; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where we used the convention that cohomological grading corresponds to negative homological grading. On a locally CM space X this spectral sequence collapses to give

$$(57) \quad H_*^{\text{lf}}(X; h^n) \cong H^{n-*}(X; \mathbb{Z}).$$

This another one of the dualities we discussed. The inverse \mathbb{D} to $R\Gamma_c$ maps the constant cosheaf \mathbb{Z} to h_* , this gives rise to the duality from Equation (55):

$$(58) \quad E_2^{p,-q} = H^p(X; H_q \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Z})) \cong H^p(X; h_q) \Rightarrow H_{q-p}(X; \mathbb{Z}),$$

this spectral sequence collapses at the E_2 page when X is locally CM.

B.3. The cap product. Where proofs of Poincaré duality often start by defining the cap product and the fundamental class, and then show that the cap product with the fundamental class induces the duality isomorphism (see for example [Hat02, Section 3.3]), in treatments of Verdier duality the cap product is often introduced separately and capping with a fundamental class is only a posteriori shown to agree with the duality isomorphism. An abstract perspective on the cap product is the following. For cosheaf homology of a (derived) cosheaf \mathcal{G} and sheaf cohomology of a (derived) sheaf \mathcal{F} the cap product is a map

$$H_n(S; \mathcal{G}) \otimes H^p(S; \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\cap} H_{n-p}(S; R\Gamma_c(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{F})),$$

that can be obtained by pushing the cup product [Bre97, Section II.7]

$$H^{-n}(S; \mathbb{D}\mathcal{G}) \otimes H^p(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^{p-n}(S; \mathbb{D}\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{F}).$$

across $R\Gamma_c$. When X is locally CM of dimension n and we take $\mathcal{G} = h^n$, there is a class $[X] \in H_n(X; h^n) \cong H^0(X; \mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to the unit of the cap product. Tautologically, we then have that the duality isomorphism is given by

$$(59) \quad [X] \cap -: H^p(S; \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n-p}(S; R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{F})).$$

Note that when $\mathcal{F} = h_n$ this recovers the duality from Equation (55), while taking $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}$ gives that from Equation (57).

APPENDIX C. ORIENTATION INDEPENDENCE OF THE CAP PRODUCT

We relegated a part of the proof that the cap product does not depend on the orientation of the simplicial complex to here. That the cap product is independent of the orientation is already non-trivial for the cap products with R -coefficients

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} \cap: C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; R) \otimes C^l(X; R) &\rightarrow C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X) \\ \sigma \otimes \tau^* &\mapsto \tau^*(\sigma_{\geq k-l})\sigma_{\leq k-l}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(61) \quad \begin{aligned} \cap: C_k(X; R) \otimes C_c^l(X; R) &\rightarrow C_{k-l}(X) \\ \sigma \otimes \tau^* &\mapsto \tau^*(\sigma_{\geq k-l})\sigma_{\leq k-l}. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove Proposition 2.13 alongside this, the difference between the proofs is cosmetic. In fact, for ease of notation we will only explicitly prove that the R -coefficient cap product is orientation independent and indicate what needs changing to establish Proposition 2.13.

C.1. Proof of Proposition 2.13. In the proof below, we will use that one way to think about orientation of finite complexes is through a *total ordering* of the vertices: this induces consistent orientations for all simplices of the complex by restriction. We first prove the following version of Proposition 2.13 for the R -coefficient cap product from Equations (60) and (61):

Proposition C.1. *Let X be an oriented simplicial complex and $[u, w]$ be an oriented 1-simplex. Write $\tilde{C}_\bullet(X)$ for the chain complex associated to the orientation where u and w are swapped and $\tilde{\cap}$ for the associated cap product. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_k^{\text{lf}}(X) \otimes C^l(X) & \xrightarrow{\cap} & C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \tilde{C}_k^{\text{lf}}(X) \otimes \tilde{C}^l(X) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\cap}} & \tilde{C}_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X) \end{array}$$

(where the vertical maps are the isomorphisms from Lemma 2.5) commutes up to chain homotopy. The analogous statement for the cap product involving compactly supported cohomology also holds.

Proof. As we will prove this generator by generator, the proof will not depend on whether or not we work with the compactly supported cohomology version.

