

PainleveBacklundCheck: A Sympy-powered Kivy app for the Painlevé property of nonlinear dispersive PDEs and auto-Bäcklund transformations

Shrohan Mohapatra

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics and Department of Physics,
University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003-4515, USA*

P. G. Kevrekidis

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003-4515, USA

Stephane Lafortune

Department of Mathematics, College of Charleston, Charleston, SC

(Dated: April 2, 2024)

In the present work we revisit the Painlevé property for partial differential equations. We consider the PDE variant of the relevant algorithm on the basis of the fundamental work of Weiss, Tabor and Carnevale and explore a number of relevant examples. Subsequently, we present an implementation of the relevant algorithm in an open-source platform in Python and discuss the details of a Sympy-powered Kivy app that enables checking of the property and the derivation of associated auto-Bäcklund transform when the property is present. Examples of the relevant code and its implementation are also provided, as well as details of its open access for interested potential users.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of dispersive nonlinear partial differential equations is a topic of widespread interest over a broad range of fields, as motivated by a diverse array of applications. For instance, the dynamics of the electric field in optical fibers [1, 2], nonlinear effects in plasmas [3], or the study of ultracold atomic systems in the realm of Bose-Einstein condensates [4, 5] lead to the emergence of variants of the so-called nonlinear Schrödinger equation [6–10]. Similarly, the evolution of shallow water waves leads naturally to the emergence of the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation [6, 7, 11–13], while the study of superconducting Josephson junctions, as well as of mechanical systems of coupled torsion pendula lattices leads to the emergence (in appropriate limits) of Klein-Gordon models, such as the sine-Gordon equation [14, 15]. These are but a few examples of the diverse and wide range of models of interest.

When such a system emerges in applications, a natural question is that of integrability [6, 7, 12]. The prototypical tool in that regard is the potential identification of a Lax pair [6–8, 12, 14], which allows one to use the machinery of the inverse scattering transform to identify soliton and multi-soliton solutions, as well as, in principle, to develop the evolution dynamics from arbitrary initial data. However, there is no generally known approach for constructing such Lax pairs. In light of that, the presence of “integrability tests” such as the Painlevé test [16–19], i.e., that the only ‘movable’ singularities for the solution of differential equation systems are simple poles, is of particular value. Ablowitz et. al. [19] used an exact similarity reduction to develop the connection between the nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs) solvable by the inverse scattering transform and nonlinear ordinary differential equations (ODEs) that admit this property.

Importantly also, the work of Weiss, Tabor and Carnevale [20] (WTC; see also [21]) offered a systematic way to consider the Painlevé property directly at the level of PDEs, treating the integrable behavior in a unified manner. Indeed, this groundbreaking work allowed not only to retrieve the Cole-Hopf transform for the Burgers’ equation, but also to (re)discover Bäcklund transformations for the KdV equation and similarly for other problems such as the sine-Gordon, the modified KdV, the Boussinesq model etc. Subsequently, numerous researchers have sought to provide an algorithmic approach [22] and accordingly a symbolic software package [23, 24] (see also [25]) for the realization of the WTC approach for PDEs.

Our aim herein is to provide an open-source package based on Python —while earlier attempts such as those of [23, 24] were based on proprietary software such as Mathematica— and a corresponding app that allows for a direct identification of the Painlevé property of the partial differential equation taken in as input. Additionally, in contrast to the earlier attempts in [20, 21, 23–26] where special emphasis has been placed on the simple execution of the Painlevé test by means of substituting the Painlevé expansion in the PDE after carrying out the appropriate leading-order power balancing, looking for resonance integers and the corresponding redundancies; our work also provides a comprehensive roadmap to the proof or disproof of the compatibility of the other additional conditions. We believe that this will place such a formulation directly at the disposal of interested researchers that wish to check the potential for integrability of a given model via the WTC approach. Additionally, when the system does turn out to pass the relevant test, the approach can not only test for the compatibility of the additional constraints, but also, in the case of an integrable system, provide a suitable Bäcklund transform that may allow to obtain interesting solutions of such models with a relatively minimal effort, as discussed in case

examples below.

Our presentation below will be structured as follows. First, in section II, we provide the background of the PDE formulation of the Painlevé approach, while in section III, we will provide a number of examples for completeness. In section IV, we will provide the algorithm and implementation details, while in section V, we will provide some conclusions and directions for future study.

II. PAINLEVÉ PROPERTY AND BÄCKLUND TRANSFORMATION

Similar to the definition of a polynomial of n -variables of degree N ,

$$F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \sum_{0 \leq k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_n \leq N} c_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} u_1^{k_1} u_2^{k_2} \dots u_n^{k_n} \quad (1)$$

(with $c_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n}, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$), we can extend the definition of a non-linear partial differential equation involving powers of partial derivatives to a polynomial involving relevant partial differential operators: for a function $u : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we can define a generalized PDE of order M and degree D to be,

$$F\left(\left\{\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n} u}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}\right\}_{0 \leq \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \alpha_k \leq M}\right) = \sum_{0 \leq k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} \leq D} c_{\{k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}\}} \times \prod_{\substack{0 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \leq M \\ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}}} \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n} u}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}\right)^{k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where

$$c_{\{k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}\}} = c_{\{k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}\}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

A partial differential equation is said to have the Painlevé property when the solutions of the PDE are “single-valued” about the movable, singularity manifold $\phi = \phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$ [20], i.e., the solutions $u = u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ are of the form:

$$u = \phi^\alpha \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m \phi^m = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m \phi^{m-\beta} \quad (3)$$

where $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 0, u_m = u_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\phi = \phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ are analytic functions in the neighbourhood of the manifold; and $\alpha = -\beta, \beta > 0, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. This formalism also encompasses the definitions for ODEs [16–18]. For the prototypical PDEs of interest in this article, we restrict to constant coefficients $c_{\{k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}\}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = c_{\{k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}\}}$ in

the equation (2) to simplify the relevant equation which acquires the form:

$$F\left(\left\{\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n} u}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}\right\}\right) = \sum_{k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} \in \mathbb{N}} c_{\{k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}\}} \prod_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n} u}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}\right)^{k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Using the product rule for the partial derivatives, we can simplify,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n} u}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}} &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n} (u_m \phi^{m-\beta})}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{0 \leq \beta_k \leq \alpha_k} \prod_{k=1}^n \binom{\alpha_k}{\beta_k} \frac{\partial^{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_n} (\phi^{m-\beta})}{\partial x_1^{\beta_1} \partial x_2^{\beta_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\beta_n}} \times \\ &\quad \frac{\partial^{(\alpha_1 - \beta_1) + (\alpha_2 - \beta_2) + \dots + (\alpha_n - \beta_n)} u_m}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n - \beta_n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The check of the Painlevé property of the PDE follows the consequently (tedious yet) straightforward simplification of equation (5) and, in turn, substitution of the latter into the equation (4). After determining the value of α using the leading-order analysis in equation (4) [20, 22], one can show that the simplification leads to an equivalent expression of the PDE into a form equivalent to the one in [22], i.e., a power series in ϕ as:

$$\frac{1}{\phi^{\mu_{min}}} \left(P_0 + P_1 \phi + P_2 \phi^2 + P_3 \phi^3 + \dots \right) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where $P_k = P_k\left(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_k, \left\{\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n} \phi}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}\right\}\right), 0 \leq \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \alpha_k \leq M, \mu_{min} \in \mathbb{N}$. The inherent recursion relations of $u_n, n \geq 1$ in terms of $\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$ and the natural occurrence of the resonance integers have been systematically addressed in [20, 22–24] and will be used accordingly here as well. The work of [22] also highlights the direct Painlevé test in terms of the smallest positive resonance integer and the consequent implicit compatibility equations. But several examples in [20] show an interesting pattern, namely that the extraction of the Bäcklund transform comes from the truncation of the Painlevé expansion in equations (3) and (4) at $m = -\alpha = \beta > 0$. The aforementioned truncation expansion up to $m = -\alpha = \beta$ which when substituted into the original PDE results in a similar expansion of the form;

$$\frac{1}{\phi^{\mu_{min}}} \left(P_0 + P_1 \phi + P_2 \phi^2 + P_3 \phi^3 + \dots + P_{\mu_{min}} \phi^{\mu_{min}} \right) = 0 \quad (7)$$

where it can be observed that,

$$P_{\mu_{min}} = F\left(\left\{\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_n} u_\beta}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}\right\}\right) = \sum_{k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n} \in \mathbb{N}} c_{\{k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}\}} \prod_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_n} u_\beta}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}\right)^{k_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}} = 0. \quad (8)$$

which generates back the same original PDE with the substitution of $u = u_\beta$. Thus the set of equations

$$P_i = 0, \quad i = 0 \dots \mu_{min}, \quad (9)$$

will be an auto-Bäcklund transformation if “consistent”. Below, in Definition 1, we define precisely what we mean by (9) being consistent, and thus being a *valid* Bäcklund transformation. Also, the more specific concept of auto-Bäcklund transformation is discussed at the start of the section III. We first define the differential commutation operation as follows: let δ be a derivation and let R be a differential ring, i.e. a commutative ring with a unit element and a derivation δ acting on it. $S = R\{X_1, \dots, X_m\} = R[\delta^n X_i : i = 1, \dots, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0]$ is the differential ring of the differential polynomials in the differential indeterminates X_1, \dots, X_m (the functions which we want to solve for) with coefficients in the differential ring R , where $\delta(\delta^n X_i) = \delta^{n+1} X_i, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, i$. The operation called “differential commutation” $D_c(f_1, f_2)$ where $f_1, f_2 \in S$ are two differential polynomials, f_1 of order m and f_2 of order n , $m < n$ is defined as

$$D_c(f_1, f_2) = \delta^n f_1 - \delta^m f_2. \quad (10)$$

The same definition can be extended to the case of partial differential rings: let $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k$ be mutually commuting derivations and R be a differential ring equipped with these k derivations. $S_{1,2,\dots,m} = R\{X_1, \dots, X_m\} = R[\delta_1^{n_1} \delta_2^{n_2} \dots \delta_k^{n_k} X_i : i = 1, \dots, m, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}_0]$ is the differential ring of the *partial* differential polynomials in the differential indeterminates X_1, \dots, X_m with coefficients in the differential ring R . For a differential polynomial $f \in S_{1,2,\dots,m}$, the order of f is $ord(f) = \max\{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k : f \text{ contains a power product in } X_1, \dots, X_m, \delta_1 X_1, \dots, \delta_1^{n_1} \delta_2^{n_2} \dots \delta_k^{n_k} X_m \text{ with nonzero coefficient}\}$. Also the leading term in the differential polynomial $f \in S_{1,2,\dots,m}$ is defined as $lt(f) = \delta_1^{m_1} \delta_2^{m_2} \dots \delta_k^{m_k} X_l, m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k = ord(f), 1 \leq l \leq m$.

The differential commutation $D_c(f_1, f_2)$ where $f_1, f_2 \in S$ are two differential polynomials, f_1 of order m and f_2 of order n , $m < n$ is given by

$$D_c(f_1, f_2) = \delta_1^{n_1} \delta_2^{n_2} \dots \delta_k^{n_k} f_1 - \delta_1^{m_1} \delta_2^{m_2} \dots \delta_k^{m_k} f_2 \quad (11)$$

where $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k = m$, $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = n$, $lt(f_1) = \delta_1^{m_1} \delta_2^{m_2} \dots \delta_k^{m_k} X_l$ and $lt(f_2) = \delta_1^{n_1} \delta_2^{n_2} \dots \delta_k^{n_k} X_l$. For a set of m differential polynomials

$f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \in R\{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$ in r differential indeterminates X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r such that $m > r$ and $f_1 = 0, f_2 = 0, \dots, f_m = 0$ form an overdetermined system of PDEs; let us define a sequence $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \dots$ as follows:

1.

$$g_1 = \langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \rangle \quad (12)$$

2.

$$g_k = \left\langle D_c(g_{k-1,1}, g_{k-1,2}), D_c(g_{k-1,2}, g_{k-1,3}), \dots, D_c(g_{k-1,m-1}, g_{k-1,m}), D_c(g_{k-1,m}, g_{k-1,1}) \right\rangle, k \geq 2. \quad (13)$$

We call the set $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m\}$ to be “context-free differential commutation compatible” (or simply context-free compatible) if there exists a finite positive integer M such that $0 \in g_M$.

