

# Generic signalling-free white-noise limit for models of spontaneous unitarity violation

Aritro Mukherjee<sup>1</sup> and Jasper van Wezel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute for Theoretical Physics Amsterdam, University of Amsterdam,  
Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

Objective collapse theories propose modifications to Schrödinger's equation that solve the quantum measurement problem by interpolating between microscopic quantum dynamics and projective evolution of macroscopic objects. Objective collapse theories extending the equilibrium description of spontaneous symmetry breaking to spontaneous violations of unitarity in quantum dynamics were recently shown to possess a physical white noise limit when applied to initial two-state superpositions. Here, we show the existence of a generic physical white noise limit for models of spontaneous unitarity violation applicable to any initial state. We show that in this limit, the emergence of Born rule statistics is enforced by a fluctuation-dissipation relation, and that the ensemble averaged probability densities follow the GKSL master equation corresponding to a linear quantum semi-group, guaranteeing the absence of superluminal signalling.

**Introduction** — Despite the tremendous success of quantum mechanical predictions of ensemble averaged quantities, unitary time evolution is at odds with the observation of single measurement outcomes. The resolution of this so-called quantum measurement problem remains one of the central open questions in modern physics [1–6]. Objective collapse theories aim to solve it by introducing small modifications to Schrödinger's equation in such a way that the unitary time evolution of microscopic particles is unaffected in any noticeable way, while the effect of the modifications dominate the dynamics in the macroscopic regime and cause quantum superpositions of large objects to reduce to classical states [6–15]. One particular class of recently introduced objective collapse theories, known as models of spontaneous unitarity violation (SUV) [6, 16–20], is based on the observation that the unitarity of Schrödinger's equation is unstable to even infinitesimal perturbations in the thermodynamic limit, in the same way that equilibrium macroscopic objects may be infinitely sensitive to perturbations breaking symmetries of their governing Hamiltonian [6, 16, 21].

In this context, it was recently shown that SUV models for the quantum measurement of an object superposed over two states has a generic form containing independent non-linear and stochastic (noisy) contributions to the unitarity-breaking perturbation [17, 22]. Moreover, it was shown that the limit in which the stochastic contribution has vanishing correlation time, the so-called white-noise limit, yields a unique Markovian model for its SUV quantum measurement dynamics [18]. Here, we show that this model can be extended to apply to arbitrary initial wave functions, superposed over any discrete or even continuous set of initial states for the object to be measured. The resulting white-noise SUV model is shown to yield Born rule probabilities for individual measurement outcomes, while the effective dynamics of an ensemble of measurements follow a linear (Markovian) master equation of the Gorini-Kosakowsky-Sudarshan-Lindblad (GKSL) form. This guarantees the impossibility of faster-than-light communication in this

model [23, 24]. While the model introduced here presents a generic white-noise limit for SUV theories, it is not straightforward to construct colored-noise models that flow to it in the limit of vanishing correlation time while obeying all requirements for objective collapse theories also at finite correlation times. We discuss some of the challenges encountered in such theories.

**Spontaneous Unitarity Violation** — In the limit of vanishing correlation time for the stochastic contribution, the SUV dynamics of two-state superpositions has been shown to have the unique norm-preserving form [18]:

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar d|\psi\rangle &= \hat{H}|\psi\rangle dt \\ &+ iJ\langle\hat{S}_z\rangle\left(\hat{S}_z - \langle\hat{S}_z\rangle\right)|\psi\rangle dt \\ &+ i\sqrt{\mathcal{D}}\left(\hat{S}_z - \langle\hat{S}_z\rangle\right)|\psi\rangle \circ dW_t. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here the wave function is time dependent and  $\langle\hat{S}_z\rangle$  denotes the usual quantum mechanical expectation value of the spin-1/2 operator  $\hat{S}_z$ . The operator  $\hat{H}$  is the standard, possibly time-dependent, Hamiltonian for the combined, entangled system of the object being measured and the measurement apparatus itself. The second term is a non-linear and non-unitary version of the symmetry breaking interaction appearing in the usual, equilibrium theory of spontaneous symmetry breaking [20, 21]. The operator  $\hat{S}_z$  is an order parameter operator, which distinguishes between two macroscopically distinct symmetry-breaking states of the measurement apparatus, corresponding to two possible measurement outcomes. The combination  $J\langle\hat{S}_z\rangle$  is the generic form for a dissipative self-interaction. Notice that because  $\hat{S}_z$  is an order parameter, it scales extensively with system size [6, 21]:  $\hat{S}_z = \mathcal{N}\hat{\sigma}_z$  with  $\hat{\sigma}_z$  a Pauli matrix. To ensure that the dissipative term remains extensive, we must therefore demand that the coupling strength  $J$  scales inversely with system size [21, 25]:  $J = \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{N}$ . Furthermore, the amplitude  $\mathcal{J}$  of the non-linear perturbation is assumed to be immeasurably small, so that the non-unitary evolution is negligible in usual quantum state evolution. The

non-commuting effects of vanishing  $\mathcal{J}$  and diverging system size  $\mathcal{N}$  signal the presence of an infinite susceptibility in the thermodynamic limit, in precise analogy to spontaneous symmetry breaking under equilibrium conditions [16, 21].

The third term of Eq. (1) is a non-unitary stochastic contribution to the order parameter dynamics. It represents coupling to an uncontrollable and unpredictable influence, giving rise to an immeasurably small diffusion constant  $\mathcal{D}$ . Notice that we assume this stochastic influence to be physical, arising from physics beyond quantum mechanics [6, 18], and not an effective description of the average influence of unobserved quantum degrees of freedom. The factor  $dW_t$  indicates the Gaussian increment for a standard Wiener process (i.e. uncorrelated or white noise, corresponding to the Brownian motion  $W_t = \int dW_t$  with  $W_0 = 0$ ) [26–28]. We use the convention that  $dW_t$  is sampled from a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation  $\sqrt{dt}$  and implies the standard time independent expectation values  $\mathbb{E}[dW_t] = \mathbb{E}[W_t] = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[dW_t dW_s] = 0$  for  $t \neq s$  and  $\mathbb{E}[dW_t^2] = dt$  or simply,  $dW_t^2 = dt$  [27, 28]. Here  $\mathbb{E}$  indicates the average over an ensemble of Wiener process realizations. The open circle,  $\circ$ , preceding  $dW_t$  in Eq. (1) indicates the Stratonovich, rather than the Itô product [18]. Notice that  $dW_t$  has units of square root time, and the diffusion constant  $\mathcal{D}$  has units of energy squared times time.

The terms proportional to  $-J\langle\hat{S}_z\rangle^2$  and  $-\sqrt{\mathcal{D}}\langle\hat{S}_z\rangle$  are included in Eq. (1) to ensure conservation of the norm of  $|\psi\rangle$  during time evolution [18]. It was previously shown that if the dissipation term proportional to  $\mathcal{J}$  and the fluctuation term proportional to  $\sqrt{\mathcal{D}}$  obey the fluctuation-dissipation relation  $\hbar\mathcal{J} = 2\mathcal{D}\mathcal{N}$ , the noise-averaged measurement outcomes obey Born statistics while the noise-averaged evolution of the density matrix is described by a linear GKSL equation [18].

The SUV model is distinct from other objective collapse models, such as the so-called continuous spontaneous localization (CSL) models and their generalizations [1, 9, 13, 29–34]. It differs not only on the form of Eq. (1) for the time evolution of a two-state superposition, but also in the fact that SUV models always collapse onto a preferred basis determined by the symmetry-broken configurations of the measurement device in equilibrium. Only these states have an order parameter  $S_z$  scaling with system size that makes them susceptible to vanishingly small non-unitary perturbations in the macroscopic limit [16]. These symmetry-broken final states are moreover stable under environmental decoherence and are thus ‘pointer states’ in the general sense [35]. Notice, however, that the non-unitarity in the SUV dynamics does not originate from averaging over environmental degrees of freedom, such as the effective non-unitarity encountered for example in decoherence. The SUV dynamics of Eq. (1) applies to single, isolated

systems, without ensemble averaging [6, 18, 19].

**Arbitrary initial states** — The two-state evolution of Eq. (1) can be generalised to a generic model for SUV dynamics in the white-noise limit, applicable to initial states superposed over arbitrarily many components. To do so, we first rewrite  $\hat{\sigma}_z$  in terms of projectors on the symmetry-broken states of the measurement apparatus:  $\hat{\sigma}_z = \hat{\mathbb{P}}_0 - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_1$ . Further using the fact that  $1 = \hat{\mathbb{P}}_0 + \hat{\mathbb{P}}_1 = \langle\hat{\mathbb{P}}_0 + \hat{\mathbb{P}}_1\rangle$ , we can write  $(\hat{\sigma}_z - \langle\hat{\sigma}_z\rangle)(\hat{\sigma}_z) + 1 - 1 = 2\sum_k(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k\rangle)\langle\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k\rangle$ . Likewise, we can use the fact that the sum of two Gaussian increments is itself a Gaussian increment to introduce  $dW_t \equiv (dW_t^0 - dW_t^1)/\sqrt{2}$ , and  $d\bar{W}_t \equiv (dW_t^0 + dW_t^1)/\sqrt{2}$  with  $dW_t^0$  and  $dW_t^1$ , two independent identically distributed Gaussian increments, such that  $dW_t^i dW_t^j = \delta_{ij} dt$ . With this, we can write  $(\hat{\sigma}_z - \langle\hat{\sigma}_z\rangle)|\psi\rangle \circ dW_t + (1-1)|\psi\rangle \circ d\bar{W}_t = \sqrt{2}\sum_k(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k\rangle)|\psi\rangle \circ dW_t^k$ . The final form of the SUV model in terms of projection operators is then:

$$\begin{aligned} i d|\psi\rangle &= \hat{H}|\psi\rangle dt/\hbar \\ &+ i2\mathcal{J}\mathcal{N}\sum_k\langle\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k\rangle\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k\rangle\right)|\psi\rangle dt/\hbar \\ &+ i\sqrt{\mathcal{J}\mathcal{N}}\sum_k\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k\rangle\right)|\psi\rangle \circ dW_t^k/\sqrt{\hbar}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here, we inserted the fluctuation-dissipation relation  $\mathcal{D} = \hbar\mathcal{J}/(2\mathcal{N})$  in the final line [18]. Extending the range of  $k$  in the sums in this equation to run over an arbitrary number of states rather than just two, Eq. (2) can be applied to initial wave functions superposed over any (countable) number of components, and gives a generic form for the white noise limit of SUV-induced objective collapse dynamics.

