

DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR DEGENERATE HESSIAN QUOTIENT TYPE CURVATURE EQUATIONS

XIAOJUAN CHEN, QIANG TU*, AND NI XIANG

ABSTRACT. In the paper, we prove the existence and uniqueness results of the $C^{1,1}$ regular graphic hypersurface for Dirichlet problem of a class of degenerate Hessian quotient type curvature equations under the condition $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}} \in C^{1,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$. Specially, we also consider the second order derivative estimates for the corresponding degenerate Hessian type curvature equations under the optimal condition $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}} \in C^{1,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider Dirichlet problem for the following degenerate Hessian quotient type curvature equations

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l}(\lambda(\eta[M_u])) = \psi(X, \nu), \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

with homogenous boundary data. Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain, $M_u = \{(x, u(x)); x \in \Omega\}$ is the graphic hypersurface defined by the function u , $X = (x, u(x))$ is the position vector of M_u , ν is the unit outward normal vector, σ_k is the k -th elementary symmetric function, $\lambda(\eta[M_u]) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is the eigenvalue vector of $g^{-1}\eta$ on M_u . $\psi \geq 0$ is smooth enough with respect to every variable. The $(0, 2)$ -tensor η on M_u is defined by

$$\eta_{ij} = Hg_{ij} - h_{ij},$$

where g_{ij} and h_{ij} are the first and second fundamental forms of M_u , respectively. H is the mean curvature of M_u . Actually, $\lambda(\eta[M_u])$ in equation (1.1) also arises in complex geometry, which has attracted the interest of many authors due to its geometric applications such as Gauduchon conjecture [9]. The admissible set for equation (1.1) is defined as follows.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J70, 35J15.

Key words and phrases. Hessian quotient, degenerate curvature function, Dirichlet problem, the a priori estimates.

This research was supported by funds from the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 11971157, 12101206; the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province, China, No. 2023AFB730.

* Corresponding author.

Definition 1.1. A C^2 regular hypersurface $M_u \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called (η, k) -convex if its principal curvature vector $\kappa(X) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_k$. As in [5], $\tilde{\Gamma}_k$ is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_k := \{\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sigma_i(\lambda(\eta)) > 0, \lambda_i(\eta) = \sum_{j \neq i} \kappa_j, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$$

for all $X \in M_u$. For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, a function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called admissible if its graph is (η, k) -convex.

If $\lambda(\eta[M_u])$ is replaced by the principal curvature $\kappa[M_u]$ and $l = 0$, equation (1.1) becomes the classical k -curvature equations

$$(1.3) \quad \sigma_k(\kappa[M_u]) = \psi(X, \nu).$$

For the non-degenerate case, Dirichlet problem for equation (1.3) has been achieved fruitful results. When $k = 1, 2$ and n , the left hand side of equation (1.3) corresponds to the mean, scalar and Gauss curvature of the hypersurface M_u respectively. The classical Dirichlet problem for the prescribed mean and Gauss curvature equations has been extensively studied, which can refer to [1, 11, 33, 39]. For the intermediate case $1 < k < n$, the first breakthroughs were due to Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck [4] and Ivochkina [21, 22] for Dirichlet problem of equation (1.3) with homogenous boundary data when ψ is independent of ν . In fact, Ivochkina [21, 22] treated the case of σ_k . Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck allowed for more general symmetric functions than σ_k , but still excluded Hessian quotient $\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l}$. Then Lin-Trudinger [30] considered Hessian quotient case $\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l}$ with homogenous boundary data. Ivochkina-Lin-Trudinger [23] extended Lin-Trudinger's work to general boundary data but under exclusion of the case $k = n$. For the case $\frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma_l}$ with $0 \leq l < n$ was subsequently studied by Ivochkina-Tomi [24]. Recently, we considered Pogorelov type estimates of semi-convex solutions for Dirichlet problem of equation (1.3) with $(k + 1)$ -convex boundary data in [7]. The readers can refer to [3, 13, 17, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37, 38, 40] for more researches about non-degenerate curvature equations.

The research of degenerate curvature equations can be tracked back to the degenerate Weyl problem studied by Guan-Li [14] and Hong-Zuily [19]. Later on, Guan-Li [15] studied the prescribed Gauss curvature problem. As we all know, for degenerate curvature equations, $C^{1,1}$ regularity of the solutions maybe the best regularity one can expect [41]. Guan-Zhang [18] established $C^{1,1}$ estimates for a class of curvature type equations which is the combination of σ_k . When $\psi = \psi(x, u) \geq 0$ in equation (1.3), Jiao-Wang [27] proved the existence of $C^{1,1}$ regular solutions for Dirichlet problem of equation (1.3) with homogenous boundary data under the condition $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$. Then Jiao-Jiao [25] established Pogorelov type estimates for Dirichlet problem of equation (1.3) with $(k + 1)$ -convex boundary data under the condition $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \in C^{1,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$.

Now, we review some of the facts on the non-degenerate case of equation (1.1). Chu-Jiao [8] studied the following prescribed curvature equations

$$(1.4) \quad \sigma_k(\lambda(\eta)) = \psi(X, \nu),$$

and they established curvature estimates for equation (1.4). Then in [6], we generalized Chu-Jiao's results to Hessian quotient case. It is of interest to consider the existence and regularity of solutions for curvature equations in graph form. Specifically, Jiao-Sun [26] considered Dirichlet problem for the following curvature equations

$$\det(\lambda(\eta[M_u])) = \psi(X, \nu),$$

and they derived the existence of $C^{1,1}$ regular graphic (η, n) -convex hypersurfaces. Inspired by Jiao-Sun's work, it is natural to study Dirichlet problem of Hessian quotient type curvature equation (1.1) for the degenerate case.

In order to deal with equation (1.1), we usually need some geometric conditions on Ω as in [22]. If $k < n$, a bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n is called uniformly k -convex if there exists a positive constant K such that for each $x \in \partial\Omega$,

$$(\kappa_1^b(x), \dots, \kappa_{n-1}^b(x), K) \in \Gamma_{k+1},$$

where $\kappa_1^b(x), \dots, \kappa_{n-1}^b(x)$ are the principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega$ at x and Γ_k is the Gårding's cone defined by (2.1). If $k = n$, it is easy to see that n -convexity is equivalent to strict convexity.

The main theorems are as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $k \geq 2$, $0 \leq l < k < n$, Ω be a uniformly k -convex bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with $\partial\Omega \in C^{2,1}$. Suppose that $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}}(x, z, \nu) \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n) \geq 0$ and $\psi_z \geq 0$. Assume that there exists an admissible subsolution $\underline{u} \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying $\kappa[M_{\underline{u}}] \in \tilde{\Gamma}_k$ and*

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l}(\lambda(\eta[M_{\underline{u}}])) \geq \psi(\underline{X}, \nu(\underline{X})), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \underline{u} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\underline{X} = (x, \underline{u}(x))$ and $\nu(\underline{X})$ is the unit outward normal vector at $\underline{X} \in M_{\underline{u}}$. Then there exists a unique admissible solution $u \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega})$ of Dirichlet problem

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l}(\lambda(\eta[M_u])) = \psi(X, \nu), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

satisfying

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C,$$

where C is a positive constant depending on $n, k, l, \Omega, \|\underline{u}\|_{C^{0,1}}$ and $\|\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}}\|_{C^{1,1}}$.

Remark 1.3. The results of the classical degenerate curvature equations mainly focus on the case $\psi = \psi(X)$. Compared to the classical conclusions, we consider the more general right hand function $\psi = \psi(X, \nu)$.

Remark 1.4. In the proof of the key Lemma 5.3 in Jiao-Sun [26], the special structure of the (η, n) -curvature plays an important role. Our challenge is how to achieve the similar result in the absence of that special structure.

In particular, we only need $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$ to establish second order derivative estimates for solutions of equation (1.1) when $l = 0$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let Ω be a uniformly k -convex bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with $\partial\Omega \in C^{2,1}$. Suppose $2 \leq k < n$, $\psi \geq 0$, $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$. Let $u \in C^4(\Omega) \cap C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be an admissible solution of Dirichlet problem*

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma_k(\lambda(\eta[M_u])) = \psi(X, \nu), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a positive constant C depending on $n, k, \|u\|_{C^1}$ and $\|\psi^{\frac{1}{k-1}}\|_{C^{1,1}}$ satisfying

$$\sup_{\overline{\Omega}} |D^2u| \leq C.$$

In fact, the condition $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$ is optimal to derive the a priori estimates, which is consist with classical case based on Wang's counterexamples in [41]. But up to now, we can only derive C^1 estimates under the stronger condition $\psi^{\frac{1}{k}} \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$, it is still an open problem for Dirichlet problem (1.7) to derive C^1 estimates under the condition $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Some preliminaries are given in Section 2. We obtain C^1 estimates in Section 3. In Section 4, we establish the global second order derivative estimates. In Section 5, we deal with the boundary second order derivative estimates and complete the proof of Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. k -th elementary symmetric functions. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then we recall the definition of elementary symmetric function for $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$\sigma_k(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}.$$

Definition 2.1. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, let Γ_k be a cone in \mathbb{R}^n determined by

$$(2.1) \quad \Gamma_k = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sigma_i(\lambda) > 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

Denote $\sigma_{k-1}(\lambda|i) = \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial \lambda_i}$ and $\sigma_{k-2}(\lambda|ij) = \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_k}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j}$. In the following, we list some algebraic equalities and inequalities of σ_k . Then we introduce some important properties which will be used later.