Let the following be a set S_{DAE} of $\kappa + n$ differential algebraic equations in $\kappa + 1$ differential indeterminates $[\phi, u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_\kappa]$ with $n > 1$ (thus forming an overdetermined system of PDEs):

•

$$\delta_x^{A_1} \delta_t^{B_1} \phi = f_1(\phi, \phi_x, \phi_t, \dots, \delta_x^{A_1} \delta_t^{B_1-1} \phi, \delta_x^{A_1-1} \delta_t^{B_1} \phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa, \dots, \delta_x^{C_\kappa} \delta_t^{D_\kappa-1} u_\kappa) \quad (14)$$

•

$$\delta_x^{A_2} \delta_t^{B_2} \phi = f_2(\phi, \phi_x, \phi_t, \dots, \delta_x^{A_2} \delta_t^{B_2-1} \phi, \delta_x^{A_2-1} \delta_t^{B_2} \phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa, \dots, \delta_x^{C_\kappa} \delta_t^{D_\kappa-1} u_\kappa) \quad (15)$$

•

•

$$\delta_x^{A_n} \delta_t^{B_n} \phi = f_n(\phi, \phi_x, \phi_t, \dots, \delta_x^{A_n} \delta_t^{B_n-1} \phi, \delta_x^{A_n-1} \delta_t^{B_n} \phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa, \dots, \delta_x^{C_\kappa} \delta_t^{D_\kappa-1} u_\kappa) \quad (16)$$

•

$$\delta_x^{C_1} \delta_t^{D_1} u_1 = g_1(\phi, \phi_x, \phi_t, \dots, \delta_x^{A_{max}-1} \delta_t^{B_{max}} \phi, \delta_x^{A_{max}} \delta_t^{B_{max}} \phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa, \dots, \delta_x^{C_\kappa} \delta_t^{D_\kappa-1} u_\kappa) \quad (17)$$

•

$$\delta_x^{C_2} \delta_t^{D_2} u_2 = g_2(\phi, \phi_x, \phi_t, \dots, \delta_x^{A_{max}-1} \delta_t^{B_{max}} \phi, \delta_x^{A_{max}} \delta_t^{B_{max}} \phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa, \dots, \delta_x^{C_\kappa} \delta_t^{D_\kappa-1} u_\kappa) \quad (18)$$

Definition 1. Consider the sequence $R_{seq}(Q)$ defined above and associated to the set Q in (23). If

$$\text{PerfectDeterminate}(S_{k_{stop}}) \vee \\ \text{ContextSensitiveCompatible}(S_{k_{stop}})$$

is true, then we call the set Q a valid Bäcklund transformation. Otherwise, we call it an invalid Bäcklund transformation.

In effect, Definition 1 is saying that the set of PDEs (9) defines a Bäcklund transformation if it is equivalent to a determinate set, or to a set of equations that is context-sensitive.

We are going to explore a set of examples of integrable and non-integrable models in the section III with the test of the context-free compatibility of the consequent additional PDEs in the identification of the coefficients of the Painlevé expansion corresponding to resonance integers (if any) and the test of the context-sensitive compatibility to completely examine the compatibility of the remnant PDEs. All the results in the section III demonstrate that the integrable PDEs admit a valid and compatible (auto)-Bäcklund transform whereas non-integrable PDEs do not admit a valid Bäcklund transform.

III. EXAMPLES OF THE WTC AND COMPATIBILITY TEST FOR SELECT PDES

Before we embark on the examples, let us define what Bäcklund transformations are. Following [13], let $P(u) = 0$ and $Q(v) = 0$ be two uncoupled partial differential equations involving two functions u and v , where P and Q are two nonlinear operators. Let $R_i = 0$ be a pair of relations between the two functions u and v :

$$R_i(u, v, \partial_\alpha u, \partial_\beta v, \dots) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then $R_i = 0$ constitute a *Bäcklund transformation* if it is integrable for v when $P(u) = 0$ and if the resulting v satisfies $Q(v) = 0$, and vice-versa. A special case is that of $P = Q$, in which case it is known as an *auto-Bäcklund transformation*. The practical relevance of Bäcklund transformations is that they allow one to generate another solution to a nonlinear PDE when one to another PDE is already known. Similarly, an auto-Bäcklund transformation to generate another solution to the same nonlinear PDE from an already known solution; this is often considered as an anchoring property that is known to decide the integrability of the PDE [19–21, 23–26]. The notations in the rest of this section resemble the ones used in [20]. Section III A explores the existence of an auto-Bäcklund transform and the compatibility of the associated equations that comes as a consequence of the WTC test for the case of some known integrable PDEs (Burgers' equation, KdV equation and the modified KdV equation in sections III A 1, III A 2 and III A 3 respectively). On the contrary, section III B discusses the non-existence of an auto-Bäcklund

transform that comes as a consequence of the incompatibility of the associated equations for the case of some expected-to-be non-integrable PDEs (BBM equation, KdV-Burgers equation and the quartic-interactions Klein-Gordon equation in sections III B 1, III B 2 and III B 3 respectively).

A. WTC And Compatibility Tests for Integrable PDES

1. Burgers' equation

Let us take the example of the procedure sketched in section II for the Burgers' equation for $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x, t, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$

$$u_t + uu_x - \sigma u_{xx} = 0. \quad (26)$$

Substituting the equation (3) in equation (26), by leading-order analysis one can obtain $\beta = 1$ ($\alpha = -1$) [20, 22] and the expansion reminiscent of equation (7): $\frac{1}{\phi^3}(P_0 + P_1\phi + P_2\phi^2 + P_3\phi^3 + \dots) = 0$, where,

$$P_0 = (-2\sigma\phi_x - u_0)u_0\phi_x, \quad (27)$$

$$P_1 = \sigma(u_0\phi_{xx} + 2u_{0,x}\phi_x) - u_0u_1\phi_x + u_0u_{0,x} - u_0\phi_t, \quad (28)$$

$$P_2 = -\sigma u_{0,xx} + u_0u_{1,x} + u_1u_{0,x} + u_0t, \quad (29)$$

$$P_3 = u_2\phi_t + u_{1,t} + (u_1u_2 + u_0u_3)\phi_x \\ - 2\sigma u_3\phi_x^2 + u_2u_{0,x} + u_1u_{1,x} + u_0u_{2,x} \\ - 2\sigma\phi_x u_{2,x} - \sigma u_2\phi_{xx} - \sigma u_{1,xx}, \quad (30)$$

and similar relations for higher order coefficients. The particular independence of the coefficient P_2 of the function u_2 is a direct implication of $m = 2$ being the only positive resonance integer [20]. Let us truncate the expansion by setting $u_j = 0, j \geq 2$. For $u_0 \neq 0, \phi_x \neq 0, P_0 = 0$ implies $u_0 = -2\sigma\phi_x$ which when substituted in the equation $P_1 = 0$ implies

$$\phi_t + u_1\phi_x - \sigma\phi_{xx} = L_1[u_1, \phi] = 0 \implies u_1 = \frac{\sigma\phi_{xx} - \phi_t}{\phi_x}, \quad (31)$$

and when substituted in the equation $P_2 = 0$ implies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\phi_t + u_1\phi_x - \sigma\phi_{xx}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}L_1[u_1, \phi] = 0, \quad (32)$$

and in $P_3 = 0$ implies

$$u_{1,t} + u_1u_{1,x} - \sigma u_{1,xx} = 0. \quad (33)$$

One can also check that all the other coefficients $P_k = 0, k \geq 4$. For $Q_{1,\text{Burgers}} = \phi_t + u_1\phi_x - \sigma\phi_{xx}, Q_{2,\text{Burgers}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\phi_t + u_1\phi_x - \sigma\phi_{xx})$, the set $\{Q_{1,\text{Burgers}}, Q_{2,\text{Burgers}}\}$ is

context-free compatible since $D_c(Q_{1,\text{Burgers}}, Q_{2,\text{Burgers}}) = 0$, a consequence of the fact that equation (31) implies (32). Also, apart from (32), the pair of equations (31) and (33), i.e., the set $\{P_1, P_3\}$ forms a perfectly determined system of PDEs to adhere to the layout of the definition 1 of the Bäcklund transform discussed in section II. Thus, with the transformation $u = \frac{u_0}{\phi} + u_1$, $u_0 = -2\sigma\phi_x$, $u_1 = \frac{\sigma\phi_{xx} - \phi_t}{\phi_x}$, we end up with the *valid* auto-Bäcklund transformation associated with equation (33); this suggests the complete integrability of the viscous Burgers' equation [20] (a feature that is well known to be associated with the Cole-Hopf transformation—see also below).

We now truncate the expansion one coefficient earlier by setting $u_j = 0, j \geq 1$; then all the coefficients $P_n, n \geq 3$ vanish, provided,

$$u = -\frac{2\sigma\phi_x}{\phi}, \phi_t = \sigma\phi_{xx} \quad (34)$$

which comes from $P_0 = 0$ and $P_1 = 0$ respectively; as an added compatibility condition, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\phi_t - \sigma\phi_{xx}) = 0 \quad (35)$$

that comes from $P_2 = 0$. Also, for $Q_{1,\text{Burgers},-} = \phi_t - \sigma\phi_{xx}$, $Q_{2,\text{Burgers},-} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\phi_t - \sigma\phi_{xx})$, the set $\{Q_{1,\text{Burgers},-}, Q_{2,\text{Burgers},-}\}$ is context-free compatible since $D_c(Q_{1,\text{Burgers},-}, Q_{2,\text{Burgers},-}) = 0$. Indeed, (35) is automatically satisfied if the second equation of (34) holds. Here, we retrieve the remarkable Cole-Hopf transformation that helps transform from a solution to the 1D Burgers' equation to one of the standard diffusion equation (and thus offers a path to obtaining its solution). Thus the transform, $u = -\frac{2\sigma\phi_x}{\phi}$ defines a Bäcklund transform from the Burgers' equation $u_t + uu_x - \sigma u_{xx} = 0$ to the diffusion equation $\phi_t = \sigma\phi_{xx}$, since the system (34) is determinate. However, it does not constitute an auto-Bäcklund transformation that would take a solution to the Burgers' equation to another solution of the same equation [20].

Finally upon the truncation of the expansion one coefficient ahead by setting $u_j = 0, j \geq 3$, such that $u = \frac{u_0}{\phi} + u_1 + u_2\phi$, we obtain

$$P_0 = 0 \implies u_0 = -2\sigma\phi_x, \quad (36)$$

$$P_1 = 0 \implies u_1 = \frac{\sigma\phi_{xx} - \phi_t}{\phi_x}. \quad (37)$$

The substitution of u_0 and u_1 from the equations (36) and (37) leads to the coefficient P_2 to be identically zero as $j = 2$ happens to be the resonance integer of this system [20]. The system of equations $P_3 = P_4 = P_5 = 0$ upon the substitution of u_0 and u_1 from the equations (36) and (37) (in which case, $P_k = 0, k \geq 6$) happens to be an over-determined system of PDEs in terms of the functions u_2 and

ϕ ; which necessitates the tests of context-free and context-sensitive compatibilities towards the check of the validity of the Bäcklund transformation $u = \frac{\sigma\phi_{xx} - \phi_t}{\phi_x} - \frac{2\sigma\phi_x}{\phi} + u_2\phi$ cf. the definition 1. These tests of compatibility of the set $\{Q_{1,\text{Burgers},+}, Q_{2,\text{Burgers},+}, Q_{3,\text{Burgers},+}\}$ (where $P_3 = Q_{1,\text{Burgers},+}$, $P_4 = Q_{2,\text{Burgers},+}$ and $P_5 = Q_{3,\text{Burgers},+}$) have been rigorously verified by means of symbolic computation which is available in the associated “sandbox” programs in the open-source repository in [30]. These computations show that the set $\{Q_{1,\text{Burgers},+}, Q_{2,\text{Burgers},+}, Q_{3,\text{Burgers},+}\}$ is *context-free compatible* with the appropriate reconciliation relation, but the set $\{Q_{1,\text{Burgers},+}, Q_{2,\text{Burgers},+}\}$ is neither *context-free* nor *context-sensitive compatible*. Conclusively, this computation leads to a contradiction to the assumption that the expansion $u = \frac{\sigma\phi_{xx} - \phi_t}{\phi_x} - \frac{2\sigma\phi_x}{\phi} + u_2\phi$ is a valid Bäcklund transformation. Thus, there does not exist a Bäcklund transformation in the functions $\langle u_2, \phi \rangle$ upon the truncation of the expansion one coefficient ahead of the case where we got a Bäcklund transformation.

