

ON THE CLASS OF SYSTEMS WHICH ARE DISJOINT FROM EVERY ERGODIC SYSTEM

ELI GLASNER AND BENJAMIN WEISS

ABSTRACT. In this note we give a fairly direct proof of a recent theorem of Górska, Lemańczyk and de la Rue which characterises the class of measure preserving transformations that are disjoint from every ergodic measure preserving transformation. Our proof works just as well for any countable acting group.

INTRODUCTION

A recent preprint [6] contains the following result. Let \mathcal{E} denote the class of ergodic measure preserving transformations, and let \mathcal{E}^\perp denote those transformations that are disjoint from all elements of \mathcal{E} . Then, $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T) \in \mathcal{E}^\perp$ if and only if, in the space $(\bar{X}, \bar{\mu})$ of ergodic components of \mathbf{X} , for $\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\mu}$ -a.e. pair (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) the ergodic transformations $(T_{\bar{x}_1}, T_{\bar{x}_2})$ are disjoint.

What is surprising about this result is that it shows that \mathcal{E}^\perp is a much richer class than was previously thought. The fact that \mathcal{E}^\perp is not empty is easy to see because the identity transformation on a non atomic measure space (like $[0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure λ) is an element of \mathcal{E}^\perp , as the following simple argument shows.

Suppose that \mathbf{X} is ergodic and ρ , a measure on $[0, 1] \times X$, is a joining of the identity on $[0, 1]$ and \mathbf{X} . Decompose ρ over λ

$$\rho = \int \delta_t \times \rho_t d\lambda(t),$$

so that $\int \rho_t d\lambda(t) = \mu$ and each ρ_t is T -invariant. Now, since \mathbf{X} is ergodic this means that for λ -a.e. t we have $\rho_t = \mu$, which shows that ρ is the product measure $\lambda \times \mu$.

We were intrigued by this result and found a fairly direct proof that works just as well for any countable group G . In the following note we present this proof. For a general group we don't know how to construct non-trivial examples in \mathcal{E}^\perp , however this can be done for an arbitrary amenable group as we will show. In this connection we also give an extension to amenable groups of an old theorem of Andres del Junco [7] to the effect that for a fixed ergodic transformation T the set of those $S \in \mathcal{E}$ that are disjoint from T are a dense G_δ .

It is easy to give a relative version of the main result and we point out in the penultimate section how this is done. In the final section we give a simple proof of the fact that $\mathcal{E}(G)^\perp$ is closed under direct products (Theorem 30 in [1]).

Date: May 1, 2024.

Key words and phrases. Ergodic systems, disjointness, amenable groups.

MSC2020: 37A25, 37A05, 37A15.

1. THE SET OF DISJOINT PAIRS IS G_δ

We denote by MPT the Polish group of all invertible probability measure preserving transformations $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$, with μ the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. The subset of MPT consisting of the ergodic elements will be denoted by $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z})$. It is well known that \mathcal{E} is a G_δ -set.

For a pair of elements (S, T) in MPT a *joining* is a probability measure λ on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ that projects onto Lebesgue measure μ on both coordinates and is invariant under $S \times T$. A joining λ defines a Markov operator $M = M_\lambda : L^2([0, 1], \mu) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1], \mu)$ by

$$Mf(x) = \int_0^1 f(y) d\lambda_x(y),$$

where the measures λ_x arise via the disintegration of λ over the first coordinate:

$$\lambda = \int_0^1 \delta_x \times \lambda_x d\mu.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, Mf \rangle &= \int \int g(x)f(y) d\lambda_x(y) d\mu(x) = \int g(x)f(y) d\lambda(x, y) \\ &= \int g(Sx)f(Ty) d\lambda(x, y) = \langle Sg, MTf \rangle \\ &= \langle g, S^{-1}MTf \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so that $M = S^{-1}MT$ or $MT = SM$. Conversely, it is clear that every Markov operator M which satisfies this equality determines a joining λ of S and T .

Let \mathcal{M} denote the space of all the Markov operators $M : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$. We equip \mathcal{M} with the weak operator topology. Recall that the map $T \mapsto U_T$, the Koopman operator associated with $T \in \text{MPT}$, is a homeomorphism from the Polish group MPT onto its image, a closed subgroup of the group \mathcal{U} of unitary operators on $L^2(\mu)$, where the latter is equipped with the strong operator topology.