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{k-1}(\lambda|i) = (n-k+1)\sigma_{k-1}(\lambda),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \sigma_{k-1}(\lambda) \geq c_0 \sigma_k^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}}(\lambda) \sigma_1^{\frac{1}{k-1}}(\lambda),$$

and

$$\sigma_1(\lambda) \geq c_0 \sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}(\lambda),$$

for any $\lambda \in \Gamma_k$ and some positive constant c_0 depending on n and k .

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, then we have*

- (1) $\Gamma_1 \supset \Gamma_2 \supset \dots \supset \Gamma_n$;
- (2) $\sigma_{k-1}(\lambda|i) > 0$ for $\lambda \in \Gamma_k$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (3) $\sigma_k(\lambda) = \sigma_k(\lambda|i) + \lambda_i \sigma_{k-1}(\lambda|i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (4) $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \left[\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-l}}}{\partial \lambda_i} \geq \left[\frac{C_n^k}{C_n^l} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-l}}$ for $\lambda \in \Gamma_k$ and $0 \leq l < k$;
- (5) $\left[\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-l}}$ are concave in Γ_k for $0 \leq l < k$;
- (6) If $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, then $\sigma_{k-1}(\lambda|1) \leq \sigma_{k-1}(\lambda|2) \leq \dots \leq \sigma_{k-1}(\lambda|n)$ for $\lambda \in \Gamma_k$.

Proof. All the properties are well known. For example, see Chapter XV in [28] or [20] for proofs of (1), (2), (3) and (6); see Lemma 2.2.19 in [10] for the proof of (4); see [2] and [28] for the proof of (5). \square

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose $W = \{W_{ij}\}$ is diagonal and m ($1 \leq m \leq n$) is a positive integer, then*

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_m(W)}{\partial W_{ij}} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{m-1}(W|i), & i = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \sigma_m(W)}{\partial W_{ij} \partial W_{pq}} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{m-2}(W|ip), & i = j, p = q, i \neq p, \\ -\sigma_{m-2}(W|ip), & i = q, j = p, i \neq j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The generalized Newton-MacLaurin inequality is as follows.

Proposition 2.4. For $\lambda \in \Gamma_k$ and $n \geq k > l \geq 0$, $n \geq r > s \geq 0$, $k \geq r$, $l \geq s$, we have

$$\left[\frac{\sigma_k(\lambda)/C_n^k}{\sigma_l(\lambda)/C_n^l} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-l}} \leq \left[\frac{\sigma_r(\lambda)/C_n^r}{\sigma_s(\lambda)/C_n^s} \right]^{\frac{1}{r-s}}.$$

Proof. See [36]. □

Next, we introduce a new cone $\tilde{\Gamma}_k$ defined by (1.2) and list some important properties, more details can refer to [5].

Proposition 2.5. The following properties hold.

(1) $\tilde{\Gamma}_k$ are convex cones and

$$(2.4) \quad \Gamma_1 = \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \supset \tilde{\Gamma}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \tilde{\Gamma}_n \supset \Gamma_2.$$

(2) If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_k$, then

$$\frac{\partial \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right]}{\partial \lambda_i} \geq \frac{n(k-l)}{k(n-l)} \sum_{p \neq i} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\eta|p)\sigma_l(\eta|p)}{\sigma_l(\eta)^2},$$

for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $0 \leq l < k \leq n$.

(3) If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_k$, then $\left[\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-l}}$ ($0 \leq l < k \leq n$) are concave with respect to λ . Hence for any (ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n) , we have

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right]}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j} \xi_i \xi_j \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k-l} \right) \frac{\left[\sum_i \frac{\partial \left(\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right)}{\partial \lambda_i} \xi_i \right]^2}{\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)}}.$$

Proposition 2.6. Suppose that $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_k$ are ordered with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, then

(1) $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \dots \leq \eta_n$ and $\eta_{n-k+1} > 0$.

(2) $\sigma_{k-1}(\eta|n-k+1) \geq c(n, k)\sigma_{k-1}(\eta)$, for $0 \leq l < k \leq n$.

(3) $\frac{\partial \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right]}{\partial \eta_1} \geq \frac{\partial \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right]}{\partial \eta_2} \geq \dots \geq \frac{\partial \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right]}{\partial \eta_n}$, for $0 \leq l < k \leq n$.

(4) $\frac{\partial \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right]}{\partial \lambda_1} \leq \frac{\partial \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right]}{\partial \lambda_2} \leq \dots \leq \frac{\partial \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right]}{\partial \lambda_n}$, for $0 \leq l < k \leq n$.

(5) For $0 \leq l < k < n$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\partial \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right]}{\partial \lambda_i} \geq c(n, k, l) \sum_i \frac{\partial \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right]}{\partial \lambda_i} \geq c(n, k, l) \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \right]^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

2.2. Basic properties of graphic hypersurface. Let $\phi_i = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}$, $\phi_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$, $D\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ and $D^2\phi = \{\phi_{ij}\}$ denote the first and second order derivatives, gradient and Hessian of a function $\phi \in C^2(\Omega)$, respectively. A graphic hypersurface M_u in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is a codimension one submanifold which can be written as a graph

$$M_u = \{X = (x, u(x)) | x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

Let $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{n+1}\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , then the height function of M_u is $u(x) = \langle X, \epsilon_{n+1} \rangle$. It is easy to see that the induced metric and second fundamental form of M_u are given by

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + u_i u_j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

and

$$h_{ij} = \frac{u_{ij}}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}}.$$

The unit upward normal vector field to M_u is

$$\nu = \frac{(-Du, 1)}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}}.$$

By straightforward calculations, we have the principle curvature of M_u are eigenvalues of the matrix

$$\frac{1}{\omega} \left(I - \frac{Du \otimes Du}{\omega^2} \right) D^2 u,$$

or the symmetric matrix $A[u] = \{a_{ij}\}$

$$a_{ij} = \frac{1}{\omega} \gamma^{ik} u_{kl} \gamma^{lj},$$

where $\gamma^{ik} = \delta_{ik} - \frac{u_i u_k}{\omega(1+\omega)}$ and $\omega = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$. Note that $\{\gamma^{ij}\}$ is invertible with inverse $\gamma_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{u_i u_j}{1+\omega}$, which is the square root of $\{g_{ij}\}$.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a local orthonormal frame field defined on TM_u and ∇ be the induced Levi-Civita connection on M_u . For a function v on M_u , we denote $\nabla_i v = \nabla_{e_i} v$, $\nabla_{ij} v = \nabla^2 v(e_i, e_j)$. Hence

$$|\nabla u| = \sqrt{g^{ij} u_i u_j} = \frac{|Du|}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}}.$$

We list some well known fundamental equations for a hypersurface M_u in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , which will be needed in our proof.

$$\nabla_{ij} X = h_{ij} \nu \quad (\text{Gauss formula}),$$

$$\nabla_i \nu = -h_{ij} e_j \quad (\text{Weingarten formula}),$$

$$\nabla_k h_{ij} = \nabla_j h_{ik} \quad (\text{Codazzi equation}),$$

$$R_{ijst} = h_{is} h_{jt} - h_{it} h_{js} \quad (\text{Gauss equation}),$$

where $h_{ij} = \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_j, \nu \rangle$, R_{ijst} is the $(4, 0)$ -Riemannian curvature tensor of M_u and the derivative here is covariant derivative with respect to the metric on M_u . Hence the Ricci identity becomes

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{st} = \nabla_s \nabla_t h_{ij} + (h_{mt} h_{sj} - h_{mj} h_{st}) h_{mi} + (h_{mt} h_{ij} - h_{mj} h_{it}) h_{ms}.$$

According to Lemma 3.2 of [16], we state the following proposition by observing the concavity of $(\sigma_k/\sigma_1)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$, more details see [26, 27].

