

# PIECEWISE CONTINUOUS MAPS ON THE INTERVAL

KLEYBER CUNHA<sup>1</sup>, MARCIO GOUVEIA<sup>2</sup> AND PAULO SANTANA<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. Let  $f$  be a piecewise continuous map on the interval with at most a finite number of turning points. In this paper we study some basic properties about this class of functions and show its main difference from the continuous case. We define and study the notion of closed structure, which can be seen as an extension of the notion of periodic orbit. Moreover, we also study the periodic orbits which are away from the discontinuities of  $f$ , extending the notion of trapped and free periodic orbits.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Mappings from an interval to itself provide the simplest possible examples of smooth dynamical systems. Such mappings have been studied over the years since they occur in quite varied applications. More precisely, in the study of real one-dimensional dynamics in the interval, i.e., a smooth map  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$  we are interested in the possible behaviour of the successive images of an initial point  $x_0 \in [a, b]$ . The successive images of  $f$  at  $x_0$  is the set  $O_f(x_0) = \{f(x_0), f^2(x_0) = f \circ f(x_0), \dots, f^n(x_0) = f(f^{n-1}(x_0)), \dots\}$  that is commonly called the orbit of  $x_0$  by  $f$ . Thus our basic goal is to understand all orbits of  $f$ . Orbits of points of  $f$  can be quite complicated sets, even for very simple maps. However, there are some orbits which are especially simple and will play a central role in the study of the entire system  $f$ . More details in the study of qualitative behavior of the successive iterates of  $f$  can be found in Collet and Eckmann [1], Li and Yorke [2], Milnor and Thurston [3], Singer [6] and their references.

We say that  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$  is a periodic point of period  $m$  if  $f^m(x_0) = x_0$  and  $f^i(x_0) \neq x_0$  for  $0 < i < m$ . When  $m = 1$  we say that  $x_0$  is a fixed point. The usual classification of periodic points as being stable, semi-stable (or one-side stable) and unstable. A periodic point  $x_0$  is called stable if there exists a non-trivial interval  $J \subset [0, 1]$  with  $x_0$  in the interior of  $J$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f^{nm}(J)| = 0$ , where  $|J|$  denotes the length of interval  $J$ ;  $x_0$  is called one-side stable if it is not stable but there exists a non-trivial interval  $J \subset [0, 1]$  having  $x_0$  as an end-point such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f^{nm}(J)| = 0$ ;  $x_0$  is called unstable if it is neither stable nor one-side stable.

In his work Preston [5], based on the work of Singer [6], study piecewise monotone functions. A continuous map  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called piecewise monotone functions if there exist  $N \geq 0$  and  $0 = d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_N < d_{N+1} = 1$  such that  $f$  is strictly monotone on each intervals  $[d_k, d_{k+1}]$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, N$ . In addition to the classification of the periodic points mentioned above, the author introduces the notion of a periodic point being *trapped* (see Definition 4). Let  $P(f)$  the set of all periodic orbits,  $P_s(f)$  and  $P_u(f)$  the set of elements in  $P(f)$  which are either stable or one-sided stable and unstable, respectively. In [5] was proved how large is the set  $P_s(f)$  whose elements are not trapped and conditions were established for the elements of  $P_s(f)$  to be trapped.

In this work we were able to generalize these results to a more general class of maps: *the piecewise continuous maps*. Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we say that  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$  is *piecewise continuous* if there is a partition

$$(1) \quad a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_N < x_{N+1} = b$$

of the interval  $[a, b]$ , such that the following statements hold.

- (a)  $f$  is continuous on the intervals  $(x_i, x_{i+1})$ ,  $i \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ ;
- (b)  $f$  is discontinuous on  $x_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ ;

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 37E05.

*Key words and phrases*. Piecewise continuous map on the interval, periodic orbits, trapped orbits, free orbits.

(c) The lateral limits

$$f(x_0^+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} f(x), \quad f(x_i^+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^+} f(x), \quad f(x_i^-) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_i^-} f(x), \quad f(x_{N+1}^-) = \lim_{x \rightarrow b^-} f(x),$$

exist,  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ .

The points  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  are the *discontinuity points* of  $f$ . The class of piecewise continuous maps has shown great interest among researchers from different areas and has been studied from different points of view. One of the most studied class of piecewise continuous functions is the *Lorenz map*, used as a tool to study the Lorenz equations. For a survey on the Lorenz map, we refer to the PhD thesis of Pierre [4] and Winckler [9]. For a detailed study of the Lorenz equations, we refer to Sparrow [7].

In this paper, as mentioned previously, we approach the piecewise continuous function aiming to generalize some results about the dynamics of continuous functions. More precisely, we study some basic properties about piecewise continuous functions and show its main difference from the continuous case. We define and study the notion of closed structure, which can be seen as an extension of the notion of periodic orbit. Moreover, we also study the periodic orbits which are away from the discontinuities of  $f$ , extending the notion of trapped and free periodic orbits. For a detailed study of the dynamics of continuous functions on the interval, we refer to Strien [8] and Preston [5].

The paper is organized as follows. The basic properties of the piecewise continuous functions and their main difference from the continuous case are studied in Section 2. The periodic orbits and the closed structures are studied in Section 3. In Section 4 we study the periodic orbits that are away from the discontinuity points of  $f$ , providing the notion of free and trapped orbits.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND BASIC PROPERTIES

Given a piecewise continuous  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ , we say that  $f$  is *well behaved* if on each interval  $I_i = (x_i, x_{i+1})$ ,  $i \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ , there is a partition

$$(2) \quad x_i = d_{i,0} < d_{i,1} < \dots < d_{i,N_i} < d_{i,N_i+1} = x_{i+1},$$

such that  $f_i = f|_{I_i}$  satisfies the following statements.

- (a)  $f_i$  is strictly monotone on the intervals  $[d_{i,j}, d_{i,j+1}]$ ,  $j \in \{0, \dots, N_i\}$ ;
- (b)  $f_i$  is not monotone on any neighborhood of  $d_{i,j}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, N_i\}$ .

The points  $d_{i,j}$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, N_i\}$  and  $i \in \{0, \dots, N\}$  are the *turning points* of  $f$ . See Figure 1. Let




FIGURE 1. Illustration of a well behaved piecewise continuous function.

$P([a, b])$  denote the set of the well behaved piecewise continuous function on the interval  $[a, b]$ . Given  $f \in P([a, b])$ , let

$$a = w_0 < w_1 < \dots < w_n < w_{n+1} = b$$

be the partition of  $[a, b]$  given by the union of (1) and (2), for  $j \in \{0, \dots, N_i\}$  and  $i \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ . The points  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  are the *special points* of  $f$ . Let  $S(f)$  denote the set of the special points of  $f$ . Let also  $T(f) \subset S(f)$  and  $D(f) \subset S(f)$  denote the turning points and the discontinuity points of  $f$ , respectively. Let  $w \in D(f)$  be a point of discontinuity of  $f$ . It is convenient to leave  $f$  undefined

at  $w$  because the iterates of  $w$  plays no important role. What is really important are the iterates of  $f(w^+)$  and  $f(w^-)$ . Therefore, it is more convenient to regard  $w$  as two distinct points  $w^-$  and  $w^+$ . In particular, from now on, we assume that  $f \in P([a, b])$  is continuous on  $a$  and  $b$  (i.e. we take  $f(a) = f(a^+)$  and  $f(b) = f(b^-)$ ).

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $f \in P([a, b])$ . Then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is finite, for every  $y \in [a, b]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose by contradiction that there is  $y_0 \in [a, b]$  such that  $f^{-1}(y_0)$  has infinitely many elements. Let  $\{y_n\} \subset f^{-1}(y_0)$  be an increasing sequence. Since  $f(y_n) = y_0$  for every  $n \geq 1$ , it follows that there is  $x_n \in (y_n, y_{n+1})$  such that one of the following statements hold.

- (a)  $f$  is discontinuous in  $x_n$ ;
- (b)  $f$  has a local minimal or maximal at  $x_n$ .

In either case, we have  $x_n \in S(f)$  and thus  $S(f)$  has infinitely many elements, contradicting the definition of  $P([a, b])$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *If  $f, g \in P([a, b])$ , then  $f \circ g \in P([a, b])$  and*

$$[S(g) \cup g^{-1}(S(f))] \setminus D(g) \subset S(f \circ g) \subset S(g) \cup g^{-1}(S(f)).$$

*Proof.* To simplify the notation let

$$M_1 = [S(g) \cup g^{-1}(S(f))] \setminus D(g), \quad M_2 = S(g) \cup g^{-1}(S(f)).$$

Consider  $x \in [a, b] \setminus M_2$ . Since  $x \notin S(g)$ , it follows that  $g$  is continuous and monotone on a neighborhood of  $x$ . Since  $x \notin g^{-1}(S(f))$ , it follows that  $f$  is continuous and monotone on a neighborhood of  $g(x)$  and thus  $f \circ g$  is continuous and monotone in a neighborhood of  $x$ . It follows from Proposition 1 that  $M_2$  is finite and thus  $f \circ g$  has at most a finite number of points in which it is either not continuous or not monotone. Let  $x_0 \in M_2$  be one of such points. Let  $(x_n) \subset [a, b]$  be a sequence such that  $x_n \rightarrow x_0^+$  (i.e.  $(x_n)$  approaches  $x_0$  by the right-hand side). Since  $M_2$  is finite, it follows that  $x_0$  is isolated and thus we can suppose that  $(x_n) \subset [a, b] \setminus M_2$ . It follows from  $g \in P([a, b])$  that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n) = g(x_0^+).$$

In particular, if  $g$  is continuous in  $x_0$ , then  $g(x_0^+) = g(x_0)$ . Let  $I$  be a semi-open interval having  $x_0$  has left-hand side end-point and closed at  $x_0$ . Observe that  $g$  is monotonous on  $I$ , provided  $I$  is small enough. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $g$  is decreasing in  $I$ . Hence, it follows that  $g(x_n) \rightarrow g(x_0^+)^-$  (i.e.  $g(x_n)$  approaches  $g(x_0^+)$  by the left-hand side). Since  $(x_n) \notin M_2$ , it follows that  $(g(x_n)) \notin S(f)$  and thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(g(x_n)) = f(g(x_0^+)^-).$$

