

QUASI-POSITIVE CURVATURE AND VANISHING THEOREMS

KAI TANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider *mixed curvature* $\mathcal{C}_{a,b}$, which is a convex combination of Ricci curvature and holomorphic sectional curvature introduced by Chu-Lee-Tam [4]. We prove that if a compact complex manifold M admits a Kähler metric with quasi-positive mixed curvature and $3a + 2b \geq 0$, then it is projective. If $a, b \geq 0$, then M is rationally connected. As a corollary, the same result holds for k -Ricci curvature. We also show that any compact Kähler manifold with quasi-positive 2-scalar curvature is projective. Lastly, we generalize the result to the Hermitian case. In particular, any compact Hermitian threefold with quasi-positive real bisectional curvature have vanishing Hodge number $h^{2,0}$. Furthermore, if it is Kählerian, it is projective.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background. For compact Kähler manifolds with positive holomorphic sectional curvature or positive Ricci curvature, Yau proposed the following conjecture in his well-known problem section.

Conjecture 1.1 ([23], Problem 47). *Let (M, g) be a compact Kähler manifold with positive holomorphic sectional curvature or positive Ricci curvature, then M is projective and rationally connected.*

A projective manifold M is called rationally connected if any two points of M can be joined by a rational curve. Thanks to the celebrated Calabi-Yau theorem [22], we know that a compact Kähler manifold M has a Hermitian metric with positive first Chern-Ricci curvature if and only if M is Fano. The Campana [3] and Kollar-Miyaoka-Mori [7] showed that a Kähler manifold with positive Ricci curvature is rationally connected. Then this results was generalized to the quasi-positive first or second Chern-Ricci curvature by X. Yang in [20]. In [8], Li-Zhang-Zhang established the equivalence between rational connectedness and the quasi-positivity of second Chern-Ricci curvature (mean curvature of tangent bundle) for compact Kähler manifolds.

Recently, X. Yang [18] proved that compact Kähler manifolds with positive holomorphic sectional curvature are all projective. Hence by the previous work of Heier-Wong [5] that a projective manifold with quasi-positive holomorphic sectional curvature is rationally connected, Yau's conjecture on positive holomorphic sectional curvature was solved. In [9, 10], Matsumura established the structure theorems for a projective manifold with nonnegative holomorphic sectional curvature. In particular, he strengthened Heier-Wong's result [10]: if a projective manifold M admits a Kähler metric g with nonnegative holomorphic sectional curvature and (M, g) has no nonzero truly flat tangent vector at some point (which is satisfied when the holomorphic

Key words and phrases. Mixed curvature; Real bisectional curvature; Projective manifold; Rational connectedness; Bochner-type formula.

Foundation item: Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.12001490).

sectional curvature is quasi-positive), M is rationally connected. Motivated by these results, Yau's conjecture on positive holomorphic sectional curvature was conjectured [11] by weakening the assumption to quasi-positivity and was recently solved by S. Zhang and X. Zhang in [24]. The proof crucially relied on a new Bochner-type integral equality.

In this paper, we shall employ the methods in [24] (Zhang-Zhang) and [5] (Heier-Wong) to consider the quasi-positive case for some other interesting curvature conditions.

1.2. Mixed curvature $\mathcal{C}_{a,b}$. Given the perplexing relationship between the holomorphic sectional curvature and the Ricci curvature on Kähler manifolds, one can attempt to interpolate between these curvatures by considering the following curvature constraint: In [4] (see also [2]), Chu-Lee-Tam introduced, for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ the *mixed curvature*

$$\mathcal{C}_{a,b}(X) = \frac{a}{|X|_g^2} Ric(X, \bar{X}) + bH(X)$$

for a $(1, 0)$ -tangent vector $X \in T^{1,0}M$. We always assume that a, b are both not 0, otherwise, it makes no sense. We shall use the mixed curvature to proceed the following curvature conditions: $\mathcal{C}_{1,0}$ is the Ricci curvature, $\mathcal{C}_{0,1}$ is the holomorphic sectional curvature, and $\mathcal{C}_{1,-1}$ is the orthogonal Ricci curvature $Ric^\perp(X, \bar{X})$, a curvature condition defined as the difference between Ricci curvature and holomorphic sectional curvature by Ni-Zheng [14]. In addition, $\mathcal{C}_{k-1, n-k}$ is closely related to the k -Ricci curvature. In [4, 2], it was shown that any compact Kähler manifold with positive mixed curvature, which constants satisfy $a > 0$ and $3a + 2b \geq 0$, must be projective and simply connected. The first main result of our paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact Kähler manifold with quasi-positive mixed curvature $\mathcal{C}_{a,b}$. Then the following statements holds:*