2. KdV equation

The next example of an integrable PDE we consider is the case of Korteweg-De Vries (KdV) equation, in the form:

$$u_t + uu_x + \sigma u_{xxx} = 0. \quad (38)$$

By leading-order analysis, one can obtain $\beta = 2$ ($\alpha = -2$) [20, 22] and the expansion reminiscent of equation (7) $\frac{1}{\phi^5} (P_0 + P_1\phi + P_2\phi^2 + P_3\phi^3 + P_4\phi^4 + P_5\phi^5 \dots) = 0$, where,

$$P_0 = -2u_0\phi_x (u_0 + 12\sigma\phi_x^2), \quad (39)$$

$$P_1 = u_0u_{0,x} - 3u_0u_1\phi_x - 6\sigma u_1\phi_x^3 + 18\sigma\phi_x^2u_{0,x} + 18\sigma u_0\phi_x\phi_{xx}, \quad (40)$$

$$P_2 = (u_0u_1)_x - 2u_0\phi_t - u_1^2\phi_x - 2u_0u_2\phi_x + 6\sigma(\phi_x^2u_{1,x} + u_1\phi_x\phi_{xx} - u_{0,x}\phi_{xx} - \phi_xu_{0,xx}) - 2\sigma u_0\phi_{xxx}, \quad (41)$$

$$P_3 = -u_1\phi_t + u_{0,t} - (u_1u_2 + u_0u_3)\phi_x + (u_0u_2)_x + u_1u_{1,x} - 3\sigma u_{1,x}\phi_{xx} - 3\sigma\phi_xu_{1,xx} - \sigma u_1\phi_{xxx} + \sigma u_{0,xxx}, \quad (42)$$

$$P_4 = u_{1,t} + (u_0u_3 + u_1u_2 + \sigma u_{1,xx})_x, \quad (43)$$

$$P_5 = u_3\phi_t + u_{2,t} + (u_1u_4u_2u_3)\phi_x + (u_0u_4 + u_1u_3)_x + u_2u_{2,x} + 6\sigma\phi_x^2u_{4,x} + 6\sigma u_4\phi_x\phi_{xx} + 3\sigma u_{3,x}\phi_{xx} + 3\sigma\phi_xu_{3,xx} + \sigma u_3\phi_{xxx} + \sigma u_{2,xxx}, \quad (44)$$

and similar such relations for higher order coefficients. The particular independence of the coefficient P_4 of the function u_4 is a direct implication of $m = 4$ being the least positive resonance integer [20] (the only other larger resonance integer being $m = 6$). If we truncate the expansion by setting $u_j = 0, j \geq 3$, then all the coefficients $P_n, n \geq 5$ vanish, provided,

$$u = \frac{12\sigma\phi_{xx}}{\phi} - \frac{12\sigma\phi_x^2}{\phi^2} + u_2, \quad (45)$$

with the following compatibility conditions that have also been obtained in [20] by setting P_2, P_3 and P_4 respectively to zero.

$$\phi_x\phi_t + \phi_x^2u_2 + 4\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxx} - 3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2 = 0, \quad (46)$$

$$u_{2,x}\phi_x^2 + 2\phi_x\phi_{xt} + \phi_t\phi_{xx} + 3u_2\phi_x\phi_{xx} - 2\sigma\phi_{xx}\phi_{xxx} + 5\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxxx} = 0, \quad (47)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\phi_{xt} + \phi_{xx}u_2 + \sigma\phi_{xxxx}) = 0, \quad (48)$$

and from the equation (44) the auto-Bäcklund transformation (for this case) reveals itself automatically

$$u_{2,t} + u_2u_{2,x} + \sigma u_{2,xxx} = 0. \quad (49)$$

One can check and verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\phi_x\phi_t + \phi_x^2u_2 + 4\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxx} - 3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2) \\ & + \phi_x(\phi_{xt} + \phi_{xx}u_2 + \sigma\phi_{xxxx}) = u_{2,x}\phi_x^2 + 2\phi_x\phi_{xt} + \phi_t\phi_{xx} \\ & + 3u_2\phi_x\phi_{xx} - 2\sigma\phi_{xx}\phi_{xxx} + 5\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxxx}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

On the choice of $u_2 = \frac{3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2 - 4\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxx} - \phi_x\phi_t}{\phi_x^2}$, we get that equation (47) implies

$$\phi_{xt} + \phi_{xx}u_2 + \sigma\phi_{xxxx} = 0. \quad (51)$$

This reconciliation is reflected in the appearance of equation (48) which is also stated as an associated compatibility condition in [20]. If

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{KdV}} &= \{Q_{1,\text{KdV}}, Q_{2,\text{KdV}}, Q_{3,\text{KdV}}, Q_{4,\text{KdV}}\} = \{\phi_x\phi_t \\ & + \phi_x^2u_2 + 4\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxx} - 3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2, u_{2,x}\phi_x^2 + 2\phi_x\phi_{xt} + \phi_t\phi_{xx} \\ & + 3u_2\phi_x\phi_{xx} - 2\sigma\phi_{xx}\phi_{xxx} + 5\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxxx}, \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\phi_{xt} + \phi_{xx}u_2 + \sigma\phi_{xxxx}), u_{2,t} + u_2u_{2,x} + \sigma u_{2,xxx}\} \end{aligned}$$

then following the definition in the equations (12) and (13), one can verify that F_{KdV} is a context-free compatible set as $0 \in g_{12}$, and this happens as a consequence of the reconciliation equation (50). [20] also observes the equation (48) as a "compatibility condition" with $j = 4$ as the resonance integer, and one can also infer that $f_{\text{Rec}}(F_{\text{KdV}}) = \phi_{xt} + \phi_{xx}u_2 + \sigma\phi_{xxxx}$. But the reduced set $F_{\text{KdV}} \setminus \{Q_{3,\text{KdV}}\} =$

$\{Q_{1,\text{KdV}}, Q_{2,\text{KdV}}, Q_{4,\text{KdV}}\}$ is not context-free compatible (as the sequence of sets of algebraic partial differential equations following the definition in the equations (12) and (13) keep increasing in the order without the reduction of number of terms), which necessitates the check of context-sensitive compatibility.

From equations (46), (49) and (51), following the differential-algebraic setting of equations (14), (15), (17), (18), (19) and (20), one can refer to the following higher derivatives,

$$\phi_t = \frac{-1}{\phi_x}(\phi_x^2u_2 + 4\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxx} - 3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2), \quad (52)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{xxxx} &= \frac{-1}{\sigma}(\phi_{xt} + \phi_{xx}u_2) = \frac{-1}{\sigma}(\phi_{xx}u_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\frac{-1}{\phi_x}(\phi_x^2u_2 + 4\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxx} - 3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2))) \\ &\implies \phi_{xxxx} = \frac{6\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xx}\phi_{xxx} - 3\sigma\phi_{xx}^3 + u_2\phi_x^2\phi_{xx} - u_{2,x}\phi_x^3}{3\sigma\phi_x^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

$$u_{2,t} = -u_2u_{2,x} - \sigma u_{2,xxx}. \quad (54)$$

For the context-sensitive compatibility, we need to show that the difference $\frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4}\phi_t - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_{xxxx}$ vanishes. The PDEs (52) and (53) have the derivative terms $\phi_x, \phi_{xx}, \phi_{xxx}, u_2$ and $u_{2,x}$. Therefore, to get to the higher derivatives ϕ_{xxxxt} and ϕ_{txxxx} we would need the following higher derivatives wherein one needs careful substitution from the equations (52), (53) and (54):

$$\phi_{x,5} = \frac{6\phi_{xxx}^2\phi_x\sigma - 3\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}^2\sigma - 3\phi_{xx}\phi_x^2u_{2,x} - \phi_x^3u_{2,xx}}{3\phi_x^2\sigma}, \quad (55)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{x,6} &= \frac{1}{3\phi_x^4\sigma}(12\phi_{xxx}^2\phi_{xx}\phi_x^2\sigma - 12\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}^3\phi_x\sigma \\ & - 7\phi_{xxx}\phi_x^4u_{2,x} + 3\phi_{xx}^5\sigma + \phi_{xx}^2\phi_x^3u_{2,x} \\ & - 4\phi_{xx}\phi_x^4u_{2,xx} - \phi_x^5u_{2,xxx}), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{x,7} &= \frac{1}{9\phi_x^4\sigma^2}(36\phi_{xxx}^3\phi_x^2\sigma^2 - 36\phi_{xxx}^2\phi_{xx}^2\phi_x\sigma^2 \\ & + 9\phi_{xxx}\phi_x^4\sigma^2 - 60\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}\phi_x^3\sigma u_{2,x} - 33\phi_{xxx}\phi_x^4\sigma u_{2,xx} \\ & + 30\phi_{xx}^3\phi_x^2\sigma u_{2,x} + 3\phi_{xx}^2\phi_x^3\sigma u_{2,xx} - 15\phi_{xx}\phi_x^4\sigma u_{2,xxx} \\ & - 3\phi_x^5\sigma u_{2,xxx} + 7\phi_x^5u_{2,xx}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

$$u_{2,xt} = -\sigma u_{2,xxx} - u_2u_{2,xx} - u_{2,x}^2, \quad (58)$$

$$\phi_{xt} = -\frac{2\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}\sigma}{\phi_x} + \frac{\phi_{xx}^3\sigma}{\phi_x^2} - \phi_{xx}u_2 + \frac{\phi_x u_{2,x}}{3}, \quad (59)$$

$$\phi_{xxt} = -\frac{2\phi_{xxx}^2\sigma}{\phi_x} + \frac{\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}^2\sigma}{\phi_x^2} - \phi_{xxx}u_2 + \frac{\phi_x u_{2,xx}}{3}, \quad (60)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{xxxt} = & -\frac{4\phi_{xxx}^2\phi_{xx}\sigma}{\phi_x^2} + \frac{4\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}^3\sigma}{\phi_x^3} - \frac{2\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}u_2}{\phi_x} \\ & + \frac{\phi_{xxx}u_{2,x}}{3} - \frac{\phi_{xx}^5\sigma}{\phi_x^4} + \frac{\phi_{xx}^3u_2}{\phi_x^2} - \frac{\phi_{xx}^2u_{2,x}}{3\phi_x} \\ & + \frac{\phi_{xx}u_{2,xx}}{3} + \frac{\phi_x u_{2,xxx}}{3} + \frac{\phi_x u_2 u_{2,x}}{3\sigma}. \quad (61) \end{aligned}$$

The algorithm 3 elucidates the sequential substitution and the consequent computation of these requisite higher derivatives in section IV. Using the above equations (55), (56), (57), (58), (59), (60) and (61) for the higher derivatives, one can show that $\phi_{xxxxt} = \phi_{txxxx}$. This renders the set of differential polynomials $\{Q_{1,\text{KdV}}, Q_{2,\text{KdV}}, Q_{4,\text{KdV}}\}$ context-sensitive compatible, thereby establishing, by definition 1, the validity of the auto-Bäcklund transform made out of equation (45), and the two equations (46) and (47).

The contradictions with the truncations which go one order behind (i.e. $u = \frac{12\sigma\phi_{xx}}{\phi} - \frac{12\sigma\phi_x^2}{\phi^2}$) and one order ahead (i.e. $u = \frac{12\sigma\phi_{xx}}{\phi} - \frac{12\sigma\phi_x^2}{\phi^2} + u_2 + u_3\phi$) and the computational details in terms of the test of the existence of the Painlevé expansion and the context-free and context-sensitive compatibilities of the associated PDEs to test the affirmation of the non-existence of the Bäcklund transforms for both the truncations have been extensively presented in appendix A.

3. The modified KdV equation

A final example of an integrable PDE we consider is the case of the modified KdV (mKdV) equation:

$$u_t + 2\sigma^2 u_{xxx} - 3u^2 u_x = 0. \quad (62)$$

Upon a Miura transformation of the form,

$$v = u_x - \frac{1}{2\sigma} u^2$$

one can obtain the following form of the KdV equation,

$$v_t + 6\sigma v v_x + 2\sigma^2 v_{xxx} = 0$$

wherein with the rescaled coordinates $x = \xi\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{3}}$, $\tau = 6\sqrt{3}\sigma t$, one can show that

$$v_\tau + v v_\xi + \sigma v_{\xi\xi\xi} = 0 \quad (63)$$

which is the original KdV equation (38) in τ and ξ . The value of α from the leading-order analysis of substitution of the equation (3) in the equation (62) is -1 (i.e. $u = \frac{u_0}{\phi} + u_1 +$

