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#### Abstract

The $L_{p}$ versions of the support function and polar body are introduced by Berndtsson, Mastrantonis and Rubinstein in [7] recently. In this paper, we prove that the $L_{p}$-support function of the shadow system $K_{t}$ introduced by Rogers and Shephard in [27,30] is convex and the volume of the section of $L_{p}$ polar bodies of $K_{t}$ is $\frac{1}{n}$-concave with respect to parameter $t$, and obtain some related inequalities. Finally, we present the reverse Rogers-Shephard type inequality for $L_{p}$-polar bodies.


## 1. Introduction

A convex body is a subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ which is convex, compact, and has non-empty interior. The support function $h_{K}$ of a convex body $K$ is defined by

$$
h_{K}(x)=\max \{\langle x, y\rangle: y \in K\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the standard inner product. By $\operatorname{int}(K)$ we denote the interior of $K$. If $K$ is a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $z \in \operatorname{int}(K)$, the polar body $K^{z}$ of $K$ with the center of polarity $z$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{z}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\langle y-z, x-z\rangle \leq 1 \quad \text { for all } x \in K\right\} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the center of polarity is taken to be the origin, we denote by $K^{o}$ the polarity of $K$, thus $K^{z}=(K-z)^{o}+z$. For $z \in \operatorname{int}(K),\left(K^{z}\right)^{z}=K$ (see [20] or [29, Theorem 1.6.1]). In [7], Berndtsson, Mastrantonis and Rubinstein introduced $L_{p}$ versions of the support function $h_{K}$ and polar body $K^{o}$ of $K$ as follows.

Definition 1.1. For $p \in(0,+\infty]$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the $L_{p}$ support function $h_{p, K}$ of $K$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{p, K}(y):=\log \left(\int_{K} e^{p\langle x, y\rangle} \frac{d x}{|K|}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|K|$ is the volume of $K$ and the $L_{p}$ polar body $K^{o, p}$ of $K$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{o, p}:=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\|y\|_{K^{o, p}} \leq 1\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|_{K^{o, p}}:=\left(\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{n-1} e^{-h_{p, K}(r y)} d r\right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

From (1.4) and the formula in [29, P276, Remark 5.1.2], the volume of $K^{o, p}$ can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K^{o, p}\right|=\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}}\|u\|_{K^{o, p}}^{-n} d u=\frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-h_{p, K}(x)} d x \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the first integral the integration is with respect to Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere $S^{n-1}$ and in the second integral the integration is with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. It is obvious that $h_{K}$ and $K^{o}$ are $L_{\infty}$ versions of $h_{p, K}$ and $K^{o, p}$, i.e.,

$$
h_{K}=h_{\infty, K} \quad \text { and } \quad K^{o}=K^{o, \infty} .
$$

Berndtsson et al also introduced $L_{p}$ Mahler volumes $\mathcal{M}_{p}(K)$ of a convex body $K$ in [7],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{p}(K):=|K| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-h_{p, K}(x)} d x, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the authors also introduced $L_{p}$ Mahler conjectures and established many important and interesting results. One of their main results is the following $L_{p}$ Blaschke-Santaló's inequality.

Theorem A. [7] Let $p \in(0,+\infty]$. For a symmetric convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\mathcal{M}_{p}(K) \leq \mathcal{M}_{p}\left(B_{2}^{n}\right)
$$

where $B_{2}^{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|x_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 1\right\}$.
By taking $p \rightarrow+\infty$, one recovers the classical Blaschke-Santaló's inequality:

$$
\mathcal{M}(K) \leq \mathcal{M}\left(B_{2}^{n}\right)
$$

For more reference of Blaschke-Santaló's inequality, we refer to [16 20] and references therein. The idea used in the proof of Theorem A is standard: for $u \in S^{n-1}$, the Steiner symmetrization $S_{u} K$ of $K$ with respect to a hyperplane $u^{\perp}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\langle x, u\rangle=0\right\}$ increases the volume of the $L_{p}$ polar body, i.e.,

$$
\left|\left(S_{u} K\right)^{o, p}\right| \geq\left|K^{o, p}\right| .
$$

The notion of shadow system was introduced by Rogers and Shephard [27] and Shephard [30]. A shadow system along the direction $v \in S^{n-1}$ is a family of convex sets $K_{t} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{t}=\operatorname{conv}\left\{x+\alpha(x) t v: x \in K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where conv denotes convex hull, $K$ is an arbitrary bounded set of points, $\alpha(x)$ is bounded function on $K$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $\alpha(x)$ is called the
speed function of the shadow system. A parallel chord movement along the direction $v \in S^{n-1}$ is a particular type of shadow system defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{t}=\left\{x+\beta\left(x \mid v^{\perp}\right) t v: x \in K\right\} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a convex body and $\beta(\cdot)$ is a continuous real function on the projection $K \mid v^{\perp}$ of $K$ onto $v^{\perp}$. The speed function $\beta(\cdot)$ has to be given in such a way that at time $t$ the union $K_{t}$ of moving chords is convex. For the use of special shadow system, we refer to Bianchini and Colesanti [8, Campi and Gronchi [10-13], Fradelizi, Meyer and Zvavitch [15] and Meyer and Reiser [21, 22] and references therein.