Proposition 2.7. *Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{k-1}$. If u is an admissible solution of Dirichlet problem (1.7), then we get*

$$\sum_s \sigma_k^{ij,pq} \nabla_s h_{ij} \nabla_s h_{pq} \leq (1 - \alpha) \frac{|\nabla \psi|^2}{\psi} + 2\alpha \frac{\langle \nabla \psi, \nabla H \rangle}{H} - (1 + \alpha) \frac{\psi |\nabla H|^2}{H^2},$$

where $\sigma_k^{ij,pq} = \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_k}{\partial h_{ij} \partial h_{pq}}$.

For $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$\Gamma_k(p) := \{r \in S^{n \times n} : \lambda(r, p) := \lambda\left(I - \frac{p \otimes p}{1 + |p|^2}\right)r \in \Gamma_k\}.$$

To establish second order estimates on the boundary, we need the proposition which can be seen in [22, 23].

Proposition 2.8. *For any $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $\Gamma_{k+1}(0) \subset \Gamma_k(p)$. Assume that $r \in \Gamma_{k+1}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix, then we have for $0 \leq l < k < n$,*

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l}(\lambda(r, p)) \geq \frac{1}{1 + |p|^2} \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l}(\lambda(r)).$$

3. C^1 ESTIMATES

In this section, we consider C^1 estimates for the admissible solution of Dirichlet problem (1.6). Since M_u is (η, k) -convex, then $\sigma_1(\lambda(\eta[M_u])) > 0$, hence $H[M_u] > 0$. Combining with $\psi_z \geq 0$, the subsolution condition (1.5) and the maximum principle, it is easy to derive that

$$\sup_{\bar{\Omega}} |u| + \sup_{\partial\Omega} |Du| \leq C.$$

Then we establish the global gradient estimates.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $k \geq 2$, $0 \leq l < k < n$, $u \in C^3(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ be an admissible solution of Dirichlet problem (1.6). Suppose that $\psi_z \geq 0$ and $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$. Then there exists a positive constant C depending only on $n, k, l, \|u\|_{C^0}$ and $\|\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}}\|_{C^1}$ such that*

$$\sup_{\bar{\Omega}} |Du| \leq C(1 + \sup_{\partial\Omega} |Du|).$$

Proof. Consider the following test function

$$P = \log \omega + B\langle X, \epsilon_{n+1} \rangle = \log \omega + Bu,$$

where $\omega = \frac{1}{\langle \nu, \epsilon_{n+1} \rangle} = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$ and B is a positive constant to be determined later. Suppose P attains its maximum at an interior point $x_0 \in \Omega$. We rotate the standard basis $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$ to satisfy $u_1 = |Du|, u_j = 0$ for $j \geq 2$ at x_0 . Define $e_i = \gamma^{is} \tilde{\partial}_s, i = 1, \dots, n$, where $\tilde{\partial}_s := \epsilon_s + u_s \epsilon_{n+1}$ for $s = 1, \dots, n$. Then it is clear that $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal frame on M_u near $X_0 = (x_0, u(x_0))$ satisfying

$$|\nabla u| = \nabla_1 u, \quad \nabla_i u = 0, \quad \text{for } i \geq 2$$

at X_0 . Therefore by Weingarten formula,

$$\nabla_i \omega = \frac{h_{im} \nabla_m u}{\langle \nu, \epsilon_{n+1} \rangle^2} = \omega^2 h_{im} \nabla_m u.$$

Thus at X_0 ,

$$\nabla_i P = \omega h_{i1} \nabla_1 u + B \nabla_i u = 0.$$

Taking $i = 1$ and $i \geq 2$ respectively, then at X_0 ,

$$\omega h_{11} = -B, \quad h_{i1} = 0.$$

We rotate $\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ such that matrix $\{h_{ij}\}$ is diagonal at X_0 . Thus at X_0 ,

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla_{ii} P = \omega^2 (h_{im} \nabla_m u)^2 + \omega \nabla_i h_{i1} \nabla_1 u + \omega h_{im} \nabla_{mi} u + B \nabla_{ii} u \leq 0.$$

Let $F^{ij} = \frac{\partial(\frac{\sigma_k(\lambda(\eta))}{\sigma_l})}{\partial h_{ij}}$ and $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^n$ denote the standard local coordinate system on \mathbb{S}^n near $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Then differentiating equation (1.1) and using Weingarten formula and Codazzi equation, we have at X_0 ,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} F^{ii} \nabla_i h_{i1} &= F^{ii} \nabla_1 h_{ii} = e_1(\psi) \\ &= \psi_{x_j} \nabla_1 x_j + \psi_z \nabla_1 u + \partial_{y_k} \psi \nabla_1 \nu_k \\ &= \frac{\psi_{x_1}}{\omega} + \psi_z \nabla_1 u + \frac{B \partial_{y_1} \psi}{\omega^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.6), we know that for $0 \leq l < k < n$,

$$F^{11} \geq C_0 \psi^{1 - \frac{1}{k-l}},$$

where C_0 is a constant depending only on n, k and l .

According to (3.1), (3.2) and $\psi_z \geq 0, \psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$, we obtain at X_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \omega^2 F^{11} h_{11}^2 (\nabla_1 u)^2 + \omega \nabla_1 u (e_1 \psi) + \omega^2 F^{ii} h_{ii}^2 + B(k-l) \psi \omega \\ &\geq B^2 F^{11} (\nabla_1 u)^2 + \psi_{x_1} \nabla_1 u + \frac{B \partial_{y_1} \psi \nabla_1 u}{\omega} \\ &\geq (B^2 C_0 |\nabla u|^2 - C |\nabla u| (1 + \frac{B}{\omega})) \psi^{1 - \frac{1}{k-l}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$0 \geq B^2 C_0 |\nabla u| - C \left(1 + \frac{B}{\omega}\right).$$

By choosing B sufficiently large, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. \square

4. GLOBAL SECOND ORDER DERIVATIVE ESTIMATES

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}} \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$. Let $k \geq 2, 0 \leq l < k < n$, $u \in C^4(\Omega) \cap C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be an admissible solution of Dirichlet problem (1.6). Then there exists a positive constant C depending on $n, k, l, \|u\|_{C^1}, \|\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}}\|_{C^{1,1}}$ such that*

$$\sup_{\overline{\Omega}} |D^2 u| \leq C \left(1 + \sup_{\partial\Omega} |D^2 u|\right).$$

Proof. Let $v := \frac{1}{\omega} = \langle \nu, \epsilon_{n+1} \rangle$. There exists a positive constant a depending only on $\|Du\|_{C^0}$ such that $v \geq 2a$. Assume that κ_{max} is the largest principle curvature, then we consider the auxiliary function

$$Q = \log \kappa_{max} - \log(v - a).$$

Suppose that Q attains its maximum at a point $X_0 = (x_0, u(x_0)) \in M_u, x_0 \in \Omega$, then we can choose a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ near X_0 such that

$$h_{ij} = h_{ii} \delta_{ij}, \quad h_{11} \geq h_{22} \geq \dots \geq h_{nn}$$

at X_0 . Then $\eta_{ij} = Hg_{ij} - h_{ij}$ is also diagonal at X_0 and

$$\eta_{11} \leq \eta_{22} \leq \dots \leq \eta_{nn}.$$

We define a new function \tilde{Q} by

$$\tilde{Q} = \log h_{11} - \log(v - a).$$

It obvious that \tilde{Q} also attains its maximum value at X_0 . Denote

$$F = \frac{\sigma_k(\lambda(\eta))}{\sigma_l(\lambda(\eta))}, \quad F^{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_{ij}}, \quad F^{ij,rs} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial h_{ij} \partial h_{rs}}.$$

From now on, all calculations are performed at X_0 . Then

$$(4.1) \quad 0 = \nabla_i \tilde{Q} = \frac{\nabla_i h_{11}}{h_{11}} - \frac{\nabla_i v}{v - a},$$

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \geq F^{ii} \nabla_{ii} \tilde{Q} = \frac{F^{ii} \nabla_{ii} h_{11}}{h_{11}} - \frac{F^{ii} (\nabla_i h_{11})^2}{h_{11}^2} + \frac{F^{ii} (\nabla_i v)^2}{(v - a)^2} - \frac{F^{ii} \nabla_{ii} v}{v - a}.$$

By Weingarten formula,

$$(4.3) \quad \nabla_i v = -h_{im} \langle e_m, \epsilon_{n+1} \rangle = -h_{im} \nabla_m u, \quad F^{ii} (\nabla_i v)^2 \leq C F^{ii} h_{ii}^2.$$

And by Gauss formula, Codazzi equation and $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^{ii}\nabla_{ii}v &= -F^{ii}\nabla_m h_{ii}\nabla_m u - F^{ii}h_{ii}^2 v \\
 &= -\langle \nabla\psi, \nabla u \rangle - vF^{ii}h_{ii}^2 \\
 (4.4) \quad &\leq -vF^{ii}h_{ii}^2 + C\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending on $\|\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}}\|_{C^1}$ and $\|u\|_{C^1}$.

