

INFINITE FREE RESOLUTIONS OVER NUMERICAL SEMIGROUP ALGEBRAS VIA SPECIALIZATION

TARA GOMES, CHRISTOPHER O'NEILL, ALEKSANDRA SOBIESKA,
AND EDUARDO TORRES DÁVILA

ABSTRACT. Each numerical semigroup S with smallest positive element m corresponds to an integer point in a polyhedral cone C_m , known as the Kunz cone. The faces of C_m form a stratification of numerical semigroups that has been shown to respect a number of algebraic properties of S , including the combinatorial structure of the minimal free resolution of the defining toric ideal I_S . In this work, we prove that the structure of the infinite free resolution of the ground field \mathbb{k} over the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{k}[S]$ also respects this stratification, yielding a new combinatorial approach to classifying homological properties like Golodness and rationality of the poincare series in this setting. Additionally, we give a complete classification of such resolutions in the special case $m = 4$, and demonstrate that the associated graded algebras do not generally respect the same stratification.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *numerical semigroup* is a cofinite, additively closed set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ containing 0. We often specify a numerical semigroup via a list of generators, i.e.,

$$S = \langle n_1, \dots, n_k \rangle = \{z_1 n_1 + \dots + n_k z_k : z_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

Any numerical semigroup has a unique minimal generating set under inclusion; the number $e(S)$ of minimal generators is called the *embedding dimension* of S , and the smallest generator $m(S) = \min(S \setminus \{0\})$ is called the *multiplicity* of S . See [37] for a thorough introduction.

A number of recent papers examine a family of convex rational polyhedral cones C_m , one for each integer $m \geq 2$, for which each numerical semigroup with multiplicity m corresponds to an integer point in C_m . Originally introduced in [29], much of the recent work centers around the face structure of C_m and the observation that two numerical semigroups S and T correspond to points on the interior of the same face of C_m if and only if certain subsets of their divisibility posets coincide [10, 28]. Geometric results in this direction include, for instance, a classification of the faces of C_m containing points corresponding to certain well-studied families of numerical semigroups [2] and a realization of the faces of C_m as cones in the Gröbner fans of certain lattice ideals [8].

Of particular relevance to the present manuscript are two recent works concerning the defining toric ideal I_S of a numerical semigroup S . Kunz observed in [29] that

Date: May 6, 2024.

if S and T lie on the interior of the same face of C_m , then the Betti numbers of I_S and I_T coincide. This result was strengthened in [23] to show that there exist minimal binomial generating sets for I_S and I_T that coincide except in the exponent of the variable with degree m , and further extended in [7] to show the existence of minimal free resolutions of I_S and I_T whose matrix entries coincide except for the exponents of that same variable. Said another way, the minimal resolutions of I_S and I_T are seen to have identical structure when S and T lie in the interior of the same face of C_m .

Broadly, these results identify a novel method of combinatorially classifying the structure of minimal free resolutions of the defining toric ideals of numerical semigroups with a given multiplicity m . This family of toric ideals has already seen some headway over the general setting, due in part to the unique set of tools numerical semigroups have to offer (see the survey [39] and the references therein), and stratification by the faces of C_m is an addition to this toolkit. Indeed, this approach is already being put to use in the classification of possible Betti numbers for fixed m [16].

This article, in the spirit of [20, 21, 26, 33], seeks to utilize a combinatorial approach in understanding infinite free resolutions over numerical semigroup algebras. Such resolutions over singular rings are typically more complicated than those over polynomial rings, perhaps most evidently because such resolutions are almost always infinite. Some resolutions are known, in particular for the field \mathbb{k} [1, 9, 17, 30, 35, 40], either for special types of rings or without guarantee of minimality, but by and large these resolutions remain mysterious. Further details and references can be found in the surveys [3, 31].

Following a similar roadmap to that of the finite case in [7], we construct in Section 3 an infinite free resolution of \mathbb{k} over any numerical semigroup ring R , which we call the *infinite Apéry resolution* of \mathbb{k} , that is minimal if and only if S is MED. The maps in this resolution are seen to allow for simultaneous minimization for all semigroups S and T residing in the interior of the same face of C_m , resulting in a uniformity of resolution structure analogous to that obtained for the finite case in [7].

One of the primary consequences of our results is that the Kunz cone does indeed allow one to classify resolutions of \mathbb{k} over numerical semigroup rings combinatorially. This combinatorial classification does not *a priori* appeal to usual homological notions relevant in infinite resolutions, like complete intersection, Golod, and Koszul, yet some homological properties whose classifications remain elusive in general, such as Golodness and rationality of the Poincaré series, respect this stratification.

As a demonstration of this approach, Section 4 exhibits a minimal free resolution whenever S has multiplicity 4, obtained by considering each face of C_4 in turn. After a brief demonstration in Section 5 that the associated graded rings $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ do not seem to obey the stratification imposed by the Kunz cone, we close by identifying several future research directions in Section 6.

2. BACKGROUND AND SETUP

Throughout this paper, fix a numerical semigroup $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with multiplicity

$$\mathfrak{m}(S) = \min(S \setminus \{0\}) = m,$$

and write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ap}(S) &= \{n \in S : n - m \notin S\} \\ &= \{0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}\} \end{aligned}$$

for the set consisting of the minimal element of S from each equivalence class modulo m , with $a_i \equiv i \pmod{m}$ for each i . In particular,

$$S = \langle m, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \rangle,$$

though this generating set need not be minimal (e.g., if $a_i + a_j = a_{i+j}$ for some i, j). If this generating set is minimal, we say S has *maximal embedding dimension* (MED). For convenience, define $a_0 = m$.

Grade $\mathbb{k}[y, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}]$ by S with $\deg(y) = m$ and $\deg(x_i) = a_i$. Let $x_0 = y$. Consider the ring homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{k}[y, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{k}[t] \\ x_i &\longmapsto t^{a_i}, \end{aligned}$$

and let $I_S = \ker(\varphi)$, called the *defining toric ideal* of S , and $R = \mathbb{k}[y, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}]/I_S$. It is known (see, e.g., [7, Lemma 3.1]) that

$$(2.1) \quad I_S = \langle x_i x_j - y^{b_{ij}} x_{i+j} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq m-1 \rangle$$

where each $b_{ij} = \frac{1}{m}(a_i + a_j - a_{i+j}) \geq 0$ is determined by the grading, and that the above is a minimal generating set if and only if S is MED. Note the definition of b_{ij} here differs from that in [7]; the discrepancy in matrix entries necessitates this distinction.

Example 2.1. If $S = \langle 4, 5, 7 \rangle$, then $\text{Ap}(S) = \{0, 5, 10, 7\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} I_S &= \langle x_1^2 - x_2, x_2^2 - y^5, x_3^2 - yx_2, x_1x_2 - y^2x_3, x_1x_3 - y^3, x_2x_3 - y^3x_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle x_1^2 - x_2, x_1^3 - y^2x_3, x_3^2 - yx_1^2, x_1x_3 - y^3 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We emphasize that R is the quotient by I_S , so

$$R = \mathbb{k}[y, x_1, x_2, x_3]/I_S \cong \mathbb{k}[y, x_1, x_3]/\langle x_1^3 - y^2x_3, x_3^2 - yx_1^2, x_1x_3 - y^3 \rangle,$$

and in particular $x_1^2 - x_2 = 0$ and $x_1x_2 - yx_3 = x_1^3 - x_3$ in R .