We first show that we can reduce to the case where u and w are consecutive in a total ordering of the vertices of a finite subcomplex. To see this, observe that the subcomplex spanned by those simplices containing u or v . We can pick a total ordering of the vertices of this subcomplex that restricts to the given orientation on each simplex. The permutations swapping u and w in each simplex are then the composite of finitely many transpositions of consecutive vertices. So, without loss of generality, assume that u and w are consecutive in a total ordering of the vertices of all simplices that contain u or w .

Now we claim that if u and w are consecutive, then

$$(62) \quad B: C_k(X) \otimes C^l(X) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{k-l+1}(X)$$

$$\sigma \otimes \tau^* \mapsto \begin{cases} (-1)^{k-l} \tau^*(\sigma_{\geq k-l}) \sigma_{\leq k-l+1} & \text{if } \sigma_{k-l} = u \text{ and } \sigma_{k-l+1} = w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

gives the chain homotopy we need. So, we need to show that for every $\sigma \in X_k$ and $\tau \in X_l$ we have

$$(63) \quad \pm_{\sigma \leq k-l} \tau^*(\sigma_{\geq k-l}) \sigma_{\leq k-l} - \pm_{\sigma \geq k-l} \tau^*(\sigma_{\leq k-l}) \sigma_{\geq k-l} = \tilde{d}B(\sigma \otimes \tau^*) + B(d(\sigma \otimes \tau^*)),$$

where \leq , \geq and \tilde{d} respectively denote the front and back face, and differential with respect to the ordering with u and w swapped. For the right hand side, notice that

$$(64) \quad \tilde{d}B(\sigma \otimes \tau^*) + B(d(\sigma \otimes \tau^*)) = \tilde{d}B(\sigma \otimes \tau^*) + B(d\sigma \otimes \tau^*) + (-1)^{k-l} B(\sigma \otimes \partial \tau^*),$$

using the definition of the differential on the tensor product complex $C_k(X) \otimes C^l(X)$.

We start by considering the case where $u \neq \sigma_{k-l}, \sigma_{k-l-1}$ or $w \neq \sigma_{k-l}, \sigma_{k-l+1}$. In this case consecutivity of u and w implies that $\sigma_{\geq k-l} = \sigma_{\succ k-l}$ and $\sigma_{\leq k-l} = \sigma_{\preceq k-l}$, so both \cap and $\tilde{\cap}$ are only non-zero when $\tau = \sigma_{\geq k-l}$. Also by consecutivity of u and

w the permutation induced on the vertices of σ either acts non-trivially on $\sigma_{\geq k-l}$ or on $\sigma_{\leq k-l}$, and using this it is easy to see that the left hand side of Equation (63) vanishes. For the right hand side (Equation (64)), we have assumed $u \neq \sigma_{k-l}$ or $w \neq \sigma_{k-l+1}$ so B vanishes on $\sigma \otimes \tau^*$. For the second term our assumptions on u and w imply that none of the faces $\sigma_{\langle i \rangle}$ appearing in the differential of σ can have $(\sigma_{\langle i \rangle})_{k-l} = u$ and $(\sigma_{\langle i \rangle})_{k-l+1} = w$ so this term also vanishes. The third term evaluates as

(65)

$$(-1)^{k-l} B(\sigma \otimes \partial \tau^*) = \begin{cases} (\tau^*(\sigma_{\geq k-l}) - \tau^*(\sigma_{\leq k-l}))\sigma_{\leq k-l} & \text{if } \sigma_{k-l-1} = u \\ + \sum_{i=2}^{l+1} (-1)^{i+1} \tau^*((\sigma_{\geq k-l-1})_{\langle i \rangle})\sigma_{\leq k-l} & \text{and } \sigma_{k-l} = w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

which is zero because $u \neq \sigma_{k-l-1}$ or $w \neq \sigma_{k-l}$.

