

UNIQUENESS OF p -LOCAL TRUNCATED BROWN-PETERSON SPECTRA

DAVID JONGWON LEE

ABSTRACT. When p is an odd prime, we prove that the \mathbb{F}_p -cohomology of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ as a module over the Steenrod algebra determines the p -local spectrum $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$. In particular, we prove that the p -local spectrum $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ only depends on its p -completion $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge$. As a corollary, this proves that the p -local homotopy type of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ does not depend on the ideal by which we take the quotient of BP . In the course of the argument, we show that there is a vanishing line for odd degree classes in the Adams spectral sequence for endomorphisms of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$. We also prove that there are enough endomorphisms of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ in a suitable sense. When $p = 2$, we obtain the results for $n \leq 3$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Cohomology of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$	5
3. Uniqueness of p -complete $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$	8
4. Recovering p -local homotopy types from p -complete homotopy types	14
5. Uniqueness of p -local $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$	19
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Main results.** The Brown-Peterson spectrum BP , defined for each prime p , is a central object in p -local chromatic homotopy theory. It is a structured ring spectrum ([CM15], [BM13]), and its homotopy groups are

$$\pi_*\mathrm{BP} = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots]$$

with $|v_i| = 2p^i - 2$. As a graded ring, $\pi_*\mathrm{BP}$ is the universal ring with a p -typical formal group law. Roughly speaking, chromatic homotopy theory is the study of the p -local stable homotopy category via the stratification of the moduli of formal groups by heights, where the closed stratum of height $> n$ is defined by the equations $p = v_1 = \dots = v_n = 0$.

Given a nonnegative integer n , the BP -module

$$\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle = \mathrm{BP}/(v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \dots)$$

is one of the most basic objects in the theory. In this paper, we show that the p -local spectrum $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ is uniquely characterized by its \mathbb{F}_p -cohomology. Since the \mathbb{F}_p -cohomology of a spectrum only depends on its p -completion, the claim is naturally a combination of the following two theorems. Let us say that a p -local spectrum is *of finite type* if it is bounded below and each homotopy group is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module.

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be an odd prime and let X be a p -local spectrum of finite type. Then, any isomorphism*

$$H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq H^*(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

as modules over the Steenrod algebra can be lifted to an equivalence of spectra $X_p^\wedge \simeq \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge$. The same result holds when $p = 2$ if $n \leq 3$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let p be an odd prime and let X be a p -local spectrum of finite type. Then, given any equivalence $f : X_p^\wedge \simeq \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge$, there is an equivalence $X \simeq \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ that lifts $f/p : X/p \simeq \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle/p$. When $p = 2$, the theorem holds if $n \leq 3$.*

The following key result about the E_2 -page of the Adams spectral sequence that computes maps from $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge$ to $\mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge$ immediately implies Theorem 1.1 by considering the case $m = n$. It will also be crucially used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. *Let p be an odd prime, $n \geq m$ nonnegative integers, and \mathcal{A} the mod p Steenrod algebra. Then, there exists a positive real number $\varepsilon > 0$, depending only on p , m , and n , such that*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(H^*(\mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle; \mathbb{F}_p), H^*(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle; \mathbb{F}_p))$$

is zero if $t - s$ is odd and $\varepsilon s + (t - s) > 1 - 2p^{n+1}$. Informally, there is a line of negative slope in the Adams chart that meets the x -axis at $(1 - 2p^{n+1}, 0)$ so that there are no odd degree classes above that line. When $p = 2$, the theorem holds if either $n = m \leq 2$ or $n > m$.

Remark 1.4. Let us briefly discuss the history of this problem. The case $n = 1$ of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is a classic result of Adams and Priddy [AP76]. Their argument does not clearly separate Theorem 1.2 from the combined theorem, and it required a careful analysis of now-standard convergence issues in Adams spectral sequences. One goal of this paper is to clarify their argument while generalizing it to arbitrary n . A special feature of the case $n = 1$ is the existence of geometrically defined Adams operations, for which we must find a suitable substitute in our generalization.

More recently, in their work [AL17], Angeltveit and Lind showed that Theorem 1.3 holds for $n = m$, which immediately implies Theorem 1.1. Furthermore, in [AL17, Remark 4.1], they observed that in order to prove Theorem 1.2, one would have to produce many automorphisms of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ as we do in Section 5.

Unfortunately, there appears to be an error in a part of their argument where they obtain upper bounds on the Adams filtration of some Ext classes. See Remark 3.15. In private communication, Angeltveit independently suggested a fix that is similar to the argument given in this paper, so Theorem 1.3 and thus Theorem 1.1 should be considered due to Angeltveit and Lind. The new argument is not strong enough for the prime 2, so even Theorem 1.1 is still an open question for $n \geq 4$.

Theorem 1.2 is entirely new and surprisingly subtle despite its innocuous look. For example, it is false for $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle \oplus \mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle$ if $m \neq n$. See Example 4.5 for a discussion on $\mathrm{BP}\langle 0 \rangle \oplus \mathrm{BP}\langle 1 \rangle$.

Conjecture 1.5. *Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 are true at $p = 2$ for all n and m .*

As stated in the conjecture above, the author expects every theorem in this paper to hold for all n and m when $p = 2$, but the methods of this paper are not sufficient for the remaining cases.

The following is a statement about maps between p -local $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ and $\mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle$ that may be of interest. It is proved in Section 5. See also Lemma 4.3 for the description of the image of the following injection.

Proposition 1.6. *Let p be an odd prime and let $n \geq m$ be nonnegative integers. Then, for any integer k , the natural map*

$$[\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle, \Sigma^k \mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle] \rightarrow [\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge, \Sigma^k \mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge]$$

is injective. In particular, combined with Theorem 1.3, we have

$$[\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle, \Sigma^k \mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle] = [\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle, \Sigma^k \mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge] = 0$$

for k odd and strictly less than $2p^{n+1} - 1$. The same is true when $p = 2$ if either $n > m$ or $n = m \leq 2$.

Finally, we note that in Section 5 we will actually prove the following stronger version of the main theorems.

Theorem 1.7. *Let p be an odd prime, X a p -local spectrum of finite type, and Y a direct sum of even suspensions of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$*

$$Y = \Sigma^{2i_1}\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \Sigma^{2i_k}\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$$

such that $0 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k < p^{n+1} - 1$. Then, any isomorphism

$$H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq H^*(Y; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

as modules over the Steenrod algebra can be lifted to an equivalence of spectra $X \simeq Y$. When $p = 2$, the same result holds if $n \leq 2$.

1.2. Applications. Let us describe three examples where Theorem 1.7 can be applied. In each of the applications, the \mathbb{F}_p -cohomology of a spectrum is computed by other means, and we use the theorem to determine its homotopy type.

First, the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 1.8. *Let p be an odd prime. Then, the homotopy type of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ does not depend on the choice of generators $v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \dots \in \pi_*\mathrm{BP}$. When $p = 2$, the same holds if $n \leq 3$ and conjecturally for all n depending on Conjecture 1.5.*

Recall that there is no preferred choice of the generators v_1, v_2, \dots of $\pi_*\mathrm{BP}$, while the BP -module structure of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ clearly depends on the choice of generators, or more precisely on the ideal $(v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \dots)$. However, the corollary follows from the fact that the \mathbb{F}_p -cohomology of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ as a module over the Steenrod algebra does not depend on the choice of generators. See Section 2 for a detailed discussion of its cohomology.

The second application, which appears in the original work [AP76] of Adams and Priddy, is the identification of the spectrum $\mathrm{gl}_1(\mathrm{ku})$. In [AP76, Theorem 1.2], they show that if X is a p -local spectrum such that $\Omega^\infty X = \mathrm{BSU}_{(p)}$, then $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) = H^*(\Sigma^4\mathrm{ku}; \mathbb{F}_p)$ as modules over the Steenrod algebra. Then, the equivalences

$$\Omega^\infty \tau_{\geq 3}\mathrm{gl}_1(\mathrm{ku})_{(p)} = \Omega^\infty \tau_{\geq 3}\mathrm{ku}_{(p)} = \mathrm{BSU}_{(p)}$$

imply that $H^*(\tau_{\geq 3}\mathrm{gl}_1(\mathrm{ku}); \mathbb{F}_2) = H^*(\Sigma^4\mathrm{ku}; \mathbb{F}_2)$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.7, we have an equivalence

$$\tau_{\geq 3}\mathrm{gl}_1(\mathrm{ku})_{(p)} = \Sigma^4\mathrm{ku}_{(p)},$$

and the identification of $\mathrm{gl}_1(\mathrm{ku})$ reduces to the attaching maps of two more homotopy groups. New applications of results of this paper to the gl_1 -spectra of \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectra of chromatic height 2 will appear in a forthcoming paper by Jeremy Hahn and Andrew Senger.

The last application we discuss is the splitting of tmf , the spectrum of topological modular forms, at primes $p \geq 5$. See, for example, [Goe10] for a survey of tmf . The following theorem seems to be a folklore and well-known to experts, but the proof does not exist in the literature. Here, we record it as one of the applications of Theorems 1.3 and 1.7. The computations of the cohomology groups of tmf appear in [Rez07, Theorem 21.5]. See Corollary 5.9 for details.

Theorem 1.9. *The spectrum of topological modular forms tmf splits into a direct sum of even suspensions of $\mathrm{BP}\langle 2 \rangle$ after localization at a prime $p \geq 5$.*

1.3. Proof outline. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is a standard Adams spectral sequence argument. More precisely, there is a strongly convergent spectral sequence (Remark 3.3)

$$E_2^{s,t} = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(H^*(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle; \mathbb{F}_p), H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)) \Rightarrow [\Sigma^{t-s} X_p^\wedge, \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge]$$

where \mathcal{A} is the mod p Steenrod algebra. If the group $E_2^{s,t}$ vanishes when $t - s = -1$, then the isomorphism $H^*(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle; \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is not the source of any differential in the spectral sequence, and it can be lifted to an equivalence $X_p^\wedge \simeq \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge$. In particular, it follows from the stronger Theorem 1.3, which we prove in Section 3. We note that Theorem 1.3 was proved for $n = m = 1$ in [AP76, Proposition 4.1] by completely computing the Ext groups.

For the proof of Theorem 1.2, we prove the following result about obtaining p -local homotopy types from p -complete ones. This argument is implicitly used in [AP76, Section 5].

Theorem 1.10. *Let X, Y be p -local spectra of finite type. Suppose also that the natural map*

$$[Y, Y] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \prod_{i \leq k} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi_i(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \pi_i(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$$

is surjective for all k . Then, for any equivalence $f : X_p^\wedge \simeq Y_p^\wedge$, there exists an equivalence $X \simeq Y$ lifting $f/p : X/p \simeq Y/p$.

It is easy to see that $Y = \text{BP}\langle 1 \rangle$ satisfies the assumption of the theorem using Adams operations (Example 4.2). We shall prove that it is true for $n > 1$ in general when p is odd. Informally, it states that there are many endomorphisms of $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$ that are nontrivial on homotopy groups, and we believe this paper is the first to produce such results.