$u_2\phi + u_3\phi^2 + \dots$) and the resultant expansion upon the substitution into equation (62) gives the following form of equation (7) $\frac{1}{\phi^4}(P_0 + P_1\phi + P_2\phi^2 + P_3\phi^3 + P_4\phi^4 + P_5\phi^5 + \dots) = 0$, where,

$$P_0 = 3\phi_x u_0(-4\phi_x^2\sigma^2 + u_0^2) = 0. \quad (64)$$

Since we are looking for an expansion with leading exponent $\alpha = -1$, $u_0 \neq 0$ and the equation (64) has two solutions $u_0 = \pm 2\sigma\phi_x$. Furthermore, since equation (62) is invariant under the transformation $u \rightarrow -u$, this simply changes the sign of all the coefficients in the Painlevé expansion and thereby just multiplies every associated equation (which need to be used during the test of compatibility) by -1 on both sides. Therefore, we choose the branch $u_0 = 2\sigma\phi_x$, for which one can obtain the Painlevé expansion truncated up to u_k , $k = \beta = -\alpha = 1$,

$$u = \frac{2\sigma\phi_x}{\phi} + u_1 \quad (65)$$

with $u_k = 0$, $k \geq 2$ and the equations satisfied by (u_1, ϕ) that come from the following coefficients set to zero,

$$P_1 = 0 \implies Q_{1,\text{mKdV}} = \phi_{xx}\sigma + \phi_x u_1 = 0, \quad (66)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 = 0 \implies Q_{2,\text{mKdV}} = & -\phi_t\phi_x - 8\phi_{xxx}\phi_x\sigma^2 - 6\phi_{xx}^2\sigma^2 \\ & - 12\phi_{xx}\phi_x\sigma u_1 - 6\phi_x^2\sigma u_{1,x} + 3\phi_x^2 u_1^2 = 0, \quad (67) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_3 = 0 \implies Q_{3,\text{mKdV}} = & \phi_{tx} + 2\phi_{xxx}\sigma^2 \\ -3\phi_{xx}u_1^2 - 6\phi_x u_1 u_{1,x} = & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\phi_t + 2\sigma^2\phi_{xxx} - 3\phi_x u_1^2) = 0, \quad (68) \end{aligned}$$

$$P_4 = 0 \implies Q_{4,\text{mKdV}} = u_{1,t} + 2\sigma^2 u_{1,xxx} - 3u_1^2 u_{1,x} = 0. \quad (69)$$

One can reconcile the above four equations (66), (67), (68) and (69) using the following equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x(\phi_t + 2\sigma^2\phi_{xxx} - 3\phi_x u_1^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(6\phi_x(\phi_{xx}\sigma + \phi_x u_1)) \\ = \phi_t\phi_x + 8\phi_{xxx}\phi_x\sigma^2 + 6\phi_{xx}^2\sigma^2 \\ + 12\phi_{xx}\phi_x\sigma u_1 + 6\phi_x^2\sigma u_{1,x} - 3\phi_x^2 u_1^2. \quad (70) \end{aligned}$$

The above reconciliation relation (70) can be worked out upon the comparison of the coefficients of terms $-6\phi_{xx}^2\sigma^2$ and $-6\phi_x^2\sigma u_{1,x}$ in $Q_{2,\text{mKdV}}$ with their differential-algebraic counterparts in $Q_{1,\text{mKdV}}$; and then comparison of the remaining terms with the integral of $Q_{3,\text{mKdV}}$ with respect to x . From the solution to the equation (66) $u_1 = -\sigma\frac{\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x}$, we get that equation (67) implies

$$\phi_t + 2\sigma^2\phi_{xxx} - 3\phi_x u_1^2 = 0. \quad (71)$$

Equation (70) shows that the equation (71) is automatically satisfied (and thus consequently the equation (68) as it involves the partial x derivative of the RHS of the former) if equations (66) and (67) are. This is a consequence that the resonance condition in the Painlevé analysis of the mKdV appears at power 3 [20]. One can show that the set of partial differential polynomials $\{Q_{1,\text{mKdV}}, Q_{2,\text{mKdV}}, Q_{3,\text{mKdV}}, Q_{4,\text{mKdV}}\}$ is context-free compatible as $0 \in g_9$ which is a consequence of the reconciliation relation (70). But the set $\{Q_{1,\text{mKdV}}, Q_{2,\text{mKdV}}, Q_{4,\text{mKdV}}\}$ is not context-free compatible (checked up to 150 recursions), which necessitates the check of context-sensitive compatibility.

From equations (66), (67) and (69), we obtain the following expressions for the higher derivatives, following the prescribed procedure given in equations (14), (15), (17), (18), (19) and (20)

$$\phi_{xx} = -\frac{\phi_x u_1}{\sigma}, \quad (72)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_t = & -8\phi_{xxx}\sigma^2 - \frac{6\phi_{xx}^2\sigma^2}{\phi_x} - 12\phi_{xx}\sigma u_1 \\ & - 6\phi_x\sigma u_{1,x} + 3\phi_x u_1^2, \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

$$u_{1,t} = -2\sigma^2 u_{1,xxx} + 3u_1^2 u_{1,x}. \quad (74)$$

We use (72) to simplify (73), then differentiate the simplified equation to obtain ϕ_{xt} ; we also differentiate (72) to obtain ϕ_{xxx} , which leads to the expressions

$$\phi_t = 2\phi_x\sigma u_{1,x} + \phi_x u_1^2, \quad (75)$$

$$\phi_{xt} = 2\phi_x\sigma u_{1,xx} - \frac{\phi_x u_1^3}{\sigma}, \quad (76)$$

$$\phi_{xxx} = -\frac{\phi_x u_{1,x}}{\sigma} + \frac{\phi_x u_1^2}{\sigma^2}. \quad (77)$$

Finally with the help of equations (74), (75), (76) and (77), one can prove that the difference $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_{xx} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi_{xt}$ vanishes (with $\phi_{txx} = \phi_{xxt} = 2\phi_x\sigma u_{1,xxx} - 2\phi_x u_1 u_{1,xx} - \frac{3\phi_x u_1^2 u_{1,x}}{\sigma} + \frac{\phi_x u_1^4}{\sigma^2}$). This renders the set of differential polynomials $\{Q_{1,\text{mKdV}}, Q_{2,\text{mKdV}}, Q_{4,\text{mKdV}}\}$ context-sensitive compatible, thereby establishing, by definition 1, the validity of the auto-Bäcklund transform from the equations (65), (66) and (67).

The contradictions with the truncations which go one order behind (i.e. $u = \frac{2\sigma\phi_x}{\phi}$) and one order ahead (i.e. $u = \frac{2\sigma\phi_x}{\phi} - \frac{\sigma\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x} + u_2\phi$) and the computational details in terms of the test of the existence of the Painlevé expansion and

the context-free and context-sensitive compatibilities of the associated PDEs to affirm the non-existence of the Bäcklund transforms for both the truncations have been extensively verified by means of symbolic computation which is available in the associated ‘‘sandbox’’ programs in the open-source repository in [30].

B. WTC And Compatibility Tests for Non-integrable PDEs

1. Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation

The aforementioned cases were those where Painlevé expansion tests for known integrable PDEs give rise to valid Bäcklund transforms. Now we will focus on the equation(s) for which similar Bäcklund transforms are not known to exist. As an example, let us consider the Benjamin-Bona-Mahony (BBM) equation [25, 27]:

$$u_t + uu_x + u_x - u_{xxt} = 0. \quad (78)$$

The exponent α for this equation is -2 ($\beta = 2$) which leads to the Painlevé expansion $\frac{1}{\phi^\alpha}(P_0 + P_1\phi + P_2\phi^2 + P_3\phi^3 + P_4\phi^4 \dots)$, wherewithal we can obtain u_k , ($k \geq 0$ and $\phi_x \neq 0$),

$$P_0 = -2u_0\phi_x(u_0 - 12\phi_x\phi_x) = 0 \implies u_0 = 12\phi_x\phi_t, \quad (79)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 = & -6\phi_x(5u_1\phi_x\phi_t + 12\phi_x^2\phi_{tt} + 36\phi_x\phi_t\phi_{xt} + 12\phi_t^2\phi_{xx}) \\ = 0 \implies & u_1 = \frac{-12}{5\phi_x\phi_t} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi_x^2\phi_t) + \phi_t \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi_x\phi_t \right), \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

and substituting the equations (79) and (80) for u_0 and u_1 in the equation $P_2 = 0$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 = & \frac{1}{25\phi_x^3\phi_t^3} \left[14\phi_t^2\phi_x^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(\log\phi_x) + 31\phi_t^3\phi_x^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x\partial t} \right. \\ & \log(\phi_x\phi_t) + 14\phi_t^2\phi_x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi_t\phi_{xx}) + 11\phi_t^4\phi_x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(\log\phi_x) \\ & + 5\phi_x^4\phi_t^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(\log\phi_t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi_t^3) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\phi_x^3) - 25\phi_t^3\phi_x^2(\phi_x + \phi_t) \\ & \left. - 6\phi_x(\phi_{tt}^2\phi_x^3 + \phi_t^4\phi_{xxx}) + 14\phi_x^3\phi_t^2(\phi_x - \phi_t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \log\phi_x \right], \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

and similar such relations for u_k , $k \geq 3$. If we truncate the expansion by setting $u_j = 0$, $j \geq 3$, then all the coefficients P_n , $n \geq 6$ vanish, provided

$$u = \frac{u_0}{\phi^2} + \frac{u_1}{\phi} + u_2. \quad (82)$$

For this truncation, substituting u_0 , u_1 and u_2 from the equations (79), (80) and (81) into the equation $P_3 = 0$ with some

simplification implies:

$$u_{0,t} + (1 + u_2)u_{0,x} + u_0u_{2,x} + 2\phi_x u_{1,xt} + 2u_{1,x}\phi_{xt} + \phi_t u_{1,xx} + u_{1,t}\phi_{xx} - u_1(\phi_t - u_{1,x} + (1 + u_2)\phi_x - \phi_{xt}) = 0, \quad (83)$$

and setting $P_4 = 0$ and $P_5 = 0$ respectively imply,

$$u_{1,t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u_1(1 + u_2) - u_{1,xt}) = 0, \quad (84)$$

$$u_{2,t} + u_{2,x} + u_2u_{2,x} - u_{2,xt} = 0. \quad (85)$$

Notably, the equation (85) does reveal an auto-Bäcklund transformation but obscures within itself a certain contradiction to the generic existence of the above Painlevé expansion: as an observation, equations (79), (80), (81), (83), (84) and (85) form an over-determined system of 6 equations in 4 variables $\langle u_0, u_1, u_2, \phi \rangle$. Expressing the same system only in terms of $\langle u_1, u_2, \phi \rangle$ yields,

$$P_1 = Q_{1,\text{BBM}} = 12\phi_{tt}\phi_x^2 + 36\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_x + 12\phi_t^2\phi_{xx} + 5\phi_t\phi_x u_1 = 0, \quad (86)$$

$$P_2 = Q_{2,\text{BBM}} = 48\phi_{tt}\phi_x^2 + 72\phi_{tt}\phi_{xx}\phi_x + 96\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_x + 96\phi_{tx}^2\phi_x + 120\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_{xx} + 8\phi_{tx}\phi_x u_1 + 24\phi_t^2\phi_{xxx} - 24\phi_t^2\phi_x + 10\phi_t\phi_{xx}u_1 - 24\phi_t\phi_x^2u_2 - 24\phi_t\phi_x^2 + 8\phi_t\phi_x u_{1,x} - 2\phi_x^2u_{1,t} - \phi_x u_1^2 = 0, \quad (87)$$

$$P_3 = Q_{3,\text{BBM}} = 12\phi_{tt}\phi_x\phi_x + 24\phi_{tx}\phi_{xx} + 12\phi_{tt}\phi_{xxx} - 12\phi_{tt}\phi_x + 12\phi_{tx}\phi_t + 36\phi_{tx}\phi_{tx} - \phi_{tx}u_1 - 12\phi_{tx}\phi_t - 12\phi_{tx}\phi_x u_2 - 12\phi_{tx}\phi_x - 2\phi_{tx}u_{1,x} - 12\phi_t\phi_{xx}u_2 - 12\phi_t\phi_{xx} - 12\phi_t\phi_x u_{2,x} + \phi_t u_1 - \phi_t u_{1,xx} - \phi_{xx}u_{1,t} + \phi_x u_1 u_2 + \phi_x u_1 - 2\phi_x u_{1,xt} - u_1 u_{1,x} = 0, \quad (88)$$

$$P_4 = Q_{4,\text{BBM}} = u_1 u_{2,x} - u_{1,txx} + u_{1,t} + u_{1,x} u_2 + u_{1,x} = 0, \quad (89)$$

$$P_5 = Q_{5,\text{BBM}} = u_2 u_{2,x} - u_{2,txx} + u_{2,t} + u_{2,x} = 0. \quad (90)$$

With systematic computation, one can verify that the set of differential polynomials $\{Q_{1,\text{BBM}}, Q_{2,\text{BBM}}, Q_{3,\text{BBM}}, Q_{4,\text{BBM}}, Q_{5,\text{BBM}}\}$ is *not* a context-free compatible set (checked up to 150 recursions with the ever-increasing order of the differential polynomials in the consequent differential ideal). Using equation (86) and (88), we can solve ϕ_{tt} and ϕ_{txx} and obtain the following relations,

$$\phi_{tt} = -3\frac{\phi_{tx}\phi_t}{\phi_x} - \frac{\phi_t^2\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x^2} - \frac{5\phi_t u_1}{12\phi_x}, \quad (91)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{txx} = & -\frac{\phi_{tt}\phi_x}{\phi_t} - \frac{2\phi_{tx}\phi_{xx}}{\phi_t} - \frac{3\phi_{tx}\phi_{tx}}{\phi_t} \\ & + \frac{\phi_{tx}u_1}{12\phi_t} + \frac{3\phi_{tx}\phi_{xxx}}{\phi_x} - 2\phi_{tx} \\ & + \frac{\phi_{tx}\phi_x u_2}{\phi_t} + \frac{\phi_{tx}\phi_x}{\phi_t} + \frac{\phi_{tx}u_{1,x}}{6\phi_t} + \frac{\phi_t\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x^2} \\ & - \frac{\phi_t\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x} + \frac{5\phi_{xxx}u_1}{12\phi_x} + \phi_{xx}u_2 + \phi_{xx} + \frac{\phi_x u_{2,x}}{\phi_t} - \frac{u_1}{2} \\ & + \frac{u_{1,xx}}{12} + \frac{\phi_{xx}u_{1,t}}{12\phi_t} - \frac{\phi_x u_1 u_2}{12\phi_t} - \frac{\phi_x u_1}{12\phi_t} + \frac{\phi_x u_{1,xt}}{6\phi_t} + \frac{u_1 u_{1,x}}{12\phi_t}. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

