

NEW ISOGENIES OF ELLIPTIC CURVES OVER NUMBER FIELDS

TYLER GENAO

ABSTRACT. We analyze the fields of definition of cyclic isogenies on elliptic curves to prove the following uniformity result: for any number field F_0 which satisfies an isogeny condition, there exists a constant $B := B(F_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for any finite extension L/F_0 whose degree $[L : F_0]$ is coprime to B , one has for all elliptic curves $E_{/F_0}$ with j -invariant $\neq 0, 1728$ that any L -rational cyclic isogeny on E must be F_0 -rational. We also prove unconditional results for the mod- ℓ Galois representations of non-CM elliptic curves with an F_0 -rational ℓ -isogeny when ℓ is uniformly large.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a number field F , it is of great interest to understand isogenies of elliptic curves which are rational over F , in analogy to understanding torsion points. For example, a classic theorem of Mazur shows that the only possible prime degrees ℓ of \mathbb{Q} -rational isogenies are the primes $\ell \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 37, 43, 67, 163\}$ [Maz78]. Following Mazur’s work, Kenku has shown that all possible degrees n of \mathbb{Q} -rational isogenies with cyclic kernel are the integers $n \in [1, 19] \cup \{21, 25, 27, 37, 43, 67, 163\}$ [Ken82]. There are also uniform bounds on the degrees of rational isogenies over number fields without rational complex multiplication if one assumes the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH), see [LV14] and [Ban23] (as well as Theorem 9).

The study of rational isogenies on elliptic curves is intimately related to the study of torsion subgroups: for an elliptic curve $E_{/F}$, any finite subgroup $C \subseteq E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ induces an isogeny from E to the quotient curve E/C , whose kernel is C . This isogeny is F -rational if and only if C is stable under the action of the absolute Galois group $G_F := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$, and in such a case one has that E/C is unique up to F -rational isomorphism.

For a number field F and an elliptic curve $E_{/F}$ defined over F , if its mod- n Galois representation $\rho_{E,n} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ surjects onto $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, then the field of definition of a isogeny whose kernel $C \subseteq E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is cyclic of order n is “as large as possible.” Denoting this field by $F(C)$, it has degree $[F(C) : F] > n$ when $n > 1$, whence it follows that C is not F -rational. When E has *no complex multiplication* (abbreviated as non-CM), by Serre’s open image theorem the image $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_F)$ is equal to $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ for all primes $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ “sufficiently large” with respect to both E and F [Ser72].

Is there a similar result for the field of definition of n -isogenies for elliptic curves over F *that is uniform in F* , i.e., independent of E ? When $F = \mathbb{Q}$, this is connected to Serre’s uniformity question, which asks for an absolute constant $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G05.

Email: genao.5@osu.edu.

when a prime $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ satisfies $\ell > \ell_0$, one has for any non-CM elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} that $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. What is currently known is that for any prime $\ell > 37$ and for any non-CM elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , the mod- ℓ Galois representation either surjects onto $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ or is the normalizer of the nonsplit Cartan subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ up to conjugacy [FL]. It follows that for $\ell > 37$, any order ℓ subgroup $C \subseteq E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ on any non-CM elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} satisfies $[\mathbb{Q}(C) : \mathbb{Q}] = \ell + 1$. One consequence of this is that if L/\mathbb{Q} is any odd degree extension, then for any non-CM elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , an L -rational ℓ -isogeny on E must satisfy $\ell \leq 37$. Surprisingly, this result extends to cyclic isogenies on elliptic curves whose j -invariants have arbitrarily large degree over \mathbb{Q} : if $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd, then for any elliptic curve E/L that is $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -isogenous to a \mathbb{Q} -rational elliptic curve, all L -rational ℓ -isogenies on E must also satisfy $\ell \leq 37$, see [CN21, Theorem 1.1]. This type of result can be used to study torsion from the family of \mathbb{Q} -curves, see also [BN].

Towards better understanding the fields of definition of cyclic isogenies, let us introduce some terminology. Given an elliptic curve E/F and a cyclic n -isogeny with kernel $C \subseteq E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we say that C (and the isogeny) is *new* if C is not F -rational. For an extension L/F , we say that C is *new for L/F* if C is L -rational but not F -rational; equivalently, C is new for L/F if $F(C) \subseteq L$ and $[F(C) : F] > 1$.

The main theorem of this paper is a uniformity result on fields of definition of new cyclic isogenies for elliptic curves over a fixed number field F_0 . It is phrased as a generalization of [Gen24, Theorem 1], which concerns new torsion points over fixed F_0 .

Theorem 1. *Assume that F_0 is a number field which satisfies the **LV-hypotheses**. Then there exists a constant $B := B(F_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for any finite extension L/F_0 whose degree $[L : F_0]$ is coprime to B , there are no new isogenies for L/F_0 on any elliptic curve over F_0 with j -invariant $\neq 0, 1728$.*

This theorem applies to any number field F_0 which satisfies a particular isogeny condition, called the **LV-hypotheses**:

LV-hypotheses for F_0 . *We assume that the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH) is true, and that F_0 has no rationally defined complex multiplication (RCM), i.e., there do not exist CM elliptic curves over F_0 whose endomorphism rings are contained in F_0 .*

A consequence of work of Larson and Vaintrob is that when a number field F_0 satisfies the LV-hypotheses, there exists a finite set $S_{F_0} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ of primes such that the following holds: if there exists an F_0 -rational isogeny of prime degree ℓ on an elliptic curve, then $\ell \in S_{F_0}$ [LV14, Theorem 1]; see Theorem 9 for the full result. Phrased differently, under the LV-hypotheses there exists a uniform bound on prime degree F_0 -rational isogenies. Thus, Theorem 1 says that if an extension L/F_0 has a degree which satisfies certain coprimality conditions, then prime degrees of L -rational isogenies are bounded in terms of F_0 .