A given collection of integers $a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \geq m$ with $a_i \equiv i \pmod{m}$ for each i comprise the nonzero elements of the Apéry set of a numerical semigroup S if and only if the point (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) lies in the cone $\mathcal{C}_m \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{m-1}$ defined by the inequalities

$$x_i + x_j \geq x_{i+j} \quad \text{for each} \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m-1 \quad \text{with} \quad i + j \neq 0,$$




FIGURE 1. The Kunz cones C_3 (left) and C_4 (right). Each face containing numerical semigroups is labeled with its Kunz poset.

called the *Kunz cone* due to [29]. Each facet equality $a_i + a_j = a_{i+j}$ occurs precisely when $b_{ij} = 0$, and this is not possible in the case $i + j = 0$. As such, the *Kunz poset* $P = (\mathbb{Z}_m, \preceq)$ of S , which sets $i \preceq j$ for distinct $i, j \neq 0$ if $a_j - a_i \in \text{Ap}(S)$, is determined by which facets of C_m contain (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) .

With this in mind, if (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) lies in the relative interior F° of a face $F \subseteq C_m$, we conflate notation and say S lies in F . In particular, a numerical semigroup T lies in the same face of C_m as S if and only if its Kunz poset is identical to that of S .

Example 2.2. The Kunz posets of each face of C_3 and C_4 are depicted in Figure 1. The latter has defining inequalities

$$2a_1 \geq a_2, \quad a_1 + a_2 \geq a_3, \quad a_2 + a_3 \geq a_1, \quad \text{and} \quad 2a_3 \geq a_2.$$

Resuming notation from Example 2.1, the point $(5, 10, 7) \in C_4$ lies in the interior of the upper-left facet, and the Kunz poset depicted therein reflects that $x_1^2 - x_2 \in I_S$.

The numerical semigroup $T = \langle 4, 13, 31 \rangle$ lies in the same facet of C_4 as S since $\text{Ap}(T) = \{0, 13, 26, 31\}$ with $26 = 2 \cdot 13$ and $13 + 26 > 31$. In the generating sets


$$\begin{aligned} I_S &= \langle x_1^2 - x_2, x_1^3 - y^2 x_3, x_3^2 - y x_1^2, x_1 x_3 - y^3 \rangle, \\ I_T &= \langle x_1^2 - x_2, x_1^3 - y^3 x_3, x_3^2 - y^7 x_1^2, x_1 x_3 - y^{10} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

each exponent of y equals b_{ij} for some i, j . More specifically, both generating sets consist of the binomials

$$x_1^2 - x_2, \quad x_1^3 - y^{b_{12}} x_3, \quad x_3^2 - y^{b_{33}} x_1^2, \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 x_3 - y^{b_{13}+1},$$

and only the values b_{12} , b_{13} , and b_{33} depend on which semigroup is chosen.

The second paragraph of Example 2.2 illustrates [23, Corollary 5.16]: if S and T are numerical semigroups in the same face of C_m , then there exist minimal binomial

FIGURE 2. The infinite multiplicity resolution for $m = 4$.

for each $d \geq 1$. Each map $\partial : F_d \rightarrow F_{d-1}$ in \mathcal{F}_\bullet is given by

$$e_{\mathbf{w}} \mapsto x_{w_d} e_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{d-i} y^{b_{w_i w_{i+1}}} e_{\tau_i \mathbf{w}}$$

for each $d \geq 1$, where $\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{d-1})$ and $\tau_i : \mathbb{Z}_m^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m^{d-1}$ is given by

$$\tau_i \mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_{i-1}, w_i + w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}, \dots, w_d).$$

Note that if $w_i = 0$ for some $i > 1$, then $\tau_i \mathbf{w} = \tau_{i-1} \mathbf{w}$, and $e_{\tau_j \mathbf{w}} = 0$ whenever $j \notin \{i, i-1\}$, so under the above definition $\partial_d e_{\mathbf{w}} = 0$ whenever $e_{\mathbf{w}} = 0$. Figure 2 depicts the beginning of the resolution for $m = 4$.

Lastly, we say a term $ct^n e_{\mathbf{w}} \in F_d$ has *class* k if $n \equiv k \pmod{m}$. In particular, any class 0 term can be written as $y^q e_{\mathbf{w}}$ for some $q \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.2. *The complex \mathcal{F}_\bullet is a resolution. Additionally, \mathcal{F}_\bullet is minimal if and only if S is MED.*

Proof. We first check that \mathcal{F}_\bullet is a complex. If $d = 2$, then

$$\partial^2 e_{uv} = x_v \partial e_u - y^{b_{uv}} \partial e_{u+v} = x_v x_u - y^{b_{uv}} x_{u+v} = 0.$$

On the other hand, fix $d \geq 2$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_m^d$ with $w_i \neq 0$ whenever $i > 1$. Since $\partial e_{\mathbf{w}}$ is homogeneous under the fine grading by S , all occurrences of a given basis vector in $\partial^2 e_{\mathbf{w}}$ have identical monomial coefficient, so it suffices to check the \mathbb{k} -coefficients of each sum to 0. To this end, since

- (i) $\widehat{\tau_{d-1} \mathbf{w}} = \widehat{\mathbf{w}}$,
- (ii) $\tau_i \widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \widehat{\tau_i \mathbf{w}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-2$, and
- (iii) $\tau_i \tau_j \mathbf{w} = \tau_{j-1} \tau_i \mathbf{w}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq d-1$,

each basis vector arising in $\partial^2 e_{\mathbf{w}}$ appears exactly twice, and with opposite signs.

We next prove \mathcal{F}_{\bullet} is a resolution. The claim is clear for $d = 1$, so fix $d \geq 2$ and $f \in \ker \partial_d$. We claim that if every term in f has class 0, then $f = 0$. Indeed, for any nonzero term $y^q e_{\mathbf{w}}$ in f , the image $\partial y^q e_{\mathbf{w}}$ has exactly one term with nonzero class, namely $y^q x_{w_d} e_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}}$. Moreover, for any two nonzero terms $y^q e_{\mathbf{w}}$ and $y^{q'} e_{\mathbf{w}'}$ in f , if $y^q x_{w_d} e_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}} = y^{q'} x_{w'_d} e_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}'}}$, then $\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = \widehat{\mathbf{w}'}$, $w_d = w'_d$, and $q = q'$. As such, the image of any nonzero term in f has a term that cannot be cancelled by the image of any other nonzero term in f . This necessitates $f = 0$, proving the claim.

Now, suppose f has a nonzero term $t^n e_{\mathbf{w}}$ with nonzero class. Write $n = qm + a_r$ with $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 < r < m$, so that $t^n = y^q x_r$. The image $\partial y^q e_{\mathbf{w}r}$ has exactly one nonzero term with nonzero class, namely $y^q x_r e_{\mathbf{w}} = t^n e_{\mathbf{w}}$, so

$$f - \partial_{d+1} y^q e_{\mathbf{w}r} \in \ker \partial_d$$

has one fewer term with nonzero class than f does. The exactness of \mathcal{F}_{\bullet} now follows from induction on the number of terms in f with nonzero class.