- (1) *If $a \geq 0$ and $3a + 2b \geq 0$, then $h^{2,0} = 0$. In particular, M is projective.*
- (2) *If $a, b \geq 0$, then M is rationally connected.*

As a direct application, we obtain that any compact Kähler manifold with quasi-positive orthogonal Ricci curvature must be projective. In an attempt to generalize the hyperbolicity of Kobayashi to the k -hyperbolicity, Ni [12] introduced the concept of k -Ricci curvature. Given a compact Kähler manifold (M^n, g) . The k -Ricci curvature Ric_k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) is defined as the Chern Ricci curvature of the k -dimensional holomorphic subspaces of the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$. It was proved by Ni [13] that a compact Kähler manifold with positive k -Ricci curvature must be projective and rationally connected. As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, we also generalize the Ni's result to the quasi-positive case.

Corollary 1.1. *Let (M^n, g) a compact Kähler manifold with quasi-positive k -Ricci curvature. Then M must be projective and rationally connected.*

1.3. 2-scalar curvature S_2 . Another interesting curvature condition is k -scalar curvature, which is studied in [15]. Let (M^n, g) be a compact Hermitian manifold. The k -scalar curvature S_k ($1 \leq k \leq n$) is defined as the scalar curvature of the k -dimensional holomorphic subspaces of the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}M$. If g is Kähler, by Berger's lemma one can define the k -scalar curvature of g as

$$S_k(p, \Sigma) = \frac{k(k+1)}{2Vol(\mathbb{S}^{2k-1})} \int_{|Z|=1, Z \in \Sigma} H(Z) d\theta(Z)$$

for any $p \in M$ and any k -dimension subspace $\Sigma \in T^{1,0}M$. When g is Kähler metric, the positivity of the holomorphic sectional curvature implies the positivity of the 2-scalar curvature, and the positivity of S_k implies the positivity of S_l if $k \leq l$.

Ni-Zheng [15] showed that any compact Kähler manifold with positive 2-scalar curvature must be projective. However, in generally, the projectivity of M cannot be implied by the positivity of S_k for $k \geq 3$ (taking the product of a very positive \mathbb{P}^1 and a non-projective torus of complex dimension 2). The dimension of the fiber of an MRC fibration of M is called the *rational dimension* of M , and is denoted by $rd(M)$. Heier-Wong [5] proved that a projective manifold with quasi-positive S_k satisfies $rd(M) \geq n - (k - 1)$. The second main result of this paper is the following theorem, which generalize Ni-Zheng's result to the quasi-positive case, and then extend Heier-Wong's result to compact Kähler manifolds for $k = 2$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M^n, g) a compact Kähler manifold with quasi-positive 2-scalar curvature. Then $h^{2,0} = 0$. In particular, M must be projective and $rd(M) \geq n - 1$.*

1.4. Some special case for the Hermitian category. For the Hermitian category, if metric is only assumed to be Hermitian metric with positive or quasi-positive holomorphic sectional curvature and the Kählerity is a priori unknown, then it is difficult to ensure the projectivity of compact Hermitian manifolds even under a slightly stronger curvature condition, *real bisectional curvature* introduced by Yang-Zheng [21]. They proposed the following generalization of Yau's Conjecture For Hermitian case.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let (M, g) be a Hermitian manifold with positive (quasi-positive) real bisectional curvature. Then M is a projective and rationally connected manifold.*

Under the extra assumption that M is Kählerian, X. Yang [19] conjectured that M is projective and rationally connected if M admits a Hermitian metric with quasi-positive holomorphic sectional curvature. He showed that it is true if surface M admits a Hermitian metric with positive holomorphic sectional curvature. In [16, 17], the author proved the projectivity of compact Hermitian manifold M with positive real bisectional curvature under the additional assumption that M is Kählerian. Furthermore, such manifold is rationally connected, which was proved by Zhang-Zhang [24]. For quasi-positive case, it is difficult to imply that the Hodge number $h^{2,0}$ equals 0. But we can also obtain some meaningful results.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M^3, ω_g) be a compact Hermitian threefold with non-negative real bisectional curvature. Then the following statements holds:*

