# Multi-centre normative brain mapping of intracranial EEG lifespan patterns in the human brain

Heather Woodhouse<sup>1</sup>, Gerard Hall<sup>1</sup>, Callum Simpson<sup>1</sup>, Csaba Kozma<sup>1</sup>,

Frances Turner<sup>1</sup>, Gabrielle M. Schroeder<sup>1,0</sup>, Beate Diehl<sup>3</sup>, John S. Duncan<sup>3</sup>,

Jiajie Mo<sup>4</sup>, Kai Zhang<sup>4</sup>, Aswin Chari<sup>5</sup>, Martin Tisdall<sup>5</sup>, Friederike Moeller<sup>5</sup>,

Chris Petkov<sup>2,6</sup>, Matthew A. Howard<sup>6</sup>, George M. Ibrahim<sup>7</sup>, Elizabeth Donner<sup>7</sup>,

Nebras M. Warsi<sup>7</sup>, Raheel Ahmed<sup>8</sup>, Peter N. Taylor<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Yujiang Wang<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

 CNNP Lab (www.cnnp-lab.com), Interdisciplinary Computing and Complex BioSystems Group, School of Computing, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

2. Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

3. UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, United Kingdom

4. Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Beijing, China

5. Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, London, United Kingdom

6. University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, IA, United States

7. The Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

8. University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, United States

\* Yujiang.Wang@newcastle.ac.uk O G.M.S. ORCID ID: 0000-0003-2278-5227

#### Abstract

**Background:** Understanding healthy human brain function is crucial to identify and map pathological tissue within it. Whilst previous studies have mapped intracranial EEG (icEEG) from non-epileptogenic brain regions, these maps do not consider the effects of age and sex. Further, most existing work on icEEG has often suffered from a small sample size due to this modality's invasive nature. Here, we substantially increase the subject sample size compared to existing literature, to create a multi-centre, normative map of brain activity which additionally considers the effects of age, sex and recording site.

Methods: Using interictal icEEG recordings from n = 513 subjects originating from 15 centres, we constructed a normative map of non-pathological brain activity by regressing age and sex on relative band power in five frequency bands, whilst accounting for the site effect.

**Results:** Recording site significantly impacted normative icEEG maps in all frequency bands, and age was a more influential predictor of band power than sex. The age effect varied by frequency band, but no spatial patterns were observed at the region-specific level. Certainty about regression coefficients was also frequency band specific and moderately impacted by sample size.

**Conclusion:** The concept of a normative map is well-established in neuroscience research and particularly relevant to the icEEG modality, which does not allow healthy control baselines. Our key results regarding the site and age effect guide future work utilising normative maps in icEEG.

## 1 Introduction

Age and sex are important factors which are known to influence brain activity. Understanding how these variables affect the brain is important for both clinical applications and academic research. Using scalp EEG recordings in children and adolescents, past studies found that age has a negative relationship with relative power in slower frequency bands, namely  $\delta$  and  $\theta$ , but a positive relationship with faster ones ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ) (Clarke et al., 2001; Gasser et al., 1988). Both MEG and scalp EEG studies report some sex differences in the same age range, with males tending to have more  $\alpha$ -power (Clarke et al., 2001; Ott et al., 2021). A study in MEG across the whole lifespan found similar frequency band-specific relationships between age and power, whilst a young adult study in scalp EEG reported various sex differences during the resting state (Cave and Barry, 2021; Gómez et al., 2013). In summary, whilst consistent effects across the lifespan have been reported, a conclusive map does not currently exist for electrical brain activity as it does for structural neuroimaging (Bethlehem et al., 2022).

Although much work has been done to assess the impact of age and sex on MRI, MEG and scalp EEG, to our knowledge intracranial EEG (icEEG) has not yet been investigated in this context, most likely because its invasive nature precludes data collection from healthy controls (Cam-CAN et al., 2014; Coffey et al., 1998; Gomez et al., 2017; Hinault et al., 2022). To overcome this issue, researchers have collected icEEG recordings from individuals with epilepsy, from brain regions that were later deemed not pathological and not epileptogenic. By combining these recordings over many individuals, a map of normative brain activity has been proposed (Frauscher et al., 2018a; Groppe et al., 2013; Taylor et al., 2022). Whilst there has been exciting research into normative maps using icEEG and their potential for epilepsy research, the effect of age and sex on icEEG has not been determined (Bernabei et al., 2022; Frauscher et al., 2018a; Kalamangalam et al., 2020; Taylor et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023).

To analyse this effect thoroughly, this investigation utilises a large data set, encompassing multiple international hospital sites. This is similar to Bethlehem et al. (2022) which leverages MRI scans from various studies globally to create brain charts for the human lifespan. Importantly, we will perform our analysis on the largest multi-centre normative icEEG dataset (n = 513 subjects) to date, accounting for site effects in data by using mixed-effect modelling. Following this, we aim to uncover and discuss the relationships, if any, between band power extracted from icEEG recordings, and the variables age, sex and site.

Ultimately, we highlight the need to account for the heterogeneity of icEEG data. We seek to fill a gap in the literature regarding the effect of two standard covariates – age and sex – on band power values extracted from icEEG and modelled in a normative setting. We also expand on previous sample sizes and properly consider site variability. Such research is necessary, as an understanding of ageing patterns, sex differences and site variation in this modality will conceivably aid in the identification of pathological activity through deviations from 'normal' trends.

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Subjects

Our analysis involved 513 individuals with epilepsy undergoing presurgical evaluation with icEEG to localise the seizure onset zone. Data was collected from Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Great Ormond Street Hospital, University of Iowa Hospital, SickKids, University College London Hospital and the University of Wisconsin-Madison. We also used 9 sites from the publicly available RAM data set (https://memory.psych.upenn.edu/RAM), bringing our total number of data sites to 15.

Both grid and depth electrode recordings were included. A summary of our final cohort is provided in Figure 1.

## 2.2 Electrode localisation

For every participant, electrode contacts were localised to regions of interest (ROIs) according to a predefined parcellation, using the "Lausanne scale 36" atlas, with 82 ROIS (Hagmann et al., 2008). The Lausanne atlas has been used previously for normative intracranial analysis (Betzel et al., 2019; Taylor et al., 2022).

The methods for localising the electrode contacts to brain regions have also been described previously (Hamilton et al., 2017; Taylor et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2020, 2023). Different sites



**Figure 1:** The number of subjects from each participating site, along with their age and sex distributions. Sites from the RAM cohort are highlighted with an \*.

provided different levels of data, so our methods for localising electrode contacts to ROIs varied between them. Sites either provided contact locations in MNI space, or provided native space imaging and co-localised contacts. In the first case, we assigned electrodes to one of 82 regions from the Lausanne scale 36 atlas (Hagmann et al., 2008). We used FreeSurfer to generate volumetric parcellations of an MNI space template brain (Fischl, 2012; Hagmann et al., 2008). Each electrode contact was assigned to the closest grey matter volumetric region within 5 mm. If the closest grey matter region was >5 mm away then the contact was excluded from further analysis. For the latter case, a similar technique was used, but applied in native space using the subject's own parcellated pre-operative MRI. To ensure our findings were robust to parcellation choice, we confirmed that key results held using a finer-grained parcellation (see Supplementary S3).

## 2.3 icEEG processing

#### 2.3.1 Segment selection

For each subject, we extracted a 70-second interictal icEEG segment from a period of relaxed wakefulness, at least two hours away from any detected ictal events. The raw signals and the power spectral densities were visually and algorithmically inspected for artefacts and faulty channels. Using clinical reports and/or post-operative data, we excluded any contacts that: had frequent spikes, were within known lesions, were within the seizure onset zone, or were subsequently resected (if such information was available). Ensuring that at the subject level, we only retained channels thought to be non-pathological in terms of both location in the brain and the signal produced.

