

# DUAL $F$ -SIGNATURES OF VERONESE SUBRINGS AND SEGRE PRODUCTS OF POLYNOMIAL RINGS

KOJI MATSUSHITA

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we compute the dual  $F$ -signatures of certain toric rings by using combinatorial techniques. Specifically, we calculate the dual  $F$ -signatures of Veronese subrings of polynomial rings. Moreover, we give an upper bound for the dual  $F$ -signatures of Segre products of polynomial rings and show that this upper bound is attained in some cases.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, numerical invariants associated with commutative rings with positive characteristic have been defined and investigated, such as Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities ([11, 13]),  $F$ -signatures ([9, 21]), dual  $F$ -signatures ([16]) and so on. These invariants tell us valuable information about the underlying ring  $R$ . In fact, they characterize various properties of  $R$ , for example, regularity, strong  $F$ -regularity,  $F$ -rationality and Gorensteinness. On the other hand, it is not easy to compute their values in concrete terms. In this paper, we focus on the dual  $F$ -signatures of toric rings and compute them specifically in certain classes.

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring of prime characteristic  $p > 0$  and assume that the residue field  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  is algebraically closed. In this situation, we can define the  $e$ -times iterated Frobenius morphism  $F^e : R \rightarrow R$  ( $r \mapsto r^{p^e}$ ) for  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $F_*^e M$  denote the *Frobenius push-forward* of  $M$ , which is an  $R$ -module given by restriction of scalars under  $F^e$  (that is,  $F_*^e M$  is just  $M$  as an abelian group and its  $R$ -module structure is defined by  $r \cdot m := F^e(r)m = r^{p^e}m$  ( $r \in R, m \in M$ )). We say  $R$  is  *$F$ -finite* if  $F_*^e R$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. In this paper, we always assume that  $R$  is  $F$ -finite since we only discuss such rings. In addition, we assume that  $R$  is reduced, and hence we can identify  $F_*^e R$  with  $R^{1/p^e}$ , which is the  $R$ -algebra consisting of  $p^e$ th roots of  $R$  inside an algebraic closure of its fraction field.

In [16], Sannai introduced the following value for an  $R$ -module  $M$ :

$$s(M) := \limsup_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max\{N : \text{there is a surjection } F_*^e M \twoheadrightarrow M^{\oplus N}\}}{\text{rank } F_*^e M}. \quad (1.1)$$

The value  $s(R)$  is called the  *$F$ -signature* of  $R$ , which can also be described as the following form:

$$s(R) = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max\{N : R^{1/p^e} \cong R^{\oplus N} \oplus L\}}{\text{rank } R^{1/p^e}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $L$  is an  $R$ -module without free direct summands (this is the original form of the definition of  $F$ -signatures (see [9]) and the existence of the limit (1.2) is shown in [21]).

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A35, 05E40; Secondary 52B20, 14M25.

*Key words and phrases.* Dual  $F$ -signatures, Toric rings, Veronese subrings of polynomial rings, Segre products of polynomial rings.

Sannai calls the limit (1.1) the *dual  $F$ -signature of  $M$* . On the other hand, in [18], Smirnov and Tucker call  $s(\omega_R)$  the *dual  $F$ -signature of  $R$*  and write it by  $s_{\text{dual}}(R)$  where  $\omega_R$  denotes the canonical module of  $R$ . They also introduce the notion of the *relative  $F$ -rational signature*  $s_{\text{rel}}(R)$  of  $R$  and show that  $s_{\text{rel}}(R)$  coincides with  $s(\omega_R)$  ([18, Corollary 5.6 and Theorem 5.10]).

In this paper, we focus only on  $s(\omega_R)$ , thus we use the later definition, that is,

**Definition 1.1.** We define the *dual  $F$ -signature of  $R$*  as

$$s_{\text{dual}}(R) := s(\omega_R) = \limsup_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max\{N : \text{there is a surjection } F_*^e \omega_R \rightarrow \omega_R^{\oplus N}\}}{\text{rank } F_*^e \omega_R}.$$

Regarding  $s(R)$  and  $s_{\text{dual}}(R)$ , the following facts are known:

**Theorem 1.2** (see [1, 9, 16, 18, 22]). *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a reduced  $F$ -finite Cohen–Macaulay local ring with  $\text{char } R = p > 0$  and assume that  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  is an algebraically closed field. Then we have the following.*

- (1)  $0 \leq s(R) \leq s_{\text{dual}}(R) \leq 1$ .
- (2) *The following are equivalent:*
  - (a)  $R$  is regular;
  - (b)  $s(R) = 1$ ;
  - (c)  $s_{\text{dual}}(R) = 1$ .
- (3)  $R$  is strongly  $F$ -regular if and only if  $s(R) > 0$ .
- (4)  $R$  is  $F$ -rational if and only if  $s_{\text{dual}}(R) > 0$ .
- (5)  $R$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $s(R) = s_{\text{dual}}(R)$ .

This theorem shows that  $s(R)$  and  $s_{\text{dual}}(R)$  measure the severity of singularities of  $R$ . Therefore, it is important to determine these invariants and consider what the explicit value of them means.

$F$ -signatures have been given for several classes of commutative rings with positive characteristic (see, e.g., [3, 8, 9, 17, 22]). In particular, it is known that the  $F$ -signature of a toric ring is equal to the volume of a certain convex polytope ([3]).

On the other hand, as far as the author knows, there are very few classes for which the dual  $F$ -signature has been explicitly calculated (see [14, 15, 16]). Recently, a method for the computation of the dual  $F$ -signatures of toric rings has been developed. In particular, Smirnov and Tucker give a formula to calculate them ([18], see Proposition 2.5). However, using this formula, we have only been able to speculate on the values of the dual  $F$ -signatures of Veronese subrings of polynomial rings ([18, Question 6.4]).

In this paper, we present new examples where the dual  $F$ -signatures are computed. We calculate them using Smirnov and Tucker’s formula and an inequality associated with  $O$ -sequences.

Throughout this paper, we suppose that  $\mathbb{k}$  is an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ . First, we completely determine the dual  $F$ -signatures of Veronese subrings, i.e., we give the positive answer to [18, Question 6.4].

