

# Voice EHR: Introducing Multimodal Audio Data for Health

James Anibal<sup>1,2</sup>, Hannah Huth<sup>1</sup>, Ming Li<sup>1</sup>, Lindsey Hazen<sup>1</sup>, Yen Minh Lam<sup>3</sup>, Hang Nguyen<sup>3</sup>, Phuc Vo Hong<sup>3</sup>, Michael Kleinman<sup>4\*</sup>, Shelley Ost<sup>4\*</sup>, Christopher Jackson<sup>4\*</sup>, Laura Sprabery<sup>4\*</sup>, Cheran Elangovan<sup>4\*</sup>, Balaji Krishnaiah<sup>4\*</sup>, Lee Akst<sup>5,6\*</sup>, Ioan Lina<sup>6\*</sup>, Iqbal Elyazar<sup>7\*</sup>, Lenny Ekwati<sup>7\*</sup>, Stefan Jansen<sup>8\*</sup>, Richard Nduwayezu<sup>9\*</sup>, Charisse Garcia<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrey Plum<sup>1</sup>, Jacqueline Brenner<sup>1</sup>, Miranda Song<sup>1</sup>, Emily Ricotta<sup>11,12</sup>, David Clifton<sup>2</sup>, C. Louise Thwaites<sup>3</sup>, Yael Bensoussan<sup>13</sup>, Bradford Wood<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Interventional Oncology, NIH Clinical Center, National Institutes of Health, USA

<sup>2</sup>Computational Health Informatics Lab, Oxford Institute of Biomedical Engineering, University of Oxford, UK

<sup>3</sup>Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

<sup>4</sup>College of Medicine, University of Tennessee Health Sciences Center, Memphis, Tennessee, USA

<sup>5</sup>Johns Hopkins Voice Center, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>7</sup>Oxford University Clinical Research Unit Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

<sup>8</sup>College of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Rwanda, Kigali, Rwanda

<sup>9</sup>King Faisal Hospital, Kigali, Rwanda

<sup>11</sup>Epidemiology and Data Management Unit, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, MD, USA

<sup>12</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine and Biostatistics, Uniformed Services University

<sup>13</sup>Morsani College of Medicine, University of South Florida, Tampa Bay, Florida, USA

\*Contributed equally

## Abstract

Large AI models trained on audio data may have the potential to rapidly perform clinical tasks, enhancing medical decision-making and potentially improving outcomes through early detection. Existing technologies depend on limited datasets using expensive recording equipment in high-income countries, which challenges deployment in resource-constrained, high-volume settings where audio data may have a profound impact on health equity. This report introduces a novel data type and a corresponding collection system that captures health data through guided questions using only a mobile/web application. The app facilitates the collection of an audio electronic health record (“voice EHR”) which may contain complex biomarkers of health from conventional voice/respiratory features, speech patterns, and spoken language with semantic meaning - compensating for the typical limitations of unimodal clinical datasets. This report introduces a consortium of partners for global work, presents the application used for data collection, and uses case studies to showcase the potential of voice EHR to advance the scalability and diversity of audio AI.

## 1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic underscored the limitations of healthcare systems and highlighted the need for data innovations to support both care providers and patients. The high volume of patients seeking medical care for COVID-19 and other respiratory infections has caused extraordinary challenges, including long waitlists, limited time for each patient, increased testing costs, exposure risks for healthcare workers, and documentation burdens.<sup>1</sup> Adding to the problem, the world is facing nursing and physician shortages which are expected to rise dramatically over the next 10 years.<sup>2,3,4</sup> This contributes to the increasing rates of healthcare worker burnout, which has been particularly severe since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>5,6</sup>

The overwhelming burden on the medical field prevents many patients from scheduling an appointment with a nearby physician. This subsequently introduces logistical difficulties in requesting time away from employment, finding childcare, and/or arranging transportation to a clinic.<sup>7,8</sup> Decreased engagement with the healthcare system often results in patients perceiving a diminished sense of empathy from their providers. This was illustrated in a recent study which found that 80% of participants in an online health forum preferred answers from ChatGPT compared to physicians, both in terms of quality of response and empathy.<sup>9</sup> Barriers to effective patient-provider communication likely reduce the quality of care and may ultimately worsen patient outcomes. As such, innovative digital strategies are necessary to improve patient-provider interactions while reducing pressures on the primary care field.

Artificial intelligence (AI) has been proposed as a possible mechanism to perform key clinical tasks such as diagnostics, triage, and patient monitoring in the clinic and at home. In the past decade, AI has been refined and rapidly adopted across a multitude of industries; yet, despite many attempts, AI has failed to meaningfully impact the trajectory of recent health crises like the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>10</sup> Still, AI remains a major focus area within digital health research. This has become particularly true with the advent of the GPT models and other multimodal large language models (LLMs), which have advanced capabilities in question answering, image interpretation, programming, and other complex tasks.<sup>11,12</sup> As a result, technology companies have begun to develop foundation models for the healthcare space. However, these are mainly designed for processing and diagnostic tasks with privileged data (e.g., images) or as a Chatbot-styled tool for general question-answering rather than providing specific recommendations from patient data.<sup>13,14</sup> While future LLMs may provide promising augmentations to the healthcare system, serious data challenges do remain for the widespread, equitable deployment of AI models in healthcare. Here, several primary obstacles are outlined:

**Data Availability and Interoperability:** In many cases, clinical AI models require correlated data – information from different sources related to the same patient within the same approximate period of time. Datasets also require extensive curation, which is often expensive, inconvenient, and frequently overlooked as a challenge in the development of health AI. Multimodal data must be linked from across disjointed sources/centers, which often have incompatible systems and different regulatory structures.

**Excluding underserved groups:** Currently, many AI technologies are dependent on the availability, quality, and breadth of data in electronic records, which are often unavailable or inaccurate in many settings, particularly in resource constrained areas such as low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) or extremely rural areas in high-income countries like the United States. These disparities are due to many factors, which include biased allocation of diagnostic tests and other healthcare services, gaps in insurance coverage which reduces access to premium

resources, and other barriers (e.g., transportation) due to a lack of providers or facilities. As a result, training data for AI models is often biased against underserved populations.<sup>15</sup>

**Misalignment with clinical processes:** The data collected in current clinical workflows is incompatible with most AI systems, causing development challenges and hesitancy from healthcare workers, who make decisions based on patient reporting, their own observations, and various tests - not narrow unimodal datasets.