Therefore, it follows that the lateral limit  $(f \circ g)(x_0^+) = f(g(x_0^+)^-)$  is well defined. Similarly, one can prove that  $(f \circ g)(x_0^-)$  is also well defined and thus we conclude that  $f \circ g \in P([a, b])$  and  $S(f \circ g) \subset M_2$ . We now prove that  $M_1 \subset S(f \circ g)$ . Let  $x \in M_1$ . Suppose first that  $x \in S(g) \setminus D(g)$ , i.e.  $x \in T(g)$  is a local minimal or maximal of  $g$ . In either case, given a small neighborhood  $J$  of  $x$ , it follows that  $K = g(J)$  is a semi-open interval having  $g(x) \in K$  as an end-point. Observe that  $f$  is either continuous or discontinuous at  $g(x)$ . If  $f$  is discontinuous, then  $x \in S(f \circ g)$ . Therefore, suppose that it is continuous. Restricting  $J$  if necessary, we can suppose that  $f$  is monotone on  $K$  and thus  $f(K) = (f \circ g)(J)$  is a semi-open interval having  $(f \circ g)(x)$  as an end-point. Hence,  $x \in T(f \circ g) \subset S(f \circ g)$ . Suppose now that  $x \in g^{-1}(S(f)) \setminus D(g)$ . It follows from the previous argumentation that we can suppose  $x \notin T(g)$ . Thus,  $g$  is monotone in a neighborhood  $J$  of  $x$ . If  $g(x) \in T(f)$ , then  $(f \circ g)(J)$  is a semi-open interval having  $(f \circ g)(x)$  as an end-point and thus  $x \in S(f \circ g)$ . If  $g(x) \in D(f)$ , then  $f \circ g$  is discontinuous at  $x$  and thus  $x \in S(f \circ g)$ . Hence, we conclude that  $M_1 \subset S(f \circ g)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $f \in P([a, b])$ . Then  $f^n \in P([a, b])$ , for every  $n \geq 1$ . Moreover,*

$$(3) \quad S(f^n) \subset \{y \in [a, b] : f^k(y) \in S(f), \text{ for some } k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}\},$$

*Proof.* The proof is by induction. It follows from Proposition 2 that  $f^2 \in P([a, b])$  and that,

$$S(f^2) \subset S(f) \cup f^{-1}(S(f)) = \{y \in [a, b]: f^k(y) \in S(f), k \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

Suppose that  $f^n \in P([a, b])$  and that,

$$(4) \quad S(f^n) \subset \{y \in [a, b]: f^k(y) \in S(f), k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}\}.$$

Since  $f^{n+1} = f \circ f^n$ , it follows from Proposition 2 and from (4) that  $f^{n+1} \in P([a, b])$  and that,

$$S(f^{n+1}) \subset S(f^n) \cup f^{-n}(S(f)) \subset \{y \in [a, b]: f^k(y) \in S(f), k \in \{0, \dots, n\}\}.$$

This finish the proof.  $\square$

We now point the first main difference from the continuous case. Let,

$$M(n) = \{y \in [a, b]: f^k(y) \in S(f), \text{ for some } k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}\}.$$

Suppose that  $f$  is continuous (in special, observe that  $S(f^n) = T(f^n)$ ). It follows from Proposition 2 that  $T(f^2) = M(2)$  and thus it follows from the proof of Proposition 3 that  $T(f^n) = M(n)$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . In special, if  $m \geq n$ , then

$$(5) \quad T(f^n) \subset T(f^m).$$

In this discontinuous case, it follows from Proposition 3 that  $S(f^n) \subset M(n)$ . We claim that the equality is not always possible. The reason for this is the fact that  $p \in D(f)$  does not imply  $p \in D(f^2)$  (i.e.  $p$  be a discontinuity point of  $f$ , does not necessary means that it is also a discontinuity point of  $f^2$ ). Indeed, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  small, consider the function  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x + \varepsilon, & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2}, \\ x - \varepsilon, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and let  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ . It is clear that  $f$  is discontinuous at  $p$ . Indeed, observe that,

$$f(p^+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow p^+} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+} (x - \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \quad f(p^-) = \lim_{x \rightarrow p^-} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^-} (x + \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon.$$

However,

$$f^2(p^+) = f(f(p^+)) = f\left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right) + \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$f^2(p^-) = f(f(p^-)) = f\left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right) - \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence,  $f^2$  is continuous in  $p$ . Altogether, it is not hard to see that  $f^2$  is given by,

$$f^2(x) = \begin{cases} x + 2\varepsilon, & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \\ x & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon < x < \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon, \\ x - 2\varepsilon, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

See Figure 2. Observe that  $S(f^2) = \{\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\}$  and  $M(2) = \{\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\}$ . Observe also that  $S(f) \not\subset S(f^2)$ . Hence, we also lose the property given by (5). That is, given  $m \geq n$ , we not necessarily have  $S(f^n) = S(f^m)$ . However, as we shall see along this paper, the fact that  $S(f^n)$  is still contained in  $M(n)$  will be enough to obtain our results.

### 3. PERIODIC ORBITS AND CLOSED STRUCTURES

Let  $f \in P([a, b])$ . We recall that given a discontinuity point  $w$  of  $f$ , it follows from the definition of  $P([a, b])$  that the lateral limits

$$f(w^+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow w^+} f(x), \quad f(w^-) = \lim_{x \rightarrow w^-} f(x),$$

exists and are well defined. Therefore, we leave  $f$  undefined at  $w$  and regard  $w$  as two distinct points,  $w^+$  and  $w^-$ . Let  $D(f) = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  be the points of discontinuities of  $f$ . A *variant* of  $f$  is a function  $g: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$  such that  $g(x) = f(x)$  if  $x \in [a, b] \setminus D(f)$ , and such that

$$g(w_i) = f(w_i^+) \quad \text{or} \quad g(w_i) = f(w_i^-),$$




FIGURE 2. An illustration of the graphs of  $f$  and  $f^2$ , given by the thicker lines.

for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}(f)$  be the collection of the variants of  $f$ . Observe that if  $f$  has  $k$  points of discontinuities, then  $\mathcal{E}(f)$  is a collection of  $2^k$  functions. Given  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in [a, b]$ , the *structure* of  $x$  is the set,

$$O((x)) = \bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{E}(f)} \{g^n(x) : n \geq 0\}.$$

Let

$$O_f^-(D) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(D(f)),$$

and observe that if  $x \notin O_f^-(D)$ , then the structure  $O((x))$  agrees with the usual definition of orbit,

$$O((x)) = \{f^n(x) : n \geq 0\}.$$

On the other hand, if  $x \in O_f^-(D)$ , then there are  $n \geq 0$  and  $w \in D(f)$  such that  $f^n(x) = w$ . Hence, the structure of  $x$  is given by,

$$O((x)) = \{x, f(x), \dots, f^{n-1}(x), w\} \cup \{f(w^+), f^2(w^+), \dots\} \cup \{f(w^-), f^2(w^-), \dots\}.$$

See Figure 3. A subset  $O(x) \subset O((x))$  is an *orbit* of  $x$  if




FIGURE 3. An illustration of  $O((x))$ .

$$O(x) = \{g^n(x) : n \geq 0\},$$

for some  $g \in \mathcal{E}(f)$ . In particular, observe that if  $x \notin O_f^-(D)$ , then  $x$  has an unique orbit which agrees with the usual definition,

$$O(x) = O((x)) = \{f^n(x) : n \geq 0\}.$$

On the other hand, if  $x \in O_f^-(D)$ , then  $x$  may have multiple orbits (for example, in Figure 3 we have at least three orbits). We say that an orbit  $O(x)$  is *eventually periodic* if it is finite. Let  $O(x)$  be an eventually periodic orbit. Since  $O(x)$  is a finite set of points, it follows that there is some minimum  $n \geq 0$  such that  $f^n(x) = f^k(x)$ , for some  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . If  $k = 0$  (i.e. if  $f^n(x) = x$ ), then we say that  $O(x)$  is *periodic* and that  $n$  is its *period* and we denote  $O(x) = [x]$ . If  $x \in [a, b]$  has some periodic orbit, then we say that  $x$  is *periodic*. Moreover, if the period of  $[x]$  is 1, then we say that  $x$  is *fixed*. Let  $P(f)$  denote the set of the periodic points of  $f$ .

We now point another difference from the continuous case. Let  $x, y \in P(f)$  and suppose that  $[x]$  has period  $n$ . If  $f$  is continuous, then it is easy to see that  $[y] = [x]$  if, and only if,  $y = f^k(x)$  for some  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . Therefore, we conclude that if  $f$  is continuous, then two periodic orbits intersect if, and only if, they are equal. However, if  $f$  is discontinuous, then this does not hold. For example, consider the function given by Figure 4. Observe that each side of the discontinuity point




FIGURE 4. An illustration of two distinct periodic orbits with intersection.