**Theorem 1.3** (Theorem 3.1). *Let  $V_{n,d}$  be the  $n$ th Veronese subring of the polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{k}$  with  $d$  variables. Then we have*

$$s_{\text{dual}}(V_{n,d}) = \frac{1}{d} \left\lfloor \frac{d}{n} \right\rfloor.$$

Moreover, we give an upper bound for the dual  $F$ -signatures of Segre products of polynomial rings by using their generalized  $F$ -signatures (we will introduce the notion of generalized  $F$ -signatures in Section 2.2).

**Theorem 1.4** (Theorem 4.3). *Let  $S_{r_1, \dots, r_t} := \#_{i=1}^t \mathbb{k}[x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,r_i+1}]$  with  $r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_t$ . Then we have*

$$s_{\text{dual}}(S_{r_1, \dots, r_t}) \leq \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{D}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\binom{r_t + z_i}{r_i}}{\binom{r_i}{r_i}} \right) s_{\text{gen}}(M_{\mathbf{z}}), \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{t-1} : \begin{array}{l} -r_t + r_i \leq y_i \leq 0 \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}, \\ -r_j \leq y_i - y_j \leq r_i \text{ for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, t-1\} \end{array} \right\}$$

and  $s_{\text{gen}}(M_{\mathbf{z}})$  denotes the generalized  $F$ -signature of the conic divisorial ideal  $M_{\mathbf{z}}$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Actually, in the case of Segre products of polynomial rings, the generalized  $F$ -signatures are calculated by counting the elements in the symmetric group satisfying certain conditions (see [8, Theorem 5.3]).

In addition, we show that the equality of (1.3) holds when either of the following conditions holds (Propositions 4.4 and 4.5):

- (i)  $r_2 = \dots = r_t$ .
- (ii)  $r_i \in \{r_1, r_1 + 1\}$  for  $i = 2, \dots, t$ .

In particular, we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.5** (Proposition 4.4). *Let  $S_{r_1, r_2} := \mathbb{k}[x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,r_1+1}] \# \mathbb{k}[x_{2,1}, \dots, x_{2,r_2+1}]$  with  $r_1 \leq r_2$ , and let  $d = r_1 + r_2 + 1$ . Then we have*

$$s_{\text{dual}}(S_{r_1, r_2}) = \frac{\sum_{l=r_1}^{r_2} \binom{l}{r_1} A_{l,d}}{\binom{r_2}{r_1} d!},$$

where  $A_{l,d}$  denotes the Eulerian number.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definitions and notation of toric rings and a method to compute the (generalized)  $F$ -signatures and dual  $F$ -signatures of toric rings. We also recall the notion of  $\mathcal{O}$ -sequences and give a lemma to calculate the dual  $F$ -signatures of our toric rings. In Section 3, we give the dual  $F$ -signatures of Veronese subrings of polynomial rings (Theorem 3.1). In Section 4, we discuss the dual  $F$ -signatures of Segre products of polynomial rings. We recall some properties on Segre products of polynomial rings and their generalized  $F$ -signatures. We then provide an upper bound for the dual  $F$ -signatures of Segre products of polynomial rings (Theorem 4.3) and show that this upper bound is achieved in some cases (Propositions 4.4 and 4.5).

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank Akihiro Higashitani for his helpful comments and advice on improving this paper. The author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows Grant JP22J20033.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The goal of this section is to prepare the required materials for the discussions of our main results.

**2.1. Toric rings and conic divisorial ideals.** In this paper, we will discuss Veronese subrings and Segre products of polynomial rings, which can be realized as toric rings. Moreover, we need the notion of conic divisorial ideals of toric rings to compute (dual)  $F$ -signatures. Thus, we first introduce toric rings and their conic divisorial ideals.

Let  $\mathbf{M} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  be a lattice of rank  $d$  and let  $\mathbf{N} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbb{Z})$  be the dual lattice of  $\mathbf{M}$ . We set  $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbf{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbf{N} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  and denote the natural pairing by  $\langle -, - \rangle : \mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We consider a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone

$$\tau = \text{cone}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}v_1 + \dots + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}v_n \subset \mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

of dimension  $d$  generated by  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbf{N}$  where  $d \leq n$ . We assume this system of generators is minimal and the generators are primitive, i.e.,  $\epsilon v_i \notin \mathbf{N}$  for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . For each generator, we define a linear form  $\sigma_i(-) := \langle -, v_i \rangle$  and denote  $\sigma(-) = (\sigma_1(-), \dots, \sigma_n(-))$ . We consider the dual cone  $\tau^{\vee}$ :

$$\tau^{\vee} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in [n]\},$$

where we let  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We now define the toric ring of  $\tau^{\vee}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{M}$

$$R = \mathbb{k}[\tau^{\vee} \cap \mathbf{M}] = \mathbb{k}[u_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots u_d^{\alpha_d} : (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \tau^{\vee} \cap \mathbf{M}]. \quad (2.1)$$

Toric rings are normal (and hence Cohen-Macaulay) domain.

For each  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we set

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{a}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{M} : \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq a_i \text{ for all } i \in [n]\}.$$

Then, we define the module  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{a})$  generated by all monomials whose exponent vector is in  $\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{a})$ . By the definition, we have  $\mathbb{T}(0) = \tau^{\vee} \cap \mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathcal{T}(0) = R$ . Moreover, we note some facts associated with the module  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{a})$  (see e.g., [5, Section 4.F]):

- Since  $\sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $i \in [n]$  and any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{M}$ , we can see that  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathcal{T}(\lceil \mathbf{a} \rceil)$ , where  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  means the round up and  $\lceil \mathbf{a} \rceil = (\lceil a_1 \rceil, \dots, \lceil a_n \rceil)$ .
- The module  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{a})$  is a divisorial ideal and any divisorial ideal of  $R$  takes this form. Therefore, we can identify each  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  with the divisorial ideal  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{a})$ .
- It is known that the isomorphic classes of divisorial ideals of  $R$  one-to-one correspond to the elements of the divisor class group  $\text{Cl}(R)$  of  $R$ . We see that for  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{a}) \cong \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{a}')$  if and only if there exists  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{M}$  such that  $a_i = a'_i + \sigma_i(\mathbf{y})$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . Thus, we have  $\text{Cl}(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n / \sigma(\mathbf{M})$ .

Now, we define a special class of divisorial ideals called *conic*.

