

MACK MODES IN SUPERSONIC BOUNDARY LAYER

NADER MASMOUDI, YUXI WANG, DI WU, AND ZHIFEI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Understanding the transition mechanism of boundary layer flows is of great significance in physics and engineering, especially due to the current development of supersonic and hypersonic aircraft. In this paper, we construct multiple unstable acoustic modes so-called **Mack modes**, which play a crucial role during the early stage of transition in the supersonic boundary layer. To this end, we develop an inner-outer gluing iteration to solve a hyperbolic-elliptic mixed type and singular system.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Physical background. The investigation of the transition mechanism of boundary layer flows is of great significance in physics and engineering, especially due to the current development of supersonic and hypersonic aircraft. The early stage of boundary layer transition often involves the instability caused by viscous disturbance waves, commonly referred to as Tollmien–Schlichting (T–S) waves. The origins of T-S waves can be traced back to influential contributions by Heisenberg, Tollmien, Schlichting, C. C. Lin, etc. [5, 12, 18], who found lower and upper marginal stability branches. See a curve of neutral stability of type (b) in FIGURE 1. In a breakthrough work [9], Grenier, Guo and Nyugen first gave a rigorous mathematical construction of T-S waves of temporal mode by developing the Rayleigh–Airy iteration scheme(see Remark 2.1 for more explanation). Chen and the last two authors [4] confirm the existence of neutral curve for T-S wave mathematically, and readers also refer to [1]. Let us refer to [2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10] and references therein for more works on nonlinear stability/instability of boundary layer flows.




FIGURE 1. Curves of neutral stability of a plane boundary layer for 2D incompressible perturbations. (a) “inviscid” instability; (b) “viscous” instability. This picture is from Fig. 15.9. in Chapter 15 in [18].

For the subsonic flow, Yang and Zhang [20] gave the first rigorous construction of the compressible T-S waves of temporal mode, by developing a quasi-compressible and Stokes iteration. Recently,

for the whole subsonic regime, we [15] constructed unstable T-S waves by introducing a new quasi-incompressible-compressible iteration scheme. It should be noted that there is only a single unstable solution for a given phase velocity. This type of unstable mode is referred to as a single mode.

In the supersonic case, the situation becomes much more complicated, and instability phenomenon is different from the subsonic case. It is documented in the physical literature by physicist Mark (see [18]) that

Using a numerical computation shows that there is an infinite number of neutral wave numbers or modes with the same phase velocity if supersonic regime arises in the boundary layer. These perturbations can be viscous or inviscid and do not depend on whether the boundary layer has a generalized point of inflection.

Readers refer to [14] for an extensive summary by Mack. The multiple unstable modes are also called **Mack(acoustic) modes**. According to physical observation, the multiple unstable acoustic modes of supersonic boundary layer correspond to the inviscid instability and driven by the effect of *compressibility* instead of the profile of basic flow. This is completely different from T-S waves in the subsonic case, where the instability mechanisms are caused by the *viscous sublayer*. Smith [19] found that T-S waves do not exist in the 2D supersonic flow. In the 3D supersonic flow, both T-S waves and acoustic modes are present, with acoustic modes exhibiting the strongest instability when $M_a \geq 2.2$ [14]. See the appearance of acoustic modes in FIGURE 2. Mack [14] pointed out that this instability is primarily generated by the inviscid part, with viscosity playing a stabilizing role. We refer to [11, 13, 17] for the inviscid instability results in the incompressible and subsonic cases.




FIGURE 2. Appearance of acoustic modes. This picture is from Fig. 15.33. in Chapter 15 in [18].

1.2. Problem and Main results. As mentioned in the previous subsection, the inviscid instability predominates in the supersonic case. Thus, we consider the 2D non-isentropic Euler equations in a half-plane $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \rho(\partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \gamma^{-1} M_a^{-2} \nabla p = 0, \\ \rho(\partial_t \mathcal{T} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{T}) = -(\gamma - 1)p \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}, \\ p = \rho \mathcal{T}, \\ v(X, 0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$ is the velocity, ρ is the density, \mathcal{T} is the temperature, and p is the pressure respectively. $\gamma > 1$ is the ratio of the specific heats and $M_a > 0$ is the Mach number. In this paper, we

take $\gamma = 1.4$ in accordance with the specifications from both physical papers and numerical papers, as indicated in [14, 19].

Inspired by Orr-Sommerfeld approach, we explore the instability of supersonic flow by considering the linearized non-isentropic Euler equation around the basic flow $(\rho_0(Y), u_0(Y), 0, T_0(Y))$, which is given by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \partial_X(u_0 \rho + u \rho_0) + \partial_Y(v \rho_0) = 0, \\ \rho_0(\partial_t u + u_0 \partial_X u + v \partial_Y u_0) + \gamma^{-1} M_a^{-2} \partial_X p = 0, \\ \rho_0(\partial_t v + u_0 \partial_X v) + \gamma^{-1} M_a^{-2} \partial_Y p = 0, \\ \rho_0(\partial_t \mathcal{T} + u_0 \partial_X \mathcal{T} + v \partial_Y T_0) = \gamma^{-1}(\gamma - 1)(\partial_t p + u_0 \partial_X p), \\ p = \rho T_0 + \mathcal{T} \rho_0, \\ v(0) = \rho(\infty) = u(\infty) = v(\infty) = \mathcal{T}(\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here the basic flow $(\rho_0(Y), u_0(Y), 0, T_0(Y))$, a steady solution to (1.1), satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad \rho_0(Y) T_0(Y) = 1, \quad T_0(Y) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - 1) M_a^2 (1 - u_0^2(Y)),$$

where the temperature $T_0(Y)$ is considered with a thermally insulating wall. We introduce the following structure assumptions on $U_B(Y) = u_0(Y)$:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} U_B(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{Y \rightarrow +\infty} U_B(Y) = 1, \\ 0 < U_B(Y) < 1, \quad \text{for } Y \in (0, +\infty), \quad \partial_Y U_B(Y) > 0, \quad \text{for } Y \in [0, +\infty), \\ \sup_{Y \geq 0} |e^{\eta_0 Y} \partial_Y^k (U_B(Y) - 1)| \leq C, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

Especially, the famous Blasius flow satisfies (1.4).

We construct the solution of (1.2) with the form

$$(\rho, u, v, \mathcal{T}, p) = (\varrho, U, V, T, P)(Y) e^{i\alpha(X-ct)} + c.c.,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha > 0$ and $(\varrho, U, V, T, P)(Y)$ satisfies the system

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} i\alpha(U_B - c)\varrho + i\alpha\rho_0 U + \partial_Y(V\rho_0) = 0, \\ i\alpha(U_B - c)(U\rho_0) + \rho_0 V \partial_Y U_B + i\alpha\gamma^{-1} M_a^{-2} P = 0, \\ i\alpha(U_B - c)(V\rho_0) + \gamma^{-1} M_a^{-2} \partial_Y P = 0, \\ i\alpha(U_B - c)(\rho_0 T) + \rho_0 V \partial_Y T_0 = \gamma^{-1}(\gamma - 1)i\alpha(U_B - c)P, \\ P = \varrho T_0 + T\rho_0, \end{cases}$$

with boundary condition

$$(1.6) \quad V(0) = \varrho(\infty) = U(\infty) = V(\infty) = T(\infty) = 0.$$

If the boundary value problem (1.5)-(1.6) has a non-trivial solution for some $c = c_r + ic_i$ with $c_i > 0$ and wave number $\alpha > 0$, then the basic flow is spectrally unstable, and the mode (α, c) is called an unstable mode. The goal of this work is to construct unstable modes to the system (1.5) -(1.6) for $c_r \in (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$ and $0 < c_i \ll c_r$.

We introduce the relative Mach number $\bar{M}(Y)$ defined by

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{M}(Y) = \frac{M_a(U_B(Y) - c)}{T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y)} = \bar{M}_r(Y) + i\bar{M}_i(Y).$$

Since the Mach number $M_a > 1$ and $0 < c_i \ll c_r$, we notice that $|\bar{M}_r| > 1$ near the boundary and $|\bar{M}_r| < 1$ near infinity, which indicate that the system (1.5) is hyperbolic near the boundary and elliptic near infinity, see Section 2.1.

To state our main results, we introduce a set $\mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a)$, which is a restriction on the background flow U_B and Mach number $M_a > 1$:

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) = \left\{ c_r \in [T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)M_a^{-1}, 1 + M_a^{-1}] : J(c_r; M_a) \neq 0 \right\},$$

where the integral $J(c_r; M_a)$ is given by

$$J(c_r; M_a) = \int_0^{Y_0(c_r)} (\bar{M}_r^2 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{Q}_1(Z; c_r, M_a) dZ.$$

Here Y_0 is the unique turning point satisfying $\bar{M}_r(Y) = 1$ and

$$\tilde{Q}_1(Y; c_r, M_a) = \tilde{Q}_1(Y; Y_0(c_r), c_r, M_a) = \frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}_r}{\bar{M}_r} - \frac{2(\partial_Y \bar{M}_r)^2}{\bar{M}_r^2} - \frac{3(\partial_Y^2 \eta)^2}{4(\partial_Y \eta)^2} - \frac{\partial_Y^3 \eta}{2\partial_Y \eta},$$

where $\eta(Y)$ satisfies $\partial_Y(\bar{M}_r^2 - 1)(Y_0)\eta(Y)(\partial_Y \eta(Y))^2 = (\bar{M}_r^2(Y) - 1)$ with $\eta(Y_0) = 0$.

Our main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (General monotone flow). *Assume that $U_B(Y)$ satisfies the structure assumption (1.4) and $\mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1) \neq \emptyset$ for some Mach number $M_a > 1$. Then for any $c_r \in \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$, there exists a sequence $\{(\alpha_k, c_{i,k})\}_{k=1}^\infty$ with $c_{i,k} = c_i(\alpha_k)$ such that the linearized Euler system (1.2) has the solutions $(\rho_k, u_k, v_k, \mathcal{T}_k, p_k)(t, X, Y)$ with the form*

$$(\rho_k, u_k, v_k, \mathcal{T}_k, p_k)(t, X, Y) = (\varrho_k, U_k, V_k, T_k, P_k)(Y) e^{i\alpha_k X} e^{-i\alpha_k c_k t},$$

where $(\varrho_k, U_k, V_k, T_k, P_k)(Y) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) \times W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)^2 \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) \times W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ are solutions to (1.5) for the pair (α_k, c_k) with $c_k = c_r + i c_{i,k}$. Moreover, there exists $\alpha_0 \gg 1$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k &\geq \alpha_0, \quad \frac{3}{2}\pi < C_1(c_r)(\alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k) < \frac{5}{2}\pi, \\ 0 < c_{i,k} &\sim \alpha_k^{-3} e^{-C(c_r)\alpha_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1(c_r)$ and $C(c_r)$ are positive constants dependent on c_r , but independent of α .

Remark 1.2. *Based on our construction, we know that the condition $\mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1) = \emptyset$ is related to the neutral modes. We point out that the set of $\mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$ is not an empty set. Indeed, the condition $\mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1) \neq \emptyset$ holds when $U_B(Y)$ is an analytic function. The precise statement is given in Proposition 7.2.*

Remark 1.3. *When the wave number α lies in the middle regime, the instability is stronger. For this case, the framework for constructing the unstable modes will be completely different, and we will study this subject in our forthcoming paper.*

We can derive the following results from Theorem 1.1 for some analytic basic flows.

Theorem 1.4 (Analytic flow). *Assume that $U_B(Y)$ is an analytic function and satisfies the structure assumption (1.4). Let $M_a \geq M_0$, where $M_0 > 1$ is given in Proposition 7.2. Then there exists $C_1(M_a), \dots, C_N(M_a)$ with $1 - M_a^{-1} < C_1 < \dots < C_N < 1$, such that for any $c_r \in (C_1, C_2) \cup (C_3, C_4) \cup \dots \cup (C_{N-1}, C_N)$, the results in Theorem 1.1 hold.*

For the Blasius flow, we can weaken the lower bound on the Mach number M_a .

Theorem 1.5 (Blasius flow). *Let $U_B(Y)$ be the Blasius profile and $M_a \geq 3$, then there exists $C_1(M_a), \dots, C_N(M_a)$ with $1 - M_a^{-1} < C_1 < \dots < C_N < 1$, such that for any $c_r \in (C_1, C_2) \cup (C_3, C_4) \cup \dots \cup (C_{N-1}, C_N)$, the results in Theorem 1.1 hold.*

The lower bound for $M_a \geq 3$ in Theorem 1.5 is not optimal. Our result to the Blasius flow is also valid for $M_a \geq 2.2$ as the physical literature [14, 18] said. For $M_a \in (1, 2.2)$, the most unstable mode is the T-S waves (for 3D) and vorticity modes (for 2D), see [14, 18].

1.3. Notations. Throughout this paper, we denote by C a constant independent of α , which may be different from line to line. $A \lesssim B$ means that there exists a constant C independent of α such that $A \leq CB$. Similar definitions hold for $A \gtrsim B$. Moreover, we use the notation $A \sim B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$. The weighted Sobolev space $W_{w_0}^{k,\infty}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) has the norm $\|f\|_{W_{w_0}^{k,\infty}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^k \|\partial_Y^\ell f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)}$, where the definition of norm $\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ is given by (3.13).

2. MAIN IDEAS AND SKETCH OF PROOF

2.1. The equation of the pressure. To solve the complicated eigenvalue system (1.5), we first drive an equation for the pressure, which reflects the main characteristic of the compressible effect.

2.1.1. Refomulation via the pressure. From the equations of velocity in (1.5), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} V\rho_0 &= -\frac{\partial_Y P}{i\alpha(U_B - c)\gamma M_a^2}, & \partial_Y(V\rho_0) &= -\frac{\partial_Y^2 P}{i\alpha(U_B - c)\gamma M_a^2} + \frac{\partial_Y U_B \partial_Y P}{i\alpha(U_B - c)^2 \gamma M_a^2}, \\ i\alpha U \rho_0 &= \frac{\partial_Y U_B \partial_Y P}{i\alpha(U_B - c)^2 \gamma M_a^2} - \frac{i\alpha P}{(U_B - c)\gamma M_a^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which along with the continuity equation implies

$$(2.1) \quad i\alpha(U_B - c)\varrho + \frac{2\partial_Y U_B \partial_Y P}{i\alpha(U_B - c)^2 \gamma M_a^2} - \frac{i\alpha P}{(U_B - c)\gamma M_a^2} - \frac{\partial_Y^2 P}{i\alpha(U_B - c)\gamma M_a^2} = 0.$$

On the other hand, from the last two equations in (1.5), we obtain

$$i\alpha(U_B - c)\varrho = -\frac{i\alpha(U_B - c)(T\rho_0)}{T_0} + \frac{i\alpha(U_B - c)P}{T_0},$$

and

$$i\alpha(U_B - c)(T\rho_0) = \gamma^{-1}(\gamma - 1)i\alpha(U_B - c)P + \frac{\partial_Y T_0 \partial_Y P}{i\alpha(U_B - c)\gamma M_a^2},$$

which implies

$$(2.2) \quad i\alpha(U_B - c)\varrho = -\frac{\partial_Y T_0 \partial_Y P}{i\alpha(U_B - c)\gamma M_a^2 T_0} + \frac{i\alpha(U_B - c)P}{\gamma T_0}.$$

Therefore, by (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain

$$\partial_Y^2 P - \left(\frac{2\partial_Y U_B}{U_B - c} - \frac{\partial_Y T_0}{T_0} \right) \partial_Y P - \alpha^2 \left(1 - \frac{M_a^2 (U_B - c)^2}{T_0} \right) P = 0,$$

which along with $\bar{M} = M_a(U_B - c)/T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $F(Y) = 1 - \bar{M}^2$ and the fact that

$$\frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} = \frac{\partial_Y U_B}{U_B - c} - \frac{\partial_Y T_0}{2T_0},$$

implies

$$\partial_Y^2 P - \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y P - \alpha^2 F(Y)P = 0.$$

The boundary condition (1.6) is equivalent to

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_Y P(0) = \lim_{Y \rightarrow \infty} \partial_Y^j P(Y) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

Once we obtain a solution $P(Y)$, we can rebuild $(\varrho, U, V, T)(Y)$ by the following formulas

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} V(Y) &= \frac{iT_0 \partial_Y P}{\gamma M_a^2 \alpha (U_B - c)}, & U(Y) &= -\frac{T_0 P}{\gamma M_a^2 (U_B - c)} - \frac{T_0 \partial_Y P \partial_Y U_B}{\gamma M_a^2 \alpha^2 (U_B - c)^2}, \\ \varrho(Y) &= \frac{P}{\gamma M_a^2 (U_B - c)^2} + \frac{\partial_Y P \partial_Y U_B}{\gamma M_a^2 \alpha^2 (U_B - c)^3} - \frac{1}{\gamma M_a^2 \alpha (U_B - c)^2} \partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y P}{U_B - c} \right), \\ T(Y) &= PT_0 - \varrho T_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we only need to construct a non-zero solution P to the following equation

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_Y^2 P(Y) - \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y P(Y) - \alpha^2 F(Y) P(Y) = 0, & Y > 0, \\ \partial_Y P(0) = P(\infty) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{M}(Y) = \frac{M_a(U_B - c)}{\sqrt{T_0}}, \quad T_0(Y) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - 1)M_a^2(1 - U_B^2(Y)), \quad F(Y) = 1 - \bar{M}^2(Y),$$

and $c = c_r + ic_i$ with $0 < c_i \ll 1$.

2.1.2. *Mixed type and singularity.* We denote

$$\mathcal{L}[P] := \partial_Y^2 P(Y) - \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y P(Y) - \alpha^2 F(Y) P(Y), \quad F(Y) = F_r(Y) + F_i(Y),$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad F_r(Y) = 1 - \bar{M}_r^2 = 1 - \frac{M_a^2(U_B - c_r)^2}{T_0(Y)}, \quad F_i(Y) = \frac{M_a^2(ic_i(U_B - c_r) + c_i^2)}{T_0(Y)}.$$

For (α, c) belonging to \mathbb{H}_0 (see the definition (3.8)), there are two crucial points related to Y .

• **Turning point Y_0 : changing the type of operator \mathcal{L} .**

There exists a unique $Y_0 \sim 1$ such that $F_r(Y_0) = 0$. Moreover, $F_r(Y) < 0$ and $F_r(Y) > 0$ for $0 \leq Y < Y_0$ and $Y_0 < Y < +\infty$ respectively. Indeed, by the definition of $F_r(Y)$, we have

$$F_r(Y_0) = 0 \iff M_a^2(U_B(Y_0) - c_r)^2 = T_0(Y_0) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - 1)M_a^2(1 - U_B^2(Y_0)),$$

which implies that $U_B(Y_0)$ is a solution to the following quadratic equation

$$\frac{1}{2}(\gamma + 1)M_a^2 x^2 - 2M_a^2 c_r x + M_a^2(c_r^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - 1)) - 1 = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $\Delta = (\gamma^2 - 1) + 2(\gamma + 1)M_a^{-2} - 2(\gamma - 1)c_r^2 > (\gamma + 1 - 2c_r)^2$. Then we use the fact $0 \leq U_B(Y) \leq 1$ for any $Y \geq 0$ to deduce

$$U_B(Y_0) = \frac{2c_r - \sqrt{\Delta}}{\gamma + 1}, \quad Y_0 \sim 1.$$

By the definition of $F_r(Y)$, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} Y < Y_0 &\iff F_r(Y) < 0 \iff |\bar{M}_r| > 1 : \text{supersonic regime,} \\ Y > Y_0 &\iff F_r(Y) > 0 \iff |\bar{M}_r| < 1 : \text{subsonic regime.} \end{aligned}$$

Then we find that

$$\mathcal{L}[P] \sim \begin{cases} (\partial_Y^2 - \alpha^2 |F_r(Y)|)P - \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y P, & \underbrace{Y > Y_0}_{\text{subsonic regime}} : \text{elliptic type operator,} \\ (\partial_Y^2 + \alpha^2 |F_r(Y)|)P - \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y P, & \underbrace{0 \leq Y < Y_0}_{\text{supersonic regime}} : \text{hyperbolic type operator.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{L}[\cdot]$ is a hyperbolic-elliptic mixed-type operator.

• **The singularity: critical layer.**

There exists a critical layer located at Y_c , where $U_B(Y_c) = c_r$. By the definition of \bar{M} , we have

$$\bar{M}(Y_c) = \frac{-iM_a c_i}{T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y_c)} \sim -iM_a c_i \implies \frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}(Y_c)}{\bar{M}(Y_c)} \sim ic_i^{-1} M_a^{-1}.$$

Therefore, in a neighborhood of Y_c , the second term of $\mathcal{L}[\cdot]$ is singular, that is,

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y P \sim ic_i^{-1} M_a^{-1} \partial_Y P.$$

In a small neighborhood of Y_c , we know that a solution P to $\mathcal{L}[P] = 0$ behaves like

$$\partial_Y P \sim \bar{M} \log \bar{M} \sim (U_B - c) \log(U_B - c) \implies \partial_Y V \sim \partial_Y^2 P \sim \log(U_B - c),$$

which is singular when Y passes the critical point Y_c .

Let's note that the critical layer lies in the subsonic regime. That is $Y_c > Y_0$.

2.2. Inner-outer approximation. For any (α, c) belonging to a suitable subset of \mathbb{H}_0 , we first construct a homogeneous solution $P_{\alpha, c}(Y)$ to the following equation

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_Y^2 P - \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y P - \alpha^2 F(Y)P = 0, \\ P(\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

2.2.1. Outer approximation. In this part, we show how to find an approximation of (2.9) for all Y away from the critical layer. We start with the following asymptotic analysis of the toy model

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{L}_{toy}[P] = (\partial_Y^2 - \alpha^2 F_r(Y))P = 0.$$

In a small neighborhood of Y_0 , we can write

$$\mathcal{L}_{toy}[P](Y) = \underbrace{(\partial_Y^2 - \alpha^2 \partial_Y F(Y_0)(Y - Y_0))P}_{\text{change type of equation}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2 |Y - Y_0|^2)P}_{\text{small terms}}.$$

Thus, in a small neighborhood of Y_0 , (2.10) can be treated as

$$(\partial_Y^2 - \alpha^2 \partial_Y F(Y_0)(Y - Y_0))P_{toy}(Y) = 0 : \text{Airy type equation.}$$

We know that $Ai(\kappa(Y - Y_0))$ and $Bi(\kappa(Y - Y_0))$ are two linear independent solutions to the above equation, where $\kappa = \partial_Y F(Y_0)^{\frac{1}{3}} \alpha^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Therefore, (2.10) can be approximated by the Airy equation in the layer of changing the type of equation, and the thickness of this layer is of order $\alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}$.

To use the Airy function as the building block to construct the approximate solution, we need to make the following key modifications:

- Applying the Langer transformation to zoom small layer of Y_0 to a larger domain. Here the Langer transformation $\eta(Y)$ is the solution to $\partial_Y F_r(Y_0)\eta(Y)(\partial_Y \eta(Y))^2 = F_r(Y)$ with $\eta(Y_0) = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}[Ai(\kappa\eta)](Y) &= \left(-2\frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} + \frac{\partial_Y^2 \eta}{\partial_Y \eta}\right) \partial_Y (Ai(\kappa\eta)), \\ \mathcal{L}[Bi(\kappa\eta)](Y) &= \left(-2\frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} + \frac{\partial_Y^2 \eta}{\partial_Y \eta}\right) \partial_Y (Bi(\kappa\eta)).\end{aligned}$$

We notice that $Ai(\kappa\eta(Y))$ is already a good approximation in the subsonic regime except around the critical layer. However, $Bi(\kappa\eta(Y))$ is not a good approximation in the supersonic regime. Hence, we need to make a further modification.

- Introducing a function of amplitude $E(Y) = \bar{M}/(\partial_Y \eta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ to cancel singular terms, which is a solution to

$$\frac{\partial_Y E}{E} = \frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} - \frac{\partial_Y^2 \eta}{2\partial_Y \eta}.$$

Then we introduce

$$(2.11) \quad A(Y) = E(Y)Ai(\kappa\eta(Y)), \quad B(Y) = E(Y)Bi(\kappa\eta(Y)),$$

which satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}[A] = (Q_1(Y) + Q_2(Y))A, \quad \mathcal{L}[B] = (Q_1(Y) + Q_2(Y))B.$$

Here $|Q_1(Y)| \sim 1$ and $Q_2(Y) \sim c_i$ when Y is away from Y_c . Hence, $(Q_1(Y) + Q_2(Y))A$ and $(Q_1(Y) + Q_2(Y))B$ can be treated as small errors. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}_{app}[P] = \mathcal{L}[P] - (Q_1 + Q_2)P$$

is a good approximation of $\mathcal{L}[P]$ in the \mathbb{R}_+ except around the critical layer.

To construct the exact solution to (2.9), we need to solve the non-homogeneous equation for a given f

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{L}[P_{non,f}] = f, \quad P_{non,f}(\infty) = 0.$$

For this, we solve the following approximate equation

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{L}_{app}[P_{non,f}](Y) = f, \quad P_{non,f}(\infty) = 0,$$

where $P_{non,f}$ can be written as

$$(2.14) \quad P_{non,f}(Y) = -\kappa^{-1}\pi A(Y) \int_0^Y B(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}f(Z)dZ - \kappa^{-1}\pi B(Y) \int_Y^{+\infty} A(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}f(Z)dZ,$$

and write $P_{non,f} = \mathcal{L}_{app}^{-1}(f)$ for convenience.

2.2.2. Inner approximation. In the critical layer, $\mathcal{L}_{app}[\cdot] = \mathcal{L}[\cdot] - (Q_1 + Q_2)$ is not a good approximation of $\mathcal{L}[\cdot]$ due to $Q_1(Y) \sim (|Y - Y_c| + c_i)^{-2} \gg \alpha^2$. Instead, we introduce $\varphi = \partial_Y P / \bar{M}$ to transform the equation of pressure to one related to the vertical velocity, that is,

$$\mathcal{L}[P] = 0 \iff \mathcal{L}_{cr}[\varphi] = \bar{M} \left(\partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}}{F(Y)} \right) - \alpha^2 \right) \varphi - \partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}}{F(Y)} \right) \varphi = 0.$$

For Y near Y_c , two linearly independent solutions behave as

$$\bar{M}(Y) \text{ and } \bar{M} \log \bar{M}(Y) \text{ in the critical layer.}$$

Besides, we know that $\varphi \sim e^{-\alpha Y}$ away from the critical layer. Hence, we can use the following localized equation as a good approximation in the critical layer

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{L}_{cr}[\varphi] = 0, \quad \varphi(\bar{Y}_1^*) = \varphi(\bar{Y}_2^*) = 0,$$

where $Y_c \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*]$ lies in the subsonic regime. This is a Rayleigh-type equation.

We also need to solve the following non-homogeneous Rayleigh type equation

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{L}_{cr}[\varphi] = \partial_Y \left(\frac{f}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right), \quad \varphi(\bar{Y}_1^*) = \varphi(\bar{Y}_2^*) = 0, \quad \varphi_{non,f} = \partial_Y P_{non,f} / \bar{M},$$

and write $\varphi = \mathcal{L}_{cr}^{-1}(f)$ for convenience.

2.3. Inner-outer gluing iteration scheme. Based on the above inner-outer approximation, we shall construct a solution $P(Y)$ to (2.9) via an inner-outer gluing procedure. We denote

$$\mathcal{G}_1(P) := \text{def} - \int_Y^{+\infty} \chi_1(Z) \partial_Z P(Z) dZ.$$

Since the way passing the information in the critical layer to the supersonic regime is crucial, we recover the corresponding pressure in two different ways

$$P^{nloc}(Y) := - \int_Y^{+\infty} \chi_2 \varphi(Z) dZ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{G}_{2,nloc} \circ \varphi,$$

$$P^{loc}(Y) := - \chi_3 \int_Y^{+\infty} \chi_2 \varphi(Z) dZ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{G}_{2,loc} \circ \varphi,$$

where χ_2 and χ_3 are smooth cut-off functions such that $\text{supp}(1 - \chi_1) \subset \text{supp}(\chi_2) \subset [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*] \subset \text{supp}(\chi_3) \subset [Y_0 + \delta, +\infty)$. Then $\text{supp}(P^{nloc}) \cap [0, Y_0] \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{supp}(P^{loc}) \cap [0, Y_0] = \emptyset$.

The non-local pressure P^{nloc} is crucial to construct the leading order term of the pressure, and in the subsequent iteration, the local pressure P^{loc} is crucial to ensure that the pressure related to the critical layer does not affect the leading order term.

2.3.1. Construction of leading order term. According to the argument in the previous part, we know that

$$\mathcal{L}[A](Y) = (Q_1(Y) + Q_2(Y))A(Y), \quad A(\infty) = 0,$$

where

$$Q_1(Y) \sim 1 \text{ for } Y \text{ away from } Y_c \text{ and } Q_1(Y) \sim c_i^{-2} \text{ for } Y \text{ near } Y_c.$$

Hence, $A(Y)$ is the approximation of $P(Y)$ in the regime away from the critical layer. However, $A(Y)$ can not be treated as the leading order term.

Now we show main steps constructing the leading order term $P^{(0)}(Y) = P_{0,0}(Y) + P_{0,1}(Y) + P_{0,2}(Y)$.

(1) We first define

$$P_{0,0}(Y) := - \int_Y^{+\infty} \chi_1(Z) \partial_Z A(Z) dZ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{G}_1(A).$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}[P_{0,0}] = Err_{0,0}^{cr} + Err_{0,0}^{ncr}.$$

(2) We next define

$$(2.17) \quad P_{0,1}(Y) := \mathcal{G}_{2,nloc} \circ \mathcal{L}_{cr}^{-1}(-Err_{0,0}^{cr}).$$

Notice that $\text{supp}(P_{0,1}) \cap [0, Y_0] \neq \emptyset$, which means that the information in the critical layer is conveyed by non-local pressure $P_{0,1}$ to the supersonic regime. Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}[P_{0,1}] = -Err_{0,0}^{cr} + Err_{0,1}^{cr} + Err_{0,1}^{ncr} + Err_{0,1}^{sup},$$

where $Err_{0,1}^{sup}$ supported in the supersonic regime, carries the key information (containing the term like $(U_B - c) \log(U_B - c)$) from the critical layer. The wave generated by $Err_{0,1}^{sup}$ can lead to the instability.

(3) We finally construct $P_{0,2}(Y)$, which is used to correct the errors from the previous steps

$$P_{0,2}(Y) := \mathcal{G}_1 \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_{app}^{-1}(-Err_{0,0}^{ncr} - Err_{0,1}^{ncr})}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}} + \bar{\chi}_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y),$$

where $\bar{\chi}_1$ is a cut-off function supported in the supersonic regime and $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}$ satisfies

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{L}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}] = -Err_{0,1}^{sup} + (Q_1 + Q_2)\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)},$$

which is given by

$$(2.19) \quad \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y) = -\kappa^{-1}\pi A(Y) \int_Y^{+\infty} B(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}Err_{0,1}^{sup} dZ - \kappa^{-1}\pi B(Y) \int_0^Y A(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}Err_{0,1}^{sup} dZ.$$

In fact, $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y)$ is a solution to $\mathcal{L}_{app}^{-1}[Err_{0,1}^{sup}]$ with $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y) \sim e^{\alpha Y} \rightarrow \infty$ as $Y \rightarrow \infty$. We find that

$$\mathcal{L}[P_{0,2}] = -Err_{0,0}^{ncr} - Err_{0,1}^{ncr} - Err_{0,1}^{sup} + Err_{0,2}^{cr} + E_{0,2}^{ncr}.$$

Then we have $P^{(0)} = P_{0,0}(Y) + P_{0,1}(Y) + P_{0,2}(Y)$ with

$$\mathcal{L}[P^{(0)}] = Err_{cr}^{(0)} + Err_{ncr}^{(0)},$$

where

$$Err_{cr}^{(0)} = Err_{0,1}^{cr} + Err_{0,2}^{cr} \text{ and } Err_{ncr}^{(0)} = Err_{0,2}^{ncr}.$$




FIGURE 3. Construction of leading order term

2.3.2. *Iteration scheme.* We show the iteration scheme of the construction. Assume that for $j \geq 0$, the error of $P^{(j)}(Y)$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}[P^{(j)}](Y) = Err_{cr}^{(j)} + Err_{ncr}^{(j)} := Err^{(j)}.$$

We define

$$(2.20) \quad P_{j+1,1}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_{2,loc} \circ \mathcal{L}_{cr}^{-1}(-Err_{cr}^{(j)}).$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}[P_{j+1,1}] = -Err_{cr}^{(j)} + Err_{j+1,1}^{cr} + Err_{j+1,1}^{ncr},$$

Next we define

$$P_{j+1,2}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_1 \circ \mathcal{L}_{app}^{-1}(-Err_{ncr}^{(j)} - Err_{j+1,1}^{ncr}).$$

Therefore, $P^{(j+1)} = P_{j+1,1} + P_{j+1,2}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[P^{(j+1)}] &= -Err_{cr}^{(j)} - Err_{ncr}^{(j)} + \underbrace{Err_{cr}^{(j+1)} + Err_{ncr}^{(j+1)}}_{:=Err^{(j+1)}} \\ &= -Err^{(j)} + Err^{(j+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Err_{cr}^{(j+1)} = Err_{j+1,1}^{cr} + Err_{j+1,2}^{cr} \text{ and } Err_{ncr}^{(j+1)} = Err_{j+1,2}^{ncr}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{L}[\sum_{j=0}^N P^{(j)}] = Err^{(N)}(Y)$ and $P = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^{(j)}$ solves (2.9) formally.

Remark 2.1. *The inner-outer gluing iteration scheme developed in this paper has some similarities with the Rayleigh-Airy iteration developed by Grenier-Guo-Nyugen [9]. Both iteration methods are based on the fact that the original equations can be approximated by the Rayleigh equation and Airy equation under different scales. However, the principles of these two methods are fundamentally different.*

The Rayleigh-Airy iteration solves the Orr-Sommerfeld (OS) equation, utilizing the Rayleigh equation and the Airy equation at low and high frequencies, respectively. Specifically, at low frequencies, the viscous term acts as a small perturbation, allowing the OS equation to be approximated by the Rayleigh equation. Conversely, at high frequencies, the nonlocal term becomes a small perturbation, leading to the approximation of the OS equation by the Airy equation. This method constitutes a gluing iterative approach from the standpoint of frequency space.

In contrast, the inner-outer gluing iteration scheme solves the system (2.9) from the standpoint of physical space. More precisely, in the region around the critical layer, (2.9) consistently exhibits elliptic-type behavior. Thus, within this interval, we employ the Rayleigh-type equation to approximate the solution of (2.9). Conversely, in the region away from the critical layer, (2.9) undergoes a change in type, prompting us to approximate them with the Airy-type equation. The Airy-type equation also effectively captures the decaying behavior at infinity. Subsequently, cut-off functions and a gluing method in physical space are introduced to construct the solution on the whole physical space (i.e., $Y \in [0, +\infty)$).

2.4. The dispersion relation. After we construct the solution P to (2.9), we need to match the boundary value and derive the dispersion relation. The asymptotic formula of $\partial_Y P(0)$ takes as follows

$$\partial_Y P(0) = \partial_Y A(0) + C_0 \alpha^{-1} \partial_Y B(0) + iC_1 \alpha c_i \partial_Y B(0) + C_2 \mathcal{K}_{cr} \partial_Y A(0) \partial_Y B(0) = H(\alpha, c).$$

Then the dispersion relation is equivalent to $H(\alpha, c) = 0$. Taking the real part will give

$$(2.21) \quad c_r \sim \frac{1}{D_0 \alpha \tan(\theta_0 \alpha - \pi/4) + D_1},$$

where $D_0, \theta_0 \neq 0$ are independent of α . Taking the imaginary part will give

$$(2.22) \quad c_i \sim \text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_{cr}) \alpha^{-\frac{7}{6}} c_r \cos(\theta_0 \alpha - \pi/4).$$

For a fixed c_r , by (2.21), there is a sequence $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ such that

$$\alpha_k \tan(\theta_0 \alpha_k - \pi/4) = \text{nonzero constant}.$$

By using (2.22) and the different period of functions $\cos(\theta_0 \alpha - \pi/4)$ and $\tan(\theta_0 \alpha - \pi/4)$, we deduce

$$\text{Sign} \left(\frac{c_i(\alpha_k)}{c_i(\alpha_{k+1})} \right) = \text{Sign} \left(\frac{\cos(\theta_0 \alpha_k - \pi/4)}{\cos(\theta_0 \alpha_{k+1} - \pi/4)} \right) = -1.$$

Thus, we can find a subsequence $\{\alpha_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty} \subset \{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ such that

$$(2.23) \quad \text{Sign}(\cos(\theta_0 \alpha_{n_k} - \pi/4)) = \text{Sign}(\text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_{cr})),$$

which implies

$$0 < c_{i,k} = c_i(\alpha_{n_k}) \sim \alpha^{-\frac{7}{6}} \text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_{cr}).$$

2.5. Organization. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we introduce Langer transform and solve the mixed-type outer system (2.13). In Section 4, we solve the inner singular system (2.16). In Section 5, we construct a solution of system (2.9) via inner-outer gluing iteration scheme. In Section 6, we give the expansion of boundary values of the solution constructed in Section 5. In Section 7, we deduce the dispersion relation and construct multiple unstable modes. Finally, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1-1.5.