#### 2.3.2 Signal processing

All segments were bandpass filtered between 0.5-80 Hz and downsampled to 200 Hz with an antialias filter. A common average reference was applied to all recordings and the power spectral density was calculated using Welch's method with a 2-second window and 1-second overlap. The average band power was then calculated in five frequency bands:  $\delta$  (1-4 Hz),  $\theta$  (4-8 Hz),  $\alpha$  (8-13 Hz),  $\beta$  (13-30 Hz) and  $\gamma$  (30-77.5 Hz).

In the  $\gamma$ -band, data between 47.5-52.5 Hz and 57.5-62.5 Hz were excluded from all sites, to avoid any power line noise. The  $\gamma$ -band was also capped at 77.5 Hz due to 80 Hz noise in the RAM sites. Band power estimates were  $\log_{10}$  transformed and normalised to sum to one in each contact (L1-normalised). These final values are used throughout results to represent relative band power, denoted RBP(·).

## 2.4 Normative data table creation

At this stage, the electrode contacts from each subject have been assigned to a single, nearest ROI and the RBP( $\cdot$ ) in five frequency bands has been computed. If multiple contacts from one subject

were assigned to the same ROI, then the  $RBP(\cdot)$  values in each frequency band were averaged across contacts to obtain single values of  $RBP(\cdot)$  per region per subject.

We excluded six sub-cortical regions due to no, or a very low number of samples: pallidum, thalamus and accumbens area in both hemispheres, reducing our total number of ROIs from 82 to 76. All cortical regions were retained.

Previous work has demonstrated a left/right symmetry in relative band power in EEG, MEG, and icEEG (Janiukstyte et al., 2023; Owen et al., 2023b; Taylor et al., 2022). Hence, to maximise the number of subjects in each region, we created a 'mirrored' version of our data table, in which, the data from homologous regions were combined (e.g., the left amygdala and the right amygdala). In the case of bilateral implantation of symmetric regions, we ensured individuals only had one value of RBP( $\cdot$ ) per ROI and frequency band. This mirrored data table only comprised 38 regions but had markedly more subjects in each. Throughout the results, it will be made clear whether the mirrored, or original, normative data table is in use at any given time. We confirm the validity of this mirroring in Supplementary S2 by repeating one of our key results using the original data.

In summary, our final normative data table included a unique subject identifier, their age and sex, their originating site, and, for the regions in which they had electrode implantation only, their  $RBP(\cdot)$  in five frequency bands averaged across contacts where necessary.

## 2.5 Statistical modelling and testing

The final step was to fit a suitable model to the normative data to examine the effect of age, sex and site on relative band power. Visual inspection of the scatter plots of the RBP( $\cdot$ ) values against age in each frequency band (at the whole-brain level) showed no evidence of a non-linear relationship (see Supplementary S1). Further, the effect of having multiple recording sites had to be considered, especially as some sites supplied only paediatric, or only adult recordings. Therefore, we implemented a linear mixed model (LMM) in each frequency band, specifically a random intercept model, with the originating site as a random effect. Possible fixed effects were age and sex, meaning four LMMs were under consideration in each frequency band:

Null:  $RBP(\cdot) \sim (1|Site)$ Age:  $RBP(\cdot) \sim Age + (1|Site)$ Sex:  $RBP(\cdot) \sim Sex + (1|Site)$ Full:  $RBP(\cdot) \sim Age + Sex + (1|Site)$ 

Model fitting could be performed at either the regional or whole-brain level. Taking the latter, we calculated several model evaluation statistics to determine the optimal fixed effect structure of the LMM in each frequency band. Since we worked at the whole-brain level, the original data table was used. The statistics under consideration were the AIC and BIC for each model, 95% profiled confidence intervals for the regression coefficients of fixed effects in all but the null model (which has none) and the likelihood ratio test p-values for every pair of models which differed by one variable.

In every frequency band, each metric was in agreement regarding the optimal LMM, so no further tests were required. The preferred models were the age model in the  $\delta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  bands; the sex model in  $\gamma$  and the full model in  $\theta$ . Hence, the optimal LMM was frequency band-specific in our cohort, and so we report frequency band-specific effects throughout our results.

We have provided a brief visual overview of our methods (Figure 2). In the results section, we explore both random (site) and fixed (age, sex) effects. Specifically, we investigate if the variables explain  $RBP(\cdot)$  variation, and examine how they might influence it.



**Figure 2:** A visual representation of our methods: electrode localisation (top) and signal processing (middle) following segment selection, for each subject. Subjects were combined to create our normative data set, which we applied our statistical models to (bottom). All components show dummy data for example purposes only. Brain plots from Scholtens et al. (2021).

## 3 Results

Results 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 examine LMMs at the whole-brain level, using the original data. Subsequent results additionally consider the regional-level analysis, and therefore incorporate the mirrored data, to determine if any spatial variations are present in our findings.

#### 3.1 Site effects impacted icEEG normative maps

Focusing first on the random effect structure (recording hospital site), we found that in our cohort and model, the site effect was much more powerful in explaining RBP(·) differences at the whole brain level than any fixed effect structure, in almost all cases. Table 1 quantifies this, showing marginal  $R^2$  values  $(R_m^2)$  and intraclass correlation coefficients (*ICC*) as percentages for the full model, the age model and the sex model. The  $R_m^2$  represents the proportion of variation in RBP(·) explained by the fixed effect(s) of that model. The *ICC* represents the proportion of the variance explained by the recording sites. The optimal LMM as per Section 2.5 has been highlighted for each frequency band. Note, the  $R_m^2$  values of the two single fixed effect models do not sum exactly to the  $R_m^2$  of the full model, because the denominator in the calculation of  $R_m^2$  includes the residual variance and therefore changes with each model.

In all bands except  $\alpha$ , the *ICC* was consistently larger than the  $R_m^2$  values across models, with this difference being most notable in the  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$  bands. Whilst there is a range of *ICCs* in Table 1, they always exceed 5%, indicating that, in our cohort, at least a twentieth of the variation in RBP(·) was explained by site differences. This proportion sometimes reached as high as one-quarter, attributing that site impacted RBP(·) in all frequency bands.

	Full model		Age model		Sex model	
	$R_m^2$	ICC	$R_m^2$	ICC	$R_m^2$	ICC
δ	4.82	9.24	4.82	9.24	0.03	13.00
$\theta$	1.27	11.26	0.79	11.15	0.62	13.07
$\alpha$	7.40	5.87	7.38	5.88	0.02	6.22
$\beta$	3.18	5.31	3.18	5.31	0.02	6.11
$\gamma$	0.36	24.55	0.06	24.31	0.32	25.02

**Table 1:**  $R_m^2$  and *ICC* values (measured in %) for the full model, the age model and the sex model.  $R_m^2$  represents the proportion of variation in RBP(·) explained by the fixed effect(s) of that model. The *ICC* represents the proportion of the variance explained by the grouping structure, namely recording sites. The optimal covariate subset, as determined by a standard model selection process, is highlighted for each frequency band.

# 3.2 Age and sex explained only some of the variation in relative band power

We next examined our fixed effects at the whole-brain level  $(R_m^2)$ . Neither age nor sex explained a notable portion of the variance in the RBP(·), in any frequency band (Table 1). The largest effect was found in the  $\alpha$ -band, where  $R_m^2$  was highest at 7%, which in contrast, is similar to the minimum *ICC* values at around 5%.

Across fixed effects and frequency bands, all  $R_m^2$  values were below 10%, with over half being less than 1%. This suggests that other factors significantly affect RBP( $\cdot$ ) on the whole-brain level, which are not considered here.

