

---

# SPATIAL CONTEXT-BASED SELF-SUPERVISED LEARNING FOR HANDWRITTEN TEXT RECOGNITION

---

A PREPRINT

**Carlos Penarrubia**  
University of Alicante  
carlos.penarrubia@ua.es

**Carlos Garrido-Munoz**  
University of Alicante  
carlos.garrido@ua.es

**Jorge Calvo-Zaragoza**  
University of Alicante  
jcalvo@dlsi.ua.es

**Jose J. Valero-Mas**  
University of Alicante  
jjvalero@dlsi.ua.es

April 18, 2024

## ABSTRACT

Handwritten Text Recognition (HTR) is a relevant problem in computer vision, and implies unique challenges owing to its inherent variability and the rich contextualization required for its interpretation. Despite the success of Self-Supervised Learning (SSL) in computer vision, its application to HTR has been rather scattered, leaving key SSL methodologies unexplored. This work focuses on one of them, namely Spatial Context-based SSL. We investigate how this family of approaches can be adapted and optimized for HTR and propose new workflows that leverage the unique features of handwritten text. Our experiments demonstrate that the methods considered lead to advancements in the state-of-the-art of SSL for HTR in a number of benchmark cases.

**Keywords** Self-Supervised Learning · Handwritten text recognition · Spatial Context

## 1 Introduction

Handwritten text recognition (HTR) is the research area in the field of computer vision whose objective is to transcribe the textual content of a written manuscript into a digital machine-readable format [73]. This field not only plays a key role in the current digital era of handwriting by electronic means (such as tablets) [11], but is also of paramount relevance for the preservation, indexing and dissemination of historical manuscripts that exist solely in a physical format [56].

HTR has developed considerably over the last decade owing to the emergence of Deep Learning [57], which has greatly increased its performance. However, in order to attain competitive results, these solutions usually require large volumes of manually-labelled data, which is the principal bottleneck of this method. One means by which to alleviate this problem, Self-Supervised Learning (SSL), has recently gained considerable attention from the research community [61]. SSL employs what is termed as a *pretext* task to leverage collections of unlabelled data for the training of neural models in order to obtain descriptive and intelligible representations [8], thus reducing the need for large amounts of labelled data [4].

The pretext tasks can be framed in different categories according to their working principle [34, 61], with the following being some of the main existing families: (i) image generation strategies [63, 46], which focus on recovering the original distribution of the data from defined distortions or corruptions; (ii) contrastive learning methods [60, 33], whose objective is to learn representative and discernible codifications of the data, and (iii) spatial context methods [27, 58], which focus on either estimating geometric transformations performed on the data [27]—*i.e.* spatial information—or inferring the disposition of a set of patches in which the image is divided as a puzzle [21, 58]—*i.e.* structural information.

Nevertheless, the development of SSL in computer vision has predominantly focused on image classification [45], while other domains such as HTR have garnered noticeably less attention [36]. In this respect, while there are several research efforts that explore ad-hoc adaptations from strategies used in classification tasks [3, 81, 50] or new methods particularly devised for these cases [49, 66], there is a remarkable scarcity of proposals, thus hindering the development of the HTR field.




Figure 1: (a) Existing spatial methods for SSL particularly designed for image classification characteristics. (b) We propose two new approaches adapted to the specific features of HTR: (i) “flip” as a geometric transformation; (ii) “sorting” as a puzzle transformation.

This work was motivated by the relevance of spatial information in HTR tasks [49], together with the conceptual simplicity and competitive performance of spatial context-based SSL strategies, and focuses on the adaptation of a reference set of such approaches to the HTR field. More precisely, we propose two alternatives that enable the use of these SSL strategies in HTR scenarios (see Fig. 1): (i) adapting the HTR task to the original (or classification-based) SSL method, namely *input adaptation*, and (ii) adapting the particular SSL method to the characteristics of HTR task, namely *method adaptation*. These proposals are comprehensively evaluated by considering several reference HTR corpora and are compared to the reference strategies followed in the field. The results obtained show that the proposed SSL strategies not only provide better recognition rates than exclusively relying on annotated data but also outperform the existing state-of-the-art methods for SSL pre-training of HTR.

The remainder of the work is structured as follows: Section 2 contextualizes the current work within the related literature of the field, while Section 3 introduces the strategies devised for spatial context-based SSL for HTR; Section 4 presents the experimental set-up considered, and Section 5 presents and analyses the results obtained. Finally, Section 6 provides the conclusion found after carrying out this work.

## 2 Related work

### 2.1 Handwritten Text Recognition

While HTR initially relied on Hidden Markov Models [40, 23, 68, 71], advances in Machine Learning, and specifically in Deep Learning, favoured the use of Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) owing to their capacity to model sequential data [28, 17, 42, 31]. In particular, the use of bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory (LSTMs) networks with Connectionist Temporal Classification (CTC) [28] has been the state of the art in HTR competitions for decades [5, 70, 69, 2]. Despite this prevalence of CTC, attention-based encoder-decoder approaches [7] have recently gained popularity owing to their competitive results [37, 41, 1, 18]. For a detailed review of this field, see the work of Michael et al. [52], which provides a comprehensive study of the various sequence-to-sequence approaches for HTR.

As has occurred in many other areas, there has been a growing interest in the use of more parallelizable architectures such as the Transformer [72] by adapting the work of [22] to the HTR field [10, 64, 67, 26, 20, 65]. HTR has benefited from this adaptation, either in isolation with an encoder-decoder [43, 54, 55, 74] or in combination with the CTC objective function [75, 19, 9]. The work of Diaz et al. [20] explores universal architectures for text recognition, concluding that a CNN backbone with a Transformer encoder, a CTC-based decoder, plus an explicit language model,

is the most effective strategy to date. Regardless of this progress, however, the need for large labelled corpora as a pre-training strategy in Transformer-based models has become noticeable,[43, 20, 47, 79, 48]. This has led the SSL field to attract more interest in the HTR field owing to the usual scarcity of annotated data for particular domains.

## 2.2 Self-Supervised Text Recognition

SSL is currently a promising alternative for the pre-training of text recognition models, especially when addressing scenarios in which there is a scarcity of labelled data [4]. In this context, the first work that tackles this issue is SeqCLR [3], which adapts the SimCLR contrastive learning method [13] to the sequential nature of text recognition. Please note that, while this work is tested for both HTR and Scene Text Recognition (STR), subsequent SSL proposals have been specifically devised in order to address the nuances of each scenario. We now introduce some of the most relevant approaches in each case.

