

# BASE CHANGE AND IWASAWA MAIN CONJECTURES FOR $GL_2$

ASHAY BURUNGALÉ, FRANCESC CASTELLA, AND CHRISTOPHER SKINNER

ABSTRACT. Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve of conductor  $N$ ,  $p$  an odd prime of good ordinary reduction such that  $E[p]$  is an irreducible  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module, and  $K$  an imaginary quadratic field with all primes dividing  $Np$  split. We prove Iwasawa Main Conjectures for the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -cyclotomic and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -anticyclotomic deformation of  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $K$  respectively, dispensing with any of the ramification hypotheses on  $E[p]$  in previous works. Using base change, the proofs are based on Wan’s divisibility towards a three-variable main conjecture for  $E$  over a quartic CM field containing  $K$ .

As an application, we prove cases of the two-variable main conjecture for  $E$  over  $K$ . The one-variable main conjectures imply the  $p$ -part of the conjectural Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer formula if  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) \leq 1$ . They are also an ingredient in the proof of Kolyvagin’s conjecture and its cyclotomic variant in our joint work with Grossi [BCGS23].

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Main Conjecture over CM fields	4
3. Main Conjectures over quartic CM fields	6
4. Main Conjectures over imaginary quadratic fields	6
5. Base change	8
References	10

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve,  $p$  an odd prime of good ordinary reduction for  $E$ , and  $K$  an imaginary quadratic field. In this paper we study Iwasawa theory of  $E$  over the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and the anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $K$ , proving corresponding Iwasawa Main Conjectures (cf. Theorems 1.1.2, 1.2.2 and 1.2.3).

**1.1. Cyclotomic Main Conjecture.** Let  $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$  be the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , put  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}/\mathbb{Q})$ , and let  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$  be the cyclotomic Iwasawa algebra.

We consider the classical Selmer group  $\text{Sel}_{p^{\infty}}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}) = \varinjlim_n \text{Sel}_{p^{\infty}}(E/\mathbb{Q}_n)$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}_n$  is the subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$  with  $[\mathbb{Q}_n : \mathbb{Q}] = p^n$ . Its Pontryagin dual

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_{p^{\infty}}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module. Let  $\mathcal{L}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) \in \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$  be the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function attached to  $E$  by Mazur–Swinnerton-Dyer [MSD74]. In [Maz72], Mazur conjectured the following.

**Conjecture 1.1.1** (Mazur’s Main Conjecture).  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\infty})$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion, with

$$\text{char}_{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\infty})) = (\mathcal{L}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}))$$

as ideals in  $\Lambda$ .

Note that implicit in Conjecture 1.1.1 is the integrality statement  $\mathcal{L}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) \in \Lambda$ ; this is most well-understood under the assumption that  $p$  is odd and

(irr $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ )  $E[p]$  is an irreducible  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -module

(see [GV00, Prop. 3.1]) where  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  is the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . (We similarly use  $G_L$  to denote the absolute Galois of a number field  $L$ .) Let  $T$  be the  $p$ -adic Tate module of  $E$ . In [Kat04], Kato

proved the  $\Lambda$ -torsionness of  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\infty})$  and the inclusion  $p^c \cdot \mathcal{L}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) \in \text{ch}_{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}))$  for some  $c \geq 0$ , with  $c = 0$  when  $T$  has “large” image. Let  $N$  be the conductor of  $E$ . Assuming further that

(mult) there exists a prime  $q \parallel N$  such that  $E[p]$  is ramified at  $q$ ,

the converse divisibility, and hence Conjecture 1.1.1 was proved by Skinner–Urban [SU14].

Our main result towards Conjecture 1.1.1 removes the hypothesis (mult):

**Theorem 1.1.2.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve and  $p$  a prime of good ordinary reduction for  $E$ . If  $p > 3$  satisfies (irr $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ), then  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\infty})$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion, with*

$$\text{ch}_{\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\infty})) = (\mathcal{L}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}))$$

in  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . If in addition

(im) there exists an element  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  fixing  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{\infty}})$  such that  $T/(\sigma - 1)T \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,

then the equality holds in  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and hence Conjecture 1.1.1 holds.

**Remark 1.1.3.**

- (i) For non-CM curves the condition (im) holds for all sufficiently large primes  $p$  by Serre’s open image theorem [Ser72]. In fact, it is expected that  $p \geq 37$  suffices.
- (ii) The only prior result towards Conjecture 1.1.1 without assuming the hypothesis (mult) is due to Wan [Wan15], based on Eisenstein congruence on the unitary group  $\text{GU}(2, 2)$  over CM fields. However, it is conditional on a  $p$ -integral comparison of certain automorphic periods, which still remains open. Our proof of Theorem 1.1.2 relies on a main result of [Wan15], but sidesteps the period comparison.

**1.2. Anticyclotomic Main Conjectures.** Assume that the discriminant  $D_K < 0$  satisfies

(disc)  $D_K$  is odd and  $D_K \neq -3$ .

Moreover, assume that  $K$  satisfies the *Heegner hypothesis*:

(Heeg) every prime  $\ell \mid N$  splits in  $K$ ,

and that

(spl)  $p = v\bar{v}$  splits in  $K$

for  $v$  the prime of  $K$  above  $p$  induced by an embedding  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ , which we fix throughout.

Let  $K_{\infty}^{-}/K$  be the anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension,  $\Gamma_K^{-} = \text{Gal}(K_{\infty}^{-}/K)$ , and  $\Lambda_K^{-} = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K^{-}]]$  the anticyclotomic Iwasawa algebra. In view of (Heeg) and the  $p$ -ordinarity hypothesis, the Kummer images of Heegner points of  $p$ -power conductor give rise to a  $\Lambda_K^{-}$ -adic class

$$\kappa_1^{\text{Heeg}} \in H_{\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}}^1(K, \mathbf{T}),$$

where  $\mathbf{T} = \varprojlim_n \text{Ind}_{K_n^{-}/K}(T)$ , with  $K_n^{-}$  the subfield of  $K_{\infty}^{-}$  with  $[K_n^{-} : K] = p^n$ , and  $H_{\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}}^1(K, \mathbf{T}) \subset H^1(K, \mathbf{T})$  is the compact ordinary Selmer group<sup>1</sup> interpolating the classical Selmer groups  $\varprojlim_m \text{Sel}_{p^m}(E/K_n^{-})$  as  $n$  varies. Let  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/K_{\infty}^{-})$  be the Pontryagin dual of  $\text{Sel}_{p^{\infty}}(E/K_{\infty}^{-}) = \varprojlim_n \text{Sel}_{p^{\infty}}(E/K_n^{-})$ . The formulation of a Main Conjecture in this setting is due to Perrin-Riou [PR87].