As will be made precise in the following section, the degree of the field of definition of an ℓ -isogeny on E is determined by the size of its orbit under the action of G_{F_0} , which acts on the set of order ℓ subgroups of E . When F_0 satisfies the LV-hypotheses and ℓ is sufficiently large with respect to F_0 , the group $E[\ell]$ of ℓ -torsion points on E is an irreducible $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ -module, which restricts the possible images $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_{F_0})$ of the mod- ℓ Galois representation of E over F_0 . This implies that the image $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_{F_0})$ is

“relatively uniformly large,” as described in Theorem 2 below. This theorem can be viewed as partial progress towards Serre’s uniformity question for number fields larger than \mathbb{Q} which satisfy the LV-hypotheses.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that F_0 is a number field which satisfies the LV-hypotheses. Then there exists a constant $c := c(F_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all primes $\ell > c$, one has the following: for an elliptic curve $E_{/F_0}$, if the image $G := \rho_{E,\ell}(G_{F_0})$ doesn’t equal $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, then it is contained in the normalizer of a Cartan group in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ up to conjugacy.*

Let $N_s(\ell)$ and $N_{ns}(\ell)$ denote the normalizer of the split and nonsplit Cartan group mod- ℓ , respectively. Then up to a choice of basis for $E[\ell]$, one of the following cases holds:

1. *If $G \subseteq N_s(\ell)$ then $C_s(\ell)^e \subseteq G$ for some $e \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$, and G contains the subgroup of scalar matrices. Furthermore, the index of G in $N_s(\ell)$ satisfies*

$$[N_s(\ell) : G] \mid \gcd(\ell - 1, e).$$

2. *If $G \subseteq N_{ns}(\ell)$ then $G = N_{ns}(\ell)$ or $G(\ell)$. If $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then $G = N_{ns}(\ell)$.*

(All of these subgroups are defined in §2.4.)

The conclusion of Theorem 2 is an improvement of [Gen24, Theorem 2] in the case where G is contained in the normalizer $N_{ns}(\ell)$ of the nonsplit Cartan group mod- ℓ , up to conjugacy. More specifically, when ℓ is large, if up to conjugacy G is also contained in the nonsplit Cartan group $C_{ns}(\ell)$, then it follows that G is diagonalizable over the quadratic extension \mathbb{F}_{ℓ^2} of $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $E[\ell] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ has a degree 1 associated character with values in \mathbb{F}_{ℓ^2} . By Theorem 9 this implies that F_0 has RCM, or that GRH fails for $F_0[\sqrt{-\ell}]$. Therefore, assuming both GRH and that F_0 has no RCM, we may conclude that G cannot be contained in $C_{ns}(\ell)$ up to conjugacy when ℓ is large; thus, Theorem 2 follows at once from [Gen24, Theorem 2].

Theorem 1 is in part a consequence of the following result, which can be viewed as a generalization of [CN21, Proposition 3.3] from \mathbb{Q} to F_0 .

Proposition 3. *Given a number field F_0 which satisfies the LV-hypotheses, there exists a constant $c := c(F_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all primes $\ell > c$ and for all elliptic curves $E_{/F_0}$, the field of definition for an ℓ -isogeny of E has even degree over F_0 .*

Remark 1. Both Theorem 2 and Proposition 3 use the same constant $c(F_0)$: this is the least positive integer such that for all primes $\ell \geq c(F_0)$, one has $\ell \geq \max\{74, 15[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}] + 2\}$, ℓ is unramified in F_0 and $\ell \notin S_{F_0}$ from Theorem 9.

In the final section of this paper, we will prove unconditional results for the fields of definition of cyclic isogenies of large prime degree which come from non-CM elliptic curves over a fixed F_0 . This case is excluded when one assumes the LV-hypotheses.

Theorem 4. *Let F_0 be a number field. Fix a prime $\ell \geq \max\{c(F_0), 72[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}]\}$ such that ℓ is unramified in F_0 and in each imaginary quadratic order contained in F_0 . Then for an elliptic curve $E_{/F_0}$ with exactly one F_0 -rational ℓ -isogeny, E must be non-CM, and one has for all order ℓ subgroups $C \subseteq E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ that either $[F_0(C) : F_0] = 1$ or $[F_0(C) : F_0] = \ell$.*

Towards proving Theorem 4, we also prove another result which describes the “relative index” of the mod- ℓ Galois representation of an elliptic curve with exactly one rational ℓ -isogeny. This result is complementary to Theorem 2, and its analysis is aided by the description of the isogeny character in Theorem 9.

Theorem 5. *Let F_0 be a number field. Fix a prime $\ell \geq \max\{c(F_0), 72[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}]\}$ such that ℓ is unramified in F_0 and in each imaginary quadratic order contained in F_0 . Then for an elliptic curve $E_{/F_0}$ with exactly one F_0 -rational ℓ -isogeny, E must be non-CM, and up to conjugacy the image $G := \rho_{E,\ell}(G_{F_0})$ is contained in $B(\ell)$, the Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. Furthermore, if $G \subseteq B(\ell)$ then one has*

$$[B(\ell) : G] \mid 864[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}].$$

2. GALOIS ACTION ON TORSION AND ISOGENIES

In this section, we will set up some notation and terminology for the rest of this paper, as well as describe and prove some basic results.

2.1. The action of Galois on n -torsion points of elliptic curves. For an integer $n > 1$, we let $\mathrm{GL}_2(n) := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ be the group of 2×2 invertible matrices over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. If we let $e_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $e_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ be the unit column vectors over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathrm{GL}_2(n)$ acts by left multiplication on the $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module V generated by e_1 and e_2 , which is free of rank two.

Once and for all, fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of \mathbb{Q} . Given an elliptic curve $E_{/F}$ and an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the n -torsion subgroup $E[n] := E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[n]$ is a free rank two $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module [Sil09, Corollary 6.4]; a choice of basis $\{P, Q\}$ for $E[n]$ gives a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module isomorphism $E[n] \cong V$. In fact, the absolute Galois group $G_F := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ acts $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly on $E[n]$. With respect to a basis $\{P, Q\}$ of $E[n]$, this action is explicitly described by the *mod- n Galois representation*

$$\rho_{E,n,P,Q} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(n).$$

This map is as follows: if $\sigma \in G_F$ acts on $E[n]$ via $P^\sigma = aP + cQ$ and $Q^\sigma = bP + dQ$, then one has $\rho_{E,n,P,Q}(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$. By the Weil pairing, the image of the determinant of $\rho_{E,n,P,Q}(G_F)$ is equal to the image of the mod- n cyclotomic character on G_F .

We will usually write $G := \rho_{E,n}(G_F)$ instead of $\rho_{E,n,P,Q}(G_F)$ for the image of the mod- n Galois representation over F ; this notation is well-defined up to conjugacy, but we will often work with an implicitly fixed basis. One always has $G \cong \mathrm{Gal}(F(E[n])/F)$, where $F(E[n])$ is the n -division field of E over F .

