

Overdetermined elliptic problems in nontrivial exterior domains of the hyperbolic space *

G. Dai [†], P. Sicbaldi [‡], Y. Zhang [§]

Abstract

We construct nontrivial unbounded domains Ω in the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^N , $N \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, bifurcating from the complement of a ball, such that the overdetermined elliptic problem

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^N} u + u - u^p = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0, \quad \partial_\nu u = \text{const on } \partial\Omega$$

has a positive bounded solution in $C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega) \cap H^1(\Omega)$. We also give a condition under which this construction holds for larger dimensions N . This is linked to the Berestycki-Caffarelli-Nirenberg conjecture on overdetermined elliptic problems, and, as far as we know, is the first nontrivial example of solution to an overdetermined elliptic problem in the hyperbolic space.

Keywords: Overdetermined elliptic problem; Hyperbolic space; Exterior domains; Perturbation of the exterior of a ball; Berestycki-Caffarelli-Nirenberg conjecture; Nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

AMS Subject Classification(2020): 35B32; 35N25; 51M10.

1 Introduction and main results

Introduction and state of the art. Let us consider the following overdetermined elliptic problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \text{const} & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a given domain, f is a Lipschitz function and ν is the unit outward normal vector about $\partial\Omega$. Serrin [41] proved that if Ω is bounded with boundary of class C^2 and f is a C^1 function, the existence of a solution to (1.1) implies that Ω is a ball. The method used by Serrin to prove such result is universally known as the moving plane method and holds also when f is only Lipschitz [31]. The case when Ω is supposed to be unbounded has been considered in 1997 by Berestycki, Caffarelli and Nirenberg [5]. They obtained some rigidity results for epigraphs and stated the following conjecture:

*The research of G. Dai is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No.: 12371110). The research of P. Sicbaldi is supported by the FEDER-MINECO Grant PID2020-117868GB-I00 and the ‘‘Maria de Maeztu’’ Excellence Unit IMAG, reference CEX2020-001105-M, funded by MCINN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/CEX2020-001105-M. The research of Y. Zhang is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No.: 12301133).

[†]Guowei Dai. School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, 116024, P.R. China. E-mail: daiguowei@dlut.edu.cn.

[‡]Pieralberto Sicbaldi. Departamento de Análisis Matemático & Instituto de Matemáticas IMAG, Universidad de Granada, Avenida Fuentenueva, 18071 Granada, Spain & Aix-Marseille Université - CNRS, Centrale Marseille - I2M, Marseille, France. E-mail: pieralberto@ugr.es.

[§]Yong Zhang. School of Mathematical Sciences, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, 212013, P.R. China. E-mail: zhangyong@ujs.edu.cn.

BCN Conjecture: If Ω is a smooth domain such that $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ is connected and problem (1.1) admits a bounded solution, then Ω is either a ball, a half-space, a generalized cylinder $B^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ where B^k is a ball in \mathbb{R}^k , or the complement of one of them.

The answer to the BNC conjecture, in its generality, is negative. In the class of domains diffeomorphic to a cylinder, for $N \geq 3$ the second author [42] found a periodic perturbation of the straight cylinder $B^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ that supports a periodic solution to problem (1.1) with $f(u) = \lambda u, \lambda > 0$. Such result holds also in dimension $N = 2$ [39] (but in this case is not a counterexample to the conjecture). Generalizations of this construction have been done in the Riemannian manifolds $\mathbb{S}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ [12, 29], and in the Euclidean case for general functions f [37]. In the class of domains diffeomorphic to the half-space the BCN conjecture has been proved to be true in dimension 2 by Ros, Ruiz and the second author [34] (under the assumption that the Neumann data is not zero), while new solutions in perturbations of the Bombieri-De Giorgi-Giusti epigraph have been built by Del Pino, Pacard and Wei in [13] in dimension $N \geq 9$ when $f(u)$ is of Allen-Cahn type (i.e. $f(u) = u - u^3$ or other nonlinearities with similar behavior). In the class of domains diffeomorphic to the exterior of a ball the BCN conjecture is true when f is of Allen-Cahn type (see [32, 34]), while new bounded solutions of (1.1) in perturbations of the exterior of balls of large radius have been found by Ros, Ruiz and the second author [34] for the Schrödinger equation (i.e. $f(u) = u^p - u$). These new solutions in perturbations of the exterior of a ball produce automatically new solutions in perturbations of the complement of a straight cylinder just by adding one or more empty variables.

Two natural Riemannian manifolds where one can consider Problem (1.1) and hope to obtain rigidity results using the moving plane method and related tools are the round sphere \mathbb{S}^N and the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^N (and in fact these two manifolds are the only where one can hope this, as explained in the introduction of [38]). Hyperbolic geometry is very important in Physics: it is closely related to the Minkowski space that arises from the Einstein's relativity and electromagnetic field theory [6–8] and is also widely used in astrophysics, black hole theory and string theory. Of course, when we consider a Riemannian manifold we replace the Laplacian operator in (1.1) by the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated to the metric on the manifold.

Kumaresan and Prajapat [26] have proved that if Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{H}^N or of an hemisphere of \mathbb{S}^N and (1.1) admits a solution, then Ω must be a geodesic ball. Such result is the parallel of Serrin's theorem, and the proof is again based on the moving plane method. Nevertheless in the all sphere \mathbb{S}^N this statement turns to be false even for domains diffeomorphic to a geodesic ball: in [38] Ruiz, Wu and the second author found a nontrivial contractible domain where problem (1.1) can be solved with $f(u) = u^3 - u$ (up to a multiplicative constant). Such result shows that the nontrivial topology of \mathbb{S}^N allows new phenomena and the situation in \mathbb{S}^N can be very different from \mathbb{R}^N concerning overdetermined elliptic problems.

In \mathbb{H}^N the situation seems to be closer to the Euclidean one, but with some differences and the classes of possible shapes where one can hope to solve problems of the form (1.1) are richer. For example, beside the classical domains (i.e. geodesic balls, half-spaces, the exterior of a geodesic ball, cylinders, the complement of a cylinder) there exists an other trivial shape where one can solve overdetermined problems: the horoball (see below its definition). After the pionner work [26], overdetermined elliptic problems in \mathbb{H}^N have been considered in a structural way in two very interesting papers: [15] by Espinar ad Mao, and [14] by Espinar, Farina and Mazet. The hyperbolic space can be compactified by its ideal boundary $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^N$, and domains where a problem as (1.1) admits solutions can be studied in terms of their trace on $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^N$. In order to give a rigorous definition of $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^N$, we say that two geodesics $\gamma_1(t)$ and $\gamma_2(t)$ are asymptotic if there exists a constant c such that $d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) < c$ for all $t \geq 0$ where d

stands for the distance in \mathbb{H}^N and c is a positive constant. Asymptotic is an equivalence relation on the set of unit-speed half geodesics (i.e. geodesics considered only for $t \in [0, +\infty)$). Each equivalence class is called a point at infinity and we denote by $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^N$ the set of points at infinity. It is well known that $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^N$ is bijective to a unit sphere, i.e., $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^N \cong \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$. Given a geodesic γ , the function

$$B_\gamma(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [d(\gamma(t), x) - t]$$

is called the Busemann function at γ . The level sets (respectively super-level sets) of such function are called horospheres (respectively horoballs). The ideal boundary of a horosphere (and a horoball) is just one point in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^N$, given by $\gamma(+\infty)$.

The main result about \mathbb{H}^N contained in [15] is the following: if Problem (1.1) has a bounded solution in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^N$ and the boundary at infinity of Ω stays in an equator of $\mathbb{S}^{N-1} = \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^N$ then the domain must be symmetric with respect to the hyperplane whose boundary at infinity is such equator. In particular:

- If the boundary at infinity is done by exactly two different points (i.e. the domain has two ends) then the domain must be rotationally symmetric with respect to the axis given by the geodesic that joins the two points.
- If the boundary at infinity is done by exactly one point, then the domain is a horoball.

The result for the case with two different points at infinity can be seen as the analogous to a result in \mathbb{R}^N by Ros and the second author [35].

In [14] the authors consider Problem (1.1) in the case of domains Ω of \mathbb{H}^N diffeomorphic to the complement of a geodesic ball or the complement of a horoball. They assume the following hypothesis on the solution u and on the function f :

(H) there exists a positive constant C such that $u(p) \rightarrow C$ when $d(p, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow +\infty$, and f is non-increasing in an interval $(C - \epsilon, C)$ for some (small) ϵ .

Under such hypothesis, the two main results proved in [14] are:

- If Ω is the complement of a bounded open region with regular boundary, and Problem (1.1) has a solution, then the domain must be the complement of a geodesic ball.
- If Ω is the complement of an open domain with regular boundary and only one point at infinity, and Problem (1.1) has a solution, then the domain must be the complement of a horoball.

In [14, 15] the authors suggest implicitly the equivalent of the BCN Conjecture, that can be stated in the following problem: prove that, under some additional hypothesis on the function f or on the geometry of the domain Ω , the existence of a bounded solution to Problem (1.1) implies that Ω must be a geodesic ball, a horoball, a cylinder (with base a geodesic ball or a horoball), a half-space, or the complement of one of them. In this sense, in [15] Espinar and Mao prove the BCN Conjecture in \mathbb{H}^N under the hypothesis that the boundary at infinity of the domain is empty or done by only one point, while in [14] the authors prove the BCN conjecture in \mathbb{H}^2 with the additional hypothesis (H).

The aim of this paper is to show that hypothesis (H) in [14] for the rigidity result on domains that are the complement of a geodesic ball cannot be eliminated. In fact, we construct nontrivial exterior domains which support a positive bounded solution of Problem (1.1) when f is the nonlinear Schrödinger function. That is, here we consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u - u^p = 0, & u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \text{const} & & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^N$ with $N \geq 2$ and $1 < p < (N+2)/(N-2)$ if $N > 2$ and $1 < p < +\infty$ if $N = 2$. We construct nontrivial exterior domains Ω , obtained as perturbations of the complement of a big geodesic ball, that support solutions to (1.2) converging to 0 when the distance to $\partial\Omega$ tends to infinity.

Let us mention that the study of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the hyperbolic space is motivated by the Grushin operators [3], Hardy-Sobolev-Mazy's equations [10] and quantum mechanics [2]. There is a large amount of literature dealing with the study of existence, uniqueness and qualitative properties of solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the hyperbolic space, see for example [2, 27–29] and references therein.