- (1) *For any holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form η , we have that $|\eta|_g^2 \equiv C$ for some constant $C \geq 0$.*
- (2) *If the real bisectional curvature of g is quasi-positive, then we get that $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{2,0}(M) = 0$. In particular, if M^3 is Kählerian, it is projective.*

If the dimension is greater than 3, we have the following the result.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M^n, ω_g) be a compact Hermitian manifold with non-negative real bisectional curvature. If M is Kählerian and $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-3} = 0$, then the following statements holds:*

- (1) *For any holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form η , we have that $|\eta|_g^2 \equiv C$ for some constant $C \geq 0$.*
- (2) *If the real bisectional curvature of g is quasi-positive, then we get that $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{2,0}(M) = 0$. In particular, M is projective.*

A special case of Theorem 1.4 is

Corollary 1.2. *Let M^4 be a compact Kähler four-manifold with a pluriclosed metric of quasi-positive real bisectional curvature. Then X is projective.*

Remark 1.1. *If we replace real bisectional curvature with 2-scalar curvature, the results of Theorem 1.3 still hold. For Hermitian case, the positivity of real bisectional curvature can not imply the positivity of 2-scalar curvature.*

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we provide some basic knowledge which will be used in our proofs. In section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.1, Theorem 1.2. In section 4, we prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to Professor Fangyang Zheng for constant encouragement and support. We are also grateful to Shiyu Zhang for useful discussions on the results related to Mixed curvature and for suggestions which improved the readability of the paper. The author is also indebted to the work [24] and [5].

2. PREMINARIES

Let (M, g) be a Hermitian manifold of dimension n . Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ -form and η be a $(p, 0)$ -form on M . As in [24], we can define a real semi-positive $(1, 1)$ -form β associated to η by

$$\beta = \Lambda^{p-1}((\sqrt{-1})^{p^2} \frac{\eta \wedge \bar{\eta}}{p!})$$

where Λ is the dual Lefschetz operator with respect to ω . In a local orthonormal coordinates at x_0 , we write $\eta = \eta_{I_p} dz^{I_p}$, $\omega = \sqrt{-1} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^i$ and $\beta = \sqrt{-1} \beta_{i\bar{i}} dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^i$, then

$$\beta_{i\bar{i}} = p! \sum_{I_{p-1}} \eta_{iI_{p-1}} \overline{\eta_{iI_{p-1}}}$$

Hence $tr_{\omega} \beta = |\eta|_g^2$. We have the following identity

Lemma 2.1 ([24], lemma 2.1).

$$(\sqrt{-1})^{p^2} \alpha \wedge \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-p-1}}{(n-p-1)!} = [tr_{\omega} \alpha \cdot |\eta|_g^2 - p \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_g] \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \quad (2.1)$$

Also, we have the following Bochner-type formula for holomorphic forms.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (M, g) be a Hermitian manifold of dimension n , η be a holomorphic $(p, 0)$ -form. Then*

$$\sqrt{-1} \partial_u \bar{\partial}_v |\eta|_g^2 = \langle D'_u \eta, \overline{D'_v \eta} \rangle + p \sum_{i=1}^n R_{u\bar{v}i\bar{i}} \beta_{i\bar{i}} \quad (2.2)$$

Another useful result is the following:

Lemma 2.3 ([4], lemma 2.2). *Let (M^n, g) is Kähler manifold with $Ric_k(X, \bar{X}) \geq (k+1)\sigma|X|^2$, then the following estimates holds:*

$$(k-1)|X|^2 Ric(X, \bar{X}) + (n-k)R(X, \bar{X}, X, \bar{X}) \geq (n-1)(k+1)\sigma|X|^4 \quad (2.3)$$

Let us recall the concept of *real bisectional curvature*. Let (X^n, g) be a Hermitian manifold. Denote by R the curvature tensor of the Chern connection. For $p \in X$, let $e = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a unitary tangent frame at p , and let $a = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be non-negative constants with $|a|^2 = a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 > 0$. Define the *real bisectional curvature* of g by

$$B_g(e, a) = \frac{1}{|a|^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}} a_i a_j. \quad (2.4)$$

When the metric is Kähler, this curvature is the same as the holomorphic sectional curvature H , while when the metric is not Kähler, the curvature condition is slightly stronger than H at least algebraically. For a more detailed discussion of this, we refer the readers to [21].