With regard to STR, PerSEC [44] is a competitive example of a contrastive framework based on extracting low-level stroke and high-level semantic contextual spaces simultaneously via a hierarchical learning approach. SimAN [49], on the contrary, is framed within a generative strategy based on recovering the original format of an image crop by means of another non-overlapping crop of the same datum. This work highlights the close relationship between HTR and spatial context information. Other noticeable generative approaches rely on Mask Image Modelling for the pre-training of full Transformer architectures, as occurs in [50, 66, 77].

In the case of HTR, the current state of the art is defined by ChaCo [81], which takes advantage of Momentum Contrast [29, 15] to pre-train a visual feature extractor by considering a *Character Unit Cropping* module, which ensures that two crops of the same HTR image have an overlapping part. The same authors also show that the pre-training of only the visual feature extractor and not the sequence one improves the state of the art in semi-supervised scenarios, and this is also supported by the work of Luo et al. [49].

## 2.3 Spatial context-based methods

Image data inherently encodes spatial information, which can be exploited in order to pre-train recognition models. According to this, SSL approaches based on this principle constitute rather simple yet effective pretext tasks. However, despite being largely explored in classification frameworks, they have rarely been studied in text recognition. We now present the two principal families of approaches that follow this principle, in addition to highlighting the main works related to them.

In terms of *geometric transformations*, the work of Gidaris et. al. [27] introduced the concept of predicting rotation alteration on image data as an SSL strategy. Its success in terms of both performance and simplicity led other works to explore this principle in greater depth in order to further exploit this premise [59, 80, 14, 24, 76].

Since the relative position among patches of an image encompasses rich spatial and contextual information, there are several pretext tasks that exploit this premise, namely *jigsaw puzzle* strategies. Some of the most common approaches rely on predicting the relative positions of two patches of an image [21] or recognising the order of a shuffled sequence of patches of an image [58, 12, 62, 53, 78].

Spatial context is rarely exploited in image-based text recognition tasks. Nevertheless, the competitive results that are obtained by employing these strategies for classification tasks, together with the strong relationship between spatial information and HTR—owing to stroke and font style—, suggest that, if adequately adapted, text recognition models could benefit from pre-training methods of this nature. Note that our work not only seeks to understand and unravel the existing spatial context SSL methods, but also presents innovative methods that specifically leverage and capitalize on this spatial context, thus providing new perspectives and advancements within HTR.

# 3 Methodology

This section introduces the general recognition framework considered, after which the proposed spatial context-based SSL methods are presented and described. Fig. 2 depicts a graphical example of the proposed pretext tasks for HTR.

## 3.1 Encoder-decoder architecture

In this paper, a state-of-the-art encoder-decoder pipeline is adopted for text recognition, as shown in Fig. 3. The encoder is responsible for extracting the visual and sequential characteristics of an image, while the decoder is responsible for transforming the representation into the output text.




Figure 2: Pre-training pipeline for the different pretext tasks proposed in the work. An image is transformed according to the method and the subsequent layers handle the task. Note that Rotation, Flip and the Jigsaw puzzle predict a single class from the sets presented, while the Sorting method predicts a sequence.




Figure 3: Encoder-decoder pipeline. The encoder is composed of a CNN that extracts the visual features for a given image. After a map-to-sequence operation, an RNN extracts the sequential features in order to provide the final representation. The decoder, which may in this case be either Connectionist Temporal Classification or a Transformer Decoder, transforms the representation into the output text.

**Encoder.** Given an image  $I \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times H \times W}$  as input, where  $c$  is the input channels,  $H$  is the height and  $W$  is the width, the first goes through a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)  $\text{cnn} : \mathbb{R}^{c \times H \times W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{c' \times H' \times W'}$ , which is responsible for extracting visual features, where  $c'$  is the number of feature maps of size  $H' \times W'$ . A map-to-sequence operation  $m : \mathbb{R}^{c' \times H' \times W'} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{W' \times (c' \cdot H')}$  then reshapes the features into a sequence of frames. Finally, a Recurrent Neural Network (RNN)  $\text{rnn} : \mathbb{R}^{W' \times (c' \cdot H')} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{W' \times T}$  is responsible for extracting sequential features, where  $T$  is the output size that leads to the final representation  $S = [s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{W'}]$ . The encoder  $f(\cdot)$  can, therefore, be expressed as  $f(\cdot) = \text{rnn}(m(\text{cnn}(\cdot)))$

**Decoder.** Given the representation  $S$ , in this work two different text decoders are used: (i) a CTC decoder that separately decodes each frame of  $S$ , and (ii) a Transformer Decoder (TD), which is responsible for autoregressively producing the text characters on the basis of the representation  $S$  and the previously generated output.

### 3.2 SSL pipeline

This section presents the proposed adaptation of the main existing spatial context-based pretext tasks to HTR scenarios. Note that, for each pretext task, we present two possible means of enabling the use of these techniques in HTR scenarios: (i) adapting the data to a classification-oriented framework (*input adaptation*), and (ii) modifying the underlying nature of the pretext task in order to allow its used in sequential-based data (*method adaptation*).

### 3.2.1 Geometric transformations

As stated previously, one of the most relevant strategies in terms of geometric transformations is the prediction of rotations when applied to an image [27]. While a transformation of this nature can be applied directly in classification scenarios, the typology of the input in HTR data makes its direct use complicated, since: i) text images are rarely squared, signifying that most rotations alter the dimensionality of the axes, and ii) applying a rotation to such elements drastically changes the semantic meaning of the axes (characteristics and sequential order). We, therefore, now present the two possible adaptations of this technique to HTR scenarios:

- **Rotation (input adaptation).** Given that images in HTR rarely depict the same width, a fixed-size crop—for simplicity, we consider that a square crop of dimensions  $H \times H$ —of the input data must be extracted in order to be able to use this pretext task. This pre-training process can be formally described as follows: given an image  $I$ , a squared crop is made, obtaining  $I_{square}$ , after which a rotation is uniformly chosen from the set  $\{0^\circ, 90^\circ, 180^\circ, 270^\circ\}$ , retrieving image  $I_r$ . Finally, the CNN  $cnn(\cdot)$  will, together with a linear classification layer  $l(\cdot)$ , be responsible for solving the pretext task.
- **Flip (method adaptation).** An alternative to the aforementioned case consists of applying a flip transformation rather than the rotation transformation. The advantage of this for HTR is that it does not modify the sequential dimension of the data. Moreover, the unique asymmetry of writing, which lacks symmetry both vertically and horizontally, requires a model to have the ability to understand letter shapes, carry out recognition and discern whether a flip has been applied. Formally, given an image  $I$ , a crop is made in order to give the image  $I_{crop}$ . This element is then transformed using a flipping process that is randomly selected from the set  $\{\phi, \text{Horizontal (Hor.)}, \text{Vertical (Vert.)}, \text{Hor. and Vert. (Both)}\}$ . Finally, the CNN  $cnn(\cdot)$ , together with a linear classification layer  $l(\cdot)$ , predicts the transformation that has been applied.

