

NON-ORIENTABLE 4-GENUS OF TORUS KNOTS

MEGAN FAIRCHILD, HAILEY JAY GARCIA, JAKE MURPHY, HANNAH PERCLE

ABSTRACT. The non-orientable 4-genus of a knot K in S^3 , denoted $\gamma_4(K)$, measures the minimum genus of a non-orientable surface in B^4 bounded by K . We compute bounds for the non-orientable 4-genus of knots $T_{5,q}$ and $T_{6,q}$, extending previous research. Additionally, we provide a generalized, non-recursive formula for $d(S_{-1}^3(T_{p,q}))$, the d -invariant of -1 -surgery on torus knots.

1 INTRODUCTION

Given a knot K in S^3 , it is a well-studied problem to determine the minimum genus of an orientable surface in B^4 with boundary K . This is called the orientable 4-genus of K and was first studied by Fox and Milnor when they defined the slice knot in 1958, that is, knots in S^3 that bound a smoothly embedded disk in B^4 . We cite their 1966 paper [8], as the 1958 reference is from unpublished work. Since then, there has been steady progress in determining the orientable 4-genus of knots in S^3 . In 2000, Murakami and Yasuhara [22] introduced the non-orientable four genus, denoted $\gamma_4(K)$. This is defined as the minimum genus of a non-orientable surface F properly embedded in B^4 so that the boundary of F is the knot K .

The non-orientable 4-genus of knots with 8 or 9 crossings was computed by Jabuka and Kelly [15]. Ghanbarian in [9] expanded this to knots with 10 crossings, and the first author determined the non-orientable 4-genus of non-alternating 11 crossing knots [6]. Additionally, the non-orientable 4-genus of many double twist knots has been computed by Hoste, Shanahan, and Van Cott in [14]. The Milnor conjecture, proved by Kronheimer and Mrowka in 1993 [17], states that the smooth 4-genus of a torus knot $T_{p,q}$ is $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)(q-1)$. Andrew Lobb proved that the non-orientable analog of the Milnor conjecture is false by showing that the non-orientable 4-genus of $T_{4,9}$ is 1 in [19]. Jabuka and Van Cott found additional counterexamples in [16].

In this paper, we focus on the non-orientable 4-genus of torus knots. These knots are denoted $T_{p,q}$, where p and q are relatively prime. The non-orientable 4-genus of torus knots has been computed for all knots $T_{2,q}$ and $T_{3,q}$ by Allen [2], and most knots $T_{4,q}$ by Binns, Kang, Simone, Truöl, and Sabloff [4, 28]. We aim to extend this research by computing the non-orientable 4-genus for torus knots $T_{5,q}$ and $T_{6,q}$. We explore various techniques in calculating $\gamma_4(T_{p,q})$ via computation of other knot invariants. One such invariant is the d -invariant from Heegaard Floer homology, which is computable by a recursive formula using the Alexander polynomial given in [3, 25]. We update this to provide a general formula the d -invariant of torus knots.

Theorem 1.1. *For a torus knot $T_{p,q}$ where $p < q$,*

$$d(S_{-1}^3(T_{p,q})) = 2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q-p-1}{2p} \right\rfloor \right).$$

Knot invariants such as the d -invariant, signature, and Arf invariant provide lower bounds for the non-orientable 4-genus [4], and we computed these to obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.2. *For torus knots $T_{6,q}$, when $q \neq 1$,*

(i) *if $q \equiv 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \in \{2, 3\}$,*

(ii) if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \in \{2, 3\}$ if $q \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, otherwise $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Other techniques to finding the non-orientable 4 genus include band surgery and examining the linking form on the double branch cover, which are explored to give the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *For torus knots $T_{5,q}$,*

- (i) *If $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) = 1$,*
- (ii) *If $q \equiv 4, 6, 9 \pmod{10}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) = 2$.*
- (iii) *If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) \in \{1, 2\}$.*

Acknowledgements. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. 2231492 and Award No. 1907654. We thank Shea Vela-Vick and Angela Wu for helpful conversations.

2 BACKGROUND

To begin, we review knot invariants and examine the lower bounds on the non-orientable 4 genus coming from knot invariants. It should be noted that the smallest non-orientable 4 genus for a knot K in S^3 is $\gamma_4(K) = 1$ meaning K bounds a Möbius band. We focus on torus knots $T_{p,q}$ in our paper, which are knots which smoothly embed on the torus, twisting p times around the meridian and q times around the longitude. Note that $T_{p,q} = T_{q,p}$.

