

# THE GEOMETRY OF LOOP SPACES II: CORRECTIONS

YOSHIAKI MAEDA AND STEVEN ROSENBERG

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This article contains corrections and extensions of results in [11]. The main correction is that theorems stating  $|\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))| = \infty$  must be replaced with  $|\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))| = \infty$ , where  $\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p)$ ,  $\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p)$  refer to the identity component of the diffeomorphism groups and isometry groups of certain 5-manifolds  $\overline{M}_p$ . In particular, this applies to Cor. 3.9, Thm. 3.10, Cor. 3.11, Ex. 3.12, Ex. 3.13, Ex. 3.15, Prop. 3.16, Ex. 3.17, Prop. 3.18, Prop. 3.20 in [11]. As a new result, we find an infinite family of pairwise non-isometric metrics  $h_p, p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , on  $S^5$  such that  $|\pi_1(\text{Isom}(S^5, h_p))| = \infty$ .

In the proof of [11, Prop. 3.4], we implicitly used that the Wodzicki-Chern-Simons  $CS_{2k-1}^W \in \Lambda^{2k-1}(L\overline{M})$  is closed for a  $(2k-1)$ -dimensional closed manifold  $\overline{M}$ , in the notation of [11]. This is not correct in general. In fact, we can only prove that  $F^{L,*}CS_{2k-1}^W$  is closed as a  $(2k-1)$ -form on  $[0, 1] \times \overline{M}$ . Here  $F : [0, 1] \times S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$  and we assume  $F^L : [0, 1] \times \overline{M} \rightarrow L\overline{M}$ ,  $F^L(x^0, m)(\theta) = F(x^0, \theta, m)$ , is an isometry of  $\overline{M}$  for all  $(x^0, \theta)$ . This is proved in Prop. 2.1. In Prop. 2.2, we then recover [11, Prop. 3.4] for isometries. In Cor. 2.1, we restate the main results in [11] for isometry groups. In Prop. 2.3, we construct the metrics  $h_p$  as above.

In §3, we give other corrections to [11]. Most are minor, but in Lem. 3.1 we note that  $C^k$ -mapping spaces are homotopy equivalent for different  $k$ , while the homotopy equivalence for different Sobolev topologies is unclear. Thus we should have used  $C^k$  topologies in [11].

Even though this paper now concentrates on isometry groups, we should also add references to recent work on diffeomorphism groups omitted in the Introduction in [11]: Bamler-Kleiner [2], Baraglia [3], Iida-Konno-Mukherjee-Taniguchi [4], Kronheimer-Mrowka [8], Kupers-Randal-Williams [9], Lin-Mukherjee [10], Konno-Taniguchi [6], and Watanabe [13]. This list is not exhaustive.

## 2. REPLACING DIFFEOMORPHISM GROUPS WITH ISOMETRY GROUPS

In §2.1, we state the main results and prove Prop. 2.3. In §2.2, we prove the usual naturality property  $d_M f^* \omega = f^* d_N \omega$  for maps  $f : M \rightarrow N$  of Banach manifolds and  $\omega \in \Lambda^*(N)$ . In §2.3, we recall the local coordinate expression for  $CS^W$ . In §2.4, we obtain the local coordinate expression for  $d_{[0,1] \times \overline{M}} F^{L,*} CS^W = F^{L,*} d_{L\overline{M}} CS^W$  (Prop. 2.4). In §2.5, we simplify this expression if  $F^L(x^0, \theta) \in \text{Diff}(\overline{M})$  for all  $(x^0, \theta)$ . In §2.6, we finish the proof of Prop. 2.1 provided  $F^L(x^0, \theta) \in \text{Isom}(\overline{M})$ .

**2.1. The main results.** Let  $\overline{M}$  be a closed  $(2k-1)$ -manifold. For smooth maps  $a : S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$ ,  $F : [0, 1] \times S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$ , set  $a^L : \overline{M} \rightarrow L\overline{M}$  by  $a^L(m)(\theta) = a(\theta, m)$ , and  $F^L : [0, 1] \times \overline{M} \rightarrow L\overline{M}$  by  $F^L(t, m)(\theta) = F(t, \theta, m)$ . The key result Prop. 3.4 in [11] is replaced with two results.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $a_0, a_1 : S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$  be  $S^1$  actions on a closed Riemannian  $(2k-1)$ -manifold  $(\overline{M}, g)$  with  $a_\theta := a(\theta, \cdot) \in \text{Isom}(\overline{M}, g)$  for all  $\theta \in S^1$ . Let  $F : [0, 1] \times S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$  be a smooth homotopy from  $a_0$  to  $a_1$  (i.e.,  $F(0, \cdot, \cdot) = a_0, F(1, \cdot, \cdot) = a_1$ ) with  $F(x^0, \theta, \cdot) \in \text{Isom}(\overline{M}, g)$  for all  $(x^0, \theta)$ . Then*

$$d_{[0,1] \times M} F^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W = 0.$$

Here  $CS_{2k-1}^W$  depends on  $g$  (3). The proof is given in §2.2 (see Lem. 2.5). The proof fails if  $\text{Isom}(\overline{M}, g)$  is replaced with  $\text{Diff}(\overline{M})$ .