### 3.2.2 Puzzle transformation

One of the most prominent methods in this family is the so-called *jigsaw puzzle*, which consists of rearranging a set of jumbled patches from the same image by predicting the index of the correct permutation [58]. Since this proposal was conceived for classification tasks in which images depict the same dimensions, we now present the alternatives conceived in order to enable its use in HTR images with different width dimensions.

- **Jigsaw puzzle (input adaptation).** The original method is maintained by extracting a square crop from the HTR image, and this is later processed by employing the jigsaw puzzle strategy. Formally, for a given image  $I$ , a square crop of  $H \times H$  dimensions is obtained from the image, obtaining  $I_{square}$ ; the image is initially reshaped to obtain  $I'_{square} \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times 150 \times 150}$  and then divided into 4 shuffled patches of dimensions  $75 \times 75$ ; these patches are further cropped into patches of size  $64 \times 64$ , *i.e.*,  $P = [p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4]$ , where  $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 64 \times 64}$ ; each patch is subsequently processed by the CNN feature extractor, whose results are concatenated and fed into a multi-layer perceptron that estimates the permutation performed.
- **Sorting (method adaptation).** The adaptation of the method proposed in this work consists of rearranging a fixed-size non-overlapping number of patches—experimental parameter—into which the HTR image is divided. Formally, given an input image  $I$  and a number of patches  $M$ —in this case,  $M \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ —, a list of patches  $P = [p_1, \dots, p_M]$ , where  $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times H \times \lfloor W/M \rfloor}$ , is obtained.  $P$  is then randomly unsorted and rejoined to form the image  $I_{shuffled}$ . Finally, the encoder  $f(\cdot)$  predicts the correct order of the patches using an auxiliary decoder.

## 4 Experimental setup

This section presents the datasets and figures of merit considered in order to validate the proposals presented, along with the information regarding the neural architectures used and their implementation details.

### 4.1 Datasets

The proposed methods are assessed using three reference public datasets for HTR: the IAM Handwritten Database [51], the CVL database(CVL) [39], and George Washington (G.W.) [25]. Tab. 1 provides a summary of the characteristics of the corpora at a word-level. For the pre-training, the SSL methods described above use only samples of the training split. We consider the Aachen split<sup>1</sup> for the IAM set and that shown in Fischer et al. [25] for Washington. Since CVL

<sup>1</sup><https://www.openslr.org/56/>

does not contain an official validation split, we use 10% of the training data for validation. Note that, in all cases, we transform the input images to grayscale and normalise them to  $H = 64$ , maintaining the aspect ratio.

Table 1: Overview of the corpora used in this work, depicting their characteristics (number of samples, size of the alphabet, number of writers, time period, and language).

	Images	Alphabet	Writers	Period	Language
<b>IAM</b> [51]	79 275	78	657	Modern	Eng
<b>G.W.</b> [25]	4 894	82	2	Historic	Eng
<b>CVL</b> [39]	99 904	53	310	Modern	Eng, Ger

The performance of the methods was analysed by employing two widely used text recognition metrics: the Character Error Rate (CER), defined as the normalised Levenshtein distance between ground-truth and prediction, and the Word Accuracy (WAcc).

## 4.2 Neural architectures

As mentioned previously, the recognition framework is based on an encoder-decoder scheme. Details of the precise neural architecture used are presented below.

- **Encoder:** We considered a slightly modified version of Resnet-34 [30], in which we used fewer channels in intermediate layers and reduced the aggressiveness of the pooling operations, obtaining a similar capacity in terms of parameters as in [16, 6]. We opted not to employ the ResNet-29 model used in the aforementioned works, since this particular architecture was specifically designed for STR tasks—and, more precisely, for addressing images of a fixed size of  $32 \times 100$ —in which the aspect ratio of the images is, unlike that which occurs with HTR, not particularly relevant.
- **Recurrent feature extractor:** The sequential nature of the HTR data in question was modelled by considering two stacked bidirectional LSTMs [32] with a hidden size of  $T = 256$ .
- **Transformer decoder:** We followed the vanilla decoder implementation used in Vaswani et al. [72], fixing a single decoding layer with 8 attention heads.

With regard to the training details, a maximum number of 1000 epochs with a patience of 50 on the validation loss has been considered for both the pre-training and the downstream stages. Note that, in the latter case, this patience is increased to 200 epochs when a reduced part is used. The weights of the model are optimized using the Adam method [38], fixing the learning rate values to  $3 \cdot 10^{-4}$  and  $10^{-4}$  for the pre-training and fine-tuning phases, respectively. Only the training data is used for the self-supervised pre-training. Data augmentation is also contemplated in both stages, the details of which are provided in the Supplementary material.

## 5 Results

The results of our experiments are presented below, and are divided into two main sections. In the first, we analyze the quality of the representations learned for HTR by the different methods. In the second, we compare these methods in a transfer learning scenario, considering cases with both limited and abundant data for fine-tuning.

In all cases, we compare our results with those obtained by ChaCo [81], given that this method has already been shown to represent the state of the art with respect to other proposals described in Section 2.

### 5.1 Quality evaluation

The evaluation of SSL methods often hinges on the quality of the representations learned for the task, without the aid of any auxiliary training. This quality is critical, as it directly represents the ability of the model to learn broad and general representations. In order to assess this aspect in the context of HTR, we freeze all representation layers after pre-training so as to then fine-tune them using all the training data. Note that this fine-tuning process is restricted to the final layer that projects the representations onto the character space. By freezing the representation layers, we ensure that the figures reporting recognition accuracy can be attributed to the quality of the representations themselves, rather than enhancements in the architecture of the model or the fine-tuning process as a whole.