For any $v \in S^{n-1}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the projection of $K \cap\left(v^{\perp}+s v\right)$ of a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ onto $v^{\perp}$ is denoted by $K(s)$, i.e.,

$$
K(s)=\left\{x^{\prime}: x^{\prime}+s v \in K\right\} .
$$

In this paper, we will prove that the $L_{p}$-support function of the shadow system $K_{t}$ is convex and the slice volume of $L_{p}$ polar body $K_{t}^{o, p}$ of a parallel chord movement $K_{t}$ is $\frac{1}{n}$-concave with respect to parameter $t$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $p \in(0, \infty], v \in S^{n-1}$ and $K_{t}$ be a parallel movement of a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ along the direction $v$. Then the function $t \mapsto h_{p, K_{t}}$ is convex.

Theorem 1.2. Let $p \in(0,+\infty], v \in S^{n-1}$ and $K_{t}$ be a parallel chord movement of a convex body $K$ along the direction $v$. Then for all $s, t_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, $i=1,2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}}^{o, p}(s)\right|^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|K_{t_{1}}^{o, p}(s)\right|^{\frac{1}{n-1}}+\frac{1}{2}\left|K_{t_{2}}^{o, p}(s)\right|^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K$ be a convex body. For any $v \in S^{n-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left\{x^{\prime}+s v: x^{\prime} \in K \mid v^{\perp}, g_{v}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leq s \leq f_{v}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{v}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and $-f_{v}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ are convex functions on $K \mid v^{\perp}$. If we take $\beta(\cdot)=$ $-\left(g_{v}(\cdot)+f_{v}(\cdot)\right)$, then $K_{0}=K, K_{1}=K^{v}$ is the reflection of $K$ in the hyperplane $v^{\perp}$, and $K_{\frac{1}{2}}=S_{v} K$ is the Steiner symmetrization of $K$ with respect to $v^{\perp}$. Taking $t_{1}=0, t_{2}=1$ and $\beta(\cdot)=-\left(g_{v}(\cdot)+f_{v}(\cdot)\right)$ in Theorem 1.2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. Let $K$ be a symmetric convex body. Then for any $v \in S^{n-1}$,

$$
\left|\left(S_{v} K\right)^{o, p}\right| \geq\left|K^{o, p}\right|
$$

The Minkowski sum of two convex bodies $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the convex body defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K+L:=\left\{x+y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x \in K, y \in L\right\} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $K-L:=K+(-L)$. It was proved in [2] (see also [4]) that for any two convex bodies $K, L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $o \in \operatorname{int} K \cap \operatorname{int} L$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(K \cap L)^{o} \|(K-L)^{o}\right| \leq\left|K^{o}\right|\left|L^{o}\right| \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in [2] Alonso at al also point out that (1.12) only converges to equality when we consider sequences of sets $\left\{\left(K_{n}^{o}\right)_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(L_{n}^{o}\right)_{n}\right\}$ converging to simplices with $o$ in one of the vertices and the same outer normal vectors at the facets that pass through the origin.

A reverse inequality was proved by Alonso in [3] as follows: Let $K, L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be convex bodies such that $K^{o}$ and $L^{o}$ have opposite barycenters.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(K \cap L)^{o}\right|\left|(K-L)^{o}\right| \geq \frac{(n!)^{2}}{(2 n)!}\left|K^{o}\right|\left|L^{o}\right| \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For more references on Rogers-Shephard inequalities and its reverse, we refer to [1-4, 24-26] and reference therein. In Section 3, we prove the following volumes inequality which is a reverse Rogers-Shephard type inequality about $L_{p}$ polar bodies.

Theorem 1.4. Let $p \in(0,+\infty]$ and $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be convex bodies with $o \in$ int $K \cap$ int $L$ such that $K^{o, p}$ and $L^{o, p}$ have opposite barycenters. Then

$$
C_{2 n}^{n}\left|\operatorname{conv}\left(K^{o, p} \cup L^{o, p}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\left[\left(K^{o, p}\right)^{o}-\left(L^{o, p}\right)^{o}\right]^{o}\right| \geq\left|K^{o, p}\right|\left|L^{o, p}\right|,
$$

where $C_{2 n}^{n}=\frac{(2 n)!}{(n!)^{2}}$ is the combination number formula.
The ideas and techniques of Berndtsson, Mastrantonis and Rubinstein 7, Meyer and Werner [23], Meyer and Pajor [20] and Alonso at al [1]3] play a critical role throughout this paper. It would be impossible to overstate our reliance on their work.