## **Contributions**

In response to these problems, this work makes the following contributions to the structure and collection of healthcare data:

1. Development of a mobile/web application (Healthcare via Electronic and Acoustic Records, “HEAR”) to facilitate the collection of customized multimodal data (text-audio pairs) for accessible AI models. The application is designed to be patient-facing, intuitive, potentially useful for healthcare workers, and technically lightweight for deployment in low-connectivity areas. This system simultaneously captures patient-reported health information (via recorded speech) and unique variations in sound data (changes in voice/speech) present in the recording. The HEAR application facilitates fast collection of health data, including retrospective context, in a single setting, rather than requiring the user to type large amounts of text into a lengthy form, which causes respondent fatigue and results in data with decreasing accuracy and increasing missingness.<sup>16,17</sup> Initial studies are focused on respiratory illnesses, neurological conditions, voice/speech disorders, selected cancers, and healthy controls.

2. Presentation of case studies from an initial, limited sample population, demonstrating potential viability of voice EHR data collected across multiple settings, encouraging future participation in the project. This work represents a first step towards developing “large language and sound” foundational AI models to perform clinical tasks with multimodal audio data.

## **2. Related Work**

Audio data has previously shown potential as a diagnostic tool. The idea that patients with certain conditions might present with unique changes in their voice before showing more progressive signs of disease largely originated with Parkinson’s disease. Multiple studies have shown that Parkinson’s disease is associated with characteristic and progressive changes in phonation over the disease course, including speech biomarkers such as decreased word stress, softened consonants, abnormal silences, and monotone speech.<sup>18-21</sup> Similarly, many studies have since identified specific voice changes in patients with asthma, COPD, interstitial lung disease, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic pain, diabetes, and cancer.<sup>22-27</sup> The formation of the Bridge2AI Voice Consortium shows the increasing interest in leveraging voice data as a low-cost and freely

accessible data modality for healthcare usage.<sup>28</sup> With the rapid advancement of AI, there is a unique opportunity to detect disease processes through audio data.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the demand for remote healthcare solutions surged, providing an ideal setting to advance audio AI technology. Multiple methods were developed which allowed remote patients to predict their COVID-19 status (positive/negative) or variant status via machine learning models trained to detect differences in acoustic features from voice.<sup>29-36</sup> However, many of these efforts were not deployed due to one or several of the following limitations related to the training datasets:

1. **Dataset Size/Diversity:** Many voice studies referenced here are reliant on small datasets collected from a narrow range of English-speaking patients, preventing broad deployment in hospitals or at-home settings.

2. **Data Quality:** Many studies were built around on crowdsourced datasets, which face significant issues with data quality – reliable annotations (specific indications of disease or health state) are difficult to achieve when collecting limited unimodal data from a huge range of possible environments and devices.<sup>35-38</sup> Many of the data points, which contain only scripted voice samples, will not be usable due to the lack of context needed to account for these sources of noise. Moreover, very few datasets were curated through partnerships with healthcare workers in clinical settings, and, as such, do not actually confirm diagnosis of COVID or other illnesses.

3. **Data Breadth:** Past audio AI studies, particularly those involving COVID-19, were often designed to separate between healthy samples and COVID-19 positive samples.<sup>35</sup> This excludes a key COVID-19 negative cohort: patients with other respiratory illnesses. A typical user of any testing method would do so because of symptoms, which may then confuse an AI model trained only to separate between a disease state and fully healthy controls. More specifically, although COVID-19 can cause laryngitis and inflammation of the vocal cords causing voice changes many factors can cause laryngitis leading to voice changes such as smoking, infections, or environmental factors.<sup>35</sup>

This study introduces “voice EHR” – patients share their past medical history and progression of present illness through audio recordings, creating a patient-driven temporal record of clinical information to contextualize breathing, voice, and speech data collected simultaneously.

### 3. Methods

The development of AI models to accurately detect audio biomarkers and match them with descriptions of associated disease process is dependent on the acquisition of robust training datasets from a range of settings. The proposed “voice EHR” methods are designed to enable semantic representations of clinical data containing approximate temporal context (e.g., change from baseline health, described results of lab testing or imaging studies) with correlated samples

of audio data: voice/breathing sounds and speech patterns. This data collection paradigm may facilitate the acquisition of multimodal audio data at scale.

This protocol has been approved by the Institutional Review Board of the U.S National Institutes of Health (NIH). Informed consent is obtained from all participations prior to data collection, using a built-in consent form on the data collection application. Data is stored on NIH-secured cloud servers maintained by Amazon Web Services (AWS).<sup>39</sup> To ensure anonymity, no identifying information is stored at this time.

### **3.1 Participant Recruitment and Study Population**

The data collection process is deployed through two primary channels: 1) public use of the application, which is available online at [www.hearai.org](http://www.hearai.org), and 2) partnerships with healthcare professionals working at collaborating point-of care settings, including telehealth apps/platforms. Specific recruiting efforts have been designed to recruit clinics in LMICs and resource-constrained areas/healthcare deserts. The HEAR app is low-cost, low-bandwidth, fast/easy to use, and does not rely on any specific expensive technologies (e.g., recording booths, MRI machines), which facilitates partnerships with healthcare workers in such settings, in contrast to many AI-focused studies which are run at major hospitals. Collaboration with healthcare professionals will help improve the reliability of voice EHR data by providing validated labels through recruitment of patients with confirmed diagnoses. Providers may engage with patients and ask follow-up questions during the collection process if necessary to enhance data robustness or if internally useful within the clinical workflow (this component can be removed before analysis of the pure sound data). The simple, straightforward application can be used broadly within a healthcare ecosystem - by physicians, physician's assistants, nurses, technicians, researchers, and trainees.


### **3.2 Mobile Application**

The HEAR app is designed to efficiently collect multimodal audio data for health – voice EHR – via a combination of short survey questions and recorded voice/speech/breathing tasks. Interested patients seen at a participating location will be instructed on proper application usage by a member of the clinical staff and then will submit their voice data while waiting for their provider. Detailed instructions are also provided on the app interface to support users in an “at-home” setting or another non-clinical environment.