Table 2 provides details of the quality assessment, averaging across all the datasets considered,<sup>2</sup> setting our methods against the ChaCo benchmark. Focusing on our two primary SSL blocks—Geometric and Puzzle—it will be noted that both have some configurations that outperform those of ChaCo, thus demonstrating their utility for HTR tasks. With regard to the best-performing options, the proposed geometric approach “Flip” combined with TD emerges as the most promising alternative, achieving a CER and a WAcc of 17.3 % and 67.8 %, respectively, and clearly outperforming the current state of the art of ChaCo by a noticeable margin (31 % of CER and 53 % of WAcc).

Table 2: Results obtained in the representation quality evaluation in terms of the CER and WAcc figures of merit (%) for both the proposals presented and the reference ChaCo method [81]. The up and down arrows respectively denote whether the metric is positively or negatively valued. The figures represent the average of the individual performances of the datasets contemplated, with the best values per decoding scheme and metric being highlighted in bold type.

	CTC		TD	
	CER (↓)	WAcc (↑)	CER (↓)	WAcc (↑)
<i>Geometric</i>				
Rotation	27.4	45.3	17.8	<b>67.8</b>
Flip	<b>24.4</b>	<b>52.4</b>	<b>17.3</b>	66.9
<i>Puzzle</i>				
Jigsaw	37.3	39.9	35.7	50.3
Sorting	54.7	24.9	57.8	27.6
ChaCo [81]	44.5	33.3	31.1	53.9

Of the results reported, it is worth noting a rather counterintuitive phenomenon: the only method that leverages the sequential nature of the whole word image (“Sorting”) leads to noticeably poorer representations. This outcome is surprising, because one would expect this pretext task to provide a comprehensive view of the input, closely mirroring what the network experiences during the actual HTR task. In contrast, partial images (crops) yield more informative representations for HTR, at least from the point of view of pure pre-training. This reinforces some of the conclusions drawn in literature, which suggest that focusing on local patterns such as single characters or strokes favours the learning process [49, 81]

Concentrating on more general trends, note that the TD setting systematically makes better use of the representations learned through SSL. This trend is evident, as all the TD settings outperform their counterparts that employ CTC. The representations learned would, therefore, appear to reflect an intrinsic compatibility with the TD approach for the decoding of character sequences. Furthermore, the order of methods according to their performance remains consistent regardless of the decoder utilized, whether CTC or TD. This consistency suggests that the quality of the representations is inherently sound across different decoders, a valuable trait for the development of robust HTR systems.

## 5.2 Transfer learning

We now explore a transfer learning scenario in depth in order to evaluate the adaptability and efficiency of the pre-trained SSL methods. The essence of this experiment is to observe how well these methods can leverage a certain amount of labelled data—5%, 10%, or 100% of the dataset—so as to fine-tune the entire network starting from the representations learned. This fine-tuning is comprehensive, involving all the layers, which contrasts with the previous experiment in which only the final layer was fine-tuned. We aim to discern the ability of these methods to obtain useful representations in HTR as a downstream task and, ultimately, to achieve the highest possible recognition accuracy.

Table 3 shows the results of this experiment, providing the average WAcc across all the datasets and the average relative improvements when compared to the baseline which is, in this context, defined as the performance without any pre-training. For the sake of compactness, we provide only the best decoder (CTC or TD) for each method.<sup>3</sup> These metrics provide a holistic view of the performance of each method, thus allowing us to compare not only the absolute accuracy achieved but also the extent to which pre-training contributes to performance gains over the baseline.

In the most data-constrained scenario utilizing merely 5% of the labelled data for fine-tuning, our proposed SSL methods not only clearly surpass the baseline, as one might expect, but also exhibits a slight but consistent advantage over the state-of-the-art ChaCo method. The only exception is “Sorting”, which performs on par with the baseline.

<sup>2</sup>The detailed results for each dataset can be found in the Supplementary material.

<sup>3</sup>The detailed results for each dataset and decoder can be found in the Supplementary material.

Table 3: Average results in terms of the WAcc (%) metric across all datasets for the transfer learning scheme, considering different percentages of labelled data. Both the case in which no pre-training strategy is applied and the reference ChaCo method [81] are included for the purpose of comparison. Values shown in bold type denote the best results obtained per percentage of labelled data, whereas underlined figures highlight the configurations that outperform the ChaCo reference case. The average relative improvement when compared to the *No pretrain* scenario is presented in parentheses for each case.

	Percentage of labeled data		
	5%	10%	100%
<i>Baseline</i>			
No pretrain	15.8	24.1	72.9
ChaCo [81]	24.8 (↑ 68.3)	32.9 (↑ 46.1)	77.2 (↑ 6.5)
<i>Proposals</i>			
Rotation	24.0 (↑ 63.7)	<u>37.7</u> (↑ 78.6)	<b>79.5</b> (↑ 9.4)
Flip	<u>26.0</u> (↑ 75.3)	<b>39.4</b> (↑ 90.0)	<b>79.5</b> (↑ 9.6)
Puzzle	<b>26.5</b> (↑ 103.0)	<u>36.6</u> (↑ 71.9)	<u>78.7</u> (↑ 8.4)
Sorting	15.6 (↑ 5.4)	25.3 (↑ 12.6)	<u>78.3</u> (↑ 7.9)

With a modest increase to 10 % for fine-tuning, the SSL methods continue to show marked improvements over the baseline, with even greater relative gains. At this level, the proposed methods, save again “Sorting”, begin to distance themselves further from ChaCo in terms of improvement (around 5 % of WAcc).

When all available data is employed for fine-tuning, all competing approaches (including the baseline) surpass 70 % of WAcc. Despite this competitiveness, the SSL methodologies proposed in this work are still those that perform the best, consistently outperforming both the baseline and ChaCo. This new level of performance is also attained by “Sorting”, unlike that which occurred in the previous scenarios, although still below our other proposals.

Although many of our proposals reflect robust behaviour that improves on the state of the art in most cases, the “Flip” method is positioned as the best-performing alternative in general terms. This method not only outperforms others in this semi-supervised scenario but was also superior in the previous section, which focused solely on the quality of the representations learned. Notably, “Flip” is tailored specifically to HTR, thus reinforcing the value of developing and implementing strategies that are directly aligned with the unique challenges and characteristics of the task in hand.

