

Heterogeneous Contrastive Learning for Foundation Models and Beyond

Lecheng Zheng
University of Illinois at
Urbana-Champaign
lecheng4@illinois.edu

Baoyu Jing
University of Illinois at
Urbana-Champaign
baoyu2@illinois.edu

Zihao Li
University of Illinois at
Urbana-Champaign
zihaoli5@illinois.edu

Hanghang Tong
University of Illinois at
Urbana-Champaign
htong@illinois.edu

Jingrui He
University of Illinois at
Urbana-Champaign
jingrui@illinois.edu

ABSTRACT

In the era of big data and Artificial Intelligence, an emerging paradigm is to utilize contrastive self-supervised learning to model large-scale heterogeneous data. Many existing foundation models benefit from the generalization capability of contrastive self-supervised learning by learning compact and high-quality representations without relying on any label information. Amidst the explosive advancements in foundation models across multiple domains, including natural language processing and computer vision, a thorough survey on heterogeneous contrastive learning for the foundation model is urgently needed. In response, this survey critically evaluates the current landscape of heterogeneous contrastive learning for foundation models, highlighting the open challenges and future trends of contrastive learning. In particular, we first present how the recent advanced contrastive learning-based methods deal with view heterogeneity and how contrastive learning is applied to train and fine-tune the multi-view foundation models. Then, we move to contrastive learning methods for task heterogeneity, including pre-training tasks and downstream tasks, and show how different tasks are combined with contrastive learning loss for different purposes. Finally, we conclude this survey by discussing the open challenges and shedding light on the future directions of contrastive learning.

ACM Reference Format:

Lecheng Zheng, Baoyu Jing, Zihao Li, Hanghang Tong, and Jingrui He. 2018. Heterogeneous Contrastive Learning for Foundation Models and Beyond. In *Proceedings of (Conference acronym 'XX)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 17 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

1 INTRODUCTION

Recent years have witnessed the rapid growth of the volume of big data. A Forbes report shows that the amount of newly created

data in the past several years had increased by more than two trillion gigabytes¹. One major characteristic of big data is heterogeneity [200]. Specifically, big data are usually collected from multiple sources and associated with various tasks, exhibiting view or task heterogeneity. For instance, in a social media platform, such as Facebook or Twitter, a post usually consists of a mixture of multiple types of data, such as a recorded video or several photos along with the text description. In the financial domain, taking the stock market for instance, the collected data may include not only the numerical values (e.g., stock price, the statistics from a company quarter report) but also some textual data conveying important information (e.g., a piece of news about a pharmaceutical company receiving the approval from Food and Drug Administration for its new product).

In response to the challenges posed by the exponential growth of big data, a promising approach is emerging by leveraging contrastive self-supervised learning to pre-train foundational models tailored for large-scale heterogeneous datasets. Recently, Contrastive Learning (CL) has gained an increasing interest in training foundation models [16, 49, 73], due to its good generalization capability and the independence of labeled data. Amidst the explosive advancements in foundation models across multiple domains, including natural language processing and computer vision, there is an urgent need for a comprehensive survey on heterogeneous contrastive learning for foundational models.

However, existing surveys on this topic are limited in scope and fail to systematically evaluate the most advanced techniques. Previous survey papers [3, 74, 78, 98, 116, 129, 229, 233, 265] mainly focus on investigating single heterogeneity [116, 233, 265] (e.g., view heterogeneity, task heterogeneity), contrastive learning [3, 74, 98, 129] or multi-modal foundation model [78, 229]. The comparison of these surveys is summarized in Table 1. Specifically, [116, 233, 265] solely focus on heterogeneous machine learning, (e.g., multi-view learning, multi-label learning) and they do not cover any topic about contrastive learning and foundation model. [74, 98] discuss some contrastive learning methods at the early stage and they fail to include the most recent advanced techniques; [3, 129] investigate the recent advances in contrastive learning, but these two papers are only limited to summarizing the traditional contrastive learning methods. [78, 229] introduce the multi-modal foundation

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.
Conference acronym 'XX, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY

© 2018 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00
<https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

¹<https://www.forbes.com/sites/gilpress/2020/01/06/6-predictions-about-data-in-2020-and-the-coming->

Learning Paradigms	Survey Papers	Research Topics and Coverage			
		View Heterogeneity	Task/Label Heterogeneity	Contrastive Learning	Foundation Model
Non-Contrastive Learning	Li <i>et al.</i> , 2018 [116]	✓	✗	✗	✗
	Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2018 [265]	✗	✓	✗	✗
	Yan <i>et al.</i> , 2021 [233]	✓	✗	✗	✗
	Jin <i>et al.</i> , 2023 [78]	✓	✗	✗	✓
	Xu <i>et al.</i> , 2024 [229]	✓	✗	✗	✓
Contrastive Learning	Jaiswa <i>et al.</i> 2020 [74]	✗	✗	✓	✗
	Le-Khac <i>et al.</i> 2020 [98]	✗	✗	✓	✗
	Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2023 [129]	✗	✗	✓	✗
	Albelwi 2022 [3]	✗	✓	✓	✗
	This survey	✓	✓	✓	✓

Table 1: Comparison with the existing related survey papers.

models, but their topics are only limited to multi-modal large language models. This survey critically evaluates the current landscape of heterogeneous contrastive learning for foundation models from both view and task heterogeneities, highlighting the open challenges and future trends of contrastive learning.

Our contributions are summarized as follows:

- **Categorization of Contrastive Foundation Models.** We systematically review the contrastive foundation models and categorize the existing methods into two branches, including the contrastive foundation models for view heterogeneity and task heterogeneity.
- **Systematic Review of Techniques.** We provide a comprehensive review of heterogeneous contrastive learning for foundation models. For both view heterogeneity and task heterogeneity, we summarize the representative methods and make necessary comparisons.
- **Future Directions.** We summarize four possible research directions on heterogeneous contrastive foundation models for future exploration.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review the basic concept of contrastive learning, and in Section 3, we first introduce the traditional multi-view contrastive learning model as the basis and then present the multi-view contrastive learning for large foundation models. In Section 4, we summarize contrastive learning methods for task heterogeneity, including pre-training tasks and downstream tasks, and show how different tasks are combined with contrastive learning loss for different purposes. In Section 5, we present several open future directions in contrastive learning before we conclude this survey paper in Section 6.

2 BASIC CONCEPT OF CONTRASTIVE LEARNING

Contrastive learning (CL) aims at learning the compact representation by contrasting the embeddings with one negative sample, following the idea of Noise Contrastive Estimation (NCE) [56]. Its pipeline is comprised of three stages, including augmentation, contrastive pair construction, and loss function formulation. In the first stage, many existing works either augment the raw data (i.e., data augmentation) or the embedding (i.e., embedding augmentation) to get an augmented sample and enrich the negative sets. In the second stage, researchers design how to construct the positive

Table 2: Main symbols and notation

\mathcal{D}	The training dataset
\mathbf{x}	The input feature
\mathbf{z}_i^+ (\mathbf{z}_i^-)	A positive (negative) sample for \mathbf{z}_i
$\text{sim}(\cdot)$	The similarity measurement function
τ	The temperature to scale the similarity measurement

and negative pairs based on the different purposes or different settings (e.g., unsupervised contrastive loss [16] vs supervised contrastive loss [93]). The most commonly used contrastive pair construction considers that two samples augmented from the same raw data can form a positive pair and the rest of the samples are treated as negative samples. In the third stage, various types of contrastive learning losses are formulated based on different contrastive pair constructions, e.g., instance-level contrastive loss [222, 264], cluster-level contrastive loss [113, 199], contrastive alignment [189, 190], inter-view contrastive loss [123, 228], etc. A detailed discussion of the various types of loss formulation is shown in the next several subsections.

In addition to these stages, many existing works [162, 165, 237] design a variety of CL strategies for pre-training tasks and downstream tasks. During pre-training, various characteristics of the data are injected into the models by pre-training tasks, including pretext tasks [16, 47, 68], supervised tasks [93, 159], preference tasks [31, 67] and auxiliary tasks [157, 241]. After pre-training, the models are fine-tuned to learn task-specific patterns of the downstream tasks, including automated machine learning [80, 167, 183], prompt learning [1, 209, 232], multi-task learning [155, 254], task reformulation [113, 163, 165], etc.

Formally, CL loss follows the idea of NCE loss [56] by including more negative samples as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{D}} \log \frac{\exp(\text{sim}(\mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{z}_i^+))}{\exp(\text{sim}(\mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{z}_i^+)) + \sum_{k \neq i} \exp(\text{sim}(\mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{z}_k^-))} \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{z}_i is the learned representation of an input sample \mathbf{x}_i from the dataset \mathcal{D} , \mathbf{z}_i^+ is the representation of a positive sample similar to \mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{z}_k^- is the representation of a negative sample dissimilar to \mathbf{x}_i . $\text{sim}(\cdot)$ denotes the similarity measurement functions, (e.g., $\text{sim}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b}) / \tau$, where τ is the temperature). It first constructs a dataset \mathcal{D} with n samples containing 1 positive samples and $n-1$ negative samples and then maximizes the similarities between \mathbf{z}_i and \mathbf{z}_i^+ . Table 2 summarizes the symbols and their meanings.




Figure 1: Taxonomy of this Survey with Representative Works.

There are many other types of losses similar to CL loss, including InfoMax Based loss [68], triplet loss [171], etc. Specifically, [68] proposes to maximize the mutual information between the input feature x and the output of the encoder z (i.e., $\max I(x_i, z_i)$); [171] introduces the triplet loss to compare the representation of the anchor sample x_i with the positive and negative samples as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_i \|z_i - z_i^+\|_2^2 - \|z_i - z_i^-\|_2^2 + \alpha \quad (2)$$

where α is a margin enforced between positive and negative pairs.

3 CONTRASTIVE LEARNING FOR VIEW HETEROGENEITY

In this section, we first present the basis of CL for view heterogeneity and then introduce traditional multi-view CL methods in different domains, including computer vision [118, 123, 212, 228, 282], natural language processing [122, 152, 258, 263, 269, 274], etc. Based on these traditional CL methods for view heterogeneity, we

show how the researchers apply contrastive self-supervised learning to train the multi-view foundation models.

3.1 Basis of Contrastive Learning for View Heterogeneity

View heterogeneity refers to situations where data from different sources are available for training a model [277, 278, 286]. In CL, view heterogeneity can be categorized into two scenarios. In the first scenario, the raw data is unimodal or single-view (e.g., single-view image, text, or graph data), while in the second scenario, the raw data are collected from multiple data sources and the dataset naturally consists of multiple views (e.g., images with text descriptions in the social media). Different from InfoNCE [151] maximizing the input data x and its contextual information, CL for view heterogeneity aims to maximize the mutual information of multiple views of the same sample to extract the shared representations [186]. At the early stage, most CL methods tend to first use

data augmentation methods to generate the augmented view and then apply CL [61, 121, 153, 190, 222, 250, 251, 264]. Different from these methods, CMC [186] formally applies the idea of CL to handle the raw data with multiple views. Following CMC, various types of CL losses are proposed to model the multi-modal data, including inter-modality contrastive loss [35, 104, 118, 121–123, 199, 212, 228, 263, 263, 274, 276, 280–282], intra-modality contrastive loss [212, 280, 282], contrastive alignment [152, 189, 190].

Unlike multi-modal data which naturally consists of various types of data, when handling single-view data such as images, text, and graphs, researchers often rely on data augmentation techniques to generate one or more augmented views for CL [61, 121, 153, 190, 222, 250, 251, 264]. Here, we characterize these view construction methods into two main categories: global and local. Global view construction involves augmenting samples globally, creating synthetic data akin to the original, commonly done through methods like random rotation and color jittering in computer vision [186, 190, 222], or graph-level augmentation in graph mining [61, 79, 289], jitter-and-scale and permutation-and-jitter strategies for time-series data [39]. Conversely, local view construction focuses on augmenting samples locally or partially, often with specific purposes, such as image cropping in computer vision [186, 190, 222] or node-level augmentations and edge-level augmentations in graph mining [250, 251], sentence-level augmentation in NLP [220, 258], etc. To name a few, TS-TCC [39] first globally augments the raw data into two different but correlated views and then learns the temporal representation via the temporal contrastive module and the contextual contrasting module; TS2Vec [256] impose hierarchical CL regularization to constrain the locally augmented context views to share the consistent contextual information; DIM [68] proposes to maximize the mutual information between local representation and global representation. These approaches collectively enhance the diversity and richness of the data for effective CL.

3.2 Contrastive Learning for Foundation Model with View Heterogeneity

3.2.1 Large Vision Model. Different from many traditional CL methods, most of the large vision models [16, 18, 25, 27, 53, 63, 187] mainly implement the data augmentation methods to generate two augmented views and then apply CL to learn the representations. Specifically, SimCLR [16] achieves competitive performance on par with the supervised model after hundreds of iterations of fine-tuning with 1% of the labeled data on the ImageNet dataset. Different from traditional CL methods (e.g., InfoNCE [151]), SimCLR introduces a learnable nonlinear transformation named *projection head* between the representation and the contrastive loss, which alleviates the class collision issue to some extent [283]. Furthermore, SimCLR contributes the success of the unsupervised CL large vision model to stronger data augmentation, normalized embeddings, an appropriately adjusted temperature parameter, larger batch sizes, longer training iterations, and deeper and wider networks. Despite the superiority of CL algorithms [16, 151] for many tasks, one major drawback of CL is its *high GPU memory requirement* as we need to increase the batch size to achieve better performance. To relax such a constraint, MoCo [63] stores the new encoded representations of

the current batch in a dictionary and removes the oldest representation to reduce memory usage but keep a large batch size. Additionally, as a slowly progressing encoder encodes the representations stored in the dictionary, MoCo adopts a momentum-based moving average to maintain consistency for the newest and oldest representations and ensure that the difference among these representations can be small. Chen *et al.* [18] verify the effectiveness of the projection head and stronger data augmentation proposed in SimCLR and then incorporate them into MoCo to get MoCo v2, showing further performance improvement. Following these works, BYOL [53] trains the online network to predict the representation of the same image encoded by the target network under a different augmented view; Tian *et al.* [187] investigate the question of "what makes for good views for contrastive learning" and propose *InfoMin* principle to characterize the good views; Cui *et al.* [27] tackle the difficulty of imbalanced learning of CL and introduce PaCo to rebalance the importance of the majority class and the minority classes; Dwibedi *et al.* [38] propose a nearest-neighbor CL method by sampling the nearest neighbors in the latent space and considering them as positives; Cole *et al.* [25] find that reducing the number of training data by half only degrades the performance by less than 2% and current contrastive method may not be sufficient to generalize to many downstream tasks.