Notice that the use of projections in Eq. (2) allows a straightforward generalization to (non-relativistic) field theories, in which  $|\psi\rangle$  denotes a (countable) superposition of field configurations. Equation (2) thus represents a generic white-noise model for unitarity-breaking evolution, applicable to objective collapse dynamics, but also for example the dynamics of a symmetry-breaking quantum phase transition [36].

Focusing on objective collapse, Eq. (2) is seen to be similar in structure to CSL models, in that it is a diffusive process on the Hilbert space [1, 3]. It differs, however, in the operator appearing in the non-linear deterministic term, as well as the Stratonovich product in the stochastic term, which leads to additional non-linear terms distinct from CSL when rewritten in Itô form. Both are direct consequences of the origin of Eq. (2) in the theory of spontaneous symmetry breaking, with the non-linear term describing a coupling to the order parameter and the stochastic term representing the white-noise limit of a weak but physical external perturbation with short but finite correlation time [18].

**Emergent Born rule statistics and absence of superluminal signalling** — To verify that the generic

white-noise SUV dynamics of Eq. (2) for arbitrary initial states gives rise to Born rule probabilities for the measurement outcomes and avoids any possibility of superluminal communication, we compute its noise averaged dynamics (with further details provided in the supplementary material). As a first step, the evolution of the pure state projector  $\hat{\Psi} := |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  may be found by converting Eq. (2) to an Itô stochastic differential equation, using the conversion formula  $X_t \circ dW_t = X_t dW_t + \frac{1}{2} dX_t dW_t$  with  $X_t = \sqrt{\mathcal{JN}} \sum_k (\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle) |\psi\rangle$  [27]. The dynamics then follows from employing Itô's lemma,  $d\hat{\Psi} = |d\psi\rangle\langle\psi| + |\psi\rangle\langle d\psi| + |d\psi\rangle\langle d\psi|$  and averaging over the noise using  $\mathbb{E}[dW_t^k] = 0$  (which is only true in Itô's convention). Notice that  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\Psi}] = \hat{\rho}$ , where  $\hat{\rho}$  is the density matrix or statistical operator describing a noise-averaged ensemble of measurements undergoing the dynamics of Eq. (2). This yields a dephasing-type GKSL master equation of the form:

$$\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} = -i [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] + \mathcal{JN} \left( \sum_k \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \hat{\rho} \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \hat{\rho} \right). \quad (3)$$

The dephasing-like dynamics of the density matrix in this expression is characterized by the decay of its off-diagonal elements, while its diagonal elements remain constant in time. An initial pure density matrix thus reduces to a mixed density matrix with only diagonal components at long times, describing an ensemble of measurements with distinct realisations of the noise, without tracing over any part of the system or its environment. The absence of a trace operation here, as opposed to the effective evolution encountered in decoherence, may be employed to construct protocols allowing SUV dynamics to be experimentally distinguished from environmentally induced dephasing in mesoscopic experiments [37].

The probabilities on the diagonal of the final density matrix are the same as the squared wave function components appearing in the pure initial ensemble since these remain constant throughout the evolution. Thus, each diagonal element evolves according to a martingale process, which ensures the emergence of Born statistics [1, 9, 13, 18, 38]. Furthermore, the linearity of Eq. (3) describing the time evolution of the noise-averaged density matrix, and the fact that the GKSL master equation is a linear quantum dynamical semi-group [39, 40], guarantee the impossibility of any superluminal signalling using this dynamics [23, 24]. Both the emergence of Born statistics and the impossibility of superluminal signalling thus follow from the fluctuation-dissipation relation, which ensures that Eq. (3) is linear.

Finally, the restriction of Eq.(3) to initial states superposed over a countable number of components can be lifted to allow SUV dynamics starting from a continuous quantum state of the form  $|\psi\rangle = \int dx \psi(x) |x\rangle$ . To do so, the Brownian motion  $dW_t^k$  with  $k$  a discrete label is generalised to a Brownian sheet  $dW_t^x$ , with  $x$  a continuous

parameter [41, 42]. Then, taking the continuum limit of Eq. (2), as shown in detail in the supplementary material, leads to a continuum version of the dephasing GKSL master equation:

$$\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} = -i [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] + \mathcal{JN} \left( \int d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x |x\rangle\langle x| \hat{\rho} |x\rangle\langle x| - \hat{\rho} \right). \quad (4)$$

Here,  $\int d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x = \int dx |x\rangle\langle x|$  is the projector-valued measure used to describe spectral decompositions of unbounded operators. The continuum master equation of Eq. (4) has the same properties as the discrete version of Eq. (3), and thus again implies the emergence of Born statistics and the impossibility of superluminal signalling.

**Discussion** — The wave function dynamics of Eq. (2) provides a generic model for spontaneous unitarity violation in the white-noise limit. The fluctuation dissipation relation between its non-linear and stochastic terms then implies a GKSL dephasing-type time evolution of the effective, noise-averaged density matrix. Stochastic processes in nature, however, may be well-approximated by white noise, but never have identically vanishing correlation time. Combined with the fact that all symmetry breaking, including unitarity violation, must be caused by a small but non-zero perturbation [21], this implies that there must exist a colored-noise version of the SUV dynamics that is approximated by Eq. (2) in the white-noise limit.

In fact, a single white-noise limit may typically be reached from multiple colored-noise models [18]. It is therefore not possible to uniquely associate Eq. (2) with a particular SUV model containing correlated noise. Given such a model, however, we can use the multi-scale noise homogenization method described in Ref. [18] to obtain its effective dynamics in the limit of vanishing correlation time, and thus look for models with the correct white-noise limit.

In particular, as explained in more detail in the supplementary material, in the context of SUV models the generic effect of noise homogenization, if it is possible, is to reduce a colored-noise driven non-linear temporal integral to a white-noise driven stochastic process, such that the two converge in probability in the limit of vanishing correlation time. The fluctuating term in Eq. (2) may thus be interpreted to arise from a colored-noise driven non-linear integral, whose white-noise limit follows from the multi-scale noise homogenization prescription:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} G \int \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) |\psi\rangle \xi_t^k dt \\ \longrightarrow \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \int \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) |\psi\rangle \circ dW_t^k. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here,  $\xi_t^k$  are independent (colored) stochastic processes labelled by the index  $k$ , each of which evolves stochastically according to  $d\xi^k = -\xi^k dt/\tau + g(\xi^k) dW_t^k$ . This prescription holds if the correlated noise dynamics does

not depend on the quantum state, while the quantum state itself depends linearly on the noise, and the noise with correlation time  $\tau$  reaches a steady state distribution at long times. The diffusion parameter  $\mathcal{D} \propto G^2\tau$  is an effective coupling constant of the stochastic term in the limit of vanishing correlation time, which depends on  $G$  and  $\tau$  in such a way that  $\mathcal{D}$  remains finite as  $\tau$  is taken to zero.

Notice that the convergence of colored noise dynamics to the white noise evolution as the correlation time  $\tau$  is taken to zero, is an instance of weak equivalence. This implies that the individual trajectories occurring in the colored-noise and white-noise models may differ, but that they agree on predictions of all probabilistic quantities [18, 43–45]. Besides the fact that the multi-scale noise homogenization explicitly yields the prescription of Eq. (5), this form may also be expected based on the Wong-Zakai theorems in the regime where the  $\xi_k$  dynamics is much faster than all other time scales in the problem [46–50].

Based on Eq. (5), we can consider a generic model driven by colored-noise evolving states according to the following law:

$$i\hbar d|\psi\rangle = \hat{H}|\psi\rangle dt + i\mathcal{N} \sum_k \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) \left[ 2\mathcal{J}\langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle + G \xi_t^k \right] |\psi\rangle dt. \quad (6)$$

Although for any noise process  $\xi_t^k$  that allows the prescription of Eq. (5) for the limit of vanishing correlation time, this expression indeed reduces to Eq. (2), it is not obvious which type of noise process one could choose for it to act as a colored-noise SUV model by itself. Choices like an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process or Brownian motion on the sphere, for which multi-scale noise homogenization is worked out in the supplemental material, allow the prescription of Eq. (5) but it is not known whether they result in Born rule statistics and signalling-free dynamics starting from a generic  $N$ -state superposition and noise with nonzero correlation time. We therefore leave it as a question for future research whether any particular correlated noise process  $\xi_t^k$  exists, such that an expression of the form of Eq. (6) meets all requirements for objective collapse theories.

**Conclusions** — We showed that the model for objective quantum measurement based on spontaneous unitarity violation that was recently proposed for two-state superpositions in the white-noise limit, can be generalised to arbitrary initial states. We constructed explicit forms for the generic SUV dynamics in the white noise limit for initial states superposed over any discrete or continuous set of components. Moreover, we showed that the presence of a fluctuation-dissipation relation causes the dynamics to yield a dephasing-type GKSL master equation for the evolution of the noise-averaged density matrix. This implies the emergence of Born rule statistics for outcomes

of quantum measurements, as well as the guaranteeing the impossibility of superluminal communication using the SUV dynamics.

We propose that the white-noise expressions identified here form a generic limit of SUV evolution driven by correlated noise in the limit of vanishing correlation time. This opens the way for identifying such colored-noise models as well as making characteristic experimental predictions for mesoscopic systems interpolating between unitary quantum dynamics and instantaneous projective measurement.

**Acknowledgement** — A.M. acknowledges supporting conversations with, A. Bassi, D. Snoke, L. Diósi and S.M. Parameshwari Devi.

