

ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA FOR THE SUM OF A PRIME AND A SQUARE-FULL NUMBER IN SHORT INTERVALS

FUMI OGIHARA AND YUTA SUZUKI

ABSTRACT. Let $R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N)$ be a representation function for the sum of a prime and a square-full number. In this article, we prove an asymptotic formula for the sum of $R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N)$ over positive integers N in a short interval $(X, X + H]$ of length H slightly bigger than $X^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

As one of the well-known Hardy–Littlewood conjectures, it is conjectured that every sufficiently large positive number N is a square or it can be expressed as the sum of a prime and a square. In other words,

$$N = n^2 \quad \text{or} \quad p + n^2,$$

where p denotes a prime number and n denotes a positive integer.

To this conjecture, an effective approach to count N expressible as $p + n^2$ is to use the following function

$$(1) \quad R_{p, \text{sq}}(N) = \sum_{p+n^2=N} \log p$$

or

$$(2) \quad R_{m, \text{sq}}(N) = \sum_{m+n^2=N} \Lambda(m),$$

where $\Lambda(m)$ is the von Mangoldt function given by

$$\Lambda(m) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } m = p^k \text{ for some prime } p \text{ and some integer } k \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call the functions such as (1) and (2) the representation functions.

In [3], Hardy and Littlewood obtained a hypothetical asymptotic formula for N not being a square,

$$(3) \quad R_{m, \text{sq}}(N) = \mathfrak{S} \sqrt{N} + (\text{error}),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}(N) = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{(N/p)}{p-1} \right)$$

with (N/p) being the Legendre symbol. It is difficult to obtain (3) itself, but it may be possible to consider the short interval average of the representation functions:

$$\sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_{m, \text{sq}}(N)$$

for X, H being real numbers with $4 \leq H \leq X$.

In 2017, A. Languasco and A. Zaccagnini showed that

Theorem 1.1. (Languasco–Zaccagnini[6]). *For real numbers X, H with $4 \leq H \leq X$ and any real number $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$(4) \quad \sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_{m, \text{sq}}(N) = HX^{\frac{1}{2}} + O\left(HX^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-C\left(\frac{\log X}{\log \log X}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)\right)$$

provided

$$X^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-C\left(\frac{\log X}{\log \log X}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \leq H \leq X^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

In 2023, Y. Suzuki improved the above theorem:

Theorem 1.2. (Suzuki[7]). *For $X^{\frac{32-4\sqrt{15}}{49}+\varepsilon} \leq H \leq X^{1-\varepsilon}$, the asymptotic formula (4) holds.*

In this article, we study a variant of the above problem, where we replace squares with square-full numbers. Recall that a positive integer n is square-full if a prime p divides n , then p^2 also divides n .

Let us mention some known results about square-full numbers. Let $Q(x)$ be a counting function of square-full numbers less than or equal to x . Then we have following the two theorems.

Theorem 1.3. (Bateman–Grosswald[1]). *For a real number $x \geq 1$, we have*

$$Q(x) = \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})}{\zeta(3)}x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\zeta(\frac{2}{3})}{\zeta(3)}x^{\frac{1}{3}} + O(x^{\frac{1}{6}}).$$

Theorem 1.4. (Filaseta–Trifonov[2]). *Under $x^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{5}{39}+\varepsilon} \leq H \leq x$, we have*

$$Q(x+H) - Q(x) \sim \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})}{2\zeta(3)}Hx^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In 2002, O. Trifonov improved the exponent of x in Theorem 1.4 from $\frac{5}{39}$ to $\frac{19}{154}$ ([8]).

It follows easily that

$$(5) \quad R_{p, \text{sq}}(N) = \sum_{m+n^2=N} \Lambda(m) \leq \sum_{\substack{m+f=N \\ f:\text{square-full}}} \Lambda(m)$$

since squares are square-full numbers. In this article, we consider the evaluation of the most-right-hand side of (5):

$$R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N) := \sum_{\substack{m+f=N \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}}} \Lambda(m),$$

where $\mathcal{Q} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \text{ is square-full}\}$. Especially, we consider the short interval average of the $R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N)$. An easy calculation shows

$$(6) \quad \sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N) = \sum_{\substack{X < m+f \leq X+H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}}} \Lambda(m) = \sum_{m \leq X+H} \Lambda(m) \sum_{\substack{X-m < f \leq X-m+H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}}} 1.$$