3. THE HYPERBOLIC-ELLIPTIC MIXED TYPE SYSTEM

This section is devoted to solving the approximate hyperbolic-elliptic mixed-type system in the regime away from the critical layer, which takes as follows

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{app}[P] = \partial_Y^2 P - \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{M} \partial_Y P - \alpha^2 \tilde{F}(Y)P - Q_1(Y)P = f, \\ P(\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here $\tilde{F}(Y)$ is a small modification of $F_r(Y)$ given by

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{F}(Y) = F_r(Y) + (1 - \chi_0(Y))F_i(Y),$$

where χ_0 is a smooth cut-off function satisfying $\chi_0 = 1$ and $\chi_0 = 0$ for $Y \in [0, \bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0]$ and $Y \in [\bar{Y}_2^* + 2\delta_0, +\infty)$ respectively. We point out that on both sides of Y_0 , the function $\tilde{F}(Y)$ changes the sign, so (3.1) is a mixed-type equation. In addition, $Q_1(Y)$ is given by

$$(3.3) \quad Q_1(Y) = \frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}}{M} - \frac{3(\partial_Y^2 \eta)^2}{4(\partial_Y \eta)^2} - \frac{\partial_Y^3 \eta}{2\partial_Y \eta} - \frac{2(\partial_Y \bar{M})^2}{M^2}.$$

Moreover, $\eta(Y)$ is the solution to

$$(3.4) \quad \partial_Y \tilde{F}_r(Y_0) \eta(Y) (\partial_Y \eta(Y))^2 = \tilde{F}_r(Y) \quad \text{with} \quad \eta(Y_0) = 0,$$

where Y_0 is the turning point satisfying $F_r(Y_0) = 0$ with $Y_0 \sim 1$. It is easy to see $\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0) = \partial_Y F_r(Y_0)$. By solving the equation (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \eta(Y) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{3}{2} \int_{Y_0}^Y \left(\frac{\tilde{F}(Z)}{\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dZ \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, & Y \geq Y_0, \\ - \left(\frac{3}{2} \int_{Y_0}^Y \left(\frac{-\tilde{F}(Z)}{\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dZ \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, & Y \leq Y_0. \end{cases}$$

Let's mention that by the definition of $\tilde{F}(Y)$, we know that

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{F}(Y)) &= 0, \quad \tilde{F}(Y) = F_r(Y), \quad Y \leq \bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0, \\ |\tilde{F}(Y) - F_r(Y)| &\leq Cc_i, \quad Y > \bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0. \end{aligned}$$

We infer from (3.5)-(3.6) that

$$(3.7) \quad \operatorname{Im}\eta(Y) = 0, \quad \forall Y \leq \bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\partial_Y^k \eta(Y)| \leq C|Y - Y_0|^{\frac{2}{3}-k}, \quad k \geq 0, \quad \forall Y > \bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0.$$

In this section, we always assume that $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$, where

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbb{H}_0 := \{(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{C} : c_r \in (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1), Y_c - Y_0 \gtrsim 1, 0 < c_i \ll \alpha^{-n}, \forall n > 0 \text{ and } \alpha \geq \alpha_0 \gg 1\}.$$

As in Section 2.2.1, we introduce

$$(3.9) \quad A(Y) = E(Y)Ai(\kappa\eta(Y)), \quad B(Y) = E(Y)Bi(\kappa\eta(Y)), \quad E(Y) = \bar{M}(Y)/(\partial_Y \eta(Y))^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad \kappa^3 = \alpha^2 \partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0) = \alpha^2 \partial_Y F_r(Y_0) \quad \text{is a real number with relation } \alpha \sim \kappa^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Here $Ai(z)$ and $Bi(z)$ are two Airy functions satisfying the equation $f'' - zf = 0$, with asymptotic expansions presented in Lemma 3.3. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{L}_{app}[A](Y) = \mathcal{L}_{app}[B](Y) = 0$ and the Wronskians

$$\partial_Y A(Y)B(Y) - A(Y)\partial_Y B(Y) = -\kappa\pi^{-1}\partial_Y \eta E(Y)^2 = -\kappa\pi^{-1}\bar{M}^2.$$

For any given suitable source term $f(Y)$ with $f(Y) \rightarrow 0$ as $Y \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(3.11) \quad P(Y) = \mathcal{A}_f(Y)A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_f(Y)B(Y)$$

is a solution to the system (3.1), where

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{A}_f(Y) = -\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_0^Y B(Z)f(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z)dZ, \quad \mathcal{B}_f(Y) = -\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{+\infty} A(Z)f(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z)dZ.$$

We introduce a real function

$$(3.13) \quad w_0(Y) = \mathbf{1}_{\{Y \geq Y_0\}}(Y) \int_{Y_0}^Y \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{F}^{\frac{1}{2}})(Z)dZ,$$

and the weighted norms

$$(3.14) \quad \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} = \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty([0, \infty))}, \quad \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([a, b])} = \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty([a, b])}.$$

We see from (3.13) that

$$w_0(Y) = \int_{Y_0}^Y F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ \quad \text{for } Y \in [Y_0, \bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0].$$

We will construct a solution to (3.1) via (3.12) for a source term

$$(3.15) \quad f(Y) = a(Y)A(Y) + b(Y)B(Y) \quad \text{with} \quad \|(1+Y)^2 a\|_{L^\infty} + \|(1+Y)^2 b\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq C,$$

and the following four kinds of special source terms:

$$(3.16) \quad (1 - \chi) \int_Y^{+\infty} g(Z) dZ, \quad f, \quad (1 - \chi) \int_Y^{\infty} f(Z) dZ, \quad (1 - \chi) h \int_Y^{\infty} f(Z) dZ.$$

Five kinds of source terms involve different information, which is essential to guarantee convergence in the iteration scheme and capture the information of the imaginary part in the dispersion relation. Here χ is a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi = 1$ for $Y \in [Y_1 - \delta_0, Y_2 + \delta_0]$ and $\chi = 0$ for $Y \in [0, Y_1 - 2\delta_0] \cup [Y_2 + 2\delta_0, +\infty)$, and the supports of functions f, g, h are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(g) &\subseteq [Y_1, Y_1 + \delta_0] \cup [Y_2 - \delta_0, Y_2], & \text{supp}(f) &\subseteq [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*] \cup [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0], \\ \text{supp}(h) &\subseteq [\bar{Y}_1^{**}, +\infty), & \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|h\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the relations for points are given by

$$Y_0 < \bar{Y}_1^{**} < Y_1^* - \delta_0 < Y_1^* < Y_1 - 2\delta_0 < Y_1 + 2\delta_0 < Y_c < Y_2 - 2\delta_0 < Y_2 + 2\delta_0 < Y_2^* < Y_2^* + \delta_0 < \bar{Y}_2^*,$$

and Y_c is the critical point satisfying $U_B(Y_c) = c_r$.

The following decomposition is used frequently in this section. For a complex-valued function f in the formula (3.12), we divide $f = \text{Re}f + i\text{Im}f$, and define $\mathcal{A}_{\text{Re}f}, \mathcal{A}_{\text{Im}f}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{Re}f}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{Im}f}$, which are four complex-valued functions, as

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{A}_f = \mathcal{A}_{\text{Re}f} + i\mathcal{A}_{\text{Im}f}, \quad \mathcal{B}_f = \mathcal{B}_{\text{Re}f} + i\mathcal{B}_{\text{Im}f}.$$

Thus we have

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_f| &\leq |\mathcal{A}_{\text{Re}f}| + |\mathcal{A}_{\text{Im}f}|, & |e^{2\alpha w_0} \mathcal{B}_f| &\leq |e^{2\alpha w_0} \mathcal{B}_{\text{Re}f}| + |e^{2\alpha w_0} \mathcal{B}_{\text{Im}f}|, \\ \text{Im} \mathcal{A}_f &= \text{Im} \mathcal{A}_{\text{Re}f} - \text{Re} \mathcal{A}_{\text{Im}f}, & \text{Im} \mathcal{B}_f &= \text{Im} \mathcal{B}_{\text{Re}f} - \text{Re} \mathcal{B}_{\text{Im}f}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, to get the estimates of \mathcal{A}_f and \mathcal{B}_f with a complex-valued function f , we first assume f is a real function and use the decomposition (3.17) and (3.18) to get the results for general f .

3.1. Langer transformation and Airy function. We first show the estimates of the Langer transformation $\eta(Y)$.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a small positive constant $L \in (0, 1)$ such that $\eta(Y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfies the following properties: for any $Y \geq 0$, we have*

$$|\partial_Y^k \eta(Y)| \leq C(1 + |Y - Y_0|)^{\frac{2}{3} - k}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Moreover, for any $|Y - Y_0| \leq L$, we have

$$|\eta(Y) - (Y - Y_0)| \leq C|Y - Y_0|^2, \quad |\partial_Y \eta(Y) - 1| \leq C|Y - Y_0|,$$

and for any $|Y - Y_0| \geq L$, we have

$$|\partial_Y^k \eta(Y)| \geq C^{-1}(1 + |Y - Y_0|)^{\frac{2}{3} - k}, \quad k = 0, 1.$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to the process in Lemma A.6 in our previous paper [15], by using (3.5)-(3.7), and we omit details here. \square

With the estimates of $\eta(Y)$ at hand, we present the estimate for $Q_1(Y)$ in the regime away from the critical layer.

Lemma 3.2. *In the regime away from the critical layer, we have*

$$(3.19) \quad |Q_1(Y)| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im} Q_1(Y)| \leq C(|\partial_Y^2 U_B| + |\partial_Y U_B|^2 + (1 + |Y - Y_0|)^{-2}).$$

Proof. In the regime away from the critical layer, we have $C^{-1} \leq |\bar{M}(Y)| \leq C$, which uses a direct calculation to give

$$(3.20) \quad \left| \frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \right| + \left| \frac{(\partial_Y \bar{M})^2}{\bar{M}^2} \right| + c_i^{-1} \left(\left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \right) \right| + \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{(\partial_Y \bar{M})^2}{\bar{M}^2} \right) \right| \right) \leq C \left(|\partial_Y^2 U_B| + |\partial_Y U_B|^2 \right).$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(3.21) \quad \left| \frac{(\partial_Y^2 \eta)^2}{(\partial_Y \eta)^2} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial_Y^3 \eta}{2\partial_Y \eta} \right| + c_i^{-1} \left(\left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{(\partial_Y^2 \eta)^2}{(\partial_Y \eta)^2} \right) \right| + \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\partial_Y^3 \eta}{2\partial_Y \eta} \right) \right| \right) \leq C(1 + |Y - Y_0|)^{-2}.$$

Combining (3.20)-(3.21) and using the definition of Q_1 in (3.3), we get the estimate (3.19). \square

Next we present some asymptotic formulas of the Airy functions, which can be found in the book [16]. For convenience, we introduce a function

$$(3.22) \quad \Theta(z) = \frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

Lemma 3.3. ([16]) *As $z \rightarrow \infty$ the following asymptotic expansions are valid uniformly in the stated sectors. There exists a constant $M > 0$ such that*

(1) *for $|\arg(z)| \leq \pi - \delta$ with $\delta > 0$ and $|z| \geq M$,*

$$Ai(z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}z^{-\frac{1}{4}}e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}}}(1 + O(z^{-\frac{3}{2}})), \quad \partial_z Ai(z) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}z^{\frac{1}{4}}e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}}}(1 + O(z^{-\frac{3}{2}})).$$

(2) *for $|\arg(z)| \leq \frac{1}{3}\pi - \delta$ with $\delta > 0$ and $|z| \geq M$,*

$$Bi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}z^{-\frac{1}{4}}e^{\frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}}}(1 + O(z^{-\frac{3}{2}})), \quad \partial_z Bi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}z^{\frac{1}{4}}e^{\frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}}}(1 + O(z^{-\frac{3}{2}})).$$

(3) *for $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and positive constants $0 < a_0 \neq b_0 > 0$ with $z < -M$,*

$$Ai(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(-z)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left(\cos \Theta(-z) + a_0(-z)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sin(\Theta(-z)) \right) (1 + O(z^{-3})),$$

$$\partial_z Ai(z) = \frac{(-z)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\sin(\Theta(-z)) + b_0(-z)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cos(\Theta(-z)) \right) (1 + O(z^{-3})),$$

$$Bi(z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}(-z)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left(-\sin(\Theta(-z)) + a_0(-z)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cos(\Theta(-z)) \right) (1 + O(z^{-3})),$$

$$\partial_z Bi(z) \sim \frac{(-z)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\cos(\Theta(-z)) - b_0(-z)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sin(\Theta(-z)) \right) (1 + O(z^{-3})).$$

(4) *for $|z| \leq M$,*

$$|Ai(z)| + |Bi(z)| + |\partial_z Ai(z)| + |\partial_z Bi(z)| \leq C.$$

We decompose $\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\} = \mathcal{N}^- \cup \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{N}^+$, where

$$\mathcal{N}^+ = \{Y \geq Y_0 : |\kappa\eta(Y)| \geq M\}, \quad \mathcal{N} = \{Y : |\kappa\eta(Y)| \leq M\}, \quad \mathcal{N}^- = \{0 \leq Y \leq Y_0 : |\kappa\eta(Y)| \geq M\},$$

and $M > 0$ is a large constant given in Lemma 3.3. Moreover, we shall rewrite intervals \mathcal{N}^- , \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}^+ as

$$(3.23) \quad \mathcal{N}^- = [0, Y^-], \quad \mathcal{N} = [Y^-, Y^+], \quad \mathcal{N}^+ = [Y^+, +\infty),$$

with the relation $1 \sim Y^- < Y_0 < Y^+ \sim 1$.

Remark 3.4. We point out that for $Y \in [Y_0, Y^+]$, $|\alpha w_0(Y)| \leq C$ and for $Y \geq Y^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{3}(\kappa\eta(Y))^{\frac{3}{2}} &= \kappa^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{Y_0}^Y \left(\frac{\tilde{F}(Z)}{\partial_Y F(Z_0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dZ = \alpha \int_{Y_0}^Y \tilde{F}^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ, \\ \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{2}{3}(\kappa\eta(Y))^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) &= \alpha \int_{Y_0}^Y \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{F}^{\frac{1}{2}})(Z) dZ, \quad \left| e^{\pm\frac{2}{3}(\kappa\eta(Y))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right| = e^{\pm\alpha \int_{Y_0}^Y \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{F}^{\frac{1}{2}})(Z) dZ}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, \bar{Y}_2^*]$ with $\delta > 0$ independent of α , we deduce from (3.6) that

$$Ai(\kappa\eta(Y)) \sim \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_0}^Y F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ}, \quad Bi(\kappa\eta(Y)) \sim \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} e^{\alpha \int_{Y_0}^Y F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ}.$$

With asymptotic expansions of $Ai(z)$ and $Bi(z)$ presented in Lemma 3.3, we can deduce the following result.

Lemma 3.5. For $L \in (0, 1)$ given in Lemma 3.1, it holds that

(1) for $Y \in [0, Y^-]$, we have

$$|Ai(\kappa\eta)Bi(\kappa\eta)(\partial_Y \eta)^{-1}| + |Ai(\kappa\eta)^2(\partial_Y \eta)^{-1}| + |Bi(\kappa\eta)^2(\partial_Y \eta)^{-1}| \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max\{L^{-\frac{1}{2}}, |Y - Y_0|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}.$$

(2) for $Y \in [Y^-, Y^+]$, we have

$$|Ai(\kappa\eta)Bi(\kappa\eta)(\partial_Y \eta)^{-1}| + |Ai(\kappa\eta)^2(\partial_Y \eta)^{-1}| + |Bi(\kappa\eta)^2(\partial_Y \eta)^{-1}| \leq C.$$

(3) for $Y \in [Y^+, +\infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|Ai(\kappa\eta)Bi(\kappa\eta)(\partial_Y \eta)^{-1}| + |e^{2\alpha w_0} Ai(\kappa\eta)^2(\partial_Y \eta)^{-1}| \\ &+ |e^{-2\alpha w_0} Bi(\kappa\eta)^2(\partial_Y \eta)^{-1}| \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max\{L^{-\frac{1}{2}}, |Y - Y_0|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

(4) for $Y \in [0, \bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0]$, we have

$$\operatorname{Im}(\eta(Y)) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(Y), \quad Ai(\kappa\eta), \quad Bi(\kappa\eta) \quad \text{are real functions.}$$

Proof. The above estimates are direct consequences by using (3.7), Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.3 and the fact $\eta(\partial_Y \eta)^2 = \tilde{F}(\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0))^{-1}$. \square

3.2. Solving the mixed type system with a source term $f = a(Y)A(Y) + b(Y)B(Y)$. Let $\delta > 0$ be a suitable small constant independent of α . The results are given as follows.

Proposition 3.6. Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ and $a(Y)$, $b(Y)$ be two complex-valued functions with $(1 + Y)^2(|a|, |e^{2\alpha w_0} b|) \in L^\infty$. Then there exists a solution $\tilde{P}(Y) \in W_{w_0}^{2, \infty}$ to the system (3.1) with the source term $f(Y) = a(Y)A(Y) + b(Y)B(Y)$. More precisely, there exist $\mathcal{A}_f(Y)$ and $\mathcal{B}_f(Y)$ with $(|\mathcal{A}_f|, |e^{2\alpha w_0} \mathcal{B}_f|) \in L^\infty$ such that

$$\tilde{P}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_f(Y)A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_f(Y)B(Y),$$

and the following estimates hold

(1) for $Y \in [0, +\infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_f(Y)| &\leq C\alpha^{-1} \left(\|(1 + Y)^2 a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y])} + \|(1 + Y)^2 b\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty([0, Y])} \right), \\ |e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} \mathcal{B}_f(Y)| &\leq C\alpha^{-1} \left(\|a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y + \delta])} + \alpha^{-1} \|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|b\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(2) for $Y \in [0, \bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_f(Y))| &\leq C\alpha^{-1} \left(\|(1+Y)^2 \operatorname{Im}a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y])} + \|(1+Y)^2 \operatorname{Im}b\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty([0, Y])} \right), \\ |e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_f(Y))| &\leq C\alpha^{-2} e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha w_0(\bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0)} \left(\|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|b\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \right) \\ &\quad + C\alpha^{-1} \left(\|\operatorname{Im}a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y+\delta])} + \alpha^{-1} \|\operatorname{Im}a\|_{L^\infty} + \|\operatorname{Im}b\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (3.9) and (3.12), $\mathcal{A}_f(Y)$ and $\mathcal{B}_f(Y)$ with $f(Y) = a(Y)A(Y) + b(Y)B(Y)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_f(Y) &= -\kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)) (a(Z)Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) + b(Z)Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-1} dZ, \\ \mathcal{B}_f(Y) &= -\kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^{+\infty} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) (a(Z)Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) + b(Z)Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-1} dZ. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

For convenience, we drop subscript in \mathcal{A}_f and \mathcal{B}_f , and write \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} for short, and assume $a(Y)$ and $b(Y)$ are two real functions. For the case when $a(Y)$ and $b(Y)$ are complex functions, we use the decomposition (3.17) and relation (3.18) to get the final result.

Estimates of $\mathcal{A}(Y)$. For $Y \in [0, Y^-]$, we use the first statement in Lemma 3.5 to get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}(Y)| &\leq C\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^Y (|a(Z)Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))| + |b(Z)Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))^2|) |(\partial_Y \eta)^{-1}| dZ \\ &\leq C\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^Y (|a(Z)| + |b(Z)|) \max\{L^{-\frac{1}{2}}, |Z - Y_0|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\} dZ \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-1} (\|a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y])} + \|b\|_{L^\infty([0, Y])}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

by using the fact $\int_0^Y \max\{L^{-\frac{1}{2}}, |Z - Y_0|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\} dZ \leq C$ and (3.10) in the last line.

For any $Y \in [Y^-, Y^+]$, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(Y) &= \mathcal{A}(Y^-) - \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_{Y^-}^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)) (a(Z)Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) + b(Z)Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-1} dZ \\ &= \mathcal{A}(Y^-) + I_1. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.25), we can obtain $|\mathcal{A}(Y^-)| \leq C\alpha^{-1} (\|a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])} + \|b\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])})$. According to Lemma (3.1), the second statement in Lemma 3.5 and (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq C\kappa^{-1} (\|aAi(\kappa\eta)Bi(\kappa\eta)\|_{L^\infty([Y^-, Y])} + \|bBi(\kappa\eta)^2\|_{L^\infty([Y^-, Y])}) \int_{Y^-}^Y 1 dZ \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{4}{3}} (\|a\|_{L^\infty([Y^-, Y])} + \|b\|_{L^\infty([Y^-, Y])}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we infer that for any $Y \in [Y^-, Y^+]$,

$$|\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1} (\|a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y])} + \|b\|_{L^\infty([0, Y])}). \quad (3.26)$$

For $Y \in [Y^+, +\infty)$, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(Y) &= \mathcal{A}(Y^+) - \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_{Y^+}^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)) (a(Z)Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) + b(Z)Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-1} dZ \\ &= \mathcal{A}(Y^+) + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

According to (3.26), we first have $|\mathcal{A}(Y^+)| \leq C\alpha^{-1}(\|a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^+])} + \|b\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^+])})$. Using the third statement in Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq C\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|(1+Y)^2(a, e^{2\alpha w_0}b)\|_{L^\infty([Y^+, Y])} \int_{Y^+}^Y (1+Z)^{-2} \max\{L^{-\frac{1}{2}}, |Z-Y_0|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\} dZ \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-1} \|(1+Y)^2(a, e^{2\alpha w_0}b)\|_{L^\infty([Y^+, Y])}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that for any $Y \in [Y^+, +\infty)$,

$$(3.27) \quad |\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1}(\|(1+Y)^2a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y])} + \|(1+Y)^2b\|_{L^\infty_{2w_0}([0, Y])}).$$

We collect (3.25), (3.26) and (3.27) together to deduce that for $Y \in [0, +\infty)$,

$$|\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1}(\|(1+Y)^2a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y])} + \|(1+Y)^2b\|_{L^\infty_{2w_0}([0, Y])}),$$

which gives the first estimate in the statement (1).

By statement (4) in Lemma 3.5 and real functions $a(Y)$, $b(Y)$, we obtain $\text{Im}(\mathcal{A}(Y)) = 0$ for $Y \in [0, \bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0]$, which along with (3.18) implies the first estimate in result (2).

Estimates of $\mathcal{B}(Y)$. For $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, +\infty)$, we notice that

$$\mathcal{B}(Y) = -\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{+\infty} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))(a(Z)Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) + b(Z)Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)))\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-1}dZ.$$

By statement (3) in Lemma 3.5, we have that for any $Z \in [Y^+ + \delta, +\infty)$,

$$|Ai(\kappa\eta)Bi(\kappa\eta)(\partial_Z\eta)^{-1}| + |e^{2\alpha w_0}Ai(\kappa\eta)^2(\partial_Z\eta)^{-1}| \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, we can obtain that for any $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, +\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}(Y)| &\leq C\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_Y^{+\infty} (|a(Z)|e^{-2\alpha w_0(Z)} + |b(Z)|) dZ \\ (3.28) \quad &\leq C\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\|a\|_{L^\infty([Y, \infty))} + \|e^{2\alpha w_0}b\|_{L^\infty([Y, \infty))}) \int_Y^{+\infty} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Z)} dZ \\ &\leq \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} (\|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|b\|_{L^\infty_{2w_0}}). \end{aligned}$$

For $Y \in [Y^-, Y^+ + \delta]$, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_f(Y) &= \mathcal{B}_f(Y^+ + \delta) - \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y^+ + \delta} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))(a(Z)Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) + b(Z)Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)))\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-1}dZ \\ &= \mathcal{B}_f(Y^+ + \delta) + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

By results (2)-(3) in Lemma 3.5, we know that for $Z \in [Y^-, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$|Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))^2(\partial_Z\eta)^{-1}| + |Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))(\partial_Z\eta)^{-1}| \leq C,$$

which along with the fact $\int_Y^{Y^+ + \delta} e^{-\alpha w_0(Z)} dZ \leq C\kappa^{-1}$ implies

$$|I_4| \leq C\kappa^{-1} \|(a, b)\|_{L^\infty([Y, Y^+ + \delta])} \left(\int_Y^{Y^+ + \delta} e^{-\alpha w_0(Z)} dZ \right) \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{4}{3}} \|(a, b)\|_{L^\infty([Y, Y^+ + \delta_0])}.$$

Then by (3.28) and the above estimates, we obtain that for $Y \in [Y^-, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(3.29) \quad |\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-2} \left(\|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|b\|_{L^\infty_{2w_0}} \right) + C\alpha^{-\frac{4}{3}} \left(\|a\|_{L^\infty([Y, Y^+ + \delta])} + \|b\|_{L^\infty_{2w_0}([Y, Y^+ + \delta])} \right).$$

For $Y \in [0, Y^-]$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(Y) &= \mathcal{B}(Y^-) - \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^{Y^-} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))(a(Z)Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) + b(Z)Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-1} dZ \\ &= \mathcal{B}(Y^-) + I_5. \end{aligned}$$

By statement (1) in Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$|I_5| \leq C \kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\|a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])} + \|b\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])}) |\eta(0)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \alpha^{-1} (\|a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])} + \|b\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])}),$$

which along with (3.29) implies that for $Y \in [0, Y^-]$,

$$(3.30) \quad |\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C \alpha^{-2} (\|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|b\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}) + C \alpha^{-1} (\|a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y+\delta])} + \|b\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty([0, Y+\delta])}).$$

Thus, we collect (3.28), (3.29) and (3.30) to deduce that for $Y \in [0, +\infty)$,

$$|e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} \mathcal{B}_f(Y)| \leq C \alpha^{-1} (\|a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y+\delta])} + \alpha^{-1} \|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|b\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}),$$

which gives the second estimate in the statement (1).

For $Y \in [0, \bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0]$, we write

$$\mathcal{B}(Y) = \mathcal{B}(\bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0) - \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))(a(Z)Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) + b(Z)Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-1} dZ.$$

According to Lemma 3.5, the imaginary part of the second term above is zero, which implies $\text{Im}(\mathcal{B}(Y)) = \text{Im}(\mathcal{B}(\bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0))$. Then we use (3.28) to obtain that for $Y \in [0, \bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0]$,

$$|\text{Im}(\mathcal{B}(Y))| \leq C \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha w_0(\bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0)} (\|a\|_{L^\infty} + \|b\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}),$$

which along with (3.18) implies the second estimate in the statement (2). \square

3.3. Solving the mixed type system with special source terms. First, we give estimates for the first kind of source term in (3.16).

Proposition 3.7. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ and $g(Y)$ be a complex-valued function with $e^{\alpha w_0} |g| \in L^\infty$ and $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq [Y_1, Y_1 + \delta_0] \cup [Y_2 - \delta_0, Y_2]$. Then there exists a solution $\tilde{P} \in W_{w_0}^{2, \infty}$ to the system (3.1) with the source term $K_g(Y) = (1 - \chi) \int_Y^{+\infty} g(Z) dZ$. More precisely, there exist $\mathcal{A}_{K_g}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{K_g}(Y)$ with $(\|\mathcal{A}_{K_g}\|, |e^{2\alpha w_0} \mathcal{B}_{K_g}|) \in L^\infty$ such that*

$$\tilde{P}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_{K_g}(Y) A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_{K_g}(Y) B(Y),$$

and it holds that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{B}_{K_g}) = [0, Y_1 - \delta_0]$ and

$$|\mathcal{A}_{K_g}(Y)| + |e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} \mathcal{B}_{K_g}(Y)| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1 - \delta_0}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty},$$

$$|\text{Im}(\mathcal{A}_{K_g}(Y))| + |e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} \text{Im}(\mathcal{B}_{K_g}(Y))| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1 - \delta_0}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} (c_i \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\text{Im}g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}).$$

Moreover, for $Y \in [Y_1 - \delta_0, +\infty)$, it holds that $\mathcal{A}_{K_g}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_{K_g}(Y_1 - \delta_0)$.

Proof. According to $\text{supp}(g) = [Y_1, Y_1 + \delta_0] \cup [Y_2 - \delta_0, Y_2]$ and $\text{supp}(1 - \chi) = [0, Y_1 - \delta_0] \cup [Y_2 + \delta_0, +\infty)$, we have

$$K_g(Y) = \begin{cases} (1 - \chi) \int_{Y_1}^{Y_2} g(Z) dZ, & Y \in [0, Y_1 - \delta_0], \\ 0, & Y \geq Y_1 - \delta_0. \end{cases}$$

Then we can obtain that for $Y \in [0, Y_1 - \delta_0]$,

$$(3.31) \quad |K_g(Y)| \leq \int_{Y_1}^{Y_2} |g(Z)| dZ \leq C \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \int_{Y_1}^{Y_2} e^{-\alpha w_0(Z)} dZ \leq C \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

By the definition in (3.12), we write

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{K_g}(Y) &= -\kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)) K_g(Z) \bar{M}^{-1}(Z) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dZ, \\ \mathcal{B}_{K_g}(Y) &= -\kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^{+\infty} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) K_g(Z) \bar{M}^{-1}(Z) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dZ. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we drop subscript in \mathcal{A}_{K_g} and \mathcal{B}_{K_g} , and write \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} for short, we assume g is a real function. For the case when g is a complex function, we use the similar decomposition (3.17) and relation (3.18) to get the final result.

Estimates of $\mathcal{A}(Y)$. For $Y \in [0, Y^-]$, we get by Lemma 3.5 that

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}(Y)| &\leq C \kappa^{-1} \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \int_0^Y |Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)) (\partial_Z \eta(Z))^{-\frac{1}{2}}| dZ \\ &\leq C \alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

For $Y \in [Y^-, Y^+ + \delta]$, we write

$$\mathcal{A}(Y) = \mathcal{A}(Y^-) - \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_{Y^-}^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)) K_g(Z) \bar{M}^{-1}(Z) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dZ = \mathcal{A}(Y^-) + I_0.$$

By (3.33) and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}(Y^-)| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}, \quad |I_0| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{7}{3}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty},$$

which gives that for $Y \in [Y^-, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(3.34) \quad |\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{7}{3}} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y^+ + \delta}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

For $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_1 - \delta_0]$, we notice that

$$\mathcal{A}(Y) = \mathcal{A}(Y^+ + \delta) - \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_{Y^+ + \delta}^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)) K_g(Z) \bar{M}^{-1}(Z) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dZ = \mathcal{A}(Y^+ + \delta) + I_1.$$

By Lemma 3.5, we know that for $Z \geq Y^+ + \delta$,

$$|e^{-\alpha w_0(Z)} Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)) (\partial_Z \eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}| \leq C \kappa^{-\frac{1}{4}},$$

which along with (3.31) implies

$$|I_1| \leq C \kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}} \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \int_{Y^+ + \delta}^Y e^{\alpha w_0(Z)} dZ \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y^+}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

Thus, we use (3.34) to infer that for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_1 - \delta_0]$,

$$(3.35) \quad |\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1 - \delta_0}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

Moreover, by (3.31), we know that $\mathcal{A}(Y) = \mathcal{A}(Y_1 - \delta_0)$ for any $Y > Y_1 - \delta_0$. From which and (3.33)-(3.35), we deduce that for any $Y \geq 0$,

$$|\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1 - \delta_0}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

Estimates of $\mathcal{B}(Y)$. Again by (3.31), we have that $\mathcal{B}(Y) \equiv 0$ for any $Y > Y_1 - \delta_0$. For $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_1 - \delta_0]$, we first notice that

$$\mathcal{B}(Y) = -\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y_1 - \delta_0} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))K_g(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ.$$

By Lemma 3.5, we know that for $Z \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_1 - \delta_0]$,

$$|e^{\alpha w_0} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))(\partial_Z\eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}| \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{1}{4}},$$

which along with (3.31) implies that for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_1 - \delta_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}(Y)| &\leq C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}}\alpha^{-1}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)}\|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \int_Y^{Y_1 - \delta_0} e^{-\alpha w_0(Z)}dZ \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}}e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}\|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

For $Y \in [Y^-, Y^+ + \delta]$, we can write

$$\mathcal{B}(Y) = \mathcal{B}(Y^+ + \delta) - \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y^+ + \delta} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))K_g(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ.$$

By Lemma 3.5 and similar procedure of $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ in $[Y^-, Y^+ + \delta]$, we have for $Y \in [Y^-, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}(Y)| &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}}e^{-\alpha \int_{Y^+ + \delta}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y^+ + \delta)}\|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + C\alpha^{-\frac{7}{3}}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}\|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{7}{3}}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}\|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

For $Y \in [0, Y^-]$, we write

$$\mathcal{B}(Y) = \mathcal{B}(Y^-) - \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y^-} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))K_g(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ.$$

By Lemma 3.5 and a similar argument as above, we can obtain that for $Y \in [0, Y^-]$,

$$|\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\kappa^{-1}\kappa^{-\frac{1}{4}}\alpha^{-1}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)}\|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + C\alpha^{-\frac{7}{3}}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)}\|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)}\|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

Thus, we infer that for any $Y \geq 0$,

$$|\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}}e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1 - \delta_0}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}\|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of \bar{M} , $F(Y)$ and $K_g(Y) = 0$ for $Y \geq Y_1 - \delta_0$, we know that the imaginary part of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} comes from the function \bar{M} . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}(\mathcal{A}(Y)) &= -\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_0^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))K_g(Y)\text{Im}(\bar{M}^{-1}(Z))\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ, \\ \text{Im}(\mathcal{B}(Y)) &= -\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{+\infty} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))K_g(Y)\text{Im}(\bar{M}^{-1}(Z))\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any $Y \in [0, Y_1 - \delta_0]$, we have $|\text{Im}(\bar{M}(Y)^{-1})| \leq Cc_i$. Therefore, by a similar argument as above and the decomposition (3.17)-(3.18), we obtain the estimates for the imaginary part. \square

For the second kind of source term in (3.16), we have the following results.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ and $f(Y)$ be a complex-valued function with $e^{\alpha w_0}|f| \in L^\infty$ and $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*] \cup [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$. There exists a solution $\tilde{P} \in W_{w_0}^{2,\infty}$ to the system (3.1) with the source term $f(Y)$. More precisely, there exist $\mathcal{A}_f(Y)$ and $\mathcal{B}_f(Y)$ with $(|\mathcal{A}_f|, |e^{2\alpha w_0}\mathcal{B}_f|) \in L^\infty$ such that*

$$\tilde{P}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_f(Y)A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_f(Y)B(Y),$$

and the following estimates hold

(1) for $Y \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_f(Y)| &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}, \quad \text{Supp}(\mathcal{A}_f) \subseteq [Y_1^* - \delta_0, +\infty), \\ |\mathcal{B}_f(Y)| &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}, \quad \text{Supp}(\mathcal{B}_f) \subseteq [0, Y_2^* + \delta_0]. \end{aligned}$$

(2) in particular, for $Y \in [0, Y_2^*]$, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}_f(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])}.$$

(3) in particular, for $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_2^*]$, we have

$$|\mathcal{B}_f(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}\left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}\right),$$

and for $Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0]$, we have

$$|\mathcal{B}_f(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}}\left(e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}\right).$$

Proof. By (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_f(Y) &= -\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_0^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))f(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ, \\ \mathcal{B}_f(Y) &= -\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{+\infty} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))f(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ. \end{aligned}$$

Again we drop subscript in \mathcal{A}_f and \mathcal{B}_f , and write \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} for short, and assume f is a real function.

Recall that $\text{supp}(f) = [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*] \cup [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$. Then we have

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq [Y_1^* - \delta_0, +\infty), \quad \text{supp}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq [0, Y_2^* + \delta_0].$$

Estimates of $\mathcal{A}(Y)$. For $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*]$, we notice that

$$\mathcal{A}(Y) = -\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))f(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ.$$

By the fact $[Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*] \subset [Y^+, +\infty)$ and Lemma 3.5, we derive that for $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*]$,

$$|\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\kappa^{-1}\kappa^{-\frac{1}{4}} \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^Y e^{\alpha w_0(Z)}|f(Z)|dZ \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{A}(Y) = \mathcal{A}(Y_1^*)$ for $Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]$. Then we infer that for any $Y \in [0, Y_2^*]$,

$$(3.36) \quad |\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])}.$$

For $Y \in [Y_2^*, +\infty)$, we write

$$\mathcal{A}(Y) = \mathcal{A}(Y_2^*) - \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_{Y_2^*}^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))f(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ = \mathcal{A}(Y_2^*) + I_1.$$

Again by Lemma 3.5, we obtain that for $Y \in [Y_2^*, +\infty)$,

$$|I_1| \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}} \int_{Y_2^*}^Y e^{\alpha w_0(Z)} |f(Z)| dZ \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

Therefore, we obtain that for $Y \in [Y_2^*, +\infty)$,

$$(3.37) \quad |\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

Then by (3.36) and (3.37), we obtain that

$$|\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq \begin{cases} C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}, & Y \geq 0, \\ C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])}, & Y \in [0, Y_2^*], \end{cases}$$

Estimates of $\mathcal{B}(Y)$. For $Y \in [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$, we have

$$\mathcal{B}(Y) = -\kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) f(Z) \bar{M}^{-1}(Z) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dZ.$$

By Lemma 3.5, we have that for $Y \in [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}(Y)| &\leq C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}} \int_Y^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} e^{-\alpha w_0(Z)} |f(Z)| dZ \\ &\leq C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \int_Y^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Z)} dZ \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{B}(Y) = \mathcal{B}(Y_2^*)$ for $Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]$. Then we have for $Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]$,

$$|\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

For $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*]$, we can write

$$\mathcal{B}(Y) = \mathcal{B}(Y_1^*) - \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^{Y_1^*} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) f(Z) \bar{M}^{-1}(Z) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dZ = \mathcal{B}(Y_1^*) + I_2.$$

Again by Lemma 3.5, we have that for $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*]$,

$$|I_2| \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} \int_Y^{Y_1^*} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Z)} dZ \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])}.$$

Then we obtain that for any $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}(Y)| &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} (\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{B}(Y) = \mathcal{B}(Y_1^* - \delta_0)$ for $Y \leq Y_1^* - \delta_0$. Thus, we deduce that for $Y \leq Y_1^* - \delta_0$,

$$|\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} (e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}).$$

Gathering the above results about $\mathcal{B}(Y)$, we infer that for any $Y \geq 0$,

$$|\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

In particular, for $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_2^*]$,

$$|\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} (\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}),$$

and for $Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0]$,

$$|\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} (e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}).$$

□

For the third kind of terms in (3.16), we obtain the following results.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ and $f(Y)$ be a complex-valued function with $e^{\alpha w_0}|f| \in L^\infty$ and $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*] \cup [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$. There exists a solution $\tilde{P} \in W_{w_0}^{2, \infty}$ to the system (3.1) with the source term $K_f(Y) = (1 - \chi) \int_Y^{+\infty} f(Z) dZ$. More precisely, there exist $\mathcal{A}_{K_f}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{K_f}(Y)$ with $(|\mathcal{A}_{K_f}|, |e^{2\alpha w_0} \mathcal{B}_{K_f}|) \in L^\infty$ such that*

$$\tilde{P}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_{K_f}(Y)A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_{K_f}(Y)B(Y),$$

and the following estimates hold

(1) for $Y \in [0, +\infty)$, we have $\text{supp}(\mathcal{B}_{K_f}) \subseteq [0, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$ and

$$(3.38) \quad |\mathcal{A}_{K_f}(Y)| + \alpha e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\mathcal{B}_{K_f}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

(2) in particular, for $Y \in [0, Y_2^*]$, we have

$$(3.39) \quad |\mathcal{A}_{K_f}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right).$$

(3) in particular, for $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_2^*]$, we have

$$|\mathcal{B}_f(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right),$$

and for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_1^* - \delta_0]$, we have

$$(3.40) \quad |\mathcal{B}_f(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right),$$

and for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$, we have

$$|\mathcal{B}_{K_f}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} + \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right).$$

Proof. By (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{K_f}(Y) &= -\kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)) K_f(Z) \bar{M}^{-1}(Z) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dZ, \\ \mathcal{B}_{K_f}(Y) &= -\kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^{+\infty} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z)) K_f(Z) \bar{M}^{-1}(Z) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dZ. \end{aligned}$$

Again we drop subscript in \mathcal{A}_{K_f} and \mathcal{B}_{K_f} , and write \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} for short, and assume f is a real function.

