

On the incompleteness of G_2 -moduli spaces along degenerating families of G_2 -manifolds

THIBAUT LANGLAIS*

Abstract

We derive a formula for the energy of a path in the moduli space of a compact G_2 -manifold with vanishing first Betti number for the volume-normalised L^2 -metric. This allows us to give simple sufficient conditions for a path of torsion-free G_2 -structures to have finite energy and length. We deduce that the compact G_2 -manifolds produced by the generalised Kummer construction have incomplete moduli spaces. Under some assumptions, we also state a necessary condition for the limit of a path of torsion-free G_2 -structures to be at infinite distance in the moduli space.

1 Introduction and motivation

The aim of this short paper is to provide a simple proof that G_2 -moduli spaces are not generally complete. More precisely, we prove that certain singular limits of degenerating families of G_2 -manifolds occur at finite distance in the moduli spaces. To the author's knowledge, this was previously unknown. Before explaining our strategy, we recall some background on G_2 -geometry and give some motivation.

Let M be an oriented 7-manifold. A G_2 -structure on M corresponds to the data of a 3-form φ satisfying the following positivity condition:

$$(u \lrcorner \varphi_x) \wedge (u \lrcorner \varphi_x) \wedge \varphi_x > 0, \quad \forall x \in M, \forall u \in T_x M \setminus \{0\},$$

where \lrcorner denotes the interior product and positivity is relative to the choice of orientation. A G_2 -structure φ naturally induces a Riemannian metric g_φ and a volume form $\text{Vol}_\varphi = \text{Vol}_{g_\varphi}$ on M . We denote $*_\varphi$ the associated Hodge-* operator and $\Theta(\varphi) = *_\varphi \varphi$ the dual 4-form of φ . A G_2 -structure φ is said to be *torsion-free* if it is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g_φ . An equivalent condition is to require that φ be closed and co-closed [10]. If φ is torsion-free, then the holonomy group of the metric g_φ is conjugate to a subgroup of $G_2 \subset SO(7)$ in $GL(7)$, which implies that g_φ is Ricci-flat [3]. A manifold endowed with a torsion-free G_2 -structure is called a G_2 -manifold.

One motivation for studying G_2 -manifolds is that G_2 is, along with $Spin(7) \subset SO(8)$, one of the two exceptional cases in Berger's list of Riemannian holonomy groups [2] (the original list also contained $Spin(9) \subset SO(16)$, but it was later ruled out [1]). The existence of metrics with full holonomy G_2 was first proved by Bryant [4] using local methods, shortly before the first complete noncompact examples were exhibited by Bryant-Salamon [5]. The first compact examples are due to Joyce [12, 13], with a method modelled on the Kummer construction of K3 surfaces [25, 26, 20], hence called the *generalised Kummer construction*. Several other constructions were subsequently developed [14, 19, 17, 6, 7, 16, 23]. At

*Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6GG, United Kingdom.
E-mail address: langlais@maths.ox.ac.uk. ORCID iD: 0000-0002-6434-2988.

present, all of the known constructions use different geometric methods to produce a compact manifold endowed with a closed G_2 -structure with small torsion, before applying the general analytical machinery developed by Joyce [14, Ch. 11] to deform it to a nearby torsion-free G_2 -structure within the same cohomology class.

Let us now consider a compact oriented 7-manifold M admitting torsion-free G_2 -structures. The *moduli space* \mathcal{M} of torsion-free G_2 -structures on M is defined as the quotient of the space of torsion-free G_2 -structures by the action of the group of diffeomorphisms of M isotopic to the identity. It has the structure of a smooth manifold of dimension $b^3(M)$, and for any torsion-free G_2 -structure φ the tangent space $T_\varphi\mathcal{M}$ can be identified with the space of harmonic 3-forms with respect to the metric g_φ [12]. Moreover, there is a local diffeomorphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow H^3(M)$ which maps $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ to the cohomology class $[\varphi] \in H^3(M)$. This endows \mathcal{M} with the structure of an affine manifold, and in particular \mathcal{M} has a flat connection D obtained by pulling back the natural flat connection of $H^3(M)$.

When $b^1(M) = 0$, the moduli space \mathcal{M} can be equipped with a Hessian structure. Let $\text{Vol}(\varphi)$ denote the volume of M for the metric induced by a G_2 -structure φ . As the volume functional is invariant under diffeomorphisms, it descends to a smooth function on the moduli space. We define a smooth function $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = -3 \log \text{Vol}(\varphi).$$

This function owes its interest to the properties of the first and second derivatives [11, 18]. The differential $d\mathcal{F} = D\mathcal{F}$ at $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ is given by

$$d_\varphi\mathcal{F}(\eta) = -\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\varphi)} \int \eta \wedge \Theta(\varphi) = -\frac{\langle [\eta] \cup [\Theta(\varphi)], [M] \rangle}{\text{Vol}(\varphi)}, \quad \forall \eta \in T_\varphi\mathcal{M}. \quad (1)$$

When $b^1(M) = 0$ the Hessian $Dd\mathcal{F} = D^2\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the flat connection D reads

$$D_\varphi^2\mathcal{F}(\eta, \eta') = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\varphi)} \int \eta \wedge *_\varphi\eta', \quad \forall \eta, \eta' \in T_\varphi\mathcal{M}. \quad (2)$$

Hence the Hessian of \mathcal{F} is positive-definite, and therefore defines a Riemannian metric \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{M} , which is just the volume-normalised L^2 -metric. Thus $(\mathcal{M}, D, \mathcal{G})$ has the structure of a Hessian manifold, admitting \mathcal{F} has a global potential. Note that it is crucial that $b^1(M) = 0$, for in general the Hessian of \mathcal{F} has signature $(b^3(M) - b^1(M), b^1(M))$. In the present article we are interested in manifolds with full holonomy, which have $b^1(M) = 0$ since their fundamental group is finite [14]. Let us also point out that $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{G}_0)$, where \mathcal{M}_0 is the moduli space of unit volume torsion-free G_2 -structures and \mathcal{G}_0 the L^2 -metric. In particular any limit of G_2 -manifolds with volume diverging to zero or infinity is at infinite distance, and $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$ is complete if and only if $(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{G}_0)$ is.