2.1 Knot Invariants

The signature of a knot $\sigma(K)$ is defined to be the signature of the knot's Seifert matrix added to its transpose, $\sigma(V + V^t)$ [18]. Gordan, Litherland, and Murasugi provided a recursive formula for calculating the signature of torus knots, which we restate here:

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 5.2 in [13]). *Let $p, q > 0$. Note $\sigma(p, q) = \sigma(T_{-p,q}) = -\sigma(T_{p,q})$.*

- (i) *If $2q < p$:*
 - *If q is odd, $\sigma(p, q) = \sigma(p - 2q, q) + q^2 - 1$,*
 - *if q is even, $\sigma(p, q) = \sigma(p - 2q, q) + q^2$.*
- (ii) *If $q \leq p \leq 2q$:*
 - *If q is odd, $\sigma(p, q) = -\sigma(2q - p, q) + q^2 - 1$,*
 - *if q is even, $\sigma(p, q) = -\sigma(2q - p, q) + q^2 - 2$.*
- (iii) *$\sigma(2q, q) = q^2$, $\sigma(p, 1) = 0$, $\sigma(p, 2) = p - 1$, $\sigma(p, q) = \sigma(q, p)$.*

The Arf invariant of a knot is denoted $\text{Arf}(K)$ and is a concordance invariant in \mathbb{Z}_2 and calculated using the Seifert form of a knot [18]. For torus knots, the Arf invariant is straightforward.

Remark 2.2 (Remark 2.2 in [4]). For odd q , $\text{Arf}(T(p, q)) = \begin{cases} 0 & p \text{ odd or } q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 1 & p \text{ even and } q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$

The following proposition from Yasuhara [29] was proved and used in the work of Gilmer and Livingston [10], and seen in application by Jabuka and Kelly [15], Ghanbarian [9], and the first author [6].

Proposition 2.3 (Proposition 5.1 in [29]). *Given a knot K in S^3 , if $\sigma(K) + 4 \text{Arf}(K) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, then $\gamma_4(K) \geq 2$.*

The Upsilon invariant of a knot K , $\Upsilon_K(t)$ for $t \in [0, 2]$, is a powerful tool from knot Floer homology. We will not discuss knot Floer homology, but the interested reader is referred to the overview by Ozv ath and Szab o [26]. We denote $v(K) = \Upsilon_K(1)$ and note this gives the Upsilon

invariant in the non-orientable case. Specifically, unoriented knot Floer homology is defined and explored in detail by Ozsváth, Stipsicz, and Szabó in [24]. For torus knots, we will denote $v(T_{p,q})$ as $v(p, q)$. Ozsváth, Stipsicz, and Szabó give us a recursive formula to calculate the generic upsilon function for torus knots in [23], which we restate here for the specific case of $t = 1$.

Proposition 2.4 (Proposition 6.3 in [23]). *For $T_{p,q}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 \in \left[\frac{2i}{p}, \frac{2i+1}{p}\right]$,*

$$(2.1) \quad v(p, p+1) = -i(i+1) - \frac{1}{2}p(p-1-2i).$$

We also have the following recursive equation from Feller and Krcatovich,

Proposition 2.5 (Proposition 2.2 in [7]). *For $p < q$,*

$$(2.2) \quad v(p, q) = v(p, q-p) + v(p, p+1).$$

From the signature and upsilon invariant, we get the following lower bound on γ_4 .

Theorem 2.6 (Theorem 1.2 in [24]). *For a knot K in S^3 ,*

$$\left| v(K) + \frac{\sigma(K)}{2} \right| \leq \gamma_4(K)$$

Another invariant which comes from knot Floer homology is the d -invariant, which is denoted $d(S_{-1}^3(K))$ for -1 -surgery on a knot K . An interested reader is referred to [25] in which Ozsváth and Szabó discuss the construction of this invariant and provide a method to compute it for torus knots using the Alexander polynomial. In [3], Batson discusses how to use the d -invariant to create a lower bound for the non-orientable 4-genus.

The Alexander polynomial of a knot is a Laurent polynomial and is denoted $\Delta_K(t)$. The formal definition using crossing relations and resolutions (Skein relations) can be found in many introduction to knot theory texts, but we refer our reader to [1]. One important thing to note for this paper is the Alexander polynomial of a knot is symmetric in the sense that $\Delta_K(t) = \Delta_K(t^{-1})$.