$w$  is a periodic point. Moreover, the periodic orbits  $[w^+] = \{w^+, z_1, z_2, x\}$  and  $[w^-] = \{w^-, y_1, x\}$  are distinct and have different periods, but yet intersect at the point  $x$ . Therefore, we have  $[w^+] \cap [w^-] \neq \emptyset$  and  $[w^+] \neq [w^-]$ . Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in [a, b]$ . We say that the structure  $O((x))$  is *closed* if it is finite. In this case, we say that  $x$  is *confined* and we denote  $O((x)) = [[x]]$ . See Figure 5. Let  $C(f)$




FIGURE 5. An illustration of a closed structure  $[[x]]$ . Observe that  $[[x]]$  has a periodic orbit  $[x] = \{x, \dots, x_8\}$  of period 9; a periodic orbit  $[y] = \{y, y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  of period 4 and a fixed point  $z$ .

denote the set of the confined points of  $f$ . Observe that if  $x \in C(f)$  and  $y \in [[x]]$ , then  $y \in C(f)$  and  $[[y]] \subset [[x]]$ . Moreover, in this case observe that we not necessarily have  $[[y]] = [[x]]$ . See for example

Figure 5. There, we have  $[[y]] = \{y, y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  (i.e.  $[[y]]$  is actually a periodic orbit of period 4). Observe that if  $x \in C(f)$  is such that  $x \notin O_f^-(D)$ , then  $x$  is eventually periodic. In particular, if  $f$  is continuous, then  $x$  is confined if, and only if, it is eventually periodic. Given an interval  $J$ , let  $|J|$  denote its length. We say that  $J$  is *non-trivial* if  $|J| > 0$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in C(f)$ . We say that  $x$  is *stable* if there is a neighborhood  $J$  of  $x$  such that,

$$(6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f^m(J)| = 0.$$

Observe that  $f$  may not be continuous on some point of  $[[x]]$  and thus  $f^m(J)$  may be a collection of intervals. In this case, (6) means that the sum of the length of such intervals goes to zero. We say that  $x$  is *semi-stable* if it is not stable and if there is a non-trivial interval  $J$  having  $x$  as an end-point (also known as *lateral neighborhood* of  $x$ ) and such that,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f^m(J)| = 0.$$

If  $x$  is neither stable nor semi-stable, we say that it is *unstable*.

**Remark 1.** Let  $x \in C(f)$ . If  $x$  is stable, then (6) means that there is a neighborhood  $J$  of  $x$  such that the orbits of this neighborhood are still confined near  $[[x]]$ . More precisely, although  $J$  may bifurcate in many sub-intervals, each such sub-intervals are yet hanging around  $[[x]]$  and converging to it. Similarly, if  $x$  is semi-stable, then it has a lateral neighborhood with this property.

Given a neighborhood  $J$  of a point  $x$ , let  $J^+$  and  $J^-$  denote the right-hand side and left-hand side lateral neighborhoods of  $x$ . That is,  $J = J^- \cup J^+$  and  $J^- \cap J^+ = \{x\}$ . Let  $f \in P([a, b])$ ,  $[x] = \{x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$  be a periodic orbit. Consider  $x_k \in [x]$  and let  $J$  and  $J_k$  be neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $x_k$ . If  $f$  is continuous, then it is clear that there are neighborhoods  $I \subset J$  and  $I_k \subset J_k$  of  $x$  and  $x_k$  such that  $f^k(I) \subset J_k$  and  $f^{n-k}(I_k) \subset J$ . Therefore, if we know some information about  $x$  (e.g.  $x$  is stable, semi-stable or unstable), then we send this information to  $x_k$ , or send  $x_k$  to the information. However, in the discontinuous case this is not necessarily the case. Consider for example the periodic orbit  $[x] = \{x_1, x_2^+, x_3^+, x_4^+\}$  given by Figure 6. Observe that  $f(J_1^+) = f(J_1^-) = J_2^-$  and




FIGURE 6. An illustration of the dynamics of a periodic orbit with discontinuity points.

$f(J_4^+) = J_1^+$ . That is, we can carry at least one lateral neighborhood of  $x_1$  to  $x_2$  and at least one lateral neighborhood from  $x_4$  to  $x_1$ . Hence, we can use the information known at  $x_1$  to obtain some information about  $x_2$  and  $x_4$ . However, we cannot carry a lateral neighborhood of  $x_1$  to  $x_3$  and neither a lateral neighborhood of  $x_3$  to  $x_1$ . Indeed, from the information known about  $J_1^-$  and  $J_1^+$  we can obtain information about  $J_2^-$ . But from  $x_2$  to  $x_3$  we carry  $J_2^+$ , for which we do not have information. Similarly, from  $x_3$  to  $x_4$  we carry  $J_3^+$  to  $J_4^-$ . But from  $x_4$  to  $x_1$  we carry  $J_4^+$ . See Figure 7. To deal with this information problem, we define the following (non-equivalence) relations between two points of a given closed structure.

**Definition 2.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$ ,  $x \in C(f)$  and  $y, z \in [[x]]$ .

- (i) We say that we have a level 1 connection from  $y$  to  $z$  if there are  $k \geq 0$  and a lateral neighborhood  $I$  of  $y$  such that  $f^k(I)$  is a lateral neighborhood of  $z$ ;





FIGURE 7. An example of the dynamics given by Figure 6.

- (ii) We say that we have a level 2 connection from  $y$  to  $z$  if there are  $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$  and a lateral neighborhood  $I$  of  $y$  such that  $f^{k_1}(I)$  and  $f^{k_2}(I)$  are lateral neighborhoods of  $z$  and such that  $f^{k_1}(I) \cup f^{k_2}(I)$  is a neighborhood of  $z$ ;
- (iii) We say that we have a level 3 connection from  $y$  to  $z$  if there are  $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$  and a neighborhood  $J$  of  $y$  such that  $f^{k_1}(J^-)$  and  $f^{k_2}(J^+)$  are lateral neighborhoods of  $z$  and  $f^{k_1}(J^-) \cup f^{k_2}(J^+)$  is a lateral neighborhood of  $z$ ;
- (iv) We say that we have a level 4 connection from  $y$  to  $z$  if there are  $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$  and a neighborhood  $J$  of  $y$  such that  $f^{k_1}(J^-)$  and  $f^{k_2}(J^+)$  are lateral neighborhoods of  $y$  and  $f^{k_1}(J^-) \cup f^{k_2}(J^+)$  is a neighborhood of  $z$ .

For simplicity, if for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  we have a level  $j$  connection from  $y$  to  $z$ , then we denote  $y \sim_j z$ . See Figure 8.

FIGURE 8. Illustrations of  $y \sim_j z$ , for  $j \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ .

**Remark 2.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in C(f)$ . If  $x$  is semi-stable, then it follows from Definition 1 that there is a lateral neighborhood  $I_s$  of  $x$  such that,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f^m(I_s)| = 0.$$

In this case, we refer to  $I_s$  as a stable lateral neighborhood of  $x$ . Let  $I_u$  be any lateral neighborhood “on the other side” of  $x$ , i.e. let  $I_u$  be a lateral neighborhood of  $x$  such that  $I_s \cap I_u = \{x\}$ . Since  $x$  is not stable, it follows that  $|f^m(I_u)| \not\rightarrow 0$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case, we refer to  $I_u$  as a unstable lateral neighborhood of  $x$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$ ,  $x \in C(f)$  and  $y \in [[x]]$ . Then the following statements hold.

- (a) If  $x$  is stable and
  - (i)  $y \sim_4 x$ ,  $y \sim_3 x$ ,  $x \sim_4 y$  or  $x \sim_2 y$ , then  $y$  is stable;
  - (ii)  $y \sim_2 x$ ,  $y \sim_1 x$ ,  $x \sim_3 y$  or  $x \sim_1 y$ , then  $y$  is not unstable;
- (b) If  $x$  is unstable and
  - (i)  $y \sim_4 x$ ,  $y \sim_3 x$ ,  $x \sim_4 y$  or  $x \sim_2 y$ , then  $y$  is unstable;
  - (ii)  $y \sim_2 x$ ,  $y \sim_1 x$ ,  $x \sim_3 y$  or  $x \sim_1 y$ , then  $y$  is not stable;



- (c) If  $x$  is semi-stable, then let  $I_s$  and  $I_u$  be the stable and unstable lateral neighborhoods of  $x$  and let  $J$  be a lateral neighborhood of  $y$ . The following statements hold.
- (i) If  $x \sim_4 y$  or  $y \sim_4 x$ , then  $y$  is semi-stable;
  - (ii) If  $y \sim_3 x$  or  $x \sim_2 y$ , then  $y$  is not semi-stable;
  - (iii)  $x \sim_3 y$  and  $y \sim_2 x$  are impossible;
  - (iv) If  $x \sim_1 y$  and  $f^k(I_s)$  (resp.  $f^k(I_u)$ ) is a lateral neighborhood of  $y$  for some  $k \geq 0$ , then  $y$  is not unstable (resp. not stable);
  - (v) If  $y \sim_1 x$  and  $f^k(J) \cap (I_s \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$  (resp.  $f^k(J) \cap (I_u \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ ) for some  $k \geq 0$ , then  $y$  is not unstable (resp. not stable).

*Proof.* Let us look at statement (a). Suppose that  $x$  is stable and let  $I$  be a neighborhood of  $x$  such that,

$$(7) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f^m(I)| = 0.$$

Suppose first that  $y \sim_4 x$  or  $y \sim_3 x$ . In either case, it follows that there are  $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$  and a neighborhood  $J$  of  $y$  such that  $f^{k_1}(J^-) \cup f^{k_2}(J^+) \subset I$ . Let  $k_0 = \max\{k_1, k_2\}$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows from (7) that there is  $m_0 \geq 0$  such that  $|f^m(I)| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ , for every  $m \geq m_0$ . Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f^m(J)| &= |f^m(J^- \cup J^+)| = |f^m(J^-) \cup f^m(J^+)| \leq |f^m(J^-)| + |f^m(J^+)| \\ &= |f^{m-k_1}(f^{k_1}(J^-))| + |f^{m-k_2}(f^{k_2}(J^+))| \leq |f^{m-k_1}(I)| + |f^{m-k_2}(I)| < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $m \geq m_0 + k_0$ . Hence,  $y$  is stable. Suppose now that  $x \sim_4 y$ . In this case, there are  $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$  such that  $J = f^{k_1}(I^-) \cup f^{k_2}(I^+)$  is a neighborhood of  $y$ . Hence, it follows from (7) that