The remaining two cases are where $u = \sigma_{k-l-1}$ and $w = \sigma_{k-l}$, or where $u = \sigma_{k-l}$ and $w = \sigma_{k-l+1}$. Let us start by working out the right hand side of Equation (64). The first term is

(66)

$$\tilde{d}B(\sigma \otimes \tau^*) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} (-1)^{i+k-l} \tau^*(\sigma_{\geq k-l})(\sigma_{\geq k-l+1})_{\langle i \rangle} & \text{if } \sigma_{k-l} = u \text{ and } \sigma_{k-l+1} = w \\ + \tau^*(\sigma_{\geq k-l})(\sigma_{\leq k-l} - \sigma_{\leq k-l-1}) & \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We recognise the last two terms in this as $\sigma \cap \tau^* - \sigma \tilde{\cap} \tau^*$. For the second term on the right hand side of Equation (64) we find that

$$(-1)^{k-l} B(d\sigma \otimes \tau^*) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{k-l-1} (-1)^{i+k-l-1} \tau^*(\sigma_{\geq k-l})(\sigma_{\leq k-l+1})_{\langle i \rangle} & \text{if } \sigma_{k-l} = u \\ & \text{and } \sigma_{k-l+1} = w \\ \sum_{i=k-l+1}^k (-1)^{i+k-l-1} \tau^*((\sigma_{\langle i \rangle})_{\geq k-l-1})\sigma_{\leq k-l} & \text{if } \sigma_{k-l-1} = u \\ & \text{and } \sigma_{k-l} = w \\ \tau^*((\sigma_{\langle k-l \rangle})_{\geq k-l-1})(\sigma_{\langle k-l \rangle})_{\leq k-l} & \text{if } \sigma_{k-l-1} = u \\ & \text{and } \sigma_{k-l+1} = w. \end{cases}$$

The last of these cases cannot occur as u and w are assumed to be consecutive. In the first case we have $(\sigma_{\langle i \rangle})_{\geq k} = \sigma_{\geq k+1}$, and we see that this matches the terms in Equation (66) for $i \geq 2$ with opposite sign. Adding these together now gives the desired result. \square

The above is readily adapted to for the cap products from Equations (11) and (14):

Proof of Proposition 2.13. We only specify what chain homotopies to use in place of Equation (62), the rest of the arguments are then a straightforward adaptation of the proof of Proposition C.1. For the cap products from Equation (14) mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \cap: C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \otimes C_c^l(X) &\rightarrow C_{k-l}(X; h^*) \text{ and} \\ \cap: C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \otimes C^l(X) &\rightarrow C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*), \end{aligned}$$

we use the chain homotopy

$$B: C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \otimes C^l(X) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{k-l+1}^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*)$$

$$(\sigma \lhd \alpha^*) \otimes \tau^* \mapsto \begin{cases} (-1)^{k-l} \tau^*(\sigma_{\geq k-l})\sigma_{\leq k-l+1} \lhd \alpha^* & \text{if } \sigma_{k-l} = u \text{ and } \sigma_{k-l+1} = w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the corresponding version for compactly supported cohomology. For the other cap products

$$\begin{aligned} \cap: C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \otimes C_c^l(X; h_*) &\rightarrow C_{k-l}(X) \text{ and} \\ \cap: C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \otimes C^l(X; h_*) &\rightarrow C_{k-l}^{\text{lf}}(X), \end{aligned}$$

from Equation (11) we use

$$\begin{aligned} B: C_k^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \otimes C^l(X) &\rightarrow \tilde{C}_{k-l+1}^{\text{lf}}(X; h^*) \\ (\sigma \triangleleft \alpha^*) \otimes (\tau \triangleleft \beta^*) &\mapsto \begin{cases} (-1)^{k-l} \langle \alpha^*, \beta \rangle \sigma_{\leq k-l+1} & \text{if } \sigma_{k-l} = u, \sigma_{k-l+1} = w \\ & \text{and } \tau = \sigma_{\geq k-l} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and the analogous version for compactly supported cochains. \square