- 
- [1] A. Bassi and G. Ghirardi, *Physics Reports* **379**, 257 (2003).
  - [2] A. Leggett, *science* **307**, 871 (2005).
  - [3] A. Bassi, K. Lochan, S. Satin, T. P. Singh, and H. Ulbricht, *Rev. of mod. phys* **85**, 471–527 (2013).
  - [4] M. Arndt and K. Hornberger, *Nature Physics* **10**, 271 (2014).
  - [5] M. Carlesso, S. Donadi, L. Ferialdi, M. Paternostro, H. Ulbricht, and A. Bassi, *Nature Physics* **18**, 243 (2022).
  - [6] J. Van Wezel, *Symmetry* **2**, 582 (2010).
  - [7] D. Bohm and J. Bub, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **38**, 453 (1966).
  - [8] P. Pearle, *Phys. Rev. D* **13**, 857 (1976).
  - [9] P. Pearle, *Phys. Rev. A* **39**, 2277 (1989).
  - [10] N. Gisin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52**, 1657 (1984).
  - [11] G. C. Ghirardi, A. Rimini, and T. Weber, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 470 (1986).
  - [12] L. Diósi, *Physics Letters A* **120**, 377 (1987).
  - [13] G. C. Ghirardi, P. Pearle, and A. Rimini, *Phys. Rev. A* **42**, 78 (1990).
  - [14] I. C. Percival, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **451**, 503 (1995).
  - [15] R. Penrose, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **28**, 581 (1996).
  - [16] J. van Wezel, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 054301 (2008).
  - [17] A. Mukherjee, S. Gotur, J. Aalberts, R. van den Ende, L. Mertens, and J. van Wezel, *Entropy* **26**, 131 (2024).
  - [18] A. Mukherjee and J. van Wezel, *Phys. Rev. A* **109**, 032214 (2024).
  - [19] L. Mertens, M. Wesseling, N. Vercauteren, A. Corrales-Salazar, and J. van Wezel, *Phys. Rev. A* **104**, 052224 (2021).
  - [20] L. Mertens, M. Wesseling, and J. van Wezel, *SciPost Phys.* **14**, 114 (2023).
  - [21] A. J. Beekman, L. Rademaker, and J. van Wezel, *SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes* **11** (2019), 10.21468/SciPostPhysLectNotes.11.
  - [22] L. Mertens, M. Wesseling, and J. van Wezel, *SciPost Phys. Core* **7**, 012 (2024).
  - [23] N. Gisin, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **62**, 363 (1989).
  - [24] A. Bassi and K. Hejazi, *European Journal of Physics* **36**, 055027 (2015).
  - [25] J. van Wezel and J. van den Brink, *Am. J. Phys.* **75**, 635 (2007).
  - [26] D. Revuz and M. Yor, *Continuous martingales and Brown-*

- nian motion* (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1999).
- [27] B. Øksendal, *Stochastic differential equations: An introduction with applications* (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2003).
  - [28] C. W. Gardiner, *Handbook of stochastic methods for physics, chemistry and the natural sciences*, Springer Series in Synergetics, Vol. 13 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2004).
  - [29] A. Bassi, K. Lochan, S. Satin, T. P. Singh, and H. Ulbricht, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 471 (2013).
  - [30] S. L. Adler and A. Bassi, *J. Phys. A* **40**, 15083 (2007).
  - [31] S. L. Adler and A. Bassi, *J. Phys. A* **41**, 395308 (2008).
  - [32] A. Bassi and G. Ghirardi, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 042114 (2002).
  - [33] P. Pearle, “Wavefunction collapse models with nonwhite noise,” in *Perspectives on Quantum Reality: Non-Relativistic, Relativistic, and Field-Theoretic* (Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1996) pp. 93–109.
  - [34] L. Ferialdi and A. Bassi, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 022108 (2012).
  - [35] W. H. Zurek, *Phys. Rev. D* **24**, 1516–1525 (1981).
  - [36] J. van Wezel, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **55**, 401001 (2022).
  - [37] J. V. Wezel and T. H. Oosterkamp, *Proc. R. Soc. A* **468**, 35–56 (2012).
  - [38] P. Pearle, “Dynamics of the reduction of the statevector,” in *The Wave-Particle Dualism: A Tribute to Louis de Broglie on his 90th Birthday*, edited by S. Diner, D. Fargue, G. Lochak, and F. Selleri (Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1984) pp. 457–483.
  - [39] G. Lindblad, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **48**, 119 (1976).
  - [40] V. Gorini, A. Kossakowski, and E. C. G. Sudarshan, *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 821 (1976).
  - [41] J. B. Walsh, *An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations* (Springer, 1986).
  - [42] B. Øksendal, “Space-time stochastic calculus and white noise,” in *Mathematics Going Forward : Collected Mathematical Brushstrokes*, edited by J.-M. Morel and B. Teissier (Springer, Cham, 2023) pp. 629–649.
  - [43] G. Pavliotis and A. Stuart, *Multiscale Methods* (Springer New York, 2008).
  - [44] W. Horsthemke and R. Lefever, *Noise-Induced Transitions: Theory and Applications in Physics*, Springer Series in Synergetics, Vol. 15 (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2006).
  - [45] M. Bonnin, F. L. Traversa, and F. Bonani, *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems* **66**, 3917 (2019).
  - [46] E. Wong and M. Zakai, *International Journal of Engineering Science* **3**, 213 (1965).
  - [47] E. Wong and M. Zakai, *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **36**, 1560 (1965).
  - [48] E. Wong and M. Zakai, *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete* **12**, 87 (1969).
  - [49] K. Twardowska, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* **43**, 317 (1996).
  - [50] S. Bo and A. Celani, *Phys. Rev. E* **88**, 062150 (2013).

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

This supplementary material provides details and background information supporting the calculations and arguments of the main text.

### Multi-scale noise homogenization

This section introduces the application of multi-scale noise homogenization to colored noise driven dynamics in a Hilbert space. We explicitly perform this computation to obtain Eq. (2) in the main text from Eq. (6) for two colored noise processes, while proving the prescription of Eq. (5) for colored noise processes possessing a well defined vanishing correlation time or white noise limit.

### Stochastic Differential Equations

Here, we first give a brief overview of stochastic processes in general, to establish notation. The next subsection employs this to give a more detailed discussion of two specific types of stochastic processes referred to in the main text. More mathematically complete treatments are available in Refs. [1–4], while more physically motivated accounts may be found in Refs. [5–7]. For completeness, this section reproduces parts of the discussion in the appendices of Ref. [8, 9].

We employ Itô's calculus for continuous-time stochastic processes, assuming an underlying filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{Q})$  endowed with a natural filtration. Here,  $\Omega$  denotes the sample space encompassing all conceivable events of the stochastic process.  $\mathcal{F}$ , the filtration, signifies the space encompassing all event collections (i.e., all conceivable subsets of  $\Omega$ ), and  $\mathbb{Q}$  represents the probability measure associating probabilities with abstract events. The overall probability complies with  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{F}] = 1$ . The space  $\mathcal{F}$ , constitutes a  $\sigma$ -algebra. The (natural) filtration,  $\{\mathcal{F}_{0 \leq t < \infty}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , comprises of a sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras with a causal ordering. It is dictated by all possible histories of a process leading up to time  $t$ . This sequence adheres to the causality condition  $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_{t_1} \subset \mathcal{F}_{t_2} \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}$  for  $0 < t_1 < t_2 \dots < t$ , where each  $\mathcal{F}_s$  represents the set of all event collections forming a history leading up to time  $0 < s < t$ . The process  $Z_t$  ( $t > 0$ ) is termed an adapted process if  $Z_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$  measurable, indicating that  $Z_t$  possesses a well-defined probability for all possible histories leading up to time  $t$ , without requiring knowledge of the future (non-anticipating and thus, Markovian). All stochastic processes considered below are assumed to be adapted processes.

An Itô process  $Z_t$  is an adapted stochastic process, expressible either as a stochastic integral or a stochastic differential equation (SDE):

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t &= Z_0 + \int_0^t A(Z_s, s) ds + \int_0^t B(Z_s, s) dW_s \\ \Leftrightarrow dZ_t &= A(Z_t, t) dt + B(Z_t, t) dW_t. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In these expressions, the drift term  $A(Z_t, t)$  and the diffusion term  $B(Z_t, t)$  are assumed to be smooth functions and  $\mathcal{F}_t$  measurable for all  $t$  (for a more rigorous discussion, see Refs. [1, 3]). The symbol  $W_t = \int_0^t dW_t$  represents the standard Wiener (Brownian) process characterized by independent increments and (almost surely) continuous paths, defined with  $W_0 = 0$  and  $dW_t := W_{t+dt} - W_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sqrt{dt})$ , which is a Gaussian distribution with mean zero and standard deviation  $\sqrt{dt}$ . In Hida's characterization of the Wiener process [10], Brownian motion may be interpreted as the temporal integral of an ideal Gaussian white noise process (random hits in time) denoted by  $\eta_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , i.e.  $dW_t = \eta_t dt$  and  $W_t = \int^t \eta_s ds$ . This construction is detailed in further sections to generalize to a space-time stochastic process. Note that the Wiener process has mean zero,  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[W_t] = 0$ , and variation  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[W_t^2] = t$ . Additionally, we have  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[dW_t] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[dW_t dW_s] = 0$  for  $t \neq s$ , alongside  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[dW_t^2] = dt$ . These properties yield the 'informal' Itô multiplication rules  $dt^2 = dW_t dt = 0$  and  $dW_t^2 = dt$ . Importantly, smooth functions of Itô processes remain Itô processes themselves.

Generalising, an  $N$ -dimensional, vector-valued Itô process  $\mathbf{Z}_t$ , with components  $\{Z_t^k\}$ ,  $k \in [1, N]$  can be defined to follow the SDE:

$$d\mathbf{Z}_t = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Z}_t) dt + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Z}_t) d\mathbf{W}_t. \tag{8}$$

Here  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Z}_t) : \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^N$  is vector valued, being just an  $N$  dimensional array,  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Z}_t)_{N \times M} : \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{N \times M}$  is a  $N \times M$  matrix valued function of  $\mathbf{Z}_t$ , and  $d\mathbf{W}_t$  are  $M$ -dimensional Brownian increments with components  $\{dW_t^k\}$  and  $k \in [1, M]$ .