2.2. Cyclic isogenies of elliptic curves. Given an algebraic extension F/\mathbb{Q} and two elliptic curves $E_{/F}$ and $E_{2/F}$, an F -isogeny from E to E_2 is a nontrivial F -rational morphism of curves $\phi : E \rightarrow E_2$ which fixes the point at infinity: $\phi(O_E) = O_{E_2}$. Such an isogeny induces a group homomorphism $\phi : E(F) \rightarrow E_2(F)$ [Sil09, Theorem 4.8]. “Being F -isogenous” defines an equivalence relation on elliptic curves over F , and the

equivalence class of an elliptic curve is called its *F-isogeny class*. When $F = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we also call this the *geometric isogeny class of E*.

Given an isogeny $\phi: E \rightarrow E_2$, the kernel $C := \ker \phi$ is a finite subgroup of $E[\text{tors}] := E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[\text{tors}]$; if C is cyclic of order n , we say that ϕ is a *cyclic n-isogeny*. Since ϕ is F -rational, it follows that C is stable under the action of G_F : that is, for all $\sigma \in G_F$ one has $C^\sigma = C$. Conversely, any cyclic order n subgroup $C \subseteq E[\text{tors}]$ which is stable under the action of G_F induces an F -rational isogeny on E whose kernel is C [Sil09, Exercise 3.13.e]. For this reason, we sometimes refer to cyclic order n subgroups of $E[n]$ as cyclic n -isogenies; when $n = \ell$ is prime, an ℓ -isogeny is automatically cyclic.

The action of G_F on $E[n]$ extends to an action on its set of cyclic order n subgroups. In general, if we let $\text{Cyc}(V)$ denote the set of nontrivial cyclic $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -submodules of the free rank two $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module $V := \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$, then the action of $\text{GL}_2(n)$ on V extends to an action on $\text{Cyc}(V)$: for $C = \langle v \rangle \in \text{Cyc}(V)$ and $m \in \text{GL}_2(n)$, we set $m \cdot C := \langle m \cdot v \rangle$. We will denote the set of cyclic order n subgroups in E by $\text{Cyc}(E, n) := \text{Cyc}(E[n])$. Matters simplify in the case where $n = \ell$ is prime: one has $\#\text{Cyc}(V) = \ell + 1$, since the nontrivial order ℓ subgroups of V are $\langle e_1 \rangle$ and $C_k := \langle ke_1 + e_2 \rangle$, where $0 \leq k < \ell$.

2.3. Orbits and fields of definition. Given an elliptic curve E/F and a torsion point $R \in E[\text{tors}]$, the *field of definition of R over F*, denoted by $F(R)$, is the extension of F of least degree over which R is rational. Equivalently, it is the fixed field of the stabilizer of R under the action of G_F on $E[n]$.

Say $R \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ has order n , and let $G := \rho_{E,n}(G_F)$. We will write $\mathcal{O}_G(R)$ to denote the orbit of R under G (also called the *G-orbit* of R). A key observation regarding orbits and fields of definition is the following. Since the stabilizer of R under G is $\text{Gal}(F(E[n])/F(R))$, the orbit-stabilizer theorem implies that

$$\#\mathcal{O}_G(R) = [F(R) : F].$$

This size is invariant under a change of basis for $E[n]$.

A similar description applies to the field of definition of a cyclic isogeny. Given an elliptic curve E/F and a cyclic n -isogeny $C \in \text{Cyc}(E, n)$, the field of definition of C over F , denoted by $F(C)$, is the least extension of F over which C is stable under the action of Galois. By Galois theory, $F(C)$ is also the fixed field of the stabilizer of C under the action of G_F on $\text{Cyc}(E, n)$. The orbit-stabilizer theorem then implies that

$$\#\mathcal{O}_G(C) = [F(C) : F],$$

which is invariant under a change of basis for $E[n]$.

We will first prove Theorem 1, assuming that Proposition 3 holds. Our proof of Proposition 3 will analyze the degree $[F(C) : F]$ by studying the size of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_G(C)$. We will show that if $F = F_0$ satisfies the LV-hypotheses, then if $n = \ell$ is prime and sufficiently large (specifically $\ell \geq c(F_0)$, see Remark 1), we must have that $\#\mathcal{O}_G(C)$ is even.

2.4. Subgroups of $\text{GL}_2(\ell)$. In this subsection, we will define the groups which appear in Theorem 2.

For $\ell \geq 5$, let us define the split Cartan group mod- ℓ as the subgroup of diagonal matrices,

$$C_s(\ell) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\ell) \right\}.$$

Its normalizer is denoted as $N_s(\ell)$; explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_s(\ell) &= C_s(\ell) \cup \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} C_s(\ell) \\ &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & d \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\ell) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will also define the non-split Cartan group mod- ℓ , denoted $C_{ns}(\ell)$, as follows. For a prime $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we will write $\mathbb{F}_\ell := \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. Fix the least positive integer ϵ which generates \mathbb{F}_ℓ^\times : then we have

$$C_{ns}(\ell) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b\epsilon \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\ell) \right\}.$$

This is the regular representation of $\mathbb{F}_\ell[\sqrt{\epsilon}]^\times$ acting on itself via multiplication with respect to the basis $\{1, \sqrt{\epsilon}\}$. Its normalizer is

$$\begin{aligned} N_{ns}(\ell) &= C_{ns}(\ell) \cup \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} C_{ns}(\ell) \\ &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b\epsilon \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a & b\epsilon \\ -b & -a \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\ell) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us also define the Borel subgroup mod- ℓ as the subgroup of upper triangular matrices,

$$B(\ell) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\ell) \right\}.$$

Also appearing in Theorem 2 is the mod- ℓ subgroup

$$G(\ell) := \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, C_{ns}(\ell)^3 \right\rangle$$

which has index 3 in $N_{ns}(\ell)$. Finally, we will use $Z(\ell)$ to denote the subgroup of scalar matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\ell)$.

2.5. Some elementary bounds on fields of definition. In this subsection, we will prove some basic but useful facts that will help us describe the degrees of fields of definition of torsion points and cyclic isogenies, which will be used in the proof of Proposition 3.