1.1. Lemma. *Let $(S_n, T_n) \rightarrow (S, T)$ be a convergent sequence in $\text{MPT} \times \text{MPT}$, and for each n , let λ_n be a joining of the pair (S_n, T_n) with $M_n = M_{\lambda_n}$. Suppose further that the sequence λ_n converges to a measure λ with $M = M_\lambda$. Then λ is a joining of (S, T) ; i.e. $MU_T = U_S M$.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in L^2(\mu)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle f, M_n U_{T_n} g \rangle - \langle f, M U_T g \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle f, M_n U_{T_n} g \rangle - \langle f, M_n U_T g \rangle| + |\langle f, M_n U_T g \rangle - \langle f, M U_T g \rangle| \\ &\leq \|f\| \cdot \|M_n\| \cdot \|U_{T_n} g - U_T g\| + |\langle f, M_n U_T g \rangle - \langle f, M U_T g \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently large n both terms in the last line are small and we conclude that $M_n U_{T_n} \rightarrow M U_T$ in the weak operator topology. A similar calculation shows that $M_n U_{S_n} \rightarrow M U_S$ and hence $M U_T = U_S M$. \square

1.2. Proposition. *In $\text{MPT} \times \text{MPT}$ the set \mathcal{D} of pairs (S, T) such that S is disjoint from T is G_δ .*

Proof. In the weak*-topology the set of joinings corresponding to $\text{MPT} \times \text{MPT}$ form a closed (hence compact) subset of the weak*-compact metric space of probability measures on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Fix a compatible metric d on this space. For (S, T) in $\text{MPT} \times \text{MPT}$ let $J_{(S, T)}$ denote the closed set of all joinings of this pair. This is always non-empty as the product measure $\mu \times \mu$ is there. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ set

$$C_n = \{(S, T) : \text{diam } J_{(S, T)} \geq 1/n\}.$$

We claim that this is a closed set. Indeed, suppose $C_n \ni (S_k, T_k) \rightarrow (S, T)$. For each k there is a pair $\lambda_k, \lambda'_k \in J_{(S_k, T_k)}$ with $d(\lambda_k, \lambda'_k) \geq 1/n$. We can assume that $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda$ and $\lambda'_k \rightarrow \lambda'$. Then $\lambda, \lambda' \in J_{(S, T)}$ and $d(\lambda, \lambda') \geq 1/n$, whence $(S, T) \in C_n$. Now it follows that the G_δ set

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\text{MPT} \times \text{MPT}) \setminus C_n$$

coincides with the set of pairs (S, T) with $J_{(S, T)} = \{\mu \times \mu\}$, namely the set of disjoint pairs. \square

2. A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE CLASS \mathcal{E}^\perp

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be an invertible probability measure preserving system. Let

$$\mu = \int_{\bar{X}} \mu_{\bar{x}} d\bar{\mu}(\bar{x})$$

be the ergodic decomposition of T . Assuming that the ergodic decomposition measure $\bar{\mu}$ has no atoms, we can take the space of parameters \bar{X} to be the unit interval $[0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure, and let $X = \bar{X} \times Z$, with Z also equals $[0, 1]$. Then, $T_{\bar{x}} = T \upharpoonright_{\{\bar{x}\} \times Z}$, or more precisely $T(\bar{x}, z) = (\bar{x}, T_{\bar{x}}z)$, and the systems $(Z, \mu_{\bar{x}}, T_{\bar{x}})$ are the ergodic components of T .

2.1. Proposition. *Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be an invertible probability measure preserving system with ergodic decomposition as above.*

- (1) *If T is disjoint from every ergodic S in MPT then its ergodic decomposition measure has no atoms.*
- (2) *The set*

$$D = \{(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) \in \bar{X} \times \bar{X} : T_{\bar{x}_1} \perp T_{\bar{x}_2}\}$$

is measurable.

Proof. The claim (1) is clear.