The evolution of probabilities of functions of stochastic processes is studied by their transition probability density  $\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{Z}_f, t_f | \mathbf{Z}_0, t_0)$ , which is defined as the probability of progression from the initial Dirac-delta distribution for the state of the stochastic process localized at  $\mathbf{Z}_0$ , to a terminal state  $\mathbf{Z}_f$ . The transition probabilities can be interpreted either to evolve forward in time from specified initial conditions following the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov (FPK) equations, or backward in time from specified terminal conditions following the Kolmogorov Backward (KB) equations. Both equations can be expressed in terms of an infinitesimal generator  $\Lambda$  (also known as a characteristic operator), where  $\Lambda(\mathbf{Z}, t)$  evolves the transition probability density in the backward direction, while its adjoint  $\Lambda^*(\mathbf{Z}, t)$  propels it forward:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{KB: } & -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{Z}_f, t_f | \mathbf{Z}, t) = \Lambda(\mathbf{Z}, t) \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{Z}_f, t_f | \mathbf{Z}, t) \\ \text{FPK: } & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{Z}, t | \mathbf{Z}_0, t_0) = \Lambda^*(\mathbf{Z}, t) \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{Z}, t | \mathbf{Z}_0, t_0). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Integrating out the terminal (initial) conditions from the Kolmogorov Backward (Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov) equations yields the one-time probability density,  $\rho(\mathbf{Z}, t)$ , employing  $\int \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{Z}_T, t_T | \mathbf{Z}, t) \rho(\mathbf{Z}_T, t_T) d\mathbf{Z}_T = \rho(\mathbf{Z}, t)$  or  $\int \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{Z}, t | \mathbf{Z}_0, t_0) \rho(\mathbf{Z}_0, t_0) d\mathbf{Z}_0 = \rho(\mathbf{Z}, t)$ . This one-time probability density is also a solution of Eq.(9). Explicit expressions in operator form for the generator  $\Lambda(z, t)$  and its adjoint for the one dimensional Itô process  $Z_t$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(Z, t) \bullet &= A(Z, t) \frac{\partial \bullet}{\partial Z} + \frac{B^2(Z, t)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \bullet}{\partial Z^2} \\ \Lambda^*(Z, t) \bullet &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} A(Z, t) \bullet + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} \frac{B^2(Z, t)}{2} \bullet. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The derivation of these forms involves an integration by parts to derive one from the other, assuming the associated surface terms vanish under compact support. The generator and its adjoint for the vector process  $\mathbf{Z}_t$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\mathbf{Z}, t) \bullet &:= \sum_i A_i(\mathbf{Z}) \frac{\partial \bullet}{\partial Z^i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} [\mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}^T]_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \bullet}{\partial Z^i \partial Z^j} \\ \Lambda^*(\mathbf{Z}, t) \bullet &:= -\sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^i} A_i(\mathbf{Z}) \bullet + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^i \partial Z^j} [\mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}^T]_{ij} \bullet. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Besides the Itô interpretation, stochastic processes with multiplicative noise can also be described using the Stratonovich interpretation, in which the term  $B(Z_t)dW_t$  is replaced by  $B(Z_t) \circ dW_t$ , with  $\circ$  denoting a Stratonovich product. A Stratonovich process may be defined to follow the Stratonovich SDE (as opposed to Itô SDE):

$$d\mathbf{Z}_t = \bar{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{Z}_t)dt + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Z}_t) \circ d\mathbf{W}_t.$$

Converting this expression to Itô's interpretation (notation) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}_t &= \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Z}_t)dt + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Z}_t)d\mathbf{W}_t \\ \text{with: } A_i(\mathbf{Z}_t) &= \bar{A}_i(\mathbf{Z}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial B_{ij}}{\partial Z_k}(\mathbf{Z}_t) B_{kj}(\mathbf{Z}_t). \end{aligned}$$

### Coloured noise processes

To contrast time-correlated stochastic processes with (Gaussian) white noise processes that are uncorrelated in time, we refer to the former as colored noise processes. In Ref. [9], colored noise processes with a steady-state distribution were suggested as noise term in models of spontaneous unitarity violation. Here, we therefore focus on the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) and spherical Brownian motion (SBM) processes. The OU process is described by: [6, 7, 11, 12]

$$d\xi_t = -\xi_t \frac{dt}{\tau} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau}} dW_t \quad (12)$$

Correlations in the OU process decay exponentially, with correlation time  $\tau$ . Beyond that, the process converges to a distinct steady-state Gaussian distribution centered at  $\xi = 0$ , and given by  $\rho^\infty(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2}}$  independent of initial conditions [6]. The analytical solution for individual noise realizations with a sharp initial condition  $\xi_0$  is given by [6]:

$$\xi_t = \xi_0 e^{-t/\tau} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau}} e^{-t/\tau} \int_0^t e^{s/\tau} dW_s \quad (13)$$

Here, the Wiener measure ( $dW_s$ ) is used to integrate a time-dependent function, giving rise to a standard Itô integral. From Eq. (13), the mean value and autocorrelation can be derived given any initial conditions. Sampling  $\xi_0$  from the steady-state distribution, we find  $\mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi_0] = 0$ , where the expectation value is with respect to the noise process  $\xi_t$ . Similarly, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi_t] &= 0 \\ \mathbb{C}[\xi_t, \xi_s] &= e^{-|t-s|/\tau} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The auto-correlation function  $\mathbb{C}[\xi_t, \xi_s]$  is given by  $\mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi_t \xi_s] - \mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi_t] \mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi_s]$ . For the conditional expectation given a sharp initial condition of  $\xi_0$ , one also obtains  $\mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi_t | \xi_0] = \xi_0 \exp[-\frac{t-t_0}{\tau}]$ , showing that on time scales larger than  $\tau$ , all the temporal correlations are lost. These results may alternatively be derived using the FPK equations for the OU process [1, 6, 7].

The second colored noise process with non-zero correlation time and steady state distribution we consider stems from Brownian motion on a (unit) spherical manifold. It can be defined in terms of its generator, the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a sphere [1]:

$$\Lambda(\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \quad (15)$$

The KB equation for the stochastic process on the surface of a sphere can then be formulated using the transition probability density  $\mathbb{T}(\theta, \phi, t | \theta_0, \phi_0, t_0)$  using Eq. (9) and Eq. (10):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbb{T}(\theta_T, \phi_T, T | \theta, \phi, t) = \frac{-1}{2\tau} \Lambda(\theta, \phi) \mathbb{T}(\theta_T, \phi_T, T | \theta, \phi, t)$$

From here, the terminal condition can be integrated out to obtain the one-point probability density, using the definition  $\rho(\theta, \phi, t) = \int \mathbb{T}(\theta_T, \phi_T, T | \theta, \phi, t) \rho(\theta_T, \phi_T) d\theta_T d\phi_T$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(\theta, \phi, t) = \frac{-1}{2\tau} \left[ \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right] \rho(\theta, \phi, t) \quad (16)$$

The one-point probability density implies two Itô processes: one for  $\theta_t \in [0, \pi]$  and one for  $\phi_t \in [0, 2\pi]$ , representing the coordinates of the Brownian walker on the sphere. The corresponding stochastic differential equations are:

$$d\theta_t = \frac{1}{2\tau} \cot(\theta_t) dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} dW_t^\theta \quad (17)$$

$$d\phi_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau} \sin(\theta_t)} dW_t^\phi \quad (18)$$

From these, it is clear that the  $\theta_t$  process is independent of the  $\phi_t$  process at all times, whereas the evolution of  $\phi_t$  depends on the instantaneous value of  $\theta_t$ . Because of this, the models of spontaneous unitarity violation discussed in Ref. [9] employed the cosine of the latitude,  $\xi_t = \cos(\theta_t)$ , rather than the position on the sphere as the colored noise process driving state evolution. This choice provides a stochastic variable bounded between  $[-1, 1]$ . Additionally, the steady-state distribution of the Brownian motion uniformly covers the sphere, resulting in a uniform steady-state distribution of  $\xi_t$  across the range  $[-1, 1]$ . These properties of spherical Brownian motion (SBM) have been previously shown to facilitate the emergence of Born's rule in the limit of infinite correlation time or time-independent noise [9, 13, 14].

From Eq.(17), the stochastic dynamics of the spherical Brownian motion (SBM) process is described by:

$$d\xi_t = -\xi_t \frac{dt}{\tau} + \sqrt{\frac{1-\xi_t^2}{\tau}} dW_t \quad (19)$$



The final term in this expression indicates that SBM is a multiplicative noise process, interpreted in the Itô sense. This SBM process falls under the category of Pearson Diffusions and is also a Jacobi diffusion process (see Refs. [15–17] and references therein for further details). The timescale  $\tau$  corresponds to the correlation time, while the mean-reverting drift term ensures a steady-state distribution.

The mean and autocorrelation for the SBM process are obtained by considering its infinitesimal (adjoint) generator and the corresponding Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov (FPK) equations [7, 15, 16, 18]:

$$\partial_t \mathbb{T}(\xi, t|\xi_0, t_0) = \frac{1}{\tau} \partial_\xi [\xi \mathbb{T}(\xi, t|\xi_0, t_0)] + \frac{1}{2\tau} \partial_\xi^2 [(1 - \xi^2) \mathbb{T}(\xi, t|\xi_0, t_0)] \quad (20)$$

This FPK equation possesses an exact formal solution in the form of an infinite sum [18]:

$$\mathbb{T}(\xi, t|\xi_0, t_0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)(n!)^2 P_n(\xi) P_n(\xi_0) \exp\left[\frac{-n(n+1)|t-t_0|}{2\tau}\right] \quad (21)$$

Here,  $P_n$  are the Legendre polynomials, given by the Rodrigues formula  $P_n(x) = \frac{1}{n! 2^n} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (x^2 - 1)^n$ . In the long-time limit ( $t - t_0 \rightarrow \infty$ ), the SBM process approaches a steady-state probability density  $\rho^\infty(\xi)$  independent of the initial state. By taking the limit  $t_0 \rightarrow -\infty$  in Eq. (21), only the  $n = 0$  term survives, leading to a completely uniform steady-state distribution, i.e.,  $\rho^\infty(\xi) = \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow -\infty} \mathbb{T}(\xi, t|\xi_0, t_0) = 1/2$  for  $\xi \in [-1, 1]$ . Similarly, the unconditioned mean  $\mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi_t]$  and the expected value  $\mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi_t|\xi_0]$  conditioned on sharp initial conditions,  $\xi_t = \xi_0$  at  $t = t_0$ , are found via direct integration of the transition probability density:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi_t] &= \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 \xi \mathbb{T}(\xi, t|\xi_0, t_0) \rho^\infty(\xi_0) d\xi_0 d\xi = 0 \\ \mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi|\xi_0] &= \int_{-1}^1 \xi \mathbb{T}(\xi, t|\xi_0, t_0) d\xi = \xi_0 \exp\left[-\frac{t-t_0}{\tau}\right] \\ \mathbb{C}[\xi_t, \xi_s] &= \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 \xi_t \xi_s \mathbb{T}(\xi_t, t|\xi_s, s) \rho^\infty(\xi_s) d\xi_t d\xi_s = \frac{1}{3} \exp\left[-\frac{t-t_s}{\tau}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Here, again the auto-correlation function is defined as  $\mathbb{C}[\xi_t, \xi_s] = \mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi_t \xi_s] - \mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi_t] \mathbb{E}_\xi[\xi_s]$ . These results reveal that, similar to the OU process, the SBM process features exponentially decaying correlations and a unique steady state distribution, albeit non-Gaussian.