For the final claim, recall that a graded resolution is minimal if and only if the matrices for ∂ contain no nonzero constant entries. The only matrix entries that depend on the particular values of a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} are the powers of y , and their exponents b_{ij} are all positive precisely when S is MED. This completes the proof. \square

The following consequence of Theorem 3.2 has identical proof to [7, Theorem 4.4].

Corollary 3.3. *Consider the set*

$$\mathcal{M} = \{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq m-1\} \cup \{y^{b_{ij}} : 1 \leq i, j \leq m-1\}$$

of formal symbols appearing as matrix entries in infinite Apéry resolutions. Fix a face F of C_m . There is a sequence of matrices, whose entries are \mathbb{k} -linear combinations of formal products of elements of \mathcal{M} , with the following property: for each numerical semigroup S in the relative interior of F , substituting R -variables and the values b_{ij} for S into the entries of each matrix yields boundary maps for a graded minimal free resolution of \mathbb{k} over R .

The following results focus on the Betti numbers of these and related resolutions, which are encoded in the *Poincaré series* of R , i.e., the formal power series

$$P_{\mathbb{k}}^R(z) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_i^R(\mathbb{k}) z^i.$$

Remark 3.4. Proposition 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 below can be seen as consequences of [29, Example 2.4] using standard tools [3, Proposition 3.3.5], and some interest was shown therein for classification of the Betti numbers and syzygies of I_S for numerical semigroups S with fixed multiplicity m . The infinite Apéry resolution is a step in this direction for the Betti numbers $\beta_i^R(\mathbb{k})$; we include short proofs of these results here as a demonstration of this fact.

Proposition 3.5. *If R and R' are the respective semigroup rings of numerical semi-groups S and S' in the same face of the Kunz cone C_m , then they have the same Poincaré series, that is, $P_{\mathbb{k}}^R(z) = P_{\mathbb{k}}^{R'}(z)$. In particular, the rationality of the Poincaré series of R is determined by the face of C_m containing S .*

Proof. The following proof is much in the spirit of the proof of [7, Theorem 4.3].

Let \mathcal{F}_{\bullet} and \mathcal{F}'_{\bullet} be the infinite Apéry resolutions for \mathbb{k} over R and R' respectively. If \mathcal{F}_{\bullet} and \mathcal{F}'_{\bullet} are not minimal, then they have ± 1 s in identical places in the differentials, since the only way for an entry to be 1 in such a resolution is if some $b_{ij} = 0$, which happens simultaneously for S and S' in the same face. Betti numbers can be realized as the dimension of Tor , so we consider the homology of $\mathcal{F}_{\bullet} \otimes \mathbb{k}$ and $\mathcal{F}'_{\bullet} \otimes \mathbb{k}$. These tensored complexes are identical – any element of the maximal ideal vanishes, leaving matching ± 1 s in matching places, which means the images and kernels of these new differentials are also identical. Now we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i^R(\mathbb{k}) &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Tor}_i^R(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H_i(\mathcal{F}_{\bullet} \otimes \mathbb{k}) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H_i(\mathcal{F}'_{\bullet} \otimes \mathbb{k}) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Tor}_i^{R'}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}) = \beta_i^{R'}(\mathbb{k}), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Use Q to denote the polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[y, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}]$ graded as in the definition of $R = Q/I_S$. Recall that R is *Golod* when the Poincaré series $P_{\mathbb{k}}^R(z)$ satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad P_{\mathbb{k}}^R(z) = \frac{(1+z)^n}{1 - z^2 P_I^Q(z)},$$

where $P_I^Q(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \beta_i^Q(I) z^i$ and n is the number of minimal generators of S .

Corollary 3.6. *Golodness is a uniform property across the faces of C_m , that is, either all semigroups from a given face of C_m give rise to Golod semigroup rings or none do.*

Proof. Let S and S' be two semigroups from the same face of C_m and let R and R' be their numerical semigroup rings, respectively. By Proposition 3.5, $P_{\mathbb{k}}^R(z) = P_{\mathbb{k}}^{R'}(z)$, and it is known from [7, Corollary 4.5] that $\beta_d(I_S) = \beta_d(I_{S'})$ for all d , so $P_{I_S}^Q(z) = P_{I_{S'}}^Q(z)$. Therefore, the left and right hand sides of (3.2) are identical for S and S' . □

Corollary 3.7. *If S is MED, then R is Golod.*

Proof. The resolution \mathcal{F}_{\bullet} is minimal when S is MED, so

$$P_{\mathbb{k}}^R(z) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (m)(m-1)^{i-1} z^i = 1 + \frac{mz}{1 - (m-1)z} = \frac{1+z}{1 - (m-1)z}.$$

$$0 \leftarrow R \xleftarrow{\quad} R^2 \xleftarrow{\quad} R^2 \xleftarrow{\quad} R^2 \xleftarrow{\quad} R^2 \xleftarrow{\quad} \dots$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \mathbf{01} & \mathbf{13} & & \mathbf{013} & \mathbf{131} & & \mathbf{0131} & \mathbf{1313} \\
 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{01} & \mathbf{013} & \mathbf{131} & \mathbf{013} & \mathbf{131} & \mathbf{1313} \\
 \emptyset & [y & x_1] & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & -y^{b_{13}} \\ -y & x_1^3 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1^3 & y^{b_{13}} \\ y & x_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & -y^{b_{13}} \\ -y & x_1^3 \end{bmatrix} \\
 & & & & & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

 FIGURE 3. Specialized resolution when $m = 4$, $a_2 = 2a_1$, and $a_3 = 3a_1$.

On the other hand, the resolution of I over S is given by the Apéry resolution [7], so one can readily verify that

$$P_I^Q(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} (i+1) \binom{m}{i+2} z^i = \frac{1 - (1+z)^{m-1}(1 - (m-1)z)}{z^2}$$

and thus R is Golod. \square

Remark 3.8. It is worth noting that, despite R being Golod in the MED case, the infinite Apéry resolution does *not* use the same basis as the resolution of Golod–Eagon–Shamash [25]. This is easily seen in even the second differential, where each column has exactly one x_i entry, whereas any Eagon resolution will have columns with two x entries, since it is based in part on the Koszul complex resolving \mathbb{k} over Q .

4. SPECIALIZED RESOLUTIONS FOR $m = 4$

Throughout this section, assume $m = 4$, and as before, let $\text{Ap}(S) = \{0, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ with $a_i \equiv i \pmod{4}$. In what follows, we obtain the minimal resolution of \mathbb{k} over $\mathbb{k}[S]$ by considering which face of $\mathcal{C}_4 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ contains S . Up to symmetry under \mathbb{Z}_4^* action, \mathcal{C}_4 has two facets and one ray that contain numerical semigroups. The ray is easy: any numerical semigroup in this face has the form $S = \langle 4, a_1 \rangle$ with $a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, so $I_S = \langle x_1^4 - y^{b_{13}} \rangle$ is principle and the resolution of \mathbb{k} over $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is the one in Figure 3 (due to the periodicity of the matrices therein, it suffices to check exactness in two places to verify this is a resolution).

