

Advancing Multimodal Data Fusion in Pain Recognition: A Strategy Leveraging Statistical Correlation and Human-Centered Perspectives

Xingrui Gu

Department of Computer Science
University College London
London, UK
x.gu.hayden@gmail.com

Zhixuan Wang

Department of Computer Science
University College London
London, UK
ggzhwx@gmail.com

Irisa Jin

Halicioğlu Data Science Institute
University of California, San Diego
San Diego, United States
irisajin23@gmail.com

Zekun Wu

Centre for Artificial Intelligence
University College London
London, UK
zekun.wu.19@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract—This research tackles the challenge of integrating heterogeneous data for specific behavior recognition within the domain of Pain Recognition, presenting a novel methodology that harmonizes statistical correlations with a human-centered approach. By leveraging a diverse range of deep learning architectures, we highlight the adaptability and efficacy of our approach in improving model performance across various complex scenarios. The novelty of our methodology is the strategic incorporation of statistical relevance weights and the segmentation of modalities from a human-centric perspective, enhancing model precision and providing an explainable analysis of multimodal data. This study surpasses traditional modality fusion techniques by underscoring the role of data diversity and customized modality segmentation in enhancing pain behavior analysis. Introducing a framework that matches each modality with a suited classifier, based on the statistical significance, signals a move towards customized and accurate multimodal fusion strategies. Our contributions extend beyond the field of Pain Recognition by delivering new insights into modality fusion and human-centered computing applications, contributing towards explainable AI and bolstering patient-centric healthcare interventions. Thus, we bridge a significant void in the effective and interpretable fusion of multimodal data, establishing a novel standard for forthcoming inquiries in pain behavior recognition and allied fields.¹

Index Terms—Pain Recognition, Behaviour Recognition, Human Centered Computing, Statistics, Explainable AI

I. INTRODUCTION

In the evolving domain of Human Computer Interaction, Affective Computing stands as a interdisciplinary field with pronounced relevance in enhancing human-computer interfaces and health surveillance [1]. It bridges emotional connections between humans and technology through the nuanced interpretation and simulation of human emotions, enhancing the capability of technology to identify and respond to emotional

signals. Specifically, its role in understanding human pain behavior highlights its vast potential by recognizing pain as a complex emotional and not just a physical condition [2] [3]. Studies reveal a significant Bayesian correlation between pain and anxiety, indicating a complex interaction between pain intensity, anxiety levels, and the potential indirect connection of defensive behaviors with anxiety [4].




Fig. 1. Relationship between pain, emotion and protective behaviour [4]

The complexity and multi-dimensionality of emotions and pain, pivotal in psychological and cognitive sciences, stem from the intricate interplay of factors within the nervous system, rather than isolated physiological or psychological causes [5]. This interplay, ingrained in our neurophysiological structure, illustrates that emotions are the product of diverse factors, underscored by neural processes [6] [7].

The analysis of pain's complexity gains unparalleled insights through multimodal data analysis. Advancements in human-centered computing have been propelled by multimodal data fusion, significantly enhancing emotional recognition and interactivity. Despite these advancements, challenges remain, particularly in dealing with data heterogeneity and alignment issues across different modalities [8]. Deep learning offers improvements in data processing but faces interpretability and high-dimensional data integration challenges [9]. Traditional approaches sometimes neglect the complexity and ethical considerations inherent in human-centered computing and pain evaluation. Emphasizing technological efficiency, applicability, and ethics, human-centered computing necessitates integrating human factors like physiology, behavior, emotions,

¹The code and model for this paper will be made publicly available upon acceptance.

and culture into model development, thereby ensuring AI models' interpretability and ethicality [10] [2].

This investigation explores the effectiveness of statistical methods in multimodal data analysis, focusing on predicting protective behaviors with significant implications for pain recognition. Despite some successes of conventional machine learning and deep learning techniques in analyzing pain behaviors, they are limited in precision and interpretability amidst the complexities of highly heterogeneous multimodal data [11]. This research aims to improve the accuracy and utility of pain analysis by optimizing modality fusion through statistical methods and incorporating a data-driven, human-centric approach. The statistical approach helps in dissecting and understanding the intricate relationships among different modalities, thus facilitating a more accurate analysis of pain behaviors, identifying essential modalities for the enhancement of predictive models, and reducing redundancies [12]. Through statistical inference and hypothesis testing, this study validates the contributions of individual modalities, allowing for dynamic weight adjustments to improve model adaptability and personalization. This not only augments the precision, efficiency, and interpretability of the models but also addresses ethical considerations, steering towards more effective analyses of pain behaviors and the development of personalized, precision pain management strategies.