From now on, we denote  $\text{Isom}(\overline{M}, g)$  by  $\text{Isom}(\overline{M})$ , except when we use the explicit metric  $\bar{g}$  on  $\overline{M}_p$  in [11].

**Proposition 2.2** (Prop. 3.4 in [11]). *Let  $\dim(\overline{M}) = 2k - 1$ . Let  $a_0, a_1 : S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$  be actions through isometries as above.*

(i) *If  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  are homotopic through isometries, then  $\int_{\overline{M}} a_0^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W = \int_{\overline{M}} a_1^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W$ .*

(ii) *If there exists an action  $a$  through isometries with  $\int_{\overline{M}} a^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W \neq 0$ , then  $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}))$  is infinite.*

*Proof.* (i) This is just Stokes' Theorem: for  $i_{x^0} : \overline{M} \rightarrow [0, 1] \times \overline{M}, i_{x^0}(\overline{M}) = (x^0, \overline{M})$ , and  $F$  the homotopy in Prop. 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\overline{M}} a_0^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W - \int_{\overline{M}} a_1^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W &= \int_{\overline{M}} i_0^* F^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W - \int_{\overline{M}} i_1^* F^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W \\ &= \int_{[0,1] \times M} d_{[0,1] \times M} F^* CS_{2k-1}^W = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by Prop. 2.1.

(ii) Let  $a_n$  be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  iterate of  $a$ , i.e.  $a_n(\theta, m) = a(n\theta, m)$ . We claim that  $\int_{\overline{M}} a_n^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W = n \int_{\overline{M}} a^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W$ . By [11, (2.5)], every term in  $CS_{2k-1}^W$  is of the form  $\int_{S^1} \dot{\gamma}(\theta) f(\theta)$ , where  $f$  is a periodic function on the circle. Each loop  $\gamma \in a_1^L(\overline{M})$  corresponds to the loop  $\gamma(n \cdot) \in a_n^L(\overline{M})$ . Therefore the term  $\int_{S^1} \dot{\gamma}(\theta) f(\theta)$  is replaced by

$$\int_{S^1} \frac{d}{d\theta} \gamma(n\theta) f(n\theta) d\theta = n \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{\gamma}(\theta) f(\theta) d\theta.$$

Thus  $\int_{\overline{M}} a_n^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W = n \int_{\overline{M}} a^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W$ . By (i),  $a_n$  and  $a_m$  are not homotopic through isometries. By a straightforward modification of [11, Lem. 3.3], the  $[a_n^L] \in \pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}))$  are all distinct.  $\square$

This recovers the results stated in the introduction, as the calculations of  $a^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W$  are unchanged.

**Corollary 2.1.** *The results Cor. 3.9, Thm. 3.10, Cor. 3.11, Ex. 3.12, Ex. 3.13, Ex. 3.15, Prop. 3.16, Ex. 3.17, Prop. 3.18, Prop. 3.20 in [11] are correct with  $\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p)$  replaced by  $\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p)$ .*

*In particular (Thm. 3.10), let  $(M^4, J, g, \omega)$  be a compact integral Kähler surface, and let  $\overline{M}_p$  be the circle bundle associated to  $p[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\bar{g} = \bar{g}_p$  be the metric on  $\overline{M}_p$  given in §3.2 of [11]. Then the loop of diffeomorphisms of  $\overline{M}_p$  given by rotation in the circle fiber is an element of infinite order in  $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p, \bar{g}))$  if  $|p| \gg 0$ .*

As another example (Ex. 3.13, Prop. 3.14), for the lens space  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}_p = \mathcal{L}_p = S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $p \neq 1$ , with the metric  $\bar{g}$  on [11, p. 500],  $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\mathcal{L}_p, \bar{g}))$  is infinite.

**Remark 2.1.** The metric on  $\mathcal{L}_p$  coming from the standard metric on  $S^5$  has isometry group  $SO(6)/\mathbb{Z}_p$ , which has finite fundamental group. Thus  $\bar{g}$  is not the standard metric. This can also be seen from [11, Prop. 3.6], since the sectional curvatures of  $\mathcal{L}_p$  with the standard metric are independent of  $p$ .

This implies a result about isometries of  $S^5$  that was missed in [11].

**Proposition 2.3.**  $S^5$  admits an infinite family of pairwise nonisometric metrics  $h_p$  with  $|\pi_1(\text{Isom}(S^5, h_p))| = \infty$ .

