

Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with distributional potential and invariant measures

Arnaud DEBUSSCHE and Antoine MOUZARD

Abstract

In this paper, we continue some investigations on the periodic NLSE started by Lebowitz, Rose and Speer [16] and Bourgain [6] with the addition of a distributional multiplicative potential. We prove that the equation is globally wellposed for a set of data φ of full normalized Gibbs measure, after suitable L^2 -truncation in the focusing case. The set and the measure are invariant under the flow. The main ingredients used are Strichartz estimates on periodic NLS with distributional potential to obtain local well-posedness for low regularity initial data.

1 – Introduction

Consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) in the periodic setting

$$i\partial_t u = -\partial_x^2 u + Vu + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u \quad (1.1)$$

where u is a function on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ for $p \geq 2$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and V a distributional potential. The difficulty of this equation lies in the roughness of the potential which makes the question of local well-posedness unclear. Indeed, the solution needs a priori to be regular enough for the product Vu to make sense even for the linear equation

$$i\partial_t u = -\partial_x^2 u + Vu \quad (1.2)$$

with initial data $u(0) = u_0$. Our motivation comes from stochastic PDEs with $V = \xi$ the spatial white noise on \mathbb{T} , a random distribution in $C^{-\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}(\mathbb{T})$ for any $\kappa > 0$. It corresponds to the derivative in the sense of distributions of a Brownian bridge and was first constructed by Paley and Zygmund [23, 24] as the random series

$$\xi(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_n e^{ikx}$$

where $(\xi_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is a family of independent and identically distributed random variables of centered standard complex Gaussian and $\xi_{-k} = \overline{\xi_k}$. In this work, we consider a potential $V \in C^{-1+\kappa}(\mathbb{T})$ for any $\kappa \in (0, 1)$. This corresponds to the Young regime which does not involve any renormalization procedure and includes the particular case of white noise. Other examples of such distributional potential can be random potential with different correlation or highly oscillatory potential such as

$$V(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} v_n \cos(nx)$$

with a suitable growth condition on $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in order to ensure $V \in C^{-1+\kappa}$. For the singular case, see for example [11] with V a spatial white noise on \mathbb{T}^2 where the authors consider a regularization of the noise or [14, 18, 20] on the Anderson Hamiltonian using paracontrolled calculus. We follow the second approach which is a priori necessary in order to deal with low regularity initial data.

For such rough potential, the mild formulation

$$u(t) = e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0 - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\partial_x^2} (Vu(s)) ds$$

is a priori doomed to fail for low regularity initial data due the singular product $Vu(s)$. For our purpose, we study the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -\partial_x^2 + V$$

which can be defined via its quadratic form $\mathbf{a}(u, v) = \langle \mathcal{H}u, v \rangle$ with form domain $H^1(\mathbb{T})$. One can prove that there exist an associated operator with domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) ; \exists v \in L^2(\mathbb{T}), \forall \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{T}), a(u, \varphi) = \langle v, \varphi \rangle\}$$

and discrete spectrum $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$. The paracontrolled calculus gives an explicit characterization of the domain as

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) ; u - \mathsf{P}_u X \in H^2(\mathbb{T})\}$$

with X a solution to $-\partial_x^2 X = V$ and P denotes Bony's paraproduct constructed in [4] with the Paley-Littlewood decomposition, see Appendix A.

In order to study the nonlinear equation (1.1), one needs to understand the linear equation. The study of this equation without potential on a periodic domain goes back to Bourgain [6]. On the circle, the two major dispersive results on the free propagator are the inequalities

$$\|e^{it\partial_x^2} u_0\|_{L^4([0,1] \times \mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}$$

and

$$\|e^{it\partial_x^2} S_N u_0\|_{L^6([0,1] \times \mathbb{T})} \lesssim N^\varepsilon \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and S_N the spectral projector on Fourier modes $|k| \leq N$. This has to be understood as a trade of integrability between time and space. Indeed, the solution $u(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0$ of the linear equation with initial data $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ has conserved mass hence $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ hence the trade of time integrability for space integrability, the second being at the cost of an arbitrary small positive Sobolev regularity for the initial data. This was used by Bourgain to prove local well-posedness for (1.1) for initial data in H^σ with $\sigma \geq 0$ in the cubic case $p = 4$ and $\sigma > 0$ in the case $4 < p \leq 6$. Following ideas from Burq, Gérard and Tzvetkov [7] and Mouzard and Zachhuber [20], we obtain Strichartz inequalities for the linear propagator associated to \mathcal{H} . This allows to use dispersive properties of the equation to get local well-posedness for low regularity initial data for equation (1.1). We believe that Strichartz inequalities with such general deterministic potential are of independent interest.

In order to extend local to global well-posedness, one usually relies on conserved quantity. For equation (1.1), the conserved quantities are the mass

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 dx$$

and the energy

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\partial_x u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 V(dx) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx.$$

The initial data needs to have finite energy in order to use these conservations, that is $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T})$. For low regularity initial data, the only conserved quantity is the mass hence local well-posedness in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ yields global solutions, this was proved for the cubic equation $p = 4$ without potential by Bourgain [5] while in the case $4 < p \leq 6$, he obtained local well-posedness in $H^\sigma(\mathbb{T})$ for $\sigma > 0$. In the following work [6], he constructed global solution for random initial data distributed according to the Gibbs measure associated to the equation, using the invariance of the measure instead of conserved quantity. This is the path we follow here and prove global solution for random initial data given by the Gibbs measure. We insist on the fact that low regularity solution are challenging due to irregularity of the potential making it impossible to interpret equation (1.1) as a mild formulation associated to $-\partial_x^2$ even in the linear case.

The Gibbs measure associated to equation (1.1) is formally given by

$$\nu(du) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\mathcal{E}(u)} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}} du(x)$$

where $\prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}} du(x)$ is the Lebesgue measure in infinite dimension and \mathcal{E} the energy. A first step in a rigorous definition of ν is to consider only the quadratic part with the Gaussian measure

$$\mu(du) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\langle \mathcal{H}u, u \rangle} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}} du(x)$$

assuming for example that the operator is positive. Considering a basis of eigenfunctions with $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ respectively the eigenvalues of \mathcal{H} and the coefficients of a function u in the basis, the measure can be rigorously interpreted at the product measure

$$\mu(du) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_n}{\pi}} e^{-\lambda_n |u_n|^2} du_n$$

assuming for example that the operator \mathcal{H} is positive. We prove that this Gaussian measure associated to \mathcal{H} is supported in $C^{\frac{1}{2}-\kappa}$ for any $\kappa > 0$, using a comparison with the case $V = 0$ which correspond to the law of the Brownian bridge. In particular, the potential energy $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |u(x)|^p dx$ makes sense for μ -almost all functions u . In the defocusing case $\lambda > 0$, the Gibbs measure

$$\nu(du) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |u(x)|^p dx} \mu(du)$$

is well-defined as the density is bounded by one. In the focusing case $\lambda < 0$, this is not true anymore and one has to consider a cut-off. Since the norm $\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}$ is conserved, a natural family of measure is given by

$$\nu_B(du) = \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\|u\|_{L^2} \leq B}}{Z_B} e^{-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |u(x)|^p dx} \mu(du)$$

for any $B > 0$. In the case $4 \leq p < 6$, we prove that this measure is invariant for generic cut-off parameter B while a smallness assumption on B is needed in the case $p = 6$. This goes back to Lebowitz, Rose and Speer [16], see also [21] for a recent result for the critical parameter B and Remark 4.3 for the relation with our measure.

We start with the description of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} and its associated Schrödinger group $e^{it\mathcal{H}}$ using paracontrolled calculus in Section 2. In Section 3, we prove Strichartz inequalities which yields local well-posedness for low regularity initial data for equation (1.1). We also consider the truncated version of the equation with the spectral projector associated to \mathcal{H} and prove the convergence of the solutions to the one of the untruncated equation. In Section 4, we construct the associated Gibbs measure, with a suitable cut-off in the focusing case, and prove global well-posedness on its support with invariance of the measure for $p \leq 6$. The Paley-Littlewood decomposition and the paraproduct are presented in Appendix A.

Acknowledgments : The first author benefits from the support of the French government “Investissements d’Avenir” program integrated to France 2030, bearing the following reference ANR-11-LABX-0020-01 and is partially funded by the ANR project ADA. The second author is grateful to Tristan Robert and Hugo Eulry for interesting discussions about this work.

2 – Schrödinger operator with distributional potential

In this section, we study the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -\partial_x^2 + V$$

with $V \in \mathcal{C}^{-1+\kappa}(\mathbb{T})$ for $\kappa \in (0, 1)$. Due to the roughness of the potential, the domain of the operator does not contain smooth functions since $\mathcal{H}u \in \mathcal{C}^{-1+\kappa}(\mathbb{T})$ for $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. On the other hand, the associated quadratic form

$$\mathbf{a}(u, v) = \langle \mathcal{H}u, v \rangle$$

is well-defined with form domain $H^1(\mathbb{T})$, this is the content of the following proposition. In the following, we do not keep the space \mathbb{T} in the notation since we always work on the circle.

Proposition 2.1. *The form (\mathbf{a}, H^1) is a closed continuous symmetric form. It is quasi-coercive, that is there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbf{a}(u, u) + c\|u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_x u, \partial_x u \rangle$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{H}^1$.