The interest in the metric \mathcal{G} is notably motivated by the importance of G_2 -manifolds for M-theory in physics, where G_2 -compactifications play the same role as Calabi–Yau compactifications in string theory. In this context, \mathcal{G} arises as a kinetic term in the low-energy effective action, in the same way as the natural metrics carried by the Kähler cone or the moduli space of complex structures of a Calabi–Yau manifold arise from string theory. As part of the swampland programme formulated by Vafa [27], it has been conjectured that all of these metrics should share some common properties. An important component of this programme, related to the so-called swampland distance conjecture [24], would be to characterise which limits are at finite or infinite distance in the moduli spaces.

A well-understood case is that of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold, where the natural metric also admits a global Hessian potential. The Kähler cone can be

described in terms of the intersection form and the classes of analytic cycles [9], and there is a simple necessary and sufficient condition for a cohomology class at the boundary of the cone to be a finite-distance limit [22]. Examples where the Kähler cone is incomplete are Kummer K3 surfaces, where a sequence of hyperkähler metrics collapsing to T^4/\mathbb{Z}_2 occurs at finite distance. In the case of moduli spaces of polarised Calabi–Yau manifolds, the Weil–Petersson metric can be studied using Hodge-theoretic methods, and there are known examples where the moduli spaces are incomplete as well as some characterisations of finite-distance degenerations [28]. These results rely on techniques of complex algebraic geometry, together with the link to Riemannian geometry provided by Yau’s solution of the Calabi conjecture [29]. In comparison, G_2 -manifolds are only amenable to differential-geometric methods and very little is known about the global properties of $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G})$, except that in some cases \mathcal{M} is disconnected [8]. In this article we will show that the generalised Kummer G_2 -manifolds have incomplete moduli spaces, as can be expected by analogy with the case of Kummer K3 surfaces.

To prove that the moduli space of a certain G_2 -manifold is incomplete, the idea is to find a path of torsion-free G_2 -structures degenerating towards a singular limit and prove that it has finite length in the moduli space. The natural paths to consider are those constructed by gluing-perturbation methods, which are typically indexed by a parameter representing the size of the gluing region. To compute the length of a such a path, we a priori need to differentiate the family of torsion-free G_2 -structures with respect to the gluing parameter to deduce the velocity vector along the corresponding path in the moduli space and estimate its L^2 -norm. There is ongoing work by J. Li [21] using this approach, but there are many analytical difficulties related to the fact that the torsion-free G_2 -structures obtained after perturbation are only implicitly defined, making this method hard to implement in detail.

Here we adopt a much simpler approach, in which the analytical difficulties disappear. To circumvent the analysis, the idea is to consider not the length but the energy of a path and make use of the special properties of the metric \mathcal{G} . In §2 we derive an expression for the energy of a curve that only involves derivatives of the cohomology class of the 3-form and no derivatives of the cohomology class of its dual 4-form. Interpreting this expression in geometrical terms allows us to give simple sufficient conditions for a path of torsion-free G_2 -structures to have finite energy and length. In §3 we use these conditions to prove that the degeneration of a generalised Kummer G_2 -manifold collapsing to a flat orbifold T^7/Γ occurs at finite distance in the moduli space. In §4, we consider the case of a path of torsion-free G_2 -structures whose cohomology classes form a line segment in $H^3(M)$, and prove that if the limit of such a path is at infinite distance then either the volume of M is shrinking to zero or there is a homology class in degree 4 whose volume is going to infinity. We also discuss how this result compares to the case of Kähler cones and possible generalisations.

2 Length and energy of curves in the moduli space

Let M be a compact oriented 7-manifold with $b^1(M) = 0$ admitting torsion-free G_2 -structures. We aim to compute the energy of a path in the moduli space \mathcal{M} for the metric \mathcal{G} . We begin with a few remarks about notations and the regularity of paths.

We will say that a family of torsion-free G_2 -structures $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ on M induces a path of class C^k in \mathcal{M} ($k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$) if the path defined by the (isotopy-trivial) diffeomorphism class of φ_t is of class C^k in \mathcal{M} . We emphasize that we do not require the 3-forms φ_t to be of class C^k jointly in the variable t and in local coordinates on M , since at no point will

we need to consider partial derivatives of φ_t with respect to the variable t . In practice, if φ_t is a continuous family of G_2 -structures on M and the cohomology class $[\varphi_t]$ defines a path of class C^k in $H^3(M)$, then $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ induces a path of class C^k in \mathcal{M} , since the moduli space is locally diffeomorphic to $H^3(M)$. Although the energy is defined for a path in \mathcal{M} which is merely C^1 , we will need to consider paths of class at least C^2 so as to differentiate and integrate by parts some expressions.

If $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ induces a path of class C^2 in \mathcal{M} , we denote $\dot{\varphi}_t \in T_{\varphi_t} \mathcal{M}$ the velocity vector along the induced path in \mathcal{M} and $\ddot{\varphi}_t = \frac{D}{dt} \dot{\varphi}_t \in T_{\varphi_t} \mathcal{M}$ the covariant derivative of $\dot{\varphi}_t$ along the path for the flat connection D . In particular $[\dot{\varphi}_t] = \frac{d[\varphi_t]}{dt}$ and $[\ddot{\varphi}_t] = \frac{d^2[\varphi_t]}{dt^2}$.