Recall that $\text{supp}(1 - \chi) = [0, Y_1 - \delta_0] \cup [Y_2 + \delta_0, +\infty)$ and $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*] \cup [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$. Therefore, we have

$$K_f(Y) = \begin{cases} \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_1^*} f(Z) dZ + \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} f(Z) dZ, & Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0], \\ \int_Y^{Y_1^*} f(Z) dZ + \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} f(Z) dZ, & Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*], \\ (1 - \chi(Y)) \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} f(Z) dZ, & Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*], \\ \int_Y^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} f(Z) dZ, & Y \in [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0], \\ 0, & Y > Y_2^* + \delta_0, \end{cases}$$

which implies

$$(3.41) \quad |K_f(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1} \begin{cases} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}, & Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0], \\ e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}, & Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*], \\ e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}, & Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*], \\ e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}, & Y \in [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0], \\ 0, & Y > Y_2^* + \delta_0. \end{cases}$$

Estimates of $\mathcal{A}(Y)$. For $Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0]$, we write

$$\mathcal{A}(Y) = -\kappa^{-1}\pi \left(\int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_1^*} f(Z) dZ + \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} f(Z) dZ \right) \int_0^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)) \bar{M}^{-1}(Z) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dZ.$$

Then we get by (3.41) and Lemma 3.5 that

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}(Y)| &\leq C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}}\alpha^{-1} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right) \int_0^Y e^{\alpha w_0(Z)} dZ \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right) \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*]$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(Y) &= \mathcal{A}(Y_1^* - \delta_0) - \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z)) K_f(Z) \bar{M}^{-1}(Z) \partial_Z \eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dZ \\ &= \mathcal{A}(Y_1^* - \delta_0) + I_1. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.42), we have

$$|\mathcal{A}(Y_1^* - \delta_0)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right).$$

Then by (3.41) and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$(3.43) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}}\alpha^{-1}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^*-\delta_0, Y_1^*])} \int_{Y_1^*-\delta_0}^Y 1dZ \\ &\quad + C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}}\alpha^{-1}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \int_{Y_1^*-\delta_0}^Y e^{\alpha w_0(Z')}dZ \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^*-\delta_0, Y_1^*])}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.42) and (3.43), we obtain that for $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*]$,

$$(3.44) \quad |\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^*-\delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right).$$

For $Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]$, we write

$$\mathcal{A}(Y) = \mathcal{A}(Y_1^*) - \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_{Y_1^*}^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))K_f(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ = \mathcal{A}(Y_1^*) + I_2.$$

According to (3.41) and Lemma 3.5, we infer that for $Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]$,

$$|I_2| \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}}\alpha^{-2}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}e^{\alpha w_0(Y)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}}e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

Hence, we obtain that for $Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]$,

$$(3.45) \quad |\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^*-\delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right).$$

In particular, for $Y \in [0, Y_2^*]$, we have

$$(3.46) \quad |\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^*-\delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}e^{\alpha w_0(Y)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right).$$

For $Y \in [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$, we write

$$\mathcal{A}(Y) = \mathcal{A}(Y_2^*) - \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_{Y_2^*}^Y Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))K_f(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ = \mathcal{A}(Y_2^*) + I_3.$$

We use (3.41) and Lemma 3.5 to get

$$|I_4| \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}}\alpha^{-1}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

Therefore, for $Y \in [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$,

$$|\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty},$$

which along with the fact that $\mathcal{A}(Y) = \mathcal{A}(Y_2^* + \delta_0)$ for $Y > Y_2^* + \delta_0$ implies that for $Y \geq Y_2$,

$$|\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

The above result combined with (3.42), (3.44), (3.45) and (3.46) implies that for $Y \geq 0$,

$$|\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

In particular, for $Y \in [0, Y_2^*]$, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^*-\delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right).$$

Estimates of $\mathcal{B}(Y)$. We first notice that $\mathcal{B}(Y) \equiv 0$ for any $Y \in [Y_2^* + \delta_0, +\infty)$. For $Y \in [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$, we have

$$\mathcal{B}(Y) = -\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))K_f(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.5, we know that for $Z \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$,

$$(3.47) \quad |Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))| \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{1}{4}}e^{-\alpha w_0(Z)}.$$

Then we use (3.41) to obtain that for $Y \in [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$,

$$(3.48) \quad |\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}}\alpha^{-1}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \int_Y^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Z)}dZ \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

For $Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]$, we write

$$\mathcal{B}(Y) = \mathcal{B}(Y_2^*) - \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y_2^*} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))K_f(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ = \mathcal{B}(Y_2^*) + II_1.$$

By (3.47) and (3.41), we deduce that for $Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]$,

$$|II_1| \leq C\kappa^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\alpha^{-\frac{7}{6}}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}}e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} Fr^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty},$$

which along with (3.48) implies

$$(3.49) \quad |\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}e^{\alpha w_0(Y)}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \\ \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}}e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} Fr^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

For $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*]$, we can write

$$\mathcal{B}(Y) = \mathcal{B}(Y_1^*) - \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y_1^*} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))K_f(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ = \mathcal{B}(Y_1^*) + II_2.$$

We use (3.41) and (3.47) to imply that $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*]$,

$$|II_2| \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}}\alpha^{-1}\left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} \int_Y^{Y_1^*} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Z)}dZ + \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \int_Y^{Y_1^*} e^{-\alpha w_0(Z)}dZ\right) \\ \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}\left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} Fr^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}\right).$$

Then we use (3.49) to obtain that for $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*]$,

$$(3.50) \quad |\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}\left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} Fr^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}\right).$$

For $Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0]$, we write

$$\mathcal{B}(Y) = \mathcal{B}(Y_1^* - \delta_0) - \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))K_f(Z)\bar{M}^{-1}(Z)\partial_Z\eta(Z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dZ \\ = \mathcal{B}(Y_1^* - \delta_0) + II_3.$$

By (3.50), we have

$$|\mathcal{B}(Y_1^* - \delta_0)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}}\left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])}\right).$$

On the other hand, according to Lemma 3.5, we know that for $Z \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0]$,

$$|Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))||\partial_Z\eta(Z)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \min\{1, |\kappa\eta(Z)|^{-\frac{1}{4}}|\partial_Z\eta(Z)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}e^{-\alpha w_0(Z)},$$

which along with (3.41) implies that for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_1^* - \delta_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |II_4| &\leq C\kappa^{-1}\alpha^{-1} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right) \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} e^{-\alpha w_0(Z)} dZ \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |II_4| &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right) e^{-\alpha w_0(Y^+ + \delta)} \\ &\quad + C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}}\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} + C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}}\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_1^* - \delta_0]$,

$$|\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right),$$

and for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$|\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} (\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} + \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}).$$

Thus, we infer that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq [0, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$ and for $Y \geq 0$,

$$|\mathcal{B}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

□

The following results are about the fourth kind of source terms in (3.16).

Proposition 3.10. *Under the assumption on $f(Y)$ in Proposition 3.9, there exists a solution $\tilde{P} \in W_{w_0}^{2,\infty}$ to the system (3.1) with the source term $hK_f(Y)$ for $\text{supp}(h) \subseteq [\bar{Y}_1^{**}, +\infty)$. More precisely, there exist $\mathcal{A}_{hK_f}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{hK_f}(Y)$ with $(|\mathcal{A}_{hK_f}|, |e^{2\alpha w_0}\mathcal{B}_{hK_f}|) \in L^\infty$ such that*

$$\tilde{P}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_{hK_f}(Y)A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_{hK_f}(Y)B(Y),$$

$\text{supp}(\mathcal{A}_{hK_f}) \subset \text{supp}(h)$, and the estimates (3.38)-(3.40) hold. Moreover, for $Y \in [0, \bar{Y}_1^{**}]$,

$$|\mathcal{B}_{K_f}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(\bar{Y}_1^{**})} \left(\|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} + \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right).$$

Proof. The proof is quite similar to Proposition 3.9. We point out that the support of h does not touch the supersonic regime. It is easy to deduce that $\mathcal{A}_{hK_f}(Y) = 0$ for $Y \in [0, \bar{Y}_1^{**}]$ and $\mathcal{B}_{hK_f}(Y) = \mathcal{B}_{hK_f}(\bar{Y}_1^{**})$ for $Y \in [0, \bar{Y}_1^{**}]$. □

4. THE RAYLEIGH TYPE EQUATION

In this section, we consider the approximate system in the regime near the critical layer, which can be described by the following Rayleigh-type equation in a finite interval $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*]$:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{M} \left(\partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y}{F(Y)} \right) - \alpha^2 \right) \varphi - \partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}}{F(Y)} \right) \varphi = f, & Y \in (\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*), \\ \varphi(\bar{Y}_1^*) = \varphi(\bar{Y}_2^*) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where f is a suitable function with the support away from the critical layer. Here the definitions of $F(Y)$ and $\bar{M}(Y)$ are given in (2.6). For $\bar{M} = (U_B - c)$ and $F = 1$, the system (4.1) is reduced to the classical Rayleigh equation.

Let $Y_c \in (\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*)$ be the critical point which satisfies $U_B(Y_c) = c_r$. According to (1.7) and (2.7), it is easy to see that

$$(4.2) \quad F_r(Y_c) = 1, \quad F_r'(Y_c) = 0, \quad \bar{M}_r(Y_c) = 0, \quad \bar{M}_r'(Y_c) = \frac{M_a \partial_Y U_B(Y_c)}{T_0(Y_c)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Since $U_B(Y)$ is a monotone function and $[\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*]$ is a finite interval with length independent of α , there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad \partial_Y U_B \geq c_0 > 0 \quad \text{for } Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*].$$

Therefore, there exists a constant $C > 1$ such that for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*]$

$$(4.4) \quad C^{-1} \leq |F(Y)| \leq C, \quad C^{-1} \leq F_r(Y) \leq C, \quad |F_i(Y)| \leq C c_i |Y - Y_c| + c_i^2,$$

$$(4.5) \quad C^{-1}(|Y - Y_c| + c_i) \leq |\bar{M}| \leq C(|Y - Y_c| + c_i), \quad C^{-1}|Y - Y_c| \leq |\bar{M}_r| \leq C|Y - Y_c|.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be a suitable constant independent of α , and \bar{Y}_1 and \bar{Y}_2 are two points such that $\bar{Y}_1^* < \bar{Y}_1 - \delta < \bar{Y}_1 < Y_c < \bar{Y}_2 < \bar{Y}_2 + \delta < \bar{Y}_2^*$. Moreover, we assume that $|w_c(\bar{Y}_1^*)| \neq |w_c(\bar{Y}_2^*)|$, where

$$w_c(Y) = \int_{Y_c}^Y F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ.$$

The main result of this section is presented as follows.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ and $|w_c(\bar{Y}_1^*)| \neq |w_c(\bar{Y}_2^*)|$. Assume f is a complex-valued function with $\text{supp}(f) \subset [\bar{Y}_1 - \delta, \bar{Y}_1] \cup [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2 + \delta]$ and $e^{\alpha w_0} |f| \in L^\infty$. Then there exists a solution $\varphi(Y) \in W^{2,\infty}$ to system (4.1). Moreover, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(Y)| &\leq C \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}, \quad Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*], \\ |\text{Im} \varphi(Y)| &\leq C \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} (\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\text{Im} f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}), \quad Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1] \cup [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2^*], \end{aligned}$$

and for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1 - \delta]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(Y)| &\leq C \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}, \\ |\text{Im} \varphi(Y)| &\leq C \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} (\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\text{Im} f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we introduce the following two kinds of definitions of operators

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi(\cdot) := \partial_Y \left(\frac{\xi(Y)^2}{F(Y)} \partial_Y \left(\frac{\cdot}{\xi} \right) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\xi(\cdot) := \partial_Y \left(\frac{\xi(Y)^2}{F(Y)} \partial_Y \right).$$

Then we can write that $\mathcal{L}_{cr}(\varphi) = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{M}}(\varphi) - \alpha^2 \bar{M} \varphi$. Moreover, we denote $\mathcal{L}_1(\cdot) = \partial_Y (F(Y)^{-1} \partial_Y)$.

To solve the system (4.1), we first construct a homogenous solution related to the system (4.1), which is expressed as follows

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{cr}(\phi) = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{M}}(\phi) - \alpha^2 \bar{M} \phi = 0, & Y \in (\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*), \\ \phi|_{Y=Y_c} = \bar{M}(Y_c), \quad \partial_Y \phi|_{Y=Y_c} = \partial_Y \bar{M}(Y_c). \end{cases}$$

With ϕ at hand, the non-homogenous system (4.1) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi(\varphi) = \frac{\phi f}{\bar{M}}, \quad Y \in (\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\bar{Y}_1^*) = \varphi(\bar{Y}_2^*) = 0.$$

4.1. Solving the homogeneous equation. The first step is to construct the solution of the homogeneous Rayleigh type equation in (4.7). To construct the solution ϕ to (4.7), we are going to find an accurate profile $\psi(Y)$ of $\phi(Y)$ such that $\phi(Y) = \psi(Y)\tilde{\phi}_0(Y)$, where $\tilde{\phi}_0(Y)$ is a small perturbation around 1. On the other hand, we notice for any function ψ , the following identity holds: if $\phi(Y)$ is the solution to (4.7), then

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\psi(\phi) &= \psi(\alpha^2\phi + \bar{M}^{-1}\partial_Y(F^{-1}\partial_Y\bar{M})\phi) - \phi\mathcal{L}_1(\psi) \\ &= \phi(\alpha^2\psi - \mathcal{L}_1(\psi))\phi + \bar{M}^{-1}\partial_Y(F^{-1}\partial_Y\bar{M})\phi\psi. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term on the right-hand side of the above equality, there is a large factor α^2 . To eliminate this term, we choose function ψ to approximatively solve the variable coefficient elliptic equation $\mathcal{L}_1(f) = \alpha^2f$. Taking $\psi(Y) = F_r^{\frac{1}{4}}(Y) \sinh \alpha w_c(Y)$ or $\psi(Y) = F_r^{\frac{1}{4}}(Y) \cosh \alpha w_c(Y)$, it is easy to verify that

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\psi) = \alpha^2\psi + F_r^{-\frac{1}{4}}\partial_Y(F_r^{-1}\partial_Y(F_r^{\frac{1}{4}}))\psi + \partial_Y((F^{-1} - F_r^{-1})\partial_Y\psi),$$

which gives an approximated solution to the equation $\mathcal{L}_1(f) = \alpha^2f$. To match the boundary condition in (4.7), we finally choose

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(Y) &= \alpha^{-1}\partial_Y\bar{M}(Y_c)F_r^{\frac{1}{4}}(Y) \sinh \alpha w_c(Y) + \bar{M}(Y_c)F_r^{\frac{1}{4}}(Y) \cosh \alpha w_c(Y) \\ &= \psi_r(Y) + i\psi_i(Y). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $\psi(Y_c) = \bar{M}(Y_c)$ and $\partial_Y\psi(Y) = \partial_Y\bar{M}(Y_c)$. Therefore, we have

$$(4.10) \quad |\psi(Y)| \sim \alpha^{-1} \sinh \alpha |w_c(Y)| + c_i.$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ and ψ be defined as (4.9). Then there exists a solution $\phi \in W^{2,\infty}([\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*])$ with the form of $\phi = \psi\tilde{\phi}_0$, where $\tilde{\phi}_0$ satisfies*

$$C^{-1} \leq \|\tilde{\phi}_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C, \quad \|\text{Im}\tilde{\phi}_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq Cc_i(|\log c_i| + \alpha), \quad |1 - \tilde{\phi}_0(Y)| \leq C \min\{\alpha^{-1} \log \alpha, |Y - Y_c|\}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(4.11) \quad C^{-1}\alpha^{-1} \left(\sinh \alpha |w_c(Y)| + \alpha c_i \right) \leq |\phi(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1} \left(\sinh \alpha |w_c(Y)| + \alpha c_i \right).$$

More precisely, we have

$$(4.12) \quad |\phi_r| \leq C(\alpha^{-1} \sinh \alpha |w_c(Y)| + c_i^2(|\log c_i| + \alpha)), \quad |\phi_i| \leq Cc_i e^{\alpha|w_c(Y)|},$$

where $\phi = \phi_r + i\phi_i$.

Proof. We choose $\psi(Y)$ defined as (4.9). To construct the solution ϕ to (4.1), we are left with the construction with $\tilde{\phi}_0 = \phi/\psi$, which satisfies

$$(4.13) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\psi(\tilde{\phi}_0) = \partial_Y \left(\psi^2 F^{-1} \partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_0 \right) = \tilde{\phi}_0 g \text{ and } \tilde{\phi}_0(Y_c) = 1, \quad \partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_0(Y_c) = 0,$$

where

$$g = \left(\psi^2 \bar{M}^{-1} \partial_Y (F^{-1} \partial_Y \bar{M}) - F_r^{-\frac{1}{4}} \partial_Y (F_r^{-1} \partial_Y (F_r^{\frac{1}{4}})) \psi^2 - \psi \partial_Y ((F^{-1} - F_r^{-1}) \partial_Y \psi) \right) = g_1 + g_2 + g_3.$$

Then $\tilde{\phi}_0$ can be represented as

$$(4.14) \quad \tilde{\phi}_0(Y) = 1 + \int_{Y_c}^Y (F\psi^{-2})(Y') \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} (g_1 + g_2 + g_3)(Y'') \tilde{\phi}_0(Y'') dY'' dY' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 + T\tilde{\phi}_0.$$

For $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*]$, we have

$$(4.15) \quad |g_1(Y)| \leq \frac{C|\psi|^2}{|\bar{M}|}, \quad |g_2(Y)| \leq C|\psi|^2 \text{ and } |g_3(Y)| \leq C\alpha^2 c_i |\psi|^2.$$

To show the existence of $\tilde{\phi}_0$, we only need to show T is a contracted operator in $\|\cdot\|_X$, where

$$\|f\|_X := \|f\|_{L^\infty([\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*])} + \alpha^{-1} \|\partial_Y f\|_{L^\infty([\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*])} + \alpha^{-2} \|\bar{M} \partial_Y^2 f\|_{L^\infty([\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*])}.$$

For the first term in Tf with $f \in X$, we use (4.4)-(4.5), (4.10) and (4.15) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{Y_c}^Y (F\psi^{-2})(Y') \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} g_1(Y'') f(Y'') dY'' dY' \right| \\ & \leq C \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{|\psi(Y')|^2} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} (|\psi|^2 |\bar{M}|^{-1})(Y'') dY'' dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{\alpha^2 c_i |Y' - Y_c|}{\sinh^2 \alpha w_c(Y') + \alpha^2 c_i^2} dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \quad + C \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \alpha w_c(Y') + \alpha^2 c_i^2} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} \frac{\sinh^2 \alpha w_c(Y'')}{|Y'' - Y_c| + c_i} dY'' dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

For I_1 , we have

$$(4.16) \quad |I_1| \leq C\alpha c_i \int_{|Y' - Y_c| \leq \alpha^{-1}} \frac{1}{\alpha |Y' - Y_c| + \alpha c_i} dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \\ + C\alpha c_i \int_{|Y' - Y_c| \geq \alpha^{-1}} \alpha |Y' - Y_c| e^{-2C\alpha |Y' - Y_c|} dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq Cc_i |\log c_i| \|\tilde{\phi}_0\|_{L^\infty}.$$

For I_2 , we change the order of the integral to get

$$(4.17) \quad |I_2| \leq C\alpha^{-1} \int_0^{\alpha |w_c(Y)|} \frac{dx}{\sinh^2 x} \int_0^{|x|} \frac{\sinh^2 z}{z} dz \|f\|_{L^\infty} \\ \leq C\alpha^{-1} \int_0^{C\alpha} \frac{\sinh^2 z dz}{z} \int_{|z|}^{+\infty} \sinh^{-2} x dx \|\tilde{\phi}_0\|_{L^\infty} \\ \leq C\alpha^{-1} \int_0^{C\alpha} \frac{1 - e^{-2z}}{z} dz \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\alpha^{-1} + \alpha^{-1} \log \alpha) \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Collecting (4.16) and (4.17), we obtain

$$(4.18) \quad \left| \int_{Y_c}^Y (F\psi^{-2})(Y') \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} g_1(Y'') f(Y'') dY'' dY' \right| \leq C(c_i |\log c_i| + \alpha^{-1} + \alpha^{-1} \log \alpha) \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

For the second and the third term in Tf , the procedure is much easier than the first term because there is not a singular factor \bar{M}^{-1} . As $|g_2| \leq C|\psi(Y)|^2$ and $|g_3| \leq C\alpha^2 c_i |\psi(Y)|^2$, we use (4.10) and similar argument in (4.16) and (4.17) to get

$$(4.19) \quad \left| \int_{Y_c}^Y (F\psi^{-2})(Y') \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} (g_2 + g_3)(Y'') f(Y'') dY'' dY' \right| \\ \leq C \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{|\psi^2(Y')|} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} |\psi(Y'')|^2 dY'' dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-1} \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Combining (4.18)-(4.19) together, we arrive at

$$(4.20) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(c_i |\log c_i| + \alpha^{-1} + \alpha^{-1} \log \alpha) \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq \alpha^{-1} \log \alpha \|f\|_X$$

by using $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$.

Now we turn to focus on $\partial_Y T f(Y)$. We have

$$\partial_Y T f(Y) = (F\psi^{-2})(Y) \int_{Y_c}^Y (g_1 + g_2 + g_3)(Y') f(Y') dY'.$$

By a similar argument as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (F\psi^{-2})(Y) \int_{Y_c}^Y g_1(Y') \tilde{\phi}_0(Y') dY' \right| \\ & \leq C \frac{\alpha^2 c_i |Y - Y_c|}{\sinh^2 \alpha w_c(Y) + \alpha^2 c_i^2} \|f\|_{L^\infty} + \frac{C}{\sinh^2 \alpha w_c(Y) + \alpha^2 c_i^2} \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{\sinh^2 \alpha w_c(Y')}{|Y' - Y_c| + c_i} dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\sinh^2 \alpha w_c(Y) + \alpha^2 c_i^2} \int_{Y_c}^Y \sinh \alpha w_c(Y') dY' \right) \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| (F\psi^{-2})(Y) \int_{Y_c}^Y (g_2 + g_3)(Y') \tilde{\phi}_0(Y') dY' \right| \leq \frac{C}{|\psi^2(Y)|} \int_{Y_c}^Y |\psi(Y')|^2 dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(4.21) \quad \|\partial_Y T f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_X.$$

Next we consider $\partial_Y^2 T f$. By the definition of T , we have

$$\bar{M} \partial_Y^2 T f(Y) = \frac{g f \bar{M} F}{\psi^2} - \frac{\bar{M} \psi^2 \partial_Y T f \partial_Y (\psi^{-2} F)}{F},$$

which along with the fact

$$\left| \frac{g f \bar{M} F}{\psi^2}(Y) \right| \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty}, \quad \left| \frac{\bar{M} \psi^2 \partial_Y T f \partial_Y (\psi^{-2} F)}{F} \right| \leq C \alpha \|\partial_Y T f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \alpha \|f\|_{L^\infty},$$

implies

$$(4.22) \quad \|\bar{M} \partial_Y^2 T f(Y)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \alpha \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \alpha \|f\|_X.$$

According to (4.20), (4.21) and (4.22), we obtain

$$\|T f\|_X \leq C \alpha^{-1} \log \alpha \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \alpha^{-1} \log \alpha \|f\|_X,$$

which implies that $I - T_3$ is invertible in X . Then we obtain that $\tilde{\phi}_0 = (I - T)^{-1} 1$. Moreover,

$$C^{-1} \leq \|\tilde{\phi}_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq C, \quad |1 - \tilde{\phi}_0(Y)| \leq C \min\{\alpha^{-1} \log \alpha, |Y - Y_c|\}.$$

Then we use (4.10) to obtain (4.11).

Next we give the estimates for the real part and imaginary part of $\tilde{\phi}_0$. To achieve that, we introduce $\tilde{\phi}_0 = \tilde{\phi}_{01} \tilde{\phi}_{02}$, where $\tilde{\phi}_{01}$ is a real function solving the following system

$$\partial_Y \left(\frac{\psi_r^2}{F_r} \partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01} \right) = \tilde{\phi}_{01} g_r, \quad \text{and } \tilde{\phi}_{01}(Y_c) = 1, \quad (\psi_r^2 \partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01})(Y_c) = 0$$

and $\tilde{\phi}_{02}$ satisfies

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\psi(\tilde{\phi}_{02}) + \left(\frac{F_r \psi^2}{F \psi_r^2} g_r - g \right) \tilde{\phi}_{01}^2 \tilde{\phi}_{02} - \left(\frac{\psi^2 F_r}{\psi_r^2 F} - 1 \right) \partial_Y (F_r^{-1} \psi_r^2) \partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01} \tilde{\phi}_{01} \tilde{\phi}_{02} = 0, \\ \tilde{\phi}_{02}(Y_c) = 1, \quad (\psi^2 \partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{02})(Y_c) = \bar{M}^2(Y_c) \tilde{\phi}'_{01}(Y_c) \sim c_i^2. \end{cases}$$

Here

$$g_r = \psi_r^2 (\bar{M}^{-1})_r \partial_Y (F_r^{-1} \partial_Y \bar{M}_r) - F_r^{-\frac{1}{4}} \partial_Y (F_r^{-1} \partial_Y (F_r^{\frac{1}{4}})) \psi_r^2 = g_{1r} + g_{2r}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(4.24) \quad |g_{1r}| \leq \frac{C|Y - Y_c| |\psi_r|^2}{|Y - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2} \quad \text{and} \quad |g_{2r}| \leq C|\psi_r|^2.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\tilde{\phi}_{01}(Y) = 1 + \int_{Y_c}^Y F_r \psi_r^{-2} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} (\tilde{\phi}_{01} g_r)(Y'') dY'' dY' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 + T_r \tilde{\phi}_{01}.$$

Using similar argument in T , we can deduce that for any $f \in X$,

$$\|T_r f\|_X \leq C\alpha^{-1}(1 + \log \alpha) \|f\|_X,$$

by taking α large. Then $I - T_r$ is invertible in X and we construct $\tilde{\phi}_{01}$ by $\tilde{\phi}_{01} = (I - T_r)^{-1} 1$, which gives

$$(4.25) \quad C^{-1} \leq |\tilde{\phi}_{01}| \leq C, \quad \|\partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \|1 - \tilde{\phi}_{01}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-1} \log \alpha.$$

Moreover, we can obtain the estimates of $\partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01}$ for Y close to Y_c . Indeed, we use the behavior $\psi_r(Y) \sim \alpha^{-1} \sinh \alpha w_c(Y)$ to have

$$\left| F_r \psi_r^{-2} \int_{Y_c}^Y (\tilde{\phi}_{01} g_{1r})(Y') dY' \right| \leq \frac{C}{\sinh^2 \alpha w_c(Y)} \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{|Y' - Y_c| \sinh^2 \alpha w_c(Y')}{|Y' - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2} dY' \|\tilde{\phi}_{01}\|_{L^\infty},$$

which implies that for $|Y - Y_c| \leq \alpha^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F_r \psi_r^{-2} \int_{Y_c}^Y (\tilde{\phi}_{01} g_{1r})(Y') dY' \right| &\leq \frac{C\alpha^2 |Y - Y_c|^3}{\alpha^2 |Y - Y_c|^2} \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{|Y' - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2} dY' \|\tilde{\phi}_{01}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq Cc_i^{-1} |Y - Y_c| \|\tilde{\phi}_{01}\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $|Y - Y_c| \leq \alpha^{-1}$, we have

$$\left| F_r \psi_r^{-2} \int_{Y_c}^Y (\tilde{\phi}_{01} g_{1r})(Y') dY' \right| \leq Cc_i^{-1} |Y - Y_c| \|\tilde{\phi}_{01}\|_{L^\infty}.$$

We point out that for the estimate in the region $|Y - Y_c| \leq \alpha^{-1}$, we obtain a good factor $|Y - Y_c|$ at the price of factor c_i^{-1} , and this estimate will be used to deal with $\tilde{\phi}_{0,2}$. Similar process for g_{2r} gives

$$\left\| F_r \psi_r^{-2} \int_{Y_c}^Y (\tilde{\phi}_{01} g_{2r})(Y') dY' \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C|Y - Y_c| \|\tilde{\phi}_{01}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\tilde{\phi}_{01}\|_{L^\infty}.$$

The above three estimates ensure that for $|Y - Y_c| \leq \alpha^{-1}$,

$$(4.26) \quad |\partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01}| \leq c_i^{-1} |Y - Y_c| \|\tilde{\phi}_{01}\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_i^{-1} |Y - Y_c|.$$

We turn to give the estimates for $\tilde{\phi}_{02}$. First, $\tilde{\phi}_{02}$ can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_{02}(Y) &= 1 + \left(\alpha^2 \bar{M}^2(Y_c) \tilde{\phi}'_{01}(Y_c) \right) \int_{Y_c}^Y (F\psi^{-2})(Y') dY' \\ &\quad + \int_{Y_c}^Y (F\psi^{-2})(Y') \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} \left(W_1(Y'') \tilde{\phi}_{01}^2 + W_2(Y'') \partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01} \tilde{\phi}_{01} \right) \tilde{\phi}_{02} dY'' dY' \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 + \left(\alpha^2 \bar{M}^2(Y_c) \tilde{\phi}'_{01}(Y_c) \right) \int_{Y_c}^Y (F\psi^{-2})(Y') dY' + T_i(\tilde{\phi}_{02}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$W_1(Y) = -\left(\frac{F_r \psi^2}{F \psi_r^2} g_r - g\right) \text{ and } W_2(Y) = \left(\frac{\psi^2 F_r}{\psi_r^2 F} - 1\right) \partial_Y (F_r^{-1} \psi_r^2).$$

For $W_1(Y)$, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} |W_1(Y)| &= |F^{-1} \psi_r^{-2} (F_r \psi^2 g_{1r} - g_1 F \psi_r^2) + F^{-1} \psi_r^{-2} (F_r \psi^2 g_{2r} - g_2 F \psi_r^2) - g_3| \\ &\leq |F^{-1} \psi_r^{-2} (F_r \psi^2 g_{1r} - g_1 F \psi_r^2)| + |F^{-1} \psi_r^{-2} (F_r \psi^2 g_{2r})| + |g_3|, \end{aligned}$$

which along with the facts

$$|F^{-1} \psi_r^{-2} (F_r \psi^2 g_{1r} - g_1 F \psi_r^2)| = |F^{-1} \psi^2 (F_r (\bar{M}^{-1})_r \partial_Y (F_r^{-1} \partial_Y \bar{M}_r) - F \bar{M}^{-1} \partial_Y (F^{-1} \partial_Y \bar{M}))| \leq \frac{C c_i |\psi|^2}{|\bar{M}|^2},$$

$$|F^{-1} \psi_r^{-2} (F_r \psi^2 g_{2r} - g_2 F \psi_r^2)| = |F^{-1} F_r^{-\frac{1}{4}} \partial_Y (F_r^{-1} \partial_Y (F_r^{\frac{1}{4}})) \psi^2 (F_r - F)| \leq C c_i |\psi|^2, \quad |g_3| \leq C \alpha^2 c_i |\psi|^2,$$

implies

$$(4.27) \quad |W_1(Y)| \leq C c_i (\alpha^2 + |\bar{M}(Y)|^{-2}) |\psi|^2.$$

We also have

$$|W_2(Y)| = \left| \left(\frac{\psi^2 F_r}{\psi_r^2 F} - 1 \right) \partial_Y (F_r^{-1} \psi_r^2) \right| \leq \frac{(|\psi_r| + |\psi_i|) |\psi_i| |\partial_Y \psi_r|}{|\psi_r|} + C c_i |\psi_r \partial_Y \psi_r|.$$

By the similar argument in (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{\sinh^2 \alpha w_c(Y')} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} \frac{\sinh^2 \alpha w_c(Y'')}{|Y - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2} dY'' dY' \\ &\leq \int_0^{C\alpha} \frac{\sinh^2 z}{z^2 + \alpha^2 c_i^2} \cdot \frac{e^{-2z}}{1 - e^{-2z}} dz \leq C(1 + \log(\alpha c_i)), \end{aligned}$$

which along with (4.16), (4.25), (4.27) deduce that

$$(4.28) \quad \left| \int_{Y_c}^Y (F \psi^{-2})(Y') \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} W_1(Y'') \tilde{\phi}_{01}^2 f dY'' dY' \right| \leq C(c_i |\log c_i| + \alpha c_i + c_i \log(\alpha c_i)) \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C c_i (|\log c_i| + \alpha) \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

For the second term in $T_i f$, when $|Y - Y_c| \leq \alpha^{-1}$, we use $|\partial_Y \psi_r| \leq C$, $|\psi_i| \leq C c_i$ and (4.25) to infer

$$c_i \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{|\psi(Y')|^2} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} |\partial_Y \psi_r \partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01}| dY'' dY' \leq C c_i \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{|Y' - Y_c|}{|Y' - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2} dY' \leq C c_i |\log c_i|,$$

and use (4.26) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{|\psi(Y')|^2} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} \frac{c_i^2 |\psi_r'|}{|\psi_r|} |\partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01} f| dY'' dY' \\ &\leq C \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{|Y' - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} \frac{c_i |Y'' - Y_c|}{|Y'' - Y_c|} dY'' dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C c_i \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{|Y' - Y_c| + c_i} dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C c_i |\log c_i| \|f\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{|\psi(Y')|^2} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} c_i |\psi_r \partial_Y \psi_r| |\partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01} f| dY'' dY'$$

$$\leq C \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{|Y' - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} c_i(|Y' - Y_c| + c_i) dY'' dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C c_i \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

When $|Y - Y_c| \geq \alpha^{-1}$, we use $|\partial_Y \psi_r(Y'')| \leq C e^{\alpha|w_c(Y'')|}$, $|\psi_i(Y'')| \leq C c_i e^{\alpha|w_c(Y'')|}$ for $|Y'' - Y_c| \geq \alpha^{-1}$ and $|\psi_i(Y'')| \leq C c_i$ for $|Y'' - Y_c| \leq \alpha^{-1}$ to have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{|\psi(Y')|^2} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} |\psi_i \partial_Y \psi_r \partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01} f| dY'' dY' \\ & \leq C c_i |\log c_i| \|f\|_{L^\infty} + C \alpha c_i \int_{|Y' - Y_c| \geq \alpha^{-1}} e^{-2\alpha|w_c(Y')|} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} \alpha e^{2\alpha|w_c(Y'')|} dY'' dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C c_i |\log c_i| \|f\|_{L^\infty} + C c_i \alpha \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C c_i (|\log c_i| + \alpha) \|f\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{|\psi(Y')|^2} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} \frac{|\psi_i^2 \partial_Y \psi_r|}{|\psi_r|} |\partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01} f| dY'' dY' \\ & \leq C c_i |\log c_i| \|f\|_{L^\infty} + C \int_{|Y' - Y_c| \geq \alpha^{-1}} e^{-2\alpha|w_c(Y')|} \left(\alpha c_i + \int_{|Y'' - Y_c| \geq \alpha^{-1}} \alpha^3 c_i^2 e^{2\alpha|w_c(Y'')|} dY'' \right) dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C (c_i |\log c_i| + c_i + \alpha^2 c_i^2) \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C c_i |\log c_i| \|f\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{1}{|\psi(Y')|^2} \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} c_i |\psi_r \partial_Y \psi_r| |\partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01} f| dY'' dY' \\ & \leq C c_i \|f\|_{L^\infty} + C c_i \int_{|Y' - Y_c| \geq \alpha^{-1}} e^{-2\alpha|w_c(Y')|} \left(1 + e^{2\alpha|w_c(Y')|} \right) dY' \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C c_i \|f\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$(4.29) \quad \left| \int_{Y_c}^Y (F\psi^{-2})(Y') \int_{Y_c}^{Y'} W_2(Y'') \partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01} \tilde{\phi}_{01} f dY'' dY' \right| \leq C c_i (|\log c_i| + \alpha) \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Combining (4.28) and (4.29), we arrive at

$$|T_i f(Y)| \leq C c_i (|\log c_i| + \alpha) \|f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Thus, the operator $I - T_i$ is invertible in L^∞ due to $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ such that $c_i (|\log c_i| + \alpha) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and it holds that

$$\tilde{\phi}_{02} = (I - T_i)^{-1} \left(1 + \left(\bar{M}^2(Y_c) \partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01}(Y_c) \right) \int_{Y_c}^Y (F\psi^{-2})(Y') dY' \right).$$

On the other hand, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{Y_c}^Y (F\psi^{-2})(Y') dY' \right| & \leq \int_{|Y' - Y_c| \leq \alpha^{-1}} \frac{C}{|Y' - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2} dY' + C \alpha^2 \int_{|Y' - Y_c| \geq \alpha^{-1}} e^{-2\alpha|w_c(Y')|} dY' \\ & \leq C (c_i^{-1} + \alpha) \leq C c_i^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\left| \bar{M}^2(Y_c) \partial_Y \tilde{\phi}_{01}(Y_c) \int_{Y_c}^Y (F\psi^{-2})(Y') dY' \right| \leq C c_i.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$|\tilde{\phi}_{02} - 1| \leq C c_i (|\log c_i| + \alpha).$$

According to $\tilde{\phi}_0 = \tilde{\phi}_{01}\tilde{\phi}_{02}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{01}$ a real function, we have

$$C^{-1} \leq |\tilde{\phi}_{0,r}| \leq C, \quad |\tilde{\phi}_{0,i}| \leq Cc_i(|\log c_i| + \alpha).$$

Using the relation $\phi = \tilde{\phi}_0\psi = (\tilde{\phi}_{0,r} + i\tilde{\phi}_{0,i})(\psi_r + i\psi_i)$, we get

$$(4.30) \quad \phi_r = \tilde{\phi}_{0,r}\psi_r - \tilde{\phi}_{0,i}\psi_i, \quad \phi_i = \tilde{\phi}_{0,r}\psi_i + \tilde{\phi}_{0,i}\psi_r,$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_r| &\leq C\alpha^{-1}(\sinh \alpha|w_c(Y)| + c_i^2\alpha(|\log c_i| + \alpha)\cosh \alpha|w_c(Y)|) \\ &\leq C(\alpha^{-1}\sinh \alpha|w_c(Y)| + c_i^2(|\log c_i| + \alpha)), \\ |\phi_i| &\leq C\alpha^{-1}(\alpha c_i \cosh \alpha|w_c(Y)| + c_i(|\log c_i| + \alpha)\sinh \alpha|w_c(Y)|) \leq Cc_i e^{\alpha|w_c(Y)|}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

4.2. Solving the non-homogeneous equation. In this section, we first give the representation formula of the solution $\varphi(Y)$ to the non-homogeneous system (4.1) via the solution $\phi(Y)$ to homogeneous system (4.7) obtained in Section 4.1. Based on this formula, we prove Proposition 4.1. For convenience, we let

$$(4.31) \quad G_f(Y) = \frac{F(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} \int_{Y_c}^Y \frac{\phi(Y')}{\bar{M}(Y')} f(Y') dY' = G_{f,r}(Y) + iG_{f,i}(Y).$$

Here we always assume that ϕ is the solution of the system (4.7) constructed in Proposition 4.2.