## 2. p Polar Body of Shawdow System

For quick reference, we list some notations and definitions that will be useful in all the paper. See [29] for additional detail. The origin, unit sphere, and closed unit ball in $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are denoted by $o, S^{n-1}$ and $B_{2}^{n}$. If $u \in S^{n-1}$, then $u^{\perp}$ is the hyperplane containing $o$ and orthogonal $u$. For any convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we will denote by $I_{K}^{\infty}$ the convex characteristic function of $K$ which is the function defined by $I_{K}^{\infty}(x)=0$ if $x \in K$ and $I_{K}^{\infty}(x)=+\infty$ if $x \notin K$.

In addition to its denoting absolute value, we shall use $|\cdot|$ to denote the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and to denote $k$-dimensional volume in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $k \leq n$.

In this section we will prove the following theorems.
Theorem 2.1. Let $p \in(0, \infty], v \in S^{n-1}$ and $K_{t}$ be a parallel movement of a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ along the direction $v$. Then the function $t \mapsto h_{p, K_{t}}$ is convex, i.e.,for any $x^{\prime} \in v^{\perp}, s, t_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} h_{p, K_{t_{1}}}\left(x^{\prime}+s v\right)+\frac{1}{2} h_{p, K_{t_{2}}}\left(x^{\prime}+s v\right) \geq h_{p, K_{\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}}}\left(x^{\prime}+s v\right) .
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $p \in(0,+\infty], v \in S^{n-1}$ and $K_{t}$ be a parallel chord movement of a convex body $K$ along the direction $v$. Then for all $s, t_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$, $i=1,2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}}^{o, p}(s)\right|^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|K_{t_{1}}^{o, p}(s)\right|^{\frac{1}{n-1}}+\frac{1}{2}\left|K_{t_{2}}^{o, p}(s)\right|^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. [7, Corollary 5.16] Let $p \in(0,+\infty], v \in S^{n-1}$ and $K$ be an origin symmetric convex body. Then for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
K^{o, p}(s)=K^{o, p}(-s)
$$

Lemma 2.4. Let $p \in(0,+\infty], v \in S^{n-1}$ and $K_{t}$ be a parallel chord movement of a convex body $K$ with speed function

$$
\beta(\cdot):=-\left(f_{v}(\cdot)+g_{v}(\cdot)\right)
$$

along the direction $v$. Then for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
K^{o, p}(s)=K_{1}^{o, p}(s)
$$

Proof. Since for any $v \in S^{n-1}$,

$$
K=\left\{x^{\prime}+s v\left|g_{v}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leq s \leq f_{v}\left(x^{\prime}\right), x^{\prime} \in K\right| v^{\perp}\right\}
$$

and from the definition of parallel movement (1.8), we have

$$
K_{1}=\left\{x^{\prime}+s v\left|-f_{v}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leq s \leq-g_{v}\left(x^{\prime}\right), x^{\prime} \in K\right| v^{\perp}\right\}
$$

Hence, for any $x^{\prime} \in v^{\perp}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{p, K_{1}}\left(x^{\prime}+s v\right) & =\frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K_{1}} e^{p\left\langle z^{\prime}+z_{n} v, x^{\prime}+s v\right\rangle} \frac{d z^{\prime} d z_{n}}{\left|K_{1}\right|} \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K \mid v^{\perp}} \int_{-f_{v}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}^{-g_{v}\left(x^{\prime}\right)} e^{p\left\langle z^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle} e^{p s z_{n}} \frac{d z^{\prime} d z_{n}}{|K|} \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K \mid v^{\perp}} e^{p\left\langle z^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle} \frac{1}{p s}\left[e^{p s\left(-g_{v}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)}-e^{p s\left(-f_{v}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)}\right] \frac{d z^{\prime}}{|K|} \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K} e^{p\left\langle z^{\prime}+z_{n} v, x^{\prime}-s v\right\rangle} \frac{d z^{\prime} d z_{n}}{|K|} \\
& =h_{p, K}\left(x^{\prime}-s v\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.4) and (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{\prime}+s v\right\|_{K_{1}^{o, p}} & =\left(\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{n-1} e^{-h_{p, K_{1}}\left(r\left(x^{\prime}+s v\right)\right)} d r\right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{n-1} e^{-h_{p, K}\left(r\left(x^{\prime}-s v\right)\right)} d r\right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \\
& =\left\|x^{\prime}-s v\right\|_{K^{o, p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $x^{\prime}+s v \in K_{1}^{o, p}$ if and only if $x^{\prime}-s v \in K^{o, p}$, which means that

$$
K^{o, p}(-s)=K_{1}^{o, p}(s)
$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $p \in(0, \infty], v \in S^{n-1}$ and $K_{t}$ be a parallel movement of a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ along the direction $v$. Then for any $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in v^{\perp}, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b, c>0$ with $\frac{2}{a}=\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}$, we have
$\frac{c}{b+c} h_{p, K_{t_{1}}}\left(b x^{\prime}+b s v\right)+\frac{b}{b+c} h_{p, K_{t_{2}}}\left(c y^{\prime}+c s v\right) \geq h_{p, K_{\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}}}\left(a \frac{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}{2}+a s v\right)$
Proof. For any $v \in S^{n-1}$, there are convex functions $g_{v}(\cdot),-f_{v}(\cdot): K \mid v^{\perp} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$, such that

$$
K=\left\{z^{\prime}+r v: g_{v}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \leq r \leq f_{v}\left(z^{\prime}\right), z^{\prime} \in K \mid v^{\perp}\right\} .
$$

Let $m_{i}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{v}+f_{v}\right)+\beta t_{i}, i=1,2$, where $\beta$ is the speed function of $K_{t}$ and $f_{v}:=f_{v}\left(z^{\prime}\right), g_{v}:=g_{v}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$.