For ease of notation, we denote the Alexander polynomial of $T_{p,q}$ by $\Delta_{p,q}(t)$. The Alexander polynomial of a torus knot can be computed from the following equation [3].

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta_{p,q}(t) = t^{-(p-1)(q-1)/2} \frac{(1-t)(1-t^{pq})}{(1-t^p)(1-t^q)}$$

Note that the $t^{-(p-1)(q-1)/2}$ term in equation 2.3 is a symmetrizing term. That is, it has no effect on the coefficients of the polynomial, but shifts the powers of t so that $\Delta_{p,q}$ is symmetric. For ease of calculations, we will frequently consider $t^{(p-1)(q-1)/2} \Delta_{p,q}(t)$ instead of $\Delta_{p,q}(t)$.

We show in section 3 how to use the Alexander polynomial of torus knots to calculate the d -invariant of a knot K , denoted $d(S_{-1}^3(K))$, in order to use the following lower bound on $\gamma_4(T_{p,q})$ from Batson.

Theorem 2.7 (Theorem 1 in [3]). *For a knot K ,*

$$\frac{\sigma(K)}{2} - d(S_{-1}^3(K)) \leq \gamma_4(K).$$

2.2 Non-Oriented Band Moves

To perform a band move on an oriented knot K , we first take an embedding φ of an oriented band $B = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ into S^3 such that $B \cap K = \varphi([0, 1] \times \{0, 1\})$. We then create a new link $L = (K \cup \varphi(\{0, 1\} \times [0, 1])) \setminus \varphi([0, 1] \times \{0, 1\})$. If the orientation of either $[0, 1] \times \{0\}$ or $[0, 1] \times \{1\}$ disagrees with K , then this is a non-oriented band move. Otherwise, it is an oriented band

move. Figure 2.1 shows an example of an orientable and a non-oriented band move. Note that an orientable band move applied to a knot results in a 2-component link, while a non-oriented band move results in a knot. This procedure is sometimes referred to as a pinch move, such as in [4]. The minimum number of non-oriented band moves required to transform $T_{p,q}$ into the unknot is denoted $\vartheta(T_{p,q})$.




FIGURE 2.1. Top: Non-Oriented Band Move. Bottom: Oriented Band Move.

Theorem 2.8 (Theorem 1.6 in [4]). *Fix $p > 3$. If $q \equiv p - 1, p + 1, \text{ or } 2p + 1 \pmod{2p}$, then $\vartheta(T_{p,q}) - 1 \leq \gamma_4(T_{p,q}) \leq \vartheta(T_{p,q})$. In particular, we have the following.*

(i) *If p is odd:*

If $q \equiv p - 1 \pmod{2p}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{p,q}) \in \{\frac{p-3}{2}, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$,

If $q \equiv p + 1 \text{ or } 2p - 1 \pmod{2p}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{p,q}) = \frac{p-1}{2}$.

(ii) *If p is even:*

If $q > p$ and $q \equiv p - 1, p + 1 \text{ or } 2p - 1 \pmod{2p}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{p,q}) \in \{\frac{p-2}{2}, \frac{p}{2}\}$.

Remark 2.9. By Theorem 2.8, we have

(i) $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) = 2$ when $q \equiv 6 \text{ or } 9 \pmod{10}$,

(ii) $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \in \{2, 3\}$ for $q \equiv 5, 7, \text{ or } 11 \pmod{12}$.

We also have the following result from Jabuka and Kelly.

Proposition 2.10 (Proposition 2.4 in [15]). *If the knots K and K' are related by a non-oriented band move, then*

$$\gamma_4(K) \leq \gamma_4(K') + 1$$

If a knot K is related to a slice knot K' by a non-oriented band move, then $\gamma_4(K) = 1$.

We now discuss the results that are most useful in determining $\gamma_4(T_{5,q})$.

Lemma 2.11 (Lemma 2.9 in [4]). *Let $p \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$. Performing a non-oriented band move on the torus knot $T_{p, kp \pm 1}$ yields the torus knot $T_{p-2, k(p-2) \pm 1}$. Consequently,*

$$\vartheta(T_{p, kp \pm 1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{2} & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{p}{2} & \text{if } p \text{ is even and } kp \pm 1 \neq p-1, \\ \frac{p-2}{2} & \text{if } p \text{ is even and } kp \pm 1 = p-1. \end{cases}$$

This immediately gives us $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \leq 3$ for all q .