**Definition 2.1** (see e.g., [5, Section 3]). A divisorial ideal  $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{a})$  is said to be *conic* if there is  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\mathbf{a} = \lceil \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \rceil$ . In other words, there is  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $a_i - 1 < \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq a_i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . We denote the set of isomorphism classes of conic divisorial ideals of  $R$  by  $\mathcal{C}(R)$ .

We have the following characterization of conic divisorial ideals:

**Proposition 2.2** ([3, Corollary 1.2]). *Let the notation be the same as above and let*

$$L_{i, a_i} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid a_i - 1 < \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq a_i\}.$$

*Then any conic divisorial ideal is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{T}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  for some  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  satisfying the following condition:*

- the cell  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n L_{i,a_i}$  is a full-dimensional cell of the decomposition of the semi-open cube  $(-1, 0]^d$  given by hyperplanes  $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) = m\}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**2.2. Generalized  $F$ -signatures and dual  $F$ -signatures of toric rings.** In this subsection, we discuss the generalized  $F$ -signatures and dual  $F$ -signatures of toric rings. First, we introduce the notion of finite  $F$ -representation type (see [19, 23]).

Let  $R$  be a  $d$ -dimensional  $F$ -finite Noetherian local domain of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ . We say that  $R$  has *finite  $F$ -representation type* (for short, *FFRT*) by  $\mathcal{S}$  if there is a finite set  $\mathcal{S} := \{M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n\}$  of isomorphism classes of indecomposable finitely generated  $R$ -modules such that for any  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , the  $R$ -module  $R^{1/p^e}$  is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of these modules:

$$R^{1/p^e} \cong M_0^{\oplus c_{0,e}} \oplus M_1^{\oplus c_{1,e}} \oplus \dots \oplus M_n^{\oplus c_{n,e}} \quad (2.2)$$

for some  $c_{i,e} \geq 0$ . Moreover, we say that a finite set  $\mathcal{S} = \{M_0, \dots, M_n\}$  is the *FFRT system* of  $R$  if every  $R$ -module  $M_i$  appears non-trivially in  $R^{1/p^e}$  as a direct summand for some  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

Assume that  $R$  has FFRT by the FFRT system  $\mathcal{S} = \{M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ . We consider the limit

$$s_{\text{gen}}(M_i) := \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{i,e}}{p^{ed}} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n). \quad (2.3)$$

The existence of the limit is guaranteed under the assumption  $R$  has FFRT (see [23, Theorem 3.11]), and we call  $s_{\text{gen}}(M_i)$  the *generalized  $F$ -signature* of  $M_i$ . Note that if  $M_i = R$  for some  $i$ , then  $s_{\text{gen}}(R)$  is nothing but the  $F$ -signature of  $R$ .

Let  $R$  be a toric ring as in Section 2.1. The following theorem tells us that  $R$  has FFRT by the FFRT system  $\mathcal{C}(R)$ :

**Theorem 2.3** ([4, Proposition 3.6],[19, Proposition 3.2.3]). *For any  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , the  $R$ -module  $R^{1/p^e}$  is a direct sum of conic divisorial ideals. Moreover, all conic divisorial ideals appear in  $R^{1/p^e}$  as direct summands for  $e \gg 0$ . That is, if we set  $\mathcal{C}(R) = \{M_0 := R, M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ , then for  $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , we can write*

$$R^{1/p^e} \cong R^{\oplus c_{0,e}} \oplus M_1^{\oplus c_{1,e}} \oplus \dots \oplus M_n^{\oplus c_{n,e}}$$

for some  $c_{i,e} \geq 0$ .

Moreover, the combinatorial description of conic divisorial ideals given in Proposition 2.2 helps us to compute the generalized  $F$ -signatures.

**Theorem 2.4** ([3, Section 3], see also [10, 17, 22]). *Let  $M$  be a conic divisorial ideal of  $R$ . Then, the generalized  $F$ -signature of  $M$  is the sum of volumes of the full-dimensional cell  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n L_{i,a_i}$  appearing in the decomposition of  $(-1, 0]^d$  (see Proposition 2.2) such that  $M \cong \mathcal{T}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .*

We recall a formula to calculate the dual  $F$ -signatures of toric rings given in [18]. Let  $\text{Vol}(\mathbb{M})$  be the Euclidean volume of an elementary parallelepiped of the lattice  $\mathbb{M}$ . It is well known that the ideal  $I_R = (t_1^{\alpha_1} \dots t_d^{\alpha_d} : (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in (\tau^\vee)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$  is isomorphic to  $\omega_R$  ([20]), where  $(\tau^\vee)^\circ$  denotes the relative interior of  $\tau^\vee$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  be the set consisting of the points in  $(\tau^\vee)^\circ \cap \mathbb{M}$  corresponding to the monomials which are the minimal generators of  $I_R$ .

**Proposition 2.5** ([18, Proposition 6.1]). *Work with the same notation as above. Then we have*

$$s_{\text{dual}}(R) = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathbf{M}) \cdot |T|} \text{Vol} \left( \bigcup_{a \in T} \tau^\vee \cap (a - \tau^\vee) \right) : \emptyset \neq T \subset \mathcal{G}(R) \right\}.$$

**2.3.  $O$ -sequences.** In this subsection, we introduce the notion of  $O$ -sequences and give a lemma, which is required to get our results.

We define the following partial order on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ ; for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ , we write  $a \preceq b$  if  $b - a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ . For a non-empty subset  $T \subset \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}^n$ , let  $I(T) := \bigcup_{a \in T} \{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}^n : b \preceq a\}$ . We denote by  $I(a)$  instead of  $I(\{a\})$  for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}^n$ . In addition, for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$ , we set

$$H_l(T) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I(T) : x_1 + \dots + x_n = l\}$$

and  $h_l(T) := |H_{l+n}(T)|$ . Then a sequence  $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_s)$  is said to be an  $O$ -sequence if there exists  $T \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$  such that  $h_i = h_i(T)$  for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$ .