### Multi-scale Noise Homogenization

In this subsection, we discuss the analysis for obtaining Eq. (2) from Eq. (6) in the main text, while proving the prescription of Eq. (5) for a class of colored noise processes possessing a well defined vanishing correlation time limit ( $\tau \rightarrow 0$ ). A controlled perturbative method to obtain coarse-grained or time-renormalized dynamics of stochastic systems with separation of time scales has been employed in Ref. [9] to study dynamical quantum state reduction. It is based on the so called multi-scale noise homogenization techniques developed in Refs. [3, 4, 9, 19]. The calculations in this section review and generalize this technique to higher dimensions. We begin with the SUV model introduced in the main text, given by ( $\mathcal{N}$  is absorbed in the coefficients  $J, G$  and we set  $\hbar = 1$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} d|\psi\rangle &= \sum_k \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) \left[ 2J \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle + G \xi_t^k \right] |\psi\rangle dt \\ d\xi_t^k &= -\xi_t^k \frac{dt}{\tau} + g(\xi_t^k) dW_t^k \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Here, the time-dependent wave function is  $N$ -dimensional and of the form  $|\psi_t\rangle := |\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_i \psi_i(t) |i\rangle$ . The standard Hamiltonian does not admit attractive or repulsive fixed points in the dynamics, and can be neglected entirely in cases that the modifications to Schrödinger's equation are much larger than or commute with the Hamiltonian [9]. We will derive the dynamics for the squared amplitudes  $z_i := |\langle i|\psi(t)\rangle|^2$ . For notational convenience, we have dropped the explicit time-dependence of  $|\psi\rangle$ ,  $\psi_i$  and  $z_i$ , except in cases where it is required for clarity. From Eq. (22), the dynamical equations for  $\psi_i$  are given by:

$$d\psi_i |i\rangle = \left[ 2J \left( z_i - \sum_k z_k^2 \right) + G \left( \xi_t^i - \sum_k \xi_t^k z_k \right) \right] \psi_i |i\rangle dt \quad (23)$$

As explained in Ref. [9], for an arbitrary smooth function  $f(\psi)$ , the temporal integral of the form  $\int f(\psi)\xi_t^k dt$  is a regular Riemann integral, since the  $d\psi_k$  process has a vanishing quadratic variation ( $d\psi_k^2 = 0$ ). This is because the noise  $\xi_k$  is continuous while its integral is continuous and once-differentiable. Thus, there is no difference between the Itô and Stratonovich descriptions in this case [9, 20, 21], and using the regular rules of calculus we obtain the evolution equations for  $dz_i$ :

$$dz_i = J_i dt + \sum_j G_{ij} \xi_j dt \quad (24)$$

Here, we defined  $J_i = 4Jz_i \left( z_i - \sum_j z_j^2 \right)$  and  $G_{ij} = 2Gz_i (\delta_{ij} - z_j)$ . To perform the multi-scale noise homogenization, the first step is to isolate a factor of  $\sqrt{\tau}$  from  $G_{ij}$  by writing  $G_{ij} \rightarrow \sqrt{\tau}G_{ij}$  and  $dt \rightarrow dt/\sqrt{\tau}$ . This effectively coarse-grains the dynamics, since the SDE is formally understood as a discrete sum over temporal partitions, which increase as  $\frac{dt}{\sqrt{\tau}} > dt$ . We will then consider the limit  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  in such a way that  $G^2\tau$  remains finite. The full dynamics is then:

$$\begin{aligned} dz_i &= J_i dt + \sum_j G_{ij} \xi_j \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\tau}} \\ \text{with } J_i &= 4Jz_i \left( z_i - \sum_j z_j^2 \right) \\ G_{ij} &= 2\sqrt{\tau}G^2 z_i (\delta_{ij} - z_j) \\ d\xi_j &= -\xi_j \frac{dt}{\tau} + g(\xi_j) dW_t^j \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Here both indices  $i$  and  $j$  lie in  $[1, N]$ , and  $G_{ij}$  are components of an  $N \times N$  matrix. To extract the limit for  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ , we employ the Kolmogorov Backward equation (KB), which is obtained from the generator,  $\Lambda(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ , of the joint stochastic processes  $\{z_i, \xi_j\}$ . As detailed by Ref. [9], considering the joint statistics of  $\{\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\}$  is a necessary step to obtain Markovian dynamics for the coloured noise driven SUV model. This is because the noise is independent of the state dynamics but the state dynamics depends on the noise. Thus, the value  $\mathbf{z}_t$  depends on  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_t = \boldsymbol{\xi}_0 + \int_0^t d\boldsymbol{\xi}_t$  which requires information of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  up to time  $t$ , rendering  $\mathbf{z}$  on its own non-Markovian. Augmenting the  $\mathbf{z}$  with the  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  process however, renders the combination Markovian [9, 20, 22]. The corresponding KB equation for the joint dynamics is given by:

$$-\partial_t \rho(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, t) = \Lambda(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \rho(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, t) \quad (26)$$

$$\text{where } \Lambda(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) := \Lambda_J(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \Lambda_G(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) + \frac{1}{\tau} \Lambda_\xi(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \quad (27)$$

$$\text{and } \Lambda_J(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_i J_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$$

$$\Lambda_G(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{ij} \xi_j G_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$$

$$\Lambda_\xi(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_j \left( -\xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} + \frac{\tau g^2(\xi_j)}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_j^2} \right) \quad (28)$$

Here,  $\tau g^2(\xi_j)$  is noted to be independent of  $\tau$  for both the OU ( $g(\xi_j) := \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau}}$ ) and SBM ( $g(\xi_j) := \sqrt{\frac{1-\xi_j^2}{\tau}}$ ) noise processes and further,  $i, j \in [1, N]$ . Note that the operator  $\Lambda(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, t)$  is a function of variables on the domains of the stochastic processes  $z_j(t)$  and  $\xi_j(t)$ , and in Eq. (28) they are interpreted directly as functions of  $z_j$  and  $\xi_j$  themselves (rather than of the stochastic processes  $z_j(t)$  and  $\xi_j(t)$ ). The differential operator  $\Lambda_J$  depends on  $\mathbf{z}$  alone, while  $\Lambda_G$  depends on  $\mathbf{z}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ , and  $\Lambda_\xi$  depends on  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  alone, and these dependencies are dropped for ease of notation from here on. This again shows that changes in the quantum state are influenced by the noise, while the noise itself operates independently of the state.

In the limit of small  $\tau$ , the coefficient of  $\Lambda_\xi$  guarantees that the noise dynamics is always faster than that of the state. Notice however, that we will not assume the noise to always remain in its steady or late-time distribution  $\rho^\infty(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ , since assuming so would result in a straightforward, noise-free scenario that fails to account for the time-dependent nature of the dynamics induced by noise in the probability density [3, 4, 22]. We will need to assume that

such a steady-state distribution exists for the noise, which is true for both the OU ( $\rho^\infty(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2}}$ ) and SBM ( $\rho^\infty(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}$ ) processes. Notice that from the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations, the steady state distribution  $\rho^\infty(\xi) := \prod_k \rho^\infty(\xi_k)$  (also termed the invariant/null subspace) can be constructed by demanding that the adjoint generator has the action  $\Lambda_\xi^* \rho^\infty(\xi) = 0$ .

The Kolmogorov Backward equations of Eq. (28) offer a methodical way to construct the probability density  $\rho(\mathbf{z}, \xi, t)$  by representing it as a perturbative expansion in  $\tau^{1/2}$ , treating the correlation time as a small parameter:

$$\rho(\mathbf{z}, \xi, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau^{k/2} \rho_k = \rho_0 + \sqrt{\tau} \rho_1 + \mathcal{O}[\tau] \quad (29)$$

Utilizing Eq. (28), we derive distinct equations for each power of  $\tau$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{-1} : \quad & -\Lambda_\xi \rho_0 = 0 \\ \tau^{-1/2} : \quad & -\Lambda_\xi \rho_1 = \Lambda_G \rho_0 \\ \tau^0 : \quad & -\Lambda_\xi \rho_2 = (\Lambda_J + \partial_t) \rho_0 + \Lambda_G \rho_1 \\ \tau^{p/2} : \quad & -\Lambda_\xi \rho_{p+2} = (\Lambda_J + \partial_t) \rho_p + \Lambda_G \rho_{p+1} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The final line holds for  $p > -2$ , with the condition that  $\rho_p = 0$  for all  $p < 0$ .

Notice that because  $\Lambda_\xi$  is a differential operator in  $\xi$  only, the first line implies that  $\rho_0$  depends only on the state vector and time, denoted as  $\rho_0 := \rho_0(\mathbf{z}, t)$ . The subsequent set of equations comprises expressions like  $\Lambda_\xi \rho_k = f_k(\mathbf{z}, \xi)$ . Solutions to these can be constructed using Fredholm's Alternative theorem [3, 4, 19]. In a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, this theorem states that an operator equation  $Ax_i = y_i$  with operator  $A$  and vectors  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ , has a solution if  $n \cdot y_i = 0$  for vectors  $n$  in the null subspace of the adjoint operator  $A^*$  (i.e.,  $A^*n = 0$ ). The solvability condition  $n \cdot y_i = 0 \forall i$  can be utilized to construct the solution for the system of equations. This is accomplished by repeated operations of  $x_i = A^{-1} y_i$  which guarantees a solution if  $y_i$  is orthogonal to the null subspace.

This method applies to expressions like  $\Lambda_\xi \rho_k = f_k(\mathbf{z}, \xi)$  in Eqs. (30) because the adjoint operator  $\Lambda_\xi^*$  describes the forward evolution in Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations of the noise alone (see previous sections and Refs. [3, 4, 6, 7]). As both SBM and OU processes allow long-term steady-state probability distributions, we have  $\Lambda_\xi^* \rho^\infty(\xi) = 0$ , defining the null subspace of the adjoint operator. Further, the orthogonality of a generic function  $y(\mathbf{z}, \xi)$  with the null subspace is expressed through the (function space) inner product:  $\mathbb{E}_\xi^\infty[y(\mathbf{z}, \xi)] := \int d\xi \rho^\infty(\xi) y(\mathbf{z}, \xi) = 0$ . With this, the solvability condition for the  $O(\tau^{-1/2})$  equation becomes  $\mathbb{E}_\xi^\infty[\Lambda_G \rho_0] = 0$ . This condition, termed the centering condition, characterizes the coupling between state and noise dynamics [3, 4, 19]. For the OU and SBM processes, the ergodicity properties and the linear coupling (a separate  $\xi_i$  for each  $z_i$ ), guarantee that the centering condition is satisfied. To see this, note that the form of  $\Lambda_G$  has a differential operator only in  $\mathbf{z}$  and since  $\mathbb{E}_\xi^\infty[\xi] = 0$ , we trivially have  $\mathbb{E}_\xi^\infty[\Lambda_G \rho_0] = 0$ . Thus, a solution for the first-order component  $\rho_1$  exists, given  $\rho_0$ , as  $\rho_1 = -\Lambda_\xi^{-1} \Lambda_G \rho_0$ .