Proposition 2.12 (Proposition 1.6 in [16]). *The torus knot $T_{pk \pm 2, p}$ bounds a Möbius band if $p \geq 3$ is odd and $k \geq 0$.*

Remark 2.13. We thus conclude that the torus knots $T_{5, 5k \pm 2}$ have $\gamma_4(T_{5, 5k \pm 2}) = 1$ for all k , which proves part (i) of Theorem 3. From Lemma 2.11 we also have $\gamma_4(T_{5, 5k \pm 1}) \leq 2$ for any $k \geq 1$. We note that this gives us all results for $\gamma_4(T_{5,q})$ except for $q \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{10}$.

3 RESULTS

We first compute the signature of $T_{5,q}$ and $T_{6,q}$ torus knots so that we can apply the bound from Proposition 2.3. Recall the convention

$$\sigma(p, q) = \sigma(T_{-p, q}) = -\sigma(T_{p, q}).$$

Proposition 3.1.

$$\sigma(6, q) = \begin{cases} 18k & \text{if } q = 6k + 1 \geq 0, \\ 18k + 16 & \text{if } q = 6k + 5 \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sigma(5, q) = \begin{cases} 24k & \text{if } q = 10k + 1 \geq 0, \\ 24k + 8 & \text{if } q = 10k + 4 \geq 0 \\ 24k + 16 & \text{if } q = 10k + 6 \geq 0, \\ 24k + 24 & \text{if } q = 10k + 9 \geq 0, \\ 12k + 4 & \text{if } q = 5k + 2 \geq 0, \\ 12k + 8 & \text{if } q = 5k + 3 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will prove this for $\sigma(6, q)$ by induction on k . See that $\sigma(6, 6(0) + 1) = 0$, $\sigma(6, 6(0) + 5) = 16$, $\sigma(6, 6(1) + 1) = 18$, $\sigma(6, 6(1) + 5) = 34$. Assume that the formula holds for some $k \geq 2$. We then have two cases: $q = 6(k+1) + 1$ and $q = 6(k+1) + 5$. The first case:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(6, 6k + 1) &= \sigma(6k + 1, 6) \\ &= \sigma(6k + 1 - 12, 6) + 36 \\ &= \sigma(6(k-2) + 1, 6) + 36 \\ &= 18(k-2) + 36 \\ &= 18k, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly, the second:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(6, 6k + 5) &= \sigma(6k + 5, 6) \\ &= \sigma(6k + 5 - 12, 6) + 36 \\ &= \sigma(6(k-2) + 5, 6) + 36 \\ &= 18(k-2) + 16 + 36 \\ &= 18k + 16. \end{aligned}$$

The case for $\sigma(5, q)$ follows similarly. \square

Using Remark 2.2 and our computation from Proposition 3.1, we will compute the value of $\sigma(p, q) + 4 \operatorname{Arf}(p, q) \pmod{8}$ for $p = 5, 6$.

$q \pmod{10}$	$\sigma(5, q) + 4 \operatorname{Arf}(5, q) \pmod{8}$
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	4
6	4
7	0
8	0
9	0

TABLE 1. Remainders of $q \pmod{10}$ and values of $\sigma(5, q) + 4 \operatorname{Arf}(5, q) \pmod{8}$.

$q \pmod{12}$	$\sigma(6, q) + 4 \operatorname{Arf}(6, q) \pmod{8}$
1	0
5	4
7	2
11	6

TABLE 2. Remainders of $q \pmod{12}$ and values of $\sigma(6, q) + 4 \operatorname{Arf}(6, q) \pmod{8}$.

Corollary 3.2. $\gamma_4(T_{6,12k+5}) \geq 2$ and $\gamma_4(T_{5,10k+4}) = 2$ for any integer k .

Proof. By Proposition 2.3 and our computations in Tables 1 and 2, we can see that $\gamma_4(T_{6,12k+5}) \geq 2$ and $\gamma_4(T_{5,10k+4}) \geq 2$. By Theorem 2.8 we have that $\gamma_4(T_{5,10k+4}) = 2$. \square

With this, we are able to prove Theorem 1.3, which we restate below.

Theorem 1.3. For torus knots $T_{5,q}$,

- (i) If $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) = 1$,
- (ii) If $q \equiv 4, 6, 9 \pmod{10}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) = 2$.
- (iii) If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{5,q}) \in \{1, 2\}$.


Proof. Note that part(i) follows from Remark 2.13, part (ii) follows from Remark 2.9 and Corollary 3.2, and part (iii) follows from Lemma 2.11. \square

Lemma 3.3. The knots $T_{6,5}$ and $T_{6,17}$ both have non-orientable 4-genus 2.