Theorem 1.11. *The assumption on Y of theorem 1.10 is satisfied by $Y = \text{BP}\langle n \rangle$ when p is odd. When $p = 2$, the same holds for $n \leq 3$ and conjecturally for all n depending on the validity of Theorem 1.3.*

More precisely, we construct maps from $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$ to $\text{BP}\langle m \rangle$ for $n \geq m$ as in the following theorem, which may be of independent interest.

Theorem 1.12. *Suppose that (p, m, n) satisfies Theorem 1.3. Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers of length n , and let*

$$D := \deg(v_1^{i_1} \cdots v_n^{i_n}).$$

Then, for any sufficiently large integer N , there is a map

$$\phi^I : \text{BP}\langle n \rangle \rightarrow \Sigma^D \text{BP}\langle m \rangle$$

that sends $v_1^{i_1} \cdots v_n^{i_n}$ to p^N and other monomials of degree D to 0.

Remark 1.13. We note again that it is not always true that one can recover the p -local homotopy type from its p -completion. In Example 4.5, we shall see that there exists a p -local spectrum of finite type which is not equivalent to $\text{BP}\langle 0 \rangle \oplus \text{BP}\langle 1 \rangle$ but becomes equivalent after p -completion.

1.4. Further questions. The E_2 -page of the Adams spectral sequence for maps from $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$ to $\text{BP}\langle m \rangle$ can be computed explicitly when $m = 1$ using [AP76, Table 3.9].

Question 1.14. What is the optimal (i.e. largest) value of ε in Theorem 1.3? Explicit computation tells us that when $n = m = 1$, the optimal value of ε is $2(p-1)^2$.

Question 1.15. For $n \geq m$, does the Adams spectral sequence

$$E_2 = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(H^*(\text{BP}\langle m \rangle; \mathbb{F}_p), H^*(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle)) \Rightarrow [\Sigma^{t-s} \text{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge, \text{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge]$$

degenerate at the E_2 -page?

Adams and Priddy in [AP76, Theorem 1.1] also prove the analogues of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for ko , the connective real K -theory spectrum. More precisely, they prove that if X is a 2-local spectrum of finite type such that $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_2) \simeq H^*(\text{ko}; \mathbb{F}_2)$ as modules over the Steenrod algebra, then X is equivalent to $\text{ko}_{(2)}$. This result is used in their paper to prove that $\text{BSO}_{(2)}$ has a unique \mathbb{E}_∞ -space structure, which is applied to the identification of $\text{gl}_1(\text{ko})$.

Similarly, as previously asked by Devalapurkar in [Dev24, Conjecture F], it would be interesting to know if tmf or other related spectra satisfies similar properties.

Question 1.16. Consider the Adams spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(H^*(\text{tmf}; \mathbb{F}_p), H^*(\text{tmf}; \mathbb{F}_p)) \Rightarrow [\Sigma^{t-s} \text{tmf}_p^\wedge, \text{tmf}_p^\wedge].$$

Then, for each integer $s \geq 2$, is there a page r such that $E_r^{s,s-1} = 0$?

For $p > 3$, we will give a positive answer to this question since $\mathrm{tmf}_{(p)}$ is a sum of shifts of $\mathrm{BP}\langle 2 \rangle$ by Theorem 1.9. To recover the p -local homotopy type from the p -complete homotopy type of tmf as in Theorem 1.2, we will prove the following theorem in Section 4.

Theorem 1.17. *For any prime p , the assumption on Y of Theorem 1.10 is satisfied for $Y = \mathrm{tmf}_{(p)}$.*

Recall that there is a genuine C_2 -spectrum $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ induced by the complex conjugation action on the complex cobordism spectrum [HK01]. It would be interesting to know if similar statements can be made about $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Question 1.18. Can we state and prove similar theorems for $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$?

Combining Theorems 1.10, 5.4 and Proposition 4.7, we shall see in the paper that $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle \otimes A$ for any finite spectrum A has a unique p -local model, i.e. satisfies the analogue of Theorem 1.2. It is also easy to see that an analogue of Theorem 1.2 is satisfied by finite p -local spectra or bounded spectra. It would be interesting to know if Theorem 1.2 is true for a much broader class of spectra, given the interesting counterexample in Example 4.5.

Question 1.19. Can we find a large class of spectra that satisfies the analogue of Theorem 1.2?

1.5. **Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Mark Behrens, Jeremy Hahn, and Ishan Levy helpful conversations related to this work. I would especially like to thank Vigleik Angeltveit for an enlightening discussion on his original work with John Lind.

1.6. Notations and conventions.

- p is a prime number fixed throughout the paper and \mathcal{A} denotes the mod p Steenrod algebra.
- A p -local spectrum X is said to be of finite type if it is bounded below and every homotopy group $\pi_i X$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.
- Given two spectra X and Y , we write $\mathrm{Map}(X, Y)$ for the mapping space, $F(X, Y)$ for the function spectrum from X to Y , and $[X, Y]$ for $\pi_0 F(X, Y)$.
- The notation $\Lambda(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ denotes an exterior algebra over \mathbb{F}_p generated by x_0, \dots, x_n .
- All sequences of integers in the paper, whether of finite length or infinite length, should be considered as elements of $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}}$. In particular, all sequences are assumed to have finitely many nonzero entries, and additions and subtractions should be done with the group structure of $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \mathbb{N}}$.

We write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_0 &= \mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots) \\ \mathbf{f}_1 &= \mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots) \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

for basic integer vectors. We shall use \mathbf{e}_i 's for 1-base indexed sequences and \mathbf{f}_i 's for 0-base indexed sequences. Unfortunately, both situations occur frequently.

2. COHOMOLOGY OF $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$

2.1. **Steenrod algebra.** In this section, we review the structure of the Steenrod algebra in Milnor's work [Mil58].

The dual Steenrod algebra $\pi_*(\mathbb{F}_p \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ is a graded commutative \mathbb{F}_p -Hopf algebra which is isomorphic as an algebra to

$$\mathbb{F}_p[\overline{\xi}_1, \overline{\xi}_2, \dots] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Lambda(\overline{\tau}_0, \overline{\tau}_1, \dots)$$

with degrees $|\overline{\xi}_i| = 2p^i - 2$ and $|\overline{\tau}_i| = 2p^i - 1$. The generators $\overline{\xi}_1, \overline{\xi}_2, \dots, \overline{\tau}_0, \overline{\tau}_1$ are the conjugate Milnor generators. When $p = 2$, we have $\overline{\tau}_i^2 = \overline{\xi}_{i+1}$ instead of $\overline{\tau}_i^2 = 0$, but this will not affect any of our arguments.

The Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A} = \pi_* F(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is the dual Hopf algebra of the dual Steenrod algebra. For a sequence of nonnegative integers $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots)$ and a sequence of 0's and 1's $E = (\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots)$, let us write

$$\{P^I Q^E\}_{I,E}$$

for the dual basis to the monomial basis

$$\{\overline{\xi}_1^{-i_1} \overline{\xi}_2^{-i_2} \dots \overline{\tau}_0^{\varepsilon_0} \overline{\tau}_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots\}_{I,E}.$$

Also, let us write Q_j for the dual of $\overline{\tau}_j$. We shall loosely refer to a basis element $P^I Q^E$ as a *monomial*. By the following lemma, the notation $P^I Q^E$ is less ambiguous since it is actually the product of P^I and Q^E .

Lemma 2.1 ([Mil58]). (a) *We have*

$$P^I Q^E = P^I \cdot Q_0^{\varepsilon_0} \cdot Q_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots$$

where \cdot denotes the multiplication of \mathcal{A} .

- (b) *The element Q_k is primitive, and the subalgebra generated by Q_0, Q_1, \dots is an exterior algebra $\Lambda(Q_0, Q_1, \dots)$.*
(c) *We have a formula*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k P^I &= P^I Q_k + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P^{I - p^k e_j} Q_{k+j} \\ &= P^I Q_k + P^{I - (p^k, 0, \dots)} Q_{k+1} + P^{I - (0, p^k, 0, \dots)} Q_{k+2} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

for the left multiplication by Q_k 's. Here, if a sequence in a superscript of P contains a negative number, then that whole term should read zero.

The monomial $P^{(i_1, i_2, \dots)} Q_0^{\varepsilon_0} Q_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots$ has degree

$$- \sum_{j \geq 1} (2p^j - 2) i_j - \sum_{j \geq 0} (2p^j - 1) \varepsilon_j$$

in the homological convention. We recall another grading of the Steenrod algebra that will be essential in this paper.

Definition 2.2. The *weight* of a monomial $P^{(i_1, i_2, \dots)} Q_0^{\varepsilon_0} Q_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots$ is defined to be

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} 2p^j i_j + \sum_{j \geq 0} 2p^j \varepsilon_j.$$

2.2. Cohomology of $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$. In this section, we review the cohomology of $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$ and study several gradings and filtrations on this cohomology group.

Definition 2.3. A *form* of $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$ is a BP-module

$$\text{BP}/(v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \dots)$$

for some choice of generators $v_1, v_2, \dots \in \pi_* \text{BP}$.

Let $E(n) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be the subalgebra $\Lambda(Q_0, \dots, Q_n)$ of \mathcal{A} . The \mathbb{F}_p -cohomology of $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$ is

$$H^*(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle; \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathcal{A}/E(n) := \mathcal{A} \otimes_{E(n)} \mathbb{F}_p$$

as a left \mathcal{A} -module regardless of the form [Wil75, Proposition 1.7]. By Lemma 2.1(a), it is generated, as an \mathbb{F}_p -vector space, by the elements $P^I Q^{(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots)}$ such that $\varepsilon_j = 0$ for $j \leq n$. We shall mainly be interested in the left $E(n)$ -module structure of $\mathcal{A}/E(n)$.

Lemma 2.4. For $0 \leq k \leq n$, the left action of Q_k on $\mathcal{A}/E(n)$ is given by the formula

$$Q_k \cdot P^I = P^{I-p^k e_{n+1-k}} Q_{n+1} + P^{I-p^k e_{n+2-k}} Q_{n+2} + \dots$$

extended right- $\Lambda(Q_{n+1}, Q_{n+2}, \dots)$ -linearly.

The left action of Q_k for $0 \leq k \leq n$ preserves the weight grading of $\mathcal{A}/E(n)$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}/E(n)$ splits into a direct sum of $E(n)$ -modules according to the weights and each summand is a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F}_p -vector space.

Proof. The formula follows from Lemma 2.1(c), and we can check that the right hand side has the same weight as P^I . Indeed, $P^{I-p^k e_{n+\ell-k}}$ has weight $p^k(2p^{n+\ell-k}) = 2p^{n+\ell}$ less than that of P^I , which is compensated by $Q_{n+\ell}$. The last assertion is obvious. \square

This action satisfies the following exactness property.

Proposition 2.5 ([AL17, Lemma 3.3]). Let $x \in \mathcal{A}/E(n)$ be an element of odd degree, and let $0 \leq k \leq n$ be an integer. If $Q_k x = 0$, then there exists an element $y \in \mathcal{A}/E(n)$ such that $x = Q_k y$.