Given positive integers  $f$  and  $i$ , there exists a unique representation, called the  $i$ -binomial representation of  $f$ :

$$f = \binom{n_i}{i} + \binom{n_{i-1}}{i-1} + \dots + \binom{n_{i-j}}{i-j},$$

where  $n_i > n_{i-1} > \dots > n_{i-j} \geq i - j \geq 1$ . Then we define

$$f^{(i)} := \binom{n_i + 1}{i + 1} + \binom{n_{i-1} + 1}{i} + \dots + \binom{n_{i-j} + 1}{i - j + 1}$$

and

$$f^{(i)} := \binom{n_i}{i + 1} + \binom{n_{i-1}}{i} + \dots + \binom{n_{i-j}}{i - j + 1}.$$

The following characterization of  $O$ -sequences is known:

**Theorem 2.6** ([12]). *A sequence  $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$  is an  $O$ -sequence if and only if (i)  $h_0 = 1$  and (ii) for each  $i = 0, \dots, s - 1$ , one has  $0 \leq h_{i+1} \leq h_i^{(i)}$ .*

For positive integers  $a, b$  and  $i$ , we have  $a^{(i)} \leq b^{(i)}$  if  $a \leq b$  ([6, Lemma 4.2.13 (a)]). Therefore, for an  $O$ -sequence  $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_s)$ , we can see that  $h_i \leq h_i^{(i)}$  for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$  since the inequality  $h_{i+1} \leq h_i^{(i)}$  holds. For further information on the  $O$ -sequences, see, e.g., [20, Section 2] and [6, Chapter 4.2].

The following is a key lemma to show our theorems:

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $(h_0, \dots, h_s)$  be an  $O$ -sequence with  $h_1 \leq n + 1$ . Then for any  $i = 0, \dots, s$ , we have*

$$\frac{h_i}{h_s} \geq \frac{\binom{n+i}{i}}{\binom{n+s}{s}}. \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* Note that the inequality (2.4) holds if  $i = s$ . If the inequality  $h_i/h_{i+1} \geq \binom{n+i}{i}/\binom{n+i+1}{i+1}$  holds for each  $0 \leq i \leq s - 1$ , then we can see that

$$\frac{h_i}{h_s} = \frac{h_i}{h_{i+1}} \frac{h_{i+1}}{h_{i+2}} \dots \frac{h_{s-1}}{h_s} \geq \frac{\binom{n+i}{i}}{\binom{n+i+1}{i+1}} \frac{\binom{n+i+1}{i+1}}{\binom{n+i+2}{i+2}} \dots \frac{\binom{n+s-1}{s-1}}{\binom{n+s}{s}} = \frac{\binom{n+i}{i}}{\binom{n+s}{s}}.$$

Thus, it is enough to show that  $h_{s-1}/h_s \geq \binom{n+s-1}{s-1}/\binom{n+s}{s}$ , which is equivalent to  $(n+s)h_{s-1} \geq sh_s$ .

Since  $h_s \leq h_{s-1}^{\binom{s-1}{s-1}}$  from Theorem 2.6, if the inequality  $(n+s)h_{s-1} \geq sh_{s-1}^{\binom{s-1}{s-1}}$  (this is equivalent to  $nh_{s-1} \geq sh_{s-1}^{\binom{s-1}{s-1}}$ ) holds, then we get the desired result;  $(n+s)h_{s-1} \geq sh_{s-1}^{\binom{s-1}{s-1}} \geq sh_s$ . Therefore, it suffices to prove that  $nh_{s-1} \geq sh_{s-1}^{\binom{s-1}{s-1}}$ .

Note that  $h_{s-1} \leq \binom{h_1+s-2}{s-1} \leq \binom{n+s-1}{s-1}$ . The equality  $nh_{s-1} = sh_{s-1}^{\binom{s-1}{s-1}}$  holds trivially if  $h_{s-1} = \binom{n+s-1}{s-1}$ , so we may assume that  $h_{s-1} < \binom{n+s-1}{s-1}$  and  $h_{s-1}$  has the following binomial representation:

$$h_{s-1} = \binom{n_{s-1}}{s-1} + \binom{n_{s-2}}{s-2} + \cdots + \binom{n_{s-j}}{s-j}.$$

Note that  $n_{s-1} < n+s-1$ . We can see that for each  $p = 1, \dots, j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} s \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p+1} &= ((s-p+1) + (p-1)) \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p+1} \\ &= (n_{s-p} - s + p) \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p} + (p-1) \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} nh_{s-1} - sh_{s-1}^{\binom{s-1}{s-1}} &= n \sum_{p=1}^j \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p} - s \sum_{p=1}^j \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p+1} \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^j (n+s-p-n_{s-p}) \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p} - \sum_{p=1}^j (p-1) \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p+1} \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{p=1}^j (n+s-p-1-n_{s-p}) \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p}}_{(a)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{p=1}^j \left( \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p} - (p-1) \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p+1} \right)}_{(b)}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that  $(a) \geq 0$  and  $(b) \geq 0$ . It follows from  $n+s-1 > n_{s-1} > n_{s-2} > \cdots > n_{s-j}$  that  $n+s-p-1-n_{s-p} \geq 0$  for each  $p$ . Thus, we have  $(a) \geq 0$ .

We can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p} &= \binom{n_{s-p}-1}{s-p} + \binom{n_{s-p}-1}{s-p-1} = \binom{n_{s-p}-1}{s-p} + \left( \binom{n_{s-p}-2}{s-p-1} + \binom{n_{s-p}-2}{s-p-2} \right) \\ &= \cdots = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{j-p} \binom{n_{s-p}-i}{s-p-i+1} \right) + \binom{n_{s-p}-(j-p)}{s-j} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{p=1}^{j-1} \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p} = \sum_{p=1}^{j-1} \left( \sum_{q=1}^p \binom{n_{s-q}+q-p-1}{s-p} \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{j-1} \binom{n_{s-p}-(j-p)}{s-j}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(b) &= \sum_{p=1}^{j-1} \left( \binom{n_{s-p}}{s-p} - p \binom{n_{s-p-1}}{s-p} \right) + \binom{n_{s-j}}{s-j} \\
&= \sum_{p=1}^{j-1} \left( \sum_{q=1}^p \left( \binom{n_{s-q} + q - p - 1}{s-p} - \binom{n_{s-p-1}}{s-p} \right) \right) + \sum_{p=1}^j \binom{n_{s-p} - (j-p)}{s-j}.
\end{aligned}$$

By  $n_{s-q} + q - p - 1 \geq n_{s-p-1}$ , the inequality (b)  $\geq 0$  holds and we conclude that  $nh_{s-1} \geq sh_{s-1}^{(s-1)}$ .  $\square$

### 3. DUAL $F$ -SIGNATURES OF VERONESE SUBRINGS OF POLYNOMIAL RINGS

This section is devoted to calculating the dual  $F$ -signatures of Veronese subrings of polynomial rings.