### **3.3 Data Collection**

The HEAR app contains three main sections (Fig. 1 – left). After obtaining informed consent, data collection begins with multiple-choice questions for collection of basic health information (pages 1 – 5). This initial section is necessary during the data collection process to ensure a balanced training dataset for initial model development and validation but will be removed after deployment of AI technology reliant only on voice EHR data, which is provided based on written

instructions on pages 6 – 12. The final section (also audio data) is completed with the assistance of a care provider to document findings, next steps, diagnosis, and other components of the appointment (pages 13 – 15). Controls do not complete pages 4, 8, or 13-15. For this study, a control is defined as a participant who does not have an acute infection, history of a neurological condition, or history of head, neck, or brain cancer.



**Figure 1. (Left)** Overview of the voice EHR data collection app, including initial survey, patient audio, and information from HCWs. **(Right)** Screenshot of page 8 from the app (second audio prompt).

### 3.3.1 Audio Data

This section of the report describes methods for collecting multimodal audio data, containing information on voice/breathing sounds and speech patterns as well as semantic meaning from spoken language. Each prompt is designed based on real-world clinical workflows, which may enable the collection of training data which is more compatible with existing healthcare systems. Table 1 contains voice prompts and a short descriptor of each. After collection, all audio recordings containing semantic meaning will be transcribed. Automated speech recognition for this study is done using Whisper, a foundational model for speech-to-text applications.<sup>40</sup>

**Table 1:** Participant Prompts included on the HEAR Application for audio data collection.

Prompt	Description and Purpose	Completed By
1. Please tell us background information about your health before your current illness, including any chronic conditions, other physical health problems, mental health problems (such as anxiety), and medications.	Establishes a baseline to contextualize changes due to illness, either in sounds, speech patterns, or spoken words.	Patients Controls

<p>2. In as much detail as possible, please tell us how your illness has developed from the time when you first noticed symptoms until now. Include any medications you took (like Tylenol) or steps you use to reduce your symptoms. Please use words/phrases like "on the first day", "in the morning", "then", "after that" and use descriptive words like "mild", "severe". No detail is too small. (3 min.)</p>	<p>Captures the complaint of the patient by approximating a record of illness progression.</p>	<p>Patients Only</p>
<p>3. Please tell us if you or anyone else has noticed any recent changes in your voice (like hoarse, raspy, or lost voice) speech (like difficulty getting words out or slurring words), or breathing. If so, describe these changes. These should be changes that started around the same time as this illness episode, not any chronic long-term changes. (1 min.)</p>	<p>Establishes an “audio” baseline to contextualize changes in voice/speech/breathing which may arise from lifestyle factors/past conditions or be a biomarker of acute illnesses.</p>	<p>Patients Controls</p>
<p>4. <b>Part 1:</b> Say each of these vowels for 3-5 seconds. aaaaa (as in <i>made</i>); eeeee (<i>beet</i>); ooooo (<i>cool</i>)</p> <p><b>Part 2:</b> Read these sentences: “When the sunlight strikes raindrops in the air, they act as a prism and form a rainbow. The rainbow is a division of white light into many beautiful colors. These take the shape of a long round arch, with its path high above, and its two ends apparently beyond the horizon.”</p>	<p>Conventional voice and respiratory data for analysis of sound changes.</p>	<p>Patients Controls</p>
<p>5. <b>Part 1:</b> Hold the device near your nose and record yourself breathing normally for 30 seconds with your mouth closed.</p> <p><b>Part 2:</b> Hold the device near your mouth and record yourself taking 3 deep breaths through your mouth.</p>	<p>Conventional respiratory data for analysis of breathing changes and determination of respiratory rate.</p>	<p>Patients Controls</p>
<p>6. Is there anything else you think may be affecting your health in general or your current illness that you did not already share? For example, you can tell us about your employment or lifestyle habits. (1 min)</p>	<p>Captures specific circumstances related to health which the patient considers to be important.</p>	<p>Patients Controls</p>
<p>7. Your physician or other provider should briefly describe the physical exam (given to you by the physician), any available lab results, imaging studies, the diagnosis, and other next steps related to testing, treatment, or monitoring the illness. If the healthcare provider is not available or you are at home, you can record this information yourself. (3 min.)</p>	<p>Audio approximation other types of multimodal data which may be key context for the patient data collected by the application.</p>	<p>Patients Controls</p>

### ***Initial Data: Demographic Information for model development***

AI models developed from voice EHR data will be trained to perform clinical tasks using only the multimodal audio data. However, in the experimental stages, respondents are asked to complete an additional brief survey to contextualize the collected illness and voice data. This is done to ensure class balance, account for possible sources of bias, and run comparative experiments with traditional EHR data. These data include race, sex, symptoms, education, insurance, and health history. Zip codes or other approximate indicators of location are also collected for epidemiological studies.

### ***Prompts***

#### ***Prompt 1: Health baseline***

The health baseline prompt (Table 1, prompt 1) is designed to provide background data on participant, ensuring that disease can be modelled as a function of drift from a fixed point. Purely cross-sectional datasets are unrealistic, potentially misinforming clinicians as in a real-world scenario. No patient would be seen, let alone treated, before the care team reviewed the past medical records or collected past medical history.

#### ***Prompt 2: Illness Trajectory***

The second prompt is designed to mirror a key interaction between a patient and their provider: “What brings you in today?” (Table 1, prompt 2). During this interaction, detailed, temporal descriptions of illnesses and corresponding patient-initiated interventions (e.g., “I am taking Tylenol”) are collected, mirroring basic clinical assessments. The aim of this prompt is to ensure clinical information with temporal context is available to complement the sound data and account for potential sources of noise. The application asks patients to use basic terminology to describe, in chronological order, the progression of their illness with any associated signs, symptoms, complications, and corresponding interventions. Collecting this information through an audio recording is far less burdensome than a typed/written form, is likely to contain more detailed information, and may serve as a substitution for conventional time-series EHR data which is often sparse or unavailable, especially regarding over the counter and alternative therapies. Additionally, the use of LLMs to parse this data may address a key health communication issue: such descriptions are often overwhelming for healthcare professionals when engaging with patients.<sup>41</sup>

#### ***Prompt 3: Voice baseline***

Studies involving past sound datasets have shown the obstructive impact of confounding variables such as chronic laryngeal conditions or lifestyle factors such as smoking.<sup>35</sup> As such, the HEAR application prompts the patient to report any recent changes in voice, speech, or breathing noticed by themselves or others (Table 1, prompt 3). As with the health baseline prompt, this prompt aims to replace baseline information in original form (e.g., voice samples from prior to



illness), which may be unobtainable for ill patients. This data reduces the obstructive impact of voice sounds or speech patterns which do not correlate directly to the current complaint.