Lemma 6. *Let G be a finite group, and let H, K and M be subgroups of G such that K is a normal subgroup of H . Then we have the index divisibility*

$$[M \cap H : M \cap K] \mid [H : K].$$

Proof. Since $M \cap K = (M \cap H) \cap K$, we see at once that

$$\frac{|M \cap H||K|}{|M \cap K|} = |(M \cap H) \cdot K|.$$

Since H is in the normalizer of K , so is $M \cap H$, whence it follows that $(M \cap H) \cdot K$ is a subgroup of H . We deduce by Lagrange's Theorem that

$$\frac{|M \cap H||K|}{|M \cap K|} \mid |H|.$$

Dividing both sides by $|K|$ proves our result. \square

Using Lemma 6, we will prove Lemma 7 which describes the degree of the extension $F(P)/F(C)$, where P is a torsion point on an elliptic curve E/F and C is the subgroup generated by P .

Lemma 7. *Fix an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and an elliptic curve E/F . Fix a point $P \in E[n]$ of exact order n , and let $C := \langle P \rangle$ be the subgroup generated by P . Then one has*

$$[F(P) : F(C)] \mid \varphi(n),$$

where $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ is Euler's totient function.

Before proving this, we will define some auxiliary subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(n)$. Let us set

$$B_1(n) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(n) \right\}.$$

We will also let $B(n)$ denote the subgroup of upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_2(n)$. We see that $B_1(n)$ is a normal subgroup of $B(n)$, as it is the kernel of the map $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto a$.

One also checks that $\#B(n) = n\varphi(n)^2$ and $\#B_1(n) = n\varphi(n)$.

Remark 2. Given an elliptic curve E/F and an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we find that E has an F -rational order n point $P \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ if and only if $\rho_{E,n,P,Q}(G_F) \subseteq B_1(n)$ for some $Q \in E[n]$. Similarly, E has an F -rational cyclic order n subgroup $C = \langle P \rangle \subseteq E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ if and only if $\rho_{E,n,P,Q}(G_F) \subseteq B(n)$ for some $Q \in E[n]$.

Let us now prove Lemma 7.

Proof. Following the notation in Lemma 6, let us set $G := \mathrm{GL}_2(n)$, $H := B(n)$, $K := B_1(n)$ and $M := \rho_{E,n,P,Q}(G_F)$, where $Q \in E[n]$ is chosen such that $\{P, Q\}$ is a basis for $E[n]$. Then Lemma 6 implies that

$$(1) \quad [\rho_{E,n}(G_F) \cap B(n) : \rho_{E,n}(G_F) \cap B_1(n)] \mid [B(n) : B_1(n)] = \varphi(n).$$

By our choice of basis, we see that the stabilizer of C under $\rho_{E,n}(G_F)$ is $\rho_{E,n}(G_F) \cap B(n)$; by Galois theory, this implies that $\mathrm{Gal}(F(E[n])/F(C)) \cong \rho_{E,n}(G_F) \cap B(n)$. Similarly, the stabilizer of P under $\rho_{E,n}(G_F)$ is $\rho_{E,n}(G_F) \cap B_1(n)$, whence we have $\mathrm{Gal}(F(E[n])/F(P)) \cong \rho_{E,n}(G_F) \cap B_1(n)$. Since

$$[\mathrm{Gal}(F(E[n])/F(C)) : \mathrm{Gal}(F(E[n])/F(P))] = [F(P) : F(C)],$$

Equation (1) becomes

$$[F(P) : F(C)] \mid \varphi(n).$$

This completes our proof. \square

2.6. Orbits under a subgroup. In this subsection, we will describe how orbits under the action of a finite group compare to orbits under its subgroups. This is particularly important for Theorems 4 and 5 (and the part of the proof of Theorem 2 from [Gen24]). Given a finite group G which acts on a set X , one has for any subgroup $H \subseteq G$ that

$$(2) \quad \#\mathcal{O}_G(x) \mid [G : H] \cdot \#\mathcal{O}_H(x).$$

As noted in §2.3, for an elliptic curve E/F and an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, for any cyclic subgroup $C \in \text{Cyc}(E, n)$ one has $\#\mathcal{O}_{\rho_{E,n}(G_F)}(C) = [F(C) : F]$. Thus, for any subgroup $N \subseteq \text{GL}_2(n)$ with $\rho_{E,n}(G_F) \subseteq N$, we have by Equation (2) that

$$\#\mathcal{O}_N(C) \mid [N : \rho_{E,n}(G_F)] \cdot [F(C) : F].$$

In particular, if a prime $p \mid \#\mathcal{O}_N(C)$ does not divide $[N : \rho_{E,n}(G_F)]$, then $p \mid [F(C) : F]$. In Theorem 5 we will take $n = \ell$ to be a uniformly large prime, and the subgroup N to be either $B(\ell)$ or a Cartan subgroup. In both cases, $\#\mathcal{O}_N(C)$ is easy to compute. With a finer analysis, one can also produce bounds on the index $[N : \rho_{E,\ell}(G_F)]$ (see Theorems 2 and 5), and thus deduce information about the degrees $[F(C) : F]$. For example, in Theorem 4 we use this to show that $[F(C) : F] \in \{1, \ell\}$ when ℓ is uniformly large, $G \subseteq B(\ell)$ and G is not diagonalizable.

3. THE PROOFS OF THEOREM 1 AND PROPOSITION 3

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1. First, we will prove Theorem 1 using Proposition 3.

Proof of Theorem 1. Given the constant $c := c(F_0)$ from Proposition 3, let $B := B(F_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be the product of the primes $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $p \leq c$.

Let E/F_0 be an elliptic curve. Fix an extension L/F_0 such that $[L : F_0]$ is coprime to B . Let $C \subseteq E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ be a finite cyclic subgroup that is L -rational; we will show that C must be F_0 -rational. For each divisor $d \mid |C|$, we let $C_d := \frac{|C|}{d}C$ denote the unique subgroup of order d ; this is also L -rational.

Assume that $j(E) \neq 0, 1728$. Then by [Cla, Proposition 3.5], we have a “factorization” of the field of definition $F_0(C)$ from the ℓ -primary parts of $|C|$, via


$$F_0(C) = \prod_{\ell^n \parallel |C|} F_0(C_{\ell^n}).$$

In particular, if each Sylow ℓ -subgroup C_{ℓ^n} of C is F_0 -rational, then so is C . Thus, without loss of generality we will assume that $|C| = \ell^n$ is a prime power.