*Proof.* The metric  $\bar{g}_p, p \neq 1$ , on  $\mathcal{L}_p$  lifts to a locally isometric metric  $h_p$  on  $S^5$ . As above, the  $h_p$  have different sectional curvatures [11, Prop. 3.6], so they are not isometric. The circle action  $a : S^1 \times \mathcal{L}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_p$  does not lift to a circle action on  $S^5$ , but  $a_p$  does lift to an action  $b_p : S^1 \times S^5 \rightarrow S^5$ . Since  $\bar{g}_p, h_p$  are locally isometric, we get  $CS_{2k-1, S^5}^W(\gamma)(\theta) = CS_{2k-1, \mathcal{L}_p}^W(\pi \circ \gamma)(\theta)$ , where  $\pi : S^5 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_p$  is the projection. This implies

$$\int_{S^5} b^{L,*} CS_{5, S^5}^W = \int_{\mathcal{L}_p} a_p^{L,*} CS_{5, \mathcal{L}_p}^W = p \int_{\mathcal{L}_p} a^{L,*} CS_{5, \mathcal{L}_p}^W \neq 0.$$

As above, this implies  $|\pi_1(\text{Isom}(S^5, h_p))| = \infty$ . □

Note that  $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(S^5, g_0)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  for the standard metric  $g_0$ , and for a generic metric  $g$  on  $S^5$ , we expect  $\text{Isom}(S^5, g) = \{\text{Id}\}$ ,  $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(S^5, g)) = 1$ . Thus the metrics  $h_p$  are “special, but not too special.”

**2.2. Naturality of pullbacks of forms on Banach manifolds.** We first derive the surely known result that the proof that  $d_M f^* \omega = f^* d_N \omega$  (for  $f : M \rightarrow N$  a differentiable map between finite dimensional manifolds and  $\omega \in \Omega^s(N)$ ) extends to infinite dimensional smooth Banach manifolds like  $LM$ . On an infinite dimensional smooth manifold  $N$ , the exterior derivative can only be defined by the Cartan formula:

$$\begin{aligned} d_N \omega(x^0, \dots, X_s)_p &= \sum_i (-1)^i X_i(\omega(x^0, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_s)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], x^0, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_s), \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_i \in T_p N$  are extended to vector fields near  $p$  using a chart map (see e.g., [7, §33.12]).

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map between smooth Banach manifolds, and let  $\omega \in \Omega^*(N)$ . Then  $d_M f^* \omega = f^* d_N \omega$ . In particular,  $d_{[0,1] \times \overline{M}} F^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W = F^{L,*} d_{\overline{LM}} CS_{2k-1}^W$  in Prop. 2.1.

*Proof.* First assume that  $f$  is an immersion on a neighborhood  $U_p$  of a fixed  $p \in M$ . For fixed vector fields  $Y_i$  on  $U_p$ , set  $g : f(U_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g(n) = \omega(f_* Y_1, \dots, f_* Y_s)_n$ . We have  $(g \circ f)(m) = \omega(f_* Y_1, \dots, f_* Y_s)_{f(m)}$ . Thus the identity  $X_m(g \circ f) = (f_* X)_{f(m)}(g)$  becomes

$$X_m(\omega(f_* Y_1, \dots, f_* Y_s)) = (f_* X)_{f(m)}(\omega(f_* Y_1, \dots, f_* Y_s)).$$

Dropping  $m, f(m)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
f^*d_N\omega(x^0, \dots, X_s) &= d_N\omega(f_*x^0, \dots, f_*X_s) \\
&= \sum_i (-1)^i f_*X_i(\omega(f_*x^0, \dots, \widehat{f_*X_i}, \dots, f_*X_s)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([f_*X_i, f_*X_j], f_*x^0, \dots, \widehat{f_*X_i}, \dots, \widehat{f_*X_j}, \dots, f_*X_s) \\
&= \sum_i (-1)^i X_i(\omega(f_*x^0, \dots, \widehat{f_*X_i}, \dots, f_*X_s)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega(f_*[X_i, X_j], f_*x^0, \dots, \widehat{f_*X_i}, \dots, \widehat{f_*X_j}, \dots, f_*X_s) \\
&= d_M\omega(f_*x^0, \dots, f_*X_s) = d_M f^*\omega(x^0, \dots, X_s),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use  $[f_*X_i, f_*X_j] = f_*[X_i, X_j]$  for immersions.

In general, consider the graph  $G : M \rightarrow M \times N$ ,  $G(m) = (m, f(m))$ . Then  $\pi_N \circ G = f$  for the projection  $\pi_N : M \times N \rightarrow N$ . (We similarly define  $\pi_M$ .)  $G$  is an immersion, with  $G_*(Y) = (Y, f_*Y)$  taking a vector field on  $M$  to a well-defined vector field on  $M \times N$ .