By using Theorem 1.4, for $x^{\frac{1}{2}+\theta+\varepsilon} \leq H \leq x$ ($\theta = \frac{5}{39}, \frac{19}{154}$), (6) roughly becomes

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N) &\sim \sum_{m \leq X+H} \Lambda(m) \left(\frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})}{2\zeta(3)} H X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &\sim \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})}{\zeta(3)} H X^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (X \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Our main theorem is the following, that is we improve the range of H in (7) to $\theta = 0$:

Theorem 1.5. *For $X^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \leq H \leq X^{1-\varepsilon}$, we have*

$$\sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N) = \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})}{\zeta(3)} H X^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + O((\log X)^{-1}) \right).$$

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let $\mathbb{1}_P$ be a characteristic function for some condition P .

Lemma 2.1. *For a positive number f , we have*

$$\mathbb{1}_{f \in \mathcal{Q}} = \sum_{a^2 b^3 = f} \mu(b)^2,$$

where $\mu(b)$ is the Möbius function.

Proof. This is well-known. It suffices to prove that any square-full number f can be written uniquely as $f = a^2 b^3$ with a positive integer a and a square-free number b . For positive numbers n and d , we write

$$d \parallel n \quad \text{if} \quad d \mid n \quad \text{and} \quad \left(d, \frac{n}{d} \right) = 1.$$

Then, we have

$$f = \prod_{p^v \parallel f} p^v = \prod_{\substack{p^v \parallel f \\ v: \text{even}}} p^v \prod_{\substack{p^v \parallel f \\ v: \text{odd}}} p^v.$$

If v is odd in $p^v \parallel f$, we have $v \geq 3$ because f is square-full. This gives,

$$f = \prod_{\substack{p^v \parallel f \\ v: \text{even}}} p^v \prod_{\substack{p^v \parallel f \\ v: \text{odd}}} p^{v-3} \left(\prod_{\substack{p^v \parallel f \\ v: \text{odd}}} p \right)^3.$$

Then $\prod_{\substack{p^v \parallel f \\ v: \text{even}}} p^v \prod_{\substack{p^v \parallel f \\ v: \text{odd}}} p^{v-3}$ is square because $v-3$ is even when v is odd and $\prod_{\substack{p^v \parallel f \\ v: \text{odd}}} p$ is square-free because the exponent of each prime factor p is 1. \square

For a real number $B \geq 2$, we define

$$(8) \quad R_B(N) := \sum_{\substack{m+a^2 b^3=N \\ b \leq B}} \Lambda(m) \mu(b)^2.$$

Lemma 2.2. *For a positive number N and a real number $B \geq 1$, we have*

$$R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N) = R_B(N) + O((N^{\frac{1}{2}} \log N) B^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N) = \sum_{\substack{m+f=N \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}}} \Lambda(m) = \sum_{m+a^2b^3=N} \Lambda(m)\mu(b)^2.$$

The difference between $R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N)$ and $R_B(N)$ can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N) - R_B(N) &= \sum_{\substack{m+a^2b^3=N \\ b > B}} \Lambda(m)\mu(b)^2 \\ &\leq \log N \sum_{\substack{m+a^2b^3=N \\ b > B}} 1 \\ &= \log N \sum_{\substack{a^2b^3 \leq N \\ b > B}} 1 \\ &= \log N \sum_{B < b \leq N^{\frac{1}{3}}} \sum_{a^2 \leq \frac{N}{b^3}} 1 \\ &\leq N^{\frac{1}{2}} \log N \sum_{B < b} b^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\ll (N^{\frac{1}{2}} \log N) B^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N) = \sum_{X \leq N \leq X+H} R_B(N) + O\left(\sum_{X < N \leq X+H} (N^{\frac{1}{2}} \log N) B^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

If $B = (\log X)^4$, we have

$$\sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_{m, \text{sq-full}}(N) = \sum_{X \leq N \leq X+H} R_B(N) + O(HX^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log X)^{-1}).$$

The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 2.3. *For a real number x such that $x \geq 2$, we have*