Differentiating equation (1.1) twice and using (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^{ii}\nabla_{ii}h_{11} &= F^{ii}\nabla_{11}h_{ii} + F^{ii}(h_{i1}^2 - h_{ii}h_{11})h_{ii} + F^{ii}(h_{ii}h_{11} - h_{i1}^2)h_{11} \\
 &= F^{ii}\nabla_{11}h_{ii} - F^{ii}h_{ii}^2 h_{11} + F^{ii}h_{ii}h_{11}^2 \\
 (4.5) \quad &= \nabla_{11}\psi - F^{ij,rs}\nabla_1 h_{ij}\nabla_1 h_{rs} - F^{ii}h_{ii}^2 h_{11} + (k-l)\psi h_{11}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{\psi} = \psi^\alpha$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{k-l}$. Assume that $h_{11} \geq 1$. Then by direct calculations,

$$(4.6) \quad \nabla_{11}\psi \geq -C\psi^{1-\alpha}h_{11}^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_p \nabla_p h_{11}(d_\nu \tilde{\psi})(e_p)\psi^{1-\alpha} + (1-\alpha)\frac{(\nabla_1\psi)^2}{\psi}.$$

Combining with (4.1) and (4.3),

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{\nabla_p h_{11}}{h_{11}}(d_\nu \tilde{\psi})(e_p)\psi^{1-\alpha} \leq Ch_{11}\psi^{1-\alpha}.$$

By (2.5),

$$(4.8) \quad F^{ij,rs}\nabla_1 h_{ij}\nabla_1 h_{rs} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k-l}\right)\frac{(\nabla_1\psi)^2}{\psi}.$$

According to (4.6)-(4.8),

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{F^{ii}\nabla_{ii}h_{11}}{h_{11}} \geq -Ch_{11}\psi^{1-\alpha} - F^{ii}h_{ii}^2 + (k-l)\psi h_{11}.$$

Combining (2.6), (4.1), (4.2), (4.4) and (4.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\geq \frac{a}{v-a}F^{ii}h_{ii}^2 - \frac{C}{v-a}\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} - Ch_{11}\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} + (k-l)\psi h_{11} \\
 &\geq \frac{a}{v-a}F^{11}h_{11}^2 - Ch_{11}\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} - C\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{a}{v-a}c(n,k,l)h_{11}^2 - Ch_{11} - C\right)\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}},
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies $h_{11} \leq C$. Then the proof is completed. \square

5. BOUNDARY SECOND ORDER DERIVATIVE ESTIMATES

Since equation (1.1) can be written as

$$(5.1) \quad G(D^2u, Du) = \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l}(\lambda\{b_{ij}\}) = f(\lambda(A)) = \psi(x, u, Du),$$

where $G = G(r, p)$ is viewed as a function of (r, p) for $r \in \mathbb{S}^{n \times n}, p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\{b_{ij}\} = T(A) = (\text{trace}A)I - A$ with $A = \{a_{ij}\}$. Define

$$G^{ij} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial r_{ij}}(D^2u, Du), \quad G^i = \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i}(D^2u, Du), \quad \psi_{u_i} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u_i}(x, u, Du),$$

and

$$L = G^{ij}\partial_{ij} - \psi_{u_i}\partial_i.$$

We need the following lemma in [13].

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

$$G^s = -\frac{u_s}{\omega^2} \sum_i f_i \kappa_i - \frac{2}{\omega(\omega+1)} \sum_{t,j} F^{ij} a_{it} (\omega u_t \gamma^{sj} + u_j \gamma^{ts}),$$

where $a_{ij} = \frac{1}{\omega} \gamma^{ik} u_{kl} \gamma^{lj}$, $\kappa = \lambda(\{a_{ij}\})$, $f_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \kappa_i}$ and $F^{ij} = \frac{\partial f(\lambda(A))}{\partial a_{ij}}$.

Define

$$(5.2) \quad W := \nabla'_\alpha u - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} u_\beta^2, \quad \text{on } \bar{\omega}_\delta$$

for some small δ , where

$$\nabla'_\alpha u := u_\alpha + \rho_\alpha u_n, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1.$$

Then we prove an important lemma, which will be used to derive tangential-normal estimates.

Lemma 5.2. *If δ is sufficiently small and $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$, then*

$$(5.3) \quad LW \leq C \left(\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} + \psi |DW| + \sum_i G^{ii} + G^{ij} W_i W_j \right),$$

where C is a positive constant depending on $n, k, l, \|u\|_{C^1}, \|\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}}\|_{C^1}$ and $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. By (5.2) and differentiating equation (5.1),

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} G^{ij} W_{ij} + G^s W_s &= \nabla'_\alpha \psi - \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} u_\beta \psi_\beta - \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} G^{ij} u_{\beta i} u_{\beta j} \\ &\quad + 2G^{ij} u_{ni} \rho_{\alpha j} + u_n G^{ij} \rho_{\alpha ij} + u_n G^s \rho_{\alpha s}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.4) and $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$, it is easy to derive

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} LW + G^s W_s &\leq C\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} + 2G^{ij}u_{ni}\rho_{\alpha j} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} G^{ij}u_{\beta i}u_{\beta j} + u_n G^{ij}\rho_{\alpha ij} + u_n G^s \rho_{\alpha s}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$G^{ij} = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s,t} \gamma^{is} F^{st} \gamma^{tj} \text{ and } u_{ij} = \omega \sum_{s,t} \gamma_{is} a_{st} \gamma_{tj},$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{\beta \leq n-1} G^{ij}u_{\beta i}u_{\beta j} = \omega \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} \sum_{s,t} F^{ij} \gamma_{\beta s} \gamma_{\beta t} a_{si} a_{tj}.$$

By [13], we choose an orthogonal matrix $B = \{b_{ij}\}$ such that $\{a_{ij}\}$ and $\{F^{ij}\}$ are diagonalized at the same time

$$F^{ij} = \sum_s b_{is} f_s b_{js} \text{ and } a_{ij} = \sum_s b_{is} \kappa_s b_{js}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\beta \leq n-1} G^{ij}u_{\beta i}u_{\beta j} = \omega \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} \sum_i \left(\sum_s \gamma_{\beta s} b_{si} \right)^2 f_i \kappa_i^2.$$

Let the matrix $\eta = \{\eta_{ij}\} = \{\sum_s \gamma_{is} b_{sj}\}$. It is clear that $\eta \cdot \eta^T = g$ and $|\det(\eta)| = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$. Therefore

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} G^{ij}u_{\beta i}u_{\beta j} = \omega \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} \sum_i \eta_{\beta i}^2 f_i \kappa_i^2.$$

We also have

$$(5.7) \quad G^{ij}u_{ni}\rho_{\alpha j} = \sum_{i,t} f_i \kappa_i b_{si} \gamma^{js} b_{ti} \gamma_{nt} \rho_{\alpha j} \leq C \sum_i f_i |\kappa_i|.$$

For any indices j, t ,

$$F^{ij}a_{it} = \sum_i b_{ti} f_i \kappa_i b_{ij} \leq \sum_i f_i |\kappa_i|.$$

From Lemma 5.1,

$$(5.8) \quad |G^s \rho_{\alpha s}| \leq C \sum_i f_i |\kappa_i|.$$

By (5.5)-(5.8),

$$(5.9) \quad LW + G^s W_s \leq C(\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} + \sum_i G^{ii} + \sum_i f_i |\kappa_i|) - \omega \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} \sum_i \eta_{\beta i}^2 f_i \kappa_i^2.$$

For the term $G^s W_s$, by Lemma 5.1 and the definition of the matrix $\{b_{ij}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
-G^s W_s &= \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_s \left(\frac{(k-l)\psi u_s}{\omega} + 2 \sum_{t,i} f_i \kappa_i (b_{ti} u_t) \gamma^{sj} b_{ji} \right) W_s \\
(5.10) \quad &\leq C\psi |DW| + \frac{2}{\omega} \sum_{t,i} f_i \kappa_i (b_{ti} u_t) \gamma^{sj} b_{ji} W_s.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we divide into two cases as follows:

Case(a) : $\sum_{\beta \leq n-1} \eta_{\beta i}^2 \geq \epsilon$ for all i .