(2) The map $\bar{x} \mapsto T_{\bar{x}}$ is measurable, its image $\mathcal{T} = \{T_{\bar{x}}\}_{\bar{x} \in \bar{X}}$ is analytic in MPT , and therefore so is the product set $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$. By Proposition 1.2 the set \mathcal{D} is a G_δ -set, hence measurable and finally we conclude that so is the set $D = \mathcal{D} \cap (\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T})$. \square

2.2. Theorem. *Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) be an invertible probability measure preserving system.*

- (1) *If T is disjoint from every ergodic S in MPT , and $\mu = \int_{\bar{X}} \mu_{\bar{x}} d\bar{\mu}(\bar{x})$ is its ergodic decomposition as above, then the set*

$$D = \{(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) \in \bar{X} \times \bar{X} : T_{\bar{x}_1} \perp T_{\bar{x}_2}\}$$

has $\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\mu}$ measure 1.

- (2) *Conversely, if the ergodic decomposition measure of T has no atoms and $(\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\mu})(D) = 1$, then (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) is disjoint from all ergodic systems $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \eta, S)$.*

Proof. (1) We will show that D has $\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\mu}$ measure 1. Suppose to the contrary that the symmetric set $P = \{(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) : T_{\bar{x}_1} \not\perp T_{\bar{x}_2}\}$ has positive $\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\mu}$ -measure. By Fubini's theorem there is a point $\bar{x}_0 \in \bar{X}$ such that the set $K := P_{\bar{x}_0} = \{\bar{x} \in \bar{X} : (\bar{x}, \bar{x}_0) \in P\}$ has positive $\bar{\mu}$ -measure. Denote $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \eta, S) := (Z, T_{\bar{x}_0}, \mu_{\bar{x}_0})$. We then have $T_{\bar{x}} \not\perp S$ for every $\bar{x} \in K$.

For each $(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) \in P$ let $J_{(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2)}$ be the collection of non-product ergodic joinings of $T_{\bar{x}_1}$ and $T_{\bar{x}_2}$. By a theorem of Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewskii [12] (see also [16, Theorem 5.1]) there is a Borel cross-section $j : K \rightarrow \bigcup_P J_{(\bar{x}, \bar{x}_0)}$, $j(\bar{x}) \in J_{(\bar{x}, \bar{x}_0)}$, and then $\int_K j(\bar{x}) d\bar{\mu}(\bar{x})$ is a non-trivial joining of T and S , contradicting our assumption that T is disjoint from all the ergodic systems. This concludes the proof of claim (1).

We will next prove claim (2). So, we assume that $(\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\mu})(D) = 1$ and we want to show that then (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) is disjoint from all ergodic systems $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \eta, S)$. Fix an ergodic system $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \eta, S)$. Suppose to the contrary that $T \not\perp S$. If for $\bar{\mu}$ -a.e. \bar{x} $T_{\bar{x}} \perp S$ then clearly $T \perp S$. Thus there is a set $C \subset \bar{X}$ of positive $\bar{\mu}$ -measure such that $T_{\bar{x}} \not\perp S$ for every $\bar{x} \in C$.

We have $(\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\mu})((C \times C) \cap D) = \bar{\mu}(C)^2$; i.e. the set $(C \times C) \cap D$ has full measure in $C \times C$. Using transfinite induction one can show that there exists a subset $A \subset C$ of cardinality \aleph_1 such that $A \times A \subset D$, alternatively, use [13] to get a Cantor set A with $A \times A \subset D$ (hence of cardinality 2^{\aleph_0}). We then have:

- (i) $\bar{x} \in A \Rightarrow T_{\bar{x}} \not\perp S$, and
- (ii) $T_{\bar{x}_1} \perp T_{\bar{x}_2}$ for distinct $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2 \in A$,

In the sequel we will need the following lemma:

2.3. Lemma. *Let $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \eta, S)$ and $(Z_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \theta_i, R_i)$, $i \in I$ for some index set I , be ergodic systems such that $S \not\perp R_i$ for every $i \in I$, and such that $R_i \perp R_j$ for every distinct $i, j \in I$. Then there are functions $g_i \in L^2(Y, \eta)$ such that the family $\{g_i : i \in I\}$ is orthogonal.*