**Conjecture 1.2.1** (Heegner point Main Conjecture).  *$\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/K_{\infty}^{-})$  and  $H_{\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}}^1(K, \mathbf{T})$  have both  $\Lambda_K^{-}$ -rank one, and*

$$\text{ch}_{\Lambda_K^{-}}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/K_{\infty}^{-})_{\text{tor}}) = \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K^{-}}(H_{\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}}^1(K, \mathbf{T})/(\kappa_1^{\text{Heeg}}))^2$$

as ideals in  $\Lambda_K^{-}$ .

The first general results towards it are due to Bertolini [Ber95] and Howard [How04]. These works established the rank statements in Conjecture 1.2.1, and the latter proved the divisibility “ $\supseteq$ ” if

(sur)  $\bar{\rho}_E : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E[p])$  is surjective.

The first cases of the opposite divisibility, and hence of Conjecture 1.2.1, are a consequence of the main result [Wan20] of Wan, which employs Eisenstein congruences on the unitary group  $\text{GU}(3, 1)$ . In addition to (sur), it requires that  $N$  be square-free and a ramification condition on  $E[p]$ .

Our different approach dispenses with any of the ramification hypotheses, leading to the following result.

<sup>1</sup>See e.g. [CGLS22, §4.1] for a review of the construction, whose notations we largely follow.

**Theorem 1.2.2.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve of conductor  $N$ ,  $p$  be a prime of good ordinary reduction for  $E$ , and  $K$  an imaginary quadratic field satisfying (disc), (Heeg), and (spl). If  $p > 3$  satisfies (irr $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ), then both  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/K_{\infty}^-)$  and  $H_{\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}}^1(K, \mathbf{T})$  have  $\Lambda_K^-$ -rank one, and*

$$\text{ch}_{\Lambda_K^-}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/K_{\infty}^-)_{\text{tor}}) = \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K^-}(H_{\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}}^1(K, \mathbf{T})/(\kappa_1^{\text{Heeg}}))^2$$

in  $\Lambda_K^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . If further  $p > 3$  satisfies (sur), then the equality holds in  $\Lambda_K^-$  and hence Conjecture 1.2.1 holds.

Such an equality has applications to the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, especially to a  $p$ -converse to the Gross–Zagier and Kolyvagin theorem (cf. [Ski20, Wan21, Cas17, BT20, BST21]).

In light of the  $\Lambda_K^-$ -adic analogue of the  $p$ -adic Waldspurger formula of [BDP13] (see [CH18]) Conjecture 1.2.1 is equivalent to the prediction that the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{BDP}}(E/K)$  constructed in *op. cit.* generates the characteristic ideal of the anticyclotomic Selmer group  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(E/K_{\infty}^-)$  whose classes are locally trivial (resp. unrestricted) at the primes above  $\bar{v}$  (resp.  $v$ ). Hence, Theorem 1.2.2 also yields the following.

**Theorem 1.2.3.** *Let  $(E, p, K)$  be as in Theorem 1.2.2. If  $p > 3$  satisfies (irr $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ), then  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(E/K_{\infty}^-)$  is  $\Lambda_K^-$ -torsion, and*

$$\text{ch}_{\Lambda_K^-}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(E/K_{\infty}^-)) = (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{BDP}}(E/K))$$

in  $\Lambda_K^{-, \text{ur}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . If further  $p > 3$  satisfies (sur), then the equality of characteristic ideals holds in  $\Lambda_K^{-, \text{ur}}$ .

**1.3. Application to the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer formula.** A consequence of Theorems 1.1.2 and 1.2.3 is the following.

**Corollary 1.3.1.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a non-CM elliptic curve. Let  $p > 3$  be a prime of good ordinary reduction such that (irr $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) and (im) hold. If  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = r \in \{0, 1\}$ , then*

$$\left| \frac{L^{(r)}(E, 1)}{\text{Reg}(E) \cdot \Omega_E} \right|_p^{-1} = \left| \#\text{III}(E) \prod_{\ell \neq \infty} c_{\ell}(E) \right|_p^{-1}$$

and hence the  $p$ -part of the conjectural BSD formula for  $E$  is true.

*Proof.* In the case  $r = 0$ , this follows from Theorem 1.1.2, the interpolation property of  $\mathcal{L}_p(E/\mathbb{Q})$  at the trivial character, and [Gre99, Thm. 4.1]. Similarly, for a suitably chosen imaginary quadratic field  $K$ , the result for  $r = 1$  follows from Theorem 1.2.3, the formula of [BDP13] for the value of  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{BDP}}(E/K)$  at the trivial character, [JSW17, Thm. 3.3.1], and the  $r = 0$  result for the  $K$ -quadratic twist of  $E$ . (See also [Cas24, §1] for a more detailed review of these arguments.)  $\square$

**Remark 1.3.2.** The condition (im) in Corollary 1.3.1 excludes only finitely many primes  $p$  (cf. Remark 1.1.3(i)).

**1.4. On the two-variable Main Conjectures.** Our approach to the above theorems also gives a proof of the two-variable Iwasawa Main Conjectures for  $E/K$  under some additional hypothesis on  $E[p]$ . For the precise statement, consider the set of “vexing primes”  $\ell$  for  $E[p]$ :

$$\mathcal{V} := \{\ell \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \mid \bar{\rho}_E|_{D_{\ell}} \text{ is irreducible and } \bar{\rho}_E|_{I_{\ell}} \text{ is reducible}\},$$

where  $I_{\ell} \subset D_{\ell}$  are inertia and decomposition groups at  $\ell$ , respectively.

Let  $K_{\infty}/K$  denote the  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ -extension of  $K$ , and put  $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K)$  and  $\Lambda_K = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_K]]$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/K_{\infty})$  be the Pontryagin dual of the Selmer group  $\text{Sel}_{p^{\infty}}(E/K_{\infty})$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(E/K) \in \Lambda_K$  be the two-variable  $p$ -adic Rankin  $L$ -series constructed by Perrin-Riou [PR88] (normalized as in [CGS23, §1.2]).