When S lies in a facet of \mathcal{C}_4 , S has 3 minimal generators, so the resolution of \mathbb{k} over $\mathbb{k}[S]$ is more complicated. The facets in one orbit of the \mathbb{Z}_4^* -action contain only complete intersection numerical semigroups, while semigroups in the facets in the other orbit are not. We handle these two cases in turn.

4.1. A facet of \mathcal{C}_4 containing CI numerical semigroups. For this subsection, suppose $a_3 = a_1 + a_2$ and thus $x_3 = x_1x_2$, so that $S = \langle 4, a_1, a_2 \rangle$ lies in the lower-left facet of \mathcal{C}_4 in Figure 1.

It turns out the infinite Apéry resolution over R can in this case be minimized leaving only the summands $e_{\mathbf{w}}$ for which $w_i \leq w_{i+1}$ for each i . This can be seen in the row and column labels on the matrices in Figure 4. However, note that some columns have more than one x_i entry. As such, it will be convenient to index the basis vectors in this resolution by multisets \mathbf{c} of elements of $\{0, 1, 2\}$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{1} \quad \mathbf{2} \\
\emptyset \begin{bmatrix} y & x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{01} \quad \mathbf{02} \quad \mathbf{11} \quad \mathbf{12} \quad \mathbf{22} \\
\mathbf{0} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & & -y^{b_{22}} \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{1} \begin{bmatrix} -y & & x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{2} \begin{bmatrix} & -y & -y^{b_{11}} - x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{011} \quad \mathbf{012} \quad \mathbf{022} \quad \mathbf{111} \quad \mathbf{112} \quad \mathbf{122} \quad \mathbf{222} \\
\mathbf{01} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & & & & y^{b_{22}} \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{02} \begin{bmatrix} -y^{b_{11}} - x_1 & x_2 & & & & & y^{b_{22}} \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{11} \begin{bmatrix} & y & & x_1 & x_2 & & & \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{12} \begin{bmatrix} & & y & & -y^{b_{11}} - x_1 & x_2 & & \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{22} \begin{bmatrix} & & & y & & & x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{0111} \quad \mathbf{0112} \quad \mathbf{0122} \quad \mathbf{0222} \quad \mathbf{1111} \quad \mathbf{1112} \quad \mathbf{1122} \quad \mathbf{1222} \quad \mathbf{2222} \\
\mathbf{011} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & & & & & -y^{b_{22}} \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{012} \begin{bmatrix} -y^{b_{11}} - x_1 & x_2 & & & & & -y^{b_{22}} \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{022} \begin{bmatrix} & & x_1 & x_2 & & & & -y^{b_{22}} \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{111} \begin{bmatrix} -y & & & & x_1 & x_2 & & \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{112} \begin{bmatrix} & -y & & & -y^{b_{11}} - x_1 & x_2 & & \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{122} \begin{bmatrix} & & -y & & & & x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
\mathbf{222} \begin{bmatrix} & & & -y & & & -y^{b_{11}} - x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
R^3 \leftarrow R^5 \leftarrow \dots \\
R^7 \leftarrow R^9 \leftarrow \dots
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 4. Specialized resolution when $m = 4$ and $a_1 + a_2 = a_3$.

To this end, let \mathcal{A} denote the collection of all finite multisets comprised of elements from $\{0, 1, 2\}$. For $\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{A}$, let c_i denote the number of copies of i in \mathbf{c} , and write $|\mathbf{c}| = c_0 + c_1 + c_2$ for the cardinality of \mathbf{c} . For each $i = 0, 1, 2$, denote by $\mathbf{c} + i$ the multiset with one additional copy of i , and if $c_i > 0$, denote by $\mathbf{c} - i$ the multiset with one less copy of i . Define

$$F'_d = \langle e'_\mathbf{c} : \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{A}, |\mathbf{c}| = d \rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad \deg(e'_\mathbf{c}) = \sum_{i=0}^2 c_i \deg(x_i).$$

Let $e'_\mathbf{c} = 0$ if $c_0 > 1$, and for convenience, write $e'_{\mathbf{c}-i} = 0$ if $c_i = 0$. Clearly

$$\text{rank } F'_d = 2d + 1.$$

Define $\partial : F'_d \rightarrow F'_{d-1}$ by

$$e'_\mathbf{c} \mapsto \begin{cases} x_2 e'_{\mathbf{c}-2} + x_1 e'_{\mathbf{c}-1} - y^{b_{11}} e'_{\mathbf{c}-11+2} + (-1)^{d-1} (y e'_{\mathbf{c}-0} + y^{b_{22}} e'_{\mathbf{c}-22+0}) & \text{if } 2 \mid c_2; \\ x_2 e'_{\mathbf{c}-2} - x_1 e'_{\mathbf{c}-1} + (-1)^{d-1} (y e'_{\mathbf{c}-0} + y^{b_{22}} e'_{\mathbf{c}-22+0}) & \text{if } 2 \nmid c_2, \end{cases}$$

a few matrices of which are depicted in Figure 4 (in the row and column labels therein are multisets, e.g., **0111122** denotes the multiset \mathbf{c} with $c_0 = 1$, $c_1 = 4$, and $c_2 = 2$).

Remark 4.1. With enough patience and a healthy disrespect for checking signs, the resolution given in \mathcal{F}'_\bullet can be seen as the Tate complex [40] resolving the field over a complete intersection. Indeed, pairs of ones and twos in the indexing multisets correspond to powers of y_1 and y_2 in the divided power algebra when the Tate complex is

cast in the language of higher homotopies (see [15] and the references therein). Nevertheless, we include it here both for completeness and to indicate that such resolutions are possible to build for numerical semigroup rings without appealing to the higher homological toolkit.

Theorem 4.2. *Under the above definitions, \mathcal{F}'_\bullet is a resolution of \mathbb{k} over $\mathbb{k}[S]$ that is minimal if and only if $b_{11} > 0$.*

Proof. To verify $\partial^2 e_{\mathbf{c}} = 0$, there are 4 cases to consider, based on whether $c_0 = 0$ and whether $2 \mid c_2$. We only show the case where $c_0 = 1$ and $2 \nmid c_2$ here, as the other cases are similar. In this case, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2 e_{\mathbf{c}} &= \partial(x_2 e'_{\mathbf{c}-2} - x_1 e'_{\mathbf{c}-1} + (-1)^{d-1} y e'_{\mathbf{c}-0}) \\ &= x_2(x_2 e'_{\mathbf{c}-22} + x_1 e'_{\mathbf{c}-12} - y^{b_{11}} e'_{\mathbf{c}-11} + (-1)^{d-2} y e'_{\mathbf{c}-02}) \\ &\quad - x_1(x_2 e'_{\mathbf{c}-12} - x_1 e'_{\mathbf{c}-11} + (-1)^{d-1} y e'_{\mathbf{c}-01}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{d-1} y(x_2 e'_{\mathbf{c}-02} - x_1 e'_{\mathbf{c}-01} + (-1)^{d-2} y^{b_{22}} e'_{\mathbf{c}-22}) \\ &= (x_2^2 - y^{b_{22}+1}) e'_{\mathbf{c}-22} + (x_1^2 - x_2 y^{b_{11}}) e'_{\mathbf{c}-11} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove exactness. Fix $f \in \ker \partial_d$. If f has a nonzero term $ct^n e'_{\mathbf{c}}$ of class 3, then $a_3 = a_1 + a_2$ implies $n - a_2 \in S$, so replacing f with $f - ct^{n-a_2} \partial e'_{\mathbf{c}+2}$ results in one fewer class 3 term. Repeating this as necessary, we may assume f has no class 3 terms. Next, for each class 2 term of f , we may do an analogous replacement, and subsequently assume f only has terms of class 0 and 1.