Statement of the results. In order to state precisely our result we need some notation. Fixing the origin O in \mathbb{H}^N , we use the exponential map of \mathbb{H}^N centered at O , $\exp_O : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^N$ and given any continuous function $v : \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, we define the domain

$$B_v = \exp_O \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{H}^N : 0 \leq |x| < v \left(\frac{x}{|x|} \right) \right\} \right).$$

and let B_v^c be its complement in \mathbb{H}^N , where $|x|$ is the geodesic distance of x to the origin (we will write B_R for the ball of radius R , i.e. B_v with $v(x) \equiv R$). We shall also use the coordinates in \mathbb{H}^N given by the exponential map composed with polar coordinates in \mathbb{R}^N . In other words, we write:

$$\begin{aligned} X : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1} &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}^N \\ X(r, \theta) &= \exp_S(r\theta). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Let G be a group of isometries acting on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} . We say that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^N$ is G -symmetric if, working in coordinates:

$$(r, \theta) \in \Omega \Rightarrow (r, g(\theta)) \in \Omega$$

for any $g \in G$. Through the paper we take $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ fixed. Define

$$\begin{aligned} H_{0,G}^1(\Omega) &= \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : u(r, \theta) = u(r, g(\theta)), \forall g \in G\}, \\ C_G^{k,\alpha}(\Omega) &= \{u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega) : u(r, \theta) = u(r, g(\theta)), \forall g \in G\} \\ C_G^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) &= \{u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) : u = u \circ g, \forall g \in G\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $C_{G,m}^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ be the set of functions in $C_G^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ whose mean is 0. We assume that the group G satisfies the following fundamental hypothesis:

(G1) Denoting by $\{\mu_{i_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}$ restricted to G -symmetric functions and by m_k their multiplicities, we require m_1 odd and $i_1 > \left(2 - N + \sqrt{(N-2)^2 + \frac{16}{9}(N+2)(N-1)}\right)/2$.

We remark that when $N = 2, 3$ or 4 the condition is equivalent to $i_1 \geq 2$. So, in dimension 2 any dihedral group satisfies (G1); while, in dimension 3, G can be taken as the group of isometries of the tetrahedron, the octahedron or the icosahedron (see [34, Remark 2.2]). In dimension 4, G can be the symmetry group of rotations of the hyper-icosahedron, for which $i_1 = 12$ and $m_1 = 1$ (see [36, Appendix 7.3]). For higher dimensions, we do not know if there exist groups satisfying (G1).

By the Krasnosel'skii Local Bifurcation Theorem [25], we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 2$, $1 < p < (N+2)/(N-2)$ ($p > 1$ if $N = 2$). Let G be a group of symmetries of \mathbb{S}^{N-1} satisfying (G1). Then there exist $R_* > 0$, a sequence of nonzero functions $v_n \in C_{G,m}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ converging to 0, and a sequence of positive real numbers R_n converging to R_* such*

that the overdetermined problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u - u^p = 0, & u > 0 & \text{in } B_{R_n(1+v_n)}^c, \\ u = 0 & & \text{on } \partial B_{R_n(1+v_n)}, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \text{const} & & \text{on } \partial B_{R_n(1+v_n)} \end{cases}$$

has a positive bounded solution $u \in C_G^{2,\alpha} \left(B_{R_n(1+v_n)}^c \right) \cap H_{0,G}^1 \left(B_{R_n(1+v_n)}^c \right)$.

Theorem 1.1 is exactly the counterpart in \mathbb{H}^N of the homologous result in \mathbb{R}^N [34, Theorem 1.1], but due to the hyperbolic space, we need a stronger condition for i_1 than in the Euclidean space where $i_1 \geq 2$ [34]. We will follow the same strategy of [34], but we will have to treat the aspects related to the hyperbolic metric.

Organization of the paper. The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we study the existence, uniqueness, non-degeneracy and asymptotic behavior of the radial solutions of the Dirichlet problem with nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the exterior domain $B_R^c \subset \mathbb{H}^N$ for $N \geq 2$. After a change of scale and considering the parameter $\lambda = 1/R^2$, in Section 3 we introduce and study two quadratic forms $Q_\lambda(\psi)$ and $\tilde{Q}_\lambda(\psi)$. In section 4, after solving the Dirichlet problem in domains of the form $B_{R(1+v)}^c$, we define the main operator of our problem, that turns to be the operator associating to v the normal derivative at the boundary of the solution to the Dirichlet problem in $B_{R(1+v)}^c$, and we study the eigenvalues $\sigma_k(H_\lambda)$ of its linearization H_λ . This will allow us to use the classical bifurcation theory and in Section 5 we provide the proof of our main result.

2 The Dirichlet problem in the exterior of a ball in \mathbb{H}^N

For convenience of the reader, let's first recall the fundamental definition of the hyperbolic space. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ with $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N)$ and $y = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_N)$, define the Lorentz inner product on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} by

$$[x, y] = x_0 y_0 - \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i.$$

The branch of hyperboloid given by

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : [x, x] = 1, x_0 > 0\}$$

equipped with the metric induced by the Lorentz inner product on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} is called the hyperbolic space and denoted by \mathbb{H}^N . Fix the point $O = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ as the origin of \mathbb{H}^N . We will use spherical coordinates $(r, \omega) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ centered at O . This means that if $(x_0, x') \in \mathbb{H}^N$, $x' = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$, we denote by r the distance of (x_0, x') to O and we will write

$$(x_0, x') = (\cosh r, \omega \sinh r).$$

We see that

$$dx_0 = \sinh r dr, \quad dx' = \omega \cosh r dr + \sinh r d\omega.$$

Then the metric induced on \mathbb{H}^N by the Lorentzian one on \mathbb{R}^{N+1} is

$$dl^2 = -dx_0^2 + d(x')^2 = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r d\omega^2.$$

The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on \mathbb{H}^N can then be written as

$$\partial_r^2 + (N-1) \frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r} \partial_r + \frac{1}{\sinh^2 r} \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{S}^{N-1} . We refer the reader to the monographs [21, 43] for more details on the hyperbolic space.

The radial solution to the Dirichlet problem in the exterior of a ball. Consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w + w - w^p = 0 & \text{in } B_R^c, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $p > 1$. Our first task will be to show that there exists a unique radial solution w of (2.1), belonging to $H^1(B_R^c)$, non degenerate among radial functions and with Morse index equal to 1. For this we will use [28], where Morabito studies the existence, uniqueness and nondegeneracy of radial solutions of a more general equation in annular domains of more general Riemannian manifolds. We observe that if w is radial and belongs to $H^1(B_R^c)$ then it satisfies the following ODE:

$$\begin{cases} w'' + (N-1)\frac{C(r)}{S(r)}w' + w^p - w = 0, & r \in (R, +\infty), \\ w(R) = 0 = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} w(r), \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$S(r) = \sinh(r) \quad \text{and} \quad C(r) = \cosh(r).$$

In the notation of [28] this corresponds to $R_1 = R$, $R_2 = +\infty$, $\nu = N - 1$ and $V(r) = -1$. According to [28], in order to obtain the existence, the uniqueness and the nondegeneracy of a solution w of (2.1) we just need to study the derivative of the following function:

$$G(r) = -S^\beta(r) + \alpha S^{\beta-2}(r) [(\alpha + 2 - N)S'^2(r) - S''(r)S(r)],$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{2(N-1)}{p+3}, \quad \beta = \alpha(p-1).$$

A straightforward computation (that we do in detail) gives us the following:

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $1 < p < (N+2)/(N-2)$ ($p > 1$ if $N = 2$). Then either:*

- $G'(r) < 0$ for $r \in (R, +\infty)$, or
- $G'(R) > 0$ and $G'(r)$ changes sign only once on $(R, +\infty)$.

In both cases $\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} G'(r) < 0$.

Proof. By the definition of G , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} G'(r) &= -\beta S^{\beta-1}(r)S'(r) + \alpha(\beta-2)S^{\beta-3}(r)S'(r) [(\alpha+2-N)S'^2(r) - S''(r)S(r)] \\ &\quad + 2\alpha S^{\beta-2}(r) [(\alpha+2-N)S'(r)S(r) - S''(r)S(r)] \\ &= S^{\beta-1}(r)S'(r) [2\alpha(\alpha+1-N) - \beta - \alpha(\beta-2) + \alpha(\beta-2)(\alpha+2-N)S^{-2}(r)S'^2(r)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 < p < (N+2)/(N-2)$ ($p > 1$ if $N = 2$), we derive that

$$\beta - 2 = 2\frac{(N-2)p - (N+2)}{p+3} < 0.$$

When $N = 2$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} G'(r) &< S^{\beta-1}(r)S'(r) [2\alpha(\alpha+1-N) - \beta - \alpha(\beta-2) + \alpha(\beta-2)(\alpha+2-N)] \\ &= S^{\beta-1}(r)S'(r)\beta(\alpha(\alpha-1) - 1) = -S^{\beta-1}(r)S'(r)\frac{2(p-1)}{p+3} \left(1 + \frac{2(p+1)}{(p+3)^2}\right) \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the case of $N \geq 3$.

When $N \geq 3$, we see that

$$\alpha + 2 - N = \frac{(2 - N)(p + 1) + 2}{p + 3} \leq \frac{2 - (p + 1)}{p + 3} < 0.$$

Define

$$F(r) = 2\alpha(\alpha + 1 - N) - \beta - \alpha(\beta - 2) + \alpha(\beta - 2)(\alpha + 2 - N)S^{-2}(r)S'^2(r).$$

Then we have that

$$F'(r) = 2\alpha(\beta - 2)(\alpha + 2 - N)\frac{C(r)}{S(r)}\left(1 - \frac{C^2(r)}{S^2(r)}\right) < 0.$$

If $G'(R) \leq 0$, we see that $F(R) \leq 0$. Since F is strictly decreasing, $F(r) < 0$ on $(R, +\infty)$. Thus, we have that $G'(r) < 0$ on $(R, +\infty)$. If $G'(R) > 0$, we have that $F(R) > 0$. We note that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} F(r) = \beta(\alpha(\alpha + 1 - N) - 1) = -\frac{2(N - 1)(p - 1)}{p + 3} \left(\frac{2(N - 1)^2(p + 1)}{(p + 3)^2} + 1 \right) < 0.$$

So F has at least one zero on $(R, +\infty)$. Since F is strictly decreasing, F has exactly one zero on $(R, +\infty)$. Therefore, $G'(r)$ changes sign only once on $(R, +\infty)$.