# 3.3 Age was more important than sex for predicting relative band power

Comparing  $R_m^2$  for the age and sex models revealed which of the two was more valuable when predicting RBP(·). Age outperformed sex in all frequency bands except  $\gamma$  (Table 1). In fact, sex consistently accounted for a negligible portion of the variance in the response, with  $R_m^2$  values below 1%. This statement holds even in  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$ , with sex retained in the optimal LMM, confirming sex was not a significant predictor in this cohort.

In contrast,  $R_m^2$  values for age fluctuated notably across frequency bands, being higher in  $\delta$ ,  $\alpha$ and  $\beta$  ( $R_m^2$  range of 7.33\% and 0.61% for age and sex models respectively). The frequency bands which retain the age model as the optimal LMM ( $\delta$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ) have the highest proportion of variance explained by the optimal fixed effects structure, emphasizing that age was the more important predictor of RBP( $\cdot$ ) and the influence of sex was minor.

Subsequent analysis focuses on the age model for all frequency bands; however, we exercise caution when interpreting results in the  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$  bands.

# 3.4 The effect of age on band power was spatially uniform and frequency band dependent

With the focus shifted to the age model alone, our goal was to understand how age impacted RBP(·). Taking the most densely populated ROI (the middle temporal region), the age model was implemented for RBP(·) in each frequency band and regression coefficients for age (denoted  $\hat{b}_{age}$ ) were extracted. A visualisation of model fit in this region is provided in Figure 3A, where blue coloured lines indicate that RBP(·) decreased with age, whilst red lines indicate an increase with age. This method can be extended to all regions, extracting  $\hat{b}_{age}$  for each frequency band and region. The  $\hat{b}_{age}$  values can then be visualised on the brain using a hot/cold colour scale, indicating increasing/decreasing RBP(·) with age (Figure 3B). Finally, disregarding regional information, at the whole-brain level, the age model was implemented in each frequency band and regression coefficients were extracted, along with their 95% confidence intervals (Table 2). Looking at both whole-brain and ROI-level results allowed us to determine if the results had any spatial variation.

Opposing relationships between RBP(·) and age were observed at both the region-specific and whole-brain levels, with decreasing trends in  $\delta$  and  $\theta$  frequencies, and increasing trends in the  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  bands.

Figure 3B reveals no discernible spatial gradient in any frequency band, but a clear switch in the sign of  $\hat{b}_{age}$  occurs between  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$ , from negative to positive. The  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$  trends were notably weaker, presenting values of  $\hat{b}_{age}$  which are closer to zero, along with some regions deviating from the overarching trends. This is consistent with Table 1 showing that for these two bands, the relationship between age and RBP( $\cdot$ ) is weak, when compared with  $\delta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

On the whole-brain level, 95% confidence intervals on  $\hat{b}_{age}$  reinforced previous results. Table 2 provides weak-to-no evidence for an age-RBP( $\gamma$ ) relationship and  $\theta$ 's confidence interval is relatively near zero compared with the remaining three bands. These confidence intervals support the existence of a negative relationship between RBP( $\delta$ ) and age, as well as confidence in a positive one between RBP( $\alpha$ ) and RBP( $\beta$ ), and age. Hence, the effect of age on RBP( $\cdot$ ) was undoubtedly frequency band-specific in this cohort.

The subset of subjects varies between ROIs in the regional analysis; however, the age distribu-

tion in each region did not drive any differences between them (Supplementary S4). The trends in Figure 3B persist in a finer-grained parcellation, and when using the original data in which hemispheres were not mirrored (Supplementary S2 and S3).



Figure 3: A) Visualisations of the age model in each frequency band in the most densely populated region, the middle temporal. Blue regression lines indicate a negative value for  $\hat{b}_{age}$ , whilst red regression lines indicate a positive one. B) Values of  $\hat{b}_{age}$  from the age model at the region-level. Values are shown for each ROI and each frequency band of interest. The colour scale is symmetric and fixed across frequency bands with blue representing negative regression coefficients and red representing positive ones. Data from symmetric regions were mirrored, i.e. results are reflected across the midline to provide a whole-brain visualisation.

δ θ		$\alpha$	β	$\gamma$
-0.0007	-0.0002	0.0004	0.0003	0.0001
(-0.0007, -0.0006)	(-0.0002, -0.0001)	(0.0004, 0.0004)	(0.0003, 0.0004)	(0.0000, 0.0001)

**Table 2:** Values of  $\hat{b}_{age}$  along with 95% confidence intervals for each frequency band. Values are rounded to 4 decimal places and were calculated by applying the age model at the whole-brain level.

# 3.5 Certainty about age effect was frequency band specific and impacted by sample size

Despite substantial data collection efforts, when analysing region-level models there was an impact of low sample size. Figure 4 displays region-level summaries in every frequency band: the standard error for  $\hat{b}_{age}$ , the number of subjects in the region, and a binary indicator of whether or not the 95% confidence interval for  $\hat{b}_{age}$  contains 0. It also highlights any regions where the model produced a singular fit.

As a side note, extreme values in Figure 3B co-localise to low sampled regions seen in Figure 4, e.g., the frontal pole stands out from the general trend in  $\beta$  and only has n = 37, highlighting the importance of sample size.

The standard errors revealed that smaller sample sizes can lead to regression coefficient standard errors more than double those of the highly implanted ROIs. However, there appeared to be a threshold around n = 150, beyond which the  $\hat{b}_{age}$  standard error lines plateaued, suggesting a lower limit of n, beyond which we can be confident in our findings.

The  $\delta$  band displayed the highest regression coefficient standard errors almost consistently across ROIs, followed by  $\gamma$  with slightly lower values. Comparatively,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\theta$  bands exhibited lower  $\hat{b}_{age}$  standard errors. In regions with approximately n > 200, the  $SE(\hat{b}_{age})$  values dropped below 0.0002. For  $\alpha$ , these same regions consistently showed a regression coefficient standard error of half the size, supporting the positive relationship between RBP( $\alpha$ ) and age.

ROI-level confidence intervals also demonstrated that  $\hat{b}_{age}$  standard errors varied with frequency band and sample size. Figure 4B shows that in  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$  bands, regions are dominated by confidence intervals for  $\hat{b}_{age}$  that contain 0, whereas the converse is true for  $\delta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

With the exception of the  $\theta$ -band, these findings became robust in ROIS with  $n \geq 295$ . This suggests, that equal and large sample sizes in all ROIs may lead to more uniform results and provides further confidence in the relationships we have identified between age and  $\delta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ bands, and the likely lack of one between RBP( $\gamma$ ) and age.

The age model returned a singular fit in a few regions (Figure 4B). These regions typically had a low number of data points and a small number of sites. We retained all models in all ROIs for completeness.



Figure 4: Summaries for each ROI under consideration. The x-axis displays the region name and is ordered by the number of subjects per region. A) number of subjects. B) a binary indicator of whether the 95% confidence interval for  $\hat{b}_{age}$  contains 0 in each frequency band. Singular fits given in black. C) standard errors of  $\hat{b}_{age}$  in every frequency band.

## 4 Discussion

In this study, we considered how age, sex, and recording site, might impact  $RBP(\cdot)$  in the setting of a normative icEEG map. To understand the effects of the variables, various LMMs were fitted, and it was concluded that sex and  $RBP(\cdot)$  were not related in this cohort, whilst  $RBP(\cdot)$  values present a moderate relationship with subject age and a notable relationship with the recording site.

This work provides insight into what the trajectory of  $RBP(\cdot)$  extracted from icEEG might look like in healthy lifespan. Additionally, it confirms that site effects must be considered when modelling multi-centre data, whilst also demonstrating that sex perhaps need not be considered when analysing normative icEEG RBP( $\cdot$ ).