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \Lambda(m) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log x)^2).$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}_B := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n = a^2b^3, b \leq B \text{ and } b \text{ is square-free}\}$. Then from (8)

$$\sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_B(N) = \sum_{\substack{X < m+a^2b^3 \leq X+H \\ b \leq B}} \Lambda(m)\mu(b)^2 = \sum_{\substack{X < m+f \leq X+H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} \Lambda(m).$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_B(N) &= \sum_{\substack{f \leq X+H \\ \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} \sum_{X-f < p \leq X+H-f} \log p + O\left(\sum_{\substack{f \leq X+H \\ \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} X^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log X)^2 \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{f \leq X+H \\ \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} \sum_{X-f < p \leq X+H-f} \log p + O(X(\log X)^2) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{f \leq X-2H \\ \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} \sum_{X-f < p \leq X+H-f} \log p \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\substack{X-2H < f \leq X+H \\ \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} \sum_{X-f < p \leq X+H-f} \log p + O(X(\log X)^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

For the second sum on the most-right-hand side can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{X-2H < f \leq X+H \\ \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} \sum_{X-f < p \leq X+H-f} \log p &\ll H \sum_{\substack{X-2H < f \leq X+H \\ \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} 1 \\
 &\ll H \sum_{b \leq B} \sum_{X-2H < a^2 b^3 \leq X+H} 1 \\
 &\ll H \sum_{b \leq B} \left(\frac{H}{b^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll H^{\frac{3}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_B(N) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{f \leq X-2H \\ \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} \sum_{X-f < p \leq X+H-f} \log p + O(H^{\frac{3}{2}} B + X(\log X)^2) \\
 (9) \quad &= \sum_{m^2 \leq X-2H} \sum_{\substack{m^2 \leq f < (m+1)^2 \\ \tilde{f} \leq X-2H \\ \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} \sum_{X-f < p \leq X+H-f} \log p + O(H^{\frac{3}{2}} + X(\log X)^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4. *For a positive integer m and a square-full number f with $m^2 \leq f < (m+1)^2$, we have*

$$\sum_{X-f < p \leq X+H-f} \log p = \int_m^{m+1} \sum_{X-u^2 < p \leq X+H-u^2} \log p \, du + O(m \log X).$$

Proof. When $u \in [m, f^{\frac{1}{2}}]$, we have

$$X - f < X - u^2 \quad \text{and} \quad X + H - f < X + H - u^2.$$

By recalling $f < (m+1)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{X-f < p \leq X+H-f} \log p - \sum_{X-u^2 < p \leq X+H-u^2} \log p \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{X-f < p \leq X-u^2} \log p + \sum_{X+H-f < p \leq X+H-u^2} \log p \\
& \ll (f - u^2 + 1) \log X \\
& \ll ((m+1)^2 - m^2) \log X \\
& \ll m \log X.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $u \in [f^{\frac{1}{2}}, m+1]$, we have

$$X - u^2 < X - f \quad \text{and} \quad X + H - u^2 < X + H - f$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{X-f < p \leq X+H-f} \log p - \sum_{X-u^2 < p \leq X+H-u^2} \log p \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{X-u^2 < p \leq X-f} \log p + \sum_{X+H-u^2 < p \leq X+H-f} \log p \\
& \ll (u^2 - f + 1) \log X \\
& \ll ((m+1)^2 - m^2) \log X \\
& \ll m \log X.
\end{aligned}$$

In any case, we thus have

$$\sum_{X-f < p \leq X+H-f} \log p = \sum_{X-u^2 < p \leq X+H-u^2} \log p + O(m \log X)$$

for $m \leq u \leq m+1$. By integrating this formula over $m \leq u \leq m+1$, we give the lemma. \square