Substituting this  $\rho_1$  expression into the equation for  $\Lambda_\xi \rho_2$  yields the subsequent solvability condition:

$$\mathbb{E}_\xi^\infty \left[ (\Lambda_J + \partial_t) \rho_0 - \Lambda_G \Lambda_\xi^{-1} \Lambda_G \rho_0 \right] = 0 \quad (31)$$

Only the final term on the right-hand side relies on  $\xi$ , which simplifies this expression to:

$$(\Lambda_J + \partial_t) \rho_0 - \mathbb{E}_\xi^\infty \left[ \Lambda_G \Lambda_\xi^{-1} \Lambda_G \rho_0 \right] = 0 \quad (32)$$

The remaining expectation value is computed using the so-called cell problem Ansatz [3, 4, 19]. This begins with noticing that  $\Lambda_G = \sum_j \xi_j G_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ , making  $\Lambda_\xi^{-1} \Lambda_G \rho_0$  expressible as  $\Lambda_\xi^{-1} (\sum_k \xi_k F_k(\mathbf{z}))$  for an arbitrary function  $F_j(\mathbf{z})$ . The cell problem Ansatz then posits the existence of a function  $\Phi(\mathbf{z}, \xi)$  such that  $\Lambda_\xi^{-1} \Lambda_G \rho_0 = \Phi(\mathbf{z}, \xi)$ . If this function exists, it implies  $\Lambda_\xi \Phi(\mathbf{z}, \xi) = \Lambda_G \rho_0$ . Finding such a function  $\Phi(\mathbf{z}, \xi)$  is equivalent to determining an expression for  $\Lambda_\xi^{-1} \Lambda_G \rho_0$  required for evaluating the solvability condition. For our case, using  $\Lambda_\xi$ , the function,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{z}, \xi) = - \sum_{ij} \xi_i G_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \rho_0,$$

solves the cell Ansatz for both the OU and SBM noise processes. Now, since,  $\Lambda_G \Lambda_\xi^{-1} \Lambda_G \rho_0 = \Lambda_G \Phi(\mathbf{z}, \xi)$ , we may evaluate the solvability condition in Eq. (32) by substituting this into the expectation value, which yields:

$$\mathbb{E}_\xi^\infty \left[ \Lambda_G \Lambda_\xi^{-1} \Lambda_G \rho_0 \right] = -\mathbb{E}_\xi^\infty [\xi^2] \sum_{i,j,k} \left( G_{ik} \frac{\partial G_{jk}}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial z_j} + G_{ik} G_{jk} \frac{\partial^2 \rho_0}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \right) \quad (33)$$

Here, we used the fact that all  $\xi_i$  are identical stochastic processes with the same variance  $\mathbb{E}_\xi^\infty [\xi_i^2] = \mathbb{E}_\xi^\infty [\xi^2]$  and  $\mathbb{E}_\xi^\infty [\xi_i \xi_j] = 0$ .

Together with Eq. (32), Eq. (33) establishes the solvability condition for dynamics up to order  $\tau^0$ . This homogenizes the stochastic variable to order  $\tau^0$ , providing an expression for the time evolution of probabilities for  $\mathbf{z}$  alone:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \partial_t + \Lambda_J + \tilde{\Lambda}_G \right] \rho_0(\mathbf{z}, t) = 0 \\ \tilde{\Lambda}_G & := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} \left( \tilde{G}_{ik} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_{jk}}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial z_j} + \tilde{G}_{ik} \tilde{G}_{jk} \frac{\partial^2 \rho_0}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Here, we rescaled  $G_{ij}$  by introducing  $\tilde{G}_{ij} := 2\sqrt{\mathcal{D}} z_i (\delta_{ij} - z_j)$ , with the effective coupling defined as  $\mathcal{D} = 2\mathbb{E}_\xi^\infty [\xi^2] G^2 \tau$ . This equation signifies the solvability condition for the system of Eq. (25), and the solutions of Eq. (34) weakly correspond (agreeing on ensemble-averaged probabilities  $\rho(\mathbf{z}, t)$ ) to those of Eq. (25) in the  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  limit, when the probability distribution  $\rho(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, t)$  equals  $\rho_0(\mathbf{z}, t)$ . Furthermore, Eq. (34) represents a Kolmogorov Backward equation (in the Stratonovich representation) for the time evolution of the probabilities of  $\mathbf{z}$  alone (after homogenizing over the noise) [1, 6, 20]. Notably, this equation straightforwardly coincides with the white-noise driven process [1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 19]:

$$dz_i = J_i dt + \sum_j \tilde{G}_{ij} \circ dW_t^j + \mathcal{O}[\tau] \quad (35)$$

Because in the Stratonovich representation (where  $\circ$  denotes the Stratonovich product) the usual rules of calculus apply, the quantum state reduction dynamics on the Hilbert space for the original pair of processes involving both  $\psi$  and  $\xi_k$ , is now approximated in the  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  limit by a single effective stochastic process of the quantum state on the Hilbert space (Eq. (2) in the main text), given by:

$$d|\psi\rangle = \sum_k 2J \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \left[ \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right] |\psi\rangle dt + \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \sum_k \left[ \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right] |\psi\rangle \circ dW_t^k \quad (36)$$

This establishes the existence of an analytically tractable Markovian limit in the joint state-noise dynamics of Eq. (22), such that in the limit of  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  the dynamics it is weakly equivalent to the white noise driven process of Eq. (36). This is an expected result, in light of the Wong-Zakai theorems [23–26], and can be generalized further. In the situation of interest in the main text, the Markovian limit (for  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ ) is thus found to follow from the straightforward prescription (Eq. (5) in the main text):

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} G \int \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) |\psi\rangle \xi_t^k dt \rightarrow \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \int \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) |\psi\rangle \circ dW_t^k \quad (37)$$

### Emergent Born's rules and GKSL Master equations

In this section we show the emergence of Born rule statistics in the SUV model defined by Eq. (2) of the main text. The dynamics follows a Stratonovich stochastic differential equation and this section discusses how to transform to Itô's convention and then how to average out the stochastic component.

#### The Stratonovich correction

Following the multi-scale noise homogenization, the dynamics of the quantum state reduction process discussed in the main text (Eq. (2) of the main text), is given by:

$$d|\psi\rangle = 2J \sum_{k=0}^N \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) |\psi\rangle dt + \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{k=0}^N \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) |\psi\rangle \circ dW_t^k. \quad (38)$$

Employing the Stratonovich to Itô transformation,  $X_t \circ dW_t = X_t dW_t + \frac{1}{2} dX_t dW_t$  [27] with  $X_t = \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \sum_k \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) |\psi\rangle$  results in the Itô form:

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{k=0}^N \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) |\psi\rangle \circ dW_t^k = \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{k=0}^N \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) |\psi\rangle dW_t^k + \hat{C}_t |\psi\rangle dt.$$

Here, the Stratonovich correction,  $\hat{C}_t$ , is given by:

$$\hat{C}_t = \mathcal{D} \sum_{k=0}^N \left( \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right]^2 - \left[ \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k^2 \rangle_t - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle^2 \right] \right)$$

The norm-preserving nature of the dynamics may be confirmed by considering the change in the norm,  $dN_t$  where  $N_t = \langle \psi_t | \psi_t \rangle$ . Here we retained the temporal argument for clarity. Using Itô's lemma (i.e. the Itô-Leibnitz rule,  $dN_t = \langle d\psi_t | \psi_t \rangle + \langle \psi_t | d\psi_t \rangle + \langle d\psi_t | d\psi_t \rangle$ ) we find  $dN_t = 0$ , which shows that a normalized initial state remains normalized.

### Master equations

In this subsection we obtain the noise-averaged master equations (Eq. (3) from Eq. (2) in the main text). We retain the time arguments in the following subsections for clarity. To find the evolution of the pure state projector,  $\hat{\Psi}_t := |\psi_t\rangle \langle \psi_t|$ , we use Itô's lemma,  $d\hat{\Psi}_t = |d\psi_t\rangle \langle \psi_t| + |\psi_t\rangle \langle d\psi_t| + |d\psi_t\rangle \langle d\psi_t|$ , and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{\Psi}_t = & 2J \sum_{k=0}^N \left\{ \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right), \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} dt + \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{k=0}^N \left\{ \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} dW_t^k \\ & + \left\{ \hat{C}_t, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} dt + \sum_{k=0}^N \mathcal{D} \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) \hat{\Psi}_t \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) dt \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

This expression can be simplified to yield:

$$d\hat{\Psi}_t = 2(J - \mathcal{D}) \sum_k \left[ \left\{ \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle_t, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} - 2 \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k^2 \rangle_t \hat{\Psi}_t \right] dt + \mathcal{D} \left[ \sum_k \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \hat{\Psi}_t \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \hat{\Psi}_t \right] dt + \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{k=0}^N \left\{ \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} dW_t^k$$

It is straightforward to see that imposing the fluctuation-dissipation relationship (FDR) of  $J = \mathcal{D}$  and averaging over the noise (using  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\Psi}_t] = \hat{\rho}_t$  and  $\mathbb{E}[M_t dW_t] = 0$  in Itô's interpretation for arbitrary  $M_t$ ), yields a linear master equation corresponding to a Gorini-Kossakowsky-Sudarshan-Lindblad (GKSL) equation for dephasing, given by:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_t}{\partial t} = \mathcal{D} \left[ \sum_k \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \hat{\rho}_t \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \hat{\rho}_t \right]$$

This implies the emergence of Born rule statistics and the absence of superluminal signalling. Using the notation in the main text,  $J = \mathcal{JN}/\hbar$ , we obtain  $\sqrt{\mathcal{D}} = \sqrt{\mathcal{JN}/\hbar}$  as written in the main article.