Finally, we study $\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} G'(r)$. We first study the $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} F(r)$. When $N = 2$, we have that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} F(r) = -\frac{2(p - 1)}{p + 3} \left(1 + \frac{2(p + 1)}{(p + 3)^2} \right) < 0.$$

And for $N \geq 3$, we have that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} F(r) = -\frac{2(N - 1)(p - 1)}{p + 3} \left(\frac{2(N - 1)^2(p + 1)}{(p + 3)^2} + 1 \right) < 0.$$

Note that

$$G'(r) = S^\beta(r)\frac{S'(r)}{S(r)}F(r) \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} S^\beta(r)\frac{S'(r)}{S(r)} = +\infty.$$

So, we reach that $\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} G'(r) = -\infty < 0$, which is the desired conclusion. \square

In view of Lemma 2.1, by [28, Theorem 2.1], the problem (2.2) has a unique positive solution w_R . Considering w_R as radial solution of the problem in B_R^c , we have that it is non-degenerate in the space of $H_{0,r}^1(B_R^c)$, where

$$H_{0,r}^1(B_R^c) = \{z \in H_0^1(B_R^c) : z(x) = z(|x|) \text{ a.e. } x \in B_R^c\}.$$

We next derive a uniform decay rate of w_R .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\gamma = (N - 1 + \sqrt{4 + (N - 1)^2})/2$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, \gamma)$, then there exists $M > 0$ such that $w_R(r) \leq Me^{-r(\gamma - \varepsilon)}$ for r large enough and $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} w'_R/w_R = -\gamma$.*

Proof. Integrating the equation in (2.2) from r to $+\infty$ and using the fact of $w_R \in H^1(B_R^c)$, we obtain that

$$S^{N-1}(r)w'_R(r) = \int_r^{+\infty} S^{N-1}(\tau)(w_R^p(\tau) - w_R(\tau)) \, d\tau.$$

Since $w_R(r) > 0$ for any $r > R$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} w_R(r) = 0$ and $p > 1$, we can take r large enough such that

$$\int_r^{+\infty} S^{N-1}(\tau)(w_R^p(\tau) - w_R(\tau)) \, d\tau \leq 0.$$

It follows that $w'_R(r) \leq 0$ for r large enough. Further, we have that

$$w''_R(r) = -(N-1)\frac{S'(r)}{S(r)}w'_R(r) + w_R(r) - w_R^p(r) > 0$$

for r large enough. It follows that

$$w'_R(r) < 0$$

for r large enough. Indeed, if there exists some r_0 large enough such that $w'_R(r_0) = 0$, then we find that

$$0 \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{w'_R(r_0 + \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} = w''_R(r_0) > 0,$$

which is a contradiction. We take $R_0 > R$ such that $w'_R(r) < 0$ for $r > R_0$.

For any $r > R_0$, set $z = -w'_R/w_R$. Observe that $z > 0$. Let us prove that z is also bounded from above.

We have:

$$z' = -\frac{w''_R}{w_R} + \frac{w_R'^2}{w_R^2} = z^2 - (N-1)\frac{S'}{S}z + w_R^{p-1} - 1.$$

Let us write:

$$z^2 - (N-1)\frac{S'}{S}z + w_R^{p-1} - 1 = \frac{z^2}{2} + h(z),$$

where

$$h(z) := \frac{z^2}{2} - (N-1)\frac{S'}{S}z + w_R^{p-1} - 1.$$

We observe that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \left((N-1)^2 \frac{S'^2}{S^2} + 2(1 - w_R^{p-1}) \right) = 2 + (N-1)^2 > 0.$$

So there exists $R_1 \geq R_0$ such that the discriminant of the second order polynome h is positive if $r \geq R_1$. It follows that, if $r > R_1$, the polynome h has exactly two zeros, and the larger one is

$$z_0 := (N-1)\frac{S'}{S} + \sqrt{(N-1)^2 \frac{S'^2}{S^2} + 2(1 - w_R^{p-1})},$$

which is clearly positive. Suppose now that $z \geq z_0$ for r in a certain interval of $(R_0, +\infty)$. In this case we have $h(z) \geq 0$. It follows that

$$z' \geq \frac{z^2}{2}.$$

So z is strictly increasing and

$$\left(\frac{1}{z(r)} \right)' \leq -\frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} z_0 = N-1 + \sqrt{2 + (N-1)^2}.$$

Thus, there exists $R_2 \geq R_1$ such that

$$z_0 < N + \sqrt{2 + (N-1)^2} =: N^*$$

for $r \geq R_2$.

So, now suppose by contradiction that z is bigger than N^* at some $r \geq R_2$. Since we can choose R_2 larger, let us suppose that $z(R_2) \geq N^*$. Then, since z is strictly increasing in intervals where $z \geq z_0$, we have that z is strictly increasing for $r \geq R_2$, so $z \geq N^*$ for all $r \geq R_2$. Integrating (2.3) from R_2 to r , we find that

$$\int_{R_2}^r \left(\frac{1}{z(t)} \right)' dt \leq \frac{R_2 - r}{2},$$

which means

$$\frac{1}{z(r)} \leq \frac{2 - (r - R_2)z(R_2)}{2z(R_2)}.$$

Since z is positive for $r \geq R_2$, then it's obvious that

$$z(r) \geq \frac{2z(R_2)}{2 - (r - R_2)z(R_2)},$$

which implies that $z(r)$ would blow up as $r \rightarrow (2 + R_2z(R_2))/z(R_2)$, that is a value in $(R_2, +\infty)$. Due to the fact that $z(r)$ is differentiable for any $r > R_0$, this behavior is impossible and we have a contradiction with our assumption $z(R_2) \geq N^*$.

It follows at once that $0 < z < N^*$ for $r \geq R_2$ and then

$$0 \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} z \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} z \leq N^*.$$

Now, using the argument of [27, Lemma 3.4] with obvious changes, we can show that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} z = \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} z,$$

and so z has a limit for $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $\gamma = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} z$. Then by L'Hôpital's rule, we have that

$$\gamma^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} z^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{w_R''}{w_R} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \left((N-1) \frac{S'}{S} z + 1 - w_R^{p-1} \right) = 1 + (N-1)\gamma.$$

It follows that

$$\gamma = \frac{N-1 + \sqrt{4 + (N-1)^2}}{2} > 0.$$

For any $\varepsilon \in (0, \gamma)$ and r large enough, we have that

$$-\frac{w_R'}{w_R} \geq \gamma - \varepsilon.$$

It follows that

$$\ln \frac{1}{w_R} \geq (\gamma - \varepsilon)r + C$$

for some constant C and r large enough. Therefore, for some positive constant M , we obtain that

$$w_R \leq M e^{-(\gamma - \varepsilon)r},$$

which is the desired conclusion. □

Remark. In the previous proof we combine the methods of proving decay rate in \mathbb{R}^N of [30] and \mathbb{H}^N [27]. In Euclidean space, if w_R is bounded, $w_R/r \rightarrow 0$ which implies the existence of $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} z$. While, in the hyperbolic space, since $S'/S \rightarrow 1$, the L'Hôpital rule used in the Euclidean space can not be directly used here. This is also an essential difference between the hyperbolic space and the Euclidean space. Of course, the existence of $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} z$ can also be obtained by using the method developed in [27]. Here we combine two methods to display the differences between two spaces.

Furthermore, the radial solution w_R also has the following property.

Lemma 2.3. *The function w_R increases in the radius up to a certain maximum, and then it decreases and converges to 0 at infinity.*

Proof. Define

$$E(r) = \frac{w_R^2(r)}{2} + \int_0^{w_R(r)} (s^p - s) ds,$$

then

$$E'(r) = -(N-1)w_R^2(r) \frac{S'(r)}{S(r)} \leq 0.$$

Since $w_R(R) = 0 = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} w_R(r)$, w_R has at least one critical point. Let c be any critical point of w_R . One see that

$$E(c) = \int_0^{w_R(c)} (s^p - s) ds = \frac{w_R^{p+1}(c)}{p+1} - \frac{w_R^2(c)}{2}.$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, one may see that $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} E(r) = 0$. It follows that $E(r) \geq 0$. In particular, $E(c) \geq 0$. It follows that

$$w_R(c) \geq \left(\frac{p+1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} > 1.$$

So, the critical values of w_R is greater than 1. We claim that the maximum point of w_R is unique as in the following Figure 1.




Figure 1: The behavior of the radial solution w_R .

Suppose, by contradiction, that there exist c, d with $c < d$ such that $w_R(c) = w_R(d) = \max_{[R, +\infty)} w_R(r)$. Since $w'_R(c) = w'_R(d) = 0$, we have that

$$E(c) = E(d).$$

The monotonicity of E implies that $E(r) \equiv E(c)$ on $[c, d]$. So $E'(r) \equiv 0$ on $[c, d]$. Further, $w'_R(r) \equiv 0$ on $[c, d]$. It follows from (2.2) that $w_R(r) \equiv 1$ on $[c, d]$, which contradicts the fact of any critical values of w_R is greater than 1. Finally, we show that w_R has exactly one critical point. If it was not, there would exist a point e such that $w'_R(e) = 0$, $w''_R(e) \geq 0$. By (2.2), we have that

$$(w_R^p - w_R)(e) \leq 0.$$

This implies that $w_R(e) \leq 1$, which again contradicts the fact of any critical values of w_R is greater than 1 (see the following Figure 2).

Therefore, w_R increases in the radius up to a certain maximum, and then it decreases and converges to 0 at infinity. \square

In addition, we get the exact Morse index of w_R as follows.

Lemma 2.4. *The function w_R has Morse index equal to 1.*

Proof. For $u \in H_{0,r}^1(B_R^c)$, define the functional

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R^c} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{B_R^c} u^{p+1} dx,$$




Figure 2: The cases for multiple critical points of w_R .

where $u_+ = \max\{u, 0\}$. The unique solution w_R is a critical point of I . It is standard to verify that I has mountain pass geometry and satisfies the Palais-Smale compactness condition. Since w_R is the unique non-zero critical point of $I(u)$, w_R is the mountain pass critical point. So the Morse index $i(I, w_R) \leq 1$ [22] where $i(I, w_R)$ is defined by

$$\max \{ \dim H : H \subseteq H_{0,r}^1(B_R^c), I''(w_R)(h, h) < 0, \forall h \in H \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

Observe that

$$I''(w_R)(h, h) = \int_{B_R^c} (|\nabla h|^2 + h^2 - pw_R^{p-1}h^2) dx.$$

Choosing $h = w_R$ and using $I'(w_R)w_R = 0$, we get

$$I''(w_R)(w_R, w_R) = -(p-1) \int_{B_R^c} w_R^{p+1} dx < 0.$$

So we get $i(I, w_R) = 1$. □

For any $x \in \overline{B_1^c} \subset \mathbb{H}^N$, let $u(x) = w(Rx)$. Then u satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\lambda \Delta u + u - u^p = 0 & \text{in } B_1^c, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\lambda = 1/R^2$. From the properties of w_R , we have the following conclusion.

