

THE GEOMETRY OF LOOP SPACES II: CORRECTIONS

YOSHIAKI MAEDA, STEVEN ROSENBERG, AND FABIÁN TORRES-ARDILA

1. INTRODUCTION

This article contains corrections and extensions of results in [11]. The main correction is that theorems stating $|\pi_1(\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p))| = \infty$ must be replaced with $|\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p))| = \infty$, where $\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p)$, $\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p)$ refer to the identity component of the diffeomorphism groups and isometry groups of certain 5-manifolds \overline{M}_p . In particular, this applies to Cor. 3.9, Thm. 3.10, Cor. 3.11, Ex. 3.12, Ex. 3.13, Ex. 3.15, Prop. 3.16, Ex. 3.17, Prop. 3.18, Prop. 3.20 in [11]. As a new result, we find an infinite family of pairwise non-isometric metrics $h_p, p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, on S^5 such that $|\pi_1(\text{Isom}(S^5, h_p))| = \infty$.

In the proof of [11, Prop. 3.4], we implicitly used that the Wodzicki-Chern-Simons $CS_{2k-1}^W \in \Lambda^{2k-1}(L\overline{M})$ is closed for a $(2k-1)$ -dimensional closed manifold \overline{M} , in the notation of [11]. This is not correct in general. In fact, we can only prove that $F^{L,*}CS_{2k-1}^W$ is closed as a $(2k-1)$ -form on $[0, 1] \times \overline{M}$. Here $F : [0, 1] \times S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$ and we assume $F^L : [0, 1] \times \overline{M} \rightarrow L\overline{M}$, $F^L(x^0, m)(\theta) = F(x^0, \theta, m)$, is an isometry of \overline{M} for all (x^0, θ) . This is proved in Prop. 2.1. In Prop. 2.2, we then recover [11, Prop. 3.4] for isometries. In Cor. 2.1, we restate the main results in [11] for isometry groups. In Prop. 2.3, we construct the metrics h_p as above.

In §3, we give other corrections to [11]. Most are minor, but in Lem. 3.1 we note that C^k -mapping spaces are homotopy equivalent for different k , while the homotopy equivalence for different Sobolev topologies is unclear. Thus we should have used C^k topologies in [11].

Even though this paper now concentrates on isometry groups, we should also add references to recent work on diffeomorphism groups omitted in the Introduction in [11]: Bamler-Kleiner [2], Baraglia [3], Iida-Konno-Mukherjee-Taniguchi [4], Kronheimer-Mrowka [8], Kupers-Randal-Williams [9], Lin-Mukherjee [10], Konno-Taniguchi [6], and Watanabe [13]. This list is not exhaustive.

2. REPLACING DIFFEOMORPHISM GROUPS WITH ISOMETRY GROUPS

In §2.1, we state the main results and prove Prop. 2.3. In §2.2, we prove the usual naturality property $d_M f^* \omega = f^* d_N \omega$ for maps $f : M \rightarrow N$ of Banach manifolds and $\omega \in \Lambda^*(N)$. In §2.3, we recall the local coordinate expression for CS^W . In §2.4, we obtain the local coordinate expression for $d_{[0,1] \times \overline{M}} F^{L,*} CS^W = F^{L,*} d_{L\overline{M}} CS^W$ (Prop. 2.4). In §2.5, we simplify this expression if $F^L(x^0, \theta) \in \text{Diff}(\overline{M})$ for all (x^0, θ) . In §2.6, we finish the proof of Prop. 2.1 provided $F^L(x^0, \theta) \in \text{Isom}(\overline{M})$.

2.1. The main results. Let \overline{M} be a closed $(2k-1)$ -manifold. For smooth maps $a : S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$, $F : [0, 1] \times S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$, set $a^L : \overline{M} \rightarrow L\overline{M}$ by $a^L(m)(\theta) = a(\theta, m)$, and $F^L : [0, 1] \times \overline{M} \rightarrow L\overline{M}$ by $F^L(t, m)(\theta) = F(t, \theta, m)$. The key result Prop. 3.4 in [11] is replaced with two results.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $a_0, a_1 : S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$ be S^1 actions on a closed Riemannian $(2k-1)$ -manifold (\overline{M}, g) with $a_\theta := a(\theta, \cdot) \in \text{Isom}(\overline{M}, g)$ for all $\theta \in S^1$. Let $F : [0, 1] \times S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$ be a smooth homotopy from a_0 to a_1 (i.e., $F(0, \cdot, \cdot) = a_0, F(1, \cdot, \cdot) = a_1$) with $F(x^0, \theta, \cdot) \in \text{Isom}(\overline{M}, g)$ for all (x^0, θ) . Then*

$$d_{[0,1] \times M} F^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W = 0.$$

Here CS_{2k-1}^W depends on g (3). The proof is given in §2.2 (see Lem. 2.5). The proof fails if $\text{Isom}(\overline{M}, g)$ is replaced with $\text{Diff}(\overline{M})$.