Proof. Fix for each $i \in I$ a joining $\lambda^{(i)}$ of $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \eta, S)$ and $(Z_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \theta_i, R_i)$ which is not the independent joining. We write $\lambda^{(i)} = \int \delta_y \times \lambda_y^{(i)} d\eta(y)$ and choose a function $h_i \in L^2(Z_i, \theta_i)$ with zero integral such that the function

$$g_i(y) = \int_{Z_i} h_i(z) d\lambda_y^{(i)}(z)$$

is not constant. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y g_i(y) d\eta(y) &= \int_Y \int_{Z_i} h_i(z) d\lambda_y^{(i)}(z) d\eta(y) = \\ &= \int_{Z_i} h_i(z) d\theta_i(z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Normalizing if necessary, we can assume that g_i has L^2 -norm 1,

Now given distinct $i, j \in I$, in order to compute the inner product $\langle g_i, g_j \rangle$ in $L^2(Y, \eta)$, consider the three-fold joining

$$\Lambda = \int_{Y \times Z_i \times Z_j} \delta_y \times \lambda_y^{(i)} \times \lambda_y^{(j)} d\eta(y).$$

Its projection to the product space $Z_i \times Z_j$ is a joining of R_i and R_j , hence must be the independent joining, as these two transformations are disjoint. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Y g_i(y) \overline{g_j(y)} d\eta(y) &= \int_Y \left(\int_{Z_i} h_i(z) d\lambda_y^{(i)}(z) \right) \cdot \left(\int_{Z_j} \overline{h_j(z')} d\lambda_y^{(j)}(z') \right) d\eta(y) \\ &= \int_{Y \times Z_i \times Z_j} g_i(y) \overline{g_j(y)} d\Lambda(y, z, z') \\ &= \int_Y g_i(y) d\eta(y) \cdot \int_Y \overline{g_j(z)} d\eta(y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Applying this lemma with $I = A$ and $R_i = T_{\bar{x}}$ we obtain an orthonormal non-countable set in the separable Hilbert space $L^2(Y, \eta)$, which is impossible.

This finishes the proof of claim (2).

□

3. THE CASE OF A GENERAL COUNTABLE GROUP

Let G be a countable group. Let $\mathbb{A}(G)$ denote the Polish space of probability measure preserving G -actions. The group MPT acts on $\mathbb{A}(G)$ by conjugation (see [11]). We let $\mathcal{E}(G)$ denote the collection of ergodic systems in $\mathbb{A}(G)$. It is well known and easy to see that this is a G_δ subset of $\mathbb{A}(G)$.

As already mentioned in the introduction the notions of joinings, disjointness, etc. which were defined in the preceding section for elements of MPT , naturally extend to the context of actions. E.g. for a pair of actions (a, b) in $\mathbb{A}(G) \times \mathbb{A}(G)$ a *joining* is still a probability measure λ on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ that projects onto Lebesgue measure μ on both coordinates and is invariant under $a(g) \times b(g)$ for every $g \in G$. Again, a joining λ , written as

$$\lambda = \int \delta_x \times \lambda_x,$$

defines a Markov operator $M = M_\lambda : L^2([0, 1], \mu) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1], \mu)$ by

$$Mf(x) = \int_0^1 f(y) d\lambda_x(y),$$

and we then have $Ma(g) = b(g)M$ for every $g \in G$. Also, conversely, every Markov operator M which satisfies these equalities determines a joining λ of a and b .

Moreover, examining the proofs of Propositions 1.2, 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we see that (almost verbatim) they make good sense if we replace \mathbb{Z} by an arbitrary countable group G . We restate these results as follows:

3.1. Proposition. *In $\mathbb{A}(G) \times \mathbb{A}(G)$ the set \mathcal{D} of pairs (a, b) such that a is disjoint from b is G_δ .*

3.2. Proposition. *Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbf{T})$, with $\mathbf{T} = \{T_g\}_{g \in G}$, be an element of $\mathbb{A}(G)$ with ergodic decomposition $\mu = \int_{\bar{X}} \mu_{\bar{x}} d\bar{\mu}(\bar{x})$.*

- (1) *If \mathbf{X} is disjoint from every ergodic \mathbf{a} in $\mathcal{E}(G)$ then its ergodic decomposition measure has no atoms.*
- (2) *The set*

$$D = \{(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) \in \bar{X} \times \bar{X} : \mathbf{T}_{\bar{x}_1} \perp \mathbf{T}_{\bar{x}_2}\}$$

is measurable.