Proposition 4.3. *We have the following representation formula for the solution of (4.1):*

$$(4.32) \quad \varphi(Y) = \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' + \mu_f(c)\phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY'$$

where $\mu_f(c)$ is a constant given by

$$(4.33) \quad \mu_f(c) = -\left(\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY'\right) \left(\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY\right)^{-1}.$$

Proof. The nonhomogeneous Rayleigh-type equation

$$\begin{cases} \bar{M} \left(\partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \varphi}{F(Y)} \right) - \alpha^2 \varphi \right) - \partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}}{F(Y)} \right) \varphi = f, & Y \in (\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*), \\ \varphi(\bar{Y}_1^*) = \varphi(\bar{Y}_2^*) = 0. \end{cases}$$

is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi(\varphi) = \frac{\phi f}{\bar{M}}, \quad Y \in (\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*) \text{ and } \varphi(\bar{Y}_1^*) = \varphi(\bar{Y}_2^*) = 0.$$

This gives our result by the integration and noting that $\mu_f(c)$ is well-defined for $c_i > 0$. \square

Remark 4.4. *There are two formulas for φ . For $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_c]$, we use the formula (4.32). For $Y \in [Y_c, \bar{Y}_2^*]$, we use the formula*

$$(4.34) \quad \varphi(Y) = -\phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' - \mu_f(c)\phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY'.$$

We first give estimates for the first term in (4.32) and (4.34).

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that f is a real function and $\text{supp}(f) \subset [\bar{Y}_1 - \delta, \bar{Y}_1] \cup [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2 + \delta]$ with $e^{\alpha w_0} f \in L^\infty$. It holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right| &\leq \begin{cases} C\alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}, & Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1 - \delta], \\ C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}, & Y \in [\bar{Y}_1 - \delta, Y_c], \end{cases} \\ \left| \text{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right) \right| &\leq \begin{cases} C\alpha^{-1} c_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}, & Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1 - \delta], \\ Cc_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}, & Y \in [\bar{Y}_1 - \delta, Y_c], \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and for $Y \in [Y_c, \bar{Y}_2^*]$,

$$\alpha \left| \phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right| + c_i^{-1} \left| \text{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right) \right| \leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_{f,i}(Y') dY' \right| \leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Proof. In view of the definition of $G_f(Y)$ in (4.31) and $\text{supp}(f) \subset [\bar{Y}_1 - \delta, \bar{Y}_1] \cup [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2 + \delta]$, we know that $\text{supp}(G_f) \subset [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1] \cup [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2^*]$ and $G_f(Y)$ has no singularity. The following inequalities are used frequently: for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1] \cup [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2^*]$,

$$(4.35) \quad \begin{aligned} |Y - Y_c| \gtrsim 1, \quad C^{-1} \leq |F(Y)| \leq C, \\ C^{-1} \alpha^{-1} e^{\alpha |w_c(Y)|} \leq |\phi(Y)|, |\phi_r(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{\alpha |w_c(Y)|}, \quad |\phi_i(Y)| \leq Cc_i e^{\alpha |w_c(Y)|}, \end{aligned}$$

which follow from Proposition 4.2. From (4.35), we know that for $|Y - Y_c| \gtrsim 1$,

$$(4.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\phi}{M} \right| + \left| \text{Re} \left(\frac{\phi}{M} \right) \right| \leq C|\phi| \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{\alpha |w_c(Y)|}, \quad \left| \text{Im} \left(\frac{\phi}{M} \right) \right| \leq Cc_i e^{\alpha |w_c(Y)|}, \\ |\phi^{-2}| + |\text{Re}(\phi^{-2})| \leq C\alpha^2 e^{-2\alpha |w_c(Y)|}, \quad |\text{Im}(\phi^{-2})| \leq C\alpha^3 c_i e^{-2\alpha |w_c(Y)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimates of $G_f(Y)$ and $G_{f,i}(Y)$:

Case 1. $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1 - \delta, \bar{Y}_1]$. By using (4.36) and $|w_c(Y)| = -w_c(Y)$ for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_c]$, we have

$$(4.37) \quad \begin{aligned} |G_f(Y)| &\leq C\alpha e^{-2\alpha |w_c(Y)|} \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_1} e^{-\alpha w_c(Y')} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y')} dY' \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C\alpha e^{2\alpha w_c(Y)} e^{\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_1} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y')} dY' \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.38) \quad \begin{aligned} |G_{f,i}(Y)| &\leq \left| \text{Re} \left(\frac{F(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} \right) \int_{Y_c}^Y \text{Im} \left(\frac{\phi(Y')}{M(Y')} \right) f(Y') dY' \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \text{Im} \left(\frac{F(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} \right) \int_{Y_c}^Y \text{Re} \left(\frac{\phi(Y')}{M(Y')} \right) f(Y') dY' \right| \\ &\leq C\alpha^2 c_i e^{-2\alpha |w_c(Y)|} \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_1} e^{-\alpha w_c(Y')} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y')} dY' \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C\alpha c_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1 - \delta]$. By using (4.36) again and similar argument as above, and $\text{supp}(f) \subset [\bar{Y}_1 - \delta, \bar{Y}_1] \cup [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2 + \delta]$, we infer

$$(4.39) \quad \begin{aligned} |G_f(Y)| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} |G_{f,i}(Y)| &\leq C\alpha e^{-2\alpha|w_c(Y)|} e^{\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \int_{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta}^{\bar{Y}_1} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y')} dY' \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta}^{\bar{Y}_1} F_r(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $Y \in [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2 + \delta]$. Similar to Case 1, we have

$$(4.40) \quad \begin{aligned} |G_f(Y)| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} |G_{f,i}(Y)| &\leq C\alpha e^{-2\alpha w_c(Y)} \int_{\bar{Y}_2}^Y e^{\alpha w_c(Y')} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y')} dY' \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C\alpha e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-2\alpha w_c(Y)} |Y - \bar{Y}_2| \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 4. $Y \in [\bar{Y}_2 + \delta, \bar{Y}_2^*]$. Similar to Case 2, we have

$$(4.41) \quad \begin{aligned} |G_f(Y)| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} |G_{f,i}(Y)| &\leq C\alpha e^{-2\alpha w_c(Y)} \int_{\bar{Y}_2}^{\bar{Y}_2 + \delta} e^{\alpha w_c(Y')} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y')} dY' \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C\alpha e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-2\alpha w_c(Y)} |\bar{Y}_2 + \delta - \bar{Y}_2| \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimates of $\phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY'$ and $\text{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right)$ for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_c]$:

Case 1. $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1 - \delta]$. By (4.39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_{f,i}(Y') dY' \right| &\leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y'}^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r(Y'') dY''} dY' \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

which along with Proposition 4.2 and (4.35) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} \left| \text{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right) \right| \\ \leq C\alpha^{-2} e^{\alpha|w_c(Y)|} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ \leq C\alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1 - \delta, \bar{Y}_1]$. By (4.37)-(4.39) and the above result, we have

$$(4.42) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_{f,i}(Y') dY' \right| \\ \leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \left(\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y'}^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r(Y'') dY''} dY' + C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \int_{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta}^Y dY' \right) \\ \leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} (\alpha^{-1} + |Y - (\bar{Y}_1 - \delta)|) \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

which along with (4.35) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} \left| \text{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right) \right| \\ \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{\alpha|w_c(Y)|} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1, Y_c]$. For $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1, Y_c - \alpha^{-1}]$, we have $\phi(Y) \sim \alpha^{-1}e^{\alpha|w_c(Y)|} = \alpha^{-1}e^{-\alpha w_c(Y)}$ and for $Y \in [Y_c - \alpha^{-1}, Y_c]$, we have $\phi(Y) \sim |Y - Y_c| + c_i$, which along with (4.42) for $Y = \bar{Y}_1$ gives

$$\left| \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right| \leq C\alpha^{-1}e^{-\alpha w_c(Y)} \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_1} G_f(Y') dY' \right| \leq C\alpha^{-1}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty},$$

and

$$\left| \text{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right) \right| \leq Cc_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Estimates of $\phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY'$ and $\text{Im}(\phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY')$ for $Y \in [Y_c, \bar{Y}_2^*]$:

Case 1. $Y \in [\bar{Y}_2 + \delta, \bar{Y}_2^*]$. By (4.41), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} \left| \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_{f,i}(Y') dY' \right| \\ & \leq C\alpha e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} |\bar{Y}_2 + \delta - \bar{Y}_2| \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} e^{-2\alpha w_c(Y')} dY' \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-2\alpha w_c(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

which along with (4.35) deduces

$$\left| \phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} \left| \text{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right) \right| \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Case 2. $Y \in [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2 + \delta]$. By using the above result and (4.40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} \left| \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_{f,i}(Y') dY' \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_2 + \delta}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right| + \left| \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2 + \delta} G_f(Y') dY' \right| \\ (4.43) \quad & \leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-2\alpha w_c(\bar{Y}_2 + \delta)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \quad + C\alpha e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2 + \delta} e^{-2\alpha w_c(Y')} |Y' - \bar{Y}_2| dY' \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-2\alpha w_c(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

which along with (4.35) implies

$$\left| \phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} \left| \text{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right) \right| \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Case 3. $Y \in [Y_c, \bar{Y}_2]$. For $Y \in [Y_c + \alpha^{-1}, \bar{Y}_2]$, we have $\phi(Y) \sim \alpha^{-1}e^{\alpha w_c(Y)}$ and for $Y \in [Y_c, Y_c + \alpha^{-1}]$, we have $\phi(Y) \sim |Y - Y_c| + c_i$, which along with (4.43) for $Y = \bar{Y}_2$ gives

$$\left| \phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right| \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{\alpha w_c(Y)} \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_2}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right| \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty},$$

and

$$\left| \text{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right) \right| \leq Cc_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

From the above estimates and the fact $G_f(Y) = 0$ for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1, \bar{Y}_2]$, we deduce that

$$\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' = \int_{\bar{Y}_2}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' + \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_1} G_f(Y') dY'.$$

Then we have

$$\left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_{f,i}(Y') dY' \right| \leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

□

Next we present the estimates for the numerator of the second term in (4.32) and (4.34).

Lemma 4.6. *It holds that*

$$(4.44) \quad \left| \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right| \leq C e^{-\alpha |w_c(Y)|}, \quad Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_c],$$

$$(4.45) \quad \left| \phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right| \leq C e^{-\alpha |w_c(Y)|}, \quad Y \in [Y_c, \bar{Y}_2^*].$$

Moreover, for the imaginary part, we have

$$(4.46) \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right) \right| \leq C c_i \alpha e^{-\alpha |w_c(Y)|}, \quad Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_c - \alpha^{-1}],$$

$$(4.47) \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right) \right| \leq C c_i \alpha e^{-\alpha |w_c(Y)|}, \quad Y \in [Y_c + \alpha^{-1}, \bar{Y}_2^*].$$

Proof. We only give the proof for (4.44) and (4.46). The proof for (4.45) and (4.47) are similar and we left details to readers.

For $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_c - \alpha^{-1}]$, since the function ϕ^{-2} has no singularity, we get by (4.35) that

$$\left| \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right| \leq C \alpha^{-1} e^{\alpha |w_c(Y)|} \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \alpha^2 e^{-2\alpha |w_c(Y')|} dY' \leq C e^{-\alpha |w_c(Y)|},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right) \right| &\leq C c_i e^{\alpha |w_c(Y)|} \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \alpha^2 e^{-2\alpha |w_c(Y')|} dY' \\ &\quad + C \alpha^{-1} e^{\alpha |w_c(Y)|} \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y c_i e^{\alpha |w_c(Y'')|} \alpha^3 e^{-3\alpha |w_c(Y')|} dY' \\ &\leq C \alpha c_i e^{-\alpha |w_c(Y)|}. \end{aligned}$$

For $Y \in [Y_c - \alpha^{-1}, Y_c]$, we get by Proposition 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right| &\leq C (|Y - Y_c| + c_i) \left(\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{Y_c - \alpha^{-1}} \alpha^2 e^{-2\alpha |w_c(Y')|} dY' + \int_{Y_c - \alpha^{-1}}^Y \frac{1}{|Y' - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2} dY' \right) \\ &\leq C \alpha (|Y - Y_c| + c_i) + |Y - Y_c| |Y - Y_c|^{-1} + c_i c_i^{-1} \leq C \leq C e^{-\alpha |w_c(Y)|}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following results are related to $\mu_f(c)$.

Lemma 4.7. *Assume that $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ and $|w_c(\bar{Y}_1^*)| \neq |w_c(\bar{Y}_2^*)|$. Then there exists $C > 1$ such that*

$$C^{-1}\alpha \leq \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY \right| \leq C\alpha,$$

$$\operatorname{Im} \left(\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY \right) = -\frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}_r(Y_c)\pi}{\partial_Y \bar{M}_r(Y_c)^3} + O(\alpha^2 c_i |\log c_i|).$$

Proof. Let $I = \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY$. We can write

$$I = -\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{\bar{M}^2(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY + \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \phi^{-2}(Y) dY = I_1 + I_2.$$

According to Proposition 4.2, we first have

$$(4.48) \quad |I_1| = \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{\bar{M}^2(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY \right| \leq C \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{|Y - Y_c|^2}{(\alpha^{-1} \sinh(\alpha|Y - Y_c|) + c_i)^2} \leq C.$$

Notice that

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\bar{M}^2/\phi^2)| \leq |\phi|^{-4} (|(U_B - c_r)^2 - c_i^2| |\phi_r \phi_i| + c_i(U_B - c) |\phi_r^2 - \phi_i^2|),$$

which implies

$$(4.49) \quad |\operatorname{Im}(I_1)| \leq C c_i \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{1}{|Y - Y_c| + c_i} dY + C c_i^3 \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{1}{(|Y - Y_c| + c_i)^3} dY \leq C c_i |\log c_i|.$$

Now we turn to focus on I_2 . We write

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{\partial_Y \phi(Y_c)} \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{\partial_Y \phi(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY + \frac{1}{\partial_Y \phi(Y_c)} \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{\partial_Y \phi(Y_c) - \partial_Y \phi(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY = I_{21} + I_{22}.$$

For I_{21} , we have

$$I_{21} = \frac{1}{\partial_Y \bar{M}(Y_c)} \left(\frac{1}{\phi(\bar{Y}_1^*)} - \frac{1}{\phi(\bar{Y}_2^*)} \right),$$

from which and (4.11), we deduce that $|I_{21}| \leq C$ and $|\operatorname{Im} I_{21}| \leq C c_i$. We introduce

$$g(Y) = \partial_Y \phi(Y) - \partial_Y \phi(Y_c) - \frac{\partial_Y^2 \phi(Y_c)}{\partial_Y \phi(Y_c) \partial_Y \phi_r(Y_c)} \partial_Y \phi(Y) \phi_r(Y),$$

which holds

$$g(Y_c) = g'(Y_c) = 0, \quad |g(Y)| \leq C|Y - Y_c|^2, \quad |\operatorname{Im} g(Y)| \leq C c_i |Y - Y_c|^2.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{22} &= -\frac{1}{\partial_Y \phi(Y_c)} \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{g(Y)}{\phi(Y)^2} dY - \frac{\partial_Y^2 \phi(Y_c)}{(\partial_Y \phi(Y_c))^2 \partial_Y \phi_r(Y_c)} \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{\partial_Y \phi(Y) \phi_r(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY \\ &= -\frac{1}{\partial_Y \phi(Y_c)} \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{g(Y)}{\phi(Y)^2} dY + \frac{\partial_Y^2 \phi(Y_c)}{(\partial_Y \phi(Y_c))^2 \partial_Y \phi_r(Y_c)} \log \frac{\phi(\bar{Y}_2^*)}{\phi(\bar{Y}_1^*)} \\ &\quad + i \frac{\partial_Y^2 \phi(Y_c)}{(\partial_Y \phi(Y_c))^2 \partial_Y \phi_r(Y_c)} \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{\phi_i(Y) \partial_Y \phi(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY \\ &= I_{22}^1 + I_{22}^2 + I_{22}^3. \end{aligned}$$

For I_{22}^1 , we get

$$|I_{22}^1| \leq C \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{|Y - Y_c|^2}{|Y - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2} dY \leq C,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Im} I_{22}^1| &\leq C c_i \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{|g(Y')| |Y - Y_c|}{(|Y - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2)^2} dY' + C \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{|\operatorname{Im} g(Y)|}{|Y - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2} dY \\ &\leq C c_i \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{|Y - Y_c|^3}{(|Y' - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2)^2} dY + C c_i \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{|Y - Y_c|^2}{|Y' - Y_c|^2 + c_i^2} dY \leq C c_i |\log c_i|. \end{aligned}$$

For I_{22}^2 , by (4.7) and Proposition 4.2, $\partial_Y \phi_r(Y_c) = \partial_Y \bar{M}_r(Y_c) + \mathcal{O}(c_i(\alpha + |\log c_i|))$ and $\partial_Y^2 \phi(Y_c) = \partial_Y^2 \bar{M}(Y_c) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2 c_i)$. Then we have

$$(4.50) \quad \frac{\partial_Y^2 \phi(Y_c)}{(\partial_Y \phi(Y_c))^2 \partial_Y \phi_r(Y_c)} = \frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}(Y_c)}{(\partial_Y \bar{M}(Y_c))^2 \partial_Y \bar{M}_r(Y_c)} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2 c_i).$$

Again by Proposition 4.2, we have

$$(4.51) \quad \frac{\phi(\bar{Y}_1^*)}{\phi(\bar{Y}_2^*)} = \frac{\psi(\bar{Y}_1^*) \tilde{\phi}_0(\bar{Y}_1^*)}{\psi(\bar{Y}_2^*) \tilde{\phi}_0(\bar{Y}_2^*)} = \frac{\psi(\bar{Y}_1^*)}{\psi(\bar{Y}_2^*)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\tilde{\phi}_0(\bar{Y}_1^*)}{\tilde{\phi}_0(\bar{Y}_2^*)} - 1\right)\right).$$

According to (4.10), we have

$$(4.52) \quad \left| \frac{\psi(\bar{Y}_1^*)}{\psi(\bar{Y}_2^*)} \right| \sim e^{\alpha |w_c(\bar{Y}_2^*)|} e^{-\alpha |w_c(\bar{Y}_1^*)|} \text{ and } \arg \left(\frac{\psi(\bar{Y}_1^*)}{\psi(\bar{Y}_2^*)} \right) = \pi + \mathcal{O}(c_i).$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 4.2, we get

$$\left| \frac{\tilde{\phi}_0(\bar{Y}_1^*)}{\tilde{\phi}_0(\bar{Y}_2^*)} - 1 \right| \leq C \alpha^{-1} \log \alpha \text{ and } \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\tilde{\phi}_0(\bar{Y}_1^*)}{\tilde{\phi}_0(\bar{Y}_2^*)} - 1 \right) \right| \leq C c_i |\log c_i|,$$

which implies

$$(4.53) \quad \left| \frac{\tilde{\phi}_0(\bar{Y}_1^*)}{\tilde{\phi}_0(\bar{Y}_2^*)} \right| \sim 1 \text{ and } \arg \left(\frac{\tilde{\phi}_0(\bar{Y}_1^*)}{\tilde{\phi}_0(\bar{Y}_2^*)} \right) \sim c_i |\log c_i|.$$

By gathering (4.51), (4.52) and (4.53), we arrive at

$$\left| \frac{\phi(\bar{Y}_1^*)}{\phi(\bar{Y}_2^*)} \right| \sim e^{\alpha |w_c(\bar{Y}_2^*)|} e^{-\alpha |w_c(\bar{Y}_1^*)|} \text{ and } \arg \left(\frac{\phi(\bar{Y}_1^*)}{\phi(\bar{Y}_2^*)} \right) = \pi + \mathcal{O}(c_i),$$

which along with (4.50) implies

$$|I_{22}^2| \sim \alpha ||w_c(\bar{Y}_1^*)| - |w_c(\bar{Y}_2^*)|| \sim \alpha, \quad \operatorname{Im} I_{22}^2 = -\frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}_r(Y_c)}{\partial_Y \bar{M}_r(Y_c)^3} \pi + \mathcal{O}(c_i).$$

For I_{22}^3 , by Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{\phi_i(Y) \partial_Y \phi(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{0,r} \psi_i \partial_Y \phi(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY \right| + \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{0,i} \psi_r \partial_Y \phi(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} dY \right| \\ &\leq C c_i |\log c_i| + \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{\partial_Y (\tilde{\phi}_{0,r} \psi_i)}{\phi(Y)} dY \right| + c_i \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{1}{|\phi(Y)|} dY \right| \\ &\leq c_i (|\log c_i| + \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

which along with (4.50) implies that $|I_{22}^3| \leq Cc_i\alpha|\log c_i|$. Putting $I_{22}^1 - I_{22}^3$ together, we get

$$|\operatorname{Re}I_{22}| \sim \alpha, \quad \operatorname{Im}I_{22} = -\frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}_r(Y_c)\pi}{\partial_Y \bar{M}_r(Y_c)^3} + O(c_i\alpha^2|\log c_i|).$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$|\operatorname{Re}I_2| \sim \alpha, \quad \operatorname{Im}I_2 = -\frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}_r(Y_c)\pi}{\partial_Y \bar{M}_r(Y_c)^3} + O(c_i\alpha^2|\log c_i|).$$

□

4.3. Proof of Proposition 4.1. With results in Lemma 4.5-Lemma 4.7, we are in a position to prove Proposition 4.1.

Proof. For $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_c]$, we use the formula (4.32) for $\varphi(Y)$. By Lemma 4.5-4.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right| &\leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}, & \left| \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right| &\leq C e^{-\alpha|w_c(Y)|}, \\ \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY' \right| &\leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}, & \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right| &\geq C^{-1}\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

and for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1 - \delta]$,

$$\left| \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY' \right| \leq C\alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty},$$

from which, we infer that for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_c]$,

$$|\varphi(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Moreover, for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1 - \delta]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(Y)| &\leq C\alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha|w_c(Y)|} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar procedure gives that for $Y \in [Y_c, \bar{Y}_2^*]$,

$$|\varphi(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Next we give the estimates for $\operatorname{Im}\varphi$. Since φ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{cr}[\varphi] = f$ with $f = \operatorname{Re}f + i\operatorname{Im}f$, we divide $\varphi = \varphi_1 + i\varphi_2$, where $\mathcal{L}_{cr}[\varphi_1] = \operatorname{Re}f$, $\mathcal{L}_{cr}[\varphi_2] = \operatorname{Im}f$. Then $\operatorname{Im}\varphi = \operatorname{Im}\varphi_1 - \operatorname{Re}\varphi_2$. To estimate $\operatorname{Re}\varphi_2$, we use the above estimates to obtain

$$|\operatorname{Re}\varphi_2(Y)| \leq |\varphi_2(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} \operatorname{Im}f\|_{L^\infty}, \quad Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*],$$

$$|\operatorname{Re}\varphi_2(Y)| \leq |\varphi_2(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} \operatorname{Im}f\|_{L^\infty}, \quad Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1 - \delta].$$

Then we focus on the estimates for $\operatorname{Im}\varphi_1$. By Lemma 4.5, for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1 - \delta]$, we have

$$(4.54) \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_{\operatorname{Re}f}(Y') dY' \right) \right| \leq C\alpha^{-1} c_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} \operatorname{Re}f\|_{L^\infty},$$

and for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1 - \delta, \bar{Y}_1] \cup [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2^*]$,

$$(4.55) \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_{\operatorname{Ref}}(Y') dY' \right) \right| \leq C c_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} \operatorname{Ref}\|_{L^\infty},$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_{\operatorname{Ref}}(Y') dY' \right| + (\alpha c_i)^{-1} \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_{\operatorname{Ref},i}(Y') dY' \right| \leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} \operatorname{Ref}\|_{L^\infty}.$$

By Lemma 4.7, we have

$$\left| \left(\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right)^{-1} \right| \leq C \alpha^{-1}, \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\left(\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right)^{-1} \right) \right| \leq C \alpha^{-2}.$$

The above estimates along with Lemma 4.6 and (4.33) ensure that for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1]$,

$$(4.56) \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\mu_{\operatorname{Ref}}(c) \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right) \right| \leq C \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha |w_c(Y)|} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} \operatorname{Ref}\|_{L^\infty} \\ \leq C \alpha^{-3} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} \operatorname{Ref}\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Similarly, for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2^*]$, we have

$$(4.57) \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\mu_{\operatorname{Ref}}(c) \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' \right) \right| \leq C \alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} \operatorname{Ref}\|_{L^\infty},$$

which gives

$$|\operatorname{Im} \varphi_1(Y)| \leq C \alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \|e^{\alpha w_0} \operatorname{Ref}\|_{L^\infty}, \quad Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1] \cup [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2^*], \\ |\operatorname{Im} \varphi_1(Y)| \leq C \alpha^{-3} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_1 - \delta} F_r(Y') dY'} \|e^{\alpha w_0} \operatorname{Ref}\|_{L^\infty}, \quad Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_1 - \delta].$$

In the end, we combine the estimates of $\operatorname{Re} \varphi_2$ to obtain the results of $\operatorname{Im} \varphi$. \square

5. INNER-OUTER GLUING ITERATION SCHEME

The goal of this section is to construct a homogeneous solution $P(Y)$ to the following system

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}[P] = \partial_Y^2 P - \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y P - \alpha^2 (1 - \bar{M}^2) P = 0, \\ \lim_{Y \rightarrow +\infty} P(Y) = 0, \end{cases}$$

such that $P(Y)$ contains the interaction between the supersonic regime and the critical layer in the subsonic regime. We point out that the solution to (5.1) doesn't give a restriction at $Y = 0$.

5.1. Roadmap of our construction. We introduce the inner-outer gluing iteration.

• **The initial step.** In this step, we give the leading order $P^{(0)}(Y)$ of the solution $P(Y)$. That is, $P^{(0)} = P_{0,0} + P_{0,1} + P_{0,2}$. The operators \mathcal{G}_1 , $\mathcal{G}_{2,nloc}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2,loc}$ related to the inner-outer gluing iteration are defined in Section 2.3. We define that for any $Y \geq 0$,

$$P_{0,0}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_1(A).$$

We split $\mathcal{L}[P_{0,0}] = H_1[A] + H_2[A]$, where $H_1[A]$ and $H_2[A]$ are the errors in the regime near and far away from critical layer respectively. The exact formulas of $H_1[\cdot]$ and $H_2[\cdot]$ are given in (5.7).

We define

$$P_{0,1}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_{2,nloc} \circ \varphi_0, \quad \varphi_0 = \mathcal{L}_{cr}^{-1}(-H_1[A]).$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}[P_{0,1}] = -H_1[A] + H_3[\varphi_0] + H_4[\varphi_0],$$

where $H_4[\varphi_0]$ and $H_3[\varphi_0]$ are the errors related to φ_0 in the regime near and far away from the critical layer respectively. The exact formulas of $H_3[\cdot]$ and $H_4[\cdot]$ are given in (5.7). Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}[P_{0,0} + P_{0,1}] = H_2[A] + H_3[\varphi_0] + H_4[\varphi_0],$$

We write

$$H_3[\varphi_0] = H_3^{ncr}[\varphi_0] + H_3^{sup}[\varphi_0].$$

We define

$$(5.2) \quad P_{0,2}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_1 \circ \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)} + \bar{\chi}_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y), \quad \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}_{app}^{-1}(-H_2[A] - H_3^{ncr}[\varphi_0]),$$

where $\bar{\chi}_1$ is a smooth cut-off function supported in the supersonic regime, and the reverse wave $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}$ satisfies

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{L}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}] = -H_3^{sup}[\varphi_0] + (Q_1 + Q_2)\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)},$$

and is given by

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y) = -\kappa^{-1}\pi A(Y) \int_Y^{+\infty} B(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}H_3^{sup}[\varphi_0](Z)dZ - \kappa^{-1}\pi B(Y) \int_0^Y A(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}H_3^{sup}[\varphi_0](Z)dZ.$$

We emphasize that $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y)$ is the key part to obtain the instability, which carries the effect of the critical layer and oscillates in the supersonic regime. Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}[P_{0,2}] = -H_2[A] - H_3[\varphi_0] + H_1[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}] + H_2[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}] + \tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}],$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{L}[P^{(0)}] = \underbrace{H_4[\varphi_0] + H_1[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}]}_{\text{near critical layer}} + \underbrace{H_2[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}] + \tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}]}_{\text{away from critical layer}}.$$

• **Iteration scheme.** For $j = 1$, we define

$$P_{1,1}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_{2,loc} \circ \varphi_1, \quad \varphi_1 = \mathcal{L}_{cr}^{-1}(-H_1[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}] - H_4[\varphi_0]).$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}[P_{1,1}] = -H_4[\varphi_0] - H_1[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}] + \tilde{H}_3[\varphi_1] + H_4[\varphi_1],$$

where $\tilde{H}_3[\varphi_1]$ is the error related to φ_1 and the support of $\tilde{H}_3[\varphi_1]$ lies in the regime away from the critical layer and the supersonic regime. Next we define

$$P_{1,2}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_1 \circ \tilde{P}_{1,2}, \quad \tilde{P}_{1,2} = \mathcal{L}_{app}^{-1}(-H_2[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}] - \tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}] - \tilde{H}_3[\varphi_1]).$$

Then we denote $P^{(1)} = P_{1,1} + P_{1,2}$ and we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}[P^{(0)} + P^{(1)}] = H_1[\tilde{P}_{1,2}] + H_2[\tilde{P}_{1,2}] + H_4[\varphi_1] := Err^{(1)}(Y).$$

For any $j \geq 2$, we assume that $Err^{(j-1)}(Y)$ is the error from the $(j-1)$ -th iteration with the form of $Err^{(j-1)} = H_4[\varphi_{j-1}] + H_1[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}] + H_2[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}]$. We define

$$(5.5) \quad P_{j,1}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_{2,loc} \circ \varphi_j, \quad \varphi_j = \mathcal{L}_{cr}^{-1}(-H_1[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}] - H_4[\varphi_{j-1}]),$$

$$(5.6) \quad P_{j,2}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_1 \circ \tilde{P}_{j,2}, \quad \tilde{P}_{j,2} = \mathcal{L}_{app}^{-1}(-H_2[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}] - \tilde{H}_3[\varphi_j]).$$

Therefore, we obtain that $P^{(j)} = P_{j,1} + P_{j,2}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}[P^{(j)}] &= -H_4[\varphi_{j-1}] - H_1[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}](Y) - H_2[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}](Y) \\ &\quad + H_4[\varphi_j] + H_1[\tilde{P}_{j,2}](Y) + H_2[\tilde{P}_{j,2}](Y) = -Err^{(j-1)}(Y) + Err^{(j)}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

We can obtain iteratively that $\mathcal{L}[\sum_{j=0}^N P^{(j)}] = Err^{(N)}(Y)$. Then we know $P = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^{(j)}$ solves (5.1) formally.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$. Then $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^{(j)}$ converges in $W_{w_0}^{2,\infty}$ and $P = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^{(j)}$ is a solution to (5.1). Moreover, we have*

$$\partial_Y P(0) = \partial_Y A(0) + \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(0) + \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j,2}(0).$$

We give the definitions related to the errors in the process of iteration:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1[\tilde{P}] &= \chi \left((Q_1 + Q_2)\chi_1 \tilde{P} - \alpha^2 F \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1 \tilde{P} dZ \right) + \partial_Y \chi_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}, \\ H_2[\tilde{P}] &= (1 - \chi) \left((Q_1 + Q_2)\chi_1 \tilde{P} - \alpha^2 F \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1 \tilde{P} dZ \right) =: H_{21}[\tilde{P}] + H_{22}[\tilde{P}], \\ \tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}] &= \left(\bar{\chi}_1(Q_1 + Q_2) + \partial_Y^2 \bar{\chi}_1 - \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y \bar{\chi}_1 \right) \tilde{P} + 2\partial_Y \bar{\chi}_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}, \\ &\quad \text{with } \text{supp}(\tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}]) \subset [0, \frac{3}{2}\bar{Y}] \subset [0, Y^-], \\ (5.7) \quad H_3[\varphi] &= 2\partial_Y \chi_2 \bar{M} \varphi + (1 - \chi)(1 - \bar{\chi})(1 - \bar{M}^2) \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi] + \bar{\chi}(1 - \bar{M}^2) \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi] \\ &=: \underbrace{H_{31}[\varphi] + H_{32}^{(1)}[\varphi]}_{H_3^{ncr}[\varphi]} + \underbrace{H_{32}^{(2)}[\varphi]}_{H_3^{sup}[\varphi]}, \\ \tilde{H}_3[\varphi] &= 2\partial_Y \chi_2 \bar{M} \varphi + \left((1 - \bar{M}^2)\chi_3(1 - \chi) + \alpha^{-2} \left(\frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y \chi_3 - \partial_Y^2 \chi_3 \right) \right) \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi] \\ &=: H_{31}[\varphi] + \tilde{H}_{32}[\varphi], \\ H_4[\varphi] &= \chi(1 - \bar{M}^2) \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi]. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$(5.8) \quad Q_2(Y) = -\alpha^2 F_i(Y) \chi_0(Y), \quad \mathcal{K}_B[f](Y) = \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \left(\frac{\partial_Z \chi_2 \bar{M}^2}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right) \bar{M}^{-1} f dZ.$$

Remark 5.2. *We gather the relation of the support of these cut-off functions as follows. Let $\delta_0 \sim 1$ be a positive constant dependent of distance $Y_c - Y_0$, but independent of α . Let \bar{Y} lie in the supersonic regime such that $0 < \frac{3}{2}\bar{Y} \leq \frac{9}{10}Y_0 < Y^-$.*

- (1) *The smooth cut-off function $\chi_1(Y)$ satisfies $\chi_1(Y) = 1$ for $Y \in [0, Y_1] \cup [Y_2, +\infty)$ and $\chi_1(Y) = 0$ for $Y \in [Y_1 + \delta_0, Y_2 - \delta_0]$, where*

$$Y_1 + 2\delta_0 < Y_c < Y_2 - 2\delta_0.$$

In section 4, we take $\bar{Y}_1 = Y_1 + \delta_0$, $\bar{Y}_2 = Y_2 - \delta_0$ and $\delta = 3\delta_0$. Thus we write $\bar{Y}_1 - \delta = Y_1 - 2\delta_0$.

- (2) *The smooth cut-off function $\bar{\chi}_1(Y)$ satisfies $\bar{\chi}_1(Y) = 1$ for $Y \in [0, \bar{Y}]$ and $\bar{\chi}_1(Y) = 0$ for $Y \in [\frac{3}{2}\bar{Y}, +\infty)$. The smooth cut-off function $\bar{\chi}(Y)$ satisfies $\text{supp}(\bar{\chi}) = [0, \bar{Y}]$, which holds that $\bar{\chi}\bar{\chi}_1 = \bar{\chi}$.*

(3) The smooth cut-off function $\chi(Y)$ satisfies $\chi(Y) = 1$ for $Y \in [Y_1 - \delta_0, Y_2 + \delta_0]$ and $\chi(Y) = 0$ for $Y \in [0, Y_1 - 2\delta_0] \cup [Y_2 + 2\delta_0, +\infty)$.