#### *Prompt 4: Conventional Acoustic Data*

Prompt 4 in Table 1 facilitates the collection of conventional acoustic data that is typically used in voice AI studies. These data (prompt 4, part 1) help assess the impact of different variables on how air flows over the vocal cords. The prompt is the simplest method of collecting this type of data, can be easily translated in other languages, and has been used in large-scale crowdsourced studies in the past.<sup>35</sup> Prompt 4, part 2 – the “rainbow passage” – is a validated passage designed to maximize the amount of different acoustic features contained in a single data sample, ensuring that biomarkers are not missed due to limited/narrow inputs.<sup>42</sup> These data are collected not only to ensure that pure sound samples are available alongside the free speech with semantic meaning, but also as a mechanism for interoperability and comparison with data from past studies.

#### *Prompt 5: Conventional Breathing Data*

Participants are also asked to breathe through the nose normally for 30 seconds (prompt 4, part 3) and take 3 deep, open-mouthed breaths (prompt 4, part 4), facilitating tasks such as the calculation of respiratory rate (widely used in continuous vital sign monitoring) and the capture of dangerous airway conditions such as stridor or distinctive alterations from supraglottic edema.<sup>43</sup>

#### *Prompt 6: Additional Information*

To further ensure that HEAR is collecting comprehensive patient-centered data about medical history and history of present illness, prompt 6 asks if the respondent has any other information that may be important to share (i.e., any contributing information that might not have been covered by past prompts). As such, voice EHR data is likely to be more broadly informative than limited sets of features from either survey forms or structured health records. This may lead to significant improvements compared to past health datasets which have been shown to have bias against underserved minority groups or individuals with unique and/or complicated clinical needs not considered in the design of EHR systems or standardized surveys.

#### *Prompt 7: Diagnosis and Treatment Plan*

If available, a healthcare worker will be asked to provide a brief recorded description of the appointment, diagnosis, and treatment plan (Table 1, prompt 7). This recording may approximate types of clinical data which are often not collected/stored in low-resource settings, such as lab results. This data will be also used to provide annotations for training future voice EHR foundation AI models.

### **3.4 Multi-Lingual Capabilities**

Recently developed LLMs have been shown to have multi-lingual capabilities.<sup>11,44</sup> As such, the

HEAR app has been and will continue to be adapted for different languages. Across different languages, most prompts can be translated directly; however, the rainbow passage and elongated vowels (Table 1) were selected to optimize the diversity of acoustic features from speech in English. Equivalent passages and vowels are needed to complete the same tasks in other languages. Table 2 shows the English and Vietnamese versions of these tasks.<sup>45</sup>

**Table 2:** Comparison of Conventional Voice Data Scripts between English and Vietnamese

Prompt	English Version	Translated Vietnamese Equivalent
Elongated Vowels	aaaaa (as in <i>made</i> ), eeeee (as in <i>beet</i> ) ooooo (as in <i>cool</i> )	âyyyyy (as in <i>mây</i> ), iiiiii (as in <i>vi</i> ) uuuuuu (as in <i>lu</i> )
Rainbow Passage	“When the sunlight strikes raindrops in the air, they act as a prism and form a rainbow. The rainbow is a division of white light into many beautiful colors. These take the shape of a long round arch, with its path high above, and its two ends apparently beyond the horizon.”	“Hey, buffalo, I tell you this: Buffalo, go out to the field, plow with me. Plowing is the farmer's true vocation. Here I am, there you are, who else will take care of the work. When the rice plants begin to bloom, there will still be grass in the fields for the buffalo to eat.”

#### 4. Preliminary Results

This study resulted in the development of an application for the collection of multimodal audio data and has led to the establishment of an initiative called the HEAR consortium, allowing healthcare organizations to be involved in the project regardless of their current resources. This multinational initiative is designed to ensure a diverse array of patient populations and diseases are present in the dataset. To the best of our knowledge, the HEAR project represents the first attempt at health-related multimodal audio data collection for low-resource languages such as Vietnamese, Bahasa Indonesia, Javanese, and Kinyarwanda (Rwanda).

#### 4.2 Case Studies of Initial Data

Examples of basic health information and audio data transcripts for patients with illnesses and control patients are presented below. This is a limited sample of data for illustrative purposes.

**Table 3:** Examples of Basic Health Information from the HEAR application.

	Patient A	Patient B	Patient C	Control A	Control B	Control C
<b>Age</b>	40	55	74	52	75	56
<b>Weight</b>	175	117	152	155	175	139
<b>Sex</b>	Male	Female	Female	Female	Female	Female
<b>Race</b>	White	White	Hispanic	No Response	White	Black/AA
<b>Occupation</b>	Physician	Nurse	Nurse	Nurse	Retired	Landscaper
<b>Insurance</b>	Private	Public	Private	Public	Public	Private
<b>Education</b>	Graduate	College	Graduate	College	Graduate	College
<b>Recording</b>	Home	Home	Hospital	Home	Home	Home
<b>Health History</b>	None	None	Hypertension Cardiovascular disease Thyroid disease	Chronic pain Autoimmune Sleep disorders Depression	Thyroid Disorders Cancer Sleep disorders	MS Cancer

<b>Symptoms</b>	Cough Sore throat	Headache Runny Nose Sore Throat Productive cough	Sore throat Muscle aches	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Duration</b>	3	3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Progression</b>	Worse	No change	Improving	N/A	N/A	N/A

Transcribed excerpts from each of the verbal prompts (Tables 4 – 7 below) represent the quality and quantity of information contained in voice EHR data from acutely ill patients and controls.