### 5.3 Consistency

A key objective of our study is to provide practitioners with specific guidelines with which to train more consistent HTR models through the use of SSL. In order to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed methods, Fig. 4 provides a compelling visual representation that shows the percentage of instances in which any of our methods outperform the state-of-the-art ChaCo for any data scenarios and architectural frameworks (TD or CTC). These bars indicate that, with the exception of the “Sorting” approach, all our methods consistently perform better than that of ChaCo in the majority of cases. The methodologies introduced in this work could, therefore, be adopted as best practices, providing a greater probability of developing robust models for specific scenarios and/or architectures in the context of HTR. The “Flip” method with cropped patches specifically stands out, making it the go-to strategy for those seeking to achieve the most robust performance.

## 6 Conclusions

In this paper, we study spatial context-based SSL methods originally devised for classification tasks and adapt them to the HTR field. We propose two possible adaptation frameworks for this purpose: (i) adjusting the data in hand in order to use the original SSL methods (input adaptation), and (ii) adapting these SSL approaches to the sequential nature of HTR (method adaptation). The results obtained when considering three benchmark HTR datasets—IAM, CVL and George Washington—show that these proposals not only improve the recognition rates of the model when not considering any pre-training, but also consistently outperform the current state-of-the-art SSL methods for HTR. Moreover, it should be noted that one of our proposals that was specifically designed for HTR (flip) consistently obtains the overall best results in every experimental scenario. Note also that our results are aligned with those of previous SSL literature on text recognition [49], which argues that there is a close relationship between HTR and spatial context owing to stroke and font style information.




Figure 4: Comparison of occurrences of the proposed methods in the semi-supervised scenario in which they outperform the state-of-the-art ChaCo method [81] for any data collection and architectural framework (TD or CTC). The figures are provided as a ratio with respect to the total number of experimental scenarios considered. The dashed line indicates the chance of our methods to surpass the ChaCo strategy.

The objective of this study is to provide practical guidelines in order to take advantage of SSL techniques in the context of HTR, thus combating the problem of insufficient data in this field.

## References

- [1] Abdallah, A., Hamada, M.A., Nurseitov, D.: Attention-based fully gated cnn-bgru for russian handwritten text. *Journal of Imaging* (2020)
- [2] Abed, H.E., Märgner, V., Blumenstein, M.: International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (ICFHR 2010) - Competitions Overview (2010)
- [3] Aberdam, A., Litman, R., Tsiper, S., Anshel, O., Slossberg, R., Mazor, S., Manmatha, R., Perona, P.: Sequence-to-sequence contrastive learning for text recognition. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. pp. 15302–15312 (2021)
- [4] Alzubaidi, L., Bai, J., Al-Sabaawi, A., Santamaría, J., Albahri, A., Al-dabbagh, B.S.N., Fadhel, M.A., Manoufali, M., Zhang, J., Al-Timemy, A.H., et al.: A survey on deep learning tools dealing with data scarcity: definitions, challenges, solutions, tips, and applications. *Journal of Big Data* **10**(1), 46 (2023)
- [5] Aradillas, J.C., Murillo-Fuentes, J.J., Olmos, P.M.: Boosting offline handwritten text recognition in historical documents with few labeled lines. *IEEE Access* (2021)
- [6] Baek, J., Kim, G., Lee, J., Park, S., Han, D., Yun, S., Oh, S.J., Lee, H.: What is wrong with scene text recognition model comparisons? dataset and model analysis. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*. pp. 4715–4723 (2019)
- [7] Bahdanau, D., Cho, K., Bengio, Y.: Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate (2015)
- [8] Balestrieri, R., Ibrahim, M., Sobal, V., Morcos, A., Shekhar, S., Goldstein, T., Bordes, F., Bardes, A., Mialon, G., Tian, Y., et al.: A cookbook of self-supervised learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.12210* (2023)
- [9] Barrere, K., Soullard, Y., Lemaitre, A., Couasnon, B.: A light transformer-based architecture for handwritten text recognition (2022)
- [10] Barrere, K., Soullard, Y., Lemaitre, A., Couasnon, B.: Training transformer architectures on few annotated data: an application to historical handwritten text recognition. *International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition (IJ DAR)* (2024)
- [11] Bezerra, B.L.D., Zanchettin, C., Toselli, A.H., Pirlo, G.: *Handwriting: recognition, development and analysis*. Nova Science Publishers, Inc. (2017)