We will proceed by induction on $n \geq 1$. If $n = 1$, then C is an L -rational ℓ -isogeny, and the degree $[F_0(C) : F_0] \leq \ell + 1$. If $\ell \leq c$, then each prime factor $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ of $[F_0(C) : F_0]$ is at most c , which implies that all primes which divide $[F_0(C) : F_0]$ also divide B . However, since $F_0(C) \subseteq L$ and $[L : F_0]$ is coprime to B , so is $[F_0(C) : F_0]$, which forces $[F_0(C) : F_0] = 1$. If $\ell > c$, then by Proposition 3 we have that $2 \mid [F_0(C) : F_0]$ and thus $2 \mid [L : F_0]$, which is impossible since B is even and $[L : F_0]$ is coprime to B . We

conclude that C is F_0 -rational when $n = 1$. (We have also just shown that the prime degrees of L -rational isogenies are uniformly bounded by $c(F_0)$.)

Assume next that the result is true for cyclic ℓ^k -isogenies, where $1 \leq k < n$. This inductive hypothesis implies that $C_{\ell^{n-1}} = \ell C$ is F_0 -rational, so that $[F_0(C) : F_0] = [F_0(C) : F_0(\ell C)]$. Thus, to show that C is F_0 -rational, it suffices to show that if $[F_0(C) : F_0(\ell C)] \neq 1$, then $[F_0(C) : F_0(\ell C)]$ is divisible by a prime $\ell \leq c$, an impossibility. Let us fix a generator P of C . Then we have the following diagram of field extensions:



It follows that

$$[F_0(C) : F_0(\ell C)] = \frac{[F_0(P) : F_0(\ell P)] \cdot [F_0(\ell P) : F_0(\ell C)]}{[F_0(P) : F_0(C)]}.$$

By Lemma 7 we have $[F_0(\ell P) : F_0(\ell C)] \mid \varphi(\ell^{n-1}) = \ell^{n-2}(\ell - 1)$, and by [GJN20, Proposition 4.6] we know that $[F_0(P) : F_0(\ell P)] \mid \ell^2(\ell - 1)$, whence it follows that

$$[F_0(C) : F_0(\ell C)] \mid \ell^n(\ell - 1)^2.$$

Suppose for contradiction that $F_0(C) \neq F_0$. Thus, there exists a common prime divisor p of $\ell^n(\ell - 1)^2$ and $[L : F_0]$, i.e., there exists prime $p \mid \ell(\ell - 1)$ with $p \mid [L : F_0]$. If $\ell \leq c$ then $p \leq c$, which implies $p \mid B$ – this is impossible since $[L : F_0]$ is coprime to B . We then must have $\ell > c$, which implies by Proposition 3 that $[F_0(\ell^{n-1}C) : F_0]$ is even; however, this is impossible since $\ell^{n-1}C$ is F_0 -rational by the inductive hypothesis. We thus conclude that C is F_0 -rational. This completes the proof by induction. \square

3.2. Proof of Proposition 3. As seen above, Theorem 1 will now follow from Proposition 3. Before proving this proposition, we will need a small lemma.

Lemma 8. *Fix an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and let V be the $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -span of unit column vectors e_1, e_2 over $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then for all integers $1 \leq k, m < n$, one has that $\langle ke_1 + e_2 \rangle = \langle me_1 + e_2 \rangle$ if and only if $k = m$.*

Proof. If $\langle ke_1 + e_2 \rangle = \langle me_1 + e_2 \rangle$, then $me_1 + e_2 \in \langle ke_1 + e_2 \rangle$, and so for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$me_1 + e_2 = x(ke_1 + e_2) = xke_1 + xe_2.$$

By $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -linear independence of e_1 and e_2 , it follows that $m \equiv xk \pmod{n}$ and $1 \equiv x \pmod{n}$; we deduce that $m \equiv k \pmod{n}$, whence from $1 \leq k, m < n$ we conclude that $k = m$. \square

Proof of Proposition 3. Fix a prime $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\ell \geq \max\{74, 15[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}] + 2\}$, ℓ is unramified in F_0 and $\ell \notin S_{F_0}$. We must show that for an elliptic curve $E_{/F_0}$, for any cyclic subgroup $C \in \text{Cyc}(E, \ell)$ one has that $[F_0(C) : F_0]$ is even. By Theorem 2, our assumptions on ℓ imply that, up to conjugacy, $G := \rho_{E, \ell}(G_{F_0})$ either equals one of $\text{GL}_2(\ell)$, $N_{ns}(\ell)$ or $G(\ell)$, or that G is contained in $N_s(\ell)$. The former three groups act transitively on $E[\ell] \setminus \{O\}$, and thus act transitively on $\text{Cyc}(E, \ell)$, which implies that $[F_0(C) : F_0] = \ell + 1$ for all $C \in \text{Cyc}(E, \ell)$ when $G \in \{\text{GL}_2(\ell), N_{ns}(\ell), G(\ell)\}$.

Let us assume then that $G \subseteq N_s(\ell)$ with respect to a basis $\{P, Q\}$. By Theorem 2, we find that $C_s(\ell)^e \subseteq G$ for some $e \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$, and that G contains $Z(\ell)$, the subgroup of scalars of $\text{GL}_2(\ell)$. Note that $G \not\subseteq C_s(\ell)$ since otherwise E has (two distinct) F_0 -rational ℓ -isogenies, which contradicts $\ell \notin S_{F_0}$.

Observe that the subgroups $\langle P \rangle$ and $\langle Q \rangle$ have quadratic fields of definition over F_0 , since the subgroup $H := G \cap C_s(\ell)$ has index two in G ; consequently, both $[F_0(\langle P \rangle) : F_0]$ and $[F_0(\langle Q \rangle) : F_0]$ are even. Thus, we will restrict our attention to showing that the remaining order ℓ subgroups $C_k := \langle kP + Q \rangle$, where $0 < k < \ell$, have even degree fields of definition over F_0 .

Since $G \not\subseteq C_s(\ell)$, there exists an antidiagonal matrix $\gamma := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in G$. The action of γ on $\text{Cyc}(E, \ell)$ sends C_k to $C_{bc^{-1}k^{-1}}$; thus we have $C_{bc^{-1}k^{-1}} \in \mathcal{O}_G(C_k)$. One can check that if $\gamma \cdot C_k = \gamma \cdot C_m$, then $C_{bc^{-1}k^{-1}} = C_{bc^{-1}m^{-1}}$, which by Lemma 8 implies that $k = m$. Thus, if $C_k \neq C_{k^{-1}bc^{-1}}$ for all $0 < k < \ell$, then all orbits $\mathcal{O}_G(C_k)$ have even size, as each pair of distinct subgroups C_k and $\gamma \cdot C_k$ lie in the same G -orbit; this would then imply that the field of definition of each C_k has even degree over F_0 , which finishes the proof. Suppose then that for some k we have $C_k = C_{k^{-1}bc^{-1}}$; by Lemma 8, this forces $c \equiv bk^{-2} \pmod{\ell}$, whence we have $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ bk^{-2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Since $Z(\ell) \subseteq G$, we can scale γ by $b^{-1}k$ and deduce that $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & k \\ k^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in G$.