Let  $V_{n,d}$  be the  $n$ th Veronese subring of the polynomial ring  $S = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , i.e.,

$$V_{n,d} := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S_{in}.$$

We can realize  $V_{n,d}$  as a toric ring; let  $\mathbf{M} := \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : x_1 + \dots + x_d \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$  and let  $\tau^\vee = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in [d]\}$ . Then we have  $V_{n,d} = \mathbb{k}[\tau^\vee \cap \mathbf{M}]$  and

$$\mathcal{G}(V_{n,d}) = \left\{ (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^d : a_1 + \dots + a_d = n \left\lceil \frac{d}{n} \right\rceil \right\}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *We have  $s_{\text{dual}}(V_{n,d}) = \frac{1}{d} \left\lceil \frac{d}{n} \right\rceil$ .*

*Proof.* We compute  $s_{\text{dual}}(V_{n,d})$  using Proposition 2.5. Take a subset  $\emptyset \neq T \subset \mathcal{G}(V_{n,d})$ . Here, we observe the following (compare with [18, Example 6.2]); the shape of  $\bigcup_{a \in T} \tau^\vee \cap (a - \tau^\vee)$  looks like a “building block pyramid”. More precisely, it can be divided into integral unit cubes, and each cube is identified with its vertex with the largest coordinates, that is, these cubes one-to-one correspond to the elements of  $I(T)$ . Since the volume of the unit cube is 1, we have

$$\text{Vol} \left( \bigcup_{a \in T} \tau^\vee \cap (a - \tau^\vee) \right) = |I(T)| = \sum_{l=d}^{\lceil \frac{d}{n} \rceil} |H_l(T)| = h_0(T) + \dots + h_s(T),$$

where  $s = n \left\lceil \frac{d}{n} \right\rceil - d$ . Note that  $\text{Vol}(\mathbf{M}) = n$  and  $|T| = h_s(T)$ . Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.7 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathbf{M}) \cdot |T|} \text{Vol} \left( \bigcup_{a \in T} \tau^\vee \cap (a - \tau^\vee) \right) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^s \frac{h_l(T)}{h_s(T)} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=0}^s \frac{\binom{d+l-1}{l}}{\binom{d+s-1}{s}} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\binom{d+s}{s}}{\binom{d+s-1}{s}} = \frac{d+s}{nd} = \frac{1}{d} \left\lceil \frac{d}{n} \right\rceil.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$



Moreover, if  $T = \mathcal{G}(V_{n,d})$ , then we have  $h_l(T) = \binom{d+l-1}{l}$  for  $l = 0, \dots, s$ , and hence the equality of (3.1) holds. Therefore, it follows from Proposition 2.5 that

$$s_{\text{dual}}(V_{n,d}) = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathbf{M}) \cdot |T|} \text{Vol} \left( \bigcup_{a \in T} \tau^\vee \cap (a - \tau^\vee) \right) : \emptyset \neq T \subset \mathcal{G}(V_{n,d}) \right\} = \frac{1}{d} \left\lceil \frac{d}{n} \right\rceil.$$

□

#### 4. DUAL $F$ -SIGNATURES OF SEGRE PRODUCTS OF POLYNOMIAL RINGS

In this section, we discuss the dual  $F$ -signatures of Segre products of polynomial rings.

**4.1. Segre products of polynomial rings and their generalized  $F$ -signatures.** To compute the dual  $F$ -signature of the Segre product of polynomial rings, we need some basic properties about it and its generalized  $F$ -signature. Thus, we recall them in this subsection.

Let  $r_1, \dots, r_t$  be positive integers with  $r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_t$  and let  $S_{r_1, \dots, r_t}$  be the Segre product  $\#_{i=1}^t \mathbb{k}[x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,r_i+1}]$  of polynomial rings, which is the ring generated by monomials with the form  $x_{1,j_1} x_{2,j_2} \cdots x_{t,j_t}$  where  $i \in [t]$  and  $j_i \in [r_i + 1]$ .

We can describe  $S_{r_1, \dots, r_t}$  as a toric ring as follows; we consider the toric ring  $R := \mathbb{k}[\rho^\vee \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]$  of the cone  $\rho^\vee \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with respect to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  where  $d = r_1 + \dots + r_t + 1$  and we define  $\rho^\vee$  as follows:

$$\rho^\vee := \left\{ \mathbf{y} := (y_{1,1}, \dots, y_{1,r_1}, y_{2,1}, \dots, y_{t,r_t}, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \begin{array}{l} y_{i,j_i} \geq 0 \text{ for } i \in [t] \text{ and } j_i \in [r_i], \\ y_d - \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} y_{i,j} \geq 0 \text{ for } i \in [t] \end{array} \right\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Then we can see that  $R$  is generated by the monomials  $u_{1,j_1} \cdots u_{t,j_t} u_d$  ( $i \in [t]$  and  $j_i \in [r_i + 1]$ ) where we let  $u_{i,r_i+1} = 1$  for  $i \in [t]$ , and hence  $R$  is standard graded by setting  $\deg(u_{1,j_1} \cdots u_{t,j_t} u_d) = 1$ . Moreover, we have  $S_{r_1, \dots, r_t} \cong R$ . In what follows, we identify these rings.

Next, we determine  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . For  $i \in [t-1]$ , let

$$\mathcal{F}_i := \left\{ \mathbf{k}_i := (k_{i,1}, \dots, k_{i,r_i+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{r_i+1} : |\mathbf{k}_i| := k_{i,1} + \dots + k_{i,r_i+1} = r_t + 1 \right\}$$

and let  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_{t-1}$ . Moreover, for  $T \subset \mathcal{F}$  and  $i \in [t-1]$ , let  $T_i$  be the image of the  $i$ th projection  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i$ .