Proposition 2.5. *For any $\lambda > 0$, problem (2.4) possesses a unique radially symmetric C^∞ solution u_λ , which increases in the radius up to a certain maximum, and then it decreases and converges to 0 at infinity. Moreover, u_λ is non-degenerate in the space $H_{0,r}^1(B_1^c)$ and has Morse index 1.*

We use \dot{u}_λ to denote its derivative with respect to λ . Then from the relations of w and u_λ we have that

$$\dot{u}_\lambda(r) = -u'_\lambda(r) \frac{r}{2R} \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} = -u'_\lambda(r) \frac{r}{2\lambda}, \quad (2.5)$$

which will be used later. We regard (u_λ, B_1^c) as the trivial solution pair of problem (1.2).

3 A preliminar tool: study of two quadratic forms

The main goal of the present paper is to find a nontrivial exterior domain which supports a positive bounded solution of problem (1.2) by deforming the complement of the ball. To this end, we divide this

process into two steps. The first step aims to find a solution of the problem (2.4) on a perturbation domain. After that we impose the Neumann condition on this solution by solving an operator equation.

In order to solve (2.4) on a perturbation domain, we consider its linearized eigenvalue problem near the radial solution u_λ

$$\begin{cases} L_\lambda z = \tau z & \text{in } B_1^c, \\ z = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $L_\lambda := -\lambda\Delta + I - pu_\lambda^{p-1}$. From Proposition 2.5 we know that in $H_{0,r}^1(B_1^c)$ there is a unique negative eigenvalue τ_0 to problem (3.1). Let z_λ be the positive eigenfunction corresponding to τ_0 with $\|z_\lambda\|_{L^2(B_1^c)} = 1$.

Define the functional $Q_\lambda : H_{0,G}^1(B_1^c) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated to the above linearized eigenvalue problem

$$Q_\lambda(\psi) = \int_{B_1^c} \left(\lambda |\nabla \psi|^2 + \psi^2 - pu_\lambda^{p-1} \psi^2 \right) dx.$$

Then we have that

Proposition 3.1. *If $\lambda \leq -\tau_0 S^2(1)/\mu_{i_1}$, there exists $\psi \in H_{0,G}^1(B_1^c)$ such that $\int_{B_1^c} \psi z_\lambda dx = 0$ and $Q_\lambda(\psi) \leq 0$.*

Proof. Let ϕ be an eigenfunction corresponding to μ_{i_1} with $\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} = 1$. Set $\psi := z_\lambda(r)\phi(\theta)$. Then there holds that $\int_{B_1^c} \psi z_\lambda dx = 0$. From the definition of Q_λ we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\lambda(\psi) &= \int_{B_1^c} \left(\lambda |\nabla \psi|^2 + \psi^2 - pu_\lambda^{p-1} \psi^2 \right) dx \\ &= \int_{B_1^c} \left(\lambda |\nabla z|^2 \phi^2 + \lambda \frac{1}{S^2} |\nabla \phi|^2 z_\lambda^2 + \psi^2 - pu_\lambda^{p-1} \psi^2 \right) dx \\ &= \int_{B_1^c} \left(\lambda |\nabla z_\lambda|^2 + z_\lambda^2 - pu_\lambda^{p-1} z_\lambda^2 \right) \phi^2 dx + \int_{B_1^c} \left(\lambda \frac{1}{S^2} |\nabla \phi|^2 z_\lambda^2 \right) dx \\ &= \int_1^{+\infty} S^{N-1}(r) \left(\lambda z_\lambda'^2 + z_\lambda^2 - pu_\lambda^{p-1} z_\lambda^2 \right) dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \phi^2 d\theta \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_1^{+\infty} S^{N-3}(r) z_\lambda^2 dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\nabla \phi|^2 d\theta \\ &= \tau_0 + \lambda \int_1^{+\infty} S^{N-3}(r) z_\lambda^2 dr \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} |\nabla \phi|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \tau_0 + \frac{\mu_{i_1} \lambda}{S^2(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{i_1} = i_1(i_1 + N - 2)$. If $\lambda \leq -\tau_0 S^2(1)/\mu_{i_1}$, then we obtain that $Q_\lambda(\psi) \leq 0$. \square

Define

$$\Lambda_0 = \sup \left\{ \lambda > 0 : Q_\lambda(\psi) \leq 0 \text{ for some } \psi \in H_{0,G}^1(B_1^c) \setminus \{0\}, \int_{B_1^c} \psi z_\lambda dx = 0 \right\}.$$

It follows from Proposition 3.1 that $\Lambda_0 \geq -\tau_0 S^2(1)/\mu_{i_1} > 0$ and Proposition 3.1 implies that Q_λ can be negative if λ is small. The next conclusion shows that Q_λ must be positive if λ is large.

Proposition 3.2. *There exists $M > \Lambda_0$ such that for any $\lambda > M$, $Q_\lambda(\psi) > 0$ for any $\psi \in E_0 \setminus \{0\}$ where $E_0 = \left\{ \psi \in H_{0,G}^1(B_1^c) : \int_{B_1^c} \psi z_\lambda dx = 0 \right\}$.*

We will prove this result as a corollary of a stronger result that we will need to use also later in our paper. More precisely, we consider the following more general functional on $H_G^1(B_1^c)$

$$\tilde{Q}_\lambda(\psi) = \int_{B_1^c} \left(\lambda |\nabla \psi|^2 + \psi^2 - pu_\lambda^{p-1} \psi^2 \right) dx - \lambda(N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1} \int_{\partial B_1^c} \psi^2 ds_x.$$

Observe that

$$\tilde{Q}_\lambda|_{H_{0,G}^1(B_1^c)} = Q_\lambda,$$

so Proposition 3.2 is a corollary of the following result:

Proposition 3.3. *There exists $M > \Lambda_0$ such that for any $\lambda > M$, $\tilde{Q}_\lambda(\psi) > 0$ for any $\psi \in E \setminus \{0\}$ where $E = \left\{ \psi \in H_G^1(B_1^c) : \int_{\partial B_1} \psi \, ds_x = 0, \int_{B_1^c} \psi z_\lambda \, dx = 0 \right\}$.*

The main objective of this section is to prove this Proposition 3.3. Although we adopt the idea of [34, Proposition 5.3], there are some new difficulties related to the hyperbolic space.

For $\psi \in H_G^1(B_R^c)$, define the functional

$$\widehat{Q}_R(\psi) = \int_{B_R^c} \left(|\nabla \psi|^2 + \psi^2 - p u_R^{p-1} \psi^2 \right) dx - \frac{(N-1)(e^2+1)}{R(e^2-1)} \int_{\partial B_R^c} \psi^2 \, ds_x.$$

According to the relations of u_R and u_λ with $\lambda = 1/R^2$, we can see that

$$\widehat{Q}_R(\psi) = R^N \tilde{Q}_\lambda(\psi).$$

So it is sufficient to show $\widehat{Q}_R(\psi)$ is positive on E_R for R is sufficiently small, where

$$E_R = \left\{ \psi \in H_G^1(B_R^c) : \int_{\partial B_R} \psi \, ds_x = 0, \int_{\partial B_R^c} \psi z_R \, ds_x = 0 \right\}$$

with $z_R(x) = z_\lambda(x/R)$. For this purpose, we first introduce some important results [18] of the Schrödinger equation on the whole space \mathbb{H}^N as follows.

Lemma 3.4. *The following Schrödinger equation*

$$-\Delta u + u = u^p, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{H}^N,$$

possesses a unique radially symmetric C^∞ solution U , which decreases and exponentially decays. Moreover, U is non-degenerate in the space $H_r^1(\mathbb{H}^N)$ and has Morse index 1.

It's worth noting that the nondegeneracy and radial Morse index one in Lemma 3.4 are available in [18, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.3].

Lemma 3.5. *Let u_n be the unique positive radial solution of (2.1) for $R = R_n$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} R_n = 0$, and $z_n = z_{R_n} / \|z_{R_n}\|$. Let us consider those functions extended to \mathbb{H}^N by 0. Then $u_n \rightarrow U$ and $z_n \rightarrow Z$ in $H^1(\mathbb{H}^N)$, where U is the radial ground state solution of the problem*

$$-\Delta U + U = U^p, \quad U > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{H}^N,$$

and Z is the normalized positive eigenfunction corresponding to the negative eigenvalue of the linearized problem.

Proof. Since the argument is the same as [34] except that using the compact embedding results of [23, Theorem 1.1] or [4, Lemma 4.2] and the uniqueness of [2, 40, 44], we omit it here. \square

Now we first give a useful inequality, which would be used later.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $N \geq 2$ and $\lambda, r > 0$,*

$$S^{N-2}(r)g^2(r) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_r^{+\infty} g'^2(s)S^{N-1}(s) \, ds + (2-N+\lambda) \int_r^{+\infty} g^2(s)S^{N-3}(s) \, ds,$$

where $S(s) = \sinh(s)$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} S^{N-2}(r)g^2(r) &= (2-N) \int_r^{+\infty} S^{N-3}(s)S'(s)g^2(s) ds - 2 \int_r^{+\infty} S^{N-2}(s)g(s)g'(s) ds \\ &\leq (2-N) \int_r^{+\infty} S^{N-3}(s)g^2(s) ds - 2 \int_r^{+\infty} S^{N-2}(s)g(s)g'(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality as that of [34, Lemma 7.2] we can get that

$$2 \int_r^{+\infty} S^{N-2}(s) |g(s)g'(s)| ds \leq \lambda \int_r^{+\infty} S^{N-3}(s)g^2(s) ds + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_r^{+\infty} g'^2(s)S^{N-1}(s) ds,$$

which implies the desired conclusion. \square

We will need the previous Lemma with $\lambda = \frac{4(N-1)}{3}$, that is

$$\frac{4(N-1)}{3} S(r)^{N-2}g^2(r) \leq \int_r^{+\infty} g^2(s)S^{N-1}(s) ds + \frac{4(N-1)(N+2)}{9} \int_r^{+\infty} g^2(s)S^{N-3}(s) ds.$$

Using the above lemma we can get the following inequality.