Proof : We have

$$|\langle Vu, u \rangle| \lesssim \|u\|_{B_{1,1}^{1-\kappa}}^2 \|V\|_{C^{-1+\kappa}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{1-\kappa}}^2 \|V\|_{C^{-1+\kappa}}$$

using ii) and iii) from Proposition A.1. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{H^{1-\kappa}}^2 \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + c_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

from standard interpolation inequality hence there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$|\langle Vu, u \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + c \|u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\mathcal{H} + c)u, u \rangle &= \langle \partial_x u, \partial_x u \rangle + \langle Vu, u \rangle + c \langle u, u \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \langle \partial_x u, \partial_x u \rangle \end{aligned}$$

hence the quasi-coercive property. The symmetry of the form directly follows from an integration by part and that the potential is real, it only remains to prove closedness. Let $(u_n)_n \subset H^1$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u - u_n\|_{L^2} + \mathbf{a}(u - u_n, u - u_n) = 0$$

for some $u \in L^2$. The previous bounds gives that $(\partial_x u_n)_n$ is a Cauchy-sequence in L^2 thus converges to a limit, that is $\partial_x u$ hence $u \in H^1$. □

Remark 2.2. *The derivative of a Brownian bridge $V = dB \in C^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ corresponds to the Anderson Hamiltonian, this was its first construction by Fukushima and Nakao [13] on a finite segment. See also [17, 19] for a construction of the form in the singular case.*

It follows from the previous proposition that there exists a self-adjoint operator \mathcal{H} with dense domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) ; \exists v \in L^2, \forall \varphi \in H^1, \mathbf{a}(u, \varphi) = \langle v, \varphi \rangle\} \subset H^1,$$

see for example Ouhabaz's book [22]. Moreover, the operator is self-adjoint, densely defined and bounded from below. Since H^1 is compactly imbedded in L^2 , \mathcal{H} has discrete spectrum $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ with an associated basis $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of L^2 . Since the form domain of \mathcal{H} and the Laplacian are the same, the first eigenvalue is simple, that is $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ and there exists a positive ground state $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$, see [19, Theorem 4.1] for the details. The flow of the Schrödinger linear equation associated to \mathcal{H} has the following spectral representation

$$e^{it\mathcal{H}}u_0 = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{it\lambda_n} \langle u_0, e_n \rangle e_n$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 \in L^2$. This yields a weak solution to the linear equation

$$i\partial_t u = -\partial_x^2 u + Vu$$

with initial data $u(0) = u_0$, the equation holding in the dual of the domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})^*$. This is coherent with the case $V = 0$ where the linear propagator solve the equation in $H^{-2} = (H^2)^*$. However, the main difference here is that the domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ does not contain smooth functions hence its dual $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})^*$ is not a subspace of distributions and the equation is not satisfied in the sense of distributions. For the nonlinear equation (1.1), we will need a finer description of the operator as well as its domain.

In the following, we use the paracontrolled calculus to construct a map $\Gamma : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ depending on V such that the operator

$$\mathcal{H}^\sharp = \Gamma^{-1} \mathcal{H} \Gamma$$

is a better behaved perturbation of the Laplacian than \mathcal{H} . In particular, for any $u \in C^\infty$, we have

$$(\mathcal{H} + \partial_x^2)u = Vu \in C^{-1+\kappa}$$

while it will be crucial that

$$(\mathcal{H}^\sharp + \partial_x^2)u \in C^{2\kappa} \subset L^2.$$

However, our application Γ is not a unitary transformation of L^2 thus \mathcal{H}^\sharp is not longer self-adjoint. The idea of such transformation was first used by Gubinelli, Ugurcan and Zachhuber [14] and Mouzard [18] to study the Anderson Hamiltonian in two dimensions. This was crucially used to obtain Strichartz inequalities by Mouzard and Zachhuber [20] as well as precise small time

asymptotic and two-sided Gaussian bounds for the heat semigroup $e^{-t\mathcal{H}}$ by Bailleul, Dang and Mouzard [3]. We refer to Appendix A for the definitions of the tools from paracontrolled calculus such as the paraproduct P and the resonant product Π .

For $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$, we have $\mathcal{H}u \in L^2$ hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u &= Vu - \mathcal{H}u \\ &= \mathsf{P}_u V + \mathsf{P}_V u + \Pi(u, V) - \mathcal{H}u\end{aligned}$$

with $\Delta = \partial_x^2$ hence

$$\begin{aligned}u &= \Delta^{-1} \mathsf{P}_u V + \Delta^{-1} (\mathsf{P}_V u + \Pi(u, V) - \mathcal{H}u) \\ &= \mathsf{P}_u X + [\Delta^{-1}, \mathsf{P}_u] V + \Delta^{-1} (\mathsf{P}_V u + \Pi(u, V) - \mathcal{H}u)\end{aligned}$$

with $X = \Delta^{-1} V \in \mathcal{C}^{1+\kappa}$. We denote here as Δ^{-1} the inverse of the Laplacien defined on centered function on \mathbb{T} hence the rigorous definition of X is

$$X := \Delta^{-1} (V - \langle V, 1 \rangle).$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) \subset H^1$, the roughest term is given by X and this yields the ansatz

$$\mathcal{D}_V := \{u \in L^2 ; u - \mathsf{P}_u X \in H^2\}$$

for the domain. Following the previous computation, one has $\mathcal{H}u \in L^2$ for any $u \in \mathcal{D}_V$ thus $\mathcal{D}_V \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ and it remains to prove that \mathcal{D}_V is dense in L^2 and that $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}_V)$ is a closed operator. The map

$$u \mapsto u - \mathsf{P}_u X$$

is continuous from H^σ to itself for any $\sigma \leq 1 + \kappa$ and it is invertible from L^2 to itself as a perturbation of the identity for $\|X\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1+\kappa}}$ small enough. Using the truncated paraproduct P^N introduced in Appendix A, there exists $N(V) \geq 1$ such that $\|\mathsf{P}_u^N X\|_{H^{1+\kappa}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^2}$ for $N \geq N(V)$ hence

$$\Phi_N(u) := u - \mathsf{P}_u^N X$$

is an invertible perturbation of the identity from $H^{1+\kappa}$ to itself, denote Γ_N its inverse. The map Φ_N is a compact perturbation of the identity and since $\mathsf{P} - \mathsf{P}^N$ is a regularizing operator, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_V = \Phi^{-1}(H^2) = \Phi_N^{-1}(H^2) = \Gamma_N H^2$$

hence \mathcal{D}_V is parametrized by H^2 via the map Γ_N . The following proposition gives the needed continuity results on Γ_N . We denote as $W^{\sigma,p}$ the L^p based Sobolev spaces associated to the Laplacian

$$W^{\sigma,p} = \{u \in D'(\mathbb{T}) ; (1 - \partial_x^2)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u \in L^p\}.$$

Proposition 2.3. *For $N \geq N(V)$, the application Γ_N is continuous from H^σ to itself and C^σ to itself for any $\sigma \leq 1 + \kappa$. It is also continuous from L^∞ to itself and from $W^{\sigma,p}$ to itself for any $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\sigma < 1 + \kappa$.*

Proof: The map Φ_N is a perturbation of the identity in H^σ with

$$\|(\text{Id} - \Phi_N)u\|_{H^\sigma} = \|\mathsf{P}_u^N X\|_{H^\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^2}$$

for $N \geq N(V)$ and $\sigma \leq 1 + \kappa$. Thus $\Phi_N : H^\sigma \rightarrow H^\sigma$ is invertible with inverse Γ_N continuous. The results in Hölder spaces and L^∞ follows from the same type of computations. For $W^{\sigma,p}$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\sigma < 1 + \kappa$, one needs to use continuity of the paraproduct in general Besov spaces with the inclusion $B_{p,2}^\sigma \hookrightarrow W^{\sigma,p} \hookrightarrow B_{p,\infty}^\sigma$.

□

In particular, $\Gamma_N H^{1+\kappa} = H^{1+\kappa} \subset \mathcal{D}_V$ thus \mathcal{D}_V is dense in L^2 and we have the explicit formula

$$\mathcal{H}\Gamma_N v = -\Delta v + \mathsf{P}_V u + \Pi(u, V) + 2\mathsf{P}_{\nabla u} \nabla X + \mathsf{P}_{\Delta u} X + \mathsf{P}_u \langle V, 1 \rangle + (\mathsf{P}_u - \mathsf{P}_u^N) \xi$$

for $v \in H^2$ and $u = \Gamma_N v$, where the product rule gives

$$[\mathsf{P}_u, \Delta]X = 2\mathsf{P}_{\nabla u} \nabla X + \mathsf{P}_{\Delta u} X. \quad (2.1)$$

We first prove the following lemma which controls $\mathcal{H}\Gamma_N$ as a perturbation of the Laplacian. In the following, we will denote $\Gamma = \Gamma_N$ and keep the dependence on N implicit to lighten the notation.

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} \leq \|\mathcal{H}u\|_{L^2} + c\|u\|_{L^2}$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{D}_V$ and $v = \Phi(u)$.

Proof : Let $u \in \mathcal{D}_V = \Gamma H^2$ and denote $v = \Phi(u) \in H^2$. The expression of $\mathcal{H}\Gamma v$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta v + \mathcal{H}u\|_{L^2} &= \|\mathbf{P}_V u + \Pi(u, V) + 2\mathbf{P}_{\nabla u} \nabla X + \mathbf{P}_{\Delta u} X + \mathbf{P}_u \langle V, 1 \rangle\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{C^{-1+\kappa}} \|u\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla X\|_{C^\kappa} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-\kappa}} + \|X\|_{C^{1+\kappa}} \|\Delta u\|_{H^{-1-\kappa}} \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{C^{-1+\kappa}} \|v\|_{H^1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{H^2} + c\|v\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

for a constant $c > 0$ large enough. Using that Γ is continuous from L^2 to itself, we get

$$\|\Delta v + \mathcal{H}u\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{H^2} + c\|\Gamma\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \|u\|_{L^2}$$

which completes the proof. \square

This lemma states that the norm induced by Γ is equivalent to the norm domain. In particular, this allows to prove that \mathcal{D}_V corresponds indeed to the domain of \mathcal{H} .

Proposition 2.5. *The operator $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}_V)$ is a closed operator in L^2 thus $\mathcal{D}_V = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof : Let $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{D}_V$ and $u, v \in L^2$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{H}u_n - v\|_{L^2} = 0.$$

Using Lemma 2.4, $u_n^\sharp := \Gamma^{-1}u_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in H^2 thus converges to a function $u^\sharp \in H^2$. Since Γ^{-1} is continuous from L^2 to itself, we have $u^\sharp = \Gamma^{-1}u$. One gets $v = \mathcal{H}u$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}u - v\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{H}u - \mathcal{H}u_n\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{H}u_n - v\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|u^\sharp - u_n^\sharp\|_{H^2} + \|u - u_n\|_{L^2} + \|\mathcal{H}u_n - v\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.6. *Instead of the paracontrolled calculus, one could use the exponential transform as in [15, 19] or the generalized Sturm-Liouville theory as in [12] and references therein to study the operator \mathcal{H} . These representation of the operator \mathcal{H} implies a first order term which is problematic to obtain Strichartz inequalities. For example with the exponential transform, we have*

$$e^{-X} \mathcal{H} e^X = -\partial_x^2 - 2\partial_x X \cdot \partial_x - |\partial_x X|^2$$

hence the first order term in the equation. One of the major advantage of paracontrolled calculus is that this first order term does not appear.