From (1.2), Fubini's Theorem and (1.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{p, K_{t_{1}}}\left(b x^{\prime}+b s v\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K_{t_{1}}} e^{p\left\langle z, b x^{\prime}+b s v\right\rangle} \frac{d z}{\left|K_{t_{1}}\right|} \\
= & \frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K \mid v^{\perp}} \int_{g_{v}+\beta t_{1}}^{f_{v}+\beta t_{1}} e^{p b\left\langle z^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle} e^{p b s r} \frac{d r d z^{\prime}}{|K|} \\
= & \frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K \mid v^{\perp}} e^{p b\left\langle z^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle} \frac{1}{p b s}\left(e^{p b s \frac{f_{v}-g_{v}}{2}}-e^{-p b s \frac{f_{v}-g_{v}}{2}}\right) \cdot e^{p b s m_{1}} d z^{\prime} \\
= & \frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K \mid v^{\perp}} e^{p b\left\langle z^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle} \cdot J\left(z^{\prime}, b\right) \cdot e^{p b s m_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)} d z^{\prime} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
J\left(z^{\prime}, b\right)=\frac{1}{p b s}\left(e^{p b s \frac{f_{v}-g_{v}}{2}}-e^{-p b s \frac{f_{v}-g_{v}}{2}}\right) .
$$

In the similar way we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{p, K_{t_{2}}}\left(c y^{\prime}+c s v\right)=\frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K \mid v^{\perp}} e^{p c\left\langle z^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle} \cdot J\left(z^{\prime}, c\right) \cdot e^{p b s m_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)} d z^{\prime}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{p, K_{\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}}}\left(a \frac{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}{2}+a s v\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K \mid v^{\perp}} e^{p \frac{a}{2}\left\langle z^{\prime}, x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}\right\rangle} \cdot J\left(z^{\prime}, a\right) \cdot e^{p a s \frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2}} d z^{\prime} . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.3) and (2.4), Minkowski inequality and the fact that $\frac{2}{a}=\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{c}{b+c} h_{p, K_{t_{1}}}\left(b x^{\prime}+b s v\right)+\frac{b}{b+c} h_{p, K_{t_{2}}}\left(c y^{\prime}+c s v\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{p} \log \left[\left(\int_{K \mid v^{\perp}} e^{p b\left\langle z^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle} \cdot J\left(z^{\prime}, b\right) e^{p b s m_{1}} d z^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{c}{b+c}}\right. \\
& \left.\cdot\left(\int_{K \mid v^{\perp}} e^{p c\left\langle z^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle} \cdot J\left(z^{\prime}, c\right) \cdot e^{p b s m_{2}} d z^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{b}{b+c}}\right] \\
\geq & \frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K \mid v^{\perp}} e^{p \frac{b c}{b+c}\left\langle z^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle} \cdot J\left(z^{\prime}, b\right)^{\frac{c}{b+c}} \cdot e^{\frac{p b c s m_{1}}{b+c}} \cdot e^{p \frac{b c}{b+c}\left\langle z^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle} \cdot J\left(z^{\prime}, c\right)^{\frac{b}{b+c}} \cdot e^{\frac{p b c s m_{2}}{b+c}} d z^{\prime} \\
= & \frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K \mid v^{\perp}} e^{p \frac{a}{2}\left\langle z^{\prime}, x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}\right\rangle} \cdot J\left(z^{\prime}, b\right)^{\frac{c}{b+c}} \cdot J\left(z^{\prime}, c\right)^{\frac{b}{b+c}} \cdot e^{p a s \frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)} d z^{\prime} . \quad(2.6) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $J\left(z^{\prime}, t\right)=\frac{2}{p s t} \sinh \left(\frac{f_{v}-g_{v}}{2} p s t\right)$ is log-convex in $t$, i.e.

$$
J\left(z^{\prime}, b\right)^{\frac{c}{b+c}} \cdot J\left(z^{\prime}, c\right)^{\frac{b}{b+c}} \geq J\left(z^{\prime}, a\right)
$$

from (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{c}{b+c} h_{p, K_{t_{1}}}\left(b x^{\prime}+b s v\right)+\frac{b}{b+c} h_{p, K_{t_{2}}}\left(c y^{\prime}+c s v\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p} \log \int_{K \mid v^{\perp}} e^{p a\left\langle z^{\prime}, \frac{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}{2}\right\rangle} \cdot J\left(z^{\prime}, a\right) \cdot e^{p a s \frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2}} d z^{\prime} \\
& =h_{p, K_{\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}}}\left(a \frac{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}{2}+a s v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The direct conclusion of the Lemma 2.5 is that the $L_{p}$-support function of the shadow system $K_{t}$ is convex with respect to parameter $t$.