## 4.1 The relationship between site, sex, age and band power

The RAM data set is publicly available and comprises EEG, as well as other data types, from nine sites. Hence, it is regularly used in academic work, producing a range of results (Das et al., 2022; Goldstein et al., 2019; Kozma et al., 2023; Nozari et al., 2020; Taylor et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023). Whilst the multi-site challenge has been recognised before in Miller (2019), to our knowledge, this and other studies have not statistically accounted for the multi-site structure present in their data. Our work reveals that, in the normative icEEG setting at least, the site effect can account for up to one-quarter of the variation in the response variable, demonstrating the necessity of considering such effects.

Several factors might be driving these site differences, such as recording techniques. Concerning grid and strip versus depth electrodes, each has advantages and disadvantages (Taussig et al., 2015), and past work found that while both were efficient presurgical localisation techniques, seizure freedom occurred at a higher rate following the use of depth electrodes, evidencing a difference between the two (Toth et al., 2019). In our cohort (following all preprocessing), 12 sites involved a mixture of electrode types, while 3 contained only depth electrodes, perhaps driving some of the site variation. Other possibilities include race, geography and subject selection criteria. Previous research found race and marital status to be marginally associated with whether or not an individual proceeds to epilepsy surgery (Berg et al., 2003), so it is plausible such factors could also impact whether individuals undergo intracranial examination.

Moving to the fixed effects, our work produced convincing results in the  $\delta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  bands when it came to a relationship between RBP( $\cdot$ ) and age. Specifically, RBP( $\delta$ ) decreased with subject age, while RBP( $\alpha$ ) and RBP( $\beta$ ) increased. Generally, we found an increase in RBP( $\cdot$ ) with age in fast bands and a decrease in RBP( $\cdot$ ) with age in slower bands.

These fixed effed results concur with previous literature on scalp EEG, although here the age range is reduced to children and adolescents, which found fast bands increased and the slow bands decreased in RBP(·) (Clarke et al., 2001; Gasser et al., 1988). Using the same age range, MEG PSD maps found an analogous relationship between power and age but reported sex differences in  $\delta$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  (Ott et al., 2021). They do not report a main effect of sex in  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$ , which are the same bands in which we found no notable relationship for either fixed effect.

Looking at the full lifespan (7-84 years) using MEG, previous work found identical trends (a decrease in RBP( $\delta$ ) and RBP( $\theta$ ), and the converse for higher bands); however, they report slight changes around the sixth decade of life (Gómez et al., 2013). Since our maximum age is 18 years less at 66, this result still complements our findings. Further, a study using a small set of scalp electrodes across a large adult cohort found the same age trajectories as ours between 20-40 years, including the strongest decrease being in the  $\delta$ -band (Hashemi et al., 2016). However, they found that these effects diminished in older age ranges and also reported significant sex differences, which we did not. Nevertheless, they use a different, although related, feature and modality, which may facilitate the sex differences.

In summary, the age trajectories we have found are generally in line with previous literature in similar areas, but a conclusion on the presence of sex differences remains unclear.

# 4.2 Existing normative mapping work and how it relates to the ageing patterns

Normative mapping is well-established in neuroscience research showing promising results in a range of modalities including MEG, scalp EEG and icEEG (Frauscher et al., 2018a; Janiukstyte

et al., 2023; Niso et al., 2019; Owen et al., 2023b; Taylor et al., 2022). Evidence for the validity of normative maps has been studied, along with their temporal stability (Janiukstyte et al., 2023; Rutherford et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023). Generally, the patterns noted in neurophysiological normative maps complement each other, indicating their value. For example, many identify wellknown trends such as  $\alpha$  dominance in parietal and occipital regions (Bosch-Bayard et al., 2020b; Frauscher et al., 2018a; Groppe et al., 2013; Janiukstyte et al., 2023; Owen et al., 2023b; Taylor et al., 2022).

The majority of normative mapping studies outlined consider their maps from a static viewpoint and did not incorporate potential age effects. In this study, we demonstrated that  $RBP(\cdot)$  varies with age and that this variation is frequency band-specific. This is not surprising, as there is much previous work evidencing that healthy ageing *does* impact brain activity in modalities such as MEG and scalp EEG (Duffy et al., 1993; Gomez et al., 2017; Gómez et al., 2013). Therefore we suggest, based on our findings, that future work does not consider normative maps as a static snapshot and instead strives to incorporate dynamic features, such as age, into any study.

## 4.3 Clinical potential

Turning now to an application of normative maps, the comparison of individuals with epilepsy (or other disorders) to normalised healthy controls is a common one. It is gaining traction in the field of neurophysiology, using modalities which facilitate said controls such as scalp EEG and MEG (Bosch-Bayard et al., 2020a; Janiukstyte et al., 2023; Owen et al., 2023a,b). Similar work has been produced in the invasive icEEG setting; hence, it is plausible that the ageing trends demonstrated here could yield similar clinical potential (Bernabei et al., 2022; Kozma et al., 2023; Taylor et al., 2022).

Whilst readily interpretable plots such as those in Figure 3B summarise findings well, the core analysis is LMMs fitted at regional (Figures 3A) or whole-brain levels (Table 2). Therefore, to localise pathological tissue in a clinical setting following an icEEG exam, we might compare an individual's age and RBP( $\cdot$ ) in each frequency band to the corresponding regional regression line, quantifying differences, to determine whether their RBP( $\cdot$ ) deviates from expected values at that age. Identifying regions with extreme deviations could guide the location of further examination. This particular pipeline would be similar to that suggested in Taylor et al. (2022). In the case of epilepsy, this could complement the standard seizure onset zone localisation techniques following an icEEG exam, without further work or procedures.

## 4.4 Limitations and future directions

The concept of 'normative' data collected from individuals with epilepsy is perhaps contentious. These methods are defensible (Rutherford et al., 2023) and have been applied in previous work (Bernabei et al., 2022; Frauscher et al., 2018a; Kalamangalam et al., 2020; Kozma et al., 2023; Taylor et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023); however, epilepsy is increasingly defined as a network disorder (Bernhardt et al., 2015; Kramer and Cash, 2012; Rayner and Tailby, 2017), which contrasts with the notion of delineating normal and abnormal tissue. In particular, previous work has shown that more complete resection of seizure onset regions is not associated with more favourable surgical outcomes (Gascoigne et al., 2023). Hence, it is arguable that the icEEG description of pathological tissue is complex and incomplete.

Sample size impacted results despite our efforts in data collection (Section 3.5), with high regional variation in number of subjects. In an ideal world, we would attain a similar number of subjects per region so they could be more accurately compared. In practice, however, this is not feasible due to some areas being more prone to pathology and therefore being more likely to have electrodes implanted – for example, drug-resistant epilepsies in adults are common in the temporal lobe (Bernhardt et al., 2019).

A further drawback is that our maximum age was only 66 years, so we did not have the full lifespan. This will always be difficult due to the risk of surgical operations on the elderly (Grivas et al., 2006), which limits the ability to directly compare our results to the many other modalities which extend to much older ages (Cam-CAN et al., 2014; Duffy et al., 1993; Gómez et al., 2013; Hashemi et al., 2016). Arguably the minimum age of our cohort (4 years) also does not reflect the full lifespan, however, research has shown that children at younger ages have successfully undergone icEEG-guided epilepsy surgery and tolerated the invasive exam well (Taussig et al., 2016). Future data collection efforts could focus on expanding our age range at both ends.

Similarly, the proportion of paediatric individuals in our cohort is low (Figure 1). In future work, we aim to collect more paediatric recordings and analyse this age range in isolation in an icEEG normative setting. This would provide insight into the effects of ageing during this key stage of life, as previously done for both scalp and MEG (Clarke et al., 2001; Gasser et al., 1988; Gomez et al., 2017; Ott et al., 2021).