Lemma 3.7. *If the group of symmetries G satisfies (G1), then*

$$\frac{1}{S(R)} \int_{\partial B_R} \psi^2 ds_x \leq \frac{3}{4(N-1)} \int_{B_R^c} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx$$

for any $\psi \in H_G^1(B_R^c)$ with $\int_{\partial B_R} \psi ds_x = 0$ and $\int_{B_R^c} \psi z_R dx = 0$.

Proof. By the Fourier expansion we have that

$$\psi(r, \theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \psi_k(r) \phi_k(\theta),$$

where ϕ_k are eigenfunctions of $-\Delta_{S^{N-1}}$ with G -symmetry corresponding to the eigenvalues μ_{i_k} . Suppose that G satisfies (G1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R^c} |\nabla(\psi_k(r)\phi_k(\theta))|^2 dr d\theta &= \int_R^{+\infty} \left((\psi'_k)^2 S^{N-1}(r) + \mu_{i_k} \psi_k^2 S^{N-3}(r) \right) dr \int_{\partial B_1} \phi_k^2 d\theta \\ &\geq \int_R^{+\infty} \left((\psi'_k)^2 S^{N-1}(r) + \mu_{i_1} \psi_k^2 S^{N-3}(r) \right) dr \int_{\partial B_1} \phi_k^2 d\theta \\ &\geq \int_R^{+\infty} \left((\psi'_k)^2 S^{N-1}(r) + \frac{4(N+2)(N-1)}{9} \psi_k^2 S^{N-3}(r) \right) dr \int_{\partial B_1} \phi_k^2 d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{4(N-1)}{3} S(R)^{N-2} \psi_k^2(R) \int_{\partial B_1} \phi_k^2 d\theta \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where we have used the assumption $i_1 > \frac{2-N+\sqrt{(N-2)^2+\frac{16}{9}(N+2)(N-1)}}{2}$, which implies that

$$\mu_{i_1} = i_1(i_1 + N - 2) \geq \frac{4(N+2)(N-1)}{9},$$

and for the last inequality we used the previous Lemma. Note that

$$\int_{\partial B_R} |\psi_k(r)\phi_k(\theta)|^2 ds_x = S^{N-1}(R) \psi_k^2(R) \int_{\partial B_1} \phi_k^2(\theta) d\theta.$$

This, combining with (3.2), gives that

$$\frac{1}{S(R)} \int_{\partial B_R} |\psi_k(r)\phi_k(\theta)|^2 ds_x \leq \frac{3}{4(N-1)} \int_{B_R^c} |\nabla(\psi_k(r)\phi_k(\theta))|^2 dr d\theta,$$

and the result is proved. \square

Now we are ready to present the argument of the proof of Proposition 3.3.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Take $R_n \rightarrow 0$, denote $B_n = B_{R_n}$, $u_n = u_{R_n}$ and $z_n = z_{R_n}$, and define:

$$\chi_n = \inf \left\{ \widehat{Q}_{R_n}(\psi, \psi) : \psi \in H_G^1(B_n^c), \int_{\partial B_n} \psi ds_x = 0, \int_{B_n^c} \psi z_n dx = 0, \int_{B_n^c} |\psi|^2 dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Assume, by contradiction, that $\chi_n \leq 0$. Arguing as [34, Proposition 5.3], χ_n is attained. Let

$$\chi_n = \widehat{Q}_{R_n}(\psi_n).$$

Then we have that ψ_n is a solution of

$$-\Delta \psi + \psi - pu_n^{p-1} \psi = \chi_n \psi \text{ in } B_n^c.$$

We see that

$$\int_{B_n^c} \left(|\nabla \psi_n|^2 + \psi_n^2 - pu_n^{p-1} \psi_n^2 \right) dx = \chi_n \int_{B_n^c} \psi_n^2 dx + \frac{(N-1)(e^2+1)}{R_n(e^2-1)} \int_{\partial B_n} \psi_n^2 ds_x.$$

Since ψ_n is bounded, up to a subsequence, $\psi_n \rightharpoonup \psi_0 \in H_G^1(B_r^c)$ for any $r > 0$, where $\psi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{H}^N)$. By Lemma 3.1 and the argument of [34, Proposition 5.3], we can derive that

$$\int_{B_n^c} pu_n^{p-1} \psi_n^2 dx \rightarrow p \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} U^{p-1} \psi_0^2 dx.$$

Now we estimate $\frac{1}{R_n} \int_{\partial B_n} \psi_n^2 ds_x$. Note that

$$\frac{1}{R_n} \int_{\partial B_n} \psi_n^2 ds_x = \frac{1}{S(R_n)} \int_{\partial B_n} \psi_n^2 ds_x + \left(\frac{1}{R_n} - \frac{1}{S(R_n)} \right) \int_{\partial B_n} \psi_n^2 ds_x.$$

We have $\left(\frac{1}{R_n} - \frac{1}{S(R_n)} \right) \rightarrow 0$ when $R_n \rightarrow 0$. Since $\|\psi_n\| = 1$ and the embedding $H^1(B_n^c) \hookrightarrow L^2(\partial B_n)$ is continuous ([23] or [1]), we see that $\int_{\partial B_n} \psi_n^2 ds_x$ is bounded. Therefore, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{1}{R_n} - \frac{1}{S(R_n)} \right) \int_{\partial B_n} \psi_n^2 ds_x = 0.$$

So, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $N_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{(N-1)(e^2+1)}{e^2-1} \left(\frac{1}{R_n} - \frac{1}{S(R_n)} \right) \int_{\partial B_n} \psi_n^2 ds_x < \varepsilon$$

for any $n > N_0$. It follows from Lemma 3.7 that if G satisfies (G1) then

$$\frac{1}{S(R_n)} \int_{\partial B_n} \psi_n^2 ds_x \leq \frac{3}{4(N-1)} \int_{B_n^c} |\nabla \psi_n(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{3}{4(N-1)}.$$

So

$$\int_{B_n^c} \left(|\nabla \psi_n|^2 + \psi_n^2 - pu_n^{p-1} \psi_n^2 \right) dx - \chi_n \int_{B_n^c} \psi_n^2 dx \leq \frac{3(e^2+1)}{4(e^2-1)} + \varepsilon \quad (3.3)$$

for n large enough. It follows from $\chi_n \leq 0$ that

$$1 - p \int_{B_n^c} u_n^{p-1} \psi_n^2 dx \leq \frac{3(e^2+1)}{4(e^2-1)} + \varepsilon < 1.$$

if n is large enough. For the previous inequalities we used that $e^2 \in (7, 8)$. As $R_n \rightarrow 0$, we find that

$$p \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} U^{p-1} \psi_0^2 dx > 0,$$

which implies that $\psi_0 \neq 0$. It follows from (3.3) that

$$\chi_n \geq \frac{1 - p \int_{B_n^c} u_n^{p-1} \psi_n^2 dx - \frac{3(e^2+1)}{4(e^2-1)} - \varepsilon}{\int_{B_n^c} \psi_n^2 dx}.$$

Since

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_n^c} \psi_n^2 dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \psi_0^2 dx > 0,$$

it's obvious that

$$\chi_n \geq -\frac{p \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} U^{p-1} \psi_0^2 dx}{\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \psi_0^2 dx}.$$

Thus χ_n is bounded and there exists $\chi_0 \leq 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \chi_n = \chi_0$. Observe that ψ_n is the weak solution

$$-\Delta \psi + \psi - p u_n^{p-1} \psi = \chi_n \psi \text{ in } B_n^c.$$

So ψ_0 is a nontrivial weak solution of

$$-\Delta \psi + \psi - p U^{p-1} \psi = \chi_0 \psi \text{ in } \mathbb{H}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

Since $\psi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{H}^N)$, the singularity is removable. So, it is a weak solution in the whole \mathbb{H}^N . Hence, we get that

$$\|\psi_0\|^2 = p \int_{\mathbb{H}^N} U^{p-1} \psi_0^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{H}^N} \chi_0 \psi_0^2 dx.$$

Let $\tilde{\psi}_0(r) = \int_{S^{N-1}} \psi_0 d\theta$. Then we have that

$$-\Delta \tilde{\psi}_0 + \tilde{\psi}_0 - p U^{p-1} \tilde{\psi}_0 = \chi_0 \tilde{\psi}_0 \text{ in } (0, +\infty).$$

The only possibility is $\tilde{\psi}_0 = kZ$, $k \neq 0$. By the same arguments as in Step 3 of [34, Proposition 5.3], we conclude that

$$0 = \int_{B_n^c} \psi_n z_n dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{H}^N} \psi_0 Z dx = \int_0^{+\infty} S^{N-1} \tilde{\psi}_0 Z dr = k \int_{\mathbb{H}^N} Z^2 dx \neq 0.$$

which yields the desired contradiction. \square

Remark. From the above argument we understand that the condition of i_1 of hypothesis (G1) is to guarantee the inequality in the form of Lemma 3.7, that is to show

$$\frac{3(e^2+1)}{4(e^2-1)} < 1$$

in the previous proof. This is a difference with respect to the Euclidean space and is due to the nature of the hyperbolic space.

In addition, the behavior of the functional $\tilde{Q}_\lambda(\psi)$ near Λ_0 can be also established as follows.

Proposition 3.8. $\tilde{Q}_{\Lambda_0}(\psi) < 0$ for some $\psi \in E$.

Proof. Since the proof is the same as that of [34, Lemma 5.4] with obvious changes, we omit it here. \square

Combining Proposition 3.3 with Proposition 3.8, we have that \tilde{Q}_λ can be negative for λ near Λ_0 , but is positive if λ is large enough. This provides a possibility for finding the zero point of \tilde{Q}_λ with respect to λ . We end this section by showing an existence result which will be used later.

Lemma 3.9. For $\lambda > \Lambda_0$, L_λ is an isomorphism. Given $v \in H_G^{1/2}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$, there exists a unique solution $\psi_v \in H_G^1(B_1^c)$ of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\lambda\Delta\psi + \psi - pu_\lambda^{p-1}\psi = 0 & \text{in } B_1^c, \\ \psi = v & \text{on } \partial B_1. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

In addition, $\int_{B_1^c} \psi_v z_\lambda dx = 0$ and $\int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\psi_v}{\partial\nu} ds_x = 0$.