### *Background Health Information*

Background health information provided by both patients and controls (Table 4) exemplified valuable data not captured by the initial demographic data (Table 3). For example, Patient A discussed acid reflux and use of histamines, both of which may be connected to voice changes or respiratory biomarkers.<sup>46-47</sup> Control A described a wide range of potential sources of chronic voice and/or speech changes which may confuse an AI model attempting to diagnose a new condition. These include asthma, anxiety, fatigue, brain fog, and dysautonomia. Control B described thyroid conditions which have been associated with voice changes, and Control C explains a history of multiple sclerosis, which is also known to impact voice/speech.<sup>48-50</sup>

**Table 4: Background Health Information:** Transcribed voice EHR from patients and controls.

<b>Prompt:</b> Please tell us background information about your health before your illness, including past health problems and medications.	
Patient A	“Overall, I am very healthy. I have seasonal allergies and occasional acid reflux. I do not take any regular medications other than an occasional medicine for seasonal allergies like an antihistamine or an occasional medication for acid reflux.”
Patient B	“I have good overall health, no chronic conditions. I do have seasonal allergies for which I take Allegra 60 milligrams twice a day.”
Patient C	Once in a while I will get some back pains, but I've had history of back surgery. And nerve blocks. I really don't have any other pains. Once I did have a little bit of chest pain, but the doctor had me on telemetry and nothing serious was found. And I haven't been that sick. I've been feeling well. I've gotten better. I got better from everything. I get better. Not only my health, my mental health, but my physical health. I am growing rapidly. I'm making more progress as I believe in my own condition.”
Control A	“So, when I was a teenager, I started passing out after track meets and always had low blood pressure. And they said that I was just hypotensive, even though I wasn't on blood pressure medications, and that I was hyperventilating, and then said that I had athletically induced asthma. I continued on currently having pain, then I was finally diagnosed with endometriosis and had pain for that, which caused the anxiety disorder, because being in a lot of pain all the time is horrible. When I got into my 30s and symptoms started becoming worse, fatigue, lack of concentration, just chronic pain all over my body, like nerve sensations, passing out, not being able to do exercise, total chronic fatigue, and I would stand up from a chair at work and I would just instantly blackout. So, that took me to 2010 to finally be diagnosed via a sweat test and a tilt table test, but I had POTS syndrome and dysautonomia. But they never did anything about it other than put me on meds. They never tried to get to the base of it and said that I was just fine. I wasn't that sick, even though I was in a recliner up to 70% of the day some days as it progressed. Well, then I believe it was in 2014 that I finally got hooked up with Anschutz Center in Colorado, in Aurora, with their neuromuscular clinic, and they actually did complete tests and found out that I have the

	autoimmune disorder, dysautonomia, POTS, as I had low IVIG levels and issues with my muscle and nerve fibers. And then they found I had a weird antibody or some like blood work that was just odd.”
Control B	“My health history is I have had atrial fibrillation, which is now cured. I am actively sleeping well, being well, reading well about health. I'm doing everything I can to be a long life for my family, lives to be in their 90s, and I want to have a quality of life at that time also, or perhaps better than they have done. And, let's see, I'm wanting to expand my walking abilities to be able to walk more than I have been after the pandemic. I didn't, haven't walked as much as I would have liked to have done. And, I do have lymphedema in one of my legs, and I work with that, you know, making sure that that continues to stay healthy. The thyroid, I've had that since I was about 18. I was hypothyroid, and then I became hyperthyroid, then I became hypothyroid, and now I'm back to hyperthyroid again, but we've just changed it. So, it's an ongoing, we can never quite get it to be perfect for too very long. I've been looked at for, you know, ultrasounds once a year, and my doctor is a specialist in thyroid disease, and he continues to regulate for me. And, when it's regulated, I feel really good. And, when it's not regulated, I don't feel so great, you know, and I'm quite as sharp or as active, or digestion, you know, changes. So, but, so, and the cancer, I had uterine cancer, but we caught it, and it was grade one, stage one, it was 14 years ago.”
Control C	“I have breast cancer, stage 1, I've had for 5 years, it's been remission. I also have multiple sclerosis; it's been remission for about 20 years. Both is under control; I have minor symptoms from both. And I was not on any drugs for the cancer or didn't have to get chemo or radiation. It was at the beginning stages of the cancer. And the MS, I have managed to keep it under control by good diet, exercise regularly, and trying to be as stress free as possible.”

*Longitudinal Illness Descriptions*

Verbal illness descriptions provided not only longitudinal symptom progression but also extensive use of qualifiers (“moderate”, “little bit”, “very”) that quantify severity or other subtle relationships between signs/symptoms. Additionally, the data contained several instances of patient-initiated interventions within the illness window that could potentially account for fluctuations in audio data. Examples included gargling with saline, vitamin C supplements, OTC medication, and increased hydration (Table 5).

**Table 5:** Example of Current Illness Information from 3 patients.

<b>Prompt:</b> In as much detail as possible, please tell us how your illness has developed from the time when you first noticed the first symptoms until now. Include any medications you took (like Tylenol) or steps you use to reduce your symptoms. Please use words/phrases like "on the first day", "in the morning", "then", "after that" and use descriptive words like "mild", "severe". No detail is too small.	
Patient A	“My symptoms started about three to four days ago. I started to have a slight sore throat and a mild dry cough. I also had a slight headache at that time, but it has since resolved, period. Over the next few days, I have had worsening dry cough and a mild to moderate sore throat, period. My sore throat has remained about the same, but my cough has worsened. I have not felt the need to take medications for my symptoms up to this point, other than I've tried to increase my hydration and increase my sleep.”
Patient B	“On day one, symptoms started in the afternoon with voice hoarseness, sinus and nasal congestion. By day two, the throat was still hoarse but also sore at this time with increased congestion and a headache. I used ibuprofen and Tylenol for the sore throat pain and the headache. On day three, I had increased congestion, both sinus and nasal, and my lymph nodes were swollen. The sore throat was worse and my voice was only at a whisper and still had a headache. I continued to use Tylenol and ibuprofen. Ibuprofen assisted with the throat pain but did not completely eliminate it. I did do a COVID test. On day three, that came back negative for COVID. Day four, pretty much the same as day three. Throat still sore, no real improvement. Headache and lots of sinus and nasal congestion.”

Patient C	Let's start talking. Okay. My health is, I guess it's okay. I've been under the weather this week a little bit with a sore throat and with a little bit of coughing and bringing up some sputum, but it's getting much better [extracted from first prompt]. And this past week, I started with having a stuffy nose and a sore throat. And so I started taking, I thought maybe it could be related to allergies. I started taking some over-the-counter medication for day and for night for cold and flu-type symptoms. And that seemed to help. And that's about all. And I drank vitamin C. I did a little gargling with saline. And that's it.
-----------	--

*Voice Changes*

Initial viability of voice EHR is further supported by audio data from individuals reporting vocal changes (Table 6). Patients A and B described voice changes due to illness, which can be linked to conventional sound data, thereby ensuring that these changes are considered separately from irrelevant voice/speech anomalies due to lifestyle, recording quality, or other factors. Control A reported voice and speech changes due to dysautonomia, including voice cracks and difficulty speaking coherently. Control B identified two separate voice changes due to Atrial fibrillation and hyperthyroidism. Finally, Control C described voice changes due to multiple sclerosis (MS). All of these voice/speech irregularities could be falsely predicted as an infection or other new, emerging condition. This information is not captured in existing surveys or audio datasets.