From now on, we denote $\text{Isom}(\overline{M}, g)$ by $\text{Isom}(\overline{M})$, except when we use the explicit metric \bar{g} on \overline{M}_p in [11].

Proposition 2.2 (Prop. 3.4 in [11]). *Let $\dim(\overline{M}) = 2k - 1$. Let $a_0, a_1 : S^1 \times \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M}$ be actions through isometries as above.*

(i) *If a_0 and a_1 are homotopic through isometries, then $\int_{\overline{M}} a_0^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W = \int_{\overline{M}} a_1^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W$.*

(ii) *If there exists an action a through isometries with $\int_{\overline{M}} a^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W \neq 0$, then $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}))$ is infinite.*

Proof. (i) This is just Stokes' Theorem: for $i_{x^0} : \overline{M} \rightarrow [0, 1] \times \overline{M}, i_{x^0}(\overline{M}) = (x^0, m)$, and F the homotopy in Prop. 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\overline{M}} a_0^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W - \int_{\overline{M}} a_1^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W &= \int_{\overline{M}} i_0^* F^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W - \int_{\overline{M}} i_1^* F^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W \\ &= \int_{[0,1] \times M} d_{[0,1] \times M} F^* CS_{2k-1}^W = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by Prop. 2.1.

(ii) Let a_n be the n^{th} iterate of a , i.e. $a_n(\theta, m) = a(n\theta, m)$. We claim that $\int_{\overline{M}} a_n^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W = n \int_{\overline{M}} a^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W$. By [11, (2.5)], every term in CS_{2k-1}^W is of the form $\int_{S^1} \dot{\gamma}(\theta) f(\theta)$, where f is a periodic function on the circle. Each loop $\gamma \in a_1^L(\overline{M})$ corresponds to the loop $\gamma(n \cdot) \in a_n^L(\overline{M})$. Therefore the term $\int_{S^1} \dot{\gamma}(\theta) f(\theta)$ is replaced by

$$\int_{S^1} \frac{d}{d\theta} \gamma(n\theta) f(n\theta) d\theta = n \int_0^{2\pi} \dot{\gamma}(\theta) f(\theta) d\theta.$$

Thus $\int_{\overline{M}} a_n^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W = n \int_{\overline{M}} a^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W$. By (i), a_n and a_m are not homotopic through isometries. By a straightforward modification of [11, Lem. 3.3], the $[a_n^L] \in \pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}))$ are all distinct. \square

This recovers the results stated in the introduction, as the calculations of $a^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W$ are unchanged.

Corollary 2.1. *The results Cor. 3.9, Thm. 3.10, Cor. 3.11, Ex. 3.12, Ex. 3.13, Ex. 3.15, Prop. 3.16, Ex. 3.17, Prop. 3.18, Prop. 3.20 in [11] are correct with $\text{Diff}(\overline{M}_p)$ replaced by $\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p)$.*

In particular (Thm. 3.10), let (M^4, J, g, ω) be a compact integral Kähler surface, and let \overline{M}_p be the circle bundle associated to $p[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\bar{g} = \bar{g}_p$ be the metric on \overline{M}_p given in §3.2 of [11]. Then the loop of diffeomorphisms of \overline{M}_p given by rotation in the circle fiber is an element of infinite order in $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\overline{M}_p, \bar{g}))$ if $|p| \gg 0$.

As another example (Ex. 3.13, Prop. 3.14), for the lens space $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}_p = \mathcal{L}_p = S^5/\mathbb{Z}_p$, $p \neq 1$, with the metric \bar{g} on [11, p. 500], $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(\mathcal{L}_p, \bar{g}))$ is infinite.

Remark 2.1. The metric on \mathcal{L}_p coming from the standard metric on S^5 has isometry group $SO(6)/\mathbb{Z}_p$, which has finite fundamental group. Thus \bar{g} is not the standard metric. This can also be seen from [11, Prop. 3.6], since the sectional curvatures of \mathcal{L}_p with the standard metric are independent of p .

This implies a result about isometries of S^5 that was missed in [11].

Proposition 2.3. S^5 admits an infinite family of pairwise nonisometric metrics h_p with $|\pi_1(\text{Isom}(S^5, h_p))| = \infty$.