Substituting Lemma 2.4 into (9) then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_B(N) \\
& = \sum_{m^2 \leq X-2H} \sum_{\substack{m^2 \leq f < (m+1)^2 \\ f \leq X-2H \\ \bar{f} \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} \left(\int_m^{m+1} \sum_{X-u^2 < p \leq X+H-u^2} \log p \, du + O(m \log X) \right) \\
& \quad + O(H^{\frac{3}{2}} + X(\log X)^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By estimating the contribution of $O(m \log X)$ as

$$\sum_{m^2 \leq X-2H} \sum_{\substack{m^2 \leq f < (m+1)^2 \\ f \leq X-2H \\ \bar{f} \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} m \log X \ll X^{\frac{1}{2}} \log X \sum_{\substack{f \leq X-2H \\ \bar{f} \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} 1 \ll X \log X.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_B(N) \\
 = & \sum_{m^2 \leq X-2H} \sum_{\substack{m^2 \leq f < (m+1)^2 \\ f \leq X-2H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} \int_m^{m+1} \left(\sum_{X-u^2 < p \leq X+H-u^2} \log p - H \right) du \\
 (10) \quad & + \sum_{m^2 \leq X-2H} \sum_{\substack{m^2 \leq f < (m+1)^2 \\ f \leq X-2H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} H + O\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}} + X(\log X)^5\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Put the first term to be Σ_1 and the second term to be Σ_2 .

First, we consider Σ_1 . Before evaluating Σ_1 , we first introduce following the lemma and the theorem.

Lemma 2.5. *For $m^2 \leq X - 2H$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{m^2 \leq f < (m+1)^2 \\ f \leq X-2H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} 1 \ll B.$$

Proof. From the definition of \mathcal{Q}_B , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{m^2 \leq f < (m+1)^2 \\ f \leq X-2H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} 1 &= \sum_{\substack{m^2 \leq a^2 b^3 < (m+1)^2 \\ b < B}} \mu(b)^2 \\
 &= \sum_{b \leq B} \sum_{\substack{m^2 \\ b^3 \leq a^2 < \frac{(m+1)^2}{b^3}}} 1 \\
 &= \sum_{b \leq B} \left(\left[\frac{m+1}{b^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right] + \left[\frac{m}{b^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right] \right) \\
 &= \sum_{b \leq B} \left(b^{-\frac{3}{2}} + O(1) \right) \\
 &\ll \sum_{b \leq B} 1 = B.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.6. ([4]). *Let A be any real positive number. For $X^{\frac{1}{6}+\varepsilon} \leq H \leq X$, we have*

$$\int_X^{2X} \left| \sum_{u < p \leq u+H} \log p - H \right|^2 du \ll H^2 X (\log X)^{-A},$$

where the implicit constant depends on A and ε .

Proof. This follows by a standard argument using Perron's formula and the zero-density estimate of Ingham [5] and Huxley [4]. □

We now evaluate Σ_1 . Lemma 2.5 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1 &= \sum_{m^2 \leq X-2H} \sum_{\substack{m^2 \leq f < (m+1)^2 \\ f \leq X-2H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} \int_m^{m+1} \left(\sum_{X-u^2 < p \leq X+H-u^2} \log p - H \right) du \\ &\ll B \int_1^{[\sqrt{X-2H}]+1} \left| \sum_{X-u^2 < p \leq X+H-u^2} \log p - H \right| du \\ &\ll B \int_{X-([\sqrt{X-2H}]+1)^2}^{X-1} \left| \sum_{t < p \leq t+H} \log p - H \right| \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{X-t}} \right) dt.\end{aligned}$$

When $X^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \leq H$, we have $X - ([\sqrt{X-2H}] + 1)^2 \geq H$ and

$$\Sigma_1 \ll B \int_H^{X-1} \left| \sum_{t < p \leq t+H} \log p - H \right| \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{X-t}} \right) dt.$$

From Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1 &\ll B \left(\left(\int_H^{X-1} \left(\sum_{t < p \leq t+H} \log p - H \right)^2 dt \right) \left(\int_H^{X-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{X-t}} \right)^2 dt \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll B \left(\left(\int_H^{X-1} \left(\sum_{t < p \leq t+H} \log p - H \right)^2 dt \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log X)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Let K be a positive number such that $2^K H \leq X-1 < 2^{K+1} H$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1 &\ll B \left(\left(\int_H^{2^{K+1}H} \left(\sum_{t < p \leq t+H} \log p - H \right)^2 dt \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log X)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll B \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \left(\int_{2^k H}^{2^{k+1}H} \left(\sum_{t < p \leq t+H} \log p - H \right)^2 dt \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log X)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $H \geq X^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ and $2^k H \leq X$ in the last integrals, we may use Theorem 2.6, to get

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1 &\ll B \left(\sum_{k=0}^K \left(2^k H^3 (\log X)^{-A} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log X)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll B \left(2^K K H^3 (\log X)^{-A} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log X)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll H X^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log X)^{-A},\end{aligned}$$

where we replaced the value of A appropriately at the last line.