3.2.2 Large Language Model. CL with view heterogeneity is one of the most prevalent choices when pretraining large language models because of the scarcity of labeled data [266], by regarding augmented data as new views. A well-known pretraining technique that learns word embeddings through CL is word2vec [145]. Later, augmenting different views and conducting CL are used to pre-train state-of-the-art language models. SimCSE [49] and ContraCLM [73] adopt simple yet effective dropout-based augmentation. Shen *et al.* [172] propose to apply a cutoff for natural language augmentation to boost the model ability on both language understanding and generation. CERT [44] and MixText [13] create augmentations of original sentences using back-translation. De-CLUTR [51], which closely resembles QT [134], samples textual segments of the anchors up to paragraph length, allowing each sample to be as overlapping view, adjacent view or subsumed view with the anchor. CoDA [164] introduces contrast-enhanced and diversity-promoting data augmentation through a combination of back-translation, adversarial training, and label-preserving transformations. CLEAR [220] augments sentences by word deletion, span deletion, reordering, and synonym substitution to generate different views. COCO-LM [144] incorporates sequence contrastive loss through sentence cropping. NL-Augmenter [32] provides a framework with over a hundred transformations for the users to manually augment natural languages to generate views. Kaushik *et al.* [92] design a human-in-loop system for counterfactually augmenting the documents. EfficientCL [245] applies a combination of cutoff and PCA jittering, similar to color jittering, for semantic information augmentation. DiffAug [205] provides an option for sentence representation augmentation that is differentiable.

Besides pre-training, CL with view heterogeneity has been widely used in other tasks. (a) *Fine-tuning.* Contrastive objectives have been used for language model fine-tuning [54, 127, 139], and a recent work LM-CPPF [1] proposes to use few-shot paraphrasing

for contrastive prompt-based fine-tuning. (b) *Machine Translation*. Different languages are naturally different views. mRASP2 [155] leverages CL with augmentations to align token representations and close the gap among representations of different languages. Li *et al.* [115] propose two-stage cross-lingual CL to improve word translation of language models. Mao *et al.* [143] introduce a word-level contrastive objective to leverage word alignments for many-to-many neural machine translation. (c) *Other view heterogeneity-related tasks*. CALMS [195] leverages contrastive sentence ranking and sentence-aligned substitution to conduct multilingual text summarization. Xu *et al.* [226] introduce contrastive post-training techniques for aligning multiple language models of varying strengths. Lee *et al.* [102] propose to obtain positive and negative examples for conditional text generation by adding perturbations that optimize the conditional likelihood.

3.2.3 Multi-modal Foundation Models. Multi-modal foundation models combine data different modalities.

Vision-language model. The study of the vision-language models is evolving rapidly, and many surveys have provided comprehensive reviews from multiple perspectives. Mogadala *et al.* [148] survey common vision-language tasks, benchmark datasets, and seminal methods. Li *et al.* [105] first summarize the development of task-specific vision-language models, then review vision-language pretraining methods for general vision-language foundation models. Wang *et al.* [206] and Du *et al.* [36] share recent advances in vision-language model pretraining. Zhang *et al.* [262] review vision-language models specifically for various visual recognition tasks. In this work, we focus on leveraging heterogeneous CL in the pretraining phase of vision-language models.

The seminal work in this group of models that uses heterogeneous CL, specifically cross-modal CL, is the well-known CLIP (Contrastive Language–Image Pre-training) [165]. By optimizing the contrastive loss from the language and vision views of over 400 million image-caption pairs, CLIP achieves strong performance in few-shot or zero-shot image classification settings. Another seminal work ALIGN [76] uses the same heterogeneous contrastive backbone over a larger noisy dataset. Following the impressive success of the image-text CL framework, many CLIP variants have been proposed. KELIP [95], ChineseCLIP [236] and AltCLIP [20] extend CLIP into other languages by leveraging the heterogeneous CL to fine-tune the CLIP model. DeCLIP [114] considers self-supervision together with image-text-pair supervision to achieve data-efficient training. SLIP [100] introduces image self-supervision to obtain better representations. UniCLIP [100] further integrates the contrastive loss of both inter-domain pairs and intra-domain pairs into a single universal space. HiCLIP [50] incorporates hierarchy-aware attention with the heterogeneous contrastive pre-training. RA-CLIP [223] and LA-CLIP [42] respectively introduce retrieval-augmented and LLM-augmented heterogeneous CL between images and texts. GrowCLIP [29] extends the heterogeneous CL into online settings. Some other representative vision-language models also use heterogeneous CL during pretraining, for example, Flamingo [2], FLAVA [176], WenLan [69], ALBEF [106].

Audio-language model. CLAP (Contrastive Language–Audio Pretraining) [40] imitates the process of CLIP to build an audio-language model that achieves state-of-the-art performance on multiple downstream tasks, even with much less training data compared to the vision-language domain. LAION CLAP [219] uses more data, as well as feature fusion and keyword-to-caption augmentation, to train the contrastive language-audio model. AudioCLIP [57] adds the audio modality to the two-modality CLIP through three two-view CL. Wav2CLIP [217] learns robust audio representations by projecting audio into a shared embedding space with images and text and distilling from CLIP through contrastive loss projection layers. C-MCR [212] offers a framework to efficiently train CLIP and CLAP by connecting the representation spaces. CALM [170] can efficiently bootstrap high-quality audio embedding by aligning audio representations to pretrained language representations and utilizing contrastive information between acoustic inputs. CLAP-Speech [246] learns the prosody variance of the same text token under different contexts through word-level and phoneme-level contrastive pretraining for view heterogeneity.

Graph-language model. On text-attributed graphs, ConGraT [8] conducts CLIP-like contrastive pretraining for both language and graph tasks. G2P2 [215] extends the CLIP framework to text attributed graphs for zero-shot and few-shot classification. GRENADE [111] conducts graph-centric CL and knowledge alignment that considers neighborhood-level similarity to learn expressive and generalized representations. For text-paired graphs [78], MoleculeSTM [128] and MoMu [177] bridge molecular graphs and text data through contrastive learning. MolCA [133] adopts a cross-modal projector and uni-modal adapter to practically and efficiently understand molecular contents in both text and graph form. MolFM [138] leverages information from the input molecule structure, the input text description, and the auxiliary knowledge graph to build a multi-modal molecular foundation model. GIT-Mol [126] incorporates cross-modal CL to build a multi-modal molecular foundation model with graphs, SMILES (Simplified Molecular Input Line Entry System), images, and text.

3.2.4 Other Foundation Models. Inspired by foundation models for language and vision data, recently, some attempts have been made to build foundation models for other data types. For time series, TimeCLR [247] develops a time series foundation model by leveraging CL to train unlabeled samples from multiple domains. For the graph data, due to the complex nature of graphs, we only find some initial attempts [19, 117, 251, 252, 279] to develop graph foundation models and most of them follow the pertaining strategies of large language models [125, 142]. For instance, GraphCL [251] studies several intuitive augmentation strategies and proposes the initial framework for graph CL by maximizing the agreement of the augmented graphs in different views. Some later works [19, 117, 180, 252] follow this track and propose other kinds of augmentations. MA-GCL [52] and SimGRACE [221] propose that the heterogeneous views can also be generated from the neural architecture instead of the graph instances.

4 CONTRASTIVE LEARNING FOR TASK HETEROGENEITY

An ultimate goal of CL is to train foundation models to extract useful representations without human annotations. The foundation models are usually trained through a pre-training and fine-tuning paradigm. During pre-training, various characteristics of the data are injected into the models by pre-training tasks. After pre-training, the models are fine-tuned to learn task-specific patterns of the downstream tasks. In this section, we discuss the heterogeneous pre-training tasks and downstream tasks of CL.

4.1 Pre-training Tasks

Different pre-training tasks can guide models to capture different aspects of the data. In general, there are four types of pre-training tasks, including *pretext tasks* [16, 47, 68, 162, 165, 285], *supervised tasks* [93, 159, 237], *preference tasks* [31, 37, 67, 173] and *auxiliary tasks* [4, 17, 124, 157, 241].

4.1.1 Pretext Tasks. The pretext tasks are the pre-training tasks without expensive and time-consuming human labels, and their objective is to discriminate positive and negative instance pairs, which are determined either by *heuristics* [16, 47, 68, 162, 165] or *extra models* [81, 109, 187, 259].

Heuristics Based Pair Construction The heuristics-based methods construct contrastive pairs based on either simple relationships between instances or heuristically designed data augmentations. For example, DIM [68] treats a pair of local and global embeddings from the same image as positive and treats local and global embeddings from different images as negative. SimCLR [16] treats two different augmented views of an image as a positive pair and treats two randomly sampled images as a negative pair. DeepWalk [162] leverages random walks to determine positive node pairs in a graph. CLIP [165] treats ground-truth image and text pairs as positive and other image and text pairs as negative. SRL [47] regards two adjacent sub-sequences in the same time series as a positive pair and two sub-sequences from different time series as a negative pair.

Model Based Pair Construction Model-based methods leverage extra models, e.g., *clustering*, *view generation* and *image editing* models, to generate contrastive pairs. For *clustering*, ProtoNCE [109] leverages external clustering methods, e.g., K-Means, to obtain semantic clusters, and uses the cluster centers to reduce the semantic errors of random negative sampling. X-GOAL [81] extends ProtoNCE to graphs. For *view generation*, InfoMin [187] leverages flow-based models [33] to generate augmented views for an input image, and treats these generated views as positive pairs. AutoGCL [249] and InfoTS [135] extend InfoMin to graphs and time series. For *image editing*, FairCL [259] trains an image editor [66] to generate images with different sensitive labels, e.g., gender. The images generated from the same input image but having different sensitive labels are regarded as positive pairs.

4.1.2 Supervised Tasks. The data for supervised pre-training tasks is manually labeled before pre-training the models, which incorporates human knowledge. SupCon [93] proposes to maximize the similarity of a pair of instances that share the same label. Sel-CL

[110] proposes to filter out noisy labels by selecting confident examples based on their representation similarity with their labels. FSCL [159] introduces a Fair Supervised Contrastive Loss (FSCL) for visual representation learning based on SupCon, which defines the positive and negative pairs based on both class labels, e.g., attractiveness, and sensitive attribute labels, e.g., gender. UniCL [237] unifies (image, label) and (image, text) pairs by expanding the label into a textual description, and then leverages image-to-text and text-to-image contrastive losses to pre-train the model. HeroCon [280] proposes the weighted supervised contrastive loss to weight the importance of positive and negative pairs based on the similarity of different label vectors in the multi-label setting.

4.1.3 Preference Tasks. In recent years, Human-In-The-Loop (HITL) machine learning has become popular, which induces human prior knowledge into models by including humans in the training process. Different from supervised tasks, where humans first label the data and then the data is used to train the model, in HITL machine learning, humans iteratively evaluate the quality of the prediction made by the model and provide feedback to the model to adjust its learned knowledge. Specifically, [67] derives contrastive preference loss for learning optimal behavior from human feedback using the regret-based model of human preferences. [31] proposes to combine CL loss to model exploratory actions and learn user preferences by utilizing the data collected from an interactive signal design process, where the data collection process can be regarded as the functionality of HITL. [173] introduces the contrastive rewards to penalize uncertainty and improve robustness based on human feedback.

4.1.4 Auxiliary Tasks. The auxiliary tasks leverage external or meta-data information to improve CL. For example, Knowledge-CLIP [157] uses knowledge graph to guide CLIP [165] to encode more precise semantics by tasks related to knowledge graphs e.g., link prediction. KGCL [241] introduces a Knowledge Graph CL framework (KGCL) for the recommendation, which leverages knowledge graphs to provide side information of items via a knowledge-aware co-CL task. GeoCL [4] induces geo-location information into image embeddings by classifying geo-labels. MUSER [17] uses text metadata, e.g., lyrics and album description, to learn better music sequence representations by aligning text tokens with music tokens as CLIP [165]. TimesURL [124] uses a reconstruction error to preserve important temporal variation information. Additionally, other methods directly use downstream tasks as auxiliary tasks [86, 155, 178, 253, 260], which can also be regarded as *multi-task learning* methods (see Sec. 4.2.2).

4.2 Downstream Tasks

The effectiveness of the CL methods is usually measured by their performance on a variety of downstream tasks. In this subsection, we first briefly review representative downstream tasks and then discuss how to connect downstream tasks with CL strategies.

4.2.1 Typical Downstream Tasks. We briefly review the typical downstream tasks for different fields.

Computer Vision. Typical tasks include image classification [16, 18, 25, 27, 53, 63, 166, 187, 287], image clustering [109, 113, 191,

211], objective detection [5, 179, 208, 218, 224, 271], image generation [30, 91, 257, 260], style transfer [12, 59, 160, 240], etc.

Natural Language Processing. Typical tasks include machine translation [70, 101, 115, 152, 156, 268], text classification [14, 22, 122, 154, 197, 202, 238, 255], topic modeling [58, 82, 107, 149, 174, 192, 196], text summarization [62, 88, 131, 195, 230, 261, 272], and information extraction [55, 99, 108, 244].

Graph Learning. Typical tasks include node classification [83, 161, 207, 250, 289], node clustering [81, 103, 132, 210, 267, 273], graph classification [136, 181, 193, 227, 248], link prediction [9, 64, 87, 97, 175], recommendation [15, 77, 86, 214, 225, 242, 254], knowledge graph reasoning [7, 75, 182, 201, 231, 235] and anomaly detection [11, 130, 140].

Time Series Analysis Typical tasks include classification [39, 47, 71, 188, 270], forecasting [84, 89, 119, 135, 147, 216, 239, 256, 288], anomaly detection [6, 28, 94, 169, 203, 243] and imputation [10, 21, 43, 90, 124, 137, 185].

4.2.2 Connecting Downstream Tasks with CL strategies. Different CL strategies, e.g., different views and pre-training tasks, usually have disparate impact on downstream tasks [135, 187, 247, 250, 284]. Therefore, a fundamental challenge to train foundation models lies in how to construct suitable CL strategies for the desired downstream tasks. Given a downstream task and a set of available CL strategies, *Automated Machine Learning (AutoML)* [26, 45, 80, 85, 135, 184, 187, 249, 290] and *prompt learning* [1, 158, 209, 232, 275, 291] methods could be used to discover the optimal CL strategies. Given the optimal CL strategies, one could either use these strategies to pre-train the model and then fine-tune on the downstream tasks, or train the model via *multi-task learning* [86, 178, 198, 253, 254, 260] by combining the CL strategies with downstream tasks. Additionally, some works also try to *reformulate* [34, 41, 64, 93, 113, 163, 168, 182, 225] the downstream tasks as CL tasks since they are inherently related.

Automated Machine Learning. Being geared towards automating the procedure of machine learning, AutoML has gained a lot of attention in recent years [65]. AutoML methods formulate the problem of searching for the optimal CL strategies as a bi-level optimization problem [26, 80, 250]:

$$\begin{aligned} s^* &= \arg \max \mathcal{R}(f_{\theta^*}, s) \\ \text{s.t. } \theta^* &= \arg \min \mathcal{L}(f_{\theta}, s) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the lower-level problem is to minimize the loss \mathcal{L} (e.g., cross-entropy loss) of the downstream task (e.g., classification) or a surrogate task (e.g., minimization of mutual information [187]) for the given model f_{θ} and the CL strategy s ; the upper-level problem is to maximize the validation reward \mathcal{R} (e.g., accuracy) for the pair of the trained model and CL strategy (f_{θ^*}, s) .