Fix  $(m_0, n_0) \in M \times N$ , and set  $i_M : M \rightarrow M \times N$ ,  $i_N : N \rightarrow M \times N$  by  $i_M(m) = (m, n_0)$ ,  $i_N(n) = (m_0, n)$ . If a vector  $(X^0, Y_0) \in T_{(m_0, n_0)}M \times N$  is extended to a nearby vector field  $(X, Y)$  with  $X$  constant in  $N$  directions and  $Y$  constant in  $M$  directions, it is straightforward to apply the Cartan formula to derive the standard equality (usually abbreviated  $d_{M \times N} = d_M + d_N$ )

$$d_{M \times N} \alpha_{(m_0, n_0)} = \pi_M^* [d_M(i_M^* \alpha)_{m_0}] + \pi_N^* [d_N(i_N^* \alpha)_{n_0}],$$

for  $\alpha \in \Omega^*(M \times N)$ . Since  $\pi i_M : m \mapsto n_0$  (so  $d_M i_M^* \pi^* \omega = 0$ ) and  $\pi i_N = \text{id}$ , the argument above for the immersion  $G$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
d_M f^* \omega &= d_M G^* \pi_N^* \omega = G^* d_{M \times N} \pi_N^* \omega = G^* [\pi_M^* d_M i_M^* \pi^* \omega + \pi_N^* d_N i_N^* \pi^* \omega] \\
&= G^* \pi_N^* d_N i_N^* \pi^* \omega = f^* d_N \omega.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**2.3. Local coordinates expression.** We work in local coordinates  $(x^0, x) = (x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{2k-1})$  on  $[0, 1] \times \overline{M}$ . Let

$$(1) \quad K_{\nu\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) R_{\lambda_{\sigma(1)} e_1 \nu}{}^{e_2} R_{\lambda_{\sigma(2)} \lambda_{\sigma(3)} e_3}{}^{e_1} R_{\lambda_{\sigma(4)} \lambda_{\sigma(5)} e_1}{}^{e_3} \dots R_{\lambda_{\sigma(2k-2)} \lambda_{\sigma(2k-1)} e_2}{}^{e_{k-1}},$$

for  $\sigma$  a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, 2k-1\}$ , and where  $R_{ijk}{}^\ell$  are the components of the curvature tensor of the metric on  $M$ .

$$(2) \quad K_{\nu\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} dx^\nu \otimes dx^{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\lambda_{2k-1}}$$

is the local expression of an element of  $\Omega^1(\overline{M}) \otimes \Omega^{2k-2}(\overline{M})$ . For  $\gamma \in L\overline{M}$  and  $X_{\gamma, i} \in T_\gamma L\overline{M}$ , we set

$$(3) \quad CS^W(\gamma)(X_{\gamma, 1}, \dots, X_{\gamma, 2k-1}) = \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) \dot{\gamma}^\nu(\theta) X_{\gamma, 1}^{\lambda_1}(\theta) \dots X_{\gamma, 2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}(\theta) d\theta.$$

Then  $CS^W \in \Omega^{2k-1}(L\overline{M})$ , since we have contracted out the  $\nu$  index. Since the integrand in (3) is tensorial, we can integrate over  $[0, 2\pi]$  even if the image of  $\gamma$  does not lie in one coordinate chart.

**2.4. Computing  $F^{L,*}d_{L\overline{M}}CS^W$ .** We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_{L\overline{M}}CS_\gamma^W)(X_{\gamma,0}, X_{\gamma,1}, \dots, X_{\gamma,2k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a X_{\gamma,a} (CS^W(X_{\gamma,0}, \dots, \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}}, \dots, X_{\gamma,2k-1})) \\ & \quad + \sum_{a<b} (-1)^{a+b} (CS^W([X_{\gamma,a}, X_{\gamma,b}], X_{\gamma,0}, \dots, \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}}, \dots, \widehat{X_{\gamma,b}}, X_{\gamma,2k-1})) \\ &:= \sum_a (1)_a + \sum_{a<b} (2)_{a,b}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\gamma_s(\theta) \in L\overline{M}$  be a family of loops with  $\gamma_0(\theta) = \gamma(\theta)$ ,  $\frac{d}{ds}\big|_{s=0}\gamma_s = X_{\gamma,a}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & X_{\gamma,a} (CS^W(X_{\gamma,0}, \dots, \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}}, \dots, X_{\gamma,2k-1})) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{s=0} \left[ K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma_s(\theta)) \dot{\gamma}_s^\nu X_{\gamma_s,0}^{\lambda_0} \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma_s,a}^{\lambda_a}} \dots X_{\gamma_s,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}} d\theta \right] \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \partial_{x^\mu} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) X_{\gamma,a}^\mu \dot{\gamma}^\nu(\theta) X_{\gamma,0}^{\lambda_0}(\theta) \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}^{\lambda_a}(\theta)} \dots X_{\gamma,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}(\theta) d\theta \\ (4) \quad & + \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) \dot{X}_{\gamma,a}^\nu(\theta) X_{\gamma,0}^{\lambda_0}(\theta) \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}^{\lambda_a}(\theta)} \dots X_{\gamma,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}(\theta) \\ & + \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) \dot{\gamma}^\nu(\theta) (\delta_{X_{\gamma,a}} X_{\gamma,0}^{\lambda_0}) X_{\gamma,2}^{\lambda_2}(\theta) \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}^{\lambda_a}(\theta)} \dots X_{\gamma,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}(\theta) \\ & + \dots \\ & + \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) \dot{\gamma}^\nu(\theta) X_{\gamma,0}^{\lambda_0}(\theta) \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}^{\lambda_a}(\theta)} \dots X_{\gamma,2k-2}^{\lambda_{2k-2}}(\theta) \left( \delta_{X_{\gamma,a}} X_{\gamma,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Denote the last three lines of (4) by  $(4)_a$ . Then it is easily seen that