Now, suppose $ct^n e'_{\mathbf{c}}$ is a nonzero term in f with class 1. If $c_2 > 0$, then $\partial(ct^n e'_{\mathbf{c}})$ has a class 3 term that cannot be cancelled by the image of any other terms in f . As such, we must have $c_2 = 0$, and replacing f with $f - ct^{n-a_1} \partial e'_{\mathbf{c}+1}$ results in one fewer term with nonzero class. With this, we may assume every term in f is class 0. However, each term in f then has one or two terms in its image under ∂ with nonzero class, neither of which can cancel with any other term in ∂f . We conclude $f = 0$.

For the minimality claim, $a_2 > m$ implies $b_{22} > 0$, so every term in the definition of ∂ has a positive degree coefficient precisely when $b_{11} > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

4.2. A facet of \mathcal{C}_4 containing non-CI numerical semigroups. For this subsection, suppose $a_2 = 2a_1$ and thus $x_2 = x_1^2$, so that $S = \langle 4, a_1, a_3 \rangle$ lies in the upper-left facet of \mathcal{C}_4 in Figure 1. Resuming notation from Definition 3.1, let

$$F_d = \langle e_{\mathbf{w}} : \mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_4^d \rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad \deg(e_{\mathbf{w}}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \deg(x_{w_i}).$$

Let $W_d \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^d$ denote the set of $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_m^d$ such that $w_1 \in \{0, 1, 3\}$ and for each $i \geq 2$ we have $w_i \in \{2, 3\}$ if $w_{i-1} = 1$ and $w_i \in \{1, 3\}$ otherwise. Let

$$F'_d = \langle e_{\mathbf{w}} : \mathbf{w} \in W_d \rangle \subseteq F_d$$

so that

$$\text{rank } F'_d = 3 \cdot 2^{d-1} \quad \text{for} \quad d \geq 1.$$

We show in Theorem 4.5 that row and column operations may be performed to the infinite Apéry resolution to obtain a resolution whose first few matrices are depicted in Figure 5.

Example 4.3. The idea of the proof of Theorem 4.5 is to define maps $p_d : F_d \rightarrow F'_d$ that express each $e_{\mathbf{w}} \in F_d \setminus F'_d$ in terms of generators of F'_d in a manner that is consistent with ∂ . For instance, it is natural to define

$$p_1 e_2 = x_1 e_1 \quad \text{since} \quad \partial e_2 = \partial x_1 e_1 = x_1^2,$$

and $p_1(e_i) = e_i$ if $i \neq 2$. Composing p_1 and ∂ yields a map $F_2 \rightarrow F'_1$ that sends, e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{11} &\mapsto p_1 \partial e_{11} = p_1(x_1 e_1 - y^{b_{11}} e_2) = x_1 e_1 - x_1 e_1 = 0, \\ e_{12} &\mapsto p_1 \partial e_{12} = p_1(x_1 e_2 - y^{b_{12}} e_3) = x_1^2 e_1 - y^{b_{12}} e_3, \\ e_{21} &\mapsto p_1 \partial e_{21} = p_1(x_2 e_1 - y^{b_{21}} e_3) = x_1^2 e_1 - y^{b_{12}} e_3. \end{aligned}$$

Restricting $p_1 \partial$ to F'_2 yields the second matrix in Figure 5; the second line above appears therein since $e_{12} \in F'_2$. From there, we may define $p_2 e_{11} = 0$ and $p_2 e_{21} = e_{12}$ based on the above, and after subsequently defining

$$p_2 e_{23} = 0, \quad p_2 e_{32} = x_1(e_{31} - e_{13}), \quad p_2 e_{22} = y^{b_{12}} e_{13}, \quad \text{and} \quad p_2 e_{02} = x_1 e_{01}$$

via analogous reasoning, restricting $p_2 \partial$ to F'_3 yields the third matrix in Figure 5.

In what follows, we say a term $ct^n e_{\mathbf{w}} \in F'_d$ is *reduced* if (i) it has class 0, or (ii) it has class 1 and $w_d = 1$. We say an element of F'_d is *reduced* if all of its terms are reduced.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose there exists a map $\partial' : F'_d \rightarrow F'_{d-1}$ such that:*

- (i) *the term $x_{w_d} e_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}}$ appears in $\partial' e_{\mathbf{w}}$ for each $\mathbf{w} \in W_d$; and*
- (ii) *for each $\mathbf{w} \in W_d$, each term of $\partial' e_{\mathbf{w}}$ not involving $e_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}}$ is reduced.*

Then each $f \in F'_{d-1}$ is equivalent modulo $\partial' F'_d$ to a reduced element.

Proof. For each class 3 term $ct^n e_{\mathbf{w}}$ in f , we have $n - a_3 \in S$, so replacing f with $f - ct^{n-a_3} \partial' e_{\mathbf{w},3}$ results in one fewer class 3 term. Repeating this process for each class 3 term, we may assume f has no terms with class 3. Analogously, if a term $ct^n e_{\mathbf{w}}$ in f has class 2, then either $w_{d-1} = 1$, in which case $f - ct^{n-a_2} \partial' e_{\mathbf{w},2}$ has one fewer class 2 term, or $w_{d-1} \in \{2, 3\}$, in which case $a_2 = 2a_1$ implies $n - a_1 \in S$ and thus $f - ct^{n-a_1} \partial' e_{\mathbf{w},1}$ has one fewer class 2 term. In the end, we may assume f also has no terms of class 2.

Now, suppose $ct^n e_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a class 1 term in f . If $w_{d-1} \neq 1$, then $f - ct^{n-a_1} \partial' e_{\mathbf{w},1}$ has no $e_{\mathbf{w}}$ term, and each new class 1 term is reduced by (ii), so there is one less non-reduced term. As such, after finitely many such replacements, we obtain an element equivalent to f modulo $\partial' F'_d$ in which every term is reduced. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Under the above definitions, performing appropriate row and column operations to the infinite Apéry resolution yields a resolution*

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{F}'_{\bullet} : 0 \longleftarrow R \longleftarrow F'_1 \longleftarrow F'_2 \longleftarrow \cdots$$

for \mathbb{k} over $\mathbb{k}[S]$. Moreover, \mathcal{F}'_{\bullet} is minimal if and only if $b_{12} > 0$.

