

A SEPARATION THEOREM FOR HILBERT W^* -MODULES

RASOUL ESKANDARI¹ and MOHAMMAD SAL MOSLEHIAN²

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{L} be a closed submodule of a Hilbert W^* -module \mathcal{E} over a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . We pose a separation problem: Does there exist a normal state ω such that $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ is not dense in \mathcal{E}_ω ? In this note, among other results, we give an affirmative answer to this problem, when \mathcal{E} is a self-dual Hilbert W^* -module such that $\mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{L}$ has a nonempty interior with respect to the weak*-topology.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and let \mathcal{E} be a Hilbert C^* -module over \mathcal{A} . By $S(\mathcal{A})$ and $PS(\mathcal{A})$ we denote the sets of all states and all pure states on \mathcal{A} , respectively.

Let ω be a positive linear functional on \mathcal{A} . Then

$$\mathcal{N}_\omega = \{x \in \mathcal{E} : \omega\langle x, x \rangle = 0\}$$

is a closed subspace of \mathcal{E} . One can put an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\omega$ on the quotient space $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{N}_\omega$ by

$$\langle x + \mathcal{N}_\omega, y + \mathcal{N}_\omega \rangle_\omega := \omega\langle x, y \rangle \quad (x, y \in \mathcal{E}).$$

Let \mathcal{E}_ω stand for the Hilbert space completion of $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{N}_\omega$. Also, let $\iota_\omega : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\omega$, defined by $\iota_\omega(x) = x + \mathcal{N}_\omega$ ($x \in \mathcal{E}$), be the natural quotient map. If \mathcal{L} is a closed submodule of \mathcal{E} , then we consider the following closed subspace:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}, \omega} := \{x \in \mathcal{L} : \omega\langle x, x \rangle = 0\}.$$

In addition, let $i_{\mathcal{L}, \omega} : \iota_\omega(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}, \omega}$ be such that $i_{\mathcal{L}, \omega}(x + \mathcal{N}_\omega) = x + \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}, \omega}$. Then $i_{\mathcal{L}, \omega}$ is a well-defined isometry. The extension of $i_{\mathcal{L}, \omega}$ on $\overline{\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})}$ is a unitary operator. So $\overline{\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})}$ and \mathcal{L}_ω are unitarily equivalent. Let S be a subset of a topological vector space. Then the σ -convex hull $\sigma\text{-co}(S)$ of S is defined by

$$\sigma\text{-co}(S) := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j s_j : s_j \in S, \lambda_j > 0, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = 1 \right\}.$$

Note that if ω_j s are in $S(\mathcal{A})$, then $\sigma\text{-co}(\{\omega_j\}) \subseteq S(\mathcal{A})$.

In the setting of C^* -algebras, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. [3, Theorem 3.1] *Let \mathcal{L} be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert C^* -module \mathcal{E} over \mathcal{A} . For each vector $x_0 \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{L}$ there exists a state ω on \mathcal{A} and $y \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\iota_\omega(y)$ is not in the closure of $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})$. In particular, there exists a state ω such that $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ is not dense in \mathcal{E}_ω ; and thus, $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})^\perp \neq \{0\}$ when \mathcal{L} is a submodule.*

In their study of regular operators in Hilbert C^* -modules, Kaad and Lesch gave a positive answer to the following conjecture:

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L08; Secondary 46L05, 47C15.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert C^* -module; Hilbert W^* -module; pure state; self-duality.

Conjecture 1.2. [3, Conjecture 5.2] In the situation of Theorem 1.1, if \mathcal{L} is an \mathcal{A} -submodule, then there exist a pure state ω and $x_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\iota_\omega(x_0)$ is not in the closure of $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})$. In particular, there exists a pure state ω such that $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ is not dense in \mathcal{E}_ω and hence $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})^\perp \neq 0$.

Let $\pi_\omega : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_\omega})$ be the representation of \mathcal{A} on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{π_ω} associated with the state ω . Then $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi_\omega}$ and \mathcal{E}_ω are isomorphism, see [3]. In [4] it is shown, by [9, Proposition 1.16], that Conjecture 1.2 has an affirmative answer.