$$\sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a (4)_a + \sum_{a<b} (2)_{a,b} = 0$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_{L\overline{M}}CS_\gamma^W)(X_{\gamma,0}, X_{\gamma,1}, \dots, X_{\gamma,2k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} \partial_{x^\mu} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) X_{\gamma,a}^\mu \dot{\gamma}^\nu(\theta) X_{\gamma,0}^{\lambda_0}(\theta) \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}^{\lambda_a}(\theta)} \dots X_{\gamma,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}(\theta) d\theta \\ & \quad + \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) \dot{X}_{\gamma,a}^\nu(\theta) X_{\gamma,0}^{\lambda_0}(\theta) \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}^{\lambda_a}(\theta)} \dots X_{\gamma,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

For the pullback, we consider  $(F^{L,*}d_{\overline{LM}}CS^W)(\partial_{x^0}, \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^{2k-1}})$  as a function on  $[0, 1] \times U$ , where  $(U, x = (x^1, \dots, x^{2k-1}))$  is a coordinate chart on  $\overline{M}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (F^{L,*}d_{\overline{LM}}CS^W)(\partial_{x^0}, \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^{2k-1}})_{(x^0, x)} \\
&= d_{\overline{LM}}CS^W(F_*^L \partial_{x^0}, F_*^L \partial_{x^1}, \dots, F_*^L \partial_{x^{2k-1}})_{F(x^0, x)} \\
(5) \quad &= d_{\overline{LM}}CS^W\left(\frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^0} \partial_{x^{\lambda_0}}, \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \partial_{x^{\lambda_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} \partial_{x^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}\right)_{F(x^0, x)} \\
&= \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} \partial_{x^\mu} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial F^\mu}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial F^\nu}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^0} \dots \frac{\partial \widehat{F^{\lambda_a}}}{\partial x^a} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta \\
&\quad + \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^a \partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^0} \dots \frac{\partial \widehat{F^{\lambda_a}}}{\partial x^a} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

One term in the last equation in (5) vanishes. The proof is in the Appendix.

**Lemma 2.2.**

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \partial_{x^\mu} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial F^\nu}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^\mu}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^0} \dots \frac{\partial \widehat{F^{\lambda_a}}}{\partial x^a} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta = 0.$$

Thus, we have

**Proposition 2.4.**

$$\begin{aligned}
& F^{L,*}d_{\overline{LM}}CS^W(\partial_{x^0}, \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^{2k-1}})_{(x^0, x)} \\
(6) \quad &= \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^a \partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^0} \dots \frac{\partial \widehat{F^{\lambda_a}}}{\partial x^a} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

**2.5. Homotopies of loops of diffeomorphisms.** We now make the assumption that

$$(7) \quad F(x^0, \theta, \cdot) : \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M} \text{ is a diffeomorphism for all } (x^0, \theta) \in [0, 1] \times S^1.$$

Then  $\{F_*(\partial/\partial x^i)\}_{i=1}^{2k-1}$  is a basis of  $T_{F(x^0, \theta, x)}\overline{M}$  for all  $(x^0, \theta, x)$ . Therefore, there exist functions  $\alpha^i = \alpha^i(x^0, \theta, x)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 2k-1$ , such that

$$(8) \quad F_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}\right) = \alpha^i F_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right).$$

Using coordinates  $y^i = y^i(x^0, \theta, x)$  near  $y = F(x^0, \theta, x)$ , we have

$$F_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}\right)\Big|_{(x^0, \theta, x)} = \frac{\partial F^\lambda}{\partial x^0} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\lambda}\Big|_y \in T_y \overline{M}, \quad F_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)\Big|_{(x^0, \theta, x)} = \frac{\partial F^\lambda}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\lambda}\Big|_y \in T_y \overline{M}.$$