Definition 2.6. Let $\ell \geq 1$ be a positive integer. The ℓ -mixed weight of a monomial $P^I Q^E \in \mathcal{A}$ with $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots)$ is defined to be

$$\sum_{j < \ell} 2p^j i_j + 2p^\ell \sum_{j \geq \ell} i_j,$$

which defines a grading on \mathcal{A} and on $\mathcal{A}/E(n)$. It also defines an increasing filtration

$$F_0^{n,\ell} \subseteq F_1^{n,\ell} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{A}/E(n)$$

where $F_s^{n,\ell}$ is the sub- \mathbb{F}_p -vector space generated by monomials of ℓ -mixed weight $\leq s$.

Lemma 2.7. If $k \leq n - \ell$, then the Q_k -action on $\mathcal{A}/E(n)$ decreases the ℓ -mixed weight by precisely $2p^{k+\ell}$. If $n - \ell < k \leq n$, then we have an inclusion

$$Q_k(F_s^{n,\ell}) \subseteq F_{s-2p^{n+1}}^{n,\ell}$$

for all s .

Proof. In the formula of Lemma 2.4, the t -th term on the right hand side has ℓ -mixed weight $2p^{k+s}$ less than that of P^I where

$$s = \begin{cases} n+t-k & \text{if } n+t-k < \ell \\ \ell & \text{if } n+t-k \geq \ell. \end{cases}$$

by the definition of ℓ -mixed weight.

If $k \leq n - \ell$, then it is always the case that $n+t-k \geq \ell$, so the ℓ -mixed weight decreases precisely by $2p^{k+\ell}$.

Suppose $k > n - \ell$. Then, we have

$$2p^{k+s} = \begin{cases} 2p^{n+t} & \text{if } n+t-k < \ell \\ 2p^{k+\ell} & \text{if } n+t-k \geq \ell. \end{cases}$$

Either case, we have $2p^{k+s} \geq 2p^{n+1}$. This proves the Lemma. \square

Lemma 2.8. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{A}/E(n)$ is an element of odd degree and of homogeneous weight w . Then, $x \in F_{w-2p^{n+1}}^{n,\ell}$ for all ℓ . In particular $w \geq 2p^{n+1}$.

Proof. Let

$$P^{(i_1, i_2, \dots)} Q_{n+1}^{\varepsilon_{n+1}} Q_{n+2}^{\varepsilon_{n+2}} \dots$$

be a monomial of odd degree and weight w . We wish to show that it has ℓ -mixed weight of at most $w - 2p^{n+1}$. Since the monomial has odd degree, we must have

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} + \varepsilon_{n+2} + \cdots \geq 1.$$

Then, by the definition of weight, we have

$$w = (2pi_1 + 2p^2i_2 + \cdots) + (2p^{n+1}\varepsilon_{n+1} + 2p^{n+2}\varepsilon_{n+2} + \cdots) \geq (2pi_1 + 2p^2i_2 + \cdots) + 2p^{n+1}.$$

Therefore, the ℓ -mixed weight can be bounded as

$$\sum_{j < \ell} 2p^j i_j + 2p^\ell \sum_{j \geq \ell} i_j \leq \sum_j 2p^j i_j \leq w - 2p^{n+1}$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 2.9 ([AL17, Section 5]). *Suppose that $x \in \mathcal{A}/E(n)$ is a homogeneous element of odd degree and weight w . Then, the degree of x is at most*

$$-\frac{p-1}{p}w + 1 - 2p^n$$

and is at least

$$-w + 1.$$

Proof. Let

$$P^{(i_1, i_2, \dots)} Q_{n+1}^{\varepsilon_{n+1}} Q_{n+2}^{\varepsilon_{n+2}} \cdots$$

be a monomial of odd degree and weight w . We wish to minimize or maximize

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (2p^j - 2)i_j + \sum_{j \geq n+1} (2p^j - 1)\varepsilon_j = w - \sum_{j \geq 1} 2i_j - \sum_{j \geq n+1} \varepsilon_j.$$

Since the monomial has odd degree, we must have

$$\sum_{j \geq n+1} \varepsilon_j \geq 1,$$

so that

$$w - \sum_{j \geq 1} 2i_j - \sum_{j \geq n+1} \varepsilon_j \leq w - 1.$$

Also, we have

$$w = \sum_{j \geq 1} 2p^j i_j + \sum_{j \geq n+1} 2p^j \varepsilon_j \geq 2p \sum_{j \geq 1} i_j + 2p^{n+1} \sum_{j \geq n+1} \varepsilon_j.$$

Therefore, we have

$$w - \sum_{j \geq 1} 2i_j - \sum_{j \geq n+1} \varepsilon_j \geq \frac{p-1}{p}w + (2p^n - 1) \sum_{j \geq n+1} \varepsilon_j \geq \frac{p-1}{p}w + 2p^n - 1$$

as desired. \square

3. UNIQUENESS OF p -COMPLETE $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.3. As discussed in the introduction, this implies Theorem 1.1.

Let $n \geq m \geq 0$ be two nonnegative integers. Then, the Adams spectral sequence for maps from $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$ to $\text{BP}\langle m \rangle$ has the form

$$E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(n)) \Rightarrow [\Sigma^{t-s} \text{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge, \text{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge].$$

By change of rings, the E_2 -page is isomorphic to

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(n)) = \text{Ext}_{E(m)}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathcal{A}/E(n)).$$

Definition 3.1. We say that a pair of nonnegative integers (m, n) (or a triple (p, m, n) if we want to make the prime p explicit) with $m \leq n$ satisfies the *vanishing line hypothesis* if it satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.3, i.e. there is a positive real number $a > 0$ depending only on p, m , and n such that the group

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{E(m)}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathcal{A}/E(n))$$

is zero if $t - s$ is odd and $as + (t - s) > 1 - 2p^{n+1}$. Informally, this says that for odd degree classes in the Adams chart, there is a vanishing line that has negative slope and meets the x -axis at $(1 - 2p^{n+1}, 0)$.

We say that (m, n) satisfies the *weak vanishing line hypothesis* if the same holds with $a = 0$, i.e. there is a vertical vanishing line at $t - s = 1 - 2p^{n+1}$ in the Adams chart.

Question 3.2. Does every pair (m, n) satisfy the vanishing line hypothesis?

In this section, we shall give a positive answer to this question for most cases.

Remark 3.3. The Adams spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(n)) \Rightarrow [\Sigma^{t-s}\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge, \mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge].$$

conditionally converges in the sense of Boardman [Boa99]. It will be clear from the computations of this section that each group $E_2^{s,t}$ is finite. Therefore, the spectral sequence converges strongly.

3.1. Ext over exterior algebra. Let us first review the computation of Ext groups of graded modules over $E(m)$.

Definition 3.4. Suppose that M is a graded $E(m)$ -module. The degrees of elements of M will be called the *algebraic degree*. In the usual notation $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}$, the degrees s and t will be called the *Adams degree* and the *algebraic degree*. The term *topological degree* will always mean $t - s$, the algebraic degree minus the Adams degree.

Definition 3.5. Suppose that m is a nonnegative integer. The *Koszul resolution* of \mathbb{F}_p is a differential graded $E(m)$ -module $(K(E(m)), d)$ defined as a free $E(m)$ -module on symbols u^R where $R = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_m)$ varies over all sequences of nonnegative integers of length $m+1$. The differential d is defined by

$$d(u^R) = \sum_{i=0}^m Q_i u^{R - \mathbf{e}_i}.$$

We say that the symbol u^R has algebraic degree

$$- \sum_{i=0}^m r_i (2p^i - 1)$$

and has Adams degree

$$- \sum_{i=0}^m r_i$$

so that d preserves the algebraic degree and increases the Adams degree by 1.

Construction 3.6. If M is an $E(m)$ -module, then dualizing the above resolution, we can see that the groups $\mathrm{Ext}_{E(m)}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_p, M)$ can be computed as the cohomology of the complex $(M[v_0, \dots, v_n], d)$ where

$$M[v_0, \dots, v_m] := \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_m] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} M$$

and d is defined as

$$dx = \sum_{i=0}^m v_i(Q_i x)$$

for $x \in M$, extended $\mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_m]$ -linearly. Here, $v_0^{r_0} \cdots v_m^{r_m}$ is the dual of $u^{(r_0, \dots, r_m)}$. The bidegree of v_i is $(1, 2p^i - 1)$, i.e. its Adams degree is 1 and its algebraic degree is $2p^i - 1$. Then, d preserves the algebraic degree and increases the Adams degree by 1. After taking the cohomology with respect to d , this notion of bidegree agrees with that of Definition 3.4.

Example 3.7. For $M = \mathbb{F}_p$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{E(m)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_m]$$

by above. Also, by Koszul duality of exterior and polynomial algebras, the ring structure on $\mathrm{Ext}_{E(m)}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$, induced by the Yoneda product, is the polynomial ring structure. For any $E(m)$ -module M , the group $\mathrm{Ext}_{E(m)}(\mathbb{F}_p, M)$ is a module over $\mathrm{Ext}_{E(m)}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$ by the Yoneda product, and is given by the obvious $\mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_m]$ -module structure on $M[v_0, \dots, v_m]$ in the description of Ext groups in Construction 3.6.

3.2. Vanishing lines. In this section, we shall prove the existence of vanishing lines (Question 3.2) for the groups

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{E(m)}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathcal{A} // E(n)).$$

Recall from Construction 3.6 that the above Ext groups can be computed as the cohomology groups of

$$\left((\mathcal{A} // E(n))[v_0, \dots, v_m], d = \sum_{i=0}^m v_i Q_i \right).$$

We can extend the weight grading and ℓ -mixed weight gradings of $\mathcal{A} // E(n)$ (Definitions 2.2 and 2.6) to $(\mathcal{A} // E(n))[v_0, \dots, v_m]$ by declaring that each v_i has weight 0 and ℓ -mixed weight 0 for all ℓ .

Lemma 3.8. *The group $(\mathcal{A} // E(n))[v_0, \dots, v_m]$ is trigraded by Adams degree, algebraic degree, and weight. The differential d preserves the algebraic degree and the weight, and d increases the Adams degree by 1.*

Proof. The only nontrivial assertion is that the three gradings are compatible with each other. This follows from the fact that each graded piece of each grading is generated by monomials. \square

Convention. From this point, all elements of $(\mathcal{A} // E(n))[v_0, \dots, v_m]$ that we consider are assumed to be homogeneous in the tridegree, i.e. Adams degree, algebraic degree, and weight.

Construction 3.9. Let $0 \neq \chi \in \mathrm{Ext}_{E(m)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathcal{A} // E(n))$ be a class of odd topological degree and weight w . Suppose that $x \in (\mathcal{A} // E(n))[v_0, \dots, v_m]$ represents χ . Then, let $x_0 = x$, and we inductively define a sequence $x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} \in (\mathcal{A} // E(n))[v_0, \dots, v_m]$ and a sequence of integers r_0, \dots, r_m by

$$x_i = v_i^{r_i} x_{i+1} \pmod{v_i^{r_i+1}}, \quad 0 \neq x_{i+1} \in (\mathcal{A} // E(n))[v_{i+1}, \dots, v_m].$$

Note that since the v_i 's have even topological degrees, each x_i has odd topological degree.