Let \mathcal{E} be a Hilbert C^* -module over \mathcal{A} . We say that \mathcal{E} is self-dual [2, 6] if $\mathcal{E}' = \hat{\mathcal{E}}$, where

$$\mathcal{E}' = \{\tau : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}; \tau \text{ is bounded and } \mathcal{A}\text{-linear}\}$$

and $\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \{\hat{x} : x \in \mathcal{E}, \hat{x}(y) = \langle x, y \rangle \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{E}\}$.

A Hilbert C^* -module over a W^* -algebra is called a Hilbert W^* -module. The following result is well-known.

Proposition 1.3. [8, Proposition 3.8] *Let \mathcal{A} be a W^* -algebra. Let \mathcal{E} be a self-dual Hilbert C^* -module over \mathcal{A} . Then \mathcal{E} is a conjugate space.*

We say that a state ω of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a vector state if there is a vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\omega(u) = \langle ux, x \rangle$.

We pose the following problem concerning Conjecture 1.2

Conjecture 1.4. Let \mathcal{E} be a Hilbert C^* -module over a W^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Does there exist a normal state ω such that $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ is not dense in \mathcal{E}_ω .

To obtain further insights into the realms of Hilbert C^* -algebras and Hilbert C^* -modules, we refer the interested reader to [7, 12] for the former, and [5] for the latter.

In this note, among other results, in particular Corollary 2.3, we give an affirmative answer to the following problem concerning conjecture 1.3: Does there exist a normal state ω such that $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ is not dense in \mathcal{E}_ω ,

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \omega_j$ with $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j = 1$, $\lambda_j > 0$, where ω_j s are positive linear functionals on a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . It can be verified that

$$\mathcal{N}_\omega = \bigcap_{j=1}^n \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}. \quad (2.1)$$

Define $\phi_j : \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{N}_\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}$ by $\phi_j(x + \mathcal{N}_\omega) = x + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}$. It follows from (2.1) that ϕ_j is well-defined. Also we have

$$\|\phi_j(x + \mathcal{N}_\omega)\|^2 = \|x + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}\|^2 = \omega_j \langle x, x \rangle \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \omega \langle x, x \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \|x + \mathcal{N}_\omega\|^2$$

Hence we can extend ϕ_j onto \mathcal{E}_ω , which is represented by the same ϕ_j .

Note that for every $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$, we have

$$(\phi_j(x + \mathcal{N}_\omega), \phi_j(y + \mathcal{N}_\omega))_{\omega_j} = (x + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}, y + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j})_{\omega_j} = \omega_j \langle x, y \rangle, \quad (1 \leq j \leq k).$$

This gives us

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j (\phi_j(x + \mathcal{N}_\omega), \phi_j(y + \mathcal{N}_\omega))_{\omega_j} = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \omega_j \langle x, y \rangle = \omega \langle x, y \rangle = (x + \mathcal{N}_\omega, y + \mathcal{N}_\omega)_\omega.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{N}_\omega$ is dense in \mathcal{E}_ω , we arrive at

$$(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}')_\omega = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j (\phi_j(\tilde{u}), \phi_j(\tilde{u}'))_{\omega_j} \quad (\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}' \in \mathcal{E}_\omega). \quad (2.2)$$

Now, we give an example due to Kaad showing that $\overline{\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})}$ differs from

$$\{\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{E}_\omega \mid \phi_j(\tilde{z}) \in \overline{\iota_{\omega_j}(\mathcal{L})}, 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Example 2.1. Consider the set $\{1, 2\}$ with the discrete topology and define the Hilbert C^* -module $\mathcal{E} := C(\{1, 2\})$ over the unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A} := C(\{1, 2\})$. Consider the indicator functions p_1 and p_2 associated with the two points 1 and 2 in $\{1, 2\}$ and define the closed convex subset $\mathcal{L} := \{\lambda(p_1 + p_2) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$. Consider the states ω_1 and $\omega_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ evaluating at the two points 1 and 2, respectively and put $\omega = \frac{1}{2}\omega_1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_2$.

The Hilbert space \mathcal{E}_ω coincides with $\ell^2(\{1, 2\})$ under the normalized counting measure, whereas the Hilbert spaces \mathcal{E}_{ω_1} and \mathcal{E}_{ω_2} correspond to $\ell^2(\{1\})$ and $\ell^2(\{2\})$, respectively.