3. MIXED CURVATURE

3.1. Projectivity. To prove the projectivity, we only need to show that $h^{2,0} = 0$. Assume that M admits a nonzero holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form $\sigma = \sigma_{ij} dz^i \wedge dz^j$. Let k be the largest integer such that $\sigma^k \neq 0$ but $\sigma^{k+1} = 0$.

Now, let $x \in M$ be a fixed point such that $\sigma^k \neq 0$, we can choose local holomorphic orthonormal coordinates such that

$$\sigma = \lambda_1 e^1 \wedge e^2 + \dots + \lambda_k e^{2k-1} \wedge e^{2k}$$

Let $\eta = \sigma^k$, so it is a holomorphic $(2k, 0)$ -form. Then

$$\eta = k! \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k e^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e^{2k}$$

Let β be the $(1, 1)$ -form associated to η , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \frac{(k! \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k)^2}{2k} (e^1 \wedge \bar{e}^1 + \dots + e^{2k} \wedge \bar{e}^{2k}) \\ &= \frac{|\eta|^2}{2k} (e^1 \wedge \bar{e}^1 + \dots + e^{2k} \wedge \bar{e}^{2k}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.2) that

$$\Delta_g |\eta|_g^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |D'_i \eta|^2 + 2k \sum_{i=1}^n R_{i\bar{i}} \beta_{i\bar{i}} = \sum_{i=1}^n |D'_i \eta|^2 + |\eta|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{2k} R_{i\bar{i}}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} |\eta|^2, \beta \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k} |D'_i \eta|^2 \cdot \beta_{i\bar{i}} + 2k \sum_{i=1}^{2k} R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}} \beta_{i\bar{i}} \beta_{j\bar{j}} \\ &= \frac{|\eta|^2}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^{2k} |D'_i \eta|^2 + \frac{|\eta|^4}{2k} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2k} R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Berger's average trick that

$$\frac{a}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^{2k} R_{i\bar{i}} + \frac{2b}{2k(2k+1)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2k} R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}} \geq C_{a,b}(x) := \min_{X \in T_x^{1,0} M \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{C}_{a,b}(X).$$

Hence, if $a \geq 0$ and $3a + 2b \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a}{2k} \Delta_g |\eta|_g^2 \cdot |\eta|_g^2 + \frac{b}{k(2k+1)} 2k \langle \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} |\eta|^2, \beta \rangle \\ &= |\eta|_g^2 \left(\frac{a}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^n |D'_i \eta|^2 + \frac{b}{k(2k+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{2k} |D'_i \eta|^2 \right) + |\eta|^4 \left(\frac{a}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^{2k} R_{i\bar{i}} + \frac{b}{k(2k+1)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2k} R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}} \right) \\ &\geq C_{a,b}(x) \cdot |\eta|^4 \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $x \in M$ such that $\eta \neq 0$. Obviously, it also holds for any $x \in M$ such that $\eta = 0$. From the formula (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \Delta_g |\eta|_g^2 \cdot |\eta|_g^2 \cdot \omega^n &= 2k \int_M \langle \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} |\eta|_g^2, \beta \rangle_g \cdot \omega^n \\ &\quad + (\sqrt{-1})^{(2k)^2} \frac{n!}{(n-2k-1)!} \int_M \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} |\eta|_g^2 \wedge \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \wedge \omega^{n-2k-1} \\ &= 2k \int_M \langle \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} |\eta|_g^2, \beta \rangle_g \cdot \omega^n \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds from a well know result that any holomorphic $(p, 0)$ -form η on a compact Kähler manifold is d -closed. Now we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a}{2k} + \frac{b}{k(2k+1)} \right) \int_M \Delta_g |\eta|_g^2 \cdot |\eta|_g^2 \cdot \omega^n \\ &\geq \frac{a}{2k} \int_M \Delta |\eta|^2 \cdot |\eta|^2 \cdot \omega^n + \frac{b}{k(2k+1)} 2k \int_M \langle \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} |\eta|^2, \beta \rangle \omega^n \\ &\geq \int_M C_{a,b}(x) \cdot |\eta|^4 \cdot \omega^n. \end{aligned}$$