The Sobolev spaces associated to \mathcal{H} can be defined as the closure

$$\mathcal{D}^\sigma := \overline{\text{Vect}(e_n ; n \geq 1)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}^\sigma}}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^\sigma}^2 := \sum_{n \geq 1} (1 + \lambda_n)^\sigma |\langle u, e_n \rangle|^2$$

for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. The previous results give the form domain $\mathcal{D}^1 = H^1$ similar to the Laplacian while the domain $\mathcal{D}^2 = \mathcal{D}_V$ depends on V .

Proposition 2.7. *For any $|\sigma| \leq 1 + \kappa$, we have $\mathcal{D}^\sigma = H^\sigma$.*

Proof : Since the operator is closed, \mathcal{D}^2 corresponds to the domain hence $\mathcal{D}^2 = \mathcal{D}_V = \Gamma H^2$. One also has $\mathcal{D}^0 = L^2 = H^0 = \Gamma H^0$ thus interpolation gives

$$\mathcal{D}^\sigma \subset \Gamma H^\sigma$$

for any $\sigma \in (0, 2)$. Since $\Gamma^{-1} = \Phi$ is also continuous, we get

$$\mathcal{D}^\sigma = \Gamma H^\sigma$$

and the result follows for $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \kappa$ from the fact that Γ is invertible from H^σ to itself under this condition. For negative exponent, the result follows from duality with $\mathcal{D}^{-\sigma} = (\mathcal{D}^\sigma)'$.

□

This proves useful since a number of properties are natural to prove in the Sobolev spaces associated to \mathcal{H} . An example is this continuity result for the Schrödinger propagator which follows from the conservation of \mathcal{D}^σ norm. In particular, this can not be obtained a priori with a perturbative argument.

Corollary 2.8. *For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\sigma| \leq 1 + \kappa$, the Schrödinger propagator $e^{it\mathcal{H}}$ is continuous from H^σ to itself. In particular, the same holds for $e^{it\mathcal{H}^\sharp}$.*

In order to prove Strichartz inequalities for \mathcal{H}^\sharp , the comparison with the Laplacian given by the following lemma is needed. This is exactly where paracontrolled calculus comes into play and improve the naive bound

$$\|\mathcal{H}u + \Delta u\|_{H^{-1+\kappa}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{1-\kappa+\delta}}$$

for any $\delta > 0$.

Proposition 2.9. *For any $\delta \in (0, \kappa]$, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{H}^\sharp v + \Delta v\|_{H^\delta} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{1-\kappa+\delta}}.$$

Proof: This follows directly from formula (2.1), that is

$$\mathcal{H}^\sharp v + \Delta v = P_V u + \Pi(u, V) + 2P_{\nabla u} \nabla X + P_{\Delta u} X + P_u \langle V, 1 \rangle$$

for $u = \Gamma v$. Because of the resonant product

$$\Pi(u, V) = \Pi(P_u X, V) + \Pi(v, V),$$

one needs v to be of regularity higher than $1 - \kappa$ for $\mathcal{H}^\sharp v$ to make sense, just as \mathcal{H} , and we have $\Pi(u, V) \in H^\delta$ for $v \in H^{1-\kappa+\delta}$ for $\delta \leq \kappa$. In any case, the roughest term is given by the noise, for example $\Pi(P_u X, V) \in H^\kappa$ hence the result. □

3 – Strichartz inequalities and low regularity initial data

A solution theory with initial data $u_0 \in L^2$ only yields a solution in L^2 since the Schrödinger flow does not improve regularity hence the product Vu is a priori singular. However following the previous section, the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -\partial_x^2 + V$$

allows to consider $u(t) = e^{-it\mathcal{H}}u_0$ as a solution in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2)$ which satisfies (1.2) in \mathcal{D}^{-2} . In particular, the space $\mathcal{D}^2 = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ does not contain smooth functions thus $\mathcal{D}^{-2} = (\mathcal{D}^2)^*$ is not a space of distributions. We want to use this approach to solve the nonlinear equation (1.1) for low regularity initial data with the mild formulation

$$u(t) = e^{-it\mathcal{H}}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\mathcal{H}}|u(s)|^{p-2}u(s)ds$$

with $u(0) = u_0 \in H^\sigma$. Since H^σ is an algebra for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, this gives local well-posedness in H^σ and global solution for $u \in H^1$ with the conservation of energy. In this section, we prove Strichartz inequalities for \mathcal{H} to obtain local well-posedness for low regularity initial data, that is below the algebra condition. In the cubic case without potential, Bourgain obtained local well-posedness in L^2 thus global solution using the conservation of mass. Even in the cubic case, we have a positive critical threshold $\sigma_c > 0$ which prevents us from obtaining global solution below H^1 . Our argument is perturbative and relies on Bourgain's result

$$\|e^{it\Delta}\Delta_N u_0\|_{L^\sigma([0,1] \times \mathbb{T})} \lesssim 2^{N\epsilon} \|\Delta_N u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})}$$

proved in [5], with Δ_N the Paley-Littlewood projector defined in Appendix A supported on frequencies less than 2^N . Because of the roughness of the potential V , we consider the conjugated operator

$$\mathcal{H}^\sharp = \Gamma^{-1}\mathcal{H}\Gamma$$

with Γ defined by the implicit relation $\Gamma u^\sharp = P_{\Gamma u^\sharp} X + u^\sharp$ introduced in the previous section. We insist that Γ is invertible but not unitary hence \mathcal{H}^\sharp is not self-adjoint. With the variable $u = \Gamma u^\sharp$, one gets the new equation

$$u^\sharp(t) = e^{-it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Gamma^{-1} (|\Gamma u^\sharp(s)|^{p-2} \Gamma u^\sharp(s)) ds$$

with $u^\sharp(0) = \Gamma^{-1}(u_0)$. While the non-linearity seems more complicated, the linear part is better behaved since \mathcal{H}^\sharp is a better behaved perturbation of the Laplacian as explained. Our proof follows the idea of splitting the time interval into small frequency dependent pieces which goes back to Bahouri and Chemin [1] and Tataru [26].

Theorem 3.1. *For any potential $V \in \mathcal{C}^{-1+\kappa}$ with $\kappa \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$\|e^{it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} u_0\|_{L^6([0,1] \times \mathbb{T})} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1-\kappa}{6}+\varepsilon}}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Remark 3.2. *The loss of derivative here is similar in spirit to the one of Burq, Gérard and Tzvetkov [7] with the Laplacian on an arbitrary manifold and Mouzard and Zachhuber [20] with the Anderson Hamiltonian on a compact surfaces. This is due to the fact that our knowledge of the spectral properties of the operator is not precise enough to adapt Bourgain's method based on Fourier's series.*

Proof: We work with the Paley-Littlewood projectors Δ_j on annulus of size 2^j in frequencies and consider $k, j \geq 0$, see Appendix A. For any $N \geq 1$, we have

$$\|\Delta_j e^{it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v\|_{L^6([0,1] \times \mathbb{T})}^6 = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|\Delta_j e^{it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v\|_{L^6([t_n, t_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{T})}^6$$

with $t_n = \frac{n}{N}$ for $0 \leq n \leq N$. For $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v &= e^{i(t-t_n)\mathcal{H}^\sharp} e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v \\ &= e^{-i(t-t_n)\Delta} e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v + \int_{t_n}^t e^{-i(t-s)\Delta} (\mathcal{H}^\sharp + \Delta) e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v ds \end{aligned}$$

using the mild formulation for $e^{i(t-t_n)\mathcal{H}^\sharp}$ with respect to $-\Delta$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_j e^{it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v\|_{L^6([0,1] \times \mathbb{T})}^6 &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|\Delta_j e^{-i(t-t_n)\Delta} e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v\|_{L^6([t_n, t_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{T})}^6 \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_{t_n}^t \Delta_j e^{-i(t-s)\Delta} (\mathcal{H}^\sharp + \Delta) e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v ds \right\|_{L^6([t_n, t_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{T})}^6. \end{aligned}$$

A crucial point is that the projector Δ_j commutes with $e^{it\Delta}$ while this is not true for $e^{it\mathcal{H}^\sharp}$. For the first term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_j e^{-i(t-t_n)\Delta} e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v\|_{L^6([t_n, t_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{T})} &= \|e^{-i(t-t_n)\Delta} \Delta_j e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v\|_{L^6([t_n, t_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{T})} \\ &\lesssim 2^{j\varepsilon} \|\Delta_j e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-j\delta} \|e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\delta}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-j\delta} \|\Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\delta}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-j\delta} 2^{-k\delta'} \|\Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\delta+\delta'}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta, \delta', \varepsilon > 0$ using Bernstein lemma and that the Sobolev spaces H^σ associated to \mathcal{H} and Δ are equivalent for $\sigma \in [0, 1 + \kappa]$. For the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{t_n}^t \Delta_j e^{-i(t-s)\Delta} (\mathcal{H}^\sharp + \Delta) e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v ds \right\|_{L^6([t_n, t_{n+1}] \times \mathbb{T})} &\leq \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \|\Delta_j (\mathcal{H}^\sharp + \Delta) e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v\|_{H^\varepsilon} ds \\ &\lesssim N^{-1} 2^{-j\sigma} \|(\mathcal{H}^\sharp + \Delta) e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\sigma}} \\ &\lesssim N^{-1} 2^{-j\sigma} \|e^{-it_n\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\sigma+1-\kappa}} \\ &\lesssim N^{-1} 2^{-j\sigma} \|\Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\sigma+1-\kappa}} \\ &\lesssim N^{-1} 2^{-j\sigma} 2^{-k\sigma'} \|\Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\sigma+\sigma'+1-\kappa}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\sigma, \sigma', \varepsilon > 0$ with the same arguments in addition to Proposition 2.9 to control $\mathcal{H}^\sharp + \Delta$ in a positive Sobolev space. We get