3.3. Theorem. *Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbf{T})$, with $\mathbf{R} = \{R_g\}_{g \in G}$, be an element of $\mathbb{A}(G)$.*

- (1) *If \mathbf{T} is disjoint from every ergodic \mathbf{S} in $\mathcal{E}(G)$, and $\mu = \int_{\bar{X}} \mu_{\bar{x}} d\bar{\mu}(\bar{x})$ is its ergodic decomposition, then the set*

$$D = \{(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) \in \bar{X} \times \bar{X} : \mathbf{T}_{\bar{x}_1} \perp \mathbf{T}_{\bar{x}_2}\}$$

has $\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\mu}$ measure 1.

- (2) *Conversely, if $(\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\mu})(D) = 1$, then \mathbf{X} is disjoint from all ergodic systems $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \mathcal{C}, \eta, \mathbf{S}) \in \mathbb{A}(G)$.*

4. THE RELATIVE CASE

In this short section we observe that, with the obvious suitable modifications, all our arguments so far go through when we consider the following relative setup. Fix an ergodic dynamical system $\mathbf{Z} = (Z, \mathcal{Z}, \kappa, \mathbf{R}) \in \mathbb{A}(G)$, with $\mathbf{R} = \{R_g\}_{g \in G}$. We now assume that all our systems admit \mathbf{Z} as a factor and denote this collection of actions by $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}(G)$. Observe that when $\pi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is a factor map and $\mu = \int_{\bar{X}} \mu_{\bar{x}} d\bar{\mu}(\bar{x})$ is the ergodic decomposition of μ , then for $\bar{\mu}$ -a.e. \bar{x} we have $\pi_*(\mu_{\bar{x}}) = \kappa$, so that $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}(G)$ implies $\mathbf{T}_{\bar{x}} \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}(G)$ for $\bar{\mu}$ -a.e. \bar{x} .

Recall that elements $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbf{T})$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \mathcal{C}, \eta, \mathbf{S})$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}(G)$ are *relatively disjoint over their common factor \mathbf{Z}* if the relatively independent joining $\mu \times_{\kappa} \eta$ is the unique joining of \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} over \mathbf{Z} . We denote this relation by $\mathbf{X} \perp_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Y}$; see e.g. [3, Chapter 6, section 6] for more details.

4.1. Theorem. *Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \mathbf{T})$, with $\mathbf{T} = \{T_g\}_{g \in G}$, be an element of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}(G)$ such that the map $\pi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is κ -a.e. infinite to one.*

- (1) *If \mathbf{T} is disjoint over \mathbf{Z} from every ergodic \mathbf{S} in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}(G)$, and $\mu = \int_{\bar{X}} \mu_{\bar{x}} d\bar{\mu}(\bar{x})$ is its ergodic decomposition, then the set*

$$D = \{(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2) \in \bar{X} \times \bar{X} : \mathbf{T}_{\bar{x}_1} \perp_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{T}_{\bar{x}_2}\}$$

has $\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\mu}$ measure 1.

- (2) *Conversely, if $(\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\mu})(D) = 1$, then \mathbf{X} is disjoint over \mathbf{Z} from all ergodic systems $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \mathcal{C}, \eta, \mathbf{S}) \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}(G)$.*

5. $\mathcal{E}(G)^\perp$ SYSTEMS FOR AN AMENABLE GROUP

We now further assume that our countable group G is amenable. We will show that the space $\mathcal{E}(G)^\perp$ does not consist of identity maps only.