Proof. We will construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & R & \xleftarrow{\partial_1} & F_1 & \xleftarrow{\partial_2} & F_2 \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow p_0 & & \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p_2 \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & R & \xleftarrow{\partial'_1} & F'_1 & \xleftarrow{\partial'_2} & F'_2 \longleftarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

in which the bottom row is the desired resolution. First, define p_0 as the identity map on R , and define $\partial' e_{\mathbf{w}} = \partial e_{\mathbf{w}}$ for each $\mathbf{w} \in W_1$. Since $x_2 = x_1^2$, we have $\partial e_2 = \partial x_1 e_1$, so ∂' is indeed surjective onto the maximal monomial ideal of R .

Proceeding by induction on $d \geq 2$, assume the maps ∂'_i and p_{i-1} are defined for $i < d$, and that the following hold:

- (i) $\partial'_{d-1} F'_{d-1} = \ker \partial'_{d-2}$ (i.e., the bottom row is exact);
- (ii) $p_{d-2} \partial_{d-1} e_{\mathbf{w}} = \partial'_{d-1} e_{\mathbf{w}}$ for each $\mathbf{w} \in W_{d-1}$;
- (iii) the term $x_{w_{d-1}} e_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}}$ appears in $\partial'_{d-1} e_{\mathbf{w}}$ for each $\mathbf{w} \in W_{d-1}$; and
- (iv) for each $\mathbf{w} \in W_{d-1}$, each term of $\partial'_{d-1} e_{\mathbf{w}}$ not involving $e_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}}$ is reduced.

Clearly (i)-(iv) hold in the case $d = 2$. We now construct the maps p_{d-1} and ∂'_d .

First, fix $e_{\mathbf{w}} \in F_{d-1}$. If $d = 2$, then define

$$p_1 e_i = \begin{cases} x_1 e_1 & \text{if } i = 2; \\ e_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If, on the other hand, $d > 2$, then since $\partial_{d-2} \partial_{d-1} e_{\mathbf{w}} = 0$, we have $p_{d-3} \partial_{d-2} \partial_{d-1} e_{\mathbf{w}} = 0$. By commutativity of the diagram, $\partial'_{d-2} p_{d-2} \partial_{d-1} e_{\mathbf{w}} = 0$, and thus $p_{d-2} \partial_{d-1} e_{\mathbf{w}} \in \ker \partial'_{d-2}$. By (i), we then have $p_{d-2} \partial_{d-1} e_{\mathbf{w}} \in \partial'_{d-1} F'_{d-1}$. As such, choosing $p_{d-1} e_{\mathbf{w}} = a_{\mathbf{w}}$ for any element $a_{\mathbf{w}} \in F'_{d-1}$ with $\partial'_{d-1} a_{\mathbf{w}} = p_{d-2} \partial_{d-1} e_{\mathbf{w}}$ ensures the diagram commutes. We do so, subject to the following stipulations:

- if $\mathbf{w} \in W_{d-1}$, then choose $a_{\mathbf{w}} = e_{\mathbf{w}}$, which we may do by (ii); and
- if $\mathbf{w} \notin W_{d-1}$, then $a_{\mathbf{w}}$ is reduced, which we may do by (iii), (iv), and Lemma 4.4.

Having now defined p_{d-1} , for each $\mathbf{w} \in W_d$ define

$$\partial'_d e_{\mathbf{w}} \mapsto x_{w_d} p_{d-1} e_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{d-i} y^{b_{w_i w_{i+1}}} p_{d-1} e_{\tau_i \mathbf{w}}.$$

We record the following observations for each $\mathbf{w} \in W_d$:

- by construction, $\partial'_d e_{\mathbf{w}} = p_{d-1} \partial_d e_{\mathbf{w}}$;
- since $\widehat{\mathbf{w}} \in W_{d-1}$, we have $p_{d-1} e_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}} = e_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}}$, so the term $x_{w_d} e_{\widehat{\mathbf{w}}}$ appears in $\partial'_d e_{\mathbf{w}}$; and

Remark 4.7. As a consequence of Theorems 4.2 and 4.5, and the results of [7], one can see that if $m = 4$, then R is either Golod or complete intersection. Indeed, if $a_2 = 2a_1$ as in the current subsection, or if $a_2 = 2a_3$, then

$$P_{\mathbb{k}}^R(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-2z} \quad \text{and} \quad P_I^Q(z) = 3+2z$$

by Theorem 4.5 and [7, Example 4.2], respectively, so one can readily verify that R is Golod. Aside from the interior, within which S is MED and thus R is Golod by Theorem 3.2, any numerical semigroup in a remaining face of \mathcal{C}_4 is complete intersection by Theorem 4.2 and the discussion at the start of Section 4.

5. THE KOSZUL PROPERTY AND RESOLVING OVER THE ASSOCIATED GRADED

When investigating the nature of infinite resolutions, one must consider the notion of Koszulness.

Definition 5.1. A standard \mathbb{N} -graded \mathbb{k} -algebra A is *Koszul* when $\beta_{i,j}^A(\mathbb{k}) = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$, that is, whenever the residue field \mathbb{k} has linear resolution as an A -module.

Various criteria (though no complete characterization) exist for detecting Koszulness. For example, if I is the defining ideal of A , I must be quadratic in order for A to be Koszul. On the other hand, if I has a quadratic Gröbner basis, then A must be Koszul. The converses for both of these statements are false, however. More details on Koszul algebras can be found in [12, 13, 18, 34] and the references therein.

Upon observation, the infinite Apéry resolution given in Section 3 is nearly linear in some sense, as the x variables only appear in the differentials with exponent 1. However, the resolution is not linear in the strictest sense, as the twists on the modules jump by more than 1 at successive steps of the resolution due to the nonstandard grading on R . Indeed, the traditional notion of Koszul assumes a standard \mathbb{N} -grading, so some generalization is necessary. We follow [26, 27, 36] in making such a generalization.

Definition 5.2. The *associated graded ring (with respect to \mathfrak{m})*, written $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$, is the \mathbb{N} -graded algebra

$$\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^i / \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}.$$

For a non-zero polynomial f in R , use f^* to denote the homogeneous component of f of lowest degree, called the *initial form* of f , and use $I^* = \langle f^* \mid f \in I \rangle$ for the ideal generated by the lowest degree components of elements in I . A set of polynomials $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ in I is a *standard basis* for I if $I^* = \langle f_1^*, \dots, f_r^* \rangle$.

It can be shown that a standard basis is also a generating set for I . Furthermore, I^* defines $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$, that is, $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = Q/I^*$. Details for these facts, as well as algorithms to compute I^* , can be checked in [14, Sections 5.1 and 15.10.3].

The associated graded ring is used to extend the notion of Koszulness to non-standard graded rings.




FIGURE 6. The face of C_5 containing the semigroups in Example 5.4 (left), and the Kunz posets of the face and its two rays (right).

Definition 5.3. An \mathbb{N} -graded ring R is *Koszul* if $\text{gr}_m(R)$ is Koszul. Furthermore, we call a semigroup S Koszul if $\text{gr}_m(\mathbb{k}[S])$ is Koszul.