To this end, we may assume that $\gamma := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ b^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in G$ for some $1 \leq b < \ell$. We check that γ sends any subgroup C_k to $C_{b^2k^{-1}}$. By Lemma 8, we know that $C_k = C_{b^2k^{-1}}$ if and only if $k \equiv \pm b \pmod{\ell}$. Therefore, to show that all orbits of $\text{Cyc}(E, \ell)$ have even size, it is enough to show that C_b and C_{-b} are in the same G -orbit (note that $C_{-b} := C_{\ell-b}$ is distinct from C_b).

With some calculation, one can show that C_b and C_{-b} are in the same G -orbit if and only if $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \in G$ or $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ -b^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in G$. Since $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ -b^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \gamma$, we deduce that C_b and C_{-b} are in the same G -orbit if and only if $\gamma_0 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \in G$.

We will show that $\gamma_0 \in G$. To do this, we will make a few more reductions. Let us set $H := G \cap C_s(\ell)$. By Theorem 2, we have $C_s(\ell)^e \subseteq H$ for some $e \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$. It follows that $\gamma_0 \in H$ if -1 is an e 'th power modulo ℓ . This latter part is true if and only if $\ell = 2$ or $\frac{\ell-1}{\gcd(e, \ell-1)}$ is even. Thus, -1 is an e 'th power modulo ℓ unless $e \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ and $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, or $e = 4$ and $\ell \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$.

We will show that in either of these two cases, we still have $\gamma_0 \in H$. Let $\det(H) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$ denote the subgroup of determinants of matrices in H , and let $\mu_4(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ denote the subgroup of the fourth roots of unity in \mathbb{F}_ℓ . First, we will show that if $\mu_4(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \subseteq \det(H)$ then $\gamma_0 \in H$.

Assume first that $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Observe that $-1 \in \det(H)$ if and only if there exists $m = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -a^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in H$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$. When this happens, it follows that $am = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \in H$, noting that H contains $Z(\ell)$. Since $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we find that a^2 has odd order. Writing this order as $|a^2|$, it follows that $(am)^{|a^2|} = \gamma_0 \in H$. Next, assume that $e = 4$ and $\ell \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$; let us write $i \in \mathbb{F}_\ell$ for a primitive fourth root of unity. Then we have $i \in \det(H)$ iff there exists $m = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & ia^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in H$, and in such a case we have $am = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} \in H$. Thus $(am)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} a^4 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \in H$. Since $8 \nmid \ell - 1$ we have $8 \nmid |a|$, and thus $|a^4|$ is odd, so that $(am)^{2|a^4|} = \gamma_0 \in H$. We conclude that in any case, if $\mu_4(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \subseteq \det(H)$ then $\gamma_0 \in G$.

We will show that $\det(H) = \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$. Fix a prime $\mathcal{L} \mid \ell$ in F_0 and let $K := (F_0)_{\mathcal{L}}$ denote the \mathcal{L} -adic completion of F_0 at \mathcal{L} . Let us use I_K to denote the inertia group of K (throughout this, we are working with a fixed algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ). Since $\det \rho_{E,\ell}(I_K) = \chi_\ell(I_K)$ and ℓ is unramified in F_0 , it follows that $\det \rho_{E,\ell}(I_K)$ surjects onto \mathbb{F}_ℓ^\times .

We will argue that the same holds once we replace F_0 by the fixed field of H , which we denote by M_H . Fix a prime $\mathcal{Q} \mid \mathcal{L}$ in M_H , and let $L := (M_H)_{\mathcal{Q}}$ denote the completion of M_H at \mathcal{Q} . Then the inertia group I_L is contained in H . In particular, it follows that ℓ is unramified in M_H , see also [Ser72, Lemme 2]. Consequently, L/K is unramified, and so $I_K \subseteq I_L$. Thus, from $\det \rho_{E,\ell}(I_K) = \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$ we deduce that $\det \rho_{E,\ell}(I_L) = \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$, whence we conclude that $\det(H) = \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$.

From the work above, we conclude that $\gamma_0 \in G$. In particular, C_b and C_{-b} are distinct and in the same G -orbit, and so all G -orbits of $\text{Cyc}(E, \ell)$ have even size, which is equivalent to the degree $[F_0(C) : F_0]$ being even for all $C \in \text{Cyc}(E, \ell)$. This concludes our proof. \square

4. FIELDS OF DEFINITION OF CYCLIC ISOGENIES IN THE BOREL CASE

In this section we will prove Theorems 4 and 5, which allow us to describe the mod- ℓ Galois representation of a non-CM elliptic curve over a number field with a rational ℓ -isogeny when ℓ is sufficiently large. These results are unconditional, in that they are not predicated on the LV-hypotheses for F_0 .

Recall that if an ℓ -isogeny $C = \langle P \rangle \subseteq E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is G_{F_0} -stable, then the action of G_{F_0} on C induces a homomorphism $r: G_{F_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$ called the *isogeny character of C* . This character is described as follows: for $\sigma \in G_{F_0}$, one has $P^\sigma = r(\sigma)P$.

The proof of Theorem 4 will follow from Theorem 5. The proof of this latter theorem analyzes the isogeny character of an elliptic curve over F_0 , using the following uniformity result from Larson and Vaintrob.

Theorem 9. [LV14, Theorem 1] *Let F_0 be a number field. Then there exists a finite set S_{F_0} of prime numbers such that the following holds. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be a prime not in S_{F_0} , and let $E_{/F_0}$ be an elliptic curve. Suppose that $E[\ell] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ is reducible, with a degree 1 associated character $r: G_{F_0} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^\times$. Then one of the following two cases holds.*

- (1) *There exists a CM elliptic curve $E'_{/F_0}$ with rational CM over F_0 , and associated characters $\psi, \psi': G_{F_0} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^\times$ such that*

$$\rho_{E', \ell}(G_{F_0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell} = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} \psi & 0 \\ 0 & \psi' \end{bmatrix}$$

up to conjugation, and

$$r^{12} = \psi^{12}.$$

- (2) *GRH fails for $F_0[\sqrt{-\ell}]$, and one has*

$$r^{12} = \chi_\ell^6$$

where $\chi_\ell: G_{F_0} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^\times$ is the mod- ℓ cyclotomic character. Moreover, in this case one has that $E[\ell]$ is reducible over \mathbb{F}_ℓ , and $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Remark 3. Under the LV-hypotheses, an effectively computable bound on the product of the primes from S_{F_0} is given in [LV14, Theorem 7.9]. For certain quadratic fields, a significantly better bound on the primes in S_{F_0} has been given by Banwait [Ban23, Corollary 1.9].