Moreover, it holds that $\iota_{\omega_1}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_1}$ and $\iota_{\omega_2}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_2}$ but $p_1 - p_2$ is a nontrivial vector in the orthogonal complement of $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_\omega$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathcal{E} be a Hilbert C^* -module over \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{L} be a convex subset of \mathcal{E} . Let ω, ω_j be positive functionals such that $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \omega_j$ with $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = 1$ and $\lambda_j > 0$. Then*

$$\overline{\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})} = \{\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{E}_\omega \mid \text{there exists } \{x_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \text{ such that } \lim_k (x_k + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}) = \phi_j(\tilde{z}), 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{z} \in \overline{\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})}$. There is a sequence $\{x_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ such that $x_k + \mathcal{N}_\omega \rightarrow \tilde{z}$. Since ϕ_j is a bounded operator, $\phi_j(x_k + \mathcal{N}_\omega) \rightarrow \phi_j(\tilde{z})$. So $\phi_j(\tilde{z}) \in \overline{\iota_{\omega_j}(\mathcal{L})}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$.

For the reverse, let $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{E}_\omega$ be such that there is a sequences $\{x_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ with

$$\lim_k (x_k + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}) = \phi_j(\tilde{z}) \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k + \mathcal{N}_\omega - x_{k'} + \mathcal{N}_\omega\|^2 &= \|(x_k - x_{k'}) + \mathcal{N}_\omega\|^2 \\ &= \omega \langle (x_{k,j} - x_{k',j}), (x_{k,j} - x_{k',j}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \omega_j \langle x_k - x_{k'}, x_k - x_{k'} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \|x_k + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j} - x_{k'} + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\{x_k + \mathcal{N}_\omega\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. On the other hand, let $x \in \mathcal{E}$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_k (x_k + \mathcal{N}_\omega, x + \mathcal{N}_\omega)_\omega &= \lim_k \omega \langle x_k, x \rangle = \lim_k \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \omega_j (\langle x_k, x \rangle) \\ &= \lim_k \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j (x_k + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}, x + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j})_{\omega_j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j (\phi_j(\tilde{z}), \phi_j(x + \mathcal{N}_\omega))_{\omega_j} \\ &= (\tilde{z}, x + \mathcal{N}_\omega)_\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x_k + \mathcal{N}_\omega$ weakly converges to \tilde{z} and so it converges to \tilde{z} in the norm topology. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be cloed submodules of \mathcal{E} . Let ω_i be positive linear functionals on \mathcal{A} for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K})_{\omega_i} = \mathcal{H}_{\omega_i} \cap \mathcal{K}_{\omega_i}$ for each i , then*

$$(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K})_\omega = \mathcal{H}_\omega \cap \mathcal{K}_\omega \quad (2.3)$$

for all $\omega \in co\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$.

Proof. Let $\omega \in co\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$. Then $\omega = \sum_1^n \lambda_j \omega_j$ where $\sum_1^n \lambda_j = 1$. Let $\oplus_1^n \mathcal{E}_{\omega_j}$ is the Hilbert space with th following norm

$$\|(\tilde{u}_j)_j\|^2 = \sum_1^n \lambda_j \|\tilde{u}_j\|^2 \quad ((\tilde{u}_j)_j \in \oplus_1^n \mathcal{E}_{\omega_j}).$$

Set

$$\Phi : \mathcal{E}_\omega \longrightarrow \oplus_1^n \mathcal{E}_{\omega_j}, \quad \Phi(\tilde{z}) = (\phi_j(\tilde{z}))_j \quad (\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{E}_\omega).$$

Then by (2.2), Φ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{E}_ω onto the range of Φ . By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K})_\omega) &= \{\Phi(\tilde{z}) : \tilde{z} \in (\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K})_\omega\} \\ &= \{(\phi_j(\tilde{z})) : \phi_j(\tilde{z}) \in (\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K})_{\omega_j}\} \\ &= \{(\phi_j(\tilde{z})) : \phi_j(\tilde{z}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\omega_j} \cap \mathcal{K}_{\omega_j}, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \\ &= \{(\phi_j(\tilde{z})) : \phi_j(\tilde{z}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\omega_j}, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \cap \{(\phi_j(\tilde{z})) : \phi_j(\tilde{z}) \in \mathcal{K}_{\omega_j}, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \\ &= \Phi(\mathcal{H}_\omega) \cap \Phi(\mathcal{K}_\omega) = \Phi(\mathcal{H}_\omega \cap \mathcal{K}_\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K})_\omega = \mathcal{H}_\omega \cap \mathcal{K}_\omega$. \square

We denote the all bounded sequences in \mathcal{E}_ω by \mathcal{E}_ω^b .