Using equations (89) and (90), we can obtain the following higher derivatives,

$$u_{1,xt} = u_1 u_{2,x} + u_{1,t} + u_{1,x} u_2 + u_{1,x}, \quad (93)$$

$$u_{2,xt} = u_2 u_{2,x} + u_{2,t} + u_{2,x}. \quad (94)$$

If one observes the equation (92), the PDE in terms of the partial derivative term ϕ_{txx} has terms $\phi_{tt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi_{tt}$ and $\phi_{txx} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\phi_{tt}$ which are higher derivatives of ϕ_{tt} that can be computed from the corresponding higher orders of differentiation of both sides of equation (91). Using the four equations (91), (92), (93) and (94), one can simplify to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{txx} = & -\frac{3\phi_{tx}\phi_{tx}}{\phi_t} - \frac{\phi_{tx}\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x} - \frac{\phi_{tx}u_1}{4\phi_t} - \frac{\phi_t^2\phi_{xx}}{\phi_t\phi_x} \\ & - \frac{2\phi_{tx}\phi_{xxx}}{\phi_x} + \frac{2\phi_{tx}\phi_{xxx}^2}{\phi_x^2} + \phi_{tx} - \frac{\phi_{tx}\phi_x u_2}{2\phi_t} - \frac{\phi_{tx}\phi_x}{2\phi_t} \\ & - \frac{\phi_{tx}u_{1,x}}{2\phi_t} - \frac{\phi_t\phi_{xxx}}{2\phi_x} + \frac{3\phi_t\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}}{2\phi_x^2} - \frac{\phi_t\phi_{xx}^3}{\phi_x^3} + \frac{\phi_t\phi_{xx}}{2\phi_x} \\ & - \frac{\phi_{xx}u_2}{2} - \frac{\phi_{xx}}{2} - \frac{\phi_x u_{2,x}}{2} + \frac{u_1}{4} - \frac{u_{1,xx}}{4} \\ & - \frac{\phi_{xx}u_{1,t}}{24\phi_t} + \frac{\phi_x u_1 u_2}{24\phi_t} + \frac{\phi_x u_1}{24\phi_t} - \frac{\phi_x u_{1,tx}}{12\phi_t} - \frac{u_1 u_{1,x}}{24\phi_t}, \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{tx} = & \frac{-3\phi_{tx}\phi_t}{\phi_x} - \frac{3\phi_{tx}^2}{\phi_x} + \frac{\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x^2} - \frac{5\phi_{tx}u_1}{12\phi_x} \\ & - \frac{\phi_t^2\phi_{xxx}}{\phi_x^2} + \frac{2\phi_t^2\phi_{xxx}^2}{\phi_x^3} + \frac{5\phi_t\phi_{xx}u_1}{12\phi_x^2} - \frac{5\phi_t u_{1,x}}{12\phi_x}, \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{ttxx} &= \frac{7\phi_{txx}\phi_t\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x^2} + \frac{\phi_{txx}u_1}{3\phi_x} + \frac{7\phi_{tx}^2\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x^2} \\
&+ \frac{5\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_{xxx}}{\phi_x^2} - \frac{4\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_{xx}^2}{\phi_x^3} - \frac{3\phi_{tx}\phi_t}{\phi_x} + \frac{5\phi_{tx}\phi_{xx}u_1}{6\phi_x^2} \\
&+ \frac{3\phi_{tx}u_2}{2} + \frac{3\phi_{tx}}{2} + \frac{2\phi_{tx}u_{1,x}}{3\phi_x} + \frac{\phi_t^2\phi_{xxx}}{2\phi_x^2} + \frac{3\phi_t^2\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}}{2\phi_x^3} \\
&- \frac{3\phi_t^2\phi_{xx}^3}{\phi_x^4} - \frac{3\phi_t^2\phi_{xx}}{2\phi_x^2} + \frac{5\phi_t\phi_{xxx}u_1}{12\phi_x^2} - \frac{5\phi_t\phi_{xx}^2u_1}{6\phi_x^3} + \frac{3\phi_t\phi_{xx}u_2}{2\phi_x} \\
&+ \frac{3\phi_t\phi_{xx}}{2\phi_x} + \frac{5\phi_t\phi_{xx}u_{1,x}}{6\phi_x^2} + \frac{3\phi_tu_{2,x}}{2} - \frac{3\phi_tu_1}{4\phi_x} \\
&+ \frac{\phi_tu_{1,xx}}{3\phi_x} + \frac{\phi_{xx}u_{1,t}}{8\phi_x} - \frac{u_1u_2}{8} - \frac{u_1}{8} + \frac{u_{1,t}}{4} + \frac{u_1u_{1,x}}{8\phi_x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

Using the three equations (95), (96) and (97), one can verify that the difference $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi_{ttxx} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_{txxx}$ does not identically vanish.

This confirms that the set of differential polynomials $\{Q_{1,\text{BBM}}, Q_{2,\text{BBM}}, Q_{3,\text{BBM}}, Q_{4,\text{BBM}}, Q_{5,\text{BBM}}\}$ is *neither* context-free compatible *nor* context-sensitive compatible, thus precluding the existence of the Bäcklund transform and hence suggesting the non-integrability of the BBM equation. In fact, the BBM equation was shown not to be of the Painlevé type in [31, Section 3.4].

The contradictions with the truncations which go one order behind (i.e. $u = \frac{u_0}{\phi^2} + \frac{u_1}{\phi}$) and one order ahead (i.e. $u = \frac{u_0}{\phi^2} + \frac{u_1}{\phi} + u_2 + u_3\phi$) and the computational details in terms of the test of the existence of the Painlevé expansion and the context-free and context-sensitive compatibilities of the associated PDEs to affirm the non-existence of the Bäcklund transforms have been extensively presented in appendix A.

2. KdV-Burgers equation

As the next example of a non-integrable PDE, let us consider the case of the KdV-Burgers equation for $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x, t \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma, \kappa \geq 0$

$$u_t + uu_x - \sigma u_{xx} + \kappa u_{xxx} = 0. \tag{98}$$

The trivial cases of $\kappa = 0, \sigma \neq 0$ and $\kappa \neq 0, \sigma = 0$ lead to Burgers and KdV equations respectively (each of which have been proven to be integrable in the past). The value of α (for the Painlevé equations) for this equation is -2 . It must be noted that the limit $\sigma \neq 0, \kappa \rightarrow 0$ gives rise to the Burgers equations where the value of α steps up to -1 (as seen in section III A 1). Importantly, this changes the complete nature of the consequent Painlevé expansion, thereby rendering this limit as singular in this context, where the steps performed below cannot be completed. Indeed, this shall also be reflected

in the compatibility results analyzed below. One can obtain the relevant Painlevé expansion in the form of,

$$u = -\frac{12\kappa\phi_x^2}{\phi^2} + \frac{12\kappa\phi_{xx} - 12\sigma\phi_x}{5\phi} + u_2$$

such that (u_2, ϕ) satisfy the following equations:

$$P_2 = 0 \implies Q_{1,\text{KdVBurgers}} = -100\kappa^2\phi_{xxx}\phi_x + 75\kappa^2\phi_{xx}^2 - 25\kappa\phi_t\phi_x + 30\kappa\phi_{xx}\phi_x\sigma - 25\kappa\phi_x^2u_2 + \phi_x^2\sigma^2 = 0, \tag{99}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3 = 0 \implies Q_{2,\text{KdVBurgers}} &= -5\kappa^2\phi_{xxxx}\phi_x \\
&+ 2\kappa^2\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx} - 2\kappa\phi_{tx}\phi_x - \kappa\phi_t\phi_{xx} + \frac{12\kappa\phi_{xxx}\phi_x\sigma}{5} \\
&+ \frac{6\kappa\phi_{xx}^2\sigma}{5} - 3\kappa\phi_{xx}\phi_xu_2 - \kappa\phi_x^2u_{2,x} \\
&+ \frac{\phi_t\phi_x\sigma}{5} - \frac{3\phi_{xx}\phi_x\sigma^2}{25} + \frac{\phi_x^2\sigma u_2}{5} = 0, \tag{100}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_4 = 0 \implies Q_{3,\text{KdVBurgers}} &= \kappa^2\phi_{xxxxx} + \kappa\phi_{txx} \\
&- \frac{6\kappa\phi_{xxxx}\sigma}{5} + \kappa\phi_{xxx}u_2 + \kappa\phi_{xx}u_{2,x} - \frac{\phi_{tx}\sigma}{5} + \frac{\phi_{xxx}\sigma^2}{5} \\
&- \frac{\phi_{xx}\sigma u_2}{5} - \frac{\phi_x\sigma u_{2,x}}{5} = 0, \tag{101}
\end{aligned}$$

$$P_5 = 0 \implies Q_{4,\text{KdVBurgers}} = \kappa u_{2,xxx} - \sigma u_{2,xx} + u_2 u_{2,x} + u_{2,t} = 0. \tag{102}$$

One can verify that the set $\{Q_{1,\text{KdVBurgers}}, Q_{2,\text{KdVBurgers}}, Q_{3,\text{KdVBurgers}}, Q_{4,\text{KdVBurgers}}\}$ is *context-free compatible* ($0 \in g_6$ in the sense of the definition reflected in the equations (12) and (13)), but the set $\{Q_{1,\text{KdVBurgers}}, Q_{2,\text{KdVBurgers}}, Q_{4,\text{KdVBurgers}}\}$ is *not* context-free compatible (checked up to 150 recursions with the ever increasing order of the differential polynomials in the consequent differential ideal). This happens as one can reconcile the four equations (99), (100), (101) and (102) using the following correlation, which shows that the antiderivative of equation (101) can be obtained by the following linear combination of equation (102) and the derivative of (100):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(25\kappa u_{2,x}\phi_x^2 + 50\kappa\phi_x\phi_{xt} + 3\sigma^2\phi_x\phi_{xx} - 30\sigma\kappa\phi_x^2 \right. \\
&- 5u_2\phi_x(\sigma\phi_x - \kappa\phi_{xx}) - 5\phi_t(\sigma\phi_x - 5\kappa\phi_{xx}) - 60\sigma\kappa\phi_x\phi_{xxx} \\
&- 50\kappa^2\phi_{xx}\phi_{xxx} + 125\kappa^2\phi_x\phi_{xxxx} \left. \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(75\kappa^2\phi_{xx}^2 + 10\kappa(3\sigma\phi_{xx} \right. \\
&- 10\kappa\phi_{xxx}) + \sigma^2\phi_x^2 - 25\kappa u_2\phi_x^2 - 25\kappa\phi_x\phi_t \left. \right) = 5\phi_x(5\kappa\phi_{xt} \\
&+ \sigma^2\phi_{xx} + 5\kappa^2\phi_{xxx} + 5\kappa u_2\phi_{xx} - 6\sigma\kappa\phi_{xxx} - \sigma u_2\phi_x - \sigma\phi_x).
\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

The above reconciliation relation (103) can be worked out upon the comparison of the coefficients of terms $25\kappa u_{2,x}\phi_x^2$ and $25\kappa\phi_t\phi_{xx}$ in $Q_{2,\text{KdVBurgers}}$ with their differential-algebraic counterparts in $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}Q_{1,\text{KdVBurgers}}$; and then comparison of the remaining terms after subtraction with $Q_{3,\text{KdVBurgers}}$. From the equation (99), one can obtain,

$$\phi_t = -4\kappa\phi_{xxx} + \frac{3\kappa(\phi_{xx})^2}{\phi_x} + \frac{6\sigma\phi_{xx}}{5} - u_2\phi_x + \frac{\sigma^2\phi_x}{25\kappa}, \quad (104)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \phi_{xt} = & -\frac{2\kappa\phi_{xx}\phi_{xxx}}{\phi_x} + \frac{\kappa(\phi_{xx})^3}{(\phi_x)^2} + \frac{2\sigma\phi_{xxx}}{15} + \frac{4\sigma(\phi_{xx})^2}{5\phi_x} \\ & - u_2\phi_{xx} + \frac{\phi_x u_{2,x}}{3} + \frac{\sigma^2\phi_{xx}}{25\kappa} + \frac{4\sigma^3\phi_x}{375\kappa^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