Proof. The metric \bar{g}_p , $p \neq 1$, on \mathcal{L}_p lifts to a locally isometric metric h_p on S^5 . As above, the h_p have different sectional curvatures [11, Prop. 3.6], so they are not isometric. The circle action $a : S^1 \times \mathcal{L}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_p$ does not lift to a circle action on S^5 , but a_p does lift to an action $b_p : S^1 \times S^5 \rightarrow S^5$. Since \bar{g}_p, h_p are locally isometric, we get $CS_{2k-1, S^5}^W(\gamma)(\theta) = CS_{2k-1, \mathcal{L}_p}^W(\pi \circ \gamma)(\theta)$, where $\pi : S^5 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_p$ is the projection. This implies

$$\int_{S^5} b^{L,*} CS_{5, S^5}^W = \int_{\mathcal{L}_p} a_p^{L,*} CS_{5, \mathcal{L}_p}^W = p \int_{\mathcal{L}_p} a^{L,*} CS_{5, \mathcal{L}_p}^W \neq 0.$$

As above, this implies $|\pi_1(\text{Isom}(S^5, h_p))| = \infty$. □

Note that $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(S^5, g_0)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ for the standard metric g_0 , and for a generic metric g on S^5 , we expect $\text{Isom}(S^5, g) = \{\text{Id}\}$, $\pi_1(\text{Isom}(S^5, g)) = 1$. Thus the metrics h_p are “special, but not too special.”

2.2. Naturality of pullbacks of forms on Banach manifolds. We first derive the surely known result that the proof that $d_M f^* \omega = f^* d_N \omega$ (for $f : M \rightarrow N$ a differentiable map between finite dimensional manifolds and $\omega \in \Omega^s(N)$) extends to infinite dimensional smooth Banach manifolds like LM . On an infinite dimensional smooth manifold N , the exterior derivative can only be defined by the Cartan formula:

$$\begin{aligned} d_N \omega(x^0, \dots, X_s)_p &= \sum_i (-1)^i X_i(\omega(x^0, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, X_s)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], x^0, \dots, \widehat{X}_i, \dots, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, X_s), \end{aligned}$$

where $X_i \in T_p N$ are extended to vector fields near p using a chart map (see e.g., [7, §33.12]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map between smooth Banach manifolds, and let $\omega \in \Omega^*(N)$. Then $d_M f^* \omega = f^* d_N \omega$. In particular, $d_{[0,1] \times \overline{M}} F^{L,*} CS_{2k-1}^W = F^{L,*} d_{\overline{LM}} CS_{2k-1}^W$ in Prop. 2.1.

Proof. First assume that f is an immersion on a neighborhood U_p of a fixed $p \in M$. For fixed vector fields Y_i on U_p , set $g : f(U_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(n) = \omega(f_* Y_1, \dots, f_* Y_s)_n$. We have $(g \circ f)(m) = \omega(f_* Y_1, \dots, f_* Y_s)_{f(m)}$. Thus the identity $X_m(g \circ f) = (f_* X)_{f(m)}(g)$ becomes

$$X_m(\omega(f_* Y_1, \dots, f_* Y_s)) = (f_* X)_{f(m)}(\omega(f_* Y_1, \dots, f_* Y_s)).$$

Dropping $m, f(m)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
f^*d_N\omega(x^0, \dots, X_s) &= d_N\omega(f_*x^0, \dots, f_*X_s) \\
&= \sum_i (-1)^i f_*X_i(\omega(f_*x^0, \dots, \widehat{f_*X_i}, \dots, f_*X_s)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([f_*X_i, f_*X_j], f_*x^0, \dots, \widehat{f_*X_i}, \dots, \widehat{f_*X_j}, \dots, f_*X_s) \\
&= \sum_i (-1)^i X_i(\omega(f_*x^0, \dots, \widehat{f_*X_i}, \dots, f_*X_s)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega(f_*[X_i, X_j], f_*x^0, \dots, \widehat{f_*X_i}, \dots, \widehat{f_*X_j}, \dots, f_*X_s) \\
&= d_M\omega(f_*x^0, \dots, f_*X_s) = d_M f^*\omega(x^0, \dots, X_s),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use $[f_*X_i, f_*X_j] = f_*[X_i, X_j]$ for immersions.

In general, consider the graph $G : M \rightarrow M \times N$, $G(m) = (m, f(m))$. Then $\pi_N \circ G = f$ for the projection $\pi_N : M \times N \rightarrow N$. (We similarly define π_M .) G is an immersion, with $G_*(Y) = (Y, f_*Y)$ taking a vector field on M to a well-defined vector field on $M \times N$.

Fix $(m_0, n_0) \in M \times N$, and set $i_M : M \rightarrow M \times N$, $i_N : N \rightarrow M \times N$ by $i_M(m) = (m, n_0)$, $i_N(n) = (m_0, n)$. If a vector $(X^0, Y_0) \in T_{(m_0, n_0)}M \times N$ is extended to a nearby vector field (X, Y) with X constant in N directions and Y constant in M directions, it is straightforward to apply the Cartan formula to derive the standard equality (usually abbreviated $d_{M \times N} = d_M + d_N$)

$$d_{M \times N} \alpha_{(m_0, n_0)} = \pi_M^* [d_M(i_M^* \alpha)_{m_0}] + \pi_N^* [d_N(i_N^* \alpha)_{n_0}],$$