5.1. Theorem. *Any countable infinite amenable group G admits a non-identity action which is disjoint from every ergodic G -action.*

Proof. In Proposition 3.1 we saw that the set \mathcal{D} of pairs of actions in $\mathbb{A}(G) \times \mathbb{A}(G)$ that are disjoint is G_δ . It is clearly non-empty since any zero entropy action is disjoint from any Bernoulli shift. The group $\text{MPT} \times \text{MPT}$ acts by conjugation and clearly preserves \mathcal{D} . By the Ornstein-Weiss theorem [15], the conjugacy class of any free ergodic action is dense in $\mathbb{A}(G)$, and thus \mathcal{D} is a dense G_δ and we can apply the Mycielski-Kuratowski theorem [10, Theorem 19.1] to deduce the existence of a Cantor set $K \subset \mathbb{A}$ such that $K \times K \setminus \text{diagonal} \subset \mathcal{D}$. Now, by Theorem 2.2, any continuous probability measure ν on K will yield an element $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{E}(G)^\perp$.

More explicitly, given any continuous probability measure ν on K , we define a G -action $\hat{T}_g : K \times X \rightarrow K \times X$, $g \in G$, by $\hat{T}_g(\mathbf{T}, x) = (\mathbf{T}, T_g x)$, where $\mathbf{T} = \{T_g\}_{g \in G} \in K \subset \mathbb{A}$, and elements of \mathbb{A} are G -actions on the probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) . The resulting dynamical system $(K \times X, \nu \times \mu, \hat{T})$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2 and is therefore an element of $\mathcal{E}(G)^\perp$. \square

For the integers, Andres del Junco proved a stronger version of Prop. 3.1. He showed that the set of T that are disjoint from any fixed ergodic S forms a dense G_δ subset of MPT . The analogue of his result for amenable groups is almost contained in [8], and we take the occasion to give a complete proof.

5.2. Theorem. *Let G be a countable amenable group. Then, for any fixed free ergodic action $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, \{T_g\}_{g \in G}) \in \mathbb{A}(G)$, the set \mathbf{X}^\perp is a dense G_δ -set.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1 we know that the set of pairs $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) : \mathbf{X} \perp \mathbf{Y}\}$ is a G_δ subset of $\mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}$. Again, by Ornstein-Weiss' theorem [15], it suffices to show that for any free ergodic action \mathbf{X} there is at least one free ergodic action \mathbf{Y} disjoint from it. We consider the following cases:

Case 1: \mathbf{X} has zero entropy.

In this case any Bernoulli shift is disjoint from \mathbf{X} . Note that, as 0-entropy actions are generic in \mathbb{A} it follows that \mathbf{X}^\perp also contains a dense G_δ subset of 0-entropy systems.

Case 2: \mathbf{X} has completely positive entropy.

In this case any zero-entropy system is in \mathbf{X}^\perp .

Case 3: \mathbf{X} has positive entropy but admits a non-trivial Pinsker factor.

Let $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ be the Pinsker factor of \mathbf{X} . Choose a zero-entropy system $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \nu, \{S_g\}_{g \in G})$ in $\hat{\mathbf{X}}^\perp$ (see the remark in Case 1). Then, since the extension $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{X}}$ is relatively completely positive entropy, it follows from [5] that also $\mathbf{X} \perp \mathbf{Y}$. \square

5.3. Question. Does the same hold for an arbitrary countable infinite group G ? I.e. is $\mathcal{E}(G)^\perp \neq \{\text{identity map}\}$ for any such G ?

Here is a simple example for F_2 . Take X to be the two-sphere S^2 with Lebesgue measure μ . For $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ let A_α, B_α be the rotations by α around the z and x axis respectively. This defines an F_2 strictly ergodic action, and for a.e. pair α, β the corresponding actions are disjoint. In fact, the product system $(X \times X, F_2)$, where F_2 acts via $\langle A_\alpha, B_\alpha \rangle$ on the first X and via $\langle A_\beta, B_\beta \rangle$ on the second, is minimal. As these systems are isometric this implies strict ergodicity. Thus, $\mu \times \mu$ is the unique joining of the two systems.

Applying Theorem 3.3 we conclude that the diagonal F_2 -action on $[0, 1) \times S^2$ defined by:

$$T_a(t, x) = (t, A_t x), \quad T_b(t, x) = (t, B_t x),$$

(where $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$) is an element of $\mathcal{E}(F_2)^\perp$.