(4) The smooth cut-off function $\chi_2(Y)$ satisfies $\chi_2(Y) = 1$ for $Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]$ and $\chi_2(Y) = 0$ for $Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0] \cup [Y_2^* + \delta_0, +\infty)$, where

$$\bar{Y}_1^* + 2\delta_0 < Y_1^* < Y_1 - 3\delta_0 \text{ and } Y_2 + 3\delta_0 < Y_2^* < \bar{Y}_2^* - 2\delta_0.$$

(5) The smooth cut-off function $\chi_3(Y)$ satisfies $\chi_3(Y) = 1$ for $Y \geq \bar{Y}_1^*$ and $\chi_3(Y) = 0$ for $Y \leq \bar{Y}_1^{**}$, where

$$Y^+ + 2\delta_0 < \bar{Y}_1^{**} < \bar{Y}_1^* - 2\delta_0.$$

(6) The smooth cut-off function $\chi_0(Y)$ satisfies $\chi_0(Y) = 1$ for $Y \in [0, \bar{Y}_2^* + \delta_0]$ and $\chi_0(Y) = 0$ for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_2^* + 2\delta_0, +\infty)$.

5.2. Proof of Proposition 5.1. By the construction of $P(Y)$ introduced above, we know that for $j = 0$, $P^{(0)}(Y) = P_{0,0}(Y) + P_{0,1}(Y) + P_{0,2}(Y)$, where

$$(5.9) \quad P_{0,0}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_1(A), \quad P_{0,1}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_{2,loc} \circ \varphi_0, \quad P_{0,2}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_1 \circ \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)} + \bar{\chi}_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y).$$

For $j \geq 1$, we have $P^{(j)}(Y) = P_{j,1}(Y) + P_{j,2}(Y)$, where

$$(5.10) \quad P_{j,1}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_{2,loc} \circ \varphi_j, \quad P_{j,2}(Y) = \mathcal{G}_1 \circ \tilde{P}_{j,2}.$$

We introduce the following notations related to $\tilde{P}_{j,2}$. For $j = 0$, we denote

$$(5.11) \quad \tilde{P}_{0,2}(Y) := \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_0(Y)A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_0(Y)B(Y), \quad \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(Y)A(Y) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(Y)B(Y).$$

For $j \geq 1$, we denote

$$(5.12) \quad \tilde{P}_{j,2}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_j(Y)A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_j(Y)B(Y).$$

To avoid heavy notations, we put the definitions of $(\mathcal{A}_j(Y), \mathcal{B}_j(Y))$, $j \geq 0$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(Y), \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(Y))$ in the next subsection (see the precise definitions in (5.23)-(5.24)).

We introduce the following functional space

$$\mathcal{X} := \{u \in W_{w_0}^{2,\infty} : \|u\|_{\mathcal{X}} < +\infty\},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}} := \alpha \|u\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\partial_Y u\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \alpha^{-1} \|\partial_Y^2 u\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}.$$

Our goal is to prove the convergence of the series $\sum_{j=0}^\infty P^{(j)}$ in \mathcal{X} . For this purpose, we introduce that for $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_j = & \|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_j\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \\ & + \alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|\varphi_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \alpha^{\frac{1}{6}} e^{2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|\varphi_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^*, Y_1^*])}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $j = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_0 = & \|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_0\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} + \alpha e^{\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} (\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])}) \\ & + \alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \alpha^{\frac{1}{6}} e^{2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^*, Y_1^*])}. \end{aligned}$$

The following two propositions will be used frequently. The proof is left to subsequent subsections.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$. It holds that for $j = 0$,*

$$\|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \alpha e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^{1-2\delta_0}} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_1^*])} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}},$$

and for $j \geq 1$,

$$\|\varphi_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \alpha e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^{1-2\delta_0}} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|\varphi_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_1^*])} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}}\mathcal{E}_{j-1}.$$

Proposition 5.4. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$. It holds that for $j = 0$,*

$$\|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha\|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha\|\mathcal{B}_0\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} + \alpha e^{\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} (\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])}) \leq C,$$

and for $j \geq 1$,

$$\|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha\|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha\|\mathcal{B}_j\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{j-1}.$$

5.2.1. *Estimates of $P_{j,1}$.* Recall that φ_j is the solution of the Rayleigh type equation on interval $[\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*]$:

$$(5.13) \quad \mathcal{L}_{cr}[\varphi_j] = \partial_Y \left(\frac{g_j}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right),$$

where we denote

$$(5.14) \quad f_j = \partial_Y \left(\frac{g_j}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right), \quad j \geq 0, \quad g_0 = -H_1[A], \quad g_j = -(H_1[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}] + H_4[\varphi_{j-1}]), \quad j \geq 1,$$

and we extend $\varphi_j = 0$ for $Y \in \mathbb{R}_+ / [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*]$. Then $\|\varphi_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} = \|\varphi_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*])}$. It is easy to verify that $\tilde{P}_{j,1}$ satisfies the following equation on $[\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*]$:

$$\mathcal{L}[\tilde{P}_{j,1}] = g_j, \quad \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j,1} = \bar{M}\varphi_j.$$

By the definition of $P_{j,1}$ in (5.9), we have

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_Y P_{0,1} &= \chi_2 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j,1} = \chi_2 \bar{M}\varphi_0, \\ \partial_Y^2 P_{0,1} &= \chi_2 (2\partial_Y \bar{M}\varphi_0 + \alpha^2(1 - \bar{M}^2)\tilde{P}_{0,1} + g_0) + \partial_Y \chi_2 \bar{M}\varphi_0, \end{aligned}$$

and for $j \geq 1$,

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_Y P_{j,1} &= \chi_2 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j,1} - \partial_Y \chi_3 \int_Y^{+\infty} \chi_2 \bar{M}\varphi_j dZ, \\ \partial_Y^2 P_{j,1} &= \chi_2 (2\partial_Y \bar{M}\varphi_j + \alpha^2(1 - \bar{M}^2)\tilde{P}_{j,1} + g_j) + \partial_Y \chi_2 \bar{M}\varphi_j - \partial_Y^2 \chi_3 \int_Y^{+\infty} \chi_2 \bar{M}\varphi_j dZ. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.5. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$. It holds that for $j \geq 0$,*

$$\|P_{j,1}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}}\mathcal{E}_{j-1},$$

where we define $\mathcal{E}_{-1} = \alpha$.

Proof. For $j = 0$, according to the definition of $P_{0,1}$ in (5.9) and (5.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{0,1}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C\|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \int_Y^{+\infty} \chi_2 |\bar{M}| e^{-\alpha w_0(Z)} dZ \leq C\alpha^{-1}\|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}, \\ \|\partial_Y P_{0,1}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq \|\chi_2 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,1}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}, \\ \|\partial_Y^2 P_{0,1}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C\|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + C\alpha^2\|\tilde{P}_{0,1}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + C\|\chi_2 f_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + C\|\partial_Y \chi_2 \bar{M}\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \\ &\leq C\alpha\|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + C\|\chi_2 g_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

For $j \geq 1$, we use (5.9), (5.16) and the fact $|\int_Y^{+\infty} \chi_2 \bar{M} \varphi_j dZ| \leq C\alpha^{-1} \|\varphi_j\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty}$ to imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{j,1}\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} &\leq C\alpha^{-1} \|\varphi_j\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty}, \\ \|\partial_Y P_{j,1}\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} &\leq C\|\varphi_j\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} + C\alpha^{-1} \|\varphi_j\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} \leq C\|\varphi_j\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty}, \\ \|\partial_Y^2 P_{j,1}\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} &\leq C\alpha\|\varphi_j\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} + C\|\chi_2 g_j\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we are left with the estimates of $\|\chi_2 g_j\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty}$. For $j = 0$, we use $\chi_2 H_1[A] = H_1[A]$ with the definition in (5.7) to get

$$\|\chi_2 g_0\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} = \|H_1[A]\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha\|A\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty([Y_1^*, Y_2^*])} + C\|\partial_Y A\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty([Y_1^*, Y_2^*])} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}},$$

where we have used $|A(Y)| + \alpha^{-1} |\partial_Y A(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}$ for $Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]$ in the last step. Then we get by Proposition 5.3 that

$$\|P_{0,1}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C\|\varphi_0\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} + C\alpha^{-1} \|\chi_2 g_0\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} \leq C\|\varphi_0\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} + C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}.$$

For $j \geq 1$, we have

$$\chi_2 g_j = -\chi_2 (H_1[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}] + H_4[\varphi_{j-1}]) = -(H_1[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}] + H_4[\varphi_{j-1}]).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_1[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}]\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} &\leq \|\chi(Q_1 + Q_2)\chi_1 \tilde{P}_{j-1,2}\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} + \alpha^2 \left\| \chi F(Y) \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1(Z) \tilde{P}_{j-1,2}(Z) dZ \right\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_Y \chi_1 \partial_Y P_{j-1,2}\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} \\ &\leq C\alpha \|\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty([Y_1^*, Y_2^*])} + C\|\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j-1,2}\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty([Y_1^*, Y_2^*])} \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} (\alpha \|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_{j-1}\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}) \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 6.2, we have

$$\|H_4[\varphi_{j-1}]\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} \leq \|\chi(1 - \bar{M}^2)\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_{j-1}]\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-1} \|\varphi_{j-1}\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1},$$

which give

$$\|\chi_2 g_j\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1}.$$

Thus, by Proposition 5.3, we infer that for $j \geq 1$,

$$\|P_{j,1}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C\|\varphi_j\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} + C\alpha^{-1} \|\chi_2 g_j\|_{L_{\bar{w}_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1}.$$

□

5.2.2. *Estimates about $P_{j,2}$.* According to the definition of $P_{j,2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.17) \quad P_{0,2} &= \chi_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2} + \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}(Z) dZ + \bar{\chi}_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}, \\ \partial_Y P_{0,2} &= \chi_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2} + \bar{\chi}_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)} + \partial_Y \bar{\chi}_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}, \\ \partial_Y^2 P_{0,2} &= \chi_1 \left(2 \frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2} + \alpha^2 (1 - \bar{M}^2) \tilde{P}_{0,2} + h_0^{(1)} \right) + \partial_Y \chi_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2} \\ &\quad + \bar{\chi}_1 \left(2 \frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)} + \alpha^2 (1 - \bar{M}^2) \tilde{P}_{j,2}^{(2)} + h_0^{(2)} \right) + 2\partial_Y \bar{\chi}_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)} + \partial_Y^2 \bar{\chi}_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

and for $j \geq 1$,

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{j,2} &= \chi_1 \tilde{P}_{j,2} + \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1 \tilde{P}_{j,2}(Z) dZ, \quad \partial_Y P_{j,2} = \chi_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j,2}, \\ \partial_Y^2 P_{j,2} &= \chi_1 \left(2 \frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j,2} + \alpha^2 (1 - \bar{M}^2) \tilde{P}_{j,2} + h_j \right) + \partial_Y \chi_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j,2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} h_0^{(1)} &= -H_2[A] - H_{31}[\varphi_0] - H_{32}^{(1)}[\varphi_0], \quad h_0^{(2)} = -H_{32}^{(2)}[\varphi_0], \\ h_1 &= -H_2[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}] - \tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}] - \tilde{H}_3[\varphi_1], \quad h_j = -H_2[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}] - \tilde{H}_3[\varphi_j], \quad j \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.6. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$. It holds that for $j \geq 0$,*

$$\|P_{j,2}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1},$$

where we define $\mathcal{E}_{-1} = \alpha$.

Proof. For $j = 0$, by (5.17) and Proposition 5.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{0,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C \|\chi_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + C \left\| \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}(Z) dZ \right\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\bar{\chi}_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \\ &\leq C (\|\mathcal{A}_0 A\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \|\mathcal{A}_0 A\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_2^*, \infty))} + \|\mathcal{B}_0 B\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}) \\ &\quad + C (\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 A\|_{L^\infty([0, \frac{3}{2}\bar{Y}])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0 B\|_{L^\infty([0, \frac{3}{2}\bar{Y}])}) \\ &\leq C (\|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\mathcal{B}_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}) \\ &\quad + C \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} (\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])}) \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_Y P_{0,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C \|\chi_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\bar{\chi}_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\partial_Y \bar{\chi}_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \\ &\leq C \alpha (\|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\mathcal{B}_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}) \\ &\quad + C \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} (\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])}) \leq C \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_Y^2 P_{0,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C (\|\chi_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \alpha^2 \|\tilde{P}_{0,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\chi_1 g_0^{(1)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}) + C \|\partial_Y \chi_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \\ &\quad + C (\|\bar{\chi}_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \alpha^2 \|\bar{\chi}_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\bar{\chi}_1 f_0^{(2)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}) \\ &\quad + C (\|\partial_Y \bar{\chi}_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\partial_Y^2 \bar{\chi}_1 \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}) \\ &\leq C \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} + C \|\chi_1 h_0^{(1)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + C \|\bar{\chi}_1 h_0^{(2)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the similar argument as above and (5.18), we infer that for $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{j,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} (\alpha \|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}) \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{7}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1}, \\ \|\partial_Y P_{j,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} (\alpha \|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}) \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1}, \\ \|\partial_Y^2 P_{j,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} (\alpha \|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}) + C \|\chi_1 h_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \\ &\leq C \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1} + C \|\chi_1 h_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we focus on $\|\chi_1 h_0^{(1)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}$, $\|\bar{\chi}_1 h_0^{(2)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}$ and $\|\chi_1 h_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}$ for $j \geq 1$, where $h_0^{(1)}$, $h_0^{(2)}$ and h_j ($j \geq 1$) are given in (5.19). For $j = 0$, we infer from Proposition 5.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_2[A]\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C\|(1-\chi)(Q_1+Q_2)\chi_1 A\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + C\alpha^2 \left\| F(Y) \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1(Z) A(Z) dZ \right\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \\ &\leq C + C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}, \\ \|H_{31}[\varphi_0]\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C\|\partial_Y \chi_2 \bar{M} \varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}, \\ \|H_{32}^{(1)}[\varphi_0]\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C\|(1-\chi)(1-\bar{\chi}_1)(1-\bar{M}^2)\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0]\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-1}\|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}}, \\ \|H_{32}^{(2)}[\varphi_0]\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C\|\bar{\chi}_1(1-\bar{M}^2)\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0]\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-1}\|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}}, \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$\|\chi_1 h_0^{(1)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}, \quad \|\bar{\chi}_1 h_0^{(2)}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\|P_{0,2}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}.$$

For $j \geq 1$, we get by Proposition 5.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_2[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}]\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C\|(1-\chi)(Q_1+Q_2)\chi_1 \tilde{P}_{j-1,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \\ &\quad + C\alpha^2 \|F(Y) \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1(Z) \tilde{P}_{j-1,2}(Z) dZ\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \\ &\leq C(\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\mathcal{B}_{j-1}\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}) \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{H}_3[\varphi_j]\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\|\partial_Y \chi_2 \bar{M} \varphi_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + C\|\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_j]\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\|\varphi_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}]\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} &\leq C(\|\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}\|_{L^\infty([0, \frac{3}{2}\bar{Y}])} + \|\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}\|_{L^\infty([0, \frac{3}{2}\bar{Y}])}) \\ &\leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} (\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])}) \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_0, \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$\|\chi_1 h_1\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_0, \quad \|\chi_1 h_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1}, \quad j \geq 2.$$

Thus, we conclude that for $j \geq 1$,

$$\|P_{j,2}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1} + C\alpha^{-1} \|\chi_1 h_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{E}_{j-1}.$$

□

5.2.3. Convergence of the iteration. In this part, we give the proof of the convergence of the series $\sum_{j=0}^\infty P^{(j)}$ in \mathcal{X} . To achieve this, we need the following lemma related to the iteration scheme.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$, then we have for $j \geq 0$,*

$$\mathcal{E}_j \leq C\alpha^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{j-1} \leq C\alpha^{-j},$$

where we define $\mathcal{E}_{-1} = \alpha$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.3 and Proposition 5.4, we have $\mathcal{E}_0 \leq C$, and for $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha\|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha\|\mathcal{B}_j\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} &\leq C\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{j-1}, \\ \alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}}\|\varphi_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \alpha^{\frac{1}{6}}e^{2\alpha\int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^*-2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ} \|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^*, Y_1^*])} &\leq C\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $j \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_j \leq C\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{j-1} \leq C\alpha^{-j}.$$

□

Now we are in a position to prove Proposition 5.1.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Recall that

$$P^{(0)}(Y) = P_{0,0}(Y) + P_{0,1}(Y) + P_{0,2}(Y),$$

and it is easy to get $\|P_{0,0}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}$. This along with Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6 deduces that for $j = 0$,

$$\|P^{(0)}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} + C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}}\mathcal{E}_{-1} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}.$$

For $j \geq 1$, recall that $P^{(j)} = P_{j,1} + P_{j,2}$, which along with Lemma 5.5-5.7 implies

$$\|P^{(j)}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}}\mathcal{E}_{j-1} \leq C\alpha^{-j+\frac{5}{6}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P^{(j)} \right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|P^{(j)}\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{-j+\frac{5}{6}} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}.$$

By the definition of $P^{(j)}(Y)$, we know that

$$\partial_Y P^{(0)}(0) = \partial_Y A(0) + \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(0) + \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(0), \quad \partial_Y P^{(j)}(0) = \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j,2}(0),$$

which implies

$$\partial_Y P(0) = \partial_Y A(0) + \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(0) + \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j,2}(0).$$

□

5.3. Proof of Proposition 5.3. Since φ_j , $j \geq 0$ satisfies Rayleigh type equation (5.13), we finish the proof of Proposition 5.3 by using Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 5.8 directly.

Lemma 5.8. For $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$, it holds that

$$\|f_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{11}{6}}, \quad \|f_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}\mathcal{E}_{j-1}, \quad j \geq 1,$$

with $\text{supp}(f_j) \subset [Y_1 - 2\delta_0, Y_1 + \delta_0] \cup [Y_2 - \delta_0, Y_2 + 2\delta_0]$ for $j \geq 0$. Here f_j is given in (5.14).

Proof. We first estimate f_0 . Recalling the definition of $H_1(A)$, a direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= \partial_Y \chi_1 \left(\alpha^2 A + \frac{\partial_Y^2 A}{1 - M^2} \right) + \partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \chi_1}{1 - M^2} \right) \partial_Y A + \partial_Y \left(\frac{\chi \chi_1 (Q_1 + Q_2) A}{1 - M^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1 A dZ. \end{aligned}$$

According to $\mathcal{L}[A] = (Q_1 + Q_2)A$, we get

$$\partial_Y^2 A = 2 \frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y A + \alpha^2 (1 - \bar{M}^2) A + (Q_1 + Q_2) A,$$

which gives

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} f_0 = & 2\alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi_1 A + \frac{\partial_Y \chi_1}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \left(\frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y A + (Q_1 + Q_2) A \right) \\ & + \partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \chi_1}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right) \partial_Y A + \partial_Y \left(\frac{\chi \chi_1 (Q_1 + Q_2) A}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right) + \alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1 A dZ. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2 and $A(Y) \sim \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}$, $\partial_Y A(Y) \sim \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}$ for $Y \in [Y_1 - 2\delta_0, Y_2 + 2\delta_0]$, and the facts that

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} |(1+Y)^2 Q_2| & \leq C\alpha^2 |F_i| \leq C\alpha^2 c_i, \\ \left\| \partial_Y \chi \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1 A dZ \right\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} & \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1 - \delta_0}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY}, \end{aligned}$$

we infer that

$$\|f_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} (\alpha^2 + \alpha^2 c_i + \alpha^2 e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1 - \delta_0}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY}) \leq C\alpha^{\frac{11}{6}}.$$

Recalling the definition of $H_4(\varphi_{j-1})$ for $j \geq 1$, we have

$$\partial_Y \left(\frac{H_4(\varphi_{j-1})}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right) = \partial_Y \left(\chi \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_{j-1}] \right) = \partial_Y \chi \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_{j-1}](Y) = \partial_Y \chi \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_{j-1}](Y_2^*),$$

by using $\chi \partial_Y \chi_2 = 0$ and $\text{supp}(\partial_Y \chi) \subset [Y_1 - 2\delta_0, Y_1 - \delta_0] \cup [Y_2 + \delta_0, Y_2 + 2\delta_0]$. Using a similar argument of f_0 , we get for $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_j = & \partial_Y \chi_1 \left(\alpha^2 \tilde{P}_{j-1,2} + \frac{\partial_Y^2 \tilde{P}_{j-1,2}}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right) + \partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \chi_1}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right) \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j-1,2} \\ & + \partial_Y \left(\frac{\chi \chi_1 (Q_1 + Q_2) \tilde{P}_{j-1,2}}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right) + \alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1 \tilde{P}_{j-1,2} dZ + \partial_Y \chi \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_{j-1}](Y_2^*). \end{aligned}$$

By the facts $\partial_Y \chi_1 (1 - \chi) = 0$ and $\partial_Y \chi_1 \partial_Y \chi_2 = 0$, we have $\partial_Y \chi_1 H_2[\cdot] = \partial_Y \chi_1 H_{31}[\cdot] = \partial_Y \chi_1 H_{32}^{(1)}[\cdot] = \partial_Y \chi_1 \tilde{H}_{32}[\cdot] = \partial_Y \chi_1 \tilde{H}_{21}[\cdot] = 0$, which combines the equations of $\tilde{P}_{0,2} := \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{P}_{j,2}$ ($j \geq 1$) in (5.2) and (5.6) to get

$$\partial_Y \chi_1 \partial_Y^2 \tilde{P}_{j-1,2} = \partial_Y \chi_1 \left(\frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j-1,2} + \alpha^2 (1 - \bar{M}^2) \tilde{P}_{j-1,2} + (Q_1 + Q_2) \tilde{P}_{j-1,2} \right).$$

Hence, we deduce

$$(5.22) \quad \begin{aligned} f_j = & 2\alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi_1 \tilde{P}_{j-1,2} + \frac{\partial_Y \chi_1}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \left(\frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j-1,2} + (Q_1 + Q_2) \tilde{P}_{j-1,2} \right) + \partial_Y \chi \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_{j-1}](Y_2^*) \\ & + \partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \chi_1}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right) \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j-1,2} + \partial_Y \left(\frac{\chi \chi_1 (Q_1 + Q_2) \tilde{P}_{j-1,2}}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right) + \alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi \int_Y^{+\infty} \partial_Z \chi_1 \tilde{P}_{j-1,2} dZ. \end{aligned}$$

For $Y \in [Y_1 - 2\delta_0, Y_2 + 2\delta_0] \subset [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]$ and $\tilde{P}_{j,2} = \mathcal{A}_j A + \mathcal{B}_j B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}| & \leq |\mathcal{A}_{j-1}| |A| + |\mathcal{B}_{j-1}| |B| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} (|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\mathcal{B}_{j-1}|), \\ |\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j-1,2}| & \leq |\mathcal{A}_{j-1}| |\partial_Y A| + |\mathcal{B}_{j-1}| |\partial_Y B| \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} (|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\mathcal{B}_{j-1}|), \end{aligned}$$

which along with $\|\partial_Y \chi \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{B}}[\varphi_{j-1}](Y_2^*)\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-1}\|\varphi_{j-1}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}$ show

$$\|f_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} \left(\|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty([Y_1^*, Y_2^*])} + \|\mathcal{B}_{j-1}\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty([Y_1^*, Y_2^*])} \right) + \alpha^{-1}\|\varphi_{j-1}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}\mathcal{E}_{j-1}.$$

From (5.20) and (5.22) and the definition of χ , χ_1 in Remark 5.2, we infer that

$$\text{Supp}(f_j) \subset [Y_1 - 2\delta_0, Y_1 + \delta_0] \cup [Y_2 - \delta_0, Y_2 + 2\delta_0], \quad j \geq 0.$$

□

5.4. Proof of Proposition 5.4. Let's first introduce the following notations.

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_0 &= \sum_{k=1}^4 \mathcal{A}_0^k = -\mathcal{A}_{H_{21}[A]} - \mathcal{A}_{H_{22}[A]} - \mathcal{A}_{H_{31}[\varphi_0]} - \mathcal{A}_{H_{32}^{(1)}[\varphi_0]}, & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 &= -\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{H_{32}^{(2)}[\varphi_0]}, \\ \mathcal{B}_0 &= \sum_{k=1}^4 \mathcal{B}_0^k = -\mathcal{B}_{H_{21}[A]} - \mathcal{B}_{H_{22}[A]} - \mathcal{B}_{H_{31}[\varphi_0]} - \mathcal{B}_{H_{32}^{(1)}[\varphi_0]}, & \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0 &= -\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{H_{32}^{(2)}[\varphi_0]}. \end{aligned}$$

and for $j \geq 1$,

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_j &= \sum_{k=1}^5 \mathcal{A}_j^k = -\mathcal{A}_{H_{21}[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}]} - \mathcal{A}_{H_{22}[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}]} - \mathcal{A}_{H_{31}[\varphi_j]} - \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{H}_{32}[\varphi_j]} - \mathbf{1}_{j=1} \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}]}, \\ \mathcal{B}_j &= \sum_{k=1}^5 \mathcal{B}_j^k = -\mathcal{B}_{H_{21}[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}]} - \mathcal{B}_{H_{22}[\tilde{P}_{j-1,2}]} - \mathcal{B}_{H_{31}[\varphi_j]} - \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{H}_{32}[\varphi_j]} - \mathbf{1}_{j=1} \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}]}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_j^5 = \mathcal{B}_j^5 = 0$ for $j \geq 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_f(Y) &= -\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_0^Y B(Z)f(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z)dZ, & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_f(Y) &= \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{+\infty} B(Z)f(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z)dZ, \\ \mathcal{B}_f(Y) &= -\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{+\infty} A(Z)f(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z)dZ, & \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_f(Y) &= \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_0^Y A(Z)f(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z)dZ. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 5.4. We first prove the case $j = 0$. For \mathcal{A}_0^1 and \mathcal{B}_0^1 , we use Proposition 3.6 and take $a = (1 - \chi)(Q_1 + Q_2)\chi_1$ and $b = 0$. By Lemma 3.2 and (5.21), we have

$$\|(1 + Y)^2 a\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1 + \alpha^2 c_i) \leq C,$$

which implies

$$\|\mathcal{A}_0^1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathcal{B}_0^1\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-1}\|(1 + Y)^2 a\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-1}.$$

For \mathcal{A}_0^2 and \mathcal{B}_0^2 , we apply Proposition 3.7 by taking $g = -\alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi_1 A$ with $\|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{11}{6}}$, to obtain

$$\|\mathcal{A}_0^2\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathcal{B}_0^2\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_1^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_1^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ}.$$

For \mathcal{A}_0^3 and \mathcal{B}_0^3 , we take $f = \partial_Y \chi_2 \bar{M} \varphi_0$ in Proposition 3.8 and use Proposition 5.3 to get

$$\|\mathcal{A}_0^3\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_0^3\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C,$$

and for $Y \in [0, Y_2^*]$,

$$|\mathcal{A}_0^3(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} \leq C e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ}.$$

For \mathcal{A}_0^4 and \mathcal{B}_0^4 , we take $f = \partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \chi_2(Y) \bar{M}^2(Y)}{1 - \bar{M}^2(Y)} \right) \bar{M}^{-1} \varphi_0(Y)$ in Proposition 3.9 and use Proposition 5.3 to obtain

$$\|\mathcal{A}_0^4\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_0^4\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C \alpha^{-1}.$$

Gathering the above estimates, we arrive at

$$\|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_0\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq C.$$

For $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0$, by Lemma 3.5 and the fact $|\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y_1^* - \delta_0)| \leq C \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}$, we infer that for $Y \in [0, Y^-]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(Y)| &= |\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{H_{32}^{(2)}[\varphi_0]}(Y)| \leq \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^{+\infty} |B \bar{M}^{-2} \bar{\chi} (1 - \bar{M}^2) \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Z)| dZ \\ &\leq C \kappa^{-1} |\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y_1^* - \delta_0)| \int_0^{\frac{3}{2} \bar{Y}} |B(Z)| dZ \\ &\leq C \kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}} \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(Y)| &= |\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{H_{32}^{(2)}[\varphi_0]}(Y)| \leq \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y |A \bar{M}^{-2} \bar{\chi} (1 - \bar{M}^2) \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Z)| dZ \\ &\leq C \kappa^{-1} |\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y_1^* - \delta_0)| \int_0^{\frac{3}{2} \bar{Y}} |A(Z)| dZ \leq C \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])} \leq C \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_0\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} + \alpha e^{\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} (\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y^-])}) \leq C.$$

Next we consider the case $j \geq 1$. For \mathcal{A}_j^1 and \mathcal{B}_j^1 , we use Proposition 3.6 and take $a = (1 - \chi)(Q_1 + Q_2)\chi_1 \mathcal{A}_{j-1}$ and $b = (1 - \chi)(Q_1 + Q_2)\chi_1 \mathcal{B}_{j-1}$. By Lemma 3.2 and (5.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 + Y)^2 a\|_{L^\infty([0, Y])} &= \|(1 + Y)^2 (1 - \chi)(Q_1 + Q_2)\chi_1 \mathcal{A}_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty([0, Y])} \leq C \|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty([0, Y])}, \\ \|(1 + Y)^2 b\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} &= \|(1 + Y)^2 (1 - \chi)(Q_1 + Q_2)\chi_1 \mathcal{B}_{j-1}\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq C \|\mathcal{B}_{j-1}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}_j^1\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \alpha^{-1} (\|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathcal{B}_{j-1}\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}) \leq \alpha^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{j-1}, \\ \|\mathcal{B}_j^1\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} &\leq C \alpha^{-1} (\|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha^{-1} \|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathcal{B}_{j-1}\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}) \leq \alpha^{-2} \mathcal{E}_{j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to $Y_2^* > Y^+ + \delta$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{A}_j^1\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} \leq C \alpha^{-1} (\|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \|\mathcal{B}_{j-1}\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}) \leq \alpha^{-2} \mathcal{E}_{j-1}.$$

For \mathcal{A}_j^2 and \mathcal{B}_j^2 , by applying Proposition 3.7 with $g = -\alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi_1 \tilde{P}_{j-1,2}$ and the fact

$$\|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C \alpha^2 \|\partial_Y \chi_1 \tilde{P}_{j-1,2}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} (\|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathcal{B}_{j-1}\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}),$$

we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{A}_j^2\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathcal{B}_j^2\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_1^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}$$

$$\leq C\alpha^{-1}e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1-\delta_0}^{Y_1} F_{r^{\frac{1}{2}}}(Z)dZ} (\|\mathcal{A}_{j-1}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathcal{B}_{j-1}\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}) \leq \alpha^{-2}\mathcal{E}_{j-1}.$$

For \mathcal{A}_j^3 and \mathcal{B}_j^3 , we use Proposition 3.8, Proposition 5.3 and take $f = \partial_Y \chi_2 \bar{M} \varphi_j$ to have

$$\|\mathcal{A}_j^3\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_j^3\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|\varphi_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{j-1},$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{A}_j^3\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^*-\delta_0, Y_1^*])} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|\varphi_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^*-\delta_0, Y_1^*])} \leq C\alpha^{-2}\mathcal{E}_{j-1}.$$

For \mathcal{A}_j^4 and \mathcal{B}_j^4 , we use Proposition 3.10, Proposition 5.3 and take $f = \partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \chi_2(Y) \bar{M}^2(Y)}{1-\bar{M}^2(Y)} \right) \bar{M}^{-1} \varphi_j(Y)$ to infer that for $Y \geq 0$,

$$\|\mathcal{A}_j^4\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_j^4\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \|f\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} \|\varphi_j\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{-2}\mathcal{E}_{j-1}.$$

In particular, for $j = 1$, we have

$$(5.25) \quad \sum_{k=1}^4 (\|\mathcal{A}_1^k\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{A}_1^k\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_1^k\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}) \leq \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{E}_0,$$

and for $j \geq 2$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_j\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{j-1}.$$

It remains to estimate \mathcal{A}_1^5 and \mathcal{B}_1^5 . Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{1,2}^{(2)}] &= (\bar{\chi}_1(Q_1 + \bar{Q}_2) + \partial_Y^2 \bar{\chi}_1 - \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y \bar{\chi}_1) \tilde{P}_{1,2}^{(2)} + 2\partial_Y \bar{\chi}_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{1,2}^{(2)}, \\ \tilde{P}_{1,2}^{(2)} &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 A + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0 B, \quad \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{1,2}^{(2)} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 \partial_Y A + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0 \partial_Y B. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])} &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} (\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])}), \\ \|\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])} &\leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} (\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])}), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\|\tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{1,2}^{(2)}]\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} (\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])}).$$

By using the argument in Proposition 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_1^5| &\leq C\kappa^{-1} \|\tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{1,2}^{(2)}]\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])} \int_0^{\frac{3}{2}\bar{Y}} |B(Z)| dY \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{5}{4}} \|\tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{1,2}^{(2)}]\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])} \\ &\leq C(\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{B}_1^5| \leq C(\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])}).$$

Along with (5.25), we show that

$$\|\mathcal{A}_1\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{A}_1\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_1\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{E}_0 + C(\|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])} + \|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0\|_{L^\infty([0, Y-])}) \leq C\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{E}_0.$$

As a result, we conclude that for $j \geq 1$,

$$\|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty} + \alpha \|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty([0, Y_2^*])} + \alpha \|\mathcal{B}_j\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} \leq \alpha^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{j-1}.$$

□

6. ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF BOUNDARY VALUE

At the boundary $Y = 0$, by the notations in (5.11) and (5.12) and the fact $\mathcal{A}_j(0) = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(0) = 0$ for any $j \geq 0$, we get

$$\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(0) = \mathcal{B}_0(0) \partial_Y B(0), \quad \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(0) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(0) \partial_Y A(0), \quad \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{j,2}(0) = \mathcal{B}_j(0) \partial_Y B(0), \quad j \geq 1,$$

which along with the results in Proposition 5.1 implies

$$(6.1) \quad \partial_Y P(0) = (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(0)) \partial_Y A(0) + \left(\mathcal{B}_0(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{B}_j(0) \right) \partial_Y B(0).$$

To state our main result in this section, we introduce the following definitions and notations. First, we introduce a set of (α, c)

$$\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_0 \cap \{(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{C} : \kappa^{\frac{3}{2}} \tan \Theta(-\kappa \eta(0)) \in (C_1, C_2), \quad 0 < c_i \ll \alpha^n e^{-\alpha \omega_0(\tilde{Y})}\},$$

for some $n > 0$, where $\kappa^3 = \alpha^2 \partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0) = \alpha^2 \partial_Y F_r(Y_0)$ and \tilde{Y} is given in Lemma A.2.

For a fixed Mach number $M_a > 1$, we introduce a function on interval $[T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0) M_a^{-1}, 1 + M_a^{-1}]$

$$(6.2) \quad J(c_r; M_a) = \int_0^{Y_0(c_r)} (-\tilde{F}(Z))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{Q}_1(Z; c_r, M_a) dZ, \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{Q}_1(Z; c_r, M_a) = \tilde{Q}_1(Z; Y_0(c_r), c_r, M_a),$$

where

$$(6.3) \quad \tilde{Q}_1(Y; Y_0(c_r), c_r, M_a) = \frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}_r}{\bar{M}_r} - \frac{2(\partial_Y \bar{M}_r)^2}{\bar{M}_r^2} - \frac{3(\partial_Y^2 \eta)^2}{4(\partial_Y \eta)^2} - \frac{\partial_Y^3 \eta}{2\partial_Y \eta}$$

is a real function independent of c_i for $Y \leq Y_0$, and $Y_0(c_r)$ is determined by the relation $M_a(c_r - U_B(Y_0)) = T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y_0)$ involved in Langer transform $\eta(Y)$. It is easy to see $|Q_1 - \tilde{Q}_1| \leq C c_i$.

Moreover, we introduce a set $\mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a)$, which is determined by the background flow U_B and Mach number $M_a > 1$

$$(6.4) \quad \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) = \left\{ c_r \in [T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0) M_a^{-1}, 1 + M_a^{-1}] : J(c_r; M_a) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

In addition, we denote

$$(6.5) \quad \mathfrak{D}_0 := \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \kappa^{-1} \alpha^{-2} \pi \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](\bar{Y}_1^*),$$

which is an important quantity in obtaining the precise expansion of boundary value. Here $\varphi_0 = \mathcal{L}_{c_r}^{-1}(-H_1[A])$.

The main result of this section is presented as follows.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}$ and Y_1^*, Y_2^* be chosen in Lemma A.2. Suppose that $P(Y)$ is the solution to (5.1) constructed in Proposition 5.1. Then we have*

$$\partial_Y P(0) = (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(0)) \partial_Y A(0) + \left(\mathcal{B}_0(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{B}_j(0) \right) \partial_Y B(0).$$

Moreover,

- it holds that

$$(6.6) \quad \mathcal{B}_0(0) = d_0^1(\alpha, c) \alpha^{-1} + i d_0^2(\alpha, c) \alpha c_i + \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) d_0^3(\alpha, c),$$

$$(6.7) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(0) = \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(\partial_Y B(0) \bar{M}(0)^{-2} + d_0^4(\alpha, c) \right),$$

$$(6.8) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{B}_j(0) = \alpha^{-1} (d_1^1(\alpha, c) \alpha^{-1} + id_1^2(\alpha, c) \alpha c_i) \\ + \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) (d_1^3(\alpha, c) + \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0) (C_{P,1} + d_1^4(\alpha, c)),$$

where

$$(6.9) \quad |d_0^1(\alpha, c)| \lesssim 1 + \alpha^{-1},$$

and

$$(6.10) \quad |d_0^2(\alpha, c)| \sim 1 + \alpha^{-1}, \\ |d_1^j(\alpha, c)| \lesssim 1 + \alpha^{-1}, \quad |\partial_{c_i} d_0^j(\alpha, c) + |\partial_{c_i} d_1^j(\alpha, c)| \lesssim 1 + \alpha^{-1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ |d_0^j(\alpha, c)| + |d_1^j(\alpha, c)| \lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \quad |\partial_{c_i} d_0^j(\alpha, c)| + |\partial_{c_i} d_1^j(\alpha, c)| \lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \quad j = 3, 4, \\ C_{P,1} = \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^{\bar{Y}_1^*} A(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1(Z) \partial_Z B(Z) dZ, \quad |C_{P,1}| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im} C_{P,1}| \lesssim 1.$$

- in particular, for $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}$ with $c_r \in \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$, (6.7)-(6.8) and (6.10) still hold with (6.9) replaced by

$$(6.11) \quad |d_0^1(\alpha, c)| \sim 1 + \alpha^{-1}.$$

We first present the bound for $\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y)$, which is used frequently in the following arguments.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ and Y_1^*, Y_2^* be given in Lemma A.2 and $\varphi_0 = \mathcal{L}_{cr}^{-1}(-H_1[A])$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y) \equiv 0, \quad Y \geq Y_2^* + \delta_0, \quad \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y) \equiv \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y_1^* - \delta_0), \quad Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0], \\ |\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y)| \leq C \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha \omega_0(Y)}, \quad Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_2^* + \delta_0], \\ |\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y)| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \left(e^{-\alpha \omega_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha e^{-\alpha \omega_0(Y_2^*)} \right), \quad Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0].$$

In addition, if $c_i \ll \alpha^n e^{-\alpha \omega_0(\tilde{Y})}$ for some $n > 0$, then there exists $\tilde{Y} \in [Y_c, \tilde{Y}]$ such that

$$|\text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y_1^* - \delta_0))| \sim \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha \omega_0(\tilde{Y})}, \quad \text{Sign}(\text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0])) = \text{Sign}(\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}(Y_c)) \text{Sign} \mathcal{M},$$

where \tilde{Y} is determined in Lemma A.2.