**Table 6:** Examples of Self-Identified Changes in Voice from three patients.

<b>Prompt:</b> Please tell us if you or anyone else has noticed any recent changes in your voice or speech. These should be changes that have started around the same time as your illness, not any chronic long-term changes.	
Patient A	“My voice has become more raspy and deeper.”
Patient B	“I did notice a big voice change. In fact, that was the first real symptom on day one, was having a hoarse voice. By day two, it was even more hoarse. And as the day went on, that's when my throat began to get more painful. And by day 3, my voice was at a complete whisper. Today is day 4.”
Patient C	“I have not noticed any changes in my speech pattern. I'm bilingual. Sometimes I speak in Spanish to my Spanish family and sometimes I speak in English, so I haven't had any problems.”
Control A	“So a lot of people say that my brain fog is worse due to dysautonomia, my voice gets cracky at times and I search for words and have a hard time pronouncing words that I used to pronounce fine before this.”
Control B	“Yeah, I think I noticed, I don't have AFib anymore because I had the surgery, but I think I noticed a change in my voice when the AFib started. And I also noticed changes in my voice when my thyroid is active. You can hear it in my voice today, actually. And it affected my singing voice, too, you know, whenever it was going on. I used to have a beautiful singing voice. And with the development of that AFib and this thyroid disease, I think I noticed a big change in my voice, kind of, you know, so. But it sounds more raspy and more irritated, you know, instead of clear and strong.”
Control C	“With the MS, sometimes the voice is not as strong, it gets a little low on occasions when you're tired or fatigued, sometimes the voice gets a little low because the air can't push up to your diaphragm properly to make the voice sound strong or as clear as usual. So that's the only change with the voice is due to the MS, the cancer, that has no change in the voice at all.”

### Other Information (Free Response)

The final voice prompt captured information regarding aspects of the patient’s health which they felt were important. For example, Patient B mentioned a time just before the illness when cleaning products evoked similar symptoms. Control C talked about residual post-operative pain.

**Table 7:** Example Additional Health Information from three patients.

<b>Prompt:</b> Is there anything else you think may be affecting your health that you would like us to know? For example, you can tell us about your employment or your lifestyle habits.	
Patient A	Checked box indicating there is nothing else they would like to share.
Patient B	“On day one I was in a home where there was a cleaning lady and when I first walked in the smell of the cleaning product was so strong that I instantly started to cough and felt some issues.”
Patient C	Checked box indicating there is nothing else they would like to share.
Control A	Checked box indicating there is nothing else they would like to share.
Control B	Checked box indicating there is nothing else they would like to share.
Control C	“I do have minor, minor effects from both the MS as well as the breast cancer. The breast cancer, I just had pain from the site of the surgery because the tumors were taken out of the right breast and the lymph nodes, a couple of tumors in the lymph nodes. So they managed to get all of the tumors out of the breast and the couple that was in the lymph nodes. And so the only effects I have from that is the pain from the surgery, occasionally I’ll get a sharp pain where the surgery was, but that is to be expected, especially when I do a lot.”

## 5. Discussion

The creation of a novel “voice EHR” system introduces numerous potential benefits to the healthcare data space, including improvement of AI model performance and reduction of bias towards underrepresented groups.

### 5.1 Safe and Realistic Training Data for Clinical AI

The use of voice EHR as training data for models may overcome multiple barriers to the safe deployment of AI tools for low-resource settings. In these settings, traditional EHRs are often incomplete, incorrect, or “low-tech”, which disadvantages patients who may be given care based on EHR-driven AI technologies developed in high-income settings. While conventional, gold-standard annotations like lab results are not collected, prompts which were co-designed by healthcare workers and direct data collection partnerships with clinics will help ensure the viability of voice EHR.

The HEAR application facilitates the rapid collection of “voice EHR” data in a user-friendly way, without 1) requiring time-consuming and error-prone text data entry on the part of the individual, and 2) enforcing rigid, pre-defined data schema found in traditional EHR, which may limit the incorporation of information which the patient considers to be important. Furthermore, the process of creating a “voice EHR” may be useful to healthcare workers. In the future, transcribed audio may serve as an accompaniment to clinical notes, reducing the redundancy often associated with data collection and potentially enhancing clinical workflows.

Voice EHR may additionally compensate for sources of confusion that are often found in clinical data. Examples of this could include lapses in patient memory, incomplete notes from healthcare workers, or information reported in colloquial terminology. Moreover, the use of voice/sound data in combination with recorded health information may capture more comprehensive representations of diseases with diverse phenotypes. Sound data may contribute additional biomarkers for certain diseases, which would not currently be captured in clinician notes. Even if participants provide incoherent voice data in terms of semantic meaning, the HEAR application still captures voice and breathing data which can independently contribute to the robustness of the data. Ultimately, self-reported multimodal audio data expands upon basic health information traditionally used for digital health systems and may allow AI models to better consider chronic conditions, noticeable voice changes, speech patterns, word choices indicating mood/sentiment, potential exposures, behavioral influences, and specific disease progression.

A secondary advantage of semi-structured background data in voice EHR is the potential to define control data more effectively for additional studies on audio biomarkers. The voice EHR contained data on other chronic or past conditions (lupus, tinnitus, low testosterone, dysautonomia, others) which could be used to identify potential sources of confusion for a multimodal audio AI model or provide annotations for studies exploring the use of audio tools for at-home monitoring of chronic health challenges.