6. A SHORT PROOF OF THE PRODUCT THEOREM

6.1. Theorem. *For any countable group G , the class $\mathcal{E}(G)^\perp$ is closed under products.*

Proof. Again, in order to make the proof more transparent, we handle the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$. The proof of the general case is similar (where one should replace, e.g. $T_{\bar{x}}$ by $\mathbf{T}_{\bar{x}}$ for $\mathbf{T} = \{T_g\}_{g \in G} \in \mathbb{A}(G)$).

Suppose (X, \mathcal{B}, μ, T) and (Y, \mathcal{C}, ν, S) are in \mathcal{E}^\perp and let $(Z, \mathcal{D}, \rho, R)$ be ergodic. We need to show that $T \times S$ is disjoint from R . For $\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\nu}$ -a.e. pair (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) , $T_{\bar{x}} \perp S_{\bar{y}}$ and therefore their product is ergodic. It follows that

$$\mu \times \nu = \int_{\bar{X} \times \bar{Y}} T_{\bar{x}} \times S_{\bar{y}} d(\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\nu})(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

is the ergodic decomposition of $T \times S$. Thus, we have to show that for a.e. pair (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) , $T_{\bar{x}} \times S_{\bar{y}} \perp R$.

Consider, for a fixed \bar{y} , joinings of the triples $(T_{\bar{x}}, S_{\bar{y}}, R)$. For $\bar{\mu}$ a.e. \bar{x} , $T_{\bar{x}} \perp R$. Thus, in these joinings $T_{\bar{x}}$ is independent of R . Now $T_{\bar{x}} \times R$ is ergodic and hence, for $\bar{\nu}$ -a.e. \bar{y} , $T_{\bar{x}} \times R$ is independent of $S_{\bar{y}}$, hence for such \bar{y} all three components are independent for $\bar{\mu} \times \bar{\nu}$ -a.e. pair (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Przemysław Berk, Martyna Górska, Thierry de la Rue, *Joining properties of automorphisms disjoint with all ergodic systems*, arXiv:2312.13153.
- [2] E. Glasner, On the class of multipliers of W^\perp , *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Sys.* 14 (1994), 129–140.
- [3] Eli Glasner, *Ergodic theory via joinings*, AMS, Surveys and Monographs, **101**, 2003.
- [4] E. Glasner, B. Weiss, Processes disjoint from weak mixing, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 316 (1989), 689–703.
- [5] Eli Glasner, Jean-Paul Thouvenot and Benjamin Weiss, *Entropy theory without a past*, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, Volume 20, Issue 5, October 2000, pp. 1355–1370.
- [6] Martyna Górska, Mariusz Lemańczyk, Thierry de la Rue, *On orthogonality to uniquely ergodic systems*, arXiv:2404.07907.
- [7] del Junco, Andrés *Disjointness of measure-preserving transformations, minimal self-joinings and category.*, *Ergodic theory and dynamical systems*, I (College Park, Md., 1979–80), pp. 81–89, *Progr. Math.*, 10, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1981.
- [8] Matthew Foreman, Benjamin Weiss, *An anti-classification theorem for ergodic measure preserving transformations*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 6 (2004), no. 3, pp. 277–292.

- [9] T. de la Rue and J. de Sam Lazaro, *The generic transformation can be embedded in a flow* (French), Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Prob. Statist. **39** (2003), 121–134.
- [10] A.S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate texts in mathematics, **156**, 1991, Springer-Verlag.
- [11] Alexander S. Kechris, *Global aspects of ergodic group actions*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **160**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [12] Kuratowski, K.; Ryll-Nardzewski, C. *A general theorem on selectors*. (Russian summary) Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **13** (1965), 397–403.
- [13] M. Laczkovich, *A Ramsey theorem for measurable sets*, Proc. Am. J. of Math., **130**, Number 10, Pages 3085–3089, (2002).
- [14] M. Lemńczyk, F. Parreau, *Rokhlin extensions and lifting disjointness*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **23** (2003), 1525–1550.
- [15] Donald S. Ornstein and Benjamin Weiss, *Ergodic theory of amenable group actions. I. The Rohlin lemma*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), **2** (1980), no. 1, 161–164.
- [16] T. Parthasarathy, *Selection theorems and their applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **263**, 1972, Springer-Verlag.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, TEL AVIV, ISRAEL

Email address: `glasner@math.tau.ac.il`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

Email address: `weiss@math.huji.ac.il`