From the equation (100), one can obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{xxxx} = & \frac{2\phi_{xx}\phi_{xxx}}{\phi_x} - \frac{(\phi_{xx})^3}{(\phi_x)^2} + \frac{4\sigma\phi_{xxx}}{15\kappa} \\ & - \frac{\sigma(\phi_{xx})^2}{5\kappa\phi_x} - \frac{\phi_x u_{2,x}}{3\kappa} - \frac{\sigma^3\phi_x}{375\kappa^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

From the equation (102), one can obtain,

$$u_{2,t} = -\kappa u_{2,xxx} + \sigma u_{2,xx} - u_2 u_{2,x}. \quad (107)$$

Using the equations (104), (106) and (107), one can obtain equations for the higher derivatives $u_{2,xt}$, $\phi_{x,5}$, $\phi_{x,6}$ and $\phi_{x,7}$ which help in obtaining the following time derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{xxt} = & -\frac{2\kappa(\phi_{xxx})^2}{\phi_x} + \frac{\kappa(\phi_{xx})^2\phi_{xxx}}{(\phi_x)^2} + \frac{4\sigma\phi_{xx}\phi_{xxx}}{3\phi_x} \\ & - \frac{8\sigma(\phi_{xx})^3}{15(\phi_x)^2} - u_2\phi_{xxx} + \frac{\phi_x u_{2,xx}}{3} + \frac{17\sigma^2\phi_{xxx}}{225\kappa} \\ & - \frac{2\sigma^2(\phi_{xx})^2}{75\kappa\phi_x} - \frac{2\sigma\phi_x u_{2,x}}{45\kappa} + \frac{2\sigma^3\phi_{xx}}{125\kappa^2} - \frac{2\sigma^4\phi_x}{5625\kappa^3}, \\ \phi_{xxxxt} = & -\frac{4\kappa\phi_{xx}(\phi_{xxx})^2}{(\phi_x)^2} + \frac{4\kappa(\phi_{xx})^3\phi_{xxx}}{(\phi_x)^3} \\ & - \frac{\kappa(\phi_{xx})^5}{(\phi_x)^4} + \frac{\phi_{xx}u_{2,xx}}{3} + \frac{\phi_{xxx}u_{2,x}}{3} + \dots \\ & - \frac{17\sigma^2\phi_x u_{2,x}}{675\kappa^2} - \frac{22\sigma^4\phi_{xx}}{5625\kappa^3} + \frac{\sigma^3 u_2\phi_x}{375\kappa^3} - \frac{17\sigma^5\phi_x}{84375\kappa^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

Finally using all of the aforementioned higher derivatives, one can show that the difference of the following two mixed partial

derivatives is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_{xxxx} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi_{xxxxt} = & \frac{12\sigma\phi_{xx}(\phi_{xxx})^2}{5(\phi_x)^2} \\ & - \frac{24\sigma(\phi_{xx})^3\phi_{xxx}}{5(\phi_x)^3} + \frac{12\sigma(\phi_{xx})^5}{5(\phi_x)^4} - \frac{28\sigma^2(\phi_{xxx})^2}{75\kappa\phi_x} \\ & + \frac{16\sigma^2(\phi_{xx})^2\phi_{xxx}}{25\kappa(\phi_x)^2} - \frac{6\sigma^2(\phi_{xx})^4}{25\kappa(\phi_x)^3} - \frac{\sigma\phi_x u_{2,xxx}}{5\kappa} \\ & + \frac{2\sigma\phi_{xx}u_{2,xx}}{5\kappa} + \frac{4\sigma\phi_{xxx}u_{2,x}}{5\kappa} - \frac{\sigma(\phi_{xx})^2 u_{2,x}}{\kappa\phi_x} \\ & + \frac{\sigma^2\phi_x u_{2,xx}}{75\kappa^2} + \frac{8\sigma^4\phi_{xxx}}{1875\kappa^3} - \frac{4\sigma^4(\phi_{xx})^2}{625\kappa^3\phi_x} \\ & + \frac{\sigma^3\phi_x u_{2,x}}{375\kappa^3} + \frac{2\sigma^6\phi_x}{46875\kappa^5}. \end{aligned}$$

So the difference does not identically vanish, which implies that the equations (99), (100) and (102) are *neither* context-free compatible *nor* context-sensitive compatible; thereby arriving at a contradiction to the assumption that the Bäcklund transform $u = -\frac{12\kappa\phi_x^2}{\phi^2} + \frac{12\kappa\phi_{xx}-12\sigma\phi_x}{5\phi} + u_2$ for the KdV-Burgers equation exists. Therefore the Bäcklund transform of the suggested form does not exist, thus suggesting the non-integrability of the KdV-Burgers equation. In fact, the KdV-Burgers equation is shown to not pass the Painlevé test in [32, Section 2]. But interestingly, the difference $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_{xxxx} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi_{xxxxt}$ vanishes for $\sigma = 0$ which reduces the original KdV-Burgers equation to the KdV equation, which reaffirms the agreement really well. On the contrary, this difference of the two equivalent mixed partial derivatives has a pole of order 5 at $\kappa = 0$, which reflects back on the singularity in this limit as the KdV-Burgers equation reduces to the KdV equation, as has been highlighted in the beginning of this section.

The contradictions with the truncations which go one order behind (i.e. $u = -\frac{12\kappa\phi_x^2}{\phi^2} + \frac{12\kappa\phi_{xx}-12\sigma\phi_x}{5\phi}$) and one order ahead (i.e. $u = -\frac{12\kappa\phi_x^2}{\phi^2} + \frac{12\kappa\phi_{xx}-12\sigma\phi_x}{5\phi} + u_2 + u_3\phi$) and the computational details in terms of the test of the existence of the Painlevé expansion and the context-free and context-sensitive compatibilities of the associated PDEs to affirm the non-existence of the Bäcklund transform in each truncation have been extensively verified by means of symbolic computation which is available in the associated ‘‘sandbox’’ programs in the open-source repository in [30].

3. Quartic-interactions Klein-Gordon equation (ϕ^4 theory)

A final example of a non-integrable model is the quartic-interactions Klein-Gordon theory equation for $u, \phi, u_0, u_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$u_{tt} = u_{xx} + 2u - 2u^3. \quad (109)$$

The value of α (for the Painlevé equations) for this is -1 . One can obtain the relevant Painlevé expansion in the form of,

$$u = \frac{u_0}{\phi} + u_1$$

such that the functions u_0, u_1, ϕ satisfy the following equations:

$$P_0 = 0 \implies Q_{1,\phi^4} = \phi_t^2 - \phi_x^2 - u_0^2 = 0, \quad (110)$$

$$P_1 = 0 \implies Q_{2,\phi^4} = \phi_{tt}u_0 + 2\phi_t u_{0,t} - \phi_{xx}u_0 - 2\phi_x u_{0,x} + 6u_0^2 u_1 = 0, \quad (111)$$

$$P_2 = 0 \implies Q_{3,\phi^4} = -6u_0 u_1^2 + 2u_0 + u_{0,tt} - u_{0,xx} = 0, \quad (112)$$

$$P_3 = 0 \implies Q_{4,\phi^4} = -2u_1^3 + 2u_1 + u_{1,tt} - u_{1,xx} = 0. \quad (113)$$

By computation, one can verify that the set $\{Q_{1,\phi^4}, Q_{2,\phi^4}, Q_{3,\phi^4}, Q_{4,\phi^4}\}$ is *not* context-free compatible (as the sequence of sets of algebraic partial differential equations following the definition in the equations (12) and (13) keep increasing in the order without the reduction of number of terms). From equation (110), we choose, without loss of generality, the following one of the two solution branches

$$\phi_x = \sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}. \quad (114)$$

We recognize that this is a limitation of our considerations herein, but believe that the essence of the relevant argument would not be modified under variable monotonicity as a function of x ; i.e. the choice of the other branch of the solution, i.e. in this case $\phi_x = -\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}$ does not change the final outcome from the consequent context-sensitive compatibility test for that branch. Then, differentiating equation (114) with respect to x we get:

$$\phi_{xx} = \frac{\phi_{tx}\phi_t - u_0 u_{0,x}}{\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}}. \quad (115)$$

Finally, differentiating equation (114) with respect to t , we get,

$$\phi_{xt} = \frac{\phi_{tt}\phi_t - u_0 u_{0,t}}{\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}}. \quad (116)$$

Solving equation (111) and substituting (114) and (115), we obtain:

$$\phi_{tt} = \frac{\phi_{tx}\phi_t - u_0 u_{0,x}}{\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} - \frac{2\phi_t u_{0,t}}{u_0} - 6u_0 u_1 + \frac{2u_{0,x}\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}}{u_0}. \quad (117)$$

Solving equations (116) and (117) for the variables ϕ_{xt} and ϕ_{tt} we have:

$$\phi_{xt} = \frac{2\phi_t^4 u_{0,t}}{u_0^3 \sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} + \frac{6\phi_t^3 u_1}{u_0 \sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} - \frac{2\phi_t^3 u_{0,x}}{u_0^3}$$

$$- \frac{\phi_t^2 u_{0,t}}{u_0 \sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} - \frac{6\phi_t u_0 u_1}{\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} + \frac{3\phi_t u_{0,x}}{u_0} - \frac{u_0 u_{0,t}}{\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}}, \quad (118)$$

$$\phi_{tt} = \frac{-2\phi_t^4 u_{0,x}}{u_0^3 \sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} + \frac{2\phi_t^3 u_{0,t}}{u_0^3} + \frac{5\phi_t^2 u_{0,x}}{u_0 \sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} + \frac{6\phi_t^2 u_1}{u_0} - \frac{\phi_t u_{0,t}}{u_0} - \frac{3u_0 u_{0,x}}{\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} - 6u_0 u_1. \quad (119)$$

Then, substituting equation (118) in the equation (115),

$$\phi_{xx} = \frac{2\phi_t^5 u_{0,t}}{\phi_t^2 u_0^3 - u_0^5} + \frac{6\phi_t^4 u_1}{\phi_t^2 u_0 - u_0^3} - \frac{2\phi_t^4 u_{0,x}}{u_0^3 \sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} - \frac{\phi_t^3 u_{0,t}}{\phi_t^2 u_0 - u_0^3} - \frac{6\phi_t^2 u_0 u_1}{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2} + \frac{3\phi_t^2 u_{0,x}}{u_0 \sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} - \frac{\phi_t u_0 u_{0,t}}{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2} - \frac{u_0 u_{0,x}}{\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}}. \quad (120)$$

Also from the equations (112) and (113), we obtain $u_{0,tt}$ and $u_{1,tt}$ as follows,

$$u_{0,tt} = 6u_0 u_1^2 - 2u_0 + u_{0,xx}, \quad (121)$$

$$u_{1,tt} = 2u_1^3 - 2u_1 + u_{1,xx}. \quad (122)$$

One can verify by systematic computation the differences $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_{xt} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi_{tt}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi_{xt} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_{xx}$ do not identically vanish, which implies that the set $\{Q_{1,\phi^4}, Q_{2,\phi^4}, Q_{3,\phi^4}, Q_{4,\phi^4}\}$ is *not* context-sensitive compatible. Therefore we arrive at a contradiction to the assumption that the expansion $u = \frac{u_0}{\phi} + u_1$ is a valid Bäcklund transformation. Thus, there does not exist a Bäcklund transformation in the functions $\langle u_0, u_1, \phi \rangle$ for this level of truncation of the Painlevé expansion, thereby suggesting the non-integrability of the ϕ^4 or quartic-interactions Klein-Gordon theory equation. In fact, as a consequence of [31, Theorem 4.4 and paragraph below], the quartic-interactions Klein-Gordon theory equation is not Painlevé-integrable.