Proof. We apply a non-orientable band move to two adjacent strands of $T_{6,5}$ and $T_{6,17}$ to obtain torus knots $T_{4,q}$ that bound a Möbius band, see Figure 3.1. Therefore $\gamma_4(T_{6,5}) \leq 2$ and $\gamma_4(T_{6,17}) \leq 2$ by Proposition 2.10. By Corollary 3.2, we know that $\gamma_4(T_{6,5}) \geq 2$ and $\gamma_4(T_{6,17}) \geq 2$. \square

Remark 3.4. The knot $T_{6,13}$ can be transformed into $T_{4,9}$ with one non-orientable band move and thus $\gamma_4(T_{6,13}) \leq 2$.

We now compute the Upsilon invariant. Recall that we write $v(T_{p,q})$ as $v(p, q)$.

FIGURE 3.1. Non-Orientable Band Move from $T_{6,5}$ to $T_{3,4}$.

Proposition 3.5.

$$v(6, q) = \begin{cases} -9k & \text{if } q = 6k + 1 \geq 0, \\ -9k - 6 & \text{if } q = 6k + 5 \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$v(5, q) = \begin{cases} -6k & \text{if } q = 5k + 1 \geq 0, \\ -6k - 2 & \text{if } q = 5k + 2 \geq 0, \\ -6k - 3 & \text{if } q = 5k + 3 \geq 0, \\ -6k - 4 & \text{if } q = 5k + 4 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will prove this for $v(6, q)$ by induction on k . For $k = 0$ and $k = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(6, 1) &= 0, \\ v(6, 5) &= -6, \\ v(6, 7) &= -9. \end{aligned}$$

For the inductive step, we apply Equation 2.2 to get

$$v(6, 6k + 1) = v(6, 6(k - 1) + 1) + v(6, 7) = -9(k - 1) - 9 = -9k.$$

and

$$v(6, 6k + 5) = v(6, 6(k - 1) + 5) + v(6, 7) = -9(k - 1) - 6 - 9 = -9k - 6.$$

The proof for $v(5, q)$ follows similarly. □

Now we compute $\left|v(p, q) + \frac{\sigma(p, q)}{2}\right|$ for $p = 5, 6$ so that we can apply Theorem 2.6.

Proposition 3.6.

$$(3.1) \quad \left|v(6, q) + \frac{\sigma(6, q)}{2}\right| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q = 6k + 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } q = 6k + 5, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \left| v(5, q) + \frac{\sigma(5, q)}{2} \right| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q = 10k + 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 10k + 4, \\ 2 & \text{if } q = 10k + 6, \\ 2 & \text{if } q = 10k + 9, \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 5k + 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } q = 5k + 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from our computations of $\sigma(p, q)$ in Proposition 3.1 and of $v(p, q)$ in Proposition 3.5. \square

We get the following result by applying Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 3.7. *For any integer k , we have $\gamma_4(T_{6,6k+5}) \geq 2$, $\gamma_4(T_{5,10k+6}) \geq 2$, and $\gamma_4(T_{5,10k+9}) \geq 2$.*

Note that this result improves on our previous lower bound $\gamma_4(T_{6,q})$ given by the the signature and Arf invariant in Corollary 3.2. It does not give us new information on $\gamma_4(T_{5,q})$.

3.1 Calculating the d -invariant

Recall that the Alexander polynomial for a torus knot is given by

$$\Delta_{p,q}(t) = t^{-(p-1)(q-1)/2} \frac{(t-1)(t^{pq}-1)}{(t^p-1)(t^q-1)}.$$

Since the Alexander polynomial is symmetric, we know that the largest power of $\Delta_{p,q}(t)$ is $\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2}$.

For the computation of the d -invariant, we follow the conventions of Batson, though this was originally stated by Ozváth and Szabó in [25].

Proposition 3.8 (Proposition 7 in [3]). *Since the Alexander polynomial is symmetric, we can express it as*

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta_{p,q}(t) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i (t^i + t^{-i}).$$

Then the d invariant for $T_{p,q}$ is given by

$$(3.4) \quad d(p, q) = 2t_0 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^d j a_j.$$

Now we will use this to compute the d -invariant for general $T_{p,q}$ torus knots, which we denote $d(p, q)$. For the convenience of the reader, we restate Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. *If $p < q$,*

$$d(p, q) = 2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q-p-1}{2p} \right\rfloor \right).$$

Proof. To prove this, we make use of the following two known equations.