Existing AutoML methods can be categorized from two perspectives: *search space* and *search algorithms*. In terms of the search space, existing methods mainly focus on *data augmentations* [26, 112, 167, 183, 250], *view constructions* [45, 135, 184, 187, 249], *pretext tasks* [80] and *overall CL strategies* [85]. For *data augmentations*, JOAO [250] could automatically select the most challenging data augmentation pairs for graph data based on the current contrastive loss. For *view constructions*, InfoMin [187] argues that good contrastive views should retain information relevant to downstream

tasks while minimizing irrelevant nuisances, which constructs the optimal views by minimizing the mutual information between different views. InfoTS [135] proposes an information theory-based criteria to evaluate fidelity and variety of the data augmentations for time series, and selects the optimal data augmentations by maximizing the scores derived from the criteria. For *pretext tasks*, AutoSSL [80] automatically searches for the optimal combination of pretext tasks for node clustering and node classification for graphs. For *overall CL strategies*, AutoCL [85] searches for all aspects of CL, including data augmentations, embedding augmentations, contrastive pair construction and loss functions for time series.

In terms of the search algorithms, existing methods are mainly based on *reinforcement learning* [26, 85, 290], *adversarial learning* [46, 135, 183, 184, 187, 249, 250], *evolution strategy* [80] and *Bayesian optimization* [167]. For *reinforcement learning*, AutoCL [85] uses a controller network to sample CL strategies and uses the model’s performance on the validation set to design reward, where the controller is optimized by maximizing the reward \mathcal{R} via reinforcement learning. For *adversarial learning* AD-GCL [183] measures the similarity of the node embeddings of two different graph views via mutual information, which formulates the lower-level and upper-level problems in Equation (3) as maximizing and minimizing the mutual information respectively. ARIEL [45] uses the same contrastive loss for both lower-level loss \mathcal{L} and the upper-level reward \mathcal{R} , and uses the adversarial attack to maximize \mathcal{R} . For *evolution strategy*, AutoSSL-ES [80] has adopted an evolution strategy [60] to obtain the optimal combination of CL strategies for graphs by optimizing the pseudo-homophily objective. For *Bayesian optimization*, SelfAugment [167] leverages image rotation prediction as the lower-level task, and uses Bayesian optimization [120] as the search algorithm to obtain the optimal data augmentations.

Prompt Learning. The contrastive-based prompt learning methods aim to combine CL with prompt learning for various purposes, such as maximizing the consistency of different representations [291], enabling fine-tuning in few-shot or zero-shot setting [1, 209, 232, 275], and commonsense reasoning [158]. Specifically, [291] designs a multimodal prompt transformer to perform cross-modal information fusion and apply CL to maximize the consistency among the fused representation and the representation for each modality for the emotion recognition task. [1, 209, 232, 275] combine CL with prompt learning to fine-tune the model in the few-shot or zero-shot setting. [23] devises visual prompt-based CL and guided-attention-based prompt ensemble algorithms to task-learn specific state representations from multiple prompted embeddings. [158] develops a list of contrastive generation prompts for the commonsense reasoning task.

Multi-Task Learning. When we have prior knowledge about what characteristics of the data can be brought by CL strategies, in addition to the pre-training and fine-tuning paradigm, another simple yet effective way to connect downstream tasks and CL strategies is to combine the pre-training tasks and downstream tasks as a multi-task learning task. For example, CoCa [253] combines a contrastive loss with a caption generation loss to train image-text foundation models, where contrastive loss is used to learn global

representations and captioning is used to learn fine-grained region-level features. XMC-GAN [260] leverages contrastive losses for various pairs, such as (image, sentence) and (generated image, real image), to improve the alignment between them for the text-to-image generation task. mRASP2 [155] combines a contrastive loss with cross-entropy for multilingual machine translation, where the contrastive loss is adopted to minimize the representation gap of similar sentences and maximize that of irrelevant sentences. SimCTG [178] leverages a contrastive loss to encourage language models to learn discriminative and isotropic token representations for neural text generation. SimGCL [254] discovers that InfoNCE loss helps models learn more evenly distributed user and item embeddings, which could mitigate the popularity bias. STERLING [86] combines the BYOL loss [53] with a co-clustering loss for co-clustering on bipartite graphs.

Task Reformulation. Certain downstream tasks are inherently related to CL, such as *classification*, *clustering*, *link prediction*, *recommendation*, *anomaly detection* and *reinforcement learning*. Therefore, the loss functions of these downstream tasks can be reformulated as a contrastive loss. For example, in terms of *classification*, the previously mentioned SupCon [93] integrates image class labels into self-supervised contrastive losses and proposes a Supervised Contrastive (SupCon) loss. CLIP [165] reformulates the image classification task as an image-text alignment contrastive task. For *clustering*, CC [113] introduces a CL-based clustering objective function, called contrastive clustering, by regarding the embedding vector of an instance as the soft cluster labels. CRLC [34] reformulates the objective of clustering as a probability contrastive loss, which trains the parametric clustering classifier by contrasting positive and negative cluster probability pairs. For *link prediction*, since it is inherently a contrastive task: determining whether a pair of nodes is positive or not, most of the existing methods directly adopt CL losses as the objective functions to train the models [75]. For example, RotatE [182] trains knowledge graph link prediction models by the negative sampling loss [146]. For *recommendation*, it can be regarded as a link prediction task with ranking, and thus the training objectives are usually variants of contrastive losses [64, 234]. For example, CL4SRec [225] formulates the objective function of recommendation as a variant of InfoNCE [151]. For *anomaly detection*, NeuTraL [163] directly adopts a contrastive loss as the loss function as well as the anomaly score. MSC [168] introduces a Mean-Shifted Contrastive (MSC) loss for one-class classification, which computes the angles between the image embeddings and the center of the normal embeddings. For *reinforcement learning*, Contrastive RL [41] uses CL to directly perform goal-conditioned reinforcement learning by leveraging CL to estimate the Q-function for a certain policy and reward functions.

5 FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The past years have witnessed the rapid development of heterogeneous CL on foundation models. Building upon such progress, it opens the door to many exciting future opportunities to explore in this emerging area. Here, we summarize five promising future directions, focusing on contrastive foundation models.

Representation Redundancy and Uniqueness for CL Model. The current CL models mainly extract the shared representation

by maximizing the similarity of two views for the same sample. However, some recent works [118, 276] have suggested the potential of extracting uniqueness via CL to improve the performance of the downstream tasks. However, the initial methods are only used to deal with the small model, and how to naturally combine it with foundation models remains a great challenge due to the extra computational cost and limited performance improvement at the current stage.

Efficiency of CL Foundation Models. One major issue of training the foundation models with CL loss is the high GPU memory requirement as discussed in Section 3.2.1. Recently, Zeroth order optimization methods [141] have shown great potential to alleviate the computational cost by replacing the traditional forward-passing and backward-passing optimization scheme with a forward-passing-only optimization scheme. However, the zeroth order optimizer usually sacrifices the optimization efficiency for lower GPU requirements, as it requires significantly more steps than standard fine-tuning [141]. Efficiently training or fine-tuning a CL-based foundation model remains a great challenge.

Better Multi-view Benchmark Datasets for CL Models. Currently, high-quality multi-view benchmark datasets are urgently needed for constructing multi-modal foundation models. While many large-scale text-attributed graphs are collected from social media, e-commerce platforms, and academic domains [78], it's essential to acknowledge that real-world graphs span various domains, including finance, healthcare, transportation networks, and local infrastructure networks. Similarly, there is a high demand for large-scale text-image datasets to support the training of vision-language foundational models [213]. Moreover, concerns have been raised regarding potential biases present in many benchmark datasets. Studies [24, 96, 150, 194] have highlighted the existence of contextual, demographic, and stereotypical biases within benchmark datasets used for large language models

Trustworthy CL. Trustworthy machine learning refers to the development and deployment of machine learning models with a strong emphasis on interpretability, fairness, transparency, privacy, and robustness. While significant strides have been made in enhancing these aspects by CL-based regularization, including interpretability [48, 72], fairness considerations [204, 259, 281], and out-of-distribution robustness [139, 264, 276], these efforts are still in their nascent stages, e.g., training models on small datasets or failing to consider the view or task heterogeneity. Despite these early efforts, heterogeneous contrastive foundational models still encounter challenges related to interpretability, fairness, transparency, privacy, and robustness, which persist across multi-modal foundational models as well.

Understanding Mechanisms Between CL Strategies and Downstream Tasks. As introduced in Section 4.2, we present various CL strategies for downstream tasks. However, it remains unclear which CL strategies are good for specific downstream tasks and how can we evaluate the quality of CL strategies. In addition, how different CL strategies compete and cooperate in downstream tasks is expected to be better evaluated and understood by the researchers. Moreover, how to combine CL with other self-supervised methods to further improve the performance of the foundation models deserves great attention.

6 CONCLUSION

This paper provides a thorough exploration of heterogeneous CL for foundation models. We first delve into the traditional CL methods, particularly in addressing view heterogeneity, and elucidate the application of CL techniques in training and fine-tuning multi-view foundation models. Subsequently, we discuss CL methods tailored to tackle task heterogeneity, including pretraining and downstream tasks, and illustrate how CL combines different tasks for various objectives. Finally, we outline potential future research directions in heterogeneous CL for foundation models.

REFERENCES

- [1] Amirhossein Abaskohi, Sascha Rothe, and Yadollah Yaghoobzadeh. 2023. LM-CPPF: Paraphrasing-Guided Data Augmentation for Contrastive Prompt-Based Few-Shot Fine-Tuning. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers), ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 670–681.
- [2] Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jeff Donahue, Pauline Luc, Antoine Miech, Iain Barr, Yana Hasson, Karel Lenc, Arthur Mensch, Katherine Millican, Malcolm Reynolds, Roman Ring, Eliza Rutherford, Serkan Cabi, Tengda Han, Zhitao Gong, Sina Samangooei, Marianne Monteiro, Jacob L. Menick, Sebastian Borgeaud, Andy Brock, Aida Nematzadeh, Sahand Sharifzadeh, Mikolaj Binkowski, Ricardo Barreira, Oriol Vinyals, Andrew Zisserman, and Karén Simonyan. 2022. Flamingo: a Visual Language Model for Few-Shot Learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 2022*.
- [3] Saleh Albelwi. 2022. Survey on Self-Supervised Learning: Auxiliary Pretext Tasks and Contrastive Learning Methods in Imaging. *Entropy* 24, 4 (2022), 551.
- [4] Kumar Ayush, Burak Uzket, Chenlin Meng, Kumar Tanmay, Marshall Burke, David Lobell, and Stefano Ermon. 2021. Geography-aware self-supervised learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 10181–10190.
- [5] Taivanbat Badamdorj, Mrigank Rochan, Yang Wang, and Li Cheng. 2022. Contrastive Learning for Unsupervised Video Highlight Detection. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022*. IEEE, 14022–14032.
- [6] Ane Blázquez-García, Angel Conde, Usue Mori, and Jose A Lozano. 2021. A review on outlier/anomaly detection in time series data. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 54, 3 (2021), 1–33.
- [7] Antoine Bordes, Nicolas Usunier, Alberto Garcia-Duran, Jason Weston, and Oksana Yakhnenko. 2013. Translating embeddings for modeling multi-relational data. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 26 (2013).
- [8] William Brannon, Suyash Fulay, Hang Jiang, Wonjune Kang, Brandon Roy, Jad Kabbara, and Deb Roy. 2023. ConGraT: Self-Supervised Contrastive Pretraining for Joint Graph and Text Embeddings. *CoRR* abs/2305.14321 (2023).
- [9] Jiangxia Cao, Xixun Lin, Shu Guo, Luchen Liu, Tingwen Liu, and Bin Wang. 2021. Bipartite graph embedding via mutual information maximization. In *Proceedings of the 14th ACM international conference on web search and data mining*. 635–643.
- [10] Wei Cao, Dong Wang, Jian Li, Hao Zhou, Lei Li, and Yitan Li. 2018. Brits: Bidirectional recurrent imputation for time series. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 31 (2018).
- [11] Bo Chen, Jing Zhang, Xiaokang Zhang, Yuxiao Dong, Jian Song, Peng Zhang, Kaibo Xu, Evgeny Kharlamov, and Jie Tang. 2022. Gccad: Graph contrastive learning for anomaly detection. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* (2022).
- [12] Haibo Chen, Lei Zhao, Zhizhong Wang, Huiming Zhang, Zhiwen Zuo, Ailin Li, Wei Xing, and Dongming Lu. 2021. Artistic Style Transfer with Internal-external Learning and Contrastive Learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2021, NeurIPS 2021, December 6-14, 2021, virtual*. 26561–26573.
- [13] Jiaao Chen, Zichao Yang, and Diyi Yang. 2020. MixText: Linguistically-Informed Interpolation of Hidden Space for Semi-Supervised Text Classification. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2020, Online, July 5-10, 2020*, Dan Jurafsky, Joyce Chai, Natalie Schluter, and Joel R. Tetreault (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 2147–2157.
- [14] Junfan Chen, Richong Zhang, Yongyi Mao, and Jie Xu. 2022. Contrastnet: A contrastive learning framework for few-shot text classification. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 36. 10492–10500.
- [15] Mengru Chen, Chao Huang, Lianghao Xia, Wei Wei, Yong Xu, and Ronghua Luo. 2023. Heterogeneous graph contrastive learning for recommendation. In *Proceedings of the sixteenth ACM international conference on web search and data mining*. 544–552.
- [16] Ting Chen, Simon Kornblith, Mohammad Norouzi, and Geoffrey Hinton. 2020. A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 1597–1607.
- [17] Tianyu Chen, Yuan Xie, Shuai Zhang, Shaohan Huang, Haoyi Zhou, and Jianxin Li. 2022. Learning music sequence representation from text supervision. In *ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 4583–4587.
- [18] Xinlei Chen, Haoqi Fan, Ross B. Girshick, and Kaiming He. 2020. Improved Baselines with Momentum Contrastive Learning. *CoRR* abs/2003.04297 (2020).
- [19] Xiaoru Chen, Yingxu Wang, Jinyuan Fang, Zaiqiao Meng, and Shangsong Liang. 2024. Heterogeneous Graph Contrastive Learning With Metapath-Based Augmentations. *IEEE Trans. Emerg. Top. Comput. Intell.* 8, 1 (2024), 1003–1014.