$$\|\Delta_j e^{it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v\|_{L^6([0,1] \times \mathbb{T})} \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{6}} 2^{-j\delta} 2^{-k\delta'} \|\Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\delta+\delta'}} + N^{-\frac{5}{6}} 2^{-j\sigma} 2^{-k\sigma'} \|\Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\sigma+\sigma'+1-\kappa}}$$

and we choose different parameters do deal with the sums $k \leq j$ and $k > j$. For the first sum, consider

$$\delta = \frac{1}{6}\gamma' + \kappa, \delta' > 0, \sigma > 0, \sigma' > 0, N = 2^{\gamma'j}, \gamma = \frac{5}{6}\gamma', \gamma' = 1 - \kappa$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k \leq j} \Delta_j e^{it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v \right\|_{L^6([0,1] \times \mathbb{T})} &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \leq j} N^{\frac{1}{6}} 2^{-j\delta} 2^{-k\delta'} \|\Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\delta+\delta'}} + N^{-\frac{5}{6}} 2^{-j\sigma} 2^{-k\sigma'} \|\Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\sigma+\sigma'+1-\kappa}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq 0} N^{\frac{1}{6}} 2^{-j\delta} \|\Delta_{\leq j} v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\delta+\delta'}} + N^{-\frac{5}{6}} 2^{-j\sigma} \|\Delta_{\leq j} v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\sigma+\sigma'+1-\kappa}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq 0} N^{\frac{1}{6}} 2^{-j\delta} \|\Delta_{\leq j} v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\delta+\delta'}} + N^{-\frac{5}{6}} 2^{-j\sigma} 2^{\gamma'j} \|\Delta_{\leq j} v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\sigma+\sigma'+1-\kappa-\gamma}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{-j\kappa} \|\Delta_{\leq j} v\|_{H^{\frac{1}{6}\gamma'+\varepsilon+\kappa+\delta'}} + 2^{-\frac{5}{6}\gamma'j} 2^{-j\sigma} 2^{\frac{5}{6}\gamma'j} \|\Delta_{\leq j} v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\sigma+\sigma'+1-\kappa-\frac{5}{6}\gamma'}} \\ &\lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{1}{6}\gamma'+\varepsilon+\kappa+\delta'}} + \|v\|_{H^{1-\kappa-\frac{5}{6}\gamma'+\varepsilon+\sigma+\sigma'}} \\ &\lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{1-\kappa}{6}+\varepsilon'}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon' > 0$. For the sum $k > j$, we take

$$\delta > 0, \delta' = \frac{1}{6}\gamma' + \kappa, \sigma > 0, \sigma' > 0, N = 2^{\gamma'k}, \gamma = \frac{5}{6}\gamma', \gamma' = 1 - \kappa$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k > j} \Delta_j e^{it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Delta_k v \right\|_{L^6([0,1] \times \mathbb{T})} &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{j < k} N^{\frac{1}{6}} 2^{-j\delta} 2^{-k\delta'} \|\Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\delta+\delta'}} + N^{-\frac{5}{6}} 2^{-j\sigma} 2^{-k\sigma'} \|\Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\sigma+\sigma'+1-\kappa}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{\frac{1}{6}\gamma'k} 2^{-k\delta'} \|\Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\delta+\delta'}} + 2^{-\frac{5}{6}\gamma'k} 2^{-k\sigma'} 2^{k\gamma} \|\Delta_k v\|_{H^{\varepsilon+\sigma+\sigma'+1-\kappa-\gamma}} \\ &\lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{1}{6}\gamma'+\varepsilon'}} + \|v\|_{H^{1-\kappa-\frac{5}{6}\gamma'+\varepsilon'}} \\ &\lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{1-\kappa}{6}+\varepsilon'}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon' > 0$ which completes the proof. \square

In order to deal with general power in equation (1.1), one can interpolate between this result with the $L^\infty([0,1], L^2)$ bound given by the conservation of mass, this is the content of the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $V \in C^{-1+\kappa}$ with $\kappa \in (0, 1)$. For any $\theta \in [0, 1]$, we have*

$$\|e^{it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} u_0\|_{L^p([0,1], L^q)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \|u\|_{H^{\frac{1-\kappa}{6}+\varepsilon}}^\theta$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ with $p \in [6, \infty]$ and $q \in [2, 6]$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{6} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{2} + \frac{\theta}{6}.$$

Using the dispersive properties of the equation allows to obtain local well-posedness with any $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ for initial data below the algebra condition with critical threshold

$$\sigma_\kappa(p) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\kappa}{6}$$

when $p \leq 8$ and

$$\sigma_\kappa(p) = \frac{4-\kappa}{6} - \frac{2}{p-2}$$

when $p > 8$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $V \in C^{-1+\kappa}$ and $p \geq 2$. For any $\sigma > \sigma_\kappa(p)$, there exists a unique solution $u \in C([0, T], H^\sigma)$ to equation (1.1) for $u_0 \in H^\sigma$ up to time T of order $\|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}^{-\frac{6(p-2)}{p-8}}$ and we have*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim \|u_0 - v_0\|_{H^\sigma}$$

for two solutions u and v with respective initial data u_0 and v_0 with T of order $\|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}^{-\frac{6(p-2)}{p-8}} \wedge \|v_0\|_{H^\sigma}^{-\frac{6(p-2)}{p-8}}$.

Proof: To solve equation (1.1), we consider the new variable $v(t) = \Gamma^{-1}u(t)$ which satisfies the equation

$$i\partial_t v = \mathcal{H}^\sharp v + \lambda \Gamma^{-1}(|\Gamma v|^{p-2} \Gamma v)$$

with initial data $v(0) = \Gamma^{-1}u_0 \in H^\sigma$. We prove existence and uniqueness of solutions for this equation, this will give a unique solution to the initial equation using that Γ is continuous from H^σ to itself for $\sigma \leq 1 + \kappa$. For $T > 0$, consider the solution space

$$\mathcal{S}_T = C([0, T], H^\sigma) \cap L^6([0, T], W^{\frac{1}{6}+\varepsilon, 6})$$

with the norm

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{S}_T} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v(t)\|_{H^\sigma} + \|v\|_{L^6([0, T], W^{\frac{1}{6}+\varepsilon, 6})}$$

for $\sigma \in (\sigma_\kappa, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \sigma - \sigma_\kappa]$. We prove that the map

$$\Phi(v)(t) := e^{-it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} v_0 + i\lambda \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Gamma^{-1}(|\Gamma v(s)|^{p-2} \Gamma v(s)) ds$$

is a contraction on a ball of \mathcal{S}_T for T small enough. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(v)(t)\|_{H^\sigma} &\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^\sigma} + \int_0^t \|\Gamma v(s)\|_{H^\sigma}^{p-2} \|\Gamma v(s)\|_{H^\sigma} ds \\ &\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^\sigma} + \int_0^t \|v(s)\|_{H^\sigma} \|v(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2} ds \\ &\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^\sigma} + \|v\|_{L^\infty([0, t], H^\sigma)} T^{1-\frac{p-2}{6}} \|v\|_{L^6([0, t], L^\infty)}^{p-2} \end{aligned}$$

using that Γ is continuous from H^σ to itself, from L^∞ to itself and Hölder inequality for $p < 8$ for $t \in [0, T]$. Since $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$, the space H^σ is not an algebra and one needs to control the L^∞ norm, this is where Strichartz inequalities are crucial with the embedding

$$W^{\frac{1}{q}+\varepsilon, q} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$$

which gives the bound

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\Phi(v)(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^\sigma} + T^{\frac{8-p}{6}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{S}_T}^{p-1}.$$

Since the spaces \mathcal{D}^δ and H^δ are equivalent for $|\delta| \leq 1 + \kappa$ and using the continuity results on Γ , we have

$$\|e^{-it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} v\|_{L^6([0, T], W^{\delta, 6})} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\frac{1-\kappa}{6}+\varepsilon+\delta}}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(v)\|_{L^6([0, t], W^{\frac{1}{6}+\varepsilon, 6})} &\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^{\frac{1-\kappa}{6}+\varepsilon+\frac{1}{6}}} + \int_0^t \|v(s)\|_{H^{\frac{1-\kappa}{6}+\varepsilon+\frac{1}{6}}} \|v(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2} ds \\ &\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^{\frac{1-\kappa}{6}+\varepsilon+\frac{1}{6}}} + \|v\|_{L^\infty([0, t], H^{\frac{1-\kappa}{6}+\varepsilon+\frac{1}{6}})} T^{1-\frac{p-2}{6}} \|v\|_{L^6([0, t], W^{\frac{1}{6}+\varepsilon, 6})}^{p-2} \\ &\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^\sigma} + T^{\frac{8-p}{6}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{S}_T}^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

since $\sigma \geq \sigma_\kappa + \varepsilon$. This gives

$$\|\Phi(v)\|_{\mathcal{S}_T} \lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^\sigma} + T^{\frac{8-p}{6}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{S}_T}^{p-1}$$

hence for any $A \geq 0$, the ball centered in 0 of size A is stable by Φ for $T > 0$ small enough. The same computation gives

$$\|\Phi(v) - \Phi(v')\|_{\mathcal{S}_T} \lesssim T^{\frac{s-p}{6}} (1 + \|v\|_{\mathcal{S}_T}^{p-2} + \|v'\|_{\mathcal{S}_T}^{p-2}) \|v - v'\|_{\mathcal{S}_T}$$

for $v, v' \in \mathcal{S}_T$ with $v(0) = v'(0)$. This gives that for any $A > 0$ and $v_0 \in \mathcal{H}^\sigma$, the map Φ is a contraction on

$$\{v \in \mathcal{S}_T ; v(0) = v_0 \text{ and } \|v\|_{\mathcal{S}_T} \leq A\}$$

for $T = T(A, v_0)$ small enough, that is $T < CA^{-\frac{6(p-2)}{(p-8)}}$ for a constant $C > 0$. For the Lipschitz continuity, consider two solutions u and v with respective initial data u_0 and v_0 in H^σ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_{H^\sigma} &\lesssim \|e^{-it\mathcal{H}}(u_0 - v_0)\|_{H^\sigma} + \int_0^t \|e^{-i(t-s)\mathcal{H}}(|u(s)|^{p-2}u(s) - |v(s)|^{p-2}v(s))\|_{H^\sigma} ds \\ &\lesssim \|u_0 - v_0\|_{H^\sigma} + \int_0^t \| |u(s)|^{p-2}u(s) - |v(s)|^{p-2}v(s) \|_{H^\sigma} ds \\ &\lesssim \|u_0 - v_0\|_{H^\sigma} + \int_0^t (1 + \|u(s)\|_{H^\sigma}^{p-2} + \|v(s)\|_{H^\sigma}^{p-2}) \|u(s) - v(s)\|_{H^\sigma} ds \end{aligned}$$

hence Grönwall's Lemma gives

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \leq \|u_0 - v_0\|_{H^\sigma} e^{\int_0^t (1 + \|u(s)\|_{H^\sigma}^{p-2} + \|v(s)\|_{H^\sigma}^{p-2}) ds}$$

which completes the proof using that $\|u\|_{L^\infty([0,T], H^\sigma)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}$ with the local well-posedness. To deal with $p \geq 8$, one needs to control higher integrability in time. Corollary 3.3 gives

$$\|e^{it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} u\|_{L^{p-2}([0,T], W^{\frac{1}{q}+\varepsilon, q})} \lesssim \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\frac{1-\kappa}{6}+\frac{1}{q}+\varepsilon}}$$

for any $p > 8$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{2} + \frac{\theta}{6}$ with $\theta = \frac{6}{p-2}$. Similar computations as before give