**Theorem 1.4.1.** *Let  $(E, p, K)$  be as in Theorem 1.2.2. Assume that  $\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$ . If  $p > 3$  satisfies (irr $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ), then  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/K_{\infty})$  is  $\Lambda_K$ -torsion, with*

$$\text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/K_{\infty})) = (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(E/K))$$

in  $\Lambda_K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . If further  $p > 3$  satisfies (sur), then the equality of characteristic ideals holds in  $\Lambda_K$ .

**Remark 1.4.2.** Since the global root number of  $E$  over  $K$  equals  $-1$  (cf. (Heeg)), Theorem 1.4.1 complements the results on the two-variable Iwasawa Main Conjecture in [SU14].

**1.5. About the proofs.** The key new idea is to base change  $E$  to a quartic CM field  $M$  containing  $K$  for which the main result of [Wan15] towards a three-variable Main Conjecture applies, and utilize the two-variable zeta element associated to  $E$  over  $K$  as recently constructed in [BSTW23].

More precisely, the result of [Wan15] yields the divisibility

$$(1.1) \quad (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(E/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(E^F/K)) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E^F/K_\infty))$$

in  $\Lambda_K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ , where  $E^F$  is the quadratic twist of  $E$  for the real subfield  $F$  contained in  $M$ . In view of the two-variable zeta elements of [BSTW23] and their explicit reciprocity laws, this translates into the divisibility

$$(1.2) \quad (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(E/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(E^F/K)) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(E/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(E^F/K_\infty))$$

in  $\Lambda_K^{\text{ur}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(E/K) \in \Lambda_K^{\text{ur}} := \Lambda_K \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{ur}}$  is a two-variable  $p$ -adic Rankin  $L$ -series specializing to  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{BDP}}(E/K)$  under the natural projection  $\Lambda_K^{\text{ur}} \rightarrow \Lambda_K^{\text{ur}} := \Lambda_K \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{ur}}$ , and  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(E/K_\infty)$  is the counterpart of  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(E/K_\infty)$  over  $K_\infty/K$ . In view of the vanishing of the Iwasawa  $\mu$ -invariant of  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{BDP}}(E/K)$  proved in [Hsi14, Bur17] following ideas in [Hid10], the divisibilities (1.1) and (1.2) both hold integrally. The proof of Theorem 1.1.2 then follows from (1.1) (for a suitably chosen  $K$ ) by descending to the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $K_\infty^+/K$  and appealing to Kato's work [Kat04]. Similarly, the proof of Theorem 1.2.3 (and hence of Theorem 1.2.2) follows from (1.2) by descending to  $K_\infty^-/K$  and appealing to the Kolyvagin system bound developed in [CGLS22, CGS23] applied to the Heegner point Euler system. Without any restriction on  $\mathcal{V}$ , we thus arrive at the equality

$$(1.3) \quad (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(E/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(E^F/K)) = \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E^F/K_\infty))$$

(and likewise for (1.2)), and assuming  $\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$  we separate the two factors, concluding the proof of Theorem 1.4.1.

**Remark 1.5.1.** An Euler system for  $E/K$  extending<sup>2</sup> the construction in [BSTW23, LLZ15] would give rise to a divisibility

$$\text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(E/K_\infty)) \supset (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(E/K)),$$

possibly after inverting  $p$ . With this divisibility in hand, the proof of Theorem 1.4.1 follows from (1.3) without the additional hypothesis  $\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$ .

**Remark 1.5.2.** In the main text we prove Theorems 1.1.2, 1.2.2, 1.2.3, and 1.4.1 for any weight two elliptic newform with good ordinary reduction at  $p$ .

We conclude this Introduction by noting that when  $p > 3$  satisfies (irr $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ), Theorems 1.1.2 and 1.2.3 are one of the key ingredients<sup>3</sup> in the proof of Kolyvagin's conjecture and its analogue for Kato's Euler systems in a joint work of the authors with Grossi [BCGS23]. When  $p > 3$  satisfies (sur), Theorems 1.1.2 and 1.2.3 are also used in [BCGS23] to prove the refinement of Kolyvagin's conjecture and its cyclotomic analog formulated by W. Zhang [Zha14] and C.-H. Kim [Kim22], respectively.

**1.6. Acknowledgements.** The authors thank Giada Grossi, Haruzo Hida, Ye Tian, and Xin Wan for helpful communications. During the preparation of this paper, A.B. was partially supported by the NSF grants DMS-2303864 and DMS-2302064; F.C. was partially supported by the NSF grants DMS-2101458 and DMS-2401321; C.S. was partially supported by the Simons Investigator Grant #376203 from the Simons Foundation and by the NSF grant DMS-1901985.

## 2. MAIN CONJECTURE OVER CM FIELDS

In this section we briefly recall the formulation of the Iwasawa Main Conjecture over CM fields  $M/F$  at the base of the proof of our main results. Let  $F$  be a totally real field of degree  $d = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$ . Let  $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}))$  be a Hilbert modular newform over  $F$  of parallel weight 2. Let  $p$  be a prime with

$$(ur) \quad p \nmid D_F,$$

where  $D_F$  denotes the discriminant of  $F/\mathbb{Q}$ . For a prime  $\lambda$  of the Hecke field  $F_g$  over  $p$ , let  $\rho_g : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(F_{g,\lambda})$  be the associated Galois representation and  $V_g := V_{g,\lambda}$  the underlying  $F_{g,\lambda}$ -vector space. Let  $T_g \subset V_g$  be a  $G_F$ -stable  $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{F_{g,\lambda}}$ -lattice and,

$$\bar{\rho}_g : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$$

<sup>2</sup>dispensing with the need in [LLZ15] to twist by a non-Eisenstein and  $p$ -distinguished Hecke character

<sup>3</sup>A similar input when (irr $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) is not satisfied is provided by the main results of [CGS23].

the corresponding residual representation. Suppose that  $g$  is ordinary at each prime  $w$  of  $F$  over  $p$ , which we abbreviate as  $p$  being a prime of ordinary reduction for  $g$ . Let  $0 \subset \text{Fil}_w^+(V_g) \subset V_g$  be the associated filtration of  $F_{g,\lambda}[G_{F_w}]$ -modules and put  $\text{Fil}_w^+(T_g) = T_g \cap \text{Fil}_w^+(V_g)$ .

Let  $M$  be a CM quadratic extension of  $F$  such that

(spl $_F$ ) any prime of  $F$  above  $p$  splits in  $M$ .

Denote by  $\Gamma_M^-$  (resp.  $\Gamma_M^+$ ) the Galois group of the anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension  $M_\infty/M$  (resp. cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $M_\infty^+/M$ ). Let  $M_\infty = M_\infty^- M_\infty^+$  be the compositum, and put  $\Gamma_M = \text{Gal}(M_\infty/M)$  and  $\Lambda_M = \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_M]]$ .

*Selmer groups.* We consider the  $\mathcal{O}[G_M]$ -module

$$\mathcal{M}_g = T_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda_M^\vee,$$

where  $G_M$  acts on  $\Lambda_M$  and  $\Lambda_M^\vee$  via  $\Psi : G_M \rightarrow \Gamma_M \hookrightarrow \Lambda_M^\times$  and  $\Psi^{-1}$ , respectively. For every prime  $w$  of  $M$  above  $p$ , put  $\mathcal{M}_{g,w}^+ = \text{Fil}_w^+(T_g) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda_M^\vee$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of places of  $M$  containing the primes above  $\mathfrak{np}\infty$ , let  $M^\Sigma$  be the maximal extension of  $M$  unramified outside  $\Sigma$ , and define the *ordinary Selmer group* by

$$H_{\mathcal{F}_\Lambda}^1(M, \mathcal{M}_g) := \ker \left\{ H^1(M^\Sigma/M, \mathcal{M}_g) \rightarrow \prod_{w \in \Sigma, w \nmid p} H^1(M_w, \mathcal{M}_g) \times \prod_{w|p} H^1(I_w, \mathcal{M}_g/\mathcal{M}_{g,w}^+) \right\}.$$

We put  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/M_\infty) = H_{\mathcal{F}_\Lambda}^1(M, \mathcal{M}_g)^\vee$  to denote the Pontryagin dual, and for any subextension  $N$  of  $M_\infty/M$  let  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/N)$  be the analogously defined Selmer group with  $\text{Gal}(N/M)$  in place of  $\Gamma_M$ .

We shall also consider the *Greenberg Selmer group*

$$H_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{Gr}}}^1(M, \mathcal{M}_g) := \ker \left\{ H^1(M^\Sigma/M, \mathcal{M}_g) \rightarrow \prod_{w \in \Sigma, w \nmid p} H^1(M_w, \mathcal{M}_g) \times H^1(I_{\bar{v}}, \mathcal{M}_g) \right\}$$

and its Pontryagin dual  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/M_\infty)$ , as well as their variants for any  $N$  as above.

*p-adic L-functions.* Assume that the prime  $p$  is odd and unramified in  $F$ . Let  $M/F$  be a CM quadratic field satisfying (spl $_F$ ) and such that

( $\Delta$ )  $M$  is not contained in  $H_F$ , and any prime ramified in  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  splits in  $M$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{L}_p(g/M) \in \Lambda_M \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$$

be the associated  $(d+1)$ -variable  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function as in [Wan15, §7.3], which interpolates the central  $L$ -values  $L^{\text{alg}}(g/M \otimes \chi, 1)$  as  $\chi$  varies over finite order characters of  $\Gamma_M$  (cf. [Wan15, Thm. 82(i)]). If an underlying Hecke algebra is Gorenstein, then [Wan15, Thm. 82(ii)] shows the inclusion  $\mathcal{L}_p(g/M) \in \Lambda_M$ .

*Iwasawa Main Conjecture.*

**Conjecture 2.0.1.** *Let  $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{n}))$  be a Hilbert modular newform over a totally real field  $F$  and  $p$  an odd prime unramified in  $F$  and good ordinary for  $g$ . Let  $M/F$  be a CM quadratic extension satisfying (spl $_F$ ) and such that*

(irr $_M$ )  $\bar{\rho}_g$  is irreducible as  $G_M$ -representation.

Then  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/M_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda_M$ -torsion, with

$$\text{ch}_{\Lambda_M}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/M_\infty)) = (\mathcal{L}_p(g/M)).$$

**Remark 2.0.2.** Without conditions (ur), and (irr $_M$ ), the conjecture is still expected to hold, with the equality of characteristic ideals being possibly up to tensoring with  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

## 3. MAIN CONJECTURES OVER QUARTIC CM FIELDS

We describe a consequence of a result [Wan15] towards Conjecture 2.0.1 which will be central to the proofs of main results.

**Theorem 3.0.1** (Wan). *Let  $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  be an elliptic newform and let  $p > 3$  be a prime of good ordinary reduction for  $g$ . Let  $F$  be a real quadratic field with  $(pN, D_F) = 1$ , and let  $g_F$  denote the base-change of  $g$  to  $F$ . Let  $M/F$  be a CM quadratic extension satisfying  $(\text{spl}_F)$ ,  $(\Delta)$ , and  $(N\mathcal{O}_F, D_{M/F}) = (1)$ . Write*

$$N\mathcal{O}_F = \mathfrak{n}^+ \mathfrak{n}^-,$$

with  $\mathfrak{n}^+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{n}^-$ ) divisible only by primes which are split (resp. inert) in  $M/F$ . Suppose that:

- (i)  $\bar{\rho}_{g_F} = \bar{\rho}_g|_{G_F}$  satisfies  $(\text{irr}_M)$ .
- (ii) Hypothesis 3.0.2 holds.
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{n}^-$  is the squarefree product of an even number of primes.
- (iv)  $\bar{\rho}_{g_F}$  is ramified at every prime dividing  $\mathfrak{n}^-$ .

Then we have the divisibility

$$(\mathcal{L}_p(g_F/M)) \supset \text{char}_{\Lambda_M}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/M_\infty))$$

in  $\Lambda_M$ .

*Proof.* By [Wan15, Thm. 3], we have the divisibility

$$(\mathcal{L}_p(\mathbf{g}_F/M)) \supset \text{char}_{\mathbb{I}[\Gamma_M]}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{g}/M_\infty))$$

in  $\mathbb{I}[\Gamma_M]$ , where  $\mathbf{g}_F$  denotes the parallel weight Hida family passing through  $g_F$  (cf. [Hid88], [Hid89]) and  $\mathbb{I}$  is its coefficient ring. Since  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(\mathbf{g}/M_\infty)$  specializes to  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/M_\infty)$  under the map induced by the specialization  $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  corresponding to the  $p$ -ordinary stabilization of  $g_F$  (cf. [SU14, (3.5)]), and  $\mathcal{L}_p(g_F/M)$  is defined as an analogous specialization of  $\mathcal{L}_p(\mathbf{g}_F/M)$ , the assertion follows.  $\square$

**Hypothesis 3.0.2.**

- (H1)  $\bar{\rho}_g|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$  is absolutely irreducible, and for  $p = 5$  the following case is excluded: the projective image  $\bar{G}$  of  $\bar{\rho}_g|_{G_F}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and the mod  $p$  cyclotomic character factors through  $G_F \rightarrow \bar{G}^{\text{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  (in particular  $[F(\zeta_5) : F] = 2$ ).
- (H2) There is a minimal modular lifting of  $\bar{\rho}_g|_{G_F}$  (cf. [Fuj06, Def. 6.11]).
- (H3) For any finite place  $v$  of  $F$ , if  $\bar{\rho}_g|_{G_{F_v}}$  is absolutely irreducible and  $\bar{\rho}_g|_{I_{F_v}}$  is absolutely reducible, then  $q_v \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

Here  $I_{F_v} \subset G_{F_v}$  are inertia and decomposition groups at  $v$ , respectively, and  $q_v$  denotes the size of the residue field of  $v$ .

**Remark 3.0.3.**

- (i) Hypothesis (H1) implies that a certain Hecke algebra is Gorenstein (cf. [Fuj06, Thm. 11.1]), hence one has  $\mathcal{L}_p(g_F/M) \in \Lambda_M$ . (Note that here  $\bar{\rho}_{g_F}$  is automatically  $p$ -distinguished in the sense of *loc. cit.*)
- (ii) Under (H3), the exceptional case  $0_E$  in [Fuj06, p. 16] does not occur, and hence the results of [Fuj06] apply to the setting of [Wan15, §7–9] (cf. [Fuj06, p. 57]).
- (iii) The case excluded by (H1) does not occur for  $g$  corresponding to elliptic curves (cf. [Fuj06, Prop. 9.8]).

## 4. MAIN CONJECTURES OVER IMAGINARY QUADRATIC FIELDS

We collect some known results in the direction of Conjecture 2.0.1 (and some variants) in the case  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ . Although some of these results are known under weaker hypotheses, here we shall assume that

$$(\text{irr}_K) \quad \bar{\rho}_g \text{ is irreducible as } G_K\text{-representation,}$$

where  $K = M$  is imaginary quadratic in this section.

We refer the reader to §§1.2 and 1.4 of [CGS23] for a review of the construction and interpolation property of the two-variable  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g/K) \in \Lambda_K$  and  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g/K) \in \Lambda_K^{\text{ur}}$  appearing below.

#### 4.1. Two-variable Main Conjectures.

**Conjecture 4.1.1.** *Let  $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  be a newform and  $p > 2$  a prime of good ordinary reduction for  $g$ . Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field satisfying  $(\text{irr}_K)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda_K$ -torsion, with*

$$(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g/K)) = \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/K_\infty)).$$

Note that it follows from the comparison of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions in [Wan15, Prop. 84] that Conjecture 4.1.1 is nothing but Conjecture 3.0.1 in the case  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Conjecture 4.1.2.** *Let  $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  be a newform and  $p > 2$  a prime of good reduction for  $g$ . Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field satisfying  $(\text{spl})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda_K$ -torsion, with*

$$(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g/K)) = \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty))$$

as ideals in  $\Lambda_K^{\text{ur}}$ .

Underlying the following key result from [BSTW23] is a refinement of the Beilinson–Flach classes of [KLZ17] and their explicit reciprocity laws, allowing us to pass between the two preceding two-variable main conjectures.

**Proposition 4.1.3.** *Let  $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  be an elliptic newform, and  $p \nmid 2N$  an ordinary prime for  $g$ . Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field satisfying  $(\text{spl})$ ,  $(D_K, N) = 1$ , and  $(\text{irr}_K)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

(i)  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda_K$ -torsion, with

$$(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g/K)) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/K_\infty)) \quad \text{in } \Lambda_K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

(ii)  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda_K$ -torsion, with

$$(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g/K)) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty)) \quad \text{in } \Lambda_K^{\text{ur}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

The same conclusion holds for the opposite divisibilities, and before inverting  $p$ . In particular, Conjecture 4.1.1 and Conjecture 4.1.2 are equivalent.

*Proof.* This is shown in [BSTW23] (cf. [CGS23, Prop. 3.2.1] or [Cas24, §3.3]) building on a pair of four-term exact sequence coming from Poitou–Tate duality.  $\square$

Taking the direct sum of two pairs of four-term exact sequence as appeared in the proof of Theorem 4.1.3 one deduces the following.

**Corollary 4.1.4.** *Let  $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  and  $g' \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N'))$  be newforms,  $p \nmid 2NN'$  an ordinary prime for both  $g$  and  $g'$ , and  $K$  an imaginary quadratic field satisfying  $(\text{spl})$ ,  $(D_K, NN') = 1$ , and such that  $(\text{irr}_K)$  holds for both  $g$  and  $g'$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g'/K)) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g'/K_\infty))$ .
- (ii)  $(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g'/K)) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g'/K_\infty))$ .

Moreover, the same holds for the opposite divisibility.

**4.2. Anticyclotomic Main Conjectures.** A refinement of Kolyvagin’s methods (in the style of [How04] and [Nek07]) developed in [CGLS22, CGS23] yields the first part of the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.1.** *Let  $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  be an elliptic newform and  $p$  an odd prime of good ordinary reduction for  $g$ . Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field satisfying  $(\text{disc})$ ,  $(\text{Heeg})$ , and  $(\text{irr}_K)$ . Then the following hold:*

(a) Both  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/K_\infty^-)$  and  $H_{\mathcal{F}_\Lambda}^1(K, T_g \otimes \Lambda_K^-)$  have  $\Lambda_K^-$ -rank one, and

$$\text{ch}_{\Lambda_K^-}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/K_\infty^-)_{\text{tor}}) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K^-}(H_{\mathcal{F}_\Lambda}^1(K, T_g \otimes \Lambda_K^-)/(\kappa_1^{\text{Heeg}})^2) \quad \text{in } \Lambda_K^- \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

(b) If  $K$  also satisfies  $(\text{spl})$ , then  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty^-)$  is  $\Lambda_K^-$ -torsion, and

$$\text{ch}_{\Lambda_K^-}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty^-)) \Lambda_K^{-, \text{ur}} \supset (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{BDP}}(g/K)) \quad \text{in } \Lambda_K^{-, \text{ur}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Moreover, if  $(\text{sur})$  holds, then both divisibilities hold integrally.

*Proof.* Part (a) is contained in [CGS23, Thm. 5.5.2], and part (b) then follows from [BCK21, Thm. 5.2]. Under  $(\text{sur})$ , part (a) follows from [How04, Thm. B], and part (b) again from [BCK21, Thm. 5.2].  $\square$

The following vanishing result following from Hida’s methods will also play an important role our arguments.

**Proposition 4.2.2.** *Let  $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  be an elliptic newform with good reduction at  $p > 2$ , and suppose  $K$  is an imaginary quadratic field satisfying (disc), (Heeg), (spl), and (irr $_K$ ). Then*

$$\mu(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g/K)) = \mu(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{BDP}}(g/K)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By [Hsi14, Thm. B],  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{BDP}}(g/K)$  has vanishing  $\mu$ -invariant. Since a direct comparison of the interpolation properties shows that the projection of  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g/K)$  to  $\Lambda_K^{\text{ur}}$  generates the same ideal as  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{BDP}}(g/K)$  (see [CGS23, Prop. 1.4.5]), the result follows.  $\square$

## 5. BASE CHANGE

Let  $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  be an elliptic newform, and  $p > 3$  a good ordinary prime for  $g$  such that (irr $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) holds. Let  $M/F$  be a CM quadratic extension of a real quadratic field  $F$  for the form  $M = FK$  with  $K$  an imaginary quadratic field. For the  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ -extension  $\tilde{K}_\infty := FK_\infty$  of  $M$ , put  $\tilde{\Lambda}_K := \mathcal{O}[\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}_\infty/M)] \simeq \Lambda_K$  and let

$$\pi_K : \Lambda_M \rightarrow \Lambda_K$$

denote the map arising from the projection  $\text{Gal}(M_\infty/M) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}_\infty/M)$ .

**Lemma 5.0.1.** *We have the divisibility*

$$\pi_K(\text{ch}_{\Lambda_M}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/M_\infty))) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g^F/K_\infty))$$

in  $\Lambda_K$ , where  $g^F$  is the twist of  $g$  by the quadratic character corresponding to  $F/\mathbb{Q}$ .

*Proof.* All the references are to [SU14]. It follows readily from Shapiro's lemma, and Propositions 3.7 and 3.6 that the restriction map  $H^1(\tilde{K}_\infty, T_g) \rightarrow H^1(M_\infty, T_g)[I_K]$ , where  $I_K = \ker(\pi_K)$ , induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{ord}}}^1(M, \mathcal{M}_g)[I_K] &\simeq H_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{ord}}}^1(M, T_g \otimes \tilde{\Lambda}_K^\vee) \\ &\simeq H_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{ord}}}^1(M, T_g \otimes \Lambda_K^\vee) \oplus H_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{ord}}}^1(M, T_g \otimes \chi_F \otimes \Lambda_K^\vee) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta_F$  is the non-trivial character of  $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \text{Gal}(M/K)$  (so  $T_g \otimes \eta_F \simeq T_{g^F}$ ). Since by Corollary 3.8 we have the divisibility

$$\pi_K(\text{ch}_{\Lambda_M}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/M_\infty))) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/M_\infty)/I_K)$$

in  $\Lambda_M/I_K \simeq \Lambda_K$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.0.2.** *In the setting of Lemma 5.0.1, we have*

$$(\pi_K(\mathcal{L}_p(g_F/M))) = (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g^F/K))$$

in  $\Lambda_K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

*Proof.* This just follows from a direct comparison of the interpolation properties (cf. [Wan21, Prop. 84]).  $\square$

**5.1. Proofs of main results.** We can now complete the proof of the results stated in §1. We begin with the following key.

**Proposition 5.1.1.** *Let  $g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$  be an elliptic newform, and  $p \geq 5$  a prime of good ordinary reduction for  $g$ . Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field satisfying (disc), (Heeg), (spl), and (irr $_K$ ). Suppose  $F$  is a real quadratic field of discriminant  $D_F$  satisfying the following hypotheses:*

- (i)  $p$  is inert in  $F$ .
- (ii)  $D_F$  is odd and every prime dividing  $D_F$  splits in  $K$ .
- (iii) Every prime  $\ell|N$  is inert in  $F$  if  $\ell \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , and is split in  $F$  otherwise.
- (iv) (irr $_M$ ) holds for  $M = FK$ .
- (v)  $\bar{\rho}_g|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$  is irreducible.
- (vi) If  $p = 5$ , then  $F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)^+$ .

Then we have the divisibilities

$$(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g^F/K)) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g^F/K_\infty)) \quad \text{in } \Lambda_K,$$

and

$$(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K)) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K_\infty)) \quad \text{in } \Lambda_K^{\text{ur}}.$$



*Proof.* Note that the CM quadratic extension  $M/F$  and the residual representation  $\bar{\rho}_g|_{G_F}$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.0.1. Indeed, the conditions  $(pN, D_F) = 1$  and  $(N\mathcal{O}_F, D_{M/F}) = 1$  are clear, and hypotheses (i)–(iii) and (Heeg) imply  $(\text{spl}_F)$  and  $(\Delta)$ . Further (iii) implies  $\mathfrak{n}^- = \mathcal{O}_F$  and so the hypotheses (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 3.0.1 are vacuous. Hypothesis 3.0.2 is readily verified: (H1) follows by (v) and (vi), (H2) follows as in [Wan21, Thm. 103] (indeed, by our choice of  $F$ , the base change to  $F$  of a minimal modular lifting of  $\bar{\rho}_g$  gives a minimal modular lifting of  $\bar{\rho}_{g_F}$ ), and (H3) also follows by condition (iii) on  $F$ .

Now Theorem 3.0.1 leads to the divisibility

$$(\pi_K(\mathcal{L}_p(g_F/M))) \supset \pi_K(\text{ch}_{\Lambda_M}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/M_\infty)))$$

in  $\Lambda_K$ , and so by Lemmas 5.0.1 and 5.0.2, we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g^F/K)) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/K)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g^F/K))$$

in  $\Lambda_K \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . In turn Proposition 4.1.3 (and its proof) implies

$$(5.1) \quad (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K)) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K))$$

in  $\Lambda_K^{\text{ur}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ . Since  $\mu(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K)) = 0$  by Proposition 4.2.2, the divisibility (5.1) holds integrally in  $\Lambda_K^{\text{ur}}$ . By again appealing to Proposition 4.1.3, the proof concludes.  $\square$

**Remark 5.1.2.** In the case  $p = 5$ , if  $g$  corresponds to an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ , then the condition  $F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)^+$  is inessential (cf. Remark 3.0.3(iii)).

The existence of  $F$  satisfying the conditions in Proposition 5.1.1 is easily verified:

**Lemma 5.1.3.** *Let  $(g, p, K)$  be as in Proposition 5.1.1. Then there exists a real quadratic field  $F$  satisfying (i)–(vi).*

*Proof.* Note that (i), (ii), and (iii) are independent splitting conditions which hold for a positive proportion of real quadratic fields  $F$ . In view of  $(\text{irr}_K)$ , if  $FK$  is not a subfield of the splitting field of  $\rho_g|_{G_K}$ , then  $(\text{irr}_M)$  holds for  $M = FK$ . For such  $F$ , if  $\bar{\rho}_g|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$  is reducible, then  $\bar{\rho}_g|_{G_F}$  is induced by an index 2 subgroup  $G_L$  of  $G_F$  which contains  $G_{F(\zeta_p)}$ ; but this forces  $p = 5$  and  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)^+$ , so the result follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.2.* Pick an imaginary quadratic field  $K$  and a real quadratic field  $F$  as in Lemma 5.1.3. Then by Proposition 5.1.1,

$$(5.2) \quad (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{PR}}(g^F/K)) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g^F/K_\infty)).$$

By [CGS23, Prop. 1.2.4] and Propositions 3.6 and 3.9 in [SU14], taking the image under the maps induced by the projection  $\pi_+ : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \Gamma_K^+$ , from (5.2) we get the divisibilities

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{L}_p(g/\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p(g^K/\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p(g^F/\mathbb{Q}) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p(g^{FK}/\mathbb{Q})) \\ & \supset \pi_+(\text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g^F/K_\infty))) \\ & \supset \text{ch}_\Lambda(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_\Lambda(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g^K/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_\Lambda(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g^F/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_\Lambda(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g^{FK}/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)) \end{aligned}$$

in  $\Lambda_K^+ \simeq \Lambda$ . On the other hand, by [Kat04] we have the divisibility

$$(5.4) \quad (\mathcal{L}_p(g/\mathbb{Q})) \subset \text{ch}_\Lambda(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ord}}(g/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)),$$

and similarly for the twists  $g^K$ ,  $g^F$ , and  $g^{FK}$ . Since a proper divisibility in (5.4) would contradict (5.3), the result follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.2 and Theorem 1.2.3.* Pick a real quadratic field  $F$  as in Lemma 5.1.3. Then by Theorem 5.1.1,

$$(5.5) \quad (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K)) \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K_\infty)).$$

By [CGS23, Prop. 1.4.5] and [JSW17, Cor. 3.4.2], taking the image under the maps induced by the projection  $\pi_- : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \Gamma_K^-$ , from (5.5) we get the divisibilities

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{BDP}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{BDP}}(g^F/K)) \supset \pi_-(\text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K^-}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K_\infty))) \\ & \supset \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K^-}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty^-)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K^-}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K_\infty^-)) \end{aligned}$$

in  $\Lambda_K^{\text{ur}}$ . Together with Theorem 4.2.1, this concludes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.1.* Pick an imaginary quadratic field  $K$  and two different real quadratic fields  $F, F'$  as in Lemma 5.1.3. For each of the two pairs  $(K, F), (K, F')$ , the equalities of characteristic ideals of Theorem 1.2.3, the divisibility (5.5), and the nonvanishing of the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{BDP}}(g/K)$  yields the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K)) &= \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K_\infty)), \\ (\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g^{F'}/K)) &= \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g^{F'}/K_\infty)), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g/K)^2 \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g^{F'}/K)) = \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty))^2 \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g^{F'}/K_\infty)).$$

When  $\mathcal{V} = \emptyset$ , the argument in the proof of Theorem 1.2.3 applies for any real quadratic  $F_0$  satisfying conditions (i)-(ii) and (iv)-(vi) in Lemma 5.1.3, but not necessarily (iii). Thus taking  $F_0$  to be the third real quadratic field in the compositum  $FF'$ , as above we obtain the equality

$$(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K) \cdot \mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g^{F'}/K)) = \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g^F/K_\infty)) \cdot \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g^{F'}/K_\infty)).$$

The combination of the last two equalities immediately gives  $(\mathcal{L}_p^{\text{Gr}}(g/K)) = \text{ch}_{\Lambda_K}(\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Gr}}(g/K_\infty))$ , which together with Proposition 4.1.3 yields the result.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BCGS23] Ashay Burungale, Francesc Castella, Giada Grossi, and Christopher Skinner. Non-vanishing of Kolyvagin systems and Iwasawa theory. 2023. preprint, arXiv:2312.09301.
- [BCK21] Ashay Burungale, Francesc Castella, and Chan-Ho Kim. A proof of Perrin-Riou’s Heegner point main conjecture. *Algebra Number Theory*, 15(7):1627–1653, 2021.
- [BDP13] Massimo Bertolini, Henri Darmon, and Kartik Prasanna. Generalized Heegner cycles and  $p$ -adic Rankin  $L$ -series. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(6):1033–1148, 2013. With an appendix by Brian Conrad.
- [Ber95] Massimo Bertolini. Selmer groups and Heegner points in anticyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extensions. *Compositio Math.*, 99(2):153–182, 1995.
- [BST21] Ashay A. Burungale, Christopher Skinner, and Ye Tian. The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture: a brief survey. In *Nine mathematical challenges—an elucidation*, volume 104 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 11–29. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, [2021] ©2021.
- [BSTW23] Ashay Burungale, Christopher Skinner, Ye Tian, and Xin Wan. Zeta elements for elliptic curves and applications. *preprint*, 2023.
- [BT20] Ashay A. Burungale and Ye Tian.  $p$ -converse to a theorem of Gross-Zagier, Kolyvagin and Rubin. *Invent. Math.*, 220(1):211–253, 2020.
- [Bur17] Ashay A. Burungale. On the non-triviality of the  $p$ -adic Abel-Jacobi image of generalised Heegner cycles modulo  $p$ , II: Shimura curves. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 16(1):189–222, 2017.
- [Cas17] Francesc Castella.  $p$ -adic heights of Heegner points and Beilinson-Flach classes. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 96(1):156–180, 2017.
- [Cas24] Francesc Castella. On the Iwasawa theory of elliptic curves at Eisenstein primes. 2024. preprint, arXiv:2404.12644.
- [CGLS22] Francesc Castella, Giada Grossi, Jaehoon Lee, and Christopher Skinner. On the anticyclotomic Iwasawa theory of rational elliptic curves at Eisenstein primes. *Invent. Math.*, 227:517–580, 2022.
- [CGS23] Francesc Castella, Giada Grossi, and Christopher Skinner. Mazur’s main conjecture at Eisenstein primes. 2023. preprint, arXiv:2303.04373.
- [CH18] Francesc Castella and Ming-Lun Hsieh. Heegner cycles and  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions. *Math. Ann.*, 370(1-2):567–628, 2018.
- [Fuj06] Kazuhiro Fujiwara. Deformation rings and Hecke algebras in the totally real case, 2006.
- [Gre99] Ralph Greenberg. Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves. In *Arithmetic theory of elliptic curves (Cetraro, 1997)*, volume 1716 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 51–144. Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [GV00] Ralph Greenberg and Vinayak Vatsal. On the Iwasawa invariants of elliptic curves. *Invent. Math.*, 142(1):17–63, 2000.
- [Hid88] Haruzo Hida. On  $p$ -adic Hecke algebras for  $\text{GL}_2$  over totally real fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 128(2):295–384, 1988.
- [Hid89] Haruzo Hida. On nearly ordinary Hecke algebras for  $\text{GL}(2)$  over totally real fields. In *Algebraic number theory*, volume 17 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 139–169. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [Hid10] H. Hida. The Iwasawa  $\mu$ -invariant of  $p$ -adic Hecke  $L$ -functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(1):41–137, 2010.
- [How04] Benjamin Howard. The Heegner point Kolyvagin system. *Compos. Math.*, 140(6):1439–1472, 2004.
- [Hsi14] Ming-Lun Hsieh. Special values of anticyclotomic Rankin-Selberg  $L$ -functions. *Doc. Math.*, 19:709–767, 2014.
- [JSW17] Dimitar Jetchev, Christopher Skinner, and Xin Wan. The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer formula for elliptic curves of analytic rank one. *Camb. J. Math.*, 5(3):369–434, 2017.
- [Kat04] Kazuya Kato.  $p$ -adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms. *Astérisque*, 295:117–290, 2004.
- [Kim22] Chan-Ho Kim. The structure of Selmer groups and the Iwasawa main conjecture for elliptic curves. 2022. preprint, arXiv:2203.12159.
- [KLZ17] Guido Kings, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes. Rankin-Eisenstein classes and explicit reciprocity laws. *Camb. J. Math.*, 5(1):1–122, 2017.

- [LLZ15] Antonio Lei, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes. Euler systems for modular forms over imaginary quadratic fields. *Compos. Math.*, 151(9):1585–1625, 2015.
- [Maz72] Barry Mazur. Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields. *Invent. Math.*, 18:183–266, 1972.
- [MSD74] Barry Mazur and Peter Swinnerton-Dyer. Arithmetic of Weil curves. *Invent. Math.*, 25:1–61, 1974.
- [Nek07] Jan Nekovář. The Euler system method for CM points on Shimura curves. In *L-functions and Galois representations*, volume 320 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 471–547. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [PR87] Bernadette Perrin-Riou. Fonctions  $L$   $p$ -adiques, théorie d’Iwasawa et points de Heegner. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 115(4):399–456, 1987.
- [PR88] Bernadette Perrin-Riou. Fonctions  $L$   $p$ -adiques associées à une forme modulaire et à un corps quadratique imaginaire. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 38(1):1–32, 1988.
- [Ser72] Jean-Pierre Serre. Propriétés galoisiennes des points d’ordre fini des courbes elliptiques. *Invent. Math.*, 15:259–331, 1972.
- [Ski20] Christopher Skinner. A converse to a theorem of Gross, Zagier, and Kolyvagin. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 191(2):329–354, 2020.
- [SU14] Christopher Skinner and Eric Urban. The Iwasawa main conjectures for  $GL_2$ . *Invent. Math.*, 195(1):1–277, 2014.
- [Wan15] Xin Wan. The Iwasawa main conjecture for Hilbert modular forms. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 3:Paper No. e18, 95, 2015.
- [Wan20] Xin Wan. Iwasawa main conjecture for Rankin-Selberg  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions. *Algebra Number Theory*, 14(2):383–483, 2020.
- [Wan21] Xin Wan. Heegner Point Kolyvagin System and Iwasawa Main Conjecture. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 37(1):104–120, 2021.
- [Zha14] Wei Zhang. The Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture and Heegner points: a survey. In *Current developments in mathematics 2013*, pages 169–203. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2014.

(A. Burungale) UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, USA  
*Email address:* `ashayk@utexas.edu`

(F. Castella) UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SANTA BARBARA, SOUTH HALL, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106, USA  
*Email address:* `castella@ucsb.edu`

(C. Skinner) PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, FINE HALL, WASHINGTON ROAD, PRINCETON, NJ 08544-1000, USA  
*Email address:* `cmcls@princeton.edu`