Future work might also consider different frequency ranges. This study involves frequency content from 1-77.5 Hz, but higher frequency bands can contain pathological high-frequency oscillations (HFOs), which are thought to delineate epileptogenic tissue (Zweiphenning et al., 2016, 2019). Previous work has provided a normative map for HFOs obtained from icEEG (Frauscher et al., 2018b). Hence, accounting for HFOs as in Kuroda et al. (2021) and extending the frequency range of our normative map could improve its clinical potential for identifying pathological areas of the brain.

A further line of enquiry would be to increase the complexity of the model beyond *linear* mixed models and consider the quadratic case or beyond. This has been done in other modalities, which found some frequency bands required non-linear models of band power over age, whilst other bands did not (Duffy et al., 1993; Gómez et al., 2013). Future work might investigate whether icEEG trajectories mirror those results, or if perhaps the optimal model complexity is not only frequency band specific, but regionally specific as well.

Combining the discussions around frequency ranges and mode complexity, there is also evidence that more granular frequency bands could affect the model selection process. For example, using healthy MEG data to determine how RBP( $\cdot$ ) changes with age, Gómez et al. (2013) found that a linear regression model performed best for RBP(low- $\beta$ ), but a quadratic model is preferable for RBP(high- $\beta$ ). Analogous results were found in scalp EEG in the  $\alpha$ -band as well as the  $\beta$ -band (more complex models were required for the upper end of the band range) (Gasser et al., 1988).

Different features of EEG could also be considered, in particular, absolute band power as an alternative to the relative band power used here. A previous EEG study found that both relative and absolute  $\delta$ -power decreased with age, but absolute power failed to yield significant results in

other bands, whilst relative power did not (Clarke et al., 2001). Further, one study found  $RBP(\cdot)$  is the optimal choice for diagnosis using EEG, perhaps suggesting absolute band power need not be investigated (Psatta et al., 2000).

### 4.5 Conclusions

In conclusion, our results suggest that whilst site and age have some impact on normative icEEG  $RBP(\cdot)$  in this cohort, sex does not. Our work might be considered the first attempt to study the relationship between these variables and icEEG in a normative setting. Our results highlight the importance of accounting for the heterogeneity in icEEG data by including covariates such as age and site (where applicable) in future normative mapping work.

Sample size is a key discussion point of this study, being both a strength and limitation of our work. Whilst we have collected one of the largest icEEG datasets to date, some of our results are limited by number of subjects per region. Future work could strive to address this, whilst also considering the impact of non-linear models, or the effects of using a different EEG feature.

We propose that the dynamic nature of normative mapping should be acknowledged in future icEEG research, rather than only considering a static viewpoint that does not account for variables such as age. Further, multi-centre work needs to investigate and model the impact of using data from several recording sites to ensure the accurate interpretation of any results.

## 5 Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Computational Neurology, Neuroscience & Psychiatry Lab (www.cnnplab.com) for discussions on the analysis and manuscript; P.N.T. and Y.W. are both supported by UKRI Future Leaders Fellowships (MR/T04294X/1, MR/V026569/1). H.W. and C.S. are supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EP/L015358/1); J.S.D. is supported by the NIHR UCLH/UCL Biomedical Research Centre. C.K. is supported by Epilepsy Research UK (OSR/0550/ERUK). R.A. is supported by the Clinical and Translational Science Award, Grant UL1TR002373, NIH/NCATS. G.M.I. was funded by the Abe Bresver Chair in Functional Neurosurgery at the Hospital for Sick Children.

## References

- Anne T Berg, Barbara G Vickrey, John T Langfitt, Michael R Sperling, Thaddeus S Walczak, Shlomo Shinnar, Carl W Bazil, Steven V Pacia, Susan S Spencer, and Multicenter Study of Epilepsy Surgery. The multicenter study of epilepsy surgery: recruitment and selection for surgery. *Epilepsia*, 44(11):1425–1433, November 2003.
- John M Bernabei, Nishant Sinha, T Campbell Arnold, Erin Conrad, Ian Ong, Akash R Pattnaik, Joel M Stein, Russell T Shinohara, Timothy H Lucas, Dani S Bassett, Kathryn A Davis, and Brian Litt. Normative intracranial EEG maps epileptogenic tissues in focal epilepsy. *Brain*, 145(6):1949–1961, 05 2022. ISSN 0006-8950. doi: 10.1093/brain/awab480. URL https://doi. org/10.1093/brain/awab480.
- Boris C Bernhardt, Leonardo Bonilha, and Donald W Gross. Network analysis for a network disorder: The emerging role of graph theory in the study of epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav.*, 50: 162–170, September 2015.
- Boris C Bernhardt, Fatemeh Fadaie, Min Liu, Benoit Caldairou, Shi Gu, Elizabeth Jefferies, Jonathan Smallwood, Danielle S Bassett, Andrea Bernasconi, and Neda Bernasconi. Temporal lobe epilepsy. *Neurology*, 92(19):e2209–e2220, May 2019.
- R A I Bethlehem, J Seidlitz, S R White, J W Vogel, K M Anderson, C Adamson, S Adler, G S Alexopoulos, E Anagnostou, A Areces-Gonzalez, D E Astle, B Auyeung, M Ayub, J Bae, G Ball, S Baron-Cohen, R Beare, S A Bedford, V Benegal, F Beyer, J Blangero, M Blesa Cábez, J P Boardman, M Borzage, J F Bosch-Bayard, N Bourke, V D Calhoun, M M Chakravarty, C Chen, C Chertavian, G Chetelat, Y S Chong, J H Cole, A Corvin, M Costantino, E Courchesne, F Crivello, V L Cropley, J Crosbie, N Crossley, M Delarue, R Delorme, S Desrivieres, G A Devenyi, M A Di Biase, R Dolan, K A Donald, G Donohoe, K Dunlop, A D Edwards, J T Elison, C T Ellis, J A Elman, L Eyler, D A Fair, E Feczko, P C Fletcher, P Fonagy, C E Franz, L Galan-Garcia, A Gholipour, J Giedd, J H Gilmore, D C Glahn, I M Goodyer, P E Grant, N A Groenewold, F M Gunning, R E Gur, R C Gur, C F Hammill, O Hansson, T Hedden, A Heinz,