We can see that the Segre product of polynomial rings is *level*, that is, all the degrees of the minimal generators of its canonical module are the same. From the inequalities in (4.1), all the monomials corresponding to the points in  $(\rho^\vee)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  have at least  $r_t + 1$  degree. Thus, we have

$$\mathcal{G}(R) = \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{k}} := (k_{1,1}, \dots, k_{1,r_1}, k_{2,1}, \dots, k_{t-1,r_{t-1}}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{r_t}, r_t + 1) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{k} := (\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \dots, \mathbf{k}_{t-1}) \in \mathcal{F} \right\}.$$

Notice that the map  $\mathbf{k} \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{k}}$  is a bijection between  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , so we identify these two sets.

Finally, we recall the conic divisorial ideals of  $R$  and their generalized  $F$ -signatures, discussed in [7, 8]. We pick up and summarize the results obtained in these papers [7, 8], which we will need later.

- ([7, Example 2.6]) In this case,  $\text{Cl}(R)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^{t-1}$ . We set

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{t-1} : \begin{array}{l} -r_t \leq y_i \leq r_i \text{ for } i \in [t-1], \\ -r_j \leq y_i - y_j \leq r_i \text{ for } i, j \in [t-1] \end{array} \right\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Let  $M_{\mathbf{z}}$  be a divisorial ideal corresponding to  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{t-1}) \in \text{Cl}(R)$ . Then we see that  $M_{\mathbf{z}}$  is conic if and only if  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}$ .

- ([8, Corollary 4.7 and Example 5.1]) The generalised  $F$ -signature of  $M_{\mathbf{z}}$  for  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{C}$  coincides with the volume of the following polytope:

$$\left\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \begin{array}{l} -1 \leq y_{i,j} \leq 0 \text{ for } i \in [t] \text{ and } j \in [r_i] \\ -1 \leq y_d \leq 0 \\ z_i - 1 \leq y + \sum_{j=1}^{r_t} y_{t,j} - \sum_{j'=1}^{r_i} y_{i,j'} \leq z_i \text{ for } i \in [t-1] \end{array} \right\} \quad (4.3)$$

In particular, if  $\mathbf{z} \in \text{Cl}(R)$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ , this polytope is not full-dimensional, and hence its volume is equal to 0 and we let  $s_{\text{gen}}(M_{\mathbf{z}}) = 0$ .

- The generalized  $F$ -signatures of the Segre products of polynomial rings are computed by counting the elements in the symmetric group satisfying certain conditions ([8, Theorem 5.3]). Especially, in the case of the Segre product of two polynomial rings, they are explicitly given as follows:

**Proposition 4.1** ([8, Theorem 6.1], see also [22, Theorem 5.8], [17, Example 7]). *Suppose that  $t = 2$ . For  $\mathbf{z} = z_1 \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $s_{\text{gen}}(M_{\mathbf{z}}) = A_{z_1+r_1,d}/d!$ .*

Here,  $A_{k,n}$  denotes the *Eulerian number*, which is the number of permutations of the numbers 1 to  $n$  in which exactly  $k$  elements are less than the previous element.

**4.2. Computations of  $s_{\text{dual}}(S_{r_1, \dots, r_n})$ .** We explain how to calculate  $s_{\text{dual}}(S_{r_1, \dots, r_n})$  using Proposition 2.5.

For  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{F}$ , we can see that  $P_{\mathbf{k}} := \rho^{\vee} \cap (\tilde{\mathbf{k}} - \rho^{\vee})$  has the following representation:

$$P_{\mathbf{k}} = \left\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq y_{i,j} \leq k_{i,j} \text{ for } i \in [t-1] \text{ and } j \in [r_i] \\ 0 \leq y_d - (y_{i,1} + \dots + y_{i,r_i}) \leq k_{i,r_i+1} \text{ for } i \in [t-1] \\ 0 \leq y_{t,j} \leq 1 \text{ for } j \in [r_t] \\ 0 \leq y_d - (y_{t,1} + \dots + y_{t,r_t}) \leq 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

To calculate the volume of  $\bigcup_{\mathbf{k} \in T} P_{\mathbf{k}}$  for  $T \subset \mathcal{F}$ , we want to consider it as a “building block pyramid”, as in Veronese subrings. To this end, we slice  $P_{\mathbf{k}}$  as follows:

$$P_{\mathbf{k}} = \bigcup \{ Q_{\mathbf{c}} : \mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_{t-1}) \in I(\mathbf{k}) \},$$

where we set

$$Q_{\mathbf{c}} := \left\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \begin{array}{l} c_{i,j} - 1 \leq y_{i,j} \leq c_{i,j} \text{ for } i \in [t-1] \text{ and } j \in [r_i] \\ c_{i,r_i+1} - 1 \leq y_d - (y_{i,1} + \dots + y_{i,r_i}) \leq c_{i,r_i+1} \text{ for } i \in [t-1] \\ 0 \leq y_{t,j} \leq 1 \text{ for } j \in [r_t] \\ 0 \leq y_d - (y_{t,1} + \dots + y_{t,r_t}) \leq 1 \end{array} \right\}. \quad (4.4)$$

The pieces  $Q_{\mathbf{c}}$  appearing in  $\bigcup_{\mathbf{k} \in T} P_{\mathbf{k}}$  are parameterized by  $I(T)$ , that is,  $\bigcup_{\mathbf{k} \in T} P_{\mathbf{k}} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{c} \in I(T)} Q_{\mathbf{c}}$ . In particular, we have  $\text{Vol}(\bigcup_{\mathbf{k} \in T} P_{\mathbf{k}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in I(T)} \text{Vol}(Q_{\mathbf{c}})$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** For  $\mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{t-1}) \in I(\mathbf{k})$ , the volume of  $Q_{\mathbf{c}}$  coincides with  $s_{\text{dual}}(M_{\mathbf{z}})$  where  $\mathbf{z} := (|\mathbf{c}_1| - r_t - 1, \dots, |\mathbf{c}_{t-1}| - r_t - 1)$ .