II. RELATED WORK

Previous studies suggested that traditional machine learning and deep learning approaches could present an alternative to manual pain scoring, such as using a conventional neural network, based on Google's InceptionV3 model, to predict a binary label of "pain" and "no pain" from mouse face images [13]. In addition to this, Surface ElectroMyoGraphy (SEMG) recordings have been identified as a valuable tool for assessing chronic low back pain [14]. Building upon this foundation of research, there emerges a novel perspective within pain recognition, wherein the integration of multimodal data can use the strengths of various sources of data to enhance the accuracy and precision of predicting pain-related behavior. However, to begin, it is essential to establish and evaluate pain as a human emotion. Past research concluded that there are overlapping regions of the brain in the Central Nervous System (CNS) between pain and other emotions, encompassing areas such as the amygdala, the thalamus, and the Anterior Cingulate Cortex (ACC) [15].

Building upon this foundation of research, there emerges a novel perspective within affective computing and human centered computing, wherein the integration of multimodal data can use the strengths of various sources of data to enhance the accuracy and precision of predicting pain-related behavior. The recognition of emotion and pain requires a nuanced understanding of complex constructs, synthesizing from a diverse array of modal features, such as facial expressions, vocal tones, postures, physiological signals, sensory experiences, emotional states, and cognitive evaluations [6] [7].

This complexity necessitates an integrated, multimodal analytical approach that goes beyond singular data sources. Consequently, state-of-the-art deep learning algorithms, including CNN-LSTMs, have been leveraged to significantly improve the accuracy and speed of pain detection [16] [17]. However, the challenge of effectively integrating and processing this heterogeneous data persists, and overcoming this challenge is essential for advancing multimodal data fusion and maximizing the efficacy of analytical methods for pain identification [11].

Reflecting on this necessity, historical research reinforces the value of integrating multiple data types, such as body movements and muscle activity, to enhance pain detection accuracy [18]. These findings highlight the crucial interconnectivity of different modalities, underpinning their essential role in pain analysis and supporting the advancement of multimodal methodologies in this study.

Subsequent explorations have further elucidated this concept, with studies illustrating the efficacy of combining muscle and motion signals for the accurate identification of protective behaviors—a key aspect of pain behavior analysis. Notably, central (model-level) fusion approaches have been shown to outperform both feature and decision-level fusion methods in the context of Protective Behavior Detection (PBD), showcasing their superior capability in harnessing the potential of multimodal data [19] [20]. This advancement signals a critical insight into the differential impact of various fusion layers on the process of pain recognition, highlighting the imperative of selecting and implementing the most effective fusion strategies to achieve a more integrative and holistic understanding of pain behaviors through the synthesis of multimodal information.

Innovative model architectures have been proposed to improve the precision and applicability of pain analysis studies. The P-STEMR framework is one such innovation, which capitalizes on human activity recognition datasets for the categorization of pain levels, illuminating the capabilities of supervised learning in scenarios where labeled datasets are scarce [21]. Concurrently, the advent of a cutting-edge hierarchical HAR-PBD architecture signifies a step forward in enabling real-time pain assessment. This architecture synergizes Human Activity Recognition (HAR) with Protective Behavior Detection (PBD) through the integration of graph convolution and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks. Furthermore, this model innovatively addresses the prevalent issue of data classification imbalance by incorporating the Class-Balanced Focal Classification Cross-Entropy (CFCC) loss mechanism [22], demonstrating a methodical approach to improve the reliability and effectiveness of pain monitoring systems.

The challenges in multimodal data fusion lie in the seamless integration of heterogeneous data from varied sources for effective pain state recognition, where increased dimensionality muddles model interpretability. Although previous studies have leveraged machine learning and deep learning to automate pain scoring to some extent, they fall short when dealing with complex, multi-source pain behaviors.

Our innovative approach underscores a multimodal data fusion strategy anchored in statistical relevance, enriched by a human-centric perspective to bolster model efficacy. This methodology not only acknowledges the diversity of data but ingeniously converts the correlations between various modal data and outcomes into weighted contributions for model decision-making, thereby ensuring a more precise and holistic detection of pain behaviours.

III. METHODOLOGY

In this study, our objective is to investigate and substantiate the efficacy and relevance of statistical approaches in amalgamating multimodal data, with a particular focus on domains centered around human computation, such as the analysis of pain. The endeavor is to amalgamate a variety of statistical instruments to refine the integration process of multimodal data, thereby augmenting the precision and efficiency in the analysis of pain behaviors. We postulate that employing this strategy will facilitate a more nuanced comprehension of the intricacies and multifaceted nature of pain, consequently enabling the provision of solutions for pain management that are both more targeted and individualized.