- [20] Zhongzhi Chen, Guang Liu, Bo-Wen Zhang, Qinghong Yang, and Ledell Wu. 2023. AltCLIP: Altering the Language Encoder in CLIP for Extended Language Capabilities. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023*, Anna Rogers, Jordan L. Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 8666–8682.
- [21] MinGyu Choi and Changhee Lee. 2023. Conditional Information Bottleneck Approach for Time Series Imputation. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [22] Seungtaek Choi, Myeongho Jeong, Hojae Han, and Seung-won Hwang. 2022. C2L: Causally contrastive learning for robust text classification. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 36. 10526–10534.
- [23] Wonje Choi, Woo Kyung Kim, Seunghyun Kim, and Honguk Woo. 2023. Efficient Policy Adaptation with Contrastive Prompt Ensemble for Embodied Agents. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2023, NeurIPS 2023, New Orleans, LA, USA, December 10 - 16, 2023*, Alice Oh, Tristan Naumann, Amir Globerson, Kate Saenko, Moritz Hardt, and Sergey Levine (Eds.).
- [24] Christopher Clark, Mark Yatskar, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2019. Don't Take the Easy Way Out: Ensemble Based Methods for Avoiding Known Dataset Biases. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, Kentaro Inui, Jing Jiang, Vincent Ng, and Xiaojun Wan (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, Hong Kong, China.
- [25] Elijah Cole, Xuan Yang, Kimberly Wilber, Oisin Mac Aodha, and Serge J. Belongie. 2022. When Does Contrastive Visual Representation Learning Work?. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022*. IEEE, 1–10.
- [26] Ekin D Cubuk, Barret Zoph, Dandelion Mane, Vijay Vasudevan, and Quoc V Le. 2018. Autoaugment: Learning augmentation policies from data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.09501* (2018).
- [27] Jiequan Cui, Zhisheng Zhong, Shu Liu, Bei Yu, and Jiaya Jia. 2021. Parametric Contrastive Learning. In *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada, October 10-17, 2021*. IEEE, 695–704.
- [28] Shohreh Deldari, Daniel V Smith, Hao Xue, and Flora D Salim. 2021. Time series change point detection with self-supervised contrastive predictive coding. In *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021*. 3124–3135.
- [29] Xinchu Deng, Han Shi, Runhui Huang, Changlin Li, Hang Xu, Jianhua Han, James T. Kwok, Shen Zhao, Wei Zhang, and Xiaodan Liang. 2023. GrowCLIP: Data-aware Automatic Model Growing for Large-scale Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2023, Paris, France, October 1-6, 2023*. IEEE, 22121–22132.
- [30] Yu Deng, Jialong Yang, Dong Chen, Fang Wen, and Xin Tong. 2020. Disentangled and Controllable Face Image Generation via 3D Imitative-Contrastive Learning. In *2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2020, Seattle, WA, USA, June 13-19, 2020*. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE, 5153–5162.
- [31] Nathaniel Steele Dennler, Stefanos Nikolaidis, and Maja Mataric. 2024. Using Exploratory Search to Learn Representations for Human Preferences. In *Companion of the 2024 ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction*. 392–396.
- [32] Kaustubh D. Dhole, Varun Gangal, Sebastian Gehrmann, Aadesh Gupta, Zhenhao Li, Saad Mahamood, Abinaya Mahendiran, Simon Mille, Ashish Srivastava, Samson Tan, Tongshuang Wu, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Jinho D. Choi, Edward H. Hovy, Ondrej Dusek, Sebastian Ruder, Sajant Anand, Nagender Aneja, Rabin Banjade, Lisa Barthe, Hanna Behnke, Ian Berlot-Attwell, Connor Boyle, Caroline Brun, Marco Antonio Sobrevilla Cabezudo, Samuel Cahyawijaya, Emile Chapuis, Wanxiang Che, Mukund Choudhary, Christian Clauss, Pierre Colombo, Filip Cornell, Gautier Dagan, Mayukh Das, Tanay Dixit, Thomas Dopierre, Paul-Alexis Dray, Suchitna Dubey, Tatiana Ekeinhor, Marco Di Giovanni, Rishabh Gupta, Rishabh Gupta, Louanes Hamla, Sang Han, Fabrice Harel-Canada, Antoine Honore, Ishan Jindal, Przemyslaw K. Joniak, Denis Kleyko, Venelin Kovatchev, et al. 2021. NL-Augmenter: A Framework for Task-Sensitive Natural Language Augmentation. *CoRR abs/2112.02721* (2021).
- [33] Laurent Dinh, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, and Samy Bengio. 2016. Density estimation using Real NVP. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [34] Kien Do, Truyen Tran, and Svehtha Venkatesh. 2021. Clustering by maximizing mutual information across views. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*. 9928–9938.
- [35] Xiao Dong, Xunlin Zhan, Yangxin Wu, Yunchao Wei, Michael C. Kampffmeyer, Xiaoyong Wei, Minlong Lu, Yaowei Wang, and Xiaodan Liang. 2022. M5Product: Self-harmonized Contrastive Learning for E-commercial Multimodal Pretraining. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022*. IEEE, 21220–21230.
- [36] Yifan Du, Zikang Liu, Junyi Li, and Wayne Xin Zhao. 2022. A Survey of Vision-Language Pre-Trained Models. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2022, Vienna, Austria, 23-29 July 2022*. ijcai.org, 5436–5443.
- [37] Chenghua Duan, Wei Fan, Wei Zhou, Hu Liu, and Junhao Wen. 2023. CLSPRec: Contrastive Learning of Long and Short-term Preferences for Next POI Recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, CIKM 2023, Birmingham, United Kingdom, October 21-25, 2023*, Ingo Frommholz, Frank Hopfgartner, Mark Lee, Michael Oakes, Mounia Lalmas, Min Zhang, and Rodrygo L. T. Santos (Eds.). ACM, 473–482.
- [38] Debidatta Dwivedi, Yusuf Aytar, Jonathan Tompson, Pierre Sermanet, and Andrew Zisserman. 2021. With a Little Help from My Friends: Nearest-Neighbor Contrastive Learning of Visual Representations. In *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada, October 10-17, 2021*. IEEE, 9568–9577.
- [39] Emadeldeen Eldele, Mohamed Ragab, Zhenghua Chen, Min Wu, Chee Keong Kwoh, Xiaoli Li, and Cuntai Guan. 2021. Time-Series Representation Learning via Temporal and Contextual Contrasting. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2021, Virtual Event / Montreal, Canada, 19-27 August 2021*, Zhi-Hua Zhou (Ed.). ijcai.org, 2352–2359.
- [40] Benjamin Elizalde, Soham Deshmukh, Mahmoud Al Ismail, and Huaming Wang. 2023. CLAP Learning Audio Concepts from Natural Language Supervision. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing ICASSP 2023, Rhodes Island, Greece, June 4-10, 2023*. IEEE, 1–5.
- [41] Benjamin Eysenbach, Tianjun Zhang, Sergey Levine, and Russ R Salakhutdinov. 2022. Contrastive learning as goal-conditioned reinforcement learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35* (2022), 35603–35620.
- [42] Lijie Fan, Dilip Krishnan, Phillip Isola, Dina Katabi, and Yonglong Tian. 2023. Improving CLIP Training with Language Rewrites. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2023, NeurIPS 2023, New Orleans, LA, USA, December 10 - 16, 2023*, Alice Oh, Tristan Naumann, Amir Globerson, Kate Saenko, Moritz Hardt, and Sergey Levine (Eds.).
- [43] Chenguang Fang and Chen Wang. 2020. Time series data imputation: A survey on deep learning approaches. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.11347* (2020).
- [44] Hongchao Fang and Pengtao Xie. 2020. CERT: Contrastive Self-supervised Learning for Language Understanding. *CoRR abs/2005.12766* (2020).
- [45] Shengyu Feng, Baoyu Jing, Yada Zhu, and Hanghang Tong. 2022. Adversarial graph contrastive learning with information regularization. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022*. 1362–1371.
- [46] Shengyu Feng, Baoyu Jing, Yada Zhu, and Hanghang Tong. 2024. Ariel: Adversarial graph contrastive learning. *ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data* 18, 4 (2024), 1–22.
- [47] Jean-Yves Franceschi, Aymeric Dieuleveut, and Martin Jaggi. 2019. Unsupervised scalable representation learning for multivariate time series. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 32 (2019).
- [48] Takanori Fujiwara, Jian Zhao, Francine Chen, Yaoliang Yu, and Kwan-Liu Ma. 2020. Interpretable Contrastive Learning for Networks. *CoRR abs/2005.12419* (2020).
- [49] Tianyu Gao, Xingcheng Yao, and Danqi Chen. 2021. SimCSE: Simple Contrastive Learning of Sentence Embeddings. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. 6894–6910.
- [50] Shijie Geng, Jianbo Yuan, Yu Tian, Yuxiao Chen, and Yongfeng Zhang. 2023. HiCLIP: Contrastive Language-Image Pretraining with Hierarchy-aware Attention. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2023, Kigali, Rwanda, May 1-5, 2023*. OpenReview.net.
- [51] John M. Giorgi, Osvald Nitski, Bo Wang, and Gary D. Bader. 2021. DeCLUTR: Deep Contrastive Learning for Unsupervised Textual Representations. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, ACL/IJCNLP 2021, (Volume 1: Long Papers), Virtual Event, August 1-6, 2021*, Chengqing Zong, Fei Xia, Wenjie Li, and Roberto Navigli (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 879–895.
- [52] Xumeng Gong, Cheng Yang, and Chuan Shi. 2023. MA-GCL: Model Augmentation Tricks for Graph Contrastive Learning. In *Thirty-Seventh AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2023, Thirty-Fifth Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence, IAAI 2023, Thirteenth Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2023, Washington, DC, USA, February 7-14, 2023*, Brian Williams, Yiling Chen, and Jennifer Neville (Eds.). AAAI Press, 4284–4292.
- [53] Jean-Bastien Grill, Florian Strub, Florent Altché, Corentin Tallec, Pierre H. Richemond, Elena Buchatskaya, Carl Doersch, Bernardo Ávila Pires, Zhaohan Guo, Mohammad Gheshlaghi Azar, Bilal Piot, Koray Kavukcuoglu, Rémi Munos, and Michal Valko. 2020. Bootstrap Your Own Latent - A New Approach to Self-Supervised Learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2020, NeurIPS 2020, December 6-12, 2020, virtual*.
- [54] Beliz Gunel, Jingfei Du, Alexis Conneau, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2021. Supervised Contrastive Learning for Pre-trained Language Model Fine-tuning. In *9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event,*