If $C_{a,b}$ is nonnegative, we have

$$- \int_M |d|\eta|^2|^2 \cdot \omega^n = \int_M \Delta_g |\eta|_g^2 \cdot |\eta|_g^2 \cdot \omega^n \geq 0$$

Then $d|\eta|_g^2 \equiv 0$ on M , which implies that $|\eta|_g^2 \equiv C$ for some constant $C > 0$. Since $C_{a,b}$ is quasi-positive, we get that

$$0 \geq C^2 \int_M C_{a,b}(x) \cdot \omega^n > 0$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, there is no nonzero holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form. The projectivity follows from Kodaira's theorem ([6], Theorem 1). \square

Remark 3.1. *If Kähler metric g has quasi-positive k -Ricci curvature, then mixed curvature $\mathcal{C}_{k-1, n-k}$ is also quasi-positive by (2.3). Hence, the Corollary 1.1 holds. The proof for quasi-positive 2-scalar curvature is essentially same. In fact, if Kähler metric g has quasi-positive 2-scalar curvature S_2 , then $S_k = \sum_{i,j=1}^k R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}$ is also quasi-positive for $k \geq 2$, which is sufficient in the above argument.*

3.2. Rational connectedness. In this section, we prove the the rational connectedness of Theorem 1.1. We will follow closely Heier-Wong's method [5], so we just briefly outline the proof.

It follows from

$$(a+b)S = \frac{a}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n R_{i\bar{i}} + \frac{2b}{n(n+1)} \sum_{i,j=1}^n R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}} \geq C_{a,b},$$

that the scalar curvature is quasi-positive, then

$$\int_M c_1(K_M) \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \frac{-1}{n\pi} \int_M S\omega^n < 0.$$

which implies that K_M is not pseudoeffective, M is uniruled by [1]. Then X admits a MRC fibration $f : M \rightarrow N$ from M to a uniruled projective manifold N , and K_N is pseudoeffective.

We shall argue by contradiction. Suppose that $m = \dim N \geq 1$. Following the argument as (Heier-Wong, section 3.2), there is a dense Zariski-open subset $Z' \subset N$ such that

$$f|_{f^{-1}(Z')} : f^{-1}(Z') \rightarrow Z'$$

is a holomorphic submersion, and the proof is reduced to show that for any fixed small open set $V \subset Z'$,

$$\int_{f^{-1}(V)} c_1(E) \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \int_{f^{-1}(V)} c_1(\Lambda^m E) \wedge \omega^{n-1}$$

is non-negative in general and positive for some V , where $E := f^*T_N$. In fact, this would contradict the fact that K_N is pseudoeffective.

On $M \setminus f^{-1}(V)$, we have the standard exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (T_{(M \setminus f^{-1}(V)) / (N \setminus V)}, g_S) \rightarrow (T_M, g) \rightarrow (f^*T_N, g_E) \rightarrow 0,$$

where g_S and g_E is the induced and quotient metrics respectively. Then the curvature of (E, g_E) is greater or equal the curvature of (T_M, g) . For any $p \in f^{-1}(V)$, we can choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$ of $T_p^{1,0}M$ such that $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is an orthonormal basis of $(T_p f^{-1}(p))^\perp$. Then $(\Lambda^m E, G := \Lambda^m g_E)$ satisfies that

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_G(u, \bar{u}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m R_{u\bar{u}i\bar{i}}, \quad \forall u \in T_p^{1,0}M.$$

By a nontrivial calculation in (Heier-Wong, section 3.2) (see also ([10], section 3)),

$$\int_M \sum_{i=m+1}^n \sqrt{-1}\Theta_G(e_i, \bar{e}_i) \cdot \omega^n \geq 0.$$