By (5.6),

$$(5.11) \quad \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} G^{ij} u_{\beta i} u_{\beta j} \geq \epsilon \sum_i f_i \kappa_i^2.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$(5.12) \quad \frac{2}{\omega} \kappa_i (b_{ti} u_t) \gamma^{sj} b_{ji} W_s \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \kappa_i^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon} (\gamma^{sj} b_{ji} W_s)^2.$$

Combining with (5.10) and (5.12),

$$\begin{aligned}
-G^s W_s &\leq C\psi |DW| + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_i f_i \kappa_i^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon} f_i (\gamma^{sj} b_{ji} W_s) (\gamma^{tm} b_{mi} W_t) \\
(5.13) \quad &\leq C\psi |DW| + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_i f_i \kappa_i^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon} G^{ij} W_i W_j.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\bar{\epsilon} > 0$,

$$(5.14) \quad \sum_i f_i |\kappa_i| \leq \bar{\epsilon} \sum_i f_i \kappa_i^2 + \frac{C}{\bar{\epsilon}} \sum_i G^{ii}.$$

By (5.9)-(5.14) and choosing $\bar{\epsilon} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, it is easy to derive (5.3).

Case(b) : $\sum_{\beta \leq n-1} \eta_{\beta r}^2 < \epsilon$ for some index $1 \leq r \leq n$, where ϵ is some positive constant to be determined later.

As in [21],

$$1 \leq \det(\eta) \leq \eta_{mr} \det(\eta') + C_1 \epsilon \leq \sqrt{1 + \mu_1^2} |\det(\eta')| + C_1 \epsilon,$$

where $\eta' := \{\eta_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha \neq n, \beta \neq r}$, $\mu_1 := \|Du\|_{C^0}$ and C_1 is a positive constant depending on n and μ_1 . Set ϵ small enough such that $C_1 \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$|\det(\eta')| \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 + \mu_1^2}}.$$

On the other hand, for any fixed $\alpha \neq r$, we derive

$$|\det(\eta')| \leq C \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} |\eta_{\alpha\beta}| \leq \sqrt{(n-1) \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} \eta_{\alpha\beta}^2}.$$

Hence for any $i \neq r$,

$$\sum_{\beta \leq n-1} \eta_{\beta i}^2 \geq c_1,$$

where c_1 is a positive constant depending on n and μ_1 . In view of (5.6),

$$(5.15) \quad \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} G^{ij} u_{\beta i} u_{\beta j} \geq c_1 \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i^2.$$

Next we divide into two cases of $\kappa_r \leq 0$ and $\kappa_r > 0$.

Case(b1) : $\kappa_r \leq 0$.

As Lemma 2.20 of [12], then

$$\sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i^2 \geq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_i f_i \kappa_i^2.$$

Similar to Case (a), we can prove (5.3).

Case(b2) : $\kappa_r > 0$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be the eigenvalues of $\eta[M_u]$, then $\lambda_i = \sum_j \kappa_j - \kappa_i$. It follows that $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$. Then we consider two subcases.

Case(b2-1) : $\kappa_n \geq -\epsilon_0 \kappa_r$, where ϵ_0 is a positive constant to be chosen later.

In order to derive (5.3), the key is to prove the important inequality

$$(5.16) \quad f_r \kappa_r \leq C\psi.$$

Proof of (5.16): If $\kappa_n \geq -\epsilon_0 \kappa_r$, then for any $i \neq r$, by choosing $\epsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{2(n-2)}$ we derive

$$(5.17) \quad \lambda_i = \sum_j \kappa_j - \kappa_i \geq [1 - (n-2)\epsilon_0] \kappa_r \geq \frac{1}{2} \kappa_r > 0.$$

When $r \neq 1$, it is obvious that

$$\lambda_n \geq \dots \geq \lambda_1 \geq [1 - (n-2)\epsilon_0] \kappa_r \geq \frac{1}{2} \kappa_r > 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
f_r \kappa_r &= \sum_{p \neq r} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\eta|p) \sigma_l(\eta) - \sigma_k(\eta) \sigma_{l-1}(\eta|p)}{\sigma_l(\eta)^2} \kappa_r \\
&\leq (n-k+1) \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \kappa_r \\
&\leq \frac{(n-k+1) C_n^{k-1}}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \lambda_n \cdots \lambda_{n-k+2} \kappa_r \\
&\leq \frac{2(n-k+1) C_n^{k-1}}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \lambda_n \cdots \lambda_{n-k+2} \lambda_{n-k+1} \\
(5.18) \quad &\leq C \frac{\sigma_k(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} = C\psi.
\end{aligned}$$

When $r = 1$, i.e., $\kappa_n \geq -\epsilon_0 \kappa_1$. By (5.17),

$$\lambda_i \geq [1 - (n-2)\epsilon_0] \kappa_1 > 0, \quad \forall i \geq 2.$$

Similar to (5.18), it is easy to derive $f_r \kappa_r \leq C\psi$ if $\lambda_1 \geq 0$. Hence we only consider the case $\lambda_1 < 0$, then

$$0 > \lambda_1 = \kappa_2 + \cdots + \kappa_n \geq (n-1)\kappa_n \geq -(n-1)\epsilon_0 \kappa_1.$$

By $\lambda_1 < 0$, we get $\sigma_{k-1}(\eta) \leq \sigma_{k-1}(\eta|1)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 \kappa_1 &\leq (n-k+1) \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\eta)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \kappa_1 \\
&\leq (n-k+1) \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\eta|1)}{\sigma_l(\eta)} \kappa_1 \\
(5.19) \quad &\leq \frac{(n-k+1) C_{n-1}^{k-1}}{[1 - (n-2)\epsilon_0] \sigma_l(\eta)} \lambda_n \cdots \lambda_{n-k+2} \lambda_{n-k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_k(\eta) &= \sigma_k(\eta|1) + \lambda_1 \sigma_{k-1}(\eta|1) \\
&\geq \lambda_n \cdots \lambda_{n-k+1} + \lambda_1 \cdot C_{n-1}^{k-1} \lambda_n \cdots \lambda_{n-k+2} \\
(5.20) \quad &= (\lambda_{n-k+1} + C_{n-1}^{k-1} \lambda_1) \lambda_n \cdots \lambda_{n-k+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\lambda_{n-k+1} \geq [1 - (n-2)\epsilon_0] \kappa_1 \geq -\frac{1 - (n-2)\epsilon_0}{(n-1)\epsilon_0} \lambda_1,$$

if $n-k+1 \geq 2$. Therefore by choosing $\epsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{n-2+2(n-1)C_{n-1}^{k-1}}$ sufficiently small, we get

$$(5.21) \quad \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{n-k+1} + C_{n-1}^{k-1} \lambda_1 \geq -\lambda_1 \left(\frac{1 - (n-2)\epsilon_0}{2(n-1)\epsilon_0} - C_{n-1}^{k-1} \right) \geq 0.$$

Combining with (5.19)-(5.21), (5.16) still holds.

Similar to (5.13),

$$\begin{aligned}
 -G^s W_s &\leq C\psi|DW| + \frac{2}{\omega} \sum_{t,i} f_i \kappa_i (b_{ti} u_t) \gamma^{sj} b_{ji} W_s \\
 &\leq C\psi|DW| + \frac{2}{\omega} \sum_t f_r \kappa_r (b_{tr} u_t) \gamma^{sj} b_{jr} W_s + \frac{C}{\omega} \sum_{i \neq r} f_i |\kappa_i| |\gamma^{sj} b_{ji} W_s| \\
 &\leq C\psi|DW| + \epsilon \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon} \sum_i f_i (\gamma^{sp} b_{pi} W_s) (\gamma^{tq} b_{qi} W_t) \\
 (5.22) \quad &\leq C\psi|DW| + \epsilon \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon} G^{ij} W_i W_j,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality comes from (5.16).

By (5.16),

$$(5.23) \quad \sum_i f_i |\kappa_i| = f_r \kappa_r + \sum_{i \neq r} f_i |\kappa_i| \leq C\psi + \tilde{\epsilon} \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i^2 + \frac{C}{\tilde{\epsilon}} \sum_i G^{ii},$$

for any $\tilde{\epsilon} > 0$. Then using (5.9), (5.15), (5.22) and (5.23), (5.3) is proved by choosing $\epsilon + \tilde{\epsilon} \leq c_1$.

Case(b2 - 2) : $\kappa_n < -\epsilon_0 \kappa_r$.