$$|f^m(J)| \leq |f^{m+k_1}(I^-)| + |f^{m+k_2}(I^+)| \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $y$  is stable. If  $x \sim_2 y$ , then there are  $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$  such that  $J = f^{k_1}(I^-) \cup f^{k_2}(I^-)$  or  $J = f^{k_1}(I^+) \cup f^{k_2}(I^+)$  is a neighborhood of  $y$  and thus, similarly to the previous case, it follows that  $y$  is also stable. This proves statement (a)(i). Suppose now that  $x \sim_3 y$  or  $x \sim_1 y$ . In either case, it follows that there are  $k \geq 0$  and a lateral neighborhood  $I_x \subset I$  of  $x$  such that  $J = f^k(I_x)$  is a lateral neighborhood of  $y$ . Similarly to the previous cases, it follows from (7) that  $|f^m(J)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $y$  has at least a lateral neighborhood whose length goes to zero and thus it cannot be unstable. Suppose now that  $y \sim_2 x$  or  $y \sim_1 x$ . In either case, it follows that there are  $k \geq 0$  and lateral neighborhood  $J$  of  $y$  such that  $f^k(J) \subset I$ . Hence, it follows from (7) that  $|f^m(J)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $y$  cannot be unstable. This proves statement (a)(ii). We now look at statement (b). Let  $x$  be unstable and suppose that  $y \sim_4 x$  or  $y \sim_3 x$ . In either case, if  $y$  is not unstable, then there is a lateral neighborhood  $J$  of  $y$  such that,

$$(8) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f^m(J)| = 0.$$

Observe that  $I = f^k(J)$  is a lateral neighborhood of  $x$ , for some  $k \geq 0$ . It follows from (8) that  $|f^m(I)| \rightarrow 0$  and thus  $x$  cannot be unstable. Contradiction. Suppose now that  $x \sim_4 y$  or  $x \sim_2 y$ . If  $y$  is not unstable, then there is a lateral neighborhood  $J$  of  $y$  satisfying (8). But since  $x \sim_4 y$  or  $x \sim_2 y$ , it follows that there is a lateral neighborhood  $I$  of  $x$  such that  $f^k(I) \subset J$ . Hence, it follows from (8) that  $|f^m(I)| \rightarrow 0$ , contradicting the fact that  $x$  is unstable. This proves statement (b)(i). Suppose now that  $x \sim_3 y$  or  $x \sim_1 y$ . If  $y$  is stable, then there is a neighborhood  $J$  of  $y$  satisfying (8). Moreover,  $x$  has a lateral neighborhood  $I$  such that

$$(9) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f^m(I)| \neq 0,$$

and such that  $f^k(I)$  is a lateral neighborhood of  $y$ , for some  $k \geq 0$ . Restricting  $I$  if necessary, we can assume that  $f^k(I) \subset J$ . But this contradict (8). Hence,  $y$  cannot be stable. Suppose that  $y \sim_2 x$  or  $y \sim_1 x$ . If  $y$  is stable, then there is a lateral neighborhood  $J$  of  $y$  satisfying (8) and such that  $I = f^k(J)$  is a lateral neighborhood of  $x$ , for some  $k \geq 0$ . But this contradict the instability of  $x$ . This proves statement (b)(ii). We now look at statement (c). Suppose that  $x$  is semi-stable and suppose that  $y \sim_4 x$  or  $x \sim_4 y$ . In any case, if  $y$  is stable (resp. unstable), then it follows from statement (a)(i) (resp. (b)(i)) that  $x$  is stable (resp. unstable). Contradiction. Hence,  $y$  must be semi-stable. This proves statement (c)(i). If  $y \sim_3 x$ , then there are  $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$  and a neighborhood  $J$  of  $y$  such that  $f^{k_1}(J^-) \cup f^{k_2}(J^+) \subset I_s$  or  $f^{k_1}(J^-) \cup f^{k_2}(J^+) \subset I_u$ . In the former  $y$  is stable. In the latter, we can take a lateral neighborhood  $W_u \subset I_u$  of  $x$  small enough such that  $|f^m(W_u)| \not\rightarrow 0$  and

$W_u \subset f^{k_1}(J^-) \cup f^{k_2}(J^+)$ . Hence,  $y$  is unstable. In either case,  $y$  is not semi-stable. If  $x \sim_2 y$ , then there are  $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$  and a lateral neighborhood  $I$  of  $x$  such that  $f^{k_1}(I) \cup f^{k_2}(I)$  is a neighborhood of  $y$ . If  $I = I_s$  (resp.  $I = I_u$ ) then  $y$  is stable (resp. unstable). In either case,  $y$  is not semi-stable. This proves statement (c)(ii). Suppose by contradiction that  $x \sim_3 y$ . In this case, it follows that there are  $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$  such that  $f^{k_1}(I_s) \cup f^{k_2}(I_u)$  is a lateral neighborhood of  $y$ . However, this imply that either  $f^{k_1}(I_s) \subset f^{k_2}(I_u)$  or  $f^{k_2}(I_u) \subset f^{k_1}(I_s)$ . Restricting  $I_u$  if necessary, we can suppose that  $f^{k_2}(I_u) \subset f^{k_1}(I_s)$ . But this contradict that fact that  $|f^m(I_u)| \not\rightarrow 0$ . Suppose now, by contradiction, that  $y \sim_2 x$ . In this case, it follows that there are  $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$  and a lateral neighborhood  $J$  of  $y$  such that  $f^{k_1}(J) \cup f^{k_2}(J)$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ . In particular, it follows that either  $f^{k_1}(J) \subset I_s$  or  $f^{k_2}(J) \subset I_s$ . In either case, it follows that  $|f^m(J)| \rightarrow 0$  and thus  $x$  is stable. Contradiction. This proves statement (c)(iii). If  $x \sim_1 y$ , then there is a lateral neighborhood  $I$  of  $x$  such that  $f^k(I)$  is a lateral neighborhood of  $y$ , for some  $k \geq 0$ . If  $I = I_s$  (resp.  $I = I_u$ ), then  $y$  is not unstable (resp. not stable). This proves statement (c)(iv). Finally, if  $y \sim_1 x$ , then there is a lateral neighborhood  $J$  of  $y$  such that  $f^k(J)$  is a lateral neighborhood of  $x$ , for some  $k \geq 0$ . Restricting  $J$  if necessary, we can assume that  $f^k(J) \subset I_s$  or  $f^k(J) \subset I_u$ . In the former,  $y$  cannot be unstable. In the latter,  $y$  cannot be stable. This proves statement (c)(v).  $\square$

**Remark 3.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in C(f)$ . Given  $y \in [[x]]$ , we observe that not necessarily we have  $x \sim_j y$  or  $y \sim_j x$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . See for example the points  $x_1$  and  $x_3$  in Figures 6 and 7.

We now use Theorem 1 to study the stability of some periodic orbits and closed structures. In our first application, given  $x \in C(f)$ , we study how the stability propagates along a periodic orbit  $[x] \subset [[x]]$ , provided  $[x]$  has at most one point of discontinuity.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$ ,  $x \in C(f)$  and let

$$[x] = \{x, a_1, \dots, a_m, w, b_1, \dots, b_n\},$$

be a periodic orbit of  $x$ . If  $w$  is the unique point of discontinuity of  $f$  in  $[x]$ , then the following statements hold.

- (a) If  $x$  is stable, then:
  - (i)  $b_j$  is stable,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ;
  - (ii)  $a_i$  is not unstable,  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ;
  - (iii)  $w$  is not unstable.
- (b) If  $x$  is unstable, then:
  - (i)  $b_j$  is unstable,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ;
  - (ii)  $a_i$  is not stable,  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ;
  - (iii)  $w$  is not stable.
- (c) If  $x$  is semi-stable, then:
  - (i)  $a_i$  is semi-stable,  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ;
  - (ii)  $w$  is semi-stable.

Moreover, if  $I_s$  and  $I_u$  are the stable and unstable lateral neighborhoods of  $w$ , then following statements hold.

- (iii) If  $f^{n+1}(I_s)$  is a lateral neighborhood of  $x$ , then  $b_j$  is not unstable,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ;
- (iv) If  $f^{n+1}(I_u)$  is a lateral neighborhood of  $x$ , then  $b_j$  is not stable,  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Furthermore, if  $[x]$  has no turning points, then the following statements hold.

- (d) If  $x$  is stable, then every  $y \in [x]$  is stable;
- (e) If  $x$  is semi-stable, then every  $y \in [x]$  is semi-stable;
- (f) If  $x$  is unstable, then every  $y \in [x]$  is unstable.

*Proof.* Statements (a), (b) and (c) follows from Theorem 1 and from the following facts.

- 1)  $b_j \sim_4 x$  or  $b_j \sim_3 x$ , for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ;
- 2)  $x \sim_4 a_i$  or  $x \sim_3 a_i$ , for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ;
- 3)  $x \sim_4 w$  or  $x \sim_3 w$ ;
- 4)  $w \sim_1 b_j$ , for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

In particular, if  $[x]$  has no turning points, the following statements hold.

- 1)  $b_j \sim_4 x$ , for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ;
- 2)  $x \sim_4 a_i$ , for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ;
- 3)  $x \sim_4 w$ .

Hence, we have statements (d), (e) and (f).  $\square$

In our second application we study the stability of a closed structure similar to the one presented in Figure 4, i.e. a closed structure formed by two periodic orbits that have intersection, but yet are not equal.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in C(f)$  be such that  $[[x]]$  has a unique point of discontinuity  $w$  and that both  $w^-$  and  $w^+$  are periodic. Then following statements hold.*

- (a) *If some  $z \in [w^+] \cap [w^-]$  is stable, then every  $y \in [[x]]$  is stable;*
- (b) *If some  $z \in [w^+] \cap [w^-]$  is unstable, then every  $y \in [[x]]$  is unstable;*
- (c) *If some  $z \in [w^+] \cap [w^-]$  is semi-stable, then every  $y \in [w^+] \cap [w^-]$  is semi-stable and exactly one of the following statements hold.*
  - (i) *Every  $y \in [w^+]$  is non-unstable and every  $y \in [w^-]$  is non-stable;*
  - (ii) *Every  $y \in [w^+]$  is non-stable and every  $y \in [w^-]$  is non-unstable.*

Moreover, if  $[[x]]$  has no turning points, then the following statements hold.