- [12] Carlucci, F.M., D’Innocente, A., Bucci, S., Caputo, B., Tommasi, T.: Domain generalization by solving jigsaw puzzles. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 2229–2238 (2019)
- [13] Chen, T., Kornblith, S., Norouzi, M., Hinton, G.: A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In: International conference on machine learning. pp. 1597–1607. PMLR (2020)
- [14] Chen, T., Zhai, X., Ritter, M., Lucic, M., Houlsby, N.: Self-supervised gans via auxiliary rotation loss. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 12154–12163 (2019)
- [15] Chen, X., Fan, H., Girshick, R., He, K.: Improved baselines with momentum contrastive learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.04297 (2020)
- [16] Cheng, Z., Bai, F., Xu, Y., Zheng, G., Pu, S., Zhou, S.: Focusing attention: Towards accurate text recognition in natural images. In: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. pp. 5076–5084 (2017)
- [17] Chung, J., Gulcehre, C., Cho, K., Bengio, Y.: Empirical evaluation of gated recurrent neural networks on sequence modeling. arXiv: Neural and Evolutionary Computing (2014)
- [18] Coquenot, D., Chatelain, C., Paquet, T.: End-to-end handwritten paragraph text recognition using a vertical attention network (2022)
- [19] Coquenot, D., Chatelain, C., Paquet, T.: Dan: a segmentation-free document attention network for handwritten document recognition (2023)
- [20] Diaz, D.H., Ingle, R., Qin, S., Bissacco, A., Fujii, Y.: Rethinking text line recognition models. arXiv (2021)
- [21] Doersch, C., Gupta, A., Efros, A.A.: Unsupervised visual representation learning by context prediction. In: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. pp. 1422–1430 (2015)
- [22] Dosovitskiy, A., Beyer, L., Kolesnikov, A., Weissenborn, D., Zhai, X., Unterthiner, T., Dehghani, M., Minderer, M., Heigold, G., Gelly, S., Uszkoreit, J., Houlsby, N.: An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. ArXiv [abs/2010.11929](#) (2020)
- [23] Dreuw, P., Doetsch, P., Plahl, C., Ney, H.: Hierarchical hybrid mlp/hmm or rather mlp features for a discriminatively trained gaussian hmm: A comparison for offline handwriting recognition. 2011 18th IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (2011)
- [24] Feng, Z., Xu, C., Tao, D.: Self-supervised representation learning by rotation feature decoupling. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 10364–10374 (2019)
- [25] Fischer, A., Keller, A., Frinken, V., Bunke, H.: Lexicon-free handwritten word spotting using character hmms. *Pattern recognition letters* **33**(7), 934–942 (2012)
- [26] Fujitake, M.: Dtrocr: Decoder-only transformer for optical character recognition. arXiv.org (2023)
- [27] Gidaris, S., Singh, P., Komodakis, N.: Unsupervised representation learning by predicting image rotations. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.07728 (2018)
- [28] Graves, A., Fernández, S., Gomez, F.J., Schmidhuber, J.: Connectionist temporal classification: labelling unsegmented sequence data with recurrent neural networks. *ICML* (2006)
- [29] He, K., Fan, H., Wu, Y., Xie, S., Girshick, R.: Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 9729–9738 (2020)
- [30] He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., Sun, J.: Deep residual learning for image recognition. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 770–778 (2016)
- [31] Hidasi, B., Karatzoglou, A., Baltrunas, L., Tikk, D.: Recurrent neural networks. *Handbook on Neural Information Processing* (2020)
- [32] Hochreiter, S., Schmidhuber, J.: Long short-term memory. *Neural computation* **9**(8), 1735–1780 (1997)
- [33] Jaiswal, A., Babu, A.R., Zadeh, M.Z., Banerjee, D., Makedon, F.: A survey on contrastive self-supervised learning. *Technologies* **9**(1), 2 (2020)
- [34] Jing, L., Tian, Y.: Self-supervised visual feature learning with deep neural networks: A survey. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* **43**(11), 4037–4058 (2020)
- [35] Jung, A.B., Wada, K., Crall, J., Tanaka, S., Graving, J., Reinders, C., Yadav, S., Banerjee, J., Vecsei, G., Kraft, A., et al.: *imgaug*. GitHub: San Francisco, CA, USA (2020)
- [36] Kang, L., Rusinol, M., Fornés, A., Riba, P., Villegas, M.: Unsupervised writer adaptation for synthetic-to-real handwritten word recognition. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision. pp. 3502–3511 (2020)

- [37] Kass, D., Vats, E.: Attentionhtr: Handwritten text recognition based on attention encoder-decoder networks (2022)
- [38] Kingma, D.P., Ba, J.: Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In: Bengio, Y., LeCun, Y. (eds.) 3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, May 7-9, 2015, Conference Track Proceedings (2015)
- [39] Kleber, F., Fiel, S., Diem, M., Sablatnig, R.: Cvl-database: An off-line database for writer retrieval, writer identification and word spotting. In: 2013 12th international conference on document analysis and recognition. pp. 560–564. IEEE (2013)
- [40] Knerr, S., Augustin, E.: A neural network-hidden markov model hybrid for cursive word recognition. Proceedings. Fourteenth International Conference on Pattern Recognition (Cat. No.98EX170) (1998)
- [41] Kumari, L., Singh, S., Rathore, V.V.S., Sharma, A., Kumari, L., Singh, S., Rathore, V.V.S., Sharma, A.: Lexicon and attention based handwritten text recognition system (2022)
- [42] Lai, S., Xu, L., Liu, K., Zhao, J.: Recurrent convolutional neural networks for text classification. AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (2015)
- [43] Li, M., Lv, T., Chen, J., Cui, L., Lu, Y., Florencio, D., Zhang, C., Li, Z., Wei, F.: Trocr: Transformer-based optical character recognition with pre-trained models. Proceedings of the ... AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (2023)
- [44] Liu, H., Wang, B., Bao, Z., Xue, M., Kang, S., Jiang, D., Liu, Y., Ren, B.: Perceiving stroke-semantic context: Hierarchical contrastive learning for robust scene text recognition. In: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence. vol. 36, pp. 1702–1710 (2022)
- [45] Liu, S., Mallol-Ragolta, A., Parada-Cabaleiro, E., Qian, K., Jing, X., Kathan, A., Hu, B., Schuller, B.W.: Audio self-supervised learning: A survey. *Patterns* **3**(12) (2022)
- [46] Liu, X., Zhang, F., Hou, Z., Mian, L., Wang, Z., Zhang, J., Tang, J.: Self-supervised learning: Generative or contrastive. *IEEE transactions on knowledge and data engineering* **35**(1), 857–876 (2021)
- [47] Lu, J., Batra, D., Parikh, D., Lee, S.: ViLbert: Pretraining task-agnostic visiolinguistic representations for vision-and-language tasks. *Neural Information Processing Systems* (2019)
- [48] Lu, Z., He, S., Zhu, X., Zhang, L., Song, Y.Z., Xiang, T.: Simpler is better: Few-shot semantic segmentation with classifier weight transformer (2021)
- [49] Luo, C., Jin, L., Chen, J.: Siman: exploring self-supervised representation learning of scene text via similarity-aware normalization. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 1039–1048 (2022)
- [50] Lyu, P., Zhang, C., Liu, S., Qiao, M., Xu, Y., Wu, L., Yao, K., Han, J., Ding, E., Wang, J.: Maskocr: text recognition with masked encoder-decoder pretraining. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.00311* (2022)
- [51] Marti, U.V., Bunke, H.: The iam-database: an english sentence database for offline handwriting recognition. *International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition* **5**, 39–46 (2002)
- [52] Michael, J., Labahn, R., Grüning, T., Zöllner, J.: Evaluating sequence-to-sequence models for handwritten text recognition. *IEEE International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition* (2019)
- [53] Misra, I., Maaten, L.v.d.: Self-supervised learning of pretext-invariant representations. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 6707–6717 (2020)
- [54] Momeni, S., BabaAli, B.: A transformer-based approach for arabic offline handwritten text recognition. *arXiv.org* (2023)
- [55] Mostafa, A., Mohamed, O., Ashraf, A., Elbeheri, A., Jamal, S., Khoriba, G., Ghoneim, A.: Ocformer: A transformer-based model for arabic handwritten text recognition. 2021 International Mobile, Intelligent, and Ubiquitous Computing Conference (MIUCC) (2021)
- [56] Muehlberger, G., Seaward, L., Terras, M., Oliveira, S.A., Bosch, V., Bryan, M., Colutto, S., Déjean, H., Diem, M., Fiel, S., et al.: Transforming scholarship in the archives through handwritten text recognition: Transkribus as a case study. *Journal of documentation* **75**(5), 954–976 (2019)
- [57] Nikitha, A., Geetha, J., JayaLakshmi, D.: Handwritten text recognition using deep learning. In: 2020 International Conference on Recent Trends on Electronics, Information, Communication & Technology (RTEICT). pp. 388–392. IEEE (2020)
- [58] Noroozi, M., Favaro, P.: Unsupervised learning of visual representations by solving jigsaw puzzles. In: European conference on computer vision. pp. 69–84. Springer (2016)