Next, we consider Σ_2 . We first rewrite the sum as

$$(11) \quad \Sigma_2 = \sum_{m^2 \leq X-2H} \sum_{\substack{m^2 \leq f < (m+1)^2 \\ f \leq X-2H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} H = \sum_{\substack{f \leq X-2H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}_B}} H = \sum_{\substack{f \leq X-2H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}}} H - \sum_{\substack{f \leq X-2H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_B}} H.$$

By using Theorem 1.3, the first term in (11) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{f \leq X-2H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q}}} H &= \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})}{\zeta(3)} H(X-2H)^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(HX^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log X)^{-1}) \\
 &= \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})}{\zeta(3)} HX^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})}{\zeta(3)} H \left((X-2H)^{\frac{1}{2}} - X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + O(HX^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log X)^{-1}) \\
 &= \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})}{\zeta(3)} HX^{\frac{1}{2}} + O\left(H^2 X^{-\frac{1}{2}} + HX^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log X)^{-1}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The second term in (11) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{f \leq X-2H \\ f \in \mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathcal{Q}_B}} H &\ll \sum_{\substack{a^2 b^3 \leq X \\ B < b}} \mu(b)^2 H \\
 &\ll \sum_{B < b \leq X^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{a^2 \leq \frac{X}{b^3}} H \\
 &\ll \sum_{B < b} HX^{\frac{1}{2}} b^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\
 &\ll HX^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\ll HX^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log X)^{-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

These results give

$$\Sigma_2 = \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})}{\zeta(3)} HX^{\frac{1}{2}} + O\left(H^2 X^{-\frac{1}{2}} + HX^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log X)^{-1}\right).$$

Then, (10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_B(N) \\
 &= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + O\left(H^{\frac{3}{2}} B + H^2 X^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log X)^5 + X (\log X)^5\right) \\
 &= \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})}{\zeta(3)} HX^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\quad + O\left(HX^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log X)^{-1} + H^{\frac{3}{2}} B + H^2 X^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log X)^5 + X (\log X)^5\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $X^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \leq H \leq X^{1-\varepsilon}$ gives

$$\sum_{X < N \leq X+H} R_B(N) = \frac{\zeta(\frac{3}{2})}{\zeta(3)} HX^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + O((\log X)^{-1})\right),$$

which leads to Theorem 1.5.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Prof. Maki Nakasuji for her guidance and helpful advice.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. T. Bateman and E. Grosswald, *On a theorem of Erdős and Szekeres*, Illinois J. Math. **2** (1940), 88–98.
- [2] M. Filaseta and O. Trifonov, *The distribution of squarefull numbers in short intervals*, Acta Arith. 67,no. 4 (1940), 323–333.
- [3] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some problems of 'Partitio Numerorum'; III: On the expression of a number as a sum of primes*, Acta Math. **44** (1) (1923), 1–70.
- [4] M. N. Huxley, *On the difference between consecutive primes*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), 164–170.
- [5] A. E. Ingham, *On the estimation of $N(\sigma, T)$* , Q. J. Math **8** (1940), 291–292.
- [6] A. Languasco and A. Zaccagnini, *Short intervals asymptotic formulae for binary problems with primes and powers, I: density $3/2$* , Ramanujan J. **42** (2) (2017), 371–383.
- [7] Y. Suzuki, *On the sum of a prime power and a power in short intervals*, Ark. Mat. **61** (2023), 437–474.
- [8] O. Trifonov, *Lattice points close to a smooth curve and squarefull numbers in short intervals*, J. London Math. Soc. **65** (2002), 303–319,.

FUMI OGIHARA

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
SOPHIA UNIVERSITY,
7-1 KIOICHO, CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 102-8554, JAPAN
Email address: `f-ogihara-8o8@eagle.sophia.ac.jp`

YUTA SUZUKI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
RIKKYO UNIVERSITY,
3-34-1 NISHI-IKEBUKURO, TOSHIMA-KU, TOKYO 171-8501, JAPAN.
Email address: `suzuyu@rikkyo.ac.jp`