The following well known consequence of Keith Ball will be needed.
Lemma 2.6. [5, Theorem 5] Let $F, G, H:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be measurable functions, not almost everywhere 0, with

$$
H(r) \geq F(t)^{\frac{s}{t+s}} \cdot G(s)^{\frac{t}{t+s}}, \quad \frac{2}{r}=\frac{1}{s}+\frac{1}{t}
$$

Then, for $q \geq 1$,

$$
2\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} r^{q-1} H(r) d r\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{q-1} F(t) d t\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} s^{q-1} G(s) d s\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}
$$

Lemma 2.7. Let $p \in(0, \infty], v \in S^{n-1}$ and $K_{t}$ be a parallel chord movement of a convex body $K$ along the direction $v$. Then for any $s, t_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2$.

$$
\frac{1}{2} K_{t_{1}}^{o, p}(s)+\frac{1}{2} K_{t_{2}}^{o, p}(s) \subset K_{\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}}^{o, p}(s)
$$

Proof. For any $x^{\prime}+s v \in K_{t_{1}}^{o, p}, y^{\prime}+s v \in K_{t_{2}}^{o, p}$, and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\frac{2}{a}=\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}$, from Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-h_{p, K_{\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{}}}\left(a \frac{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}{2}+a s v\right)} \geq\left(e^{-h_{p, K_{t_{1}}}\left(b x^{\prime}+b s v\right)}\right)^{\frac{c}{b+c}} \cdot\left(e^{-h_{p, K_{t_{2}}}\left(c y^{\prime}+c s v\right)}\right)^{\frac{b}{b+c}} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.4), (2.7) and Lemma 2.6, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}{2}+s v\right\|_{K_{t_{1}+t_{2}}^{o, p}}^{o, p} \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} a^{n-1} e^{-h_{p, K_{t_{1}+t_{2}}}}\left(\frac{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}{2}+a s v\right)\right. \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} b^{n-1} e^{-h_{p, K_{t_{1}}}\left(b x^{\prime}+b s v\right)} d b\right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} c^{n-1} e^{-h_{p, K_{t_{2}}}\left(c y^{\prime}+c s v\right)} d c\right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|x^{\prime}+s v\right\|_{K_{t_{1}}^{o, p}}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|y^{\prime}+s v\right\|_{K_{t_{2}}^{o, p}} \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}{2}+s v \in K_{\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}}^{o, p},
$$

which means that

$$
\frac{1}{2} K_{t_{1}}^{o, p}(s)+\frac{1}{2} K_{t_{2}}^{o, p}(s) \subset K_{\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}}^{o, p}(s) .
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. It is obvious that the inequality (2.1) follows from the Brunn-Minkowski inequality and Lemma 2.7.
Corollary 2.8. Let $p \in(0,+\infty], v \in S^{n-1}$ and $K_{t}$ be a parallel chord movement of a convex body $K$ with speed function $\beta(\cdot)=-\left(g_{v}(\cdot)+f_{v}(\cdot)\right)$ along the direction $v$. Then for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} K^{o, p}(s)+\frac{1}{2} K_{1}^{o, p}(s) \subset K_{\frac{1}{2}}^{o, p}(s)=\left(S_{v} K\right)^{o, p}(s) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $K=-K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(S_{v} K\right)^{o, p}\right| \geq\left|K^{o, p}\right| . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Taking $t_{1}=0$ and $t_{2}=1$ in Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 2.2, it is easily to obtain (2.8) and (2.9) follows from (2.8), Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.3,
Remark 2.1. We should point out that the above inequality (2.9) has been proven in [7].

The following $L_{p}$ Blaschke-Santaló inequality follows from (2.9), (1.5), (1.6) and the fact that repeated Steiner symmetrizations converge to a dilated Euclidean standard ball.

Corollary 2.9. [7] Let $p \in(0,+\infty]$. For a symmetric convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{p}(K) \leq \mathcal{M}_{p}\left(B_{2}^{n}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{2}^{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|x_{n}\right|^{2} \leq 1\right\}$.
Remark 2.2. We want to point out that the above inequality (2.10) in [7] is stated for symmetric bodies and we can get a different upper bound for $\mathcal{M}_{p}(K)$ of convex body $K$, which may not be symmetric.

We need the following Lemma which is classical and can be found in 23 , Lemma 3] or in [14, Lemma 2.5].