- Austria, May 3-7, 2021. OpenReview.net.
- [55] Xinnan Guo, Wentao Deng, Yongrui Chen, Yang Li, Mengdi Zhou, Guilin Qi, Tianxing Wu, Dong Yang, Liubin Wang, and Yong Pan. 2023. CoMave: Contrastive Pre-training with Multi-scale Masking for Attribute Value Extraction. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023*, Anna Rogers, Jordan L. Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 6007–6018.
- [56] Michael Gutmann and Aapo Hyvärinen. 2010. Noise-contrastive estimation: A new estimation principle for unnormalized statistical models. In *Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, AISTATS 2010, Chia Laguna Resort, Sardinia, Italy, May 13-15, 2010 (JMLR Proceedings, Vol. 9)*. JMLR.org, 297–304.
- [57] Andrey Guzhov, Federico Raue, Jörn Hees, and Andreas Dengel. 2022. Audio-clip: Extending Clip to Image, Text and Audio. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, ICASSP 2022, Virtual and Singapore, 23-27 May 2022*. IEEE, 976–980.
- [58] Sungwon Han, Mingi Shin, Sungkyu Park, Changwook Jung, and Meeyoung Cha. 2023. Unified neural topic model via contrastive learning and term weighting. In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. 1802–1817.
- [59] Yucheng Hang, Bin Xia, Wenming Yang, and Qingmin Liao. 2022. SCS-Co: Self-Consistent Style Contrastive Learning for Image Harmonization. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022*. IEEE, 19678–19687.
- [60] Nikolaus Hansen, Sibylle D Müller, and Petros Koumoutsakos. 2003. Reducing the time complexity of the derandomized evolution strategy with covariance matrix adaptation (CMA-ES). *Evolutionary computation* 11, 1 (2003), 1–18.
- [61] Kaveh Hassani and Amir Hosein Khas Ahmadi. 2020. Contrastive Multi-View Representation Learning on Graphs. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2020, 13-18 July 2020, Virtual Event (Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, Vol. 119)*. PMLR, 4116–4126.
- [62] Bo He, Jun Wang, Jieliu Qiu, Trung Bui, Abhinav Shrivastava, and Zhaowen Wang. 2023. Align and Attend: Multimodal Summarization with Dual Contrastive Losses. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2023, Vancouver, BC, Canada, June 17-24, 2023*. IEEE, 14867–14878.
- [63] Kaiming He, Haoqi Fan, Yuxin Wu, Saining Xie, and Ross Girshick. 2020. Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 9729–9738.
- [64] Xiangnan He, Lizi Liao, Hanwang Zhang, Liqiang Nie, Xia Hu, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2017. Neural collaborative filtering. In *Proceedings of the 26th international conference on world wide web*. 173–182.
- [65] Xin He, Kaiyong Zhao, and Xiaowen Chu. 2021. AutoML: A survey of the state-of-the-art. *Knowledge-based systems* 212 (2021), 106622.
- [66] Zhenliang He, Wangmeng Zuo, Meina Kan, Shiguang Shan, and Xilin Chen. 2019. Attgan: Facial attribute editing by only changing what you want. *IEEE transactions on image processing* 28, 11 (2019), 5464–5478.
- [67] Joey Hejna, Rafael Rafailov, Harshit Sikchi, Chelsea Finn, Scott Niekum, W. Bradley Knox, and Dorsa Sadigh. 2023. Contrastive Preference Learning: Learning from Human Feedback without RL. *CoRR abs/2310.13639* (2023).
- [68] R. Devon Hjelm, Alex Fedorov, Samuel Lavoie-Marchildon, Karan Grewal, Philip Bachman, Adam Trischler, and Yoshua Bengio. 2019. Learning deep representations by mutual information estimation and maximization. In *7th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2019, New Orleans, LA, USA, May 6-9, 2019*. OpenReview.net.
- [69] Yuqi Huo, Manli Zhang, Guangzhen Liu, Haoyu Lu, Yizhao Gao, Guoxing Yang, Jingyuan Wen, Heng Zhang, Baogui Xu, Weihao Zheng, Zongzheng Xi, Yueqian Yang, Anwen Hu, Jinming Zhao, Ruichen Li, Yida Zhao, Liang Zhang, Yuqing Song, Xin Hong, Wanqing Cui, Dan Yang Hou, Yingyan Li, Junyi Li, Peiyu Liu, Zheng Gong, Chuhao Jin, Yuchong Sun, Shizhe Chen, Zhiwu Lu, Zhicheng Dou, Qin Jin, Yanyan Lan, Wayne Xin Zhao, Ruihua Song, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2021. WenLan: Bridging Vision and Language by Large-Scale Multi-Modal Pre-Training. *CoRR abs/2103.06561* (2021).
- [70] Sathish Indurthi, Shamil Chollampatt, Ravi Agrawal, and Marco Turchi. 2023. CLAD-ST: Contrastive Learning with Adversarial Data for Robust Speech Translation. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023*, Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 9049–9056.
- [71] Hassan Ismail Fawaz, Germain Forestier, Jonathan Weber, Lhassane Idoumghar, and Pierre-Alain Muller. 2019. Deep learning for time series classification: a review. *Data mining and knowledge discovery* 33, 4 (2019), 917–963.
- [72] Alon Jacovi, Swabha Swayamdipta, Shauli Ravfogel, Yanai Elazar, Yejin Choi, and Yoav Goldberg. 2021. Contrastive Explanations for Model Interpretability. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2021, Virtual Event / Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, 7-11 November, 2021*, Marie-Francine Moens, Xuanjing Huang, Lucia Specia, and Scott Wen-tau Yih (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 1597–1611.
- [73] Nihal Jain, Dejiao Zhang, Wasi Uddin Ahmad, Zijian Wang, Feng Nan, Xiao-peng Li, Ming Tan, Ramesh Nallapati, Baishakhi Ray, Parminder Bhatia, Xi-ao-fei Ma, and Bing Xiang. 2023. ContraCLM: Contrastive Learning For Causal Language Model. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023*, Anna Rogers, Jordan L. Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 6436–6459.
- [74] Ashish Jaiswal, Ashwin Ramesh Babu, Mohammad Zaki Zadeh, Debapriya Banerjee, and Fillia Makedon. 2020. A survey on contrastive self-supervised learning. *Technologies* 9, 1 (2020), 2.
- [75] Shaoxiong Ji, Shirui Pan, Erik Cambria, Pekka Marttinen, and S Yu Philip. 2021. A survey on knowledge graphs: Representation, acquisition, and applications. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems* 33, 2 (2021), 494–514.
- [76] Chao Jia, Yinfei Yang, Ye Xia, Yi-Ting Chen, Zarana Parekh, Hieu Pham, Quoc V. Le, Yun-Hsuan Sung, Zhen Li, and Tom Duerig. 2021. Scaling Up Visual and Vision-Language Representation Learning With Noisy Text Supervision. In *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2021, 18-24 July 2021, Virtual Event (Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, Vol. 139)*. PMLR, 4904–4916.
- [77] Yangqin Jiang, Chao Huang, and Lianghao Huang. 2023. Adaptive graph contrastive learning for recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*. 4252–4261.
- [78] Bowen Jin, Gang Liu, Chi Han, Meng Jiang, Heng Ji, and Jiawei Han. 2023. Large Language Models on Graphs: A Comprehensive Survey. *CoRR abs/2312.02783* (2023).
- [79] Ming Jin, Yizhen Zheng, Yuan-Fang Li, Chen Gong, Chuan Zhou, and Shirui Pan. 2021. Multi-Scale Contrastive Siamese Networks for Self-Supervised Graph Representation Learning. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2021, Virtual Event / Montreal, Canada, 19-27 August 2021*, Zhi-Hua Zhou (Ed.). ijcai.org, 1477–1483.
- [80] Wei Jin, Xiaorui Liu, Xiangyu Zhao, Yao Ma, Neil Shah, and Jiliang Tang. 2021. Automated Self-Supervised Learning for Graphs. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [81] Baoyu Jing, Shengyu Feng, Yuejia Xiang, Xi Chen, Yu Chen, and Hanghang Tong. 2022. X-GOAL: Multiplex heterogeneous graph prototypical contrastive learning. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*. 894–904.
- [82] Baoyu Jing, Chenwei Lu, Deqing Wang, Fuzhen Zhuang, and Cheng Niu. 2018. Cross-domain labeled LDA for cross-domain text classification. In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM)*. IEEE, 187–196.
- [83] Baoyu Jing, Chanyoung Park, and Hanghang Tong. 2021. Hdmi: High-order deep multiplex infomax. In *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021*. 2414–2424.
- [84] Baoyu Jing, Hanghang Tong, and Yada Zhu. 2021. Network of tensor time series. In *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021*. 2425–2437.
- [85] Baoyu Jing, Yansen Wang, Guoxin Sui, Jing Hong, Jingrui He, Yuqing Yang, Dongsheng Li, and Kan Ren. 2024. Automated Contrastive Learning Strategy Search for Time Series. *arXiv:2403.12641*
- [86] Baoyu Jing, Yuchen Yan, Kaize Ding, Chanyoung Park, Yada Zhu, Huan Liu, and Hanghang Tong. 2023. Sterling: Synergistic representation learning on bipartite graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.05428* (2023).
- [87] Baoyu Jing, Yuchen Yan, Yada Zhu, and Hanghang Tong. 2022. Coin: Co-cluster infomax for bipartite graphs. In *NeurIPS 2022 Workshop: New Frontiers in Graph Learning*.
- [88] Baoyu Jing, Zeyu You, Tao Yang, Wei Fan, and Hanghang Tong. 2021. Multiplex Graph Neural Network for Extractive Text Summarization. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. 133–139.
- [89] Baoyu Jing, Si Zhang, Yada Zhu, Bin Peng, Kaiyu Guan, Andrew Margenot, and Hanghang Tong. 2022. Retrieval based time series forecasting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.13525* (2022).
- [90] Baoyu Jing, Dawei Zhou, Kan Ren, and Carl Yang. 2024. CASPER: Causality-Aware Spatiotemporal Graph Neural Networks for Spatiotemporal Time Series Imputation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.11960* (2024).
- [91] Minguk Kang and Jaesik Park. 2020. Contragan: Contrastive learning for conditional image generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 33 (2020), 21357–21369.
- [92] Divyansh Kaushik, Eduard H. Hovy, and Zachary Chase Lipton. 2020. Learning The Difference That Makes A Difference With Counterfactually-Augmented Data. In *8th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2020, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, April 26-30, 2020*. OpenReview.net.
- [93] Prannay Khosla, Piotr Teterwak, Chen Wang, Aaron Sarma, Yonglong Tian, Phillip Isola, Aaron Maschinot, Ce Liu, and Dilip Krishnan. 2020. Supervised Contrastive Learning. *CoRR abs/2004.11362* (2020).
- [94] HyunGi Kim, Siwon Kim, Seonwoo Min, and Byungchan Lee. 2023. Contrastive Time-Series Anomaly Detection. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* (2023).

- [95] ByungSoo Ko and Geonmo Gu. 2022. Large-scale Bilingual Language-Image Contrastive Learning. *CoRR* abs/2203.14463 (2022).
- [96] Hadas Kotek, Rikker Dockum, and David Sun. 2023. Gender bias and stereotypes in large language models. In *Proceedings of The ACM Collective Intelligence Conference*. 12–24.
- [97] Ajay Kumar, Shashank Sheshar Singh, Kuldeep Singh, and Bhaskar Biswas. 2020. Link prediction techniques, applications, and performance: A survey. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 553 (2020), 124289.
- [98] Phuc H Le-Khac, Graham Healy, and Alan F Smeaton. 2020. Contrastive representation learning: A framework and review. *IEEE Access* 8 (2020), 193907–193934.
- [99] Chen-Yu Lee, Chun-Liang Li, Hao Zhang, Timothy Dozat, Vincent Perot, Guolong Su, Xiang Zhang, Kihyuk Sohn, Nikolay Glushnev, Renshen Wang, Joshua Ainslie, Shangbang Long, Siyang Qin, Yasuhisa Fujii, Nan Hua, and Tomas Pfister. 2023. FormNetV2: Multimodal Graph Contrastive Learning for Form Document Information Extraction. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 9011–9026.
- [100] Janghyeon Lee, Jongsuk Kim, Hyounguk Shon, Bumsu Kim, Seung Hwan Kim, Honglak Lee, and Junmo Kim. 2022. UniCLIP: Unified Framework for Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 2022*.
- [101] Minwoo Lee, Hyukhun Koh, Kang-il Lee, Dongdong Zhang, Minsung Kim, and Kyomin Jung. 2023. Target-Agnostic Gender-Aware Contrastive Learning for Mitigating Bias in Multilingual Machine Translation. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023*, Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 16825–16839.
- [102] Seanie Lee, Dong Bok Lee, and Sung Ju Hwang. 2021. Contrastive Learning with Adversarial Perturbations for Conditional Text Generation. In *9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021*. OpenReview.net.
- [103] Bolian Li, Baoyu Jing, and Hanghang Tong. 2022. Graph communal contrastive learning. In *Proceedings of the ACM web conference 2022*. 1203–1213.
- [104] Dongyuan Li, Yusong Wang, Kotaro Funakoshi, and Manabu Okumura. 2023. Joyful: Joint Modality Fusion and Graph Contrastive Learning for Multimodal Emotion Recognition. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 16051–16069.
- [105] Feng Li, Hao Zhang, Yi-Fan Zhang, Shilong Liu, Jian Guo, Lionel M. Ni, PengChuan Zhang, and Lei Zhang. 2022. Vision-Language Intelligence: Tasks, Representation Learning, and Large Models. *CoRR* abs/2203.01922 (2022).
- [106] Junnan Li, Ramprasaath R. Selvaraju, Akhilesh Gotmare, Shafiq R. Joty, Caiming Xiong, and Steven Chu-Hong Hoi. 2021. Align before Fuse: Vision and Language Representation Learning with Momentum Distillation. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2021, NeurIPS 2021, December 6-14, 2021, virtual*. 9694–9705.
- [107] Jiacheng Li, Jingbo Shang, and Julian McAuley. 2022. UCTopic: Unsupervised Contrastive Learning for Phrase Representations and Topic Mining. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. 6159–6169.
- [108] Jiaqi Li, Chuanyi Zhang, Miaozen Du, Dehai Min, Yongrui Chen, and Guilin Qi. 2023. Three Stream Based Multi-level Event Contrastive Learning for Text-Video Event Extraction. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023*, Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 1666–1676.
- [109] Junnan Li, Pan Zhou, Caiming Xiong, and Steven Hoi. 2020. Prototypical Contrastive Learning of Unsupervised Representations. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [110] Shikun Li, Xiaobo Xia, Shiming Ge, and Tongliang Liu. 2022. Selective-supervised contrastive learning with noisy labels. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 316–325.
- [111] Yichuan Li, Kaize Ding, and Kyumin Lee. 2023. GRENADE: Graph-Centric Language Model for Self-Supervised Representation Learning on Text-Attributed Graphs. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023*, Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 2745–2757.
- [112] Yonggang Li, Guosheng Hu, Yongtao Wang, Timothy Hospedales, Neil M Robertson, and Yongxin Yang. 2020. Differentiable automatic data augmentation. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXII* 16. Springer, 580–595.
- [113] Yunfan Li, Peng Hu, Jerry Zitao Liu, Dezhong Peng, Joey Tianyi Zhou, and Xi Peng. 2021. Contrastive Clustering. In *Thirty-Fifth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2021, Thirty-Third Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence, IAAI 2021, The Eleventh Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2021, Virtual Event, February 2-9, 2021*. AAAI Press, 8547–8555.
- [114] Yangguang Li, Feng Liang, Lichen Zhao, Yufeng Cui, Wanli Ouyang, Jing Shao, Fengwei Yu, and Junjie Yan. 2022. Supervision Exists Everywhere: A Data Efficient Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training Paradigm. In *The Tenth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2022, Virtual Event, April 25-29, 2022*. OpenReview.net.
- [115] Yaoyiran Li, Fangyu Liu, Nigel Collier, Anna Korhonen, and Ivan Vulic. 2022. Improving Word Translation via Two-Stage Contrastive Learning. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2022, Dublin, Ireland, May 22-27, 2022*, Smaranda Muresan, Preslav Nakov, and Aline Villavicencio (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 4353–4374.
- [116] Yingming Li, Ming Yang, and Zhongfei Zhang. 2018. A survey of multi-view representation learning. *IEEE transactions on knowledge and data engineering* 31, 10 (2018), 1863–1883.
- [117] Huidong Liang, Xingjian Du, Bilei Zhu, Zejun Ma, Ke Chen, and Junbin Gao. 2023. Graph contrastive learning with implicit augmentations. *Neural Networks* 163 (2023), 156–164.
- [118] Paul Pu Liang, Zihao Deng, Martin Q. Ma, James Y. Zou, Louis-Philippe Morency, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. 2023. Factorized Contrastive Learning: Going Beyond Multi-view Redundancy. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2023, NeurIPS 2023, New Orleans, LA, USA, December 10 - 16, 2023*, Alice Oh, Tristan Naumann, Amir Globerson, Kate Saenko, Moritz Hardt, and Sergey Levine (Eds.).
- [119] Bryan Lim and Stefan Zohren. 2021. Time-series forecasting with deep learning: a survey. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A* 379, 2194 (2021), 20200209.
- [120] Sungbin Lim, Ildoo Kim, Taesup Kim, Chiheon Kim, and Sungwoong Kim. 2019. Fast autoaugment. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 32 (2019).
- [121] Fangfei Lin, Bing Bai, Kun Bai, Yazhou Ren, Peng Zhao, and Zenglin Xu. 2022. Contrastive Multi-view Hyperbolic Hierarchical Clustering. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2022, Vienna, Austria, 23-29 July 2022*. ijcai.org, 3250–3256.
- [122] Ronghao Lin and Haifeng Hu. 2022. Multimodal Contrastive Learning via Uni-modal Coding and Cross-Modal Prediction for Multimodal Sentiment Analysis. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2022, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, December 7-11, 2022*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 511–523.
- [123] Yijie Lin, Yuanbiao Gou, Zitao Liu, Boyun Li, Jiancheng Lv, and Xi Peng. 2021. COMPLETE: Incomplete Multi-View Clustering via Contrastive Prediction. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2021, virtual, June 19-25, 2021*. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE, 11174–11183.
- [124] Jiexi Liu and Songcan Chen. 2023. TimesURL: Self-supervised Contrastive Learning for Universal Time Series Representation Learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.15709* (2023).
- [125] Jiawei Liu, Cheng Yang, Zhiyuan Lu, Junze Chen, Yibo Li, Mengmei Zhang, Ting Bai, Yuan Fang, Lichao Sun, Philip S. Yu, and Chuan Shi. 2023. Towards Graph Foundation Models: A Survey and Beyond. *CoRR* abs/2310.11829 (2023).
- [126] Pengfei Liu, Yiming Ren, and Zhixiang Ren. 2023. GIT-Mol: A Multi-modal Large Language Model for Molecular Science with Graph, Image, and Text. *CoRR* abs/2308.06911 (2023).
- [127] Qidong Liu, Xian Wu, Xiangyu Zhao, Yuanshao Zhu, Derong Xu, Feng Tian, and Yefeng Zheng. 2023. MOELoRA: An MOE-based Parameter Efficient Fine-Tuning Method for Multi-task Medical Applications. *CoRR* abs/2310.18339 (2023).
- [128] Shengchao Liu, Weili Nie, Chengpeng Wang, Jiarui Lu, Zhuoran Qiao, Ling Liu, Jian Tang, Chaowei Xiao, and Animashree Anandkumar. 2023. Multi-modal molecule structure-text model for text-based retrieval and editing. *Nat. Mac. Intell.* 5, 12 (2023), 1447–1457.
- [129] Xiao Liu, Fanjin Zhang, Zhenyu Hou, Li Mian, Zhaoyu Wang, Jing Zhang, and Jie Tang. 2023. Self-Supervised Learning: Generative or Contrastive. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.* 35, 1 (2023), 857–876.
- [130] Yixin Liu, Zhao Li, Shirui Pan, Chen Gong, Chuan Zhou, and George Karypis. 2021. Anomaly detection on attributed networks via contrastive self-supervised learning. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems* 33, 6 (2021), 2378–2392.
- [131] Yixin Liu and Pengfei Liu. 2021. SimCLS: A Simple Framework for Contrastive Learning of Abstractive Summarization. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 2: Short Papers)*. 1065–1072.