The pivotal inquiry of this research concentrates on devising methodologies for the effective fusion and processing of multimodal data derived from varied sources and types. This encompasses leveraging statistical tools for the fusion of modal features, conducting hypothesis tests on target variables, and performing correlation analyses. Moreover, this study is dedicated to exploring the evaluation of modal significance and the distribution of dynamic weights predicated on statistical findings, in addition to determining methodologies for optimizing the combination of features to enhance the efficacy of models predicting pain.

A. Dataset Introduction

In this research, we harnessed the EmoPain dataset, an instrumental resource for investigating the correlation between body movements and levels of pain intensity [23]. This dataset is bifurcated into training and validation sets, incorporating data from 10 chronic pain sufferers and 6 healthy controls in the former, and 4 chronic pain individuals alongside 3 healthy controls in the latter. In Table III-A and Figure 2, highlighted within the dataset are the X, Y, and Z coordinates of body joints, segmented across columns 1-22, 23-44, and 45-66, respectively. The crux of our analysis is centered on vector 73, which gauges protective behavior, identifying non-protective actions with 0 and protective behaviors with 1. This intricate relationship between protective behaviors and pain, intermediated by emotional states, situates our study at the convergence of behavioral analysis, pain evaluation, and emotional computation, suggesting significant repercussions for the domain of human-centered computing.

B. Dataset Analysis

The histograms and Q-Q plots in Figure ?? and Figure ?? for the training and validation data provide crucial insights

Columns	Description
1-22	X coordinates of 22 body joints.
23-44	Y coordinates of 22 body joints.
45-66	Z coordinates of 22 body joints.
67-70	Surface electromyography data from the lumbar and upper trapezius muscles.
73	Protective behaviour label (0 for not protective, 1 for protective).




Fig. 2. The arrangement of the 22 body joints [24]

into the normality of the dataset’s distribution. From the histograms, both datasets display a pronounced peak, but it doesn’t align with the theoretical normal distribution curve, suggesting a discrepancy from normality. This is further evidenced by the asymmetry and apparent deviations from the central peak.

The Q-Q plots reinforce these findings. Ideally, data points should closely follow the red line if they were normally distributed. However, in both training and validation datasets, significant deviations occur, particularly in the tails of the distribution. These deviations manifest as pronounced curves away from the expected line, indicating heavier tails than those of a normal distribution and suggesting a skew in the data.

Given the distribution characteristics of our dataset, as outlined in Table I, we identify the limitations and suitability of various statistical methods for our analysis. The assumption of normality renders **ANOVA** and **Pearson correlation** ineffective for our purposes, while **Kendall’s rank correlation**, despite its robustness, is impractical due to computational demands and is more suited for smaller datasets. Consequently, we opt for **Spearman’s rank correlation** as the most fitting choice, owing to its efficiency with large datasets and applicability to non-linear relationships, ensuring a more accurate and relevant analysis of our multimodal data. This decision strategically aligns with our analytical needs, emphasizing Spearman’s correlation as the most effective tool for deciphering the complex relationships within our heterogeneous dataset.

TABLE I
COMPARISON OF STATISTICAL METHODS

Method	Usage	Data Distribution
ANOVA	Independent groups	Normal, Equal variances
Pearson	Linear relation	Normal
Spearman	Monotonic relation	Any, including non-normal
Kendall	Ordered pairs, small sample size or with tied ranks	Any, including non-normal




Fig. 3. Left: Train Data Distribution. Right: Valid Data Distribution.

C. Experiment Design

The experimental design rigorously explores the influence of diverse data fusion strategies on the effectiveness of protective behavior recognition models. It delves into how decision-level fusion, enhanced by statistical analysis of data heterogeneity and human-centered modalities, affects model performance in the spectrum of protective behavior identification.

1) *Decision-Level Fusion with Singular Modality (Benchmark Model)*: The foundational experiment initiates with all 70 features amalgamated as a singular modality, subjected to a single Classification model. This setup, while ostensibly a feature-level fusion, is underscored as a decision-level fusion where the entire feature set is implicitly accorded a 100% weightage. This model establishes the baseline for performance metrics against which the outcomes of subsequent fusion techniques are compared (see Figure 4).

2) *Bifurcated Modality Approach*: Progressing to acknowledge the heterogeneity inherent in the data, the experiment bifurcates the features into two distinct modalities: the XYZ coordinates and sEMG signals. Each modality is then independently processed through an identical Classification model framework. Post-training, a weighted voting mechanism—rooted in decision-level fusion—is employed to amalgamate the predictive insights from each modality. The weights are meticulously derived from Spearman rank




Fig. 4. Singular Modality (Benchmark Model)

correlation coefficients, mirroring the relative significance of each modality’s contribution to pain level prediction. This phase aims to unravel whether segmenting features based on their heterogeneity and integrating them through a weighted decision-making process can elevate the model’s performance beyond the benchmark(see Figure 5).