Then for any small $V \subset Z'$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{f^{-1}(V)} c_1(\Lambda^m E) \wedge \omega^{n-1} &= \int_{f^{-1}(V)} \sqrt{-1} \Theta_G \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\
&= \int_M \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{-1} \Theta_G(e_i, \bar{e}_i) \cdot \omega^n \\
&\geq \frac{m(m+1)}{a(m+1) + 2b} \int_{f^{-1}(V)} \left(\frac{a}{m} \sum_{i=1}^n \Theta_G(e_i, \bar{e}_i) + \frac{2b}{m(m+1)} \sum_{i=1}^m \Theta_G(e_i, \bar{e}_i) \right) \cdot \omega^n \\
&\geq \frac{m(m+1)}{a(m+1) + 2b} \int_{f^{-1}(V)} \left(\frac{a}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m R_{i\bar{i}} + \frac{2b}{m(m+1)} \sum_{i,j=1}^m R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}} \right) \cdot \omega^n \\
&\geq \frac{m(m+1)}{a(m+1) + 2b} \int_{f^{-1}(V)} C_{a,b} \cdot \omega^n \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x \in M : C_{a,b}(x) > 0\}$ is open, we can choose some V_0 such that $f^{-1}(V) \cap \{x \in M : C_{a,b}(x) > 0\} \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\int_{f^{-1}(V_0)} c_1(\Lambda^m E) \wedge \omega^{n-1} \geq \frac{m(m+1)}{a(m+1) + 2b} \int_{f^{-1}(V_0)} C_{a,b} \cdot \omega^n > 0.$$

The proof is complete. \square

4. REAL BISECTIONAL CURVATURE

For a compact Hermitian manifold (M^n, g) , by Lemma 2.1 the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int_M |d|\eta|_g|^2 \cdot \omega^n &= \int_M \Delta_g |\eta|_g^2 \cdot |\eta|_g^2 \cdot \omega^n \\
&= p \int_M \langle \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} |\eta|_g^2, \beta \rangle_g \cdot \omega^n \\
&\quad + (\sqrt{-1})^{p^2} \frac{n!}{(n-p-1)!} \int_M \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} |\eta|_g^2 \wedge \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \wedge \omega^{n-p-1}
\end{aligned}$$

In non-Kähler case, it is difficult to determine the sign of the last term. But in some special case, we can estimate its sign.

Proof of Theorem 1.3: We assume that M admits a nonzero holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form η . If g is Hermitian metric and complex dimension of the manifold is 3, then when $p = 2$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sqrt{-1})^{p^2} \frac{n!}{(n-p-1)!} \int_M \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} |\eta|_g^2 \wedge \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \wedge \omega^{n-p-1} \\
&= 3! \int_M \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} |\eta|_g^2 \wedge \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \\
&= 3! \int_M \sqrt{-1} |\eta|_g^2 \cdot (\partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \bar{\eta}) \\
&\geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

where these equalities follows from Stokes' theorem and $\bar{\partial}\eta = 0$. If Hermitian metric g has non-negative real bisectional curvature, then by (2.2) we have

$$\langle \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|\eta|^2, \beta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^3 |D'_i\eta|^2 \cdot \beta_{\bar{i}\bar{i}} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 R_{\bar{i}\bar{i}j\bar{j}}\beta_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}\beta_{j\bar{j}} \geq 0$$

where $tr_\omega\beta = |\eta|_g^2$, $\beta = \sqrt{-1}\beta_{\bar{i}\bar{i}}dz^i \wedge d\bar{z}^i$ at x_0 and $\beta_{\bar{i}\bar{i}} \geq 0$. Hence, we get that

$$0 \geq - \int_M |d|\eta|_g^2|^2 \cdot \omega^3 = \int_M \Delta_g |\eta|_g^2 \cdot |\eta|_g^2 \cdot \omega^3 \geq 0$$

Then $d|\eta|_g^2 \equiv 0$ on M , which implies that $|\eta|_g^2 \equiv C$ for some constant $C > 0$. If real bisectional curvature is quasi-positive, we get that

$$0 \geq C^2 \int_M \lambda(x) \cdot \omega^3 > 0$$

where $\lambda(x) = \min B_g(e, a)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, there is no nonzero holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form. The projectivity follows from Kodaira's theorem if M^3 is Kählerian. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4: If g is Hermitian metric, then when $p = 2$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sqrt{-1})^{p^2} \frac{n!}{(n-p-1)!} \int_M \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|\eta|_g^2 \wedge \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \wedge \omega^{n-p-1} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(n-3)!} \int_M \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|\eta|_g^2 \wedge \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \wedge \omega^{n-3} \\ &= \int_M \sqrt{-1}|\eta|_g^2 \partial\eta \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\eta} \wedge \omega^{n-3} + \int_M \sqrt{-1}|\eta|_g^2 \partial\eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \wedge \bar{\partial}\omega^{n-3} \\ &\quad + \int_M \sqrt{-1}|\eta|_g^2 \eta \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\eta} \wedge \partial\omega^{n-3} + \int_M \sqrt{-1}|\eta|_g^2 \eta \wedge \bar{\eta} \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-3} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where since M is Kählerian and $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-3} = 0$. We can easily use the same arguments from Theorem 1.3 to complete the proof. \square