R N Henson, K Heuer, J Hoare, B Holla, A J Holmes, R Holt, H Huang, K Im, J Ipser, C R Jack, Jr, A P Jackowski, T Jia, K A Johnson, P B Jones, D T Jones, R S Kahn, H Karlsson, L Karlsson, R Kawashima, E A Kelley, S Kern, K W Kim, M G Kitzbichler, W S Kremen, F Lalonde, B Landeau, S Lee, J Lerch, J D Lewis, J Li, W Liao, C Liston, M V Lombardo, J Lv, C Lynch, T T Mallard, M Marcelis, R D Markello, S R Mathias, B Mazoyer, P McGuire, M J Meaney, A Mechelli, N Medic, B Misic, S E Morgan, D Mothersill, J Nigg, M Q W Ong, C Ortinau, R Ossenkoppele, M Ouyang, L Palaniyappan, L Paly, P M Pan, C Pantelis, M M Park, T Paus, Z Pausova, D Paz-Linares, A Pichet Binette, K Pierce, X Qian, J Qiu, A Qiu, A Raznahan, T Rittman, A Rodrigue, C K Rollins, R Romero-Garcia, L Ronan, M D Rosenberg, D H Rowitch, G A Salum, T D Satterthwaite, H L Schaare, R J Schachar, A P Schultz, G Schumann, M Schöll, D Sharp, R T Shinohara, I Skoog, C D Smyser, R A Sperling, D J Stein, A Stolicyn, J Suckling, G Sullivan, Y Taki, B Thyreau, R Toro, N Traut, K A Tsvetanov, N B Turk-Browne, J J Tuulari, C Tzourio, É Vachon-Presseau, M J Valdes-Sosa, P A Valdes-Sosa, S L Valk, T van Amelsvoort, S N Vandekar, L Vasung, L W Victoria, S Villeneuve, A Villringer, P E Vértes, K Wagstyl, Y S Wang, S K Warfield, V Warrier, E Westman, M L Westwater, H C Whalley, A V Witte, N Yang, B Yeo, H Yun, A Zalesky, H J Zar, A Zettergren, J H Zhou, H Ziauddeen, A Zugman, X N Zuo, 3R-BRAIN, AIBL, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, Alzheimer's Disease Repository Without Borders Investigators, CALM Team, Cam-CAN, CCNP, COBRE, cVEDA, ENIGMA Developmental Brain Age Working Group, Developing Human Connectome Project, FinnBrain, Harvard Aging Brain Study, IMAGEN, KNE96, Mayo Clinic Study of Aging, NSPN, POND, PREVENT-AD Research Group, VETSA, E T Bullmore, and A F Alexander-Bloch. Brain charts for the human lifespan. Nature, 604(7906):525–533, April 2022.

- Richard Betzel, John Medaglia, Ari Kahn, Jonathan Soffer, Daniel Schonhaut, and Danielle Bassett. Structural, geometric and genetic factors predict interregional brain connectivity patterns probed by electrocorticography. *Nature Biomedical Engineering*, 3:1, 11 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41551-019-0404-5.
- Jorge Bosch-Bayard, Eduardo Aubert-Vazquez, Shawn T. Brown, Christine Rogers, Gregory Kiar, Tristan Glatard, Lalet Scaria, Lidice Galan-Garcia, Maria L. Bringas-Vega, Trinidad Virues-

Alba, Armin Taheri, Samir Das, Cecile Madjar, Zia Mohaddes, Leigh MacIntyre, CHBMP , Alan C. Evans, and Pedro A. Valdes-Sosa. A quantitative eeg toolbox for the mni neuroinformatics ecosystem: Normative spm of eeg source spectra. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 14, 2020a. ISSN 1662-5196. doi: 10.3389/fninf.2020.00033. URL https://www.frontiersin.org/ articles/10.3389/fninf.2020.00033.

- Jorge Bosch-Bayard, Lidice Galan, Eduardo Aubert Vazquez, Trinidad Virues Alba, and Pedro A. Valdes-Sosa. Resting state healthy eeg: The first wave of the cuban normative database. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 14, 2020b. ISSN 1662-453X. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2020.555119. URL https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2020.555119.
- Cam-CAN, Meredith A. Shafto, Lorraine K. Tyler, Marie Dixon, Jason R. Taylor, James B. Rowe, Rhodri Cusack, Andrew J. Calder, William D. Marslen-Wilson, John Duncan, Tim Dalgleish, Richard N. Henson, Carol Brayne, Ed Bullmore, Karen Campbell, Teresa Cheung, Simon Davis, Linda Geerligs, Rogier Kievit, Anna McCarrey, Darren Price, David Samu, Matthias Treder, Kamen Tsvetanov, Nitin Williams, Lauren Bates, Tina Emery, Sharon Erzinçlioglu, Andrew Gadie, Sofia Gerbase, Stanimira Georgieva, Claire Hanley, Beth Parkin, David Troy, Jodie Allen, Gillian Amery, Liana Amunts, Anne Barcroft, Amanda Castle, Cheryl Dias, Jonathan Dowrick, Melissa Fair, Hayley Fisher, Anna Goulding, Adarsh Grewal, Geoff Hale, Andrew Hilton, Frances Johnson, Patricia Johnston, Thea Kavanagh-Williamson, and Magdalena Kwasniewska. The cambridge centre for ageing and neuroscience (cam-can) study protocol: A cross-sectional, lifespan, multidisciplinary examination of healthy cognitive ageing. *BMC Neurology*, 14(1), 2014. ISSN 1471-2377. doi: 10.1186/s12883-014-0204-1. Publisher Copyright: © 2014 Shafto et al.
- Adele E. Cave and Robert J. Barry. Sex differences in resting eeg in healthy young adults. International Journal of Psychophysiology, 161:35-43, 2021. ISSN 0167-8760. doi: https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2021.01.008. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S0167876021000209.
- Adam R Clarke, Robert J Barry, Rory McCarthy, and Mark Selikowitz. Age and sex effects in the eeg: development of the normal child. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 112(5):806–814, 2001.

ISSN 1388-2457. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1388-2457(01)00488-6. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1388245701004886.

- C. Edward Coffey, Joseph F. Lucke, Judith A. Saxton, Graham Ratcliff, Lori Jo Unitas, Brenda Billig, and R. Nick Bryan. Sex Differences in Brain Aging: A Quantitative Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study. Archives of Neurology, 55(2):169–179, 02 1998. ISSN 0003-9942. doi: 10.1001/ archneur.55.2.169. URL https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.55.2.169.
- Anup Das, Carlo de Los Angeles, and Vinod Menon. Electrophysiological foundations of the human default-mode network revealed by intracranial-EEG recordings during resting-state and cognition. *Neuroimage*, 250(118927):118927, April 2022.
- Frank H. Duffy, Gloria B. McAnulty, and Marilyn S. Albert. The pattern of age-related differences in electrophysiological activity of healthy males and females. *Neurobiology of Aging*, 14(1):73– 84, 1993. ISSN 0197-4580. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-4580(93)90025-7. URL https: //www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0197458093900257.
- Bruce Fischl. Freesurfer. NeuroImage, 62(2):774-781, 2012. ISSN 1053-8119. doi: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.01.021. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S1053811912000389. 20 YEARS OF fMRI.
- Birgit Frauscher, Nicolas von Ellenrieder, Rina Zelmann, Irena Doležalová, Lorella Minotti, André Olivier, Jeffery Hall, Hoffmann Dominique, Nguyen Dang Khoa, Philippe Kahane, François Dubeau, and Jean Gotman. Atlas of the normal intracranial electroencephalogram: Neurophysiological awake activity in different cortical areas. *Brain : a journal of neurology*, 141, 03 2018a. doi: 10.1093/brain/awy035.
- Birgit Frauscher, Nicolás von Ellenrieder, Rina Zelmann, Christine Rogers, Dang Khoa Nguyen, Philippe Kahane, François Dubeau, and Jean Gotman. High-frequency oscillations in the normal human brain. Ann. Neurol., July 2018b.
- Sarah J Gascoigne, Nathan Evans, Gerard Hall, Csaba Kozma, Mariella Panagiotopoulou, Gabrielle M Schroeder, Callum Simpson, Christopher Thornton, Frances Turner, Heather Wood-

house, et al. Incomplete resection of the iceeg seizure onset zone is not associated with postsurgical outcomes. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.14434, 2023.