for $\alpha \in \Omega^*(M \times N)$. Since $\pi i_M : m \mapsto n_0$ (so $d_M i_M^* \pi^* \omega = 0$) and $\pi i_N = \text{id}$, the argument above for the immersion G yields

$$\begin{aligned}
d_M f^* \omega &= d_M G^* \pi_N^* \omega = G^* d_{M \times N} \pi_N^* \omega = G^* [\pi_M^* d_M i_M^* \pi^* \omega + \pi_N^* d_N i_N^* \pi^* \omega] \\
&= G^* \pi_N^* d_N i_N^* \pi^* \omega = f^* d_N \omega.
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.3. Local coordinates expression. We work in local coordinates $(x^0, x) = (x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{2k-1})$ on $[0, 1] \times \overline{M}$. Let

$$(1) \quad K_{\nu\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) R_{\lambda_{\sigma(1)} e_1 \nu}{}^{e_2} R_{\lambda_{\sigma(2)} \lambda_{\sigma(3)} e_3}{}^{e_1} R_{\lambda_{\sigma(4)} \lambda_{\sigma(5)} e_1}{}^{e_3} \dots R_{\lambda_{\sigma(2k-2)} \lambda_{\sigma(2k-1)} e_2}{}^{e_{k-1}},$$

for σ a permutation of $\{1, \dots, 2k-1\}$, and where $R_{ijk}{}^\ell$ are the components of the curvature tensor of the metric on M .

$$(2) \quad K_{\nu\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} dx^\nu \otimes dx^{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\lambda_{2k-1}}$$

is the local expression of an element of $\Omega^1(\overline{M}) \otimes \Omega^{2k-2}(\overline{M})$. For $\gamma \in L\overline{M}$ and $X_{\gamma, i} \in T_\gamma L\overline{M}$, we set

$$(3) \quad CS^W(\gamma)(X_{\gamma, 1}, \dots, X_{\gamma, 2k-1}) = \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) \dot{\gamma}^\nu(\theta) X_{\gamma, 1}^{\lambda_1}(\theta) \dots X_{\gamma, 2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}(\theta) d\theta.$$

Then $CS^W \in \Omega^{2k-1}(L\overline{M})$, since we have contracted out the ν index. Since the integrand in (3) is tensorial, we can integrate over $[0, 2\pi]$ even if the image of γ does not lie in one coordinate chart.

2.4. Computing $F^{L,*}d_{L\overline{M}}CS^W$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_{L\overline{M}}CS_\gamma^W)(X_{\gamma,0}, X_{\gamma,1}, \dots, X_{\gamma,2k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a X_{\gamma,a} (CS^W(X_{\gamma,0}, \dots, \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}}, \dots, X_{\gamma,2k-1})) \\ & \quad + \sum_{a<b} (-1)^{a+b} (CS^W([X_{\gamma,a}, X_{\gamma,b}], X_{\gamma,0}, \dots, \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}}, \dots, \widehat{X_{\gamma,b}}, X_{\gamma,2k-1})) \\ &:= \sum_a (1)_a + \sum_{a<b} (2)_{a,b}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\gamma_s(\theta) \in L\overline{M}$ be a family of loops with $\gamma_0(\theta) = \gamma(\theta)$, $\frac{d}{ds}\big|_{s=0}\gamma_s = X_{\gamma,a}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & X_{\gamma,a} (CS^W(X_{\gamma,0}, \dots, \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}}, \dots, X_{\gamma,2k-1})) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{s=0} \left[K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma_s(\theta)) \dot{\gamma}_s^\nu X_{\gamma_s,0}^{\lambda_0} \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma_s,a}^{\lambda_a}} \dots X_{\gamma_s,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}} d\theta \right] \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \partial_{x^\mu} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) X_{\gamma,a}^\mu \dot{\gamma}^\nu(\theta) X_{\gamma,0}^{\lambda_0}(\theta) \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}^{\lambda_a}(\theta)} \dots X_{\gamma,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}(\theta) d\theta \\ (4) \quad & + \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) \dot{X}_{\gamma,a}^\nu(\theta) X_{\gamma,0}^{\lambda_0}(\theta) \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}^{\lambda_a}(\theta)} \dots X_{\gamma,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}(\theta) \\ & + \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) \dot{\gamma}^\nu(\theta) (\delta_{X_{\gamma,a}} X_{\gamma,0}^{\lambda_0}) X_{\gamma,2}^{\lambda_2}(\theta) \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}^{\lambda_a}(\theta)} \dots X_{\gamma,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}(\theta) \\ & + \dots \\ & + \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) \dot{\gamma}^\nu(\theta) X_{\gamma,0}^{\lambda_0}(\theta) \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}^{\lambda_a}(\theta)} \dots X_{\gamma,2k-2}^{\lambda_{2k-2}}(\theta) (\delta_{X_{\gamma,a}} X_{\gamma,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Denote the last three lines of (4) by $(4)_a$. Then it is easily seen that