Since $\kappa_r > 0$, it is obvious that $r \neq n$. In this subcase, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma^{sj} b_{jr} W_s &= \gamma^{sj} b_{jr} (u_{\alpha s} + \rho_{\alpha s} u_n + \rho_{\alpha} u_{ns} - \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} u_{\beta} u_{\beta s}) \\
 &= \omega \kappa_r (\eta_{\alpha r} + \rho_{\alpha} \eta_{nr} - \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} u_{\beta} \eta_{\beta r}) + \gamma^{sj} b_{jr} \rho_{\alpha s} u_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(5.24) \quad |\gamma^{sj} b_{jr} W_s| \leq C\omega \kappa_r (\epsilon + |\rho_{\alpha}|) + C.$$

It is obvious that $f_r \kappa_r = (k-l)\psi - \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i$, thus by $\kappa_n^2 > \epsilon_0^2 \kappa_r^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2}{\omega} f_r \kappa_r \left| \left(\sum_t b_{tr} u_t \right) \gamma^{sj} b_{jr} W_s \right| &= \frac{2}{\omega} \left[(k-l)\psi - \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i \right] \left| \left(\sum_t b_{tr} u_t \right) \gamma^{sj} b_{jr} W_s \right| \\
&\leq C\psi |DW| + C(\epsilon + |\rho_\alpha|) \sum_{i \neq r} f_i |\kappa_i| \kappa_r + C \sum_{i \neq r} f_i |\kappa_i| \\
&\leq C\psi |DW| + C\epsilon_0^{-1}(\epsilon + |\rho_\alpha|) \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i^2 \\
&\quad + C\epsilon_0(\epsilon + |\rho_\alpha|) \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_r^2 + \epsilon_1 \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon_1} \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \\
(5.25) \quad &\leq C\psi |DW| + (C\epsilon_0^{-1}(\epsilon + |\rho_\alpha|) + \epsilon_1) \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon_1} \sum_i G^{ii},
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon_1 > 0$. Here the last inequality comes from $\sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_r^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{\epsilon_0^2} f_n \kappa_n^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{\epsilon_0^2} \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i^2$ with $r \neq n$.

Inserting (5.25) into (5.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
-G^s W_s &\leq C\psi |DW| + \frac{C}{\omega} \sum_{i \neq r} f_i |\kappa_i| |\gamma^{sj} b_{ji} W_s| \\
&\quad + (C\epsilon_0^{-1}(\epsilon + |\rho_\alpha|) + \epsilon_1) \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon_1} \sum_i G^{ii} \\
&\leq C\psi |DW| + (C\epsilon_0^{-1}(\epsilon + |\rho_\alpha|) + 2\epsilon_1) \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i^2 \\
(5.26) \quad &\quad + \frac{C}{\epsilon_1} G^{ij} W_i W_j + \frac{C}{\epsilon_1} \sum_i G^{ii}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then using (5.6), (5.9), (5.15), (5.23) and (5.26), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
LW &\leq -G^s W_s + C(\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} + \sum_i G^{ii} + \sum_i f_i |\kappa_i|) - \omega \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} \sum_i \eta_{\beta i}^2 f_i \kappa_i^2 \\
&\leq C\psi |DW| + \frac{C}{\epsilon_1} G^{ij} W_i W_j + (C\epsilon_0^{-1}(\epsilon + |\rho_\alpha|) + C\epsilon_1 - c_1) \sum_{i \neq r} f_i \kappa_i^2 \\
&\quad + C\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} + (C + \frac{C}{\epsilon_1}) \sum_i G^{ii} \\
&\leq C(\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} + \psi |DW| + \sum_i G^{ii} + G^{ij} W_i W_j),
\end{aligned}$$

by choosing $\epsilon, \delta, \epsilon_1$ sufficiently small such that $C\epsilon_0^{-1}(\epsilon + |\rho_\alpha|) + C\epsilon_1 \leq c_1$. \square

Then we establish boundary second order derivative estimates.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose Ω is a uniformly k -convex bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with $\partial\Omega \in C^{2,1}$, $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$. Let $k \geq 2$, $0 \leq l < k < n$, $u \in C^3(\overline{\Omega})$ be an admissible solution of Dirichlet problem (1.6), then there exists a positive constant C depending on $n, k, l, \|u\|_{C^1}, \|\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}}\|_{C^1}$ and $\partial\Omega$ such that*

$$(5.27) \quad \max_{\partial\Omega} |D^2u| \leq C.$$

Proof. For an arbitrary point $x \in \partial\Omega$, without loss of generality, we may assume that x is the origin and that the positive x_n -axis in the interior normal direction to $\partial\Omega$ at the origin. For convenience, in the following we use the notation C to represent some positive constant depending on $n, k, l, \|u\|_{C^1}, \|\psi^{\frac{1}{k-l}}\|_{C^1}$ and $\partial\Omega$. The proof will be divided into three steps.

Step 1 : Estimates of $u_{\alpha\beta}(0)$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Near the origin, the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is represented by

$$(5.28) \quad x_n = \rho(x') = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha < n} \kappa_\alpha^b x_\alpha^2 + O(|x'|^3),$$

where $\kappa_1^b, \dots, \kappa_{n-1}^b$ are the principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega$ at the origin and $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. Differentiating the boundary condition $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ twice, then

$$|u_{\alpha\beta}(0)| \leq C, \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1.$$

Step 2 : Estimates of $u_{\alpha n}(0)$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Let $\omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : \rho(x') < x_n < \rho(x') + \delta^2, |x'| < \delta\}$. Since Ω is uniformly k -convex, there exist two positive constants θ and K satisfying

$$(5.29) \quad (\kappa_1^b - 3\theta, \dots, \kappa_{n-1}^b - 3\theta, 2K) \in \Gamma_{k+1}.$$

Define

$$(5.30) \quad v = \rho(x') - x_n - \theta|x'|^2 + Kx_n^2.$$

Note that the boundary $\partial\omega_\delta$ consists of three parts: $\partial\omega_\delta = \partial_1\omega_\delta \cup \partial_2\omega_\delta \cup \partial_3\omega_\delta$, where $\partial_1\omega_\delta, \partial_2\omega_\delta$ are defined by $\{x_n = \rho\} \cap \overline{\omega}_\delta, \{x_n = \rho + \delta^2\} \cap \overline{\omega}_\delta$ respectively, and $\partial_3\omega_\delta$ is defined by $\{|x'| = \delta\} \cap \overline{\omega}_\delta$. When δ depending on θ and K is sufficiently small, we have

$$(5.31) \quad \begin{aligned} v &\leq -\frac{\theta}{2}|x'|^2, & \text{on } \partial_1\omega_\delta, \\ v &\leq -\frac{\delta^2}{2}, & \text{on } \partial_2\omega_\delta, \\ v &\leq -\frac{\theta\delta^2}{2}, & \text{on } \partial_3\omega_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (5.28) and (5.29), v is $(k+1)$ -convex on $\bar{\omega}_\delta$. Thus there exists a uniform constant $\eta_0 > 0$ depending only on $\theta, \partial\Omega$ and K satisfying

$$\lambda(D^2v - 2\eta_0 I) \in \Gamma_{k+1} \text{ for } k < n \text{ and } \lambda(D^2v - 2\eta_0 I) \in \Gamma_n \text{ for } k = n, \text{ on } \bar{\omega}_\delta.$$

By Proposition 2.8,

$$(5.32) \quad \lambda\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\{\gamma^{is}(v_{st} - 2\eta_0\delta_{st})\gamma^{jt}\}\right) \in \Gamma_k, \text{ on } \bar{\omega}_\delta.$$

Then we consider the following barrier function on $\bar{\omega}_\delta$ for sufficiently small δ ,

$$(5.33) \quad \Psi := v - td + \frac{N}{2}d^2,$$

where $v(x)$ is defined as (5.30), $d(x) := \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ is the distance from x to the boundary $\partial\Omega$, t, N are two positive constants to be determined later.

Let

$$(5.34) \quad \widetilde{W} = 1 - e^{-bW},$$

where W is defined as (5.2), b is a sufficiently large positive constant to be determined later.

Using (5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L\widetilde{W} &= be^{-bW}LW - b^2e^{-bW}G^{ij}W_iW_j \\ &\leq Cbe^{-bW}(\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} + \psi|DW| + \sum_i G^{ii} + G^{ij}W_iW_j) - b^2e^{-bW}G^{ij}W_iW_j \\ &\leq C(\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} + \psi|D\widetilde{W}| + \sum_i G^{ii}), \end{aligned}$$

by choosing b sufficiently large.