- (d) *If some  $z \in [[x]]$  is stable, then every  $y \in [[x]]$  is stable;*
- (e) *If some  $z \in [[x]]$  is unstable, then every  $y \in [[x]]$  is unstable;*
- (f) *If some  $z \in [[x]]$  is semi-stable, then every  $y \in [[x]]$  is semi-stable.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality suppose that  $[w^+]$  and  $[w^-]$  are given by,

$$[w^+] = \{z, x_1, \dots, x_m, w^+, a_1, \dots, a_{m_1}\}, \quad [w^-] = \{z, x_1, \dots, x_m, w^-, b_1, \dots, b_{m_2}\}.$$

See Figure 9. Similarly to the proof of Corollary 1, statements (a) and (b) follows from Theorem 1




FIGURE 9. An illustration of  $[[x]]$  under the context of Corollary 2.

and from the following facts.

- 1)  $a_i \sim_4 z$  or  $a_i \sim_3 z$ , for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, m_1\}$ ;
- 2)  $b_j \sim_4 z$  or  $b_j \sim_3 z$ , for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, m_2\}$ ;
- 3)  $x_k \sim_4 x$  or  $x_k \sim_3 x$ , for every  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ;
- 4)  $w \sim_4 x$  or  $w \sim_3 x$ .

Suppose that  $z$  is semi-stable. It follows from the above statements that every  $y \in [w^+] \cap [w^-]$  is semi-stable. In special,  $w$  is semi-stable. Let  $I_s$  and  $I_u$  be the stable and unstable lateral neighborhoods of  $w$ . Observe that exactly one of the following statements hold.

- (i)  $f(I_s)$  and  $f(I_u)$  are lateral neighborhoods of  $a_1$  and  $b_1$ , respectively;
- (ii)  $f(I_s)$  and  $f(I_u)$  are lateral neighborhoods of  $b_1$  and  $a_1$ , respectively.

If (i) holds, then we have statement (c)(i). If (ii) holds, then we have statement (c)(ii). This proves statement (c). Statements (d), (e) and (f) follows from the fact that if  $[[x]]$  does not have turning points, then  $y \sim_4 x$ , for every  $y \in [[x]]$ .  $\square$

In the proof of Corollary 2 the points  $z \in [w^+] \cup [w^-]$  plays an important role because  $[[x]]$  is given by exactly two periodic orbits and such points belongs to both orbits. Therefore, given any  $y \in [[x]]$ , it follows that the iterates of any small enough neighborhood of  $y$  will necessarily pass through every  $z \in [w^+] \cup [w^-]$ . With this property in mind, we have the following definition.

**Definition 3.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in C(f)$ . We say that  $[[x]]$  is completely periodic if every  $y \in [[x]]$  is periodic. Let  $CP(f) \subset C(f)$  denote the set of the completely periodic points. Given  $x \in CP(f)$ , let

$$C([[x]]) = \bigcap_{y \in [[x]]} [y],$$

be the core of  $[[x]]$ .

Under the hypothesis of Definition 3, observe that we may have  $C([[x]]) = \emptyset$ . However, with this definition we can have a generalization of Corollary 2.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in CP(f)$  be such  $C([[x]]) \neq \emptyset$ . Then the following statements hold.

- (a) If some  $z \in C([[x]])$  is stable, then every  $y \in [[x]]$  is stable;
- (b) If some  $z \in C([[x]])$  is unstable, then every  $y \in [[x]]$  is unstable;
- (c) If some  $z \in C([[x]])$  is semi-stable, then every  $y \in C([[x]])$  is semi-stable.

*Proof.* Statements (a) and (b) follows from the fact that  $y \sim_4 x$  or  $y \sim_4 x$ , for every  $y \in [[x]]$ . Statement (c) follows from the fact that  $x \sim_4 y$  or  $x \sim_3 y$ , for every  $y \in C([[x]])$ .  $\square$

Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in P(f)$ . We say that  $x$  is a *continuous periodic point* of  $f$  if  $x \notin O_f^-(D)$ . Let  $P_c(f) \subset P(f)$  denote the set of the continuous periodic points of  $f$ . Observe that if  $x \in P_c(f)$ , then  $[[x]] = [x]$ . That is,  $[[x]]$  is given precisely by a unique periodic orbit. Moreover, observe that  $f$  is continuous on every  $y \in [x]$ .

**Corollary 4.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in P_c(f)$ . Then the following statements hold.

- (a) If  $x$  is stable, then every  $y \in [x]$  is stable;
- (b) If  $x$  is semi-stable, then every  $y \in [x]$  is semi-stable;
- (c) If  $x$  is unstable, then every  $y \in [x]$  is unstable.

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is continuous on each point of  $[x]$ , it follows that  $y \sim_4 x$  or  $y \sim_3 x$ , for every  $y \in [x]$ . Hence, the proof now follows from Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** We recall that if  $y \in P_c(f)$ , then  $[[y]]$  is actually a periodic orbit of  $y$ . However, we observe that yet on this case,  $[[y]]$  may be contained in some other bigger closed structure  $[[x]]$ . See for example Figure 5.

Let  $x \in P_c(f)$ . We say that  $x$  is a continuous periodic point of *period*  $n$ , if the period of  $[x]$  is  $n$ . In particular, let  $\text{Fix}_c(f) = P_c(1, f)$ . Given  $n \geq 1$ , let  $P_c(n, f)$  denote the set of the continuous periodic points of period  $n$ . In the next theorem we prove that if  $x \in P_c(f)$ , then our definition of stability (i.e. Definition 1) agrees with the usual one in the literature (see for instance [5, p. 22]).

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in P_c(n, f)$ . Consider the following statements.

- (i) There is a neighborhood  $J$  of  $x$  such that,

$$(10) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f^{mn}(J)| = 0.$$

- (ii)  $x$  does not satisfy statement (i) and there is a lateral neighborhood  $J$  of  $x$  such that,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f^{mn}(J)| = 0.$$

Then the following statements hold.

- (a)  $x$  is stable if, and only if, statement (i) hold;
- (b)  $x$  is semi-stable if, and only if, statement (ii) hold;

(c)  $x$  is unstable if, and only if, neither statements (i) nor (ii) hold.

*Proof.* Let  $[x] = [x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ . It is clear that if  $x$  is stable, then statement (i) hold. Hence, suppose that statement (i) hold. We claim that  $x_k$  also satisfies statement (i), for every  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Indeed, since  $f$  is continuous on every point of  $[x]$ , it follows that there is a neighborhood  $J_k$  of  $x_k$  such that  $f^{n-k}(J_k) \subset J$ , where  $J$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  satisfying (10). Restricting  $J$  if necessary, observe that we can suppose that  $f^k$  is uniformly continuous on  $J$ . Therefore, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $I \subset J$  and  $|I| < \delta$ , then  $|f^k(I)| < \varepsilon$ . Moreover, observe that,

$$(11) \quad f^{n-k}(f^{mn}(J_k)) = f^{mn}(f^{n-k}(J_k)) \subset f^{mn}(J).$$

It follows from (10) that there is  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f^{mn}(J)| < \delta$ , for every  $m \geq m_0$ . Hence, it follows from (11) that  $|f^{n-k}(f^{mn}(J_k))| < \delta$ . Since  $f$  is continuous on each point of  $[x]$ , it follows that  $f^{mn}(J)$  is a (possible lateral) neighborhood of  $x$  and thus, restricting  $\delta > 0$  if necessary, we can assume that  $f^{n-k}(f^{mn}(J_k)) \subset J$ . Hence, we have

$$|f^k(f^{n-k}(f^{mn}(J_k)))| = |f^{(m+1)n}(J_k)| < \varepsilon,$$

for every  $m \geq m_0$ . This proves the claim. Since  $f$  is continuous on every point of  $[x]$ , it follows that there is a neighborhood  $I \subset J$  of  $x$  such that  $f^k(I) \subset J_k$ , for every  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Hence, it follows from the previous claim that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f^{mm+k}(I)| = 0,$$

for every  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $|f^m(I)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and thus  $x$  is stable. This proves statement (a). We now look to statement (b). Similar to statement (a), observe that if  $x$  is semi-stable, then statement (ii) holds. Hence, suppose that  $x$  satisfies statement (ii). Let  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and observe that  $f^k(J)$  is a lateral neighborhood of  $x_k$ . Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[x]$ , it follows that there is a lateral neighborhood  $J_k \subset f^k(J)$  such that  $f^{n-k}(J_k) \subset J$ . Moreover, observe that, restricting  $J$  if necessary, we can assume that  $f^k$  is uniformly continuous on  $J$ . The prove now follows similarly to statement (a). Statement (c) now follows as a consequence of statements (a) and (b).  $\square$

#### 4. CRITICAL, TRAPPED AND FREE CONTINUOUS PERIODIC ORBITS

It follows from Corollary 4 that we can extend Definition 1 to periodic orbits away from the discontinuities. Indeed, let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in P_c(f)$ . We say that the *orbit*  $[x]$  is stable (resp. semi-stable or unstable) if the *point*  $x$  is stable (resp. semi-stable or unstable). Let  $P_c^s(f)$  denote the set of the stable or semi-stable continuous periodic orbits of  $f$ . Let also  $P_c^u(f)$  denote the set of the unstable continuous periodic orbits of  $f$ . Let  $x \in P_c(f)$ . We say that the point  $x$  is *critical* if there is  $y \in [x]$  such that  $y \in T(f)$  (i.e. if  $[x]$  has some turning point of  $f$ ). We recall that given  $f \in P([a, b])$ , we can assume without loss of generality that  $f$  is continuous on  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and suppose that  $\xi \in \{a, b\}$  is a periodic point. Then exactly one of the following statements hold.*

- (a)  $\xi$  is a fixed point;
- (b)  $\xi$  is a critical point;
- (c)  $\xi$  has period two,  $f(a) = b$  and  $f(b) = a$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\xi = b$  and that neither (a) or (b) hold. We claim that (c) hold. Indeed, once  $b$  is periodic but not fixed, it follows that there is  $y \in [a, b)$  such that  $f(y) = b$ . Since  $b$  is the maximum of the images of  $f$ , it follows that either  $y = a$  or  $y \in S(f)$ . Since (b) does not hold, it follows that  $y \notin S(f)$  and thus  $y = a$ . Hence,  $a$  is periodic but not fixed. Observe that  $a$  cannot be critical for otherwise  $b$  would be critical. Therefore, it follows from the same argumentation that  $f(b) = a$  and thus we have statement (c).  $\square$

In what follows, we will explore the dynamics of the periodic points that are away of the discontinuities of  $f$ . Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in P_c(n, f)$ . If  $x$  is not critical, then there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $f^j$ ,  $j \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ , is continuous and monotone in  $U$ . In special, observe that  $f^{2n}$  is increasing in  $U$ .