REFERENCES

- [BE73] R. Bieri and B. Eckmann. Groups with Homological Duality Generalising Poincaré Duality. *Invent. Math.*, 20:103–124, 1973.
- [Bjö84] A. Björner. Some combinatorial and algebraic properties of Coxeter complexes and Tits buildings. *Adv. Math.*, 52(3):173–212, June 1984. doi:10.1016/0001-8708(84)90021-5.
- [Bjö16] A. Björner. “Let Δ be a Cohen-Macaulay complex ...”. In *The mathematical legacy of Richard P. Stanley*, pages 105–118. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016. doi:10.1090/mbk/100/06.
- [BM01] N. Brady and J. Meier. Connectivity at infinity for right angled Artin groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(1):117–132, 2001. doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-00-02506-X.
- [Bre97] G. E. Bredon. *Sheaf Theory*. Springer-Verlag, second edition, 1997.
- [Bro82] K. S. Brown. *Cohomology of Groups*. Springer, 1982.
- [Brü20] B. Brück. Higher generating subgroups and Cohen-Macaulay complexes. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, 63(1):275–285, 2020. doi:10.1017/s0013091519000415.
- [BT82] R. Bott and L. W. Tu. *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*. Springer New York, 1982. doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-3951-0.
- [Cur14] J. Curry. *Sheaves, Cosheaves, and Applications*. PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 2014.
- [Cur18] J. Curry. Dualities between cellular sheaves and cosheaves. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 222:966–993, 2018. doi:10.1016/J.JPAA.2017.06.001.
- [Geo08] R. Geoghegan. *Topological Methods in Group Theory*. Springer New York, 2008. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-74614-2.
- [GK94] V. Ginzburg and M. Kapranov. Koszul duality for operads. *Duke Math. J.*, 76(1), 1994. doi:10.1215/s0012-7094-94-07608-4.
- [GM80] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson. Intersection homology theory. *Topology*, 19(2):135–162, 1980. doi:10.1016/0040-9383(80)90003-8.
- [Hat02] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [Hoc16] M. Hochster. Cohen-Macaulay varieties, geometric complexes, and combinatorics. In *The mathematical legacy of Richard P. Stanley*, pages 219–229. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016. doi:10.1090/mbk/100/13.
- [Ive86] B. Iversen. *Cohomology of Sheaves*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1986. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-82783-9.
- [Lur17] J. Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. 2017. URL <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [McCr79] C. McCrory. Zeeman’s Filtration of Homology. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 250:147–166, 1979. URL <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1998982>.
- [Mun84] J. R. Munkres. Topological results in combinatorics. *Michigan Math. J.*, 31(1):113–128, 1984. doi:10.1307/mmj/1029002969.
- [Qui78] D. Quillen. Homotopy properties of the poset of nontrivial p-subgroups of a group. *Adv. Math.*, 28(2):101–128, 1978. doi:10.1016/0001-8708(78)90058-0.
- [Rei76] G. A. Reisner. Cohen-Macaulay quotients of polynomial rings. *Adv. Math.*, 21(1):30–49, 1976. doi:10.1016/0001-8708(76)90114-6.

- [RWY01] V. Reiner, V. Welker, and K. Yanagawa. Local Cohomology of Stanley–Reisner Rings with Supports in General Monomial Ideals. *J. Algebra*, 244(2):706–736, Oct. 2001. doi:10.1006/jabr.2001.8932.
- [Sch22] P. Scholze. *Six-Functor Formalisms*. 2022. URL <https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/scholze/SixFunctors.pdf>.
- [Sta75] R. P. Stanley. The upper bound conjecture and Cohen-Macaulay rings. *Studies in Appl. Math.*, 54(2):135–142, 1975. doi:10.1002/sapm1975542135.
- [Ver63] J.-L. Verdier. Le théorème de dualité de Poincaré. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 256:2084–2086, 1963.
- [Vog90] K. Vogtmann. Local structure of some $\text{Out}(F_n)$ -complexes. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2), 33(3):367–379, 1990. doi:10.1017/s0013091500004818.
- [VY24] M. Varbaro and H. Yu. Lefschetz duality for local cohomology. *J. Algebra*, 639:498–515, 2024. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2023.09.040.
- [Wei94] C. A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge University Press, first edition, 1994.
- [WW24] R. D. Wade and T. A. Wasserman. Duality groups and Cohen-Macaulay complexes. *Preprint*, 2024.
- [Yan03] K. Yanagawa. Stanley-Reisner rings, sheaves, and Poincaré–Verdier duality. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 10(5):635–650, Sept. 2003. doi:10.4310/MRL.2003.v10.n5.a7.
- [Zee62] E. C. Zeeman. Dihomology: I. Relations Between Homology Theories. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, s3-12(1):609–638, 1962. doi:10.1112/plms/s3-12.1.609.
- [Zee63] E. C. Zeeman. Dihomology III. A Generalization of the Poincaré Duality for Manifolds. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, s3-13:155–183, 1963. doi:10.1112/PLMS/S3-13.1.155.