- [59] Novotny, D., Albanie, S., Larlus, D., Vedaldi, A.: Self-supervised learning of geometrically stable features through probabilistic introspection. In: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 3637–3645 (2018)
- [60] Oord, A.v.d., Li, Y., Vinyals, O.: Representation learning with contrastive predictive coding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.03748 (2018)
- [61] Ozbek, U., Lee, H.J., Boga, B., Anzaku, E.T., Park, H., Van Messem, A., De Neve, W., Vankerschaver, J.: Know your self-supervised learning: A survey on image-based generative and discriminative training. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13689 (2023)
- [62] Pang, K., Yang, Y., Hospedales, T.M., Xiang, T., Song, Y.Z.: Solving mixed-modal jigsaw puzzle for fine-grained sketch-based image retrieval. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 10347–10355 (2020)
- [63] Pathak, D., Krahenbuhl, P., Donahue, J., Darrell, T., Efros, A.A.: Context encoders: Feature learning by inpainting. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 2536–2544 (2016)
- [64] Paul, S., Madan, G., Mishra, A., Hegde, N., Kumar, P., Aggarwal, G.: Weakly supervised information extraction from inscrutable handwritten document images. arXiv (2023)
- [65] Poulos, J., Valle, R.: Character-based handwritten text transcription with attention networks. Neural Computing and Applications (2021)
- [66] Qiao, Z., Ji, Z., Yuan, Y., Bai, J.: Decoupling visual-semantic features learning with dual masked autoencoder for self-supervised scene text recognition. In: International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition. pp. 261–279. Springer (2023)
- [67] Sang, D.V., Cuong, L.T.B.: Improving crnn with efficientnet-like feature extractor and multi-head attention for text recognition. SoICT 2019 (2019)
- [68] Seiler, R., Schenkel, M., Eggimann, F.: Off-line cursive handwriting recognition compared with on-line recognition. Proceedings of 13th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (1996)
- [69] Sánchez, J.A., Romero, V., Toselli, A., Vidal, E.: Icfhr2014 competition on handwritten text recognition on transcriptorium datasets (htrts). 2014 14th International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (2014)
- [70] Sánchez, J.A., Romero, V., Toselli, A., Villegas, M., Vidal, E.: Icdar2017 competition on handwritten text recognition on the read dataset. 2017 14th IAPR International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR) (2017)
- [71] Tay, Y.H., Lallican, P.M., Khalid, M., Viard-Gaudin, C., Knerr, S.: Offline handwritten word recognition using a hybrid neural network and hidden markov model (2001)
- [72] Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A.N., Kaiser, L.u., Polosukhin, I.: Attention is all you need. In: Guyon, I., Luxburg, U.V., Bengio, S., Wallach, H., Fergus, R., Vishwanathan, S., Garnett, R. (eds.) Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. vol. 30. Curran Associates, Inc. (2017)
- [73] Vidal, E., Toselli, A.H., Ríos-Vila, A., Calvo-Zaragoza, J.: End-to-end page-level assessment of handwritten text recognition. Pattern Recognition **142**, 109695 (2023)
- [74] Wick, C., Zöllner, J., Grüning, T.: Transformer for handwritten text recognition using bidirectional post-decoding. ICDAR (2021)
- [75] Wick, C., Zöllner, J., Grüning, T.: Rescoring sequence-to-sequence models for text line recognition with ctc-prefixes. arXiv: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (2021)
- [76] Yamaguchi, S., Kanai, S., Shioda, T., Takeda, S.: Image enhanced rotation prediction for self-supervised learning. In: 2021 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP). pp. 489–493. IEEE (2021)
- [77] Yang, M., Liao, M., Lu, P., Wang, J., Zhu, S., Luo, H., Tian, Q., Bai, X.: Reading and writing: Discriminative and generative modeling for self-supervised text recognition. In: Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia. pp. 4214–4223 (2022)
- [78] Yang, Z., Yu, H., He, Y., Sun, W., Mao, Z.H., Mian, A.: Fully convolutional network-based self-supervised learning for semantic segmentation. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems (2022)
- [79] Yang, Z., Dai, Z., Yang, Y., Carbonell, J.G., Salakhutdinov, R., Le, Q.V.: Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding. arXiv: Computation and Language (2019)

- 
- [80] Zhang, L., Qi, G.J., Wang, L., Luo, J.: Aet vs. aed: Unsupervised representation learning by auto-encoding transformations rather than data. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 2547–2555 (2019)
- [81] Zhang, X., Wang, T., Wang, J., Jin, L., Luo, C., Xue, Y.: Chaco: Character contrastive learning for handwritten text recognition. In: International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition. pp. 345–359. Springer (2022)

## A Data augmentation

We detail the data augmentation techniques applied in our study, leveraging the *imgaug* augmentation package [35]. Our selection is informed by seminal contributions in the field [3, 81], leading to the adoption of the subsequent alterations:

Listing 1: Data augmentation used in the SSL stage.

```
iaa.Sequential([
    iaa.SomeOf((1, 5), [
        iaa.LinearContrast((0.5, 1.0)),
        iaa.GaussianBlur((0.5, 1.5)),
        iaa.Sharpen(alpha=(0.0, 0.5), lightness=(0.0, 0.5)),
        iaa.PiecewiseAffine(scale=(0.02, 0.03), mode='edge'),
        iaa.PerspectiveTransform(scale=(0.01, 0.02)),
    ], random_order=True)]
```

Examples of the transformations generated by the previous code are shown in Fig. 5.