If we truncate one order earlier with $u_j = 0, j \geq 1$, one obtains,

$$u = \frac{u_0}{\phi} \quad (123)$$

such that the functions $\langle u_0, \phi \rangle$ satisfy the following equations:

$$P_0 = 0 \implies Q_{1,\phi^4,+} = \phi_t^2 - \phi_x^2 - u_0^2 = 0, \quad (124)$$

$$P_1 = 0 \implies Q_{2,\phi^4,+} = \phi_{tt}u_0 + 2\phi_t u_{0,t} - \phi_{xx}u_0 - 2\phi_x u_{0,x} = 0, \quad (125)$$

$$P_2 = 0 \implies Q_{3,\phi^4,+} = 2u_0 + u_{0,tt} - u_{0,xx} = 0. \quad (126)$$

By computation, one can verify that the set $\{Q_{1,\phi^4,+}, Q_{2,\phi^4,+}, Q_{3,\phi^4,+}\}$ is *not* context-free compatible (as the sequence of sets of algebraic partial differential equations following the definition in the equations (12) and (13) keep increasing in the order without the reduction of number of terms). From equation (124), we choose, once again, the following one of the two solution branches

$$\phi_x = \sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}. \quad (127)$$

It must be noted that, similar to the earlier case of truncation, the choice of the other branch of the solution $\phi_x = -\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}$ does not change the final outcome from the consequent context-sensitive compatibility test for that branch. Solving equations (125) and (126) for the terms ϕ_{tt} and $u_{0,tt}$ respectively we get,

$$\phi_{tt} = \frac{-2\phi_t u_{0,t}}{u_0} + \phi_{xx} + \frac{2\phi_x u_{0,x}}{u_0}, \quad (128)$$

$$u_{0,tt} = -2u_0 + u_{0,xx}. \quad (129)$$

The equation (128) for ϕ_{tt} has the term ϕ_{xx} which can be borrowed from the differentiation of both sides of the equation (127) with respect to x as follows,

$$\phi_{xx} = \frac{\phi_{tx}\phi_t}{\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} - \frac{u_0 u_{0,x}}{\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}}. \quad (130)$$

The equation (130) for ϕ_{xx} has the term ϕ_{tx} which can be borrowed from the differentiation of both sides of the equation (127) with respect to t as follows,

$$\phi_{tx} = \frac{\phi_{tt}\phi_t}{\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} - \frac{u_0 u_{0,t}}{\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}}. \quad (131)$$

Additionally the equation (128) contains a term with ϕ_x which can be substituted from the equation (127) to get

$$\phi_{tt} = -\frac{2\phi_t u_{0,t}}{u_0} + \phi_{xx} + \frac{2u_{0,x}\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}}{u_0}, \quad (132)$$

Visibly so, the equations (130), (131) and (132) form a linear system in the terms ϕ_{xx} , ϕ_{tx} and ϕ_{tt} which can be solved to obtain the following solutions,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{xx} = & \frac{2\phi_t^3 u_{0,t}}{u_0^3} - \frac{2\phi_t^2 u_{0,x}\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}}{u_0^3} \\ & + \frac{\phi_t u_{0,t}}{u_0} + \frac{u_{0,x}\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}}{u_0} \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{xt} = & \frac{2\phi_t^4 u_{0,t}}{u_0^3\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} - \frac{2\phi_t^3 u_{0,x}}{u_0^3} - \frac{\phi_t^2 u_{0,t}}{u_0\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} \\ & + \frac{3\phi_t u_{0,x}}{u_0} - \frac{u_0 u_{0,t}}{\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{tt} = & \frac{2\phi_t^3 u_{0,t}}{u_0^3} - \frac{2\phi_t^2 u_{0,x}\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}}{u_0^3} \\ & - \frac{\phi_t u_{0,t}}{u_0} + \frac{3u_{0,x}\sqrt{\phi_t^2 - u_0^2}}{u_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (135)$$

One can verify by systematic computation the differences $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_{xt} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi_{tt}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi_{xt} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_{xx}$ do not identically vanish, which implies that the set $\{Q_{1,\phi^4,+}, Q_{2,\phi^4,+}, Q_{3,\phi^4,+}\}$ is *not* context-sensitive compatible. Therefore we arrive at a contradiction to the assumption that the expansion $u = \frac{u_0}{\phi}$ is a valid Bäcklund transformation. Thus, there does not exist a Bäcklund transformation in the functions $\langle u_0, \phi \rangle$ for this level of truncation of the Painlevé expansion.

Therefore as a final continuation of the proof-of-concept, let us take one order ahead of the original case, i.e. we now set $u_j = 0, j \geq 3$ such that the relevant Painlevé expansion reads,

$$u = \frac{u_0}{\phi} + u_1 + u_2\phi. \quad (136)$$

By order-by-order comparison and reading-off of the coefficients with the truncation up to u_2 as mentioned above, one can verify that $P_6 = 0 \implies u_2 = 0$ wherein we affinely fall back to the case with the expansion $u = \frac{u_0}{\phi} + u_1$ where we noticed the expansion to lead to an overdetermined yet context-sensitive *incompatible* system of PDEs that leads to a contradiction in connection to the existence of a Bäcklund transform (136).

There is a host of symbolically verified proofs for the Painlevé test for the various PDEs explored in this section proven in separate “sandbox” programs with the algorithm explained in section IV [30]. With the above cases, one can observe that for a PDE or a system of PDEs that satisfy the Painlevé property with the exponent α , we can obtain an auto-Bäcklund transformation for a Painlevé expansion truncated until the term with the exponent $m = -\alpha$. In section IV, an algorithm based on this truncation is described and explained (along with the procedural and implementational details for the Sympy-based Kivy app that performs the WTC test [20] and obtains the consequent resulting Bäcklund transforms).

IV. THE ALGORITHM AND IMPLEMENTATIONAL DETAILS

Motivated by the above examples, we now consider the development of an algorithm with the following modular strategy: since our cases of interest pertain to only integer values of $\beta = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, one can check for a given β for the PDE if an appropriate Bäcklund transform with the Painlevé expansion $u = \sum_{m=0}^{\beta-1} \frac{u_m \phi^m}{\phi^\beta} + u_\beta$ works (where $\beta = -\alpha$ cf. equations (6) and (7)). If the expansion is unsuccessful, one can check for the same with the successor of β ; and this step is repeated until the actual value of β for the PDE

is reached and the corresponding Bäcklund transformation emerges as a byproduct. The details of obtaining the Bäcklund transformation involve the substitution of the Painlevé expansion $u = \sum_{m=0}^{\beta} u_m \phi^{m-\beta}$ into the equation (4), reading off the coefficients P_k , and setting them equal to zero to obtain the transformation; the part of the problem reformulation is taken care by algorithm 1. The iterative part is taken care of by the algorithm 4, which uses both the context-free and context-sensitive forms of differential commutation compatibility described by algorithms 2 and 3 respectively. The overall complexity of this scheme of construction can be shown to be $O\left(|\mu_{min}|N_{\text{context-sensitive}}(N_{\text{solution-loop}} + |\mu_{min}|)D^2 + N_{\text{context-free}}|\mu_{min}|^2\right)$ (c.f. equation (7)) where D is the order of the input PDE, $N_{\text{solution-loop}}$ is the number of loops taken in algorithm 3 from the lines 60-87 to compute the required and independent solutions to all the higher derivatives for context-sensitive compatibility, and $N_{\text{context-free}}$ and $N_{\text{context-sensitive}}$ are the number of recursions in the context-free and context-sensitive algorithms (algorithms 2 and 3) respectively; and under the assumption that the power-balancing leads to a relation such that $|\mu_{min}| = O(|\alpha|)$, then the overall complexity is $O\left(N_{\text{context-sensitive}}N_{\text{solution-loop}}|\alpha|D^2 + N_{\text{context-sensitive}}|\alpha|^2D^2 + N_{\text{context-free}}|\alpha|^2\right)$. It should be noted by the reader that the numbers of recursions $N_{\text{context-free}}$, $N_{\text{context-sensitive}}$ and $N_{\text{solution-loop}}$ may significantly grow and, in principle, diverge particularly in the case of non-integrability equations such as the BBM, KdV-Burgers, and quartic-interaction Klein-Gordon equations explored in sections III B 1, III B 2 and III B 3 respectively [31, 32].

Algorithm 1 TransformExistence: The test of the existence of a Bäcklund transformation for a given α

Require: The input PDE $F(\partial^{\vec{\gamma}}u) = 0$, and the Painlevé exponent β

Ensure: $S_{\text{backlundTransform}}, S_{\text{conditions}} \triangleright S_{\text{backlundTransform}}$ is the set of equations behind the Bäcklund transforms and $S_{\text{conditions}}$ is the set of compatibility conditions associated with the same.

- 1: $\beta \leftarrow -\alpha$
- 2: $u \leftarrow \sum_{m=0}^{\beta-1} \frac{u_m \phi^m}{\phi^\beta} + u_\beta$
- 3: $P_0 + P_1\phi + P_2\phi^2 + P_3\phi^3 + \dots + P_{\mu_{min}}\phi^{\mu_{min}} \leftarrow \phi^{\mu_{min}} F(\partial^{\vec{\gamma}}u)$
- 4: $k \leftarrow 0$
- 5: **while** $k < \mu_{min}$ **do**
- 6: **if** $k = 0$ **then**
- 7: $U_k \leftarrow \text{Solve}(P_k = 0, U_k)$
- 8: **else if** $k \leq \beta$ **then**
- 9: $U_k \leftarrow \text{Solve}(P_k.\text{subs}(U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{k-1}) = 0, U_k)$
- 10: **else**
- 11: $U_k \leftarrow 0$
- 12: **end if**
- 13: $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 14: **end while**
- 15: $k \leftarrow 0$
- 16: **while** $k < \mu_{min}$ **do**
- 17: **if** $k < \beta$ **then**
- 18: $S_{\text{backlundTransform}} \leftarrow S_{\text{backlundTransform}} \cup \{u_k = U_k\}$
- 19: **else if** $\beta < k < \mu_{min}$ and P_k is not identically 0 **then**
- 20: $S_{\text{conditions}} \leftarrow S_{\text{conditions}} \cup \{P_k = 0\}$
- 21: **end if**
- 22: **end while**

Algorithm 2 ContextFreeCompatibility: To solve the decision problem with a set of PDEs being context-free compatible

Require: A set of m differential polynomials $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \in R\{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$, $R[\delta_x^{n_1} \delta_t^{n_2} X_i : i = 1, \dots, r, n_1, n_2, \in \mathbb{N}]$ is the differential ring of the partial differential polynomials in the differential indeterminates $\{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$ with coefficients in the differential ring R , $\phi \in \{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$

Ensure: ContextFreeCompatible($\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m\}$)

- 1: $k \leftarrow 1$
- 2: **while** $k \leq m$ **do**
- 3: Express $f_k = 0$ as

$$f_k = 0 \implies \delta_x^{\alpha_k} \delta_t^{\beta_k} \phi = F_k(\phi, \dots, \delta_x^{\alpha_k} \delta_t^{\beta_k-1} \phi, \delta_x^{\alpha_k-1} \delta_t^{\beta_k} \phi)$$
 where $\alpha_k + \beta_k = \text{ord}(f_k)$
- 4: $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 5: **end while**
- 6: $T \leftarrow \{\}$
- 7: $k \leftarrow 1$
- 8: **while** $k \leq m - 1$ **do**
- 9: $T \leftarrow T \cup \{\delta_x^{\alpha_{k+1}} \delta_t^{\beta_{k+1}} F_k - \delta_x^{\alpha_k} \delta_t^{\beta_k} F_{k+1}\}$
- 10: $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 11: **end while**
- 12: $T \leftarrow T \cup \{\delta_x^{\alpha_1} \delta_t^{\beta_1} F_m - \delta_x^{\alpha_m} \delta_t^{\beta_m} F_1\}$
- 13: **if** $0 \in T$ **then**
- 14: return True
- 15: **else**
- 16: return ContextFreeCompatibility(T, ϕ)
- 17: **end if**

Algorithm 3 ContextSensitiveCompatibility: To solve the decision problem with a set of PDEs being context-sensitive compatible

Require: A set of $\kappa + n$ differential polynomials $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_\kappa \in R\{\phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa\}$, $R[\delta_x^{n_1} \delta_t^{n_2} X_i, X_i \in \{\phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa\}, n_1, n_2, \in \mathbb{N}]$ is the differential ring of the partial differential polynomials in the differential indeterminates $\{\phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa\}$ with coefficients in the differential ring R

Ensure: ContextSensitiveCompatible($\{p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n, q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots, q_\kappa\}$)