The proof of Lemma 6.2 is based on Lemma A.1-A.2. For the readability of the article, we leave the proof in Appendix A.

6.1. Expansion of boundary value for $j = 0$. We adopt notations in (5.23) with the form of $(\mathcal{A}_0(Y), \mathcal{B}_0(Y)) = \sum_{k=1}^4 (\mathcal{A}_0^k(Y), \mathcal{B}_0^k(Y))$. In details, we can write

$$\mathcal{B}_0^1(0) = \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^{+\infty} A(Y)^2 \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) (1 - \chi)(Q_1(Y) + Q_2(Y)) dY = I_{Q_1} + I_{Q_2}.$$

where $Q_1(Y)$ is given in (3.3) and $Q_2(Y) = -\alpha^2 F_i(Y) \chi_0(Y)$. To obtain the precise dispersion relation, we need to get the upper and lower bound of $\text{Re}(\mathcal{B}_0^1(0))$ and $\text{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0^1(0))$.

Lemma 6.3. *The following three results hold.*

- (1) *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$. It holds that*

$$|\text{Re}(\mathcal{B}_0^1(0))| \lesssim \alpha^{-1}, \quad \text{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0^1(0)) \sim \alpha c_i.$$

(2) In particular, let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ with $c_r \in \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$. It holds that

$$|\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{B}_0^1(0))| \sim \alpha^{-1}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we know that

$$\|(1 - \chi)Q_1\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \text{ and } \|\operatorname{Im}((1 - \chi)Q_1)\|_{L^\infty} \leq Cc_i.$$

Then we use the fact $|Q_2| \leq C\alpha^2 c_i$ and Proposition 3.6 to deduce

$$(6.12) \quad |\operatorname{Re}(I_{Q_1})| \leq C\alpha^{-1}, \quad |\operatorname{Im}(I_{Q_1})| \leq C\alpha^{-1}c_i, \quad |I_{Q_2}| \leq C\alpha c_i.$$

Proof of (1). From (6.12), it is easy to deduce

$$|I_{Q_1}| \lesssim \alpha^{-1}, \quad |\operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{B}_0^1(0))| \lesssim \alpha^{-1}.$$

About I_{Q_2} , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} I_{Q_2} &= -\alpha^2 \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^{+\infty} A(Y)^2 \bar{M}^{-2} \chi_0 (1 - \chi) F_i(Y) dY \\ &= -2iM_a^2 \alpha^2 \kappa^{-1} \pi c_i \left(\int_0^{Y^-} + \int_{Y^-}^{Y^+} + \int_{Y^+}^{+\infty} \right) \frac{Ai(\kappa\eta(Y))^2 (U_B(Y) - c_r) \chi_0 (1 - \chi)}{\partial_Y \eta(Y) T_0(Y)} dY \\ &\quad - M_a^2 \alpha^2 \kappa^{-1} \pi c_i^2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{Ai(\kappa\eta(Y))^2 \chi_0 (1 - \chi)}{\partial_Y \eta(Y) T_0(Y)} dY \\ &= -2iM_a^2 \alpha^2 \kappa^{-1} \pi c_i (\tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2 + \tilde{I}_3) - M_a^2 \alpha^2 \kappa^{-1} \pi c_i^2 \tilde{I}_4. \end{aligned}$$

For \tilde{I}_2 , \tilde{I}_3 and \tilde{I}_4 , we get by Lemma 3.5 that

$$(6.13) \quad |\tilde{I}_2| + |\tilde{I}_3| \leq C\kappa^{-1} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \quad |\tilde{I}_4| \leq C\kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Then we focus on \tilde{I}_1 . We first notice that $\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{I}_1) = 0$ and $\tilde{I}_1 < 0$. Moreover, we have

$$|\tilde{I}_1| > \int_0^{Y_0/2} Ai(\kappa\eta(Y))^2 \partial_Y \eta(Y)^{-1} |U_B(Y) - c_r| T_0^{-1} dY \geq C^{-1} \int_0^{Y_0/2} (-\eta(Y))^{\frac{1}{2}} Ai(\kappa\eta(Y))^2 dY,$$

due to the fact $(-\eta(Y))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_Y \eta(Y)^{-1} |U_B(Y) - c_r| T_0^{-1} \geq C^{-1}$ for $Y \in [0, Y_0/2]$. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{Y_0/2} (-\eta(Y))^{\frac{1}{2}} Ai(\kappa\eta(Y))^2 dY &= \frac{1}{8\pi\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_0^{Y_0/2} 1 dY + \frac{1}{8\pi\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_0^{Y_0/2} (\cos(2\Theta(-\kappa\eta(Y)))) dY + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{-2}) \\ &\geq C^{-1} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{3}} - C\alpha^{-\frac{4}{3}} \geq C^{-1} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(6.14) \quad |\tilde{I}_1| \geq C^{-1} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

This along with (6.13) shows that $\operatorname{Im}(I_{Q_2}) > 0$ and

$$\operatorname{Im}(I_{Q_2}) \geq C^{-1} c_i \alpha^2 \kappa^{-1} (|\tilde{I}_1| - |\tilde{I}_2| - |\tilde{I}_3|) - C^{-1} \alpha^2 \kappa^{-1} c_i^2 |\tilde{I}_4| \geq C^{-1} c_i \alpha,$$

from which and (6.12), we deduce $\operatorname{Im}(I_{Q_2}) \sim \alpha c_i$. Then due to $|\operatorname{Im}(I_{Q_1})| \leq C\alpha^{-1} c_i$ in (6.12), we get $\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0^1(0)) \sim \alpha c_i$.

Proof of (2). In this case, we shall prove that for $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ with $c_r \in \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$, we can get improved estimates (lower bound estimates) compared to (1). Using the fact $A(Y)^2 \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) = Ai(\kappa\eta(Y))^2 (\partial_Y \eta)^{-1}$, we write

$$I_{Q_1} = \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^{Y^-} Ai(\kappa\eta(Y))^2 (\partial_Y \eta)^{-1} Q_1(Y) dY + \mathcal{R}_1,$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_{Y^-}^{+\infty} Ai(\kappa\eta(Y))^2 (\partial_Y \eta)^{-1} (1 - \chi) \chi_1 Q_1(Y) dY.$$

By Lemma 3.5, it is easy to see $|\mathcal{R}_1| \leq C\kappa^{-2} \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{4}{3}}$. So, we focus on the first term and apply Lemma 3.3 and (3.4) to write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{Y^-} Ai(\kappa\eta(Y))^2 (\partial_Y \eta)^{-1} Q_1(Y) dZ \\ &= \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{Y^-} (-\tilde{F}(Y))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\cos(\Theta(-\kappa\eta(Y))) + a_0(-\kappa\eta(Y))^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sin(\Theta(-\kappa\eta(Y))) \right)^2 \\ & \quad \cdot (1 + \mathcal{O}((-\kappa\eta(Y))^{-3})) Q_1(Y) dZ \\ &= \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{Y^-} (-\tilde{F}(Y))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(\Theta(-\kappa\eta(Y)))^2 Q_1(Y) dZ + \mathcal{R}_2. \end{aligned}$$

By $|Q_1(Y)| \leq C$ for $Y \in [0, Y^-]$ and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$|\mathcal{R}_2| \leq C\kappa^{-2} \int_0^{Y^-} \frac{1}{|Y - Y_c|^2} dY \leq C\kappa^{-1}.$$

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{Y^-} (-\tilde{F}(Y))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(\Theta(-\kappa\eta(Y)))^2 Q_1(Y) dY \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{Y^-} (-\tilde{F}(Y))^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q_1(Y) dY + \mathcal{R}_3. \end{aligned}$$

About the remainder \mathcal{R}_3 , we get by integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}_3| &\leq C\kappa^{-2} \left| (-\tilde{F}(Y))^{-1} \sin(2\Theta(-\kappa\eta(Y))) Q_1(Y) \Big|_{Y=0}^{Y=Y^-} \right| \\ &\quad + C\kappa^{-2} \left| \int_0^{Y^-} \partial_Y ((-\tilde{F}(Y))^{-1} Q_1) \sin(2\Theta(-\kappa\eta(Y))) dY \right| \leq C\kappa^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we infer from the above analysis and notations (6.2)-(6.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} (6.15) \quad I_{Q_1} &= \frac{\pi\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{2} (\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{Y^-} (-\tilde{F}(Y))^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q_1(Y) dY + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{-\frac{4}{3}}) \\ &= \frac{\pi\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{2} (\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{Y_0} (-\tilde{F}(Y))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{Q}_1(Y) dY + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{-\frac{4}{3}} + \alpha^{-1} c_i) \\ &= \frac{\pi\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{2} (\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} J(c_r; M_a) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{-\frac{4}{3}}), \end{aligned}$$

by using $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ in the last line. For any $c_r \in \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$, it holds $J(c_r; M_a) \neq 0$. According to (6.15), we get $|I_{Q_1}| \sim \alpha^{-1}$. By (6.12) and $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$, we obtain estimates in (2). \square

Then we give the pointwise upper bound for \mathcal{A}_0^k and \mathcal{B}_0^k , $k = 1, 2, 3$ in the interval $[0, Y_2^*]$, which shall be used in the iteration scheme for $j \geq 1$.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$. Then it holds that for any $Y \in [0, Y_2^*]$,*

$$\left(|\mathcal{A}_0^1(Y)| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\mathcal{B}_0^1(Y)| \right) + e^{\alpha \int_{Y_1^{1-\delta_0}}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \left(|\mathcal{A}_0^2(Y)| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\mathcal{B}_0^2(Y)| \right) \leq C\alpha^{-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_0^1(Y))| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0^1(Y))| \right) \\ & + \alpha^2 e^\alpha \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_1^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ \left(|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_0^2(Y))| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0^2(Y))| \right) \leq C\alpha c_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$|\mathcal{A}_0^3(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \mathbf{1}_{[Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_2^*]},$$

$$|\mathcal{B}_0^3(Y)| \leq \begin{cases} C\alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} + \alpha^{-1} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}, & Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0], \\ C\alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \mathbf{1}_{[Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*]} + \alpha^{-1} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}, & Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_2^*]. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first estimate is a direct result of the procedure in Proposition 5.4. So, we focus on the estimates for the last three ones.

Estimates of $\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{A}_0^1$ and $\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_0^1$. We use Proposition 3.6 and take $a(Y) = (1 - \chi)(Q_1 + Q_2)\chi_1$ and $b(Y) = 0$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$|(1 + Y)^2 a(Y)| \leq C \text{ and } |(1 + Y)^2 \operatorname{Im}(a(Y))| \leq C\alpha^2 c_i,$$

which implies that for any $Y \in [0, Y_2^*]$,

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_0^1(Y))| + |e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0^1(Y))| \leq C\alpha c_i.$$

Estimates of $\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{A}_0^2$ and $\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_0^2$. We use Proposition 3.7 and take $g(Y) = -\alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi_1 A(Y)$ with $\|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + c_i^{-1} \|\operatorname{Im}g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{11}{6}}$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{A}_0^2\|_{L^\infty} + \|\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_0^2\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty} & \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_1^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} (c_i \|g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\operatorname{Im}g\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty}) \\ & \leq Cc_i \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_1^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \ll C\alpha c_i. \end{aligned}$$

Estimates of \mathcal{A}_0^3 and \mathcal{B}_0^3 . By the definition, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_0^3(Y) & = 2\kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y B(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} \partial_Z \chi_2 \bar{M}(Z) \varphi_0(Z) dZ, \\ \mathcal{B}_0^3(Y) & = 2\kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^{+\infty} A(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} \partial_Z \chi_2 \bar{M}(Z) \varphi_0(Z) dZ, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_0^3(Y) & \equiv 0 \text{ on } [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0] \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_0^3(Y) \equiv \mathcal{A}_0^3(Y_1^*) \text{ on } [Y_1^*, Y_2^*], \\ \mathcal{B}_0^3(Y) & \equiv \mathcal{B}_0^3(Y_2^*) \text{ on } [Y_1^*, Y_2^*], \quad \mathcal{B}_0^3(Y) \equiv \mathcal{B}_0^3(Y_1^* - \delta_0) \text{ on } [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_0(Y)| & \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}, \quad Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*], \\ |\varphi_0(Y)| & \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}, \quad Y \in [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0] \end{aligned}$$

which along with Proposition 3.8 implies

$$|\mathcal{A}_0^3(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \mathbf{1}_{[Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_2^*]}, \quad Y \in [0, Y_2^*],$$

$$|\mathcal{B}_0^3(Y)| \leq \begin{cases} C\alpha^{-2}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ} + \alpha^{-1}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}, & Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0], \\ C\alpha^{-2}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)dZ} \mathbf{1}_{[Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*]} + \alpha^{-1}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}, & Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_2^*]. \end{cases}$$

□

We introduce an inequality which is used frequently in the following part. Let $\bar{Y}_1^{**} \in (Y^+, \bar{Y}_1^*)$ with $2w_0(\bar{Y}_1^{**}) > w_0(Y_1 + \delta) + w_0(Y^+ + \delta)$ for some suitable small $\delta > 0$ but independent of α . Then by Lemma A.2 and Lemma 6.2, it holds that

$$(6.16) \quad e^{\alpha w_0(Y^+ + \delta_0)}e^{-\alpha w_0(\bar{Y}_1^{**})} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} + \alpha e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right) \\ \ll \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} \cdot \alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(\bar{Y})} \leq \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} |\text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))|.$$

Next we give pointwise estimates for $\mathcal{A}_0^4(Y)$ and $\mathcal{B}_0^4(Y)$ in the interval $Y \in [0, Y_2^*]$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}$ and Y_1^*, Y_2^* be given as in Lemma A.2. Then there holds for any $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,*

$$(6.17) \quad \mathcal{A}_0^4(Y) = \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)(\partial_Y B(Y)\bar{M}(Y)^{-2}(1 - \bar{\chi}) + B(Y)M(Y)^{-2}\partial_Y \bar{\chi} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_0^4}(Y)),$$

$$(6.18) \quad \mathcal{B}_0^4(Y) = \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)(-\partial_Y A(Y)\bar{M}^{-2}(Y)(1 - \bar{\chi}) - A(Y)M(Y)^{-2}\partial_Y \bar{\chi} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_0^4}(Y)),$$

where

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_0^4}(Y)| + c_i^{-1}|\text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_0^4}(Y))| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}e^{\alpha w_0(Y)}, \\ |\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_0^4}(Y)| + c_i^{-1}|\text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_0^4}(Y))| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

For any $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$, we have

$$(6.19) \quad |\mathcal{A}_0^4(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-2} \left(e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} + \alpha e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} \right),$$

$$(6.20) \quad |\mathcal{B}_0^4(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-3}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \left(e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} + \alpha e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} \right).$$

In particular, we have

$$(6.21) \quad \mathcal{B}_0^4(0) = \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_0^4}(0).$$

Proof. By the definition, we write for any $Y \leq Y^+ + \delta$,

$$\mathcal{A}_0^4(Y) = \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_0^Y B(Z)\bar{M}(Z)^{-2}(1 - \bar{M}(Z)^2)(1 - \bar{\chi})\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Z)dZ, \\ \mathcal{B}_0^4(Y) = \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{+\infty} A(Z)\bar{M}(Z)^{-2}(1 - \bar{M}(Z)^2)(1 - \chi)(1 - \bar{\chi})\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Z)dY.$$

Estimates of $\mathcal{A}_0^4(Y)$ for any $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$. By the fact $\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y) = \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](\bar{Y}_1^*)$ for $Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0]$, we first write

$$(6.22) \quad \mathcal{A}_0^4(Y) = \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \int_0^Y \alpha^2 F(Z)B(Z)\bar{M}(Z)^{-2}(1 - \bar{\chi})dZ.$$

We use the equation $\mathcal{L}[B] = (Q_1 + Q_2)B$ and integration by parts to write

$$\int_0^Y \alpha^2 F(Z)B(Z)\bar{M}(Z)^{-2}(1 - \bar{\chi})dZ$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^Y (\partial_Z^2 B - \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Z B - (Q_1 + Q_2)B) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} (1 - \bar{\chi}) dZ \\
&= \partial_Y B(Y) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} (1 - \bar{\chi}) + B(Y) M(Y)^{-2} \partial_Y \bar{\chi} - \int_0^Y Q_1(Z) B(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} (1 - \bar{\chi}) dZ \\
&\quad - \int_0^Y B(Z) \partial_Z (\bar{M}^{-2} \partial_Z \bar{\chi}) dZ - \int_0^Y Q_2(Z) B(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} (1 - \bar{\chi}) dZ \\
&= \partial_Y B(Y) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} (1 - \bar{\chi}) + B(Y) M(Y)^{-2} \partial_Y \bar{\chi} + I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.5 and (5.21), it is easy to see

$$|I_3| \leq C \kappa^{-\frac{1}{4}} \alpha^2 c_i e^{\alpha w_0(Y)} \leq C \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} c_i e^{\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

Next, we give an estimate of I_1 . For $Y \in [0, Y^-]$, we use the similar argument above to get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= -\alpha^{-2} \partial_Y B Q_1 \bar{M}^{-2} F^{-1} (1 - \bar{\chi}) \Big|_{Y=0}^Y + \alpha^{-2} \int_0^Y \partial_Z B(Z) \bar{M}^{-2} \partial_Z (Q_1 F^{-1} (1 - \bar{\chi})) dZ \\
&\quad + \alpha^{-2} \int_0^Y (Q_1 + Q_2) B(Z) Q_1 \bar{M}^{-2} F^{-1} (1 - \bar{\chi}) dZ = I_1^1 + I_1^2 + I_1^3.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^3 (|I_1^k| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im} I_1^k|) \leq C \alpha^{-2} \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} |Y - Y_0|^{-\frac{3}{4}} \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}},$$

which implies that for $Y \in [0, Y^-]$, it holds that $|I_1| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im} I_1| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}$. For $Y \in [Y^-, Y^+ + \delta]$, we have

$$I_1 = - \int_0^{Y^-} Q_1(Z) B(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} (1 - \bar{\chi}) dZ - \int_{Y^-}^Y Q_1(Z) B(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} (1 - \bar{\chi}) dZ = I_1^4 + I_1^5,$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{k=4}^5 (|I_1^k| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im} I_1^k|) \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

Then for $Y \in [Y^-, Y^+ + \delta]$, we have $|I_1| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im} I_1| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)}$. Thus, for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$, we arrive at

$$|I_1| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im} I_1| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

Using a similar argument in I_1 , we also get

$$|I_2| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im} I_2| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

Gathering estimates about I_1 , I_2 and I_3 , we obtain

$$\int_0^Y \alpha^2 F(Z) B(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} (1 - \bar{\chi}) dZ = \partial_Y B(Y) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} (1 - \bar{\chi}) + B(Y) M(Y)^{-2} \partial_Y \bar{\chi} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_0^4}(Y),$$

where

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_0^4}(Y)| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_0^4}(Y))| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

Then (6.17) follows by putting the above estimate into (6.22).

Estimates of $\mathcal{A}_0^4(Y)$ for any $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$. (6.19) follows by Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 4.1.

Estimates of $\mathcal{B}_0^4(Y)$ for any $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$. Again by the proof of Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 4.1, we know that for any $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$|\mathcal{B}_0^4(Y)| \leq \alpha^{-3} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \left(e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right),$$

which gives (6.20).

Estimates of $\mathcal{B}_0^4(Y)$ for any $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$. We first write for any $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.23) \quad \mathcal{B}_0^4(Y) = \mathcal{B}_0^4(Y_1^* - \delta_0) + \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} \alpha^2 A(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} (1 - \bar{M}(Z)^2) (1 - \bar{\chi}) dZ,$$

where we used the fact $\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y) = \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](\bar{Y}_1^*)$ for $Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0]$. For the first term, we use the proof of Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 4.1 to get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_0^4(Y_1^* - \delta_0)| &\leq C \alpha^{-3} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right) \\ &\ll \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} |\text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))| e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}, \end{aligned}$$

here we used (6.16) in the last line.

For the second term, we use a similar process in $\mathcal{A}_0^4(Y)$ for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$. Indeed, by integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} \alpha^2 A(Z) F(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} (1 - \bar{\chi}) dZ \\ &= -\partial_Y A(Y) \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) (1 - \bar{\chi}) - A(Y) \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) \partial_Y \bar{\chi} - \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} Q_1 A(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) (1 - \bar{\chi}) dZ \\ &\quad - \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} A(Z) \partial_Z (\bar{M}^{-2} \partial_Z \bar{\chi}) dZ - \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} Q_2 A(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) (1 - \bar{\chi}) dZ \\ &\quad + \partial_Y A(Y_1^* - \delta_0) \bar{M}(Y_1^* - \delta_0)^{-2} \\ &= -\partial_Y A(Y) \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) (1 - \bar{\chi}) - A(Y) \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) \partial_Y \bar{\chi} + II_1 + II_2 + II_3 + II_4. \end{aligned}$$

Using a similar argument in I_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |II_1| + |II_2| + c_i^{-1} (|\text{Im} II_1| + |\text{Im} II_2|) &\leq C \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}, \quad |II_3| \leq C \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} c_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}, \\ |II_4| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im} II_4| &\leq C \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} \alpha^2 A(Z) F(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} (1 - \bar{\chi}) dZ = -\partial_Y A(Y) \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) (1 - \bar{\chi}) - A(Y) \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) \partial_Y \bar{\chi} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_0^4}(Y),$$

where

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_0^4}(Y)| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_0^4}(Y))| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

This along with (6.23) shows that for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$\mathcal{B}_0^4(Y) = \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) (-\partial_Y A(Y) \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) (1 - \bar{\chi}) - A(Y) \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) \partial_Y \bar{\chi} + \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_0^4}(Y)),$$

which gives (6.18). In particular, taking $Y = 0$, we obtain (6.21). \square

In the following, we shall give the estimates for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(Y)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(Y)$ when $Y \in [0, Y^-]$.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}$ and Y_1^*, Y_2^* be given as in Lemma A.2. There holds for any $Y \in [0, Y^-]$,*

$$(6.24) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(Y) = -\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(-\partial_Y B(Y) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} \bar{\chi}(Y) + B(Y) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} \partial_Y \bar{\chi}(Y) + \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0}(Y) \right),$$

and

$$(6.25)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(Y) = -\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(\partial_Y A(Y) \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) \bar{\chi}(Y) - \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0) - A(Y) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} \partial_Y \bar{\chi}(Y) + \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0}(Y) \right),$$

where

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0}(Y)| + |\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0}(Y)| + c_i^{-1} \left(|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0}(Y))| + |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0}(Y))| \right) \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}.$$

In particular, we have

$$(6.26) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(0) = -\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(-\partial_Y B(0) \bar{M}(0)^{-2} + \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0}(0) \right).$$

Proof. According to the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(Y)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(Y)$, we write for any $Y \in [0, Y^-]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(Y) &= -\kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^{+\infty} B(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} (1 - \bar{M}(Z)^2) \bar{\chi}(Z) \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Z) dZ, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(Y) &= -\kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y A(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} (1 - \bar{M}(Z)^2) \bar{\chi}(Z) \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Z) dZ. \end{aligned}$$

Estimates of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(Y)$ for $Y \in [0, Y^-]$. As $\operatorname{supp}(\bar{\chi}) = [0, \bar{Y}] \subset [0, Y^-]$, we know that for any $Y \in [0, Y^-]$,

$$(6.27) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(Y) = -\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \int_Y^{Y^-} \alpha^2 F(Z) B(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} \bar{\chi}(Z) dZ.$$

Using the equation $\mathcal{L}[B] = (Q_1 + Q_2)B$, we integrate by parts and write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y^{Y^-} \alpha^2 F(Z) B(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} \bar{\chi}(Z) dZ &= \int_Y^{Y^-} \left(\partial_Z^2 B - \frac{2\bar{M}}{M} \partial_Z B - (Q_1 + Q_2)B \right) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} \bar{\chi}(Z) dZ \\ &= -\partial_Y B(Y) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} \bar{\chi}(Y) + B(Y) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} \partial_Y \bar{\chi}(Y) - \int_Y^{Y^-} Q_1(Z) B(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} \bar{\chi}(Z) dZ \\ &\quad + \int_Y^{Y^-} B(Z) \partial_Z (\bar{M}^{-2} \partial_Z \bar{\chi}) dZ - \int_Y^{Y^-} Q_2(Z) B(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} \bar{\chi}(Z) dZ \\ &= -\partial_Y B(Y) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} \bar{\chi}(Y) + B(Y) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} \partial_Y \bar{\chi}(Y) + \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Using a similar argument in Lemma 6.5, we deduce

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0}(Y)| + c_i^{-1} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0}(Y))| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Then (6.24) follows by plugging the above equality into (6.27). Taking $Y = 0$ in (6.24), we obtain (6.26).

Estimates of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(Y)$ for $Y \in [0, Y^-]$. We first notice that

$$(6.28) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(Y) = -\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \int_0^Y \alpha^2 F(Z) A(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} \bar{\chi}(Z) dZ,$$

where

$$\int_0^Y \alpha^2 A(Z) F(Z) \bar{M}(Z)^{-2} \bar{\chi}(Z) dZ$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \partial_Y A(Y) \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) \bar{\chi}(Y) - \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0) - A(Y) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} \partial_Y \bar{\chi}(Y) \\
&\quad - \int_0^Y Q_1(Z) A(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \bar{\chi} dZ + \int_0^Y A(Z) \partial_Z (\bar{M}^{-2} \partial_Z \bar{\chi}) dZ \\
&\quad - \int_0^Y Q_2(Z) A(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \bar{\chi} dZ \\
&= \partial_Y A(Y) \bar{M}^{-2}(Y) \bar{\chi}(Y) - \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0) - A(Y) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} \partial_Y \bar{\chi}(Y) + \mathcal{R}_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_0}(Y).
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument, we know that

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_0}(Y)| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_0}(Y))| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Then (6.25) follows by plugging the above equality into (6.28). \square

For convenience, we denote

$$\mathcal{A}_0^{123} = \sum_{k=1}^3 \mathcal{A}_0^k, \quad \mathcal{B}_0^{123} = \sum_{k=1}^3 \mathcal{B}_0^k.$$

Finally, we present the pointwise estimates of $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}$ on the interval $[0, Y_2^*]$. Here $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}$ are defined in (5.11).

Proposition 6.7. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}$ and Y_1^*, Y_2^* be given as in Lemma A.2. Then*

(1) *for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$, we have*

$$\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_0^{123}(Y) A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_0^{123}(Y) B(Y) + \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(\pi^{-1} \kappa (1 - \bar{\chi}(Y)) + \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}}(Y) \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{A}_0^{123}(Y)| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\mathcal{B}_0^{123}(Y)| + (\alpha^2 c_i)^{-1} |\text{Im}(\mathcal{A}_0^{123}(Y))| \leq C\alpha^{-1}, \\
&|\text{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0^{123}(Y))| \leq C \left(\alpha c_i e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} + \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r(Z) dZ} + \alpha^{-1} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right), \\
&\left| \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}}(Y) \right| + c_i^{-1} \left| \text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}}(Y)) \right| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) *for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$, we have*

$$\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_0(Y) A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_0(Y) B(Y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{A}_0(Y)| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\mathcal{B}_0(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1}, \\
&|\text{Im}(\mathcal{A}_0(Y))| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\text{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0(Y))| \\
&\leq C \left(\alpha c_i + \alpha^{-1} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

(3) *for $Y \in [0, Y^-]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y) &= \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(\pi^{-1} \kappa \bar{\chi} + \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}(0)^{-2} B(Y) + \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y) \right), \\
\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y) &= \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(\pi^{-1} \kappa \partial_Y \bar{\chi}(Y) + \partial_Y B(Y) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}(0)^{-2} - \mathcal{R}_{\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left| \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y) \right| + c_i^{-1} \left| \text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y)) \right| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \quad \left| \mathcal{R}_{\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y) \right| + c_i^{-1} \left| \text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y)) \right| \leq C\alpha^{\frac{1}{6}}.$$

Proof. According to the definition of $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(Y)$, we have

$$\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_0(Y)A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_0(Y)B(Y) = (\mathcal{A}_0^{123}(Y) + \mathcal{A}_0^4(Y))A(Y) + (\mathcal{B}_0^{123}(Y) + \mathcal{B}_0^4(Y))B(Y).$$

Estimates of $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(Y)$ for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$. By Lemma 6.4, we know that for any $Y \in [0, Y_2^*]$,

$$(6.29) \quad |\mathcal{A}_0^{123}(Y)| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\mathcal{B}_0^{123}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1},$$

and for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.30) \quad |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_0^{123}(Y))| \leq C\alpha c_i,$$

$$(6.31) \quad |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0^{123}(Y))| \leq C \left(\alpha c_i e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} + \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r(Z) dZ} + \alpha^{-1} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right).$$

By Lemma 6.5, we deduce that for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_0^4(Y)A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_0^4(Y)B(Y) \\ &= \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(A(Y)\partial_Y B(Y) - B(Y)\partial_Y A(Y) \right) \bar{M}(Y)^{-2} (1 - \bar{\chi}) + \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}}(Y) \\ &= \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(\pi^{-1} \kappa (1 - \bar{\chi}(Y)) + \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}}(Y) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}}(Y) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}_0^4}(Y)A(Y) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_0^4}(Y)B(Y),$$

with

$$(6.32) \quad \left| \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}}(Y) \right| + c_i^{-1} \left| \operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}}(Y)) \right| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Thus, we obtain that for any $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_0^{123}(Y)A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_0^{123}(Y)B(Y) + \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(\pi^{-1} \kappa (1 - \bar{\chi}(Y)) + \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}}(Y) \right),$$

and (6.29)-(6.32) hold. This gives the result in (1).

Estimates of $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(Y)$ for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$. We know by Lemma 6.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_0^{123}(Y))| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0^{123}(Y))| \\ & \leq C \left(\alpha c_i + \alpha^{-1} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha^{-1} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.5, we know that for any $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$|\mathcal{A}_0^4(Y)| + \alpha e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\mathcal{B}_0^4(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-2} \left(e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right),$$

which along with (6.29) gives that for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$|\mathcal{A}_0(Y)| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\mathcal{B}_0(Y)| \lesssim \alpha^{-1},$$

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_0(Y))| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0(Y))| \leq C \left(\alpha c_i + \alpha^{-1} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right).$$

This proves the result in (2).

Estimates of $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y)$ and $\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y)$ for $Y \in [0, Y^-]$. By Lemma 6.6, we obtain that for any $Y \in [0, Y^-]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y) &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(Y)A(Y) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(Y)B(Y) \\ &= \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(\pi^{-1} \kappa \bar{\chi} + \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}(0)^{-2} B(Y) + \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y) \right), \\ \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Y) &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(Y) \partial_Y A(Y) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(Y) \partial_Y B(Y) \\ &= \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(\pi^{-1} \kappa \partial_Y \bar{\chi}(Y) + \partial_Y B(Y) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}(0)^{-2} - \mathcal{R}_{\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y) \right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y) = -A(Y) \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0}(Y) - B(Y) \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0}(Y), \quad \mathcal{R}_{\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y) = -\partial_Y A(Y) \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0}(Y) - \partial_Y B(Y) \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0}(Y),$$

with

$$\left| \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y) \right| + c_i^{-1} \left| \text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y)) \right| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \quad \left| \mathcal{R}_{\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y) \right| + c_i^{-1} \left| \text{Im}(\mathcal{R}_{\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Y)) \right| \leq C \alpha^{\frac{1}{6}},$$

which gives (3). \square

6.2. Expansion of boundary values for $j \geq 1$. This subsection is devoted to giving the pointwise estimates for the imaginary part of $\mathcal{A}_j(Y)$ and $\mathcal{B}_j(Y)$ in the interval $[0, Y_2^*]$, which are used to deduce (6.8). We first introduce a function on the interval $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$

$$(6.33) \quad \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) = c_i + \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)} \left| \text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) \right| + \alpha^{-1} \left| \text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0)) \right|,$$

and a function on the interval $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$

$$(6.34) \quad \begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{far}(Y) &= \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y^+ + \delta_0) + \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha^{\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{\min\{Y, Y_1 - \delta_0\}}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $Y = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{near}(0) = c_i + \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left| \text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) \right| + \alpha^{-1} \left| \text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0)) \right|.$$

Moreover, we introduce a function defined in $[0, Y^-]$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{near}(Y) = \left| \text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0)) \right| \mathbf{1}_{[0, Y^-]}(Y),$$

which appears in the estimate of $\mathcal{B}_1(Y)$ in the interval $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$. Besides, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{near}(Y)$ is larger than the third term in $\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y)$ when $Y \in [0, Y^-]$, and is also an essential term in the estimate of $\mathcal{B}_1(Y)$.

Thus, we need to focus on the estimates for the imaginary part. The goal is to obtain the following estimates:

(1) For $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$, we have and for $j \geq 1$

$$(6.35) \quad \left| \text{Im}(\mathcal{A}_j(Y)) \right| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} \left| \text{Im}(\mathcal{B}_j(Y)) \right| \lesssim \alpha^{-j+1} (\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{near}(Y)),$$

(2) For $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$ and for $j \geq 1$

$$(6.36) \quad \left| \text{Im}(\mathcal{A}_j(Y)) \right| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} \left| \text{Im}(\mathcal{B}_j(Y)) \right| \lesssim \alpha^{-j+1} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y).$$

We will use the induction to prove the above estimates (6.35)-(6.36). We present the main result in this subsection.

Proposition 6.8. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}$ and Y_1^*, Y_2^* be given as in Lemma A.2. Then the estimates (6.35) and (6.36) hold. Moreover, we have*

$$(6.37) \quad \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{B}_j(0) \right) - \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0) C_{P,1} \right| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(0),$$

where

$$C_{P,1} = \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^{\bar{Y}_1^*} A(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1(Z) \partial_Z B(Z) dZ, \quad |C_{P,1}| + c_i^{-1} |\operatorname{Im} C_{P,1}| \lesssim 1.$$

In order to obtain the results in Proposition 6.8, we should deal with the imaginary part of $\mathcal{A}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{A}_1^5(Y)$ and $\mathcal{B}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{B}_1^5(Y)$ in $[0, Y_2^*]$ firstly. We emphasize that $\mathcal{A}_1^5(Y)$ and $\mathcal{B}_1^5(Y)$ related to $\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}$ only appear for $j = 1$.

Lemma 6.9. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}$ and Y_1^*, Y_2^* be given as in Lemma A.2. The following results hold*

(1) *For any $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$, we have*

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{A}_1^5(Y))| + e^{2\alpha\omega_0(Y)} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{B}_1^5(Y))| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{near}(Y).$$

(2) *For any $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$, we have*

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{A}_1^5(Y))| + e^{2\alpha\omega_0(Y)} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{B}_1^5(Y))| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y).$$

(3) *Moreover, we obtain*

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_1^1(0) + \mathcal{B}_1^5(0) - \kappa^{-1} \pi \alpha^{-2} \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](\bar{Y}_1^*) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0) C_{P,1})| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(0),$$

where $C_{P,1}$ is given in Proposition 6.8.

Proof. By Proposition 6.7, for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} H_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}](Y) + \tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}](Y) &= (Q_1 + Q_2) (\mathcal{A}_0^{123} A + \mathcal{B}_0^{123} B + \alpha^{-2} \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](\bar{Y}_1^*)) \\ &\quad + (Q_1 + Q_2) \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}(0)^{-2} B \bar{\chi}_1 \\ &\quad + (Q_1 + Q_2) \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}} + \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}} \bar{\chi}_1 \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\left(\partial_Y^2 \bar{\chi}_1 - \frac{2\partial_Y \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Y \bar{\chi}_1 \right) \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)} + 2\partial_Y \bar{\chi}_1 \partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)} \right) \\ &= J_1(Y) + \cdots + J_4(Y), \end{aligned}$$

and for any $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$, we write

$$H_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}](Y) + \tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}](Y) = H_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}](Y) = (1 - \chi) \chi_1 (Q_1 + Q_2) (\mathcal{A}_0 A + \mathcal{B}_0 B)(Y).$$

Estimates of $\mathcal{A}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{A}_1^5(Y)$. We first give the estimates for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$. We write for any $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.38) \quad \mathcal{A}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{A}_1^5(Y) = \sum_{j=1}^4 \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y B(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) J_j(Z) dZ = \sum_{j=1}^4 I_{J_j}.$$

In the following, we give the estimates of I_{J_j} , $j = 1, \dots, 4$ term by term.

Estimates of I_{J_1} . We write for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.39) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{J_1} &= \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y B(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) (Q_1 + Q_2) (\mathcal{A}_0^{123} A + \mathcal{B}_0^{123} B)(Z) dZ \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \int_0^Y (Q_1 + Q_2) B(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) dZ = I_{J_1}^1 + \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) I_{J_1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We notice that by Proposition 6.7, Lemma 3.2 and $|Q_2| \leq C\alpha^2 c_i$ for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.40) \quad \begin{aligned} &|(Q_1 + Q_2) \mathcal{A}_0^{123}(Y)| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |(Q_1 + Q_2) \mathcal{B}_0^{123}(Y)| \lesssim \alpha^{-1}, \\ &|\operatorname{Im}((Q_1 + Q_2) \mathcal{A}_0^{123}(Y))| \lesssim \alpha c_i, \\ &|\operatorname{Im}((Q_1 + Q_2) \mathcal{B}_0^{123}(Y))| \\ &\lesssim \left(\alpha c_i e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} + \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r(Z) dZ} + \alpha^{-1} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which along with Proposition 3.6 imply that for any $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.41) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{Im} I_{J_1}^1 \right| &\lesssim c_i + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} \left(\alpha^{-3} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right) \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used (6.16) in the last line.