Thus

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial F^\lambda}{\partial x^0} = \alpha^i \frac{\partial F^\lambda}{\partial x^i}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 F^\lambda}{\partial \theta \partial x^0} = \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^\lambda}{\partial x^i} + \alpha^i \frac{\partial^2 F^\lambda}{\partial \theta \partial x^i}.$$

Plugging (9) into (6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F^{L,*} d_{L\bar{M}} CS^W(\partial_{x^0}, \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^{2k-1}}) \\
 (10) \quad &= \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^\nu}{\partial x^i} + \alpha^i \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial \theta \partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta \\
 & \quad + \sum_{a=1}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda}_a \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^a \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \dots \frac{\partial \widehat{F^{\lambda_a}}}{\partial x^a} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta.
 \end{aligned}$$

The sum of the terms with the second partial derivatives vanishes:

**Lemma 2.3.**

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} \alpha^i \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial \theta \partial x^i} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta \\
 (11) \quad &+ \sum_{a=1}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda}_a \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^a \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \dots \frac{\partial \widehat{F^{\lambda_a}}}{\partial x^a} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is in the Appendix. Changing the index  $\nu$  to  $\lambda_0$ , we have proved the following:

**Lemma 2.4.** *Under assumption (7), we have*

$$(12) \quad F^{L,*} d_{L\bar{M}} CS^W(\partial_{x^0}, \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^{2k-1}}) = \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta.$$

**2.6. Homotopies by loops of isometries.** We now make the further assumption that

$$(13) \quad F^I(x^0, \theta) := F(x^0, \theta, \cdot) : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M} \text{ is an isometry for all } (x^0, \theta) \in [0, 1] \times S^1.$$

Thus for fixed  $(x^0, \theta)$ ,

$$g_{ij}(x) = (F^{L,*} g)_{ij}(x) = g_{\lambda\mu}(F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial F^{L,\lambda}}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{(x^0, \theta, x)} \frac{\partial F^{L,\lambda}}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{(x^0, \theta, x)}.$$

With some notation dropped, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & R_{ijk\ell}(x) = (F^{L,*} R)_{ijk\ell}(x) = R_{\lambda\mu\nu\kappa}(F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial F^{L,\lambda}}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial F^{L,\mu}}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial F^{L,\nu}}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial F^{L,\kappa}}{\partial x^\ell} \\
 (14) \quad & K_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{2k-1}}(x) = (F^{L,*} K)_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{2k-1}}(x) = K_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(F(x^0, \theta, x)) \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1} \\
 & \quad \cdot \frac{\partial F^{L,\lambda_0}}{\partial x^{i_0}} \frac{\partial F^{L,\lambda_1}}{\partial x^{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial F^{L,\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{i_{2k-1}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The following computation finishes the proof of Prop. 2.1.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Under the assumption (13), we have*

$$F^{L,*} d_{L\bar{M}} CS^W = 0.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.4, at a fixed  $x^0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F^{L,*} d_{L\bar{M}} CS^W(\partial_{x^0}, \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^{2k-1}})|_x \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial \theta} K_{i1\dots 2k-1}(x) d\theta = K_{i1\dots 2k-1}(x) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial \theta} d\theta \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

using (14). As in (3), the integration over  $[0, 2\pi]$  is valid, because the  $\alpha^i$  are the components of a tensor/vector (9).  $\square$

### 3. OTHER CORRECTIONS

We list other corrections by section.

#### 3.1. Corrections in §3.

- The equation  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha' \mapsto \alpha'_*[M]$  on p. 497 should be deleted.
- In Prop. 3.1,  $\eta$  is not a homomorphism, but this claimed result is not used anywhere in the paper.
- The right hand side of (3.5) is missing  $\int_{S^1}$ .

**3.2. Corrections in Appendix B.** Throughout the paper, we used a high Sobolev topology or the Fréchet topology on  $LM$ , and we claimed that the homotopy type of  $LM$  is independent of this choice. We claimed the same for  $\text{Diff}(M)$ . However, we can only prove this results for the  $C^k$  topologies on  $LM$ ,  $k \in [0, \infty)$ , as we now show. As a result, we should use  $C^k$  topologies in the paper. However, while  $\text{Diff}(M)$  is infinite dimensional,  $\text{Isom}(M)$  is a finite dimensional Lie group [5, vol. I, p. 239], and every differentiable isometry is smooth<sup>1</sup>. Therefore, we don't need the result below, but we include it to correct the wrong proof in [11].