Fig. 5. Bifurcated Modality Approach

3) *Quadrifurcated Modality Approach (Incorporating Human Factors)*: Further dissecting the data through a lens of human factors, this segment of the experiment categorizes the XYZ coordinates into three additional modalities, each representing a distinct body segment (upper limbs, lower limbs, and trunk), alongside the sEMG modality, culminating in a four-modality framework. Each modality, processed through the same Classification model, undergoes an analogous weighted voting system post-training, with weights again anchored in Spearman rank correlation coefficients(See Figure 6).




Fig. 6. Quadrifurcated Modality Approach (Incorporating Human Factors)

4) *Average Weighted Voting as a Comparative Benchmark*: To enhance the comparison within our study, we have implemented an average weighted voting mechanism across the four modalities, which presumes each modality contributes equally, without considering their statistical correlation to the protective behaviour states being analysed. This baseline method is essential for our analysis as it starkly contrasts with the statistically weighted voting approach, thereby underscoring

the advantages of utilizing statistical correlations for modality weighting. This comparative analysis not only highlights the efficacy of statistical correlations but also emphasizes the significance of adopting a human-centered perspective in modality segmentation, showcasing its potential to yield more nuanced and accurate emotion recognition results.

5) *Comparative Analysis & Model Performance Evaluation*: By juxtaposing the performance of the singular modality (benchmark model) with both the bifurcated and quadrifurcated modality approaches, as well as the average weighted voting benchmark, the experiment aspires to illuminate whether a granular, human-centered feature segmentation supplemented by statistically weighted decision-level fusion markedly optimizes model accuracy in pain evaluation. The transition from a singular, homogenously weighted modality to a nuanced, statistically or evenly weighted integration of multiple modalities endeavors to elucidate the symbiotic relationship between data-driven and human-centered segmentation, and their collective prowess in enhancing pain recognition models. This comprehensive exploration aims to crystallize the efficacy of each strategic approach and underscore their cumulative contribution towards refining model performance in the nuanced domain of pain analysis.

IV. RESULTS AND EVALUATION




Fig. 7. Three experimental model architectures

In our study, as delineated in Table II and Figure 7, we elucidate the efficacy of integrated strategies, ranging from foundational neural networks to an advanced BodyAttention network and CNN with Multi-head Self-Attention mechanism, on pivotal performance metrics. This investigation clearly delineates the impact of varied data fusion strategies on precision, accuracy, recall, and F1 scores during protective behavior detection. Through the integration of statistical weighting in LSTM and CNN base models, expansion to BodyAttention Network (BANet) [25], and the adoption of Multi-head Self-Attention, we aim to evaluate the enhancement in model performance facilitated by these methodologies across diverse

TABLE II
MODEL PERFORMANCE METRICS

Sample Distribution: Y=1 N=171, Y=0 N=2698				
Model	Acc.	Prec.	Rec.	F1 score
LSTM (1 mod.)	0.93	0.52	0.50	0.49
LSTM+Stat (2 mod.)	0.86	0.62	0.81	0.65
LSTM+Stat (4 mod.)	0.86	0.62	0.78	0.65
LSTM+Avg (4 mod.)	0.94	0.63	0.52	0.52
CNN (1 mod.)	0.87	0.58	0.64	0.60
CNN+Stat (2 mod.)	0.91	0.65	0.74	0.68
CNN+Stat (4 mod.)	0.91	0.66	0.75	0.69
CNN+Avg (4 mod.)	0.87	0.58	0.65	0.60
CNN-Attention (1 mod.)	0.79	0.55	0.64	0.54
CNN-Attention+Stat (2 mod.)	0.87	0.64	0.83	0.67
CNN-Attention+Stat (4 mod.)	0.89	0.66	0.85	0.70
CNN-Attention+Avg (4 mod.)	0.85	0.59	0.72	0.62
Multi-head SA(1 mod.)	0.82	0.60	0.67	0.61
Multi-head SA+Stat (2 mod.)	0.90	0.68	0.65	0.66
Multi-head SA+Stat (4 mod.)	0.90	0.72	0.71	0.72
Multi-head SA+Avg (4 mod.)	0.90	0.69	0.69	0.69

neural network architectures. Our research particularly explores how the amalgamation of statistical correlations with a human-centered approach can ameliorate model outcomes, especially within the challenges posed by imbalanced datasets.