We also have that for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.42) \quad |I_{J_1}^2| + c_i^{-1} |\operatorname{Im} I_{J_1}^2| \leq C\alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)},$$

which along with (6.41) and (6.42) implies that for any $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.43) \quad |\operatorname{Im} I_{J_1}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) + \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y).$$

Estimates of I_{J_2} . We write for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$I_{J_2} = \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0) C_B(Y),$$

where

$$C_B(Y) = \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y (Q_1 + Q_2) B(Z)^2 \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \bar{\chi}_1(Z) dZ,$$

with $|C_B(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{-1}$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(C_B(Y))| \leq C\alpha c_i$. Therefore, we obtain

$$(6.44) \quad |\operatorname{Im} I_{J_2}| \lesssim \alpha^{-1} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0))| + c_i \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y).$$

Estimates of I_{J_3} . By a similar argument as (6.43), we obtain

$$(6.45) \quad |\operatorname{Im} I_{J_3}| \lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))| + c_i \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y).$$

Estimates of I_{J_4} . We first notice that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{J_4} &= \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y B(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \left(\partial_Z^2 \bar{\chi}_1 - \frac{2\partial_Z \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1 \right) \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Z) dZ \\ &\quad + 2\kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y B(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1(Z) \partial_Z \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Z) dZ = I_{J_4}^1 + I_{J_4}^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we use Proposition 6.7 and the fact $\partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi} = \partial_Z^2 \bar{\chi}_1 \bar{\chi} = \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1 \partial_Z \bar{\chi} = 0$ to write that

$$(6.46) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\partial_Z^2 \bar{\chi}_1 - \frac{2\partial_Z \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1 \right) \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Z) \\ &= \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(\partial_Z^2 \bar{\chi}_1 - \frac{2\partial_Z \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1 \right) \left(\partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}(0)^{-2} B(Z) + \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Z) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.47) \quad \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1(Z) \partial_Z \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Z) = \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1 \left(\partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}(0)^{-2} \partial_Z B(Z) - \mathcal{R}_{\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Z) \right).$$

Therefore, by a similar argument as (6.44), we obtain

$$|\operatorname{Im} I_{J_4}^1| + |\operatorname{Im} I_{J_4}^2| \lesssim \alpha^{-1} |\operatorname{Im} (\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0))| + \alpha^{-\frac{3}{2}} |\operatorname{Im} (\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))| + c_i \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y),$$

where we used the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1(Z) B(Z) \partial_Z B(Z) dZ \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left| \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y \partial_Z (\bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1(Z)) B^2(Z) dZ \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left| \kappa^{-1} \pi \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1(Z) B^2(Z) \Big|_{Z=0}^{Z=Y} \right| \leq C \alpha^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(6.48) \quad |\operatorname{Im} I_{J_4}(Y)| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y).$$

By gathering (6.38), (6.43)-(6.48), we obtain that for any $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.49) \quad |\operatorname{Im} (\mathcal{A}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{A}_1^5(Y))| \leq \sum_{j=1}^4 |\operatorname{Im} I_{J_j}(Y)| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y).$$

Next we estimate $\mathcal{A}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{A}_1^5(Y)$ for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{A}_1^5(Y) &= \mathcal{A}_1^1(Y^+ + \delta) + \mathcal{A}_1^5(Y^+ + \delta) + \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_{Y^+ + \delta}^Y B(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) H_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}](Z) dZ \\ &= \mathcal{A}_1^1(Y^+ + \delta) + \mathcal{A}_1^5(Y^+ + \delta) + I_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}](Y) = (1 - \chi) \chi_1 (Q_1 + Q_2) (\mathcal{A}_0 A + \mathcal{B}_0 B)(Y).$$

By Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 6.7, we obtain that for any $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$|\operatorname{Im} I_1(Y)| \lesssim \left(c_i + \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^+ - \delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y),$$

which along with (6.49) for $Y = Y^+ + \delta$ shows that for any $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$(6.50) \quad |\operatorname{Im} (\mathcal{A}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{A}_1^5(Y))| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(\bar{Y}_1^*) + \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y).$$

Estimates of $\mathcal{B}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{B}_1^5(Y)$. For $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, +\infty)$, it holds that

$$|H_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}](Y)| \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} (\|\mathcal{A}_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + \|\mathcal{B}_0\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}) \leq C \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)},$$

where

$$H_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}](Y) = (1 - \chi) \chi_1 (Q_1 + Q_2) (\mathcal{A}_0 A + \mathcal{B}_0 B)(Y), \quad \tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}](Y) = 0.$$

Then by Proposition 3.6, we get

$$|\mathcal{B}_1^1(Y_2^*)| \leq C\alpha^{-2}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} = C\alpha^{-2}e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}, \quad \mathcal{B}_1^5(Y) = 0, \quad Y \in [Y^-, +\infty).$$

Thus, for $Y \in [0, Y_2^*]$, we write

$$\mathcal{B}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{B}_1^5(Y) = \mathcal{B}_1^1(Y_2^*) + \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y_2^*} A(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \left(H_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}] + \tilde{H}_{21}[\tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}] \right) dZ = \mathcal{B}_1^1(Y_2^*) + II.$$

By Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 6.7, we obtain that for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Im}II| &\lesssim \left(c_i + \alpha^{-2}e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} + \alpha^{-2}e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} \right) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y)e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives that for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$(6.51) \quad |\text{Im}(\mathcal{B}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{B}_1^5(Y))| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y)e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

For $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$, we use $\mathcal{B}_1^5(Y_1^* - \delta_0) = 0$ to write

$$\mathcal{B}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{B}_1^5(Y) = \kappa^{-1}\pi \sum_{j=1}^4 \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} A(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z)J_j(Z)dZ + \mathcal{B}_1^1(Y_1^* - \delta_0) = \sum_{j=1}^4 II_{J_j} + \mathcal{B}_1^1(Y_1^* - \delta_0).$$

By (6.51), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_1^1(Y_1^* - \delta_0)| &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y_1^* - \delta_0)e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y^+ + \delta_0) + \alpha^{-2}e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} + \alpha^{\frac{1}{6}}e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} \right) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \\ (6.52) \quad &\lesssim \left(\alpha^{-2}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} + \alpha^{\frac{1}{6}}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right) e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y)e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y)e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}, \end{aligned}$$

here we used (6.16) in the last line.

Estimates of II_{J_1} . We write

$$\begin{aligned} II_{J_1} &= \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} A(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z)(Q_1 + Q_2)(\mathcal{A}_0^{123}(Z)A(Z) + \mathcal{B}_0^{123}(Z)B(Z))dZ \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} A(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z)dZ = II_{J_1}^1 + \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)II_{J_1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.6, Lemma 6.4, (6.16) and (6.40), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Im}II_{J_1}^1| &\lesssim \left(c_i e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} + \alpha^{-3}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r(Z)dZ} + \alpha^{-2}e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right) \\ &\lesssim c_i e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} + \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} |\text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y)e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}. \end{aligned}$$

We also notice that

$$|II_{J_1}^2| \lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}, \quad |\text{Im}II_{J_1}^2| \lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}c_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)},$$

which implies that for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.53) \quad |\text{Im}II_{J_1}| \lesssim c_i + \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} |\text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))| + \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y)e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y)e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

Estimates of II_{J_2} . For $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$, we notice that

$$II_{J_2} = -\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)\partial_Y A(0)\bar{M}^{-2}(0)C_A(Y),$$

where

$$C_A(Y) = \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} (Q_1 + Q_2)A(Z)B(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z)\bar{\chi}_1(Z)dZ,$$

with $|C_A(Y)| \lesssim \alpha^{-1}$, $|\text{Im}(C_A(Y))| \lesssim \alpha c_i$. Then we obtain

$$(6.54) \quad |\text{Im}II_{J_2}| \lesssim (c_i + \alpha^{-1} |\text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)\partial_Y A(0)\bar{M}^{-2}(0))|) \mathbf{1}_{[0, Y^-]} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y)e^{-2\alpha\omega_0(Y)}.$$

Estimates of II_{J_3} . By a similar argument as (6.53), we have

$$(6.55) \quad |\text{Im}II_{J_3}| \lesssim c_i e^{-2\alpha\omega_0(Y)} + \alpha^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\alpha\omega_0(Y)} |\text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y)e^{-2\alpha\omega_0(Y)}.$$

Estimates of II_{J_4} . We write

$$\begin{aligned} II_{J_4} &= \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} A(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \left(\partial_Z^2 \bar{\chi}_1 - \frac{2\partial_Z \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1 \right) \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Z) dZ \\ &\quad + 2\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} A(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1(Z) \partial_Z \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}(Z) dZ = II_{J_4}^1 + II_{J_4}^2. \end{aligned}$$

According to (6.46) and (6.47), we use the similar argument in I_{J_4} to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Im}II_{J_4}^1| &\lesssim \alpha^{-1} |\text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)\partial_Y A(0)\bar{M}^{-2}(0))| \mathbf{1}_{[0, Y^-]} \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\alpha\omega_0(Y)} |\text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y)e^{-2\alpha\omega_0(Y)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Im}II_{J_4}^2| &\lesssim |\text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)\partial_Y A(0)\bar{M}^{-2}(0))| \mathbf{1}_{[0, Y^-]} \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{-\alpha\omega_0(Y)} |\text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))| \lesssim (\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{near}(Y))e^{-2\alpha\omega_0(Y)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimate

$$|\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_0^Y \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1(Z) A(Z) \partial_Z B(Z) dZ| \leq C.$$

We emphasize that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{near}(Y)$ appears in $\text{Im}II_{J_4}^2$. Then we obtain

$$(6.56) \quad |\text{Im}II_{J_4}| \lesssim (\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{near}(Y))e^{-2\alpha\omega_0(Y)}.$$

In particular, we have

$$II_{J_4}^2(0) = 2\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)(\partial_Y A(0)\bar{M}^{-2}(0)C_{P,1} + C_{P,2}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{P,1} &= \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_0^{\bar{Y}_1^*} A(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1(Z) \partial_Z B(Z) dZ, \\ C_{P,2} &= \kappa^{-1}\pi \int_0^{\bar{Y}_1^*} A(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \partial_Z \bar{\chi}_1(Z) \mathcal{R}_{\partial_Y \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(2)}}(Z) dZ, \end{aligned}$$

with $|C_{P,1}| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im}C_{P,1}| \lesssim 1$, $|C_{P,2}| + c_i^{-1} |\text{Im}C_{P,2}| \lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}$. Then we obtain

$$(6.57) \quad |\text{Im}(II_{J_4}(0) - 2\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)\partial_Y A(0)\bar{M}^{-2}(0)C_{P,1})| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(0).$$

Therefore, we gather (6.52), (6.53), (6.54), (6.55) and (6.56) to deduce that for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.58) \quad |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_1^1(Y) + \mathcal{B}_1^5(Y))| \lesssim (\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{near}(Y))e^{-2\alpha\omega_0(Y)}.$$

Moreover, by (6.57), we have

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_1^1(0) + \mathcal{B}_1^5(0) - \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)\partial_Y A(0)\bar{M}^{-2}(0)C_{p,1})| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(0).$$

□

Lemma 6.10. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}$ and Y_1^*, Y_2^* be given as in Lemma A.2.*

(1) *Assume that (6.36) holds for the j -th ($j \geq 0$) step. Then we have*

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^2(Y))| + e^{2\alpha\omega_0(Y)}|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^2(Y))| \lesssim \begin{cases} \alpha^{-j}\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y), & Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta_0] \\ \alpha^{-j}\mathcal{E}_{far}(Y), & Y \in [Y^+ + \delta_0, Y_2^*]. \end{cases}$$

(2) *For $j \geq 0$, we have*

$$(|\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^3(Y)| + |\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^4(Y)|) + e^{2\alpha\omega_0(Y)}(|\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^3(Y)| + |\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^4(Y)|) \lesssim \begin{cases} \alpha^{-j}\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y), & Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta_0] \\ \alpha^{-j}\mathcal{E}_{far}(Y), & Y \in [Y^+ + \delta_0, Y_2^*]. \end{cases}$$

(3) *Assume that (6.35) -(6.36) hold for the j -th ($j \geq 1$) step. Then we have*

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^1(Y))| + e^{2\alpha\omega_0(Y)}|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1(Y))| \lesssim \begin{cases} \alpha^{-j}(\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{near}(Y)), & Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta_0], \\ \alpha^{-j}\mathcal{E}_{far}(Y), & Y \in [Y^+ + \delta_0, Y_2^*]. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We first show the results in (1).

Estimates of \mathcal{A}_{j+1}^2 . By the definition, we write

$$\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^2(Y) = \alpha^2\kappa^{-1}\pi \int_0^Y B(Z)\bar{M}^{-2}(1-\chi)F(Z) \int_Z^{+\infty} \partial_{Y'}\chi_1\tilde{P}_{j,2}(Y')dY'dZ,$$

where $\tilde{P}_{j,2}(Y) = \mathcal{A}_j(Y)A(Y) + \mathcal{B}_j(Y)B(Y)$, $j \geq 0$ and $\tilde{P}_{0,2}(Y) = \tilde{P}_{0,2}^{(1)}(Y)$. By the definitions of χ and χ_1 , we know $\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^2(Y) = \mathcal{A}_{j+1}^2(Y_1 - \delta_0)$ for $Y \in [Y_1 - \delta_0, Y_2^*]$. For $Y \in [Y_1, Y_2]$, we use (6.36), Lemma 5.7, Proposition 6.7 and the fact

$$\alpha^{\frac{1}{6}}e^{-\alpha \int_{\min\{Y, Y_1 - \delta_0\}}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} \lesssim \alpha^{-2}e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'},$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{P}_{j,2}(Y)| &\lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}}e^{-\alpha\omega_0(Y)} \left(|\mathcal{A}_j(Y)| + e^{2\alpha\omega_0(Y)}|\mathcal{B}_j(Y)| \right) \lesssim \alpha^{-j-\frac{7}{6}}e^{-\alpha\omega_0(Y)}, \\ |\operatorname{Im}(\tilde{P}_{j,2}(Y))| &\lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}}e^{-\alpha\omega_0(Y)} \left(c_i|\mathcal{A}_j(Y)| + c_i e^{2\alpha\omega_0(Y)}|\mathcal{B}_j(Y)| + |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_j(Y))| + e^{2\alpha\omega_0(Y)}|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_j(Y))| \right) \\ &\lesssim e^{-\alpha\omega_0(Y)}\alpha^{-j+\frac{5}{6}} \left(\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y^+ + \delta_0) + \alpha^{-2}e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1-2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} \right) + \alpha^{-j-\frac{7}{6}}e^{-\alpha\omega_0(Y_2^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

which show

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y_1}^{Y_2} |\tilde{P}_{j,2}(Z)|dZ &\lesssim \alpha^{-j-\frac{13}{6}}e^{-\alpha\omega_0(Y_1)}, \\ \int_{Y_1}^{Y_2} |\operatorname{Im}\tilde{P}_{j,2}(Z)|dZ &\lesssim e^{-\alpha\omega_0(Y_1)}\alpha^{-j-\frac{1}{6}} \left(\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y^+ + \delta_0) + \alpha^{-2}e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1-2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y')dY'} \right) \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-j-\frac{7}{6}}e^{-\alpha\omega_0(Y_2^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $Y \in [0, Y_1 - \delta_0]$, by Lemma A.2 and Lemma 6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.59) \quad & |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^2(Y))| \lesssim \alpha^2 \kappa^{-1} \int_0^Y |B(Z)| \int_{Y_1}^{Y_2} \left(c_i |\tilde{P}_{j,2}(Y')| + |\operatorname{Im} \tilde{P}_{j,2}(Y')| \right) dY' dZ \\
& \lesssim \alpha^2 \kappa^{-1} c_i \int_0^Y e^{\alpha w_0(Z)} \alpha^{-j - \frac{13}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} dZ + \alpha^2 \kappa^{-1} \alpha^{-j - \frac{7}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \int_0^Y e^{\alpha w_0(Z)} dZ \\
& \quad + \alpha^2 \kappa^{-1} \int_0^Y e^{\alpha w_0(Z)} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} \alpha^{-j - \frac{1}{6}} \left(\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y^+ + \delta_0) + \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right) dZ \\
& \lesssim \alpha^{-j} c_i + \alpha^{-j} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} \alpha^{\frac{7}{6}} \left(\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y^+ + \delta_0) + \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right) + \alpha^{\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right) \\
& \lesssim \alpha^{-j} (c_i + \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{\alpha w_0(Y)} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))|) \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y),
\end{aligned}$$

For $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.60) \quad & |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^2(Y))| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} c_i + \alpha^{-j} \left(\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y^+ + \delta_0) + \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \alpha^{\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{\min\{Y, Y_1 - \delta_0\}}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right) \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y).
\end{aligned}$$

Estimates of \mathcal{B}_{j+1}^2 . The process is similar to $\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^2(Y)$. We have $\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^2(Y) = 0$ for $Y \in [Y_1 - \delta_0, Y_2^*]$ and for $Y \in [0, Y_1 - \delta_0]$, we have

$$e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^2(Y))| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y).$$

Thus, we infer that for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$

$$(6.61) \quad |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^2(Y))| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)},$$

and for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.62) \quad |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^2(Y))| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

Collecting (6.59), (6.60), (6.61) and (6.62), we obtain the results in (1).

Next we turn to prove the results in (2).

Estimates of \mathcal{A}_{j+1}^3 . By Proposition 3.8, 4.1, 5.3 and Lemma 5.7, we have

$$(6.63) \quad \mathcal{A}_1^3(Y) \equiv 0, \quad Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta],$$

and for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$(6.64) \quad |\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^3(Y)| \lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{5}{6}} \|\varphi_{j+1}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ}.$$

Estimates of \mathcal{A}_{j+1}^4 . By the definition, we write

$$\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^4(Y) = \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_0^Y B(Z) \bar{M}^{-2}(Z) \tilde{H}_{32}[\varphi_{j+1}](Z) dZ,$$

where

$$(6.65) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{32}[\varphi_{j+1}](Z) &= \left((1 - \bar{M}^2)\chi_3 + \alpha^{-2} \left(\frac{2\partial_Z \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Z \chi_3 - \partial_Z^2 \chi_3 \right) \right) \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_{j+1}](Z) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & Z \in [0, \bar{Y}_1^{**}] \cup [Y_2^* + \delta_0, +\infty) \\ \left((1 - \bar{M}^2)\chi_3 + \alpha^{-2} \left(\frac{2\partial_Z \bar{M}}{\bar{M}} \partial_Z \chi_3 - \partial_Z^2 \chi_3 \right) \right) \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_{j+1}](\bar{Y}_1^*), & Z \in [\bar{Y}_1^{**}, \bar{Y}_1^*], \\ (1 - \bar{M}^2)\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_{j+1}](Z), & Z \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_1^*] \cup [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0], \\ (1 - \bar{M}^2)\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_{j+1}](Y_2^*), & Z \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.10, (6.16) and Lemma 5.7, we infer that for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$

$$(6.66) \quad |\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^4(Y)| = 0,$$

and for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$(6.67) \quad |\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^4(Y)| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \left(\alpha^{-3} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right) \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y).$$

Estimates of \mathcal{B}_{j+1}^3 . Notice that

$$\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^3(Y) = \mathcal{B}_{j+1}^3(Y_1^* - \delta_0), \quad Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0] \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_{j+1}^3(Y) = 0, \quad Y \geq Y_2^* + \delta_0.$$

By Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 5.7, we deduce that for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$(6.68) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^3(Y)| &\lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \left(\|\varphi_{j+1}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \|\varphi_{j+1}\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \right) \\ &\lesssim \alpha^{-j-3} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \left(e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r(Z) dZ} + \alpha e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \right) \\ &\lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y), \end{aligned}$$

and for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$

$$(6.69) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^3(Y)| &\lesssim \alpha^{-j-3} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r(Y') dY'} + \alpha^{-j-2} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \\ &\ll \alpha^{-j} \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} |\text{Im}(\kappa^{-1} \pi \alpha^{-2} \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](\bar{Y}_1^*))| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}, \end{aligned}$$

by using (6.16).

Estimates of \mathcal{B}_{j+1}^4 . We recall $\tilde{H}_{32}[\varphi_{j+1}](Z)$ in (6.65) and we know $\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^4(Y) = \mathcal{B}_{j+1}^4(\bar{Y}_1^{**})$ for $Y \in [0, \bar{Y}_1^{**}]$. By Proposition 3.10 and Lemma 5.7, we have for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$(6.70) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^4(Y)| &\lesssim \alpha^{-j-3} \left(e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \\ &\lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$, we have

$$(6.71) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^4(Y)| &= |\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^4(\bar{Y}_1^{**})| \\ &\leq C \alpha^{-3-j} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right) e^{-\alpha w_0(\bar{Y}_1^{**})} \\ &\ll \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} |e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}, \end{aligned}$$

and by a similar argument in (6.16), we have

$$(6.72) \quad e^{\alpha w_0(Y^+ + \delta_0)} e^{-\alpha w_0(\bar{Y}_1^{**})} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right) \\ \ll e^{-\alpha w_0(\bar{Y})} \leq |\text{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))|.$$

Collecting (6.63)-(6.71), we obtain the results in (2).

Finally, we prove the results in (3). Here $j \geq 1$.

Estimates of \mathcal{A}_{j+1}^1 . By Proposition 3.6, we have for $Y \in [0, Y_2^*]$,

$$|\text{Im}(\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^1(Y))| \lesssim \alpha^{-1} \left(\|\text{Im}\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty([0, Y])} + \|\text{Im}\mathcal{B}_j\|_{L^\infty_{2w_0}([0, Y])} \right).$$

Hence, we use (6.35) and (6.36) to deduce that for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.73) \quad |\text{Im}(\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^1(Y))| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y),$$

and for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$(6.74) \quad |\text{Im}(\mathcal{A}_{j+1}^1(Y))| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \left(\mathcal{E}_{near}(Y^+ + \delta_0) + \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right. \\ \left. + \alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha^{\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\alpha \int_{\min\{Y, Y_1 - \delta_0\}}^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right) \\ \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y).$$

Estimates of \mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1 . By definition, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1(Y) = \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^\infty A(Z) \bar{M}^{-2} (1 - \chi)(Q_1 + Q_2) \tilde{P}_{j,2}(Z) dZ,$$

where $\tilde{P}_{j,2} = \mathcal{A}_j A + \mathcal{B}_j B$. For $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$, we write

$$\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1(Y) = \mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1(Y_2^*) + \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^{Y_2^*} A(Z) \bar{M}^{-2} (1 - \chi)(Q_1 + Q_2) \tilde{P}_{j,2}(Z) dZ = \mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1(Y_2^*) + I_1.$$

By Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 5.7, we have

$$|\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1(Y_2^*)| \lesssim \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} (\|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathcal{B}_j\|_{L^\infty_{2w_0}}) \\ \lesssim \alpha^{-2-j} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \lesssim \alpha^{-j} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \alpha^{-2} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \\ \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

By (6.36), we have

$$|\text{Im}(\tilde{P}_{j,2}(Z))| \lesssim \alpha^{-j+1} \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)} \left(\mathcal{E}_{far}(Y) - \alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_2^*} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} \right) + \alpha^{-2} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right) \\ + \alpha^{-j-\frac{1}{6}} c_i,$$

which implies

$$e^{2w_0(Y)} |\text{Im}I_1| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} c_i + \alpha^{-j-1} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y) \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

Thus, we infer that for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$

$$(6.75) \quad |\text{Im}(\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1(Y))| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

For $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1(Y) &= \mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1(Y_1^* - \delta_0) + \kappa^{-1} \pi \int_Y^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} A(Z) \bar{M}^{-2} (1 - \chi) (Q_1 + Q_2) \tilde{P}_{j,2}(Z) dZ \\ &= \mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1(Y_1^* - \delta_0) + I_2.\end{aligned}$$

By taking $Y = Y_1^* - \delta_0$ in (6.75), we get

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1(Y_1^* - \delta_0))| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y_1^* - \delta_0) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)},$$

where we used (6.72) in the last line.

For I_2 , we shall use (6.35) and (6.36) for the j^{th} step. Indeed, we get by Proposition 3.6 that

$$\begin{aligned}|\operatorname{Im} I_2| &\lesssim c_i \alpha (\|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty[0, Y_1^* - \delta_0]} + \alpha^{-1} \|\mathcal{A}_j\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathcal{B}_j\|_{L_{2w_0}^\infty}) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} \\ &\quad + \kappa^{-1} \int_{Y^+ + \delta}^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} |Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))| \left(|Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))| + |e^{-2\alpha w_0(Z)} Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))| \right) \alpha^{-j+1} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Z) dZ \\ &\quad + \kappa^{-1} \int_Y^{Y^+ + \delta} |Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))| \left(|Ai(\kappa\eta(Z))| + |e^{-2\alpha w_0(Z)} Bi(\kappa\eta(Z))| \right) \alpha^{-j+1} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Z) dZ \\ &\lesssim c_i \alpha^{-j} e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} + \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)} + \alpha^{-j-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y^+ + \delta)} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \\ &\lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)},\end{aligned}$$

which shows that for $Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta]$,

$$(6.76) \quad |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_{j+1}^1(Y))| \lesssim \alpha^{-j} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y) e^{-2\alpha w_0(Y)}.$$

Collecting (6.73)-(6.76) together, we get the results in (3). \square

We are in a position to prove Proposition 6.8.

Proof. By (2) in Proposition 6.7 and (6.72), we have for $Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]$,

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_0(Y))| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0(Y))| \lesssim \alpha \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y),$$

which deduces that (6.36) is valid for $j = 0$. Then we use (1) in Lemma 6.10 to get for $j \geq 1$,

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{A}_j^2(Y))| + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_j^2(Y))| \lesssim \begin{cases} \alpha^{-j+1} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y), & Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta], \\ \alpha^{-j+1} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y), & Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]. \end{cases}$$

By (2) in Lemma 6.10, we get for $j \geq 1$,

$$(|\mathcal{A}_j^3(Y)| + |\mathcal{A}_j^4(Y)|) + e^{2\alpha w_0(Y)} (|\mathcal{B}_j^3(Y)| + |\mathcal{B}_j^4(Y)|) \lesssim \begin{cases} \alpha^{-j+1} \mathcal{E}_{near}(Y), & Y \in [0, Y^+ + \delta], \\ \alpha^{-j+1} \mathcal{E}_{far}(Y), & Y \in [Y^+ + \delta, Y_2^*]. \end{cases}$$

Afterwards, we use Lemma 6.9, Lemma 6.10 and the above results to obtain (6.35) and (6.36).

Taking $j \geq 2$ in (6.35), we have

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{j=2}^{+\infty} \mathcal{B}_j(0) \right) \right| \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(0) \sum_{j=2}^{+\infty} \alpha^{-j+1} \lesssim \alpha^{-1} (\mathcal{E}_{near}(0) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{near}(0)) \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{near}(0),$$

which along with (3) in Lemma 6.9 gives (6.37). \square

6.3. Proof of Proposition 6.1. With estimates obtained in the previous two subsections, we are in a position to prove Proposition 6.1.

Proof. We write $\mathcal{B}_0(0) = \sum_{k=1}^4 \mathcal{B}_0^k(0)$ and recall $\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) = \kappa^{-1} \alpha^{-2} \pi \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](\bar{Y}_1^*)$. By Lemma 6.3-6.5, for $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_0^1(0)| &\lesssim \alpha^{-1} + i\alpha c_i, & |\mathcal{B}_0^2(0)| &\ll \alpha^{-1}, & |\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{B}_0^2(0))| &\ll \alpha c_i, \\ |\mathcal{B}_0^3(0)| &\ll \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} |\operatorname{Im}\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)|, & \mathcal{B}_0^4(0) &= \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}_0^4}(0), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma A.2, Lemma 6.2 and (6.16) for $\mathcal{B}_0^3(0)$. Thus, we get (6.6) with bounds in (6.9) and (6.10).

By Lemma 6.6, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0(0) = -\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \left(-\partial_Y B(0) \bar{M}(0)^{-2} + \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0}(0) \right),$$

which gives (6.7). By Lemma 5.7, we have for $j \geq 1$, $|\mathcal{B}_j(0)| \leq C\alpha^{-j-1}$, which gives $\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |\mathcal{B}_j(0)| \leq C\alpha^{-2}$. By Proposition 6.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{B}_j(0) \right) - \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0) C_{P,1} \right) \right| \\ &\lesssim c_i + \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}} |\operatorname{Im}\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)| + \alpha^{-1} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0))|, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (6.8). The above estimates show the results in (1).

In particular, for any $c_r \in \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$, we use (2) in Lemma 6.3 to get (6.6) with bound in (6.11) instead of (6.9). This gives the result in (2). \square

7. THE DISPERSION RELATION

In Section 6, we have obtained the asymptotic expansion of boundary value in Proposition 6.1. Then for any $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}$ with $c_r \in \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$, according to Proposition 6.1, the boundary condition $\partial_Y P(0) = 0$ is equivalent to the following dispersion relation

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\partial_Y A(0) (1 + \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) d_0(\alpha, c)) \\ &+ \partial_Y B(0) (d_1(\alpha, c) \alpha^{-1} + id_2(\alpha, c) \alpha c_i + \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) \mathfrak{D}_1(\alpha, c)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{D}_1(\alpha, c) = \partial_Y A(0) \bar{M}^{-2}(0) (1 + C_{P,1} + d_3(\alpha, c)) + d_4(\alpha, c)$ and

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |d_j(\alpha, c)| &\sim 1 + \alpha^{-1}, & |\partial_{c_i} d_j(\alpha, c)| &\lesssim 1 + \alpha^{-1}, & j &= 1, 2, \\ |d_j(\alpha, c)| &\lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}, & |\partial_{c_i} d_j(\alpha, c)| &\lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}, & j &= 0, 3, 4. \end{aligned}$$

Here we take a suitable cut-off function $\bar{\chi}_1$ such that $|1 + C_{P,1}| \neq 0$, where $C_{P,1}$ is given in (6.10).

The main result of the pressure system (2.5) is presented as follows.

Theorem 7.1. *Assume that $U_B(Y)$ satisfies the structure assumption (1.4) and $\mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1) \neq \emptyset$ for some Mach number $M_a > 1$. Then for any $c_r \in \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$, there exists a sequence $\{(\alpha_k, c_{i,k})\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $c_{i,k} = c_i(\alpha_k)$ such that the system (2.5) contains a sequence of non-trivial solutions $P_k(Y)$ with $e^{\alpha_k w_0(Y)} P(Y) \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for the pair (α_k, c_k) with $c_k = c_r + ic_{i,k}$. Moreover, we have $(\alpha_k, c_k) \in \mathbb{H}$, and there exists $\alpha_0 \gg 1$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$*

$$(7.3) \quad \alpha_k \geq \alpha_0, \quad \frac{3}{2}\pi < C_1(c_r)(\alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k) < \frac{5}{2}\pi, \quad 0 < c_{i,k} \sim \alpha_k^{-3} e^{-\alpha_k w_0(\hat{Y}(c_r))},$$

where $C_1(c_r)$ and $\hat{Y} = \hat{Y}(c_r)$ are positive constants dependent on c_r , but independent of α_k . Here \hat{Y} is given in Lemma 6.2

We shall illustrate that $\mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$ is not an empty set.

Proposition 7.2. $\mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1) \neq \emptyset$ holds when $U_B(Y)$ is an analytic function. More precisely,

- (1) if $U_B(Y)$ is an analytic function, then there exists $M_0 > 1$ such that for any $M_a \geq M_0$, it holds that $\mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1) \neq \emptyset$.
- (2) Furthermore, if $U_B(Y)$ is the Blasius profile, then for any $M_a \geq 3$, it holds that $\mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1) \neq \emptyset$.

Moreover, there exist $C_1(M_a), \dots, C_N(M_a)$ with $1 - M_a^{-1} < C_1 < \dots < C_N < 1$, such that

$$(C_1, C_2) \cup (C_3, C_4) \cup \dots \cup (C_{N-1}, C_N) \subset \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1).$$

7.1. Proof of Theorem 7.1. Before giving the proof of Theorem 7.1, we solve the dispersion relation (7.1) under the estimates in (7.2) firstly.

The following lemma is the equivalence of dispersion relation (7.1).

Lemma 7.3. Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}$ and $c_r \in \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1) \neq \emptyset$. Then the dispersion relation (7.1) is equivalent to

$$(7.4) \quad c_r = \frac{D_3(\alpha, c)}{D_1(\alpha, c)\kappa^{\frac{3}{2}} \tan \Theta(-\kappa\eta(0)) + D_2(\alpha, c)},$$

$$(7.5) \quad c_i = \alpha^{-1} c_r \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) \operatorname{Re}((1 + C_{P,1})\partial_Y A(0))(D_4 + \mathcal{R}(\alpha, c)),$$

where $\kappa^3 = \alpha^2 \partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0) = \alpha^2 \partial_Y F_r(Y_0)$ and for $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} D_j(\alpha, c) &\sim 1 + \alpha^{-1} + \alpha c_i^2 + \operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) + c_i \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)), \\ |\partial_{c_i} D_j(\alpha, c)| &\lesssim \alpha c_i + (1 + \alpha^2 |\log c_i|) \operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) + \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) \lesssim e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}, \end{aligned}$$

and D_4 is a constant independent of α and c , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\alpha, c) &\sim \alpha^{-1} + \alpha^2 c_i^2 + \operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) + c_i \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)), \\ |\partial_{c_i} \mathcal{R}(\alpha, c)| &\lesssim \alpha^2 c_i + (1 + \alpha^2 |\log c_i|) \operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) + \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) \lesssim e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. According to Lemma 3.5, a direct calculation gives

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_Y A(0) &= \partial_Y E(0) Ai(\kappa\eta(0)) + E(0) Ai'(\kappa\eta(0)) \\ &= \left(e_1 E(0) \kappa^{\frac{5}{4}} \sin \Theta(-\kappa\eta(0)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \kappa^{-\frac{1}{4}} (e_2 \partial_Y E(0) + e_3 E(0)) \cos \Theta(-\kappa\eta(0)) \right) (1 + O(\kappa^{-3})), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_Y B(0) &= \partial_Y E(0) Ai(\kappa\eta(0)) + E(0) Ai'(\kappa\eta(0)) \\ &= \left(\tilde{e}_1 E(0) \kappa^{\frac{5}{4}} \cos \Theta(-\kappa\eta(0)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \kappa^{-\frac{1}{4}} (\tilde{e}_2 \partial_Y E(0) + \tilde{e}_3 E(0)) \sin \Theta(-\kappa\eta(0)) \right) (1 + O(\kappa^{-3})). \end{aligned}$$

Here $E(0) = -\frac{M_a c}{T_0(0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_Y \eta(0)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ and $e_j, \tilde{e}_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ are non-zero constants independent of α and c . By Lemma 4.7, Lemma 6.2 and $\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c) = \kappa^{-1} \alpha^{-2} \pi \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](\bar{Y}_1^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)| &\lesssim \alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}, \quad |\operatorname{Im} \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)| \sim \alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(\bar{Y})}, \\ |\partial_{c_i} \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)| &\leq C \alpha^2 |\log c_i| |\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)|, \quad |\mathfrak{D}_1(\alpha, c)| + c_i^{-1} |\operatorname{Im} \mathfrak{D}_1(\alpha, c)| \lesssim |\operatorname{Re}(\partial_Y A(0))| + \alpha^{-\frac{2}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the real part of (7.1), we use (7.7)-(7.8) and the above estimates to get

$$D_1(\alpha, c)c_r\kappa^{\frac{5}{4}}\sin\Theta(-\kappa\eta(0)) + \kappa^{-\frac{1}{4}}(D_2(\alpha, c)c_r + D_3(\alpha, c))\cos\Theta(-\kappa\eta(0)) = 0,$$

which gives

$$(7.9) \quad c_r = \frac{D_3(\alpha, c)}{D_1(\alpha, c)\kappa^{\frac{3}{2}}\tan\Theta(-\kappa\eta(0)) + D_2(\alpha, c)},$$

where for $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} D_j(\alpha, c) &\sim 1 + \alpha^{-1} + \alpha c_i^2 + \operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) + c_i \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)), \\ |\partial_{c_i} D_j(\alpha, c)| &\lesssim \alpha c_i + (1 + \alpha^2 \log c_i) \operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) + \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) \lesssim e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we use the above process to take the imaginary part of (7.1) to derive

$$(7.11) \quad c_i = \alpha^{-1} c_r \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) \operatorname{Re}((1 + C_{P,1})\partial_Y A(0))(D_4 + \mathcal{R}(\alpha, c)),$$

where D_4 is a constant independent of α and c , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\alpha, c) &\sim \alpha^{-1} + \alpha^2 c_i^2 + \operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) + c_i \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)), \\ |\partial_{c_i} \mathcal{R}(\alpha, c)| &\lesssim \alpha^2 c_i + (1 + \alpha^2 \log c_i) \operatorname{Re}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) + \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)) \lesssim e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above process, the dispersion relation (7.1) is equivalent to (7.9) and (7.11). \square

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 7.1.