Proof. Since the proof is the same as that of [34, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 4.2] with obvious changes, we omit it here. \square

Remark. Using the spherical coordinates $(r, \omega) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, the equation (3.4) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} -\lambda(\partial_r^2 + (N-1)\frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r}\partial_r + \frac{1}{\sinh^2 r}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}})\psi + \psi - pu_\lambda^{p-1}\psi = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \psi = v & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega = (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Hence the standard Schauder elliptic estimates [19] is valid for (3.4). In particular, if $v \in C_{G,m}^{2,\alpha}(\partial B_1)$, one has $\psi_v \in C_G^{2,\alpha}(B_1^c)$.

4 Normal derivative operator and its linearization.

For $\lambda > \Lambda_0$ and each $v \in C_{G,m}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ whose norm is sufficient small, we consider the following perturbation problem

$$\begin{cases} -\lambda\Delta u + u - u^p = 0 & \text{in } B_{1+v}^c, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{1+v}^c. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

By the nondegeneracy of L_λ , using an argument similar to that of [34, Proposition 4.1], we have the following existence of a solution to problem (4.1).

Proposition 4.1. For any $\lambda > \Lambda_0$ and all $v \in C_{G,m}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ whose norm is sufficiently small, there exists a unique positive solution $u = u(\lambda, v) \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_{1+v}^c) \cap H_{0,G}^1(B_{1+v}^c)$ to (4.1). Moreover, u depends smoothly on v, λ (in the sense that considering a regular diffeomorphism mapping B_1^c into B_{1+v}^c and considering the pullback of u on B_1^c , then the map $(\lambda, v) \rightarrow u$ is smooth). In particular, $u = u_\lambda$ when $v \equiv 0$.

We introduce the operator $F : (\Lambda_0, +\infty) \times C_{G,m}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \rightarrow C_{G,m}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ defined by

$$F(\lambda, v) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\partial B_{1+v})} \int_{\partial B_{1+v}} \frac{\partial u(\lambda, v)}{\partial\nu} d\text{vol} - \frac{\partial u(\lambda, v)}{\partial\nu},$$

where ν denotes the unit normal vector field to ∂B_{1+v} pointing to the interior of B_{1+v} . Since $\partial_\nu u_\lambda(1)$ is a constant, then $F(\lambda, 0) = 0$ for any $\lambda > \Lambda_0$. Therefore, finding the nontrivial domains emanating from $B_1^c \subset \mathbb{H}^N$ such that problem (1.1) has a bounded solution is equivalent to study the nontrivial solutions of $F(\lambda, v) = 0$ bifurcating from $F(\lambda, 0) = 0$. It follows from the properties of $u(\lambda, v)$ that F is C^1 .

We introduce the operator

$$H_\lambda(v) = \left. \frac{\partial\psi_v}{\partial\nu} \right|_{\partial B_1} - (N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1} v, \quad (4.2)$$

where ψ_v is given by Lemma 3.9. As in [34, Proposition 4.3] we can show that the linearization of the operator F with respect to v at point $(\lambda, 0)$ is CH_λ where $C = u'_\lambda(1) \neq 0$. The only difference is that here

$$u''_\lambda(1) = -(N-1) \frac{C(1)}{S(1)} u'_\lambda(1).$$

By Schauder elliptic estimates [19, Theorem 8.16, Theorem 8.13, Theorem 6.6], we can obtain that $\sup_{B_1^c} |\psi_v| \leq \sup_{\partial B_1^c} |v|$ and $\|\psi\|_{C_{G,m}^{2,\alpha}(B_1^c)} \leq M\|v\|_{C_{G,m}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})}$ for some $M > 0$. It follows that

$$\|H_\lambda(v)\|_{C_{G,m}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})} \leq M_1\|v\|_{C_{G,m}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})}$$

for some $M_1 > 0$. So, the operator

$$H_\lambda : C_{G,m}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \longrightarrow C_{G,m}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$$

is bounded. Furthermore, we also have the:

Proposition 4.2. *The operator $H_\lambda : C_{G,m}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \longrightarrow C_{G,m}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ is a self-adjoint, first order elliptic operator.*

Proof. Since H_λ is the sum of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator for $-\lambda\Delta + 1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}$ and a constant times the identity, H_λ is a first order elliptic operator. So it is enough to prove it is self-adjoint. Let ψ_1 and ψ_2 be the solution of problem (3.4) with $v = v_1$ and $v = v_2$. Then we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} (H_\lambda(v_1)v_2 - H_\lambda(v_2)v_1) d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \left(\frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial\nu}v_2 - \frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial\nu}v_1 \right) d\theta.$$

We multiply the equation of ψ_1 by ψ_2 and the equation of ψ_2 by ψ_1 , integrating by parts, we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \left(\frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial\nu}v_2 - \frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial\nu}v_1 \right) d\theta = 0.$$

So

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} (H_\lambda(v_1)v_2 - H_\lambda(v_2)v_1) d\theta = 0,$$

which verifies H_λ is self-adjoint. □

The necessary condition to bifurcate is that H_λ degenerates. So we will find the value of λ such that H_λ is degenerate. For any $v \in C_{G,m}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$, by virtue of the Fourier expansion with respect to spherical harmonics [20, Theorem 3.2.11], v can be written as

$$v = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta),$$

where $\zeta_{i_k,j}$ (normalized to 1 in the L^2 -norm) is an eigenfunction corresponding to μ_{i_k} , being

$$\text{span} \{ \zeta_{i_k,1}, \dots, \zeta_{i_k,m_k} \}$$

the associate eigenspace. We need now to study the eigenvalues of H_λ .

Proposition 4.3. *For any $\lambda > \Lambda_0$, H_λ possesses a sequence of eigenvalues $\{\sigma_{i_k}(\lambda)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that*

$$\sigma_{i_1}(\lambda) < \dots < \sigma_{i_k}(\lambda) < \dots$$

The eigenfunction corresponding to $\sigma_{i_k}(\lambda)$ is $\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j}^2 \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $\phi_0(r, \theta) = u'_\lambda(r)v(\theta)$ with $r = |x|$. We can verify that

$$\Delta u'_\lambda = (N-1) \left(\frac{S'^2}{S^2} - 1 \right) u'_\lambda + \frac{u'_\lambda - pu_\lambda^{p-1}u'_\lambda}{\lambda}.$$

So we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\phi_0 &= v\Delta u'_\lambda + u'_\lambda\Delta v \\
&= \left((N-1) \left(\frac{S'^2}{S^2} - 1 \right) u'_\lambda + \frac{u'_\lambda - pu'_\lambda{}^{p-1}u'_\lambda}{\lambda} \right) v + u'_\lambda\Delta v \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta) \left((N-1) \left(\frac{S'^2}{S^2} - 1 \right) u'_\lambda + \frac{u'_\lambda - pu'_\lambda{}^{p-1}u'_\lambda}{\lambda} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{S^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \mu_{i_k} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta) u'_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} u'_\lambda \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta) \left((N-1) \left(\frac{S'^2}{S^2} - 1 \right) + \frac{1 - pu'_\lambda{}^{p-1}}{\lambda} - \frac{\mu_{i_k}}{S^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$-\lambda\Delta\phi_0 + \phi_0 - pu'_\lambda{}^{p-1}\phi_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} u'_\lambda \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta) \lambda \left(\frac{\mu_{i_k}}{S^2} - (N-1) \left(\frac{S'^2}{S^2} - 1 \right) \right).$$

Consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\lambda\Delta\Psi + \Psi - pu'_\lambda{}^{p-1}\Psi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} u'_\lambda \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta) \lambda \left(\frac{\mu_{i_k}}{S^2} - (N-1) \left(\frac{S'^2}{S^2} - 1 \right) \right) & \text{in } B_1^c, \\ \Psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1. \end{cases}$$

By the Riesz Theorem, the above problem has a unique solution Ψ in $H^1(B_1^c)$. Then we see that

$$\Psi(r, \theta) = \phi_0(r, \theta) - \psi_v u'_\lambda(1). \quad (4.3)$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\partial_r \Psi(1, \theta) = u''_\lambda(1)v - u'_\lambda(1)\partial_r \psi_v(1, \theta).$$

Note that $u''_\lambda(1) = -(N-1)u'_\lambda(1)C(1)/S(1)$. We have that

$$\partial_r \Psi(1, \theta) = -(N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1} u'_\lambda(1)v - u'_\lambda(1)\partial_r \psi_v(1, \theta) = u'_\lambda(1) \left[\partial_\nu \psi_v|_{\partial B_1} - (N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1} v \right] = u'_\lambda(1) H_\lambda v$$

because on ∂B_1 we have $\partial_\nu = -\partial_r$. Let V_k be the space spanned by the functions $\zeta_{i_k,1}(\theta), \dots, \zeta_{i_k,m_k}(\theta)$. Since

$$H_\lambda v = \frac{\partial_r \Psi(1, \theta)}{u'_\lambda(1)},$$

we deduce that H_λ preserves V_k for any k . It follows that

$$H_\lambda \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta) \right) = \sigma_{i_k}(\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta),$$

where $\sigma_{i_k}(\lambda)$ are the eigenvalues of H_λ , and $\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j}^2 \neq 0$ are the eigenfunctions associated to $\sigma_{i_k}(\lambda)$. So we have that

$$H_\lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_{i_k}(\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta).$$

Since H_λ is bounded, we have that

$$H_\lambda(v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} H_\lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_{i_k}(\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta). \quad (4.4)$$

Next we study the properties of $\sigma_{i_k}(\lambda)$. With the help of (4.3), we can write $\Psi(r, \theta)$ as

$$\Psi(r, \theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} b_{i_k}(r) a_{i_k, j} \zeta_{i_k, j}(\theta),$$

where b_k is the continuous solution on $[1, +\infty)$ of

$$-\lambda \left(\partial_r^2 + (N-1) \frac{C(r)}{S(r)} \partial_r \right) b + \lambda \frac{\mu_{i_k}}{S^2(r)} b + (1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}) b = \lambda u'_\lambda \left(\frac{\mu_{i_k}}{S^2} - (N-1) \left(\frac{S'^2}{S^2} - 1 \right) \right)$$

with $b_{i_k}(1) = 0$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} b_{i_k}(r) = 0$. Hence, we conclude that

$$u'_\lambda(1) \sigma_{i_k}(\lambda) = \partial_r b_{i_k}(1)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Combining (3.4), (4.2) with (4.4) we derive that

$$\psi_v = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} c_{i_k}(r) a_{i_k, j} \zeta_{i_k, j}(\theta),$$

where c_{i_k} is the continuous solution on $[1, +\infty)$ of

$$-\lambda \left(\partial_r^2 + (N-1) \frac{C(r)}{S(r)} \partial_r \right) c + \lambda \frac{\mu_{i_k}}{S^2(r)} c + (1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}) c = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

with $c_{i_k}(1) = 1$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} c_{i_k}(r) = 0$. This implies that

$$\sigma_{i_k}(\lambda) = - \left(c'_{i_k}(1) + (N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1} \right)$$

for $k \geq 1$.