**Definition 4.** Under the above conditions, we say that the point  $x$  is trapped if there are  $y, z \in U$ , with  $y < x < z$ , and  $\delta > 0$  such that the following statements hold.

- (a)  $f^{2n}$  is increasing in  $[y - \delta, z + \delta]$ ;
- (b)  $f^{2n}(y) \leq y$  and  $f^{2n}(z) \geq z$ .

See Figure 10.




FIGURE 10. Examples of trapped orbits.

**Proposition 5.** Let  $f \in M([a, b])$  and  $x \in P_c(n, f)$ . If  $x \in (a, b)$  is non-critical and unstable, then  $x$  is trapped.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in (a, b)$  be a non-critical unstable periodic point of period  $n$ . Let  $[u, v]$  be the largest interval, with  $u < x < v$ , such that  $f^j$ ,  $j \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$  is continuous and monotone. In special, observe that  $f^{2n}$  is increasing in  $[u, v]$ . Suppose by contradiction that  $x$  is not trapped. Then, at least one of the following statements hold.

- (i)  $f^{2n}(z) > z$ , for all  $z \in (u, x)$ ;
- (ii)  $f^{2n}(z) < z$ , for all  $z \in (x, v)$ .

Suppose for example that (ii) holds. Since  $f^{2n}$  is increasing on  $[u, v]$ , it follows that  $f^{2n}(z) > f^{2n}(x) = x$  and thus it follows from (ii) that  $z > f^{2n}(z) > x$ , for all  $z \in (x, v)$ . In special,  $f^{2n}(z) \in [u, v]$ . Hence, it follows by induction that,

$$z > f^{2n}(z) > \dots > f^{2kn}(z) > \dots > x,$$

for every  $z \in (x, v)$ . Thus, the sequence  $(f^{2kn}(z))_{k \geq 0}$  has a limit  $z_0 \geq x$ . It follows from the continuity of  $f^{2n}$  at  $[u, v]$  that  $f^{2kn}(z) \rightarrow f(z_0)$  and thus  $z_0$  must be a fixed point. Hence,  $z_0 = x$  and thus  $x$  is semi-stable or stable, contradicting that fact that  $x$  is unstable.  $\square$

Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in P_c(n, f)$ . We say that the point  $x$  is free if  $x$  is neither critical nor trapped and  $[x] \subset (a, b)$ . It follows from Proposition 4 that if  $x$  is neither critical, trapped or free, then  $x \in \{a, b\}$  and thus it is either fixed or have period two; with the latter occurring if, and only if,  $f(a) = b$  and  $f(b) = a$ .

**Proposition 6.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in P_c(n, f)$ . If  $x$  is free (resp. trapped), then  $f^k(x)$  is free (resp. trapped) for every  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x$  is trapped and let  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . It follows from the definition of trapped that  $x$  and  $f^k(x)$  are neither critical nor end-points of  $[a, b]$ . Hence, let  $[u, v]$  (resp.  $[\mu, \nu]$ ) be the largest interval containing  $x$  (resp.  $f^k(x)$ ), with  $u < x < v$  (resp.  $\mu < f^k(x) < \nu$ ), on which  $f^j$ ,  $j \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ , is continuous, monotone and  $f^{2n}$  is increasing. Since  $x$  is trapped, it follows that there are  $y, z \in [u, v]$ , with  $u < y < x < z < v$ , such that  $f^{2n}(y) \leq y$  and  $f^{2n}(z) \geq z$ . We claim that we can assume  $f^{2n}(y) > u$ . Indeed, if  $f^{2n}(y) \leq u$ , then  $f^{2n}(y) < y$  and thus it follows from  $f^{2n}(x) = x$  and from the fact that  $f^{2n}$  is increasing in  $[u, v]$  that we can take  $y_0 \in (y, x)$  such that

$u < f^{2n}(y_0) \leq y_0$ . Similarly, we can assume  $f^{2n}(z) < v$  and thus  $f^{2n}(y), f^{2n}(z) \in (u, v)$ . Since  $f^j$  is continuous and monotone on  $[u, v]$ ,  $j \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ , we can define

$$y_j = \begin{cases} f^j(y), & \text{if } f^j \text{ is increasing in } [u, v], \\ f^j(z), & \text{if } f^j \text{ is decreasing in } [u, v], \end{cases} \quad z_j = \begin{cases} f^j(z), & \text{if } f^j \text{ is increasing in } [u, v], \\ f^j(y), & \text{if } f^j \text{ is decreasing in } [u, v]. \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $y_k < f^k(x) < z_k$ . We claim that  $f^{2n}(y_k) \leq y_k$  and  $f^{2n}(z_k) \geq z_k$ . Indeed, if  $f^k$  is increasing on  $[u, v]$ , then it follows that,

$$f^{2n}(y_k) = f^{2n}(f^k(y)) = f^k(f^{2n}(y)) \leq f^k(y) = y_k.$$

The other cases are similar. We claim that  $[y_k, z_k] \subset (\mu, \nu)$ . Indeed, if  $j \in \{0, \dots, 2n - k\}$ , then

$$f^j([y_k, z_k]) = f^j(f^k([y, z])) = f^{k+j}([y, z]) \subset f^{k+j}((u, v)).$$

Since  $j + k \leq 2n$ , it follows that  $f^{k+j}$  is continuous and monotone on  $(u, v)$  and thus  $f^j$  is continuous and monotone at  $[y_k, z_k]$ . Suppose now  $j \in \{2n - k + 1, \dots, 2n\}$ . Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  be given by  $j + k = 2n + i$  and observe that,

$$f^j([y_k, z_k]) = f^j(f^k([y, z])) = f^{k+j}([y, z]) = f^{2n+i}([y, z]) = f^i([f^{2n}(y), f^{2n}(z)]) \subset f^i((u, v)).$$

Since  $f^i$  is continuous and monotone at  $(u, v)$ , it follows that  $f^j$  is continuous and monotone at  $[y_k, z_k]$ . Hence,  $f^j$  is continuous and monotone at  $[y_k, z_k]$ , for all  $j \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ . Therefore, it follows from the definition of  $[\mu, \nu]$  that  $[y_k, z_k] \subset (\mu, \nu)$  and thus  $f^k(x)$  is also trapped. It follows now by exclusion that if  $x$  is free, then  $f^k(x)$  is also free,  $k \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ .  $\square$

It follows from Proposition 6 that we can extend the notion of trapped, free and critical. Indeed, let  $f \in P([a, b])$  and  $x \in P_c(n, f)$ . We say that the orbit  $[x]$  is trapped (resp. free or critical) if  $x$  is trapped (resp. free or critical). In special, it follows from Proposition 5 that if  $[x]$  is free, then  $[x] \subset P_s(f)$ . Given  $x \in P_c(n, f)$ , let

$$A([x], f) = \left\{ y \in [a, b] : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f^{mn}(y) = f^k(x), \text{ for some } k \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\} \right\},$$

denote the set of points attracted by  $[x]$ . Observe that if  $x, y \in P_c(f)$  and  $[x] \neq [y]$ , then  $A([x], f) \cap A([y], f) = \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in P_c(f)$  be non-critical and such that  $[x] \subset (a, b)$ . We say that  $[x]$  is *exceptional* for  $f$  if one of the following statements hold.

- (a)  $x \in \text{Fix}_c(f)$ ,  $f$  is continuous and increasing in  $[x, b]$  and  $f(z) < z$ , for all  $z \in (x, b)$ ;
- (b)  $x \in \text{Fix}_c(f)$ ,  $f$  is continuous and increasing in  $[a, x]$  and  $f(z) > z$ , for all  $z \in (a, x)$ ;
- (c)  $x \in P_c(2, f)$  and if  $x$  is such that  $x < f(x)$ , then  $f$  is continuous and decreasing in  $[a, x]$  and  $[f(x), b]$  and  $f^2(z) > z$  for all  $z \in (a, x)$ .

See Figure 11. Observe that if  $[x]$  is exceptional, then  $[x]$  is free. Observe that (a), (b) and (c) can




FIGURE 11. Examples of exceptional orbits.

be satisfied by at most one orbit, each. Indeed, suppose that  $x$  and  $y$  satisfies (a). Without loss of generality, suppose  $x < y$ . It follows from (a) that  $f(z) < z$  for all  $z \in (x, b)$ . In special,  $f(y) < y$ , contradicting the fact that  $y$  is a fixed point. Similarly, it follows that there is at most one orbit satisfying (b). Suppose now that  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  satisfies (c), with  $x < y$ . In this case, it follows that

$f^2(z) > z$  for all  $z \in (a, y)$ . In special,  $f^2(x) > x$ , contradicting the fact that  $f^2(x) = x$ . We claim that if there is an orbit satisfying (c), then there is no exceptional orbits of type (a) or (b). Indeed, suppose that  $[x]$  and  $y$  satisfies (c) and (b), respectively. Suppose also that  $x < y$ . It follows from (b) that  $f$  is increasing in  $(a, y)$ . However, it follows from (c) that  $f$  is decreasing on  $(a, x) \subset (a, y)$ . Hence, we have a contradiction. The other cases follows similarly. In special, observe that  $f$  may have at most two exceptional orbits at the same time.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $f \in P([a, b])$ , with  $S(f) \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $[x] \subset P_c(n, f)$  be a free periodic orbit. If  $[x]$  is not an exceptional orbit of  $f$ , then there are  $\delta > 0$  and  $w \in S(f)$  such that at least one of the following statements hold.*

- (a)  $(w - \delta, w) \subset A([x], f)$ ;
- (b)  $(w, w + \delta) \subset A([x], f)$ .