## **5.2 Limitations**

Implementation of the voice EHR data collection process has presented multiple challenges which must be overcome for adaptation at scale. Prompts for semi-structured data collection, particularly in uncontrolled settings, must be optimized to ensure that patients are easily able to complete the tasks correctly. In the initial voice EHR data, there were numerous incomplete samples containing only the initial text survey (no recorded audio). There were also cases in which the medical history was only reported in the context of the current problem or participants miscategorized themselves as controls - potentially due to unclear criteria - resulting in missing data. Clearer instructions with visual illustrations and video tutorials will be included in future versions of the app. Moreover, the dataset must be expanded to ensure access to participants with diverse illnesses. The current dataset was collected at a hospital or in the home, but the highest volume of data for some types of disease (e.g., respiratory) may be collected in primary/urgent care settings. Finally, work in Vietnam has showed that, in low-bandwidth areas, the simultaneous capture of voice and vital signs is very time-consuming, posing questions about the feasibility of expanded multimodality. Background queuing and data uploads will be added to the app to prevent delays while information is transmitted to the servers.

## **5.3 Future Work**

In addition to building foundational AI models with voice EHR data (Fig. 2), we aim to expand the study to ensure maximum clinical utility of the work. In the future, if bandwidth challenges can be addressed, data collection may be expanded to include other modalities, including

waveform recordings from smartwatches or other sensors connected via Bluetooth. New wearable technologies have made this ambitious objective increasingly feasible. As AI models become more capable of advanced reasoning, multimodal correlated data has increasing potential to add value to automated systems for clinical workflow optimization, predictive tasks, or decision-making. Moreover, a privacy-aware, patient-controlled option to create a time-series voice EHR (via a username or other identifier) may be introduced to collect personalized control data from participants and to run longitudinal studies.



**Figure 2:** Hypothetical workflow of a proposed “large language and sound” model for performing clinical tasks with voice EHR data. The proposed model, with text/audio data alignment in the latent space, uses transcribed language data and existing knowledge from LLMs to augment voice/speech biomarkers of health.

## 6. Conclusion

This report shows the potential of multimodal audio data for health as a safe, private, and equitable foundation for new AI models. Voice EHR may offer a proxy for detailed EHR data only found in high-resource areas, while simultaneously providing voice, speech, and respiratory data to compliment subjective patient-reported information. Ultimately, AI models trained on voice EHR may be used in the clinic and home, supporting patients in hospital “deserts” where healthcare is not readily accessible. While challenges remain, this work highlights the rich information potentially contained in voice EHR.

### Disclosures / Conflicts of Interest:

The authors declare no competing non-financial interests but the following competing financial interests. NIH may own intellectual property in the field. NIH and BJW receive royalties for



licensed patents from Philips, unrelated to this work. BW is Principal Investigator on the following CRADA's = Cooperative Research & Development Agreements, between NIH and industry: Philips, Philips Research, Celsion Corp, BTG Biocompatibles / Boston Scientific, Siemens, NVIDIA, XACT Robotics. Promaxo (in progress). The following industry partners also support research in CIO lab via equipment, personnel, devices and/ or drugs: 3T Technologies (devices), Exact Imaging (data), AngioDynamics (equipment), AstraZeneca (pharmaceuticals, NCI CRADA), ArciTrax (devices and equipment), Imactis (Equipment), Johnson & Johnson (equipment), Medtronic (equipment), Theromics (Supplies), Profound Medical (equipment and supplies), QT Imaging (equipment and supplies). DAC was supported by the Pandemic Sciences Institute at the University of Oxford; the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Oxford Biomedical Research Centre (BRC); an NIHR Research Professorship; a Royal Academy of Engineering Research Chair; the Wellcome Trust funded VITAL project (grant 204904/Z/16/Z); the EPSRC (grant EP/W031744/1); and the InnoHK Hong Kong Centre for Cerebro-cardiovascular Engineering (COCHE).

The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views, policies, or opinions of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, the National Institutes of Health, the US Department of Health and Human Services, the US Department of Defense, the U.K. National Health Service, the U.K. National Institute for Health Research, the U.K. Department of Health, InnoHK – ITC, or the University of Oxford. The mention of commercial products, their source, or their use in connection with material reported herein is not to be construed as an actual or implied endorsement of such products by the U.S. government. This work was prepared by a military or civilian employee of the US Government as part of the individual's official duties and therefore is in the public domain and does not possess copyright protection (public domain information may be freely distributed and copied; however, as a courtesy it is requested that the Uniformed Services University and the author be given an appropriate acknowledgement).