Proposition 1. *Let $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ be a family of torsion-free G_2 -structures on M , inducing a path of class C^2 in \mathcal{M} . Then for any $\tau \in (0, T]$ the energy of the path $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in [\tau, T]}$ reads:*

$$E_\tau^T(\varphi_t) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\varphi_\tau)} \left\langle \frac{d[\varphi_t]}{dt} \Big|_{t=\tau} \cup [\Theta(\varphi_\tau)], [M] \right\rangle - \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\varphi_T)} \left\langle \frac{d[\varphi_t]}{dt} \Big|_{t=T} \cup [\Theta(\varphi_T)], [M] \right\rangle + \int_\tau^T \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\varphi_t)} \left\langle \frac{d^2[\varphi_t]}{dt^2} \cup [\Theta(\varphi_t)], [M] \right\rangle dt.$$

Proof. Let us define the function $h : (0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\varphi_t)} \left\langle \frac{d[\varphi_t]}{dt} \cup [\Theta(\varphi_t)], [M] \right\rangle.$$

By (1) we have

$$h(t) = -d_{\varphi_t} \mathcal{F}(\dot{\varphi}_t).$$

As \mathcal{F} is smooth and $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ induces a path of class C^2 in \mathcal{M} , it follows that h is C^1 . Thus we can differentiate the above equality with respect to t , which yields

$$h'(t) = -D_{\varphi_t}^2 \mathcal{F}(\dot{\varphi}_t, \dot{\varphi}_t) - d_{\varphi_t} \mathcal{F}(\ddot{\varphi}_t) = -\mathcal{G}_{\varphi_t}(\dot{\varphi}_t, \dot{\varphi}_t) - d_{\varphi_t} \mathcal{F}(\ddot{\varphi}_t).$$

Using (1) again we see that

$$d_{\varphi_t} \mathcal{F}(\ddot{\varphi}_t) = -\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\varphi_t)} \left\langle \frac{d^2[\varphi_t]}{dt^2} \cup [\Theta(\varphi_t)], [M] \right\rangle$$

and thus we obtain the identity

$$\mathcal{G}_{\varphi_t}(\dot{\varphi}_t, \dot{\varphi}_t) = -h'(t) + \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\varphi_t)} \left\langle \frac{d^2[\varphi_t]}{dt^2} \cup [\Theta(\varphi_t)], [M] \right\rangle.$$

Integrating this identity between τ and T yields the desired result. \square

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, the length and energy of the curve $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ satisfy the inequality $L_0^T(\varphi_t)^2 \leq T E_0^T(\varphi_t)$ and therefore we immediately deduce:

Corollary 2. *With the same assumptions as in the previous proposition, assume that there exist a constant $C > 0$ and a nonnegative integrable function $A : (0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $t \in (0, T]$ we have*

$$\left| \left\langle \frac{d[\varphi_t]}{dt} \cup [\Theta(\varphi_t)], [M] \right\rangle \right| \leq C \text{Vol}(\varphi_t) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \left\langle \frac{d^2[\varphi_t]}{dt^2} \cup [\Theta(\varphi_t)], [M] \right\rangle \right| \leq A(t) \text{Vol}(\varphi_t).$$

Then the energy and the length of $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ are finite.

We finish this part with a few remarks about (co)homology groups. If M a smooth manifold, we denote by $H^p(M)$ the de Rham cohomology groups, which are isomorphic to the real singular cohomology groups. The real singular homology groups are denoted $H_p(M)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the natural pairing between $H^p(M)$ and $H_p(M)$. Any homology class $[C] \in H_p(M)$ can be represented by a smooth singular p -cycle $C = \sum a_i \sigma_i$, where $\sigma : \Delta_p \rightarrow M$ are smooth p -simplices and Δ_p denotes the standard oriented p -simplex. If η is a closed p -form on M , we have

$$\langle [\eta], [C] \rangle = \sum a_i \int_{\Delta_p} \sigma_i^* \eta.$$

In particular the right-hand side does not depend on a particular choice of representative for $[C]$, and for this reason we will denote (with a slight abuse of notation) $\int_{[C]} \eta = \langle [\eta], [C] \rangle$. In the remainder of the article, all singular chains are assumed to be smooth.

If g is a Riemannian metric on M , the volume of a p -chain $C = \sum_i a_i \sigma_i$ is defined by

$$\text{Vol}(C, g) = \sum_i |a_i| \int_{\Delta_p} \text{Vol}_{\sigma_i^* g}.$$

If M has dimension 7 and is endowed with a co-closed G_2 -structure φ , the dual 4-form $\Theta(\varphi)$ is a calibration [15]. Hence for any 4-simplex σ we have $\pm \sigma^* \Theta(\varphi) \leq \text{Vol}_{\sigma^* g_\varphi}$, and therefore for any 4-cycle D we have a bound

$$\left| \int_{[D]} \Theta(\varphi) \right| \leq \text{Vol}(D, g_\varphi). \quad (3)$$

Note that the left-hand side is topological and independent of the choice of representative of $[D]$, whilst the right-hand side is geometric and depends on the choice of 4-cycle D .

3 Gluing constructions and incompleteness

In this section, we consider a simple model of gluing construction of compact G_2 -manifolds. Topologically, it can be described as follows. Let \bar{U} be a compact oriented 7-manifold with boundary and denote $U = \bar{U} \setminus \partial \bar{U}$. We denote $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_m$ the connected components of $\partial \bar{U}$, each of which is a compact oriented 6-manifold. We may assume that there are disjoint neighbourhoods W_i of Σ_i , diffeomorphic to $(0, 1] \times \Sigma_i$, such that $\bar{U}_0 = \bar{U} \setminus \coprod_i W_i$ is a manifold with boundary diffeomorphic to \bar{U} and a deformation retract of \bar{U} . We denote U_0 its interior, which is diffeomorphic to U . For each i , we consider a compact oriented 7-manifold \bar{Y}_i with boundary $\partial \bar{Y}_i = \Sigma_i$, and let K_i be a compact subset of $Y_i = \bar{Y}_i \setminus \Sigma_i$ such that $Y_i \setminus K_i \simeq (-1, 0) \times \Sigma_i$. We may assume that the orientation induced by \bar{Y}_i on Σ_i is the opposite of the one induced by \bar{U} , and that K_i is a compact manifold with boundary diffeomorphic to \bar{Y}_i and a deformation retract of \bar{Y}_i . Given this data we construct a compact oriented 7-manifold $M = (U \coprod_i Y_i) / \sim$ by identifying the i -th end of U with the end of Y_i ; that is, the equivalence relation \sim identifies $(s, x_i) \in (0, 1) \times \Sigma_i \subset U$ with $(-s, x_i) \in (-1, 0) \times \Sigma_i \subset Y_i$. Thus U and each Y_i can be seen as open subsets of M , and moreover M can be decomposed as the disjoint union $\bar{U}_0 \coprod (\coprod_i (0, 1) \times \Sigma_i) \coprod (\coprod_i K_i)$.