Remark 4.1. *Maybe it is interesting to consider that projectivity of conformally Kähler manifold with quasi-positive holomorphic sectional curvature.*

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Boucksom, J. Demailly, M. Păun and T. Peternell, *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension*, J. Algebraic Geom. **22** (2013), no. 2, 201-248.
- [2] K. Broder, K. Tang, *On the weighted orthogonal Ricci curvature*, J. Geom. Phys. **193** (2023), Paper No. 104783, 13 pp.
- [3] F. Campana, *Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **25** (1992), no. 5, 539-545.
- [4] J. Chu; M. Lee; L. Tam, *Kähler manifolds and mixed curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **375** (2022), no. 11, 7925-7944.
- [5] G. Heier, B. Won, *On projective Kähler manifolds of partially positive curvature and rational connectedness*, Doc. Math. **25** (2020), 219-238.
- [6] K. Kodaira, *On Kähler varieties of restricted type (an intrinsic characterization of algebraic varieties)*, Ann. of Math. **60** (1954), 28-48.

- [7] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, *Rationally connected varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. **1** (1992), no. 3, 429-448.
- [8] C. Li, C. Zhang and X. Zhang, *Mean curvature negativity and HN-negativity of holomorphic vector bundles*, arXiv:2112.00488.
- [9] S. Matsumura, *On the image of MRC fibrations of projective manifolds with semi-positive holomorphic sectional curvature*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **16** (2020), no. 5, 1419-1439.
- [10] S. Matsumura, *On projective manifolds with semi-positive holomorphic sectional curvature*, Amer. J. Math. **144** (2022), no. 3, 747-777.
- [11] S. Matsumura, *Open problems on structure of positively curved projective varieties*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **31** (2022), no. 3, 1011-1029.
- [12] L. Ni, *Liouville theorems and a Schwarz lemma for holomorphic mappings between Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **74** (2021), no. 5, 1100-1126.
- [13] L. Ni, *The fundamental group, rational connectedness and the positivity of Kähler manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **774** (2021), 267-299.
- [14] L. Ni, F. Zheng, *Comparison and vanishing theorems for Kähler manifolds*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **57** (2018), no. 6, Paper No. 151, 31 pp.
- [15] L. Ni, F. Zheng, *Positivity and the Kodaira embedding theorem*, Geom. Topol. **26** (2022), no. 6, 2491-2505.
- [16] K. Tang, *Positive curvature operator, projective manifold and rational connectedness*, arXiv:1905.04894v2.
- [17] K. Tang, *On first and second k -Ricci curvatures for Hermitian manifold (in Chinese)*. Sci Sin Math, 2022, **52**: 901-912.
- [18] X. Yang, *RC-positivity, rational connectedness and Yau's conjecture*, Camb. J. Math. **6** (2018), no. 2, 183-212.
- [19] X. Yang, *RC-positive metrics on rationally connected manifolds*, Forum Math. Sigma **8** (2020), Paper No. e53, 19 pp.
- [20] X. Yang, *Compact Kähler manifolds with quasi-positive second Chern-Ricci curvature*, arXiv:2006.13884.
- [21] X. Yang, F. Zheng, *On real bisectional curvature for Hermitian manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **371** (2019), no. 4, 2703-2718.
- [22] S.-T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 339-411.
- [23] S.-T. Yau, *Seminar on differential geometry*, Ann. of Math Stud. **102** (1982), 669-706.
- [24] S. Zhang, X. Zhang, *Compact Kähler manifolds with quasi-positive holomorphic sectional curvature*, arXiv:2311.18779.

KAI TANG. SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, JINHUA, ZHEJIANG, 321004, CHINA

Email address: kaitang001@zjnu.edu.cn