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{t^p - 1}{t - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} t^i$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{t-1}{t^p-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^{ip} \delta$$

where $\delta = 1-t$. As we stated before, we will be considering $t^{(p-1)(q-1)/2} \Delta_{p,q}(t)$ for ease of notation. Using equations 3.5 and 3.6, we get

$$(3.7) \quad t^{(p-1)(q-1)/2} \Delta_{p,q}(t) = \frac{(t^{pq}-1)}{(t^q-1)} \frac{(t-1)}{(t^p-1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} t^{kq} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{np} \delta = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{np+kq} \delta.$$

Note that when we multiply by $t^{(p-1)(q-1)/2}$, the constant term c of $\Delta_{p,q}(t)$ becomes $ct^{(p-1)(q-1)/2}$ in $t^{(p-1)(q-1)/2} \Delta_{p,q}(t)$. Therefore, we only need to consider terms of Equation 3.7 with powers less than $\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2}$. Multiplying $t^{np+kq} \delta$ we obtain $t^{np+kq} - t^{np+kq+1}$, thus the highest power that we need to consider is $np+kq+1$. Hence, we have the inequality $np+kq \leq \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2} - 1$. To obtain a bound on k , we put $n=0$ to get $k \leq \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{p+1}{2q}$.

Because k is an integer, we apply the floor function to each side to get $k \leq \lfloor \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{p+1}{2q} \rfloor$. If n is odd, $\frac{p-1}{2}$ is an integer; as $p < q$, the term $\frac{p+1}{2q}$ is less than $\frac{1}{2}$ but greater than 0, so $k \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1$. Else, if n is even, $\frac{p}{2}$ is an integer; the fractional part, by the previous, is less than 1 but strictly greater than $\frac{1}{2}$, so we again get $k \leq \lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1$. Now that we have a bound on k , we need to know bounds for n . Solving for n in terms of k yields the inequality $n \leq \lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q-p-1}{2p} \rfloor$.

Applying this to the sum, we can then consider the simplified polynomial

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q-p-1}{2p} \rfloor} t^{np+kq} \delta.$$

From here, calculating the weighted sum of the coefficients via Equation 3.4 amounts to counting the occurrences of δ , as $t^m \delta = t^m - t^{m+1}$ contributes $-(m - (m+1)) = 1$ to the sum. Each internal sum amounts to $\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q-p-1}{2p} \rfloor + 1$ terms; pulling each 1 out of the sum and multiplying by 2 gives the d -invariant.

$$\begin{aligned} d(p, q) &= 2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q-p-1}{2p} \rfloor} 1 \right) \\ &= 2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q-p-1}{2p} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \right) \\ &= 2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left\lfloor \frac{(p-1-2k)q-p-1}{2p} \right\rfloor \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

For our specific uses, we use this formula (along with our base cases) to give us the following result.

Proposition 3.9.

$$d(6, q) = \begin{cases} 18k & \text{if } q = 12k + 1, \\ 18k + 6 & \text{if } q = 12k + 5, \\ 18k + 12 & \text{if } q = 12k + 7, \\ 18k + 18 & \text{if } q = 12k + 11, \end{cases}$$

and

$$d(5, q) = \begin{cases} 6k & \text{if } q = 5k + 1, \\ 6k + 2 & \text{if } q = 5k + 2, \\ 6k + 4 & \text{if } q = 5k + 3, \\ 6k + 6 & \text{if } q = 5k + 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will show this is true for $d(6, 12k + 1)$ as the rest of the cases follow similarly.

$$\begin{aligned} d(6, 12k + 1) &= 2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{6}{2} \right\rfloor + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{6}{2} \rfloor - 1} \left\lfloor \frac{(6-1-2j)(12k+1) - 6-1}{2(6)} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= 2 \left(3 + \sum_{k=0}^2 \left\lfloor \frac{(5-2j)(12k+1) - 7}{12} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= 2 \left(3 + \left\lfloor \frac{5(12k+1) - 7}{12} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{3(12k+1) - 7}{12} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{(12k+1) - 7}{12} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= 2 \left(3 + \left\lfloor \frac{5(12k) - 2}{12} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{3(12k) - 4}{12} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{(12k) - 6}{12} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= 2 \left(3 + 9k + \left\lfloor \frac{-2}{12} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{-4}{12} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{-6}{12} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= 2(3 + 9k - 3) \\ &= 18k. \end{aligned}$$

□

We will now compute $\frac{\sigma(p, q)}{2} - d(p, q)$ for $p = 5, 6$ so that we can apply Theorem 2.7.