- [132] Yue Liu, Xihong Yang, Sihang Zhou, Xinwang Liu, Siwei Wang, Ke Liang, Wenxuan Tu, and Liang Li. 2023. Simple contrastive graph clustering. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* (2023).
- [133] Zhiyuan Liu, Sihang Li, Yanchen Luo, Hao Fei, Yixin Cao, Kenji Kawaguchi, Xiang Wang, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2023. MolCA: Molecular Graph-Language Modeling with Cross-Modal Projector and Uni-Modal Adapter. In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2023, Singapore, December 6-10, 2023*, Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 15623–15638.
- [134] Lajanugen Logeswaran and Honglak Lee. 2018. An efficient framework for learning sentence representations. In *6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada, April 30 - May 3, 2018, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net.
- [135] Dongsheng Luo, Wei Cheng, Yingheng Wang, Dongkuan Xu, Jingchao Ni, Wen-chao Yu, Xuchao Zhang, Yanchi Liu, Yuncong Chen, Haifeng Chen, et al. 2023. Time series contrastive learning with information-aware augmentations. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 37. 4534–4542.
- [136] Xiao Luo, Wei Ju, Meng Qu, Chong Chen, Minghua Deng, Xian-Sheng Hua, and Ming Zhang. 2022. Dualgraph: Improving semi-supervised graph classification via dual contrastive learning. In *2022 IEEE 38th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE)*. IEEE, 699–712.
- [137] Yonghong Luo, Xiangrui Cai, Ying Zhang, Jun Xu, et al. 2018. Multivariate time series imputation with generative adversarial networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 31 (2018).
- [138] Yizhen Luo, Kai Yang, Massimo Hong, Xing Yi Liu, and Zaiqing Nie. 2023. MolFM: A Multimodal Molecular Foundation Model. *CoRR* abs/2307.09484 (2023).
- [139] Xiaofei Ma, Cicero Nogueira dos Santos, and Andrew O. Arnold. 2021. Contrastive Fine-tuning Improves Robustness for Neural Rankers. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL/IJCNLP 2021, Online Event, August 1-6, 2021 (Findings of ACL, Vol. ACL/IJCNLP 2021)*, Chengqing Zong, Fei Xia, Wenjie Li, and Roberto Navigli (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 570–582.
- [140] Xiaoxiao Ma, Jia Wu, Shan Xue, Jian Yang, Chuan Zhou, Quan Z Sheng, Hui Xiong, and Leman Akoglu. 2021. A comprehensive survey on graph anomaly detection with deep learning. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* 35, 12 (2021), 12012–12038.
- [141] Sadhika Malladi, Tianyu Gao, Eshaan Nichani, Alex Damian, Jason D Lee, Danqi Chen, and Sanjeev Arora. 2024. Fine-tuning language models with just forward passes. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 36 (2024).
- [142] Haitao Mao, Zhikai Chen, Wenzhuo Tang, Jianan Zhao, Yao Ma, Tong Zhao, Neil Shah, Mikhail Galkin, and Jiliang Tang. 2024. Graph Foundation Models. *CoRR* abs/2402.02216 (2024).
- [143] Zhuoyuan Mao, Chenhui Chu, Raj Dabre, Haiyue Song, Zhen Wan, and Sadao Kurohashi. 2022. When do Contrastive Word Alignments Improve Many-to-many Neural Machine Translation?. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: NAACL 2022, Seattle, WA, United States, July 10-15, 2022*, Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Iván Vladimir Meza Ruiz (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 1766–1775.
- [144] Yu Meng, Chenyan Xiong, Payal Bajaj, Saurabh Tiwary, Paul Bennett, Jiawei Han, and Xia Song. 2021. COCO-LM: Correcting and Contrasting Text Sequences for Language Model Pretraining. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2021, NeurIPS 2021, December 6-14, 2021, virtual*. 23102–23114.
- [145] Tomás Mikolov, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, and Jeffrey Dean. 2013. Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space. In *1st International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2013, Scottsdale, Arizona, USA, May 2-4, 2013, Workshop Track Proceedings*, Yoshua Bengio and Yann LeCun (Eds.).
- [146] Tomas Mikolov, Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Greg S Corrado, and Jeff Dean. 2013. Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 26 (2013).
- [147] John A Miller, Mohammed Aldosari, Farah Saeed, Nasid Habib Barna, Subas Rana, I Budak Arpinar, and Ninghao Liu. 2024. A survey of deep learning and foundation models for time series forecasting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.13912* (2024).
- [148] Aditya Mogadala, Marimuthu Kalimuthu, and Dietrich Klakow. 2021. Trends in Integration of Vision and Language Research: A Survey of Tasks, Datasets, and Methods. *J. Artif. Intell. Res.* 71 (2021), 1183–1317.
- [149] Thong Nguyen and Anh Tuan Luu. 2021. Contrastive learning for neural topic model. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 34 (2021), 11974–11986.
- [150] Daisuke Oba, Masahiro Kaneko, and Danushka Bollegala. 2023. In-contextual bias suppression for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.07251* (2023).
- [151] Aaron van den Oord, Yazhe Li, and Oriol Vinyals. 2018. Representation learning with contrastive predictive coding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.03748* (2018).
- [152] Siqi Ouyang, Rong Ye, and Lei Li. 2023. WACO: Word-Aligned Contrastive Learning for Speech Translation. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 3891–3907.
- [153] Erlin Pan and Zhao Kang. 2021. Multi-view Contrastive Graph Clustering. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2021, NeurIPS 2021, December 6-14, 2021, virtual*, Marc Aurelio Ranzato, Alina Beygelzimer, Yann N. Dauphin, Percy Liang, and Jennifer Wortman Vaughan (Eds.). 2148–2159.
- [154] Lin Pan, Chung-Wei Hang, Avirup Sil, and Saloni Potdar. 2022. Improved text classification via contrastive adversarial training. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 36. 11130–11138.
- [155] Xiao Pan, Mingxuan Wang, Liwei Wu, and Lei Li. 2021. Contrastive Learning for Many-to-many Multilingual Neural Machine Translation. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, ACL/IJCNLP 2021, (Volume 1: Long Papers), Virtual Event, August 1-6, 2021*, Chengqing Zong, Fei Xia, Wenjie Li, and Roberto Navigli (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 244–258.
- [156] Xiao Pan, Mingxuan Wang, Liwei Wu, and Lei Li. 2021. Contrastive learning for many-to-many multilingual neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.09501* (2021).
- [157] Xuran Pan, Tianzhu Ye, Dongchen Han, Shiji Song, and Gao Huang. 2022. Contrastive language-image pre-training with knowledge graphs. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 35 (2022), 22895–22910.
- [158] Bhargavi Paranjape, Julian Michael, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2021. Prompting Contrastive Explanations for Commonsense Reasoning Tasks. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL/IJCNLP 2021, Online Event, August 1-6, 2021 (Findings of ACL, Vol. ACL/IJCNLP 2021)*, Chengqing Zong, Fei Xia, Wenjie Li, and Roberto Navigli (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 4179–4192.
- [159] Sungho Park, Jewook Lee, Pilhyeon Lee, Sunhee Hwang, Dohyung Kim, and Hyeran Byun. 2022. Fair contrastive learning for facial attribute classification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 10389–10398.
- [160] Taesung Park, Alexei A Efros, Richard Zhang, and Jun-Yan Zhu. 2020. Contrastive learning for unpaired image-to-image translation. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part IX 16*. Springer, 319–345.
- [161] Zhen Peng, Wenbing Huang, Minnan Luo, Qinghua Zheng, Yu Rong, Tingyang Xu, and Junzhou Huang. 2020. Graph representation learning via graphical mutual information maximization. In *Proceedings of The Web Conference 2020*. 259–270.
- [162] Bryan Perozzi, Rami Al-Rfou, and Steven Skiena. 2014. Deepwalk: Online learning of social representations. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. 701–710.
- [163] Chen Qiu, Timo Pfroemer, Marius Kloft, Stephan Mandt, and Maja Rudolph. 2021. Neural transformation learning for deep anomaly detection beyond images. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 8703–8714.
- [164] Yanru Qu, Dinghan Shen, Yelong Shen, Sandra Sajeev, Weizhu Chen, and Jiawei Han. 2021. CoDA: Contrast-enhanced and Diversity-promoting Data Augmentation for Natural Language Understanding. In *9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021*. OpenReview.net.
- [165] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. 2021. Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision. In *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2021, 18-24 July 2021, Virtual Event (Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, Vol. 139)*, Marina Meila and Tong Zhang (Eds.). PMLR, 8748–8763.
- [166] Waseem Rawat and Zenghui Wang. 2017. Deep convolutional neural networks for image classification: A comprehensive review. *Neural computation* 29, 9 (2017), 2352–2449.
- [167] Colorado J Reed, Sean Metzger, Aravind Srinivas, Trevor Darrell, and Kurt Keutzer. 2021. Selfaugument: Automatic augmentation policies for self-supervised learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2674–2683.
- [168] Tal Reiss and Yedid Hoshen. 2023. Mean-shifted contrastive loss for anomaly detection. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 37. 2155–2162.
- [169] Hansheng Ren, Bixiong Xu, Yujing Wang, Chao Yi, Congru Huang, Xiaoyu Kou, Tony Xing, Mao Yang, Jie Tong, and Qi Zhang. 2019. Time-series anomaly detection service at microsoft. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*. 3009–3017.
- [170] Vin Sachidananda, Shao-Yen Tseng, Erik Marchi, Sachin Kajarekar, and Panayiotis G. Georgiou. 2022. CALM: Contrastive Aligned Audio-Language Multirate and Multimodal Representations. *CoRR* abs/2202.03587 (2022).