*In special, if  $w \in S(f)$  is a turning point, then both (a) and (b) hold. Moreover, if statement (a) (resp. (b)) holds then  $w^- \notin A([x], f)$  (resp.  $w^+ \notin A([x], f)$ ) if, and only if,  $w^-$  (resp.  $w^+$ ) is a non-stable fixed point of  $f^{2n}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $[u, v]$  be the largest interval containing  $x$  such that  $f^j$  is continuous and monotone,  $j \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ . Observe that  $f^{2n}$  is increasing on  $[u, v]$  and  $u < x < v$ . It follows from the definition of free orbit that at least one of the following statements hold.

- (i)  $f^{2n}(z) < z$ , for all  $z \in (x, v)$ ;
- (ii)  $f^{2n}(z) > z$ , for all  $z \in (u, x)$ .

Suppose for example that statement (i) holds and observe (see the proof of Proposition 5) that,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f^{2mn}(z) = x,$$

for all  $z \in (x, v)$ . Since  $f^n$  is continuous in  $[u, v]$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f^{(2m+1)n}(z) = f^n(x) = x,$$

and thus

$$(12) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f^{mn}(z) = x,$$

for all  $z \in (x, v)$ . If  $v \neq b$ , then  $v \in S(f^{j_0})$ , for some  $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$ . Therefore, it follows from Proposition 3 that there are  $w \in S(f)$  and  $k \in \{0, \dots, j_0 - 1\}$  such that  $f^k(v) = w$ . Let  $J = f^k((x, v))$ . It follows from Proposition 1 that  $J$  is a non-trivial interval and  $w \in \bar{J}$ . Given  $y \in J$ , it follows from (12) that,

$$(13) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f^{mn}(y) = f^k(x).$$

Hence,  $J \subset A([x], f)$  and thus statement (a) or (b) holds. In special, if  $w \in S(f)$  is a turning point, then it is a local minimal or maximum of  $f$  and thus both (a) and (b) holds. We now study when  $w \in A([x], f)$ . To simplify the writing, in the next paragraph let  $w = w^-$  (resp.  $w = w^+$ ) if statement (a) (resp. (b)) holds. If  $w$  is a turning point, then  $f$  is continuous in  $w$  and thus  $w^- = w^+$ .

It follows from statement (i) that  $f^{2n}(v) \leq v$ . Therefore, if  $w$  is not a fixed point of  $f^{2n}$ , then  $v$  is not a fixed point of  $f^{2n}$  and thus  $f^{2n}(v) < v$ . Thus, it follows similarly to (13) that  $w \in A([x], f)$ . Furthermore, if  $w$  is a fixed point of  $f$ , then it follows from (13) that it has at least a non-stable lateral neighborhood and thus it is not stable.

The case in which statement (ii) holds and  $u \neq a$  follows similarly. This finish the first part of the proof. There are now the following two cases to work.

- (a)  $f^{2n}$  is increasing in  $[x, b]$  and  $f^{2n}(z) < z$ , for all  $z \in (x, b)$ ;
- (b)  $f^{2n}$  is increasing at  $[a, x]$  and  $f^{2n}(z) > z$ , for all  $z \in (a, x)$ .

For each  $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , we claim that we can suppose that at least one of the following statements hold.

- (i)  $f^{2n}$  is increasing in  $[f^j(x), b]$  and  $f^{2n}(z) < z$ , for all  $z \in (f^j(x), b)$ ;



(ii)  $f^{2n}$  is increasing at  $[a, f^j(x)]$  and  $f^{2n}(z) > z$ , for all  $z \in (a, f^j(x))$ .

Indeed, it follows from Proposition 6 that  $f^j(x)$  is free,  $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . Hence, if for some  $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  neither (i) or (ii) holds, then we can just apply the first part of the proof on  $f^j(x)$ . This proves the claim. We now claim that  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ . Indeed, for  $j = 0$  we know that either (i) or (ii) holds. Suppose that (i) holds. Then,  $[x]$  is an exceptional orbit of type (a) of  $f^{2n}$ . For  $j = 1$ , observe that (i) cannot hold for otherwise  $[f(x)]$  would also be an exceptional orbit of type (a) of  $f^{2n}$ . Hence, for  $j = 1$  statement (ii) holds. Now, for  $j = 2$  observe that neither (i) or (ii) can hold, for otherwise  $f^{2n}$  would have three exceptional orbits. Hence,  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ .

Suppose  $n = 1$ . Suppose also that for  $j = 0$  statement (i) holds. In special, observe that  $[x]$  is an exceptional orbit of type (a) of  $f^2$ . Since  $x$  is free, it follows that there is a maximal non-trivial interval  $[\mu, \nu]$ , with  $\mu < x < \nu$ , such that  $f$  is continuous and monotone in  $[\mu, \nu]$ . It follows from the definition of  $[u, v]$  that  $[u, v] \subset [\mu, \nu]$  and thus  $\nu = v = b$ . Hence,  $f$  is continuous and monotone in  $[\mu, b]$ . We claim that  $f$  is decreasing in  $[\mu, b]$ . Suppose by contradiction that  $f$  is increasing in  $[\mu, b]$ . We claim that this leads to  $[x]$  being an exceptional orbit of type (a) of  $f$ , contradicting the hypothesis. Indeed, suppose by contradiction that  $[x]$  is not an exceptional orbit of type (a) of  $f$ . Then, it follows that there is  $y \in (x, v)$  such that  $f(y) \geq y$ . Since  $f$  is increasing in  $(\mu, b)$ , it follows that  $f^2(y) \geq f(y) \geq y$ , contradicting statement (i). This proves that  $f$  is decreasing in  $[\mu, b]$ . We claim that  $f^2(z) > z$ , for all  $z \in (u, x)$ . Indeed, let  $z \in (u, x)$ . Since  $f$  is decreasing in  $[\mu, b] \supset [u, b]$ , it follows that  $f(z) > f(x) = x$ . Thus, it follows from statement (i) that  $f^3(z) < f(z)$ . But since  $f$  is decreasing, this implies that  $f^2(z) > z$ , proving the claim. Since  $S(f) \neq \emptyset$ , it follows that  $u \neq a$  and thus we arrived on a case already worked on the first part of the proof. The case in which statement (ii) holds for  $j = 0$  follows similarly.

Suppose now  $n = 2$ . Let  $x$  be such that  $x < f(x)$  and suppose that for  $j = 0$  statement (ii) holds. Let  $[\mu, \nu]$  be the largest interval, with  $\mu < x < \nu$ , in which  $f$  and  $f^2$  is continuous and monotone on  $[\mu, \nu]$ . It follows from the definition of  $[u, v]$  that  $[u, v] \subset [\mu, \nu]$  and thus  $\mu = u = a$ . If  $f^2$  is decreasing in  $[a, \nu]$ , then it follows similarly to the previous case that  $f^4(z) < z$ , for all  $z \in (x, v)$ . Since  $S(f) \neq \emptyset$ , it follows that  $v \neq b$  and thus we arrived in a case already worked on the first part of the proof. Therefore, we can assume that  $f^2$  is increasing in  $[a, \nu]$ . We claim that  $f^2(z) > z$ , for all  $z \in (a, x)$ . Indeed, suppose by contradiction that there is  $z_0 \in (a, x)$  such that  $f^2(z_0) \leq z_0$ . Since  $f^2$  is increasing, it follows that  $f^4(z_0) \leq f^2(z_0) \leq z_0$ , i.e.  $f^4(z_0) \leq z_0$ , contradicting statement (ii). If  $f$  is decreasing on  $[a, x]$ , then it is decreasing in  $[f(x), b]$  (for otherwise  $f^2$  would not be increasing in  $[a, x]$ ) and thus  $[x]$  is an exceptional orbit of type (c) of  $f$ , contradicting the hypotheses. Hence,  $f$  is increasing in  $[a, x]$ . Let  $[u_1, v_1]$  be the largest interval, with  $u_1 < f(x) < v_1$ , such that  $f$  and  $f^2$  is continuous and monotone on  $[u_1, v_1]$ . Since  $f$  and  $f^2$  are increasing in  $[a, x]$ , it follows that  $f$  is increasing in  $[u_1, f(x)]$ . We claim that  $f^2(z) > z$ , for all  $z \in (u_1, f(x))$ . Indeed, suppose by contradiction that there is  $z_0 \in (u_1, f(x))$  such that  $f^2(z_0) \leq z_0$ . Since  $f$  is increasing in  $[u_1, f(x)]$ , it follows that  $f^3(z_0) \leq f(z_0)$ . Hence,  $f^2(f(z_0)) \leq f(z_0)$ , contradicting the fact that  $f^2(z) > z$  for all  $z \in (a, x)$ . Since  $S(f) \neq \emptyset$ , it follows that  $u_1 \neq a$  and thus we again arrive on a case already worked on the first part of the proof. The case in which statement (i) holds for  $j = 0$  follows similarly.  $\square$

**Remark 5.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$ , with  $S(f) \neq \emptyset$ , and  $[x] \subset P_c(n, f)$  be a free, non-exceptional periodic orbit of  $f$ . For each  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , let  $[u_k, v_k]$  be the largest interval, with  $u_k < f^k(x) < v_k$ , such that  $f^j$ ,  $j \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ , is continuous and monotone. It follows from the proof of Theorem 3 that for some  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , at least one of the following statements hold.