The above notion subsumes the usual definition of Koszul, since if R is already standard \mathbb{N} -graded, then $R \cong \text{gr}_m(R)$.

Example 5.4. Consider the numerical semigroups

$$S = \langle 5, 6, 19 \rangle, \quad S' = \langle 5, 21, 69 \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad S'' = \langle 5, 31, 99 \rangle,$$

each of multiplicity 5. The Apéry sets $\{5, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ of S , S' , and S'' each have a_1 and a_4 as minimal generators, and $a_2 = 2a_1$ and $a_3 = 3a_1$ as the only expressions for a_2 and a_3 , so they all lie in the same face $F \subseteq C_5$. This shared face, depicted in Figure 6, implies that the generators for their defining toric ideals

$$\begin{aligned} I_S &= \langle x_1^4 - x_4y, x_1x_4 - y^5, x_4^2 - x_1^3y^4 \rangle, \\ I_{S'} &= \langle x_1^4 - x_4y^3, x_1x_4 - y^{18}, x_4^2 - x_1^3y^{15} \rangle, \\ I_{S''} &= \langle x_1^4 - x_4y^5, x_1x_4 - y^{26}, x_4^2 - x_1^3y^{21} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

vary only in their y -exponents (the light, red dots in the poset for F indicate the graded degree of these generators; see [23, Section 5] for more detail). However, their associated graded algebras, defined by

$$I_S^* = \langle x_1^5, yx_4, x_1x_4, x_4^2 \rangle, \quad I_{S'}^* = \langle x_1^4 - x_4y^3, x_1x_4, x_4^2 \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad I_{S''}^* = \langle x_1^4, x_1x_4, x_4^2 \rangle,$$

vary significantly. For example, I_S^* and $I_{S''}^*$ are purely monomial, while $I_{S'}^*$ is not.

Looking more generally within the face containing S , S' , and S'' , any numerical semigroup on the orange line $4a_1 = a_4 + 15$ splitting the face in Figure 6 has associated graded $I_{S'}^*$, any numerical semigroup in the shaded region below the orange line has associated graded I_S^* or $\langle x_1^5, y^2x_4, x_1x_4, x_4^2 \rangle$, and any numerical semigroup in the unshaded region has associated graded $I_{S''}^*$.

This variance can lead to some disappointment.

Proposition 5.5. *The Kunz cone does not distinguish between the following for semigroups coming from the same face:*

- the finite Betti numbers $\beta_i^Q(\mathrm{gr}_m(R))$;
- the Poincaré series of $\mathrm{gr}_m(R)$; and
- the Koszulness of $\mathrm{gr}_m(R)$, and therefore the Koszulness of R .

Proof. The semigroups S and S'' from Example 5.4 are already enough to see the first two items. Namely, $\beta_1^Q(Q/I_S^*) = 4$ and $\beta_1^Q(Q/I_{S''}^*) = 3$. Furthermore, if one computes the first few steps of the resolutions of the field, one finds that $\beta_2^{\mathrm{gr}_m(\mathbb{k}[S])}(\mathbb{k}) = 7$, but $\beta_2^{\mathrm{gr}_m(\mathbb{k}[S''])}(\mathbb{k}) = 6$.

The following example gives a pair T and T' where $\mathbb{k}[T]$ is Koszul but $\mathbb{k}[T']$ is not even quadratic, but T and T' come from the same face of C_m . Let $T = \langle 8, 9, 10, 12, 23 \rangle$ and $T' = \langle 8, 81, 90, 108, 207 \rangle$. Note that T' is obtained from T by multiplying each generator besides 8 by 9, which preserves the relations within the Apéry set since $9 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, and so T and T' are in the same face of the Kunz cone C_8 . The associated graded rings in this case are quotients of $\mathbb{k}[y, x_1, x_2, x_4, x_7]$ with the standard grading.

One can check with Macaulay2 [24] that

$$I_T^* = \langle x_1^2 - yx_2, x_2^2 - yx_4, x_4^2, yx_7, x_1x_7, x_2x_7, x_4x_7, x_7^2 \rangle,$$

and the generators listed form a quadratic Gröbner basis, so T is Koszul. However,

$$I_{T'}^* = \langle x_1^2, x_2^2, x_4^2, x_1x_2x_4, x_1x_7, x_2x_7, x_4x_7, x_7^2 \rangle,$$

which is not even quadratic due to the presence of $x_1x_2x_4$, and so T' is not Koszul. \square

Remark 5.6. It is worth pointing out that [27] proves that MED semigroups are Koszul, so such pathologies can only appear on the boundary of C_m . In this regard, numerical semigroup rings in fixed multiplicity are “generically” Koszul.

6. OPEN PROBLEMS

In [26, 33], $\beta_i^R(\mathbb{k})$ is expressed in terms of homology of the divisibility poset of S . Corollary 3.3 implies these Betti numbers are in fact determined by a finite subposet, namely the Kunz poset of S . This begs the following natural question, especially in light of similar results in the finite case [11].

Problem 6.1. *Locate a formula for $\beta_i^R(\mathbb{k})$ in terms of the Kunz poset of S .*

By [22], so-called “generic” toric rings are Golod, so Golodness is a common property among toric rings. Although numerical semigroup rings are rarely generic in the sense of [22], Corollary 3.7 implies Golodness is still a common property within the family of numerical semigroup rings since most numerical semigroups are MED. As the faces of C_m provide a natural means for classifying numerical semigroups, a more refined question about the prevalence of Golodness now has a combinatorial approach.

Problem 6.2. *Obtain a combinatorial (i.e., Kunz poset-theoretic) characterization of when R is Golod. How common are the faces of C_m containing numerical semigroups for which R is Golod?*

Another property of interest is the rationality of the Poincaré series $P_{\mathbb{k}}^R(z)$, which by Proposition 3.5 is uniform across any given face of C_m . The smallest known example of a numerical semigroup S for which $P_{\mathbb{k}}^R(z)$ is irrational, given in [19], has $m = 18$. An answer to the following question would yield a computational approach to determining whether smaller examples exist (see [10] for detail on face lattice computations of C_m with $m \leq 18$).

Problem 6.3. *Obtain a combinatorial (i.e., Kunz poset-theoretic) characterization of when $P_{\mathbb{k}}^R(z)$ is rational.*

By Theorem 3.2, each summand in the minimal resolution of \mathbb{k} over R corresponds to a word $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_m^d$. In the nomenclature of theoretical computer science, one may define a *language* \mathcal{L}_S consisting of all such words \mathbf{w} (note that \mathcal{L}_S is dependent only on the Kunz poset of S by Corollary 3.3). By the results in Section 4, for any numerical semigroup with $m = 4$, \mathcal{L}_S is a regular language, which implies $P_{\mathbb{k}}^R(z)$ is rational (see, e.g., the discussion and references in [4]). The following, although a loftier goal than the above problems, is thus one possible approach to Problem 6.3.