- [171] Florian Schroff, Dmitry Kalenichenko, and James Philbin. 2015. FaceNet: A unified embedding for face recognition and clustering. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2015, Boston, MA, USA, June 7–12, 2015*. IEEE Computer Society, 815–823.
- [172] Dinghan Shen, Mingzhi Zheng, Yelong Shen, Yanru Qu, and Weizhu Chen. 2020. A Simple but Tough-to-Beat Data Augmentation Approach for Natural Language Understanding and Generation. *CoRR abs/2009.13818* (2020).
- [173] Wei Shen, Xiaoying Zhang, Yuanshun Yao, Rui Zheng, Hongyi Guo, and Yang Liu. 2024. Improving Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback Using Contrastive Rewards. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07708* (2024).
- [174] Tian Shi, Liuqing Li, Ping Wang, and Chandan K Reddy. 2021. A simple and effective self-supervised contrastive learning framework for aspect detection. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, Vol. 35. 13815–13824.
- [175] William Shiao, Zhichun Guo, Tong Zhao, Evangelos E Papalexakis, Yozen Liu, and Neil Shah. 2022. Link Prediction with Non-Contrastive Learning. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [176] Amanpreet Singh, Ronghang Hu, Vedanuj Goswami, Guillaume Couairon, Wojciech Galuba, Marcus Rohrbach, and Douwe Kiela. 2022. FLAVA: A Foundational Language And Vision Alignment Model. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18–24, 2022*. IEEE, 15617–15629.
- [177] Bing Su, Dazhao Du, Zhao Yang, Yujie Zhou, Jiangmeng Li, Anyi Rao, Hao Sun, Zhiwu Lu, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2022. A Molecular Multimodal Foundation Model Associating Molecule Graphs with Natural Language. *CoRR abs/2209.05481* (2022).
- [178] Yixuan Su, Tian Lan, Yan Wang, Dani Yogatama, Lingpeng Kong, and Nigel Collier. 2022. A contrastive framework for neural text generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 35 (2022), 21548–21561.
- [179] Bo Sun, Banghuai Li, Shengcai Cai, Ye Yuan, and Chi Zhang. 2021. FSCE: Few-Shot Object Detection via Contrastive Proposal Encoding. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2021, virtual, June 19–25, 2021*. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE, 7352–7362.
- [180] Dengdi Sun, Mingxin Cao, Zhuanlian Ding, and Bin Luo. 2022. Graph Contrastive Learning with Intrinsic Augmentations. In *Bio-Inspired Computing: Theories and Applications - 17th International Conference, BIC-TA 2022, Wuhan, China, December 16–18, 2022, Revised Selected Papers (Communications in Computer and Information Science, Vol. 1801)*, Lingqiang Pan, Dongming Zhao, Lianghao Li, and Jianqing Lin (Eds.). Springer, 343–357.
- [181] Fan-Yun Sun, Jordan Hoffman, Vikas Verma, and Jian Tang. 2019. InfoGraph: Unsupervised and Semi-supervised Graph-Level Representation Learning via Mutual Information Maximization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [182] Zhiqing Sun, Zhi-Hong Deng, Jian-Yun Nie, and Jian Tang. 2018. RotatE: Knowledge Graph Embedding by Relational Rotation in Complex Space. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [183] Susheel Suresh, Pan Li, Cong Hao, and Jennifer Neville. 2021. Adversarial graph augmentation to improve graph contrastive learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 34 (2021), 15920–15933.
- [184] Jiabin Tang, Lianghao Xia, Jie Hu, and Chao Huang. 2023. Spatio-temporal meta contrastive learning. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. 2412–2421.
- [185] Yusuke Tashiro, Jiaming Song, Yang Song, and Stefano Ermon. 2021. CsdI: Conditional score-based diffusion models for probabilistic time series imputation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 34 (2021), 24804–24816.
- [186] Yonglong Tian, Dilip Krishnan, and Phillip Isola. 2020. Contrastive multiview coding. In *European conference on computer vision*. Springer, 776–794.
- [187] Yonglong Tian, Chen Sun, Ben Poole, Dilip Krishnan, Cordelia Schmid, and Phillip Isola. 2020. What Makes for Good Views for Contrastive Learning?. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2020, NeurIPS 2020, December 6–12, 2020, virtual*, Hugo Larochelle, Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Raia Hadsell, Maria-Florina Balcan, and Hsuan-Tien Lin (Eds.).
- [188] Sana Tonekaboni, Danny Eytan, and Anna Goldenberg. 2020. Unsupervised Representation Learning for Time Series with Temporal Neighborhood Coding. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [189] Daniel J. Trosten, Sigurd Løkse, Robert Jenssen, and Michael Kampffmeyer. 2021. Reconsidering Representation Alignment for Multi-View Clustering. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2021, virtual, June 19–25, 2021*. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE, 1255–1265.
- [190] Daniel J. Trosten, Sigurd Løkse, Robert Jenssen, and Michael C. Kampffmeyer. 2023. On the Effects of Self-supervision and Contrastive Alignment in Deep Multi-view Clustering. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2023, Vancouver, BC, Canada, June 17–24, 2023*. IEEE, 23976–23985.
- [191] Tsung Wei Tsai, Chongxuan Li, and Jun Zhu. 2020. Mice: Mixture of contrastive experts for unsupervised image clustering. In *International conference on learning representations*.
- [192] Ike Vayansky and Sathish AP Kumar. 2020. A review of topic modeling methods. *Information Systems* 94 (2020), 101582.
- [193] Petar Velickovic, William L Hamilton, Pietro Liò, Yoshua Bengio, and R Devon Hjelm. 2019. Deep graph infomax. *ICLR (Poster)* 2, 3 (2019), 4.
- [194] Yixin Wan, George Pu, Jiao Sun, Aparna Garimella, Kai-Wei Chang, and Nanyun Peng. 2023. "kelly is a warm person, joseph is a role model": Gender biases in llm-generated reference letters. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09219* (2023).
- [195] Danqing Wang, Jiaye Chen, Hao Zhou, Xipeng Qiu, and Lei Li. 2021. Contrastive Aligned Joint Learning for Multilingual Summarization. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL/TJCNLP 2021, Online Event, August 1–6, 2021 (Findings of ACL, Vol. ACL/TJCNLP 2021)*, Chengqing Zong, Fei Xia, Wenjie Li, and Roberto Navigli (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 2739–2750.
- [196] Deqing Wang, Baoyu Jing, Chenwei Lu, Junjie Wu, Guannan Liu, Chenguang Du, and Fuzhen Zhuang. 2020. Coarse alignment of topic and sentiment: A unified model for cross-lingual sentiment classification. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* 32, 2 (2020), 736–747.
- [197] Deqing Wang, Junjie Wu, Jingyuan Yang, Baoyu Jing, Wenjie Zhang, Xiaonan He, and Hui Zhang. 2021. Cross-lingual knowledge transferring by structural correspondence and space transfer. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics* 52, 7 (2021), 6555–6566.
- [198] Haohui Wang, Baoyu Jing, Kaize Ding, Yada Zhu, and Dawei Zhou. 2023. Characterizing Long-Tail Categories on Graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.09938* (2023).
- [199] Jing Wang, Songhe Feng, Gengyu Lyu, and Zhibin Gu. 2023. Triple-Granularity Contrastive Learning for Deep Multi-View Subspace Clustering. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia, MM 2023, Ottawa, ON, Canada, 29 October 2023– 3 November 2023*. ACM, 2994–3002.
- [200] Lidong Wang. 2017. Heterogeneous data and big data analytics. *Automatic Control and Information Sciences* 3, 1 (2017), 8–15.
- [201] Liang Wang, Wei Zhao, Zhuoyu Wei, and Jingming Liu. 2022. SimKGC: Simple Contrastive Knowledge Graph Completion with Pre-trained Language Models. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. 4281–4294.
- [202] Ran Wang, Xinyu Dai, et al. 2022. Contrastive learning-enhanced nearest neighbor mechanism for multi-label text classification. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*. 672–679.
- [203] Rui Wang, Chongwei Liu, Xudong Mou, Kai Gao, Xiaohui Guo, Pin Liu, Tianyu Wo, and Xudong Liu. 2023. Deep contrastive one-class time series anomaly detection. In *Proceedings of the 2023 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM)*. SIAM, 694–702.
- [204] Ruijia Wang, Xiao Wang, Chuan Shi, and Le Song. 2022. Uncovering the structural fairness in graph contrastive learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 35 (2022), 32465–32473.
- [205] Tianduo Wang and Wei Lu. 2022. Differentiable Data Augmentation for Contrastive Sentence Representation Learning. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2022, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, December 7–11, 2022*, Yoav Goldberg, Zornitsa Kozareva, and Yue Zhang (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 7640–7653.
- [206] Xiao Wang, Guangyao Chen, Guangwu Qian, Pengcheng Gao, Xiao-Yong Wei, Yaowei Wang, Yonghong Tian, and Wen Gao. 2023. Large-scale Multi-modal Pre-trained Models: A Comprehensive Survey. *Mach. Intell. Res.* 20, 4 (2023), 447–482.
- [207] Xiao Wang, Nian Liu, Hui Han, and Chuan Shi. 2021. Self-supervised heterogeneous graph neural network with co-contrastive learning. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM SIGKDD conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*. 1726–1736.
- [208] Xinlong Wang, Rufeng Zhang, Chunhua Shen, Tao Kong, and Lei Li. 2021. Dense contrastive learning for self-supervised visual pre-training. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 3024–3033.
- [209] Yau-Shian Wang, Ta-Chung Chi, Ruohong Zhang, and Yiming Yang. 2023. PESCO: Prompt-enhanced Self Contrastive Learning for Zero-shot Text Classification. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9–14, 2023, Anna Rogers, Jordan L. Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 14897–14911.
- [210] Yanling Wang, Jing Zhang, Haoyang Li, Yuxiao Dong, Hongzhi Yin, Cuiping Li, and Hong Chen. 2022. Clusterscl: Cluster-aware supervised contrastive learning on graphs. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022*. 1611–1621.
- [211] Zeya Wang, Yang Ni, Baoyu Jing, Deqing Wang, Hao Zhang, and Eric Xing. 2021. DNB: A joint learning framework for deep Bayesian nonparametric clustering. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* 33, 12 (2021), 7610–7620.

- [212] Zehan Wang, Yang Zhao, Xize Cheng, Haifeng Huang, Jiageng Liu, Aoxiong Yin, Li Tang, Linjun Li, Yongqi Wang, Ziang Zhang, and Zhou Zhao. 2023. Connecting Multi-modal Contrastive Representations. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2023, NeurIPS 2023, New Orleans, LA, USA, December 10 - 16, 2023*, Alice Oh, Tristan Naumann, Amir Globerson, Kate Saenko, Moritz Hardt, and Sergey Levine (Eds.).
- [213] Zijie J Wang, Evan Montoya, David Munchika, Haoyang Yang, Benjamin Hoover, and Duen Horng Chau. 2022. DiffusioNdb: A large-scale prompt gallery dataset for text-to-image generative models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.14896* (2022).
- [214] Yinwei Wei, Xiang Wang, Qi Li, Liqiang Nie, Yan Li, Xuanping Li, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2021. Contrastive learning for cold-start recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*. 5382–5390.
- [215] Zhihao Wen and Yuan Fang. 2023. Augmenting Low-Resource Text Classification with Graph-Grounded Pre-training and Prompting. In *Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR 2023, Taipei, Taiwan, July 23-27, 2023*, Hsin-Hsi Chen, Wei-Jou (Edward) Duh, Hen-Hsen Huang, Makoto P. Kato, Josiane Mothe, and Barbara Poblete (Eds.). ACM, 506–516.
- [216] Gerald Woo, Chenghao Liu, Doyen Sahoo, Akshat Kumar, and Steven Hoi. 2021. CoST: Contrastive Learning of Disentangled Seasonal-Trend Representations for Time Series Forecasting. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [217] Ho-Hsiang Wu, Prem Seetharaman, Kundan Kumar, and Juan Pablo Bello. 2022. Wav2CLIP: Learning Robust Audio Representations from Clip. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, ICASSP 2022, Virtual and Singapore, 23-27 May 2022*. IEEE, 4563–4567.
- [218] Wei Wu, Hao Chang, Yonghua Zheng, Zhu Li, Zhiwen Chen, and Ziheng Zhang. 2022. Contrastive Learning-based Robust Object Detection under Smoky Conditions. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops, CVPR Workshops 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 19-20, 2022*. IEEE, 4294–4301.
- [219] Yusong Wu, Ke Chen, Tianyu Zhang, Yuchen Hui, Taylor Berg-Kirkpatrick, and Shlomo Dubnov. 2023. Large-Scale Contrastive Language-Audio Pretraining with Feature Fusion and Keyword-to-Caption Augmentation. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing ICASSP 2023, Rhodes Island, Greece, June 4-10, 2023*. IEEE, 1–5.
- [220] Zhuofeng Wu, Sinong Wang, Jiatao Gu, Madian Khabsa, Fei Sun, and Hao Ma. 2020. CLEAR: Contrastive Learning for Sentence Representation. *CoRR abs/2012.15466* (2020).
- [221] Jun Xia, Lirong Wu, Jintao Chen, Bozhen Hu, and Stan Z. Li. 2022. SimGRACE: A Simple Framework for Graph Contrastive Learning without Data Augmentation. In *WWW '22: The ACM Web Conference 2022, Virtual Event, Lyon, France, April 25 - 29, 2022*, Frédérique Laforest, Raphaël Troncy, Elena Simperl, Deepak Agarwal, Aristides Gionis, Ivan Herman, and Lionel Médini (Eds.). ACM, 1070–1079.
- [222] Tete Xiao, Xiaolong Wang, Alexei A. Efros, and Trevor Darrell. 2021. What Should Not Be Contrastive in Contrastive Learning. In *9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021*. OpenReview.net.
- [223] Chen-Wei Xie, Siyang Sun, Xiong Xiong, Yun Zheng, Deli Zhao, and Jingren Zhou. 2023. RA-CLIP: Retrieval Augmented Contrastive Language-Image Pre-Training. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2023, Vancouver, BC, Canada, June 17-24, 2023*. IEEE, 19265–19274.
- [224] Enze Xie, Jian Ding, Wenhai Wang, Xiaohang Zhan, Hang Xu, Peize Sun, Zhen-guo Li, and Ping Luo. 2021. Detco: Unsupervised contrastive learning for object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*. 8392–8401.
- [225] Xu Xie, Fei Sun, Zhaoyang Liu, Shiwen Wu, Jinyang Gao, Jiandong Zhang, Bolin Ding, and Bin Cui. 2022. Contrastive learning for sequential recommendation. In *2022 IEEE 38th international conference on data engineering (ICDE)*. IEEE, 1259–1273.
- [226] Canwen Xu, Corby Rosset, Luciano Del Corro, Shweti Mahajan, Julian J. McAuley, Jennifer Neville, Ahmed Hassan Awadallah, and Nikhil Rao. 2023. Contrastive Post-training Large Language Models on Data Curriculum. *CoRR abs/2310.02263* (2023).
- [227] Dongkuan Xu, Wei Cheng, Dongsheng Luo, Haifeng Chen, and Xiang Zhang. 2021. InfoGl: Information-aware graph contrastive learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 34 (2021), 30414–30425.
- [228] Jie Xu, Huayi Tang, Yazhou Ren, Liang Peng, Xiaofeng Zhu, and Lifang He. 2022. Multi-level Feature Learning for Contrastive Multi-view Clustering. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022*. IEEE, 16030–16039.
- [229] Mengwei Xu, Wangsong Yin, Dongqi Cai, Rongjie Yi, Daliang Xu, Qipeng Wang, Bingyang Wu, Yihao Zhao, Chen Yang, Shihe Wang, et al. 2024. A survey of resource-efficient llm and multimodal foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.08092* (2024).
- [230] Shusheng Xu, Xingxing Zhang, Yi Wu, and Furu Wei. 2022. Sequence level contrastive learning for text summarization. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, Vol. 36. 11556–11565.
- [231] Yi Xu, Junjie Ou, Hui Xu, and Luoqi Fu. 2023. Temporal knowledge graph reasoning with historical contrastive learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 37. 4765–4773.
- [232] Ziyun Xu, Chengyu Wang, Minghui Qiu, Fuli Luo, Runxin Xu, Songfang Huang, and Jun Huang. 2023. Making Pre-trained Language Models End-to-end Few-shot Learners with Contrastive Prompt Tuning. In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining, WSDM 2023, Singapore, 27 February 2023 - 3 March 2023*, Tat-Seng Chua, Hady W. Lauw, Luo Si, Evimaria Terzi, and Panayiotis Tsaparas (Eds.). ACM, 438–446.
- [233] Xiaoqiang Yan, Shizhe Hu, Yiqiao Mao, Yangdong Ye, and Hui Yu. 2021. Deep multi-view learning methods: A review. *Neurocomputing* 448 (2021), 106–129.
- [234] Yuchen Yan, Baoyu Jing, Lihui Liu, Ruijie Wang, Jinning Li, Tarek Abdelzaher, and Hanghang Tong. 2024. Reconciling Competing Sampling Strategies of Network Embedding. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 36 (2024).
- [235] Yuchen Yan, Lihui Liu, Yikun Ban, Baoyu Jing, and Hanghang Tong. 2021. Dynamic knowledge graph alignment. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, Vol. 35. 4564–4572.
- [236] An Yang, Junshu Pan, Junyang Lin, Rui Men, Yichang Zhang, Jingren Zhou, and Chang Zhou. 2022. Chinese CLIP: Contrastive Vision-Language Pretraining in Chinese. *CoRR abs/2211.01335* (2022).
- [237] Jianwei Yang, Chunyuan Li, Pengchuan Zhang, Bin Xiao, Ce Liu, Lu Yuan, and Jianfeng Gao. 2022. Unified contrastive learning in image-text-label space. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 19163–19173.
- [238] Jiuding Yang, Yakun Yu, Di Niu, Weidong Guo, and Yu Xu. 2023. ConFEDE: Contrastive Feature Decomposition for Multimodal Sentiment Analysis. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023*, Anna Rogers, Jordan L. Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 7617–7630.
- [239] Ling Yang and Shenda Hong. 2022. Unsupervised time-series representation learning with iterative bilinear temporal-spectral fusion. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 25038–25054.
- [240] Serin Yang, Hyunmin Hwang, and Jong Chul Ye. 2023. Zero-Shot Contrastive Loss for Text-Guided Diffusion Image Style Transfer. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2023, Paris, France, October 1-6, 2023*. IEEE, 22816–22825.
- [241] Yuhao Yang, Chao Huang, Lianghao Xia, and Chenliang Li. 2022. Knowledge graph contrastive learning for recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 1434–1443.
- [242] Yonghui Yang, Zhengwei Wu, Le Wu, Kun Zhang, Richang Hong, Zhiqiang Zhang, Jun Zhou, and Meng Wang. 2023. Generative-contrastive graph learning for recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 1117–1126.
- [243] Yiyuan Yang, Chaoli Zhang, Tian Zhou, Qingsong Wen, and Liang Sun. 2023. Dcdetector: Dual attention contrastive representation learning for time series anomaly detection. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. 3033–3045.
- [244] Hongbin Ye, Ningyu Zhang, Shumin Deng, Moshu Chen, Chuanqi Tan, Fei Huang, and Huajun Chen. 2021. Contrastive triple extraction with generative transformer. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, Vol. 35. 14257–14265.
- [245] Seonghyeon Ye, Jiseon Kim, and Alice Oh. 2021. Efficient Contrastive Learning via Novel Data Augmentation and Curriculum Learning. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2021, Virtual Event / Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, 7-11 November, 2021*, Marie-Francine Moens, Xuanjing Huang, Lucia Specia, and Scott Wen-tau Yih (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 1832–1838.
- [246] Zhenhui Ye, Rongjie Huang, Yi Ren, Ziyue Jiang, Jinglin Liu, Jinzheng He, Xiang Yin, and Zhou Zhao. 2023. CLAPSpeech: Learning Prosody from Text Context with Contrastive Language-Audio Pre-Training. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9-14, 2023*, Anna Rogers, Jordan L. Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 9317–9331.
- [247] Chin-Chia Michael Yeh, Xin Dai, Huiyuan Chen, Yan Zheng, Yujie Fan, Audrey Der, Vivian Lai, Zhongfang Zhuang, Junpeng Wang, Liang Wang, et al. 2023. Toward a foundation model for time series data. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. 4400–4404.
- [248] Nan Yin, Li Shen, Mengzhu Wang, Long Lan, Zeyu Ma, Chong Chen, Xian-Sheng Hua, and Xiao Luo. 2023. Coco: A coupled contrastive framework for unsupervised domain adaptive graph classification. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 40040–40053.