Let us say that x is a *normal representation* of χ if (r_0, \dots, r_m) is lexicographically minimal among all representatives of χ . We shall say that (x_0, \dots, x_{m+1}) and (r_0, \dots, r_m) are the *associated sequences*.

Proposition 3.10. *In the situation of Construction 3.9 where x is a normal representation, we have $r_m = 0$ and*

$$r_i \leq \frac{w - 2p^{n+1}}{2p^n(p-1)}$$

for all $0 \leq i < m$.

The proof of the proposition is a combination of the following lemmas. Let us write

$$d^i = v_i Q_i + \cdots + v_m Q_m$$

for the differential for the quotient complex $(\mathcal{A}/E(n))[v_i, \dots, v_m]$. Note that $d = d^0$.

Lemma 3.11. *In the situation of Construction 3.9 where x is a normal representation with associated sequences (x_0, \dots, x_{m+1}) and (r_0, \dots, r_m) , x_i is a d^i -cycle but not a d^i -boundary for all $0 \leq i \leq m$.*

Proof. Let us induct on i . For $i = 0$, this follows from the assumptions.

Suppose $i > 0$. Since

$$x_{i-1} = v_{i-1}^{r_{i-1}} x_i \pmod{v_{i-1}^{r_{i-1}+1}}$$

is a cycle with respect to $d^{i-1} = v_{i-1} Q_{i-1} + d^i$, it follows that x_i is a d^i -cycle.

Suppose that $x_i = d^i y$ for some y . Consider the cycle

$$x' := x - v_0^{r_0} \cdots v_{i-1}^{r_{i-1}} dy = x - v_0^{r_0} \cdots v_{i-1}^{r_{i-1}} (v_0 Q_0 y + \cdots + v_{i-1} Q_{i-1} y + d^i y)$$

and let $(x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_m, x'_{m+1})$ and (r'_0, \dots, r'_m) be the sequences defined as before for x' instead of x .

From the definition of x' , it must be a multiple of $v_0^{r_0}$ since x_0 is. However, by the lexicographical maximality of (r_0, \dots, r_m) , the cycle x' cannot be a multiple of $v_0^{r_0+1}$. This implies that $r'_0 = r_0$, and looking at the definition of x' modulo $v_0^{r_0+1}$, we have

$$x'_1 = x_1 - v_1^{r_1} \cdots v_{i-1}^{r_{i-1}} (v_1 Q_1 + \cdots + v_{i-1} Q_{i-1} y + d^i y).$$

Repeating the same argument i times, we eventually obtain

$$x'_i = x_i - d^i y = 0$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Suppose that $y \in (\mathcal{A}/E(n))[v_i, \dots, v_m]$ is an element of odd degree and weight w . Also, suppose that it is a d^i -cycle but not a d^i -boundary. If y is divisible by v_i^r , then we have*

$$r \leq \frac{w - 2p^{n+1}}{2p^n(p-1)}$$

if $i < m$ and $r = 0$ if $i = m$.

Proof. If $i = m$, then y is of the form $v_m^{r_m} y'$ for $y' \in \mathcal{A}/E(n)$ by homogeneity. Since y is a cycle with respect to $d^m = v_m Q_m$, we have $Q_m y' = 0$. By Proposition 2.5, there is some z such that $y' = Q_m z$. If $r > 0$, then $r_m > 0$ and we have $v_m^{r_m} y' = d^m (v_m^{r_m-1} z)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $r = 0$.

Now suppose that $i < m$. Let us write

$$y = v_i^r y_r + v_i^{r+1} y_{r+1} + \cdots + v_i^k y_k$$

for some $r \leq k$ and $y_r, \dots, y_k \in (\mathcal{A}/E(n))[v_{i+1}, \dots, v_m]$. Since y is a cycle with respect to $d^i = v_i Q_i + d^{i+1}$, we can see that $Q_i y_k = 0$. By Proposition 2.5, we have $y_k = Q_i z_k$ for some z_k .

If $k > r$, we can replace y with

$$y - v_i^{k-1} d^i z_k = v_i^r y_r + \cdots + v_i^{k-1} (y_{k-1} - d^{i+1} z_k)$$

so that we have lowered k by 1 while y is still a multiple of v_i^r . Repeating the argument, we may assume that

$$y = v_i^r y_r$$

for some $y_r \in (\mathcal{A}/E(n))[v_{i+1}, \dots, v_m]$.

Now, we shall inductively define $y_{r-1}, \dots, y_0 \in (\mathcal{A}/E(n))[v_{i+1}, \dots, v_m]$ so that y is d^i -homologous to $v_i^j y_j$ for all $0 \leq j \leq r$. Inductively, if y is d^i -homologous to $v_i^j y_j$, then since $v_i^j y_j$ is a cycle, we have $Q_i y_j = 0$, so that $y_j = Q_i z_j$ for some z_j by Proposition 2.5. Then, y is d^i -homologous to

$$v_i^j y_j - v_i^{j-1} d^i z_j = -v_i^{j-1} (d^{i+1} z_j)$$

and we can define $y_{j-1} := -d^{i+1} z_j$.

In the previous paragraph, if we have

$$y_j \in F_s^{n, n-i}[v_{i+1}, \dots, v_m] := F_s^{n, n-i} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_p[v_{i+1}, \dots, v_m]$$

(Definition 2.6), then by Lemma 2.7 applied to $(k, \ell) = (i, n-i)$, we have

$$z_j \in F_{s+2p^n}^{n, n-i}[v_{i+1}, \dots, v_m],$$

and by the same Lemma, we have

$$y_{j-1} \in F_{s+2p^n-2p^{n+1}}^{n, n-i}[v_{i+1}, \dots, v_m].$$

Therefore, since

$$y_r \in F_{w-2p^{n+1}}^{n, n-i}[v_{i+1}, \dots, v_m]$$

by Lemma 2.8, we must have

$$y_0 \in F_{w-2p^{n+1}+r(2p^n-2p^{n+1})}^{n, n-i}[v_{i+1}, \dots, v_m].$$

Since y is not a d^i -boundary, it follows that y_0 is nonzero. However, since the ℓ -mixed weight is always nonnegative, we have

$$w - 2p^{n+1} + r(2p^n - 2p^{n+1}) \geq 0$$

which implies the desired statement. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.10. It follows immediately from the previous two lemmas. \square

With Proposition 3.10, we can answer Question 3.2.

Theorem 3.13 (Theorem 1.3). *Let $m \leq n$ be nonnegative integers. Suppose that at least one of the following conditions is true:*

- (a) p is odd,
- (b) $m \leq n - 1$,
- (c) $n \leq 2$.

Then, (m, n) satisfies the vanishing hypothesis (Definition 3.1). Also, the triple $(p, m, n) = (2, 3, 3)$ satisfies the weak vanishing hypothesis.

Proof. Let $0 \neq \chi \in \text{Ext}_{E(m)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathcal{A}/E(n))$ be a nonzero class of odd topological degree and weight w , and let x be a normal representation (Construction 3.9) with associated sequences (x_0, \dots, x_{m+1}) and (r_0, \dots, r_m) . Note that we have $r_m = 0$ by Proposition 3.10. Thus, if $m = 0$, the statement vacuously follows since there is no odd Ext class in positive Adams degree and all odd degree elements of $\mathcal{A}/E(n)$ have degrees at most $1 - 2p^{n+1}$.

Now suppose that $m > 0$. Then, since

$$x = v_0^{r_0} \cdots v_{m-1}^{r_{m-1}} x_{m+1} + \cdots$$

with $x_{m+1} \in \mathcal{A}/E(n)$, we have

$$\deg(x) \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (2p^j - 2)r_j \right) - \frac{p-1}{p} w + 1 - 2p^n$$

by Lemma 2.9, where \deg denotes the topological degree. Rearranging, we have

$$w - 2p^{n+1} \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \left(1 - 2p^{n+1} - \deg(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (2p^j - 2)r_j \right).$$

By Proposition 3.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (2p^{j+1} - 2p^j)r_j \\ & \leq (p^{-n} + \cdots + p^{-n+m-1})(w - 2p^{n+1}) \\ & \leq \frac{p^{-n+m}}{p-1}(w - 2p^{n+1}) \\ & \leq \frac{p^{-n+m+1}}{(p-1)^2} \left(1 - 2p^{n+1} - \deg(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (2p^j - 2)r_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that p is odd. Then, we have

$$2p^{j+1} - 2p^j > \frac{p(2p^j - 2)}{(p-1)^2} \geq \frac{p^{-n+m+1}(2p^j - 2)}{(p-1)^2}$$

so that we have $a(r_0 + \cdots + r_{m-1}) \leq 1 - 2p^{n+1} - \deg(x)$ for some $a > 0$, which is the desired statement. The same proof works for the case $p = 2$ and $m = 1$.

Similarly, if $m \leq n - 1$, then we have

$$2p^{j+1} - 2p^j > \frac{2p^j - 2}{(p-1)^2} \geq \frac{p^{-n+m+1}(2p^j - 2)}{(p-1)^2}$$

and the same conclusion follows.

Finally, let us handle the case where $p = 2$ and $2 \leq n = m \leq 3$. If $n = m = 2$, then by Proposition 3.10, we have

$$8r_0, 8r_1 \leq w - 2p^{n+1} \leq 2(1 - 2p^{n+1} - \deg(x) + 2r_1),$$

from which the theorem easily follows. For $n = m = 3$, we have

$$16r_0, 16r_1, 16r_2 \leq 2(1 - 2p^{n+1} - \deg(x) + 2r_1 + 6r_2).$$

Then, we have

$$16r_1 + 48r_2 \leq 8(1 - 2p^{n+1} - \deg(x) + 2r_1 + 6r_2)$$

so that

$$\deg(x) \leq 1 - 2p^{n+1}$$

as desired. \square

Remark 3.14. We are not suggesting that Question 3.2 has a negative answer when $p = 2$. It is simply that the inequalities we have here are not sufficient for those cases. The author expects that Theorem 3.13 is true for all p and $m = n$.

Remark 3.15. Let us briefly discuss the proof of [AL17] of Theorem 3.13. For simplicity, let us consider the case of $\text{BP}\langle 2 \rangle$ at $p = 2$.

Given an odd degree cycle, let us consider the normal representation (Construction 3.9)

$$x = v_0^{r_0} v_1^{r_1} x_3 + \cdots .$$

In other words, the rest of the terms are multiples of $v_0^i v_1^j v_2^k$ where (i, j, k) is lexicographically larger than $(r_0, r_1, 0)$. Then, the argument of [AL17] claims that there is another representative, modulo cycles and lexicographically large terms (larger than $(r_0, r_1, 0)$), which is a sum of terms of the form

$$v_1^{r_0-i} v_2^{r_1+i} y$$

for $i \geq 0$. However, computer-assisted calculation shows that the cycle $v_0^3 v_1^2 P^{(8,0,0,4)} Q_3$ does not satisfy this, and we can check that it meets a dead-end while going through the zig-zag algorithm of [AL17, Section 5].

4. RECOVERING p -LOCAL HOMOTOPY TYPES FROM p -COMPLETE HOMOTOPY TYPES

In this section, we study how to lift an equivalence of p -complete spectra to an equivalence of p -local spectra.