Remark 4. For $\ell \notin S_{F_0}$, if an elliptic curve $E_{/F_0}$ has an F_0 -rational ℓ -isogeny, then $E[\ell]$ is reducible and the conclusions hold for the isogeny character $r: G_{F_0} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^\times$. In case (1) of Theorem 9, if we assume that ℓ is unramified in the endomorphism ring of E' , we find that the CM mod- ℓ Galois representation $G' := \rho_{E', \ell}(G_{F_0})$ is contained in the split or nonsplit Cartan subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\ell)$, up to conjugacy. In the split case, $E'[\ell]$ is a reducible G_{F_0} -module, and the associated character ψ takes values in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^\times$; in particular, ψ is the isogeny character of an F_0 -rational ℓ -isogeny on E' . However, in the nonsplit case, $E'[\ell]$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_ℓ but absolutely reducible: we have that $G' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathbb{F}_\ell[\sqrt{\epsilon}]$ is diagonalizable, since the Eigenvalues of matrices in $C_{ns}(\ell)$ come in pairs $a \pm b\sqrt{\epsilon}$; thus, ψ takes values in $\mathbb{F}_\ell[\sqrt{\epsilon}]^\times$.

Let us first prove Theorem 5, which provides a relative uniform bound on the index of the mod- ℓ Galois representation of an elliptic curve $E_{/F_0}$ with exactly one F_0 -rational ℓ -isogeny when ℓ is sufficiently large; since $\rho_{E, \ell}(G_{F_0})$ is non-diagonalizable, such an elliptic curve must be non-CM. A similar analysis of isogeny characters can be found in [Gen22].

Proof of Theorem 5. Let $\langle P \rangle$ be an F_0 -rational ℓ -isogeny on E . Then we can choose $Q \in E[\ell]$ such that $\{P, Q\}$ is a basis for $E[\ell]$, and with respect to this basis we have

$$G := \rho_{E, \ell, P, Q}(G_{F_0}) = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} r & * \\ 0 & \chi_\ell r^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\chi_\ell: G_{F_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$ is the mod- ℓ cyclotomic character, and r is the isogeny character of $\langle P \rangle$. Let G^{ss} be the semisimplification of G . Explicitly, we have

$$G^{\text{ss}} = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_\ell r^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since E has exactly one F_0 -rational ℓ -isogeny, we know that G is not diagonalizable, thus by [Gen22, Lemma 4] we have $G^{\text{ss}} \subseteq G$. It also follows by the proof of [Gen22, Lemma 4] that $\gamma := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in G$, and thus $G = \langle \gamma, G^{\text{ss}} \rangle$. Since we also have $B(\ell) = \langle \gamma, C_s(\ell) \rangle$, we deduce that

$$(3) \quad [B(\ell) : G] = [C_s(\ell) : G^{\text{ss}}].$$

The rest of this proof will be showing that $[C_s(\ell) : G^{\text{ss}}] \mid 864[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}]$.

First, let us assume that GRH is true. Then by Theorem 9, the existence of the F_0 -rational ℓ -isogeny $\langle P \rangle$ implies the following: there exists a CM elliptic curve $E'_{/F_0}$ whose CM field K is contained in F_0 , such that E' has an associated character $\psi: G_{F_0} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}^\times$ which satisfies

$$(4) \quad r^{12} = \psi^{12}.$$

By our initial hypotheses, ℓ is unramified in the ring $\mathcal{O} := \text{End}(E')$, regarded as an order of the CM field K . By [BC20, Corollary 1.5], up to conjugation we have the bound

$$(5) \quad [C_\ell(\mathcal{O}) : G'] \mid 6[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}]$$

where $G' := \rho_{E', \ell}(G_{F_0})$ and $C_\ell(\mathcal{O})$ is either $C_s(\ell)$ or $C_{ns}(\ell)$ depending on whether ℓ is split or inert in \mathcal{O} , respectively.

We will show that ℓ must be split in \mathcal{O} . Assume to the contrary that ℓ is inert in \mathcal{O} ; then as noted in Remark 4, the elements of G' have Eigenvalues over $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2} := F_\ell[\sqrt{\ell}]$ which are Galois conjugates of one another. Writing

$$G' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2} = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} \psi & 0 \\ 0 & \psi' \end{bmatrix},$$

it follows that

$$(6) \quad \#G' = \#\psi(G_{F_0}).$$

Now, for any nonzero torsion point $R' \in E'[\ell]$, since $G' \subseteq C_{ns}(\ell)$ we have by Equations (2) and (5) the orbit size divisibility

$$\#\mathcal{O}_{C_{ns}(\ell)}(R') \mid 6[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot [F_0(R') : F_0],$$

noting that $\#\mathcal{O}_{G'}(R) = [F_0(R') : F_0]$. Since the action of $C_{ns}(\ell)$ on $E'[\ell] \setminus \{O\}$ is transitive, this implies that

$$\ell^2 - 1 \mid 6[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot [F_0(R') : F_0].$$

However, from $r^{12} = \psi^{12}$ we find that

$$\text{gcd}(\#\psi(G_{F_0}), 12) \cdot \#r(G_{F_0}) = \text{gcd}(\#r(G_{F_0}), 12) \cdot \#\psi(G_{F_0}),$$

and thus

$$\#\psi(G_{F_0}) \mid 12 \cdot \#r(G_{F_0}) \mid 12(\ell - 1).$$

Since $[F_0(R') : F_0] \mid \#G'$, and because $\#G' = \#\psi(G_{F_0})$ by Equation (6), we deduce that

$$\ell^2 - 1 \mid 6[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot 12(\ell - 1),$$

and so

$$\ell + 1 \mid 72[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}].$$

However, this is impossible since $\ell \geq 72[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}]$. We deduce that ℓ splits in \mathcal{O} .

