

ALL CYCLE-CHORDS ARE e -POSITIVE

DAVID G.L. WANG*

ABSTRACT. We establish the e -positivity of all cycle-chord graphs by using the composition method that is developed by Zhou and the author very recently. Our technique is not only applicable to all cycle-chords, but also much simpler than the (e) -positivity method that is used for handling cycle-chords with girth at most 4.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1995, Stanley [4] introduced the concept of the chromatic symmetric function of a graph G as the symmetric function

$$X_G = \sum_{\kappa: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots\}} x_{\kappa(v_1)} x_{\kappa(v_2)} \cdots,$$

where the sum runs over all proper colorings κ of G . It is a generalization of Birkhoff [1]'s chromatic symmetric polynomials in the study of the 4-color problem. A graph G is said to be e -positive if the e -expansion of X_G has only nonnegative coefficients. Here the symbol e stands for the elementary symmetric functions basis of the algebra of symmetric functions. Motivative information and wide influence of the study of the e -positivity, as well as the Schur positivity, of graphs can be found in Stanley [4] and Zhou and the author [6].

This paper contains not only the e -positivity result of all cycle-chords, but also combinatorial techniques in dealing with compositions when applying the composition method that is developed in [6] very recently, see Theorem 3.2. On the study of the positivity of cycle-chords, to the best of our knowledge, only the e -positivity of those with girth at most 4 is known, see Wang and Wang [5]. The proof therein used the momentous (e) -positivity approach of Gebhard and Sagan [2].

2. PRELIMINARY

Orellana and Scott [3, Theorem 3.1, Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3] discovered a beautiful modular relation, called the *triple-deletion property*, for chromatic symmetric functions of graphs.

Proposition 2.1 (Orellana and Scott). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph which contains 3 vertices with no edges among. Denote by e_1, e_2 and e_3 the edges to be used to link these vertices together. For any set $S \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$, denote by G_S the graph with vertex set V and edge set $E \cup \{e_j : j \in S\}$. Then*

$$X_{G_{12}} = X_{G_1} + X_{G_{23}} - X_{G_3} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{G_{123}} = X_{G_{13}} + X_{G_{23}} - X_{G_3}.$$

Let $I = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_z$ be a composition of n , denoted $I \vDash n$. The a -surplus of I is the number

$$(2.1) \quad \Theta_I^+(a) = \min\{i_1 + \cdots + i_k : 0 \leq k \leq z, i_1 + \cdots + i_k \geq a\} - a, \quad \text{for any } a \leq n.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E05.

Key words and phrases. chromatic symmetric functions, e -positivity, noncommutative symmetric functions, Stanley–Stembridge conjecture.

*Wang is supported by the NSFC (Grant No. 12171034).

Similarly, the a -deficiency of I is the number

$$(2.2) \quad \Theta_I^-(a) = a - \max\{i_1 + \cdots + i_k : 0 \leq k \leq z, i_1 + \cdots + i_k \leq a\}, \quad \text{for any } a \geq 0.$$

From definition, we see that $\Theta_I^\pm(a) \geq 0$, and that these functions can be expressed in each other.

Lemma 2.2 (Wang and Zhou). *For any composition $I \vDash n$ and any real number $0 \leq a \leq n$,*

$$\Theta_{\bar{I}}^-(a) = \Theta_I^+(n - a),$$

where the notation \bar{I} stands for the reversal of I .

For $m \geq 2$ and $l \geq 0$, the *tadpole* $T_{m,l}$ is the graph obtained by connecting a vertex on the cycle C_m and an end of the path P_l . See the left figure in Fig. 1. Wang and Zhou [6] found a neat formula for




FIGURE 1. The tadpole $T_{m,l}$ and the cycle-chord CC_{ab} .

the chromatic symmetric function of tadpoles in terms of $\Theta_I^+(\cdot)$.

Theorem 2.3 (Wang and Zhou). *For $0 \leq l \leq n - 2$,*

$$X_{T_{n-l,l}} = \sum_{I \vDash n} \Theta_I^+(l+1) w_I e_I,$$

where the function $\Theta_I^+(\cdot)$ is defined by Eq. (2.1), the function w_I is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad w_I = i_1 \prod_{k \geq 2} (i_k - 1) \quad \text{for } I = i_1 i_2 \cdots,$$

and the symbol e_I stands for the elementary symmetric function indexed by the unique partition obtained by rearranging the parts of I .