When $k = 1$, let $\tilde{c}(r, \theta) = c_{i_1}(r) \phi(\theta)$ with $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, where ϕ is a normalized eigenfunction corresponding to μ_{i_1} . So, using (4.5), we have that

$$\Delta \tilde{c} = S^{1-N}(r) (S^{N-1}(r) c'_{i_1})' \phi(\theta) + \frac{c_{i_1}}{S^2} \Delta \phi(\theta) = \frac{1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}}{\lambda} \tilde{c},$$

where the first equal sign is obtained by applying [11, Formula (31) in Chapter II]. Multiplying \tilde{c} on both sides and integrating it by part, we get that

$$- \int_{\partial B_1} c \nabla \tilde{c} \cdot \nu \, ds_x + \int_{B_1^c} |\nabla \tilde{c}|^2 \, dx + \int_{B_1^c} \frac{1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}}{\lambda} \tilde{c}^2 \, dx = 0.$$

It follows that

$$-c'_{i_1}(1) = \int_1^\infty S^{N-1} \left(c_{i_1}^2 + \frac{1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}}{\lambda} c_{i_1}^2 \right) dr + \mu_{i_1} \int_1^\infty S^{N-3} c_{i_1}^2 \, dr.$$

By definition, it's known that

$$\tilde{Q}_\lambda(\psi) = Q_\lambda(\psi) - \lambda(N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1} \int_{\partial B_1} \psi^2 \, ds_x$$

and we define

$$E = \left\{ \phi \in H_G^1(B_1^c) : \int_{\partial B_1} \phi \, ds_x = 0, \int_{B_1^c} \phi z_\lambda \, dx = 0 \right\}$$

with

$$H_G^1(B_1^c) = \{u \in H^1(B_1^c) : u = u \circ g, \forall g \in G\}.$$

For any $\psi \in E$ with $\int_{\partial B_1} \psi^2 ds_x = 1$, there exist ψ_k ($k \geq 1$) and $a_{i_k, j}$ ($j \in \{1, \dots, m_k\}$) with $\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k, j}^2 = 1$ for any k such that

$$\psi(r, \theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \psi_k(r) \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k, j} \zeta_{i_k, j}(\theta).$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{Q}_\lambda(\psi) &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_1^\infty S^{N-1} \left(\psi_{i_k}'^2 + \frac{1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}}{\lambda} \psi_{i_k}^2 \right) dr + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mu_{i_k} \int_1^\infty S^{N-3} \psi_{i_k}^2 dr \\ &\quad - (N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1} \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_1^\infty S^{N-1} \left(\psi_{i_k}'^2 + \frac{1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}}{\lambda} \psi_{i_k}^2 \right) dr + \mu_{i_1} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \int_1^\infty S^{N-3} \psi_{i_k}^2 dr \\ &\quad - (N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{Q}_\lambda(\tilde{\phi}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\phi}(r, \theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \psi_k(r) \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} a_{i_1, j} \zeta_{i_1, j}(\theta)$$

and $\int_{\partial B_1} \tilde{\phi}^2 ds_x = 1$. Therefore, the infimum of $\tilde{Q}_\lambda(\phi)/\lambda$ in E with $\int_{\partial B_1} \phi^2 ds_x = 1$ is attained. Define

$$\sigma_1(H_\lambda) := \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{Q}_\lambda(\phi) : \phi \in E, \int_{\partial B_1} \phi^2 ds_x = 1 \right\}.$$

We next to investigate the relations of $\sigma_1(H_\lambda)$ and $\sigma_{i_1}(\lambda)$.

The above argument implies that there exists $\phi(r, \theta) = v(r) \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} a_{i_1, j} \zeta_{i_1, j}(\theta)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} a_{i_1, j}^2 = 1$ such that $\phi \in E$, $\int_{\partial B_1} \phi^2 ds_x = 1$ and

$$\sigma_1(H_\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{Q}_\lambda(\phi).$$

It follows that

$$\sigma_1(H_\lambda) = \int_1^\infty S^{N-1} \left(v'^2 + \frac{1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}}{\lambda} v^2 \right) dr + \mu_{i_1} \int_1^\infty S^{N-3} v^2 dr - (N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1},$$

which is the functional of

$$\begin{cases} -\lambda \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{(N-1)C(r)}{S(r)} \partial_r \right) v + \lambda \frac{\mu_{i_1}}{S^2(r)} v + \left(1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1} \right) v = 0, \\ v(1) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

So v is a weak solution of (4.6). By Schauder elliptic estimates, v is also the classical solution of (4.6). By Lemma 2.5 we deduce that $v(r) \equiv c_{i_1}(r)$. So we get that

$$\sigma_1(H_\lambda) = -c_{i_1}'(1) - (N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1} = \sigma_{i_1}(\lambda).$$

Therefore, we obtain that $\sigma_{i_1}(\lambda)$ is the same as $\sigma_1(H_\lambda)$. So the eigenspace corresponding to $\sigma_{i_1}(\lambda)$ is just V_1 . We next study the high eigenvalues.

For $\psi \in E$, we call $\psi \in E_k$ if there exist ψ_{i_k} and $a_{i_k, j}$ such that

$$\psi(r, \theta) = \psi_{i_k}(r) \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k, j} \zeta_{i_k, j}(\theta).$$

Set

$$E_{k-1}^c := E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} E_i.$$

We will explore the infimum of $\tilde{Q}_\lambda(\phi)/\lambda$ in E_{k-1}^c with $\int_{\partial B_1} \phi^2 \, dS = 1$. For a function ϕ on $[1, +\infty)$ we define

$$Q_{\lambda,k}(\phi) = \int_1^\infty S^{N-1} \left(\phi'^2 + \frac{1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}}{\lambda} \phi^2 \right) dr + \mu_{i_k} \int_1^\infty S^{N-3} \phi^2 \, dr - (N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1}.$$

Since μ_{i_k} is increasing with respect to k , $Q_{\lambda,k}(\phi)$ is increasing with respect to k . For any $\psi \in E_{k-1}^c$ with $\int_{\partial B_1} \psi^2 \, ds_x = 1$, there exist ψ_l ($l \geq k$) and $a_{i_l,j}$ ($j \in \{1, \dots, m_l\}$) with $\sum_{j=1}^{m_l} a_{i_l,j}^2 = 1$ for any $l \geq k$ such that

$$\psi(r, \theta) = \sum_{l=k}^{+\infty} \psi_l(r) \sum_{j=1}^{m_l} a_{i_l,j} \zeta_{i_l,j}(\theta).$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{Q}_\lambda(\psi) &= \sum_{l=k}^{+\infty} \int_1^\infty S^{N-1} \left(\psi_l'^2 + \frac{1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}}{\lambda} \psi_l^2 \right) dr + \sum_{l=k}^{+\infty} \mu_{i_l} \int_1^\infty S^{N-3} \psi_l^2 \, dr \\ &\quad - (N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1} \\ &\geq \sum_{l=k}^{+\infty} \int_1^\infty S^{N-1} \left(\psi_l'^2 + \frac{1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}}{\lambda} \psi_l^2 \right) dr + \mu_{i_k} \sum_{i=l}^{+\infty} \int_1^\infty S^{N-3} \psi_l^2 \, dr \\ &\quad - (N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{Q}_\lambda(\tilde{\phi}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\phi}(r, \theta) = \sum_{l=k}^{+\infty} \psi_l(r) \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta) \in E_k$$

and $\int_{\partial B_1} \tilde{\phi}^2 \, ds_x = 1$. Therefore, the infimum is attained in E_k . Hence we can set

$$\sigma_k(H_\lambda) := \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{Q}_\lambda(\phi) : \phi \in E_{k-1}^c, \int_{\partial B_1} \phi^2 \, ds_x = 1 \right\}.$$

There exists $\phi(r, \theta) = v(r) \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j} \zeta_{i_k,j}(\theta)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{i_k,j}^2 = 1$ such that $\phi \in E_{k-1}^c$, $\int_{\partial B_1} \phi^2 \, ds_x = 1$ and

$$\sigma_k(H_\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{Q}_\lambda(\phi).$$

It follows that

$$\sigma_k(H_\lambda) = \int_1^\infty S^{N-1} \left(v'^2 + \frac{1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1}}{\lambda} v^2 \right) dr + \mu_{i_k} \int_1^\infty S^{N-3} v^2 \, dr - (N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1},$$

which is the functional of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\lambda \left(\partial_r^2 + \frac{(N-1)S'}{S} \partial_r \right) v + \lambda \frac{\mu_{i_k}}{S^2(r)} v + \left(1 - pu_\lambda^{p-1} \right) v = 0, \\ v(1) = 1. \end{cases}$$

By the uniqueness we deduce that $v(r) \equiv c_{i_k}(r)$. So we get that

$$\sigma_k(H_\lambda) = -c'_{i_k}(1) - (N-1) \frac{e^2 + 1}{e^2 - 1} = \sigma_{i_k}(\lambda).$$

Since $E \supsetneq E_1^c \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq E_k^c \supsetneq \cdots$ and $\sigma_k(H_\lambda)$ is attained in E_k , we have that

$$\sigma_1(H_\lambda) < \sigma_2(H_\lambda) < \cdots < \sigma_k(H_\lambda) < \cdots .$$

It follows that

$$\sigma_{i_1}(\lambda) < \sigma_{i_2}(\lambda) < \cdots < \sigma_{i_k}(\lambda) < \cdots ,$$

which is the desired conclusion. \square

We write $\sigma(\lambda)$ as $\sigma_{i_1}(\lambda)$ for simplicity. Proposition 3.3 implies that $\sigma(\lambda)$ is positive for λ large enough and Proposition 3.8 implies that $\sigma(\Lambda_0) < 0$. It follows from (2.5) that u_λ is continuous with respect to λ . Then the definitions of \tilde{Q}_λ and Q_λ imply that $\sigma(\lambda)$ is continuous. So, $\sigma(\lambda)$ has at least one zero. We use Λ^* to denote the biggest zero of $\sigma(\lambda)$ such that σ is negative in a small neighborhood on the left. Clearly, one see that $\Lambda^* > \Lambda_0$. Take $\Lambda_2 > \Lambda^*$ such that $\sigma(\lambda) > 0$ for any $\lambda \geq \Lambda_2$.