Lemma 2.10. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a convex body with $o, z \in \operatorname{int} K$. Then

$$
\left|(K-z)^{o}\right|=\int_{K^{o}} \frac{d x}{(1-\langle z, x\rangle)^{n+1}}
$$

Lemma 2.11. [7, Remark 3.14] Let $p \in(0, \infty]$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a convex body with $\operatorname{bar}(K)=o$. Then

$$
K^{o} \subset K^{o, p} \subset \frac{(1+p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}{p} K^{o}
$$

Proposition 2.12. Let $p \in(0, \infty]$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a convex body with $\operatorname{bar}(K)=o$. Then

$$
\mathcal{M}_{p}(K) \leq n!\left(\frac{(1+p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}{p}\right)^{n}\left|B_{2}^{n}\right|^{2}
$$

Proof. Let $z \in \operatorname{int} K^{o, p}$. From Lemma 2.10, Lemma 2.11 and applying Jensen's inequality to the convex function $\varphi(x)=(1-\langle z, x\rangle)^{-(n+1)}$ on $K$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(K^{o, p}-z\right)^{o}\right| & =\int_{\left(K^{o, p}\right)^{o}} \frac{d x}{(1-\langle z, x\rangle)^{n+1}} \\
& \geq \int_{\frac{p}{(1+p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} K} \frac{d x}{(1-\langle z, x\rangle)^{n+1}} \\
& \geq\left(\frac{p}{(1+p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{n}|K| \varphi\left(\int_{\frac{p}{(1+p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} K} x \frac{d x}{\left|\frac{p}{(1+p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} K\right|}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{p}{(1+p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{n}|K| \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the barycenter of $\left(\frac{p}{(1+p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} K\right)$ is $o$.

Let $z=\operatorname{San}\left(K^{o, p}\right)$ be the Santaló point $K^{o, p}$. Using (2.11) and the classical Blaschke Santaló inequality, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|K|\left|K^{o, p}\right| & \leq\left(\frac{(1+p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}{p}\right)^{n}\left|\left(K^{o, p}-\operatorname{San}\left(K^{o, p}\right)\right)^{o}\right|\left|K^{o, p}\right| \\
& \leq\left(\frac{(1+p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}{p}\right)^{n}\left|B_{2}^{n}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that

$$
\mathcal{M}_{p}(K) \leq n!\left(\frac{(1+p)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}{p}\right)^{n}\left|B_{2}^{n}\right|^{2}
$$

3. The reverse Rogers-Shephard type inequality for p polar BODIES

In this section we will discuss reverse Rogers-Shephard type inequality for $L^{p}$-polar bodies. We will need the following useful Lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. [7, Lemma 3.1] Let $p \in(0, \infty]$ and $K$ be a convex body. Then $o \in \operatorname{intK} \quad$ if and only if $o \in \operatorname{int} K^{o, p}$.

Lemma 3.2. [29, Theorem 1.6.3] Let $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be convex bodies with $o \in \operatorname{int}(K \cap L)$. Then

$$
\left(K^{o} \cap L^{o}\right)^{o}=\operatorname{conv}(K \cup L)
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $p \in(0,+\infty]$ and $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be convex bodies with $o \in$ $\operatorname{int}(K \cap L)$. Then, for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\inf _{z=x+y}\left(\|x\|_{K^{o, p}}+\|y\|_{K^{o, p}}\right)=\|z\|_{\operatorname{conv}\left(K^{o, p} \cup L^{o, p}\right)}
$$

Proof. For any convex function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, its Legendre transform $\mathcal{L} f$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{L} f(x)=\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\{\langle x, y\rangle-f(y)\} .
$$

In fact, for any convex body $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $o \in \operatorname{int}(K \cap L)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}\left(\inf _{.=x+y}\left(\|x\|_{K}+\|y\|_{L}\right)\right)(z) \\
= & \sup _{w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\langle w, z\rangle-\inf _{w=x+y}\left(\|x\|_{K}+\|y\|_{L}\right)\right) \\
= & \sup _{w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\langle w, z\rangle-\inf _{w=x+y}\left(h_{K^{o}}(x)+h_{L^{o}}(y)\right)\right) \\
= & \sup _{w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \sup _{w=x+y}\left(\langle x, z\rangle-h_{K^{o}}(x)+\langle y, z\rangle-h_{L^{o}}(y)\right) \\
= & I_{K^{o}}^{\infty}(z)+I_{L^{o}}^{\infty}(z) . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from Lemma 3.2,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \inf _{z=x+y}\left(\|x\|_{K}+\|y\|_{L}\right) \\
= & \mathcal{L}\left(I_{K^{o}}^{\infty}(\cdot)+I_{L^{o}}^{\infty}(\cdot)\right)(z) \\
= & \sup _{w \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\langle w, z\rangle-I_{K^{o}}^{\infty}(w)-I_{L^{o}}^{\infty}(w)\right) \\
= & h_{K^{o} \cap L^{o}}(z)=\|z\|_{\left(K^{o} \cap L^{o}\right)^{o}} \\
= & \|z\|_{\operatorname{conv}(K \cup L)} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The conclusion follows from Lemma 3.1 and (3.2).
Lemma 3.4. [24] Let $\mu$ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative log-concave function with finite, positive integral. Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \phi(x) d \mu(x) \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x \frac{\phi(x)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \phi(y) d \mu(y)} d \mu(x)\right) .
$$

Lemma 3.5. Let $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be convex bodies. Then, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\max \left\{\|x\|_{K},\|y\|_{L}\right\}=\|(x, y)\|_{K \times L} .
$$

In fact, from the definition of norm, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(x, y)\|_{K \times L} & =\inf \{\lambda:(x, y) \in \lambda(K \times L)\} \\
& =\max \{\inf \{\lambda: x \in \lambda K\}, \inf \{\lambda: y \in \lambda L\}\} \\
& =\max \left\{\|x\|_{K},\|y\|_{L}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be convex bodies with $o \in \operatorname{int}(K \cap L)$. For simplification, we introduce the following notations.