$$\sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a (4)_a + \sum_{a<b} (2)_{a,b} = 0$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_{L\overline{M}}CS_\gamma^W)(X_{\gamma,0}, X_{\gamma,1}, \dots, X_{\gamma,2k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} \partial_{x^\mu} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) X_{\gamma,a}^\mu \dot{\gamma}^\nu(\theta) X_{\gamma,0}^{\lambda_0}(\theta) \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}^{\lambda_a}(\theta)} \dots X_{\gamma,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}(\theta) d\theta \\ & \quad + \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(\gamma(\theta)) \dot{X}_{\gamma,a}^\nu(\theta) X_{\gamma,0}^{\lambda_0}(\theta) \dots \widehat{X_{\gamma,a}^{\lambda_a}(\theta)} \dots X_{\gamma,2k-1}^{\lambda_{2k-1}}(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

For the pullback, we consider $(F^{L,*}d_{L\overline{M}}CS^W)(\partial_{x^0}, \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^{2k-1}})$ as a function on $[0, 1] \times U$, where $(U, x = (x^1, \dots, x^{2k-1}))$ is a coordinate chart on \overline{M} . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (F^{L,*}d_{L\overline{M}}CS^W)(\partial_{x^0}, \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^{2k-1}})_{(x^0, x)} \\
&= d_{L\overline{M}}CS^W (F_*^L \partial_{x^0}, F_*^L \partial_{x^1}, \dots, F_*^L \partial_{x^{2k-1}})_{F(x^0, x)} \\
(5) \quad &= d_{L\overline{M}}CS^W \left(\frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^0} \partial_{x^{\lambda_0}}, \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \partial_{x^{\lambda_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} \partial_{x^{\lambda_{2k-1}}} \right)_{F(x^0, x)} \\
&= \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} \partial_{x^\mu} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} (F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial F^\mu}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial F^\nu}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^0} \dots \frac{\partial \widehat{F^{\lambda_a}}}{\partial x^a} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta \\
&\quad + \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} (F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^a \partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^0} \dots \frac{\partial \widehat{F^{\lambda_a}}}{\partial x^a} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

One term in the last equation in (5) vanishes. The proof is in the Appendix.

Lemma 2.2.

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \partial_{x^\mu} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} (F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial F^\nu}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^\mu}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^0} \dots \frac{\partial \widehat{F^{\lambda_a}}}{\partial x^a} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta = 0.$$

Thus, we have

Proposition 2.4.

$$\begin{aligned}
& F^{L,*}d_{L\overline{M}}CS^W(\partial_{x^0}, \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^{2k-1}})_{(x^0, x)} \\
(6) \quad &= \sum_{a=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} (F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^a \partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^0} \dots \frac{\partial \widehat{F^{\lambda_a}}}{\partial x^a} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

2.5. Homotopies of loops of diffeomorphisms. We now make the assumption that

$$(7) \quad F(x^0, \theta, \cdot) : \overline{M} \rightarrow \overline{M} \text{ is a diffeomorphism for all } (x^0, \theta) \in [0, 1] \times S^1.$$

Then $\{F_*(\partial/\partial x^i)\}_{i=1}^{2k-1}$ is a basis of $T_{F(x^0, \theta, x)}\overline{M}$ for all (x^0, θ, x) . Therefore, there exist functions $\alpha^i = \alpha^i(x^0, \theta, x)$, $i = 1, \dots, 2k-1$, such that

$$(8) \quad F_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \right) = \alpha^i F_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right).$$

Using coordinates $y^i = y^i(x^0, \theta, x)$ near $y = F(x^0, \theta, x)$, we have

$$F_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \Big|_{(x^0, \theta, x)} \right) = \frac{\partial F^\lambda}{\partial x^0} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\lambda} \Big|_y \in T_y \overline{M}, \quad F_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{(x^0, \theta, x)} \right) = \frac{\partial F^\lambda}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\lambda} \Big|_y \in T_y \overline{M}.$$

Thus

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial F^\lambda}{\partial x^0} = \alpha^i \frac{\partial F^\lambda}{\partial x^i}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 F^\lambda}{\partial \theta \partial x^0} = \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^\lambda}{\partial x^i} + \alpha^i \frac{\partial^2 F^\lambda}{\partial \theta \partial x^i}.$$

Plugging (9) into (6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F^{L,*} d_{L\bar{M}} CS^W(\partial_{x^0}, \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^{2k-1}}) \\
 (10) \quad &= \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} \left(\frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^\nu}{\partial x^i} + \alpha^i \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial \theta \partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta \\
 &+ \sum_{a=1}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda}_a \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^a \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \dots \frac{\partial \widehat{F^{\lambda_a}}}{\partial x^a} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta.
 \end{aligned}$$

The sum of the terms with the second partial derivatives vanishes:

Lemma 2.3.