Next, we consider the function

$$(5.35) \quad \Phi := R\Psi - \widetilde{W},$$

where R is a positive constant sufficiently large to be determined later. First choose $\delta \leq \frac{2t}{N}$ such that

$$(5.36) \quad -td + \frac{N}{2}d^2 \leq 0, \text{ on } \partial\omega_\delta.$$

Then combining with (5.31) and (5.36), we have

$$\Phi \leq 0, \text{ on } \partial\omega_\delta.$$

In order to prove

$$(5.37) \quad \Phi \leq 0, \text{ on } \bar{\omega}_\delta,$$

we need to show that Φ attains its maximum on $\partial\omega_\delta$. Suppose Φ attains its maximum at an interior point $x_0 \in \omega_\delta$. Using (1) of Proposition 2.2, (2.4) and (5.32), we derive for $k \geq 2$,

$$(5.38) \quad \lambda \left(\frac{1}{\omega} \{ \gamma^{is} (v_{st} - 2\eta_0 \delta_{st}) \gamma^{jt} \} \right) \in \Gamma_k \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_k, \text{ on } \bar{\omega}_\delta.$$

Since $\left(\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-l}}$ is concave and homogeneous of degree one, by (2.8) and (5.38), we get at x_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} G^{ij} (D^2 v - \eta_0 I)_{ij} &\geq (k-l) \psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} G^{\frac{1}{k-l}} (D^2 v - \eta_0 I, Du) \\ &\geq C \psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} \left(\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-l}} (D^2 v - \eta_0 I) \\ &\geq C' \psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}}, \end{aligned}$$

where C' is a constant depending on $k, l, \|u\|_{C^1}$ and η_0 . Due to $|Dd| = 1$ on $\partial\Omega$, we can choose δ sufficiently small such that

$$(5.39) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq |Dd| \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in \omega_\delta,$$

then at x_0 ,

$$G^{ij} d_i d_j \geq C \sum_i G^{ii} \geq C \psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G^{ij} \Psi_{ij} &= G^{ij} v_{ij} - t G^{ij} d_{ij} + N G^{ij} d_i d_j + N d G^{ij} d_{ij} \\ &\geq C' \psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} + \eta_0 \sum_i G^{ii} + C N \psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} + (N d - t) G^{ij} d_{ij} \\ &\geq C N \psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-l}} + \frac{\eta_0}{2} \sum_i G^{ii}, \end{aligned}$$

by choosing t, δ sufficiently small such that $C N \delta + C t \leq \frac{\eta_0}{2}$. Note that at x_0 ,

$$(5.40) \quad \frac{1}{R} |D\tilde{W}| = |D\Psi| = |Dv - tDd + NdDd| \leq C(1+t) + C\delta N \leq C,$$

by choosing t, δ sufficiently small. Therefore at x_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \geq L\Phi &= L(R\Psi - \widetilde{W}) \\
&= RG^{ij}\Psi_{ij} - R\psi_{u_i}\Psi_i - L\widetilde{W} \\
&\geq CNR\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-t}} + \frac{R\eta_0}{2} \sum_i G^{ii} - CR\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-t}}|D\Psi| \\
&\quad - C\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-t}} - C\psi|D\widetilde{W}| - C \sum_i G^{ii} \\
&> CNR\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-t}} + \frac{R\eta_0}{4} \sum_i G^{ii} - CR\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-t}} - CR\psi \\
&\geq (CN + C\eta_0 - C\psi^{\frac{1}{k-t}} - C)R\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-t}} > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

by choosing N, R sufficiently large which is a contradiction.

Hence the function Φ can not attain its maximum at an interior point of ω_δ when R, N are large enough and δ, t are small enough. Thus (5.37) is proved. Since $\Phi(0) = 0$, by Hopf's lemma, we get $\Phi_n(0) \leq 0$. Then

$$u_{\alpha n}(0) \geq -C.$$

The above arguments also hold with respect to $-\nabla'_\alpha u - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} u_\beta^2$. Hence we get $|u_{\alpha n}(0)| \leq C$.

Step 3 : Estimates of $u_{nn}(0)$.

Since $H[M_u] > 0$, it is sufficient to establish the upper bound of $u_{nn}(0)$. At the origin,

$$u_{\alpha\beta} = -u_n \kappa_\alpha^b \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \text{ for } 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1,$$

and

$$g^{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{|Du|^2}{\omega^2} \delta_{in} \delta_{jn}.$$

Hence the matrix of $\{a_{ij}\}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{u_n \kappa_1^b}{\omega} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{u_{1n}}{\omega} \\
0 & -\frac{u_n \kappa_2^b}{\omega} & \cdots & 0 & \frac{u_{2n}}{\omega} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{u_n \kappa_{n-1}^b}{\omega} & \frac{u_{n-1n}}{\omega} \\
\frac{u_{n1}}{\omega} & \frac{u_{n2}}{\omega} & \cdots & \frac{u_{nn-1}}{\omega} & \frac{u_{nn}}{\omega^3}
\end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 1.2 of [2] and the estimates of $u_{\alpha\beta}(0), u_{\alpha n}(0)$, there exists a constant $R_1 > 0$ sufficiently large such that if $u_{nn} > R_1$, then

$$(5.41) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha = -\frac{u_n \kappa_\alpha^b}{\omega} + o(1), & \alpha = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_n = \frac{u_{nn}}{\omega^3} + O(1), \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}(a_{ij}) = (\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_n)$ denotes the eigenvalues of $A = \{a_{ij}\}$.

Since $k < n$, we have at the origin,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l} \left(\sum_i \tilde{\lambda}_i - \tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \sum_i \tilde{\lambda}_i - \tilde{\lambda}_{n-1}, \sum_i \tilde{\lambda}_i - \tilde{\lambda}_n \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l} \left(\tilde{\lambda}_n + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n-1} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_n + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-2} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha, \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_l} \left(\tilde{\lambda}_n - \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n-1} \frac{u_n \kappa_\alpha^b}{\omega} + o(1), \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_n - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-2} \frac{u_n \kappa_\alpha^b}{\omega} + o(1), -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{u_n \kappa_\alpha^b}{\omega} + o(1) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_n^k + o(\tilde{\lambda}_n^{k-1})}{C_n^l \tilde{\lambda}_n^l + O(\tilde{\lambda}_n^{l-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

by choosing R_1 large enough. It implies the uniform upper bound of $u_{nn}(0)$. Hence (5.27) is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Based on the a priori estimates for non-degenerate case, $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates can be established by Evans-Krylov theory and higher order estimates followed by Schauder theory. Then the existence result can be derived by the continuity method and the uniqueness assertion is immediate from the maximum principle, more details see [11]. Then Theorem 1.2 can be proved by approximation as in [27].

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We only need to prove interior C^2 estimates and mixed boundary estimates, the other estimates are same as Hessian quotient case in Theorem 5.3.

Interior estimates : Consider the auxiliary function $\overline{Q} = \log H - \log(v - a)$. Applying the similar arguments in Theorem 4.1 and using (2.3) and Proposition 2.7, it is easy to derive interior estimates.

Mixed boundary estimates : The proof is similar to Step 2 in Theorem 5.3, we only give the main ideas here. Consider the function Φ defined by (5.35) and assume Φ attains its maximum at an interior point $x_0 \in \omega_\delta$. Let H denote the mean curvature of M_u at $X_0 = (x_0, u(x_0))$. As in [27], we consider the following two cases:

Case (i) $H \leq 1$. Let $\tilde{a}_{ij} = \omega^{-1}g^{il}u_{lj}$. Then

$$|\tilde{a}_{ij}(x_0)| \leq \tilde{C}H \leq \tilde{C},$$

for each $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Here \tilde{C} is some uniform positive constant. Then at x_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Phi_i = (R\Psi - \tilde{W})_i \\ &= R(v_i - td_i + Ndd_i) - be^{-bW}(u_{\alpha i} + \rho_\alpha u_{ni} + \rho_{\alpha i}u_n - \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} u_\beta u_{\beta i}), \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{a}_{n\alpha} + \rho_\alpha \tilde{a}_{nn} + \omega^{-1}g^{ni}\rho_{i\alpha}u_n - \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} u_\beta \tilde{a}_{n\beta} \\ &= \omega^{-1}g^{ni}(u_{i\alpha} + \rho_\alpha u_{in} + \rho_{i\alpha}u_n - \sum_{\beta \leq n-1} u_\beta u_{i\beta}) \\ &= Rb^{-1}e^{bW}\omega^{-1}(g^{ni}v_i - tg^{ni}d_i + Ndg^{ni}d_i). \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_n(0) = -1$ and $v_\gamma(0) = 0$ for $\gamma = 1, \dots, n$, we get at x_0 ,

$$\tilde{a}_{n\alpha} \leq -\tilde{c}R + C,$$

by choosing δ and t sufficiently small. Here \tilde{c} and C are positive constants depending on $\|u\|_{C^1}$. Then if R is sufficiently large, $\tilde{a}_{n\alpha}(x_0)$ is unbounded, which implies a contradiction since $|\tilde{a}_{n\alpha}(x_0)| \leq \tilde{C}$.

Case (ii) $H > 1$. According to the proof of Lemma 5.2, if $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$, we derive

$$(5.42) \quad LW \leq C \left(\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} + \psi|DW| + \sum_i G^{ii} + G^{ij}W_iW_j \right).$$

Thus by (5.34), (5.42) and choosing b sufficiently large,

$$(5.43) \quad L\tilde{W} \leq C(\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} + \psi|D\tilde{W}| + \sum_i G^{ii}).$$

Since $\sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}$ is concave and homogeneous of degree one, then by (2.8) and (5.38), we get at x_0 ,

$$(5.44) \quad G^{ij}(D^2v - \eta_0 I)_{ij} \geq C\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k}}\sigma_k^{\frac{1}{k}}(D^2v - \eta_0 I) \geq C^*\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k}},$$

where C^* is a constant depending on $k, \|u\|_{C^1}$ and η_0 .