Our findings underscore the pivotal role of modality segmentation and decision-level fusion, informed by statistical insights, in augmenting the accuracy of protective behavior detection. They also highlight the broad applicability of statistically driven weighting strategies within various complex neural frameworks. Through an in-depth analysis of performance shifts across different configurations, we reveal the critical role of integrating sophisticated data processing techniques, human-centered perspectives, and statistical correlations. This holistic strategy markedly enhances the precision and effectiveness of protective behavior recognition in complex physiological datasets, representing a significant advance toward nuanced patient-centered healthcare solutions. Given the imbalanced nature of our dataset, we prioritize precision, recall, and F1 score over accuracy to more accurately reflect model performance across labels. We employed four distinct models—LSTM, CNN, CNN-Attention, and CNN with Multi-head Self-Attention—to diversify our evaluation and mitigate single-model reliance risks. It is important to note that a comparative performance analysis among these models is not within this paper’s scope. This methodology underscores our dedication to a thorough evaluation, aiming to deepen the understanding of multi-modal data fusion’s impact on model efficacy amidst dataset imbalances.

The data presented in Table II offer a detailed examination of performance variances across models utilizing different modality integrations. A notable observation is that models based on a single modality can achieve high accuracy, reaching up to 0.93, but this is often at the sacrifice of precision, recall, and F1-score, which tend to remain around 0.55. This discrepancy highlights the potential trade-off between optimizing for accuracy and maintaining balanced performance across all

metrics. Investigating models that incorporate dual modalities further reveals differential effects on performance metrics. For instance, transitioning to a dual-modality configuration results in a slight reduction in accuracy for the LSTM model, contrasting with improvements observed in other architectures. This variation underscores the potential limitations of relying solely on a single modality, which, while yielding high accuracy, may not effectively capture broader evaluative measures. In contrast, the adoption of an additional modality leads to significant enhancements in precision, recall, and F1-scores, with increases ranging from 12% to 62%. This strongly supports the premise that integrating multiple modalities substantially bolsters model robustness. Segregating input features into distinct spatial and sEMG modalities, rather than amalgamating all 70 features, proves strategically beneficial. This approach amplifies the model’s ability to accurately identify and assess protective behaviors, demonstrating improved precision and sensitivity.

The analysis delineates that while models based on a singular modality bypass the complexities of decision-layer weighting, rendering the choice between statistical and average weighting irrelevant, the introduction of a statistically driven weighting strategy in dual-modality configurations significantly enhances model performance by leveraging data diversity and modality-specific importance. This strategic use of statistical weighting in models integrating two modalities distinctly outperforms single-modality models, affirming the superiority of a multimodal fusion approach. This research underscores the efficacy of partitioning input features into distinct modalities coupled with the judicious use of statistical weighting at the decision layer, thereby substantially improving the precision and reliability of protective behavior detection. It paves the way for sophisticated multimodal integration in human-centered computing, setting a benchmark for handling complex, varied datasets with enhanced accuracy.

Adopting a human-centered approach to segment the dataset into four modalities demonstrates potential enhancements in model performance. Particularly, models employing CNN-Attention mechanisms exhibit slight but positive differences, suggesting an improved capability in capturing protective behavior features. This indicates that modality segmentation, guided by human-centered principles, can amplify model effectiveness. Further analysis reveals that models employing statistical weighting generally outperform those using mean weighting, except in the case of LSTM with four modalities employing average weighting—a scenario that mirrors the trade-offs observed in single-modality LSTM models.

This investigation underscores the advantage of multimodal strategies, where strategic feature grouping and statistical decision-making markedly elevate model efficacy. Our findings particularly highlight the utility of attention mechanisms, like CNN-Attention and CNN with Multi-head Self-Attention, in a four-modality framework, reinforcing the benefits of human-centered modality segmentation. Overall, the transition to a four-modality model, grounded in human-centered design and statistical weighting, is validated as superior to single-modality

approaches, bolstering the case for sophisticated multimodal fusion in enhancing protective behavior recognition.

The examination distinctly emphasizes the superiority of statistical weighting over average weighting in multimodal configurations, enhancing model performance, with an exception observed in the LSTM model utilizing average weighting, suggesting a nuanced balance between accuracy and other metrics. Despite this, the evidence strongly supports the multimodal approach’s advantages over singular modality frameworks, reinforcing that strategic segmentation of modalities, when combined with statistical weighting, markedly advances protective behavior detection models.