- Theo Gasser, Rolf Verleger, Petra Bächer, and Lothar Sroka. Development of the eeg of school-age children and adolescents. i. analysis of band power. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, 69(2):91–99, 1988. ISSN 0013-4694. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(88) 90204-0. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0013469488902040.
- Hannah E. Goldstein, Elliot H. Smith, Robert E. Gross, Barbara C. Jobst, Bradley C. Lega, Michael R. Sperling, Gregory A. Worrell, Kareem A. Zaghloul, Paul A. Wanda, Michael J. Kahana, Daniel S. Rizzuto, Catherine A. Schevon, Guy M. McKhann, and Sameer A. Sheth. Risk of seizures induced by intracranial research stimulation: Analysis of 770 stimulation sessions. *Journal of neural engineering*, 16(6), November 2019. ISSN 1741-2560. doi: 10.1088/1741-2552/ab4365. Publisher Copyright: © 2019 IOP Publishing Ltd.
- Carlos Gomez, Elena Rodríguez-Martínez, Fernando Maestú, Jesús Poza, and Carlos Gómez. Absolute power spectral density changes in the magnetoencephalographic activity during the transition from childhood to adulthood. *Brain Topography*, 30, 01 2017. doi: 10.1007/ s10548-016-0532-0.
- Athanasios Grivas, Johannes Schramm, Thomas Kral, Marec Von Lehe, Christoph Helmstaedter, Christian E. Elger, and Hans Clusmann. Surgical treatment for refractory temporal lobe epilepsy in the elderly: Seizure outcome and neuropsychological sequels compared with a younger cohort. *Epilepsia*, 47(8):1364–1372, 2006. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2006.00608.x. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2006.00608.x.
- David M. Groppe, Stephan Bickel, Corey J. Keller, Sanjay K. Jain, Sean T. Hwang, Cynthia Harden, and Ashesh D. Mehta. Dominant frequencies of resting human brain activity as measured by the electrocorticogram. *NeuroImage*, 79:223-233, 2013. ISSN 1053-8119. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.044. URL https://www.sciencedirect. com/science/article/pii/S1053811913003911.

- Carlos Gómez, Jose M Pérez-Macías, Jesús Poza, Alberto Fernández, and Roberto Hornero. Spectral changes in spontaneous meg activity across the lifespan. Journal of Neural Engineering, 10(6):066006, oct 2013. doi: 10.1088/1741-2560/10/6/066006. URL https://dx.doi.org/10. 1088/1741-2560/10/6/066006.
- Patric Hagmann, Leila Cammoun, Xavier Gigandet, Reto Meuli, Christopher Honey, Van Wedeen, and Olaf Sporns. Mapping the structural core of human cerebral cortex. *PLoS biology*, 6:e159, 08 2008. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0060159.
- Liberty S Hamilton, David L Chang, Morgan B Lee, and Edward F Chang. Semi-automated anatomical labeling and inter-subject warping of high-density intracranial recording electrodes in electrocorticography. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 11:62, 2017.
- Ali Hashemi, Lou J. Pino, Graeme Moffat, Karen J. Mathewson, Chris Aimone, Patrick J. Bennett, Louis A. Schmidt, and Allison B. Sekuler. Characterizing population eeg dynamics throughout adulthood. *eNeuro*, 3(6), 2016. doi: 10.1523/ENEURO.0275-16.2016. URL https://www. eneuro.org/content/3/6/ENEURO.0275-16.2016.
- T Hinault, S Baillet, and S M Courtney. Age-related changes of deep-brain neurophysiological activity. *Cerebral Cortex*, 33(7):3960–3968, 08 2022. ISSN 1047-3211. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhac319. URL https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac319.
- Vytene Janiukstyte, Thomas W. Owen, Umair J. Chaudhary, Beate Diehl, Louis Lemieux, John S. Duncan, Jane de Tisi, Yujiang Wang, and Peter N. Taylor. Normative brain mapping using scalp eeg and potential clinical application. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1), December 2023. ISSN 2045-2322. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-39700-7.
- Giridhar P. Kalamangalam, Sarah Long, and Mircea I. Chelaru. A neurophysiological brain map: Spectral parameterization of the human intracranial electroencephalogram. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 131(3):665–675, 2020. ISSN 1388-2457. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2019.11.061. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1388245719313707.

- Csaba Kozma, Gabrielle Schroeder, Tom Owen, Jane de Tisi, Andrew W. McEvoy, Anna Miserocchi, John Duncan, Yujiang Wang, and Peter N. Taylor. Identifying epileptogenic abnormality by decomposing intracranial EEG and MEG power spectra. arXiv e-prints, art. arXiv:2310.06515, October 2023. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2310.06515.
- Mark A Kramer and Sydney S Cash. Epilepsy as a disorder of cortical network organization. *Neuroscientist*, 18(4):360–372, August 2012.
- Naoto Kuroda, Masaki Sonoda, Makoto Miyakoshi, Hiroki Nariai, Jeong-Won Jeong, Hirotaka Motoi, Aimee F Luat, Sandeep Sood, and Eishi Asano. Objective interictal electrophysiology biomarkers optimize prediction of epilepsy surgery outcome. *Brain Commun.*, 3(2):fcab042, March 2021.
- Kai J Miller. A library of human electrocorticographic data and analyses. Nat. Hum. Behav., 3 (11):1225–1235, November 2019.
- Guiomar Niso, Francois Tadel, Elizabeth Bock, Martin Cousineau, Andrés Santos, and Sylvain Baillet. Brainstorm pipeline analysis of resting-state data from the open meg archive. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 13, 2019. ISSN 1662-453X. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2019.00284. URL https: //www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2019.00284.
- Erfan Nozari, Maxwell A Bertolero, Jennifer Stiso, Lorenzo Caciagli, Eli J Cornblath, Xiaosong He, Arun S Mahadevan, George J Pappas, and Dani Smith Bassett. Is the brain macroscopically linear? a system identification of resting state dynamics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.12351*, 2020.
- Lauren R. Ott, Samantha H. Penhale, Brittany K. Taylor, Brandon J. Lew, Yu-Ping Wang, Vince D. Calhoun, Julia M. Stephen, and Tony W. Wilson. Spontaneous cortical meg activity undergoes unique age- and sex-related changes during the transition to adolescence. *NeuroIm*age, 244:118552, 2021. ISSN 1053-8119. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118552. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1053811921008259.
- Thomas W. Owen, Vytene Janiukstyte, Gerard R. Hall, Jonathan J. Horsley, Andrew McEvoy, Anna Miserocchi, Jane de Tisi, John S. Duncan, Fergus Rugg-Gunn, Yujiang Wang, and Peter N.

Taylor. Identifying epileptogenic abnormalities through spatial clustering of meg interictal band power. *Epilepsia Open*, 8(3):1151–1156, 2023a. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/epi4.12767. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/epi4.12767.

- Thomas W. Owen, Gabrielle M. Schroeder, Vytene Janiukstyte, Gerard R. Hall, Andrew McEvoy, Anna Miserocchi, Jane de Tisi, John S. Duncan, Fergus Rugg-Gunn, Yujiang Wang, and Peter N. Taylor. Meg abnormalities and mechanisms of surgical failure in neocortical epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, 64(3):692–704, 2023b. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.17503. URL https://onlinelibrary. wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/epi.17503.
- Dan Psatta, Mircea Olaru, and Mihaela Matei. Eeg relative power versus absolute power mappingadvantages, disadvantages. 2000:21–33, 01 2000.
- Genevieve Rayner and Chris Tailby. Current concepts of memory disorder in epilepsy: Edging towards a network account. *Curr. Neurol. Neurosci. Rep.*, 17(8), August 2017.
- Saige Rutherford, Pieter Barkema, Ivy F Tso, Chandra Sripada, Christian F Beckmann, Henricus G Ruhe, and Andre F Marquand. Evidence for embracing normative modeling. *eLife*, 12: e85082, mar 2023. ISSN 2050-084X. doi: 10.7554/eLife.85082. URL https://doi.org/10. 7554/eLife.85082.
- Lianne H Scholtens, Siemon C de Lange, and Martijn P van den Heuvel. Simple brain plot, 2021. URL https://zenodo.org/record/5346593.
- D Taussig, A Montavont, and J Isnard. Invasive EEG explorations. *Neurophysiol. Clin.*, 45(1): 113–119, March 2015.
- Delphine Taussig, Axel Lebas, Mathilde Chipaux, Maryvonne Jan, Martine Fohlen, Christine Bulteau, Nathalie Dorison, Sarah Ferrand-Sorbets, Olivier Delalande, and Georg Dorfmüller. Stereo-electroencephalography (seeg) in children surgically cured of their epilepsy. *Neurophysiologie Clinique/Clinical Neurophysiology*, 46(1):3–15, 2016. ISSN 0987-7053. doi: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neucli.2015.12.001. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/S0987705316000022.