*Proof.* Apply the following unimodular transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{i,j} &\mapsto w_{i,j} + c_{i,j} \text{ for } i \in [t-1] \text{ and } j \in [r_i], \\ y_{t,j} &\mapsto w_{t,j} + 1 \text{ for } j \in [r_t], \\ y_d &\mapsto w_d + w_{t,1} + \dots + w_{t,r_t} + r_t + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $Q_{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{t-1}}$  changes as follows:

$$\left\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \begin{array}{l} -1 \leq y_{i,j} \leq 0 \text{ for } i \in [t] \text{ and } j \in [r_i] \\ -1 \leq y_d \leq 0 \\ |\mathbf{c}_i| - r_t - 2 \leq y + \sum_{j=1}^{r_t} y_{t,j} - \sum_{j'=1}^{r_i} y_{i,j'} \leq |\mathbf{c}_i| - r_t - 1 \text{ for } i \in [t-1] \end{array} \right\}.$$

By comparing it with (4.3), we can see that the assertion holds.  $\square$

We set

$$\mathcal{D} := \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{t-1} : \begin{array}{l} -r_t + r_i \leq y_i \leq 0 \text{ for } i \in [t-1], \\ -r_j \leq y_i - y_j \leq r_i \text{ for } i, j \in [t-1] \end{array} \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{E} := \{ \mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_{t-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{t-1} : r_i + 1 \leq l_i \leq r_t + 1 \text{ for all } i \in [t-1] \}.$$

We reach the main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $r_1, \dots, r_t$  be positive integers with  $r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_t$ . Then we have

$$s_{\text{dual}}(S_{r_1, \dots, r_t}) \leq \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{D}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\binom{r_t + z_i}{r_i}}{\binom{r_t}{r_i}} \right) s_{\text{gen}}(M_{\mathbf{z}}). \quad (4.5)$$

*Proof.* From the above observation, for  $\emptyset \neq T \subset \mathcal{F}$ , we obtain

$$\text{Vol} \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{k} \in T} \rho^\vee \cap (\tilde{\mathbf{k}} - \rho^\vee) \right) = \text{Vol} \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{k} \in T} P_{\mathbf{k}} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in I(T)} \text{Vol}(Q_{\mathbf{c}})$$

Now, we let  $T = \mathcal{F}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(T) &= I(T_i) \times \dots \times I(T_{t-1}) \\ &= \bigcup_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{E}} H_{l_1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \times \dots \times H_{l_{t-1}}(\mathcal{F}_{t-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{c} \in I(\mathcal{F})} \text{Vol}(Q_{\mathbf{c}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{E}} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in H_{l_1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \times \dots \times H_{l_{t-1}}(\mathcal{F}_{t-1})} \text{Vol}(Q_{\mathbf{c}}) \right).$$

It follows from Lemma 4.2 that  $\text{Vol}(Q_{\mathbf{c}})$  for  $\mathbf{c} \in H_{l_1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \times \cdots \times H_{l_{t-1}}(\mathcal{F}_{t-1})$  is equal to  $s_{\text{gen}}(M_{\mathbf{z}})$  where  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{t-1}) := (l_1 - r_t - 1, \dots, l_{t-1} - r_t - 1)$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in I(\mathcal{F})} \text{Vol}(Q_{\mathbf{c}}) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \binom{r_t}{r_i}} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{E}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \binom{l_i - 1}{r_i} \right) s_{\text{gen}}(M_{\mathbf{z}}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{D}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\binom{r_t + z_i}{r_i}}{\binom{r_t}{r_i}} \right) s_{\text{gen}}(M_{\mathbf{z}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\text{dual}}(S_{r_1, \dots, r_t}) &= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \cdot |T|} \text{Vol} \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{k} \in T} \rho^\vee \cap (\tilde{\mathbf{k}} - \rho^\vee) \right) : \emptyset \neq T \subset \mathcal{F} \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{D}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\binom{r_t + z_i}{r_i}}{\binom{r_t}{r_i}} \right) s_{\text{gen}}(M_{\mathbf{z}}). \end{aligned}$$

□

We can show that if the equality (4.6) holds for any  $T \subset \mathcal{F}$ , then the equality of (4.5) also holds. In fact, when (4.6) is satisfied, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in I(T)} \text{Vol}(Q_{\mathbf{c}}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{E}} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in H_{l_1}(T_1) \times \cdots \times H_{l_{t-1}}(T_{t-1})} \text{Vol}(Q_{\mathbf{c}}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} h_{l_i - r_i - 1}(T_i)}{|T|} \text{Vol}(Q_{\mathbf{c}}) \end{aligned}$$

and  $|T| = |T_1| \cdots |T_{t-1}| = \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} h_{r_t - r_i}(T_i)$ . Therefore, we obtain the equality of (4.5) by applying Lemma 2.7. Unfortunately, the equality of (4.6) does not necessarily hold in general, but it does hold in the following easy case:

**Proposition 4.4.** *The equality of (4.5) holds if  $r_2 = \cdots = r_t$ . In particular, we have*

$$s_{\text{dual}}(S_{r_1, r_2}) = \frac{\sum_{l=r_1}^{r_2} \binom{l}{r_1} A_{l,d}}{\binom{r_2}{r_1} d!}.$$

*Proof.* In this situation, we can see easily that  $I(T) = I(T_1) \times \cdots \times I(T_{t-1})$  for any  $\emptyset \neq T \subset \mathcal{F}$  since  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \cdots = \mathcal{F}_{t-1} = \{(1, \dots, 1)\}$ . Thus, we get the desired result from the above discussion. Especially, we get the last statement immediately from Proposition 4.1. □

Furthermore, we can also ensure that equality of (4.5) is satisfied in the following simple case:

**Proposition 4.5.** *The equality of (4.5) holds if  $r_i \in \{r_1, r_1 + 1\}$  for any  $i \in [t]$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $r_1 = \cdots = r_i$  and  $r_{i+1} = \cdots = r_t = r_1 + 1$ . For  $T \subset \mathcal{F}$ , let  $T' := T_1 \times \cdots \times T_{t-1}$  and note that  $I(T') = I(T'_1) \times \cdots \times I(T'_{t-1})$  and  $|T'| = |T_1| \cdots |T_{t-1}|$ . In addition, for  $\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{E}$ , let  $H_1(T) := \{\mathbf{c} \in I(T) : \mathbf{c}_i \in H_{l_i}(T_i) \text{ for all } i \in [t-1]\}$ . Then we can see that

$$\frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in I(\mathcal{F})} \text{Vol}(Q_{\mathbf{c}}) = \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{E}} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in H_1(T)} \text{Vol}(Q_{\mathbf{c}}) \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{|H_1(T)|}{|T|} s_{\text{gen}}(M_{\mathbf{z}}),$$

where  $\mathbf{z} = (l_1 - r_t - 1, \dots, l_{t-1} - r_t - 1)$ .