The homology groups of M can be deduced from the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence of the decomposition $M = U \cup (\coprod_i Y_i)$, which reads:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \oplus_i H_p(\Sigma_i) \rightarrow H_p(U) \oplus (\oplus_i H_p(Y_i)) \rightarrow H_p(M) \rightarrow \oplus_i H_{p-1}(\Sigma_i) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (4)$$

We are mainly interested in $p = 3, 4$. As $H_p(U) \simeq H_p(\overline{U})$ and $H_p(Y_i) \simeq H_p(\overline{Y}_i)$, the maps $\oplus_i H_p(\Sigma_i) \rightarrow H_p(U) \oplus (\oplus_i H_p(Y_i))$ in (4) are determined by the long exact sequences of the pairs $(\overline{U}, \partial\overline{U})$ and $(\overline{Y}_i, \partial\overline{Y}_i)$. A particular role is played by the boundary maps

$$\delta_i : H_3(\overline{Y}_i, \partial\overline{Y}_i) \rightarrow H_2(\partial\overline{Y}_i)$$

coming from the exact sequences of $(\overline{Y}_i, \partial\overline{Y}_i)$.

Lemma 3. *Assume that $\delta_i = 0$ for all i . Then there are 3-cycles C_1, \dots, C_n supported in U_0 and $C_{i,1}, \dots, C_{i,n_i}$ supported in K_i , such that the homology classes $[C_k], [C_{ij}]$ form a basis of $H_3(M)$. Moreover, if $[D_k], [D_{ij}] \in H_4(M)$ is the dual basis for the intersection product, then the classes $[D_{ij}]$ can be represented by cycles supported in K_i .*

Proof. Since $\delta_i = 0$, the maps $H_3(\overline{Y}_i) \rightarrow H_3(\overline{Y}_i, \partial\overline{Y}_i)$ are surjective. Thus we deduce that $H_3(Y_i) \simeq H_3(\overline{Y}_i) \simeq \text{im}(H_3(\partial\overline{Y}_i) \rightarrow H_3(\overline{Y}_i)) \oplus E_i$, where $E_i \subset H_3(Y_i)$ is isomorphic to $H_3(\overline{Y}_i, \partial\overline{Y}_i)$. As the maps $H_2(\partial\overline{Y}_i) \rightarrow H_2(\overline{Y}_i)$ are injective, so is the map $\oplus_i H_2(\Sigma_i) \rightarrow H_2(U) \oplus (\oplus_i H_2(Y_i))$ in the exact sequence (4). Thus we obtain an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \oplus_i H_3(\Sigma_i) \rightarrow H_3(U) \oplus (\oplus_i H_3(Y_i)) \rightarrow H_3(M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence there exists a subspace $E \subset H_3(U)$ such that $E \oplus E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_m$ is a complement of the image of $\oplus_i H_3(\Sigma_i)$ in $H_3(U) \oplus (\oplus_i H_3(Y_i))$, and therefore $H_3(M) \simeq E \oplus E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_m$. It follows that there are homology classes $[C_k] \in E \subset H_3(U)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, and $[C_{ij}] \in E_i \subset H_3(Y_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, n_i$, which form a basis of $H_3(M)$, where $n = \dim E$ and $n_i = \dim E_i$. Moreover, K_i is a deformation retract of \overline{Y}_i and hence the classes $[C_{ij}]$ can be represented by cycles supported in K_i , and similarly the classes $[C_k]$ can be represented by cycles supported in U_0 since $H_3(U_0) \simeq H_3(U)$.

Now we prove that the homology classes $[D_{ij}] \in H_4(M)$ can be represented by cycles supported in K_i . As each \overline{Y}_i is an oriented compact manifold with boundary, there are nondegenerate intersection pairings $H_4(\overline{Y}_i) \times H_3(\overline{Y}_i, \partial\overline{Y}_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By construction, the basis $[C_{ij}]$ of $E_i \subset H_3(Y_i)$ induces a basis of $H_3(\overline{Y}_i, \partial\overline{Y}_i)$, and we denote $[D'_{ij}] \in H_4(\overline{Y}_i)$ its dual basis for the intersection product of \overline{Y}_i . We can assume that the cycles D'_{ij} are supported in K_i since $H_4(K_i) \simeq H_4(\overline{Y}_i)$. As the classes $[C_1], \dots, [C_n] \in H_3(M)$ are represented by cycles supported in U_0 and the classes induced by $[D'_{ij}]$ in $H_4(M)$ are represented by cycles supported in K_i , the intersection of $[D'_{ij}]$ and $[C_k]$ is trivial in M , and the intersection of $[D'_{ij}]$ and $[C'_{i'j'}]$ is 1 if $(i, j) = (i', j')$ and 0 otherwise. Thus $[D_{ij}] = [D'_{ij}] \in H_4(M)$. \square

From the G_2 -perspective, we typically think of U as the smooth locus of a singular G_2 -manifold, and in particular U comes equipped with a torsion-free G_2 -structure φ_0 . The noncompact manifolds Y_i are endowed with families $\varphi_{i,t}$ of torsion-free G_2 -structures with prescribed asymptotic behaviour, which should match the behaviour of φ_0 near the i -th end of U . One then uses some interpolation procedure to construct a family of closed G_2 -structures φ_t on M , such that outside of the gluing region $\varphi_t|_{U_0} = \varphi_0|_{U_0}$ and $\varphi_t|_{K_i} = \varphi_{i,t}|_{K_i}$. Much of the subtlety of the construction lies in the choice of interpolation in the gluing region, but for our purpose these details are irrelevant. Provided the torsion of φ_t is small enough and there is some control on other geometric quantities (notably the injectivity radius and the norm of the curvature tensor), the general result of Joyce [14, Th. 11.6.1] insures the existence of a torsion-free G_2 -structure $\tilde{\varphi}_t$ on M such that $[\tilde{\varphi}_t] = [\varphi_t] \in H^3(M)$ and $\|\tilde{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t\|_{C^0} \leq \epsilon_1$, where $\epsilon_1 > 0$ is some fixed small constant. By taking ϵ_1 small enough we can assume that $\|\tilde{\varphi} - \varphi\|_{C^0} \leq \epsilon_1$ implies $2^{-1}g_\varphi \leq g_{\tilde{\varphi}} \leq 2g_\varphi$ for any G_2 -structures $\tilde{\varphi}, \varphi$. The following theorem gives sufficient conditions for the path $\{\tilde{\varphi}_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ to have finite energy and length in the moduli space:

Theorem 4. Let $\{\tilde{\varphi}_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ be a continuous family of torsion-free G_2 -structures and $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ be a family of closed G_2 -structures on M , such that $[\tilde{\varphi}_t] = [\varphi_t] \in H^3(M)$ and $\|\tilde{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t\|_{C^0} \leq \epsilon_1$ for all $t \in (0, T]$. We assume that $\varphi_0 = \varphi_t|_{U_0}$ is independent of t , that each Y_i is endowed with a family of closed G_2 -structures $\{\varphi_{i,t}\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ such that $\varphi_t|_{K_i} = \varphi_{i,t}|_{K_i}$ for all $t \in (0, T]$, and that the following assumptions are satisfied:

- (i) $b^1(M) = 0$, and each boundary map $\delta_i : H_3(\overline{Y}_i, \partial \overline{Y}_i) \rightarrow H_2(\partial \overline{Y}_i)$ is trivial.
- (ii) For all i and all $[C] \in H_3(Y_i)$, the function $f_{i,[C]}(t) = \int_{[C]} \varphi_{i,t}$ is of class C^2 and satisfies: 1) $f'_{i,[C]} : (0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly bounded, and 2) $f''_{i,[C]} \in L^1((0, T])$.
- (iii) There exists a metric g_i on each Y_i such that $g_{\varphi_{i,t}} \leq g_i$ for all $t \in (0, T]$.

Then $\{\tilde{\varphi}_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ induces a path of class C^2 in \mathcal{M} with finite energy and length.

Proof. Let us consider the bases $[C_k], [C_{ij}] \in H_3(M)$ and $[D_k], [D_{ij}] \in H_4(M)$ provided by Lemma 3, and denote $[C_k^*], [C_{ij}^*] \in H^3(M)$ and $[D_k^*], [D_{ij}^*] \in H^4(M)$ their respective dual bases. The cohomology class $[\tilde{\varphi}_t] \in H^3(M)$ reads

$$[\tilde{\varphi}_t] = [\varphi_t] = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(t)[C_k^*] + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} f_{ij}(t)[C_{ij}^*]$$

where

$$f_k(t) = \int_{[C_k]} \varphi_t, \quad \text{and} \quad f_{ij}(t) = \int_{[C_{ij}]} \varphi_t.$$

Since the restriction $\varphi_t|_{U_0}$ is constant and the homology classes $[C_1], \dots, [C_n]$ are represented by cycles supported in U_0 , the functions f_1, \dots, f_n are constant. Moreover, as the homology classes $[C_{ij}]$ are represented by cycles supported in K_i and $\varphi_t|_{K_i} = \varphi_{i,t}|_{K_i}$, we deduce that $f_{ij} = f_{i,[C_{ij}]}$, and thus f_{ij} is of class C^2 , f'_{ij} is uniformly bounded and f''_{ij} is L^1 . This implies in particular that $\{\tilde{\varphi}_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ induces a path of class C^2 in \mathcal{M} .

Similarly, the cohomology class of the 4-form can be written

$$[\Theta(\tilde{\varphi}_t)] = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k(t)[D_k^*] + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} g_{ij}(t)[D_{ij}^*]$$

where

$$g_k(t) = \int_{[D_k]} \Theta(\tilde{\varphi}_t) \quad \text{and} \quad g_{ij}(t) = \int_{[D_{ij}]} \Theta(\tilde{\varphi}_t).$$

As the bases $[C_k^*], [C_{ij}^*] \in H^3(M)$ and $[D_k^*], [D_{ij}^*] \in H^4(M)$ are dual for the cup-product and the functions f_k are constant, it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{d[\tilde{\varphi}_t]}{dt} \cup [\Theta(\tilde{\varphi}_t)], [M] \right\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} g_{ij}(t) f'_{ij}(t), \quad \text{and} \\ \left\langle \frac{d^2[\tilde{\varphi}_t]}{dt^2} \cup [\Theta(\tilde{\varphi}_t)], [M] \right\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} g_{ij}(t) f''_{ij}(t). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that $\text{Vol}(\varphi_t) \geq \int_{U_0} \varphi_0 > 0$ for all $t \in (0, T]$, and as $\|\tilde{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t\|_{C^0} \leq \epsilon_1$ it follows that $\text{Vol}(\tilde{\varphi}_t)$ is uniformly bounded below away from zero. Moreover the functions f'_{ij} are uniformly bounded and the functions f''_{ij} are L^1 , and thus it is enough to show that the functions g_{ij} are uniformly bounded to apply Corollary 2.

Since $\tilde{\varphi}_t$ is co-closed the 4-form $\Theta(\tilde{\varphi}_t)$ is a calibration, and by (3) we have

$$|g_{ij}(t)| = \left| \int_{[D_{ij}]} \Theta(\tilde{\varphi}_t) \right| \leq \text{Vol}(D_{ij}, g_{\tilde{\varphi}_t}).$$

As $\|\tilde{\varphi}_t - \varphi_t\|_{C^0} \leq \epsilon_1$ we have $g_{\tilde{\varphi}_t} \leq 2g_{\varphi_t}$, and thus $g_{\tilde{\varphi}_t}|_{K_i} \leq 2g_{\varphi_{i,t}}|_{K_i} \leq 2g_i|_{K_i}$. By Lemma 3, we can assume that the 4-cycle D_{ij} is supported in K_i and thus

$$|g_{ij}(t)| \leq \text{Vol}(D_{ij}, g_{\tilde{\varphi}_t}) \leq 4 \text{Vol}(D_{ij}, g_i).$$

Hence the functions g_{ij} are uniformly bounded. Therefore the path $\{\tilde{\varphi}_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 2 and the theorem follows. \square