4.1. Lifting p -complete maps to p -local maps.

Definition 4.1. Let X, Y be p -local spectra of finite type. We say that the pair (X, Y) is *preAP* if the natural map

$$[X_p^\wedge, Y_p^\wedge] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow [X_p^\wedge, \tau_{\leq k} Y_p^\wedge] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is surjective for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$[X_p^\wedge, \tau_{\leq k} Y_p^\wedge] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = [\tau_{\leq k} X_p^\wedge, \tau_{\leq k} Y_p^\wedge] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \prod_{c \leq k} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\pi_c(X_p^\wedge) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \pi_c(Y_p^\wedge) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$$

is the endomorphism group of p -adic rational homotopy groups up to degree k . We say that the pair (X, Y) is *AP* if $(X, \Sigma^j Y)$ is preAP for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 4.2. Consider the case $X = Y = \ell$ where ℓ is the connective Adams summand of the p -local connective complex K -theory, which is a form of $\text{BP}\langle 1 \rangle$. We would like to show that (ℓ, ℓ) is preAP.

For each $r \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$, there is an Adams operation Ψ^r , which is an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring automorphism of ℓ and acts on homotopy groups by sending v_1 to $r v_1$.

Let k be a fixed nonnegative integer, and let $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_k \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ be any distinct elements. Then, the image of Ψ^{r_i} under the map

$$[\ell_p^\wedge, \ell_p^\wedge] \rightarrow \prod_{c \leq 2k} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\pi_c(\ell_p^\wedge) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \pi_c(\ell_p^\wedge) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}_p^{k+1}$$

is the vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ r_i \\ \vdots \\ r_i^k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the images of the Ψ^{r_i} 's form a Vandermonde matrix, which is known to be nonsingular. Since k was arbitrary, this proves that (ℓ, ℓ) is preAP. Later, we shall prove that the pair is actually AP (Theorem 5.4).

Lemma 4.3. *Let Y be a p -local spectrum. Given a map $f : X \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge$, it lifts to a map $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow Y$ making the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & Y \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \\ & & Y_p^\wedge \end{array}$$

commutative, if and only if there is a lift after taking π_* , i.e. there is a map of graded abelian groups F_* making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_*(X) & \xrightarrow{F_*} & \pi_*(Y) \\ & \searrow \pi_*(f) & \downarrow \\ & & \pi_*(Y_p^\wedge) \end{array}$$

commutative.

Proof. The only if part is obvious. Let us prove the if part. Since the arithmetic fracture square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & Y_p^\wedge \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & Y_p^\wedge \otimes \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

is a pullback diagram, we can see that

$$\mathrm{cof}(Y \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge) \simeq \mathrm{cof}(Y \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge \otimes \mathbb{Q})$$

is a rational spectrum. Then, whether the composite

$$X \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge \rightarrow \mathrm{cof}(Y \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge)$$

is zero or not can be checked at the level of homotopy groups, since maps to rational spectra are determined by the map of homotopy groups. Since the map $\pi_*(X) \rightarrow \pi_*(Y_p^\wedge)$ factors through $\pi_*(Y)$, we see that the above composite is zero and that f lifts to a map $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow Y$. \square

Proposition 4.4 (cf. [AP76]). *Let X and Y be p -local spectra of finite type. If (X, Y) is preAP, then for any map $f : X_p^\wedge \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge$ and a positive integer r , there exists $\tilde{g} : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f/p^r \simeq \tilde{g}/p^r$ as maps from $X_p^\wedge/p^r = X/p^r$ to $Y_p^\wedge/p^r = Y/p^r$. In particular, if there is an equivalence $X_p^\wedge \simeq Y_p^\wedge$, then there is an equivalence $X \simeq Y$.*

Proof. By taking suspensions, let us assume that X and Y are connective. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let us fix identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i(X) &= \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^{\oplus s_i} \oplus (\text{torsion}) \\ \pi_i(Y) &= \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^{\oplus t_i} \oplus (\text{torsion}) \end{aligned}$$

for integers s_i and t_i , giving us an identification

$$\pi_i(Y_p^\wedge) = \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus t_i} \oplus (\text{torsion}).$$

Then, if we ignore the torsion part, given a map $g : X \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge$, the induced map $\pi_i(g) : \pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(Y_p^\wedge)$ can be represented by a $s_i \times t_i$ matrix with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p , which we also denote by $\pi_i(g)$. Note that by Lemma 4.3, the map g lifts to a map $X \rightarrow Y$ if and only if $\pi_i(g)$ has coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for all i , or as we shall say, *has p -local coefficients*.

Let us write $g_{-1} := f$ and inductively define maps $g_0, g_1, \dots : X \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge$, so that $\pi_i(g_k)$ has p -local coefficients for all $i \leq k$.

Suppose that we have defined g_{k-1} for some $k \geq 0$. Since (X, Y) is preAP, the map

$$[X, Y_p^\wedge] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow [X, \tau_{\leq k} Y_p^\wedge] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is surjective. Since the right hand side is a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space and $[X, Y_p^\wedge]$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -module, there is an integer N (dependent on k) satisfying the following property.

For any sequence of matrices A_0, \dots, A_k with \mathbb{Z}_p -coefficients where A_i has size $s_i \times t_i$ for each i , there is a map $h : X \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge$ such that $\pi_i(h) = p^N A_i$ for every $i \leq k$.

Let B_k be a $s_k \times t_k$ matrix with \mathbb{Z}_p -coefficients such that

$$\pi_k(g_{k-1}) + p^{k+r+1+N} B_k$$

has p -local coefficients. Such a matrix exists since $\mathbb{Z}_p/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is a rational vector space. Then, there is a map $h_k : X \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge$ such that $\pi_i(h_k) = 0$ for $i < k$ and $\pi_k(h_k) = p^N B_k$. If we define $g_k := g_{k-1} + p^{k+r+1} h_k$, then $\pi_i(g_k)$ has p -local coefficients for all $i \leq k$.

Let us define g to be the limit of g_k , or more precisely, as

$$f + p^{r+1} h_0 + p^{r+2} h_1 + \cdots,$$

which makes sense, not necessarily in a unique way, since the function spectrum $F(X, Y_p^\wedge)$ is p -complete. Then, by construction, $\pi_i(g)$ has p -local coefficients for all i , so that g lifts to a map $\tilde{g} : X \rightarrow Y$. Also, we have $\tilde{g}/p^r = f/p^r$ since p^{r+1} is zero in $[\mathbb{S}/p^r, \mathbb{S}/p^r]$.

The last assertion of the proposition follows from the fact that an equivalence of p -local spectra can be tested modulo p^r for any $r > 0$. \square

Example 4.5. Let us discuss an example of a pair that is not preAP. Consider the pair

$$(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, \ell) = (\mathrm{BP}\langle 0 \rangle, \mathrm{BP}\langle 1 \rangle).$$

Then, this pair is not preAP because there is no map $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \ell_p^\wedge$ that is nonzero on π_0 . In fact, any such map is zero since

$$\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \ell_p^\wedge) = \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \ell_p^\wedge[v_1^{-1}])$$

and $\ell_p^\wedge[v_1^{-1}]$ is $K(1)$ -local while \mathbb{Z}_p is $K(1)$ -acyclic. It also follows that the pair

$$(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \oplus \ell, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \oplus \ell)$$

is not preAP.

Furthermore, there exists a p -local spectrum X of finite type that is equivalent to $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \oplus \ell$ after p -completion but not before. From the arithmetic fracture square, there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow [\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, \ell_p^\wedge \oplus (\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q})] \rightarrow [\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, \ell_p^\wedge \otimes \mathbb{Q}] \rightarrow [\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, \Sigma\ell] \rightarrow \cdots$$

and by the previous observation that $[\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, \ell_p^\wedge] = 0$, there is an injection

$$\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow [\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, \Sigma\ell]$$

corresponding to maps that become zero after p -completion. Then, take any such nonzero map $f : \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow \Sigma\ell$ and let X be the fiber of f . Since f becomes zero after p -completion, such a nullhomotopy induces a splitting $X_p^\wedge = \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \ell_p^\wedge$.

Suppose that there is a split injection $i : \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow X$. Then, after p -completion, we get a split injection $i_p : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow X_p^\wedge = \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \ell_p^\wedge$, but since $[\mathbb{Z}_p, \ell_p^\wedge] = 0$, i_p must map \mathbb{Z}_p isomorphically onto the \mathbb{Z}_p summand. In other words, the composite

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{i_p} X_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

is an equivalence, where the second arrow comes from the definition of X as the fiber of f . This implies that the composite

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \xrightarrow{i} X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$$

is an equivalence, which contradicts that the map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ does not split.

4.2. AP pairs of spectra. In this section, we shall prove various properties of AP pairs of spectra. We shall work with the following equivalent definition in terms of p -local spectra instead of p -complete spectra.

Lemma 4.6. *Let X, Y be p -local spectra of finite type. Then, the pair (X, Y) is preAP if and only if*

$$[X, Y] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow [X, \tau_{\leq k} Y] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is surjective for all k .

Proof. For the if part, consider the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X, Y] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & [X, \tau_{\leq k} Y] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [X_p^\wedge, Y_p^\wedge] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & [X_p^\wedge, \tau_{\leq k} Y_p^\wedge] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}. \end{array}$$

Since the lower horizontal arrow is a map of \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces, we can basechange the top row along $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then, the right vertical arrow becomes an isomorphism, and if the upper horizontal arrow is a surjection, so is the lower horizontal arrow.

For the only if part, consider a map in

$$f \in [X, \tau_{\leq k} Y] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \prod_{c \leq k} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi_c(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \pi_c(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}).$$

Since (X, Y) is preAP, after multiplying a large power of p to f if necessary, there is a map $X \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge$ such that the map induces f on homotopy groups of degree $\leq k$. Then, from the proof of Proposition 4.4, there is a map $X \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge$ such that it induces f on homotopy groups of degree $\leq k$ and it lifts to a map $X \rightarrow Y$. This can be done by modifying the proof to set $h_k = 0$ whenever $\pi_k(g_{k-1})$ already has p -local coefficients. \square

Proposition 4.7. *Let A be a finite spectrum such that $A \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$. Let X, Y be p -local spectra of finite type.*

- (a) *The pair (X, Y) is AP if and only if $(X \otimes A, Y)$ is AP.*
- (b) *The pair (X, Y) is AP if and only if $(X, Y \otimes A)$ is AP.*

Proof. (a) We have natural isomorphisms

$$[X \otimes A, Y] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = [A, F(X, Y)] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = [A \otimes \mathbb{Q}, F(X, Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}]$$

where we use that A is finite for the second isomorphism. Since $A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is free over \mathbb{Q} , we may as well assume that A is a direct sum of spheres. In that case, the statement follows from the definitions.

- (b) We first prove the only if part. It is enough to prove that $(X, Y \otimes A)$ is preAP since we can repeat the argument after replacing Y with suspensions of Y .