- Peter N Taylor, Christoforos A Papasavvas, Thomas W Owen, Gabrielle M Schroeder, Frances E Hutchings, Fahmida A Chowdhury, Beate Diehl, John S Duncan, Andrew W McEvoy, Anna Miserocchi, Jane de Tisi, Sjoerd B Vos, Matthew C Walker, and Yujiang Wang. Normative brain mapping of interictal intracranial EEG to localize epileptogenic tissue. *Brain*, 145(3): 939–949, 01 2022. ISSN 0006-8950. doi: 10.1093/brain/awab380. URL https://doi.org/10. 1093/brain/awab380.
- Marton Toth, Kata Szilvia Papp, Noemi Gede, Kornelia Farkas, Sandor Kovacs, Jean Isnard, Koichi Hagiwara, Csilla Gyimesi, Diana Kuperczko, Tamas Doczi, and Jozsef Janszky. Surgical outcomes related to invasive EEG monitoring with subdural grids or depth electrodes in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Seizure*, 70:12–19, August 2019.
- Yujiang Wang, Nishant Sinha, Gabrielle M Schroeder, Sriharsha Ramaraju, Andrew W McEvoy, Anna Miserocchi, Jane de Tisi, Fahmida A Chowdhury, Beate Diehl, John S Duncan, et al. Interictal intracranial electroencephalography for predicting surgical success: The importance of space and time. *Epilepsia*, 61(7):1417–1426, 2020.
- Yujiang Wang, Gabrielle M. Schroeder, Jonathan J. Horsley, Mariella Panagiotopoulou, Fahmida A. Chowdhury, Beate Diehl, John S. Duncan, Andrew W. McEvoy, Anna Miserocchi, Jane de Tisi, and Peter N. Taylor. Temporal stability of intracranial electroencephalographic abnormality maps for localizing epileptogenic tissue. *Epilepsia*, 64(8):2070–2080, 2023. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.17663. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/ 10.1111/epi.17663.
- W J E M Zweiphenning, M A van 't Klooster, E van Diessen, N E C van Klink, G J M Huiskamp, T A Gebbink, F S S Leijten, P H Gosselaar, W M Otte, C J Stam, K P J Braun, and G J M Zijlmans. High frequency oscillations and high frequency functional network characteristics in the intraoperative electrocorticogram in epilepsy. *NeuroImage Clin.*, 12:928–939, February 2016.
- Willemiek J E M Zweiphenning, Hanneke M Keijzer, Eric van Diessen, Maryse A van 't Klooster, Nicole E C van Klink, Frans S S Leijten, Peter C van Rijen, Michel J A M van Putten, Kees P J Braun, and Maeike Zijlmans. Increased gamma and decreased fast ripple connections of epileptic

tissue: A high-frequency directed network approach. *Epilepsia*, 60(9):1908–1920, September 2019.

## Supplementary

# S1 No evidence of a non-linear relationship between band power and age

We decided a linear mixed model would be suitable for this work (Section 2.5) as we did not see strong evidence that the relationship between  $RBP(\cdot)$  and age was non-linear. Figure S1 shows all data at the whole-brain level, and presents a generally linear trend in each frequency band.



**Figure S1:** Scatter plots of  $RBP(\cdot)$  against age in each frequency band, using the original data at the whole-brain level

## S2 Validity of mirroring data in our parcellation

In Section 2.4 we described the mirroring of symmetric regions in order to increase the sample size in each region. The only instance where we mirror across the midline is found in Figure 3B. Here we repeat that figure, without mirroring symmetric regions, to demonstrate that the main results are unchanged.

Figure S2 demonstrates that results are symmetric when using the original data, and the overarching trends are unchanged. We see a decrease in RBP( $\delta$ ) and RBP( $\theta$ ) with age, and an increase in RBP( $\alpha$ ), RBP( $\beta$ ) and RBP( $\gamma$ ). There is no spatial specificity in the results, and trends are weaker in the  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$  bands. This is all in line with results presented in Result 3.4.



Figure S2: Values of  $b_{age}$  from the age model implemented at the region-level for the scale 36 atlas. Values are shown for each ROI and each frequency band of interest. The colour scale is symmetric and fixed across frequency bands with blue representing negative regression coefficients and red representing positive ones. The original data has been used so results are not reflected across the midline.

## S3 Robustness to parcellation choice

Our main results use the coarsest scale parcellation, scale 36, with data mirrored across hemispheres for sample size reasons. Here, we repeat Figure 3B again in a finer-grained parcellation, the scale 60 atlas. Again, the data has *not* been mirrored.

Due to a decrease in sample size per region, more regions produce a singular fit. Using the scale 36 atlas, as shown in Figure 4, there are a total of 9 singular fits out of 190 total fits (38 ROIs  $\times$  5 bands). When using the scale 60 atlas, without mirroring, there a 65 singular fits out of 610 total fits (122 ROIs  $\times$  5 bands), which is a significantly larger portion – approximately double. This is due to the LMM fitted in each ROI being too complex for the size of the data at this finer-grained parcellation. For this reason, we did not consider any more finer-grained atlases.

Nevertheless, as shown in Figure S3, using the scale 60 atlas, results are reasonably symmetric in  $\delta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Any deviations from symmetry/expected results, tend to lie near the midline, whose regions have lower sample sizes than lateral ones. The  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$  bands do not exhibit symmetry, but as outlined in Section 3.3, their relationship with age was weak.

We conclude that while the model under consideration is only suitable for the parcellation applied in the main paper, symmetry holds to a satisfactory level when considering one finergrained parcellation.



**Figure S3:** Values of  $\hat{b}_{age}$  from the age model implemented at the region-level for the scale 60 atlas. Values are shown for each ROI and each frequency band of interest. The colour scale is symmetric and fixed across frequency bands with blue representing negative regression coefficients and red representing positive ones. The original data has been used so results are not reflected across the midline.

## S4 Age distributions at ROI-level

Results 3.4 and 3.5, employ the age model at the regional level. Whilst we discuss the number of subjects per model, this could be misleading in this context if, for example, a highly populated ROI only consisted of a very narrow age range. Such a scenario would undoubtedly impact any results surrounding  $\hat{b}_{aqe}$ .

Hence, we have calculated the 10-90th percentile age range in each region and plotted this against the regions  $\hat{b}_{age}$  value. This has been repeated for all five frequency bands and the result can be seen in Figure S4.

All ROIs have a minimum 10-90th percentile age range of 35 years, with the majority of regions reaching over 40. Additionally, in all five frequency bands, there is no correlation between  $\hat{b}_{age}$ and the distribution of ages, as confirmed by Spearman's method. Therefore, there is a reasonable distribution of subject ages in each ROI, and there is no evidence that this distribution is influencing



regional results. It is acceptable to discuss sample size of regions as the influencing factor in the relevant results.

**Figure S4:** Values of  $\hat{b}_{age}$  from the age model implemented at the region-level for the scale 36 atlas plotted against the 10-90th percentile range of subject ages in that region. Results are given for all frequency bands with Spearman's correlation coefficient and resulting *p*-value in the top-right corner.