Theorem 2.4. *Let \mathcal{E} be a Hilbert C^* -module over \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{L} be a convex subset of \mathcal{E} . Let ω and ω_j s be positive functionals such that $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j \omega_j$ with $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j = 1$ and $\lambda_j > 0$. Then $\overline{\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})}$ is the set of all $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{E}_\omega$ such that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathcal{L} with two properties $\{x_n + \mathcal{N}_\omega\} \in \mathcal{E}_\omega^b$ and $\lim_n (x_n + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}) = \psi_j(\tilde{z}), j \geq 1$, where $\psi_j(x + \mathcal{N}_\omega) = x + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}$ for all $j \geq 1$.*

Proof. Since $\psi_j : \mathcal{E}_\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\omega_j}$ is bounded for each $j \geq 1$, we infer that $\overline{\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})}$ is a subset of all $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{E}_\omega$ such that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathcal{L} with two properties $\{x_n + \mathcal{N}_\omega\} \in \mathcal{E}_\omega^b$ and $\lim_n(x_n + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}) = \psi_j(\tilde{z}), j \geq 1$.

Next, let $\oplus_1^\infty \mathcal{E}_{\omega_j}$ be the Hilbert space with the following inner product

$$\langle (z_j + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j})_j, (y_j + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j})_j \rangle_d = \sum_1^\infty \lambda_j \omega_j \langle z_j, y_j \rangle.$$

Define $\Psi : \mathcal{E}_\omega \rightarrow \oplus_1^\infty \mathcal{E}_{\omega_j}$ by $\Psi(\tilde{z}) = (\psi_j(\tilde{z}))_j$. Then Ψ is an isometric isomorphism onto its range. Now let there be a sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ such that $\{x_n + \mathcal{N}_\omega\} \in \mathcal{E}_\omega^b$ and $\lim_n(x_n + \mathcal{N}_{\omega_j}) = \psi_j(\tilde{z})$ for all $j \geq 1$. Then there is a subsequence $\{x_{n_k} + \mathcal{N}_\omega\}$ that weakly converges to a vector \tilde{u} . Hence $\Psi(x_{n_k} + \mathcal{N}_\omega)$ weakly converges to $\Psi(\tilde{u})$. Utilizing the hypothesis, we conclude that $\Psi(\tilde{u}) = \Psi(\tilde{z})$. Since Ψ is an isomorphism, $x_{n_k} + \mathcal{N}_\omega$ tends to \tilde{z} weakly. There exists a sequence $y_n + \mathcal{N}_\omega$ such that $y_n \in \text{co}\{x_{n_k}; k \geq 1\}$ and $\lim_n(y_n + \mathcal{N}_\omega) = \tilde{z}$ in the norm topology. Hence $\tilde{z} \in \overline{\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})}$. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be closed submodules of \mathcal{E} . Let ω_i be positive linear functionals on \mathcal{A} for each $i \geq 1$. If $(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K})_{\omega_i} = \mathcal{H}_{\omega_i} \cap \mathcal{K}_{\omega_i}$ for each i , then*

$$(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K})_\omega = \mathcal{H}_\omega \cap \mathcal{K}_\omega, \quad (\omega \in \sigma\text{-co}\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots\}). \quad (2.4)$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a W^* -algebra with the predual of \mathcal{A}_* . Let \mathcal{E} be a self-dual Hilbert W^* -module over \mathcal{A} . Making use of Proposition 1.3, there is a topology, namely weak*, on \mathcal{E} . The set of all weak* continuous linear functionals on \mathcal{E} is a subspace of $\mathcal{A}_* \otimes \mathcal{Y}$ with the greatest cross-norm and \mathcal{Y} is the linear space \mathcal{X} with twisted multiplication. By [10, Proposition 2.8] we represent each $u \in \mathcal{A}_* \otimes \mathcal{Y}$ as $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j \tau_j \otimes y_j$ where $\lambda_j > 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j < \infty$, and $\|\tau_j\| = \|y_j\| = 1$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let \mathcal{E} be a Hilbert C^* -module over a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Let $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot)$ be an \mathcal{A} -valued semi-inner product on \mathcal{E} . Let $x_0, z_i \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\lambda_i > 0 (i = 1, \dots, n)$ be scalars such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \sigma(z_i - x_0, z_i - x_0) \geq \sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i - x_0, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i - x_0\right).$$