Combining with (2.2), (2.3) and (5.39), then at x_0 ,

$$(5.45) \quad G^{ij}d_i d_j \geq C \sum_i \sigma_{k-1}(\lambda|i) \geq C\sigma_{k-1} \geq CH^{\frac{1}{k-1}}\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} \geq C\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

Using (5.33), (5.44) and (5.45), it follows that at x_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G^{ij}\Psi_{ij} &= G^{ij}v_{ij} - tG^{ij}d_{ij} + NG^{ij}d_id_j + NdG^{ij}d_{ij} \\
 &\geq C^*\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k}} + \eta_0 \sum_i G^{ii} + CN\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} + (Nd-t)G^{ij}d_{ij} \\
 (5.46) \quad &\geq CN\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} + \frac{\eta_0}{2} \sum_i G^{ii},
 \end{aligned}$$

by choosing t, δ sufficiently small such that $CN\delta + Ct \leq \frac{\eta_0}{2}$.

Thus using (5.35), (5.40), (5.43), (5.45), (5.46) and the condition $\psi^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \in C^{1,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$, we have at x_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \geq L\Phi &= RG^{ij}\Psi_{ij} - R\psi_{u_i}\Psi_i - L\widetilde{W} \\
 &\geq CNR\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} + \frac{R\eta_0}{2} \sum_i G^{ii} - CR\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}}|D\Psi| \\
 &\quad - C\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} - C\psi|D\widetilde{W}| - C \sum_i G^{ii} \\
 &> CNR\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} + \frac{R\eta_0}{4} \sum_i G^{ii} - CR\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} - CR\psi \\
 &\geq (CN + C\eta_0 - C\psi^{\frac{1}{k-1}} - C)R\psi^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}} > 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

by choosing N, R sufficiently large which is a contradiction. Hence Φ only attains its maximum on $\partial\omega_\delta$ and mixed boundary estimates are followed by Hopf's lemma.

REFERENCES

- [1] I.Y. Bakelman, The Dirichlet problem for the elliptic n -dimensional Monge-Ampère equations and related problems in the theory of quasilinear equations, Proceedings of Seminar on Monge-Ampère equations and related topics, Firenze, 1980, 1-78.
- [2] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, J. Spruck, Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations III, Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian, Acta Math., 155(1985), 261-301.
- [3] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, J. Spruck, Nonlinear second order elliptic equations IV, Starshaped Compact Weingarten Hypersurfaces, Current Topics in Partial Differential Equations, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1986, 1-26.
- [4] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, J. Spruck, Nonlinear second order elliptic equations V, The Dirichlet problem for Weingarten hypersurface, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 41(1988), 41-70.
- [5] C.Q. Chen, W.S. Dong, F. Han, Interior Hessian estimates for a class of Hessian type equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ., 62(2023), 52.
- [6] X.J. Chen, Q. Tu, N. Xiang, A class of Hessian quotient equations in Euclidean space, J. Differ. Equ., 269(2020), 11172-11194.
- [7] X.J. Chen, Q. Tu, N. Xiang, Pogorelov estimates for semi-convex solutions of k -curvature equations, accepted by Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2023.
- [8] J.C. Chu, H.M. Jiao, Curvature estimates for a class of Hessian type equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ., 60(2021), 90.

- [9] P. Gauduchon, La 1-forme de torsion d'une variété hermitienne compacte, *Math. Ann.*, 267(1984), no. 4, 495-518.
- [10] C. Gerhardt, Curvature problems, Series in Geometry and Topology. International Press of Boston Inc., Sommerville 39, 2006.
- [11] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [12] B. Guan, Second order estimates and regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds, *Duke Math. J.*, 163(2014), 1491-1524.
- [13] B. Guan, J. Spruck, Locally convex hypersurfaces of constant curvature with boundary, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 57(2004), 1311-1331.
- [14] P.F. Guan, Y.Y. Li, The Weyl problem with nonnegative Gauss curvature, *J. Diffe. Geom.*, 39(1994), 331-342.
- [15] P.F. Guan, Y.Y. Li, $C^{1,1}$ estimates for solutions of a problem of Alexandrov, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 50(1997), 789-811.
- [16] P.F. Guan, J.F. Li, Y.Y. Li, Hypersurfaces of prescribed curvature measure, *Duke Math. J.*, 161(2012), 1927-1942.
- [17] P.F. Guan, C.Y. Ren, Z.Z. Wang, Global C^2 -estimates for convex solutions of curvature equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 68(2015), no. 8, 1287-1325.
- [18] P.F. Guan, X.W. Zhang, A class of curvature type equations, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 17(3), 2022, 865-907.
- [19] J. Hong, C. Zuily, Isometric embedding of the 2-sphere with nonnegative curvature in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Math. Z.*, 219(1995), 323-334.
- [20] G. Huisken, C. Sinestrari, Convexity estimates for mean curvature flow and singularities of mean convex surfaces, *Acta Math.*, 183(1999), 45-70.
- [21] N.M. Ivochkina, Solution of the Dirichlet problem for curvature equations of order m , *Math. USSR Sb.*, 67(1990), 317-339.
- [22] N.M. Ivochkina, The Dirichlet problem for the equations of curvature of order m , *Leningr. Math. J.*, 2(1991), 192-217.
- [23] N.M. Ivochkina, M. Lin, N.S. Trudinger, The Dirichlet problem for the prescribed curvature quotient equations with general boundary values, *Geometric analysis and the calculus of variations*, International Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1996, 125-141.
- [24] N.M. Ivochkina, F. Tomi, Locally convex hypersurfaces of prescribed curvature and boundary, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 7(1998), 293-314.
- [25] H.M. Jiao, Y. Jiao, The Pogorelov estimates for degenerate curvature equations, *arXiv:2207.00863*, 2022.
- [26] H.M. Jiao, Z.C. Sun, The Dirichlet problem for a class of prescribed curvature equations, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 32(11), 2022, 261.
- [27] H.M. Jiao, Z.Z. Wang, The Dirichlet problem for degenerate curvature equations, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 283(2022), 109485.
- [28] G. Lieberman, Second order parabolic differential equations, World Scientific, 1996.
- [29] M. Lin, N.S. Trudinger, On some inequalities for elementary symmetric functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 50(1994), 317-326.
- [30] M. Lin, N.S. Trudinger, The Dirichlet problem for the prescribed curvature quotient equations, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 3(1994), 307-323.
- [31] C.Y. Ren, Z.Z. Wang, On the curvature estimates for Hessian equations, *Amer. J. Math.*, 141(2019), no. 5, 1281-1315.

- [32] C.Y. Ren, Z.Z. Wang, The global curvature estimate for the $n - 2$ Hessian equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 62(9), 2023, 1-50.
- [33] J. Serrin, The problem of Dirichlet for quasilinear elliptic differential equations with many independent variables, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 264(1969), 413-496.
- [34] W.M. Sheng, N.S. Trudinger, X.J. Wang, Prescribed Weingarten curvature equations, *Recent Developments in Geometry and Analysis, Adv. Lect. Math., Int. Press, Somerville, MA*, 23(2012), 359-386.
- [35] W.M. Sheng, J. Urbas, X.J. Wang, Interior curvature bounds for a class of curvature equations, *Duke Math. J.*, 123(2004), 235-264.
- [36] J. Spruck, Geometric aspects of the theory of fully nonlinear elliptic equations, *Clay Mathematics Proceedings*, 2(2005), 283-309.
- [37] J. Spruck, L. Xiao, A note on star-shaped compact hypersurfaces with prescribed scalar curvature in space forms, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam*, 33(2017), no. 2, 547-554.
- [38] N.S. Trudinger, A priori bounds and necessary conditions for solvability of prescribed curvature equations, *Manuscr. Math.*, 67(1990), 99-112.
- [39] N.S. Trudinger, J. Urbas, The Dirichlet problem for the equation of prescribed Gauss curvature, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 28(1983), 217-231.
- [40] J. Urbas, An interior curvature bound for hypersurfaces of prescribed k -th mean curvature, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 519(2000), 41-57.
- [41] X.J. Wang, Some counterexamples to the regularity of Monge-Ampère equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 123(1995), 841-845.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430062, P.R. CHINA
Email address: 201911110410741@stu.hubu.edu.cn

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430062, P.R. CHINA
Email address: qiangtu@hubu.edu.cn

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430062, P.R. CHINA
Email address: nixiang@hubu.edu.cn