We use that the space  $C^k(N, M)$  of smooth maps between closed manifolds  $N, M$  is a smooth Banach manifold for  $k$  as above [1, §11]. We also need the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** [12, Thm. 16] *Let  $V_1, V_2$  be locally convex topological vector spaces (e.g., Banach spaces) with  $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  a continuous linear map with dense image. Let  $O$  be open in  $V_2$  and set  $\tilde{O} = f^{-1}(O)$ . If  $V_1, V_2$  are metrizable (or more generally that  $O, \tilde{O}$  are paracompact), then  $f|_{\tilde{O}} : \tilde{O} \rightarrow O$  is a homotopy equivalence.*

We now prove a corrected version of Lem. B.1.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For  $k, k' \in [0, \infty)$  and  $N, M$  closed manifolds,  $C^k(N, M)$  and  $C^{k'}(N, M)$  are homotopy equivalent smooth Banach manifolds.*

It is plausible that  $\text{Diff}^k(M)$  and  $\text{Diff}^{k'}(M)$  are also homotopy equivalent, but we don't have a proof for this.

<sup>1</sup><https://mathoverflow.net/questions/262058/a-differentiable-isometry-is-smooth>



*Proof.* Let  $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  be an embedding of  $M$  into Euclidean space. Set  $V_1 = C^{k'}(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ ,  $V_2 = C^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$  with their Banach space norm, for  $k' > k$ . Let  $f = i : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  be the continuous inclusion. Let  $T$  be an open tubular neighborhood of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ . Set  $O = C^k(N, T)$ , so  $\tilde{O} = C^{k'}(N, T)$ .  $O$  is open in  $V_2$ , so  $C^k(N, T)$  and  $C^{k'}(N, T)$  are homotopy equivalent. Since  $T$  deformation retracts onto  $M$ ,  $C^k(M, T)$  deformation retracts onto  $C^k(N, M)$ , and similarly for  $C^{k'}$ . Thus  $C^k(N, T)$  is homotopy equivalent to  $C^k(N, M)$ . We conclude that  $C^k(N, M)$  and  $C^{k'}(N, M)$  are homotopy equivalent.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF LEMMA 2.2 AND LEMMA 2.3

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* We do the case  $\dim(M) = 2k - 1 = 5$  to keep the notation down. Fix  $x \in \overline{M}$  and  $\xi \in T_x \overline{M}$ . For  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_5 \in T_x \overline{M}$ , set

$$(15) \quad \tilde{K}(X_0, \dots, X_5)_x = \sum_{a=0}^5 \partial_{\lambda^a} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(x) \xi^\nu X_a^{\lambda_a} X_0^{\lambda_0} \dots \widehat{X_a^{\lambda_a}} \dots X_5^{\lambda_5}.$$

If we show that the right hand side of (15) is skew-symmetric in  $X_0, \dots, X_5$ , then  $\tilde{K}(X_0, \dots, X_5)$  is a 6-form on  $\overline{M}$  and hence must vanish. The lemma follows by replacing  $x$  with  $F(x^0, \theta, x)$ ,  $\xi$  with  $(d/d\theta)F(x^0, \theta, x)$ , and  $X_i^{\lambda_i}$  with  $\partial F^{\lambda_i} / \partial x^i$ .

To check skew-symmetry in  $X_0, X_1$ , we write

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{K}(X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) \\ &= (\partial_{\lambda_0} K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} - \partial_{\lambda_1} K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5}) \xi^\nu X_0^{\lambda_0} X_1^{\lambda_1} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5} \\ (17) \quad &+ (\partial_{\lambda_2} K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} - \partial_{\lambda_3} K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_5} + \partial_{\lambda_4} K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_5} - \partial_{\lambda_5} K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}) \\ &\cdot \xi^\nu X_0^{\lambda_0} X_1^{\lambda_1} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{K}(X_1, X_0, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) \\ &= (\partial_{\lambda_1} K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} - \partial_{\lambda_0} K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5}) \xi^\nu X_1^{\lambda_1} X_0^{\lambda_0} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5} \\ (19) \quad &+ (\partial_{\lambda_2} K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_0\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} - \partial_{\lambda_3} K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_5} + \partial_{\lambda_4} K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_5} - \partial_{\lambda_5} K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4}) \\ &\cdot \xi^\nu X_1^{\lambda_1} X_0^{\lambda_0} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (16) = -(18) by inspection, and (17) = -(19), because  $K$  is skew-symmetric in  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_5$  by (2).

We now check skew-symmetry in  $X_1, X_2$ , with all other cases being similar. We have

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{K}(X_0, X_2, X_1, X_3, X_4, X_5) \\ &= \partial_{\lambda_0} K_{\nu\lambda_2\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \xi^\nu X_1^{\lambda_1} X_0^{\lambda_0} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5} \\ (21) \quad &+ (-\partial_{\lambda_2} K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} + \partial_{\lambda_1} K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5}) \xi^\nu X_1^{\lambda_1} X_0^{\lambda_0} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5} \\ (22) \quad &+ (-\partial_{\lambda_3} K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_1\lambda_4\lambda_5} + \partial_{\lambda_4} K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_5} + \partial_{\lambda_5} K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4}) \\ &\cdot \xi^\nu X_0^{\lambda_0} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_1^{\lambda_1} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\tilde{K}(X_0, X_2, X_1, X_3, X_4, X_5) = -\tilde{K}(X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)$ , because (i) the skew-symmetry of  $K$  implies the skew-symmetry of (20) and (22) in  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ ; (ii) (21) is explicitly skew-symmetric in  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.3.* We again do the case  $\dim(M) = 5$ . The terms with second partial derivatives are

$$(23) \quad K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left( \alpha^1 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^1 \partial \theta} + \alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} + \alpha^3 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} + \alpha^4 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^4 \partial \theta} + \alpha^5 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^5 \partial \theta} \right)$$

$$(24) \quad - \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(25) \quad - K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^1 \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(26) \quad + K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(27) \quad - K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(28) \quad + K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^4 \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(29) \quad - K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^5 \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4}.$$