Proposition 3.10.

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\sigma(6, q)}{2} - d(6, q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q = 12k + 1, \\ 2 & \text{if } q = 12k + 5, \\ -3 & \text{if } q = 12k + 7, \\ -1 & \text{if } q = 12k + 11, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\sigma(5, q)}{2} - d(5, q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q = 10k + 1, \\ -2 & \text{if } q = 10k + 4, \\ 2 & \text{if } q = 10k + 6, \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 10k + 9, \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 5k + 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 5k + 3. \end{cases}$$

Note that this does not give us improved lower bounds on $\gamma_4(T_{p,q})$ for either $p = 5$ or $p = 6$.

3.2 Linking Form Obstructions

There is a useful obstruction to knots bounding Möbius bands which comes from the linking form on the double branched cover of a knot over S^3 , denoted $D_K(S^3)$. We give the reader some brief background but we refer to Rolfsen's book [27] to learn about double branched covers, a paper by Conway, Friedl, and Herrmann [5] to learn linking forms, and to Gilmer and Livingston [10] to learn the details of the obstruction. However, computing this obstruction mainly involves modular arithmetic, making this an approachable technique.

The linking form is a bilinear map on the first homology,

$$\lambda : H_1(D_K(S^3); \mathbb{Z}) \times H_1(D_K(S^3); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Corollary 3.11 (Corollary 3 in [10]). *Suppose that $H_1(D_K(S^3)) = \mathbb{Z}_n$ where n is the product of primes, all with odd exponent. Then if K bounds a Möbius band in B^4 , there is a generator $a \in H_1(D_K(S^3))$ such that $\lambda(a, a) = \pm \frac{1}{n}$.*

It is a fact that the double branched covers of S^3 branched over torus knots $T_{p,q}$ are Brieskorn spheres $\Sigma(2, p, q)$ when p is even [4].

Lemma 3.12 (Lemma 5.3 in [4]). $H_1(\Sigma(2, p, q); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_q$.

Observe that by combining Lemma 3.12 and the definition of the linking form, we can rewrite λ as a bilinear map $\lambda : \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.13 (Proposition 5.2 in [4]). *For any relatively prime positive integers p and q with p even, we have $\lambda(a, a) \equiv -\frac{p}{2q} \pmod{1}$ for some generator a of $H_1(\Sigma(2, p, q); \mathbb{Z})$.*

From Proposition 3.13, we see that the linking form is $\lambda(a, a) = -\frac{p}{2q}$. We wish to determine whether or not there exists a integer n so that $\lambda(na, na) = \pm \frac{1}{q}$ so that we can apply Corollary 3.11 and Lemma 3.12.

Supposing $\lambda(na, na) = \pm \frac{1}{q}$ we have $\lambda(na, na) = n^2 \lambda(a, a) = n^2(-\frac{p}{2q})$ by bilinearity. Thus, we only need to determine whether $-\frac{pn^2}{2}$ is congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{q}$. If there is not a congruence, then we have satisfied the obstruction and $T_{p,q}$ does not bound a Möbius band.

For $T_{6,q}$ torus knots, recall that we have an upper bound $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \leq 3$ for all q and a lower bound $2 \leq \gamma_4(T_{6,q})$ for $q \equiv 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12}$. We wish to determine whether or not $T_{6,q}$ bounds a Möbius band when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. Since $T_{6,1}$ is the unknot, we only consider $q > 1$.

Lemma 3.14. *If $q \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, then $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \geq 2$.*

Proof. Given $T_{6,q}$ where $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, we consider $\lambda(a, a) = -\frac{6}{2q} = -\frac{3}{q}$. As outlined above, we check if $-3n^2$ is congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{q}$. We consider $q = 5$ and note this encompasses the results for $q \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. Suppose there exists some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $\lambda(na, na) = \pm \frac{1}{5}$. Then, $-\frac{3}{5} = n^2(\pm \frac{1}{5})$, which is not possible, as this would imply $-3n^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$. Thus, we have met the obstruction in Corollary 3.11. \square

Remark 3.15. For $K = T_{6,q}$ where $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$, the linking form on $D_K(S^3)$ meets the linking form obstruction when $q \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, but does not meet the linking form obstruction for all $q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. As these torus knots in this latter case have not met any other obstruction mentioned in the paper, the best we can say is that $\gamma_4(T_{6,q}) \geq 1$, meaning we do not yet know whether they bound a Möbius band.