3. ESTABLISHING THE e -POSITIVITY OF CYCLE-CHORDS

For $a, b \geq 1$, the *cycle-chord* CC_{ab} is the graph obtained by adding the chord $v_0 v_a$ in the cycle C_{a+b} on the vertices $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{a+b-1}$; see the right figure in Fig. 1.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $a, b \geq 1$, the chromatic symmetric function of the cycle-chord CC_{ab} is*

$$X_{CC_{ab}} = \sum_{i=1}^b X_{T_{a+i, b-i}} - \sum_{i=0}^{b-2} X_{T_{b-i, a+i}}.$$

Proof. The graph $G = CC_{ab}$ has order $n = a + b$. Applying Proposition 2.1, one may obtain

$$X_{CC_{ab}} = X_{CC_{a+1, b-1}} + X_{T_{a+1, b-1}} - X_{T_{b,a}}, \quad \text{for } b \geq 2.$$

Using it iteratedly, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{CC_{ab}} &= (X_{CC_{a+2, b-2}} + X_{T_{a+2, b-2}} - X_{T_{b-1, a+1}}) + X_{T_{a+1, b-1}} - X_{T_{b,a}} = \cdots \\ &= X_{CC_{n-1, 1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{b-1} X_{T_{a+i, b-i}} - \sum_{i=0}^{b-2} X_{T_{b-i, a+i}}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the desired formula by merging $CC_{n-1, 1} = C_n = T_{a+b, 0}$ into the first sum. \square

Here is our main result.

Theorem 3.2. For $a, b \geq 2$,

$$X_{\text{CC}_{ab}} = \sum_{I=i_1 i_2 \cdots i_z \models n} \Delta_I(b) w_I e_I,$$

where $w_I = i_1(i_2 - 1)(i_3 - 1) \cdots (i_z - 1)$, the symbol e_I stands for the elementary symmetric function indexed by the unique partition obtained by rearranging the parts of I , and

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta_I(b) = \begin{cases} s(i_{q+1} - t), & \text{if } q = p - 1, \\ e_2(i_p - s, i_{p+1}, \dots, i_q, t), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

in which $e_2(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} x_i x_j$, and the symbols p, q, s, t are defined by

$$(3.2) \quad b = i_1 + \cdots + i_{p-1} + s = i_2 + \cdots + i_q + t,$$

such that $1 \leq p, q \leq z$, $1 \leq s \leq i_p$, and $1 \leq t \leq i_{q+1}$, with the convention $i_{z+1} = i_1$. As a consequence, all cycle-chords are e -positive.

Proof. Let $G = \text{CC}_{ab}$ and $n = a + b$. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.3, we have

$$X_G = \sum_{i=1}^b \sum_{I \models n} \Theta_I^+(b - i + 1) w_I e_I - \sum_{i=0}^{b-2} \sum_{I \models n} \Theta_I^+(a + i + 1) w_I e_I = \sum_{I \models n} c_I w_I e_I,$$

where

$$c_I = \sum_{i=1}^b \Theta_I^+(i) - \sum_{i=a+1}^{n-1} \Theta_I^+(i) = \sum_{i=1}^b \Theta_I^+(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{b-1} \Theta_I^-(i),$$

by Lemma 2.2. We define a transformation φ on the set of compositions of n by

$$\varphi(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_z) = i_1 i_z i_{z-1} \cdots i_2.$$

Then φ is an involution, $w_{\varphi(I)} = w_I$ and $e_{\varphi(I)} = e_I$. Moreover,

$$c_I + c_{\varphi(I)} = \sum_{i=1}^b \Theta_I^+(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{b-1} \Theta_I^-(i) + \sum_{i=1}^b \Theta_{\varphi(I)}^+(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{b-1} \Theta_{\varphi(I)}^-(i) = \Delta_I(b) + \Delta_{\varphi(I)}(b),$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta_I(b) = \sum_{i=1}^b \Theta_I^+(i) - \sum_{i=1}^{b-1} \Theta_{\varphi(I)}^-(i).$$

It follows that

$$X_G = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \models n} (c_I + c_{\varphi(I)}) w_I e_I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \models n} (\Delta_I(b) + \Delta_{\varphi(I)}(b)) w_I e_I = \sum_{I \models n} \Delta_I(b) w_I e_I.$$

Our goal is to simplify $\Delta_I(b)$ from Eq. (3.3) to Eq. (3.1).