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^{p-2}([0,T], W^{\frac{1}{q}+\varepsilon, q})} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^{\frac{1-\kappa}{6}+\frac{1}{q}+\varepsilon}} + \|v\|_{L^\infty([0,T], H^{\frac{1-\kappa}{6}+\frac{1}{q}+\varepsilon})} \|v\|_{L^{p-2}([0,T], L^\infty)}$$

hence the condition

$$\sigma > \frac{1-\kappa}{6} + \frac{1}{q}.$$

With the relation between q and p , we have

$$\sigma_\kappa(p) = \frac{1-\kappa}{6} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p-2}$$

when $p > 8$. □

It is a priori not clear if this local well-posedness result is optimal in general. The important fact is that the mild formulation

$$u(t) = e^{-it\Delta} u_0 + i \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\Delta} V u(s) ds + i\lambda \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\Delta} |u(s)|^{p-2} u(s) ds$$

can not be used to deal with low regularity initial data due to the singular product Vu . This prevents the use of methods based on explicit space-time Fourier transform as done by Bourgain in [5] to prove local well-posedness since one has to deal with the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} and its Schrödinger group $e^{it\mathcal{H}}$ which is not explicit. Nevertheless our proof of local well-posedness does not rely too much on the form on the non-linearity and one can consider the truncated equation

$$i\partial_t u_N = \mathcal{H}u_N + \lambda \Pi_N (|u_N|^{p-2} u_N) \tag{3.1}$$

with $u_N(0) = \Pi_N u_0$. Here Π_N denotes the spectral projector associated to \mathcal{H} on the space $E_N = \text{Vect}(e_1, \dots, e_N)$ hence equation (3.1) is a finite dimensional system. Since Γ is an isomorphism, the space $F_N := \Gamma E_N$ is also of finite dimension. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $V \in \mathcal{C}^{-1+\kappa}$ with $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ and $p < 8$. For any $\sigma \in (\sigma_\kappa, \frac{1}{2})$, there exists a unique solution $u_N \in C([0, T], H^\sigma)$ to equation (3.1) for $u_0 \in H^\sigma$ up to time T of order $\|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}^{-\frac{6(p-2)}{p-8}}$ uniform with respect to $N \geq 1$ and we have*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_N(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_N(t) - u(t)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} \lesssim N^{\sigma' - \sigma} (1 + \|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}^{p-2})$$

for any $\sigma' < \sigma$ where u is the solution to the untruncated equation (1.1) with initial data u_0 .

Proof: As for the untruncated equation, we consider the new variable $v_N = \Gamma^{-1}u_N$ which satisfies the mild formulation

$$v_N(t) = e^{-it\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Pi_N v_0 + i\lambda \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)\mathcal{H}^\sharp} \Gamma^{-1} \Pi_N (|\Gamma v_N(s)|^{p-2} \Gamma v_N(s)) ds$$

with initial data $v_N(0) = \Pi_N \Gamma^{-1}u_0$. Since Π_N is continuous from \mathcal{D}^σ to itself for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ uniformly with respect to $N \geq 1$, it is continuous from H^σ to itself for $|\sigma| \leq 1 + \kappa$. Thus the fixed point argument applies also to the truncated equation with a solution up to a time T uniform with respect to $N \geq 1$ of order $\|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}^{-\frac{6(p-2)}{p-8}}$ with

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_N(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}.$$

For the convergence, we consider the difference $w_N := u - u_N$ which satisfies the equation

$$i\partial_t w_N = \mathcal{H}w_N + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u - \lambda\Pi_N(|u_N|^{p-2}u_N)$$

with initial condition $w_N(0) = (\text{Id} - \Pi_N)u_0$. The mild formulation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_N(t)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} &\lesssim \|w_N(0)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} + \int_0^t \| |u|^{p-2}u - \Pi_N(|u_N|^{p-2}u_N) \|_{H^{\sigma'}} ds \\ &\lesssim N^{\sigma-\sigma'} \|u_0\|_{H^\sigma} + \int_0^t \| |u|^{p-2}u - \Pi_N(|u|^{p-2}u) \|_{H^{\sigma'}} ds + \int_0^t \| \Pi_N(|u|^{p-2}u - |u_N|^{p-2}u_N) \|_{H^{\sigma'}} ds \\ &\lesssim N^{\sigma-\sigma'} \|u_0\|_{H^\sigma} + \int_0^t N^{\sigma-\sigma'} \| |u|^{p-2}u \|_{H^\sigma} ds + \int_0^t \| |u|^{p-2}u - |u_N|^{p-2}u_N \|_{H^{\sigma'}} ds \\ &\lesssim N^{\sigma-\sigma'} \|u_0\|_{H^\sigma} + \int_0^t N^{\sigma-\sigma'} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2} \|u\|_{H^\sigma} ds + \int_0^t (1 + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2} + \|u_N\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2}) \|w_N\|_{H^{\sigma'}} ds \\ &\lesssim N^{\sigma-\sigma'} \|u_0\|_{H^\sigma} + N^{\sigma-\sigma'} \|u\|_{\mathcal{S}_T}^{p-1} + T^{\frac{8-p}{6}} (1 + \|u\|_{\mathcal{S}_T}^{p-2} + \|u_N\|_{\mathcal{S}_T}^{p-2}) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|w_N(t)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\sigma' < \sigma$. Since we have

$$\|u_N\|_{\mathcal{S}_T} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{S}_T} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^\sigma},$$

we get

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|w_N(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim N^{\sigma-\sigma'} (1 + \|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}^{p-2})$$

for T of order $\|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}^{-\frac{6(p-2)}{p-8}}$.

□

4 – Invariance of the Gibbs measure and global well-posedness

A natural question is the existence of an invariant measure for equation (1.1), it goes back to Lebowitz, Rose and Speer [16] and Bourgain [6]. The equation being Hamiltonian, it is expected that the formal Gibbs measure

$$\nu(du) = \frac{1}{Z_\nu} e^{-\mathcal{E}(u)} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}} du(x)$$

with energy

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\partial_x u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 V(dx) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx$$

for $u \in H^1$ leaves the dynamic invariant. This is only formal since the Lebesgue measure in infinite dimension does not exist and considering only the quadratic part, one gets the Gaussian measure

$$\mu(du) = \frac{1}{Z_\mu} \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\partial_x u(x)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 V(dx)\right) \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}} du(x)$$

which can be understood as the Gaussian measure with covariance function given by the kernel of $(-\partial_x^2 + V)^{-1}$. However, this is a positive operator only if the smallest eigenvalues λ_1 is positive hence in general one has to shift the operator to obtain a probability measure with

$$\mu(du) = \frac{1}{Z_\mu} e^{-\langle (\mathcal{H} - \lambda_1 + 1)u, u \rangle} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}} du(x)$$

which is well-defined, see for example Da Prato's book [9] for an introduction to Gaussian measure in infinite dimensions. In order to keep the same measure ν , we get

$$\nu(du) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{(1-\lambda_1) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx} \mu(du)$$

with the normalisation constant $Z = \|e^{(1-\lambda_1) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx}\|_{L^1(\mu)}$. The measure μ corresponds to the law of the Gaussian Free Field (GFF) associated to $\mathcal{H} - \lambda_1 + 1$, that is the random serie

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\gamma_n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n - \lambda_1 + 1}} e_n(x)$$

with $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a sequence of independent and identically distributed standard Gaussian random variables $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. The unshifted case $V = 0$ corresponds to the law of the Brownian bridge, μ describes here a model of random bridge in the irregular environment V . The fact that the measure is supported in $H^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})$ follows immediatly from the spectral representation of \mathcal{H} , we prove Hölder regularity here.

Proposition 4.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, the measure μ is supported in $C^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$.*

Proof: Let ϕ be a random field of law μ , that is a centered Gaussian field with covariance given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\phi(x)\phi(y)] = G(x, y)$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{T}$ and G the Green function associated to $\mathcal{H} - \lambda_1 + 1$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^2] = G(x, x) + G(y, y) - 2G(x, y)$$

since G is symmetric hence the statement comes down to a regularity estimates on G . We have the semigroup representation

$$(\mathcal{H} - \lambda_1 + 1)^{-1} = \int_0^1 e^{-t\mathcal{H}} e^{(\lambda_1 - 1)t} dt + \mathcal{H}^{-1} e^{-\mathcal{H}} e^{\lambda_1 - 1}$$

which gives

$$G(x, y) = \int_0^1 p_t(x, y) e^{(\lambda_1 - 1)t} dt + r_1(x, y) e^{\lambda_1 - 1}$$

with $p_t(x, y)$ the heat kernel associated to $e^{-t\mathcal{H}}$ and $r_1(x, y)$ the kernel of $\mathcal{H}^{-1} e^{-\mathcal{H}}$. One has $\delta_x \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ with a continuous dependance with respect to $x \in \mathbb{T}$. This implies

$$p_t(x, y) = (e^{-t\mathcal{H}} \delta_x)(y) \quad \text{and} \quad r_1(x, y) = (\mathcal{H}^{-1} e^{-\mathcal{H}} \delta_x)(y)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{D}^\infty \subset C^{1+\kappa}$ in each variable uniformly with respect to the other. For $t > 0$ small, the Lipschitz norm of $p_t(x, \cdot)$ diverges as t goes to 0. Schauder estimates in \mathcal{D}^σ follows directly from the boundeness from below of the spectrum of \mathcal{H} , indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \|p_t(x, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{D}^\sigma}^2 &= \sum_{n \geq 1} (1 + \lambda_n)^\sigma e^{-t\lambda_n} |e_n(x)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} (1 + \lambda_n)^{\sigma + \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} e^{-t\lambda_n} (1 + \lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon} |e_n(x)|^2 \\ &\lesssim t^{-\sigma - \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon} \sum_{n \geq 1} (1 + \lambda_n)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon} |e_n(x)|^2 \\ &\lesssim t^{-\sigma - \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon} \|\delta_x\|_{\mathcal{D}^{-\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sigma > -\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$. Since $\mathcal{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} = H^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, this gives

$$\left\| \int_0^1 p_t(x, \cdot) e^{(\lambda_1 - 1)t} dt \right\|_{\mathcal{D}^\sigma} \lesssim \int_0^1 t^{-\frac{\sigma}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon} \|\delta_x\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\varepsilon}} dt < \infty$$

for $\sigma < \frac{3}{2} - 2\varepsilon$. Using Besov injection in one dimension, we get that G is of Hölder regularity $1 - \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$ in each coordinates hence

$$\mathbb{E}[|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^2] \lesssim |x - y|^{1-\delta}.$$

Since ϕ is a Gaussian random field, the Hölder regularity follows from Kolmogorov theorem, see for example Theorem 3.3.16 from Strook's book [25]. □

Since the measure is supported on continuous functions, the potential energy $\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}^p$ is finite for μ -almost all functions and the definition of the measure

$$\nu(du) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left((1 - \lambda_1) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx\right) \mu(du)$$

amounts to proving $\exp\left((1 - \lambda_1) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx\right) \in L^1(\mu)$. In the defocusing case $\lambda > 0$, this follows from the fact that μ is a probability measure since the exponential is bounded for $p > 2$ using the injection $L^p \hookrightarrow L^2$. Indeed, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left((1 - \lambda_1) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx\right) &\leq \exp\left((1 - \lambda_1) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 dx - \lambda c_p \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{r>0} e^{(1-\lambda_1)r^2 - \lambda c_p r^p} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda > 0$ with $c_p > 0$ a positive constant and $p > 2$. In the focusing case, one needs to introduce a cut-off $B > 0$ following [6, 16] with the measure

$$\nu_B(du) = \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\|u\|_{L^2} \leq B}}{Z_B} \exp\left((1 - \lambda_1) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx\right) \mu(du)$$

since a scaling argument gives that the measure can not be finite without truncation even in the case $V = 0$. This appears as the most natural truncation since the mass is the only conserved quantity for (1.1) with low regularity initial data. The following proposition guarantees that $Z_B < \infty$.

Proposition 4.2. *For $p < 6$ and any $B > 0$, we have*

$$\mathbb{1}_{\|u\|_{L^2} \leq B} \exp\left((1 - \lambda_1) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx\right) \in L^1(\mu).$$

The result still holds for $p = 6$ and B small enough.

Proof: Since

$$\mathbb{1}_{\|u\|_{L^2} \leq B} e^{(1-\lambda_1) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^2 dx} \leq e^{(1-\lambda_1) B^2},$$

we only have to deal with the potential energy term. Our goal is to use Fernique's theorem which ensures

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\beta \|u\|_{H^\sigma}^2}] < \infty$$

for any $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = \beta(\sigma)$ small enough, see for example Da Prato and Zabczyk's book [10]. Sobolev embedding gives $H^\sigma(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{T})$ for any $\sigma \geq \frac{p-2}{2p}$, that is

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx \leq C \|u\|_{H^{\frac{p-2}{2p}}}^p$$

for a constant $C > 0$. In order to use the previous exponential moments, we interpolate with

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx \leq C \|u\|_{L^2}^{(1-\theta)p} \|u\|_{H^\sigma}^{\theta p}$$

with $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $\sigma\theta = \frac{p-2}{2p}$. Since we need $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ as well as $\sigma p < 2$ in order to conclude with Young inequality, this imposes $p < 4$. To extend the result to $p < 6$, one needs interpolation with spaces based on L^q with $q > 2$. Besov injections and interpolation give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{p-2} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \|u\|_{B_{q,q}^{\frac{1}{q}+\varepsilon}}^{p-2} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \|u\|_{B_{2,2}^0}^{(1-\theta)(p-2)} \|u\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^\sigma}^{\theta(p-2)} \end{aligned}$$

for any $q \geq 2, \varepsilon > 0, \theta \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\theta &= \frac{1}{q} + \varepsilon, \\ \frac{1}{q} &= \frac{1-\theta}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\sigma\theta = \frac{1-\theta}{2} + \varepsilon \iff \theta = \frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1+2\sigma}$$

and the condition $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ implies

$$\theta(p-2) > \frac{1+2\varepsilon}{2}(p-2).$$

Since our goal is to have $\theta(p-2) < 2$, this yields the condition

$$\frac{1}{2}(p-2) < 2 \iff p < 6$$

which is indeed the optimal condition. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\|u\|_{L^2} \leq B} e^{\int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx} \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{CB^{2+(1-\theta)(p-2)} \|u\|_{C^\sigma}^{\theta(p-2)}} \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{C\frac{1}{q'} B^{2q'+(1-\theta)(p-2)q'} + \frac{1}{q} \|u\|_{C^\sigma}^{\theta(p-2)q}} \right] \\ &\leq e^{C\frac{1}{q'} B^{2q'+(1-\theta)(p-2)q'}} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{C\varepsilon}{q} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{q} \|u\|_{C^{\sigma'}}^2} \right] \\ &\leq e^{C\frac{1}{q'} B^{2q'+(1-\theta)(p-2)q'} + \frac{C\varepsilon}{q} B^2} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{q} \|u\|_{C^{\sigma'}}^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $q = \frac{1}{\theta(p-2)} > 2$, q' its conjugated exponent, any $\varepsilon > 0$, a constant $C_\varepsilon > 0$ large enough and $\sigma < \sigma' < \frac{1}{2}$ together with Young inequality. Using Fernique's theorem and that μ is a Gaussian measure on the Banach space $C^{\sigma'}$, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\|u\|_{L^2} \leq B} e^{\int_{\mathbb{T}} |u(x)|^p dx} \right] < \infty$$

for any $B \geq 0$ and $p < 6$. The results for $p = 6$ follows for B small enough. \square

Remark 4.3. Since $(\mathbf{1}_{Y \leq B} e^X)^q = \mathbf{1}_{Y \leq B} e^{qX}$, this also implies the result for L^q instead of L^1 with a condition on B depending on q for $p = 6$. An alternative definition of the measure could have been with a density depending on V with respect to the case $V = 0$ studied in [6, 16, 21]. Denoting as ν_B^0 the case $V = 0$, we have

$$\nu_B(du) = \frac{1}{Z_V} e^{-\langle Vu, u \rangle} \nu_B^0(du)$$

where $\langle Vu, u \rangle$ is almost surely well-defined. Indeed, the measure ν_B^0 is supported in $C^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Vu, u \rangle| &\lesssim \|V\|_{C^{-1+\kappa}} \|u^2\|_{B_{1,1}^{1-\kappa}} \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{C^{-1+\kappa}} \|u\|_{B_{2,2}^{1-\frac{\kappa}{2}}}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|V\|_{C^{-1+\kappa}} \|u\|_{C^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\kappa}{2}}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

using duality, product and injection of Besov spaces from Proposition A.1. One can also prove that Z_V is finite using the cut-off, this is also an alternative proof of Proposition 4.1. Since the optimal parameter B is known in the case $V = 0$ from [21], the same is true here.

Since the measure μ is supported in $C^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, so is ν_B with the previous proposition and Theorem 3.4 guarantees that there exist almost surely a local solution for initial data distributed as ν_B in the focusing case, or ν in the defocusing case. In order to prove that the measure is invariant, we will show invariance for a truncated dynamic and pass to the limit following Bourgain [6]. In particular, this allows to construct global solutions. Recall that the truncated dynamic

$$i\partial_t u_N = \mathcal{H}u_N + \lambda \Pi_N(|u_N|^{p-2}u_N)$$

with $u_N(0) = \Pi_N u_0$ is an approximation as N goes to infinity of equation (1.1). Since this is a finite dimensional system, Liouville's Theorem states that the Lebesgue measure is invariant and the Hamiltonian being invariant, the projected measure $\nu_N := \Pi_N \nu$ is an invariant measure for equation (3.1) defined on the finite dimensional space

$$\Omega_N := \Pi_N L^2(\mathbb{T}).$$

We only consider the focusing case which is harder, the defocusing case following from the same type of arguments. We first prove the following result.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\sigma \in (\sigma_\kappa, \frac{1}{2})$ and $2 \leq p \leq 6$. For any $\delta > 0$, there exist a subset Ω_1 of Ω_N such that $\nu(\Omega_N \setminus \Omega_1) < \delta$ and for $u_0 \in \Omega_1$, there exists a unique global solution u_N to (3.1) which satisfies*

$$\|u_N(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \leq C \left(\log \frac{1+|t|}{\delta} \right)^{\sigma^+}$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma^+ > \sigma$ with $C > 0$ a constant depending on p and σ .

Proof: For $\sigma \in (\sigma_\kappa, \frac{1}{2})$ and $A > 0$, let

$$B_{N,A}^\sigma := \{u \in \Omega_N ; \|u\|_{H^\sigma} \leq A\}.$$

We proved with Proposition 3.5 that for any $u_0 \in B_{N,A}^\sigma$, there exists a local solution u_N to the truncated equation up to a time of order $A^{-\frac{6(p-2)}{p-8}}$. Then equation (3.1) is a finite dimensional system with values in Ω_N and Liouville's Theorem implies the invariance of the Lebesgue measure. Using the conservation of the mass and the truncated Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{E}_N(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{H}u, u \rangle + \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Pi_N u(x)|^p dx,$$

this implies the invariance of the truncated Gibbs measure

$$\nu_N(du) = \frac{1}{Z_{B,N}} \mathbb{1}_{\|u\|_{L^2} \leq B} e^{-\mathcal{E}_N(u)} \prod_{n=1}^N du_n.$$

Let $\tau_A = C_0 A^{-\frac{6(p-2)}{p-8}}$ be the time of existence from Proposition 3.5 and consider the map

$$S_{\tau_A} : \begin{cases} B_{N,A}^\sigma & \rightarrow \Omega_N \\ u_0 & \mapsto u(\tau_A) \end{cases}$$

which satisfies $\|S_{\tau_A}(u_0)\|_{H^\sigma} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{H^\sigma}$. For any $m \geq 1$, introduce the set

$$\Omega_{N,A,\sigma}^m := B_{N,A}^\sigma \cap S_{\tau_A}^{-1}(B_{N,A}^\sigma) \cap \dots \cap S_{\tau_A}^{-m}(B_{N,A}^\sigma).$$

Since the measure ν_N is invariant by the map S_{τ_A} , we have

$$\nu_N(\Omega_N \setminus \Omega_{N,A,\sigma}^m) \leq (m+1)C_1 e^{-C_2 A^2}$$

for positive constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ using that ν_N is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_N which is a Gaussian measure thus has exponential tails. For initial data $u_0 \in \Omega_{N,A,\sigma}^m$, there exists a solution up to time $m\tau_A = mC_0 A^{-\frac{6(p-2)}{p-8}}$ which satisfies $\|u(j\tau_A)\|_{H^\sigma} \leq A$ for any $j \in \llbracket 0, m \rrbracket$. For any $T > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$, consider the set

$$\Omega_{N,\sigma}^{\delta,T} := \Omega_{N,A,\sigma}^m$$

with $A = C\sqrt{\log\left(\frac{1+T}{\delta}\right)}$ and $m = \lfloor TC_0^{-1} A^{\frac{6(p-2)}{p-8}} \rfloor$ and $C > 0$ a large enough constant such that $(m+1)C_1 e^{-C_2 A^2} \leq \delta$. Then for any $u_0 \in \Omega_{N,\sigma}^{\delta,T}$, there exists a solution u_N to equation (3.1) to time T such that

$$\|u_N(T)\|_{H^\sigma} \leq C\sqrt{\log\left(\frac{1+T}{\delta}\right)}$$

and we have

$$\nu_N(\Omega_N \setminus \Omega_{N,\sigma}^{\delta,T}) \leq \delta.$$

The proof is complete if one takes

$$\Omega_1 = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \Omega_{N,\sigma}^{2^{-n}\delta, 2^n}$$

using an interpolation between the $H^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$ norm and the mass conservation for ε small enough since σ is any exponent in $(\sigma_\kappa, \frac{1}{2})$.

□

Using the convergence of the truncated dynamic to the solution of equation (1.1), we get the following proposition with $\Omega = L^2(\mathbb{T})$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\sigma \in (\sigma_\kappa, \frac{1}{2})$ and $2 \leq p \leq 6$. For any $\delta > 0$, there exist a subset Ω_1 of Ω such that $\nu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_1) < \delta$ and for $u_0 \in \Omega_1$, there exists a global solution to (1.1) which satisfies*

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \leq C \left(\log \frac{1+|t|}{\delta} \right)^{\sigma_+}$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma_+ > \sigma$.

Proof: Let $T > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. First, note that for any set $S \subset \Omega$, we have

$$\nu(S) \leq C_2 \mu(S)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with $C_2 > 1$ the $L^2(\mu)$ norm of the density of ν with respect to μ which is finite from Proposition 4.2. For any $\sigma_\kappa < \sigma < \sigma_+ < \frac{1}{2}$, the previous proposition gives a set $\Omega_{1,N} \subset \Omega_N$ such that $\nu(\Omega_N \setminus \Omega_{1,N}) \leq \delta$ and that equation (3.1) admits a unique global solution u_N for any $u_0 \in \Omega_{1,N}$ with

$$\|u_N(t)\|_{H^\sigma} \leq C \left(\log \frac{1+|t|}{\delta} \right)^{\sigma_+}$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with a constant $C > 0$. Let

$$\Omega_{1,N}^\delta := \{u \in H^\sigma ; \|u\|_{H^\sigma} \leq A \text{ and } \Pi_N u \in \Omega_{1,N}\}$$

with $A = C_1 \left(\log \frac{1}{\delta} \right)^{\sigma_+}$. Recall that $B_{N,A}^\sigma$ denotes the unit ball of $\Pi_N L^2(\mathbb{T})$ with respect to the H^σ norm and consider $\Omega_{>N} := (\text{Id} - \Pi_N)L^2(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$B_{>N,A}^\sigma := \{u \in (\text{Id} - \Pi_N)L^2(\mathbb{T}) ; \|u\|_{H^\sigma} \leq A\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{1,N}^\delta) &\leq C_2 \sqrt{\mu(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{1,N}^\delta)} \\ &\leq C_2 \sqrt{\mu(\Omega \setminus [(\Omega_{1,N} \cap B_{N,A}^\sigma) \sqcup B_{>N,A}^\sigma])} \\ &\leq C_2 \sqrt{\mu(\Omega_N \setminus (\Omega_{1,N} \cap B_{N,A}^\sigma)) \mu(\Omega_{>N} \setminus B_{>N,A}^\sigma)} \\ &\leq C_2 \sqrt{(\delta + C\delta) C \delta \varepsilon(\delta)} \\ &\leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

for $\delta > 0$ small enough, using that μ is a product of probability measures on eigenspaces, $C_2 \geq 1$ the $L^2(d\mu)$ norm of the density of ν , finite by Proposition 4.2 and that $\varepsilon(\delta)$ goes to 0 as δ goes to 0 since $\sigma_+ < \frac{1}{2}$. For $u_0 \in \Omega_{1,N}^\delta$, there exists a unique solution u to equation (1.1) up to time $\tau_A = CA^{-c(p)}$ and we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \tau_A]} \|u(t) - u_N(t)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} \lesssim N^{\sigma' - \sigma} (1 + A^{p-2})$$

from Proposition 3.5 for $\sigma' > \sigma$. We are going to propagate this error up to time T . Assume for $t \leq t_0$ that we proved

$$\|u(t) - u_N(t)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} \leq \varepsilon < 1$$

and consider v_N the solution to the untruncated equation (1.1) on $[t_0, t_0 + \tau_A]$ with $v_N(t_0) = u_N(t_0)$. We bound $u - u_N$ using

$$\|u(t) - u_N(t)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} \leq \|u(t) - v_N(t)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} + \|v_N(t) - u_N(t)\|_{H^{\sigma'}}$$

for $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \tau_A]$. For the first term, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [t_0, t_0 + \tau_A]} \|u(t) - v_N(t)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} \leq 2\|u(t_0) - v_N(t_0)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} \leq 2\varepsilon$$

using Theorem 3.4. For the second term, this follows from Proposition 3.5 and we have

$$\sup_{t \in [t_0, t_0 + \tau_A]} \|v_N(t) - u_N(t)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} \lesssim N^{\sigma' - \sigma} (1 + \|u_N(t_0)\|_{H^{\sigma}}^{p-2}).$$

Using that $\Pi_N u_0 \in \Omega_1$, we get $\|u_N(t_0)\|_{H^{\sigma}} \leq C(\log \frac{1+T}{\delta})^{\sigma+}$ for $t_0 \leq T$ hence

$$\|u(t) - u_N(t)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} \leq 2\varepsilon + N^{\sigma' - \sigma} \left(1 + C^{p-2} \left(\log \frac{1+T}{\delta}\right)^{(p-2)\sigma+}\right)$$

for any $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \tau_A]$. Set $t_j = j\tau_A$ and $\delta_j = \|u(j\tau_A) - u_N(j\tau_A)\|_{H^{\sigma'}}$. We have $\delta_0 \leq N^{\sigma' - \sigma} A$ and the previous computations yield

$$\delta_{j+1} \lesssim 2\delta_j + N^{\sigma' - \sigma} \left(1 + C^{p-2} \left(\log \frac{1+T}{\delta}\right)^{(p-2)\sigma+}\right)$$

for $\tau j \leq T$ hence

$$\|u(T) - u_N(T)\|_{H^{\sigma'}} \lesssim C^{J+1} N^{\sigma' - \sigma} \left(1 + C^{p-2} \left(\log \frac{1+T}{\delta}\right)^{(p-2)\sigma+}\right) + C^J N^{\sigma' - \sigma} A$$

for $J = \lfloor \frac{T}{\tau_A} \rfloor$ and $C > 0$ a positive constant. Taking N large enough depending on T and δ gives

$$\|u(T)\|_{H^{\sigma}} \leq C \left(\log \frac{1+T}{\delta}\right)^{\sigma+} + 1$$

hence u is well-defined up to T . The proof is complete with

$$\Omega_1 = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \Omega_{1, N_n}^{2^{-n}\delta}$$

with N_n an increasing sequence to get a global solution. □

This finally gives the following theorem with the invariance of the measure.

Theorem 4.6. *The measure ν is invariant under the flow of equation (3.1) and the equation is globally well-posed for ν -almost all initial data.*

Proof: Let $S \subset H^{\sigma}$ be a bounded measurable set with $\sigma \in (\sigma_{\kappa}, \frac{1}{2})$. We have

$$|\nu(\Phi_t S) - \nu(S)| \leq |\nu(\Phi_t S) - \nu_N(\Phi_t^N \Pi_N S)| + |\nu_N(\Phi_t^N \Pi_N S) - \nu_N(\Pi_N S)| + |\nu_N(\Pi_N S) - \nu(S)|$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \geq 1$. The second term is equal to zero by invariance of ν_N under the truncated flow Φ_t^N . For the third term, we have

$$|\nu_N(\Pi_N S) - \nu(S)| \leq |\nu_N(\Pi_N S) - \nu(\Pi_N S)| + |\nu(\Pi_N S) - \nu(S)|$$

where as N goes to infinity, the first term goes to 0 with Proposition 4.2 and the second term with dominated convergence. The same holds for the first term with

$$|\nu(\Phi_t S) - \nu_N(\Phi_t^N \Pi_N S)| \leq |\nu(\Phi_t S) - \nu(\Phi_t^N \Pi_N S)| + |\nu(\Phi_t^N \Pi_N S) - \nu_N(\Phi_t^N \Pi_N S)|$$

hence the proof is complete for bounded S . The general result follows from the fact that

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \Omega_{1, 2^{-n}}$$

is an event of full measure for ν in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ with $\Omega_{1, 2^{-n}} \subset H^{\sigma}$ the set given by the previous proposition with $\delta = 2^{-n}$ for any $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$. □

A – Paley-Littlewood theory

We give here results on Paley-Littlewood theory needed in our work. We omit the proofs and refer for example to the book of Bahouri, Chemin and Danchin [2]. Consider two functions $\chi, \rho : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that χ is supported in a ball, ρ in an annulus

$$\chi(z) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \rho(2^{-j}z) = 1$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\text{supp}(\chi) \cap \text{supp}(\rho(2^{-j}\cdot)) = \emptyset$ and $\text{supp}(\rho(2^{-i}\cdot)) \cap \text{supp}(\rho(2^{-j}\cdot)) = \emptyset$ for $|i - j| > 1$, this is called a dyadic partition of the unity. The Paley-Littlewood blocks are defined as

$$\Delta_{-1}u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi\mathcal{F}u \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_j u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\rho(2^{-j}\cdot)\mathcal{F}u, \quad j \geq 0$$

and we have

$$u = \sum_{j \geq -1} \Delta_j u.$$

Following the idea that decay of Fourier coefficient measures spatial regularity, one can consider the Besov spaces defined by the norm

$$\|u\|_{B_{p,q}^\alpha} = \left(\sum_{j \geq -1} 2^{\alpha j q} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with an ad hoc definition for $q = \infty$. One recovers the Sobolev spaces $H^\alpha = B_{2,2}^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and the Hölder spaces $C^\alpha = B_{\infty,\infty}^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N}$. We have the following embeddings, duality and interpolation results.

Proposition A.1. *The Besov spaces have the following properties.*

i) For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in [1, \infty]$ such that $p_1 \leq p_2, q_1 \leq q_2$, we have

$$B_{p_1, q_1}^\alpha \subset B_{p_2, q_2}^{\alpha - d(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2})}.$$

ii) Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 0$ and $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty]$. Then for any $\kappa > 0$, we have

$$\|uv\|_{B_{p,p}^{\alpha - \kappa}} \lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p_1, p_1}^{\alpha_1}} \|v\|_{B_{p_2, p_2}^{\alpha_2}}$$

with $\alpha = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$.

iii) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p, q \in [1, \infty]$. We have

$$|\langle u, v \rangle| \lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p,q}^\alpha} \|v\|_{B_{p',q'}^{-\alpha}}$$

with $1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'}$.

iv) For any $\theta \in (0, 1)$, let $p, p_1, p_2, q, q_1, q_2 \in [1, \infty]$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_1} + \frac{\theta}{p_2}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_1} + \frac{\theta}{q_2}$$

and $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha = (1-\theta)\alpha_1 + \theta\alpha_2.$$

Then we have

$$\|u\|_{B_{p,q}^\alpha} \lesssim \|u\|_{B_{p_1, q_1}^{\alpha_1}}^{1-\theta} \|u\|_{B_{p_2, q_2}^{\alpha_2}}^\theta.$$

The Paley-Littlewood decomposition can be used to define the paraproduct

$$P_u v = \sum_{n < m-1} \Delta_n u \Delta_m v$$

and resonant product

$$\Pi(u, v) = \sum_{|n-m| \leq 1} \Delta_n u \Delta_m v,$$

this goes back to Coifman and Meyer [8] and Bony [4]. One can describe a product as

$$uv = P_u v + \Pi(u, v) + P_v u$$

and each operator satisfies the following continuity result.

Proposition A.2. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\|P_u v\|_{C^{\alpha \wedge 0 + \beta}} \lesssim \|u\|_{C^\alpha} \|v\|_{C^\beta}.$$

If moreover $\alpha + \beta > 0$, we have

$$\|\Pi(u, v)\|_{C^{\alpha + \beta}} \lesssim \|u\|_{C^\alpha} \|v\|_{C^\beta}.$$

In Sobolev spaces, we have for $\alpha > 0$

$$\|P_u v\|_{H^\beta} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^\alpha} \|v\|_{C^\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_u v\|_{H^\beta} \lesssim \|u\|_{C^\alpha} \|v\|_{H^\beta}$$

while for $\alpha < 0$ we get

$$\|P_u v\|_{H^{\alpha + \beta}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^\alpha} \|v\|_{C^\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_u v\|_{H^{\alpha + \beta}} \lesssim \|u\|_{C^\alpha} \|v\|_{H^\beta}$$

and finally if $\alpha + \beta > 0$,

$$\|\Pi(u, v)\|_{H^{\alpha + \beta}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^\alpha} \|v\|_{C^\beta}.$$

Finally, we introduce the truncated paraproduct

$$P_u^N v := \sum_{\substack{n < m - 1 \\ 2^n, 2^m \geq N}} \Delta_n u \Delta_m v$$

for any $N \geq 1$. Since $P^N - P$ only depends on a finite number of modes, it is a smoothing operator while P^N satisfies the same continuity result as P for fixed N .

Proposition A.3. Let $\alpha > 0$. Then for any $N \geq 1$ and $\gamma \in [0, \alpha)$, we have

$$\|P_u^N v\|_{H^\gamma(\mathbb{T})} \lesssim N^{\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \|v\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{T})}.$$

References

- [1] H. BAHOURI AND J.-Y. CHEMIN, *Quasilinear wave equations and Strichartz estimates*, Am. J. Math., 121 (1999), pp. 1337–1377.
- [2] H. BAHOURI, J.-Y. CHEMIN, AND R. DANCHIN, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, vol. 343 of Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Berlin: Heidelberg, 2011.
- [3] I. BAILLEUL, N. V. DANG, AND A. MOUZARD, *Analysis of the anderson operator*, 2022.
- [4] J.-M. BONY, *Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularites pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires.*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 14 (1981), pp. 209–246.
- [5] J. BOURGAIN, *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. I: Schrödinger equations*, Geom. Funct. Anal., 3 (1993), pp. 107–156.
- [6] —, *Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation and invariant measures*, Commun. Math. Phys., 166 (1994), pp. 1–26.
- [7] N. BURQ, P. GÉRARD, AND N. TZVETKOV, *Strichartz inequalities and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on compact manifolds*, Am. J. Math., 126 (2004), pp. 569–605.
- [8] R. R. COIFMAN AND Y. MEYER, *Au dela des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, vol. 57, Société Mathématique de France (SMF), Paris, 1978.
- [9] G. DA PRATO, *An introduction to infinite-dimensional analysis*, Universitext, Berlin: Springer, 2006.
- [10] G. DA PRATO AND J. ZABCZYK, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, vol. 152 of Encycl. Math. Appl., Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2nd ed. ed., 2014.
- [11] A. DEBUSSCHE AND H. WEBER, *The Schrödinger equation with spatial white noise potential*, Electron. J. Probab., 23 (2018), pp. Paper No. 28, 16.
- [12] L. DUMAZ AND C. LABBÉ, *Localization of the continuous Anderson Hamiltonian in 1-D*, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 176 (2020), pp. 353–419.
- [13] M. FUKUSHIMA AND S. NAKAO, *On spectra of the Schrödinger operator with a white Gaussian noise potential*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, 37 (1976/77), pp. 267–274.

- [14] M. GUBINELLI, B. UGURCAN, AND I. ZACHHUBER, *Semilinear evolution equations for the Anderson Hamiltonian in two and three dimensions*, Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput., 8 (2020), pp. 82–149.
- [15] M. HAIRER AND C. LABBÉ, *A simple construction of the continuum parabolic Anderson model on \mathbf{R}^2* , Electron. Commun. Probab., 20 (2015), p. 11. Id/No 43.
- [16] J. L. LEBOWITZ, H. A. ROSE, AND E. R. SPEER, *Statistical mechanics of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation.*, J. Stat. Phys., 50 (1988), pp. 657–687.
- [17] T. MATSUDA AND W. VAN ZUIJLEN, *Anderson hamiltonians with singular potentials*, 2022.
- [18] A. MOUZARD, *Weyl law for the Anderson Hamiltonian on a two-dimensional manifold*, arXiv:2009.03549, (2020).
- [19] A. MOUZARD AND E. M. OUHABAZ, *A simple construction of the anderson operator via its quadratic form in dimensions two and three*, 2309.02821, (2023).
- [20] A. MOUZARD AND I. ZACHHUBER, *Strichartz inequalities with white noise potential on compact surfaces*, arXiv:2104.07940, (2021).
- [21] T. OH, P. SOSOE, AND L. TOLOMEO, *Optimal integrability threshold for Gibbs measures associated with focusing NLS on the torus*, Invent. Math., 227 (2022), pp. 1323–1429.
- [22] E. M. OUHABAZ, *Analysis of heat equations on domains*, vol. 31 of Lond. Math. Soc. Monogr. Ser., Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2005.
- [23] R. E. A. C. PALEY AND A. ZYGMUND, *On some series of functions. I, II.*, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc., 26 (1930), pp. 337–357, 458–474.
- [24] R. E. A. C. PALEY AND A. ZYGMUND, *On some series of functions. III*, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc., 28 (1932), pp. 190–205.
- [25] D. W. STROOCK, *Probability theory: an analytic view*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [26] D. TATARU, *Strichartz estimates for operators with nonsmooth coefficients and the nonlinear wave equation*, Am. J. Math., 122 (2000), pp. 349–376.

• A. Debussche – Univ Rennes, CNRS, IRMAR - UMR 6625, F- 35000 Rennes, France.

E-mail: arnaud.debussche@ens-rennes.fr

• A. Mouzard – CNRS & Department of Mathematics and Applications, ENS Paris, 45 rue d’Ulm, 75005 Paris, France.

E-mail: antoine.mouzard@math.cnrs.fr