In (24), in the term  $\alpha^i(\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^i)$ , only the term  $\alpha^1(\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^1)$  is nonzero: for example, the term

$$K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^1 \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^2 \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^2} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

is skew-symmetric in  $\lambda_0, \lambda_2$ , and so vanishes. For the same reasons, the terms with  $\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^3$ ,  $\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^4$ ,  $\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^5$  vanish. Similarly, in (25) only  $\alpha^2(\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^2)$  is nonzero, in (26) only  $\alpha^3(\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^3)$  is nonzero, in (27) only  $\alpha^4(\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^4)$  is nonzero, and in (28) only  $\alpha^5(\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^5)$  is nonzero.

Thus (23) – (28) becomes

$$(29) \quad K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left( \alpha^1 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^1 \partial \theta} + \alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} + \alpha^3 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} + \alpha^4 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^4 \partial \theta} + \alpha^5 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^5 \partial \theta} \right)$$

$$(30) \quad - \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(31) \quad - K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^1 \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^1 \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^1} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(32) \quad + K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^2 \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^2} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(33) \quad - K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^3 \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^3} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(34) \quad + K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^4 \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^4 \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^4} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(35) \quad - K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^5 \partial \theta} \left( \alpha^5 \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^5} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4}.$$

If we replace  $\lambda_0$  in (30) with  $\lambda_1$ , then the term

$$K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left( \alpha^1 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^1 \partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

in (29) cancels with (30). If we replace  $\lambda_0$  in (31) with  $\lambda_2$ , then the term

$$K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left( \alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

in (29) cancels with (31). If we replace  $\lambda_0$  in (32) with  $\lambda_3$ , then the term

$$K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left( \alpha^3 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

in (29) cancels with (32). If we replace  $\lambda_0$  in (33) with  $\lambda_4$ , then the term

$$K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left( \alpha^4 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^4 \partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

in (29) cancels with (33). If we replace  $\lambda_0$  in (34) with  $\lambda_5$ , then the term

$$K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left( \alpha^5 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^5 \partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

in (29) cancels with (34).

Thus (29) – (34) sum to zero, which proves the Lemma. □

## REFERENCES

1. Abraham, R., *Lectures of Smale on differential topology*, [https://www.math.cuhk.edu.hk/course\\_builder/1415/math505/](https://www.math.cuhk.edu.hk/course_builder/1415/math505/)
2. Bamler, R. and Kleiner, B., *Ricci flow and diffeomorphism groups of 3-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (2023), no. 2, 563–589.
3. Baraglia, D., *On the mapping class groups of simply-connected smooth 4-manifolds*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.18819>.
4. Iida, N., Konno, H., Mukherjee, A., and Taniguchi, M., *Diffeomorphisms of 4-manifolds with boundary and exotic embeddings*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.14878>.
5. Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K., *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, vol. 2, Interscience Publishers, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1969.
6. Konno, H. and Taniguchi, M., *The groups of diffeomorphisms and homeomorphisms of 4-manifolds with boundary*, Adv. Math. **409** (2022).
7. Kriegl, A. and Michor, P., *The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
8. Kronheimer, P. and Mrowka, T., *The Dehn twist on a sum of two K3 surfaces*, Math. Res. Lett. **27**(6) (2020), 1767–1783.
9. Kupers, A. and Randal-Williams, O., *On diffeomorphisms of even-dimensional discs*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.13884>.
10. Lin, J. and Mukherjee, A., *Family Bauer–Furuta invariant, exotic surfaces and Smale conjecture*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.09686>, 2021.
11. Maeda, Y., Rosenberg, S., and Torres-Ardila, F., *The geometry of loop spaces II: Characteristic classes*, Adv. in Math. **287** (2016), 485–518.
12. Palais, R., *Homotopy theory of infinite dimensional manifolds*, Topology **5** (1966), 1–15.
13. Watanabe, T., *Some exotic nontrivial elements of Diff(S<sup>4</sup>)*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.02248>.

TOHOKU FORUM FOR CREATIVITY, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY

*Email address:* `yoshimaeda@m.tohoku.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOSTON UNIVERSITY

*Email address:* `sr@math.bu.edu`