Proof. Since $\sigma(y - x, y - x) \geq 0$ we have $\sigma(y, x) + \sigma(x, y) \leq \sigma(y, y) + \sigma(x, x)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r,s=1}^n \lambda_r \lambda_s \sigma(z_r, z_s) &= \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r^2 \sigma(z_r, z_r) + \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \lambda_r \lambda_s (\sigma(z_r, z_s) + \sigma(z_s, z_r)) \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r^2 \sigma(z_r, z_r) + \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \lambda_r \lambda_s (\sigma(z_r, z_r) + \sigma(z_s, z_s)) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r \sigma(z_r, z_r) \end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \sigma(z_i - x_0, z_i - x_0) &= \sigma(x_0, x_0) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (\sigma(z_i, x_0) + \sigma(x_0, z_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \sigma(z_i, z_i) \\
&\geq \sigma(x_0, x_0) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (\sigma(z_i, x_0) + \sigma(x_0, z_i)) + \sum_{1 \leq r, s \leq n} \lambda_r \lambda_s \sigma(z_r, z_s) \\
&= \sigma \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i - x_0, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i - x_0 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_j \mathcal{A}$ equipped with the norm $\|(a_j)\| = \sup_j \|a_j\|$, where \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra and $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\lambda_j > 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = 1$. Let $\{\omega_j\}$ be a bounded sequence in \mathcal{A}^* . Then $\omega((a_j)_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \omega_j(a_j)$ defines a bounded functional on \mathcal{B} .*

Proof. It is evident that ω is a linear functional. We show that ω is bounded. Let $\lim_n (a_{n,j})_j = (a_j)_j$. Then

$$\sup_j \|a_{n,j} - a_j\| = \|(a_{n,j} - a_j)_j\| = \|(a_{n,j})_j - (a_j)_j\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, for $\epsilon > 0$, there is $n_0 > 0$ such that for each j and $n > n_0$ we have

$$\|a_{n,j} - a_j\| < \epsilon.$$

Since $\{\omega_j\}$ is a bounded sequence, there is $m > 0$ such that $\|\omega_j\| \leq m$ for each $j \geq 1$. Hence for each $n > n_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\omega((a_{n,j})_j - (a_j)_j)| &= \left| \sum_j \lambda_j \omega_j(a_{n,j} - a_j) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_j |\lambda_j \omega_j(a_{n,j} - a_j)| \\
&\leq m \sum_j \lambda_j \|(a_{n,j} - a_j)\| < \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The next result may be well-known. However, we present a proof for it.

Theorem 2.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be a W^* -algebra. Then the normal state space of \mathcal{A} is the σ -convex hull of its vector states.*

Proof. Let τ be a normal state on \mathcal{A} . Then, by [7, Theorem 4.2.10], there is a trace class operator u such that $\tau(v) = \text{tr}(uv)$. Let $\{\eta_i\}$ be an orthonormal sequence and $\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i = 1$ and $u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i \langle \eta_i, x \rangle \eta_i$. Then

$$\tau(v) = \text{tr}(uv) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle uv(\eta_i), \eta_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i \langle v(\eta_i), \eta_i \rangle.$$

□

Theorem 2.9. *Let \mathcal{L} be a submodule of a self-dual Hilbert C^* -module \mathcal{E} over a W^* -algebra \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{L}$ has nonempty interior with respect to the weak*-topology. Then there exists a normal state ω such that $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ is not dense in \mathcal{E}_ω . In particular, $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L})^\perp \neq \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{L}$ be a unit vector such that it is not in the weak*-closure of \mathcal{L} . So the Hahn Banach theorem implies that there are linear functionals $\omega_j \in \mathcal{A}_*$, $y_j \in \mathcal{E}$, and scalars $\lambda_j > 0$ such that $\|\omega_j\| = \|y_j\| = 1$, $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j < \infty$, and

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j \omega_j \langle x_0, y_j \rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j \omega_j \langle l, y_j \rangle = 0 \quad (l \in \mathcal{L}_1).$$