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} \alpha^i \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial \theta \partial x^i} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta \\
 (11) \quad &+ \sum_{a=1}^{2k-1} (-1)^a \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\nu\lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda}_a \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^a \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \dots \frac{\partial \widehat{F^{\lambda_a}}}{\partial x^a} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is in the Appendix. Changing the index ν to λ_0 , we have proved the following:

Lemma 2.4. *Under assumption (7), we have*

$$(12) \quad F^{L,*} d_{L\bar{M}} CS^W(\partial_{x^0}, \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^{2k-1}}) = \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}} \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta.$$

2.6. Homotopies by loops of isometries. We now make the further assumption that

$$(13) \quad F^I(x^0, \theta) := F(x^0, \theta, \cdot) : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M} \text{ is an isometry for all } (x^0, \theta) \in [0, 1] \times S^1.$$

Thus for fixed (x^0, θ) ,

$$g_{ij}(x) = (F^{L,*} g)_{ij}(x) = g_{\lambda\mu}(F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial F^{L,\lambda}}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{(x^0, \theta, x)} \frac{\partial F^{L,\lambda}}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{(x^0, \theta, x)}.$$

With some notation dropped, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & R_{ijk\ell}(x) = (F^{L,*} R)_{ijk\ell}(x) = R_{\lambda\mu\nu\kappa}(F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial F^{L,\lambda}}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial F^{L,\mu}}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial F^{L,\nu}}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial F^{L,\kappa}}{\partial x^\ell} \\
 (14) \quad & K_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{2k-1}}(x) = (F^{L,*} K)_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{2k-1}}(x) = K_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(F(x^0, \theta, x)) \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1} \\
 & \cdot \frac{\partial F^{L,\lambda_0}}{\partial x^{i_0}} \frac{\partial F^{L,\lambda_1}}{\partial x^{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial F^{L,\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{i_{2k-1}}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The following computation finishes the proof of Prop. 2.1.

Lemma 2.5. *Under the assumption (13), we have*

$$F^{L,*} d_{L\bar{M}} CS^W = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, at a fixed x^0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F^{L,*} d_{L\bar{M}} CS^W(\partial_{x^0}, \partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{x^{2k-1}})|_x \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(F(x^0, \theta, x)) \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \dots \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_{2k-1}}}{\partial x^{2k-1}} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial \theta} K_{i_1 \dots i_{2k-1}}(x) d\theta = K_{i_1 \dots i_{2k-1}}(x) \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial \theta} d\theta \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

using (14). As in (3), the integration over $[0, 2\pi]$ is valid, because the α^i are the components of a tensor/vector (9). \square

3. OTHER CORRECTIONS

We list other corrections by section.

3.1. Corrections in §3.

- The equation $\alpha \mapsto \alpha' \mapsto \alpha'_*[M]$ on p. 497 should be deleted.
- In Prop. 3.1, η is not a homomorphism, but this claimed result is not used anywhere in the paper.
- The right hand side of (3.5) is missing \int_{S^1} .

3.2. Corrections in Appendix B. Throughout the paper, we used a high Sobolev topology or the Fréchet topology on LM , and we claimed that the homotopy type of LM is independent of this choice. We claimed the same for $\text{Diff}(M)$. However, we can only prove this results for the C^k topologies on LM , $k \in [0, \infty)$, as we now show. As a result, we should use C^k topologies in the paper. However, while $\text{Diff}(M)$ is infinite dimensional, $\text{Isom}(M)$ is a finite dimensional Lie group [5, vol. I, p. 239], and every differentiable isometry is smooth¹. Therefore, we don't need the result below, but we include it to correct the wrong proof in [11].

We use that the space $C^k(N, M)$ of smooth maps between closed manifolds N, M is a smooth Banach manifold for k as above [1, §11]. We also need the following:

Theorem 3.1. [12, Thm. 16] *Let V_1, V_2 be locally convex topological vector spaces (e.g., Banach spaces) with $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ a continuous linear map with dense image. Let O be open in V_2 and set $\tilde{O} = f^{-1}(O)$. If V_1, V_2 are metrizable (or more generally that O, \tilde{O} are paracompact), then $f|_{\tilde{O}} : \tilde{O} \rightarrow O$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

We now prove a corrected version of Lem. B.1.

Lemma 3.1. *For $k, k' \in [0, \infty)$ and N, M closed manifolds, $C^k(N, M)$ and $C^{k'}(N, M)$ are homotopy equivalent smooth Banach manifolds.*

It is plausible that $\text{Diff}^k(M)$ and $\text{Diff}^{k'}(M)$ are also homotopy equivalent, but we don't have a proof for this.