In the case of the generalised Kummer construction [12, 13], U is the complement of the singular set of a G_2 -orbifold T^7/Γ , where Γ is a finite subgroup of G_2 , and thus U carries a flat G_2 -structure φ_0 . Each connected component of the singular set of T^7/Γ is assumed to have a neighbourhood isometric to either one of

1. $(T^3 \times B^4/G_i)/F_i$, where T^3 is a flat 3-torus, $B^4 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ a Euclidean ball, G_i a finite subgroup of $SU(2)$ acting freely on \mathbb{C}^2 except at the origin, and F_i a group of isometries of $T^3 \times \mathbb{C}^2/G_i$ acting freely on T^3 ; or
2. $(S^1 \times B^6/G_i)/F_i$, where S^1 is a flat circle, $B^6 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ a Euclidean ball, G_i a finite subgroup of $SU(3)$ acting freely on \mathbb{C}^3 except at the origin, and F_i a group of isometries of $S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^3/G_i$ acting freely on S^1 .

The noncompact manifold Y_i used to resolve a singularity of the first type is $Y_i = (T^3 \times X_i)/F_i$ where X_i is an Asymptotically Locally Euclidean (ALE) space with holonomy $SU(2)$ asymptotic to \mathbb{C}^2/G_i , equipped with an F_i -action such that $(T^3 \times X_i)/F_i$ is asymptotic to $(T^3 \times \mathbb{C}^2/G_i)/F_i$. It has boundary $\Sigma_i = (T^3 \times S^3/G_i)/F_i$, and in particular $H_2(\Sigma_i) \simeq H_2(T^3)^{F_i}$. Moreover hyperkähler ALE spaces are simply connected and it follows that $H_2(Y_i) \simeq H_2(T^3)^{F_i} \oplus H_2(X_i)^{F_i}$. Thus the map $H_2(\partial\bar{Y}_i) \rightarrow H_2(\bar{Y}_i)$ is injective, which implies that the boundary map $\delta_i : H_3(\bar{Y}_i, \partial\bar{Y}_i) \rightarrow H_2(\partial\bar{Y}_i)$ is trivial. The manifold Y_i is endowed with a family of torsion-free G_2 -structures lifting to $T^3 \times X_i$ as

$$\varphi_{i,t} = \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3 + t^2(\theta_1 \wedge \omega_{i,1} + \theta_2 \wedge \omega_{i,2} + \theta_3 \wedge \omega_{i,3})$$

where $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ is a basis of harmonic 1-forms on T^3 and $(\omega_{i,1}, \omega_{i,2}, \omega_{i,3})$ is an ALE hyperkähler triple on X_i . It follows that for any homology class $[C] \in H_3(Y_i)$ we have $f_{i,[C]}(t) = a_{i,[C]} + b_{i,[C]}t^2$ for some constants $a_{i,[C]}, b_{i,[C]} \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the associated metric on $T^3 \times X_i$ is a product $g_{T^3} + t^2g_{X_i}$, where g_{T^3} is a flat metric on T^3 and g_{X_i} is an ALE metric on X_i . In particular $g_{\varphi_{i,t}} \leq g_{\varphi_{i,1}}$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$.

For the second type of singularities, the manifold Y_i is of the form $Y_i = (S^1 \times Z_i)/F_i$ where Z_i is an ALE manifold with holonomy $SU(3)$ asymptotic to \mathbb{C}^3/G_i , equipped with an F_i -action such that $(S^1 \times Z_i)/F_i$ is asymptotic to $(S^1 \times \mathbb{C}^3/G_i)/F_i$. Here the boundary of \bar{Y}_i is $\Sigma_i = (S^1 \times S^5/G_i)/F_i$ so that $H_2(\Sigma_i) = 0$, which in particular implies that the boundary map $\delta_i : H_3(\bar{Y}_i, \partial\bar{Y}_i) \rightarrow H_2(\partial\bar{Y}_i)$ is trivial. There is a family of torsion-free G_2 -structures on Y_i which lifts to $S^1 \times Z_i$ as

$$\varphi_{i,t} = t^2\theta \wedge \omega_i + t^3 \text{Re} \Omega_i$$

on Y_i , where θ is a nontrivial harmonic form on S^1 , ω_i a Kähler form and Ω_i a holomorphic volume form on Z_i such that (ω_i, Ω_i) is an ALE torsion-free $SU(3)$ -structure. Thus for

any homology class $[C] \in H_3(Y_i)$ there are constants $a_{i,[C]}$ and $b_{i,[C]}$ such that $f_{i,[C]}(t) = a_{i,[C]}t^2 + b_{i,[C]}t^3$. As in the previous case, the metric $g_{\varphi_{i,t}}$ lifts to the product $g_{S^1} + t^2 g_{Z_i}$ on $S^1 \times Z_i$ and hence $g_{\varphi_{i,t}} \leq g_{\varphi_{i,1}}$ for $t \in (0, 1]$.

When the gluing data of the generalised Kummer construction is chosen so that $b^1(M) = 0$, all of the assumptions of our theorem are satisfied and thus the degeneration to T^7/Γ corresponds to a finite-distance limit in the moduli space.

Corollary 5. *The generalised Kummer G_2 -manifolds have incomplete moduli spaces.*

4 The volume of cycles and infinite-distance limits

An interesting special case in the generalised Kummer construction is when all of the singularities of T^7/Γ are resolved by gluing quotients of products of a 3-torus and a hyperkähler ALE space. In that case, the cohomology class $[\varphi_t]$ is an affine function of t^2 . This leads us to consider the general situation of a path of torsion-free G_2 -structures $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ whose cohomology classes form a line segment in $H^3(M)$.