In particular, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j \omega_j \langle x_0 - l, y_j \rangle = 1, \quad (2.5)$$

for all $l \in \mathcal{L}$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_j \mathcal{A}$. Then \mathcal{B} is a von-Neumann algebra. Let \mathfrak{T} be the topology on $\bigoplus_j \mathcal{A}$ induced by all $\omega = (\omega_j)$, where $\omega_j \in \mathcal{A}_*$, $\{\|\omega_j\|\}$ is bounded and $\omega((a_j)_j) = \sum_j \lambda_j \omega_j(a_j)$ for each $(a_j)_j \in \bigoplus_j \mathcal{A}$. Set $\mathcal{I} = \{(\langle y_j, l - x_0 \rangle \langle l - x_0, y_j \rangle)_j : l \in \mathcal{L}\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Then (2.5) ensures that $0 \notin \overline{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathfrak{T}}$ (the closure in the topology of \mathfrak{T}). In fact, if $\lim_\alpha (\langle y_j, l_\alpha - x_0 \rangle \langle l_\alpha - x_0, y_j \rangle)_j = 0$ for some net $\{l_\alpha\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, then $\lim_\alpha \|(\langle l_\alpha - x_0, y_j \rangle)_j\| = 0$. It follows from Lemma 2.7 that $\lim_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j \omega_j(\langle l_\alpha - x_0, y_j \rangle) = 0$, this contradicts (2.5).

Next, we show that $0 \notin \overline{\text{co}(\mathcal{I})}^{\mathfrak{T}} = \overline{\text{co}(\mathcal{I})}^{\mathfrak{T}}$. For if $(a_{i,j})_j \in \mathcal{I}$, $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, then by employing Lemma 2.6 for \mathcal{A} -valued semi-inner product on $\bigoplus_j \mathcal{E}$, $\sigma((u_j)_j, (v_j)_j) = (\langle y_j, u_j \rangle \langle v_j, y_j \rangle)_j$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (\langle y_j, l_n - x_0 \rangle \langle l_n - x_0, y_j \rangle)_j \geq \left(\langle y_j, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i l_n - x_0 \rangle \langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i l_n - x_0, y_j \rangle \right)_j.$$

Thus, $0 \notin \overline{\text{co}(\mathcal{I})}^{\mathfrak{T}}$. Now by the Hahn-Banach Theorem, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $\tau = (\tau_j)_j$ where $\tau_j \in \mathcal{A}_*$ such that $\text{Re}\tau((a_j)_j) > \epsilon$. On the other hand, there are positive normal functionals $\tau_{1,j}, \tau_{2,j}, \tau_{3,j}$, and $\tau_{4,j}$ such that $\tau_j = \tau_{1,j} - \tau_{2,j} + i(\tau_{3,j} - \tau_{4,j})$. Thus $\sum_j \lambda_j \tau_{1,j}(\langle y_j, l - x_0 \rangle \langle l - x_0, y_j \rangle) > \epsilon$ for each $l \in \mathcal{L}$. Since $\langle y_j, l - x_0 \rangle \langle l - x_0, y_j \rangle \leq \|y_j\|^2 \langle l - x_0, l - x_0 \rangle$ we have

$$\left(\sum_j \lambda_j \tau_{1,j} \right) (\langle l - x_0, l - x_0 \rangle) = \sum_j \lambda_j \tau_{1,j} (\langle l - x_0, l - x_0 \rangle) > \epsilon$$

Hence $\tau = \frac{\sum_j \lambda_j \tau_{1,j}}{\|\sum_j \lambda_j \tau_{1,j}\|}$ is a normal state such that $x_0 + \mathcal{N}_\tau \notin \overline{\iota_\tau(\mathcal{L})}$. \square

Let \mathcal{L} be a submodule of a Hilbert C^* -module \mathcal{E} . In the following theorem, we denote by \mathcal{L}_1 the unit ball of \mathcal{L} .

