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#### Abstract

In [32], S. Donaldson disproved the smooth h-cobordism conjecture in dimension 4 by studying invariants coming from the moduli space of connections on $\mathrm{SO}(3)$-bundles over smooth 4 dimension manifolds $X$. In this paper, we reverse his point of view in dimension 8. Namely, we consider fibrations coming from diffeomorphisms classes of homotopy Hopf manifolds $\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$, i.e., $\Sigma^{7}$ is a homotopy sphere, seeing the bases as moduli spaces for additional structures. For instance, recall that in [21], it was shown that these manifolds admit complex structures.

Our overarching objective is to establish a correspondence between the smooth invariants of KervaireMilnor and categorical invariants. One interprets pairwise non-diffeomorphic smooth structures on $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ in terms of non-rationality. This paper's contributions are diverse, each carrying its significance. We believe profound connections exist between the themes addressed, which connect hypersurface singularity theory, Homological Mirror Symmetry, Topological Modular forms (tmf), and Spherical T-duality.
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## 1. Introduction

This paper focuses on 8 -dimension homotopy Hopf manifolds within the rich theoretical framework of category theory, hypersurface singularity theory, and Homological Mirror Symmetry (HMS).

By a 8-dimension homotopy Hopf manifold, we mean a non-Kähler complex manifold $\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ where $\Sigma^{7}$ is a homotopy sphere in dimension 7 (the existence of complex structures on these manifolds was first obtained in [21]). The present is the inaugural paper of a long research program we briefly outline below. A detailed program and additional results shall appear elsewhere, [25, 24].

In [32], S. Donaldson introduces new invariants for certain 4-dimension smooth manifolds. His approach starts with rationality to disprove the smooth h-cobordism conjecture. In this paper, we reverse his point of view. We interpret the existence of pairwise non-diffeomorphic complex manifolds $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ in terms of non-rationality.

Recall that, in the point-set topology realm, the h-cobordism theorem states that any h-cobordism $W^{5}$ between $M^{4}, N^{4}$ is trivial if $M, N$ are simply connected, meaning that

$$
W \stackrel{\text { homeomorphic }}{\cong} M \times[0,1] \text { and } M \stackrel{\text { homeomorphic }}{\cong} N
$$

Donaldson invariants were first used to show that this is no longer true if one changes the adjective "homeomorphic" to "diffeomorphic", i.e., $M, N$ need not be diffeomorphic. Such achievement led to establishing irreducible 4-manifolds that are not complex, [47].

Let $X$ be a smooth 4-dimension manifold with a cyclic fundamental group and $b_{+}^{2}(X) \geq 3, b_{-}^{2}$ odd. Let $\mathscr{P} \rightarrow X$ be a principal $\mathrm{SO}(3)$-bundle with $\left\langle p_{1}(\mathscr{P}),[X]\right\rangle=l$ and $w_{2}(\mathscr{P})=\eta \in \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(X, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. The quantities $l, \eta$ fully determine the bundle type. Let $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{P}}$ stand to the space of connections on $\mathscr{P}$. Let $\mathscr{G}_{\mathscr{P}}$ be the group of automorphisms $s$ of $\mathscr{P}$ which, when seen as equivariant maps $s: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3)$, lift to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. Let $B(l, \eta):=\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{P}} / \mathscr{G}_{\mathscr{P}}$. For $l=-\frac{3}{2}\left(1+b_{+}^{2}(X)\right)$ the dimension of $B(l, \eta)$ is zero. With this, the moduli space $B(l, \eta)$ is discrete, and the Donaldson invariants can be read from this more easily, Theorem 0.1 in [47]. Taking advantage of that and constructions based upon the Kummer surface, authors disprove the Decomposition Conjecture.

In higher dimensions, i.e., for h-cobordisms between $n$-dimension manifolds with $n \geq 5$, one can establish the h-cobordism theorem in the category of smooth manifolds. The set of equivalence classes of the h-cobordism relation in dimension $n$ is a commutative monoid $\Theta^{n}$ under the operation of the connected sum. The identity element is represented by the class of manifolds bounding a contractible manifold. The group $\theta^{n}$ of invertible elements consists of homology spheres, 69, Theorem 5.5, p. 158]. If $n=7$, then $\theta^{7}$ has as its elements diffeomorphisms classes of smooth structures on homology 7-dimension spheres.

We consider fibrations from $\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$, for $\Sigma^{7} \in \theta^{7}$, and understand the possible quotient spaces as moduli spaces. When looking for fibrations from $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-actions, the orbit spaces are Fano orbifolds and stacks from Fano orbifolds. Given this algebraic nature, trying to obtain its algebraic-geometric properties is natural. For instance, determine if different choices of smooth structures in homology spheres lead to non-isomorphic Fano orbifolds.

We also consider fibrations from $S^{3} \times S^{1}$-group actions. To that, we perceive $\Sigma^{7}$ as the total space of $\mathrm{SO}(4)$-bundles with typical fiber $\mathrm{S}^{3}$, known in the literature as Milnor bundles. For these fibrations, the quotient spaces are $S^{4}$, carrying no complex structures. Here, these fibrations are explored in the light of Spherical T-duality ( $[8,9]$ ).

A remarkable tool used both in [32] and [47] is the concept of logarithmic transformations, [48]. Although it is usually employed in the presence of elliptic surfaces, we extend it to the set of
diffeomorphisms classes of Hopf homotopy manifolds $\sigma^{8}$, proving it defines a group structure on it. This paper's techniques are natural generalizations of already studied techniques in the interplay of differential topology, complex geometry, and algebraic geometry. A huge difference, however, relies on the exploration of $\star$-diagrams, as in [26], to test the proposed connections between the treated subjects.

For each $k \in\{1, \ldots, 28\}$, let $\Sigma_{k}^{7}$ be a homology sphere admitting a realization as the link of a certain hypersurface singularity, [17]. They fiber over Fano orbifolds $V_{k}$ or over stacks of Fano orbifolds $\left[V_{k} / G\right.$, where $G$ is a finite group, depending on logarithmic transformations (Theorem H and Corollary [I). In [68], Kontsevich, Pestun, and Tschinkel introduce an equivariant birational invariant. They consider finite group $G$ actions on certain projective varieties $X$ (of dimension $d$ ) and associate to them classes $\beta(X) \in \mathscr{B}_{d}(G)$. We are tempted to conjecture that different logarithmic transformations may produce examples of $G$-actions on $V_{k}$ with different $\beta\left(V_{k}\right)$. Thus providing non $G$-birational $V_{k}$. Given the orbifold nature of $V_{k}$, understanding the invariants given in [73] is interesting. Further details on the relation of non $G$-birational Fano quotients shall appear elsewhere, [25, 24]. Here, we only perceive this through Chen-Ruan cohomology of $V_{k} / G$, see Theorem K.

In summary, this paper inaugurates the following program:

- We aim to relate the birational invariants appearing in [71, 73, 68] with smooth ones. Here, we explore $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-fibrations from homotopy Hopf manifolds $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ to some Fano orbifolds $V$ embedded in weighted projective spaces. These Fano orbifolds have the same homotopy groups as $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and the same cohomology, as we can check from the long homotopy sequence for fibrations and spectral sequences, respectively. It raises whether we can relate smooth invariants to some equivariant birational invariants.
- Let $\left[\left[V_{k}\right]\right]$ denotes a class of $G$-birational Fano orbifolds to $V_{k}$. Understanding the possible diffeomorphism type of homotopy Hopf manifolds that fibers over $\left[\left[V_{k}\right]\right]$ is interesting. We believe that to determine this, we may rely upon tmf, [62, 79]. When considering $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-bundles from $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$, we use hypersurface singularity to describe the diffeomorphism types of $\Sigma^{7}$ (and hence of $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ ). As one knows from [85], $S^{3}$ can also be described as the link for a certain (family of) hypersurface singularities. Computing the orbifold elliptic genus (as in [74]) for the orbifolds coming from the quotients of $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-actions from $\mathrm{S}^{3} \times S^{1}$ one observes, however, that the hypersurface singularity information used to define $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ is missing. This does not hold for the corresponding $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$-example 1
- Recall that the elliptic genus is a ring homomorphism $E: \Omega_{\mathrm{SU}}^{*} \rightarrow R$ where $R$ is some commutative ring, [62, 74, 79]. Based on the work of M. Hopkins ([61, 62]), one observes that by picking $R=$ tmf we may connect the invariants on the quotients for fibrations from $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ to (invariants of) diffeomorphisms classes of the former.
- The analysis of the described problems passes to understand the relations between $G$ birational invariants in [68, 73] with the Chen-Ruan cohomology, [23]. Results regarding this shall be presented in [25].
- Rationality can be indirectly tested by studying mirror symmetry, [65]. We believe that the relationship between rationality and smooth structures also can. On the one hand, one learns from [100] how to study Homological Mirror Symmetry on the homotopy Hopf manifold $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ and its logarithmic transformations. On the other hand, we point out that HMS for $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ can reveal more interesting phenomena, as logarithmic transformation (in our context) can lead to different smooth structures of $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$, even keeping the point-settopology. This does not hold for $S^{3} \times S^{1}$, as proved in [101]. We aim to approach HMF for $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ elsewhere; however, we present preliminary results and conjectures here.
Below, we discuss our results in more detail.
Homotopy Hopf manifolds represent a fascinating intersection of classical topological invariants and modern categorical approaches in topology. Let $\theta^{7}$ be the set of diffeomorphisms classes of

[^1]seven-dimension smooth manifolds $M^{7}$ that are homeomorphic to $S^{7}$. It has a group structure from connecting sums and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{28}$, as explained in [66]. We define an 8-dimension homotopy Hopf manifold as $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$, where $\Sigma^{7} \in \theta^{7}$. Our long-term broader objective revolves around establishing a correspondence between the smooth invariants of Kervaire-Milnor and categorical invariants. Here, we begin paving the road to accomplish such a goal.

Our approach is based on Homological Mirror Symmetry (HMS), [67]. We pursue elaboration on the ideas of A. Ward in [100]. HMS for $S^{1} \times S^{3}$ can be decoupled from HMS for $E_{\tau} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ where $E_{\tau}$ is the elliptic curve $\mathbb{C} \backslash 0 / z \sim \lambda z, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ where $\tau$ is the parameter in $\lambda=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} \tau}$ combined with logarithmic transformations. Here, we take the first step on extending this idea for any Hopf fibration $S^{1} \times S^{2 n+1}$ and more generally $S^{1} \times S^{2 n+1}$ for any homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{2 n+1}$.

Our contributions vary significantly in nature, each possessing its significance. The authors believe that there are deeper connections between the themes addressed. In the next diagram, the arrows mean that the source subject leads to information on the target subject.


What the following diagram encodes can be further elaborated accordingly

- In Section 3, we explore the concept of Spherical T-duality, as introduced in [8, 9], with a focus on oriented $S^{3}$-bundles over $S^{4}$. These bundles are characterized by two integers, usually labeled as $m$ and $n$, which parameterize their total spaces, denoted as $M_{m, n}$. The numbers $m+n$ and $2(m-n)$ are interpreted via characteristic classes.

In Section 2, we recall the concept of $\star$-diagrams, as systematically described in [26]. They provide geometric realizations to Spherical T-duality over $S^{4}$. Applications include interchanging complex structures and deriving analogous Buscher rules [20] for Spherical T-duality.

- Some particularly noteworthy examples of manifolds within the class of total spaces represented as $M_{m, n}$ are those where $m+n$ equals $\pm 1$. These examples encompass 16 of the 28 possible diffeomorphism types of 7 -spheres. We particularly examine the products $M_{m, 1-m} \times S^{1}$ as primary instances of 8-dimension homotopy Hopf manifolds. One of our objectives in this paper is to highlight the significance of $M_{m, 1-m} \times S^{1}$ as playing a role analogous to minimal elliptic fibrations with no multiple fibers in dimension 4. In pursuit of this goal, we extend the concept of logarithmic transformation (Section 4), commonly used in elliptic fibrations, to fibrations such as

$$
S^{3} \times S^{1} \rightarrow M_{m, 1-m} \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{4}
$$

- Not every 7-dimension sphere admits a fibration with fibers $S^{3}$ over $S^{4}$. Moreover, in cases where such fibrations exist, further analysis reveals limitations in utilizing Spherical Tduality to distinguish complex structures of other admissible geometries, as they realize Morita equivalences between $M_{m, 1-m} \times S^{1}$ and $M_{m^{\prime}, 1-m^{\prime}} \times S^{1}$ for every $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ (Theorem 2.15). In light of this, we focus on $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-actions on $\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$. Following [16], this yields fibrations of the form:

$$
\mathrm{T}^{2} \rightarrow \Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow V
$$

where $V$ represents certain Fano orbifolds on weighted projective spaces (Section 5).

- Interestingly enough, the Fano orbifolds $V$ appearing above are all Fake Complex Projective spaces ([43, 82]), that is, they are homotopy and have the same cohomology of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. We wonder whether $V$ shall be homeomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. We prove it is when $\Sigma^{7}$ is the standard sphere (Theorem N).
- Fano orbifolds carry plenty of K3-surfaces. We wonder whether one can lift such K3surfaces in $V$ to $\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$. We show this is possible when $\Sigma^{7}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{S}^{7}$ and ask whether such lifting is possible if, and only if, $V$ is homeomorphic to a projective space (Theorem N ).
- Let $h_{\mathbb{H}}: S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$ be the standard Hopf fibration $\left(M_{1,0}=S^{7}\right)$, and recall that $\left[h_{\mathbb{H}}\right] \in \pi_{3}^{S}$, i.e., the Hopf fibration defines a generator class to the third stable homotopy group of spheres. The Thom-Pontryagin isomorphism ensures that the relation $24\left[h_{\mathbb{H}}\right]=0$ can be perceived by the K3-surface (Proposition6.7). Hopkins showed in [61] that we can perceive the class $\left[h_{\mathbb{H}}\right]$ in some expansion of the Weierstrass $\wp$-function via its identification with the number $\frac{1}{12}$, which is the only non-integer coefficient for that expansion. We extend Hopkins' result by observing that, using our proposed logarithmic transformation, one can go from any two of $M_{m, 1-m}, M_{m^{\prime}, 1-m^{\prime}}, m \neq m^{\prime}$ (since they fit manifolds appearing in certain Spherical T-duality) and thus associate any of $M_{m, 1-m}$ with the same $\frac{1}{12}$ (Section6.1).
- We utilize the bundle description of $M_{m, 1-m}$ to understand special complex structures on $M_{m, 1-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ and indicate that they can be determined from $\mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ (Section 7).
- We begin (Section 5.2) to discuss some homological mirror symmetric to homotopy Hopf manifolds via their description as a link of Brieskorn-Pham singularities ([16]) using the results presented in [42]. We aim to develop this in light of [100].
Below, we summarize the paper's content and suggest questions and conjectures. At the end of this section, we present the paper's overall organization.
1.1. Spherical T-duality. The current study originated from the idea of Spherical T-duality, explored in [8, 9]. We noticed its potential when combined with $\star$-diagrams, as discussed in [92, 26].

T-duality is essentially about swapping geometric information for 2-dimension conformal field theories, explained in [6]. T. Buscher is credited with its discovery [20]. In mathematical terms, T-duality arises in the context of torus bundles, with significant developments in papers like [10, 11, 12, 13]. Later, in [8, 9], T-duality was expanded to include Spherical T-duality.

Definition A ([8]). Given a pair $(\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M, H)$ consisting of a $S^{3}$-principal bundle $\pi$ and an element $H \in \mathrm{H}^{7}(\mathscr{P})$, its spherical $T$-dual $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{\mathscr{P}} \rightarrow M$ is any pair ( $\hat{\pi}: \widehat{\mathscr{P}} \rightarrow M, \widehat{H}$ ) satisfying the following conditions:

- $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ is a principal $S^{3}$-bundle.
- $\mathrm{c}_{2}(\widehat{\mathscr{P}})=\pi_{*} H$.
- $\widehat{H}$ is defined by $\widehat{\pi}_{*} \widehat{H}=\mathrm{c}_{2}(\mathscr{P})$.
- $\widehat{p}^{*} H=p^{*} \widehat{H}$ in $\mathscr{P} \times_{M} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}$, fitting the diagram:


To the elements $H \in \mathrm{H}^{7}(\mathscr{P}), \widehat{H} \in \mathrm{H}^{7}(\widehat{\mathscr{P}})$ we term $H$-fluxes.
The former definition was expanded in [9] to encompass oriented $S^{3}$-bundles associated to principal SO(4)-bundles. Subsequently, in [76], a topological approach to Spherical T-Duality was introduced, and it was generalized to include non-oriented sphere bundles.

Remark 1.1. In this paper, when referring to spherical T-dual pairs, we always mean the underlined manifolds are the total space of oriented $S^{3}$-bundles, not necessarily principal bundles. When we gain information for stressing differences, we explicitly say if we are assuming the principal bundle case.

Recall that within $\theta^{7}, 16$ out of 28 elements are realized as oriented $S^{3}$-bundles over $S^{4}$ associated with $\mathrm{SO}(4)$-principal bundles $\mathscr{P}$ [39]. These can be represented as $M_{m, 1-m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $2(1-2 m)$ denotes the second Chern class of $E:=\mathscr{P} \times{ }_{S^{3}} \mathbb{H}$ (here and throughout $\mathbb{H}$ stands for the quaternions), and the Euler class is 1 . In full generality, we name an oriented $S^{3}$-bundle over $S^{4}$ a Milnor bundle if its structure group is $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ acting linearly on $S^{3}$. These bundles are fully characterized by two parameters: its Euler class $m+n:=k$ and first Pontryagin class $m-n$ (Theorem 3.10). We denote them by $M_{m, n}$.

Theorem A (=Theorem 3.11). Let $M_{m, k-m}$ be a Milnor bundle with $H$-flux $H=[j] \in$ $\mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, k-m}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\left(M_{m, k-m},[j]\right)$ and $\left(M_{j, k-j},[m]\right)$ are spherical T-dual, i.e., they fit the following diagram


If $k=1$ then both $M_{m, 1-m}$ and $M_{j, 1-j}$ are homeomorphic to $S^{7}$ but not diffeomorphic for

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1+2 m)^{2} \not \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 7) \text { and }(-1+2 j)^{2} \not \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 7) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for $m=1$, the bundle $M_{1,0}$ is isomorphic to the Hopf fibration. Consequently, spherical T-duality may occur for two homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic manifolds.

Theorem A is obtained from the geometric realization of the manifolds involved in Spherical T-duality. More precisely,

Theorem B $(=$ Theorems $3.13,2.15,2.18,2.20+$ Proposition 2.8). Let $(E, H),(\widehat{E}, \widehat{H})$ be a spherical T-dual pair over $S^{4}$. Then there exists a $S^{3}-S^{3}$ manifold $\mathscr{Q}$ fitting a $\star$-diagram

i.e.,
(a) $\pi, \pi^{\prime}$ are principal $S^{3}$-bundles over $E, \widehat{E}$, respectively, with a common total space $\mathscr{Q}$ carrying commuting $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-actions, denoted as $\bullet, \star$
(b) the manifolds $E, \widehat{E}$ admit $S^{3}$-actions having equivariantly diffeomorphic orbit types, consisting in a Morita equivalence for the respective action groupoids
(c) (i) if the induced $S^{3}$-action on $E$ is almost free, so it is the corresponding $S^{3}$-action on $\widehat{E}$, and there exists an almost-injective (i.e., injective on an open dense subset) bundle morphism
$\Theta$ fitting the diagram below for appropriate $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$

where $f: E \rightarrow \widehat{E}$ is a bijection that is a diffeomorphism between an open and dense subset $E^{*} \subset E$ and its image
(ii) there exists an isomorphism between the following equivariant cohomologies

$$
\mathrm{H}_{G}^{*}(E ; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{H}_{G}^{*}(\widehat{E} ; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{H}_{T}^{*}(E ; \mathbb{R})^{W}
$$

where $W=N(T) / T$ is the Weyl group of any maximal torus $T<G$.
Moreover, the characteristic classes of the vector bundles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E G \times_{G}(E \times \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow B G \times{ }_{G} E, \\
& E G \times_{G}(\widehat{E} \times \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow B G \times{ }_{G} \widehat{E},
\end{aligned}
$$

coincide after proper identification and are determined by the characteristic classes of the vector bundle

$$
E T \times_{T}(E \times \mathfrak{t}) \rightarrow B T \times_{T} E,
$$

where $\mathfrak{t}$ is the Lie algebra of $T$.
Conversely, given a $\star$-diagram such as (1.4) for which $E, \widehat{E}$ are $S^{3}$-bundles over $S^{4}$, there exist $H \in \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}\right), \widehat{H} \in \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}\right)$ such that $(E, H),(\widehat{E}, \widehat{H})$ are spherical T-duals.

As explained in [8], the relationship between duality (to our interests, Spherical T-duality) and physical theories may appear as symmetries for the former. The geometric picture of dualities should determine a set of transformation rules analogous to the Buscher rules [20] for ordinary T-duality. To each principal $G$-bundle $\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$ is associated a 2nd Chern class $\mathrm{c}_{2}(\mathscr{P}) \in \mathrm{H}^{4}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. In the case of $G=\mathrm{S}^{3}$, this class plays a crucial role in the Gysin sequence relating the cohomology of $\mathscr{P}$ to the cohomology of the base space $M$ (Theorem3.3). For any $S^{3}$-principal bundle $\pi$ over $S^{4}$ we can identify the Euler class e of $\pi$ with the second Chern class $c_{2}$ of $\mathscr{P} \times{ }_{S^{3}} \mathbb{H}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathscr{P} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}^{3}} \mathbb{H}\right)=\mathrm{c}_{2}\left(\mathscr{P} \times \times_{\mathrm{S}^{3}} \mathbb{H}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given (principal) Spherical T-duals $(\mathscr{P},[j]),(\widehat{\mathscr{P}},[m])$, the pull-back bundle $\pi^{*}(\mathscr{P})=\mathscr{P} \times_{M} \mathscr{P}$ under $\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$ is trivial, so $\pi^{*} c_{2}$ is exact on $\mathscr{P}$. In [8] it is shown that

$$
\pi^{*} \mathrm{c}_{2}=\pi^{*}([m])=\mathrm{dCS}(\omega)
$$

where $\operatorname{CS}(\omega) \in \Omega^{3}(\mathscr{P})$ is the Chern-Simons 3-form where $\omega: T \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s u}(2)$ is a principal connection on $\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow S^{4}$. Our next result encompasses the construction appearing in Section 8 in [8] for (principal) Spherical T-duality over $S^{4}$, and can be seen as analogous (coordinate-free) Buscher rules constraints in the context of principal Spherical T-duality.

Theorem C (=Theorem 3.18). For every (principal) spherical T-duals ( $\mathscr{P}, H)$, ( $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}, \widehat{H})$ over $\mathrm{S}^{4}$ there exist principal connections $\omega: T \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s u}(2), \widehat{\omega}: T \widehat{\mathscr{P}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s u}(2)$ and invariant metrics $\mathrm{g}_{\mathscr{P}}$ on $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\widehat{P}}$ on $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ such that
(a) $\left(\mathscr{H},\left.\mathrm{g}_{\mathscr{P}}\right|_{\mathscr{H}}\right)$ and $\left(\widehat{\mathscr{H}},\left.\mathrm{g}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}}\right|_{\widehat{\mathscr{H}}}\right)$ are isometric, where

$$
\mathscr{H}=(\operatorname{ker} \omega)^{\mathrm{g} \mathscr{P}}, \widehat{\mathscr{H}}=(\operatorname{ker} \widehat{\omega})^{\mathrm{g}} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}
$$

and the fibers $\mathrm{S}^{3}$ on $\mathscr{P}$ and $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ are totally geodesic
(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \pi^{2} \mathrm{c}_{2}(\mathscr{P})=\operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{g}_{\mathscr{P}}}\left(F_{\omega^{\mathscr{P}}} \wedge F_{\omega^{\mathscr{P}}}\right)=\widehat{H} \\
& 8 \pi^{2} \mathrm{c}_{2}(\widehat{\mathscr{P}})=\operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{g}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}}}\left(F_{\omega^{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}}} \wedge F_{\omega^{\widehat{\mathscr{P}}}}\right)=H
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\pi^{*}(\widehat{H})=\operatorname{dCS}\left(\omega^{\mathscr{P}}\right)$ and $\widehat{\pi}^{*}(H)=\operatorname{dCS}\left(\omega^{\widehat{\mathscr{P}}}\right)$, where $\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^{4}, \widehat{\pi}: \widehat{\mathscr{P}} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^{4}$ are the bundle projections and $\operatorname{CS}\left(\omega^{\mathscr{P}}\right), \operatorname{CS}\left(\omega^{\widehat{\mathscr{P}}}\right)$ the corresponding Chern-Simons form
(c) it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{p}^{*} \pi^{*}(\widehat{H})-p^{*} \widehat{\pi}^{*}(H) & =\widehat{p}^{*}\left(\operatorname{dCS}\left(\omega^{\mathscr{P}}\right)\right)-p^{*}\left(\operatorname{dCS}\left(\omega^{\widehat{\mathscr{P}}}\right)\right) \\
& =0 \in \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(\mathscr{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

An application from Theorem B is that of transport " $G$-invariant complex structures" between spherical T-dual manifolds.

Definition B. We say that a complex manifold $M$ with an effective action by a compact connected Lie group $G<\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ has a $G$-invariant complex structure if, for each point $x$, there exists a $G$ invariant tubular neighborhood $\operatorname{Tub}(G x)$ centered at the orbit $G x$ and an equivariant biholomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Tub}(G x) \cong\left(\mathbb{C}^{n-k} / \Lambda\right) \times_{H_{x}} S_{x}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}^{n-k} / \Lambda$ is a complex torus, $H_{x} \subset \mathrm{Gl}(n-k ; \mathbb{C})$ is a Lie subgroup, $k=\operatorname{dim} G x$, and $S_{x}$ is a slice at $x$ ([3, Definition 3.47, p.64]).

We have
Theorem D (=Theorem 2.12). Let $M \stackrel{\pi}{\leftarrow} \mathscr{P} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$ be a $\star$-diagram. $M$ admits a $G$-invariant complex structure if and only if $M^{\prime}$ also admits.
1.2. Logarithmic transformations. Recall that a cobordism in dimension $n$ is a triple of manifolds $\left\{V_{0}, W, V_{1}\right\}$ with $\operatorname{dim} V_{0}=\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=n-1$ such that $\partial W=V_{0} \cup V_{1}$ and $V_{0}, V_{1}$ are disjoint open subsets of $\partial W$. An h-cobordism is a cobordism in which the inclusions $V_{0} \hookrightarrow W, V_{1} \hookrightarrow W$ are homotopy equivalences.

In classical elliptic fibration theory, simply connected minimal elliptic surfaces form families of 4-dimension closed manifolds for each positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Within a family, all surfaces share the same intersection form, defining an $h$-cobordism (see [48]). Although one family may contain multiple diffeomorphism types, simply connected minimal elliptic surfaces can be uniquely parameterized by a single positive integer representing the self-intersection number of a section. These surfaces are part of Kodaira fibrations, per Kodaira's classification of elliptic fibrations on surfaces.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 5.5, p. 158 in [69]). Fix a positive integer $n$. The set of equivalence classes of the h -cobordism relation in dimension $n$ is a commutative monoid, usually denoted by $\Theta^{n}$, under the operation of the connected sum. The identity element is represented by the class of manifolds bounding a contractible manifold, and the group of invertible elements consists of homology spheres.

Let $\theta^{n}$ be the group of homology spheres. For $n \geq 5, \theta^{n}$ can be identified with the group of smooth structures on the topological $n$-spheres. We learn from [66] that when $n=7$ it holds $\theta^{n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{28}$. Moreover, all homology spheres in dimension 7 bound a parallelizable manifold, p. 512 in [66]. When $n=4$, the group $\theta^{4}$ is trivial.

The classical significance of Kervaire-Milnor's theory, addressing distinct smooth structures on 7-dimension spheres, remains a cornerstone in classical topology. To comprehend these invariants and establish connections with new constructions from diverse fields, we shift the focus away from exotic 7 -spheres $\Sigma^{7}$. Instead, we focus on homotopy Hopf manifolds $\Sigma \times S^{1}$. We pass from surgery on $\Sigma^{7}$ to generalized logarithmic transformations on $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$. In essence, we propose that, for various applications, the 8 -dimension analog to simply connected minimal elliptic surfaces should be represented by families of homotopy Hopf manifolds $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ for $\Sigma^{7} \in \theta^{7}$.

Definition C (Higher-dimension logarithmic transformation for $\mathrm{S}^{n+k+1} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ ). Let $\mathrm{D}^{k+1} \times \mathrm{S}^{n} \times$ $S^{1} \cup_{\Phi^{-1} \circ \Psi} S^{k} \times D^{n+1} \times S^{1}$ be a straightening angles description (Appendix A) of the homotopy Hopf manifold $S^{k+n+1} \times S^{1}$. Given diffeomorphisms $\phi, \psi: S^{k} \times S^{n} \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{k} \times S^{n} \times S^{1}$, we say that a higher-dimension logarithmic transformation from $S^{n+k+1} \times S^{1}$ is the smooth manifold $\mathrm{S}^{n+k+1} \times \mathrm{S}_{\phi, \psi}^{1}$ obtained from straightening angles of

$$
\mathrm{D}^{k+1} \times \mathrm{S}^{n} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \cup_{\Phi^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \Psi} \mathrm{~S}^{k} \times \mathrm{D}^{n+1} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}
$$

Let $\sigma^{8}$ denote the set of diffeomorphism classes of homotopy Hopf manifolds. Theorem Ebelow ensures that it has a natural group structure given by logarithmic transformations. The logarithmic transformations in this class are in bijective correspondence (and have group structure from that induced) with $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \otimes \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \otimes \pi_{1}(\mathrm{SO}(2))$. This construction is based upon Milnor bilinear pairs [84].

Theorem E (=Theorem4.11). The set
$\sigma^{8}:=\left\{8\right.$-dimension smooth manifolds $X$ that are homotopic equivalent to $\left.S^{7} \times S^{1}\right\} /\langle$ diffeomorphism $\rangle$
carries a group structure for the operation of logarithmic transformations. Moreover,
(a) there exists a bilinear map

$$
\sigma_{3,3}: \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \otimes \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \rightarrow \theta^{7}
$$

such that Gromoll-Meyer exotic sphere $M_{2,-1}$ is the image of $(2,1)$ under the epimorphism $\sigma_{3,3}$. Moreover, $\sigma_{3,3}(1,1)$ coincides with the Hopf fibration and can be identified with the image of the map $t_{10}: \mathrm{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$

$$
t_{10}(x) v:=x v, v \in \mathbb{H}
$$

under the Whitehead's map

$$
J: \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO} \rightarrow \pi_{3}^{S}
$$

where SO is the infinite special orthogonal group, and $\pi_{3}^{S}$ is the third stable homotopy group of spheres, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$
(b) similarly, there exists a trilinear surjective map

$$
\widetilde{\sigma}: \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \otimes \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \otimes \pi_{1}(\mathrm{SO}(2)) \rightarrow \sigma^{8}
$$

such that the Homotopy Hopf Manifolds $M_{2,-1} \times S^{1}$ is the image of $(2,1,1)$ under the epimorphism $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$.

In [14, Section 4.6], it is observed that there is a group structure associated with spherical Tduality over 4-dimension manifolds. We have expanded upon this by recognizing these groups as the ones with operation given by logarithmic transformations. Theorem $F$ below establishes the relation between our introduced logarithmic transformations for $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ and spherical T-duality.

Theorem F (=Proposition4.12). Let $M_{m, 1-m}$ be a homotopy seven sphere manifold with $H$-flux $H=[j] \in \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 1-m}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We have the following spherical T-duality diagram

1.3. Homological Mirror Symmetry. It is noteworthy that any 7-dimension homotopy sphere can be described as the link of the Brieskorn-Pham singularity singularity $f\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+$ $z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}$ for $\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{5}$, where $k \in\{1, \ldots, 28\}$, [17]. According to [16], it is possible to consider $\mathrm{S}^{1}$-fibrations from the link of the singularity $f$. We show that these quotients are Fano orbifolds $V_{k}$.

Algebraically, let $A$ denote the coordinate ring of $f^{-1}(0)$. Then $X:=\operatorname{Proj} A$ defines a degree $6(6 k-1)$ hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1))$ that coincides with $V_{k}$. In [42], some orbifold Fano stacks $Y=[X / G]$ are considered, where $G$ is a finite group acting on $X$. The results in [42] prove that for certain Briskorn-Pham singularities $g$, the corresponding construction - specifically, $X_{g}$ and $Y_{g}$ - establish homological mirror symmetry:

$$
\mathrm{D}^{b} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{k} \tilde{g} \cong \mathrm{D}^{b} \operatorname{coh}^{G} Y_{g},
$$

where $\widetilde{g}$ represents a Morsification of $g$. Here, we prove
Theorem G (=Theorem 5.6). For each $k \in\{1, \ldots, 28\}$ it holds the following equivalence of triangulated categories

$$
\mathrm{D}^{b} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{k} \tilde{f} \cong \mathrm{D}^{b}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{6 k-2} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{2} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{1}\right) \cong \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sg}}^{\mathrm{gr}}(A),
$$

where $\tilde{f}$ is a Morsification of $f=u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}, \mathfrak{A}_{i}$ are certain differential graded categories and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sg}}^{\mathrm{gr}}(A)=\mathrm{D}^{b}(\operatorname{gr} A) / \mathrm{D}^{\text {perf }}(\operatorname{gr} A)$, for $\mathrm{D}^{\text {perf }}(A)$ the subcategory of perfect complexes, $\mathrm{D}^{b}(\operatorname{gr} A)$ the bounded derived category of finitely generated graded $A$-modules and $\mathrm{D}^{\text {perf }}(\mathrm{gr} A)$ its full subcategory consisting of bounded complexes of projective modules.

We proceed in the direction of understanding the orbifold stacks $\left[V_{k} / G\right]$ for $V_{k}$ appearing as the base orbifold in the fibrations


Consider the product $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$. We can project it into the smash product $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \wedge \mathrm{~S}^{1}$, which is homeomorphic to a homotopy $S^{8}$. Denote $\Sigma_{k}^{8}:=W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \wedge S^{1}$. We look for descending $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-actions
from $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1}$ to $\Sigma_{k}^{8}$ and let $V_{k, \rho}$ be the corresponding orbit space. Summarily:


Our first result provides conditions to obtain orbifold stacks from $V_{k}$ :
Theorem H (=Theorem5.8). For each $k \in\{1, \ldots, 28\}$ it holds that
(1) let $\rho: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2)$ be such that for no $q \in \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ we have

$$
\left\{\lambda \in \mathrm{S}^{1}: \rho(\lambda) q=q\right\}=\mathrm{S}^{1}
$$

Then $V_{k, \rho}$ is an orbifold
(2) for $\rho$ equivalent (as representation) to the left-multiplication representation, it holds that $V_{k, \rho}$ is isomorphic as orbifolds to $V_{k}$
(3) there is $\rho$ not equivalent (as representation) to the left-multiplication representation such that $V_{k, \rho}$ is a Fano orbifold given by $V_{k} / G$ where $G$ is a finite group acting on $V_{k}$.
Theorems E and H show that the orbifold stacks $\left[V_{k} / G\right]$ are in direct relation with logarithmic transformations.
Corollary I (=Corollary 5.9). We can obtain different Fano orbifolds from fibrations from $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times$ $S^{1}$ via logarithmic transformations according to choices of generators of $\pi_{1} \mathrm{SO}(2)$. These are given by the image of the map $\tilde{\sigma}$ appearing in Theorem E

Due to the relation of orbistructures with elements in $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{SO}(2))$, we can provide a group structure in the Fano orbifolds. These orbifolds appear as bases for fibrations for homotopy Hopf manifolds:
Theorem J (=Theorem5.11). Fix a fibration $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow V_{k}, k \in\{1, \ldots, 28\}$. Consider the moduli space $\mathscr{F}:=\left\{Y=\left[X_{k} / G\right]: G<\mathrm{S}^{1}\right.$ is discrete $\} /\langle$ orbifold isomorphism $\rangle$. Then $\mathscr{F}$ admits a group structure with neutral element $\left[V_{k}\right]$ and is isomorphic to the Abelian group $\left\{\rho: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow\right.$ $\mathrm{SO}(2)\} /\langle$ equivalent representations〉.

The set of diffeomorphism classes of fake $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ admits a group structure given by the wedge sum [82, p.484-485] in which $\left[\mathbb{P}^{3}\right]$ is the unit element. Theorem J provides an extension in the sense that the diffeomorphism type of each $V_{k}\left(\right.$ a fake $\left.\mathbb{P}^{3}\right)$ is fixed, and we provide a group structure to its orbifold stacks.

Recall that the Chen-Ruan cohomology [4] extends conventional cohomology to orbifolds encapsulating equivariant information. We can use them to distinguish the geometric information from the Fano orbifolds $Y$ :
Theorem K (=Theorem5.12). Let $X=\operatorname{Proj} A$ where $A$ is the coordinate ring of $f=u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+$ $z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}$. Let $G$ be any finite group acting effectively on $X$ and denote its corresponding stack by $Y=[X / G]$. Let
(a) $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{4}\right)=(6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1))$
(b) $\{I\}$ be the collection of all non-empty multi-indexes of $\{0, \ldots, 4\}$
(c) for each multi-index $I, V_{I}=\left\{\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{5}: x_{i} \neq 0\right.$ for $\left.i \in I\right\}$

Then the Chen-Ruan cohomology $H_{\text {orb }}^{*}(Y)$ is completely determined in terms of twisted forms ${ }^{2}$ by the following data

$$
I \text { multi-index of }\{0, \ldots, 4\}, V_{I} \cap \mathrm{~S}^{9} \neq \emptyset\left(\prod_{i \notin I}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\xi}_{i}}{a_{i}}\right) \prod_{i \in I}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\xi}_{i}}{a_{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Arg} \lambda^{a_{i}}}: \lambda \in \mathrm{S}^{1}\right\}
$$

[^2]where $\widetilde{\xi}_{i}$ is $G$-equivariant Chern class of the line bundle defined by $V_{I} \cap \mathrm{~S}^{9} / G$.
In Section 1.6, we elaborate on how to approach Mirror Symmetry for $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ following the steps initiated in [100], to be obtained in subsequential works. Additional questions relating to the obtained group structures and some topological invariants are left at this section's end.
1.4. Homotopy Hopf manifolds and tmf. In [61], Hopkins draws a connection between the Hopf fibration $S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$ and the constant term $\frac{1}{12}$ in the Fourier expansion of the Weierstrass $\wp$-function:
$$
\wp(z, \tau) d z^{2}=\left(\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^{s} u}{\left(1-q^{s} u\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{12}-2 \sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{q^{s}}{\left(1-q^{s}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{d u}{u}\right)^{2}
$$
where $u=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} z}, q=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} \tau}$. We further elaborate on Hopkins' argumentation to establish a similar relation applicable to homotopy Hopf manifolds. His observation stems from the following: if $S^{0}$ represents the sphere spectrum - [61, Section 3.2], then the cyclic group $\pi_{3}^{S} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ is generated by the Hopf fibration, as noted in [1].

Theorem L (=Theorem 6.2). For each Milnor sphere $M_{m, 1-m}$ there exist a $S^{3}$-action $\star$ in $S^{4}$ and homomorphisms classes $\beta, \gamma \in \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(4))$ yielding a principal bundle $J \gamma^{*} S^{7}$ such that

$$
J \gamma^{*} S^{7} / \star \cong M_{m, 1-m}
$$

Consequently, after a logarithmic transformation, we can associate (via tmf) $M_{m, 1-m}$ to the constant $\frac{1}{12}$ in the Fourier expansion of the Weierstrass $\wp$-function

$$
\wp(z, \tau) d z^{2}=\left(\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^{s} u}{\left(1-q^{s} u\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{12}-2 \sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{q^{s}}{\left(1-q^{s}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{d u}{u}\right)^{2}
$$

where $u=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} z}, q=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} \tau}$. I.e., there exists a map

$$
\mathscr{L}: M_{m, 1-m} \stackrel{\text { log. transform }}{\longmapsto} M_{1,0} \cong \mathrm{~S}^{7} \mapsto\left[h: \mathrm{S}^{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{4}\right] \in \pi_{3}^{S}
$$

Theorem M (=Theorem6.3). Consider the standard fibration

$$
\mathrm{T}^{2} \hookrightarrow W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow V_{k} \subset \mathbb{P}(6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1))
$$

given by diagram (5.5). Then, for 16 of the 28 homotopy Hopf manifolds in dimension 8 , the tmf theory identifies $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1}$ with $\frac{1}{12}$. Moreover,
(i) there is a constant map from the subset of $\sigma_{\text {Milnor }}^{8} \subset \sigma^{8}$ consisting in homotopy Hopf manifolds with 7-dimension factor a Milnor sphere

$$
\sigma_{\text {Milnor }}^{8} \rightarrow \pi_{3}^{S}
$$

obtained from the composing

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{\text {Milnor }}^{8} \stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{\rightarrow} \theta^{7} \xrightarrow{\mathscr{L}} \pi_{3}^{S} \\
M_{m, 1-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \mapsto M_{m, 1-m} \mapsto \mathrm{~S}^{7} \mapsto\left[\mathrm{~S}^{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{4}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since tmf identifies its image with $\frac{1}{12}$, we can attribute to it the numerical value $\frac{1}{12}$.
(ii) every element $M_{m, 1-m} \times S^{1} \in \sigma^{8}$ defines a fibration onto $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ up to a logarithmic transformation.

Conjectures $B$, ask whether the former results provide a numerical map from $\sigma^{8} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Studying its level sets could distinguish topological and geometric properties of homotopy Hopf manifolds.
1.5. Fano orbifolds. Following [16], from the link $\Sigma^{7}$ of the singularity $u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}=0$ one obtain fibrations as

$$
\mathrm{T}^{2} \rightarrow \Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow V
$$

where $V$ are Fano orbifolds on some weighted projective spaces. More concretely, the Fano orbifolds $V$ appearing above are all Fake Complex Projective spaces, that is, they are homotopy and have the same cohomology of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Fano orbifolds carry plenty of K3-surfaces. We wonder whether one can lift such K3-surfaces in $V$ to $\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$. We show this is possible when $\Sigma^{7}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{S}^{7}$ (Theorem 6.8), and ask whether such lifting is possible if, and only if, $V$ is homeomorphic to a projective space.

Theorem $\mathbf{N}$ (=Theorem 6.8). Consider the fibration $\mathrm{T}^{2} \hookrightarrow W_{5,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \cong \mathrm{~S}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow V_{1} \subset$ $\mathbb{P}(6,10,15,15,15)$. Then $V_{1} \cong \mathbb{P}(6,10,15,15)$ and there exists a family of K3-surfaces embedded in $S^{7} \times S^{1}$.

Throughout the manuscript, we leave some questions and conjectures to be approached in subsequential works. We chose to re-state that below for readers' convenience.
1.6. Questions, conjectures, and future developments. Here, we pose our conjectures and questions to be further explored. We divide it into smaller sections and provide some context to them.
1.6.1. Homological Mirror Symmetry in $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$. In [100] it is obtained the analogous homological mirror symmetry for the Hopf surface $S^{3} \times S^{1}$. This is the 4-dimension case of our considered homotopy Hopf manifolds $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1} . S^{3} \times S^{1}$ is obtained as the quotient space

$$
S^{3} \times S^{1} \cong\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\{0\}\right) /\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right) \sim \lambda\left(z_{0}, z_{1}\right), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*},|\lambda|<1 .
$$

As one can check, $H^{2}\left(S^{3} \times S^{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=0$ thus $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ is neither algebraic nor symplectic [21, 80]. The main scope of the paper [100] is to show that despite that, $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ still exhibits homological symmetry behavior, namely, there is a mirror space $Y$ and a Fukaya category $\mathfrak{F}$ associated to $Y$ such that there is a correspondence between coherent analytic sheaves in $Y$ and objects in $\mathfrak{F}$.

There are two options to obtain analogous homological mirror symmetry to $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$. The first amounts consider the $\Sigma^{7}$ admiting fibration description as $S^{3} \hookrightarrow \Sigma^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$. A huge downstep is because $S^{4}$ does not admit complex structure. Nevertheless, we could explore the disc decomposition of $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ in two pieces $D^{4} \times S^{3} \times S^{1}$, to which we can furnish appropriate complex structures (Proposition 7.11). Appropriate gluing of these complex structures extends to complex structures in $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ (Theorem 7.1). The main thing here is that each piece contains a copy of $S^{3} \times S^{1}$, indicating that we can explore it to derive the notion of homological mirror symmetry to $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$.

The second approach is more algebraic. As we can consider the fibrations $\mathrm{T}^{2} \hookrightarrow W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow$ $V_{k}$, intersecting $W_{6(1)-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1} \cap\left\{z_{1}=z_{2}=0\right\}$, one recovers $\left(S^{3} / \Gamma\right) \times S^{1}$ where $\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ of order 120 , see [85]. So for $k=1$, one has a very natural copy of (a quotient of) $\mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ sitting inside $S^{7} \times S^{1}$. As $S^{7} \times S^{1}$ can be obtained as the quotient

$$
\left(\mathbb{C}^{4} \backslash\{0\}\right) /\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right) \sim \lambda\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{3}\right), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*},|\lambda|<1
$$

it promptly suggests that the methods in [100] should adapt to $S^{7} \times S^{1}$. A crucial observation, however, is that logarithmic transformations do not change the diffeomorphism type of $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ ([101]), but our proposed logarithmic transformations may change that of $S^{7} \times S^{1}$ (Theorem[E]. It is left to understand the meaning of this (possible) smooth structure change once adapting the content of [100].

It is worth pointing out that the general spirit in [100] is based on the Strominger-Yau-Zaslow (SYZ) philosophy. Corollary [ establishes that distinct generalized logarithmic transformations correspond to different Fano orbifolds.

Question A. Do logarithmic transformations encompass all possible parameterizations for lagrangians and SYZ structures of homotopy Hopf manifolds?

Considering the results in [100], it is very natural to pose:
Conjecture A. The mirror of $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ is the mirror of $\mathrm{T}^{2} \times V_{k}$.
We expect that the mirror of $S^{7} \times S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(6,10,15,15)$ is a $T^{2}$-bundle over another weighted projective space. In full generality, recall that for each $k$ the homotopy Hopf manifold $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ fibers over (with $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ as fibers) Fano orbifolds $V_{k}$ of degree $6(6 k-1)$ in $\mathbb{P}(6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-$ 1), $3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1))$. For instance,
(1) for $k=2$ we have a $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-bundle from $W_{11,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ where $V_{2}$ is a Fano orbifold of degree 66 in $\mathbb{P}(6,22,33,33,33)$
(2) for $k=3$ we have a $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-bundle from $W_{17,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow V_{3}$ where $V_{3}$ is a Fano orbifold of degree 102 in $\mathbb{P}(6,34,51,51,51)$.
We expect that for each $k$ the mirrors of $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1}$ are $T^{2}$-bundles over Fano orbifolds.
Once we have the Shimada Spheres [90] (the fifteen-dimension analogous to the Milnor spheres in dimension seven), we believe our analysis should be generalized to this case.

Recall that in the realm of algebraic geometry, when dealing with Fano threefolds, a fundamental tool for analyzing their derived categories is the notion of semi-orthogonal decomposition (SOD). As for the singularity $f=u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}$ we can recover every homotopy sphere in dimension 7 , considering $\mathrm{D}^{b}\left(V_{k}\right)$ may lead to further connections of algebraic geometry invariant and (smooth) topological ones.

An exceptional collection in the derived category $\mathrm{D}^{b}(X)$ of a Fano orbifold $X$ is a sequence of objects $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{n}$ that are mutually exceptional. This means they have no higher Ext-groups between them, i.e., $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{i}\left(E_{j}, E_{k}\right)=0$ for $i \neq 0$ and all $j, k$, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(E_{j}, E_{k}\right)=0$ for $j \neq k$. For any Fano orbifold $X$, it is known that

$$
\mathrm{D}^{b}(X)=\left\langle\mathscr{A}, E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}\right\rangle
$$

Here $\mathscr{A}$ is the so-called Griffiths component, $\left\langle\mathscr{A}, E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}\right\rangle$ a semi-orthogonal decomposition, and $\mathscr{A}$ is a fractional Calabi-Yau category, see [64].

Question B. Are the Griffiths components smooth invariants?
Question C. Are the Griffiths components distinguished by tmf and the elliptic genus?
As it follows from the work of Katzarkov, Kontsevich, Pantev, Yu, [65], we can associate to the $\mathscr{A}$ a noncommutative Hodge structure - an Atom $\operatorname{Atom}(\mathscr{A})$ depending on $\mathscr{A}$. To it, we associate a noncommutative spectra.

Question D. Is the noncommutative spectra of $\operatorname{Atom}(\mathscr{A})$ related to spectra of the singularity $f\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}$ and to the elliptic genus (as in [74])? Is it related to the tmf?

Question E. Can the similar tmf, elliptic genus invariants be defined for every Fano and its Griffiths componnent $\mathscr{A}$ ?

Question F. What are the mirror counterparts of tmf, elliptic genus invariants? What captures the geometry of the logarithmic transformation for the mirror?
1.6.2. Topological Modular forms. As one also learns from [100], the quotient space

$$
(\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}) / z \sim \lambda z, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*},|\lambda|<1
$$

is an elliptic curve $E_{\tau}$ with modualr parameter $\tau$ satisfying $q=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} \tau}$. This elliptic curve plays a major role in the homological mirror symmetry approach in [100]. Consider the Fourier expansion
of the Weierstrass $\wp$-function

$$
\wp(z, \tau) d z^{2}=\left(\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^{s} u}{\left(1-q^{s} u\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{12}-2 \sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{q^{s}}{\left(1-q^{s}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{d u}{u}\right)^{2}
$$

where $u=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i z}}, q=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} \tau}$. As we explained, Hopkins showed that the term $\frac{1}{12}$ is identified via tmftheory with the Hopf fibration $S^{7} \rightarrow S^{1}$. Since $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\{0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{3} \backslash\{0\}$, we have that $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ sits very naturally inside of $\mathrm{S}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$, and $E_{\tau}$ embeds in $\mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$. We picture


The following are natural questions
Question G. Do $E_{\tau}$ play the analogous role to obtained homological mirror symmetry in $\mathrm{S}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ to that it plays in the case of $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ in [100]? Is it true that $E_{\tau}$ also embedds in every homotopy Hopf manifold of the form $M_{m, 1-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}, m \neq 1$ ?

As Theorem M shows, for the subset $\sigma_{\text {Milnor }}^{8} \subset \sigma^{8}$ we can associate the number $\frac{1}{12}$ extending Hopkins' observation. We wonder if the existence of a numerical function $N: \sigma^{8} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose level sets distinguish topological (or geometric) among homotopy Hopf manifolds is true.
Conjecture B. There is a numerical map

$$
N: \sigma^{8} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

which is the constant $\frac{1}{12}$ in $\sigma_{\text {Milnor }}^{8}$.
Let $B O\langle 8\rangle$ be the seven connected cover of $B$ Spin and $M O\langle 8\rangle$ be its Thom spectrum [61, Section 3.2]. According to [61, Theorem 6.25], for any multiplicative map $M O\langle 8\rangle \rightarrow \operatorname{tmf}$ whose underlying genus is the Witten genus, the induced map of homotopy groups $\pi_{*} M O\langle 8\rangle \rightarrow \pi_{*}$ tmf is surjective. Since $\pi_{*}$ tmf is isomorphic to $\pi_{*} S^{0}$, the numerical map $N$ could be decoupled from the following

Conjecture C. (1) The map

$$
\mathscr{L} \circ \mathrm{p}_{1}: \sigma^{8} \rightarrow \pi_{3}^{S}
$$

in Theorem $\mathbf{M}$ induces a map

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{3}: \pi_{3} M O\langle 8\rangle \rightarrow \pi_{3}^{S}
$$

that can be seen as a factor of the ring isomorphism $\pi_{*} \operatorname{tmf} \cong \pi_{*} S^{0}$.
(2) Restricting the domain of $\mathfrak{L}_{3}$ properly, it can be identified (as a constant function) to the term $\frac{1}{12}$ in the Fourier expansion of the $\wp$-Weierstrass function.
(3) For each positive integer $n$ there exists a map $\mathfrak{L}_{n}: \pi_{n} M O\langle 8\rangle \rightarrow \pi_{n}^{S}$ which we can associate to some coefficient in the Fourier expansion of Weierstrass $\wp$-function.

We justify the importance of the conjectural numerical map $N$ as:
Conjecture D. Given a homotopy Hopf manifold $X \in \sigma^{8}$, if we can not associate $X$ with the number $\frac{1}{12}$ in the Fourier expansion of the $\wp$-Weierstrass function, then
(i) either $X$ is the image under $\tilde{\sigma}$ of an element in $(m, 1-m, l) \in \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \otimes \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \otimes \pi_{1} \mathrm{SO}(2)$ with $l \neq 1$
(ii) or $X=\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ for $\Sigma^{7} \in \theta^{7}$ a homotopy seven sphere that admits no realization as the total space of a $S^{3}$-bundle over $S^{4}$, i.e., $\Sigma^{7}$ is not the total space of a Milnor bundle.
A more profound conjecture relating tmf, orbifold Elliptic genus, and rationality is posed next (Conjecture G).
1.6.3. Fano orbifolds, Kähler-Einstein metrics and the K3. The third stable homotopy group of spheres (the third stable stem) is the cyclic group of order 24:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{3}^{S} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24} \\
{\left[h_{\mathbb{H}}\right] \mapsto[1]}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the generator $[1] \in \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ is represented by the quaternionic Hopf fibration $S^{7} \xrightarrow{h_{\mathbb{H}}} S^{4}$.
Under the Pontrjagin-Thom isomorphism, we can identify the stable homotopy groups of spheres with the bordism ring $\Omega_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ of stably framed manifolds with the generator $\left[h_{\mathbb{H}}\right]$ being represented by the 3 -sphere (with its left-invariant framing induced from the identification with the Lie group $\left.\mathrm{SU}(2) \cong \mathrm{S}^{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{3}^{S} & \cong \Omega_{3}^{\mathrm{fr}} \\
{\left[h_{\mathbb{H}}\right] } & \leftrightarrow\left[\mathrm{S}^{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The relation $24\left[S^{3}\right] \cong 0$ is represented by the bordism given by the complement of 24 open balls inside the K3-manifold (Proposition6.7).

Consider the fibrations

$$
\mathrm{T}^{2} \hookrightarrow W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \xrightarrow{\pi} V_{k} \subset \mathbb{P}(6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1)),
$$

where $V_{k}$ is a Fano orbifold, introduced in Section 5 Fano orbifolds have many K3 surfaces embedded in them, which we will describe next. Let $-K$ be the anticanonical bundle over $V_{k}$. The zero sets for the bundle sections $\pi_{K}:-K \rightarrow V_{k}$ give us plenty of K3 surfaces. Now let us pull back $-K$ to $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1}$, realizing the diagram

where

$$
\pi^{*}(-K)=\left\{(x, v) \in W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \times-K: \pi(x)=\pi_{K}(v)\right\} .
$$

Each section $s \in C^{\infty}(-K)$ is a map $s: V_{k} \rightarrow-K$ so that we can define the pulling back for these sections by $s \circ \pi: W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1} \rightarrow \pi^{*}(-K)$. Once in hand Theorem $\mathbb{N}$, we ask

Question H. Is it true that the zeroes of the pulled-back sections $\left\{s \circ \pi: W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1} \rightarrow \pi^{*}(-K)\right\}$ are embedded K3-surfaces in $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ ?

Question I. Is it true that Question $H$ has a positive answer only for 16 values of $k$, the ones in correspondence with the diffeomorphism type of $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$ compatible with an $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundle over $\mathrm{S}^{4}$ realization? Being this true, is it also true that the corresponding $V_{k}$ is homomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ ?

The main goal in the paper [16] is to provide the existence of Einstein metrics on homotopy spheres. They do this by searching for the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on the Fano orbifolds $V$ obtained as orbit spaces for the weighted $\mathrm{S}^{1}$-action in $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$ described above. As we can readily check, their results do not ensure the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on our Fano orbifolds described above (see Theorem 34 in [16, p.572]). Nevertheless, in the case $k=1$, we have that $W_{6(1)-1,3}^{7} \stackrel{\text { diffeomorphic }}{\cong} \mathrm{S}^{7}$. Theorem 6.8 ensures that the Fano orbifold, in this case, is a weighted projective $\mathbb{P}^{3}$.

Question J. Is it true that the Fano orbifold $V_{k}$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric if, and only if, $V_{k}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ ?
1.6.4. How logarithmic transformations patches everything together. Our introduced logarithmic transformations are showcased in spherical T-duality (Theorem E), offering distinct smooth structures on homotopy Hopf manifolds (Theorem E). Moreover, they ensure diverse orbifold stacks as the base for fibrations from the former (Theorem $\mathbf{H}$ ). The orbifold structures, as elucidated in Theorem K, can be discerned through Chen-Ruan cohomology. Consequently, certain choices of logarithmic transformations can be evaluated using Chen-Ruan cohomology. This prompts the inquiry: How many orbifold stacks and logarithmic transformations can be amalgamated to characterize a diffeomorphism type for a homotopy Hopf manifold uniquely? We believe the following is true

Conjecture E. Let $[X / G]$ be a Fano stack where $G$ is some finite subgroup of $S^{1}$ and $X$ is a fake $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Then there is an element in $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \otimes \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \otimes \pi_{1}(\mathrm{SO}(2))$ whose image under $\widetilde{\sigma}$ (given in Theorem E) is the total space of a $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-fibration over $X$.

A very strong support for Conjecture Eis Lemma 6 in [82]. It ensures a correspondence between (smooth) fake $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and circle actions on homotopy spheres. Our conjecture is further elaborated to establish a more concrete relation between the orbifold stacks from fake $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and logarithmic transformations.

A more speculating question is based on Conjecture D Putting conjecture Ein perspective with that, it may be the case that partial data associated with logarithmic transformations can be inferred from tmf. We pose

Question K. Is there a connection between tmf and logarithmic transformations? How are conjectures Eand Drelated?

Observe that, fixed a Fano orbifold $V_{k}$, logarithmic transformations induce new quotient stacks $\left[V_{k} / G\right]$ for certain finite groups $G$, these are Theorem J] and Corollary I] In [25], we give further evidence that these logarithmic transformations can produce examples of non-equivariantly birational $V_{k}$, combining its Chen-Ruan description (Theorem K) with the $\beta$-classes introduced in [68].
Conjecture F. For each Fano orbifold $V_{k}$ coming from a $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-fibration $\pi_{k}$ with $\Sigma_{1}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ as total space, there exist logarithmic transformations of $\pi_{k}$ leading to non $G$-birational pairs $\left(V_{k}, G, \rho\right),\left(V_{k}, G, \rho^{\prime}\right)$, where $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ are $G$-representations on $\operatorname{Iso}\left(V_{k}\right)$, the isomorphism classes of $V_{k}$, and $G<\pi_{1}(\mathrm{SO}(2))$.

The more profound connections relating tmf, orbifold Elliptic Genus, and birational invariants shall be explored in [24]. To give further details, gerbes with connections ([56, 77]) correspond with twisted bundles $\mathscr{L}$ over $V_{k}$. The Grothendieck group generated by the isomorphism classes of $\mathscr{L}$ is the $\mathscr{L}$ twisted K-theory ${ }^{\mathscr{L}} K_{\text {grp }}\left(V_{k}\right)$. Under mild hypothesis on $V_{k}$, it holds that (apply for instance the argumentation in [77])

$$
\mathscr{L}_{K_{\mathrm{grp}}}\left(V_{k}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathrm{H}_{C R}^{*}\left(V_{k} ; \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

We are tempted to conjecture the following.
Conjecture G. The ring tmf can recover "global information" for orbifolds $V_{k}$. This means that we can use it combined with the theory of Chen-Ruan cohomology to classify all the possible $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ fibratios whose base is $V_{k}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we revisit the concept of $\star$-diagrams, closely following [26]. We cover basic definitions and recall known results. Additionally, we introduce new results that extend beyond the scope of this work. While not directly related to our current focus, they complement our discussion on spherical T-duality. The only $\star$-diagrams referring claims are proved in Theorems B , D

We introduce Spherical T-duality in Section 3 The section begins with the recapitulation on Milnor bundles. Next, we recall spherical T-duality following [8, 9] and conclude the proof of Theorems $A, B$ This section finishes with the proof of Theorem $C$

We discuss logarithmic transformations in Section 4 After recalling the basics of logarithmic transformations in elliptic fibrations, we provide a straightening angle description of every homotopy Hopf manifold and prove Theorem E

In Section 5], we start discussing homological mirror symmetry for homotopy Hopf manifolds and accomplish with the proof of Theorems $G$

Section 6 presents the discussion on tmf, K3-surfaces and Kähler-Einstein metrics, culminating in the proof of Theorems $\mathbf{M} \mid \mathbf{N}$ Lastly, in Section 7 we discuss complex structures on homotopy Hopf manifolds induced by gluing.

The present paper contains two appendixes. The first regarding a recap on straightening angles, which extrapolates to its analogous in the holomorphic case (Appendix A), and the second on the basics of orbifolds and Chen-Ruan cohomology (Appendix B).

## 2. $\star$-DIAGRAMS: A CONCISE ACCOUNT AND NEW RESULTS

The notion of an exotic sphere originates from John Milnor's groundbreaking work in the 1950s [83]. Milnor introduced a family of 7-dimension manifolds, now referred to as exotic spheres, which are homeomorphic to, but not diffeomorphic with, 7-dimension spheres. The terminology extends so that an exotic manifold refers to a smooth manifold $M^{\prime}$ homeomorphic to another smooth manifold $M$, yet not diffeomorphic.

In [92], following [37, 87], Sperança introduced a general procedure to construct exotic manifolds $M^{\prime}$ from a classical, or standard, realization $M$, establishing a dictionary between their invariant geometries. Let $\mathscr{P}$ denote a principal $G$-manifold with principal action $\bullet$, where $G$ is a compact Lie group, and assume there exists another $G$-commuting action on $\mathscr{P}$, denoted by $\star$. Let $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ be the corresponding orbit spaces for the $\bullet$ and $\star$-actions, respectively. We patch these data in the following diagram


The starting example is:
Example 2.1 (The Gromoll-Meyer exotic sphere). Further details can be found in [45, 37, 92].
Consider the compact Lie group

$$
\operatorname{Sp}(2)=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & c  \tag{2.2}\\
b & \mathrm{~d}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{S}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{7} \right\rvert\, a \bar{b}+c \bar{d}=0\right\}
$$

where $a, b, c, \mathrm{~d} \in \mathbb{H}$ are quaternions with their usual conjugation, multiplication, and norm. The projection $\pi: \operatorname{Sp}(2) \rightarrow S^{7}$ of an element to its first column defines a principal $S^{3}$-bundle with principal action:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & c  \tag{2.3}\\
b & d
\end{array}\right) \bar{q}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & c \bar{q} \\
b & d \bar{q}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In [45] it is also considered the $\star$-action

$$
q\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & c  \tag{2.4}\\
b & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q a \bar{q} & q c \\
q b \bar{q} & q d
\end{array}\right)
$$

whose quotient for the map $\pi^{\prime}:\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & c \\ b & d\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(2 \bar{c} d,|c|^{2}-|d|^{2}\right)$ is an exotic 7 -sphere named the Gromoll-Meyer exotic sphere, therein denoted by $\Sigma_{G M}^{7}$. We encompasse actions (2.3), (2.4) to the principal bundle diagram


A construction based on $\star$-diagrams, as in (2.1), does not necessarily yield exotic smooth structures. The choice of the $\star$-action, encoded in a cocycle condition (see Definition 2.3), determines whether exotic structures emerge.

Example 2.2 (Pairs of diffeomorphic manifolds via $\star$-diagrams). Let $M$ be a smooth manifold with an effective smooth action by a compact Lie group $G$, which we denote by $\cdot$. Consider the product manifold $M \times G$ with the following $\star$-action

$$
g \star\left(x, g^{\prime}\right):=\left(g \cdot x, g g^{\prime}\right), x \in M, g, g^{\prime} \in G
$$

Let $\bullet$ be the following $G$-action on $M \times G$ :

$$
g \bullet\left(x, g^{\prime}\right):=\left(x,\left(g^{\prime}\right) g^{-1}\right), x \in M, g, g^{\prime} \in G
$$

Both $\bullet, \star$ are free and commuting actions on $M \times G$. Orbit maps in which actions are, respectively, $\pi: M \times G \rightarrow M,\left(x, g^{\prime}\right) \mapsto x, \pi^{\prime}: M \times G \rightarrow M,\left(x, g^{\prime}\right) \mapsto\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{-1} x$. We can build the corresponding $\star$-diagram


In contrast, Examples 2.1, 2.2 reinforce that to build an exotic smooth structure out of $M$, we need to consider more "twisted constructions". We revisit the procedure detailed in [26], providing a recipe for constructing diagrams akin to 2.1). Theorem 2.5 substantiates this approach, demonstrating that the actions in Example 2.2 consistently serve as local descriptions for any $\bullet$ and $\star$-action within a $\star$-diagram.
2.1. Manufacturing exotic manifolds: the recipe for $\star$-diagrams. Below, we outline the general theory for constructing $\star$-bundles, systematically described in [26].

Let $M$ be a $G$-manifold (with action on the left) and $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ be a collection of $G$-invariant open sets of $M$. Reducing $U_{i}$ if necessary, we can assume that $G U_{i}=U_{i}$. Given two open $G$-invariant sets $U_{i}, U_{j}$, let $U_{i j}:=U_{i} \cap U_{j}$.
Definition 2.3. A collection $\phi_{i j}: U_{i j} \rightarrow G$ is said to be a $\star$-collection if it satisfies the cocycle condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i j}(x) \phi_{j k}(x) \phi_{k i}(x)=\phi_{i i}(x), \forall x \in U_{i j}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i j}(g x)=g \phi_{i j}(x) g^{-1}, \forall i, j, \forall g \in G, \forall x \in U_{i j} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (2.8) guarantees that the adjoint map

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widehat{\phi}_{i j}: U_{i j} \rightarrow U_{i j} \\
\widehat{\phi}_{i j}: x \mapsto \phi_{i j}(x) x . \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

is equivariant. In this way, $\widehat{\phi}_{i j}$ defines an equivariant diffeomorphism over $U_{i j}$. We register it in the lemma below.

Lemma 2.4 (Lemma 2.3 in [26]). Let $U$ be a $G$-manifold and $\theta, \theta^{\prime}: U \rightarrow G$ smooth maps satisfying (2.8). Then $\widehat{\theta}$ and $\widehat{\theta^{\prime}}$ are equivariant diffeomorphisms such that

$$
\widehat{\theta \theta^{\prime}}=\widehat{\theta^{\prime}} \widehat{\theta}
$$

where $\theta \theta^{\prime}(x):=\theta(x) \theta^{\prime}(x), \forall x \in U$.
Let $\left\{\phi_{i j}: U_{i j} \rightarrow G\right\}$ be a $\star$-collection. We define

$$
\bigcup_{\widehat{\phi}_{i j}} U_{i}
$$

as the quotient space under the equivalence relation $x \in U_{i j} \sim \widehat{\phi}_{i j}(x) \in U_{i j}$. Theorem 2.5, proved [26], establishes the recipe for constructing $\star$-diagrams.
Theorem 2.5 (Theorem 2.2 in [26]). Let $\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$ be the principal bundle associated with a $\star$-collection given by $\left\{\phi_{i j}: U_{i j} \rightarrow G\right\}$. Then $\mathscr{P}$ admits a new action, denoted by $\star$, such that
(i) the $\star$-action in $\mathscr{P}$ is free
(ii) the quotient $\mathscr{P} / \star$ is a G-manifold equivariantly diffeomorphic to

$$
M^{\prime}:=\bigcup_{\widehat{\phi}_{i j}} U_{i}
$$

(iii) the $\star$-diagram (2.1) obtained from this construction is such that if $(G \times G)_{p}$ denotes the isotropy group on $p$ with respect to the action by juxtaposition

$$
(r, s) p:=r p s^{-1}
$$

where $G \times\{e\}$ represents the $\star$-action, $\{e\} \times G$ represents the principal action, and " $e$ " denotes the unity element of $G$, then there exists $g \in G$ such that

$$
(G \times G)_{p}=\left\{\left(h, g h g^{-1}\right): h \in G_{\pi(p)}\right\}
$$

Proof. (i) Let $\left\{\phi_{i j}: U_{i j} \rightarrow G\right\}$ be the $\star$-collection associated with $\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$ provided by the hypothesis. We can trivialize $\mathscr{P}$ so that $\left.\mathscr{P}\right|_{\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)} \cong U_{i} \times G$. Thus, we define the free $\star$-action by the local expression

$$
r(x, g):=\left(r \cdot x, g r^{-1}\right), \forall r \in G
$$

To verify the good global definition of $\star$, recall that $\mathscr{P}$ can be retrieved via the quotient space

$$
\bigcup_{\phi_{i j}} U_{i} \times G=\mathscr{P},
$$

where the elements $(x, g),(y, h) \in \bigcup_{i} U_{i} \times G$ are equivalent if and only if there exist $i, j$ such that

$$
x=y \in U_{i j}, g \phi_{i j}(x)=h .
$$

Since for any $x \in U_{i j}$ we have

$$
\left(q \cdot x, g q^{-1} \phi_{i j}(q x)\right)=\left(q \cdot x, g \phi_{i j}(x) q^{-1}\right), x \in U_{i j}, q, g \in G
$$

one gets the desired.
(ii) Lemma 2.4 ensures that the adjoint maps $\widehat{\phi}_{i j}$ are equivariant diffeomorphisms, so we can define the projection

$$
\pi^{\prime}: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M^{\prime}
$$

from a local trivialization

$$
\pi^{\prime}:(x, g) \in U_{i} \times G \mapsto g \cdot x
$$

Note that $\pi^{\prime}$ is well defined since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{\prime}\left(x, g \phi_{i j}(x)\right) & =\left(g \phi_{i j}(x)\right) \cdot x \\
& =g \widehat{\phi}_{i j}(x) \\
& =\widehat{\phi}_{i j}(g \cdot x) \\
& =\phi_{i j}(g \cdot x) g \cdot x \\
& =\phi_{i j}\left(\pi^{\prime}(x, g)\right) \pi^{\prime}(x, g) \\
& =\widehat{\phi}_{i j}\left(\pi^{\prime}(x, g)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $\pi^{\prime}\left(x, g \phi_{i j}(x)\right)$ and $\pi^{\prime}(x, g)$ define the same equivalence class in $M^{\prime}$.
Furthermore, $\pi^{\prime}(r p)=\pi^{\prime}(p)$, and hence $\pi^{\prime}$, defines a submersion whose fibers are the orbits of the action $\star$. In particular, the map

$$
l_{i}(x):=(x, e), \text { where } e \text { denotes the unity element of } G .
$$

defines a local section for both $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$. Therefore, $U_{i} \times G / \star$ and $U_{i}$ are equivariantly diffeomorphic open sets.
(iii) This follows immediately from the fact that in a local trivialization for $\mathscr{P}$

$$
(r, s)(x, g):=\left(r \cdot x, s g r^{-1}\right), x \in U_{i}, r, s, g \in G
$$

since $(r, s)(x, g)=(x, g)$ if and only if $r \in G_{x}$ and $s=g r g^{-1}$. So,

$$
(G \times G)_{p}=\left\{\left(r, g r g^{-1}\right): r \in G_{x}\right\} .
$$

2.2. Pulling-back $\star$-diagrams. A prolific method for building examples is to take pullbacks of $\mathscr{P}$ on a $\star$-diagram by appropriate smooth functions. Next, we elucidate this fact.

Let $M, N$ be $G$-manifolds and $f: N \rightarrow M$ be smooth equivariant maps. Let $\left\{\phi_{i j}: U_{i j} \rightarrow G\right\}$ be a $\star$-collection associated with the bundle $\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$. Recall that the pullback bundle by $f$ over $N$ has as total space

$$
f^{*}(\mathscr{P}):=\{N \times \mathscr{P}: f(n)=\pi(p), \forall n \in N, \forall p \in \mathscr{P}\}
$$

and projection $\pi_{f}(n, p):=n$. Thus, the principal action induced in $f^{*}(\mathscr{P})$ is defined by

$$
(n, p) s^{-1}:=(n, s p)
$$

while $\star$-action induced on $f^{*}(\mathscr{P})$ is defined by

$$
r(n, p):=\left(r n, p r^{-1}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.6 gives conditions to the pullback of $\star$-bundle to produce another $\star$-bundle.
Proposition 2.6 (Proposition 2.4 in [26]). Let $f: N \rightarrow M$ be a smooth and $G$-equivariant function and $M^{\prime} \leftarrow \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$ be $a \star$-bundle obtained from $a \star$-collection given by $\left\{\phi_{i j}: U_{i j} \rightarrow G\right\}$. Then,
(i) $\pi_{f}$ consists of a bundle that is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the $\star$-bundle obtained by the $\star$-collection given by $\left\{\phi_{i j} \circ f: f^{-1}\left(U_{i j}\right) \rightarrow G\right\}$
(ii) the quotient $f^{*}(\mathscr{P}) / \star$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to

$$
N^{\prime}=\bigcup_{\widehat{\phi_{i j} \circ f}} f^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)
$$

(iii) a map $f^{\prime}: N^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is well defined and satisfies $\left.f^{\prime}\right|_{f^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)}=\left.f\right|_{f^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)}$.

Remark 2.7. Therein, we sometimes employ the short notation $M \stackrel{\pi}{\leftarrow} \mathscr{P} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$ for a $\star$-diagram, possibly omitting the projections $\pi, \pi^{\prime}$.

Next, we show how invariant complex structures can be "transported" from $M$ in a $\star$-diagram to $M^{\prime}$, and vice-versa.
2.3. Interchangeability of complex structures via $\star$-diagrams. As discussed in [26], the geometries of $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ can be compared by considering a $G \times G$-invariant Riemannian metric g on $\mathscr{P}$. Let $\mathscr{H}^{\prime \prime}$ be the bihorizontal space defined as

$$
\mathscr{H}^{\prime \prime}=\{X \in T \mathscr{P} \mid X \perp T(G \times G)\}
$$

Following the approach in Section 5 of [26], we can construct Riemannian metrics $g_{M}$ and $g_{M^{\prime}}$ on $M$ and $M^{\prime}$, respectively, making $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ Riemannian submersions. Throughout, denote the pointwise collection of vector spaces whose elements are vectors orthogonal to the $G$-orbits on $M$ and $M^{\prime}$, respectively, by $\mathscr{H} \subseteq T M$ and $\mathscr{H}^{\prime} \subseteq T M^{\prime}$. For such chosen metrics it also holds that the restrictions $\left.\mathrm{d} \pi\right|_{\mathscr{H} \mathscr{C}^{\prime \prime}}:\left(\mathscr{H}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{g}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{H}, \mathrm{g}_{M}\right),\left.\mathrm{d} \pi^{\prime}\right|_{\mathscr{H}^{\prime \prime}}:\left(\mathscr{H}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{g}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{g}_{M^{\prime}}\right)$ are isometries. We present a sketch of such construction next.

To construct $\mathrm{g}_{M^{\prime}}$, we recall that a connection 1-form on a $G$-principal bundle is a differential 1-form $\omega_{0}: T \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying, for every $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}, X \in T \mathscr{P}$, and $g \in G$ :

- $\left(\omega_{0}\right)_{p}\left(\xi^{*}\right)=\xi$,
- $\left(\omega_{0}\right)_{p g}(X g)=\operatorname{Ad}_{g^{-1}}\left(\omega_{0}\right)_{p}(X)$.

Here, $\xi^{*}$ is the action field defined by $\xi$. Averaging $\omega_{0}$ along the $G \times G$-orbits gives an invariant form $\omega$. Thus, if $\mathrm{g}_{M}$ is a $G$-invariant metric in $M$, and $Q$ is a bi-invariant metric in $G$, we define a $G \times G$-invariant Kaluza-Klein metric in $\mathscr{P}$ as

$$
\mathrm{g}:=\pi^{*} \mathrm{~g}_{M}+Q \circ \omega \otimes \omega
$$

The desired metric in $\mathrm{g}_{M^{\prime}}$ is obtained as $\mathrm{g}_{M^{\prime}}:=\pi_{*}^{\prime}(\mathrm{g})$. For the remaining section, we assume that $M, M^{\prime}, \mathscr{P}$ are equipped with those mentioned above invariant Riemannian metrics.

Define $\overline{\mathscr{H}}:=\operatorname{ker} \omega$ and choose $x^{\prime} \in \pi^{\prime}\left(\pi^{-1}(x)\right)$ for some fixed $x \in M$. Let $v G x$ and $v G x^{\prime}$ represent the normal bundles of the $G$-orbits through $x$ and $x^{\prime}$, respectively. In preparation for the rest of this section and to set the tone for the proof of Theorem 2.12, we revisit Proposition 5.3 in [26].

Proposition 2.8 (Proposition 5.3, p. 28 in [26]). Given $x \in M$, there exist $x^{\prime} \in \pi^{\prime}\left(\pi^{-1}(x)\right)$ and an isomorphism $\Phi: v G x \rightarrow v G x^{\prime}$ such that, given $\mathscr{O} \subseteq v G x$, if $\left.\exp \right|_{\mathscr{O}}: \mathscr{O} \rightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image, then $\left.\exp \right|_{\Phi(\mathscr{O})}: \Phi(\mathscr{O}) \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image.

Proof. According to item (iiii) of Theorem 2.5] for any $x$ there is $p \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ whose isotropy subgroup $(G \times G)_{p}$ is the diagonal $\Delta G_{x}=\left\{(q, q) \mid q \in G_{x}\right\}$. Set $x^{\prime}=\pi^{\prime}(p)$.

Let us consider the map $\Psi: G x \rightarrow \mathscr{P}$ as $\Psi(r x)=r p r^{-1}$, which is is well defined since $(G \times G)_{p}=$ $\Delta G_{x}$, thus $r p r^{-1}=p$ when $r \in G_{x}$. Given $X \in T M$ and $q \in \pi^{-1}(x)$, denote by $\mathscr{L}_{q}(X) \in \overline{\mathscr{H}}_{q}$ the unique element of $\overline{\mathscr{H}}$ such that $\mathrm{d} \pi\left(\mathscr{L}_{q}(X)\right)=X$. It can be straightforwardly verified that both $\Psi$ and $\mathscr{L}_{q}$ are equivariant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(r y)=r \Psi(y) r^{-1}, \quad \mathscr{L}_{r q s^{-1}}(r X)=r \mathscr{L}_{q}(X) s^{-1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now define $\Phi: v G x \rightarrow v G x^{\prime}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{r x}(X)=\mathrm{d} \pi^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{L}_{\Psi(r x)}(X)\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $\Phi_{r x}$ defines an isometry between $\mathscr{H}_{r x}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{r x^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Since both $\mathscr{L}_{q}$ and $\left.\mathrm{d} \pi^{\prime}\right|_{\mathscr{H}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ are isometries it suffices to show that for every $q$ it holds that $\mathscr{L}_{q}\left(\mathscr{H}_{x}\right)=\mathscr{H}_{q}^{\prime \prime}$.

Using that $\pi\left(r q s^{-1}\right)=r x$ we conclude that the image of vectors tangent to $(G \times G)$-orbits by $\mathrm{d} \pi$ are vectors tangent to $G$-orbits on $M$. Once $\left.\mathrm{d} \pi\right|_{\mathscr{\mathscr { H }}}$ is an isometry, then $\mathscr{L}_{q}$ maps vectors orthogonal to $G x$ to vectors orthogonal to $(G \times G) q$. Now dimension comparison ensures that $\mathscr{L}_{q}\left(\mathscr{H}_{x}\right)=\mathscr{H}_{q}^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $\mathscr{O} \subseteq v G x$ be such that $\left.\exp \right|_{\mathscr{O}}$ is a diffeomorphism. Thus $\tilde{\Psi}: \exp (\mathscr{O}) \times G \rightarrow \mathscr{P}$,

$$
\tilde{\Psi}\left(\exp _{r x}(v), g\right)=\exp _{\Psi(r x)}\left(\mathscr{L}_{\Psi(r x)}(v)\right) g^{-1}
$$

is a trivialization for $\pi$ along $\exp (\mathscr{O})$. Moreover, for every $y \in G x$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\Psi}\left(\exp _{r y}(r v), s g r^{-1}\right) & =\exp _{\Psi(r y)}\left(\mathscr{L}_{\Psi(r y)}(r v)\right) r(s g)^{-1}=\exp _{\Psi(r y)}\left(\mathscr{L}_{r \Psi(y) r^{-1}}(r v)\right) r(s g)^{-1} \\
& =\exp _{\Psi(r y)}\left(r \mathscr{L}_{\Psi(y)}(v) r^{-1}\right) r(s g)^{-1}=r \tilde{\Psi}\left(\exp _{y}(v), g\right) s^{-1} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $\imath\left(\exp _{y}(v)\right)=\tilde{\Psi}\left(\exp _{y}(v), e\right)$ intersects each $\{e\} \times G$ - and $G \times\{e\}$-orbit at most once. Thus,

$$
\exp _{y}(v) \mapsto \pi^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\Psi}\left(\exp _{y}(v), e\right)\right)
$$

defines a diffeomorphism between $\exp (\mathscr{O})$ and $\pi^{\prime}(\tilde{\Psi}(\mathscr{O}))$. On the other hand, since $\pi^{\prime}$ is a Riemannian submersion and $v \in\left(\operatorname{kerd} \pi^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\Psi}\left(\exp _{r x}(v), e\right)\right) & =\pi^{\prime} \exp _{r x r^{-1}}\left(\mathscr{L}_{r x r^{-1}}(v)\right) \\
& =\exp _{\pi^{\prime}\left(r x r^{-1}\right)}\left(\mathrm{d} \pi^{\prime} \mathscr{L}_{r x r^{-1}}(v)\right)=\exp _{r x^{\prime}}(\Phi(v))
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that $\pi^{\prime} \circ \tilde{\Psi}=\left.\exp \circ \Phi \circ \exp ^{-1}\right|_{\mathscr{O}}$ is a diffeomorphism.
A useful consequence of Proposition 2.8 is in hand:
Theorem 2.9. Let $\mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$ be a $G$-principal bundle with another commuting action by $G$ for which the isotropy of the juxtaposition action satisfies item (iiii) of Theorem 2.5] Then M admits a 夫collection and so, yields $a \star$-diagram.

Proof. Let us construct $\tilde{\Psi}$ (equation (2.12)). The proof relies on its $G$-equivariance.
The only prerequisite for $\widetilde{\psi}$ construction is that the juxtaposition action from another $G$-action should commute with the $G$-principal action in $\mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$. This aligns with Item (iiii) of Theorem 2.5,

By mimicking the construction of $\tilde{\Psi}$ in the proof of Proposition 2.8, we find open tubular neighborhoods $U_{x}$ for every orbit $G x \subset M$ of $G x$ and $\mathscr{O}_{x} \subseteq v G x$ for the zero section such that $\left.\exp \right|_{\mathscr{O}_{x}}: \mathscr{O}_{x} \rightarrow U_{x}$ is a diffeomorphism. The trivialization $\tilde{\Psi}_{x}: U_{x} \times G \rightarrow \mathscr{P}$ and equivariance of $\tilde{\Psi}$ guarantees that the transition functions related to the open cover $\left\{U_{x}\right\}_{x \in M}$ satisfy the condition given by the equation (2.8), hence consisting in a $\star$-collection.

Theorem 2.12 needs the concept of $G$-invariant complex structures. Consider a $n$-dimension manifold $M^{n}$ with an open cover $\left\{U_{x}\right\}_{x \in M}$ such that the coordinate changes have Jacobians that are elements of a certain Lie group. Complex analytic manifolds possess this property with the related Lie group being $\operatorname{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$. We pursue connecting existing complex structures on $M$ (so $n \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2))$ with that of a given $G$-action on $M$ by a compact Lie group $G$.

When a Lie group $G$ effectively acts on $M$, it induces a homogeneous singular foliation on $M$ with leaves being the orbits $\{G x\}_{x \in M}$. There exists an open dense subset $M^{\text {reg }} \subset M$ where every two points $x, y \in M^{\text {reg }}$ have conjugate isotropy subgroups ([3, Theorem 3.82, p. 76]). However, for a point $x \in M^{\text {reg }}$, there may be a $y \in M \backslash M^{\text {reg }}$ such that the isotropy subgroup $G_{x}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $G_{y}$, justifying the term singular. In light of this, expecting a complex structure with transition function Jacobians taking values on the entire $G$ is unreasonable.

Assume that $M$ is a complex manifold and let $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ be the group of biholomorphisms acting on $M$ (see [2]). In Definition 2.10 below, we term by a $G$-invariant complex structure any effective holomorphic action by a compact connected Lie group $G<\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ with additional property on the orbit types. Compare it with [70] and Section 1 in [46]. Also, see [53, Section 9].

Definition 2.10. We say that a complex manifold $M$ with an effective action by a compact connected Lie group $G<\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ has a $G$-invariant complex structure if, for each point $x$, there exist a $G$ invariant tubular neighborhood $\operatorname{Tub}(G x)$ centered at the orbit $G x$ and an equivariant biholomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Tub}(G x) \cong\left(\mathbb{C}^{n-k} / \Lambda\right) \times_{H_{x}} S_{x}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}^{n-k} / \Lambda$ is a complex torus, $H_{x} \subset \mathrm{Gl}(n-k ; \mathbb{C})$ is a Lie subgroup, $k=\operatorname{dim} G x$, and $S_{x}$ is a slice at $x$ ([3, Definition 3.47, p.64]).

Example 2.11 (The Hopf manifold $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ ). Consider the smooth manifold $S^{3} \times S^{1}$. Here, $S^{3}$ is defined as $\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}:|z|^{2}+|w|^{2}=1\right\}$, and $S^{1}$ as $\left\{r \in \mathbb{C}:|r|^{2}=1\right\}$.

The action of $\mathrm{T}^{2}=\left\{\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right): r_{i} \in \mathrm{~S}^{1} ; i=0,1\right\}$ on $\mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ is free. It's defined as $\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right) \cdot(z, w, r):=$ $\left(r_{0} z, r_{1} w, r_{0} r r_{1}^{-1}\right)$.

Utilizing the Tubular Neighborhood Theorem in conjunction with the Slice Theorem (refer to [3, Theorems 3.49 and 3.57]), we find that the tubular neighborhood of any orbit of $T^{2}$ in $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{T}^{2} \times \mathrm{D}^{2}$. Here, $\mathrm{D}^{2} \subset \mathrm{~S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ denotes an open disc.

Next, we show that $G$-invariant complex structures can be interchanged on $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ in a $\star$ diagram.

Theorem 2.12. Let $M \stackrel{\pi}{\leftarrow} \mathscr{P} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$ be $a \star$-diagram. Assume that $M$ is a complex manifold that admits a $G$-invariant complex structure. Then $M^{\prime}$ is a complex manifold and admits a $G$-invariant complex structure. Moreover, the roles of $M, M^{\prime}$ can be interchanged in the statement.

Proof. According to Item (iil) in Theorem 2.9, the open sets $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ in a $\star$-collection $\left\{\phi_{i j}: U_{i j} \rightarrow G\right\}$ that generates the $\star$-diagram furnish a common open cover for $M$ and $M^{\prime}$. Pick an atlas $\mathscr{A}$ for $M$ with coordinate open sets $\left\{V_{\mathscr{A}}\right\}$ and coordinate maps $\left\{\psi_{\mathscr{A}}\right\}$. Consider the collection $\left\{U_{i} \cap V_{\mathscr{A}}:=U_{i, \mathscr{A}}\right\}$ and maps $\psi_{\mathscr{A}, i}:=\left.\psi_{\mathscr{A}}\right|_{U_{i, \mathscr{A}}}$. From Lemma 2.4 for each $i, \mathscr{A}$ the maps $\phi_{i j}^{\mathscr{A}}: U_{i j, \mathscr{A}} \rightarrow G$ define equivariant diffeormophism $\widehat{\phi_{i j}^{\mathscr{A}}}: U_{i j, \mathscr{A}} \rightarrow U_{i j, \mathscr{A}}$ where $U_{i j, \mathscr{A}}:=U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap V_{\mathscr{A}}$. Applying Item (iii) in Theorem 2.9 once more yields that $M^{\prime}=\cup_{\widehat{\phi_{i j}^{\mathscr{Q}}}} U_{i, \mathscr{A}}$.

By assumption, $G U_{i} \subset U_{i}$ for each $i$. Thus, for each $i, \mathscr{A}$ it holds that $G U_{i, \mathscr{A}} \subset U_{i, \mathscr{A}}$. For each $i, \mathscr{A}$ pose $\widehat{\psi}_{i, \mathscr{A}}(x):=\int_{G} \psi_{i, \mathscr{A}}(g x) \mathrm{d} \mu(g), x \in U_{i, \mathscr{A}}$, where $\mathrm{d} \mu$ is a Haar measure in $G$. We claim that the map $\widehat{\psi_{\mathscr{A}}, i}$ descends to a map in $M^{\prime}$ and that $\left\{U_{i, \mathscr{A}}, \widehat{\psi_{\mathscr{A}}, i}\right\}$ is a holomorphic atlas for $M^{\prime}$. Indeed, take $y=\phi_{i j, \mathscr{A}}(x)(x)$. Using that $\widehat{\psi_{i, \mathscr{A}}}$ is constant along the $G$-orbits one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\psi_{\mathscr{A}, i}}(y) & =\widehat{\psi_{\mathscr{A}}, i}\left(\phi_{i j, \mathscr{A}}(x)(x)\right) \\
& =\widehat{\psi_{\mathscr{A}}, i}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider the composition $\widehat{\psi_{i, \mathscr{A}}} \circ\left[\widehat{\psi_{j, \beta}}\right]^{-1}$ defined in $\widehat{\psi_{j, \beta}}\left(U_{i j, \mathscr{A}} \cap U_{i j, \beta}\right)$. Using that $\operatorname{Tub}(G x)$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic with $\mathbb{C}^{n-k} / \Lambda$ and $\widehat{\psi_{i, \mathscr{A}}}$ is constant on each tubular neighborhood, it is clear that $\widehat{\psi_{i, \mathscr{A}}} \circ\left[\widehat{\psi_{j, \beta}}\right]^{-1}$ is a biholomorphism from $\mathbb{C}^{n-k} / \Lambda$ to itself, concluding desired.

As for the last part, observe that one can find for every orbit $G x \subset M$ open tubular neighborhoods $U_{x}$ of $G x$ and $\mathscr{O}_{x} \subseteq v G x$ of the zero section such that $\exp \mid \mathscr{O}_{x}: \mathscr{O}_{x} \rightarrow U_{x}$ is a diffeomorphism. If $M$ satisfies Definition 2.10, up to reducing $U_{x}$, we can assume that there exist equivariant biholomorphisms $\zeta_{x}$ between $U_{x}$ and $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n-k} / \Lambda\right) \times_{H_{x}} \mathrm{~S}_{x}$, where $k=\operatorname{dim} G x$. Let $\Phi$ be as in Proposition 2.8, and collect the open sets $\left\{\Phi\left(U_{x}\right)\right\}$. Since $U_{x}$ and $\Phi\left(U_{x}\right)$ are equivariantly diffeomorphic and $U_{x}$ is biholomorphic with $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n-k} / \Lambda\right) \times_{H_{x}} \mathrm{~S}_{x}$ one concludes the proof.

We finish this section presenting an application of Theorem 2.12 .
Example 2.13 (Some homotopy 8-dimension Hopf manifolds). From the point of view of differential topology, a Hopf manifold is diffeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{2 n-1}$. As complex analytic manifolds, these are homogeneous fiber bundles over the complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ with fiber an elliptic curve. An interesting aspect of these manifolds is that for $n \geq 2$, they never admit a Kähler structure.

From Example 2.1 we can build the following $\star$-diagram whose $M$ is precisely the 8 -dimension Hopf manifold $S^{7} \times S^{1}$, and $M^{\prime}$ an exotic Hopf manifold, such as in [21]:

where $S^{1}=\left\{A(\theta):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos (\theta) & -\sin (\theta) \\ \sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta)\end{array}\right): \theta \in\left[0,2 \pi[ \} \subset \operatorname{Sp}(2)\right.\right.$ and $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ acts on $\operatorname{Sp}(2) \times$ $S^{1} \times S^{1}$ as
(a) the $\bullet$-action

$$
\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & c \\
b & d
\end{array}\right), A(\theta), A\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\bar{q}, A\left(\theta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right):=\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & c \bar{q} \\
b & d \bar{q}
\end{array}\right), A(\theta), A\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) A\left(\theta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)
$$

(b) the $\star$-action

$$
\left(q, A\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & c \\
b & d
\end{array}\right)\right):=\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q a \bar{q} & q c \\
q b \bar{q} & q d
\end{array}\right), A\left(\theta^{\prime \prime}\right) A(\theta), A\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\left[A\left(\theta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]^{-1}\right)
$$

The respective projections are
(a)

$$
\pi \times \mathrm{p}\left(\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & c \bar{q} \\
b & d \bar{q}
\end{array}\right), A(\theta), A\left(\theta^{\prime \prime}\right) A\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)=\left(\binom{a}{b}, A(\theta)\right)
$$

(b)

$$
\pi^{\prime} \times \overline{\mathrm{p}}\left(\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q a \bar{q} & q c \\
q b \bar{q} & q d
\end{array}\right), A\left(\theta^{\prime \prime}\right) A(\theta), A\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\left[A\left(\theta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]^{-1}\right)\right)=\left(\left(2 \bar{c} d,|c|^{2}-|d|^{2}\right), A\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) A(\theta)\right)
$$

Providing a complex structure for $S^{7} \times S^{1}$ is straightforward due to the following realization. Consider $\mathbb{C}^{4} \backslash\{0\}$, and let $\operatorname{Aut}^{c}\left(\mathbb{C}^{4} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ be the subgroup of automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^{4} \backslash\{0\}$ generated by the transformation $z \mapsto c z$ where $|c| \neq 1$. The quotient space for this action is precisely $S^{7} \times S^{1}$. We present an equivalent construction based on diagram (2.13).

Observe that $S^{7}$ is obtained from the quotient map

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & c \\
b & d
\end{array}\right) \mapsto\binom{a}{b}
$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{C}^{4}$. Therefore, $S^{7} \subset \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$, and indeed, $S^{7} \subset \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \backslash\{0\}$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \backslash\{0\}$. Identifying $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{C}^{4}$, we can appropriately identify $\operatorname{Aut}^{c}\left(\mathbb{C}^{4} \backslash\{0\}\right) \cong \operatorname{Aut}^{c}(\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \backslash\{0\})$. The action of $\operatorname{Aut}^{c}(\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \backslash\{0\})$ in $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \backslash\{0\}$ is properly discontinuous, so $S^{7} \times S^{1}$ inherits holomorphic coordinate charts induced from the covering map

$$
\operatorname{pr}: \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}
$$

Since we can find the $S^{3} \times S^{1}$-invariant tubular neighborhoods given by Theorem 2.12, it suffices to determine $\Phi$ (as appearing in the proof of Theorem 2.12) to explicitly provide a complex structure in $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$.

On the one hand, to obtain $\Phi$, foremost, we need a $\star$-collection. Fortunately, the analogous $\Phi$ for diagram (2.5) was already explicitly determined and used in different contexts [37, 34, 92]. Explicit
local expressions for $\tilde{\Psi}$ are already computed. Let $\mathrm{D}^{7}$ stand either for $\mathrm{S}^{7} \backslash\{N\}$ or $\mathrm{S}^{7} \backslash \mathrm{~S}$, where $N$ is the North-pole of $S^{7}$ and $S$ its South-pole. We have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\tilde{\Psi}_{ \pm}: \mathrm{D}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2) \\
\Psi_{ \pm}(x, g):=g \bullet \widetilde{\exp }_{ \pm}(x)
\end{array}
$$

where $\widetilde{\exp }_{+}: \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(2)$ is the Riemannian exponential obtained in [37, Section 4] and $\widetilde{\exp }_{-}(\cdot):=-\widetilde{\exp }(-\cdot)$.

For $x=\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi v, \frac{1}{2} \pi w\right) \in \mathrm{S}^{6} \subset \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$, it holds

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_{-}(x, 1)^{-1} \circ \tilde{\Psi}_{+}(x, 1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & b(x)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
b(x)=\frac{w}{|w|} e^{\pi v} \frac{\bar{w}}{|w|}
$$

A global trivialization for (2.13) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{ \pm}, \text {Id }, \text { Id }\right): D^{7} \times S^{3} \times S^{1} \times S^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2) \times S^{1} \times S^{1}\right\} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the single-element $\star$-collection is explicitly given by

$$
\left\{\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{2.15}\\
0 & b(x)
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{Id} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{Id}
\end{array}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

The desired map $\Phi$ is locally decomposed as $\Phi_{ \pm}:=\left(\pi^{\prime} \circ \Psi_{ \pm}, \mathrm{Id}, \mathrm{Id}\right)$. The open sets $\left\{\mathrm{D}^{7} \times\right.$ $\left.S^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}\right\}=\left\{\mathrm{S}^{7} \backslash\{N\} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}, \mathrm{~S}^{7} \backslash\{S\} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}\right\}$ can be seen as the open sets associated with the local holomorphic coordinates charts of the manifold $S^{7} \times S^{1}$. At the same time, $\left\{\pi^{\prime} \circ \Psi_{ \pm}\left(D^{7} \times S^{3} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}\right)\right\}$ are the corresponding open sets for the holomorphic coordinates in $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$.

Later, in Section 3.4, we find a partial result on the relation between the Hodge numbers on two manifolds $M, M^{\prime}$ with complex structures - Theorem 3.15 .
2.4. $\star$-diagrams constitute an example of Morita equivalence. A more categorical description of $\star$-diagrams can be recognized when studied from the perspective of Lie groupoids. A crucial aspect of this approach is that two manifolds $M, M^{\prime}$ fitting as the base manifolds on a $\star$-diagram, shortly written as $M \leftarrow \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$, are Morita equivalen ${ }^{3}$ in a precise sense. This is what we discuss next, further showing how, from the point of view of equivariant cohomology, these two manifolds $M, M^{\prime}$ with induced $G$-actions are indistinguishable.

In what follows, we will consider two action groupoids, employing the notation $X / / G$ to mean that $X$ is a smooth manifold with a smooth action by a Lie group $G$ and $X / / G$ is thought as the Lie action groupoid.

Let $M \leftarrow \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ be a $\star$-diagram with a structure group $G$. Recall that $M$ can be seen as a $G$-manifold with the induced $\star$-action on it while $M^{\prime}$ is a $G$-manifold with the restriction of the $\bullet$ action. We establish an isomorphism of action groupoids $M / / G, M^{\prime} / / G$. Such an isomorphism is given by a Morita equivalence.
Definition 2.14 (Morita equivalence of Lie groupoids. Definition 2.25 in [50]). Two Lie groupoids $M / / G$ and $N / / H$ are Morita equivalent if there exist a smooth manifold $\mathscr{P}$, and two surjective submersions $\pi_{M}: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$ and $\pi_{N}: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow N$ such that $\pi_{M}^{*}(G) \cong \pi_{N}^{*}(H)$. More precisely, the pullback groupoids - [50, Definition 1.12] are equivalent in $\mathscr{P}$.

[^3]To avoid introducing further details, we remark that any $\star$-diagram fulfills Definition 2.1 in [50] considering the singular foliation induced by the $\star$-orbits on $M$ and $\bullet$-orbits on $M^{\prime}$. Note that $\star$ diagrams also encode what is known as a Hausdorff-Morita equivalence - Corollary 2.17 in [50]. We register this in the following

Theorem 2.15. Any $\star$-bundle $M \leftarrow \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is an example of a Hausdorff-Morita equivalence. More precisely, the singular foliation induced by the $G$-orbits on $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ can be pulled back to isomorphic singular foliations in $\mathscr{P}$ via the corresponding projections.

Proof. As Corollary 2.17 in [50] states, if two connected Lie groups $G_{1}, G_{2}$ act freely and properly on a manifold $\mathscr{P}$ with commuting actions, the singular foliation on $\mathscr{P} / G_{1}$ given by the induced $G_{2}$-action in $\mathscr{P} / G_{1}$ and the singular foliation in $\mathscr{P} / G_{2}$ given by the induced $G_{1}$-action on it are Morita equivalent. Our considered $\star$-diagrams are precisely of this form with the observation that $G_{1}=G_{2}$. Then $P / G_{1}=M$ and $P / G_{2}=M^{\prime}$.

As a next observation, we shall check (Example 2.17) that if one of $\bullet, \star$-actions is almost-free, i.e., every isotropy subgroup is discrete, then the foliation induced by the connected components of the orbits of the $\star$-action on $M$ and the $\bullet$-action on $M^{\prime}$ are examples of a projective foliation Definition 2.16

Definition 2.16 (Projective foliations). A Riemannian manifold ( $M, \mathrm{~g}$ ) with a singular Riemannian foliation $\mathscr{F}$, for instance, the one consisting of the collection of connected components of the orbits for an isometric action, is termed projective if there is a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ such that the local sheaf of vector fields associated with $\mathscr{F}$ is recovered by $C_{c}^{\infty}(E)$ as $C^{\infty}(M)$-modules, where $C_{c}^{\infty}(E)$ denotes the smooth sections on $E$ with compact support. In this case, $E$ acquires the structure of an almost injective Lie algebroid - [28, p. 484], [72, Chapter 3].

Example 2.17 below provides a natural class of projective foliations. This class appears in our Theorems 2.18, 2.20. More emphatically, the to-be-described concepts already appear in the statement of Theorem 2.18

Example 2.17 (The foliation $\mathscr{F}$ induced by the connected components of the orbit of an almost free isometric action by a Lie group is an example of a projective foliation). Let $X$ be a smooth manifold with an effective almost-free action by a compact Lie group $G$, denoted by $\mu: G \times X \rightarrow X$. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of $G$.

Take $E=X \times \mathfrak{g}$ and define the anchor map $\rho: E \rightarrow T X$ by

$$
\rho(x, v):=\mathrm{d} \mu(e, x)((v, 0))=v^{*}(x),
$$

where $0 \in T_{x} X, v \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $e$ is the unity element in $G$. In other words, $\rho$ essentially computes action (fundamental) fields. If the $G$-action is almost free, then one can check that $\rho$ is almost injective (injective on an open dense set) and is an anchor map between $E$ and $T M$, see Example 2, p. 496 in [28] for additional details.

Proposition 2.7 in [50] tells us that an existing Hausdorff-Morita equivalence between two manifolds with singular foliations ensures that if one of such foliations is projective, the other one is also projective. Thus, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.18. Let $M \leftarrow \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ be a $\star$-diagram with structure group $G$. Assume the induced $G$-action on $M$ is almost free. Then, the induced $G$-action on $M^{\prime}$ is almost free. If $M$ is compact and connected, there exists an almost-injective (i.e., injective on an open dense subset) bundle morphism
$\Theta$ fitting the diagram below

where $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is a bijection that is a diffeomorphism between an open and dense subset $M^{*} \subset M$ and its image, Id : $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the identity; and $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ are as in Example 2.17

Sketch of the proof. To the existence of the map $f$, first notice that on a manifold $M$ with effective smooth action, we can find an open and dense subset, denoted as $M^{r e g}$ enjoying the property that any two points $x, y \in M^{\text {reg }}$ have the same local orbit type (see [3, Theorem 3.82, p.75]). On the other hand, Proposition 2.8 teaches us how to define $f$ in $M^{\text {reg }}$. For a generic orbit $G x$ in $M^{\text {reg }}$ we simply define $\left.f\right|_{M^{\text {reg }}}(x)$ as the image of $\Phi(x)$ (for $\Phi$ as in Proposition 2.8) with domain a sufficiently small tubular neighborhood $v G x$. Using the fact that $M$ is compact, one can cover it with finitely many invariant tubular neighborhoods $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ encoding different orbit types ([3, Theorem 3.9.1, p.78]). We pose

$$
f(x):=\Phi_{i}(x), x \in U_{i}
$$

for $\Phi_{i}$ as in Proposition 2.8
Lastly, our hypotheses ensure that $M$ with singular foliation induced by the $G$-orbits is an example of a projective foliation. Since $\star$-diagrams are an example of Hausdorff-Morita equivalence, we use Proposition 2.7 in [50] to guarantee that the same holds for $M^{\prime}$ with the respective singular foliation induced by the $G$-action on it. The corresponding $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ "computing action fields" maps are as in Example 2.17

Finally, since $f$ is bijection and $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ are almost injective, we can define $\Theta$ via the relation

$$
\Theta \circ \rho=\rho^{\prime} \circ(f, \text { Id })
$$

A routine argument guarantees that $\Theta$ is an almost injective bundle morphism.
The equivariant cohomologies of $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ in a coefficient ring $\Lambda$, denoted by $\mathrm{H}_{G}^{*}(M ; \Lambda)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{G}^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right)$, are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{G}^{*}(M ; \Lambda) & :=\mathrm{H}^{*}(M \times E G / \Delta(G \times G) ; \Lambda), \\
\mathrm{H}_{G}^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \Lambda\right) & :=\mathrm{H}^{*}\left(M^{\prime} \times E G / \Delta(G \times G) ; \Lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $E G$ represents any contractible space where $G$ acts freely, and $\Delta(G \times G)$ denotes the diagonal action on $M \times E G$, akin to the construction in the associated bundle (Section 2.7 in [49]). Alternatively, we may use the notation $E G \times{ }_{G} M \equiv M \times E G / \Delta(G \times G)$. The quotient space $B G=E G / G$ is commonly called the classifying space of $G$.

Consider $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ equipped with $G$-invariant Riemannian metrics $\mathrm{g}_{M}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{M^{\prime}}$ respectively. Let us examine the vector bundles $\pi_{T M} \circ \rho: M \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$ and $\pi_{T M^{\prime}} \circ \rho^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$, each equipped with a unique (up to scale) connection metric, as described in [49, Proposition 2.7.1, p. 97]. These connections are compatible with $\mathrm{g}_{M}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{M^{\prime}}$, ensuring that the fibers (which are copies of $\mathfrak{g}$ ) are totally geodesic and flat. Let $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{\prime}$ be the corresponding metric connections on $\pi_{T M} \circ \rho$ and $\pi_{T M^{\prime}} \circ \rho^{\prime}$ respectively. We can define equivariant characteristic classes for the vector bundles $\pi_{T M} \circ \rho$ and $\pi_{T M^{\prime}} \circ \rho^{\prime}$ (using $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{\prime}$ ) by considering them as the characteristic classes of the vector bundles

$$
\begin{aligned}
E G \times{ }_{G}(M \times \mathfrak{g}) & \rightarrow B G \times{ }_{G} M, \\
E G \times{ }_{G}\left(M^{\prime} \times \mathfrak{g}\right) & \rightarrow B G \times{ }_{G} M^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We recall the following.

Lemma 2.19 (Theorem 6.8.2 in [52]). Let $T<G$ be a maximal torus. Denote by $N(T)$ the normalizer of $T$ in $G$. Then $\mathrm{H}^{*}(G / N(T) ; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{H}^{*}(\{\mathrm{pt}\} ; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the Weyl group $W \equiv W(T):=$ $N(T) / T$ acts on $M_{T}:=E T \times_{T} M$ and $M_{T}^{\prime}:=E T \times_{T} M^{\prime}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{G}^{*}(M ; \mathbb{R}) & \cong \mathrm{H}_{T}^{*}(M ; \mathbb{R})^{W} \\
\mathrm{H}_{G}^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{R}\right) & \cong \mathrm{H}_{T}^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{R}\right)^{W}
\end{aligned}
$$

We are ready to prove the following in the possession of Lemma 2.19
Theorem 2.20. Let $M \leftarrow \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ be a $\star$-diagram with a compact connected structure group $G$. Assume the induced $G$-action on $M$ is effective and almost free. Then the induced $G$-action on $M^{\prime}$ is effective and almost free, and there exists an isomorphism between the following equivariant cohomologies

$$
\mathrm{H}_{G}^{*}(M ; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{H}_{G}^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{R}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}_{T}^{*}(M ; \mathbb{R})^{W}
$$

where $W=N(T) / T$ is the Weyl group of any maximal torus $T<G$.
Moreover, the characteristic classes of the vector bundles

$$
\begin{aligned}
E G \times{ }_{G}(M \times \mathfrak{g}) & \rightarrow B G \times{ }_{G} M, \\
E G \times{ }_{G}\left(M^{\prime} \times \mathfrak{g}\right) & \rightarrow B G \times{ }_{G} M^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

coincide after proper identification and are determined by the characteristic classes of the vector bundle

$$
E T \times_{T}(M \times \mathfrak{t}) \rightarrow B T \times_{T} M,
$$

where $\mathfrak{t}$ is the Lie algebra of $T$.
Proof. Following Lemma 2.19, it suffices to establish the isomorphism between $\mathrm{H}_{T}^{*}(M ; \mathbb{R})^{W}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{T}^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{R}\right)^{W}$.

Recall that $\mathrm{H}_{T}^{*}(M ; \mathbb{R}):=\mathrm{H}^{*}\left(E T \times_{T} M ; \mathbb{R}\right), \mathrm{H}_{T}^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{R}\right):=\mathrm{H}^{*}\left(E T \times_{T} M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$. According to Proposition 2.8, the $T$-action on $M$ is locally equivalent with the $T$-action on $M^{\prime}$ via equivariant local diffeomorphisms, thus $M / T \cong M^{\prime} / T$. Similarly, if the $G$-action on $M$ is effective, the $G$-action on $M^{\prime}$ is also effective, the same for the restriction of the actions to $T<G$. Hence, the principal isotropy subgroup is trivial, i.e., the $T$-actions on $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are principal on open and dense subsets. Any other isotropy subgroup belongs to different components of the singular strata.

Now, recall that $E T \times_{T} M:=(E T \times M) / T$, that is, $E T \times_{T} M$ coincides with the total space associated bundle with fiber $M$ to the principal $T$-bundle $E T \rightarrow B T$, the quotient space is determined by the $T$-action on $M$. Once for each of these $T$-actions it holds that different isotropy is in correspondence with varying types of orbit, we can use the maps in Proposition 2.8 to establish the required isomorphism between the cohomologies, finishing the proof.

For the second part of the statement, it suffices to observe that the equivariant characteristic classes for the vector bundles $\pi_{T M} \circ \rho, \pi_{T M^{\prime}} \circ \rho^{\prime}\left(\right.$ defined via $\left.\nabla, \nabla^{\prime}\right)$ are obtained as the characteristic classes of the vector bundle

$$
\begin{aligned}
E G \times{ }_{G}(M \times \mathfrak{g}) & \rightarrow B G \times{ }_{G} M, \\
E G \times{ }_{G}\left(M^{\prime} \times \mathfrak{g}\right) & \rightarrow B G \times{ }_{G} M^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the first part of the statement joint with Theorem 2.18, we have that

$$
\mathrm{H}^{*}\left(E G \times_{G}(M \times \mathfrak{g}) ; \mathbb{R}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{*}\left(E G \times_{G}\left(M^{\prime} \times \mathfrak{g}\right) ; \mathbb{R}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{*}\left(E T \times_{T}(M \times \mathfrak{t}) ; \mathbb{R}\right)^{W},
$$

finishing the proof.
In the next section, we shall discuss the concepts of spherical T-duality. Later (Theorem 2.9), we shall establish that in some cases, spherical T-duality is nothing but a realization of a $\star$-diagram. Consequently, an important consequence of Theorem 2.20 is that two spherical T-dual manifolds can not be distinguished via equivariant cohomology unless we manage to reduce, or break spherical symmetry.

## 3. Spherical T-duality and $\star$-DiAGRams

In the series of works [10, 11, 12, 13], it is shown that for each pair $(\mathscr{P}, H)$ comprising a manifold $\mathscr{P}$ equipped with a free circle action and an integral 3-cocycle $H$ on $\mathscr{P}$, there exists a unique association with a T-dual pair $(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}, \widehat{H})$. Here, $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ represents a manifold with a free circle action and a cocycle $\widehat{H}$, while the space of orbits for both circle actions remains the same. Despite $\mathscr{P}$ and $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ being generally not homeomorphic, it is proved that T-duality induces various degree-shifting isomorphisms between different structures, such as twisted cohomology and twisted K-theory on $\mathscr{P}$ and $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$. Subsequent works [51] and [27] established that T-duality also leads to isomorphisms on Dirac structures, Courant algebroids, generalized complex structures, and generalized Kähler structures.

Later, in [8, 9], the authors inaugurate a higher-dimension version of T-duality, focusing on sphere bundles. Its generalization aspect can be justified by observing the following. The presence of a free circle action on $\mathscr{P}$ endows it with the structure of a principal $\mathrm{U}(1)$-bundle $\mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$. To it, we can associate a complex line bundle so that the $U(1)$-bundle represents the sphere $S^{1}$-subbundle. Now consider a $S^{3}$-bundle with structure group $\mathrm{SO}(4)$. We can decouple from it a principal $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ bundle and associate a vector bundle with quaternionic fibers $\mathbb{H}$. A justification for studying the to-be-recalled spherical T-duality is that of understanding how much of this structure carries over to the case of $\mathrm{S}^{3} \subset \mathbb{H}$ subbundles of quaternionic line bundles?

In this section, we delve deeper into the work presented in [8] and its extension in [9], focusing on a comprehensive approach to geometrically constructing spherical T-duality. Our primary objective is to establish topological relationships between two spherical T-dual pairs and to realize them geometrically. To achieve this, we utilize $\star$-diagrams as our framework.

Specifically, for $S^{3}$-bundles over $S^{4}$, we prove that a $\star$-diagram can realize the manifolds emerging in every spherical T-dual pair, and vice versa (refer to Theorems 2.9 and 3.13). Consequently, many results in [8, 9] can be derived from the fact that $\star$-diagrams establish Morita equivalence (as shown in Theorem 2.15).

We also observe a potential correspondence between $S^{1}$-bundles fitting in corresponding $\star$ diagrams and T-duality for principal torus bundles. While we do not establish this correspondence here, we acknowledge this problem and leave it for formal proof and further investigation. Understanding the feasibility of the works in [51, 27] within this context seems promising. This is supported by the insights provided in Theorem 2.12, indicating an explicit "transportation" of complex structures.
3.1. Milnor bundles: A recall. Let us recall the following definition:

Definition 3.1. A sphere bundle $\mathrm{S}^{k-1} \hookrightarrow M \rightarrow B$ is called linear if $\mathrm{O}(k)$ (acting in the usual way on $\mathrm{S}^{k-1}$ ) is a structure group. Equivalently, if there is a set of transition functions $\left\{\phi_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(k)\right\}$.

It is worth noting that linear sphere bundles can always be suspended: consider $s_{k}: \mathrm{O}(k) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{O}(k+1)$, the inclusion of $\mathrm{O}(k)$ as the subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(k+1)$ with 1 in the upper-left corner. If $\left\{\phi_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(k)\right\}$ are transition functions for $\mathrm{S}^{k-1} \hookrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} B$, then $\left\{s_{k} \phi_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(k+1)\right\}$ are transition functions for a linear $S^{k}$-bundle over $B$.

The usual boundary map in the long homotopy sequence of the fibration $E G \rightarrow B G, G=\mathrm{SO}(k)$, provides a bijection between the set of linear $S^{k-1}$-bundles over $S^{l}$ and $\pi_{n-1} \mathrm{SO}(k)$. Let $k=l=4$. For topological computations aiming to determine $\pi_{n-1} \mathrm{SO}(4)$ for $n \geq 2$, it suffices to obtain $\pi_{n-1}\left(S^{3} \times S^{3}\right)$ since we have a covering map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi: \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4) \\
\mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \ni(x, y) \mapsto \psi(x, y): v \mapsto x v y^{-1}, v \in \mathbb{H} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Specializing for $n=4$, we obtain $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(4)) \cong \pi_{3}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. The linear $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundles over $\mathrm{S}^{4}$ are usually called Milnor bundles.

As in [83], for pairs of integers $(m, n) \in \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(4))$, we can build the maps $t_{m n}: \mathrm{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$, which describe an explicit isomorphism between $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(4))$ and Milnor bundles. Let

$$
t_{m n}(x) v=x^{m} v x^{n}, \quad v \in \mathbb{H}
$$

be representatives of $\pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(4) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, and $f_{t_{m n}}$ be defined as the diffeomorphism

$$
f_{t_{m n}}(x, g):=\left(x, g t_{m n}(x)\right) \in \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{3},(x, g) \in \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} .
$$

The manifold $M_{m, n}=\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \cup_{f_{t_{m n}}} \mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3}$ obtained from the above gluing along a common boundary $S^{3} \times S^{3}$ of $D^{4} \times S^{3}$ and $S^{3} \times D^{4}$ gives rise to a Milnor bundle. In [83], Milnor observed that $M_{m, n}$ is homeomorphic to $S^{7}$ if, and only if, $m+n= \pm 1$, but not diffeomorphic when $m=2$, what can be observed due to the construction of the $\lambda$-invariant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(M_{m, 1-m}\right)=(-1+2 m)^{2}-1 \quad(\bmod 7) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It holds that $\lambda\left(M_{m, 1-m}\right) \neq 0$ if, and only if, $M_{m, 1-m}$ is homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to the standard seven-dimension sphere $S^{7}$. Next, we recall that every Milnor bundle can be obtained from some $\mathscr{P}_{n}$ in a $\star$-diagram. This was already known from [26], we provide a different proof adapted to our purposes, see Proposition 3.2 .

From [39], a Milnor bundle $M_{m, n}$ is principal if, and only if, $m=0$ or $n=0$. Consider the $S^{3}$ principal Milnor bundles $\pi_{-n}: \mathscr{P}_{-n} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{4}, \pi_{m}: \mathscr{P}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{4}$, that is, $\mathscr{P}_{m}=M_{m, 0}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{-n}=M_{-n, 0}$. It can be checked that $\pi_{m}$ can be seen as the $\star$-bundle with the $\star$-action locally described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x, q)=(r x \bar{r}, q \bar{r}), x \in \mathrm{~S}^{4}, q, r \in \mathrm{~S}^{3} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider $\mathscr{P}_{-n}$ as the $S^{3}$-manifold with a $S^{3}$-action locally described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \cdot(x, q)=(r x \bar{r}, r q \bar{r}), x \in \mathrm{~S}^{4}, q, r \in \mathrm{~S}^{3} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove (for another proof, check [26, Section 3.3]):
Proposition 3.2. The total space $M_{m, n}$ of any given Milnor bundle can be realized as a base on a $\star$-diagram $\mathscr{P}_{-n} \leftarrow \pi_{-n}^{*}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) \rightarrow M_{m, n}$.

Proof. We apply Proposition 2.6 to the proof. Let us take the $S^{3}$-manifold $\mathscr{P}_{-n}$ with $\star$-action (3.3). It is straightforward from equations (3.3) and (3.2) that the bundle projection map $\pi_{-n}: \mathscr{P}_{-n} \rightarrow S^{4}$ is $S^{3}$-equivariant for the action (3.3) on $\mathscr{P}_{-n}$ and the induced $\star$-action on $S^{4}$, locally described as the first-factor-projection of the right-hand-side of equation (3.2). We can apply Proposition 2.6 to get the desired. Last, we claim the other manifold fitting the base on the pulledback $\star$-diagram is $M_{m, n}$.

Following Proposition 2.6, it suffices to determine the $\star$-collection $\left\{\phi_{i j}: U_{i j} \rightarrow S^{3}\right\}$ associated with the $\star$-diagram $\mathrm{S}^{4} \leftarrow \mathscr{P}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{4}$ to conclude $M_{m, n}=\bigcup_{\widehat{\phi_{i j} \circ \pi_{-n}}} \pi_{-n}^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$.

From Section 3.1, we learn that taking $U_{0}=\mathrm{S}^{4} \backslash\{N\}, U_{1}=\mathrm{S}^{4} \backslash\{S\}$ where $N$ and $S$ are the North and South poles on $S^{4}$, respectively, results in $U_{01}=U_{0} \cap U_{1} \cong S^{3}$ with $\phi_{01}=t_{m 0}: S^{3} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{S}^{3} \subset \mathrm{SO}(4)$ given by $t_{m 0}(x) v:=x^{m} v, v \in \mathbb{H}$. Furthermore, $\pi_{-n}^{-1}\left(U_{0} \cap U_{1} \cong \mathrm{~S}^{3}\right)=\mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{3}$ and $\left.t_{m 0} \circ \pi_{-n}\right|_{\pi_{-n}^{-1}\left(U_{01} \times \mathrm{S}^{3}\right)}=f_{t_{m n}}$ with $f_{t_{m n}}(x, g)=\left(x, g t_{m n}(x)\right)$. Therefore, we have the diagram

$$
M_{0, n} \leftarrow \pi_{-n}^{*}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) \rightarrow U_{0} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \bigcup_{f_{t m n}} \mathrm{~S}^{3} \times U_{1}=M_{m, n}
$$

what finishes the proof.
3.2. Principal Spherical T-duality. Here, we recall the definition of Spherical T-duality in the principal bundle case, first introduced in [8]. Following the authors, we shall motivate such a concept according to the following:

Theorem 3.3 (Theorem 1.1 in [8]). Let $\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$ be a principal $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundle. We have the following exact sequence, known as the Gysin sequence of Čech cohomology groups over the integers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{k}(M) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} \mathrm{H}^{k}(\mathscr{P}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{*}} \mathrm{H}^{k-3}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{c}_{2} \cup} \mathrm{H}^{k+1}(M) \longrightarrow \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi^{*}$ denotes the pull-back map, $\pi_{*}$ the push-forward map, and $\mathrm{c}_{2} \cup$ the cup product with the 2nd Chern class of $\mathrm{c}_{2}(\mathscr{P}) \in \mathrm{H}^{4}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ of $\mathscr{P}$. Here, we have identified the Euler class of the $\mathrm{S}^{3}$ bundle with the 2nd Chern class of the associated vector bundle $E=\mathscr{P} \times{ }_{S^{3}} \mathbb{R}^{4}$ (or, equivalently, of the associated quaternionic line bundle $L=\mathscr{P} \times{ }_{S^{3}} \mathbb{H}$, where $S^{3}$ acts on $\mathbb{H}$ through multiplication of unit quaternions).

Definition 3.4 (Principal Spherical T-duality). Given a pair $(\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M, H)$ consisting of a $S^{3}$ principal bundle $\pi$ and an element $H \in \mathrm{H}^{7}(\mathscr{P})$, its spherical T-dual $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{\mathscr{P}} \rightarrow M$ is any pair $(\hat{\pi}: \widehat{\mathscr{P}} \rightarrow M, \widehat{H})$ consisting in a principal $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundle with $\mathrm{c}_{2}(\widehat{\mathscr{P}})=\pi_{*} H$, and with $\widehat{H}$ defined by $\widehat{\pi}_{*} \widehat{H}=\mathrm{c}_{2}(\mathscr{P})$ with $\widehat{p}^{*} H=p^{*} \widehat{H}$ in $\mathscr{P} \times_{M} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}$, fitting the diagram


Remark 3.5. As pointed out in [8], if $\operatorname{dim}(M) \leq 6$, then diagram (3.5) specifies $\widehat{H}$ uniquely.

The relationship between Theorem 3.3 and Definition 3.4 can be established by considering a pair $(\mathscr{P}, H)$, where $\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$ is a principal $S^{3}$-bundle, and $H \in \mathrm{H}^{7}(\mathscr{P}, \mathbb{Z})$. We can then define $\pi_{*} H \in \mathrm{H}^{4}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. The central question is whether $\pi_{*} H$ is the second Chern class of some spherical T-dual $S^{3}$-principal bundle $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$. In the context of principal $U(1)$-bundles, an isomorphism $[M, B \mathrm{U}(1)] \cong \mathrm{H}^{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is well-established. However, for principal $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundles over $M$, their isomorphism classes are not entirely classified by $\mathrm{H}^{4}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Although there exists a map $\left[M, B S^{3}\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{4}(M, \mathbb{Z})$, it is not always injective or surjective. This general situation changes when $M=\mathrm{S}^{4}$, where the correspondence between $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundles and $\mathrm{H}^{4}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ is determined by the second Chern class $\mathrm{c}_{2}\left(P \times_{\mathrm{SU}(2)} \mathbb{H}\right)$. Since $\mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, any principal $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundle is uniquely classified by a single integer.

Assume that there exists a dual principal $S^{3}$-bundle $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ such that $\mathrm{c}_{2}(\widehat{\mathscr{P}})=\pi_{*} H$ (that could not be unique if $\operatorname{dim} M>4$, see [8, Section 1, p.910]). The Gysin sequence for $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{\mathscr{P}} \rightarrow M$ ensures the existence of $\widehat{H} \in \mathrm{H}^{7}(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\widehat{\pi}_{*} \widehat{H}=\mathrm{c}_{2}(\mathscr{P})$, and that $\widehat{H}$ is determined by this condition up to an element $\widehat{\pi}^{*} h$, with $h \in \mathrm{H}^{7}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Aiming to fix the non-uniqueness of $\widehat{H}$, it is usually imposed
the constraint $\widehat{p}^{*} H-p^{*} \widehat{H}=0 \in \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(\mathscr{P} \times_{M} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ on the correspondence space $\mathscr{P} \times_{M} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}$, obtaining:


It can be shown
Theorem 3.6 (Theorem 2 in [8]). Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a principal $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundle with 2 nd Chern class $\mathrm{c}_{2} \equiv$ $c_{2}(\mathscr{P}) \in \mathrm{H}^{4}(M)$, and let $H \in \mathrm{H}^{7}(\mathscr{P})$ be an $H$-flux on $\mathscr{P}$. Suppose there exists a principal $\mathrm{S}^{3}$ bundle $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ such that $\widehat{\mathrm{c}_{2}} \equiv \mathrm{c}_{2}(\widehat{\mathscr{P}})=\pi_{*} H$. Then
(i) (Existence) there exists an $\widehat{H} \in \mathrm{H}^{7}(\widehat{\mathscr{P}})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\pi}_{*} \widehat{H}=\mathrm{c}_{2}(\mathscr{P}), \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{p}^{*} H-p^{*} \widehat{H}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) (Uniqueness) $\widehat{H}$ is uniquely determined by (3.7) up to the addition of a term $\widehat{\pi}^{*}\left(a \cup \mathrm{c}_{2}\right)$, with $a \in \mathrm{H}^{3}(M)$.

Recall that the only principal Milnor bundles $M_{m, k-m}$ are the ones for which $k=m$. In addition to that, it is worth pointing out that $M_{m, k-m} \cong M_{-(k-m),-m}$. Hence, as $S^{3}$-principal bundles, it holds $M_{m, 0} \cong M_{0,-m}$. As a next step, we study the definition of Spherical T-duality for Milnor bundles which are $S^{3}$-principal; hence, we are dealing with total spaces described as $M_{n, 0}$ or $M_{0,-n}$. In Theorem 3.7 we recover that $M_{m, 0}$ and $M_{0,-j}$ are always spherical T-dual to each other for choices of $H$-fluxes. Uniqueness is also guaranteed.

Theorem 3.7. Let $M_{m, 0}$ be any Milnor bundle with $H$-flux $H=[j] \in H^{7}\left(M_{m, 0}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong H^{4}\left(S^{4}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have the following spherical T-duality diagram


Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) & \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\
\mathrm{H}^{4}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) & \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m} \\
\mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) & \cong \mathbb{Z}_{j} \\
\mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) & \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\operatorname{gcd}(j, m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. $S^{3}$-principal Milnor bundles are always parameterized by $t_{n 0}$ (or $t_{0-n}$ ) defined as

$$
t_{n 0}(x) v:=x^{n} v, x \in \mathrm{~S}^{3}, v \in \mathbb{H}
$$

where

$$
M_{n, 0}=\mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{D}^{4} \cup_{f_{t 0}} \mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3}
$$

and $n$ corresponds to the second Chern class of $M_{n, 0} \times{ }_{S^{3}} \mathbb{H}$. Given as initial data $\left(M_{m, 0},[j]\right)$ where $[j] \in \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$, we get $\widehat{M_{m, 0}}$ by observing that if $\widehat{M_{m, 0}}$ has as Chern class $[j]$ then $\widehat{M_{m, 0}}$ can be described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{M_{m, 0}}=\mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{D}^{4} \cup_{f_{t_{0-j}}} \mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3}=M_{0,-j} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, observe that the Gysin sequence (Theoren 3.3) provides both the cohomology of $M_{m, 0}$ and $\widehat{M_{m, 0}}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\
& \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m} \\
& \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed, the cohomology $\mathrm{H}^{4}\left(M_{m, 0}\right)$ comes from

which implies $\pi^{*}: \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(M_{m, 0}\right): m \mapsto 0$ and so $\mathrm{H}^{4}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m}$. A mutatis-mutandis computation verifies the claim for $\widehat{M_{m, 0}}$.

Looking for

one derives that $\pi_{*}: \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}\right)$ is an isomorphism; similarly for $\widehat{\pi}$. In this way, any $H \in \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0}\right)$ can be uniquely determined by $\widehat{c_{2}} \in \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}\right)$, while $\mathrm{c}_{2} \in \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}\right)$ uniquely determines $\widehat{H} \in \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right)$. Therefore, $\widehat{H}=[m]$. This concludes the first part of the result.

Last, recall that spherical T-duality also imposes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{*}(\widehat{H})-\widehat{p}^{*}(H)=0 \in \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The desired cohomology group appears in two distinct short exact Gysin subsequences

and


The first sequence implies that $\mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right)$ is an extension of $\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ and the second that it is an extension of $\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_{j}$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{i} \\
& \frac{m}{i}, \frac{j}{i} \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

To completely determine homology, define $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{i}$ to be the image of $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ by $\widehat{p}^{*}$, as indicated in the following diagram


$$
1 \longrightarrow(a, b)
$$

By exactness of (3.13), $\widehat{p}_{*}: \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0} \times_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(M_{m, 0}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ is surjective. Therefore,

$$
\left.\mathbb{Z}_{m} \cong \operatorname{Im}\left(\widehat{p}_{*}\right)\right|_{\mathrm{H}^{\top}\left(M_{m, 0} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right)} \cong \frac{\mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right)}{\left.\operatorname{Im}\left(\widehat{p}^{*}\right)\right|_{\mathrm{H}^{\top}\left(M_{m, 0}\right)}} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{i}}{(a, b) \mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{a i}{\operatorname{gcc}(b, i)}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\operatorname{gcd}(b, i)}
$$

where $\operatorname{gcd}(b, i)=i$ if $b=0 \bmod i$. The total order of the right-hand side must be $m$, and so $a=m / i$. The last two terms on the right-hand side combine into a single cyclic group only if their degrees are relatively prime $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\operatorname{gcd}(b, i), \frac{m}{\operatorname{gcd}(b, i)}\right)=1$. An identical procedure for $\widehat{M_{m, 0}}$ implies

$$
p^{*}: \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{i}: 1 \mapsto(j / i, \hat{b}),
$$

where $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\operatorname{gcd}(\widehat{b}, i), \frac{j}{\operatorname{gcd}(\hat{b}, i)}\right)=1$.
Finally, recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\pi}^{*} \pi_{*}=p_{*} \widehat{p}^{*}: \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{j} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\pi_{*}: \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}\right)$ is an isomorphism and $\widehat{\pi}^{*}: \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right)$ is surjective, $\widehat{\pi}^{*} \pi_{*}$ maps the generator, 1 , of $\mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ to an order $j$ element of $\mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{j}$. On the other hand, we have seen that

$$
\widehat{p}^{*}(1)=(m / i, b) \in \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0} \times_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{i}
$$

The kernel of the map $p_{*}: \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0} \times_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{j}$ is the image of $p^{*}: \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(\widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0} \times{ }_{S^{4}} \widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right)$ which is generated by $(j / i, \hat{b})$. Therefore $p_{*} \widehat{p}^{*}(1)$ will be of order $j$ if $\widehat{p}^{*}(1)=(m / i, b)$ is of order $j$ in $\left(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{i}\right) /\langle j / i, \hat{b}\rangle$.

The order of $(m / i, b)$ is at most $j$, because

$$
j\left(\frac{m}{i}, b\right)=\left(\frac{j m}{i}, \hat{a} b i\right)=\left(\frac{j m}{i}, a \hat{b} i\right)=m\left(\frac{j}{i}, \hat{b}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{i}
$$

Let $n=\operatorname{gcd}(j, m)$. As $i$ is a divisor of $j$ and $m, n / i$ is an integer. Hence,

$$
\frac{j i}{n}\left(\frac{m}{i}, b\right)=\left(\frac{i j m}{n}, 0\right)=\frac{m i}{n}\left(\frac{j}{n}, \hat{b}\right)
$$

and $\widehat{p}^{*}(1)=(m / i, b)$ is of order $j i / n$. However, it must be of order $j$ for the commutation condition (3.14) to be satisfied. Therefore, $i=n$, so we have computed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 0} \times_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{M_{m, 0}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\operatorname{gcd}(j, m)} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtaining

$$
a=\frac{m}{i}=\frac{m}{\operatorname{gcd}(j, m)}, \widehat{a}=\frac{j}{\operatorname{gcd}(j, m)} .
$$

As the last step, we determine the pullbacks of the twists to the correspondence space $M_{m, 0} \times{ }_{\text {S }^{4}}$ $\widehat{M_{m, 0}}$. First, as $H$ is a multiple of $m$ and $\widehat{H}$ is a multiple of $j$, they both vanish modulo $\operatorname{gcd}(j, m)$. Hence, their pullback to the second term in (3.15) will vanish. The first term is given by $a$ and $\widehat{a}$

$$
p^{*} \widehat{H}=(\widehat{a} m, \widehat{b} m)=\left(\frac{j m}{\operatorname{gcd}(j, m)}, 0\right)=(a j, b j)=\widehat{p}^{*} H
$$

Therefore, the value of $\widehat{H}$ determined by the condition $\widehat{\pi}_{*} H=\mathrm{c}_{2}\left(M_{m, 0}\right)$ indeed agrees with $H$ when both are lifted to the correspondence space, as is required for the consistency of the T-duality map.

Remark 3.8. A more general cohomology computation for spherical T-duals over $\mathrm{S}^{4}$ is done in [8, Section 4.1].
3.3. Non-principal Spherical T-duality. We pass to the discussion of non-principal $S^{3}$-bundles, understanding how the concept of spherical T-duality can be discussed in this scenario. We follow [9].

As the first step, we use Milnor bundles to motivate the main differences between principal and non-principal spherical T-duality. By an (oriented) non-principal $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundle $E$ over $M$, we mean a fiber bundle over $M$ with fiber $S^{3}$ and structure group $\operatorname{Diff}_{+}\left(S^{3}\right)$; the orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of $S^{3}$.

The inclusion $\mathrm{SO}(4)=\operatorname{Iso}_{+}\left(\mathrm{S}^{3}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Diff}_{+}\left(\mathrm{S}^{3}\right)$ of the orientation preserving isometries of $\mathrm{S}^{3}$ into the orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of $S^{3}$ is a homotopy equivalence [55], Theorem A], so the quotient space $S O(4) / \operatorname{Diff}_{+}\left(S^{3}\right)$ is contractible, and hence, we lose no generality in assuming that a general (oriented) non-principal $S^{3}$ bundle $E$ is a fiber bundle over $M$ with fiber $S^{3}$ and structure group $\mathrm{SO}(4)$.

From Section 3.1 any principal $\mathrm{SO}(4)$-bundle $\mathscr{P}$ over $\mathrm{S}^{4}$ is classified by $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(4))=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, any pair $(m, n) \in \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(4))$ defines a principal $\mathrm{SO}(4)$-bundle, which has as associated oriented non-principal $S^{3}$-bundle, the Milnor bundle $M_{m, n}$ over $S^{4}$. Following [83], we recognize the first Pontryagin class $p_{1}(\mathscr{P})=2(m-n)$ and according to [94], the Euler class $\mathrm{e}(\mathscr{P})=m+n:=k$.

Of course, principal $\mathrm{SO}(4)$-bundles associated with Milnor bundles do not give rise to every $\mathrm{SO}(4)$-principal bundle over a given manifold $M$. However, classification is possible

Lemma 3.9 (Lemma 2.3 in [9]). Any principal $\mathrm{SO}(4)$-bundle $\mathscr{P}$ over a simply-connected compact oriented 4-dimension manifold $M$ is classified by the invariants:
(a) $w_{2}(\mathscr{P}) \in \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$, the second Stiefel-Whitney class of $M$
(b) $p_{1}(\mathscr{P})$ and $\mathrm{e}(\mathscr{P})$ in $\mathrm{H}^{4}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, the Pontryagin and Euler classes of $M$, respectively

Moreover, there is no constraint on $w_{2}(\mathscr{P})$ so that, if $b \in \mathrm{H}^{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ is such that $w_{2}(\mathscr{P})=b$ $\bmod 2$, then there exists $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p_{1}(\mathscr{P})=2(m-n)+\beta$, where $b \cup b=\beta \in \mathbb{Z} \cong$ $\mathrm{H}^{4}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$, and $\mathrm{e}(\mathscr{P})=m+n$.

We summarize the consequences of Lemma 3.9
Theorem 3.10. Any $\mathrm{SO}(4)$-principal bundle $\mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$, where $M$ is a simply-connected compact oriented 4-dimension manifold, is completely described by $\beta, m, n$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{1}(\mathscr{P})=2(m-n)+\beta \text { where } w_{1}(\mathscr{P}) \cup w_{1}(\mathscr{P})=\beta \in \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathrm{H}^{4}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \\
\mathrm{e}(\mathscr{P})=m+n \text { for some } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $M=S^{4}$ then every oriented non-principal $S^{3}$-bundle is given by the Milnor bundle $\pi: M_{m, n} \rightarrow$ $S^{4}$ with $m, n \neq 0$, where $m+n:=k$ is the choice of an Euler class for the $\mathrm{SO}(4)$-principal bundle associated with $\pi$ and $m-n$ the choice of a first Pontryagin class for that associated bundle to $\pi$.

Given an (oriented) non-principal $S^{3}$-bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$, an analogous Gysin sequence is in hand [19. Proposition 14.33]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{p}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cup \mathrm{e}(E)} \mathrm{H}^{p+4}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} \mathrm{H}^{p+4}(E ; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi_{*}} \mathrm{H}^{p+1}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cup \mathrm{e}(E)} \cdots \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{e}(E)$ denotes the Euler class of $E$. Motivated by this, the non-principal spherical T-duality is defined similarly to Definition 3.4, as first introduced in [9]. An adaption of the proof of Theorem 3.7 for Milnor bundles that are non-principal (employing the sequence 3.16) instead of Theorem 3.3) yields:

Theorem 3.11. Let $M_{m, k-m}$ be a non-principal Milnor bundle with $H$-flux $H=[j] \in$ $\mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, k-m}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have the following spherical $T$-duality diagram


If $k=1$ then both $M_{m, 1-m}$ and $M_{j, 1-j}$ are homeomorphic to $S^{7}$ but not diffeomorphic for

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1+2 m)^{2} \not \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 7) \text { and }(-1+2 j)^{2} \not \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 7) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for $m=1$, the bundle $M_{1,0}$ is isomorphic to the Hopffibration. Consequently, spherical T-duality may occur for two homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic manifolds.

Proof. On the one hand, we know that (Theorem 3.10) any given $M_{l, k-l}$ total space of a non-principal Minlor bundle can be obtained from the gluing

$$
M_{l, k-l}=\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \cup_{f_{t, k-l}} \mathrm{~S}^{3} \times \mathrm{D}^{4}
$$

where $k$ represents the Euler class and $2(2 l-k)$ the first Pontryagin class of some vector bundle over $S^{4}$ (associated with the $\mathrm{SO}(4)$-principal bundle that gives rise to $M_{l, k-l}$ ).

On the other hand, the corresponding Gysin sequence for non-principal $S^{3}$-bundles, equation (3.16), gives

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{p}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\cup \mathrm{e}\left(M_{l, k-l}\right)} \mathrm{H}^{p+4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} \mathrm{H}^{p+4}\left(M_{l, k-l} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi_{*}} \mathrm{H}^{p+1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\cup \mathrm{e}\left(M_{l, k-l}\right)} \cdots
$$

From the long exact sequence for fibration obtained from $S^{3} \hookrightarrow M_{l, k-l} \rightarrow S^{4}$, we now that if $k \neq \pm 1$ then $\mathrm{H}^{4}\left(M_{l, k-l} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{k}$. Hence, the Gysin sequence above yields for $p=0$ that

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times k} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} \mathbb{Z}_{k} \xrightarrow{\pi_{*}} 0 \xrightarrow{\times k} \cdots
$$

which recovers equation (3.10). Similarly, we can obtain equation (3.11). A simple adaptation of the proof of Theorem 3.7 yields the result.
3.4. Spherical T-dualities via $\star$-diagrams. Our main goal in this section is to prove Theorem 3.12 below, which provides a useful manner of translating many aspects of T-duality, fairly explored from the point of view of topology, in a more geometric manner. We first treat the case for spherical Tduality (Theorem 3.12), then proceed with the analysis to the case of oriented non-principal spherical T-duality, 3.13 .

Theorem 3.12. Let $(\mathscr{P}, H)$, ( $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}, \widehat{H})$ be a principal spherical T-dual pair over $S^{4}$ characterized by diagram (3.6). Then there exists a $\mathrm{S}^{3}-\mathrm{S}^{3}$ manifold $\mathscr{Q}$ fitting $a \star$-diagram


In contrast to that, given $a \star$-diagram such as (3.19) for which $\mathscr{P}, \widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ are $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundles over $\mathrm{S}^{4}$, there exists $H \in H^{7}(\mathscr{P}), \widehat{H} \in \mathrm{H}^{7}(\widehat{\mathscr{P}})$ such that $(\widehat{P}, H),(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}, \widehat{H})$ are spherical T-duals.

Proof. Suppose $(\mathscr{P}, H),(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}, \widehat{H})$ is a spherical T-dual pair over $\mathrm{S}^{4}$. On the one hand, Theorem 3.10 ensures that a $S^{3}$-principal bundle over $S^{4}$ is characterized by a single integer, which coincides with a choice of Euler c$c_{2}$ (or Pontryagin) class. Since $(\mathscr{P}, H)$ and $(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}, \widehat{H})$ are spherical Tduals, we have that $\mathrm{c}_{2}(\mathscr{P})=\widehat{H}, \mathrm{c}_{2}(\widehat{\mathscr{P}})=H$. Therefore, we have the principal Milnor bundles $\mathscr{P}=M_{\widehat{H}, 0}, \widehat{\mathscr{P}}=M_{0,-H}$. Proposition3.2 finishes the result.

Suppose a $\star$-diagram is given with base manifolds $\mathscr{P}, \widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ for which $\mathscr{P}, \widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ are $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundles over $S^{4}$. Theorem 3.10 teaches us that $\mathscr{P}, \widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ are Milnor bundles. Theorem3.11 finishes the result.

A straightforward modification of the former allows us to prove the analogous result to the oriented non-principal spherical T-duality case:
Theorem 3.13. Let $(E, H),(\widehat{E}, \widehat{H})$ be a non-principal spherical T-dual pair over $\mathrm{S}^{4}$ characterized by diagram (3.6). Then there exists a $S^{3}-S^{3}$ manifold $\mathscr{Q}$ fitting $a \star$-diagram


In contrast to that, given $a \star$-diagram such as (3.20), for which $E, \widehat{E}$ are $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundles over $\mathrm{S}^{4}$, there exist $H \in \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}\right), \widehat{H} \in \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}\right)$ such that $(E, H),(\widehat{E}, \widehat{H})$ are spherical T-duals.

Sketch of the proof. The only difference relies on the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.12 since $(E, H)$ and $(\widehat{E}, \widehat{H})$ are now not principal spherical T-duals but non-principal spherical Tduals. Nevertheless, Theorem 3.10 teaches us that $E, \widehat{E}$ are Milnor bundles for certain choices of Pontryagin and Euler classes. Proposition 3.2 finishes the result.

Remark 3.14 (Theorems 3.12 and 3.13 over general manifolds). To the proof of theorems 3.12 and 3.13, we have heavily relied on the classificatory aspect of oriented principal $\mathrm{SO}(4)$-bundles over $S^{4}$. We claim to be possible to extend the former results to more general contexts, such as requiring $\mathscr{P}, \widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ are $S^{3}$-principal bundles over any oriented smooth manifold in dimension 4 , similarly for $E, \widehat{E}$ being oriented non-principal bundles over any oriented smooth manifold in dimension 4 . We chose to omit it, aiming to simplify the exposition.

As the last contribution in this section, we obtain a relation between the Hodge diamonds of homotopy Hopf manifolds. This is encoded in the following

Theorem 3.15. Assume that $M_{m, k-m} \times S^{1}$ admits a $S^{3}$-invariant complex structure and satisfies the $\partial \bar{\partial}$-Lemma. Then any spherical T-dual $M_{j, k-j} \times S^{1}$ also admits a $S^{3}$-invariant complex structure satisfying the $\partial \bar{\partial}$-Lemma. Moreover, the only non-vanishing cohomology groups are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{8}\left(M_{m, k-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{8}\left(M_{j, k-j} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\
& \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, k-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{j, k-j} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\
& \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(M_{m, k-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(M_{j, k-j} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \otimes \mathbb{Z}, \text { if } k \neq \pm 1,0 \text { otherwise } \\
& \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(M_{m, k-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(M_{m, k-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\
& \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(M_{m, k-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(M_{j, k-j} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $k= \pm 1$, the corresponding Hodge diamonds of $M_{m, k-m} \times S^{1}, M_{j, k-j} \times S^{1}$ are constrained as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(h^{3,4}+h^{4,3}\right)+\left(h^{0,1}+h^{1,0}\right) & =2 \\
h^{3,4}+h^{4,3} & =1 \\
h^{0,1}+h^{1,0} & =1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k \neq \pm 1$ we have $b_{4}=h^{2,2}$.
Proof. If the induced dual-complex structure in $M_{j, k-j}$ (give by Theorem 2.12) satisfies the $\partial \bar{\partial}$ Lemma, then Proposition 2.8 provides a holomorphic birational map between $M_{m, k-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}, M_{j, k-j} \times$ $S^{1}$. Theorem 5.22 in [29] ensures the result. A standard Mayer-Vietoris decomposition sequence argument based upon the decomposition of $M_{m, n}=\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \cup f_{t_{m, n}} \mathrm{~S}^{3} \times \mathrm{D}^{4}$ ensures the claim on the cohomologies. Next, we prove the assertion on the Hodge diamonds.

Since $M_{j, k-j} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}, M_{m, k-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ are finitely generated CW-complexes we obtain from the Universal Coefficient Theorem that their non-zero Betti numbers are, for $k \neq \pm 1, b_{8}=b_{7}=b_{4}=$ $b_{1}=b_{0}=1$. In the other case, we have $b_{8}=b_{7}=b_{1}=b_{0}=1$.

Finally, we know from the Hodge-Frölicher spectral sequence [41] that

$$
b_{k} \leq \sum_{p+q=k} h^{p, q} .
$$

Assuming the considered manifolds satisfy the $\partial \bar{\partial}$-Lemma, we have an analogous Hodge decomposition relating the Hodge diamonds and Betti numbers, see [5]. A straightforward expansion of the formulae taking into account the Serre duality manifestation to Hodge diamonds yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{1,0}=h^{3,4} \\
& h^{0,1}=h^{4,3} \\
& b_{4}=2 h^{4,0}+h^{2,2}+2 h^{1,3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Being $b_{4}=1$ if $k \neq \pm 1$ we have $h^{4,0}=h^{1,3}=0$.
Remark 3.16. We do not know whether a criterion in terms of $m, k$ for deciding which manifolds $M_{m, k-m} \times S^{1}$ satisfy the $\partial \bar{\partial}$-Lemma.

Example 3.17 (On the Hodge diamonds of 8-homotopy Hopf manifolds). We produce the Hodge diamonds of some homotopy manifolds to $\mathrm{S}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$. First, we recall the following result of Mall [80, Theorem 3] that the Hodge numbers of a Hopf manifold $S^{2 n-1} \times S^{1}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{r}
h^{0,0}=h^{0,1}=h^{n, n}=h^{n, n-1}=1 \\
h^{p, q}=0 \text { in every other case } .
\end{array}
$$

With this information, we can compute the Hodge diamond for $S^{7} \times S^{1}$ (left on the picture below).

On the other hand, there is one, and only one, possible dual symmetric diamond to the former. Due to the computations in [80], it must occur for some $j$ such that $(2 j-1)^{2}-1(\bmod 7) \not \equiv 0$, i.e., for $M_{j, 1-j}$ not diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{S}^{7}$. Theorem 3.15 ensures this possibility to be as on the right side in the next picture.

3.5. A remark on Chern-Simons Theoretical Aspects and T-duality. Theorem 3.12 presents a geometric description of spherical T-duality that, to the best of the authors' knowledge, has not appeared yet. For instance, spherical duality is usually approached in a topological picture, [8, 9]. We use the geometric realization we obtained to study some interchanging in the geometry of a spherical T-dual pair.

Recall that to each principal $G$-bundle $\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$ is associated a 2 nd Chern class $c_{2}(\mathscr{P}) \in$ $\mathrm{H}^{4}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. In the case of $G=\mathrm{S}^{3}$, this class plays a crucial role in the Gysin sequence relating the cohomology of $\mathscr{P}$ to the cohomology of the base space $M$. For any $S^{3}$-principal bundle $\pi$ over $S^{4}$ we can identify the Euler class e of $\pi$ with the second Chern class $c_{2}$ of $\mathscr{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}^{3}} \mathbb{H}$. More precisely, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}\left(\mathscr{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}^{3}} \mathbb{H}\right)=\mathrm{c}_{2}\left(\mathscr{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}^{3}} \mathbb{H}\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therein, we adopt the convention $\mathrm{c}_{2}(\mathscr{P}):=\mathrm{c}_{2}\left(\mathscr{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}^{3}} \mathbb{H}\right)=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{\omega} \wedge F_{\omega}\right)$ for a given $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-principal bundle $\mathscr{P}$ over $S^{4}$.

In Section 8 of [8], the authors discuss some explicit examples of connection metrics (KaluzaKlein, hence) and 7-forms on certain $S^{3}$-principal bundles. Their constructions are of the form

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\mathscr{P}}=\pi^{*} \mathrm{~g}_{M}+Q \circ \omega \otimes \omega
$$

where $\omega$ stands to a principal connection on $\mathscr{P}$ such that $8 \pi^{2} c_{2}(\mathscr{P})=\operatorname{tr} F_{\omega} \wedge F_{\omega}$.
Given a T-spherical dual pair $(\mathscr{P},[j]),(\widehat{\mathscr{P}},[m])$, using that the pull-back bundle $\pi^{*}(\mathscr{P})=$ $\mathscr{P} \times_{M} \mathscr{P}$ under $\pi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow M$ is trivial, the form $\pi^{*} c_{2}$ is exact on $\mathscr{P}$. Moreover, as proved in [8], it holds

$$
\pi^{*} \mathrm{c}_{2}=\mathrm{dCS}(\omega)
$$

where $\mathrm{CS}(\omega) \in \Omega^{3}(\mathscr{P})$ is the Chern-Simons 3-form.
We obtain a metric realization for spherical T-dualities.

Theorem 3.18. For every (principal) spherical T-duals $(\mathscr{P}, H),(\widehat{\mathscr{P}}, \widehat{H})$ over $\mathrm{S}^{4}$ there exist principal connections $\omega: T \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s u}(2), \widehat{\omega}: T \widehat{\mathscr{P}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s u}(2)$ and invariant metrics $\mathrm{g}_{\mathscr{P}}$ on $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\widehat{\mathscr{P}}}$ on $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ such that
(a) $\left(\mathscr{H},\left.\mathrm{g}_{\mathscr{P}}\right|_{\mathscr{H}}\right)$ and $\left(\widehat{\mathscr{H}},\left.\mathrm{g} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}\right|_{\widehat{\mathscr{H}}}\right)$ are isometric, where

$$
\mathscr{H}=(\operatorname{ker} \omega)^{\mathrm{g} \mathscr{D}}, \widehat{\mathscr{H}}=(\operatorname{ker} \widehat{\omega})^{\mathrm{g}} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}
$$

and the fibers $\mathrm{S}^{3}$ on $\mathscr{P}$ and $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ are totally geodesic
(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \pi^{2} \mathrm{c}_{2}(\mathscr{P})=\operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{g}_{\mathscr{P}}}\left(F_{\omega^{\mathscr{P}}} \wedge F_{\omega^{\mathscr{P}}}\right)=\widehat{H} \\
& 8 \pi^{2} \mathrm{c}_{2}(\widehat{\mathscr{P}})=\operatorname{tr}_{\widehat{\mathscr{P}}}\left(F_{\omega^{\widehat{P}}} \wedge F_{\omega^{\widehat{P}}}\right)=H
\end{aligned}
$$

 bundle projections and $\operatorname{CS}\left(\omega^{\mathscr{P}}\right), \operatorname{CS}\left(\omega^{\widehat{\mathscr{P}}}\right)$ the corresponding Chern-Simons form
(c) it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{p}^{*} \pi^{*}(\widehat{H})-p^{*} \widehat{\pi}^{*}(H) & =\widehat{p}^{*}\left(\operatorname{dCS}\left(\omega^{\mathscr{P}}\right)\right)-p^{*}\left(\operatorname{dCS}\left(\omega^{\widehat{\mathscr{P}}}\right)\right) \\
& =0 \in \mathrm{H}^{7}\left(\mathscr{P} \times_{\mathrm{S}^{4}} \widehat{\mathscr{P}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Theorem 3.12 ensures that there exists a principal bundle $\mathscr{Q}$ fitting a $\star$-diagram $\mathscr{P} \leftarrow \mathscr{Q} \rightarrow$ $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$. Theorem 3.10 and the definition of spherical T-duality ensure that $\mathrm{c}_{2}(\mathscr{P})=\widehat{H}, \mathrm{c}_{2}(\widehat{\mathscr{P}})=H$.

Let $\mathrm{g}_{\mathscr{P}}$ be a $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-invariant connection metric on the principal bundle $\mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathscr{Q}}$ be the $S^{3} \times S^{3}$-invariant connection metric in $\mathscr{Q}$ described in Section 2.3 We push-forward $g_{\mathscr{Q}}$ to $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ yielding to it a $S^{3}$-invariant metric $g_{\widehat{\mathscr{P}}}$.

Observe that in which a choice of metrics, Proposition 2.8 ensures that the horizontal subbundles (collecting orthogonal spaces to the orbits pointwise) $\mathscr{H}, \widehat{\mathscr{H}}$ in $T \mathscr{P}, T \widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ are isometric. Moreover, the orbits of $S^{3}$ on $\mathscr{P}$ and $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$ are isometric to $S^{3}$ with a fixed bi-invariant metric. Therefore, $g_{\widehat{\mathscr{P}}}$ is a connection metric on $\widehat{\mathscr{P}}$.

Let $E:=\mathscr{P} \times{ }_{\mathrm{S}^{3}} \mathbb{H}$ be complex vector bundle of rank 2 over $\mathrm{S}^{4}$. The characteristic class c $\mathrm{c}_{2}(E)$ is its top Chern class. Since $E \rightarrow S^{4}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-even-dimension vector bundle over $S^{4}$ the Pfaffian description of the Euler class verifies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{2}(E)=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{\omega} \wedge F_{\omega}\right)=\mathrm{e}(E) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\omega}$ is the curvature of $\nabla$, i.e., $F_{\omega}=\mathrm{d} \omega+\omega \wedge \omega$. Similarly, we get that $\mathrm{c}_{2}(\widehat{E})=\mathrm{c}_{2}\left(\widehat{\mathscr{P}} \times_{\mathrm{S}^{3}}\right.$ $\left.S^{4}\right)=\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{\omega} \wedge F_{\omega}\right)$.
Remark 3.19. We claim to be possible to derive an analogous result to the former in the case of oriented non-principal bundles, i.e., in the non-principal spherical T-duality realm, thus obtaining the analogous transgression form presented in [9]. Since this is unrelated to this manuscript's content, we omitted it.

We proceed to discuss our proposal for a logarithmic transformation. The concepts of spherical T-duality discussed so far shall be recognized in terms of this.

## 4. Higher-Dimension Logarithmic Transformation

In this section, we propose an extension of the logarithmic transformation into higher dimensions, targeting its definition for homotopy Hopf manifolds.

Following [66], in Section4.2, we present a topological construction of homotopy spheres in any dimension and revisit the definition of the $\Theta$-monoid. In Section 4.3, we establish Theorem 4.11 , which guarantees that the introduced logarithmic transformations define a group structure in the set $\sigma^{8}:=\left\{8\right.$-dimension smooth manifolds $X$ homotopy equivalent to $\left.S^{7} \times S^{1}\right\} /\langle$ diffeomorphism $\rangle$
that parallels the role of the connected sum in the $\theta$-group

$$
\theta^{7}:=\left\{7 \text {-dimension smooth manifolds } M \text { homotopy equivalent to } S^{7}\right\} /\langle\text { diffeomorphism }\rangle .
$$

In Lemma 4.10, we revisit the Milnor bilinear construction ([84]) and establish its relation with $\star$-diagrams. A bilinear map $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \otimes \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \rightarrow \theta^{7}$ is introduced, associating the Gromoll-Meyer exotic sphere $M_{2,-1}$ to the number (2,1). As a consequence, one gets that its image generates $\theta^{7}$. In Theorem 4.11 we expand this construction to a trilinear map $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \otimes$ $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \otimes \pi_{1}(\mathrm{SO}(2)) \rightarrow \sigma^{8}$, showing its image generates $\sigma^{8}$.

Two questions arise. Why do we focus on $\Sigma^{8}$, relying on 7 -dimension homotopy spheres? The reason is that $\theta^{7}$ can be identified with $b P^{8}$, which denotes the group of h -cobordism of parallelizable manifolds. This identification is crucial in defining the Milnor pair and is fundamental in our extension to $\sigma^{8}$. Why not consider Calabi-Eckmann manifolds $\Sigma^{2 n-1} \times \Sigma^{2 m-1}$ ? The reason is that this product is always diffeomorphic to $S^{2 n-1} \times S^{2 m-1}([21])$. Notably, even for $S^{2}$, for any homotopy sphere $\Sigma^{m}$ in dimension $m$, we have $\Sigma^{m} \times S^{2} \cong S^{m} \times S^{2}$.

In Section 4.1, we revisit the fundamentals of classical logarithmic transformations for elliptic fibrations, emphasizing their topological aspects while overlooking their algebraic nature. We recall the concept and role of nuclei in logarithmic transformations. Subsequently, we explore the potential for an analogous concept in homotopy Hopf manifolds and establish their correlation with logarithmic transformations (as seen in Section6.1).
4.1. A short account of logarithmic transformations for elliptic fibrations. This section presents a straightening angle - see the Appendix $A$ for further details on this concept - description of some classical manifolds obtained from logarithmic transformations. We adhere to the methodology outlined in [48, 47]. Our approach emphasizes topology rather than focusing on algebraic constraints.

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \Sigma$ be an elliptic fibration with a regular fiber $F$. We know from [81, Section VI] that there exists $\Sigma^{\prime} \subset \Sigma$ such that the $\pi$-fibers over $x^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$ may be singular. Nevertheless, denoting by $X_{0}:=X \backslash \pi^{-1}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right), \Sigma_{0}:=\Sigma \backslash \Sigma^{\prime}$ and restricting $\pi: X \backslash \pi^{-1}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma \backslash \Sigma^{\prime}$ gives rise to a fiber bundle $\left.\pi\right|_{X_{0}}: X_{0} \rightarrow \Sigma_{0}$, with a typical fiber $F$, that can be thought of as the associated bundle with fiber $\mathfrak{h}$ (the upper half complex plane) to a $\mathrm{Sl}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$-principal bundle $\pi_{0}: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \Sigma_{0}$. Using that $\mathrm{Sl}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a discrete Lie group, we see that $\mathscr{P} \cong \widetilde{\Sigma}_{0} \times{ }_{\lambda} \mathrm{Sl}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ where $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{0}$ is the universal covering of $\Sigma_{0}$ and $\lambda: \pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sl}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a Lie group homomorphism - see [86, Section 2.1.4] for details. We get that $X_{0} \cong \widetilde{\Sigma}_{0} \times{ }_{\lambda} \mathrm{Sl}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathfrak{h} / \mathrm{Sl}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ and that a tubular neighborhood $v F$ of $F$ is homeomorphic to $\mathrm{T}^{2} \times \mathrm{D}^{2}$. Considering this, a purely topological description of the concept of logarithmic transformation for elliptic fibrations is given in [48], see also [101]:

Definition 4.1 (4-dimension logarithmic transformation). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \Sigma$ be an elliptic fibration. We say that a 4-manifold $X^{\prime}$ is obtained from $X$ by logarithmic transformation on a regular fiber $F$ of $\pi$ if $X^{\prime}$ is obtained from $X$ through the following construction. We cut out a tubular neighborhood $v F$ of $F$ and glue in a $\mathrm{T}^{2} \times \mathrm{D}^{2}$ via an arbitrary orientation-reversing diffeomorphism $\phi: \mathrm{T}^{2} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \partial v F$. The absolute value of the degree of $\left.\left.\pi\right|_{\partial \nu F} \circ \phi\right|_{\{p t\} \times S^{1}}$ is called the multiplicity of the logarithmic transformation.

Remark 4.2 (Logarithmic transformations and fiber sums). Given $n$-dimension smooth manifolds $M_{0}, M_{1}$, pick arbitrary embeddings $h_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \hookrightarrow M^{n}$ such that $h_{0}$ preserves orientation and $h_{1}$ reverses it. The connected sum manifold $M_{0} \# M_{1}$ is obtained via identifying $h_{0}(v)$ with $h_{1}(v), \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}-$ Theorem 1.1, p. 90 in [69].

Now, suppose a smooth manifold $N$ can be embedded in $M_{i}$ and there exists an isomorphism $\Phi: v_{M_{1}} N \rightarrow v_{M_{2}} N$ (reversing each fiber orientation) between their normal bundles $v_{M_{i}} N$. We have that $\Phi$ induces an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $\Phi: v_{M_{0}} N \backslash N \rightarrow v_{M_{1}} N \backslash N$. The manifold obtained by

$$
\left(M_{0} \backslash N\right) \cup_{\Phi}\left(M_{1} \backslash N\right)
$$

is named the connected sum along $N$, or the fiber sum.
Definition 4.1 is a fiber sum for the submanifold $N$ taken as the typical fiber $F$ of the elliptic fibration $\left.\pi\right|_{X_{0}}: X_{0} \rightarrow \Sigma_{0}$. We take $v_{X_{0}} F \cong \mathrm{D}^{2} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ so that the logarithmic transformation $X_{\phi}$, for $\phi: \partial v F \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}^{2} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$, is topologically described as

$$
X_{\phi}=\left(X_{0} \backslash \mathrm{D}^{2} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}\right) \cup_{\phi} \mathrm{D}^{2} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}
$$

Hence, logarithmic transformations can be seen as fiber sums. If $X_{0} \backslash \mathrm{D}^{2} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathrm{S}^{1} \times \mathrm{D}^{2}$, the connected sum is the straightening angles of

$$
X_{\phi}=\mathrm{D}^{2} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \cup_{\phi} \mathrm{S}^{1} \times \mathrm{D}^{2} .
$$

Simply connected minimal elliptic surfaces are the total space of certain elliptic fibrations, and under the hypothesis of no multiple fibers, they form families of 4-dimension closed manifolds for each positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see [81]. Although one family may contain multiple diffeomorphism types, simply connected minimal elliptic surfaces can be uniquely parameterized by a single positive integer $n$ representing the self-intersection number of a section. It holds that
Lemma 4.3 ([81]). For each family of simply connected minimal elliptic surfaces parameterized by the positive integer $n$, the following is true
(1) all members of the family have the same homeomorphism type, which we denote as $X_{n}$
(2) they define an elliptic fibration $X_{n} \rightarrow S^{2}$
(3) the Euler characteristic for each member of the family is $12 n$
(4) there are no multiple fibers and exactly $6 n$ cusp fibers
(5) there is a global section (embedding) $\mathrm{S}^{2} \hookrightarrow X_{n}$ intersecting each fiber in a single point (and transversely)
Throughout, we convey that $X_{n}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$ is the manifold obtained from $k$-logarithmic transformations with orders $p_{j}, j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ from an elliptic fibration $\pi: X \rightarrow S^{2}$ for $X$ parameterized by $n$. In [48], the concept of nuclei $N$ of elliptic fibrations is derived. It is shown that to obtain $X_{n}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$, all logarithmic transformations can be realized inside the nuclei of $\pi$. Interestingly enough, $N$ has the homotopy type of $S^{2} \wedge S^{2}$ (the smash product of $S^{2}$ with $S^{2}$ ), and $\partial N$ is the Brieskorn homology sphere (with orientation opposite to the one induced as the link of an algebraic singularity)

$$
\Sigma(2,3,6 n-1):=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}: x^{2}+y^{3}+z^{6 n-1}=0\right\} \cap S^{5}
$$

see [85] for further details. Proposition 3.5 in [48] ensures us that any diffeomorphism between the nuclei of two elliptic fibrations $\Phi: N_{n}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right) \rightarrow N_{n}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ extends to a diffeomorphism $\widetilde{\Phi}: X_{n}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right) \rightarrow X_{n}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{k}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, to understand different diffeomorphism types coming from logarithmic transformations, it suffices to understand them for the nucleus (Lemma 3.7 in [48]).

Example 4.4 (The Hopf surface $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ ). Following [101], the (standard) Hopf surface $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ fibers over the 2-sphere $S^{2}$ via the map obtained by composing the Hopf fibration $S^{3} \rightarrow S^{2}$ with the projection on the first factor. Any fiber is diffeomorphic to the torus $\mathrm{T}^{2}$, and there are no singular fibers since such a map is a submersion. In this case, studying the effect of logarithmic transformations on two fibers is a natural problem. Indeed, this operation was successfully used in the case of the K3 surface to construct exotic K3 manifolds. The main result in [101] states that if a manifold $X^{\prime}$ resulting from a logarithmic transformation on two fibers of the elliptic fibration $S^{1} \times S^{1} \hookrightarrow S^{3} \times S^{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ has the same homology as $S^{1} \times S^{3}$, it is diffeomorphic to $S^{3} \times S^{1}$. Below, we furnish more details on the elliptic fibrations obtained from logarithmic transformations from $S^{3} \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{2}$.

Let us consider

$$
S^{3}:=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}:|z|^{2}+|w|^{2}=1\right\}
$$

The Hopf fibration is the map

$$
\mathrm{S}^{3} \ni(z, w) \longrightarrow[z: w] \in \mathbb{P}^{1} \cong \mathrm{~S}^{2}
$$

Set $S_{+}^{3}:=\left\{(z, w) \in S^{3}: \frac{1}{2} \geq|w|^{2} \geq 0\right\}, S_{-}^{3}:=\left\{(z, w) \in S^{3}: \frac{1}{2} \geq|z|^{2} \geq 0\right\}$ and $D^{2} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit closed disc. We have the diffeomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{+}: \mathrm{S}_{+}^{3} \ni(z, w) \longrightarrow\left(\frac{z}{\mid z}, \frac{w}{z}\right) \in \mathrm{S}^{1} \times \mathrm{D}^{2} \\
& f_{-}: \mathrm{S}_{-}^{3} \ni(z, w) \longrightarrow\left(\frac{w}{|w|}, \frac{z}{w}\right) \in \mathrm{S}^{1} \times \mathrm{D}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

such that, at the common boundary $S^{1} \times S^{1}$, it holds that

$$
f_{+} \circ f_{-}^{-1}(u, \xi)=(u \xi, \bar{\xi})
$$

Furthermore, extending by the identity in the trivial $\mathrm{S}^{1}$-factor yields

$$
\left(1, f_{-}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(1, f_{+}\right): S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1} \ni(v, u, \xi) \longrightarrow(v, u \xi, \bar{\xi}) \in S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}
$$

Patching all together, we have that $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ is the smooth manifold obtaining from straightening the angles of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}^{1} \times \mathrm{D}^{2} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \cup_{\left(1, f_{-}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(1, f_{+}\right)} \mathrm{S}^{1} \times \mathrm{D}^{2} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the fibration $\pi \circ \mathrm{p}_{1}$


Logarithmic transformations along $F_{ \pm}$are the smooth manifolds $X^{\prime}$ obtained via straightening angles of

$$
\mathrm{S}^{1} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \times \mathrm{D}^{2} \cup_{\left(1, f_{-}\right)^{-1} \circ\left(\phi_{-}^{-1} \circ \phi_{+}\right) \circ\left(1, f_{+}\right)} \mathrm{S}^{1} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \times \mathrm{D}^{2}
$$

for choices of orientation preserving diffeomorphism $\phi_{ \pm}: S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}$.
4.2. The $\Theta$-monoid. Consider applications $\beta: \mathrm{S}^{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n+1), \gamma: \mathrm{S}^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(k+1)$ and diffeomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{S}^{k} \times \mathrm{D}^{n+1} & \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{k} \times \mathrm{D}^{n+1}  \tag{4.3}\\
(x, y) & \mapsto(x, \beta(x) \cdot y) \\
\mathrm{G}: \mathrm{D}^{k+1} \times \mathrm{S}^{n} & \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{k+1} \times \mathrm{S}^{n}  \tag{4.4}\\
(x, y) & \mapsto(\gamma(y) \cdot x, y)
\end{align*}
$$

where • denotes the usual linear action of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ in $\mathrm{D}^{n+1}$ (resp. $\mathrm{SO}(k)$ in $\mathrm{D}^{k+1}$ ) fixing the first coordinate. Let $\partial \mathrm{F}, \partial \mathrm{G}$ be the restrictions of F and G to their domain boundaries. It can be straightforwardly checked that

Proposition 4.5 (p. 964 in [84]). The manifold $\Sigma$ obtained by strengthening angles of

$$
\mathrm{D}^{k+1} \times \mathrm{S}^{n} \cup_{\partial \mathrm{G}^{-1} \partial \mathrm{~F}} \mathrm{~S}^{k} \times \mathrm{D}^{n+1}
$$

only depends on the homotopy classes of $\beta, \gamma$ and is homeomorphic to the sphere $\mathrm{S}^{n+k+1}$. Moreover, $\Sigma$ can be realized as the boundary of the manifold $E(\beta, \gamma)$ obtained from the strengthening angles of

$$
\mathrm{D}^{k+1} \times \mathrm{D}^{n+1} \cup_{G^{-1} F} \mathrm{D}^{k+1} \times \mathrm{D}^{n+1}
$$

Recall that a cobordism in dimension $n$ is a triple of manifolds $\left\{V_{0}, W, V_{1}\right\}$ with $\operatorname{dim} V_{0}=\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=$ $n-1$ such that $\partial W=V_{0} \cup V_{1}$ and $V_{0}, V_{1}$ are disjoint open subsets of $\partial W$. An h-cobordism is a cobordism in which the inclusions $V_{0} \hookrightarrow W, V_{1} \hookrightarrow W$ are homotopy equivalences. Proposition 4.5 provides an h-cobordism $\left\{\mathrm{D}^{k+1} \times \mathrm{D}^{n+1}, E(\beta, \gamma), \mathrm{D}^{k+1} \times \mathrm{D}^{n+1}\right\}$ in dimension $n+k+1$ - Section 5 in [69].

Theorem 4.6 (Theorem 5.5, p. 158 in [69]). Fix a positive integer $n$. The set of equivalence classes of the h -cobordism relation in dimension $n$ is a commutative monoid, usually denoted by $\Theta^{n}$, under the operation of the connected sum. The identity element is represented by the class of manifolds bounding a contractible manifold, and the group of invertible elements consists of homology spheres.

Denote by $\theta^{n}$ the group of homology spheres. For $n \geq 5$, it can be identified with the group of smooth structures on the topological $n$-spheres. We learn from [66] that when $n=7$ the $\theta^{n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{28}$. Moreover, all homology spheres in dimension 7 bound a parallelizable manifold, p. 512 in [66]. Next, we describe our proposed definition for higher-dimension logarithmic transformations.
4.3. A straightening angles definition for the logarithmic transformation of some spheres and product of spheres. Definition 4.7 introduces a higher-dimension generalization of logarithmic transformations based upon Example 4.4.
Definition 4.7 (Higher-dimension logarithmic transformation for $S^{n+k+1} \times S^{1}$ ). Let $\mathrm{D}^{k+1} \times \mathrm{S}^{n} \times$ $S^{1} \cup_{\left(\partial G^{-1} \partial F, 1\right)} S^{k} \times D^{n+1} \times S^{1}$ be the homotopy Hopf manifold $S^{k+n+1} \times S^{1}$. Given diffeomorphisms $\phi, \psi: S^{k} \times S^{n} \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{k} \times S^{n} \times S^{1}$, we say that a higher-dimension logarithmic transformation from $S^{n+k+1} \times S^{1}$ is the smooth manifold $S^{n+k+1} \times S_{\phi, \psi}^{1}$ obtained from straightening angles of

$$
\mathrm{D}^{k+1} \times \mathrm{S}^{n} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \cup_{\left(\partial G^{-1}, 1\right) \circ\left(\phi^{-1} \circ \psi\right) \circ(\partial F, 1)} \mathrm{S}^{k} \times \mathrm{D}^{n+1} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}
$$

Remark 4.8. A procedure very close to Definition 4.7 appeared in the literature in Section 5 in 66] on the name of "Spherical Modification".

Example 4.9. We observe that Definition 4.7 recovers the same flavor as Definition 4.1 in the following sense. Consider the homotopy Hopf manifold $M_{m, 1-m} \times S^{1}$ where

$$
M_{m, 1-m} \stackrel{\text { homeomorphic }}{\cong} \mathrm{S}^{7}
$$

is the total space of some Milnor bundles. Therein, we use the decomposition (recall Section 3.1)

$$
M_{m, 1-m}=\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \cup_{f_{t, 1-m}} \mathrm{~S}^{3} \times \mathrm{D}^{4}
$$

Consider the fibration


The following steps can realize any eight-dimension manifold $M^{\prime}$ obtained by a higher-dimension logarithmic transformation. Cutting out a tubular neighborhood $v\left(S^{3} \times S^{1}\right)$ and gluing it in a $S^{3} \times$ $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ via an arbitrary orientation-reversing diffeomorphism $\phi: S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{1} \rightarrow \partial v\left(S^{3} \times S^{1}\right)$.

Next, we regard the set

$$
\sigma^{8}:=\left\{\text { smooth manifolds } X \text { that are homotopic equivalent to } S^{7} \times S^{1}\right\} /\langle\text { diffeomorphism }\rangle
$$

with the group structure obtained from higher-dimension logarithmic transformations - Definition 4.7 We show that $\sigma^{8}$ is an Abelian finitely generated group under such an operation - Theorem 4.11

Lemma 4.10. Let $s_{1}: \mathrm{SO}(3) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$ be the diagonal inclusion and $\beta: \mathrm{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4), \gamma_{0}: \mathrm{S}^{3} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. We have that the map $\left(\beta, \gamma_{0}\right) \mapsto \Sigma\left(\beta, s_{1} \gamma_{0}:=\gamma\right)$ induces an application

$$
\widetilde{\sigma}_{3,3}: \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(4) \times \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \rightarrow \theta^{7}
$$

via straightening angles of

$$
\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \cup_{\partial G^{-1} \circ \partial F} \mathrm{~S}^{3} \times \mathrm{D}^{4}
$$

with $F(x, y)=(x, \beta(x) \cdot y), G(x, y)=(\gamma(y) \cdot x, y)$.

## Moreover,

(a) the map $\widetilde{\sigma}_{3,3}$ yields a bilinear map $\sigma_{3,3}:=\widetilde{\sigma}_{3,3} \circ\left(s_{1} \times s_{1}\right)$

$$
\sigma_{3,3}: \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \otimes \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \rightarrow \theta^{7}
$$

such that Gromoll-Meyer exotic sphere $M_{2,-1}$ is the image of $(2,1)$ under $\sigma_{3,3}$ - [92]
(b) $\tilde{\sigma}_{3,3}$ is surjective.

Proof. The first part of item (a) is an adaptation of the bilinear pairing constructed in [84]; we provide a sketch.

For any $\beta, \beta^{\prime}, \gamma, \gamma^{\prime}: S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$ it can be checked that letting $\partial G, \partial G^{\prime}$, and $\partial F, \partial F^{\prime}$ be the boundary domain restrictions' of

$$
G(x, y)=(\gamma(y) \cdot x, y), G^{\prime}(x, y)=\left(\gamma^{\prime}(y) \cdot x, y\right) \text { and } F(x, y)=(x, \beta(x) \cdot y), F^{\prime}(x, y)=\left(x, \beta^{\prime}(x) \cdot y\right)
$$

then $\partial G^{-1} \circ \partial F$ is isotopic to $\partial G^{\prime-1} \circ \partial F^{\prime}$ if $\beta, \beta^{\prime}$ and $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ are homotopic. A sketch is as follows. Let $\mathrm{B}:[0,1] \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$ be any homotopy between $\beta, \beta^{\prime}$. The map $[0,1] \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{D}^{4} \ni(t, x, y) \mapsto$ $F_{t}(x, y):=(x, B(t, x) \cdot y)$ is differentiable with differentiable inverse $[0,1] \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{D}^{4} \ni(t, x, y) \mapsto$ $F_{t}^{-1}(x, y):=\left(x, B^{-1}(t, x) \cdot y\right)$. Thus, $\partial G^{-1} \partial F_{t}^{-1}$ is an isotopy between $\partial G^{-1} \partial F$ and $\partial G^{-1} \partial F^{\prime}$. The argumentation adapts for constructing an isotopy between $\partial G^{-1} \partial F$ and $\partial G^{\prime-1} \partial F$. It can be checked that $\Sigma(\beta, \gamma)$ is a homotopy sphere once verifying that it is simply connected and a homology sphere.

Once the image of the map $\sigma_{3,3}=\widetilde{\sigma}_{3,3} \circ\left(s_{1} \times s_{1}\right): \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \times \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \rightarrow \theta^{7}$ is determined by a straightening angle, we can check that it is $\mathbb{Z}$-balanced, thus $\sigma_{3,3}$ descends to a map with domain in $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \otimes \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3))$. To the fact that $\sigma_{3,3}(2,1)$ is the Gromoll-Meyer exotic sphere $M_{2,-1}$ we refer to [93]. Since $\sigma_{3,3}$ is surjective and $M_{2,-1} \in \theta^{7}$ is the image of $(2,1)$ under $\sigma_{3,3}$ the surjectivity follows.

Theorem 4.11. $\sigma^{8}$ with the higher-dimension logarithmic transformation (Definition 4.7) is an Abelian group generated as the image of

$$
\widetilde{\sigma}: \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \otimes \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \otimes \pi_{1} \mathrm{SO}(2) \rightarrow \sigma^{8}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \cup_{\left(\partial \mathrm{G}^{-1} \partial \mathrm{~F}, 1\right)} \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \stackrel{\text { diffeomorphic }}{\cong} \mathrm{S}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}
$$

Pick a homomorphism $\zeta: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2)$. We can construct a map

$$
\left(\beta, s_{1} \gamma, \zeta\right) \mapsto X \in \sigma^{8}
$$

where $X$ is the manifold obtained from straightening angles of

$$
\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \cup_{\left(\partial G^{-1} \circ \partial F, \widehat{\zeta}\right)} \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}
$$

and $\widehat{\zeta}: z \mapsto \zeta(z) z$. Analogously to the construction of $\sigma_{3,3}$ we obtain a trilinear map

$$
\pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \otimes \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \otimes \pi_{1} \mathrm{SO}(2) \rightarrow \sigma^{8}
$$

mapping $(2,1,1)$ into $M_{2,-1} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$. We thus conclude that this map is a surjection. Once we can identify $\pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \otimes \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \otimes \pi_{1} \mathrm{SO}(2)$ with every possible logarithmic transformation, one concludes desired.

We now join the concepts of spherical T-duality and logarithmic transformations for $M_{m, 1-m} \times S^{1}$. This can be inferred from the following, where the logarithmic transformation on the $S^{1}$-factor is trivial:

Proposition 4.12. Let $M_{m, 1-m}$ be a homotopy seven sphere manifold with $H$-flux $H=[j] \in$ $\mathrm{H}^{7}\left(M_{m, 1-m}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We have the following spherical T-duality diagram (4.6)


Proof. Theorem 3.11 teaches us that $\left(M_{m, 1-m},[j]\right),\left(M_{j, 1-j},[m]\right)$ are a T-dual pair. On the other hand, one readily checks that $M_{j, 1-j} \times S^{1}$ can be obtained from $M_{m, 1-m} \times S^{1}$ employing a logarithmic transformation. The proof is finished via generalizing Example 4.9 to build diagram (4.6).

Proposition 4.12 provides the understanding that higher-dimension logarithmic transformations can realize some spherical T-duality. Theorem 4.11 teaches us we can realize every homotopy Hopf manifold employing a logarithmic transformation. Nevertheless, the realization of homotopy seven dimension spheres as $S^{3}$-bundles over $S^{4}$ is obstructed. In the next section, we study cohomological aspects of homotopy Hopf manifolds. Theorem 6.3 and the subsequential to-be proposed Conjecture 6.6 enlighten more on the possible existence of invariants coming from these two facts. Namely, determining whether logarithmic transformation promotes further invariants to distinguish homotopy Hopf manifolds.

## 5. FANO STACKS AND THEIR COHOMOLOGIES

This section delves into studying Fano orbifolds arising as the base for certain torus fibrations. We learned from Section 2.4 that the complex homotopy Hopf manifolds $M_{m, 1-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ obtained as bases for $\star$-diagrams, such as

$$
M_{j, 1-j} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \leftarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2) \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow M_{m, 1-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}
$$

are Morita equivalent. In this manner, it becomes harder to distinguish many properties that would not be shared among them. To circumvent it and provide a unified approach to every homotopy Hopf manifold in dimension 8 , we "reduce symmetry." Instead of studying $S^{3} \times S^{1}$-actions in $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$, we shall study $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-actions on these.

Let
$W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}:=\left\{\left(u, v, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{5}:|u|^{2}+|v|^{2}+\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}=1, u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}=0\right\}$.
According to [17], for $k=1, \ldots, 28$, we gain every element in $\theta^{7}$, that is, every smooth manifold which is a seven-dimension homotopy sphere. Throughout this section, we obtain and study properties of orbifolds' Fano appearing as bases' of $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-fibrations with total space $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$.
5.1. Fano orbifolds as the base for certain $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-fibrations. Following [97], the ring of the germ of holomorphic functions $\mathbb{C}\left\{u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}:=\mathscr{O}$ is a local $\mathbb{C}$-algebra with maximal ideal $\mathscr{M}:=$ $\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{2}, z_{2}\right)$. The powers of $\mathscr{M}$ form a descending filtration of $\mathscr{O}$

$$
\mathscr{O} \supset \mathscr{M} \supset \mathscr{M}^{2} \supset \mathscr{M}^{3} \supset \ldots \supset(0) .
$$

A series $f \in \mathscr{M}^{k}$ coincides with the vanishing Taylor series up to order $k-1$. When $f \in \mathscr{M}^{2}$, such a series has no linear term, thus having a critical point at the origin. We refer to all series $f \in \mathscr{M}$ as a singularity. The Jacobian ideal of $f \in \mathscr{O}$ is the ideal

$$
J_{f}:=\left(\partial_{u} f, \partial_{v} f, \partial_{z_{0}} f, \partial_{z_{1}} f, \partial_{z_{2}} f\right) \subset \mathscr{O} .
$$

Definition 5.1. The Milnor algebra of the singularity $f$ is $\mathscr{O} / J_{f}$. The Milnor number $\mu(f)$ is precisely the dimension of the Milnor algebra. Whenever $\mu(f)<\infty$, we say to have an isolated singularity.

Let $f\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right):=u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}$. The singularity associated with $f$ is an example of a "Brieskorn-Pham singularity" - [97, 60]. We can check that the collection $\left\{u^{k_{0}} v^{k_{1}}: 0 \leq k_{0} \leq\right.$ $\left.6 k-3,0 \leq k_{1} \leq 1\right\}$ constitutes in a basis for the Milnor algebra $\mathscr{O} / J_{f}$ of dimension $\mu(f)=2(6 k-2)$. Since $\mu(f)<\infty$, the power series $f \in \mathscr{M}^{2} \subset \mathscr{O}$ defines a holomorphic function on a neighborhood $U$ such that the 0 is the only critical point of $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be sufficiently small ensuring that the ball $\mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0):=\left\{x \in \mathbb{C}^{5}:|x| \leq \varepsilon\right\}$ is contained in $U$. Further reducing it, if necessary, we may assume that the boundary of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathcal{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}(0)$ is transverse to $f^{-1}(0)$ for every $0<\varepsilon^{\prime} \leq \varepsilon-$ [97], p.10]. Let $\eta>0$ be such that for every $t \in S_{\eta}:=\{t \in \mathbb{C}:|t| \leq \eta\}$ the level set $f^{-1}(t)$ is transverse to $\partial \mathrm{B}_{\varepsilon}(0)$. Set

$$
X_{\mathcal{E}, \eta}:=\mathrm{B}_{\mathcal{E}}(0) \cap f^{-1}\left(S_{\eta}\right)
$$

Denote by $f_{\varepsilon, \eta}: X_{\varepsilon, \eta} \rightarrow S_{\eta}$ the existing restriction of $f$ to such domain and counter domain. The map $f_{\varepsilon, \eta}$ is usually named a good representative of the germ $f \in \mathscr{O}$.

Set $X^{*}:=X_{\mathcal{\varepsilon}, \eta} \backslash\left\{f^{-1}(0)\right\}$ and $S^{*}:=S_{\eta} \backslash\{0\}$. Then $f_{\mathcal{\varepsilon}, \eta}$ restricts to a map $f^{*}: X_{f}^{*} \rightarrow S_{f}^{*}$ that is a smooth locally trivial bundle named the Milnor fibration associated to $f$. Milnor's bouquet theorem states that the fibers, denoted as $\left(X_{f}\right)_{t}$, for the fibration $f^{*}$, named Milnor fibers' have the homotopy type of the bouquet of spheres

$$
V_{i=1}^{2(6 k-2)} S^{4}
$$

where $\vee$ stands to the wedge sum. The top-degree reduced homology of the Milnor fiber $\left(X_{f}\right)_{t}$ is

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_{4}\left(\left(X_{f}\right)_{t}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2(6 k-2)} .
$$

It can be verified that $S_{f}^{*}$ has the homotopy type of a circle, thus $X_{f}^{*}$ can be built by identifying two Milnor fibers $\left(X_{f}\right)_{t} \times\{0\},\left(X_{f}\right)_{t} \times\{1\}$ for a certain gluing map $\tau:\left(X_{f}\right)_{t} \rightarrow\left(X_{f}\right)_{t}$.
Definition 5.2. The map $\tau:\left(X_{f}\right)_{t} \rightarrow\left(X_{f}\right)_{t}$ chosen to be the identity near the boundaries $\partial\left(X_{f}\right)_{t}$ is named geometric monodromy.

Proposition 5.3 ([18]). The geometric monodromy $\tau:\left(X_{f}\right)_{t} \rightarrow\left(X_{f}\right)_{t}$ induces a homological monodromy

$$
\tau_{*}: \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\left(X_{f}\right)_{t} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\left(X_{f}\right)_{t} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

represented by a $2(6 k-2) \times 2(6 k-2)$-matrix. For the singularity $f(u, v, z)=u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+$ $z_{2}^{2}$, the monodromy $\tau_{*}: \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\left(X_{f}\right)_{t}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\left(X_{f}\right)_{t}\right)$ has the finite order

$$
\text { 1.c.m( } 6 k-1,3,2) .
$$

For each monomial $\left\{u^{k_{0}} v^{k_{1}}: 0 \leq k_{0} \leq 6 k-3,0 \leq k_{1} \leq 1\right\}$ defining a basis to the Milnor algebra of $f$, consider the 5-degree form collection $\left\{u^{k_{0}} v^{k_{1}} \mathrm{~d} u \wedge \mathrm{~d} v \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{0} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{2}\right\}$ and define

$$
w\left(u^{k_{0}} v^{k_{1}} \mathrm{~d} u \wedge \mathrm{~d} v \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{0} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{2}\right):=\sum_{i=0}^{4} \frac{1}{a_{i}}\left(k_{i}+1\right)
$$

where $k_{2}=k_{3}=k_{4}=0,\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=(6 k-1,3,2,2,2)$. The number above is named the weight of the monomial $u^{k_{0}} v^{k_{1}}$. The Milnor module $\Omega_{f}$ is defined as

$$
\Omega_{f}:=\Omega^{5} / \mathrm{d} f \wedge \Omega^{4}=\left(\mathscr{O} / J_{f}\right) \mathrm{d} u \wedge \mathrm{~d} v \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{0} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z_{2}
$$

The collection $\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{4} \frac{1}{a_{i}}\left(k_{i}+1\right): 0 \leq k_{0} \leq 6 k-3,0 \leq k_{1} \leq 1\right\}$ is the spectrum of the singularity $f$. Following [16], we seek to interpret some appearing numbers in the spectrum $\operatorname{Sp}(f)$ more geometrically, Lemma 5.4

Denote by $\ell=1 . \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m}(6 k-1,3,2)=6(6 k-1)$. Define $w_{i}:=\frac{\ell}{a_{i}}, a_{i} \in\{6 k-1,3,2,2,2\}$. Note that $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-defines an action in $f\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=0$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda *\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right):=\left(\lambda^{w_{0}} u, \lambda^{w_{1}} v, \lambda^{w_{2}} z_{0}, \lambda^{w_{3}} z_{1}, \lambda^{w_{4}} z_{2}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
f\left(\lambda *\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)=\lambda^{\ell} f\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=0
$$

That is, $f$ is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree $\ell$ with weights $w_{0}, \ldots, w_{4}$. Recalling that each sphere $S^{9}=\partial B_{\varepsilon}(0)$ intersects $f^{-1}(0)$ transversely, the weighted link of degree $\ell$ of the singularity $f$, denoted as $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}:=f^{-1}(0) \cap S^{9}$ is a homotopy sphere in dimension 7 for every $k \in\{1, \ldots, 28\}$ and no two $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}, W_{6 k^{\prime}-1,3}^{7}$ are diffeomorphic ([17]).

Notably, the $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action above in $f^{-1}(0)$ restricts to $S^{1}$-action in $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$ whose quotient space $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} / S^{1}=: V$ has the structure of an orbifold ([16, Section 6]). On the other hand, Corollary 14 in [16, p.563] teaches us that $V$ is a Fano orbifold if, and only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{4} w_{i}>\ell \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{4} \frac{1}{a_{i}}>1 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have
Lemma 5.4. The condition

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{4} \frac{1}{a_{i}}>1
$$

is equivalent to the least element in the spectrum to be greater than 1 . For any $k \in\{1, \ldots, 28\}$ the quotient orbifold $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} / S^{1}$ is a Fano orbifold.
Proof. The proof is immediate once one recalls that the least element in the spectrum collection $\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{5} \frac{1+k_{i}}{a_{i}}: 0 \leq k_{0} \leq 6 k-3,0 \leq k_{1} \leq 1\right\}$ is precisely $\sum_{i=0}^{i} \frac{1}{a_{i}}$. Equation (5.2) concludes the first part of the claim. As trivially one checks the latter is greater than one for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one concludes desired.
5.2. Homological Mirror Symmetry for homotopy Hopf manifolds. Throughout this section, one considers the singularity $f\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}$ and the corresponding weighted link of $f$, denoted by $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$. We let $f^{*}: X_{f}^{*} \rightarrow S_{f}^{*}$ be the corresponding Milnor fibration with Milnor fibers of homotopy type $\vee_{i=1}^{2(6 k-1)} \mathrm{S}^{4}$ for each fixed $k$.

Definition 5.5. The Milnor lattice of the singularity $f$ is the homology group of the Milnor fiber equipped with the intersection form.

As explained in [42], the Fukaya category of a Lefschetz fibration can be understood as the categorification of the Milnor lattice, establishing a natural isomorphism between the Grothendieck group equipped with the symmetrized Euler form and the Milnor lattice. Fix the vector $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=(6 k-1,3,2,2,2)$. Consider the singularity $f_{i}:=x^{a_{i}}, x \in \mathbb{C}$. It is a singularity of type $A_{a_{i}-1}$. Its corresponding Milnor lattice is a free Abelian group generated by $C_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, a_{i}-1$ with the intersection form given by

$$
\left(C_{i}, C_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \text { if } i=j  \tag{5.3}\\
-1 \text { if }|i-j|=1 \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let

$$
I_{\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)}:=\left\{\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{5}: 1 \leq i_{m} \leq a_{m}-1, m \in\{0, \ldots, 4\}\right\}
$$

be equipped with the lexicographic order

$$
\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{4}\right)<\left(j_{0}, \ldots, j_{4}\right) \text { if } i_{n}=j_{n} \text { for } n<m \text { and } i_{m}<j_{m} \text { for some } m \in\{0, \ldots, 4\}
$$

It follows from the Thom-Sebastiani result [88] that the Milnor lattice of $f\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=$ $u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}$ is the tensor product of the lattices for $f_{i}$. Following [42, Section 1] it exists a distinguished basis $\left(C_{\mathbf{i}}\right)_{\mathbf{i} \in I_{\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{4}\right)}}$ of vanishing cycles satisfying for $\mathbf{i}<\mathbf{j}$

$$
\left(C_{\mathbf{i}}, C_{\mathbf{j}}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\prod_{n=0}^{4}\left(C_{i_{m}}, C_{j_{m}}\right) \text { if } i_{m} \leq j_{m} \text { for } m \in\{0, \ldots, 4\} \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and intersection form

$$
\left(C_{\mathbf{i}}, C_{\mathbf{j}}\right)=\left(C_{\mathbf{j}}, C_{\mathbf{i}}\right),\left(C_{\mathbf{i}}, C_{\mathbf{i}}\right)=2
$$

For each $a_{i}$ in the vector $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=(6 k-1,3,2,2,2)$, let $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ be the differential graded category whose set of objects is $\mathfrak{D b}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{i}\right)=\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{a_{i}}\right)$, and whose spaces of morphisms are

$$
\operatorname{hom}\left(C_{i}, C_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{C} \cdot \mathrm{id}_{C_{i}} \text { if } i=j \\
\mathbb{C}[-1] \text { if } i=j-1 \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the trivial differential. Let $\widetilde{f}$ be a Morsification of the singularity $f$ (Section 2 in [42]) and $\mathfrak{F u k} \tilde{f}$ be the Fukaya category of $\widetilde{f}$ (in the sense presented in [89]). Theorem 1.1 in [42] ensures the following quasi-equivalence of $A_{\infty}$-categories

$$
\mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{u k} \tilde{f} \cong \mathfrak{A}_{6 k-2} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{2} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{1} .
$$

Homological mirror symmetry [67] is a deep mathematical conjecture that relates symplectic geometry on one side to algebraic geometry on the other. In the context of hypersurface singularities, the mirror symmetry conjecture suggests a correspondence between the derived category of coherent sheaves on a singular algebraic variety (such as a hypersurface singularity) and the Fukaya category, which is an enhancement of the symplectic cohomology of the mirror-smooth variety.

Stabilizing the derived category refers to enlarging or stabilizing the derived category of coherent sheaves by adding certain "phantom" objects. This process often makes the derived category behave more like the Fukaya category of the mirror symplectic manifold.

One way to approach mirror symmetry is by studying the Landau-Ginzburg model associated with the singularity in the specific case of hypersurface singularities. The Landau-Ginzburg model provides a mirror symplectic manifold, and the derived category of coherent sheaves on the hypersurface singularity is expected to mirror the Fukaya category of the Landau-Ginzburg model.

Stabilizing the derived category is often employed to ensure that the derived category has the right properties to match the Fukaya category. Let $A$ be the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{C}\left[u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right] /(f)$
parameterized by coordinates $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}$ equipped with the following grading

$$
\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=w_{i}, \text { where } w_{i}=\frac{\ell}{a_{i}}=\frac{6(6 k-1)}{a_{i}} .
$$

Observe that the Fano orbifold $V_{k}$ obtained as the orbit space for the $S^{1}$-action in $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$ given by (5.1) is isomorphic (as orbifold) to $X:=\operatorname{Proj} A$. Hence, it is a degree $\ell$ hypersurface in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1))$. Let

$$
K=\left\{\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{4}\right) \in(\mathbb{C})^{*}: \alpha_{0}^{a_{0}}=\alpha_{1}^{a_{1}}=\ldots=\alpha_{4}^{a_{4}}\right\}
$$

and define a homomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow K$ by $\phi(\alpha)=\left(\alpha^{a_{0}}, \ldots, \alpha^{a_{4}}\right)$. Let $G=$ coker $\phi$. It is a finite Abelian group and acts on $X$. Let $Y=[X / G]$ be the quotient stack. We would like to establish a correspondence such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{u k} \tilde{f} \cong \mathrm{D}^{b} \operatorname{coh}^{G} Y \text { for each } k \in\{1, \ldots, 28\} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right-hand-side is the derived category of $G$-equivariant coherent sheaves on $Y$. This, unfortunately, does not follow from [42], and it is not obtained here; a justification for that is presented in Remark 5.7 below. Nevertheless, let $\mathrm{D}^{\text {perf }}(A)$ be the subcategory of perfect complexes, $\mathrm{D}^{b}(\mathrm{gr} A)$ be the bounded derived category of finitely generated graded $A$-modules and $\mathrm{D}^{\text {perf }}(\operatorname{gr} A)$ be its full subcategory consisting of bounded complexes of projective modules. We consider the stabilized derived category $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sg}}^{\mathrm{gr}}(A)=\mathrm{D}^{b}(\mathrm{gr} A) / \mathrm{D}^{\text {perf }}(\mathrm{gr} A)$. The following is true

Theorem 5.6. For each $k \in\{1, \ldots, 28\}$ it holds the following equivalence of triangulated categories

$$
\mathrm{D}^{b} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{k} \tilde{f} \cong \mathrm{D}^{b}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{6 k-2} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{2} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{1}\right) \cong \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Sg}}^{\mathrm{gr}}(A) .
$$

Proof. This is just a combination of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 in [42].
Remark 5.7 (A sufficient condition for Homological Mirror Symmetry). Observe that $Y$ is a quotient stack of a Fano variety by a finite group, thus being a Fano orbifold itself. Consider the stack $Y_{g}=[X / G]$ for a Brieskorn-Pham singularity $g=x_{0}^{p_{0}}+\ldots+x_{n}^{p_{n}}, X=\operatorname{Proj} A_{g}$, where $A_{g}$ is the coordinate ring of $g^{-1}(0)$. Let $\widetilde{g}$ be a Morsification of $g$. Theorem 1.4 in [42] ensures that

$$
\mathrm{D}^{b} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{u k} \widetilde{g} \cong \mathrm{D}^{b} \operatorname{coh}^{G} Y_{g}
$$

if

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{p_{i}}=1
$$

i.e., the least element in the singularity spectrum $\operatorname{Sp}(g)$ is equal to 1 . According to Proposition 13 in [16], $X$ is a Calabi-Yau orbifold.

So far, we have made no use of the extra $S^{1}$-appearing in the total space of the fibrations

$$
\mathrm{T}^{2} \hookrightarrow W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow V_{k}
$$

because we are essentially considering compositions such as


In the next section, we proceed by studying the effect of $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-actions in $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ which extend that of $S^{1}$ in $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$ given by equation (5.1).
5.3. New Fano orbifolds from old ones. Once in hand the product $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1}$ let us project it into the smash product $\pi_{\wedge}: W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1} \rightarrow W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \wedge S^{1}=W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1} / W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \vee S^{1}$ where $\vee$ stands to the wedge sum. Using that $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$ is a homotopy sphere one gets that $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \wedge \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ is topologically the suspension

$$
\Sigma W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \stackrel{\text { homeomorphic }}{\cong} \mathrm{S}^{8} .
$$

Since there are only two non-diffeomorphic smooth structures on homotopy $S^{8}$ and it is not clear which is the preferred smooth structure in $\Sigma W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$, we let $\Sigma^{8}$ stand to a homotopy $S^{8}$. Therefore, we have a family of smooth maps

$$
\pi_{\wedge}^{k}: W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{k}^{8}
$$

where the subscript $k$ in $\Sigma_{k}^{8}$ is aimed to emphasize that the smooth structure in $\Sigma^{8}$ may depend on $k$.
Let us right the $S^{1}$-factor in $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1}$ as $S^{1}=\left\{q \in \mathbb{C}:|q|^{2}=1\right\}$. Being $\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ the coordinates in $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$, pick $t \in[0, \pi / 2]$. Recalling that the definition of the wedge sum for two (pointed) topological spaces is given as

$$
X \vee Y:=X \times Y \times[0, \pi / 2] / X \times\left\{y_{0}\right\} \times\{0\} \cup\left\{x_{0}\right\} \times X \times Y \times\{1\}, x_{0} \in X, y_{0} \in Y,
$$

one can identify the wedge sum $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \vee S^{1}$ with a homotopy $S^{8}$ via the homeomorphism

$$
\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, q, t\right) \mapsto\left(\cos (t) u, \cos (t) v, \cos (t) z_{0}, \cos (t) z_{1}, \cos (t) z_{2}, \sin (t) q\right)
$$

Therefore, the elements in $\Sigma_{k}^{8}$ are the quotients points of $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1}$ under the equivalence relation
$\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, q\right) \sim\left(\cos (t) u, \cos (t) v, \cos (t) z_{0}, \cos (t) z_{1}, \cos (t) z_{2}, \sin (t) q\right)$ for some $t \in[0, \pi / 2]$.
Assume that $T^{2}=\left\{\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \in S^{1} \times S^{1}\right\}$ and pick a representation $\rho: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2)$. We let $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ act in $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) *\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, q\right):=\left(\lambda^{6} u, \lambda^{2(6 k-1)} v, \lambda^{3(6 k-1)} z_{0}, \lambda^{3(6 k-1)} z_{1}, \lambda^{3(6 k-1)} z_{2}, \rho\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)(q)\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that if ( $u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}$ ) lies in the same equivalence class as $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, z_{0}^{\prime}, z_{1}^{\prime}, z_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ according to the equivalence relation (5.6) then $\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) *\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ lies in the same equivalence class as $\left(\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}\right) *\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, z_{0}^{\prime}, z_{1}^{\prime}, z_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ concerning (5.6). Therefore, the $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-action (5.7) defines a $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-action in $\Sigma_{k}^{8}$. Let $V_{k, \rho}$ be the corresponding orbit space for such an action, we have constructed the following fibration diagram


We prove
Theorem 5.8. For each $k \in\{1, \ldots, 28\}$ it holds that
(1) let $\rho: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2)$ be such that for no $q \in \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ we have

$$
\left\{\lambda \in \mathrm{S}^{1}: \rho(\lambda) q=q\right\}=\mathrm{S}^{1}
$$

Then $V_{k, \rho}$ is an orbifold
(2) for $\rho$ equivalent (as representation) to the left-multiplication representation, it holds that $V_{k, \rho}$ is isomorphic as orbifolds to $V_{k}$
(3) there is $\rho$ not equivalent (as representation) to the left-multiplication representation such that $V_{k, \rho}$ is a Fano orbifold given by $V_{k} / G$ where $G$ is a finite group acting on $V_{k}$.

Proof. (1) Looking to the action (5.7) one sees that once picked any point $\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, q\right)$ in $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1}$ there exist at least two $a_{i}, a_{j} \in\{6 k-1,3,2\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) q & =q \\
\lambda^{\ell / a_{i}} & =1=\lambda^{\ell / a_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\ell=6(6 k-1)$. It holds that $\lambda=1$ if, and only if, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\ell / a_{i}, \ell / a_{j}\right)=1$. Observe that

$$
\left\{\ell / a_{i}\right\}_{\{i=0, \ldots .4\}}=\{6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1)\} .
$$

Thus, for $i \neq j$ we have that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\ell / a_{i}, \ell / a_{j}\right)=1$. Otherwise, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\ell / a_{i}, \ell / a_{i}\right)=\ell / a_{i}$. Hence, every point has a discrete isotropy. Therefore, the $S^{1}$-orbits for the corresponding action in the coordinates of $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$ are either principal or exceptional (quotient of a principal orbit by a discrete group). Therefore, the possibilities for isotropy group at any ( $u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, q$ ) are

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\left(u, v, z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, q\right)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{Z}_{b} \times\left\{\lambda^{\prime} \in \mathrm{S}^{1}: \rho\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=q\right\} \text { for some } b \in \mathbb{N}, \text { or }  \tag{5.9}\\
\left\{\lambda^{\prime} \in \mathrm{S}^{1}: \rho\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) q=q\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using that every proper compact subgroup of $S^{1}$ is finite, one checks that for each $q$ either $\left\{\lambda^{\prime} \in \mathrm{S}^{1}: \rho\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) q=q\right\}$ is finite or the whole $\mathrm{S}^{1}$. Once the latter is disregarded according to the hypothesis, one concludes desired.
(2) This follows from equation (5.9) since in this case for any $q \in S^{1}$ one has $\left\{\lambda^{\prime} \in S^{1}: \rho\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) q=\right.$ $q\}=\{1\}$.
(3) Take $\rho\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) q=\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)^{l} q$ for every $\lambda^{\prime}, q \in \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ for a choice of positive integer $l>1$. Each point $q$ has isotropy $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$. Equation (5.9) once more teaches us that the possible isotropies are

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{b} \times \mathbb{Z}_{l}, \mathbb{Z}_{l}
$$

Pick $l$ such that for every possible $b$, one has that $\operatorname{gcd}(b, l)=1$. Then, the Chinese remainder theorem ensures $\mathbb{Z}_{b} \times \mathbb{Z}_{l}=\mathbb{Z}_{b l}$. We collect that for each point. The possible isotropies are $\mathbb{Z}_{l}, \mathbb{Z}_{b l}$. The principal orbits are diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{T}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{l}$, and exceptional orbits are diffeomorphic to $\mathrm{T}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{b l}$ since $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{b l}$. Therefore, one readily recovers $V_{k, \rho}$ as the orbit space $V_{k} / \mathbb{Z}_{l}$. Since $V_{k}$ is a Fano variety, one has that $V_{k} / \mathbb{Z}_{l}$ is a Fano orbifold, as desired.

It promptly emerges from Theorem [5.8 a remarkable connection between logarithmic transformations and the obtained Fano as orbifold for $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-fibrations from $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$. One learns from Theorem 4.11 that different choices of representations may yield different elements in $\sigma^{8}$, i.e., different homotopy Hopf manifolds. That is,
Corollary 5.9. We can obtain different Fano orbifolds from fibrations from $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1}$ via logarithmic transformations according to choices of generators of $\pi_{1} \mathrm{SO}(2)$. These are given by the image of the map $\tilde{\sigma}$ appearing in Theorem 4.11

Let us see in a comparative example how Theorem 5.8 works.
Example 5.10. If $\rho: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2)$ is equivalent as representation to the left-multiplication in $\mathrm{S}^{1}$, i.e., for any $\lambda, q \in \mathrm{~S}^{1}$ one has that $\rho(\lambda) q=\lambda q$, we conclude from Theorem5.8 that $V_{k, \rho} \cong V_{k}$. This orbifold $V_{k}$ can be identified with $X:=\operatorname{Proj} A$ where $A$ is the coordinate ring of the Brieskorn-Pham singularity $f=u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}$ with appropriate grading (recall Section 5.2). We also learned that a finite group $G$ acts on $X$, defining a stack $Y=[X / G]$.

On the other hand, Theorem 5.8 also guarantees that we can pick $\rho$ such that $V_{k, \rho}$ is a stack $\widetilde{Y}=\left[X / \mathbb{Z}_{l}\right]$ for some $l>1$. Therefore, the quotient stacks $Y$ and $\widetilde{Y}$ can differ drastically, depending on how $G$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$ are related.

As observed in Example 5.10 the Fano stacks $V_{k, \rho}$ can present a different behavior to that $[\operatorname{Proj} A / G]$. Aiming to measure these possible differences, in Section 5.4 , we study the ChenRuan cohomology of the Fano orbifolds obtained throughout. Before moving to that, we expand the content of Corollary 5.9
Theorem 5.11. Fix a fibration $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow V_{k}, k \in\{1, \ldots, 28\}$ and consider the moduli space

$$
\mathscr{F}:=\left\{Y=\left[X_{k} / G\right]: G<\mathrm{S}^{1} \text { is discrete }\right\} /\langle\text { orbifold isomorphism }\rangle .
$$

Then $\mathscr{F}$ admits a group structure with neutral element $\left[V_{k}\right]$. As a group, it is isomorphic to the Abelian group $\left\{\rho: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2)\right\} /\langle$ equivalent representations $\rangle$.
Proof. Fixed $k$ one learned from Theorem 5.8 that the once chosen a $\rho: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2)$ one obtains an orbifold stack $\left[V_{k} / G\right]=Y_{k, \rho}$. The main trick in the proof is to observe that equivalent representation $\rho$ leads to isomorphic orbifold stack and conversely.

Consider the collection $\mathscr{R}:=\left\{\rho: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2)\right\}$. Because $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ is an Abelian group, such a collection carries a group structure. For each $\rho, \rho^{\prime} \in \mathscr{R}$ we pose the group multiplication as $\left(\rho \rho^{\prime}\right)(q):=\rho(q) \rho^{\prime}(q) \forall q \in \mathrm{~S}^{1}$. The identity is the map $1: q \mapsto 1_{\mathrm{SO}(2)}$ and the inversion is $\rho^{-1}: q \mapsto \rho\left(q^{-1}\right), \forall q \in \mathrm{~S}^{1}$. Given an orbifold stack $Y:=\left[X_{k} / G\right]$, a unique equivalence class [ $\rho$ ] of equivalent representations yields $Y$ despite the class representative. This can be concluded from the following. Fix any Lie group isomorphism $\Psi: \mathrm{SO}(2) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^{1}$. Then, each $\rho$ can be thought as (after right compositing it with $\Psi$ ) a Lie group homomorphism $S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$. These homomorphisms, in turn, are always of the form $q \mapsto q^{m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Working out on the Fano constructions from Theorem 5.8 verifies the claim.

Thus, we can bi-univocally associate a class $[\rho] \in \mathscr{R} /$ <equivalent representations $\rangle$ to each stack. The group operation in $\mathscr{F}$ is thus clear. Given $Y_{k, \rho}, Y_{k, \rho^{\prime}}$ one defines

$$
Y_{k, \rho}+Y_{k, \rho^{\prime}}:=Y_{k, \rho \rho^{\prime}}
$$

We have that $Y_{k, \rho \rho^{\prime}}=Y_{k, \rho}$ if, and only if, $\left[\rho \rho^{\prime}\right]=\left[\rho^{\prime}\right]$, i.e., $\rho$ is equivalent to the left multiplication representation. From Theorem 5.8 , one concludes that the neutral element in $\mathscr{F}$ is the class $\left[V_{k}\right]$.
5.4. Chen-Ruan cohomology of the Fano stacks $V_{k, \rho}$. A cohomology theory specifically designed for orbifold structures is termed "Chen-Ruan cohomology," as detailed in [23]. Chen and Ruan approached the problem of understanding orbifold cohomology from the sigma-model/quantum cohomology perspective, where the central entity is the space of morphisms from Riemann surfaces to a fixed target orbifold. In Appendix Be introduce the basics of twisted sectors, as presented in Chapter 4.1 of [4], and provide an overview of Chen-Ruan cohomology.

In this section, we deal with stacks $Y=[X / G]$ where $X=\operatorname{Proj} A$, for $A$ the coordinate ring of the Brieskorn-Pham singularity $f=u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}$ and $G$ is a finite group. We aim to compute the Chen-Ruan cohomology of $Y$ in full generality. We prove
Theorem 5.12. Let $X=\operatorname{Proj} A$ where $A$ is the coordinate ring of $f=u^{6 k-1}+v^{3}+z_{0}^{2}+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}$. Let $G$ be any finite group acting effectively on $X$ and denote its corresponding stack by $Y=[X / G]$. Let
(a) $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{4}\right)=(6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1))$
(b) $\{I\}$ be the collection of all non-empty multi-indexes of $\{0, \ldots, 4\}$
(c) for each multi-index $I, V_{I}=\left\{\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{5}: x_{i} \neq 0\right.$ for $\left.i \in I\right\}$

Then the Chen-Ruan cohomology $H_{\mathrm{orb}}^{*}(Y)$ is completely determined in terms of twisted form, $\sqrt{4}^{4}$ by the following data

$$
\bigcup_{\text {I multi-index of }\{0, \ldots, 4\}, V_{I} \cap S^{9} \neq \emptyset}\left\{\prod_{i \notin I}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\xi}_{i}}{a_{i}}\right) \prod_{i \in I}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\xi}_{i}}{a_{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Arg} \lambda^{a_{i}}}: \lambda \in \mathrm{S}^{1}\right\}
$$

where $\widetilde{\xi}_{i}$ is $G$-equivariant Chern class of the line bundle defined by $V_{I} \cap S^{9} / G$.

[^4]Proof. We adapt Example 5.6 to the proof in [4]. As the first observation, note that $X$ is a hypersurface of degree $\ell=6(6 k-1)$ in $\mathbb{P}(6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1))$. Using every weighted projective space is isomorphic as a variety to a well-formed projective space; it holds that there exists $\left(\widetilde{a}_{0}, \widetilde{a}_{1}, \widetilde{a}_{2}, \widetilde{a}_{3}, \widetilde{a}_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
(a) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\widetilde{a}_{i}, \widetilde{a}_{j}\right)=1$ if $i \neq j$
(b) $\mathbb{P}(6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1)) \cong \mathbb{P}\left(\widetilde{a}_{0}, \widetilde{a}_{1}, \widetilde{a}_{2}, \widetilde{a}_{3}, \widetilde{a}_{4}\right) \cong \mathbb{P}^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{\widetilde{a}_{0}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\widetilde{a}_{1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\widetilde{a}_{2}} \times$ $\mathbb{Z}_{\widetilde{a}_{3}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\widetilde{a}_{4}}$ where $\mathbb{Z}_{\widetilde{a}_{0}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\widetilde{a}_{1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\widetilde{a}_{2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\widetilde{a}_{3}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\widetilde{a}_{4}}$ acts diagonally.
Throughout, we let the corresponding action be written as $\rho(\lambda):=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda \widetilde{a}_{0}, \ldots, \lambda^{\widetilde{a}_{4}}\right), \lambda \in S^{1}$. We can assume that there exists a weighted homogeneous polynomial $\widetilde{f}$ with weights $\left(\widetilde{a}_{0}, \ldots, \widetilde{a}_{4}\right)$ defining an isolated singularity at the origin whose singularity link $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(0) \cap S^{9}=L$ fibers over $X$. Our first step is to compute the Chen-Ruan cohomology of $L$.

For each $\lambda \in \mathrm{S}^{1}$ one can decompose $\mathbb{C}^{5}=V_{\lambda} \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} V_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ where $V_{\lambda}$ is the subspace of $V$ invariant by $\lambda$. If $V_{\lambda} \neq\{0\}$ the quotient space $V^{\lambda} \backslash\{0\} / \rho(\lambda)$ parameterizes the twisted sector indexed by $\lambda$. Consequently, the Chen-Ruan cohomology of $\mathbb{P}\left(\widetilde{a}_{0}, \ldots, \widetilde{a}_{4}\right)$ is completely determined (as a group) as

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\text {orb }}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\widetilde{a}_{0}, \ldots, \widetilde{a}_{4}\right)\right)=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{S}^{1}, V_{\lambda} \neq\{0\}} \mathrm{H}^{*}\left(V_{\lambda} \backslash\{0\} / \rho(\lambda)\right)
$$

Let $I \subset\{0,1, \ldots, 4\}$. The spaces $V_{I}:=\left\{\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{5}: z_{i}=0\right.$ for $\left.i \in I\right\}$ are invariant subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{5}$. Thus, the following is a well-defined map $\rho \mid I: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}\left(V_{I}\right)$. Varying $I$ may collect every possible twisted sector. Let $L_{I}:=V_{I} \cap \mathrm{~S}^{9}$ and consider the respective $\rho \mid I: \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}\left(L_{I}\right)$ if $L_{I} \neq \emptyset$.

For each $i \in I$, let $\xi_{i}$ be the Chern class of the line bundle defined by $L_{I} / \rho_{I}$. Assume that $V_{\lambda}=V_{I}$. The twisted factor (Definition B.7) associated with the twisted sector parameterized by $\lambda$ is a multiple of

$$
\prod_{i \in I}\left(\frac{\xi_{i}}{\widetilde{a}_{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Arg}\left(\lambda^{\tilde{a}_{i}}\right)} \text { if } L_{I} \neq \emptyset
$$

Otherwise, we let $(1)^{\frac{1}{2 \pi}} \operatorname{Arg} \lambda$ and treat this as $\lambda$.
To determine the Chen-Ruan cohomology of $Y$ from the former description, collect only $I$ in which $G$-restricts to an action in $L_{I}$. For such $I s$, one considers the equivariant cohomologies $\mathrm{H}_{G}^{*}\left(L_{I}\right)$. Then

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{orb}}^{*}(Y)=\bigoplus_{I} \mathrm{H}_{G}^{*}\left(L_{I}\right)
$$

Consequently, [4, Example 5.6, p.113] gives us that the desired Chen-Ruan cohomology is determined by

$$
\bigcup_{I \text { multi-index of }\{0,1, \ldots, 4\}}\left\{\prod_{i \notin I}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\xi}_{i}}{\widetilde{a}_{i}}\right) \prod_{i \in I}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\xi}_{i}}{\widetilde{a}_{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Arg} \lambda^{\tilde{a}_{i}}}: \lambda \in S^{1}\right\}
$$

where $\widetilde{\xi}_{i}$ are $G$-equivariant Chern classes of the line bundles defined by $L_{I} / G$. Returning $\left(\widetilde{a}_{0}, \ldots, \widetilde{a}_{4}\right)$ to the original coefficients

$$
\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{4}\right)=(6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1))
$$

one concludes desired.

## 6. tmf, K3-SURFACES, AND STABLY FRAMED BORDISMS

The purpose of this section is to propose connections between the theory of topological modular forms, shortly tmf $([61,62,78,79])$, and the Fano orbifolds obtained in the former section.
6.1. Topological modular forms and homotopy Hopf manifolds. Any element in $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ can be seen as a map $S^{3} \rightarrow S^{3}$ and the homotopy group $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(4))$ consists of homotopy classes of maps $S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$. Therefore, we can see any element in $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(4))$ as a map $t: \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{3}$. Whitehead's $J$ homomorphism [69, Section 6.3, p.184]

$$
J: \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(4)) \rightarrow \pi_{7}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{4}\right)
$$

sends each $t$ to the map $J t: S^{3} \vee S^{4} \rightarrow S^{4}$ obtained via the Hopf construction, where $\vee$ stands for the wedge sum. This construction can be inducted, furnishing families of $J$-homomorphism

$$
J: \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(n)) \rightarrow \pi_{3+n}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}
$$

The limit of $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives the respective $J$-homomorphism in stable homotopy theory

$$
J: \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}) \rightarrow \pi_{3}^{S}
$$

where SO is the infinite special orthogonal group, and $\pi_{3}^{S} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ is the third stable stem of the stable homotopy group of spheres, see [1].

In [61], Hopkins establishes a relation between the Hopf fibration $S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$ and the constant term $\frac{1}{12}$ in the Fourier expansion of the Weierstrass $\wp$-function

$$
\wp(z, \tau) d z^{2}=\left(\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^{s} u}{\left(1-q^{s} u\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{12}-2 \sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{q^{s}}{\left(1-q^{s}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{d u}{u}\right)^{2}
$$

where $u=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i z}}, q=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} \tau}$. We elaborate on Hopkins' argumentation, establishing a similar relation for homotopy Hopf manifolds. His observation comes from the following. If $S^{0}$ stem for the sphere spectrum - 61, Section 3.2], then the cyclic group $\pi_{3}^{S} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ is generated by the Hopf fibration, see [1].

Proposition 6.1. The Hopf fibration coincides with $J_{10}$ for $t_{10}: S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$ defined as $t_{1} 0(x) v:=$ $x v, v \in \mathbb{H}$. The two cell-complex resulting is identified with $\frac{1}{12}$ via tmf-theory.
Proof. We learned from Section 3.1 that Hopf fibration can be described as the Milnor bundle $M_{1,0}:=\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \cup_{f_{10}} \mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3}$ where $t_{10}$ as in the hypothesis. Lemma 10.1 in [1] shows that $J t_{10}$ coincides with the bundle $\pi: M_{1,0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{4}$. Comparing the two-cell complex resulting from $J t_{10}$ given in Section 10, p. 51 in [1] with p. 295 in [61] concludes the claim on the tmf-theory correlation.

We now use Lemma 4.10 to understand the image of $J$ better. Consider the open sets $U_{0}:=\mathrm{S}^{4} \backslash$ $\{N\} \mathrm{D}^{4}, \mathrm{~S}^{4} \backslash\{S\}$ where $N, S$ stands to the North and South pole in $\mathrm{S}^{4}$, respectively, and assume an existing $S^{3}$-action in $S^{4}$. Let $S^{3}=U_{0} \cap U_{1}$ and consider applications $\beta: S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4), \gamma: \mathrm{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$ assumed to satisfy Lemma 2.4 for the induced $S^{3}$-action in $U_{0} \cap U_{1}$. Let $S^{7} \subset \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$. We define $J \gamma: S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$ by

$$
J \gamma(x, y):=\gamma\left(\frac{y}{|y|}\right) \exp _{(1,0)}(\pi x)
$$

for $x \in U_{0} \cap U_{1} \cong S^{3}, y \in U_{0}$ or $U_{1},(1,0) \in S^{7}$ where $\exp$ is the standard Riemannian exponential map for $S^{7}$ seen as a submanifold of $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$. As before, $\mathbb{H}$ stands for the quaternions.

Let $\mathscr{P} \rightarrow S^{4}$ the $\star$-bundle defined from $\beta$ and consider the pullback $\star$-diagram $J \gamma^{*} \mathscr{P} \rightarrow S^{7}$. Suppose the $S^{3}$-action restricts to $U_{0} \cap U_{1}$ and preserves the round metric in $S^{3}$. We can check that if $\star$ stands to the action given in Proposition 2.6 then

$$
J \gamma^{*} \mathscr{P} / \star \cong \Sigma^{7}(\beta, \gamma) \in \operatorname{Im} \sigma_{3,3},
$$

i.e., $J \gamma^{*} / \star \in \theta^{7}$. Once $\sigma_{3,3}$ an epimorphism, Proposition 3.2 ensures that

Theorem 6.2. For each Milnor sphere $M_{m, 1-m}$ there exist a $S^{3}$-action $\star$ in $S^{4}$ and homomorphisms classes $\beta, \gamma \in \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(4))$ yielding a principal bundle $J \gamma^{*} \mathrm{~S}^{7}$ such that

$$
J \gamma^{*} S^{7} / \star \cong M_{m, 1-m}
$$

Consequently, after a logarithmic transformation, we can associate (via tmf) $M_{m, 1-m}$ to the constant $\frac{1}{12}$ in the Fourier expansion of the Weierstrass $\wp-$ function

$$
\wp(z, \tau) d z^{2}=\left(\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{q^{s} u}{\left(1-q^{s} u\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{12}-2 \sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{q^{s}}{\left(1-q^{s}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{d u}{u}\right)^{2}
$$

where $u=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} z}, q=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} \tau}$. I.e., there exists a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}: M_{m, 1-m} \xrightarrow{\text { log. transform }} M_{1,0} \cong \mathrm{~S}^{7} \mapsto\left[h: \mathrm{S}^{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{4}\right] \in \pi_{3}^{S} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Proposition 6.1 teaches us that the Hopf fibration coincides with $J t_{10}$ for $t_{10}: \mathrm{S}^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(4)$ defined as $t_{1} 0(x) v:=x v, v \in \mathbb{H}$. Proposition 3.2 ensures us that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can build a $\star$ diagram $\mathscr{P}_{-1} \cong M_{1,0} \cong \mathrm{~S}^{7} \leftarrow \pi_{-1}^{*}\left(M_{m, 0}\right) \rightarrow M_{m,-1}$. For $m=2$ we have that $M_{2,-1}$ coincides with the image of $(2,1)$ under $\sigma_{3,3}: \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \otimes \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \rightarrow \theta^{7}$. In general, the image of any pair of integers ( $m, m-1$ ) under $\sigma_{3,3}$ can be shown to be the Milnor sphere $M_{m, 1-m}$ and is in correspondence with choices of $\star$-actions on $S^{4}$ and maps $J \gamma$.

Observe that $J \gamma$ is related to the logarithmic transformation in Proposition4.12 In this manner, for a given $M_{m, 1-m}$, we can associate via logarithmic transformation (or oriented (non-principal) spherical T-duality) the bundle $M_{1,0} \cong S^{7}$. Since the Milnor bundle $\pi: S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$ is precisely the Hopf bundle, mapping $S^{7}$ to its corresponding class in $\pi_{3}^{S}$ concludes desired because this former is mapped in $\frac{1}{12}$.

We have seen in Section 5that different homotopy Hopf manifolds define different fibrations over different Fano orbifolds. Our next goal is to learn the relation between the obtained Fano orbifolds with Theorem6.2.

Theorem 6.3. Consider the standard fibration

$$
\mathrm{T}^{2} \hookrightarrow W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow V_{k} \subset \mathbb{P}(6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1))
$$

given by diagram (5.5). Then, for 16 of the 28 homotopy Hopf manifolds in dimension 8 , the tmf theory identifies $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1}$ with $\frac{1}{12}$. Moreover,
(i) there is a constant map from the subset of $\sigma_{\text {Milnor }}^{8} \subset \sigma^{8}$ consisting in homotopy Hopf manifolds with 7-dimension factor a Milnor sphere

$$
\sigma_{\text {Milnor }}^{8} \rightarrow \pi_{3}^{S}
$$

obtained from the composing

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{\text {Milnor }}^{8} \stackrel{\mathrm{p}_{1}}{\rightarrow} \theta^{7} \xrightarrow{\mathscr{L}} \pi_{3}^{S} \\
M_{m, 1-m} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \mapsto M_{m, 1-m} \mapsto \mathrm{~S}^{7} \mapsto\left[\mathrm{~S}^{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}^{4}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\operatorname{tmf}$ identifies its image with $\frac{1}{12}$, we can attribute to it the numerical value $\frac{1}{12}$.
(ii) every element $M_{m, 1-m} \times S^{1} \in \sigma^{8}$ defines a fibration onto $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ up to a logarithmic transformation.

Proof. (i) Since we are picking $k$ such that $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$ admits a realization as a $S^{3}$-bundle over $S^{4}$, there is $m=m(k)$ such that $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}=M_{m(k), 1-m(k)}$, i.e., $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$ is the total space of a Milnor bundle. Applying a logarithmic transformation, we can map $M_{m(k), 1-m(k)}$ in $\mathrm{S}^{7}$. Since as Milnor bundles, this is the total space of the Hopf fibration $\pi: S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$, mapping $\pi$ to the class $\left[S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}\right]$ finishes the proof.
(ii) Observe that since we can associate via logarithmic transformation any $M_{m, 1-m}$ to $\mathrm{S}^{7}$, we can map any homotopy Hopf $M_{m(k), 1-m(k)} \times S^{1}$ in $S^{7} \times S^{1}$. Since $S^{7} \times S^{1}$ defines a $T^{2}$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ via the Hopf fibration $S^{1} \hookrightarrow S^{7} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$, one concludes desired.

Let $B O\langle 8\rangle$ be the seven connected cover of $B$ Spin and $M O\langle 8\rangle$ be its Thom spectrum [61, Section 3.2]. According to [61, Theorem 6.25], for any multiplicative map $M O\langle 8\rangle \rightarrow \operatorname{tmf}$ whose underlying genus is the Witten genus, the induced map of homotopy groups $\pi_{*} M O\langle 8\rangle \rightarrow \pi_{*}$ tmf is surjective. Since $\pi_{*}$ tmf is isomorphic to $\pi_{*} S^{0}$, we believe to be possible to show

Conjecture 6.4. There is a numerical map

$$
N: \sigma^{8} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

which is the constant $\frac{1}{12}$ in $\sigma_{\text {Milnor }}^{8}$.
Conjecture 6.5. (i) The map

$$
\mathscr{L} \circ \mathrm{p}_{1}: \sigma^{8} \rightarrow \pi_{3}^{S}
$$

in Theorem Minduces a map

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{3}: \pi_{3} M O\langle 8\rangle \rightarrow \pi_{3}^{S}
$$

that can be seen as a factor of the ring isomorphism $\pi_{*} \operatorname{tmf} \cong \pi_{*} S^{0}$.
(ii) Restricting the domain of $\mathfrak{L}_{3}$ properly, it can be identified (as a constant function) to the term $\frac{1}{12}$ in the Fourier expansion of the $\wp$-Weierstrass function.
(iii) For each positive integer $n$ there exists a map $\mathfrak{L}_{n}: \pi_{n} M O\langle 8\rangle \rightarrow \pi_{n}^{S}$ which we can associate to some coefficient in the Fourier expansion of Weierstrass $\wp-$-function.

The numerical map $N$ is conjectured to play a role related to understanding better the relation between logarithmic transformations, homotopy Hopf manifolds, and some Fano orbifold stacks:

Conjecture 6.6. Given a homotopy Hopf manifold $X \in \sigma^{8}$, if we can not associate $X$ with the number $\frac{1}{12}$ in the Fourier expansion of the $\wp$-Weierstrass function, then
(i) either $X$ is the image under $\tilde{\sigma}$ of an element in $(m, 1-m, l) \in \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \otimes \pi_{3} \mathrm{SO}(3) \otimes \pi_{1} \mathrm{SO}(2)$ with $l \neq 1$
(ii) or $X=\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ for $\Sigma^{7} \in \theta^{7}$ a homotopy seven sphere that admits no realization as the total space of a $\mathrm{S}^{3}$-bundle over $\mathrm{S}^{4}$, i.e., $\Sigma^{7}$ is not the total space of a Milnor bundle.

In the next section, we investigate the third stable homotopy group of spheres. We offer a geometric interpretation by identifying the lifting of K3 surfaces from some stacks to the total space of homotopy Hopf manifolds.
6.2. A geometric interpretation of the third stable homotopy group of spheres. The third stable homotopy group of spheres (the third stable stem) is the cyclic group of order 24:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{3}^{S} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24} \\
{\left[h_{H}\right] \mapsto[1]}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the generator $[1] \in \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ is represented by the quaternionic Hopf fibration $S^{7} \xrightarrow{h_{H}} S^{4}$.
Under the Pontrjagin-Thom isomorphism, we can identify the stable homotopy groups of spheres with the bordism ring $\Omega_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ of stably framed manifolds with generator $\left[h_{\mathbb{H}}\right]$ being represented by the 3sphere (with its left-invariant framing induced from the identification with the Lie group $\mathrm{SU}(2) \cong \mathrm{S}^{3}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{3}^{S} & \cong \Omega_{3}^{\mathrm{fr}} \\
{\left[h_{\mathbb{H}}\right] } & \leftrightarrow\left[\mathrm{S}^{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The relation $24\left[S^{3}\right] \cong 0$ is represented by the bordism given by the complement of 24 open balls inside the K3-manifold. We sketch this fact next.

Proposition 6.7. The relation $24\left[\mathrm{~S}^{3}\right]=0,\left[\mathrm{~S}^{3}\right] \in \Omega_{3}^{\mathrm{fr}}$, is represented by the bordism which is the complement of 24 open balls inside the K3 surface.

Sketch of the proof. Recalling that the K3 surface is an almost Hyperkahler manifold, i.e., its tangent bundle admits a reduction to a $S^{3}=S U(2)$ vector bundle, it admits three different complex structures $I, J$, and $K$ which generate a quaternionic algebra. Given a vector field $v$ in K 3 , the set of vector fields

$$
(v, I v, J v, K v)
$$

define a frame in the support of supp $v$. Being the Euler characteristic of $\chi(K 3)=24$, cutting out 24 solid balls from K3 furnishes a manifold $X$ with boundary $\partial X=\cup_{i=1}^{24} S^{3} \times\{i\}$, i.e., 24 copies of the 3 -sphere. One readily checks that $\chi(X)=0$, since that balls can be chosen so that their center is isolated singularities for a vector field $v$ in K3. In this manner, $X$ admits a non-vanishing vector field whose boundary component restriction' is the inward-pointing normal vector field at each boundary component. Once the collection $\{v, I v, J v, K v\}$ yields a framing in $X$, their boundary component restrictions constitute the Lie group framing to each of this $S^{3}$. Summarizing, we derive that $24\left[\mathrm{~S}^{3}\right]=0$ where $\left[\mathrm{S}^{3}\right] \in \Omega_{3}^{\mathrm{fr}}$.

One of our main goals in this section relies upon the former proposition joined with the fact that Thom-Pontryagin establishes an association between $\left[h_{\mathbb{H}}\right] \in \pi_{3}^{S}$ and $\left[\mathrm{S}^{3}\right] \in \Omega_{3}^{\mathrm{fr}}$, to associate the (class of the) Hopf map to the K3-surface. We proceed in this direction.

Consider the fibrations

$$
\mathrm{T}^{2} \hookrightarrow W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \xrightarrow{\pi} V_{k} \subset \mathbb{P}(6,2(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1), 3(6 k-1)),
$$

where $V_{k}$ is a Fano orbifold, introduced in Section [5] Fano orbifolds have many K3 surfaces embedded in them, which we will describe next. Let $-K$ be the anticanonical bundle over $V_{k}$. The zero sets for the bundle sections $\pi_{K}:-K \rightarrow V_{k}$ give us plenty of K3 surfaces. Now let us pullback $-K$ to $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$, realizing the diagram

where

$$
\pi^{*}(-K)=\left\{(x, v) \in W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \times-K: \pi(x)=\pi_{K}(v)\right\}
$$

Each section $s \in C^{\infty}(-K)$ is a map $s: V_{k} \rightarrow-K$ so we can define the pullingback for this sections by $s \circ \pi: W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1} \rightarrow \pi^{*}(-K)$. We pose the question
Question 1. Is it true that the zeroes of the pulled-back sections $\left\{s \circ \pi: W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times S^{1} \rightarrow \pi^{*}(-K)\right\}$ are embedded K3-surfaces in $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ ?

We answer the former question for $k=1$.
Theorem 6.8. Consider the fibration $\mathrm{T}^{2} \hookrightarrow W_{5,3}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \cong \mathrm{~S}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \rightarrow V_{1} \subset \mathbb{P}(6,10,15,15,15)$. Then $V_{1} \cong \mathbb{P}(6,10,15,15)$ and there exists a family of K3-surfaces embedded in $\mathrm{S}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$.

Proof. Recall that the Hopf fibration

$$
S^{1} \hookrightarrow S^{7} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^{3}
$$

can be described via the embedding of $S^{7}$ as the unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^{8} \cong \mathbb{C}^{4}$. Moreover, $\mathbb{P}^{3}=$ $\left(\mathbb{C}^{4} \backslash 0\right) /\left(z \sim \lambda z^{\prime}\right)_{\lambda \neq 0}$ and project $z \in S^{7}$ to the $\mathbb{C}$-linear subspace $[z] \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$.

The fiber over $[z] \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is $\left\{z^{\prime} \in S^{7} \mid \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right.$ s.t. $\left.z^{\prime}=\lambda z\right\}$; but since $|z|=\left|z^{\prime}\right|=1$, then $|\lambda|=1$ so $\lambda$ is in the unit circle of $\mathbb{C}$. Since the action to define $V_{1}$ as quotient space is just an appropriate modification of that, to know, via

$$
\lambda * z:=\left(\lambda^{6} z_{0}, \lambda^{10} z_{1}, \lambda^{15} z_{2}, \lambda^{15} z_{3}\right)
$$

one concludes that $V_{1} \cong \mathbb{P}(6,10,15,15)$.
Now recall that associated with the Hopf fibration, there exist coordinate charts $\left\{U_{i}\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{S}^{7} \times\left.\mathrm{S}^{1}\right|_{\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)} \cong U_{i} \times \mathrm{T}^{2}
$$

From the point of view of differential topology, every K3 is diffeomorphic to the quartic

$$
\left\{x^{4}+y^{4}+z^{4}+w^{4}=0,[x: y: z: w] \in \mathbb{P}^{3}\right\}=: \mathrm{K} 3 .
$$

Consider the sets $V_{i}:=\mathrm{K} 3 \cap U_{i}$. Then $\cup_{i} \pi^{-1}\left(V_{i}\right) \stackrel{\text { homeomorphic }}{\cong} \mathrm{K} 3 \times \mathrm{T}^{2} \subset \mathrm{~S}^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$, concluding the proof.

Remark 6.9 (Remarks for general $k \in\{1, \ldots, 28\}$ ). For general $k$, the long exact sequence for a fibration gives

$$
\ldots \rightarrow \pi_{m}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{m}\left(W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{m}\left(V_{k}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{m-1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \rightarrow \ldots
$$

Once for any $m>2$ it holds that $\pi_{m-1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{1}\right) \cong \pi_{m}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{1}\right)=0$ one gets

$$
\ldots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \pi_{m}\left(W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{m}\left(V_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \ldots
$$

thus $\pi_{k}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{7}\right) \cong \pi_{k}\left(V_{1}\right)$ for $k>2$.
If we pick $m=1$, one gets

$$
\ldots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(V_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

thus, $\pi_{1}\left(V_{1}\right) \cong 0$. One concludes that $V_{1}$ has the same homotopy type as $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Since we know the existence of fake projective spaces, we can not directly determine the homeomorphism type of $V_{k}$ for $k>1$.

Connecting Theorems 6.3, 6.8, we are tempted to re-pose Question 1 in a way that may provide a geometric description of $\pi_{3}^{S}$. Moreover, following remark 6.9 on $V_{k}$ being a fake projective space, we ask

Question 2. Is it true that Question 1 has a positive answer only for 16 values of $k$, the ones in correspondence with the diffeomorphism type of $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$ compatible with a $S^{3}$-bundle over $S^{4}$ realization? Being this true, is it also true that the corresponding $V_{k}$ is homomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ ?

The main goal in the paper [16] is to provide the existence of Einstein metrics on homotopy spheres. They do this by searching for the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on the Fano orbifolds $V$ obtained as orbit spaces for the weighted $S^{1}$-action in $W_{6 k-1,3}^{7}$ described above. As we can readily check, their results do not ensure the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on our Fano orbifolds described above (see Theorem 34 in [16, p.572]). Nevertheless, in the case $k=1$, we have that

$$
W_{6(1)-1,3}^{7} \stackrel{\text { diffeomorphic }}{\cong} \mathrm{S}^{7}
$$

Theorem 6.8 ensures that the Fano orbifold, in this case, is a weighted projective $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, which has Kähler-Einstein metric.

We propose possible relations between the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on such a Fano orbifold $V$ obtained above, which relates to their homomorphism type.

Question 3. Is it true that the Fano orbifold $V_{k}$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric if, and only if, $V_{k}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ ?

## 7. GLUING INDUCED COMPLEX STRUCTURES ON SOME HOMOTOPY HOPF MANIFOLDS

Recall that for the homotopy Hopf manifolds $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ with $\Sigma^{7} \in \theta^{7}$ of the form $M_{m, 1-m}$ we have the possible description as straightening angles

$$
\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} \cup_{\left(f_{m 1-m}, \mathrm{Id}\right)} \mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}
$$

In this section, we want to relate complex structures on $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ with that on $S^{3} \times S^{1}$. To achieve this, we first give proper sense to complex structures in $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ coming from $D^{4} \times S^{3} \times S^{1}$. As a primary reference, we point [96]. The main result in this section is the following.
Theorem 7.1. For each $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$ with $\Sigma^{7}=M_{m, 1-m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an injection

$$
\tilde{\mathscr{G}}: \mathscr{C} \mathscr{R}\left(S^{3}\right) \times \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{\Sigma}
$$

where $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{R}\left(\mathrm{S}^{3}\right)$ is the moduli space of $C R$ structures in $\mathrm{S}^{3}$ and $\mathscr{H}$ the moduli space of complex structures in $\mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$, to the moduli space $\mathscr{M}_{\Sigma}$ of complex structures in the homotopy Hopf manifold $\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$. Moreover, the moduli space $\mathscr{M}_{\Sigma}$ is non-discrete.

We proceed to make proper sense of the statement of Theorem 7.1 quickly recalling some concepts on complex manifolds with boundary and CR structures. We mostly follow [57, 96].
Definition 7.2 (Complex manifolds with boundary, Section 1 in [57]). A holomorphic structure on a compact manifold $\bar{M}^{2 n}$ with boundary is
(i) a collection of open sets $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ covering $\bar{M}$
(ii) a collection of maps $\left\{\psi_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}\right\}$, where $\psi_{i} \circ \psi_{j}^{-1}$ are diffeomorphisms (in the domains where the compositions make sense) that are biholomorphisms in their interior's domain, and $\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right)$ is either an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ or $\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right)$ is of the form $\{z \in U: f(z) \leq 0\}, U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ for $f$ a $C^{\infty}$-submersion.
Remark 7.3 (Complex manifolds with an abstract boundary, Section 2 in [57]). One says that a given smooth manifold with boundary $\bar{M}^{2 n}$ carries an almost complex structure $J$ which is integrable up to the boundary, if there exists vector bundle $\mathscr{H} \subset T M^{\mathbb{C}}$ (the complexified tangent bundle of $M$ ) over $M$ with $n$-dimension complex fibers such that $\mathscr{H}_{x} \cap \overline{\mathscr{H}_{x}}=\{0\}$ for each $x \in M$ and for each two-sections $P, Q \in \Gamma(\mathscr{H})$ it holds that $[P, Q] \in \Gamma(\mathscr{H})$. A complex manifold with such a structure is termed complex manifold with an abstract boundary.

Inherited by the notion of complex manifolds with boundary (Definition 7.2), there exist $n$ complex-valued vector fields $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}$ in $M$, given in holomorphic coordinate charts by

$$
P_{k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}+\sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}\right), \quad k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

such that
(a) $\left\{P_{k}\right\} \cup\left\{\overline{P_{k}}\right\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$
(b) for each $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ there exist smooth complex-valued functions $\left\{c_{i} j^{k}\right\}$ such that $\left[P_{i}, P_{j}\right]=$ $\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} c_{i j}^{k} P_{k}$.
Thus, complex manifolds with a boundary can always be seen as complex manifolds with an abstract boundary. We could ask whether the structure of a complex manifold with an abstract boundary extends to that of a complex manifold with a boundary. This holds whenever $\bar{M}^{2 n}$ is regarded with an integrable, almost complex structure that is real analytic up to the boundary; see Theorem 5 in [57].
Definition 7.4 ((Almost) CR structure, Section 1 in [96]). Let $\bar{M}^{2 n}$ be a smooth manifold with smooth boundary $\partial \bar{M}$. An almost $C R$ structure on $\partial \bar{M}$ is a $2 n-2$-dimension subbundle $E$ of the tangent bundle $T \partial \bar{M}$ with an automorphism $J \in \Gamma \operatorname{Hom}(E)^{\sqrt{5}}$ such that $J^{2}=-\mathrm{Id}$.

[^5]Consider the complexified bundle $E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}:=\bar{M} \times \mathbb{C}$, and extend $J$ to be $\mathbb{C}$-linear in the usual manner. Let $E^{\prime}:=\operatorname{ker}(J-\sqrt{-1} \mathrm{Id}), E^{\prime \prime}:=\operatorname{ker}(J+\sqrt{-1} \mathrm{Id})$.
Definition 7.5. An almost $C R$ structure $J$ on $\partial \bar{M}$ is said to be a $C R$ structure if $\left[E^{\prime \prime}, E^{\prime \prime}\right] \subset E^{\prime \prime}$.
Definition 7.6 (CR isomorphism). Let $\partial \bar{M}_{1}, \partial \bar{M}_{2}$ to closed manifolds bounding $2 n$-complex manifolds with boundary $\bar{M}_{1}, \bar{M}_{2}$. We say that there exists a CR-isomorphism between $\partial \bar{M}_{1}, \partial \bar{M}_{2}$ if there exists a smooth map between the representing CR bundles $E_{1}, E_{2}, f: \partial \bar{M}_{1} \rightarrow \partial \bar{M}_{2}$ such
(a) $\mathrm{d} f E_{1} \subset E_{2}$
(b) $\mathrm{d} f \circ J_{1}=J_{2} \circ \mathrm{~d} f$.

Lemma 7.7 (p. 228 in [96]). Let $\bar{M}^{2 n}$ be a compact complex manifold with a boundary. Then, the boundary $\partial \bar{M}$ admits a CR structure induced from $\bar{M}$.

Sketch of the proof. In a local $C^{\infty}$-boundary coordinate chart, one can take a suitable linear combination of $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ (such as that given in Remark 7.3) given by $\left\{\widetilde{P}_{k}\right\}_{k=1, \ldots, n}$ with $\left\{\widetilde{P}_{k}\right\}_{\{k=1, \ldots, n-1\}}$ tanget to $\partial M$ at points $x \in \partial M$. Taking the brackets $\left[\left.\widetilde{P}_{i}\right|_{\partial M},\left.\widetilde{P}_{j}\right|_{\partial M}\right]$, the standard Frobenius theorem ensures the existence of the required $E$. The distinguished section $J_{E}$ is obtained by restricting $J$ to $E$ and can be determined computing $J$ in the elements $\left\{\left.\widetilde{P}_{k}\right|_{\partial M}\right\}$.

Let $X_{0}:=\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$. We claim to be possible to regard $X_{0}$ as a complex manifold, thus constituting a complex manifold with a boundary with an induced CR structure in $S^{3} \times S^{1}$. The first step is to observe that there exists a unique (up to diffeomorphism) smooth structure of manifold with boundary in $X_{0}$ whose boundary is a smooth realization of $\partial X_{0}:=S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{1}$.
Lemma 7.8. $\mathrm{D}^{4}$ is a complex manifold with boundary.
Proof. The disc $\mathrm{D}^{4}$ can be described as $\left\{q \in \mathbb{H}:|q|^{2} \leq 1\right\}$, where $\mathbb{H}$ stands to the quaternions. As a complex manifold description of it, we can identify $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ (as $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces). Thus, $\mathrm{D}^{4} \cong$ $\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:|z|^{2}+|w|^{2} \leq 1\right\}$. Take $f(z, w):=|z|^{2}+|w|^{2}-1$. Then $\mathrm{D}^{4}=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: f(z, w) \leq 0\right\}$. Since $f$ is a $C^{\infty}$-submersion, one gets desired.

Lemmas 7.7 and 7.8 imply
Corollary 7.9. The boundary $\mathrm{S}^{3}=\partial \mathrm{D}^{4}$ admits a CR structure.
Lemma 7.10 below ensures that the holomorphic charts making $\mathrm{D}^{4}$ a complex manifold with boundary is essentially constrained by $C R$ structures in $\partial D^{4}=S^{3}$.
Lemma 7.10 (Theorem 2.1 in [96]). The moduli space of complex structures (for manifolds with boundary) in $\mathrm{D}^{4}$, up to biholomorphism, is in one-to-one correspondence with that of $C R$ structures, up to $C R$ isomorphisms, in $\mathrm{S}^{3}$.

Once Lemma 7.10 in hand, we prove:
Proposition 7.11. There is an injective map $\mathscr{G}$

$$
\mathscr{G}: \mathscr{C} \mathscr{R}\left(\mathrm{S}^{3}\right) \times \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}}
$$

where $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{R}\left(\mathrm{S}^{3}\right)$ is the moduli space of $C$ structures in $\mathrm{S}^{3}$ and $\mathscr{H}$ is the moduli space of holomorphic structures in $H=\mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$, to to the moduli space $\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}}$ of complex structure (as a complex manifold with boundary) of $\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$.
Proof. As the first step, we show how to induce a complex manifold with boundary structure in $D^{4} \times S^{3} \times S^{1}$ once given complex structures (for manifold with boundary and not) in $D^{4}$ and $S^{3} \times S^{1}$.

For simplicity, we initially assume given the holomorphic structure (single chart) in $\mathrm{D}^{4}$ presented in Lemma 7.8 and a holomorphic structure $\left\{\left(V_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)\right\}$ in $S^{3} \times S^{1}$. To build a complex structure in the manifold with boundary $\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ we consider the coordinate open sets $\left\{\mathrm{D}^{4} \times V_{i}\right\}$ and coordinate
charts $\Psi_{i}(x, y):=\left(\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), \phi_{i}\right)$ where $x \in\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:|z|^{2} \leq 1\right\}, y \in \mathrm{~S}^{3} \times S^{1}$. Once the images $\phi_{i}\left(V_{i}\right)$ are open in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, it is only left to verify that the transition functions are biholomorphisms. But this is immediate since they are given as $\Psi_{i} \circ \Psi_{j}^{-1}\left(\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), w\right)=\left(\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), \phi_{i} \circ \phi_{j}^{-1}(w)\right), w \in$ $\phi_{i}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$. To the general case, pick an altas $\mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{D}^{4}}$ in $\mathrm{D}^{4}$ making this a complex manifold with a boundary. Separe the coordinate sets in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{D}^{4}}^{\text {in }} \cup \mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{D}^{4}}^{\text {out }}$ where the superscripts in, out designate open subsets in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ or sets of the form $\{z \in \mathbb{C}: f(z) \leq 0\}=:[f \leq 0]$ for $f$ a smooth submersion, respectively. Given the atlas $\left\{\left(V_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)\right\}$ in $\mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$, we take as new coordinate sets to $\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ the collection $\left\{V_{i} \times U_{i}, U_{i} \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{D}^{4}}^{\text {in }}\right\} \cup\left\{V_{i} \times[f \leq 0]\right\},[f \leq 0] \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{D}^{4}}^{\text {out }}$. Choosing diffeomorphisms between each $[f \leq 0]$ with $\mathrm{D}^{4}$ as described in the first case, a simple adaptation ensures the result.

Next, we construct $\mathscr{G}$. To it, we show that the resulting holomorphic structure in $D^{4} \times S^{3} \times S^{1}$ is independent of choosing representatives of a certain ((CR)isomorphism) class of CR structures in $S^{3}$ and representatives of a fixed class in the moduli space of complex structures in $S^{3} \times S^{1}$.

Once an atlas is chosen, it induces a $C R$ structure in $S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{1}$ represented by the bundle

$$
\widetilde{E}:=E^{\prime} \times\left(T\left(\mathrm{~S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}\right)\right)_{1,0},
$$

where $E^{\prime}=\operatorname{ker}(J+\sqrt{-1} \mathrm{Id}) \subset E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\left(T S^{3} \times S^{1}\right)_{1,0}$ is the holomorphic tangent bundle of $S^{3} \times S^{1}$, with a distinguished section

$$
J_{\widetilde{E}}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
J_{E} & 0 \\
0 & J
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{E}, \widetilde{E}) .
$$

$J_{E}$ specifies the chosen holomorphic structure in $\mathrm{D}^{4}$ uniquely (this is Lemma 7.10). Elements in the (CR)isomorphic class as $J_{E}$ and a holomorphic class of $J$ designate the complex structure in $D^{4} \times S^{3} \times S^{1}$ uniquely. Hence, $\mathscr{G}$ is well-defined. It is left to show the injectivity claim.

Suppose two atlases $\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{A}^{\prime}$ are given in $\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ and obtained as above. If $\left(\mathrm{D}^{4} \times S^{3} \times\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{S}^{1}, \mathscr{A}\right),\left(\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}, \mathscr{A}^{\prime}\right)$ are biholomorphic, then the induced CR structures are (CR)isomorphic. In particular, the $C R$ structures in $S^{3}$ are isomorphic, and the complex structures in $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ are biholomorphic.

Lemma 7.12. Given two copies $X_{0}, X_{1}$ of $\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ regarded with analytic atlases, for any homotopy hopf manifold $\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ where $\Sigma^{7}$ is a Milnor sphere, one can find holomorphic structures on $X_{0}, X_{1}$ with isomorphic $C R$ structures in $\partial X_{0}, \partial X_{1}$ which globally extend to a complex structure in $\Sigma^{7} \times S^{1}$.

Proof. Pick a holomorphic structure (for complex manifolds with boundary) in $\mathrm{D}^{4}$ and denote by $\left(E, J_{E}\right)$ the corresponding induced CR structure. Now, pick any complex structure in $S^{3} \times S^{1}$ and consider the corresponding holomorphic atlas (as given in Proposition 7.11) $\mathscr{A}$ in $\mathrm{D}^{4} \times \mathrm{S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}=: X_{1}$ whose underlined real atlas is analytic. One gets a CR structure in $S^{3} \times S^{3} \times S^{1}$ represented by the bundle $\widetilde{E}:=E^{\prime} \times\left(T\left(\mathrm{~S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}\right)\right)_{1,0}$, where $E^{\prime}=\operatorname{ker}(J+\sqrt{-1} \mathrm{Id}) \subset E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\left(T \mathrm{~S}^{3} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}\right)_{1,0}$ is the holomorphic tangent bundle of $S^{3} \times S^{1}$. Such a bundle is regarded with the distinguished section $J_{\widetilde{E}}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}J_{E} & 0 \\ 0 & J\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{E}, \widetilde{E})$.

Since $\Sigma^{7}=M_{m, 1-m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, pick $f:=\left(f_{t_{m 1-m}}, 1\right): X_{0} \times S^{1} \rightarrow X_{1} \times S^{1}$ and consider the pullback bundle $f^{*}(\widetilde{E})$ with the pullback $f^{*} J_{\widetilde{E}}$. Since $f$ is a diffeomorphism one readily recovers that $\left(f^{*}(E), f^{*} J_{\widetilde{E}}\right)$ yields a CR structure in $X_{0}$. Theorem A. 2 concludes desired.

We finally accomplish the proof of Theorem7.1
Proof of Theorem 7.1. The part of the statement concerning the existence and the injection are the contents of Proposition 7.11 and Lemma 7.12. We show now that $\mathscr{M}_{\Sigma}$ is non-discrete for any Milnor sphere $\Sigma^{7}$. We use the Kodaira-Spencer theorem [63, Theorem 6.2.12, p.272]. It suffices to show for any complex structure in $\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$, if $T\left(\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}\right)_{1,0}$ stands to the holomorphic tangent bundle of
$\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}$ then $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; T\left(\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}\right)_{1,0}\right)=0$ (see [70, Theorems 6.2, 7.2 and 8.3] or [63, Chapter 6]). According to [63, Corollary 4.1.14] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; T\left(\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1}\right)_{1,0}\right) & \cong\left[\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \Omega^{2}\left(T^{*} \Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}_{1,0}^{1}\right)\right)\right]^{*} \\
& \cong\left[\mathrm{H}^{2,2}\left(\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{C}\right)\right]^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\Sigma^{7} \times \mathrm{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{C}\right)=0$ one concludes desired.
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## Appendix A. Straightening angles: the smooth and the holomorphic cases

We first recall the following classical result in differential topology. Later, we obtain its analogous in the holomorphic realm.

Let $M$ and $N$ be two manifolds with common boundaries $\partial M=\partial N$. Let $f: \partial M \rightarrow \partial N$ be a diffeomorphism.

Theorem A.1. There exists only one smooth structure in $X:=M \cup_{f} N$ satisfying
(a) the inclusions $i: M \rightarrow X, j: N \rightarrow X$ are smooth embeddings such that $\left.\mathrm{d} i\right|_{\partial M},\left.\mathrm{~d} j\right|_{\partial N}$ are injective
(b) if two maps $f, f^{\prime}: \partial M \rightarrow \partial N$ are isotopic, then $X:=M \cup_{f} N, X^{\prime}:=M \cup_{f^{\prime}} N$ are diffeomorphic.

Proof. We begin proving uniqueness. Assume $X$ is regarded with a smooth structure satisfying the requirements in the hypotheses. Let $X^{\prime}$ be homeomorphic to $X=M \cup_{f} N$ via $q: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ satisying $\left.q\right|_{M}:=i^{\prime}: M \rightarrow X^{\prime},\left.q\right|_{N}:=j^{\prime}: N \rightarrow X^{\prime}$. Item (a) ensures that $i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}$ are smooth embeddings with injective derivatives. We claim that $q$ is a diffeomorphism. Indeed, for any $x \in M$ we have that $\mathrm{d} q(x)=\mathrm{d} i^{\prime}(i(x)) \mathrm{d} i(x)$, which item (a) ensures to be an injection. Similarly, for $x \in N$, we have that $\mathrm{d} q(x)$ is also injective. Thus, $q$ is a homeomorphism with injective derivative (immersion), thus a diffeomorphism. We now construct a smooth structure to $X$.

Let $U$ to be a collar neighborhood for $\partial M$, i.e., $U$ is diffeomorphic to $]-2,0] \times \partial M$ via a diffeomorphism $\psi$. Let $U^{\prime}$ be a collar neighborhood for $\partial N$ via a diffeomorphism $\psi^{\prime}:[0,2[\times \partial N \rightarrow$ $U^{\prime}$. For any $\left.\tau \in\right] 0,2\left[\right.$ let $\left.\left.U_{\tau}:=\psi(]-\tau, 0\right] \times \partial M\right), U_{\tau}^{\prime}:=\psi^{\prime}([0, \tau[\times \partial N)$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{f}: U_{\frac{1}{4}} \rightarrow U_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\prime} \\
\widetilde{f}(\psi(t, x)):=\psi^{\prime}\left(t+\frac{1}{4}, x\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Being $U_{\frac{1}{4}}, U_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\prime}$ open sets, there exists a unique smooth structure in $M \cup_{\tilde{f}} N$ making the inclusions in the statement smooth embeddings. These maps have injective derivatives because they are inclusions.

Now let $f^{\prime}: \partial M \rightarrow \partial N$ be any other diffeomorphism and $\left.\left.H:\right]-1,0\right] \times \partial M \rightarrow \partial N$ a smooth isotopy such that

$$
H(t, x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(x), t \in]-2,-\frac{2}{3}[ \\
f^{\prime}(x), t \in\left[-\frac{1}{3}, 0\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\widetilde{H}(t, x): U_{1} \rightarrow U_{1}^{\prime}$ be defined as

$$
\widetilde{H}(t, x):=H \circ \psi(t, x)=\psi^{\prime}(t+1, H(t, x))
$$

and let $X_{H}:=M \cup_{\widetilde{H}} N$. Assume that $\widetilde{f}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $f^{\prime}$ in the same manner $\widetilde{f}$ is obtained from $f$. We outline now the proof that $M \cup_{\tilde{f}} N$ and $M \cup_{f^{\prime}} N$ are diffeomorphic to $M \cup_{\widetilde{H}} N$, finishing the result.

There exists a differentiable function $\varphi:[0,2] \rightarrow\left[\frac{3}{4}, 2\right]$ such that
(a') $\varphi^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \in[0,2]$
(b') $\varphi(t)=t+\frac{3}{4}$ for every $t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{4}\right]$
(c') there exists $0<\delta \ll 1$ such that $\varphi(t)=t$ in $[2-\delta, 2]$.

Let $\ell: M \rightarrow M, \ell^{\prime}: N \rightarrow N$ defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell(x) & :=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x, x \in M \backslash U_{1} \\
\psi(-\varphi(-t), \psi(t, x)), \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \\
\ell^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) & :=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime}, x^{\prime} \in N \backslash U_{1}^{\prime} \\
\psi\left(\varphi(t), \psi\left(t, x^{\prime}\right)\right), \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be checked that both $\ell, \ell^{\prime}$ are injective with injective derivatives, thus defining embeddings. Moreover, $\ell, \ell^{\prime}$ give rise to bijective maps $\widetilde{\ell}: X \rightarrow X_{H}, \widetilde{\ell^{\prime}}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X_{H}$ via the commutative diagram


Such induced $\widetilde{\ell}, \widetilde{\ell^{\prime}}$ are the desired diffeomorphisms.

We now prove the holomorphic version of the former result. To now,
Theorem A. 2 (Holomorphic Straightening angles). Let $M, N$ be two complex manifolds with boundaries $\partial M, \partial N$, respectively. Assume that
(a) $M, N$ are real analytic manifolds with real analytic isomorphic boundaries $\partial M \cong \partial N$
(b) the complex structures in $M, N$ are real analytic up to the boundary
(c) it exists $a(C R)$ isomorphism $f: \partial M \rightarrow \partial N$ between the $C R$ structures induced by the holomorphic atlases in $M, N$, that is also a real analytic isomorphism.

Then, a unique (up to biholomorphism) complex manifold structure in $X:=M \cup_{f} N$ exists.
The most critical aspect for the proof of Theorem A.2 is the existence of "holomorphic collar neighborhoods". Fortunately, it was already obtained in [58, Theorem 1]. Following their main result, one observes that the hypothesis requiring real analyticity can be relaxed, but since it suffices for our purposes, one chooses to state it in this manner. Due to the former observation, we proceed to the proof, following a prompt adaptation of Theorem A. 1

Proof of Theorem A.2 Consider holomorphic collar neighborhoods $U$ and $U^{\prime}$ of $\partial M$ and $\partial N$, respectively, defined as $2 n$-open submanifolds $M_{0}$ and $N_{0}$ of $M$ and $N$ containing $\partial M$ and $\partial N$. Their complex structures are induced from $M$ and $N$ (i.e., $J_{M_{0}}=\left.J_{M}\right|_{M_{0}}$ and $J_{N_{0}}=\left.J_{N}\right|_{N_{0}}$ ).

Let $\mathscr{A}_{M}$ and $\mathscr{A}_{N}$ be compatible holomorphic atlases in $M$ and $N$, respectively, extending the holomorphic atlases in $M_{0}$ and $N_{0}$. Extend the CR-isomorphism $f$ to biholomorphisms between $M_{0}$ and $N_{0}$.

Theorem A. 1 yields a real analytic manifold $M \cup_{f} N$. Simultaneously, as $f$ is a biholomorphism between two open holomorphic submanifolds of $M$ and $N$, the identity theorem guarantees a unique holomorphic structure in $M_{0} \cup_{f} N_{0}$. The assumption of real analyticity for $M$ and $N$ combined with the identity theorem ensures its extension to $M \cup_{f} N$.

## Appendix B. Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology

Let $U$ be a connected topological space and $V$ be a connected $n$-dimension smooth manifold with a smooth action by a finite group $G$. Therein, assume that the fixed-point set of each group element is either the whole space or of codimension at least two. Observe that this may make the $G$-action ineffective. Consequently, the non-fixed-point set is locally connected if it is not empty. The ineffective kernel of the $G$-action consists of elements fixing the whole space $V$.

Definition B. 1 (Uniformizing system). A $n$-dimension uniformizing system of $U$ is a triple $(V, G, \pi)$, where $\pi: V \rightarrow U$ is a continuous map inducing a homeomorphism between the quotient space $V / G$ and $U$. Two uniformizing systems $\left(V_{i}, G_{i}, \pi_{i}\right), i=1,2$, are isomorphic if there is a diffeomorphism $\phi: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ and an isomorphism $\lambda: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ such that $\phi$ is $\lambda$-equivariant, and $\pi_{2} \circ \phi=\pi_{1}$. If $(\phi, \lambda)$ is an automorphism of $(V, G, \pi)$, then there is $g \in G$ such that $\phi(x)=g \cdot x$ and $\lambda(a)=g a g^{-1}$ for any $x \in V$ and $a \in G$. The element $g$ is unique if, and only if, the action of $G$ on $V$ is effective.

Assume that $U$ is a locally connected topological space. For any point $x \in U$, we can define the germ of uniformizing systems at $x$. Let $\left(V_{1}, G_{1}, \pi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}, G_{2}, \pi_{2}\right)$ be uniformizing systems of neighborhoods $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ of $x$. We say that $\left(V_{1}, G_{1}, \pi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}, G_{2}, \pi_{2}\right)$ are equivalent at $x$ if they induce isomorphic uniformizing systems for a neighborhood $U_{3}$ of $x$.

Definition B. 2 (Topological spaces with orbifold structures). Consider a Hausdorff second countable topological space $M$. An $n$-dimension orbifold structure on $M$ entails that for every point $x \in M$, there exists a neighborhood $U_{x}$ and an $n$-dimension uniformizing system $\left(V_{x}, G_{x}, \pi_{x}\right)$ over $U_{x}$. This system satisfies the condition that for any point $y \in U_{x}$, the uniformizing systems $\left(V_{x}, G_{x}, \pi_{x}\right)$ and $\left(V_{y}, G_{y}, \pi_{y}\right)$ are equivalent at $y$, implying they yield the same germ at $y$.

Given a germ of orbifold structures, $M$ is designated an orbifold.

## Remark B.3.

(i) An open subset $U$ of $M$ is called a uniformized open subset if it is uniformized by a $(V, G, \pi)$ such that for each $x \in U,(V, G, \pi)$ defines the same germ with $\left(V_{x}, G_{x}, \pi_{x}\right)$ at $x$.
(ii) If $G_{x}$ acts effectively for every $x$, then $M$ is said to be a reduced orbifold.
(iii) The notion of orbifold with boundary can be similarly given. If $M$ is an orbifold with a boundary, its boundary $\partial M$ inherits an orbifold structure from $M$ and becomes an orbifold.

Let $M$ be an orbifold. For any point $x \in M$, let $\left(V_{x}, G_{x}, \pi_{x}\right)$ be a local chart at $x$ and $(g)_{G_{x}}$ be the conjugacy class of $g$ in $G_{x}$. Consider the set of pairs

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{M}=\left\{\left(x,(g)_{G_{x}}\right) \mid x \in M, g \in G_{x}\right\}, \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there is no risk of confusion, we will omit the subscript $G_{x}$ to simplify the notation. There is a surjective map $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ defined by $(x,(g)) \mapsto x$. It can be checked that the set $\widetilde{M}$ is an orbifold with the orbifold structure given by

$$
\left\{\pi_{x, g}:\left(V_{x}^{g}, C(g)\right) \rightarrow V_{x}^{g} / C(g): x \in M, g \in G_{x} \cdot\right\}
$$

where $V_{x}^{g}$ is the fixed-point set of $g$ in $V_{x}, C(g)$ is the centralizer of $g$ in $G_{x}$.
Every point $x$ has a local chart $\left(V_{x}, G_{x}, \pi_{x}\right)$ which gives a local uniformized neighborhood $U_{x}=\pi_{x}\left(V_{x}\right)$. If $y \in U_{x}$, up to conjugation, there is an injective homomorphism $G_{y} \rightarrow G_{x}$. For $g \in G_{y}$, the conjugacy class $(g)_{G_{x}}$ is well-defined. We define an equivalence relation $(g)_{G_{y}} \sim(g)_{G_{x}}$. Let $T$ be the set of equivalence classes. Abusing notation we shall denote by $(g)$ the equivalence class which $(g)_{G_{y}}$ belongs to $\widetilde{M}$ is decomposed as a disjoint union of connected components

$$
\tilde{M}=\bigsqcup_{(g) \in T} M_{(g)},
$$

where

$$
M_{(g)}=\left\{\left(x,\left(g^{\prime}\right)_{G_{x}}\right) \mid g^{\prime} \in G_{x},\left(g^{\prime}\right)_{G_{x}} \in(g)\right\} .
$$

Definition B.4. $M_{(g)}$ for $g \neq 1$ is called a twisted sector. $M_{(1)}=M$ is termed the non-twisted sector.
Constructing bundles over orbifolds and considering sections for these bundles is possible - [4, Section 2.3]. If an orbifold $M$ has an almost complex structure $J$, i.e., it exists a smooth section of the orbifold bundle $\operatorname{End}(T M)$ such that $J^{2}=-\operatorname{Id}$ then $\widetilde{M}$ inherits an almost complex structure from the one on $M$, and the map $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ defined by $\left(x,(g)_{G_{x}}\right) \rightarrow x$ becomes "pseudo-holomorphic", i.e., its differential commutes with the almost complex structures on $\widetilde{M}$ and $M$.

Let $x$ be any point of $M$. The almost complex structure on $M$ gives rise to a representation $\rho_{x}: G_{x} \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ (here $n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} X$ ). For any $g \in G_{x}$, we write $\rho_{x}(g)$ as a diagonal matrix

$$
\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} m_{1, g} / m_{g}}, \cdots, e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} m_{n, g} / m_{g}}\right)
$$

where $m_{g}$ is the order of $\rho_{x}(g)$, and $0 \leq m_{i, g}<m_{g}$. This matrix depends only on the conjugacy class $(g)_{G_{x}}$ of $g$ in $G_{x}$. We define a function $l: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$
\imath\left(p,(g)_{G_{x}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i, g}}{m_{g}}
$$

It is straightforward to check that $M_{(g)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is the constant which we denote as $\boldsymbol{1}_{(g)}$. Moreover,

## Lemma B.5.

(a) $\boldsymbol{l}_{(g)}$ is integral if and only if $\rho_{x}(g) \in \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.
(b)

$$
\boldsymbol{l}_{(g)}+\boldsymbol{v}_{\left(g^{-1}\right)}=\operatorname{rank}\left(\rho_{x}(g)-\mathrm{I}\right)
$$

which is the "complex codimension" $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M_{(g)}=n-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M_{(g)}$ of $M_{(g)}$ in $M$. As a consequence, $l_{(g)}+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M_{(g)}<n$ when $\rho_{x}(g) \neq \mathrm{I}$.

Let $\mathrm{H}^{*}\left(M_{(g)}\right)$ stands to the singular cohomology of $M_{(g)}$ with real coefficients.
Definition B. 6 (Chen-Ruan cohomology). The Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{orb}}^{d}(M)$ of $M$ are defined as

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{orb}}^{d}(M)=\oplus_{(g) \in T} \mathrm{H}^{d-2 \imath_{(g)}}\left(M_{(g)}\right)
$$

and orbifold Betti numbers are $b_{\text {orb }}^{d}=\sum_{(g)} \operatorname{dimH} H^{d-2 l_{(g)}}\left(M_{(g)}\right)$.
B.1. Twisted Sectors and Twisted forms. Here, we recall a prolific way of computing ChenRuan's cohomology. Therein, we fix an orbifold $\mathscr{G}$ such that each group model $G_{x}$ for choosing a uniformizing system (Definition B.1) is Abelian. We treat orbifolds as their presentations as groupoids (see [4] Section 1.4]). We denote by $\mathscr{G}_{0}$ the set of objects and by $\mathscr{G}_{1}$ the set of arrows. As usual, we let $s$ and $t$ stand to the source and target maps, respectively. The inertia orbifold $\wedge \mathscr{G}$ is a sub orbifold of $\mathscr{G}$ whose embedding defined from the object set $(\wedge \mathscr{G})_{0}=\{g \in \mathscr{G} 1 \mid s(g)=t(g)\}$ is just $e(g)=s(g)=t(g)$.

For each $g \in(\wedge \mathscr{G})_{0}$ we have an action on the fiber $e^{*}\left(T_{x} \mathscr{G}_{0}\right)$ for $x=s(g)=t(g)$. Decompose $e^{*} T_{x} \mathscr{G}_{0}=\oplus_{j} E_{j}$ where $E_{j}$ is the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue $e^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \frac{m_{j}}{m}}$, where $m=$ oder $g$. Regard it with the order-relation $m_{i} \leq m_{j}$ if $i \leq j$. Then $\boldsymbol{l}_{(g)}=\sum_{j} \frac{m_{j}}{m}$.

Let $v \in \mathscr{G}_{1}$ be an arrow with $s(v)=x$. As an element of $(\wedge \mathscr{G})_{1}, v$ connects $g$ with $v g v^{-1}$. By taking differentials for each $v$, one can map $\left(E_{j}\right)_{g}$ in an eigenspace with the same eigenvalue. In the case the multiplicity of the eigenvalue associated with $\left(E_{j}\right)_{g}$ is one, this map preserves the splitting of $e^{*} T_{x} \mathscr{G}$, and $E_{j}$ constitutes a line bundle over $\wedge \mathscr{G}$ for each $j$. Although this does not always hold, therein, we assume this is the case, aiming to be as introductory as possible.

For each $j$, let $\theta_{j}$ stand to the Thom form of the line bundle $E_{j}$. We convention that $\theta_{j}^{0}$ is just the identity.

Definition B. 7 (Tiwsted factors). Fix a twisted sector $M_{(g)}$. The twisted factor $t(g)$ of $\mathscr{G}_{(g)}$ is the formal product

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{m} \theta_{j}^{\frac{m_{j}}{m}}
$$

The degree $t(g)$ is

$$
\operatorname{deg} t(g)=2 \imath_{(g)}
$$

Definition B. 8 (Twisted forms). Let $\omega \in \Omega^{*}\left(\mathscr{G}_{(g)}\right)$ be an invariant form. The formal product $t(g) \omega$ is termed a twisted form associated with $\mathscr{G}_{(g)}$.

It can be constructed as a de Rham complex of twisted forms. Indeed, we have the following definition.

Definition B. 9 (de Rham complex of twisted forms).

$$
\Omega^{p}(\mathscr{G}):=\left\{\omega_{1} t\left(g_{1}\right)+\ldots+\omega_{k} t\left(g_{k}\right): \sum_{i} \operatorname{deg}\left(\omega_{i}\right) \operatorname{deg}\left(t\left(g_{i}\right)\right)=p\right\}
$$

with co-boundary operator $d\left(\omega_{i} t\left(g_{i}\right)\right):=\left(d \omega_{i}\right) t\left(g_{i}\right)$. The co-boundary map defines a co-chain complex. The resulting cohomology is denoted by $\mathrm{H}_{C R}^{*}(\mathscr{G} ; \mathbb{R})$ and termed the de Rham model for the Chen-Ruan cohomology.

It is possible to introduce appropriate operations in $\mathrm{H}_{C R}^{*}(\mathscr{G} ; \mathbb{R})$, making it a ring. The following is true.

Theorem B. 10 (Theorem 5.5 in [4]). There exists an isomorphism of rings

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{orb}}^{*}(\mathscr{G}) \cong \mathrm{H}_{C R}^{*}(\mathscr{G} ; \mathbb{R})
$$

Theorem B.10 is to accomplish with the proof of Theorem 5.12. Observe that the latter provides the twisted-forms description of the Chen-Ruan cohomology we use for the computation in Theorem 5.12
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