From the above discussion, it is enough to show that  $|H_1(T)|/|T| \geq |H_1(T')|/|T'|$  for any  $\mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{E}$ . We may assume that  $l_1 = \dots = l_j = r_1 + 1$  and  $l_j = \dots = l_{t-1} = r_1 + 2$  where  $0 \leq j \leq i$ . In this situation, we can see that

$$H_1(T) = \{(1, \dots, 1, \mathbf{c}_{j+1}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_i, 1, \dots, 1) : \mathbf{c}_k \in H_{l_k}(T_k) = T_k \text{ for all } k = j+1, \dots, i\}.$$

Moreover, let  $T_{j+1, \dots, i}$  be the image of the projection  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{j+1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{F}_i$ , then we have  $|T_{j+1, \dots, i}| = |H_1(T)|$ ,  $|T_{j+1}| \cdots |T_i| = |H_1(T')|$  and  $|T_1| \cdots |T_j| |T_{j+1, \dots, i}| = |T_1 \times \dots \times T_j \times T_{j+1, \dots, i}| \geq |T|$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{|H_1(T)|}{|T|} \geq \frac{|T_{j+1, \dots, i}|}{|T_1| \cdots |T_j| |T_{j+1, \dots, i}|} = \frac{|T_{j+1}| \cdots |T_i|}{|T_1| \cdots |T_i|} = \frac{|H_1(T')|}{|T'|}.$$

□

**Example 4.6.** We present specific values of the (dual)  $F$ -signatures of  $S_{r_1, \dots, r_t}$  for small  $r_1, \dots, r_t$  satisfying the conditions of Proposition 4.4 or 4.5. We obtain them by calculating the generalized  $F$ -signatures (they are equal to the volume of polytopes (4.3) and we compute them by using MAGMA ([2])).

$(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t)$	$s_{\text{dual}}(S_{r_1, \dots, r_t})$	$s(S_{r_1, \dots, r_t})$
(1, 1)	2/3	2/3
(1, 2)	11/16	11/24
(1, 3)	59/90	13/60
(2, 2)	11/20	11/20
(2, 3)	151/270	151/360
(3, 3)	151/315	151/315
(1, 1, 1)	1/2	1/2
(1, 1, 2)	41/80	19/60
(1, 2, 2)	2/5	4/15
(2, 2, 2)	12/35	12/35
(1, 1, 1, 1)	2/5	2/5
(1, 1, 1, 2)	129/320	29/120
(1, 1, 2, 2)	171/560	5/28
(1, 2, 2, 2)	1137/4480	379/2240

Our results would provide a sufficient reason to give the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 4.7.** *Let  $r_1, \dots, r_t$  be positive integers with  $r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_t$ . Then we have*

$$s_{\text{dual}}(S_{r_1, \dots, r_t}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{D}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\binom{r_t + z_i}{r_i}}{\binom{r_i}{r_i}} \right) s_{\text{gen}}(M_{\mathbf{z}}).$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. Aberbach and G. Leuschke, The  $F$ -signature and strongly  $F$ -regularity, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **10** (2003), 51–56.
- [2] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, (English summary) Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993), *J. Symbolic Comput.*, **24** (1997), no. 3–4, 235–265.
- [3] W. Bruns, Conic divisor classes over a normal monoid algebra, *Commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, Contemp. Math.*, **390**, Amer. Math. Soc., (2005), 63–71.
- [4] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, Divisorial linear algebra of normal semigroup rings, *Algebra and Represent. Theory* **6** (2003), 139–168.
- [5] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, Polytopes, rings and K-theory, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Dordrecht, (2009).
- [6] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, “Cohen-Macaulay rings, revised edition”, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [7] A. Higashitani and Y. Nakajima, Conic divisorial ideals of Hibi rings and their applications to non-commutative crepant resolutions, *Selecta Math.* **25** (2019), 25pp.
- [8] A. Higashitani and Y. Nakajima, Generalized  $F$ -signatures of Hibi rings, *Illinois J. Math.*, **65** (2021), no. 1, 97–120.
- [9] C. Huneke and G. Leuschke, Two theorems about maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, *Math. Ann.*, **324** (2002), no. 2, 391–404.
- [10] M. V. Korff,  $F$ -Signature of Affine Toric Varieties, arXiv:1110.0552.
- [11] E. Kunz, On Noetherian rings of characteristic  $p$ , *Amer. J. Math.*, **98** (1976), 999–1013.
- [12] F. S. Macaulay, Some Properties of Enumeration in the Theory of Modular Systems, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (2) **26** (1927), 531–555.
- [13] P. Monsky, The Hilbert-Kunz function, *Math. Ann.*, **263** (1983), 43–49.
- [14] Y. Nakajima, Dual  $F$ -signature of Cohen-Macaulay modules over rational double points, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, **18** (2015), no. 5, 1211–1245.
- [15] Y. Nakajima, Dual  $F$ -signature of special Cohen-Macaulay modules over cyclic quotient surface singularities, *J. Commut. Algebra*, **10** (2018), no. 1, 83–105.
- [16] A. Sannai, On dual  $F$ -signature, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2015, no. 1, 197–211.
- [17] A. K. Singh, The  $F$ -signature of an affine semigroup ring, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **196** (2005), no. 2-3, 313–321.
- [18] I. Smirnov and K. Tucker, The theory of  $F$ -rational signature, arXiv:1911.02642.
- [19] K. E. Smith and M. Van den Bergh, Simplicity of rings of differential operators in prime characteristic, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **75** (1997), no. 1, 32–62.
- [20] R.P. Stanley. Hilbert functions of graded algebras. *Adv. Math.* **28** (1978), 57–83.
- [21] K. Tucker,  $F$ -signature exists, *Invent. Math.*, **190** (2012), 743–765.
- [22] K. Watanabe and K. Yoshida, Minimal relative Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity, *Illinois J. Math.*, **48** (2004), no. 1, 273–294.
- [23] Y. Yao, Modules with Finite  $F$ -Representation Type, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **72** (2005), no. 2, 53–72.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, SUITA, OSAKA 565-0871, JAPAN

*Email address:* k-matsushita@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp