

# SEMIORTHOGONAL DECOMPOSITIONS FOR GENERALIZED SEVERI-BRAUER SCHEMES

AJNEET DHILLON AND SAYANTAN ROY-CHOWDHURY

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to use conservative descent to study semi-orthogonal decompositions for some homogeneous varieties over general bases. We produce a semi-orthogonal decomposition for the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on a generalized Severi-Brauer scheme. This extends known results for Sever-Brauer varieties and Grassmannians. We use our results to construct semi-orthogonal decompositions for flag varieties over arbitrary bases. This generalises a result of Kapranov.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation	2
3. The Grassmannian	2
4. Derived Categories	3
4.1. Triangulated Category	3
4.2. Derived Category of Sheaves and Conservative Descent	4
5. Some Representation Theory	6
6. The Derived Category of a Grassmannian	8
7. Ingredients of the Proof	10
7.1. Cohomology	10
7.2. Generation	11
8. Generalized Severi Brauer Schemes	15
9. Applications	17
References	18

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we study semi-orthogonal decompositions for Grassmannians and flag varieties over general bases, see [6.6](#), [8.6](#) and [9.1](#). These notions are recalled in [section 4](#).

These problems have a long history. The first result in this area was Beilinson's theorem, [\[B\]](#), which showed that  $\mathbb{P}^n$  has a full exceptional collection. Later Kapranov, see [\[Kap1\]](#), proved a similar result for a Grassmannian over a field of characteristic zero. Kapranov's calculation makes use of the Borel-Bott-Weil theorem which is necessarily a characteristic zero result. For Grassmannians over an arbitrary fields, Buchwitz, Leuschke and Van den Bergh, see [\[BLV\]](#), have shown that such a Grassmannian has a full exceptional collection. This result was further

strengthened by Efimov, see [E], who showed that such a result holds over the integers. The problem has been further studied in the relative setting. For projective and Grassman bundles in characteristic zero an exceptional collection is produced in [O].

Recently, arithmetic consequences of decompositions of the derived category have been observed, see [AB] and [BDM]. This leads naturally to the question of constructing decompositions for twisted forms of varieties. A Severi-Brauer scheme is a twisted form of a projective bundles. Semi-orthogonal decompositions for Severi-Brauer schemes are constructed in [Be]. In characteristic zero, refinements, such as tilting sheaves are discussed in [Bl]. Generalised Severi-Brauer schemes are twisted forms of Grassmannians. Over fields of characteristic zero, semi-orthogonal decompositions for generalised Severi-Brauer varieties are studied in [Ba].

In this paper, we will add to this body of work. Our main tool is the notion of conservative descent introduced by Bergh and Schnürer, see [BS1]. This allows one to descend decompositions along conservative covers. They have applied their theory to gerbes and Severi-Brauer schemes (stacks), see [BS2], to produce semi-orthogonal decompositions. We will review their results in 4.2. The methods and ideas of Bergh and Schnürer are essential for this paper.

In section 6 we apply the machinery of [BS1] and [BLV] to a Grassmannian, potentially over the integers, to recover one of the results in [E] via different means. In the final section we are able to extend this to a flag variety over the integers, extending the result in [Kap2]. From our understanding, this result is new and other authors have not considered flags over the integers. In 8, we are able to produce decompositions for generalised Severi-Brauer stacks which is a vast extension of the main results of [Ba]. The last section of the paper, explains why semi-orthogonal decompositions, rather than exceptional collections, are the best available decompositions for twisted forms, see 9.2.

## 2. NOTATION

Let  $k$  and  $n$  be integers satisfying  $0 < k < n$  and let  $[n]$  denote the set  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . We denote by  $\text{Sch}$  the category of schemes and by  $\text{Sets}$  the category of sets. We will usually reserve the letters  $K$  for a field,  $X, Y$  for stacks,  $\text{Spec}(R)$  for affine schemes,  $E$  and  $F$  for sheaves,  $V$  and  $W$  for vector bundle,  $L$  for line bundle,  $S$  and  $Q$  for tautological and the quotient bundle respectively. The Schur and Weyl functors are denoted by  $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{K}^\alpha$  respectively.

## 3. THE GRASSMANNIAN

**Definition 3.1.** Given a vector bundle  $V$  over an algebraic stack  $X$ , we define a functor from the category of schemes over  $X$  to the category of sets

$$Gr(k, V) : \text{Sch}/X \rightarrow \text{Sets}$$

that associates to a scheme  $T$  over  $X$

$$p : T \rightarrow X$$

the isomorphism classes of surjections

$$f : p^*(V) \twoheadrightarrow Q$$

where  $Q$  is a finite locally free  $\mathcal{O}_T$ -module of rank  $n - k$ . In the case  $V$  is free of rank  $n$ , we denote this by  $Gr(k, n)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $P_{n-k}$  be the set of subsets of  $[n]$  of cardinality  $n-k$ . Then the functor  $Gr(k, n)$  defined in 3.1 has an open cover by representable open subfunctors  $\{F_I\}_{I \in P_{n-k}}$ . Thus, it is representable by a scheme.*

*Proof.* [SP, Lemma 089T]. □

The scheme  $Gr(k, n)$  representing the functor  $Gr(k, n)$  over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  is called the Grassmannian over the integers. We denote its base change to an affine scheme  $\text{Spec}(R)$  (resp. algebraic stack  $X$ ) as  $Gr(k, n)_R$  (resp.  $Gr(k, n)$ ). The universal quotient bundle  $Q$  over  $Gr(k, n)_X$  fits into the tautological exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Gr(k, n)_X}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

More generally, the functor  $Gr(k, V)$  is also representable and we denote the corresponding algebraic stack over  $X$  as  $Gr(k, V)$ , it also has a universal quotient bundle  $Q$  over it that fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Gr(k, V)_X}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

*Remark 3.3.* The proof in [SP, Lemma 089T] reveals that the open subfunctors  $F_I$  can be represented by open subsets  $U_I$  of  $Gr(k, n)$  isomorphic to the affine space  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{k(n-k)}$ . We now give a concrete description of the open sets after fixing a basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{Gr(k, n)}^{\oplus n}$ . The open sets  $U_I$  can then be described as subsets of the Grassmannian  $Gr(k, n)$  where the restriction of the quotient map  $f : \mathcal{O}_{Gr(k, n)}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow Q$  to the subbundle generated by  $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$  is surjective. Furthermore, suppose

$$I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-k}\} \quad [n] \setminus I = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\}$$

such that both the sequences are increasing. Then given affine coordinates  $\{x_{lm}\}$  for  $l \in [k]$  and  $m \in [n-k]$  on a point  $x$  in  $U_I$ , the set

$$\{e_{j_l} + \sum_{m \in [n-k]} x_{lm} e_{i_m}\}_{l \in [k]}$$

forms a basis for the tautological bundle  $S$ . The dual of the Quotient bundle  $Q^\vee$  on the other hand has a basis given by

$$\{e_{i_m}^* - \sum_{l \in [k]} x_{lm} e_{j_l}^*\}_{m \in [n-k]}$$

where  $\{e_i^*\}_{i \in [n]}$  is the basis of  $(\mathcal{O}_{Gr(k, n)}^{\oplus n})^\vee$  satisfying

$$e_i^*(e_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

We can base change and assume the same description for corresponding open sets  $U_i$  of  $Gr(k, n)_R$ .

## 4. DERIVED CATEGORIES

**4.1. Triangulated Category.** We fix  $K$  to be a field. What follows are the definitions of exceptional sequence, admissible category and semiorthogonal decomposition of a triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** We say a family of objects  $E_1, E_2 \dots E_n$  in a triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$  classically generates  $\mathcal{T}$  if the smallest strictly full, thick triangulated subcategory containing these objects is  $\mathcal{T}$  itself.

**Definition 4.2.** A sequence of objects  $E_1, E_2 \dots E_n$  of a  $K$ -linear triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$  is defined to be exceptional if

- (1) They classically generate  $\mathcal{T}$
- (2)
$$\mathrm{RHom}(E_i, E_j) = 0$$
- (3)
$$\mathrm{RHom}(E_i, E_i) = K.$$

**Definition 4.3.** A full triangulated subcategory  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is defined to be right(left) admissible if the inclusion functor from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{T}$  admits a right(left) adjoint.

**Definition 4.4.** A semiorthogonal decomposition of  $\mathcal{T}$  is a sequence of strictly full triangulated subcategories  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n$  such that

- (1) For all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and objects  $T_i, T_j$  in  $\mathcal{T}_i$  and  $\mathcal{T}_j$  respectively,  $\mathrm{RHom}(T_i, T_j) = 0$ . (This sequence is known as a semiorthogonal sequence)
- (2) The smallest strictly full triangulated subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}$  containing  $\{\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n\}$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ .

We write

$$\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n \rangle$$

in this case.

**4.2. Derived Category of Sheaves and Conservative Descent.** We will first introduce several notions of derived categories over an algebraic stack.

**Notation 4.5.** Given an algebraic stack  $X$ , we will work with the following derived categories

- (1)  $D(X)$  denotes the unbounded derived category of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules over the *lisse-etale* site.
- (2) Let  $D_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)$  denotes the full subcategory of  $D(X)$  with quasi-coherent cohomology sheaves.
- (3)  $D_p(X)$  denotes the full subcategory of perfect complexes.
- (4)  $D_{pc}(X)$  denotes the full subcategory of pseudo-coherent complexes.
- (5)  $D_{pc}^{lb}(X)$  is the full subcategory of pseudo-coherent complexes with locally bounded cohomology.
- (6)  $D_{sg}(X)$  denotes the singularity category which is the Verdier quotient  $D_{pc}^{lb}(X)/D_p(X)$ .

We now adapt the definition of Fourier-Mukai Transforms [BS1, Definition 3.3] to our case where all the morphisms are quasi-compact, quasi-separated and representable. First, we fix some notation. Given a quasi-compact and quasi-separated representable morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of algebraic stacks, we denote by

$$\mathrm{R}f_* : D_{\mathrm{qc}}(X) \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{qc}}(Y)$$

$$\mathrm{L}f^* : D_{\mathrm{qc}}(Y) \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{qc}}(X)$$

the derived functor corresponding to pushforward and pullback respectively. The functor  $\mathrm{R}f_*$  has a right adjoint which we denote by

$$f^\times : D_{\mathrm{qc}}(Y) \rightarrow D_{\mathrm{qc}}(X).$$

**Definition 4.6.** A *finite Fourier-Mukai transform of algebraic stacks* a triple  $(p, q, E)$  where  $p : G \rightarrow Z$  and  $q : G \rightarrow Y$  are quasi-compact and quasi-separated representable morphisms of algebraic stacks and  $E$  is an object in  $D_{\text{qc}}(G)$  such that

- (1)  $p$  and  $q$  are proper and perfect.
- (2)  $q$  is finite and  $q^\times(\mathcal{O}_Y)$  is perfect.

*Remark 4.7.* This definition is from [BS1, 3.3]. In this paper there are further conditions imposed on  $p$  and  $q$ . However the morphisms  $p$  and  $q$  in [BS1] are more general than those considered in this paper. The extra conditions are automatically satisfied in the present context, see [BS1, 3.7].

A finite Fourier-Mukai transform  $(p, q, E)$  induces a functor

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : D_{\text{qc}}(Z) &\rightarrow D_{\text{qc}}(Y) \\ \Phi(F) &= Rq_*(E \otimes Lp^*(F))\end{aligned}$$

which we call the Fourier-Mukai functor. It admits a right adjoint [BS1, Section 3, Eqn 3.10]

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^* : D_{\text{qc}}(Y) &\rightarrow D_{\text{qc}}(Z) \\ \Phi^*(F) &= Rp_*(E^\vee \otimes q^\times(F))\end{aligned}$$

where  $E^\vee = R\mathcal{H}om(E, \mathcal{O}_G)$  denotes the dual of  $E$ . This proves the following lemma

**Lemma 4.8.** *Essential images of fully faithful Fourier-Mukai functors are right admissible.*

We now quote [BS1] for the two most important properties of Fourier-Mukai functors. Firstly, semiorthogonal decompositions of derived categories of an algebraic stack  $X$  into essential images of Fourier-Mukai functors can be checked locally by the geometric conservative descent theorem. Secondly, such decompositions for  $D_{\text{qc}}(X)$  implies semiorthogonal decompositions of other derived categories.

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $\{Y_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  and  $X$  be algebraic stacks over a base algebraic stack  $C$  with Fourier-Mukai functors*

$$\Phi_i : D_{\text{qc}}(Y_i) \rightarrow D_{\text{qc}}(X).$$

*Let  $u : C' \rightarrow C$  be a faithfully flat morphism with  $\{Y'_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  and  $X'$  being the base change of  $\{Y_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  and  $X$  respectively. Then the base changed Fourier-Mukai functors*

$$\Phi'_i : D_{\text{qc}}(Y'_i) \rightarrow D_{\text{qc}}(X')$$

*being fully faithful implies the same for  $\Phi_i$ . If the essential images of  $\Phi'_i$  fit into an semiorthogonal sequence implies the same about the essential images of  $\Phi_i$ . Further, if this semiorthogonal sequence corresponding to  $\Phi'_i$  gives a semiorthogonal decomposition of  $D_{\text{qc}}(X')$ , then the semiorthogonal sequence corresponding to  $\Phi_i$  gives a semiorthogonal decomposition of  $D_{\text{qc}}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* [BS1, Theorem 6.1]. □

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let  $\{Y_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  and  $X$  be algebraic stacks over a base algebraic stack  $C$  with fully faithful Fourier Mukai functors*

$$\Phi_i : D_{\text{qc}}(Y_i) \rightarrow D_{\text{qc}}(X)$$

such that there is a semiorthogonal decomposition of  $D_{qc}(X)$  into right admissible subcategories given by the essential images  $\text{Im}(\Phi_i)$  of  $\Phi_i$

$$D_{qc}(X) = \langle \text{Im}(\Phi_1), \text{Im}(\Phi_2), \dots, \text{Im}(\Phi_n) \rangle,$$

then there is a semiorthogonal decomposition of the derived categories  $D_p(X)$ ,  $D_{pc}^{lb}(X)$  and  $D_{sg}(X)$  into right admissible subcategories given by essential images of the induced fully faithful functors

$$\Phi_i^p : D_p(Y_i) \rightarrow D_p(X)$$

$$\Phi_i^{pc} : D_{pc}^{lb}(Y_i) \rightarrow D_{pc}^{lb}(X)$$

$$\Phi_i^{sg} : D_{sg}(Y_i) \rightarrow D_{sg}(X)$$

respectively.

*Proof.* [BS1, Theorem 6.2]. □

We end this section with two lemmas on generation.

**Lemma 4.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a triangulated category such that an object  $E$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  classically generates  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n$  be a semiorthogonal sequence of right admissible subcategories of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then there is a semiorthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n \rangle$$

if and only if  $E \in \langle \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n \rangle$ .

*Proof.* [BS1, Lemma 6.4]. □

**Lemma 4.12.** *If a scheme  $X$  has an ample line bundle  $L$ , then there exists a vector bundle  $V$  over  $X$  that classically generates  $D_{qc}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* [BS1, Lemma 6.5]. □

## 5. SOME REPRESENTATION THEORY

We recall some relevant facts from [ABW] and [BLV].

**Definition 5.1.** A partition  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers. The degree of a partition is defined to be the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$  and is denoted by  $|\alpha|$ . To every partition  $\alpha$ , we can associate a Young diagram, see [F]. The transpose partition is defined to be the partition corresponding to the transpose of the Young diagram. The transpose of  $\alpha$  is denoted by  $\alpha'$ .

Given a partition  $\alpha$  and vector bundle  $V$ , we define the following vector bundles

$$\bigwedge^{\alpha} V = \bigotimes_i^{\alpha_i} \bigwedge V$$

$$\text{Sym}^{\alpha} V = \bigotimes_i^{\alpha_i} \text{Sym}^{\alpha_i} V$$

$$\text{Div}^{\alpha} V = \bigotimes_i^{\alpha_i} \text{Div}^{\alpha_i} V$$

where  $\text{Div}^u$  is  $u$ -th divided power representation.

**Definition 5.2.** Given a partition  $\alpha$  and vector bundle  $V$ , the Schur functor  $\mathcal{L}^\alpha V$  is defined to be the image of the composition of the natural symmetrization and antisymmetrization maps [ABW, Section I.2,I.3]

$$(5.3) \quad \bigwedge^\alpha V \xrightarrow{a} V^{|\alpha|} \xrightarrow{s} \text{Sym}^\alpha V$$

and the Weyl functor (defined as the coSchur functor in [ABW])  $\mathcal{K}^\alpha V$  can be defined to be the image of the composition of natural maps

$$(5.4) \quad \text{Div}^\alpha V \xrightarrow{s} V^{|\alpha|} \xrightarrow{a} \bigwedge^{\alpha'} V.$$

We now note down a few properties of the Schur and Weyl functors.

**Lemma 5.5.** *For a vector bundle  $V$ , both the Schur and Weyl functors  $\mathcal{L}^\alpha V$  and  $\mathcal{K}^\alpha V$  are vector bundles.*

*Proof.* [ABW, Theorem II.2.16] and [ABW, Theorem II.3.16].  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *For a vector bundle  $V$ , there is a natural identification*

$$\mathcal{K}^\alpha V = (\mathcal{L}^\alpha V^\vee)^\vee$$

*Proof.* This is essentially [ABW, Proposition II.4.1]. We remark here that our definition of Schur functor corresponding to a partition  $\alpha$  is the Schur functor corresponding to partition  $\alpha'$  in [ABW].  $\square$

**Theorem 5.7** (Universal Cauchy Littlewood Formula). *Given vector bundles  $V$  and  $W$ , we have a pairing for every partition  $\alpha$*

$$\langle, \rangle_\alpha : \bigwedge^\alpha V \otimes \text{Div}^\alpha W \rightarrow \wedge^{|\alpha|}(V \otimes W)$$

such that

$$M_\alpha(V, W) = \sum_{\beta \geq \alpha, |\alpha| = |\beta| = m} \text{Im}(\langle, \rangle_\beta)$$

gives a natural filtration on  $\wedge^m(V \otimes W)$  whose associated graded object is

$$\bigoplus_{|\alpha|=m} \mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} V \otimes \mathcal{K}^\alpha W.$$

*Proof.* This is essentially [ABW, Theorem III.2.4]. We recall a few details. For nonnegative integers  $p$ , we define a pairing inductively

$$\langle, \rangle : \bigwedge^p V \otimes \text{Div}^p W \rightarrow \bigwedge^p(V \otimes W)$$

following [ABW]. For  $p = 1$ , this pairing is just

$$\langle v, w \rangle = v \otimes w$$

For  $p > 1$ , this can be defined as

$$\langle v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_p, w_1^{\lambda_1} \dots w_t^{\lambda_t} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^p \langle v_i, w_1 \rangle \wedge \langle v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{v}_i \wedge \dots \wedge v_p, w_1^{\lambda_1-1} \dots w_t^{\lambda_t} \rangle$$

This pairing can be extended to give a map for a partition  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  with  $|\alpha| = m$ ,

$$\langle, \rangle_\alpha : \bigwedge^\alpha V \otimes \text{Div}^\alpha W \rightarrow \bigwedge^{|\alpha|}(V \otimes W)$$

$$\langle f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n, g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_n \rangle_\alpha = \langle f_1, g_1 \rangle \wedge \dots \wedge \langle f_n, g_n \rangle$$

So we can define a filtration on  $\bigwedge^m(V \otimes W)$  filtered by

$$M_\alpha(V, W) = \sum_{\beta \geq \alpha, |\beta|=|\alpha|=m} \text{Im}(\langle, \rangle_\beta)$$

ordered by the lexicographic ordering on the partitions. Let

$$M'_\alpha(V, W) = \sum_{\beta > \alpha, |\beta|=|\alpha|=m} \text{Im}(\langle, \rangle_\beta)$$

then the pairing  $\langle, \rangle_\alpha$  induces a natural map

$$\mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} V \otimes \mathcal{K}^\alpha W \rightarrow M_\alpha(V, W) / M'_\alpha(V, W)$$

This map is locally an isomorphism by [ABW, Theorem III.2.4] thus proving that the associated graded to this filtration is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{|\alpha|=m} \mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} V \otimes \mathcal{K}^\alpha W.$$

□

## 6. THE DERIVED CATEGORY OF A GRASSMANNIAN

In this section we will construct a semi-orthogonal decomposition of the bounded derived category of a Grassmannian. Such a construction has appeared in [E]. We will describe here a different approach to this theorem.

**Notation 6.1.** Let  $<$  denote the standard lexicographic ordering on partitions.  $B_{k,n}$  denote partitions  $\alpha$  corresponding to Young diagrams with no more than  $k$  rows and no more than  $n - k$  columns with total ordering  $\prec$  such that :

- (1) If  $|\alpha| < |\beta|$ , then  $\alpha \prec \beta$ .
- (2) If  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$  and  $\beta < \alpha$  in lexicographic ordering then  $\alpha \prec \beta$ .

and let  $\overline{B_{k,n}}$  denote the same set with the opposite ordering.

The first result in the direction that we are headed is due to Kapranov.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Consider a Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(k, n)_K$  over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero. Then the set  $\{\mathcal{L}^\alpha S\}$  for  $\alpha$  in  $B_{k,n}$  is a strongly exceptional sequence on the Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(k, n)_K$ .*

*Proof.* See [Kap1]. □

For general characteristic, Buchweitz, Leuschke and Van Der Bergh proved in [BLV]:

**Theorem 6.3.**  *$\{\mathcal{L}^\alpha S^\vee\}$  for  $\alpha$  in  $B_{k,n}$  is an exceptional sequence on the Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(k, n)_K$ .*

In particular, they showed that

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be in  $B_{k,n}$ .*

(1)

$$\text{RHom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\text{Gr}(k,n)_K}}(\mathcal{L}^\alpha S^\vee, \mathcal{L}^\beta S^\vee) = 0$$

for  $\beta \prec \alpha$ .



$$(2) \quad \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)_K}}(\mathcal{L}^{\alpha S^\vee}, \mathcal{L}^{\alpha S^\vee}) = K.$$

*Proof.* This is deduced from [BLV, Theorem 1.4]. Note that, what is  $S^\vee$  in our notation is denoted  $\mathcal{Q}$  in *loc. cit.*  $\square$

We will make use of the following lemma which follows from the above Theorem.

**Lemma 6.5.** *In the above situation, let  $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{B_{k,n}}$ . Then*

$$(1) \quad \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)_K}}(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\beta S) = 0$$

for  $\beta \prec \alpha$ .

$$(2) \quad \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)_K}}(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S) = K.$$

*Proof.* Since all the sheaves involved are locally free (Lemma 5.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}^i \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)_K}}(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\beta S) &= \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\beta S)) \\ &= \mathrm{H}^i(\mathcal{L}^{\alpha S^\vee} \otimes \mathcal{K}^\beta S) \\ &= \mathrm{R}^i \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)_K}}(\mathcal{L}^{\beta S^\vee}, \mathcal{L}^{\beta S^\vee}) \end{aligned}$$

We have used Lemma 5.6 extensively throughout this computation.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.6.** *Let  $V$  be a rank  $n$  vector bundle on an algebraic stack  $X$  and let*

$$\pi : \mathrm{Gr}(k, V)_X \rightarrow X$$

*be the projection. We denote by  $\Phi_\alpha$  the finite Fourier-Mukai functor induced by the finite Fourier-Mukai transform  $(\pi, 1, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S)$  so that*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\alpha : \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(X) &\rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, V)_X) \\ \Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{E}) &= \mathrm{L}\pi^*(\mathbf{E}) \otimes \mathcal{K}^\alpha S. \end{aligned}$$

*Then there is a semiorthogonal decomposition of  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, V)_X)$  into right admissible categories*

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, V)_X) = \langle \{\mathrm{Im}(\Phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \overline{B_{k,n}}} \rangle$$

*Proof.* The  $\Phi_\alpha$  are fully faithful Fourier Mukai functors by Lemma 7.4. The same lemma also implies that their essential images are semiorthogonal. Moreover, this is a semiorthogonal decomposition by conservative descent (Theorem 4.9) since their base change to an affine scheme gives a semiorthogonal decomposition by Lemma 7.9.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.7.** *In the setting of the theorem, there are semiorthogonal decompositions of the derived categories  $\mathrm{D}_p(\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbf{E})_X)$ ,  $\mathrm{D}_{pc}^{lb}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbf{E})_X)$  and  $\mathrm{D}_{sg}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbf{E})_X)$  into right admissible subcategories given by essential images of the induced fully faithful functors ( for  $\alpha \in \overline{B_{k,n}}$ )*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\alpha^p &: \mathrm{D}_p(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_p(\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbf{E})_X) \\ \Phi_\alpha^{pc} &: \mathrm{D}_{pc}^{lb}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{pc}^{lb}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbf{E})_X) \\ \Phi_\alpha^{sg} &: \mathrm{D}_{sg}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{sg}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, \mathbf{E})_X) \end{aligned}$$

*respectively.*

*Proof.* Theorem 6.6 and Lemma 4.10.  $\square$

## 7. INGREDIENTS OF THE PROOF

## 7.1. Cohomology.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Consider a fixed affine scheme  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . Let  $S$  be the tautological bundle over the Grassmannian*

$$\pi : \text{Gr}(k, n)_R \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R).$$

Then, for any  $\alpha$  in  $\overline{B_{k,n}}$ ,

$$R\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_R.$$

*Proof.* For any point  $a$  in  $\text{Spec}(R)$ , we have by Lemma 6.5

$$H^i(\text{Gr}(k, n)_R|_a, R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S)|_a) = 0$$

for  $i > 0$  and

$$H^0(\text{Gr}(k, n)_R|_a, R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S)|_a) = k(a).$$

Hence by [Hartshorne, Theorem III.12.11]

$$R^i\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S)) \otimes k(a) \simeq H^i(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S)|_a)$$

which is 0 for  $i > 0$  and  $k(a)$  for  $i = 0$ . The same theorem gives us that  $R^i\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S))$  is locally free. This further implies

$$R^i\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S)) = 0$$

for  $i > 0$  by Nakayama's lemma. Hence,

$$H^0(\text{Spec}(R), R^0\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S))) \simeq H^0(\text{Gr}(k, n)_R, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S)).$$

furnishing a global nonvanishing section of  $R^0\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S))$  corresponding to the global non vanishing section on  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S)$  given by the identity map. Hence,  $R^0\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\alpha S))$  is an invertible sheaf with a global nonvanishing section and thus isomorphic to the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_R$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  be elements of  $\overline{B_{k,n}}$  satisfying  $\beta \prec \alpha$ . Consider the Grassmannian over an affine scheme*

$$\pi : \text{Gr}(k, n)_R \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$$

and recall the Fourier-Mukai functors  $\Phi_\alpha$  defined in Theorem 6.6. Then for  $E \in \text{Im}(\Phi_\alpha)$ ,  $F \in \text{Im}(\Phi_\beta)$

$$R\mathcal{H}om(E, F) = 0.$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$\begin{aligned} R\mathcal{H}om(\Phi_\alpha(E'), \Phi_\beta(F')) &= R\mathcal{H}om(L\pi^*(E') \otimes \mathcal{K}^\alpha S, L\pi^*(F') \otimes \mathcal{K}^\beta S) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(L\pi^*(E'), R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, L\pi^*(F') \otimes \mathcal{K}^\beta S)) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(L\pi^*(E'), L\pi^*(F') \otimes R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\beta S)) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(E', R\pi_*(L\pi^*(F') \otimes R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\beta S))) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(E', F' \otimes R\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha S, \mathcal{K}^\beta S))) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used [Lip, Proposition 2.6.1(ii)] for the Hom-Tensor adjunction, [Lip, Proposition 3.2.3(i)] for pushforward pullback adjunction and [Lip, Proposition

3.9.4] for the projection formula. Now applying [Hartshorne, Theorem III.12.11] in a similar way as in lemma 7.1, we have

$$R^i\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{K}^\beta\mathcal{S})) \otimes k(a) \simeq H^i(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{K}^\beta\mathcal{S})|_a) = 0$$

and  $R^i\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{K}^\beta\mathcal{S}))$  is locally free. Thus  $R^i\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{K}^\beta\mathcal{S})) \simeq 0$  by Nakayama. From the previous computation,

$$R\mathcal{H}om(\Phi_\alpha(E'), \Phi_\beta(F')) = 0.$$

□

**Lemma 7.3.** *With the notation as in Lemma 7.2, we have*

$$R\mathcal{H}om(\Phi_\alpha(E'), \Phi_\alpha(F')) = R\mathcal{H}om(E', F')$$

for  $E'$  and  $F'$  in  $D_{qc}(\text{Spec}(R))$ .

*Proof.* We perform a similar computation as in the previous lemma

$$\begin{aligned} R\mathcal{H}om(\Phi_\alpha(E'), \Phi_\alpha(F')) &= R\mathcal{H}om(L\pi^*(E') \otimes \mathcal{K}^\alpha\mathcal{S}, L\pi^*(F') \otimes \mathcal{K}^\alpha\mathcal{S}) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(L\pi^*(E'), L\pi^*(F') \otimes R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{K}^\alpha\mathcal{S})) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(E', R\pi_*(L\pi^*(F') \otimes R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{K}^\beta\mathcal{S}))) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(E', F' \otimes R\pi_*(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{K}^\alpha\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{K}^\beta\mathcal{S}))) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(E', F'). \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from lemma 7.1. □

We now collect all these properties into one lemma

**Lemma 7.4.** *With notation as in Theorem 6.6, the  $\Phi_\alpha$  are finite Fourier-Mukai functors in the sense of Definition 4.6. Moreover, they are fully faithful and their essential images  $\{\text{Im}(\Phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \overline{B_{k,n}}}$  with ordering as in  $\overline{B_{k,n}}$  is a semiorthogonal sequence.*

*Proof.* We have that  $(\pi, \text{Id}, \mathcal{K}^\alpha\mathcal{S})$  is a finite Fourier-Mukai transform since  $\pi$  is proper, flat and of finite presentation and therefore perfect. We denote by  $\Phi_\alpha$  the corresponding Fourier-Mukai functor. They are fully faithful by applying geometric conservative descent as in theorem 4.9 to lemma 7.3. Similarly, Semiorthogonality follows from lemma 7.2 and 4.9. □

**7.2. Generation.** In this subsection, we use the standard resolution of the diagonal argument to produce generators of the derived category of a Grassmannian. This result is well known, see for example [E, Remark 7.6].

We have the the following pullback diagram:

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gr}(k, n)_R \times \text{Gr}(k, n)_R & \xrightarrow{q} & \text{Gr}(k, n)_R \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \text{Gr}(k, n)_R & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Spec}(R) \end{array}$$

for the Grassmannian over an affine scheme  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .

**Notation 7.6.** For sheaves  $E$  and  $F$  on  $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R$ , we denote by  $E \boxtimes F$  the sheaf  $p^*(E) \otimes q^*(F)$  on  $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R \times \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R$ .

We define a section  $s$  of  $S^\vee \boxtimes Q$  by the composition of the natural maps

$$p^* S \rightarrow p^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R}^{\oplus n} \simeq q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow q^* Q.$$

**Lemma 7.7.** *The image of  $s^\vee$  is surjective onto the ideal sheaf of the diagonal.*

*Proof.* We have to prove that the sequence

$$S \boxtimes Q^\vee \xrightarrow{s^\vee} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R \times \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R} \rightarrow \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R} \rightarrow 0$$

on  $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R \times \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R$  is exact where

$$\Delta : \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R \times \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R$$

is the diagonal embedding. It is enough to check this at the level of stalks. We first check at a point  $(x, x)$  in  $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R \times \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R$ . This point  $(x, x)$  is contained in an open affine space  $U_I \times U_I$  and furthermore we can assume  $I = [n - k]$ . By Remark 3.3,

$$U_I \times U_I = k[\{x_{1,ij}\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-k \\ n-k < j \leq n}}, \{x_{2,ij}\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-k \\ n-k < j \leq n}}]$$

and  $p^* S$  is generated by elements  $\{s_j\}_{n-k < j \leq n}$

$$s_j = e_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} x_{1,ji} e_i.$$

On the other hand  $q^* Q$  is generated by elements  $\{q_i^*\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-k}$

$$t_i^* = e_i^* - \sum_{j=n-k+1}^n x_{2,ji} e_j^*.$$

Hence image of  $s^\vee$  is generated by

$$t_i^*(s_j) = (e_i^* - \sum_{j=n-k+1}^n x_{2,ji} e_j^*)(e_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} x_{1,ji} e_i) = x_{1,ji} - x_{2,ji}.$$

Thus image of  $s^\vee$  is the ideal sheaf of the diagonal

$$\mathcal{I}_\Delta = \{x_{1,ji} - x_{2,ji}\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-k \\ n-k < j \leq n}}.$$

In the case of a point  $* = (x, y)$  with  $x \neq y$ , we claim  $s(x, y)$  is non zero. In this case,  $s^\vee$  is surjective onto  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R \times \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R})_{(x, y)}$  and we are done since  $(\Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R})_{x, y} = 0$ . To prove the claim, we assume to the contrary  $s(x, y) = 0$ . In that case we have an exact sequence by the definition of  $s$

$$0 \rightarrow p^*(S)_{(x, y)} \rightarrow q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R}^{\oplus n})_{(x, y)} \rightarrow q^*(Q)_{(x, y)} \rightarrow 0$$

which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & p^*(S)_{(x, y)} & \longrightarrow & p^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R}^{\oplus n})_{(x, y)} & \longrightarrow & p^*(Q)_{(x, y)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{---} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & p^*(S)_{(x, y)} & \longrightarrow & q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R}^{\oplus n})_{(x, y)} & \longrightarrow & q^*(Q)_{(x, y)} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the rightmost vertical arrow is an isomorphism by the five lemma. We replace  $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R \times \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R$  by the diagram 7.5 by

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathrm{Spec}(R) \end{array}$$

and over  $* = (x, y)$ , we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R}^{\oplus n}) & \longrightarrow & p^*(\mathcal{Q}) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R}^{\oplus n}) & \longrightarrow & q^*(\mathcal{Q}) \end{array}$$

Since  $p^*V$  of a vector bundle  $V$  is just the fibre  $V|_x$  at  $x$  and  $q^*V = V|_y$ , we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R}^{\oplus n}|_x & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}|_x \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R}^{\oplus n}|_y & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}|_y \end{array}$$

which is absurd in case  $x \neq y$  by the definition of the Grassmannian functor.  $\square$

The following lemma is stated in [E, remark 7.6].

**Lemma 7.9.** *With notation as in lemma 7.2, the smallest strictly full triangulated subcategory of  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R)$  containing the set  $\{\mathrm{Im}(\Phi'_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \overline{B_{k, n}}}$  is  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R)$  itself.*

*Proof.* We obtain a Koszul resolution for  $s^\vee$  by lemma 7.7 given by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^{k(n-k)} (\mathrm{S} \boxtimes \mathrm{Q}^\vee) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 (\mathrm{S} \boxtimes \mathrm{Q}^\vee) \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 (\mathrm{S} \boxtimes \mathrm{Q}^\vee) \\ \rightarrow \bigwedge^1 (\mathrm{S} \boxtimes \mathrm{Q}^\vee) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R \times \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R} \rightarrow \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\bigwedge^i (\mathrm{S} \boxtimes \mathrm{Q}^\vee) \simeq (\bigwedge^i (\mathrm{S}^\vee \boxtimes \mathrm{Q}))^\vee$$

we can write the above long exact sequence as

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow (\bigwedge^{k(n-k)} (\mathrm{S}^\vee \boxtimes \mathrm{Q}))^\vee \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^3 (\mathrm{S} \boxtimes \mathrm{Q}^\vee) \rightarrow (\bigwedge^2 (\mathrm{S}^\vee \boxtimes \mathrm{Q}))^\vee \\ \rightarrow (\bigwedge^1 (\mathrm{S}^\vee \boxtimes \mathrm{Q}))^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R \times \mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R} \rightarrow \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_R} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, given an object  $E$  in  $D_{\text{qc}}(\text{Gr}(k, n)_R)$ , we have an exact functor

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : D_{\text{qc}}(\text{Gr}(k, n)_R \times \text{Gr}(k, n)_R) &\rightarrow D_{\text{qc}}(\text{Gr}(k, n)_R) \\ F &\mapsto \Psi_F(E) := \mathbf{R}p_*(Lq^* E \otimes_L F). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $L$  be an ample line bundle on  $\text{Gr}(k, n)_R$ . Then applying  $\Psi$  to the long exact sequence equation 7.10, we deduce that  $L \simeq \Psi_{\Delta^* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Gr}(k, n)_R}}(L)$  is contained in the smallest strictly full triangulated category containing  $\{\Psi_{(\wedge^i(S^\vee \boxtimes Q))^\vee}(L)\}_{i \geq 0}$ . Utilizing theorem 5.7, we get sequences of short exact sequences for each  $i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow G_1(S^\vee, Q) \rightarrow M_{\alpha_1}(S^\vee, Q) \rightarrow G_2(S^\vee, Q) \rightarrow 0. \\ 0 &\rightarrow M_{\alpha_1}(S^\vee, Q) \rightarrow M_{\alpha_2}(S^\vee, Q) \rightarrow G_3(S^\vee, Q) \rightarrow 0. \\ &\dots \\ 0 &\rightarrow M_{\alpha_p}(S^\vee, Q) \rightarrow \bigwedge^i(S^\vee \boxtimes Q) \rightarrow G_{p+1}(S^\vee, Q) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

where each  $G_i(S^\vee, Q)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}^{\alpha} S^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{K}^{\alpha'} Q$  for some  $\alpha$ . We can dualize this short exact sequences since the rightmost terms are flat (lemma 5.5) to obtain another collection of short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow (G_2(S, Q))^\vee \rightarrow (M_{\alpha_1}(S, Q))^\vee \rightarrow (G_1(S, Q))^\vee \rightarrow 0. \\ 0 &\rightarrow (G_3(S, Q))^\vee \rightarrow (M_{\alpha_2}(S, Q))^\vee \rightarrow (M_{\alpha_1}(S, Q))^\vee \rightarrow 0. \\ &\dots \\ 0 &\rightarrow (G_{p+1}(S, Q))^\vee \rightarrow \left( \bigwedge^i(S \boxtimes Q) \right)^\vee \rightarrow (M_{\alpha_p}(S, Q))^\vee \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

where each  $G_i(S^\vee, Q)^\vee$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{L}^{\alpha} S^\vee)^\vee \boxtimes (\mathcal{K}^{\alpha'} Q)^\vee \simeq \mathcal{K}^{\alpha} S \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} Q^\vee$  for some  $\alpha$ . Applying  $\Psi$  to this collection, we deduce  $\{\Psi_{(\wedge^i(S^\vee \boxtimes Q))^\vee}(L)\}_{i \geq 0}$  and hence,  $L$  is contained in the smallest strictly full triangulated subcategory generated by  $\{\Psi_{\mathcal{K}^{\alpha} S \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} Q^\vee}(L)\}_{\alpha \in \overline{B_{k, n}}}$ . We can restrict  $\alpha$  to have fewer than  $k$  rows and  $n - k$  columns since  $\mathcal{K}^{\alpha} S$  vanishes when  $\alpha$  exceeds  $k$  rows, and  $\mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} Q^\vee$  vanishes when  $\alpha$  exceeds  $n - k$  columns owing to their respective dimensions. We now compute the term  $\Psi_{\mathcal{K}^{\alpha} S \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} Q^\vee}(L)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\mathcal{K}^{\alpha} S \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} Q^\vee}(L) &= \mathbf{R}p_*(Lq^* L \otimes_L (\mathcal{K}^{\alpha} S \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} Q^\vee)) \\ &= \mathbf{R}p_*(Lq^* L \otimes_L Lp^* \mathcal{K}^{\alpha} S \otimes_L Lq^* \mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} Q^\vee) \\ &= \mathcal{K}^{\alpha} S \otimes \mathbf{R}p_* Lq^*(L \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} Q^\vee) \\ &= \mathcal{K}^{\alpha} S \otimes L\pi^* \mathbf{R}\pi_*(L \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} Q^\vee) \\ &= \Phi'_\alpha(\mathbf{R}\pi_*(L \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\alpha'} Q^\vee)) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used projection formula and flat base change with respect to diagram 7.5. On the other hand,  $\Phi'_\alpha$  are fully faithful Fourier-Mukai Functors (lemma 7.4) and their essential images are a semiorthogonal sequence (lemma 7.4) of admissible subcategories (lemma 4.8). We have just proved that  $L$  is in the smallest strictly full triangulated subcategory containing  $\{\text{Im}(\Phi'_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \overline{B_{k, n}}}$ . So we can conclude by using lemma 4.11 since  $L$  is a generator of  $D_{\text{qc}}(\text{Gr}(k, n)_R)$  (lemma 4.12).  $\square$

## 8. GENERALIZED SEVERI BRAUER SCHEMES

*Remark 8.1.* In what follows, we will make use of the fact that a Grassmannian  $\mathrm{Gr}(k, n)_X$  admits a faithful action of  $\mathrm{PGL}_n$ . This is easily proved by looking at the action of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  on subbundles of  $\mathcal{O}_X^n$ .

**Definition 8.2.** An algebraic stack  $Y$  over  $X$  is called *generalised Severi-Brauer stack* if it is fppf locally isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Gr}(k, V)$  for some  $k$  and vector bundle  $V$  on a fppf cover of  $X$ . This means that there is a 2-Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}(k, V) & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \longrightarrow & X, \end{array}$$

where  $X' \rightarrow X$  is fppf.

We will use the notation  $\mathrm{SB}(k, n)_X \rightarrow X$  for a generalised Severi-Brauer stack over  $X$ . The subscript  $X$  is often dropped from the notation when it is clear from the context what the base stack  $X$  is.

Given such a stack, we can form its *gerbe of trivialisations*. This is algebraic stack with a morphism to  $X$  that we denote by  $G(\mathrm{SB}(k, n)_X) \rightarrow X$ . Given a point  $t : \mathrm{Spec}(R) \rightarrow X$ , the groupoid over  $t$  has as objects pairs  $(V, f)$  where  $V$  is a locally free sheaf on  $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$  and

$$f : (\mathrm{SB}(k, n))_R \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(k, V^\vee)$$

is an isomorphism. A morphism  $(V, f) \rightarrow (V', f')$  is an isomorphism  $V \rightarrow V'$  such that the obvious diagram commutes. In view of 8.1, this is a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -gerbe.

It follows from the definition of  $G(\mathrm{SB}(k, n)_X)$  that there is a universal locally free sheaf  $V$  of rank  $n$  on  $G(\mathrm{SB}(k, n)_X)$  and a 2-Cartesian diagram

$$(8.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Gr}(k, V) & \xrightarrow{q'} & \mathrm{SB}(k, n)_X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ G(\mathrm{SB}(k, n)_X) & \xrightarrow{q} & X. \end{array}$$

It follows that  $\mathrm{Gr}(k, V) \rightarrow \mathrm{SB}(k, n)_X$  is a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -gerbe.

In what follows, we will make use of twisted sheaves and gerbes corresponding to cohomology classes. See, for example, [D] and [L].

Let

$$q : G \rightarrow X$$

be a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -gerbe on  $X$  corresponding to a cohomology class  $\beta$  in  $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . Given  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have full subcategories  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(G, d)$  of  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(G)$  consisting of complexes with  $d$ -homogeneous cohomology (that is the action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on the cohomology sheaves are multiplication by  $(-)^d$ )[BS2, Definition 4.2]. The quasi-coherent derived category of  $\beta$ -twisted sheaves on  $X$  is defined to be  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(G, 1)$ . It follows that the quasi-coherent derived category of  $\beta^d$ -twisted sheaves on  $X$  is equivalent to  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(G, d)$ [BS2, Corollary 5.8] and the quasi coherent derived category of untwisted sheaves on  $X$  are equivalent to  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(G, 0)$ .

**Theorem 8.4.** *If*

$$q : G \rightarrow X$$

is a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -gerbe  $G$  corresponding to a cohomology class  $\beta$  then:

- (1) there is a decomposition of the derived category of sheaves on gerbes into derived categories of twisted sheaves on  $X$

$$\prod_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(G, d) \cong \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(G)$$

- (2) if  $F_d$  and  $E_e$  are  $\beta^d$  and  $\beta^e$  twisted respectively then  $F_d \otimes E_e$  and  $\mathcal{H}om(E_e, F_d)$  are  $\beta^{d+e}$  and  $\beta^{d-e}$  twisted respectively.
- (3) the map  $\mathrm{L}q^*$  is fully faithful with essential image  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(G, 0)$ . (as mentioned earlier untwisted sheaves corresponds to complexes whose cohomologies are homogeneous for the trivial action)
- (4) given a map  $\pi$  of algebraic stacks and a 2-Cartesian diagram

$$(8.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{q'} & Y \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ G & \xrightarrow{q} & X \end{array}$$

with  $H$  a  $\mathbb{G}_m$  gerbe. Then  $\mathrm{L}(\pi')^*$  sends  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(G, d)$  to  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(H, d)$ . That is, pullback by  $\pi$  sends  $\beta^d$  twisted sheaves to  $(\pi^*\beta)^d$  twisted sheaves.

*Proof.* We quote the relevant theorems in [BS2]

- (1) [BS2, Theorem 5.4]  
(2) [BS2, Theorem 5.4]  
(3) [BS2, Proposition 5.7]  
(4) [BS2, Theorem 5.6]

□

**Theorem 8.6.** For a generalized Severi-Brauer stack  $\mathrm{SB}(k, n)_X$  over an algebraic stack  $X$  there exists fully faithful functors

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\alpha &: \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(G(\mathrm{SB}(k, n)), |\alpha|) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{SB}(k, n)) \\ \Psi_\alpha(F) &= \mathrm{R}q'_*(\mathrm{L}(\pi')^*C \otimes \mathcal{K}^\alpha S) \end{aligned}$$

where the notation is the same as that in 8.3. Moreover, there is a semiorthogonal decomposition of  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{SB}(k, n))$  into right admissible categories

$$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{SB}(k, n)) = \langle \{\mathrm{Im}(\Psi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \overline{B_{k,n}}} \rangle.$$

In particular, there is a semiorthogonal decomposition in terms of the derived categories of twisted sheaves on  $X$ .

*Proof.* We will make use of the notation in (8.3).

By definition of the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $G(\mathrm{SB}(k, n)_X)$ , the universal bundle  $V$  is in  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(G(\mathrm{SB}(k, n)_X), 1)$  and hence  $(\pi')^*(V^\vee)$  is in  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, V^\vee), -1)$  by Theorem 8.4 Part(3). Hence  $(V^\vee)^{|\alpha|}$  is in  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, F^\vee), -|\alpha|)$  by Theorem 8.4 Part(2). Since  $S^{|\alpha|}$  is a subsheaf of  $(V^\vee)^{|\alpha|}$ , it is in  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, F^\vee), -|\alpha|)$  as well. Moreover, the surjection in equation 5.4

$$S^{|\alpha|} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\alpha S.$$

proves  $\mathcal{K}^\alpha S$  is in  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, F^\vee), -|\alpha|)$ .

For  $C$  in  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(G(\mathrm{SB}(k, n)_X), d)$ , the complex  $\mathrm{L}(\pi')^*(C) \otimes \mathcal{K}^\alpha S$  is in  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{qc}}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, V^\vee), 0)$  if and only if  $d = |\alpha|$  by theorem 8.4 part(2) and part(3). We let  $\Phi_\alpha(C) :=$



$L(\pi')^*C \otimes \mathcal{K}^\alpha S$ . By theorem 6.6, the functors  $\Phi_\alpha$  are fully faithful and have right adjoints. Moreover, their restrictions

$$\Phi_\alpha : D_{\text{qc}}(G(\text{SB}(k, n)_X), |\alpha|) \rightarrow D_{\text{qc}}(\text{Gr}(k, V^\vee), 0)$$

admit right adjoints by Theorem 8.4 part(1).

The semiorthogonal decomposition in Theorem 6.6

$$D_{\text{qc}}(\text{Gr}(k, V)) = \langle \{\text{Im}(\Phi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \overline{B_{k,n}}} \rangle$$

combined with [BS1, Corollary 5.19] implies the semiorthogonal decomposition

$$D_{\text{qc}}(\text{Gr}(k, V^\vee), 0) = \langle \{\text{Im}(\Phi_\alpha|_{D_{\text{qc}}(G, |\alpha|)})\}_{\alpha \in \overline{B_{k,n}}} \rangle.$$

Now  $L(q')^*$  is a fully faithful functor onto  $D_{\text{qc}}(\text{Gr}(k, V^\vee), 0)$  by theorem 8.4. Hence  $Rq'_*$  restricted to  $D_{\text{qc}}(\text{Gr}(k, V^\vee), 0)$  is fully faithful with essential image  $D_{\text{qc}}(\text{SB}(k, n))$ . Thus, we get a semiorthogonal decomposition

$$D_{\text{qc}}(\text{SB}(k, n)) = \langle \{\text{Im}(\Psi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \overline{B_{k,n}}} \rangle$$

□

**Corollary 8.7.** *For a generalized Severi-Brauer stack*

$$\pi : \text{SB}(k, n) \rightarrow X,$$

*there is a semiorthogonal decomposition of the derived categories  $D_p(\text{SB}(k, n))$ ,  $D_{pc}^{lb}(\text{SB}(k, n))$  and  $D_{sg}(\text{SB}(k, n))$  into right admissible subcategories given by essential images of the induced fully faithful functors (for  $\alpha \in \overline{B_{k,n}}$ )*

$$\Psi_\alpha^p : D_p(G(\text{SB}(k, n)), |\alpha|) \rightarrow D_p(\text{SB}(k, n))$$

$$\Psi_\alpha^{pc} : D_{pc}^{lb}(G(\text{SB}(k, n)), |\alpha|) \rightarrow D_{pc}^{lb}(\text{SB}(k, n))$$

$$\Psi_\alpha^{sg} : D_{sg}(G(\text{SB}(k, n)), |\alpha|) \rightarrow D_{sg}(\text{SB}(k, n))$$

*respectively.*

*Proof.* This follows from Corollary 6.7 and [BS1, Corollary 5.19]. □

## 9. APPLICATIONS

We first prove that a flag variety over an algebraic stack has a semiorthogonal decomposition. Let  $V$  be a rank  $n$  vector bundle on an algebraic stack  $X$ . Given integers  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k = n$ . We denote by  $\text{Fl}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, V)_X$  the partial flag variety over  $X$  parameterizing flags

$$0 \subset W_1 \subset W_2 \dots \subset W_{k-1} \subset W_k = V$$

such that  $W_j$  is a vector bundle over  $X$  of rank  $i_j$ . For each integer  $j$  satisfying  $1 \leq j < k$ , we have tautological bundles  $S_j$  over  $\text{Fl}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, V)_X$ . On the other hand, let  $\overline{B_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, n}}$  be the set of tuples  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})$  where each  $\alpha_j$  is a partition such that the corresponding Young diagram has less than  $i_j$  rows and has no more than  $i_{j+1} - i_j$  column. We equip it with the dictionary order.

**Corollary 9.1.** *Let  $\text{Fl}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, V)_X$  be the flag variety over an algebraic stack  $X$*

$$\pi : \text{Fl}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, V)_X \rightarrow X$$

*Then there exists fully faithful Fourier Mukai functors*

$$\Phi_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}} : D_{\text{qc}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Fl}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, V)_X$$

$$\Phi_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}}(E) = L\pi^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\alpha_1} S_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\alpha_2} S_2 \dots \otimes \mathcal{K}^{\alpha_{k-1}} S_{k-1}$$

such that there is a semiorthogonal decomposition of  $D_{qc}(\mathrm{Fl}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, V)_X)$  into right admissible categories

$$D_{qc}(\mathrm{Gr}(k, V)_X) = \langle \{\mathrm{Im}(\Phi_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}})\}_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}) \in \overline{B_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, n}}} \rangle$$

The induced functors also give a semiorthogonal decomposition of

$$D_p(\mathrm{Fl}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, V)_X), D_{pc}^{lb}(\mathrm{Fl}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, V)_X) \text{ and } D_{sg}(\mathrm{Fl}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, V)_X).$$

*Proof.* Follows from theorem 6.3 and corollary 6.7 since  $\mathrm{Fl}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}, V)_X$  is a tower of Grassmannian bundle.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.2.** For a generalized Severi-Brauer variety over a field

$$\pi : \mathrm{SB}(k, n) \rightarrow K$$

Let  $B$  be a central simple algebra representing the class  $\beta$  in  $\mathrm{Br}(K)$  where  $\beta$  is the class of  $\mathrm{SB}(k, n)$ . Then  $D^b(\mathrm{SB}(k, n))$  has a semiorthogonal decomposition such that each component is equivalent to the derived category  $D^b(B^{\otimes i})$  of bounded complexes of finitely generated modules over  $B^{\otimes i}$  where  $i \in [n]$ . Moreover, the number of times a component equivalent to  $D^b(B^{\otimes i})$  occurs is equal to the number of partitions of  $i$ .

*Proof.* By corollary 8.7 if  $B$  represents  $\beta$ , finite modules on  $B^i$  are the  $\beta^i$  twisted coherent sheaves on  $K$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.3.** The bounded derived category of a finite product of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties over a field admits a full exceptional collection if and only if it splits as a finite product of Grassmannians.

*Proof.* Corollary 6.7 proves that a finite product of Grassmannians admits an exceptional collection, see [Nov, Proposition 4.5] for further details.

For the reverse implication, suppose a finite product of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties  $X$  admits a full exceptional collection. Then its bounded derived category of coherent sheaves is representable in dimension 0, see [AB, Definition 1.13, Lemma 1.19]. Now corollary 9.2 gives us a collection that satisfies the conditions in [Nov, Proposition 4.5]. This lemma follows from [Nov, Remark 4.6].  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AB] A. Auel and M. Bernardara, Semiorthogonal decompositions and birational geometry of del Pezzo surfaces over arbitrary fields, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 117 1-64, (2018).
- [ABW] A. Kaan, D. Buchsbaum, J. Weyman, Schur functors and Schur complexes, *Advances in Mathematics*, Volume 44, Issue 3, Pages 207-278, (1982).
- [BDM] M. Ballard and A. Duncan and P. McFaddin, On derived categories of arithmetic toric varieties, *Ann. K-theory* 4 (2019).
- [Ba] S. Baek, Semiorthogonal decompositions for twisted grassmannians, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 144 (2016).
- [B] A. Beilinson, Coherent sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and problems in linear algebra, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 12 (1978)
- [BS1] D. Bergh, O. Schnürer(2020), Conservative descent for semi-orthogonal decompositions, *Advances in Mathematics*, Volume 360, 106882, ISSN 0001-8708
- [BS2] D. Bergh, O. Schnürer(2021) Decompositions of derived categories , of gerbes and of families of Brauer-Severi varieties, *Documenta Mathematica*,26,1465-1500.
- [Be] M. Bernardara, A semiorthogonal decomposition for Brauer-Severi schemes, *Math. Nachr.*, 282, 1406-1413, (2009).
- [Bl] M. Blunk, A derived equivalence for some twisted projective homogeneous varieties, <http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1204.0537>.

- [BLV] R. Buchweitz, G. Leuschke, M. Van den Bergh On the derived category of Grassmannians in arbitrary characteristic, *Compositio Mathematica*, 151(7):1242-1264 (2015).
- [D] J. DeJong, *A result of Gabber*, available at <https://www.math.columbia.edu/dejong/papers/2-gabber.pdf>
- [E] A. Efimov, Derived categories of Grassmannians over integers and modular representation theory, *Advances in Mathematics*, 304, (2017).
- [F] W. Fulton, Young tableaux, *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*, 35, (1997).
- [Hartshorne] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry. Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag. (1977).
- [Kap1] , M. Kapranov, Derived category of coherent sheaves on Grassman manifolds, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSR*, 48, (1984).
- [Kap2] M. Kapranov, On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces, *Invent. Math.* 92 , no. 3, (1988).
- [L] , M. Lieblich, *Twisted sheaves and the period-index problem*, *Compos. Math.*, 144 (2008).
- [Lip] J. Lipman and M. Hashimoto Part I Joseph Lipman: Notes on Derived Functors and Grothendieck Duality. In Foundations of Grothendieck Duality for Diagrams of Schemes (Vol. 1960). Springer Berlin / Heidelberg. (2009).
- [O] D. Orlov, Projective bundles, monoidal transformations and derived categories of coherent sheaves, *Izv. Math.*, 41, 133 (1992).
- [Nov] S. Novaković Non-existence of exceptional collections on twisted flags and categorical representability via noncommutative motives, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.01043v4> (2019).
- [SP] The Stacks Project Authors, The Stacks Project, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.  
Email address: `adhill13@uwo.ca`  
Email address: `sroycho4@uwo.ca`