## References

1. Smyrnakis, Emmanouil, et al. "Primary care professionals' experiences during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Greece: a qualitative study." *BMC Family Practice* 22.1 (2021): 1-10.
2. <https://www.goodrx.com/healthcare-access/research/healthcare-deserts-80-percent-of-country-lacks-adequate-healthcare-access>
3. Zhang, Xiaoming, et al. "Physician workforce in the United States of America: forecasting nationwide shortages." *Human resources for health* 18.1 (2020): 1-9.
4. Hoyler, Marguerite, et al. "Shortage of doctors, shortage of data: a review of the global surgery, obstetrics, and anesthesia workforce literature." *World journal of surgery* 38 (2014): 269-280.
5. Shin, Philip, et al. "Time out: the impact of physician burnout on patient care quality and safety in perioperative medicine." *The Permanente Journal* 27.2 (2023): 160.
6. Ortega, Marcus V., et al. "Patterns in physician burnout in a stable-linked cohort." *JAMA Network Open* 6.10 (2023): e2336745-e2336745.
7. Oluyede, Lindsay, et al. "Addressing transportation barriers to health care during the COVID-19 pandemic: Perspectives of care coordinators." *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice* 159 (2022): 157-168.
8. Syed, Samina T., Ben S. Gerber, and Lisa K. Sharp. "Traveling towards disease: transportation barriers to health care access." *Journal of community health* 38 (2013): 976-993
9. Ayers, John W., et al. "Comparing physician and artificial intelligence chatbot responses to patient questions posted to a public social media forum." *JAMA internal medicine* (2023).
10. Heaven, Will Douglas. "Hundreds of AI tools have been built to catch covid. None of them helped." *MIT Technology Review*. Retrieved October 6 (2021): 2021.
11. OpenAI, R. "Gpt-4 technical report. arxiv 2303.08774." *View in Article* 2 (2023): 13.
12. Touvron, Hugo, et al. "Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models." arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288 (2023).
13. Li, Chunyuan, et al. "Llava-med: Training a large language-and-vision assistant for biomedicine in one day." *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 36 (2024).
14. <https://sites.research.google/med-palm/>
15. Jayatilleke, Kushlani. "Challenges in implementing surveillance tools of high-income countries (HICs) in low middle income countries (LMICs)." *Current treatment options in infectious diseases* 12 (2020): 191-201.16. Tracy, John M., et al. "Investigating voice as a biomarker: deep phenotyping methods for early detection of Parkinson's disease." *Journal of biomedical informatics* 104 (2020): 103362.
16. Le, Austin, Benjamin H. Han, and Joseph J. Palamar. "When national drug surveys "take too long": An examination of who is at risk for survey fatigue." *Drug and alcohol dependence* 225 (2021): 108769.
17. Jeong, Dahyeon, et al. "Exhaustive or exhausting? Evidence on respondent fatigue in long surveys." *Journal of Development Economics* 161 (2023): 102992.
18. Suppa, Antonio, et al. "Voice in Parkinson's disease: a machine learning study." *Frontiers in Neurology* 13 (2022): 831428.
19. Tougui, Ilias, Abdelilah Jilbab, and Jamal El Mhamdi. "Machine learning smart system for Parkinson disease classification using the voice as a biomarker." *Healthcare Informatics Research* 28.3 (2022): 210-221.
20. Fagherazzi, Guy, et al. "Voice for health: the use of vocal biomarkers from research to clinical practice." *Digital biomarkers* 5.1 (2021): 78-88.
21. Chintalapudi, Nalini, et al. "Voice Biomarkers for Parkinson's Disease Prediction Using Machine Learning Models with Improved Feature Reduction Techniques." *Journal of Data Science and Intelligent Systems* (2023).
22. Asim Iqbal, Mohammed, Krishnamoorthy Devarajan, and Syed Musthak Ahmed. "An optimal asthma disease detection technique for voice signal using hybrid machine learning technique." *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience* 34.11 (2022): e6856.
23. Idrisoglu, Alper, et al. "COPDVD: Automated Classification of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease on a New Developed and Evaluated Voice Dataset." *Available at SSRN* 4713043 (2024).
24. Raju, Nidhin, D. Peter Augustine, and J. Chandra. "A Novel Artificial Intelligence System for the Prediction of Interstitial Lung Diseases." *SN Computer Science* 5.1 (2024): 143.
25. Borna, Sahar, et al. "A Review of Voice-Based Pain Detection in Adults Using Artificial Intelligence." *Bioengineering* 10.4 (2023): 500.
26. Saghiri, Mohammad Ali, Anna Vakhnovetsky, and Julia Vakhnovetsky. "Scoping review of the relationship between diabetes and voice quality." *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* 185 (2022): 109782.
27. Bensoussan, Yael, et al. "Artificial intelligence and laryngeal cancer: from screening to prognosis: a state of the art review." *Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery* 168.3 (2023): 319-329.

28. Bensoussan, Yaël, Olivier Elemento, and Anaïs Rameau. "Voice as an AI Biomarker of Health—Introducing Audiomics." *JAMA Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery* (2024).
29. Ritwik, K. V. S., Kalluri, S. B., & Vijayaseenan, D. COVID-19 Patient Detection from Telephone Quality Speech Data. Preprint at *arXiv* <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2011.04299> (2020).
30. Usman, Mohammed, et al. "Speech as a Biomarker for COVID-19 Detection Using Machine Learning." *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience* 2022 (2022).
31. Verde, L. et al. Exploring the Use of Artificial Intelligence Techniques to Detect the Presence of Coronavirus Covid-19 Through Speech and Voice Analysis. *IEEE Access* 9, 65750–65757 (2021).
32. Verde, L., de Pietro, G., & Sannino, G. Artificial Intelligence Techniques for the Non-invasive Detection of COVID-19 Through the Analysis of Voice Signals. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-021-06041-4> (2021).
33. Bhattacharya, Debarpan, et al. "Analyzing the impact of SARS-CoV-2 variants on respiratory sound signals." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.12309* (2022).
34. Alkhodari, M., & Khandoker, A. H. Detection of COVID-19 in smartphone-based breathing recordings: A pre-screening deep learning tool. *PLOS ONE* 17(1), 1–25 (2022).
35. Han, J. et al. (2022). Sounds of COVID-19: exploring realistic performance of audio-based digital testing. *Npj Digital Medicine* 5(1), 16 (2022).
36. Anibal, James, et al. "Omicron detection with large language models and YouTube audio data". Medrxiv preprint medrxiv: 2022.09.13.22279673 (2024).
37. Bhattacharya, Debarpan, et al. "Coswara: A respiratory sounds and symptoms dataset for remote screening of SARS-CoV-2 infection." *Scientific Data* 10.1 (2023): 397.
38. Triantafyllopoulos, Andreas, et al. "COVYT: Introducing the Coronavirus YouTube and TikTok speech dataset featuring the same speakers with and without infection." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.11045* (2022).
39. <https://datascience.nih.gov/strides>
40. Radford, Alec, et al. "Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision." International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 2023.
41. Hardavella, Georgia, et al. "Top tips to deal with challenging situations: doctor–patient interactions." *Breathe* 13.2 (2017): 129-135.
42. Fairbanks, G. (1960). *Voice and articulation drillbook*, 2nd edn.
43. Nam, Yunyoung, Bersain A. Reyes, and Ki H. Chon. "Estimation of respiratory rates using the built-in microphone of a smartphone or headset." *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics* 20.6 (2015): 1493-1501.
44. Cahyawijaya, Samuel, Holy Lovenia, and Pascale Fung. "LLMs Are Few-Shot In-Context Low-Resource Language Learners." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.16512* (2024).
45. Nguyen, Van Thai, et al. "Normative nasalance scores for Vietnamese-speaking children." *Logopedics Phoniatrics Vocology* 44.2 (2019): 51-57
46. Abaza, Mona M., et al. "Effects of medications on the voice." *Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America* 40.5 (2007): 1081-1090.
47. Vashani, K., et al. "Effectiveness of voice therapy in reflux-related voice disorders." *Diseases of the Esophagus* 23.1 (2010): 27-32.
48. Junuzović-Žunić, Lejla, Amela Ibrahimagić, and Selma Altumbabić. "Voice characteristics in patients with thyroid disorders." *The Eurasian journal of medicine* 51.2 (2019): 101.
49. Stogowska, Ewa, et al. "Voice changes in reproductive disorders, thyroid disorders and diabetes: a review." *Endocrine Connections* 11.3 (2022).
50. Feijó, Adriana Vélez, et al. "Acoustic analysis of voice in multiple sclerosis patients." *Journal of Voice* 18.3 (2004): 341-347.