In view of Proposition 4.3, we have that $\sigma_{i_k}(\Lambda^*) > 0$ for any $k > 1$. Further we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.4. *For any $\lambda > \Lambda_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma_{i_k}(\lambda)$ is continuous and possesses at least one zero Λ_k^* with $\Lambda^* := \Lambda_1^* > \Lambda_2^* > \cdots > \Lambda_k^* > \cdots > \Lambda_0$.*

Proof. The case $k = 1$ has been done. For $k > 1$, let $\phi(\theta)$ be a normalized eigenfunction corresponding to μ_{i_k} and $\psi(r, \theta) = u_\lambda(r)\phi(\theta)$. Similar to Proposition 3.1, we get that

$$Q_\lambda(\psi) \leq 0$$

for $\lambda \leq -\tau_0 S^2(1)/\mu_{i_k} \leq -\tau_0 S^2(1)/\mu_{i_1}$. Then as that of [34, Lemma 5.4] with obvious changes we have that $\sigma_{i_k}(\Lambda_0) < 0$. Similar to the case of $k = 1$, $\sigma_{i_k}(\lambda)$ is also continuous. Since $\sigma_{i_k}(\Lambda^*) > 0$ for any $k > 1$, $\sigma_{i_k}(\lambda)$ has at least one zero. We use Λ_k^* the maximum zero of σ_{i_k} such that σ_{i_k} is negative in the small neighborhood on the left of Λ_k^* . The monotonicity of zeros with respect k can be deduced from Proposition 4.3. \square

Remark 4.5. *From Proposition 4.4 we see that $\sigma_{i_k}(\lambda)$ with any $k \geq 2$ is nonnegative in a small neighborhood on the left of Λ^* . Thus, H_λ has a unique negative eigenvalue in a small neighborhood on the left of Λ^* .*

5 Bifurcation and proof the main result

Then similar to [34, Lemma 6.1], there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in (\Lambda^* - \varepsilon, +\infty)$, the operator $H_\lambda + Id$ is invertible.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Take $\Lambda_1 \in (\Lambda^* - \varepsilon, \Lambda^*)$ and define $G : [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2] \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ by

$$G(\lambda, v) = \frac{F(\lambda, v)}{u'_\lambda(1)} + v,$$

where $\mathcal{V} \subset C_{G,m}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ and $\mathcal{W} \subset C_{G,m}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})$ are open neighborhoods of the 0 function. Since the operator $H_\lambda + Id$ is invertible for $\lambda \in (\Lambda^* - \varepsilon, +\infty)$, $D_v G(\lambda, 0)$ is an isomorphism for all $\lambda \in [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2]$. By using the Inverse Function Theorem, we can further restrict \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} so that $G(\lambda, \cdot)$ is invertible for all $\lambda \in [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2]$. Let $R(\lambda, w) = w - \tilde{w}$, where \tilde{w} is such that $G(\lambda, \tilde{w}) = w$. Then $R : [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2] \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ has the form of identity plus a compact operator. Clearly $F(\lambda, v) = 0$ is equivalent to $R(\lambda, v) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2]$. We see that $D_w R(\lambda, 0)w = \mu w$ is equivalent to $H_\lambda(w) = \mu w/(1 - \mu)$ and for $\lambda > \Lambda_1$ we have $\mu < 1$. It follows that $D_w R(\Lambda^*, 0)$ and H_{Λ^*} have the same kernel space. Since m_1 is odd, the dimension of the kernel space of $D_w R(\Lambda^*, 0)$ is odd. Then, in view of Remark 4.5, as that of [34, Theorem 6.2], applying the Krasnosel'skii Local Bifurcation Theorem [25] or [24, Theorem 3.2 in Chapter II] to $F(\lambda, v) = 0$, we can conclude the desired bifurcation result. \square

References

1. R.A. Adams, Sobolev spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
2. V. Banica, The nonlinear Schrödinger equation on hyperbolic space, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 32:10-12 (2007), 1643–1677.
3. W. Beckner, On the Grushin operator and hyperbolic symmetry, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2001), 1233-1246.
4. E. Berchio, A. Ferrero, G. Grillo, Stability and qualitative properties of radial solutions of the Lane-Emden-Fowler equation on Riemannian models, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 102 (1) (2014), 1–35.
5. H. Berestycki, L.A. Caffarelli and L. Nirenberg, Monotonicity for elliptic equations in unbounded Lipschitz domains, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 50 (1997), 1089–1111.
6. M. Born, Modified field equations with a finite radius of the electron, *Nature* 132 (1933), 282.
7. M. Born, Cosmic rays and new field, *Nature* 133 (1934), 63–64.
8. M. Born and L. Infeld, Foundations of the new field theory, *Nature* 132 (1933), 1004.
9. H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 36 (1983), 437-477.
10. D. Castorina, I. Fabbri, G. Mancini and K. Sandeep, Hardy-Sobolev extremals, hyperbolic symmetry and scalar curvature equations, *J. Differential Equations*, 246 (2009), 1187-1206.
11. I. Chavel, Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry, Academic Press, 1984.
12. G. Dai, F. Morabito and P. Sicbaldi, A smooth 1-parameter family of Delaunay-type domains for an overdetermined elliptic problem in $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, *Potential Anal.*, (2023), Preprint.
13. M. Del Pino, F. Pacard and J. Wei, J. Serrin’s overdetermined problem and constant mean curvature surfaces, *Duke Math. J.* 164 (2015), 2643–2722.
14. J.M. Espinar, A. Farina and L. Mazet, f -extremal domains in hyperbolic space, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 60 (2021), no. 3, Paper No. 112, 19 pp.
15. J.M. Espinar and J. Mao, Extremal domains on Hadamard manifolds, *J. Differential Equations* 265 (2018), 2671–2707.
16. M.M. Fall, I.A. Minlend and T. Weth, Unbounded periodic solutions to Serrin’s overdetermined boundary value problem, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 223 (2017), 737–759.
17. A. Farina and E. Valdinoci, Flattening results for elliptic PDEs in unbounded domains with applications to overdetermined problems, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 195 (2010), 1025–1058.
18. D. Ganguly and K. Sandeep, Nondegeneracy of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic problems in the hyperbolic space, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 17 (2015), no. 1, 1450019, 13 pp.
19. D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001.
20. H. Groemer, Geometric applications of Fourier series and spherical harmonics, Cambridge University Press, 1996.

21. S. Helgason, Geometric analysis on symmetric spaces, Publication Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1994.
22. H. Hofer, A note on the topological degree at a critical point of mountainpass-type, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1984), 309–315.
23. J.L. Kazdan, Applications of partial differential equations to problems in geometry, Grad. Texts in Math., Springer, 2004.
24. H. Kielhofer, Bifurcation theory: an introduction with applications to PDE's. Appl. Math. Sci. 156, Springer, New York, 2004.
25. M.A. Krasnosel'skii, Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations, Macmillan, New York, 1965.
26. S. Kumaresan and J. Prajapat, Serrin's result for hyperbolic space and sphere, Duke Math. J. 91 (1998), 17–28.
27. G. Mancini and K. Sandeep, On a semilinear equation in \mathbb{H}^n , Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa 7 (5) (2008), 635–671.
28. F. Morabito, Radial and non-radial solutions to an elliptic problem on annular domains in Riemannian manifolds with radial symmetry, J. Differential Equations 258 (2015), 1461–1493.
29. F. Morabito and P. Sicbaldi, Delaunay type domains for an overdetermined elliptic problem in $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 22 (2016), 1–28.
30. L.A. Peletier and J. Serrin, Uniqueness of positive solutions of semilinear equations in \mathbb{R}^n , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 81 (1983), 181–197.
31. P. Pucci and J. Serrin, The maximum principle, Progr. Nonlinear differential equations appl., vol. 73, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
32. W. Reichel, Radial symmetry for elliptic boundary-value problems on exterior domains, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 137 (1997), 253–281.
33. A. Ros, D. Ruiz and P. Sicbaldi, A rigidity result for overdetermined elliptic problems in the plane, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 70 (2017), 1223–1252.
34. A. Ros, D. Ruiz and P. Sicbaldi, Solutions to overdetermined elliptic problems in nontrivial exterior domains, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 22 (2020), 1223–1252.
35. A. Ros and P. Sicbaldi, Geometry and topology of some overdetermined elliptic problem, J. Differential Equations 255 (2013), 951–977.
36. D. Ruiz, Nonsymmetric sign-changing solutions to overdetermined elliptic problems in bounded domains, J. Eur. Math. Soc., to appear.
37. D. Ruiz, P. Sicbaldi, J. Wu. Overdetermined elliptic problems in onduloid-type domains with general nonlinearities, J. Funct. Anal. 283 (2022), 26 pp.
38. D. Ruiz, P. Sicbaldi, J. Wu. Overdetermined elliptic problems in nontrivial contractible domains of the sphere, J. Math. Pures et appl., 180 (2023) 151–187.
39. F. Schlenk and P. Sicbaldi, Bifurcating extremal domains for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian, Adv. Math. 229 (2012), 602–632.

40. A. M. Selvitella, Uniqueness of the ground state of the NLS on \mathbb{H}^d via analytical and topological methods, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 50 (2020), 1817–1832.
41. J. Serrin, A symmetry problem in potential theory, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 43 (1971), 304–318.
42. P. Sicbaldi, New extremal domains for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian in flat tori, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 37 (2010), 329–344.
43. A. A. Terras, *Harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces and applications*, NY-Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer, New York, 1985.
44. Z. Wang, Uniqueness of radial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations on hyperbolic space, *Non-linear Anal.* 104 (2014), 109–119.