Since ℓ splits in \mathcal{O} , we can choose a basis for $E'[\ell]$ such that G' has the form

$$G' = \text{im} \begin{bmatrix} \psi & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_\ell \psi^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, from Equation (4) it follows that $(G^{\text{ss}})^{12} = (G')^{12}$. With this, we have the containments $C_s(\ell) \supseteq G^{\text{ss}} \supseteq (G^{\text{ss}})^{12} = (G')^{12}$, whence it follows that

$$[C_s(\ell) : G^{\text{ss}}] \mid [C_s(\ell) : (G')^{12}].$$

Since $[G' : (G')^{12}] \mid 144$, this shows that

$$[C_s(\ell) : G^{\text{ss}}] \mid 144[C_s(\ell) : G'].$$

By Equation (5), we thus conclude that

$$[C_s(\ell) : G^{\text{ss}}] \mid 864[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}].$$

Since $[B(\ell) : G] = [C_s(\ell) : G^{\text{ss}}]$ by Equation (3), this concludes our proof assuming that GRH is true.

Suppose next that GRH fails (for $F_0[\sqrt{-\ell}]$). Then by Theorem 9 we have $r^{12} = \chi_\ell^6$, i.e., $r^6 = (\chi_\ell r^{-1})^6$. It follows that

$$(7) \quad (G^{\text{ss}})^6 = r^6(G_{F_0})I.$$

Since ℓ is unramified in F_0 , we find that $\chi_\ell : G_{F_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$ is surjective; thus, from $r^{12} = \chi_\ell^6$ it follows that

$$\#r(G_{F_0}) \cdot \gcd(\ell - 1, 6) = \gcd(\#r(G_{F_0}), 12) \cdot (\ell - 1).$$

This implies that the image $r(G_{F_0})$ of our isogeny character is “relatively uniformly large” in \mathbb{F}_ℓ^\times :

$$[\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times : r(G_{F_0})] = \frac{\gcd(\ell - 1, 6)}{\gcd(\#r(G_{F_0}), 12)},$$

so that $[\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times : r(G_{F_0})] \mid 6$. It follows by Equation (7) that $[C_s(\ell) : (G^{\text{ss}})^6] \mid 36$. Therefore, we have

$$[C_s(\ell) : G^{\text{ss}}] = \frac{[C_s(\ell) : (G^{\text{ss}})^6]}{[G^{\text{ss}} : (G^{\text{ss}})^6]} \mid 36.$$

Since $36 \mid 864[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}]$, this concludes our proof. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. With our assumptions, we can choose a basis $\{P, Q\}$ of $E[\ell]$ such that $G := \rho_{E, \ell, P, Q}(G_{F_0}) \subseteq B(\ell)$. Since E has exactly one F_0 -rational ℓ -isogeny, we know that G is not diagonalizable. It follows by the proof of Theorem 5 that $[B(\ell) : G] = [C_s(\ell) : G^{\text{ss}}]$, where G^{ss} denotes the semisimplification of G , see also Equation (3). Thus, Equation (2) implies that for each subgroup $C \in \text{Cyc}(E, \ell)$, one has the orbit size divisibility

$$\#\mathcal{O}_{B(\ell)}(C) \mid [C_s(\ell) : G^{\text{ss}}] \cdot [F_0(C) : F_0].$$

By Theorem 5, this implies that

$$\#\mathcal{O}_{B(\ell)}(C) \mid 864[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot [F_0(C) : F_0].$$

The orbits of $\text{Cyc}(E, \ell)$ under $B(\ell)$ are easy to compute. We check that $\mathcal{O}_{B(\ell)}(\langle P \rangle) = \{\langle P \rangle\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{B(\ell)}(\langle P+Q \rangle) = \{\langle kP+Q \rangle : 0 \leq k < \ell\}$, with the latter orbit having size ℓ . Since $\ell > \max\{2, 3, [F_0 : \mathbb{Q}]\}$ (from $\ell \geq c(F_0)$), we have $\ell \nmid 864[F_0 : \mathbb{Q}]$; thus, for $C \neq \langle P \rangle$ we have $\ell \mid [F_0(C) : F_0]$, which by $[F_0(C) : F_0] \leq \ell + 1$ forces $[F_0(C) : F_0] = \ell$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ban23] B. Banwait, *Explicit isogenies of prime degree over quadratic fields*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 14 (2023), 11829–11876.
- [BC20] A. Bourdon and P.L. Clark, *Torsion points and isogenies on CM elliptic curves*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 102 (2020), 580–622.
- [BN] A. Bourdon and F. Najman, *Sporadic points of odd degree on $X_1(N)$ coming from \mathbb{Q} -curves*, preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.10909>.
- [Cla] P.L. Clark, *CM Elliptic Curves: Volcanoes, Reality and Applications*, preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.13316>.
- [CN21] J. Cremona and F. Najman, *\mathbb{Q} -curves over odd degree number fields*, Res. Number Theory 7 (2021), Paper No. 62, 30.
- [FL] L. Furio and D. Lombardo, *Serre’s uniformity question and proper subgroups of $C_{ns}^+(p)$* , preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.17780>.
- [Gen22] T. Genao, *Typically bounding torsion on elliptic curves with rational j -invariant*, J. Number Theory 238 (2022), 823–841.
- [Gen24] T. Genao, *Growth of torsion groups of elliptic curves upon base change from number fields*, Ramanujan J. 63 (2024), 2, 409–429.
- [GJN20] E. González-Jiménez and F. Najman, *Growth of torsion groups of elliptic curves upon base change*, Math. Comp. 89 (2020), 1457–1485.
- [Ken82] M.A. Kenku, *On the number of \mathbb{Q} -isomorphism classes of elliptic curves in each \mathbb{Q} -isogeny class*, J. Number Theory 15 (1982), no 2., 199–202.
- [LV14] E. Larson and D. Vaintrob, *Determinants of subquotients of Galois representations associated with abelian varieties, with an appendix by Brian Conrad*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 13 (2014), 517–559.
- [Maz78] B. Mazur, *Rational isogenies of prime degree (with an appendix by D. Goldfeld)*, Invent. Math. 44 (1978), no. 2, 129–162.
- [Mom95] F. Momose, *Isogenies of prime degree over number fields*, Compositio Math. 97 (1995), no. 3, 329–348.
- [Ser72] J.-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d’ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. 15 (1972), 259–331.
- [Sil09] J. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, 2nd Ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106, Springer, Dordrecht (2009).