This study highlights the critical importance of a human-centered modality segmentation strategy and the precise application of statistical methods in decision-making processes to optimize model outcomes. The integration of diverse modalities, guided by careful grouping and statistical weighting, not only elevates model performance but also marks a significant advance towards crafting more nuanced, interpretative models within protective behavior recognition. Consequently, this methodological approach not only pushes the boundaries of multimodal data fusion but also underlines the necessity of integrating human-centric perspectives and statistical insights into the broader narrative of human-centered computing.

A. Cross Validation

TABLE III
LEAVE-ONE-OUT CROSS-VALIDATION (LOOCV)

Model	Acc.	Rec.	F1 score
CNN-Attention (1 mod.)	0.800	0.631	0.547
CNN-Attention+Stat (2 mod.)	0.907	0.647	0.631
CNN-Attention+Stat (4 mod.)	0.908	0.642	0.628
CNN-Attention+Avg (4 mod.)	0.886	0.635	0.603

From the experimental metrics in Table II, the CNN-Attention model demonstrates robust performance on the Emopain dataset. To substantiate the efficacy of our approach, we adopt a Leave-One-Out Cross-Validation (LOOCV) strategy, meticulously testing each dataset instance as an individual test case, with the remaining data serving for training. This technique guarantees a thorough model evaluation across diverse configurations, notably different modalities delineated by human-centered principles. By scrutinizing the CNN-Attention architecture and integrating statistical weighting for feature selection, we methodically investigate how modality fusion and statistical weighting influence model effectiveness.

Analyzing the Leave-One-Out Cross-Validation (LOOCV) metrics presented in Table III, it is clear that both dual-modality and four-modality configurations outperform the single-modality training approach, thereby validating the benefits of data heterogeneity segmentation and human-centered modality segmentation. Specifically, the CNN-Attention model, when expanded to incorporate two modalities

with statistical weighting, achieves a notable increase in accuracy (Acc.) from 0.800 to 0.907, and a lift in F1-score from 0.547 to 0.631. This improvement underscores the advantage of integrating multiple data sources over a singular modality framework.

Furthermore, transitioning from a two-modality to a four-modality configuration with statistical weighting (CNN-Attention+Stat (4 mod.)) marginally enhances the model's accuracy to 0.908, maintaining superior recall (Rec.) and F1-score metrics compared to the single-modality model. This slight improvement evidences the nuanced benefits of adopting a human-centered approach to modality segmentation, where data is divided into four distinct modalities based on its relevance and interaction with human behavioral patterns.

Moreover, a comparison between the four-modality configurations—statistical weighting versus average weighting (CNN-Attention+Avg (4 mod.))—reveals a distinct advantage for the former. The model employing statistical weighting (CNN-Attention+Stat (4 mod.)) achieves higher accuracy, recall, and F1-score than the model utilizing average weighting, with respective metrics of **0.908**, **0.642**, and **0.628** against 0.886, 0.635, and 0.603. This differential highlights the effectiveness of our statistical relevance weighting strategy, proving it to be a more effective method for integrating diverse modalities than merely averaging their contributions.

In summation, the Leave-One-Out Cross-Validation (LOOCV) findings robustly advocate for the deployment of multimodal fusion frameworks, amalgamating statistical correlations and human-centered methodologies. The analysis distinctly highlights the pivotal role of statistical weighting in augmenting model efficacy. It evidences that modality segmentation, underpinned by human-centered considerations, not only contributes positively but that the strategic application of statistical weighting across such segmented modalities markedly optimizes model performance. This approach signifies a notable progression in protective behavior detection, establishing the profound impact of integrating statistical insights with human-centered design principles on enhancing computational models within this domain.

V. CONCLUSION

This research venture has systematically unveiled the efficacy of integrating statistical methods and human-centered perspectives within the ambit of multimodal pain behaviours recognition, employing an array of deep learning architectures including convolutional neural networks (CNN), long short-term memory networks (LSTM), CNN-Attention networks and CNN with Multi-head Self Attention. The cornerstone of our exploration was to enhance the precision and utility of complex pain detection endeavors through the lens of statistical relevance and human-centered modality segmentation.

The incorporation of statistically correlated vote weights and a human-centered approach to data segmentation stands as this study's central innovation. This methodology significantly enhances model performance and paves the way for a deeper understanding and interpretation of multimodal data.

It signals a shift towards choosing optimal classifiers for each modality, refining our voting strategy for the ultimate decision-making process. Considering the diversity and weak correlations among modalities, selecting a classifier tailored to the characteristics of each modality is crucial. This sophisticated strategy highlights the importance of a customized approach to modality-specific model selection, thereby boosting the efficacy of multimodal fusion.