We will calculate the two sums in Eq. (3.3). Recall that

$$I = i_1 i_2 \cdots i_z \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\varphi(I)} = i_2 i_3 \cdots i_z i_1.$$

By the definition of p and s , and by using the identity

$$\binom{x_1 + \cdots + x_k}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{x_i}{2} = e_2(x_1, \dots, x_k),$$

we can deduce that

$$\sum_{i=1}^b \Theta_I^+(i) = \binom{i_1}{2} + \cdots + \binom{i_{p-1}}{2} + (i_p - 1) + \cdots + (i_p - s)$$

$$(3.4) \quad = \binom{b}{2} - e_2(i_1, \dots, i_{p-1}) - s(b - i_p).$$

On the other hand, by the definition of q and t ,

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{b-1} \Theta_{\varphi(I)}^-(i) = \binom{i_2}{2} + \dots + \binom{i_q}{2} + \binom{t}{2} = \binom{b}{2} - e_2(i_2, \dots, i_q, t).$$

Substituting Eqs. (3.4) and (3.5) into Eq. (3.3), we obtain

$$\Delta_I(b) = e_2(i_2, \dots, i_q, t) - e_2(i_1, \dots, i_{p-1}) - s(b - i_p).$$

By Eq. (3.2), we have $q \geq p - 1$ and

$$(3.6) \quad s + i_1 = i_p + i_{p+1} + \dots + i_q + t.$$

Then by Eqs. (3.2) and (3.6), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_I(b) - e_2(i_p, i_{p+1}, \dots, i_q, t) &= (i_2 + \dots + i_{p-1})(i_p + i_{p+1} + \dots + i_q + t - i_1) - s(b - i_p) \\ &= s(i_2 + \dots + i_p - b) = s(i_p - s - i_1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using Eq. (3.6), one derives Eq. (3.1) as desired. Since $i_{q+1} - t \geq 0$ and $i_p - s \geq 0$, we find $\Delta_I(b) \geq 0$. This completes the proof. \square

For example,

$$X_{CC_{44}} = 128e_8 + 54e_{71} + 112e_{62} + 82e_{53} + 24e_{521} + 16e_{422} + 32e_{332} + 2e_{3221}.$$

The number $\Delta_I(b)$ has the following combinatorial interpretation. Truncating the composition I at the location of the closed interval $[b, b + i_1]$ results in several parts of I and at most two ‘‘parts of parts of I .’’ If the truncation consists of only one part x of some part i_p of I , then $\Delta_I(b)$ is the product of the two side parts of i_p obtained by removing that part x . Note that the left side part never vanish. Otherwise, the number $\Delta_I(b)$ equals the sum of products of any two parts in the truncation.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. D. Birkhoff. A determinant formula for the number of ways of coloring a map. *Ann. of Math.*, 14(1/4): 42–46, 1912. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1967597>. [1](#)
- [2] D. D. Gebhard and B. E. Sagan. A chromatic symmetric function in noncommuting variables. *J. Alg. Combin.*, 13(3):227–255, 2001. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011258714032>. [1](#)
- [3] R. Orellana and G. Scott. Graphs with equal chromatic symmetric functions. *Discrete Math.*, 320:1–14, 2014. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2013.12.006>. [1](#)
- [4] R. P. Stanley. A symmetric function generalization of the chromatic polynomial of a graph. *Adv. Math.*, 111(1):166–194, 1995. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1995.1020>. [1](#)
- [5] D. G. L. Wang and M. M. Y. Wang. The e -positivity of two classes of cycle-chord graphs. *J. Alg. Combin.*, 57(2):495–514, 2023. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10801-022-01175-6>. [1](#)
- [6] D. G. L. Wang and J. Z. F. Zhou. Composition method for chromatic symmetric functions: Neat noncommutative analogs. *arXiv: 2401.01027*, 2024. doi: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.01027>. [1](#), [2](#)

(David G.L. Wang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS & MIIT KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICAL THEORY AND COMPUTATION IN INFORMATION SECURITY, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING 102400, P. R. CHINA.

Email address: glw@bit.edu.cn