### The continuum limit

In this section we discuss the continuum limit of Eq. (2) in the main text and obtain Eq. (4) of the main text. We first generalize the notion of a Wiener process to higher dimensions, and then discuss the continuum limit of the SUV model.

#### Space-time Wiener process from space-time white noise

Although there are many ways to characterize the fundamental Wiener process, not all may be generalized to multi-parameter Brownian motion. The standard characterization of the Wiener process as a definite integral of (Gaussian) white noise, written in terms of independent Gaussian increments and (almost surely) continuous paths, can be generalised to multiple dimensions. This yields the so called Hida's calculus, which allows for a rigorous way to study stochastic partial differential equations on infinite dimensional spaces [10, 27–29]. For clarity, we retain the standard physics notation in the following and use a simplified approach to showcase explicit calculations.

The Wiener processes in space and time may be considered in analogy to the construction of a Wiener process in time ( $t \in [0, T]$ ), using Gaussian white noise  $\eta_t$  sampled at each  $t$  from a standard normal distribution with zero

mean and unit variance. Note that this construction formally assumes a discretization, such that  $\eta_t$  is sampled from  $N(0, \sigma^2 = 1)$  at each infinitesimal time step. Here, we follow the physics literature and use integral notation, while discrete summations are preferred in the mathematical literature. The Wiener process is defined as the temporal integral (sum) of  $\eta_t$ , i.e.  $W_t = \int^t ds \eta_s$ . This can be used to define  $W_{t+dt} - W_t = dW_t = \int_t^{t+dt} \eta_z dz$  (usually written simply as  $dW_t = \eta_t dt$ ), which implies that  $dW_t$  is sampled from a Gaussian distribution,  $N(0, \sigma^2 = dt)$ , with variance  $dt$ . From,  $\mathbb{E}[\eta_t] = 0$  it follows that  $\mathbb{E}[dW_t] = 0$ . Further, since  $\mathbb{E}[\eta_t \eta_s] = \delta(t - s)$ , we obtain the shorthand Itô multiplication rules:

$$\mathbb{E}[\eta_t \eta_s] = \delta(t - s) \quad (40)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}\left[\int_T \eta_z dz \int_S \eta_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z}\right] = \int_T dz \int_S d\bar{z} \delta(z - \bar{z}) \quad (41)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[dW_t dW_s] = \int_T dz \int_S d\bar{z} \delta(z - \bar{z}) \quad (42)$$

Here, we denoted the interval  $[t, t + dt]$  as  $T$  and  $[s, s + dt]$  as  $S$ , and in the value of the double integral depends on the intervals of integration. If  $T \cap S = \emptyset$  the double integral vanishes, while if  $T \cap S \neq \emptyset$  it must be the case that  $t = s$  and  $T = S$  because  $T$  and  $S$  are infinitesimal intervals. In this case the integral on the right hand side yields the interval size,  $dt$ , and the Ito multiplication rule becomes  $\mathbb{E}[dW_t^2] = dt$  and  $\mathbb{E}[dW_t dW_s] = 0$  for all  $t \neq s$ .

Extending these considerations, space-time white (Gaussian) noise may be defined on both space ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and time ( $t \in [0, T]$ ), and denoted by  $\eta_t^x = \eta(x, t)$ . In direct analogy to temporal noise,  $\eta_t^x$  is sampled from the standard normal distribution  $N(0, \sigma^2 = 1)$  at each space-time point, which formally is done using a discretization. The space-time generalization of the Wiener process (often called a Brownian sheet) follows by constructing the space-time double integral, i.e.  $\int_T dW_t(X) = \int_X dx \int_T dt \eta_t^x$  where  $X$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Using,  $\mathbb{E}[\eta_t^x] = 0$ , and defining  $dW_t^x := dW_t(dx)$ , we again find,  $\mathbb{E}[dW_t^x] = 0$ . To obtain the corresponding Itô multiplication rules, we utilize  $\mathbb{E}[\eta_t^x \eta_s^y] = \delta(t - s)\delta(x - y)$ , change variables for convenience and consider the following integrals:

$$\mathbb{E}[\eta_t^x \eta_s^y] = \delta(t - s)\delta(x - y) \quad (43)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_T dz \int_X dx \eta_z^x\right) \left(\int_S d\bar{z} \int_Y dy \eta_{\bar{z}}^y\right)\right] = \int_T dz \int_S d\bar{z} \int_X dx \int_Y dy \delta(z - \bar{z})\delta(x - y) \quad (44)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[dW_t(X) dW_s(Y)] = \int_T dz \int_S d\bar{z} \int_X dx \int_Y dy \delta(z - \bar{z})\delta(x - y) \quad (45)$$

In the final expression the quadruple integral is non-zero only if both the temporal intervals ( $T$  and  $S$ ) and the spatial intervals ( $X$  and  $Y$ ) overlap. For infinitesimal intervals  $X = [x, x + dx]$  and  $Y = [y, y + dx]$ , an overlap is possible only if  $x = y$  ( $X = Y$ ) and  $t = s$  ( $T = S$ ). This implies the Itô rules  $\mathbb{E}[(dW_t^x)^2] = dt dx$  and  $\mathbb{E}[dW_t^x dW_s^y] = 0$  for all  $t \neq s$  or  $x \neq y$ . This implies Itô's isometry:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_X \int_T G(x, t) dW_t^x\right)^2\right] = \int_X \int_T G(x, t)^2 dt dx \quad (46)$$

There is no expectation on the right hand side, since the functional form of  $G(x, t)$  does not depend on  $W_t^x$  explicitly. The above construction is a simple approach to space-time white noise and its connection to the Brownian sheet construction for  $X \in \mathbb{R}$ , following Refs. [27, 28, 30, 31], which suffices for our needs. More rigorous constructions may be found throughout the mathematical literature. In particular cases where the set  $X$  is  $\mathbb{R}^n$  instead of just  $\mathbb{R}$  as considered here, further spatial noise correlations leading to  $\mathbb{E}[dW_t^x dW_t^y] = c(x - y, t) dt dx$  need to be taken into account, as discussed for example in Refs. [10, 28, 29, 32, 33]. Such correlations may play a role in more general models of quantum state reduction, which avoid collapse into Dirac-delta localized states in the continuum. We leave this as a possible avenue for further research. From this point on, we adopt the notation  $(dW_t^x)^2 = dt dx$ , while all other squared infinitesimals are zero.

### Continuum limit of the SUV model

Here we discuss the continuum limit of the white noise driven SUV model defined by Eq. (2) in the main text and obtain the continuum master equation in Eq. (4). Recall that in previous sections, we showed that a wave function of the form  $|\psi_t\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N \psi_k(t) |k\rangle$  collapses to a single basis-vector in  $\{|k\rangle\}$  under the dynamics defined by:

$$d|\psi_t\rangle = \hat{J}_t |\psi_t\rangle dt + \hat{C}_t |\psi_t\rangle dt + d\hat{G}_t |\psi_t\rangle \quad (47)$$

Here, we used the operators defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{J}_t |\psi_t\rangle dt &:= 2J \sum_k \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle^2 \right) |\psi_t\rangle dt \\
\hat{C}_t |\psi_t\rangle dt &:= \mathcal{D} \sum_k \left( \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right]^2 - \left[ \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle^2 - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle^2 \right] \right) |\psi_t\rangle dt \\
d\hat{G}_t |\psi_t\rangle &:= \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \sum_k \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) |\psi_t\rangle dW_t^k
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

These can be written out in terms of wave function components as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{J}_t |\psi_t\rangle dt &= 2J \left[ \left( \sum_k |\psi_t^k|^2 \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \right) - \left( \sum_k |\psi_t^j|^4 \right) \hat{\mathcal{K}} \right] |\psi_t\rangle dt \\
\hat{C}_t |\psi_t\rangle dt &= \mathcal{D} \left[ \left( \frac{3}{2} \sum_k |\psi_t^k|^4 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \hat{\mathcal{K}} - \left( \sum_k |\psi_t^k|^2 \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \right) \right] |\psi_t\rangle dt \\
d\hat{G}_t |\psi_t\rangle &= \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \sum_k \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) |\psi_t\rangle dW_t^k
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

The continuum limit equations for a wave-function defined on the real line, i.e.  $|\psi\rangle_t = \int_{X=\mathbb{R}} \psi_t(x) |x\rangle dx$ , may now be straightforwardly obtained by using the notion of Brownian sheet discussed in the last section along with its generalized Itô's multiplication rules. Using the projector valued measure  $d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x := |x\rangle \langle x| dx$  and the projector valued stochastic integral  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x dW_t^x = |x\rangle \langle x| dW_t^x$ , we have the following continuum limit identifications:

$$\begin{aligned}
2J \left[ \left( \sum_k |\psi_t^k|^2 \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \right) - \left( \sum_k |\psi_t^j|^4 \right) \hat{\mathcal{K}} \right] |\psi_t\rangle dt &\rightarrow 2J \left[ \left( \int_X |\psi_t(x)|^2 d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x \right) - \left( \int_X dx |\psi_t(x)|^4 \right) \hat{\mathcal{K}} \right] |\psi_t\rangle dt \\
\mathcal{D} \left[ \left( \frac{3}{2} \sum_k |\psi_t^k|^4 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \hat{\mathcal{K}} - \left( \sum_k |\psi_t^k|^2 \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \right) \right] |\psi_t\rangle dt &\rightarrow \mathcal{D} \left[ \left( \frac{3}{2} \int_X dx |\psi_t(x)|^4 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \hat{\mathcal{K}} - \left( \int_X |\psi_t(x)|^2 d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x \right) \right] |\psi_t\rangle dt \\
\sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \sum_k \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k - \langle \hat{\mathbb{P}}_k \rangle \right) |\psi_t\rangle dW_t^k &\rightarrow \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \int_X \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x - |\psi_t(x)|^2 \hat{\mathcal{K}} \right) |\psi_t\rangle dW_t^x
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Note that both integrals in time and space are now explicit and the modified Schrödinger equation reads  $d|\psi_t\rangle = \hat{J}_t |\psi_t\rangle dt + \hat{C}_t |\psi_t\rangle dt + d\hat{G}_t |\psi_t\rangle$  with:

$$\hat{J}_t |\psi_t\rangle dt := 2J \left[ \left( \int_X |\psi_t(x)|^2 d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x \right) - \left( \int_X dx |\psi_t(x)|^4 \right) \hat{\mathcal{K}} \right] |\psi_t\rangle dt \tag{51}$$

$$\hat{C}_t |\psi_t\rangle dt := \mathcal{D} \left[ \left( \frac{3}{2} \int_X dx |\psi_t(x)|^4 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \hat{\mathcal{K}} - \left( \int_X |\psi_t(x)|^2 d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x \right) \right] |\psi_t\rangle dt \tag{52}$$

$$d\hat{G}_t |\psi_t\rangle := \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \int_X \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x - |\psi_t(x)|^2 \hat{\mathcal{K}} \right) |\psi_t\rangle dW_t^x \tag{53}$$