Furthermore, the research integrates statistical significance with a human-centered perspective, paving the way for explainable AI in pain recognition. This approach delves into the distinct impact of each data modality on model outcomes, promoting a model of explainability that not only clarifies the workings of complex models but also enhances their adaptability and accuracy. This shift highlights our methodology's broad applicability, not only in pain management but across diverse domains of human-centered computing, spotlighting its potential to revolutionize how we interact with and leverage AI technologies.

In summary, this research not only pioneers the integration of statistical correlations with human-centered methods for multimodal data fusion in pain recognition but also advances the field by offering novel insights into modality fusion strategies. It enhances the discussion on improving model performance and interpretability within human-centered computing. The comprehensive framework established for multimodal fusion application spotlights the potential of statistical insights for model explainability, fostering trust in AI systems. Consequently, this work paves the way for transformative applications in AI systems closely aligned with human needs and behaviors, promising significant advancements across various human-focused domains.

This research intersects affective computing, multimodal data analysis, and pain assessment, aiming to enhance the precision and personalization of pain management through statistical methods. We conscientiously address the potential societal, environmental, and ethical impacts, focusing on aspects such as explainability, transparency, liability, fairness, efficacy, robustness, privacy, security, and sustainability.

a) Explainability and Transparency: Our study is committed to ensuring that advancements in pain recognition are both explainable and transparent. We leverage statistical models and human-centered perspectives to allow users and stakeholders to understand the rationale behind our predictions. This fosters trust and facilitates deeper understanding and acceptance of our technology in clinical settings.

b) Liability: Acknowledging the profound implications of our work in enhancing pain recognition, we recognize our responsibility to ensure the reliability and accuracy of our findings. Misinterpretations or inaccuracies could significantly impact patient care and treatment outcomes. Therefore, we adhere to stringent validation protocols, underpinned by ethical considerations, to mitigate potential risks and liabilities associated with our research outputs.

c) Fairness: Our research actively addresses the issue of fairness by incorporating the EmoPain dataset [23] that includes chronic pain participants and healthy individuals. This diversity ensures our models do not inadvertently perpetuate biases against certain demographics. By integrating a human-centered approach, we actively seek to understand and incorporate diverse pain expressions and experiences, thereby mitigating the risk of biases that could otherwise compromise the fairness of our models.

d) Efficacy and Robustness: The intersection of statistical methods and human-centered design in our work aims to enhance the efficacy and robustness of pain recognition technologies. Our approach ensures that our models are not only accurate but also resilient to the complexities and variabilities inherent in human pain experiences, thereby supporting reliable pain management practices.

e) Privacy and Security: The sensitivity of health-related data necessitates stringent privacy and security measures. Our research selects the EmoPain dataset [23] that adheres to the highest standards of data protection, ensuring all participant data is anonymized and securely stored. Access controls, encryption, and ethical data handling practices protect against unauthorized access and data breaches.

In conclusion, our work not only signifies a step forward in the technical domain but also embodies a comprehensive ethical approach. Through our commitment to ethical considerations, we aspire to contribute meaningfully to the field of affective computing, ensuring that our innovations in pain recognition are responsible, equitable, and beneficial for all stakeholders involved.