¹<https://mathoverflow.net/questions/262058/a-differentiable-isometry-is-smooth>

Proof. Let $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be an embedding of M into Euclidean space. Set $V_1 = C^{k'}(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$, $V_2 = C^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with their Banach space norm, for $k' > k$. Let $f = i : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ be the continuous inclusion. Let T be an open tubular neighborhood of M in \mathbb{R}^ℓ . Set $O = C^k(N, T)$, so $\tilde{O} = C^{k'}(N, T)$. O is open in V_2 , so $C^k(N, T)$ and $C^{k'}(N, T)$ are homotopy equivalent. Since T deformation retracts onto M , $C^k(M, T)$ deformation retracts onto $C^k(N, M)$, and similarly for $C^{k'}$. Thus $C^k(N, T)$ is homotopy equivalent to $C^k(N, M)$. We conclude that $C^k(N, M)$ and $C^{k'}(N, M)$ are homotopy equivalent. \square

APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF LEMMA 2.2 AND LEMMA 2.3

Proof of Lemma 2.2. We do the case $\dim(M) = 2k - 1 = 5$ to keep the notation down. Fix $x \in \overline{M}$ and $\xi \in T_x \overline{M}$. For $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_5 \in T_x \overline{M}$, set

$$(15) \quad \tilde{K}(X_0, \dots, X_5)_x = \sum_{a=0}^5 \partial_{\lambda^a} K_{\nu \lambda_0 \dots \widehat{\lambda_a} \dots \lambda_{2k-1}}(x) \xi^\nu X_a^{\lambda_a} X_0^{\lambda_0} \dots \widehat{X_a^{\lambda_a}} \dots X_5^{\lambda_5}.$$

If we show that the right hand side of (15) is skew-symmetric in X_0, \dots, X_5 , then $\tilde{K}(X_0, \dots, X_5)$ is a 6-form on \overline{M} and hence must vanish. The lemma follows by replacing x with $F(x^0, \theta, x)$, ξ with $(d/d\theta)F(x^0, \theta, x)$, and $X_i^{\lambda_i}$ with $\partial F^{\lambda_i} / \partial x^i$.

To check skew-symmetry in X_0, X_1 , we write

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{K}(X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) \\ &= (\partial_{\lambda_0} K_{\nu \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} - \partial_{\lambda_1} K_{\nu \lambda_0 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5}) \xi^\nu X_0^{\lambda_0} X_1^{\lambda_1} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5} \\ (17) \quad &+ (\partial_{\lambda_2} K_{\nu \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} - \partial_{\lambda_3} K_{\nu \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} + \partial_{\lambda_4} K_{\nu \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_5} - \partial_{\lambda_5} K_{\nu \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4}) \\ &\cdot \xi^\nu X_0^{\lambda_0} X_1^{\lambda_1} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{K}(X_1, X_0, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) \\ (19) \quad &= (\partial_{\lambda_1} K_{\nu \lambda_0 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} - \partial_{\lambda_0} K_{\nu \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5}) \xi^\nu X_1^{\lambda_1} X_0^{\lambda_0} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5} \\ &+ (\partial_{\lambda_2} K_{\nu \lambda_1 \lambda_0 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} - \partial_{\lambda_3} K_{\nu \lambda_1 \lambda_0 \lambda_2 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} + \partial_{\lambda_4} K_{\nu \lambda_1 \lambda_0 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_5} - \partial_{\lambda_5} K_{\nu \lambda_1 \lambda_0 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4}) \\ &\cdot \xi^\nu X_1^{\lambda_1} X_0^{\lambda_0} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (16) = -(18) by inspection, and (17) = -(19), because K is skew-symmetric in $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_5$ by (2).

We now check skew-symmetry in X_1, X_2 , with all other cases being similar. We have

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{K}(X_0, X_2, X_1, X_3, X_4, X_5) \\ &= \partial_{\lambda_0} K_{\nu \lambda_2 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} \xi^\nu X_1^{\lambda_1} X_0^{\lambda_0} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5} \\ (21) \quad &+ (-\partial_{\lambda_2} K_{\nu \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} + \partial_{\lambda_1} K_{\nu \lambda_0 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5}) \xi^\nu X_1^{\lambda_1} X_0^{\lambda_0} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5} \\ (22) \quad &+ (-\partial_{\lambda_3} K_{\nu \lambda_0 \lambda_2 \lambda_1 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} + \partial_{\lambda_4} K_{\nu \lambda_0 \lambda_2 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_5} + \partial_{\lambda_5} K_{\nu \lambda_0 \lambda_2 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_4}) \\ &\cdot \xi^\nu X_0^{\lambda_0} X_2^{\lambda_2} X_1^{\lambda_1} X_3^{\lambda_3} X_4^{\lambda_4} X_5^{\lambda_5}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{K}(X_0, X_2, X_1, X_3, X_4, X_5) = -\tilde{K}(X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)$, because (i) the skew-symmetry of K implies the skew-symmetry of (20) and (22) in λ_1, λ_2 ; (ii) (21) is explicitly skew-symmetric in λ_1, λ_2 . \square