In the next section, the fluctuation dissipation relation  $J = \mathcal{D}$  is shown to yield emergent Born rule statistics as well as a linear master equation. Using this relation, we obtain the state evolution equations:

$$d|\psi\rangle = J \left[ \left( \int_X |\psi_t(x)|^2 d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \int_X dx |\psi_t(x)|^4 \right) \hat{\mathcal{K}} \right] |\psi_t\rangle dt + \sqrt{J} \int_X \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x - |\psi_t(x)|^2 \hat{\mathcal{K}} \right) |\psi_t\rangle dW_t^x \tag{54}$$

#### Normalization

To check for norm preservation we compute the evolution of  $N_t = \langle \psi_t | \psi_t \rangle$  with Itô's lemma (the Itô-Leibnitz rule),  $dN_t = \langle d\psi_t | \psi_t \rangle + \langle \psi_t | d\psi_t \rangle + \langle d\psi_t | d\psi_t \rangle$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
dN_t &= 2\langle \hat{J}_t \rangle dt + 2\langle \hat{C}_t \rangle dt + 2\langle d\hat{G}_t \rangle + \langle d\hat{G}_t^2 \rangle \\
&= 2\langle \hat{C}_t \rangle dt + \langle d\hat{G}_t^2 \rangle = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Here,  $\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \langle \psi_t | \hat{O} | \psi_t \rangle / \langle \psi_t | \psi_t \rangle$  is the usual quantum expectation value and the second equality follows from  $\langle \hat{J}_t \rangle_t = \langle d\hat{G} \rangle_t = 0$ . The Stratonovich correction term can be written as  $2\langle \hat{C}_t \rangle dt = \left( \int_X dx |\psi_t(x)|^4 - 1 \right) dt$ , while for Itô's correction term we use  $(dW_t^x)^2 = dt dx$  (and zero otherwise) to find  $\langle d\hat{G}_t^2 \rangle = - \left( \int_X dx |\psi_t(x)|^4 - 1 \right) dt$ . Combining these yields  $dN_t = 0$ , indicating that the norm is preserved by the dynamics.

### Continuum Master Equations

We obtain the continuum dynamical master equations by computing the evolution of the pure state projection operator  $\hat{\Psi}_t = |\psi_t\rangle \langle \psi_t|$  using Itô's lemma ( $d\hat{\Psi}_t = |d\psi_t\rangle \langle \psi_t| + |\psi_t\rangle \langle d\psi_t| + |d\psi_t\rangle \langle d\psi_t|$ ) and averaging out the noise. For the pure state projector, this yields:

$$d\hat{\Psi}_t = \left\{ \hat{J}_t, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} dt + \left\{ \hat{C}_t, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} dt + \left\{ d\hat{G}_t, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} + d\hat{G}_t \hat{\Psi}_t d\hat{G}_t \quad (56)$$

Term by term, this can be written as:

$$\left\{ \hat{J}_t + \hat{C}_t, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} dt = \left\{ (2J - \mathcal{D}) \left( \int_x |\psi_t(x)|^2 d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x \right) - (2J - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{D}) \left( \int_X dx |\psi_t(x)|^4 \right) \hat{\mathcal{K}} - \frac{\mathcal{D}}{2} \hat{\mathcal{K}}, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} dt \quad (57)$$

$$\left\{ d\hat{G}_t, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} = \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \int_X \left\{ \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x - |\psi_t(x)|^2 \hat{\mathcal{K}} \right), \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} dW_t^x \quad (58)$$

The final term corresponds to Itô's correction, and can be written as:

$$d\hat{G}_t \hat{\Psi}_t d\hat{G}_t = \mathcal{D} \int_X \int_Y dW_t^x dW_t^y \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x \hat{\Psi}_t \hat{\mathbb{P}}_y + |\psi_t(x)|^2 |\psi_t(y)|^2 \hat{\Psi}_t - |\psi_t(y)|^2 \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x \hat{\Psi}_t - |\psi_t(y)|^2 \hat{\Psi}_t \hat{\mathbb{P}}_y \right) \quad (59)$$

$$= \mathcal{D} \int_X dx \left[ \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x \hat{\Psi}_t \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x + |\psi_t(x)|^4 \hat{\Psi}_t - \left\{ |\psi_t(x)|^2 \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} \right] dt \quad (60)$$

$$= \mathcal{D} \left[ \int_X d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x \langle x | \hat{\Psi}_t | x \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( \int_X dx |\psi_t(x)|^4 \right) \hat{\mathcal{K}}, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} - \left\{ \int_X |\psi_t(x)|^2 d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x, \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} \right] dt \quad (61)$$

In the above, obtaining the second expression from the first utilizes  $dW_t^x dW_t^y = 0$  for all  $x \neq y$  and  $(dW_t^x)^2 = dt dx$ , as explained in the previous sections. In the last step we rearrange terms and used the projector valued measure  $d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x := |x\rangle \langle x| dx$ , used commonly in the spectral decomposition of continuous unbounded operators. Combining all terms results in the expression:

$$d\hat{\Psi}_t = \mathcal{D} \left[ \int_X d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x \langle x | \hat{\Psi}_t | x \rangle - \hat{\Psi}_t \right] dt + 2(J - \mathcal{D}) \left\{ \int_x |\psi_t(x)|^2 d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x - \hat{\mathcal{K}} \left( \int_X dx |\psi_t(x)|^4 \right), \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} dt \\ + \sqrt{\mathcal{D}} \int_X \left\{ \left( \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x - |\psi_t(x)|^2 \hat{\mathcal{K}} \right), \hat{\Psi}_t \right\} dW_t^x \quad (62)$$

Using the Fluctuation-dissipation relationship  $J = \mathcal{D}$ , and averaging out the noise to obtain the density (statistical) operator, i.e.  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\Psi}_t] = \hat{\rho}_t$ , we obtain a continuum version of the dephasing GKSL master equation:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_t}{\partial t} = \mathcal{D} \left[ \int_X d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x \langle x | \hat{\rho}_t | x \rangle - \hat{\rho}_t \right] \quad (63)$$



- 
- [1] B. Øksendal, *Stochastic differential equations: An introduction with applications* (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2003).
- [2] D. Revuz and M. Yor, *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion* (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1999).
- [3] G. Pavliotis and A. Stuart, *Multiscale Methods* (Springer New York, 2008).
- [4] W. Horsthemke and R. Lefever, *Noise-Induced Transitions: Theory and Applications in Physics*, Springer Series in Synergetics, Vol. 15 (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2006).
- [5] H. P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The theory of open quantum systems* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002).
- [6] C. W. Gardiner, *Handbook of stochastic methods for physics, chemistry and the natural sciences*, Springer Series in Synergetics, Vol. 13 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2004).
- [7] H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation* (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1996).
- [8] A. Mukherjee, S. Gotur, J. Aalberts, R. van den Ende, L. Mertens, and J. van Wezel, *Entropy* **26**, 131 (2024).
- [9] A. Mukherjee and J. van Wezel, *Phys. Rev. A* **109**, 032214 (2024).
- [10] T. Hida, *Brownian motion* (Springer, New York, 1980).
- [11] G. E. Uhlenbeck and L. S. Ornstein, *Phys. Rev.* **36**, 823 (1930).
- [12] J. L. Doob, *Annals of Mathematics* **43**, 351 (1942).
- [13] L. Mertens, M. Wesseling, N. Vercauteren, A. Corrales-Salazar, and J. van Wezel, *Phys. Rev. A* **104**, 052224 (2021).
- [14] L. Mertens, M. Wesseling, and J. van Wezel, *SciPost Phys.* **14**, 114 (2023).
- [15] J. Forman and M. Sørensen, *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* **35**, 438 (2008).
- [16] G. Ascione, N. Leonenko, and E. Pirozzi, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **183** (2021).
- [17] N. Demni and M. Zani, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* **119**, 518 (2009).
- [18] E. Wong, *Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math.* **16**, 264 (1964).
- [19] M. Bonnin, F. L. Traversa, and F. Bonani, *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems* **66**, 3917 (2019).
- [20] P. Hänggi and P. Jung, in *Advances in Chemical Physics* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1994) pp. 239–326.
- [21] R. Mannella and P. McClintock, *Fluctuation and Noise Letters* **11**, 1240010 (2012).
- [22] J. Łuczka, *Chaos* **15**, 026107 (2005).
- [23] K. Twardowska, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* **43**, 317 (1996).
- [24] E. Wong and M. Zakai, *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **36**, 1560 (1965).
- [25] E. Wong and M. Zakai, *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete* **12**, 87 (1969).
- [26] E. Wong and M. Zakai, *International Journal of Engineering Science* **3**, 213 (1965).
- [27] B. Øksendal, “Space-time stochastic calculus and white noise,” in *Mathematics Going Forward : Collected Mathematical Brushstrokes*, edited by J.-M. Morel and B. Teissier (Springer, Cham, 2023) pp. 629–649.
- [28] H. Holden, B. Øksendal, J. Uboe, and T. Zhang, *Stochastic partial differential equations* (Springer, New York, 2009).
- [29] M. Hairer, “An introduction to stochastic PDEs,” (2023), [arXiv:0907.4178](https://arxiv.org/abs/0907.4178).
- [30] C.-E. Bréhier, “A short introduction to Stochastic PDEs,” (2014), hal-00973887.
- [31] A. Lanconelli, *Commun. Stoch. Anal.* **1**, 9 (2007).
- [32] R. C. Dalang and L. Quer-Sardanyons, *Expositiones Mathematicae* **29**, 67 (2011).
- [33] J. van Neerven, M. Veraar, and L. Weis, “Stochastic integration in banach spaces – a survey,” in *Stochastic Analysis: A Series of Lectures*, edited by R. C. Dalang, M. Dozzi, F. Flandoli, and F. Russo (Springer, Basel, 2015) pp. 297–332.