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(x)=e^{-\|x\|_{K^{o, p}}} \quad \text { and } \quad g(y)=e^{-\|y\|_{L^{o, p}}} .  \tag{3.3}\\
C_{t}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}: f(x) g(-y) \geq t\right\}  \tag{3.4}\\
\tilde{C}_{t}=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}: f\left(\frac{u+v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) g\left(-\frac{u-v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \geq t\right\} . \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $M_{t}$ be the projection of $\tilde{C}_{t}$ onto the subspace

$$
H=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{2 n}\right\}=\mathbb{R}^{n},
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}=\left\{(o, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}: \max _{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f\left(\frac{u+v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) g\left(-\frac{u-v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \geq t\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $p \in(0,+\infty]$ and $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be convex bodies with $o \in$ int $K \cap$ int $L$ such that $K^{o, p}$ and $L^{o, p}$ have opposite barycenters. Then

$$
C_{2 n}^{n}\left|\operatorname{conv}\left(K^{o, p} \cup L^{o, p}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\left(\left(K^{o, p}\right)^{o}-\left(L^{o, p}\right)^{o}\right)^{o}\right| \geq\left|K^{o, p}\right|\left|L^{o, p}\right|,
$$

where $C_{2 n}^{n}=\frac{(2 n)!}{(n!)^{2}}$ is the combination number formula.
Proof. From the definitions (3.5) and (3.6), the volume of $\tilde{C}_{t}$ can be expressed as an integral,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{C}_{t}\right|=\int_{M_{t}} \int_{\tilde{C}_{t} \cap\left((0, v)+H^{\perp}\right)} d u d v=\int_{M_{t}} \phi_{t}(v) d v \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{t}(v)=\left|\tilde{C}_{t} \cap\left((o, v)+H^{\perp}\right)\right|$ is the volume of the section $\tilde{C}_{t} \cap((o, v)+$ $H^{\perp}$ ).