By (a) applied to the pair $(X \otimes DA, Y)$ where DA is the Spanier-Whitehead dual of A , we have that

$$[X, Y \otimes A] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow [X, (\tau_{\leq k} Y) \otimes A] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is surjective for any integer k . If A is c -connective for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the k -th Postnikov truncation of $Y \otimes A$ factors as

$$Y \otimes A \rightarrow (\tau_{\leq k-c} Y) \otimes A \rightarrow \tau_{\leq k}(Y \otimes A).$$

Therefore, to show that

$$[X, Y \otimes A] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow [X, \tau_{\leq k}(Y \otimes A)] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is surjective, it is enough to show that

$$[X, (\tau_{\leq k-c}Y) \otimes A] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow [X, \tau_{\leq k}(Y \otimes A)] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is surjective. However, since $(\tau_{\leq k-c}Y) \otimes A$ and $\tau_{\leq k}(Y \otimes A)$ are bounded and X is of finite type, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X, (\tau_{\leq k-c}Y) \otimes A] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} &= [X \otimes \mathbb{Q}, (\tau_{\leq k-c}Y) \otimes A \otimes \mathbb{Q}] \\ [X, \tau_{\leq k}(Y \otimes A)] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} &= [X \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \tau_{\leq k}(Y \otimes A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}] \end{aligned}$$

and since $X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is free, the desired statement follows from the fact that

$$(\tau_{\leq k-c}Y) \otimes A \rightarrow \tau_{\leq k}(Y \otimes A)$$

is surjective on rational homotopy groups.

Next, let us prove the if part. Let d be the dimension of the highest cell of A . Then, we have a factorization

$$Y \otimes A \rightarrow \tau_{\leq k+d}(Y \otimes A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow (\tau_{\leq k}Y) \otimes A \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

since $(\tau_{\leq k}Y) \otimes A$ is rationally $(k+d)$ -truncated. If $(X, Y \otimes A)$ is AP, then the map

$$[X, Y \otimes A] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow [X, \tau_{\leq k+d}(Y \otimes A)] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is surjective. Also, since $\tau_{\leq k+d}(Y \otimes A)$ and $(\tau_{\leq k}Y) \otimes A$ are bounded and X is of finite type, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X, \tau_{\leq k+d}(Y \otimes A)] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} &= [X \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \tau_{\leq k+d}(Y \otimes A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}] \\ [X, (\tau_{\leq k}Y) \otimes A] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} &= [X \otimes \mathbb{Q}, (\tau_{\leq k}Y) \otimes A \otimes \mathbb{Q}] \end{aligned}$$

and the map

$$[X, \tau_{\leq k+d}(Y \otimes A)] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow [X, (\tau_{\leq k}Y) \otimes A] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

induced by

$$\tau_{\leq k+d}(Y \otimes A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow (\tau_{\leq k}Y) \otimes A \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

which is a surjection on homotopy groups, is a surjection since $X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is free over \mathbb{Q} .

Therefore, we have proved that

$$[X, Y \otimes A] \rightarrow [X, (\tau_{\leq k}Y) \otimes A]$$

is surjective for all k . Taking the Spanier-Whitehead dual of A , we have that $(X \otimes DA, Y)$ is preAP. By replacing Y with suspensions of Y , we also have that $(X \otimes DA, Y)$ is AP. By (a), this implies that (X, Y) is AP. \square

Proposition 4.8. *At any prime p , the pair $(\mathrm{tmf}_{(p)}, \mathrm{tmf}_{(p)})$ is AP if and only if $(\mathrm{BP}\langle 2 \rangle, \mathrm{BP}\langle 2 \rangle)$ is AP.*

Proof. By [Mat16, Theorem 1.2], at $p = 2$ or 3 , there is a finite spectrum A such that $\mathrm{tmf}_{(p)} \otimes A$ is a sum of suspensions of $\mathrm{BP}\langle 2 \rangle$. Therefore, the statement follows from Proposition 4.7. For $p \geq 5$, we shall later prove that $\mathrm{tmf}_{(p)}$ is a direct sum of suspensions of $\mathrm{BP}\langle 2 \rangle$'s (Corollary 5.9). \square

Remark 4.9. The hypothesis that Y is of finite type is not used in the proof of Proposition 4.7. However, in the cases of our interest, Y will always be of finite type.

The following statement is about the uniqueness of p -local lifts of maps in Lemma 4.3.

Proposition 4.10. *Let X and Y be p -local spectra of finite type such that (X, Y) is preAP. Then, the natural map*

$$[X, Y_p^\wedge] \oplus [X, Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}] \rightarrow [X, Y_p^\wedge \otimes \mathbb{Q}]$$

is surjective and the natural map

$$[X, \Sigma Y] \rightarrow [X, \Sigma Y_p^\wedge]$$

is injective.

Proof. We first show that the two conclusions are equivalent. From the long exact sequence induced by the arithmetic fracture square of Y , the map

$$[X, Y_p^\wedge] \oplus [X, Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}] \rightarrow [X, Y_p^\wedge \otimes \mathbb{Q}]$$

is surjective if and only if

$$[X, \Sigma Y] \rightarrow [X, \Sigma Y_p^\wedge] \oplus [X, \Sigma Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}]$$

is injective. This is equivalent to

$$[X, \Sigma Y] \rightarrow [X, \Sigma Y_p^\wedge]$$

being injective by using the next arrow in the long exact sequence and the fact that

$$[X, \Sigma Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}] \rightarrow [X, \Sigma Y_p^\wedge \otimes \mathbb{Q}]$$

is injective.

Next, we shall show that the map

$$[X, Y_p^\wedge] \rightarrow [X, Y_p^\wedge \otimes \mathbb{Q}] / [X, Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}]$$

is surjective. The argument is similar to the one for Proposition 4.4. By taking suspensions if necessary, let us assume that X and Y are connective. For each i , let us fix an identification

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i(X) &= \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^{\oplus s_i} \oplus (\text{torsion}) \\ \pi_i(Y) &= \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^{\oplus t_i} \oplus (\text{torsion}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, an element

$$f \in [X, Y_p^\wedge \otimes \mathbb{Q}] / [X, Y \otimes \mathbb{Q}]$$

is a collection consisting of a $s_i \times t_i$ matrix, say $\pi_i(f)$, valued in \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Q} for each i .

Let g_{-1} be the zero map from X to Y_p^\wedge . We inductively define g_k as follows. Let N be a large integer and let B be a \mathbb{Z}_p -valued matrix such that

$$\pi_k(g_{k-1}) + p^{N+k}B = \pi_k(f) \pmod{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

This is possible (for any N) since the natural map $p^{N+k}\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Q}$ is surjective. Since (X, Y) is preAP, if N was chosen large enough, then there is a map $h_k : X \rightarrow Y_p^\wedge$ such that $\pi_i(h_k)$ is zero for $i < k$ and $\pi_k(h_k) = p^N B$. Finally, we define

$$g_k = g_{k-1} + p^k h_k.$$

Then, the limit of g_k , i.e.

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} p^k h_k$$

exists in $[X, Y_p^\wedge]$ and it maps to f by construction. \square

5. UNIQUENESS OF p -LOCAL $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2, i.e. to recover the homotopy type of $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$ from that of $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge$.

5.1. **Action of Ext on homotopy groups.** Recall from Example 3.7 that we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/E(n), \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_n]$$

for all n . Therefore, given an Ext class

$$f \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(n)),$$

it induces a map of \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces

$$f_* : \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_m]$$

given by Yoneda product of Ext classes, shifting the bidegrees by (s, t) .

The goal of this section is to compute f_* for all Ext classes f and prove Theorem 1.12. Similar to notes made in Example 3.7, it can be shown that $(v_i f)_* = v_i f_*$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$.

If we let

$$E(\infty) = \Lambda(Q_0, Q_1, \dots) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$$

be the infinite exterior sub-Hopf algebra of \mathcal{A} , then everything in Section 3.1 applies. In particular, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/E(\infty), \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, v_1, \dots].$$

Then, consider the zig-zag

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(n)) \\ \downarrow \eta_1 \\ \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(\infty)) \\ \uparrow \eta_2 \\ \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/E(\infty), \mathcal{A}/E(\infty)) \end{array}$$

induced by the obvious maps $\mathcal{A}/E(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/E(\infty)$ and $\mathcal{A}/E(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/E(\infty)$. If $\eta_1(f) = \eta_2(g)$ for some

$$\begin{array}{l} f \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(n)) \\ g \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/E(\infty), \mathcal{A}/E(\infty)) \end{array}$$

then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, v_1, \dots] & \xrightarrow{g_*} & \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, v_1, \dots] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_n] & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_m] \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the obvious quotient maps. Therefore, we see that f_* is determined by g_* . Moreover, given f , there is always a g such that $\eta_1(f) = \eta_2(g)$ since η_2 is surjective. Indeed, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(\infty)) = \mathrm{Ext}_{E(m)}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathcal{A}/E(\infty)) = (\mathcal{A}/E(\infty))[v_0, \dots, v_m]$$

since the action of $E(m)$ on $\mathcal{A}/E(\infty)$ is trivial. Similarly, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/E(\infty), \mathcal{A}/E(\infty)) = (\mathcal{A}/E(\infty))[v_0, v_1, \dots]$$

and η_2 is the quotient map.

Therefore, it is enough to consider the case $m = n = \infty$, i.e. given a class

$$f \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/E(\infty), \mathcal{A}/E(\infty)) = (\mathcal{A}/E(\infty))[v_0, v_1, \dots],$$

we shall compute the induced map

$$f_* : \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, v_1, \dots] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, v_1, \dots].$$

Definition 5.1. Let $\text{Seq}(L)$ be the collection of all sequences (r_0, r_1, \dots) of nonnegative integers such that

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} r_i = L.$$

Given two sequences $R = (r_0, r_1, \dots), S = (s_0, s_1, \dots) \in \text{Seq}(L)$, we consider the following combinatorial situation.

Suppose there are L boxes that are linearly ordered, and each box has a nonnegative integer written on it. The first r_0 boxes have 0 written on them, the next r_1 boxes have 1 on them, and so on. Also, suppose there are L balls, each with a nonnegative integer written on it, so that there are exactly s_i balls that have i written on them. We assume that two balls with the same integer on them cannot be distinguished, but two boxes with the same integer on them can be distinguished by their linear order.

Then, a *majorization class from S to R* is a method of placing the balls into the boxes, so that each box contains exactly one ball and the integer written on each box is greater than or equal to the integer on the ball that the box contains. Let us write $\text{Maj}(S, R)$ for the collection of all majorization classes.

Suppose we are given a majorization class $\sigma \in \text{Maj}(S, R)$, and suppose that a ball with i written on it is contained in a box with $i + j$ written on it. Then, we define the *local index* at the box to be

$$\text{locind}(i, i + j) = \begin{cases} (0, 0, \dots) & \text{if } j = 0 \\ p^i \mathbf{e}_j & \text{if } j > 0 \end{cases}$$

as an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty}$. The *index* of σ , denoted by $\text{ind}(\sigma)$ is the sum of the local indices over all boxes.

Proposition 5.2. *Consider the map*

$$f_* : \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, v_1, \dots] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, v_1, \dots]$$

induced by the class

$$f := P^I \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{0,*}(\mathcal{A}/E(\infty), \mathcal{A}/E(\infty)),$$

where $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots)$. Let $x := v_0^{r_0} v_1^{r_1} \dots$ be a monomial.