To further motivate this question, let us compare with the case of Kähler cones, which we mentioned in introduction. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of (complex) dimension $n \geq 2$ and denote \mathcal{K} the cone of Kähler classes on X . This is an open convex cone of the space of real $(1, 1)$ -forms. Let us denote $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ the closure of \mathcal{K} in $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$, and $\partial\mathcal{K} = \overline{\mathcal{K}} \setminus \mathcal{K}$. The Kähler cone has a natural metric, which comes from the Hessian potential $-\log \int_X \omega^n / n! = -\log \text{Vol}(\omega)$. A natural question to ask is which classes $\alpha \in \partial\mathcal{K}$ correspond to infinite-distance limits for this metric. The answer is simple: either $\int_X \alpha^n = 0$, in which case this is an infinite-distance limit; or $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$ and the limit is at finite distance [22]. Let us give a brief sketch of the argument. If $\int_X \alpha^n = 0$, then any path $\omega_t \in \mathcal{K}$ converging to $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$ has $\int_X \omega_t^n \rightarrow 0$, and such limit is at infinite distance. Otherwise $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$, and if $\omega \in \mathcal{K}$ we can consider the path $\omega_t = \alpha + t\omega$. For $t \in (0, \infty)$ we have $\omega_t \in \mathcal{K}$, and moreover $\frac{d\omega_t}{dt} = \omega$ and $\frac{d^2\omega_t}{dt^2} = 0$. Arguing along the same lines as in the proof of Proposition 1, one can see that for any $\tau \in (0, 1]$ the energy of the path $\{\omega_t\}_{t \in (\tau, 1]}$ is

$$E_\tau^1(\omega_t) = \frac{\int_X \omega \wedge (\alpha + \tau\omega)^{n-1}}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(\omega_\tau)} - \frac{\int_X \omega \wedge (\alpha + \omega)^{n-1}}{(n-1)! \text{Vol}(\omega_1)}.$$

Since $\int_X \alpha^n > 0$, $\text{Vol}(\omega_\tau)$ is uniformly bounded below away from zero as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, and as the numerator of the first term is a polynomial function of τ it remains bounded as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. It follows that the path $\{\omega_t\}_{t \in (0, 1]}$ has finite energy and length in \mathcal{K} , and thus α is a finite-distance limit.

Let us now go back to the G_2 -case, and consider family of torsion-free G_2 -structures $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ on a compact 7-manifold M with $b^1(M) = 0$, inducing a smooth path in the moduli space \mathcal{M} . We assume that $[\varphi_t] = [\varphi_0] + t[\dot{\varphi}]$, where $[\varphi_0], [\dot{\varphi}] \in H^3(M)$ are fixed cohomology classes. Heuristically, we want to think of $[\varphi_0]$ as lying on the boundary of the image of \mathcal{M} in $H^3(M)$. As $\ddot{\varphi}_t = 0$ we have $\frac{d^2 \mathcal{F}(\varphi_t)}{dt^2} = D_{\varphi_t}^2 \mathcal{F}(\dot{\varphi}_t, \dot{\varphi}_t) \geq 0$, and thus the function $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_t) = -3 \log \text{Vol}(\varphi_t)$ is convex on $(0, T]$. Hence $\text{Vol}(\varphi_t)$ is bounded above, and either $\text{Vol}(\varphi_t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ or it is uniformly bounded below away from zero. If the volume shrinks to zero, then the limit of φ_t as $t \rightarrow 0$ is at infinite distance in the moduli space. The remaining interesting case is when $\text{Vol}(\varphi_t)$ is uniformly bounded below away from zero. As $\frac{d^2[\varphi_t]}{dt^2} = 0$, the energy takes a particularly simple form:

$$E_\tau^T(\varphi_t) = \frac{\langle [\dot{\varphi}] \cup [\Theta(\varphi_\tau)], [M] \rangle}{\text{Vol}(\varphi_\tau)} - \frac{\langle [\dot{\varphi}] \cup [\Theta(\varphi_T)], [M] \rangle}{\text{Vol}(\varphi_T)}. \quad (5)$$

So far the situation is very similar to that of Kähler cones, except for one crucial difference, which is that $[\Theta(\varphi_\tau)]$ has no reason to be a merely polynomial function of τ . Thus we do not know whether the numerator of the first term remains bounded as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, and it may be that the limit of φ_t as $t \rightarrow 0$ is at infinite distance in the moduli space even though the volume is bounded below. Such a phenomenon, if it occurs, would be a feature of G_2 -moduli spaces that has no analogy in the geometry of Kähler cones.

To get more insight into the geometry of such a situation, let us denote $\text{PD}[\dot{\varphi}] \in H_4(M)$ the Poincaré-dual class of $[\dot{\varphi}]$. Then we can bound the numerators in (5) by

$$|\langle [\dot{\varphi}] \cup [\Theta(\varphi_t)], [M] \rangle| = \left| \int_{\text{PD}[\dot{\varphi}]} \Theta(\varphi_t) \right| \leq \text{Vol}(\text{PD}[\dot{\varphi}], g_{\varphi_t}) \quad (6)$$

where we define $\text{Vol}(\text{PD}[\dot{\varphi}], g_{\varphi_t})$ as the infimum of $\text{Vol}(D, g_{\varphi_t})$ taken over the 4-cycles D representing $\text{PD}[\dot{\varphi}] \in H_4(M)$. If $\text{Vol}(\text{PD}[\dot{\varphi}], g_{\varphi_t})$ is bounded, then we easily deduce that the energy of $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ is finite. As the energy $E_\tau^T(\varphi_t)$ is a decreasing function of τ , it is in fact enough to assume that $\text{Vol}(\text{PD}[\dot{\varphi}], g_{\varphi_{t_i}})$ is uniformly bounded for some sequence $t_i \rightarrow 0$. By contrapositive, we obtain the following condition:

Proposition 6. *Let $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in (0, T]}$ be a family of torsion-free G_2 -structures on M inducing a smooth path in \mathcal{M} , and suppose that the cohomology class $\frac{d[\varphi_t]}{dt} = [\dot{\varphi}]$ is constant in $H^3(M)$ and that the volume of (M, φ_t) is uniformly bounded below away from zero. If the limit of φ_t as $t \rightarrow 0$ is at infinite distance in the moduli space, then*

$$\text{Vol}(\text{PD}[\dot{\varphi}], g_{\varphi_t}) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Heuristically, this result says that if there is a point of the boundary of \mathcal{M} that can be approached by a path of torsion-free G_2 -structures whose cohomology classes form a line segment in $H^3(M)$, then there is the following trichotomy. Either the volume is shrinking to zero along the path, in which case the limit is at infinite distance; or the volume is bounded below away from zero and the limit is at infinite distance, and in that case there must be a homology class in degree 4 whose volume is going to infinity; or this is a finite-distance limit. Some of the generalised Kummer G_2 -manifolds provide examples of the third case, and the first case occurs for instance by scaling any torsion-free G_2 -structure. However, we do not know if the second case can happen, and as previously noted this would have to be a phenomenon specific to G_2 -moduli spaces, by contrast with what can occur at the boundary of Kähler cones.

Proposition 6 can be generalised to families of torsion-free G_2 -structures whose cohomology classes define a path in $H^3(M)$ which is not too rough. For instance, if we assume that the path of cohomology classes is C^2 , has bounded first derivative and integrable second derivative, and that the volume of M is bounded below away from zero, it is straightforward to deduce from Corollary 2 and the bound (3) that if all homology classes in degree 4 have bounded volume then the energy of the path is finite. This could also be used to deduce the incompleteness of the moduli spaces of the generalised Kummer G_2 -manifolds, with a criterion that is easier to state than the assumptions of Theorem 4, but which actually takes more effort to check in practice since one would have to consider all homology classes of degree 4. This also indicates that G_2 -manifolds constructed by resolution of isolated conical singularities [17] or the Joyce–Karigiannis construction [16] would be expected to have incomplete moduli spaces. In these cases it is clear that the total volume is bounded below away from zero and that all homology classes have bounded volume, and the only assumption that would be left to check is the one concerning the derivatives of the path of cohomology classes.

Acknowledgements

The author is supported by scholarships from the Clarendon Fund and the Saven European Programme.

References

- [1] D. V. Alekseevsky. Riemannian spaces with exceptional holonomy. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 2:97–105, 1968.
- [2] M. Berger. Sur les groupes d’holonomie homogènes de variétés à connexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 83:279–330, 1955. <https://doi.org/10.24033/bsmf.1464>.
- [3] E. Bonan. Sur les variétés riemanniennes à groupe d’holonomie G_2 ou $Spin(7)$. In *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, volume 262, 1966. <https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k6236863n/f141.item>.
- [4] R. L. Bryant. Metrics with exceptional holonomy. *Ann. Math.*, pages 525–576, 1987. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1971360>.
- [5] R. L. Bryant and S. M. Salamon. On the construction of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy. *Duke Math. J.*, 58(3):829–850, 1989. <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-89-05839-0>.
- [6] A. Corti, M. Haskins, J. Nordström, and T. Pacini. Asymptotically cylindrical Calabi–Yau 3-folds from weak Fano 3-folds. *Geom. Topol.*, 17(4):1955–2059, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2013.17.1955>.
- [7] A. Corti, M. Haskins, J. Nordström, and T. Pacini. G_2 -manifolds and associative submanifolds via semi-Fano 3-folds. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(10):1971–2092, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-3120743>.
- [8] D. Crowley, S. Goette, and J. Nordström. An analytic invariant of G_2 -manifolds. *arXiv:1505.0234*, 2015.
- [9] J.-P. Demailly and M. Paun. Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold. *Ann. Math.*, pages 1247–1274, 2004. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3597177>.
- [10] M. Fernández and A. Gray. Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2 . *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 132(1):19–45, 1982. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01760975>.
- [11] S. Grigorian and S.-T. Yau. Local geometry of the G_2 moduli space. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 287(2):459–488, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0595-1>.
- [12] D. D. Joyce. Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 . I. *J. Differential Geom.*, 43:291–328, 1996. <https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214458109>.
- [13] D. D. Joyce. Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy G_2 . II. *J. Differential Geom.*, 43:329–375, 1996. <https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214458110>.
- [14] D. D. Joyce. *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [15] D. D. Joyce. *Riemannian holonomy groups and calibrated geometry*. Oxford University Press, 2007.
- [16] D. D. Joyce and S. Karigiannis. A new construction of compact torsion-free G_2 -manifolds by gluing families of Eguchi–Hanson spaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 117(2):255–343, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1612975017>.
- [17] S. Karigiannis. Desingularization of G_2 manifolds with isolated conical singularities. *Geom. Topol.*, 13(3):1583–1655, 2009. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/gt.2009.13.1583>.
- [18] S. Karigiannis and N. C. Leung. Hodge theory for G_2 -manifolds: intermediate Jacobians and Abel–Jacobi maps. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 99(2):297–325, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/pdp004>.

- [19] A. Kovalev. Twisted connected sums and special Riemannian holonomy. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.2003.097>.
- [20] C. LeBrun and M. Singer. A Kummer-type construction of self-dual 4-manifolds. *Math. Ann.*, 300(1):165–180, 1994. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01450482>.
- [21] J. Li. On the geometry of resolution of G_2 -manifolds with ICS. Talk at the workshop of the Simons Collaboration on Special Holonomy in Geometry, Analysis and Physics, 2023. <https://sites.duke.edu/scshgap/jin-li-lectures/>.
- [22] G. T. Magnússon. *Natural metrics associated to families of compact Kähler manifolds*. PhD thesis, Institut Fourier, Université de Grenoble, 2012. <https://theses.hal.science/tel-00849096>.
- [23] J. Nordström. Extra-twisted connected sum G_2 -manifolds. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.*, 63, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10455-023-09893-1>.
- [24] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa. On the geometry of the string landscape and the swampland. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 766(1-3):21–33, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.10.033>.
- [25] D. N. Page. A physical picture of the K3 gravitational instanton. *Phys. Lett. B*, 80(1-2):55–57, 1978. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(78\)90305-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(78)90305-2).
- [26] P. Topiwala. A new proof of the existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics on K3, I. *Invent. Math.*, 89(2):425–448, 1987. <http://eudml.org/doc/143489>.
- [27] C. Vafa. The string landscape and the swampland. *arXiv hep-th/0509212*, 2005.
- [28] C.-L. Wang. On the incompleteness of the Weil–Petersson metric along degenerations of Calabi–Yau manifolds. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 4(1):157–171, 1997. <https://doi.org/10.4310/MRL.1997.v4.n1.a14>.
- [29] S.-T. Yau. On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge–Ampère equation, I. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 31(3):339–411, 1978. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160310304>.