- [249] Yihang Yin, Qingzhong Wang, Siyu Huang, Haoyi Xiong, and Xiang Zhang. 2022. Autogcl: Automated graph contrastive learning via learnable view generators. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, Vol. 36. 8892–8900.
- [250] Yuning You, Tianlong Chen, Yang Shen, and Zhangyang Wang. 2021. Graph Contrastive Learning Automated. In *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2021, 18–24 July 2021, Virtual Event (Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, Vol. 139)*, Marina Meila and Tong Zhang (Eds.). PMLR, 12121–12132.
- [251] Yuning You, Tianlong Chen, Yongduo Sui, Ting Chen, Zhangyang Wang, and Yang Shen. 2020. Graph Contrastive Learning with Augmentations. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2020, NeurIPS 2020, December 6–12, 2020, virtual*, Hugo Larochelle, Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Raia Hadsell, Maria-Florina Balcan, and Hsuan-Tien Lin (Eds.).
- [252] Yuning You, Tianlong Chen, Zhangyang Wang, and Yang Shen. 2022. Bringing Your Own View: Graph Contrastive Learning without Prefabricated Data Augmentations. In *WSDM '22: The Fifteenth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining, Virtual Event / Tempe, AZ, USA, February 21 - 25, 2022*, K. Selcuk Candan, Huan Liu, Leman Akoglu, Xin Luna Dong, and Jiliang Tang (Eds.). ACM, 1300–1309.
- [253] Jiahui Yu, Zirui Wang, Vijay Vasudevan, Legg Yeung, Mojtaba Seyedhosseini, and Yonghui Wu. 2022. Coca: Contrastive captioners are image-text foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01917* (2022).
- [254] Junliang Yu, Hongzhi Yin, Xin Xia, Tong Chen, Lizhen Cui, and Quoc Viet Hung Nguyen. 2022. Are graph augmentations necessary? simple graph contrastive learning for recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 45th international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval*. 1294–1303.
- [255] Yakun Yu, Mingjun Zhao, Shiang Qi, Feiran Sun, Baoxun Wang, Weidong Guo, Xiaoli Wang, Lei Yang, and Di Niu. 2023. ConKI: Contrastive Knowledge Injection for Multimodal Sentiment Analysis. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9–14, 2023*, Anna Rogers, Jordan L. Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 13610–13624.
- [256] Zhihan Yue, Yujing Wang, Juanyong Duan, Tianmeng Yang, Congrui Huang, Yunhai Tong, and Bixiong Xu. 2022. TS2Vec: Towards Universal Representation of Time Series. In *Thirty-Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2022, Thirty-Fourth Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence, IAAI 2022, The Twelfth Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2022 Virtual Event, February 22 - March 1, 2022*. AAAI Press, 8980–8987.
- [257] Fangneng Zhan, Yingchen Yu, Rongliang Wu, Jiahui Zhang, Shijian Lu, and Changgong Zhang. 2022. Marginal Contrastive Correspondence for Guided Image Generation. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18–24, 2022*. IEEE, 10653–10662.
- [258] Dejiao Zhang, Wei Xiao, Henghui Zhu, Xiaofei Ma, and Andrew O. Arnold. 2022. Virtual Augmentation Supported Contrastive Learning of Sentence Representations. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2022, Dublin, Ireland, May 22–27, 2022*, Smaranda Muresan, Preslav Nakov, and Aline Villavicencio (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 864–876.
- [259] Fengda Zhang, Kun Kuang, Long Chen, Yuxuan Liu, Chao Wu, and Jun Xiao. 2022. Fairness-aware contrastive learning with partially annotated sensitive attributes. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [260] Han Zhang, Jing Yu Koh, Jason Baldrige, Honglak Lee, and Yinfei Yang. 2021. Cross-Modal Contrastive Learning for Text-to-Image Generation. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2021, virtual, June 19–25, 2021*. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE, 833–842.
- [261] Hu Zhang, Kunrui Li, Guangjun Zhang, Yong Guan, and Ru Li. 2023. Multi-granularity Contrastive Siamese Networks for Abstractive Text Summarization. In *Neural Information Processing - 30th International Conference, ICONIP 2023, Changsha, China, November 20–23, 2023, Proceedings, Part XII (Communications in Computer and Information Science, Vol. 1966)*, Biao Luo, Long Cheng, Zheng-Guang Wu, Hongyi Li, and Chaojie Li (Eds.). Springer, 198–210.
- [262] Jingyi Zhang, Jiaying Huang, Sheng Jin, and Shijian Lu. 2023. Vision-Language Models for Vision Tasks: A Survey. *CoRR abs/2304.00685* (2023).
- [263] Miaoran Zhang, Marius Mosbach, David Ifeoluwa Adelani, Michael A. Hederich, and Dietrich Klakow. 2022. MCSE: Multimodal Contrastive Learning of Sentence Embeddings. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, NAACL 2022, Seattle, WA, United States, July 10–15, 2022*, Marine Carpuat, Marie-Catherine de Marneffe, and Iván Vladimir Meza Ruiz (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 5959–5969.
- [264] Michael Zhang and Christopher Ré. 2022. Contrastive Adapters for Foundation Model Group Robustness. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 35: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2022, NeurIPS 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, November 28 - December 9, 2022*, Sanmi Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh (Eds.).
- [265] Min-Ling Zhang, Yu-Kun Li, Xu-Ying Liu, and Xin Geng. 2018. Binary relevance for multi-label learning: an overview. *Frontiers of Computer Science* 12, 2 (2018), 191–202.
- [266] Rui Zhang, Yangfeng Ji, Yue Zhang, and Rebecca J. Passonneau. 2022. Contrastive Data and Learning for Natural Language Processing. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies: Tutorial Abstracts*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Seattle, United States.
- [267] Tianqi Zhang, Yun Xiong, Jiawei Zhang, Yao Zhang, Yizhu Jiao, and Yangyong Zhu. 2020. CommDGI: community detection oriented deep graph infomax. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM international conference on information & knowledge management*. 1843–1852.
- [268] Tong Zhang, Wei Ye, Baosong Yang, Long Zhang, Xingzhang Ren, Dayiheng Liu, Jinan Sun, Shikun Zhang, Haibo Zhang, and Wen Zhao. 2022. Frequency-aware contrastive learning for neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 36. 11712–11720.
- [269] Wenqi Zhang, Yongliang Shen, Yanna Ma, Xiaoxia Cheng, Zeqi Tan, Qingpeng Nong, and Weiming Lu. 2022. Multi-View Reasoning: Consistent Contrastive Learning for Math Word Problem. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2022, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, December 7–11, 2022*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 1103–1116.
- [270] Xiang Zhang, Ziyuan Zhao, Theodoros Tsiligkaridis, and Marinka Zitnik. 2022. Self-supervised contrastive pre-training for time series via time-frequency consistency. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 35 (2022), 3988–4003.
- [271] Yanan Zhang, Jiaxin Chen, and Di Huang. 2022. CAT-Det: Contrastive Augmented Transformer for Multimodal 3D Object Detection. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18–24, 2022*. IEEE, 898–907.
- [272] Zijian Zhang, Chang Shu, Youxin Chen, Jing Xiao, Qian Zhang, and Zheng Lu. 2022. ICAF: Iterative Contrastive Alignment Framework for Multimodal Abstractive Summarization. In *International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, IJCNN 2022, Padua, Italy, July 18–23, 2022*. IEEE, 1–8.
- [273] Han Zhao, Xu Yang, Zhenru Wang, Erkun Yang, and Cheng Deng. 2021. Graph Biased Contrastive Learning with Joint Representation Clustering. In *IJCAI*. 3434–3440.
- [274] Kaiyan Zhao, Qiyu Wu, Xin-Qiang Cai, and Yoshimasa Tsuruoka. 2023. Leveraging Multi-lingual Positive Instances in Contrastive Learning to Improve Sentence Embedding. *CoRR abs/2309.08929* (2023).
- [275] Kai Zheng, Qingfeng Sun, Yaming Yang, Tengchao Lv, Yeyong Pi, Changlin Zhao, Fei Xu, and Qi Zhang. 2023. Adversarial Knowledge Stimulated Contrastive Prompting for Few-shot Language Learners. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023, Toronto, Canada, July 9–14, 2023*, Anna Rogers, Jordan L. Boyd-Graber, and Naoaki Okazaki (Eds.). Association for Computational Linguistics, 13495–13507.
- [276] Lecheng Zheng, Zhengzhang Chen, Jingrui He, and Haifeng Chen. 2024. Multimodal Causal Structure Learning and Root Cause Analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.02357* (2024).
- [277] Lecheng Zheng, Yu Cheng, and Jingrui He. 2019. Deep Multimodality Model for Multi-task Multi-view Learning. In *Proceedings of the 2019 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, SDM 2019, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, May 2–4, 2019*, Tanya Y. Berger-Wolf and Nitesh V. Chawla (Eds.). SIAM, 10–18.
- [278] Lecheng Zheng, Yu Cheng, Hongxia Yang, Nan Cao, and Jingrui He. 2021. Deep Co-Attention Network for Multi-View Subspace Learning. In *WWW '21: The Web Conference 2021, Virtual Event / Ljubljana, Slovenia, April 19–23, 2021*, Jure Leskovec, Marko Grobelnik, Marc Najork, Jie Tang, and Leila Zia (Eds.). ACM / IW3C2, 1528–1539.
- [279] Lecheng Zheng, Dongqi Fu, Ross Maciejewski, and Jingrui He. 2021. Deeper-GXX: deepening arbitrary GNNs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.13798* (2021).
- [280] Lecheng Zheng, Jinjun Xiong, Yada Zhu, and Jingrui He. 2022. Contrastive Learning with Complex Heterogeneity. In *KDD '22: The 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, Washington, DC, USA, August 14 - 18, 2022*, Aidong Zhang and Huzefa Rangwala (Eds.). ACM, 2594–2604.
- [281] Lecheng Zheng, Yada Zhu, and Jingrui He. 2023. Fairness-aware multi-view clustering. In *Proceedings of the 2023 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM)*. SIAM, 856–864.
- [282] Lecheng Zheng, Yada Zhu, Jingrui He, and Jinjun Xiong. 2021. Heterogeneous Contrastive Learning. *CoRR abs/2105.09401* (2021).
- [283] Mingkai Zheng, Fei Wang, Shan You, Chen Qian, Changshui Zhang, Xiaogang Wang, and Chang Xu. 2021. Weakly Supervised Contrastive Learning. In *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada, October 10–17, 2021*. IEEE, 10022–10031.
- [284] Xiaochen Zheng, Xingyu Chen, Manuel Schürch, Amina Mollaysa, Ahmed Al-lam, and Michael Krauthammer. 2023. SimTS: rethinking contrastive representation learning for time series forecasting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.18205* (2023).
- [285] Dawei Zhou, Lecheng Zheng, Dongqi Fu, Jiawei Han, and Jingrui He. 2022. MentorGNN: Deriving Curriculum for Pre-Training GNNs. In *Proceedings of*

- the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management, Atlanta, GA, USA, October 17–21, 2022*, Mohammad Al Hasan and Li Xiong (Eds.). ACM, 2721–2731.
- [286] Dawei Zhou, Lecheng Zheng, Yada Zhu, Jianbo Li, and Jingrui He. 2020. Domain Adaptive Multi-Modality Neural Attention Network for Financial Forecasting. In *WWW '20: The Web Conference 2020, Taipei, Taiwan, April 20–24, 2020*, Yennun Huang, Irwin King, Tie-Yan Liu, and Maarten van Steen (Eds.). ACM / IW3C2, 2230–2240.
- [287] Jieli Zhou, Baoyu Jing, Zeya Wang, Hongyi Xin, and Hanghang Tong. 2021. Soda: Detecting covid-19 in chest x-rays with semi-supervised open set domain adaptation. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics* 19, 5 (2021), 2605–2612.
- [288] Tian Zhou, Peisong Niu, Liang Sun, Rong Jin, et al. 2024. One fits all: Power general time series analysis by pretrained lm. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 36 (2024).
- [289] Yanqiao Zhu, Yichen Xu, Feng Yu, Qiang Liu, Shu Wu, and Liang Wang. 2021. Graph Contrastive Learning with Adaptive Augmentation. In *WWW '21: The Web Conference 2021, Virtual Event / Ljubljana, Slovenia, April 19–23, 2021*, Jure Leskovec, Marko Grobelnik, Marc Najork, Jie Tang, and Leila Zia (Eds.). ACM / IW3C2, 2069–2080.
- [290] Barret Zoph, Ekin D Cubuk, Golnaz Ghiasi, Tsung-Yi Lin, Jonathon Shlens, and Quoc V Le. 2020. Learning data augmentation strategies for object detection. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXVII* 16. Springer, 566–583.
- [291] Shihao Zou, Xianying Huang, and Xudong Shen. 2023. Multimodal Prompt Transformer with Hybrid Contrastive Learning for Emotion Recognition in Conversation. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia, MM 2023, Ottawa, ON, Canada, 29 October 2023– 3 November 2023*, Abdulmotaleb El-Saddik, Tao Mei, Rita Cucchiara, Marco Bertini, Diana Patricia Tobon Vallejo, Pradeep K. Atrey, and M. Shamim Hossain (Eds.). ACM, 5994–6003.