This work is partially supported by University College London Interaction Center, University College London, UK.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. W. Picard, *Affective computing*. MIT press, 2000.
- [2] S. M. S. A. Abdullah, S. Y. A. Ameen, M. A. Sadeeq, and S. Zeebaree, "Multimodal emotion recognition using deep learning," *Journal of Applied Science and Technology Trends*, vol. 2, no. 02, pp. 52–58, 2021.
- [3] J. B. Wade, D. D. Price, R. M. Hamer, S. M. Schwartz, and R. P. Hart, "An emotional component analysis of chronic pain," *Pain*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 303–310, 1990.
- [4] T. Olugbade, N. Bianchi-Berthouze, and A. C. d. C. Williams, "The relationship between guarding, pain, and emotion," *Pain reports*, vol. 4, no. 4, 2019.
- [5] Y. Wang, W. Song, W. Tao, A. Liotta, D. Yang, X. Li, S. Gao, Y. Sun, W. Ge, W. Zhang *et al.*, "A systematic review on affective computing: Emotion models, databases, and recent advances," *Information Fusion*, vol. 83, pp. 19–52, 2022.
- [6] L. F. Barrett, *How emotions are made: The secret life of the brain*. Pan Macmillan, 2017.
- [7] T. Khera and V. Rangasamy, "Cognition and pain: a review," *Frontiers in psychology*, vol. 12, p. 1819, 2021.
- [8] A. B. Arrieta, N. Díaz-Rodríguez, J. Del Ser, A. Bennetot, S. Tabik, A. Barbado, S. García, S. Gil-López, D. Molina, R. Benjamins *et al.*, "Explainable artificial intelligence (xai): Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible ai," *Information fusion*, vol. 58, pp. 82–115, 2020.
- [9] M. S. Salekin, G. Zamzmi, D. Goldof, P. R. Mouton, K. J. Anand, T. Ashmeade, S. Prescott, Y. Huang, and Y. Sun, "Attentional generative multimodal network for neonatal postoperative pain estimation," in *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*. Springer, 2022, pp. 749–759.
- [10] S. Nemati, "Canonical correlation analysis for data fusion in multimodal emotion recognition," in *2018 9th International Symposium on Telecommunications (IST)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 676–681.
- [11] J. Gao, P. Li, Z. Chen, and J. Zhang, "A survey on deep learning for multimodal data fusion," *Neural Computation*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 829–864, 2020.
- [12] S. R. Stahlschmidt, B. Ulfenborg, and J. Synnergren, "Multimodal deep learning for biomedical data fusion: a review," *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, vol. 23, no. 2, p. bbab569, 2022.
- [13] A. H. Tuttle, M. J. Molinaro, J. F. Jethwa, S. G. Sotocinal, J. C. Prieto, M. A. Styner, J. S. Mogil, and M. J. Zylka, "A deep neural network to assess spontaneous pain from mouse facial expressions," *Molecular pain*, vol. 14, p. 1744806918763658, 2018.
- [14] C. Ambroz, A. Scott, A. Ambroz, and E. O. Talbott, "Chronic low back pain assessment using surface electromyography," *Journal of occupational and environmental medicine*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 660–669, 2000.
- [15] G. Gilam, J. J. Gross, T. D. Wager, F. J. Keefe, and S. C. Mackey, "What is the relationship between pain and emotion? bridging constructs and communities," *Neuron*, vol. 107, no. 1, pp. 17–21, 2020.
- [16] M. A. Haque, R. B. Bautista, F. Noroozi, K. Kulkarni, C. B. Laursen, R. Irani, M. Bellantonio, S. Escalera, G. Anbarjafari, K. Nasrollahi *et al.*, "Deep multimodal pain recognition: a database and comparison of spatio-temporal visual modalities," in *2018 13th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG 2018)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 250–257.
- [17] P. Werner, A. Al-Hamadi, R. Niese, S. Walter, S. Gruss, and H. C. Traue, "Automatic pain recognition from video and biomedical signals," in *2014 22nd international conference on pattern recognition*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 4582–4587.
- [18] T. A. Olugbade, M. H. Aung, N. Bianchi-Berthouze, N. Marquardt, and A. C. Williams, "Bi-modal detection of painful reaching for chronic pain rehabilitation systems," in *Proceedings of the 16th international conference on multimodal interaction*, 2014, pp. 455–458.
- [19] C. Wang, T. A. Olugbade, A. Mathur, A. C. D. C. Williams, N. D. Lane, and N. Bianchi-Berthouze, "Chronic pain protective behavior detection with deep learning," *ACM Transactions on Computing for Healthcare*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1–24, 2021.

- [20] G. Cen, C. Wang, T. A. Olugbade, A. C. d. C. Williams, and N. Bianchi-Berthouze, "Exploring multimodal fusion for continuous protective behavior detection," in *2022 10th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–8.
- [21] T. Olugbade, A. C. de C Williams, N. Gold, and N. Bianchi-Berthouze, "Movement representation learning for pain level classification," *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 2023.
- [22] C. Wang, Y. Gao, A. Mathur, A. C. De C. Williams, N. D. Lane, and N. Bianchi-Berthouze, "Leveraging activity recognition to enable protective behavior detection in continuous data," *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 1–27, 2021.
- [23] M. S. Aung, S. Kaltwang, B. Romera-Paredes, B. Martinez, A. Singh, M. Cella, M. Valstar, H. Meng, A. Kemp, M. Shafizadeh *et al.*, "The automatic detection of chronic pain-related expression: requirements, challenges and the multimodal emopain dataset," *IEEE transactions on affective computing*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 435–451, 2015.
- [24] C. Wang, Y. Gao, A. Mathur, A. C. De C. Williams, N. D. Lane, and N. Bianchi-Berthouze, "Leveraging activity recognition to enable protective behavior detection in continuous data," *Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 2, jun 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3463508>
- [25] C. Wang, M. Peng, T. A. Olugbade, N. D. Lane, A. C. D. C. Williams, and N. Bianchi-Berthouze, "Learning temporal and bodily attention in protective movement behavior detection," in *2019 8th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction Workshops and Demos (ACIIW)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 324–330.