Proof. We first prove the existence of sequence $\{(\alpha_k, c_{i,k})\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ to the dispersion (7.1). For any $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ and a fixed $c_r \in \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$, we define

$$c_i^{(j)} = \alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} c_r e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})} \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c_r + i c_i^{(0)}))) \operatorname{Re}((1 + C_{P,1})\partial_Y A(0))(D_4 + \mathcal{R}(\alpha, c_r + i c_i^{(j-1)})),$$

with $c_i^{(0)} = \alpha^{-3} e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})}$, by using Lemma 6.2 to ensure $|\operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c))| \sim \alpha^{-\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})} (1 + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\alpha, c))$ and putting $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ into \mathcal{R} for convenience. Since $|\partial_{c_i} \mathcal{R}(\alpha, c)| \leq C\alpha^2 |\log c_i| e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} + Cc_i \leq C\alpha^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |c_i^{(j+1)} - c_i^{(j)}| &\leq \alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}} c_r |\operatorname{Re}((1 + C_{P,1})\partial_Y A(0))| |\mathcal{R}(\alpha, c_r + i c_i^{(j)}) - \mathcal{R}(\alpha, c_r + i c_i^{(j-1)})| \\ &\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{17}{6}-1} |c_i^{(j)} - c_i^{(j-1)}| \leq \frac{1}{2} |c_i^{(j)} - c_i^{(j-1)}|, \end{aligned}$$

by taking $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ large. Then we define $c_i = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} c_i^{(j)}$, which solves (7.5). Thus, we view c_i a function of α and c_r . Since c_r is a given number, we write $c_i = c_i(\alpha)$.

With $c_i = c_i(\alpha)$ solved, we write $D_j(\alpha, c) = D_j(\alpha)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, which is a function of α , with bound $|D_j(\alpha)| \sim 1$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. We define

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha) = c_r - \frac{D_3(\alpha)}{D_1(\alpha)\kappa^{\frac{3}{2}}\tan\Theta(-\kappa\eta(0)) + D_2(\alpha)} = c_r - \frac{D_3(1 + O(\alpha^{-1}))}{D_1\kappa^{\frac{3}{2}}\tan\Theta(-\kappa\eta(0)) + D_2},$$

where D_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$ are constants independent of α with $|D_j(\alpha) - D_j| \lesssim \alpha^{-1}$. To solve (7.4) is equivalent to finding a zero point of $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$. We choose C_1, C_2 and take $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ large to hold

$$\frac{D_3(1 + O(\alpha^{-1}))}{D_1 C_1 + D_2} < c_r, \quad \frac{D_3(1 + O(\alpha^{-1}))}{D_1 C_2 + D_2} > c_r.$$

For the equation $\kappa^{\frac{3}{2}} \tan \Theta(-\kappa\eta(0)) = C_j$, $j = 1, 2$, there exists a sequence $\{\kappa_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $j = 1, 2$ with $\kappa_{j,k}^{\frac{3}{2}} = \kappa(\alpha_{j,k}) = \alpha_{j,k}(\partial_Y F_r(Y_0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \gtrsim \alpha_0$ such that

$$\kappa_{1,k}^{\frac{3}{2}} \tan \Theta(-\kappa_{1,k}\eta(0)) = C_1, \quad \kappa_{2,k}^{\frac{3}{2}} \tan \Theta(-\kappa_{2,k}\eta(0)) = C_2,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\alpha_{1,k} \tan \Theta\left(\alpha_{1,k}w(Y_0) - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) = C_1(\partial_Y F_r(Y_0))^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \alpha_{2,k} \tan \Theta\left(\alpha_{2,k}w(Y_0) - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) = C_2(\partial_Y F_r(Y_0))^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where we denote $w(Y_0) = \int_0^{Y_0} (-F_r(Z))^{\frac{1}{2}} dZ > 0$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$w(Y_0)|\alpha_{1,k} - \alpha_{2,k}| \leq \pi, \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < w(Y_0)(\alpha_{j,k+1} - \alpha_{j,k}) < \frac{3}{2}\pi, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

As a result, we deduce

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha_{1,k}) > 0, \quad \mathcal{F}(\alpha_{2,k}) < 0, \quad \kappa_{j,k} = \alpha_{j,k}^{\frac{2}{3}}(\partial_Y F_r(Y_0))^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

As the function $\mathcal{F}(\alpha)$ is continuous on α , we find $\alpha_k \in (\alpha_{1,k}, \alpha_{2,k})$ (or $\alpha_k \in (\alpha_{2,k}, \alpha_{1,k})$) such that $\mathcal{F}(\alpha_k) = 0$. Meanwhile, we obtain a sequence $\{c_{i,k}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ with $c_{i,k} = c_i(\alpha_k)$. In conclusion, given $c_r \in \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1)$, we find a sequence $\{(\alpha_k, c_{i,k})\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$, which solves (7.4) and (7.5). Then by Lemma 7.3, we obtain $\{(\alpha_k, c_{i,k})\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ solves (7.1).

Next, we show $(\alpha_k, c_k) \in \mathbb{H}$ and (7.3) with $c_k = c(\alpha_k) = c_r + c_{i,k}$. According to (7.9) and (7.10), we write

$$\kappa^{\frac{3}{2}} \tan \Theta(-\kappa\eta(0)) = \frac{D_3(\alpha, c)}{c_r D_1(\alpha, c)} - \frac{D_2(\alpha, c)}{D_1(\alpha, c)} = \frac{D_3}{c_r D_1} - \frac{D_2}{D_1} + O(\alpha^{-1}) \in (C_1, C_2),$$

by taking α large. Then we can get a sequence of $\{\kappa_k\}$ with $\kappa_k = \alpha_k^{\frac{2}{3}}(\partial_Y F_r(Y_0))^{\frac{1}{3}}$ such that $\kappa_k^{\frac{3}{2}} \tan \Theta(-\kappa_k\eta(0)) = \bar{C} \in (C_1, C_2)$ and $\frac{\pi}{2} < w(Y_0)(\alpha_{j,k+1} - \alpha_{j,k}) < \frac{3}{2}\pi$. Moreover, we have $|\sin \Theta(-\kappa_k\eta(0))| \sim \kappa_k^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and $|\cos \Theta(-\kappa_k\eta(0))| \sim 1$. By using (7.11) and denoting $\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha_k) = \mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha_k, c_r + c_i(\alpha_k))$, $\mathcal{R}(\alpha_k) = \mathcal{R}(\alpha_k, c_r + c_i(\alpha_k))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i,k} = c_i(\alpha_k) &= \alpha_k^{-1} c_r \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha_k) \operatorname{Re}((1 + C_{P,1}) \partial_Y A(0, \alpha_k)) (D_4 + \mathcal{R}(\alpha_k))) \\ &= D_4 \alpha_k^{-1} c_r \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha_k) \operatorname{Re}((1 + C_{P,1})) \operatorname{Re}(\partial_Y A(0, \alpha_k))) \\ &= D_4 \alpha_k^{-2} c_r \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha_k) \operatorname{Re}((1 + C_{P,1})) \operatorname{Re}(\partial_Y B(0, \alpha_k))) \\ &= D_4 \alpha_k^{-\frac{7}{6}} \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha_k) \operatorname{Re}((1 + C_{P,1})) \cos \Theta(-\kappa_k\eta(0))) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the different periods of functions $\cos \Theta(-\kappa_k\eta(0))$, and $\tan \Theta(-\kappa_k\eta(0))$, we derive

$$\operatorname{Sign}\left(\frac{c_i(\alpha_k)}{c_i(\alpha_{k+1})}\right) = \operatorname{Sign}\left(\frac{\cos \Theta(-\kappa_k\eta(0))}{\cos \Theta(-\kappa_{k+1}\eta(0))}\right) = -1.$$

Thus, we can choose a subsequence $\{\kappa_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ (it must be the odd terms or even terms of $\{\kappa_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$) from sequence $\{\kappa_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\operatorname{sign}(\cos \Theta(-\kappa_{n_k}\eta(0))) = \operatorname{sign}(D_4) \operatorname{Re}((1 + C_{P,1})) \operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha_{n_k})).$$

In the following, we still use notation $\{\kappa_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ for convenience with distance

$$\frac{3}{2}\pi < w(Y_0)(\alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_k) < \frac{5}{2}\pi.$$

By Lemma 6.2, we have

$$0 < c_{i,k} \sim \alpha_k^{-\frac{7}{6}} |\operatorname{Im}(\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha_k))| \sim \alpha_k^{-3} e^{-\alpha_k w_0(\dot{Y}(c_r))}.$$

Thus, we get $(\alpha_k, c_k) \in \mathbb{H}$ with $0 < c_{i,k} \sim \alpha_k^{-3} e^{-\alpha_k w_0(\dot{Y}(c_r))}$. Finally, we construct the solution P_k to (2.5). \square

7.2. Proof of Proposition 7.2.

Proof. We first compute the derivatives of η at the turning point Y_0 . By the Langer transform (3.5), a direct calculation gives

$$\partial_Y \eta(Y_0) = 1, \quad \partial_Y^2 \eta(Y_0) = \frac{1}{5} \frac{\partial_Y^2 \tilde{F}(Y_0)}{\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0)}, \quad \partial_Y^3 \eta(Y_0) = \frac{1}{7} \frac{\partial_Y^3 \tilde{F}(Y_0)}{\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0)} - \frac{12}{175} \left(\frac{\partial_Y^2 \tilde{F}(Y_0)}{\partial_Y \tilde{F}(Y_0)} \right)^2.$$

We recall

$$\tilde{Q}_1(Y; c_r, M_a) = \frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}_r}{\bar{M}_r} - \frac{2(\partial_Y \bar{M}_r)^2}{\bar{M}_r^2} - \frac{3(\partial_Y^2 \eta)^2}{4(\partial_Y \eta)^2} - \frac{\partial_Y^3 \eta}{2\partial_Y \eta}, \quad Y \in [0, Y_0].$$

Notice that $\tilde{F}(Y) = F_r(Y)$ for $Y \in [0, Y_0]$ and $F_r(Y) = 1 - \bar{M}_r^2(Y)$. Taking $(Z, Y_0) = (0, 0)$ with $c_r = T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)M_a^{-1}$, we have $\bar{M}_r(0) = -1$, $\partial_Y \eta(0) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_1(0; T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)M_a^{-1}, M_a) &= \left(\frac{11}{14} - \frac{3}{50} - \frac{12}{175} \right) \frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}_r(0)}{\bar{M}_r(0)} - \left(\frac{203}{100} + \frac{6}{175} \right) \left(\frac{\partial_Y \bar{M}_r(0)}{\bar{M}_r(0)} \right)^2 \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{3}{100} + \frac{6}{175} \right) \left(\frac{\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}_r(0)}{\partial_Y \bar{M}_r(0)} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{14} \frac{\partial_Y^3 \bar{M}_r(0)}{\partial_Y \bar{M}_r(0)} := G(M_a). \end{aligned}$$

From the above equality, we know that $\tilde{Q}_1(0; T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)M_a^{-1}, M_a) = G(M_a)$ is a nonzero function of M_a and is analytically related to M_a . Thus, the roots of $G(M_a)$ are isolated.

• U_B is an analytic function. Since the roots of $G(M_a)$ are isolated, there exists $M_0 > 0$ such that for any $M_a \geq M_0$, it holds that $\tilde{Q}_1(0; T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)M_a^{-1}, M_a) \neq 0$, where M_0 is the maximum of roots of $G(M_a)$ in the finite interval. Since $\tilde{Q}_1(Z; c_r, M_a)$ is continuous in variable (Z, c_r) , there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\tilde{Q}_1(Z, c_r, M_a) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq Z + |c_r - T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)M_a^{-1}| \leq 4\varepsilon_0$. Especially, we have $\tilde{Q}_1(Z; T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)M_a^{-1} + \varepsilon_0, M_a) \neq 0$ for $Z \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$. Thus, we get $J(T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)M_a^{-1} + \varepsilon_0) \neq 0$, which means that $J(c_r)$ is a nonzero function on $[T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)M_a^{-1}, 1 + M_a^{-1}]$. As U_B is analytic, we deduce that $J(c_r)$ is also analytic, which implies that the roots of $J(c_r)$ are isolated and the number of roots is finite. As a result, there exist $C_1(M_a), \dots, C_N(M_a)$ with $1 - M_a^{-1} < C_1 < \dots < C_N < 1$, such that

$$(C_1, C_2) \cup (C_3, C_4) \cup \dots \cup (C_{N-1}, C_N) \subset \mathcal{H}(U_B, M_a) \cap (1 - M_a^{-1}, 1).$$

Thus, the statement in (1) is true.

• U_B is the Blasius profile. We claim that for any $M_a \geq 3$, it holds that $\tilde{Q}_1(0; T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)M_a^{-1}, M_a) \neq 0$. For the Blasius profile, we have $U_B(0) = \partial_Y^2 U_B(0) = \partial_Y^3 U_B(0) = 0$. A direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_Y T_0(0) &= \partial_Y^3 T_0(0) = 0, \quad \partial_Y^2 T_0 = -(\gamma - 1)M_a^2(\partial_Y U_B(0))^2, \\ \bar{M}(0) &= -1, \quad \partial_Y \bar{M}(0) = M_a T_0(0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_Y U_B(0), \quad \partial_Y^2 \bar{M}(0) = \frac{1}{2} T_0(0)^{-1} \partial_Y^2 T_0(0), \\ \partial_Y^3 \bar{M}(0) &= -\frac{3}{2} M_a T_0(0)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial_Y^2 T_0(0) \partial_Y U_B(0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\tilde{Q}_1(0, T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)M_a^{-1}, M_a) = C_0(M_a)T_0(0)^{-1}M_a^2(\partial_Y U_B(0))^2,$$

where for any $M_a \geq 3$

$$C_0(M_a) = \frac{2}{7}(\gamma - 1) - (\gamma - 1)\left(\frac{3}{100} + \frac{6}{175}\right) - \left(\frac{3}{100} + \frac{6}{175}\right)\frac{1}{4T_0(0)} - \left(\frac{203}{100} + \frac{6}{175}\right) < 0,$$

which gives $\tilde{Q}_1(0; T_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(0)M_a^{-1}, M_a) < 0$. Thus, the statement in (2) is true. \square

7.3. Proof of the Theorem 1.1, 1.4-1.5. With results in Theorem 7.1 and Proposition 7.2 at hand, we are in a position to prove main theorems.

Proof. In Theorem 7.1, we have constructed the multiple unstable modes $\{(\alpha_k, c_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ to the pressure system (2.5). By the relation (2.4), we can rebuild $(\varrho_k, U_k, V_k, T_k)(Y)$ through $P_k(Y)$ and

$$(\varrho_k, U_k, V_k, T_k, P_k)(Y) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) \times W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)^2 \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) \times W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

which satisfies the system (1.5)-(1.6). Moreover, we have

$$(\rho_k, u_k, v_k, \mathcal{T}_k, p_k)(t, X, Y) = (\varrho_k, U_k, V_k, T_k, P_k)(Y)e^{i\alpha_k X}e^{-i\alpha_k c_k t},$$

which are solutions to the linearized Euler system (1.2) with (α_k, c_k) satisfying (7.3). The results in Theorem 1.4-1.5 are direct conclusions of Proposition 7.2. \square

APPENDIX A. SOME ESTIMATES RELATED TO CRITICAL LAYER

For this section, we are devoted to giving some important estimates related to $\mathfrak{D}_0(\alpha, c)$, which are used to give the main part of the imaginary part through the dispersion relation.

Lemma A.1. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ and $f = \partial_Y \left(\frac{-H_1[A]}{1-M^2} \right)$ in the formula of $G_f(Y)$ in (4.31). Then we have*

$$0 < \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y) dY \right) \sim \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha \omega_0(Y_c)}.$$

Proof. As in Lemma 5.8, we write

$$f = \partial_Y \left(\frac{-H_1[A]}{1-M^2} \right) = f_1 + R_1, \quad G_f(Y) = G_{f_1}(Y) + G_{R_1}(Y),$$

where $f_1 = -2\alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi_1 A$ and $\|R_1\|_{L_{\omega_0}^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}$ with $\operatorname{supp}(R_1) \subset [Y_1 - 2\delta_0, Y_1 - \delta_0] \cup [Y_2 - \delta_0, Y_2 + 2\delta_0]$. By Lemma 4.5 (taking $\bar{Y}_1 = Y_1 + \delta_0$, $\bar{Y}_2 = Y_2 - \delta_0$, $\delta = 3\delta_0$), we get

$$(A.1) \quad |G_{R_1}(Y)| \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha \omega_0(Y)}.$$

Taking $f = -2\alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi_1 A(Y)$ in (4.31), we have

$$G_{f_1}(Y) = -2\alpha^2 \frac{F(Y)}{\phi^2(Y)} \int_{Y_c}^Y \partial_{Y'} \chi_1 \phi(Y') Ai(\kappa \eta(Y')) (\partial_{Y'} \eta(Y'))^{-\frac{1}{2}} dY'.$$

For $Y \in \operatorname{supp}(\partial_Y \chi_1)$, it holds that $\eta(\partial_Y \eta)^2 \sim 1$, which gives

$$(A.2) \quad (\partial_Y \eta(Y))^{-\frac{1}{2}} Ai(\kappa \eta(Y)) \sim \frac{1}{(\kappa \eta(Y))^{\frac{1}{4}} (\partial_Y \eta(Y))^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\kappa \eta(Y))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sim \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\alpha \omega_0(Y)}.$$

Define a real function

$$\tilde{G}_{f_1}(Y) = \frac{-2\alpha^2 F_r(Y) \phi_r^2(Y)}{|\phi(Y)|^4} \int_{Y_c}^Y \partial_{Y'} \chi_1 \phi_r(Y') Ai(\kappa \eta(Y')) (\partial_{Y'} \eta(Y'))^{-\frac{1}{2}} dY'.$$

By Proposition 4.2 and a similar argument in Lemma 4.5, it is easy to get

$$(A.3) \quad \left| \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} (G_{f_1} - \tilde{G}_{f_1}(Y)) dY \right| \leq C \alpha^{\frac{17}{6}} c_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)}.$$

Next, we focus on $\tilde{G}_{f_1}(Y)$. For $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_c]$, we notice $\tilde{G}_{f_1}(Y) > 0$, and it follows from (4.37) and (4.39) that

$$0 < \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{Y_c} \tilde{G}_{f_1}(Y) dY \leq C \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)}.$$

On the other hand, for $Y \in [Y_1 + \frac{1}{4}\delta_0, Y_1 + \frac{3}{4}\delta_0]$, it holds that $|\partial_Y \chi_1| \geq c_0$. Then we deduce

$$\tilde{G}_{f_1}(Y) \geq C^{-1} \alpha^{\frac{17}{6}} e^{-2\alpha|w_c(Y)|} \int_Y^{Y_1 + \frac{3}{4}\delta_0} e^{\alpha|w_c(Y')|} w^{-\alpha w_0(Y')} dY' \geq C^{-1} \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \left(1 - e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{Y_1 + \frac{3}{4}\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dZ}\right),$$

which implies

$$\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{Y_c} \tilde{G}_{f_1}(Y) dY \geq C^{-1} \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)}.$$

Thus, we have

$$(A.4) \quad 0 < \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{Y_c} \tilde{G}_{f_1}(Y) dY \sim \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)}.$$

By (4.40) and (4.41), we have for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_2, \bar{Y}_2^*]$: $\tilde{G}_{f_1}(Y) < 0$ and

$$|\tilde{G}_{f_1}(Y)| \leq C \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} \alpha e^{-2\alpha w_c(Y)} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \ll \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)},$$

which gives

$$(A.5) \quad \int_{Y_c}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} |\tilde{G}_{f_1}(Y)| dY \ll \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)}.$$

It follows from (A.4) and (A.5) that

$$0 < \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \tilde{G}_{f_1}(Y) dY \sim \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)},$$

which along with (A.1) and (A.3) gives

$$0 < \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y) dY \right) \sim \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)}.$$

□

We introduce a quantity which is related to $\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y)$

$$(A.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} = & - \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{Y_c} \chi_2 \bar{M}(Y) \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' dY \\ & + \int_{Y_c}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \chi_2 \bar{M}(Y) \phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY' dY = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

To give the estimates for \mathcal{M} , both for the real part and the imaginary part, we set $F_r(Y) \geq \frac{9}{10}$ for any $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^{**}, Y_c + 3\delta_0]$ and assume that $Y_c - Y_1 = Y_2 - Y_c = \delta_1 > 2\delta_0$.

Lemma A.2. *Let $(\alpha, c) \in \mathbb{H}_0$ and ϕ be the solution to the system (4.7) constructed in Proposition 4.2. Then there exist $Y_1^* \in [\bar{Y}_1^* + 3\delta_0, Y_1 - 3\delta_0]$, $Y_2^* \in [Y_2 + 3\delta_0, \bar{Y}_2^* - 3\delta_0]$ and $\tilde{Y} \in (Y_c, Y_2^* - \delta_0)$ satisfying*

$$(A.7) \quad e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \ll e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})},$$

such that

$$-C\alpha^{-1} \leq \operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{M}) \leq -C^{-1}\alpha^{-1} e^{\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})} + \mathcal{O}(c_i^2 |\log c_i|),$$

or

$$C\alpha^{-1} \geq \operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{M}) \geq C^{-1}\alpha^{-1} e^{\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})} + \mathcal{O}(c_i^2 |\log c_i|),$$

where \mathcal{M} is given in (A.8). Moreover, we have

$$|\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{M})| \leq Cc_i |\log c_i|.$$

Proof. We recall that χ_2 is a smooth cut-off function such that $\chi_2 \equiv 1$ for any $Y \in [Y_1^*, Y_2^*]$ and $\chi_2 \equiv 0$ for any $Y \in [0, Y_1^* - \delta_0] \cup [Y_2^* + \delta_0, +\infty)$. Here Y_1^* and Y_2^* will be determined later. By Lemma 4.6, it is easy to obtain $|\mathcal{M}| \leq C\alpha^{-1}$. We introduce

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_1 &= - \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{Y_c} \chi_2 \bar{M}_r(Z) \phi_r(Z) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Z \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dZ < 0, \\ \tilde{I}_2 &= \int_{Y_c}^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \chi_2 \bar{M}_r(Z) \phi_r(Z) \int_Z^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dZ > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We first choose \tilde{Y}_1 such that

$$(A.8) \quad 2 \int_{\tilde{Y}_1}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY \geq \int_{Y_1}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY + \max \left\{ \int_{\tilde{Y}_1}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY, \int_{Y_c}^{2Y_c - \tilde{Y}_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY \right\} + 4\delta_0,$$

which is guaranteed by the following: for any $Y_1 - \tilde{Y}_1 \geq 11\delta_0 + 3\delta_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{\tilde{Y}_1}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY &\geq \frac{9}{5}(Y_1 - \tilde{Y}_1 - 2\delta_0) \geq (2Y_c - \tilde{Y}_1 - Y_1) + 4\delta_0 \\ &\geq \int_{Y_1}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY + \max \left\{ \int_{\tilde{Y}_1}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY, \int_{Y_c}^{2Y_c - \tilde{Y}_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY \right\} + 4\delta_0. \end{aligned}$$

From (A.8), we can obtain that for any $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \tilde{Y}_1 + 2\delta_0]$,

$$(A.9) \quad e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} e^{-2\alpha \int_Y^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \leq e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \min \left\{ e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ}, e^{-\alpha \int_Y^{2Y_c - Y} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z) dZ} \right\} e^{-4\delta_0 \alpha}.$$

We introduce the following quantity $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(Y; \tilde{Y}_1) = \underbrace{- \int_{\tilde{Y}_1}^{Y_c} \bar{M}_r(Y) \phi_r(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dY}_{<0} + \underbrace{\int_{Y_c}^Y \bar{M}_r(Z) \phi_r(Z) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dZ}_{>0}.$$

We first notice that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(Y_c) < 0$. Here we choose $Y_2^* = 2Y_c - \tilde{Y}_1 + 3\delta_0$. Then we split our proof into the following two cases.

Case 1. $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(Y_2^*) \leq 0$. In this case, we take $Y_1^* = \tilde{Y}_1 - \delta_0$. Then we have

$$(A.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2 &\leq - \int_{Y_1^*}^{\tilde{Y}_1} \bar{M}_r(Y) \phi_r(Y) \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dY \\ &\quad - \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_1^*} \chi_2 \bar{M}_r(Y) \phi_r(Y) \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dY \\ &\quad + \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} \chi_2(Y) \bar{M}_r(Y) \phi_r(Y) \int_Y^{\tilde{Y}_2^*} \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dY. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 4.2, we have

$$(A.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &< \int_{Y_1^*}^{\tilde{Y}_1} \bar{M}_r(Y) \phi_r(Y) \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dY \\ &\sim \alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{\tilde{Y}_1} e^{\alpha|w_c(Y)|} \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^Y e^{-2\alpha|w_c(Y')|} dY' \sim \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY}. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument as above, we can obtain

$$(A.12) \quad \left| \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_1^*} \chi_2(Y) \bar{M}_r(Y) \phi_r(Y) \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dY \right| \lesssim \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY} \ll \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY},$$

and

$$(A.13) \quad \left| \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} \chi_2(Y) \bar{M}_r(Y) \phi_r(Y) \int_Y^{\tilde{Y}_2^*} \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dY \right| \lesssim \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY} \ll \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY}.$$

Then by (A.10)-(A.13), we arrive at

$$(A.14) \quad \tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2 \leq -C\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY} < 0,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} |\tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2| &\geq \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-\alpha \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY} \geq \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY} e^{4\alpha\delta_0} \\ &\geq \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY} e^{4\alpha\delta_0} \gg e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} |\tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2| \geq \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-\alpha \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY} \geq \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} e^{4\delta_0\alpha} \gg e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that there exists $\tilde{Y} \in (Y_c, Y_2^* - \delta_0)$ such that

$$(A.15) \quad 0 > e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} (\tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2) \geq -\alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})},$$

with

$$e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \ll e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})}.$$

Case 2. $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(Y_2^*) > 0$. In this case, we take $Y_1^* = \tilde{Y}_1 + 2\delta_0$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2 &= \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(Y_2^*) + \int_{\tilde{Y}_1}^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} \bar{M}_r(Y) \phi_r(Y) \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dY \\
&\quad + \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_1^*} (1 - \chi_2) \bar{M}_r(Y) \phi_r(Y) \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dY \\
&\quad + \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} \chi_2(Y) \bar{M}_r(Y) \phi_r(Y) \int_Y^{\tilde{Y}_2^*} \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dY \\
&\geq \int_{\tilde{Y}_1}^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} \bar{M}_r(Y) \phi_r(Y) \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dY.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

On the other hand, by a similar argument as (A.11), we can deduce that

$$0 < \int_{\tilde{Y}_1}^{Y_1^* - \delta_0} \bar{M}_r(Y) \phi_r(Y) \int_{\tilde{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F_r(Y') \phi_r^2(Y')}{|\phi^4(Y')|} dY' dY \sim \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha \int_{\tilde{Y}_1 + \delta_0}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY},$$

which along with (A.16) implies

$$\tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2 \geq C \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha \int_{\tilde{Y}_1 + \delta_0}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY} > 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} |\tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2| &\geq \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-\alpha \int_{\tilde{Y}_1 + \delta_0}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY} \geq \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_c} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY} e^{-\alpha \delta_0} \\
&\geq \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY} e^{\alpha \delta_0} \gg e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y) dY},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} |\tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2| \geq \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} e^{-\alpha \int_{Y_c}^{2Y_c - \tilde{Y}_1} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}} dY} \geq \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} e^{2\delta_0 \alpha} \gg e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)}.$$

Thus, we infer that there exists $\tilde{Y} \in (Y_c, Y_2^* - \delta_0)$ such that

$$0 < e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} (\tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2) \leq \alpha^{-1} e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})}, \tag{A.17}$$

with

$$e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1 - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}} + e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \ll e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})}.$$

Now we turn to show the difference $I_1 - \tilde{I}_1$ and $I_2 - \tilde{I}_2$. We have

$$|I_1 - \tilde{I}_1| + c_i^{-1} |\operatorname{Re} I_1 - \tilde{I}_1| \leq C c_i \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_c} |\phi|^{-1}(Y) dY \leq C c_i |\log c_i|,$$

Similarly, we have

$$c_i |I_2 - \tilde{I}_2| + |\operatorname{Re} I_2 - \tilde{I}_2| \leq C c_i^2 |\log c_i|.$$

The proof is completed. \square

With results in Lemma A.1-A.2 at hand, we are in a position to prove Lemma 6.2

Proof. According to the definition of χ_2 , we first have

$$\text{supp} \left(\partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \chi_2 \bar{M}^2}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right) \right) \subseteq \text{supp}(\partial_Y \chi_2) = [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*] \cup [Y_2^*, Y_2^* + \delta_0].$$

Therefore, for any $Y \geq Y_2^* + \delta_0$, we have $\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi] \equiv 0$; for any $Y \in [Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_2^* + \delta_0]$, we have

$$|\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y)| \leq C \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} |\varphi_0(Z)| dZ \leq C \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)},$$

and for any $Y \leq Y_1^* - \delta_0$, we have $\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y) \equiv \mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y_1^* - \delta_0)$. Along with Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi](Y)| &\leq C \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_1^*} |\varphi_0(Z)| dZ + C \int_{Y_2^*}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} |\varphi_0(Z)| dZ \\ &\leq C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} \|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty([Y_1^* - \delta_0, Y_1^*])} + C e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \|\varphi_0\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \\ &\leq C \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \left(e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)} e^{-2\alpha \int_{Y_1^*}^{Y_1^* - 2\delta_0} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y') dY'} + \alpha e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_2^*)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

by using Proposition 5.3.

Next we shall show the lower bound of $\text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi_0](Y_1^* - \delta_0))$. For this purpose, we first notice that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{M}}[\varphi_0] = \alpha^2 \bar{M} \varphi_0 + \partial_Y \left(\frac{-H_1[A]}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right) = \alpha^2 \bar{M} \varphi_0 - 2\alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi_1 A + R_1,$$

where $\|R_1\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} + c_i^{-1} \|\text{Im} R_1\|_{L_{w_0}^\infty} \leq C \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}}$. We get by integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{+\infty} \partial_Y \left(\frac{\partial_Y \chi_2 \bar{M}^2}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \right) \bar{M}^{-1} \varphi_0(Y) dY &= \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} \chi_2 \left(\frac{\bar{M}^2}{1 - \bar{M}^2} \left(\frac{\varphi_0(Y)}{\bar{M}} \right)' \right)' dY \\ &= \int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} \chi_2 (\alpha^2 \bar{M} \varphi_0(Y) - 2\alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi_1 A(Y) + R_1(Y)) dY. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to find that

$$(A.18) \quad \left| \text{Im} \left(\int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} \alpha^2 \partial_Y \chi_1 A(Y) dY \right) \right| + \alpha \left| \text{Im} \left(\int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} R_1(Y) dY \right) \right| \leq C \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} c_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}.$$

Hence, we only need to pay attention to

$$\text{Im} \left(\int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} \chi_2 \alpha^2 \bar{M} \varphi_0(Y) dY \right).$$

We denote $\varphi_0 = \varphi_{01} + \varphi_{02}$, where

$$\varphi_{01}(Y) = \begin{cases} \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y G_f(Y') dY', & Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_c], \\ -\phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} G_f(Y') dY', & Y \in [Y_c, \bar{Y}_2^*], \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_{02}(Y) = \begin{cases} \mu_f(c) \phi(Y) \int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^Y \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY', & Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, Y_c], \\ -\mu_f(c) \phi(Y) \int_Y^{\bar{Y}_2^*} \frac{F(Y')}{\phi^2(Y')} dY', & Y \in [Y_c, \bar{Y}_2^*], \end{cases}$$

and $f = \partial_Y \left(\frac{-H_1[A]}{1-M^2} \right)$ with $\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\alpha^{\frac{11}{6}}$. Here $\mu_f(c)$ is given in (4.33). By Lemma 4.5 and (A.2), we have for $Y \in [\bar{Y}_1^*, \bar{Y}_2^*]$,

$$|\varphi_{01}| \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)}, \quad |\text{Im}\varphi_{01}| \leq C\alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} c_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y)},$$

which gives

$$(A.19) \quad \left| \text{Im} \left(\int_{\bar{Y}_1^*}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} \chi_2 \alpha^2 \bar{M} \varphi_{01}(Y) dY \right) \right| \leq C\alpha^{\frac{17}{6}} c_i e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_1^* - \delta_0)}.$$

We focus on φ_{02} . We write

$$\int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} \chi_2 \alpha^2 \bar{M} \varphi_{02}(Y) dY = -\alpha^2 \mu_f(c) \mathcal{M},$$

where \mathcal{M} is defined in (A.6). By Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.7 and A.1, we obtain

$$|\text{Re}(\mu_f(c))| \leq C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)}, \quad -\text{Im}(\mu_f(c)) \sim \alpha^{-\frac{1}{6}} \partial_Y^2 \bar{M}(Y_c) e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)},$$

from which and Lemma A.2, we infer

$$\text{Im} \left(\int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} \chi_2 \alpha^2 \bar{M} \varphi_{02}(Y) dY \right) \sim \alpha^{\frac{11}{6}} \partial_Y^2 \bar{M}(Y_c) e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \text{Re}(\mathcal{M}).$$

This along with Lemma A.2 shows

$$C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} + Cc_i^2 |\log c_i| \geq \left| \text{Im} \left(\int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} \chi_2 \alpha^2 \bar{M} \varphi_{02}(Y) dY \right) \right| \geq C^{-1} \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})} - C^{-1} c_i^2 |\log c_i|,$$

and

$$\text{Sign} \left(\text{Im} \left(\int_{Y_1^* - \delta_0}^{Y_2^* + \delta_0} \chi_2 \alpha^2 \bar{M} \varphi_{02}(Y) dY \right) \right) = \text{Sign}(\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}(Y_c)) \text{Sign} \mathcal{M}.$$

Therefore, we combine (A.18)-(A.19) and use the assumption $c_i \ll \alpha^n e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})}$ for some $n > 0$ to conclude that

$$C\alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(Y_c)} \geq |\text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi](Y_1^* - \delta_0))| \geq C^{-1} \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})}$$

with

$$\text{Sign}(\text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi])) = \text{Sign}(\partial_Y^2 \bar{M}(Y_c)) \text{Sign} \mathcal{M}.$$

Then we choose $\tilde{Y} \in [Y_c, \tilde{Y}]$ such that

$$|\text{Im}(\mathcal{K}_B[\varphi](Y_1^* - \delta_0))| \sim \alpha^{\frac{5}{6}} e^{-\alpha w_0(\tilde{Y})}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

N. Masmoudi is supported by NSF Grant DMS-1716466 and by Tamkeen under the NYU Abu Dhabi Research Institute grant of the center SITE. Y. Wang is partially supported by NSF of China under Grant 12101431. D. Wu is partially supported by NSF of China under Grant 12101245. Z. Zhang is partially supported by NSF of China under Grant 12288101.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bian and E. Grenier, *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of linearized Navier Stokes equations in the long waves regime*, arXiv:2312.16938.
- [2] D. Bian and E. Grenier, *Instability of shear layers and Prandtl's boundary layers*, arXiv:2401.15679.
- [3] Q. Chen, D. Wu and Z. Zhang, *On the L^∞ stability of Prandtl expansions in Gevrey class*, Sci. China Math., 65(2022), 2521-2562.
- [4] Q. Chen, D. Wu and Z. Zhang, *Tollmien-Schlichting waves near neutral stable curve*, preprint.
- [5] P. G. Drain and W. H. Reid, *Hydrodynamic Stability*, Cambridge Monogr. Mech. Appl. Math., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1981.
- [6] D. Gérard-Varet and Y. Maekawa, *Sobolev stability of Prandtl expansions for the steady Navier-Stokes equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 223(2019), 1319-1382.
- [7] D. Gérard-Varet, Y. Maekawa and N. Masmoudi, *Gevrey stability of Prandtl expansions for 2-dimensional Navier-Stokes flows*, Duke Math. J., 167(2018), 2531-2631.
- [8] D. Gérard-Varet, Y. Maekawa and N. Masmoudi, *Optimal Prandtl expansion around concave boundary layer*, arXiv:2005.05022, to appear in Analysis and PDE.
- [9] E. Grenier, Y. Guo and T. Nguyen, *Spectral instability of characteristic boundary layer flows*, Duke Math. J., 165(2016), 3085-3146.
- [10] E. Grenier and T. Nguyen, *L^∞ instability of Prandtl layers*, Ann. PDE, 5(2019), Paper No. 18, 36 pp.
- [11] L. Lees and C. C. Lin, *Investigation of the stability of the laminar boundary layer in a compressible fluid*, NACA TN 1115, 1946.
- [12] C. C. Lin, *The theory of hydrodynamic stability*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1955.
- [13] Z. Lin, *Instability of some ideal plane flows*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 35 (2003), 318-356.
- [14] L. M. Mack, *Boundary-layer linear stability theory*, In Special Course on Stability and Transition of Laminar Flow. AGARD Rep, 1984, 709.
- [15] N. Masmoudi, Y. Wang, D. Wu and Z. Zhang, *Tollmien-Schlichting waves in the subsonic regime*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 128 (2024), Paper No. e12588, 112 pp.
- [16] W. J. Olver, W. Lozier, F. Boisvert and W. Clark, *NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington, DC; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [17] L. Rayleigh, *On the stability or instability of certain fluid motions*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 9(1880), 57-70.
- [18] H. Schlichting, *Boundary layer theory*, 4th ed., McGraw-Hill Series in Mechanical Engineering, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1960.
- [19] F. T. Smith, *On the first-mode instability in subsonic, supersonic or hypersonic boundary layers*, J. Fluid Mech., 198 (1989), 127-153.
- [20] T. Yang and Z. Zhang, *Linear instability analysis on compressible Navier-Stokes equations with strong boundary layer*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 247 (2023), Paper No. 83, 53 pp.

NYUAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY ABU DHABI, SAADIYAT ISLAND, ABU DHABI, P.O. BOX 129188, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES, COURANT INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, 251 MERCER STREET NEW YORK, NY 10012 USA

Email address: masmoudi@cims.nyu.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610064, P. R. CHINA, NYUAD RESEARCH INSTITUTE, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY ABU DHABI, SAADIYAT ISLAND, ABU DHABI, P.O. BOX 129188, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

Email address: wangyuxi@scu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU, 510640, P. R. CHINA

Email address: wudi@scut.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, 100871, BEIJING, P. R. CHINA

Email address: zfzhang@math.pku.edu.cn