Since $\phi_{t}(v)$ is a log-concave function and has support on $M_{t}$, from (3.7) Lemma 3.4, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\tilde{C}_{t}\right| & =\left|M_{t}\right| \int_{M_{t}} \phi_{t}(v) \frac{d v}{\left|M_{t}\right|} \leq\left|M_{t}\right| \phi_{t}\left(\frac{\int_{M_{t}} v \phi_{t}(v) \frac{d v}{\left|M_{t}\right|}}{\int_{M_{t}} \phi_{t}(v) \frac{d v}{\left|M_{t}\right|}}\right) \\
& =\left|M_{t}\right| \phi_{t}\left(\frac{1}{\left|\tilde{C}_{t}\right|} \int_{M_{t}} \int_{\tilde{C}_{t} \cap\left((0, v)+H^{\perp}\right)} v d u d v\right) \\
& =\left|M_{t}\right| \phi_{t}\left(\frac{1}{\left|\tilde{C}_{t}\right|} \int_{\tilde{C}_{t}} v d u d v\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By using variable substitution $u=\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}, v=\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\tilde{C}_{t}} v d u d v & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\{(x, y): f(x) g(-y) \geq t\}} x-y d x d y \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\int_{C_{t}} x d x d y-\int_{C_{t}} y d x d y\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\|f\|_{\infty}=\|g\|_{\infty}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C_{t}} x d x d y=\int_{\{(x, y): f(x) g(-y) \geq t\}} x d y d x \\
& =\int_{\{x: f(x) \geq t\}} \int_{\left\{y: g(-y) \geq \frac{t}{f(x)}\right\}} x d y d x \\
& =\int_{\left\{x:\|x\|_{K^{o, p}} \leq-\log t\right\}} \int_{\left\{y:\|-y\|_{\left.L^{o, p} \leq-\log t-\|x\|_{K^{o, p}}\right\}}\right.} x d y d x \\
& =\left|-L^{o, p}\right| \int_{(-\log t) K^{o, p}} x\left(-\log t-\|x\|_{K^{o, p}}\right)^{n} d x \\
& =\left|L^{o, p}\right|(-\log t)^{2 n+1} \int_{K^{o, p}} z\left(1-\|z\|_{K^{o, p}}\right)^{n} d z \\
& =(-\log t)^{2 n+1}\left|L^{o, p}\right| \int_{K^{o, p}} z \int_{\|z\|_{K^{o, p}}}^{1} n(1-s)^{n} d s d z \\
& =(-\log t)^{2 n+1}\left|L^{o, p}\right| n \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{n} \int_{s K^{o, p}} z d z d s
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& =(-\log t)^{2 n+1}\left|K^{o, p}\right|\left|L^{o, p}\right| n \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{n} s^{n+1} d s \int_{K^{o, p}} z \frac{d z}{\left|K^{o, p}\right|} \\
& =n(-\log t)^{2 n+1} \beta(n, n+2)\left|K^{o, p}\right|\left|L^{o, p}\right| \cdot \operatorname{bar}\left(K^{o, p}\right), \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta(n, n+2)$ is Euler integral. In the similar way, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{t}} y d x d y=n(-\log t)^{2 n+1} \beta(n, n+2)\left|K^{o, p}\right|\left|L^{o, p}\right| \cdot \operatorname{bar}\left(L^{o, p}\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), (3.11) and the fact that $\operatorname{bar}\left(K^{o, p}\right)=-\operatorname{bar}\left(L^{o, p}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{C}_{t}\right| \leq\left|M_{t}\right| \phi_{t}(o)=\left|M_{t}\right| \cdot\left|\tilde{C}_{t} \cap H^{\perp}\right| . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the one hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1} \tilde{C}_{t} d t & =\int_{0}^{1} \int_{\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}: f\left(\frac{u+v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) g\left(-\frac{u-v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \geq t\right\}} d u d v d t \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} f\left(\frac{u+v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) g\left(-\frac{u-v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) d u d v \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} f(x) g(-y) d x d y \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} e^{-\|x\|_{K^{o, p}}} e^{-\|y\|_{L^{o, p}}} d x d y \\
& =(n!)^{2}\left|K^{o, p}\right|\left|L^{o, p}\right| . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, from (3.12), Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{C}_{t} d t \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|M_{t}\right| \cdot\left|\tilde{C}_{t} \cap H^{\perp}\right| d t \\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left|\left\{(o, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}: \max _{\bar{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f\left(\frac{\bar{u}+v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) g\left(-\frac{\bar{u}-v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \geq t\right\}\right| \\
& \cdot\left|\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: f\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}\right) g\left(-\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \geq t\right\}\right| d t \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} \int_{0}^{\min \left\{\max _{\bar{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} f\left(\frac{\bar{u}+v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) g\left(-\frac{\bar{u}-v}{\sqrt{2}}\right), f\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}\right) g\left(-\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right\}} d t d u d v \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} \min \left\{\max _{\tilde{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\left\|\frac{\tilde{u}+v}{\sqrt{2}}\right\|_{K^{o, p}}-\left\|\frac{v-\tilde{u}}{\sqrt{2}}\right\|_{L^{o, p}}}, e^{-\left\|\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}\right\|_{K^{o, p}}-\left\|\frac{-u}{\sqrt{2}}\right\|_{L^{o, p}}}\right\} d u d v \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} \min \left\{e^{-\|\sqrt{2} v\|_{c o n v\left(K^{o, p} U^{o, p}\right)}}, e^{-h_{\left(K^{o, p}\right)^{o}-\left(L^{o, p}\right) o}\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{2})}\right.} d u d v\right. \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} e^{-\max \left\{\|v\|_{c o n v\left(K^{o, p} \cup L^{o, p}\right),\|u\|_{\left.\left.\left(\left(K^{o, p}\right)^{o-( } L^{o, p}\right)^{o}\right)^{o}\right\}}} d u d v\right.} \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} e^{-\|(v, u)\|_{c o n v\left(K^{o, p} \cup L^{o, p}\right) \times\left(\left(K^{o, p}\right)^{\left.o-\left(L^{o, p}\right) o\right) o}\right.} d u d v}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =(2 n)!\left|\operatorname{conv}\left(K^{o, p} \cup L^{o, p}\right) \times\left(\left(K^{o, p}\right)^{o}-\left(L^{o, p}\right)^{o}\right)^{o}\right| \\
& =(2 n)!\left|\operatorname{conv}\left(K^{o, p} \cup L^{o, p}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\left(\left(K^{o, p}\right)^{o}-\left(L^{o, p}\right)^{o}\right)^{o}\right| . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The conclusion follows from (3.13) and (3.14).
Remark 3.1. Using the following inequality due to Rogers and Shephard [26, P273, Theorem 1] instead of (3.12),

$$
\left|\tilde{C}_{t}\right| \geq \frac{(n!)^{2}}{(2 n)!}\left|M_{t}\right| \cdot\left|\tilde{C}_{t} \cap H^{\perp}\right|
$$

we can obtain the reverse of Theorem 3.6 as follows,

$$
\left|\operatorname{conv}\left(K^{o, p} \cup L^{o, p}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\left(\left(K^{o, p}\right)^{o}-\left(L^{o, p}\right)^{o}\right)^{o}\right| \leq\left|K^{o, p}\right|\left|L^{o, p}\right|
$$
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