References

- [1] K. Agle. Alexander and conway polynomials of torus knots. *Master's Thesis, University of Tennessee*, 2012.
- [2] S. Allen. Nonorientable surfaces bounded by knots: a geography problem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.14332*, 2020.
- [3] J. Batson. Nonorientable slice genus can be arbitrarily large. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 21(3):423–436, 2014.
- [4] F. Binns, S. Kang, J. Simone, and P. Truöl. On the nonorientable four-ball genus of torus knots. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.09187*, 2021.
- [5] A. Conway, Stefan F., and G. Herrmann. Linking forms revisited. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 12(4):493–515, 2016.
- [6] M. Fairchild. The non-orientable 4-genus of 11 crossing non-alternating knots. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.00996*, 2024.
- [7] P. Feller and D. Krcatovich. On cobordisms between knots, braid index, and the upsilon-invariant. *Mathematische Annalen*, 369(1–2):301–329, 2017.
- [8] Ralph H. Fox and John W. Milnor. Singularities of 2-spheres in 4-space and cobordism of knots. *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, 3(2):257 – 267, 1966.
- [9] N. Ghanbarian. The non-orientable 4-genus for knots with 10 crossings. *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications*, 31(05):2250034, 2022.
- [10] P. Gilmer and C. Livingston. The nonorientable 4-genus of knots. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 84(3):559–577, 2011.
- [11] S. Gong and M. Marengon. Nonorientable link cobordisms and torsion order in floer homologies. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 23(6):2627–2672, 2023.
- [12] C. McA. Gordan and R.A. Litherland. On the signature of a link. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 47:53–69, 1978.
- [13] C. McA. Gordan, R.A. Litherland, and K. Murasugi. Signatures of covering links. *Can. J. Math*, XXXIII(02):381–394, 1981.
- [14] J. Hoste, P. Shanahan, and C. A. Van Cott. On the nonorientable 4-genus of double twist knots. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 32(5):Paper No. 2350036, 30, 2023.
- [15] S. Jabuka and T. Kelly. The nonorientable 4-genus for knots with 8 or 9 crossings. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 18(3):1823–1856, 2018.
- [16] S. Jabuka and C.A. Van Cott. On a nonorientable analogue of the Milnor conjecture. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 21(5):2571 – 2625, 2021.
- [17] P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka. Gauge theory for embedded surfaces. I. *Topology*, 32(4):773–826, 1993.
- [18] C. Livingston and A.H. Moore. Knotinfo: Table of knot invariants. URL: knotinfo.math.indiana.edu, February 2024.
- [19] Andrew Lobb. A counterexample to batson’s conjecture. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–1906, 2019.
- [20] A.H. Moore and M. Vazquez. A note on band surgery and the signature of a knot. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 52(6):1191–1208, 2020.
- [21] H. Murakami and A. Yasuhara. Crosscap number of a knot. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 171(1):261–273, 1995.
- [22] H. Murakami and A. Yasuhara. Four-genus and four-dimensional clasp number of a knot. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 128(12):3693–3699, 2000.
- [23] P. Ozsváth, A. Stipsicz, and Z Szabó. Concordance homomorphisms from knot floer homology. *Advances in Mathematics*, 315:366–426, 2017.
- [24] P. Ozsváth, A. Stipsicz, and Z. Szabó. Unoriented knot floer homology and the unoriented four-ball genus. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2017(17):5137–5181, 2017.
- [25] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. Absolutely graded Floer homologies and intersection forms for four-manifolds with boundary. *Adv. Math.*, 173(2):179–261, 2003.
- [26] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. An overview of knot Floer homology. In *Modern geometry: a celebration of the work of Simon Donaldson*, volume 99 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 213–249. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.
- [27] D. Rolfsen. *Knots and links*, volume 7 of *Mathematics Lecture Series*. Publish or Perish, Inc., Houston, TX, 1990. Corrected reprint of the 1976 original.
- [28] J. Sabloff. On a refinement of the non-orientable 4-genus of torus knots. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Series B*, 10(22):242–251, 2023.
- [29] A. Yasuhara. Connecting lemmas and representing homology classes of simply connected 4-manifolds. *Tokyo J. Math.*, 19(01), 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

Email address: mfarr17@lsu.edu

Email address: jgarc86@lsu.edu

Email address: jmurp61@lsu.edu

Email address: hperc11@lsu.edu