(a) *If*

$$\deg(x) + \deg(P^I) < 0,$$

then $f_(x) = 0$.*

(b) *If*

$$\deg(x) + \deg(P^I) = 0,$$

then $f_(x)$ is zero unless $i_k = r_k$ for all $k \geq 1$, in which case we have*

$$f_*(x) = v_0^{r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + \dots}.$$

Here, \deg denotes the topological degree.

Proof. The assertion (a) is clear by considering the topological degrees, since there is no element in $\mathbb{F}_p[v_0, v_1, \dots]$ with negative topological degree.

Applying Definition 3.5 to $E(\infty)$ and base-changing along $E(\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, we obtain a free resolution K^\bullet of $\mathcal{A}/E(\infty)$ as left \mathcal{A} -modules described as follows. It is freely generated by symbols u^R where $R = (r_0, r_1, \dots)$ is a sequence of nonnegative integers. The differential is given by

$$d(u^R) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Q_i u^{R - \mathbf{f}_i},$$

and the free module is made into a cochain complex of \mathcal{A} -modules by requiring that u^R is in cochain level $\sum(-r_i)$, i.e. we have a resolution

$$\dots \rightarrow K^{-2} \rightarrow K^{-1} \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/E(\infty) \rightarrow 0$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} K^0 &= \mathcal{A}u^{(0,0,\dots)} \\ K^{-1} &= \mathcal{A}u^{(1,0,\dots)} \oplus \mathcal{A}u^{(0,1,\dots)} \oplus \dots \end{aligned}$$

and so on.

We shall construct a map of chain complexes of left \mathcal{A} -modules

$$\widetilde{P}^I : K^\bullet \rightarrow K^\bullet$$

that lifts the map of left \mathcal{A} -modules

$$P^I : \mathcal{A}/E(\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/E(\infty)$$

that sends 1 to P^I . Given a sequence $S \in \text{Seq}(L)$ of nonnegative integers with sum L , let us define \widetilde{P}^I by the formula

$$\widetilde{P}^I(u^S) = \sum_{R \in \text{Seq}(L)} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Maj}(S,R)} P^{I-\text{ind}(\sigma)} u^R.$$

As usual, if $I - \text{ind}(\sigma)$ contains negative entries, then the whole term should be considered as zero. Let us check that this map commutes with the differential. Applying the differential later, we have

$$(d \circ \widetilde{P}^I)(u^S) = \sum_{R \in \text{Seq}(L)} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Maj}(S,R)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P^{I-\text{ind}(\sigma)} Q_i u^{R-\mathbf{f}_i}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\widetilde{P}^I \circ d)(u^S) \\ &= \widetilde{P}^I \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Q_i u^{S-\mathbf{f}_i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{R \in \text{Seq}(L-1)} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Maj}(S-\mathbf{f}_i,R)} Q_i P^{I-\text{ind}(\sigma)} u^R \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{R \in \text{Seq}(L-1)} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Maj}(S-\mathbf{f}_i,R)} \left(P^{I-\text{ind}(\sigma)} Q_i u^R + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P^{I-\text{ind}(\sigma)-p^i \mathbf{e}_j} Q_{i+j} u^R \right). \end{aligned}$$

We would like to find a bijection of the terms between the two sums. Equivalently, we need to find a bijection between the set of tuples

$$\{(R, \sigma, i) : R \in \text{Seq}(L), \sigma \in \text{Maj}(S, R), i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$$

and

$$\{(i, R, \sigma, j) : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, R \in \text{Seq}(L-1), \sigma \in \text{Maj}(S - \mathbf{f}_i, R)\}$$

so that $P^{I-\text{ind}(\sigma)} Q_i u^{R-\mathbf{f}_i}$ corresponds to $P^{I-\text{ind}(\sigma)-p^i \mathbf{e}_j} Q_{i+j} u^R$ (or $P^{I-\text{ind}(\sigma)} Q_i u^R$ if $j = 0$). The bijection is given by sending (R, σ, i) to $(\ell, R - \mathbf{f}_i, \sigma \setminus i, i - \ell)$, where ℓ is the integer on the ball that is contained in the rightmost box with i written on it in the majorization class σ , and $\sigma \setminus i$ is a majorization class from $S - \mathbf{f}_\ell$ to $R - \mathbf{f}_i$ obtained by removing that rightmost box and the ball that is contained in it.

The above bijection shows that \widetilde{P}^I is a map of cochain complexes. We can also check that

$$\deg(\widetilde{P}^I(u^R)) = \deg(P^I) + \deg(u^R)$$

as expected.

Let $R = (r_0, r_1, \dots) \in \text{Seq}(L)$ be a sequence with sum L . The class

$$x = v_0^{r_0} v_1^{r_1} \dots \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/E(\infty), \mathbb{F}_p)$$

can be described by the map

$$K^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[-L]$$

that sends u^R to 1 and other u^S 's to 0. Therefore, to compute $(P^I)_*(x)$, we need to find the image of u^S 's under the composition

$$K^\bullet \xrightarrow{\widetilde{P}^I} K^\bullet \xrightarrow{x} \mathbb{F}_p[-L].$$

In particular, we wish to find all sequences $S \in \text{Seq}(L)$ and majorization classes $\sigma \in \text{Maj}(S, R)$ such that $I = \text{ind}(\sigma)$. However, since \widetilde{P}^I shifts the topological degree by $\deg(P^I)$, we would also have

$$\deg(P^I) + \deg(u^S) = \deg(P^{I - \text{ind}(\sigma)}) + \deg(u^R) = \deg(u^R).$$

Now to prove (b), if we assume $\deg(x) + \deg(P^I) = 0$, then since $\deg(u^R) = -\deg(x)$, we must have $\deg(u^S) = 0$. This implies that $S = (L, 0, 0, \dots)$ since otherwise the degree of u^S will be strictly negative. Also, if $S = (L, 0, \dots)$, there is a unique majorization class $\sigma \in \text{Maj}(S, R)$ since all balls look alike, and we can check that

$$\text{ind}(\sigma) = (r_1, r_2, \dots).$$

Therefore, we have that $(P^I)_*(x)$ is v_0^L if $I = (r_1, r_2, \dots)$ and zero otherwise. \square

5.2. Uniqueness of p -local $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$. In this section, we shall prove that $(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle, \text{BP}\langle m \rangle)$ is AP if (p, m, n) satisfies the vanishing line hypothesis. Let us first solve the algebraic version of the problem.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $n \geq m$ be any nonnegative integers. Then, for any integers $D, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s, s+D}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(n)) \right) [v_0^{-1}] \\ & \rightarrow \prod_{c \leq k} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm]}((\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm, v_1, \dots, v_n])_c, (\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm, v_1, \dots, v_n])_{c+D}) \end{aligned}$$

given by $f \mapsto f_*$ is surjective. Here, we grade $\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm, v_1, \dots, v_n], \mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm, v_1, \dots, v_m]$ by topological degrees and $(-)_c$ denotes the c -th graded piece.

Proof. Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ be any sequence of nonnegative integers of length n . We shall prove that there is a class

$$\phi^I \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(n))$$

that can be represented by a cycle of the form

$$v_0^N P^I + v_0^{N-1} x_{N-1} + \dots + x_0 \in (\mathcal{A}/E(n))[v_0, \dots, v_m]$$

for some $x_0, \dots, x_{N-1} \in (\mathcal{A}/E(n))[v_1, \dots, v_m]$ and sufficiently large N . Recall that the differential is

$$d = v_0 Q_0 + \dots + v_m Q_m = v_0 Q_0 + d^1.$$

Since $Q_0 P^I = 0$, we have $Q_0 d^1 P^I = 0$, so that by Proposition 2.5, there is some x_{N-1} such that $Q_0 x_{N-1} = d^1 P^I$. Then, we also have

$$Q_0 d^1 x_{N-1} = -d^1 Q_0 x_{N-1} = -d^1 d^1 P^I = 0$$

so that we can define x_{N-2} such that $Q_0 x_{N-2} = d^1 x_{N-1}$. Inductively, if we define x_i by

$$Q_0 x_i = d^1 x_{i+1}$$

then we have

$$Q_0 d^1 x_i = -d^1 Q_0 x_i = -d^1 d^1 x_{i+1} = 0$$

and we can continue.

We claim that at some point, we get $x_i = 0$, given that we choose sufficiently large N . Note that by construction, x_i has Adams degree $N - i$ and has topological degree $\deg(P^I)$. If

$$v_1^{r_1} \cdots v_m^{r_m} x \in (\mathcal{A}/E(n))[v_0, \dots, v_m]$$

is an element of Adams degree $N - i$ in the expansion of x_i into monomials, then we have

$$\deg(v_1^{r_1} \cdots v_m^{r_m}) \geq (2p - 2)(N - i)$$

so that

$$\deg(x) \leq \deg(P^I) - (2p - 2)(N - i)$$

where \deg is the topological degree. If w is the weight of P^I , then by Lemma 2.9, we have

$$-w + 1 \leq \deg(x) \leq \deg(P^I) - (2p - 2)(N - i).$$

Therefore, if we start with a sufficiently large N , then there is some i such that the above inequality cannot hold, which means that we must have $x_i = 0$.

If we have $x_i = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(v_0^N P^I + v_0^{N-1} x_{N-1} + \cdots + v_0^{i+1} x_{i+1}) \\ &= v_0^{N+1} Q_0 P^I + (v_0^N d^1 P^I + v_0^N Q_0 x_{N-1}) + (v_0^{N-1} d^1 x_{N-1} + v_0^{N-1} Q_0 x_{N-2}) + \cdots = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and we can name this class ϕ^I .

Next, let us analyze the map

$$(\phi^I)_* : \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_m].$$

By the discussion at the beginning of Section 5, it is enough to consider the case $m = n = \infty$. Suppose that

$$x = v_1^{r_1} v_2^{r_2} \cdots$$

is a monomial such that $\deg(x) + \deg(P^I) \leq 0$. If

$$v_0^{s_0} v_1^{s_1} \cdots P^J \in (\mathcal{A}/E(\infty))[v_0, v_1, \dots]$$

is a monomial in the expansion of

$$\phi^I = v_0^N P^I + \cdots.$$

Then, unless $(s_0, s_1, \dots) = (N, 0, \dots)$, we have

$$\deg(P^I) = \deg(v_0^{s_0} v_1^{s_1} \cdots) + \deg(P^J) - \deg(v_0^N) > \deg(P^J)$$

so that $\deg(x) + \deg(P^J) < 0$, which implies that $(P^J)_*(x) = 0$ by Proposition 5.2. Therefore, we have

$$(\phi^I)_*(x) = v_0^N (P^I)_*(x)$$

and by Proposition 5.2, we have

$$(\phi^I)_*(x) = \begin{cases} v_0^{N+i_1+i_2+\cdots} & \text{if } x = v_1^{i_1} v_2^{i_2} \cdots \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

assuming $\deg(x) + \deg(P^I) \leq 0$.