Figure 5: Examples of data augmentations.

Furthermore, drawing on established practices in the literature, we also employ a soft data augmentation strategy during the supervised stage. This includes subtle cropping, linear contrast adjustments, and Gaussian blur.

## B Detailed results

Owing to the extensive experimentation carried out in this paper, this section offers a complete compilation of results for each method with respect to the specific architectures employed and the datasets used for pre-training and evaluation.

In Tab. 4 we report the quality results in terms of the CER and WAcc metrics.

In Tab. 5 we provide the semi-supervised results in terms of WAcc and Single Edit Distance (ED1) metrics. ED1 expresses word-level accuracy up to a single edit operation. Note that, the exclusion of the latter metric in the manuscript was primarily due to concerns regarding clarity. Nevertheless, in this section, we opted to include it to enhance the comprehensiveness of the results presented. It is worth highlighting that the incorporation of this metric does not deviate from the conclusions drawn in the paper; instead, it serves to reinforce and bolster them.

	CER			WAcc		
	IAM	CVL	G.W.	IAM	CVL	G.W.
<i>Geometric</i>						
Rotation	27.4	<b>19.7</b>	35.1	53.6	56.8	25.5
Flip	<b>23.8</b>	20.5	<b>28.8</b>	<b>56.1</b>	<b>57.3</b>	<b>43.8</b>
<i>Puzzle</i>						
Jigsaw	52.4	28.2	31.3	31.6	46.0	42.2
Sorting	79.7	53.0	31.4	19.4	12.3	43.2
ChaCo	25.2	23.7	84.7	48.3	47.0	4.7

(a) Representation quality under CTC decoder.

	CER			WAcc		
	IAM	CVL	G.W.	IAM	CVL	G.W.
<i>Geometric</i>						
Rotation	19.0	<b>11.9</b>	22.6	64.6	79.2	59.8
Flip	17.4	15.3	<b>19.3</b>	64.7	75.1	<b>61.1</b>
<i>Puzzle</i>						
Jigsaw	49.1	19.6	38.3	35.7	70.5	44.9
Sorting	72.1	58.8	64.0	8.6	24.7	19.8
ChaCo	<b>12.2</b>	<b>11.9</b>	69.2	<b>71.6</b>	<b>80.2</b>	10.0

(b) Representation quality under TD decoder.

Table 4: **Representation quality.** The frozen part is only the visual encoder pre-trained in the SSL method. Bold values indicate the best results under the same conditions of pre-training and test.

	WAcc									ED1								
	IAM			CVL			G.W.			IAM			CVL			G.W.		
	5%	10%	100%	5%	10%	100%	5%	10%	100%	5%	10%	100%	5%	10%	100%	5%	10%	100%
<i>Baseline</i>																		
No pretrain	26.5	42.2	70.4	7.5	14.2	69.7	13.5	16.0	78.5	42.1	64.9	88.1	17.4	25.4	85.6	26.3	44.6	92.3
ChaCo	30.0	46.3	64.6	13.1	13.2	67.4	15.7	21.2	72.6	47.7	70.2	83.9	34.6	40.7	84.0	28.7	34.9	89.6
<i>Proposals</i>																		
Rotation	<b>42.6</b>	48.9	67.7	10.0	16.4	70.1	17.0	<b>36.0</b>	<b>82.4</b>	67.7	74.4	88.2	27.2	38.8	85.9	32.9	<b>56.9</b>	<b>94.0</b>
Flip	42.1	50.6	<b>72.1</b>	<b>17.1</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>71.1</b>	<b>18.8</b>	32.5	79.1	<b>68.4</b>	<b>75.6</b>	<b>89.4</b>	<b>38.7</b>	<b>61.1</b>	<b>86.8</b>	<b>35.9</b>	54.3	93.2
Jigsaw	42.4	<b>51.4</b>	67.7	5.3	12.8	55.4	12.9	19.5	72.9	66.8	75.2	86.7	18.1	31.2	77.3	26.4	43.9	89.6
Sorting	23.9	41.6	61.1	9.3	14.7	70.0	13.8	8.9	76.6	38.1	63.9	81.1	19.3	26.2	84.7	24.1	33.1	91.4

(a) Semi-supervised results under CTC decoder.

	WAcc									ED1								
	IAM			CVL			G.W.			IAM			CVL			G.W.		
	5%	10%	100%	5%	10%	100%	5%	10%	100%	5%	10%	100%	5%	10%	100%	5%	10%	100%
<i>Baseline</i>																		
No pretrain	10.3	50.3	74.8	5.9	14.2	68.7	6.3	2.1	71.3	21.7	63.6	87.6	7.9	25.4	85.6	9.2	2.4	82.8
ChaCo	<b>47.3</b>	53.8	75.1	21.2	38.0	83.4	5.9	7.0	73.2	<b>66.6</b>	74.0	<b>88.2</b>	27.1	44.9	87.9	6.6	8.2	83.1
<i>Proposals</i>																		
Rotation	47.0	<b>54.5</b>	74.5	21.5	51.8	85.5	3.6	6.9	<b>78.5</b>	65.6	<b>74.8</b>	87.2	28.3	61.4	90.6	7.7	8.7	89.5
Flip	43.8	54.3	74.6	<b>25.2</b>	<b>53.1</b>	<b>86.1</b>	6.0	11.0	78.0	60.2	72.0	88.1	<b>29.7</b>	<b>62.8</b>	<b>90.7</b>	8.9	13.5	<b>90.3</b>
Jigsaw	43.5	51.7	74.3	21.5	38.1	84.8	<b>14.6</b>	<b>20.2</b>	77.1	60.5	69.1	87.1	27.8	44.6	88.5	<b>18.9</b>	<b>26.9</b>	88.7
Sorting	25.2	39.8	<b>75.2</b>	5.4	22.0	83.6	11.9	14.2	76.1	34.5	49.4	87.2	7.0	26.5	87.0	15.4	17.2	86.6

(b) Semi-supervised results under TD decoder.

Table 5: **Semi-supervised results.** The models pre-trained with the SSL methods are fine-tuned with 5%, 10% and 100% of the labelled data. Bold values indicate the best results under the same conditions of pre-training and test.