Theorem 2.10. *Let \mathcal{L} be a submodule of a self-dual Hilbert C^* -module \mathcal{E} over a W^* -algebra \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{L}$ has the nonempty interior in the weak*-topology. Then there exist vector states $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ such that $\iota_\omega(\mathcal{L}_1)$ is not dense in the unit ball of \mathcal{E}_ω for each $\omega \in \text{co}\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ with nonzero coefficients.*

Proof. By use of Theorem 2.9, there is a unit vector $x_0 \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{L}$ and a normal state τ such that $x_0 + \mathcal{N}_\tau \notin \iota_\tau(\mathcal{L})$. Theorem 2.8 gives that $\tau = \sum_1^\infty \lambda_j \omega_j$ for some vector states ω_j and such that $\sum_1^\infty \lambda_j = 1$. Let \mathcal{L}_1 be the unite ball of \mathcal{L} . Let

$$B_{j,n} = \{x \in \mathcal{L}_1; \omega_j \langle x - x_0, x - x_0 \rangle > \frac{1}{n}\} \quad (j, n \geq 1).$$

Employing Theorem 2.4, we get $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \cup_{j,n} B_{j,n}$. Since \mathcal{L}_1 is a weak*-compact set, there is $\delta > 0$ and $n_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$\omega_{j_k} \langle x - x_0, x - x_0 \rangle \geq \delta \quad (x \in \mathcal{L}_1, k = 1, \dots, n_0).$$

Let $\omega = \sum_1^{n_0} \lambda_{j_k} \omega_{j_k}$ with $\lambda_{j_k} \neq 0$ and $\sum_1^{n_0} \lambda_{j_k} = 1$. Then it is easy to see that $x_0 + \mathcal{N}_\omega \notin \iota_\omega(\mathcal{L}_1)$ \square

In the following we give an example that satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.9.

Example 2.11. Let \mathcal{A} be the W^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Consider $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{A}$ as a Hilbert \mathcal{A} -module. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}$. If \mathcal{M} be a proper orthogonally complemented submodule of \mathcal{E} , then set $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}) \oplus \mathcal{M}$, where $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is the C^* -algebra of all compact operators on \mathcal{H} [1]. It is easy to see that \mathcal{F} is not orthogonally complemented. For each nonzero $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}^\perp$ it is seen that $0 \oplus x_0$ is an interior point of $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{L}$ in the weak*-topology. In fact, if there is a net $\{k_\alpha \oplus x_\alpha\}_\alpha$ tends to $0 \oplus x_0$, then for a normal state ω on \mathcal{A} such that $\omega \langle x_0, x_0 \rangle = \|x_0\|^2$ we have

$$0 = \lim_\alpha \omega \langle k_\alpha \oplus x_\alpha, 0 \oplus x_0 \rangle = \omega \langle 0 \oplus x_0, 0 \oplus x_0 \rangle = \|x_0\|^2,$$

that gives a contradiction.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

On behalf of the authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest. Data sharing is not applicable to this paper as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Eskandari, M. S. Moslehian, and D. Popovici, *Operator equalities and characterizations of orthogonality in pre-Hilbert C^* -modules*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **64** (2021), no. 3, 594–614.
- [2] M. Frank, *Geometrical aspects of Hilbert C^* -modules*, Positivity **3** (1999), 215–243.
- [3] J. Kaad and M. Lesch, *A local global principle for regular operators in Hilbert C^* -modules*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), no. 10, 4540–4569.
- [4] J. Kaad and M. Lesch, *Corrigendum to “A local global principle for regular operators in Hilbert C^* -modules” [J. Funct. Anal. 262 (10) (2012) 4540–4569]*, J. Funct. Anal. **272** (2017), 4403–4406.
- [5] V. M. Manuilov and E. V. Troitsky, *Hilbert C^* -modules*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs. 226, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [6] V. M. Manuilov and E. V. Troitsky, *Hilbert C^* -modules with Hilbert dual and C^* -Fredholm operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **95** (2023), no. 3, Paper No. 17, 12 p.
- [7] G. J. Murphy, *C^* -algebras and operator theory*, Academic Press, INC, 1990.
- [8] W. L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1972), 443–468.

- [9] F. Pierrot, *Opérateurs réguliers dans les C^* -modules et structure des C^* -algèbres de groupes de Lie semisimples complexes simplement connexes*, J. Lie Theory 16 (4) (2006) 651–689.
- [10] A. R. Rayan, *Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [11] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw Hill, INC, 1973.
- [12] S. Sakai, *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Springer, New York, 1971.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, FARHANGIAN UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 14665-889, TEHRAN, IRAN.

Email address: Rasul.eskandari@cfu.ac.ir, eskandarirasoul@yahoo.com

²DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, CENTER OF EXCELLENCE IN ANALYSIS ON ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES (CEAAS), FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, P.O. BOX 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN

Email address: moslehian@um.ac.ir; moslehian@yahoo.com