- (i)  $u_k \neq a$  and  $f^{2n}(z) > z$ , for all  $z \in (u_k, f^k(x))$ ;
- (ii)  $v_k \neq b$  and  $f^{2n}(z) < z$ , for all  $z \in (f^k(x), v_k)$ .

Given  $f \in P([a, b])$ , let  $|D(f)| = N_D$  and  $|T(f)| = N_T$ , where  $|D(f)|$  and  $|T(f)|$  denote the cardinality of  $D(f)$  and  $T(f)$ . Let also,

$$P_c^{s,*}(f) = \{[x] \in P_c^s(f) : [x] \text{ is not trapped}\}.$$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f \in P([a, b])$ . If  $S(f) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|P_s^*(f)| \leq N_T + 2N_D + 2$ .

*Proof.* Given  $[x] \in P_c^{s,*}(f)$ , it follows from Proposition 4 that exactly one of the following statements hold.

- (i)  $[x]$  is critical;
- (ii)  $[x]$  is free and non-exceptional;
- (iii)  $[x]$  is exceptional;
- (iv)  $x = a$  and it is a fixed point of  $f$ ;
- (v)  $x = b$  and it is a fixed point of  $f$ ;
- (vi)  $[x] = \{a, b\}$ ,  $f(a) = b$  and  $f(b) = a$ .

Therefore, consider

$$A = \{[x] \in P_c^{s,*}(f) : \text{(i) or (ii) holds}\}, \quad B = \{[x] \in P_c^{s,*}(f) : \text{(iii), (iv), (v) or (vi) holds}\}.$$

It follows from Theorem 3 that  $|A| \leq N_T + 2N_D$ . Hence, it is enough to prove that  $|B| \leq 2$ . Indeed, observe that if an exceptional orbit of type (a) (resp. type (b)) exists, then  $x = b$  (resp.  $x = a$ ) cannot be a fixed point. Similarly, if an exceptional orbit of type (c) exists, then there are no exceptional orbits of type (a) or (b);  $x = a$  and  $x = b$  cannot be fixed points and  $\{a, b\}$  (with  $f(a) = b$  and  $f(b) = a$ ) is unstable. Therefore, we obtain  $|B| \leq 2$ , as desired.  $\square$

Given  $f \in P([a, b])$ , let  $w_1 < w_2 < \dots < w_N$  be the special points of  $f$ . Let also  $a = w_0$  and  $b = w_{N+1}$ . For every  $k \in \{0, \dots, N\}$  let  $I_k = [w_k, w_{k+1}]$  and denote,

$$\Lambda = \{(\sigma_m)_{m \geq 0} : \sigma_m \in \{0, \dots, N\}, \forall m \geq 0\}.$$

Given  $x \in [a, b] \setminus O_f^-(D)$ , we say that  $\sigma = (\sigma_m) \in \Lambda$  is a *code* for  $x$  if  $f^m(x) \in I_{\sigma_m}$ , for all  $m \geq 0$ . We say that  $\sigma$  is *periodic* if there is  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\sigma_{n+m} = \sigma_m$ , for every  $m \geq 0$ . The least  $n$  satisfying this is the *period* of  $\sigma$ . Let,

$$G(f) = \{x \in [a, b] : f^m(x) \notin S(f), \forall m \geq 0\}.$$

Observe that every  $x \in [a, b] \setminus O_f^-(D)$  has at least one code and that if  $x \in G(f)$ , then such code is unique. Moreover, observe that  $[a, b] \setminus G(f)$  is countable. Given  $w \in S(f)$ , we say that  $w$  is *regular* if  $f(w) \in G(f)$  and if one of the two codes of  $w$  is periodic. Observe that if  $w$  is regular, then it is not periodic.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f \in P([a, b])$ . If  $w \in S(f)$  is regular, then there is  $[x] \in P_c^{s,*}(f)$  such that  $w \in A([x], f)$ . Reciprocally, if  $[x] \in P_c^{s,*}(f)$  is free and non-exceptional and all critical orbits of  $f$  are stable, then there is  $w \in S(f)$  regular such that  $w \in A([x], f)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $w \in S(f)$  be regular and let  $\sigma = \sigma_m$  be its periodic code, with period  $n$ . Let

$$J = \{x \in [a, b] : \sigma \text{ is one of the codes of } x\}.$$

Since  $\sigma$  is periodic, observe that  $J$  is a non-trivial closed interval. Observe also that  $f^n(J) \subset J$ . We claim that  $f^m$  is continuous and monotone on  $J$ , for every  $m \geq 0$ . Indeed, suppose by contradiction that  $f^k$  is not continuous or monotone on  $J$ , for some  $k \geq 1$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $k$  is the least natural number with such property. Then, it follows that there is  $y \in S(f^k)$  such that  $y \in \text{Int}(J)$ . Hence, it follows from Proposition 3 that  $f^j(y) \in S(f)$ , for some  $j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ . Since  $f^i$ ,  $i \in \{0, \dots, j\}$ , is continuous and monotone on  $J$ , it follows that  $f^j(y) \in \text{Int}(f^j(J))$ . Hence, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small it follows that  $y - \varepsilon \in \text{Int}(J)$  and  $y + \varepsilon \in \text{Int}(J)$  have different codes, contradicting the definition of  $J$  and proving the claim. In special, it follows that  $w \in J$  is an end-point of  $J$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $w$  is the right-hand side end-point of  $J$ . Observe that  $f^{2n}$  is increasing on  $J$  and that  $f^{2n}(J) \subset J$ . Moreover, since  $w$  is not periodic, it follows that  $f^{2n}(w) < w$  and thus

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f^{2nm}(w) = x,$$

where  $x \in \text{Int}(J)$  is the greatest fixed point of  $f^{2n}$ . Hence,  $w \in A([x], f)$ . We now claim that  $x \in P_c^{s,*}(f)$ . Indeed, since  $x \in \text{Int}(J)$  is the greatest fixed point of  $f^{2n}$  and  $f^{2n}(w) < w$ , it follows that  $f^{2n}(y) < y$ , for every  $y \in (x, w)$ . Thus,  $x$  is not trapped and it is stable or semi-stable, proving the claim. Reciprocally, let  $[x] \in P_c^{s,*}(f)$  be free and non-exceptional, with period  $n$ . For each  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , let  $[u_k, v_k]$  be the greatest non-trivial interval, with  $u_k < f^k(x) < v_k$ , such that  $f_j$ ,  $j \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$  is continuous and monotone on  $[u_k, v_k]$ . It follows from Remark 5 that for some  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , at least one of the following statements hold.

- (i)  $u_k \neq a$  and  $f^{2n}(z) > z$ , for all  $z \in (u_k, f^k(x))$ ;

(ii)  $v_k \neq b$  and  $f^{2n}(z) < z$ , for all  $z \in (f^k(x), v_k)$ .

Suppose that statement (ii) holds. Observe that  $v_k \in S(f^{j_0})$ , for some  $j_0 \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ . Therefore, it follows from Proposition 3 that there are  $w \in S(f)$  and  $j \in \{0, \dots, j_0 - 1\}$  such that  $f^j(v_k) = w$ . It follows from statement (ii) that  $f^{2n}(v_k) < v_k$ , for otherwise  $f^{2n}(v_k) = v_k$  and thus  $[v_k]$  would be a non-stable critical orbit of  $f$ , contradicting the hypothesis. Therefore, it follows that,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f^{2nm}(w) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f^{2nm}(f^j(v_k)) = f^{k+j}(x).$$

Hence,  $w \in A([x], f)$ . We claim that  $w$  is regular. Indeed, let  $I = f^j((f^k(x), v_k)) \subset G(f)$  (observe that  $f^j$  is continuous and monotone in  $(f^k(x), v_k)$ ). Since

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f^{2nm}(y) = f^{k+j}(x),$$

for every  $y \in I$ , it follows that every  $y \in I$  has the same code  $\sigma$  and that such code is periodic. Since  $\sigma$  is also one of the two codes of  $w$ , it follows that  $w$  is regular.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author is partially supported by CNPq/MCTI/FNDCT project 406750/2021-1, Brazil and the other authors are partially supported by São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), grants 2019/10269-3, 2021/01799-9, 2022/14353-1 and 2022/04040-6.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. COLLET, J. ECKMANN, *Iterated maps on the interval as dynamical systems*, Progress in physics, Vol. 1, Birkhauser, Boston.
- [2] T. LI AND J. YORKE, *Period three implies chaos*, American Math. Monthly, 82 (1975), 985-992.
- [3] J. MILNOR AND W. THURSTON, *On iterated maps of the interval*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1342 (1988), 465-563.
- [4] M. PIERRE, *Topological and Measurable Dynamics of Lorenz Maps*, Ph.D. Dissertationes Math, Polska Akademia Nauk, Instytut Matematyczny, Warszawa, Poland, 1999; Volume 382, pp. 1-134.
- [5] C. PRESTON, *Lectures Notes in Mathematics: Iterates of maps on an interval*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo (1983).
- [6] D. SINGER, *Stable Orbits and Bifurcation of Maps of the Interval*, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics 35, no. 2 (1978): 260-67.
- [7] C. SPARROW, *The Lorenz Equations, Bifurcations, Chaos, and Strange Attractors*, Springer-Verlag New York Inc (1981).
- [8] S. STRIEN, *Smooth Dynamics on the Interval (with an emphasis on quadratic-like maps)*, New Directions in Dynamical Systems, Cambridge University Press (1988), p. 57-119.
- [9] B. WINCKLER, *Renormalization of Lorenz Maps*, Ph.D. Thesis, KTH, Stockholm, Sweden, 2011.

<sup>1</sup> UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA BAHIA, AV. MILTON SANTOS S/N, SALVADOR, BAHIA, CEP 40170-110, BRAZIL

*Email address:* kleyber@ufba.br

<sup>2</sup> IBILCE-UNESP, CEP 15054-000, S. J. RIO PRETO, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL

*Email address:* mra.gouveia@unesp.br; paulo.santana@unesp.br