Proof of Lemma 2.3. We again do the case $\dim(M) = 5$. The terms with second partial derivatives are

$$(23) \quad K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left(\alpha^1 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^1 \partial \theta} + \alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} + \alpha^3 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} + \alpha^4 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^4 \partial \theta} + \alpha^5 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^5 \partial \theta} \right)$$

$$(24) \quad \cdot \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(25) \quad - K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^1 \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(26) \quad + K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(27) \quad - K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(28) \quad + K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^4 \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(29) \quad - K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^5 \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^i \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4}.$$

In (24), in the term $\alpha^i(\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^i)$, only the term $\alpha^1(\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^1)$ is nonzero: for example, the term

$$K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^1 \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^2 \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^2} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

is skew-symmetric in λ_0, λ_2 , and so vanishes. For the same reasons, the terms with $\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^3$, $\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^4$, $\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^5$ vanish. Similarly, in (25) only $\alpha^2(\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^2)$ is nonzero, in (26) only $\alpha^3(\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^3)$ is nonzero, in (27) only $\alpha^4(\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^4)$ is nonzero, and in (28) only $\alpha^5(\partial F^{\lambda_0}/\partial x^5)$ is nonzero.

Thus (23) – (28) becomes

$$(29) \quad K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left(\alpha^1 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^1 \partial \theta} + \alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} + \alpha^3 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} + \alpha^4 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^4 \partial \theta} + \alpha^5 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^5 \partial \theta} \right)$$

$$(30) \quad \cdot \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(31) \quad - K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^1 \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^1 \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^1} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(32) \quad + K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^2 \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^2} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(33) \quad - K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_4\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^3 \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^3} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(34) \quad + K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_5} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^4 \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^4 \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^4} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

$$(35) \quad - K_{\nu\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4} \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^5 \partial \theta} \left(\alpha^5 \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_0}}{\partial x^5} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4}.$$

If we replace λ_0 in (30) with λ_1 , then the term

$$K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left(\alpha^1 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^1 \partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

in (29) cancels with (30). If we replace λ_0 in (31) with λ_2 , then the term

$$K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left(\alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^2 \partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

in (29) cancels with (31). If we replace λ_0 in (32) with λ_3 , then the term

$$K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left(\alpha^3 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^3 \partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

in (29) cancels with (32). If we replace λ_0 in (33) with λ_4 , then the term

$$K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left(\alpha^4 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^4 \partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

in (29) cancels with (33). If we replace λ_0 in (34) with λ_5 , then the term

$$K_{\nu\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} \left(\alpha^5 \frac{\partial^2 F^\nu}{\partial x^5 \partial \theta} \right) \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_2}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_3}}{\partial x^3} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_4}}{\partial x^4} \frac{\partial F^{\lambda_5}}{\partial x^5}$$

in (29) cancels with (34).

Thus (29) – (34) sum to zero, which proves the Lemma. □

REFERENCES

1. Abraham, R., *Lectures of Smale on differential topology*, https://www.math.cuhk.edu.hk/course_builder/1415/math505/
2. Bamler, R. and Kleiner, B., *Ricci flow and diffeomorphism groups of 3-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (2023), no. 2, 563–589.
3. Baraglia, D., *On the mapping class groups of simply-connected smooth 4-manifolds*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.18819>.
4. Iida, N., Konno, H., Mukherjee, A., and Taniguchi, M., *Diffeomorphisms of 4-manifolds with boundary and exotic embeddings*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.14878>.
5. Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K., *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, vol. 2, Interscience Publishers, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1969.
6. Konno, H. and Taniguchi, M., *The groups of diffeomorphisms and homeomorphisms of 4-manifolds with boundary*, Adv. Math. **409** (2022).
7. Kriegl, A. and Michor, P., *The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
8. Kronheimer, P. and Mrowka, T., *The Dehn twist on a sum of two K3 surfaces*, Math. Res. Lett. **27**(6) (2020), 1767–1783.
9. Kupers, A. and Randal-Williams, O., *On diffeomorphisms of even-dimensional discs*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.13884>.
10. Lin, J. and Mukherjee, A., *Family Bauer–Furuta invariant, exotic surfaces and Smale conjecture*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.09686>, 2021.
11. Maeda, Y., Rosenberg, S., and Torres-Ardila, F., *The geometry of loop spaces II: Characteristic classes*, Adv. in Math. **287** (2016), 485–518.
12. Palais, R., *Homotopy theory of infinite dimensional manifolds*, Topology **5** (1966), 1–15.
13. Watanabe, T., *Some exotic nontrivial elements of Diff(S⁴)*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.02248>.

TOHOKU FORUM FOR CREATIVITY, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY

Email address: yoshimaeda@m.tohoku.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOSTON UNIVERSITY

Email address: sr@math.bu.edu

MAURICIO GASTÓN INSTITUTE FOR LATINO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND PUBLIC POLICY, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS BOSTON

Email address: Fabian.Torres-Ardila@umb.edu