Suppose that D and k are fixed integers as in the statement of the Proposition. For each integer $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let M_c be the collection of all sequences $(i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_m)$ of nonnegative integers of length $n + m$ such that

$$\deg(v_1^{i_1} \cdots v_n^{i_n}) = \deg(v_1^{j_1} \cdots v_m^{j_m}) - D = c.$$

Let us give a lexicographical ordering on M_d and let us order

$$M = \bigsqcup_{c=0}^D M_c$$

by declaring that elements of M_i are smaller than elements of M_j for $i < j$.

There is a natural basis of

$$\prod_{c \leq k} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm]}((\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm, v_1, \dots, v_n])_c, (\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm, v_1, \dots, v_n])_{c+D})$$

indexed by M . Namely, for each $(i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_m)$, we take the $\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm]$ -linear map sending $v_1^{i_1} \dots v_n^{i_n}$ to $v_1^{j_1} \dots v_m^{j_m}$ and other monomials to zero.

We can also define an M -indexed subset of

$$\left(\bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s, s+D}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(n)) \right) [v_0^{-1}],$$

by taking the class represented by the cycle

$$v_1^{j_1} \dots v_m^{j_m} \phi^{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} \in (A//E(n))[v_0, \dots, v_m]$$

for each $(i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_m) \in M$. Then, by the previous discussion on $(\phi^I)_*$, the representation of the image of this set by the basis in the previous paragraph is a lower triangular matrix where diagonal entries are powers of v_0 . Therefore, the matrix is nonsingular over $\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm]$, and we have the desired surjectivity. \square

Theorem 5.4. *Let $n \geq m$ be nonnegative integers such that (m, n) satisfies the vanishing line hypothesis (resp. weak vanishing line hypothesis). Then, the pair $(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle, \text{BP}\langle m \rangle)$ is AP (resp. preAP).*

Proof. Let us first assume that (m, n) satisfies the vanishing line hypothesis. We wish to show that $(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle, \Sigma^{-D}\text{BP}\langle m \rangle)$ is preAP for all $D \in \mathbb{Z}$. If D is an odd number, then there is nothing to prove since

$$[\text{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge, \tau_{\leq k} \Sigma^{-D} \text{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = 0$$

for all k . Therefore, let us assume that D is even.

Let k be a fixed integer. We wish to show that

$$[\text{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge, \Sigma^{-D} \text{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge] \rightarrow \prod_{c \leq k} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\pi_c(\text{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \pi_{c+D}(\text{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$$

is surjective. Using Proposition 5.3, let us choose some classes

$$\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots \in \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s, s+D}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(n))$$

such that their images in

$$\prod_{c \leq k} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm]}((\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm, v_1, \dots, v_n])_c, (\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm, v_1, \dots, v_n])_{c+D})$$

form a basis.

First, we shall prove that ϕ_i is a permanent cycle in the Adams spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(n)) \Rightarrow [\Sigma^{t-s} \text{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge, \text{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge]$$

for each i , possibly after multiplying it by a power of v_0 . Suppose that

$$\phi_i \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s, s+D}(\mathcal{A}/E(m), \mathcal{A}/E(n)).$$

Then, $v_0^N \phi_i \in \text{Ext}^{s+N, s+N+D}$, so a target of a differential from $v_0^N \phi_i$ would have to be detected by $\text{Ext}^{s+N+r, s+N+D+r-1}$ in the E_2 -page for some $r \geq 2$. Since $D-1$ is odd, this group is zero if

$$a(s+N+r) + D - 1 > 1 - 2p^{n+1}$$

by the assumption that (p, m, n) satisfies the vanishing line hypothesis. If N is sufficiently large, this inequality holds for all $r \geq 2$, so that $v_0^N \phi_i$ is a permanent cycle.

Therefore, by multiplying powers of v_0 if necessary, we may assume that ϕ_i is a permanent cycle in the Adams spectral sequence for all i . Also, since $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots\}$ is a finite set, by multiplying powers of v_0 if necessary, we may assume that the Adams degree of ϕ_i is s for all i .

Since ϕ_i is a permanent cycle in the Adams spectral sequence, it lifts to a map

$$\tilde{\phi}_i : \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge \rightarrow \Sigma^{-D} \mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge$$

detecting it. Also, since the Adams spectral sequence for $\pi_*(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge)$ and $\pi_*(\mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge)$ degenerates, the map

$$\pi_*(\tilde{\phi}_i) : \mathbb{Z}_p[v_1, \dots, v_n] \rightarrow \Sigma^{-D} \mathbb{Z}_p[v_1, \dots, v_n]$$

induces

$$(\phi_i)_* : \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_n] \rightarrow \Sigma^{-D} \mathbb{F}_p[v_0, \dots, v_n]$$

by taking the associated graded of the Adams filtration.

Since the $\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm]$ -dimension of

$$\prod_{c \leq k} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm]}((\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm, v_1, \dots, v_n])_c, (\mathbb{F}_p[v_0^\pm, v_1, \dots, v_n])_{c+D})$$

is equal to the \mathbb{Q}_p -dimension of

$$\prod_{c \leq k} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\pi_c(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \pi_{c+D}(\mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}),$$

it is enough to see that the image of $\{\tilde{\phi}_1, \tilde{\phi}_2, \dots\}$ in this \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space is linearly independent, which is equivalent to saying that it is \mathbb{Z}_p -linearly independent in

$$\prod_{c \leq k} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\pi_c(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge), \pi_{c+D}(\mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge)).$$

If we assume that there is a relation $\sum \alpha_i \tilde{\phi}_i = 0$ in the above \mathbb{Z}_p -module, then since it is a free module, we may divide by powers of p if necessary and assume that at least one α_i is a p -adic unit. Then, we obtain a contradiction by passing to the associated graded with respect to the Adams filtration, since $\{(\phi_1)_*, (\phi_2)_*, \dots\}$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_p .

If (m, n) satisfies the weak vanishing line hypothesis, we can modify the proof above to only care about the case $D = 0$. In this case, the inequality that we have used

$$a(s + N + r) + D - 1 > 1 - 2p^{n+1}$$

is always true with $a = 0$ and $D = 0$. Following the same proof, we obtain that $(\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle, \mathrm{BP}\langle m \rangle)$ is preAP. \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let X be a p -local spectrum of finite type. If $X_p^\wedge \simeq \mathrm{tmf}_p^\wedge$, then $X \simeq \mathrm{tmf}_{(p)}$.*

Proof. Combine Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 4.8. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Suppose either that p is odd or that $p = 2$ and $n \leq 2$. Then, the \mathbb{F}_p -cohomology of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$ as an \mathcal{A} -module determines the spectrum $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$.*

More generally, suppose that Y is a direct sum of even suspensions of $\mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$,

$$Y = \Sigma^{2i_1} \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \Sigma^{2i_k} \mathrm{BP}\langle n \rangle$$

such that $0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k < p^{n+1} - 1$. Then, if X is a p -local spectrum of finite type, any isomorphism

$$H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq H^*(Y; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

of \mathcal{A} -modules can be lifted to an equivalence $X \simeq Y$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.13, the E_2 -page of the Adams spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(H^*(Y; \mathbb{F}_p), H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)) \Rightarrow [\Sigma^{t-s} X, Y_p^\wedge]$$

vanishes for $t - s = -1$, since $-1 - 2(i_k - i_0) > 1 - 2p^{n+1}$. Therefore, we obtain an equivalence $X_p^\wedge \simeq Y_p^\wedge$ lifting the isomorphism of cohomology.

By Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 5.4, we have an equivalence $X \simeq Y$, which lifts $X_p^\wedge/p \simeq Y_p^\wedge/p$ and hence also the isomorphism of cohomology. \square

Remark 5.7. When $(p, n) = 3$, we see that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 hold by the same argument since $(\text{BP}\langle 3 \rangle, \text{BP}\langle 3 \rangle)$ is preAP.

Corollary 5.8. *Let p be an odd prime and let $n \geq m$ be nonnegative integers. Then, for any integer k , the natural map*

$$[\text{BP}\langle n \rangle, \Sigma^k \text{BP}\langle m \rangle] \rightarrow [\text{BP}\langle n \rangle_p^\wedge, \Sigma^k \text{BP}\langle m \rangle_p^\wedge]$$

is injective. The same is true when $p = 2$ if either $n > m$ or $n = m \leq 2$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 4.10. \square

Corollary 5.9. *When $p > 3$, the spectrum of topological modular forms $\text{tmf}_{(p)}$ splits into a direct sum of suspensions of $\text{BP}\langle 2 \rangle$.*

Proof. It is shown in [Rez07, Theorem 21.5] that $H^*(\text{tmf}; \mathbb{F}_p)$ as an \mathcal{A} -module has a filtration whose associated graded is a sum of even suspensions of $H^*(\text{BP}\langle 2 \rangle; \mathbb{F}_p)$ with suspension degrees between 0 and $2(p^2 + p - 12)$. However, the extension problems going from the associated graded to the filtered module is trivial since we have proved that all of the relevant Ext^1 groups vanish. Therefore, $H^*(\text{tmf}; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is a direct sum of small even suspensions of $H^*(\text{BP}\langle 2 \rangle; \mathbb{F}_p)$ and we are done by the previous corollary. \square

REFERENCES

- [AL17] Vignleik Angeltveit and John A. Lind, *Uniqueness of $\text{BP}\langle n \rangle$* , J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. **12** (2017), no. 1, 17–30.
- [AP76] J. F. Adams and S. B. Priddy, *Uniqueness of BSO* , Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **80** (1976), no. 3, 475–509.
- [BM13] Maria Basterra and Michael A. Mandell, *The multiplication on BP* , J. Topol. **6** (2013), no. 2, 285–310.
- [Boa99] J. Michael Boardman, *Conditionally convergent spectral sequences*, Homotopy invariant algebraic structures (Baltimore, MD, 1998), Contemp. Math., vol. 239, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 49–84.
- [CM15] Steven Greg Chadwick and Michael A. Mandell, *E_n genera*, Geom. Topol. **19** (2015), no. 6, 3193–3232.
- [Dev24] Sanath K. Devalapurkar, *Higher chromatic Thom spectra via unstable homotopy theory*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **24** (2024), no. 1, 49–108.
- [Goe10] Paul G. Goerss, *Topological modular forms [after Hopkins, Miller and Lurie]*, Astérisque (2010), no. 332, Exp. No. 1005, viii, 221–255.
- [HK01] Po Hu and Igor Kriz, *Real-oriented homotopy theory and an analogue of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 2, 317–399.
- [Mat16] Akhil Mathew, *The homology of tmf* , Homology Homotopy Appl. **18** (2016), no. 2, 1–29.
- [Mil58] John Milnor, *The Steenrod algebra and its dual*, Ann. of Math. (2) **67** (1958), 150–171.
- [Rez07] Charles Rezk, *Supplementary notes for Math 512 (version 0.18)*, 2007, <https://rezk.web.illinois.edu/512-spr2001-notes.pdf>.
- [Wil75] W. Stephen Wilson, *The Ω -spectrum for Brown-Peterson cohomology. II*, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975), 101–123.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT, CAMBRIDGE, MA, USA
 Email address: jongwonl@mit.edu