Problem 6.4. *Classify the language \mathcal{L}_S in terms of the Kunz poset of S .*

Cellular resolutions are a popular tool from combinatorial commutative algebra used to resolve monomial ideals over the polynomial ring [5, 6]. For example, the Koszul resolution of the field over the polynomial ring can be seen as a cellular resolution supported on the simplex. Given the combinatorial nature of numerical semigroup rings, after some preliminary computations, we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.5. *One can ascribe a cellular structure to the infinite Apéry resolution.*

Given the consistency of the resolution of \mathbb{k} across each face of C_m , do there exist other families of modules (e.g., quotients by certain monomial ideals) whose resolutions are also consistent across the face? For instance, after some preliminary computations, the Betti numbers of the quotient $M = R/\langle x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} \rangle$, resolved over R , appear to be consistent across the face (note that M is spanned over \mathbb{k} by finitely many powers of y , but its dimension over \mathbb{k} can vary for semigroups in the same face of C_m).

Problem 6.6. *Locate families of ideals $I \subseteq R$ for which the resolutions over R are consistent across the face of C_m containing S .*

To this end, we also pose the following, inspired by the resolutions constructed in [38].

Problem 6.7. *Does there exist a Taylor-like resolution for monomial ideals over R ?*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank David Eisenbud for his feedback and several helpful conversations, and in particular for identifying portions of [29] that had been overlooked for many years.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Anick, *A counterexample to a conjecture of Serre*, Ann. of Math. **115** (1982), no. 1, 1–33.
- [2] J. Autry, A. Ezell, T. Gomes, C. O’Neill, C. Preuss, T. Saluja, and E. Torres-Davila, *Numerical semigroups, polyhedra, and posets II: locating certain families of semigroups*, Advances in Geometry **22** (2022), no. 1, 33–48.
- [3] L. Avramov, *Infinite free resolutions*, Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996), 1–118, Progr. Math., 166, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998.
- [4] E. Barucci, A. Del Lungo, A. Frosini, and S. Rinaldi, *A technology for reverse-engineering a combinatorial problem from a rational generating function*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **26** (2001), no. 2, 129–153.
- [5] D. Bayer, I. Peeva and B. Sturmfels, *Monomial resolutions*, Math. Res. Lett. **5** (1998), no. 1–2, 31–46.
- [6] D. Bayer and B. Sturmfels, *Cellular resolutions of monomial modules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **502** (1998), 123–140.
- [7] B. Braun, T. Gomes, E. Miller, C. O’Neill, and A. Sobieska, *Minimal free resolutions of numerical semigroup algebras via Apéry specialization* preprint. Available at arXiv:2310.03612.
- [8] C. Brower, J. McDonough, and C. O’Neill, *Numerical semigroups, polyhedra, and posets IV: walking the faces of the Kunz cone*, preprint. Available at arXiv:2401.06025.
- [9] J. Burke, *Higher homotopies and Golod rings*, preprint. Available at arXiv:1508.03782.
- [10] W. Bruns, P. García-Sánchez, C. O’Neill, and D. Wilburne, *Wilf’s conjecture in fixed multiplicity*, International Journal of Algebra and Computation **30** (2020), no. 4, 861–882.
- [11] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Semigroup rings and simplicial complexes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **122** (1997), no. 3, 185–208.
- [12] A. Conca, *Koszul algebras and their syzygies*, Combinatorial algebraic geometry, 1–31. Lecture Notes in Math., 2108 Fond. CIME/CIME Found. Subser. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [13] A. Conca, E. De Negri, and M. Rossi, *Koszul algebras and regularity*, Commutative algebra, 285–315. Springer, New York, 2013.
- [14] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra, with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [15] D. Eisenbud and I. Peeva, *Minimal free resolutions over complete intersections*, Lecture Notes in Math., 2152, Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [16] C. Elmacioglu, K. Hilmer, H. Koufmann, M. Okandan, and C. O’Neill, *On the cardinality of minimal presentations of numerical semigroups*, to appear, Algebraic Combinatorics. Available at arXiv:2211.16283.
- [17] R. Fröberg, *Determination of a class of Poincaré series*, Math. Scand. **37** (1975), no. 1, 29–39.
- [18] R. Fröberg, *Koszul algebras*, Advances in commutative ring theory (Fez, 1997), 337–350. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 205, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1999

- [19] R. Fröberg and J. Roos, *An affine monomial curve with irrational Poincare-Betti series*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 152 (2000), no. 1–3, 89–92.
- [20] V. Gasharov, N. Horwitz, and I. Peeva, *Hilbert functions over toric rings*, Michigan Math. J. **57** (2008), 339–357.
- [21] V. Gasharov and I. Peeva, *Binomial free resolutions for normal toric surfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 6, 1583–1588.
- [22] V. Gasharov, I. Peeva, and V. Welker, *Rationality for generic toric rings*, Math. Z. 233 (2000), no. 1, 93–102.
- [23] T. Gomes, C. O'Neill, and E. Torres-Davila, *Numerical semigroups, polyhedra, and posets III: minimal presentations and face dimension*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **30** (2023), no. 2, #P2.57.
- [24] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>
- [25] T. Gulliksen and G. Levin, *Homology of local rings*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math., No. 20, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, 1969.
- [26] J. Herzog, V. Reiner, and V. Welker, *The Koszul property in affine semigroup rings*, Pacific J. Math. 186 (1998), no. 1, 39–65.
- [27] J. Herzog and D. Stamate, *Quadratic numerical semigroups and the Koszul property*, Kyoto J. Math. 57 (2017), no. 3, 585–612.
- [28] N. Kaplan and C. O'Neill, *Numerical semigroups, polyhedra, and posets I: the group cone*, Combinatorial Theory **1** (2021), #19.
- [29] E. Kunz, *Über die Klassifikation numerischer Halbgruppen*, Regensburger Mathematische Schriften **11**, 1987.
- [30] S. Mac Lane, *Homology*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 114, Academic Press, Inc., Publishers, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1963.
- [31] J. McCullough and I. Peeva, *Infinite graded free resolutions*, Commutative algebra and noncommutative algebraic geometry. Vol. I, 215–257, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 67, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2015.
- [32] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels, *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [33] I. Peeva, V. Reiner, and B. Sturmfels, *How to shell a monoid*, Math. Ann. 310 (1998), no. 2, 379–393.
- [34] A. Polishchuk and L. Positselski, *Quadratic algebras*, Univ. Lecture Ser., 37 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [35] S. Priddy, *Koszul resolutions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **152** (1970), 39–60.
- [36] V. Reiner and D. Stamate, *Koszul incidence algebras, affine semigroups, and Stanley-Reisner ideals* Advances in Mathematics **224** (2010), no. 6, 2312–2345.
- [37] J. Rosales and P. García-Sánchez, *Numerical Semigroups*, Developments in Mathematics, Vol. 20, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2009.
- [38] A. Sobieska, *A Taylor resolution over complete intersections*, J. Algebra **636** (2023), 716–731.
- [39] D. Stamate, *Betti numbers for numerical semigroup rings*, Multigraded algebra and applications, 133–157, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 238, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [40] J. Tate, *Homology of Noetherian rings and local rings*, Illinois J. Math. **1** (1957), 14–27.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455
Email address: gomes072@umn.edu

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92182
Email address: cdoneill@sdsu.edu

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MADISON, MADISON, WI 53706
Email address: asobieska@wisc.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455
Email address: torre680@umn.edu