- 1: $S_{\text{equations}}, S_{\text{variables}} \leftarrow \{\}, \{\}$
- 2: $k \leftarrow 1$
- 3: **while** $k \leq \kappa$ **do**
- 4:

$$q_k = 0 \implies \delta_x^{C_k} \delta_t^{D_k} u_k = g_k(\phi, \phi_x, \phi_t, \dots, \delta_x^{A_{max}-1} \delta_t^{B_{max}} \phi, \delta_x^{A_{max}} \delta_t^{B_{max}} \phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa, \dots, \delta_x^{C_\kappa} \delta_t^{D_\kappa-1} u_\kappa)$$
- 5: $S_{\text{equations}} \leftarrow S_{\text{equations}} \cup \{\delta_x^{C_k} \delta_t^{D_k} u_k = g_k\}$
- 6: $S_{\text{variables}} \leftarrow S_{\text{variables}} \cup \{\delta_x^{C_k} \delta_t^{D_k} u_k\}$
- 7: $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 8: **end while**
- 9: $k \leftarrow 1$
- 10: **while** $k \leq n$ **do**
- 11:

$$p_k = 0 \implies \delta_x^{A_k} \delta_t^{B_k} \phi = f_k(\phi, \phi_x, \phi_t, \dots, \delta_x^{A_k} \delta_t^{B_k-1} \phi, \delta_x^{A_k-1} \delta_t^{B_k} \phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa, \dots, \delta_x^{C_\kappa} \delta_t^{D_\kappa-1} u_\kappa)$$
- 12: $S_{\text{equations}} \leftarrow S_{\text{equations}} \cup \{\delta_x^{A_k} \delta_t^{B_k} \phi = f_k\}$
- 13: $S_{\text{variables}} \leftarrow S_{\text{variables}} \cup \{\delta_x^{A_k} \delta_t^{B_k} \phi\}$
- 14: $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 15: **end while**
- 16: **if**

$$\exists M, N, u_1, v_1, \delta_x^M \delta_t^N \phi = f_M, f_M \in R[\delta_x^{A_M+u_1} \delta_t^{B_N+v_1} \phi]$$

then

 - 17: $T_{\text{equations}} \leftarrow \{\delta_x^M \delta_t^N \phi = f_M, \delta_x^N \delta_t^M \phi = f_N\}$
 - 18: $T_{\text{equations}} \leftarrow T_{\text{equations}} \cup \{\delta_x^{A_N+u_1} \delta_t^{B_N+v_1} \phi = \delta_x^{u_1} \delta_t^{v_1} f_N\}$
 - 19: $T_{\text{variables}} \leftarrow \{\delta_x^M \delta_t^N \phi, \delta_x^N \delta_t^M \phi, \delta_x^{A_N+u_1} \delta_t^{B_N+v_1} \phi\}$
 - 20: $T_{\text{solutions}} \leftarrow \text{Solve}(T_{\text{equations}}, T_{\text{variables}})$
 - 21: $S_{\text{equations}} \leftarrow S_{\text{equations}} \setminus \{\delta_x^M \delta_t^N \phi = f_M, \delta_x^N \delta_t^M \phi = f_N\}$
 - 22: $S_{\text{equations}} \leftarrow S_{\text{equations}} \cup \{\delta_x^M \delta_t^N \phi = T_{\text{solutions}}[\delta_x^M \delta_t^N \phi]\}$
 - 23: $S_{\text{equations}} \leftarrow S_{\text{equations}} \cup \{\delta_x^N \delta_t^M \phi = T_{\text{solutions}}[\delta_x^N \delta_t^M \phi]\}$
 - 24: $S_{\text{equations}} \leftarrow S_{\text{equations}} \cup \{\delta_x^{A_N+u_1} \delta_t^{B_N+v_1} \phi = T_{\text{solutions}}[\delta_x^{A_N+u_1} \delta_t^{B_N+v_1} \phi]\}$

return ContextSensitiveCompatibility($S_{\text{equations}} \cup \{q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots, q_\kappa\}, \{\phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa\}$)
- 26: **end if**
- 27: $A_{\min} \leftarrow \min\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$
- 28: $B_{\min} \leftarrow \min\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n\}$
- 29: $A_{\max} \leftarrow \max\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$
- 30: $B_{\max} \leftarrow \max\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n\}$
- 31: $v \leftarrow 1$
- 32: **while** $v \leq \kappa$ **do**
- 33: $i \leftarrow 1$
- 34: **while** $i \leq A_{\max} - A_{\min}$ **do**
- 35: $j \leftarrow 1$

- 36: **while** $j \leq B_{\max} - B_{\min}$ **do**
- 37: $M_{i,j} \leftarrow \{\delta_x^{i+C_v} \delta_t^{j+D_v} u_v = \delta_x^i \delta_t^j g_v\}$
- 38: $S_{\text{equations}} \leftarrow S_{\text{equations}} \cup M_{i,j}$
- 39: $S_{\text{variables}} \leftarrow S_{\text{variables}} \cup \{\delta_x^{i+C_v} \delta_t^{j+D_v} u_v\}$
- 40: $j \leftarrow j + 1$
- 41: **end while**
- 42: $i \leftarrow i + 1$
- 43: **end while**
- 44: $v \leftarrow v + 1$
- 45: **end while**
- 46: $w \leftarrow 1$
- 47: **while** $w \leq n$ **do**
- 48: $\text{derivsInX} \leftarrow 1$
- 49: **while** $\text{derivsInX} \leq A_{\max} - A_w + 1$ **do**
- 50: $\text{derivsInT} \leftarrow 1$
- 51: **while** $\text{derivsInT} \leq B_{\max} - B_w + 1$ **do**
- 52:

$$S_{\text{equations}} \leftarrow S_{\text{equations}} \cup \{\delta_x^{A_w+\text{derivsInX}} \delta_t^{B_w+\text{derivsInT}} \phi = \delta_x^{\text{derivsInX}} \delta_t^{\text{derivsInT}} f_w\}$$
- 53: $S_{\text{variables}} \leftarrow S_{\text{variables}} \cup \{\delta_x^{A_w+\text{derivsInX}} \delta_t^{B_w+\text{derivsInT}} \phi\}$
- 54: $\text{derivsInT} \leftarrow \text{derivsInT} + 1$
- 55: **end while**
- 56: $\text{derivsInX} \leftarrow \text{derivsInX} + 1$
- 57: **end while**
- 58: $w \leftarrow w + 1$
- 59: **end while**
- 60: **repeat**
- 61: $\text{flag}_1 \leftarrow \text{True}$
- 62: **repeat**
- 63: **for each** $v \in S_{\text{variables}}$ **do**
- 64: Find $f_v(\phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa)$
- 65: such that $\{v = f_v\} \subset S_{\text{equations}}$
- 66: $f_{v,\text{old}} \leftarrow f_v$
- 67: **repeat**
- 68: **for each** $w \in S_{\text{variables}}$ **do**
- 69: Find $f_w(\phi, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\kappa)$
- 70: such that $\{w = f_w\} \subset S_{\text{equations}}$
- 71: **if** $v \neq w$ **then**
- 72: $f_v \leftarrow f_v.\text{substitute}(w, f_w)$
- 73: **end if**
- 74: **end for**
- 75: $\text{flag}_1 \leftarrow \text{flag}_1 \wedge f_{v,\text{old}} - f_v == 0$
- 76: **if** $f_{v,\text{old}} - f_v \neq 0$ **then**
- 77: $S_{\text{equations}} \leftarrow S_{\text{equations}} \setminus \{v = f_{v,\text{old}}\}$
- 78: $S_{\text{equations}} \leftarrow S_{\text{equations}} \cup \{v = f_v\}$
- 79: **end if**
- 80: **until** $w \in S_{\text{variables}}$
- 81: **end for**
- 82: **until** $v \in S_{\text{variables}}$
- 83: **if** flag_1 **then**
- 84: **break**
- 85: **end if**
- 86: **until** **True**
- 87: $\text{flag}_2 \leftarrow \text{True}$
- 88: $k \leftarrow 1$
- 89: **while** $k \leq n - 1$ **do**
- 90:

$$W_k \leftarrow \delta_x^{A_{\max}-A_k} \delta_t^{B_{\max}-B_k} f_k - \delta_x^{A_{\max}-A_{k+1}} \delta_t^{B_{\max}-B_{k+1}} f_{k+1}$$
- 91: $W_k \leftarrow W_k.\text{substitute}(S_{\text{variables}}, S_{\text{solutions}})$

```

92:   flag2 ← flag2 ∧ W_k == 0
93:   k ← k + 1
94: end while
95: W_n ← δ_x^{A_{max}-A_n} δ_t^{B_{max}-B_n} f_n - δ_x^{A_{max}-A_1} δ_t^{B_{max}-B_1} f_1
96: W_n ← W_n.substitute(S_variables, S_solutions)
97: k ← 1
98: while k ≤ n do
99:   flag2 ← flag2 ∧ W_k == 0
100: end while
101: return flag2

```

Algorithm 4 PainleveBacklundTransformCheck: The iterative test of the existence of a Painlevé expansion and a Bäcklund transformation

Require: Only the input PDE $F(\partial^{\vec{\alpha}}u) = 0$

Ensure: $S_{\text{backlundTransform}}, S_{\text{additionalConditions}}$

```

1: α, flag ← -1, True
2: while flag is True do
3:   S_backlundTransform, S_conditions ← TransformExistence(F, α)
4:   if P_{-α}.subs(u_{-α} = u) = F(∂^{\vec{\alpha}}u) then
5:     flag ← False
6:     break
7:   else
8:     α ← α - 1
9:     continue
10:  end if
11: end while
12: if ∃ N ∈ ℕ, ∀ -α > N, ∀ k < -α, U_k = 0 then
13:   Return that the equation IS NOT a good candidate
14:   for integrability as it fails the WTC test.
15: end if
16: repeat
17:   for each f ∈ S_additionalConditions do
18:     if  $\frac{\delta f}{\delta u_{-\alpha}} = 0$  then
19:       Return that the equation IS NOT a good candidate for
20:       integrability as it fails the WTC test.
21:     end if
22:   end for
23: until  $\frac{\delta f}{\delta u_{-\alpha}} = 0$ 
24: k ← 0
25: while k ≤ -α - 1 do
26:   m ← 0
27:   while m ≤ k do
28:     repeat
29:       for each f_1 ∈ S_additionalConditions do
30:         if δf_1/δu_m = 0 then
31:           u_{m,solution} ← Solve(f_1, u_m)
32:           break
33:         end if
34:       end for
35:     until δf_1/δu_m = 0
36:     S_extract ← (S_additionalConditions \ {f_1}) ∪ S_backlundTransform
37:     repeat
38:       for each f_2 ∈ S_extract do
39:         f_2 ← f_2.substitute(u_m, u_{m,solution})
40:       end for
41:     until f_2 ∈ S_extract
42:     m ← m + 1
43:   end while
44: S_pull ← (S_additionalConditions \ {f_1}) ∪ S_backlundTransform

```

```

45: if ContextFreeCompatibility(S_pull, φ) then
46:   S_newEqn ← S_pull
47:   S_newEqn ← S_newEqn \ {S_newEqn[size(S_newEqn) - 1]}
48:   flag ← ContextSensitiveCompatibility(S_newEqn, {φ, u_{-α}})
49:   if flag then
50:     S_additional ← S_newEqn \ S_backlundTransform
51:     Return that the equation IS
52:     a good candidate for integrability
53:     with the Bäcklund transform S_backlundTransform
54:     with the additional conditions S_additional
55:   else
56:     Return that the equation IS NOT
57:     a good candidate for integrability
58:   end if
59: else
60:   if ContextSensitiveCompatibility(S_pull, {φ, u_{-α}}) then
61:     Return that the equation IS
62:     a good candidate for integrability
63:     with the Bäcklund transform S_backlundTransform
64:     with the additional conditions S_pull \ S_backlundTransform
65:   else
66:     Return that the equation IS NOT
67:     a good candidate for integrability
68:   end if
69: end if
70:   k ← k + 1
71: end while

```

The algorithms in this section have been implemented in the ‘PainleveBacklundCheck’ app with the help of two cornerstone packages in the Python programming language: Sympy (version 1.12) [29], the library for the symbolic computation, serves as the backbone of the package (the latest version of Sympy supports only integral degree polynomial rings for the series expansions, due to which we consider the implementational simplification with integer values of α) and also the language in which the user enters the input to the GUI. The user interface is supported by Kivy (version 2.1) which envelops the whole software into an app which can be deployed into Android, iOS, Linux, macOS, and Windows [33]. The output of the PainleveBacklundCheck app with the inputs of the Burgers’ equation, KdV equation, the modified KdV equation, the BBM equation, KdV-Burgers’ equation and the ϕ^4 theory cases has been illustrated in the figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 respectively. These cases are all found to be in line with the theoretical analysis provided above. Additional cases with longer outputs are shown and illustrated in the different “sandbox” programs of test cases in [30].

V. CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE CHALLENGES

In this paper we revisited the Painlevé property for nonlinear dispersive PDEs (which can be solvable—or not—by the inverse scattering transform) and the auto-Bäcklund transformation, which involves the precise level of truncation of the Painlevé expansion. An additional property of the truncation




FIG. 1. The output of the PainleveBacklundCheck app with the Burgers' equation (26) as the input. The Bäcklund transform obtained is the same as that in equation (31) and the corresponding compatibility equations. After pressing the 'Integrability test' button, the context-free compatibility test happens too fast to capture from the execution screen (which has been shown more explicitly in figures 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6). After the success of the test of the context-free compatibility and at the arrival of the perfectly determinate system of two equations (31) and (33), the final result from the app comes as a statement that reads 'The equation $u_t + uu_x - \sigma u_{xx} = 0$ IS a good candidate for integrability with the auto-Bäcklund transform $u = \frac{-2\sigma\phi_x}{\phi} + u_1$ where $\sigma\phi_{xx} - u_1\phi_x - \phi_t = 0$ '. Both the input and the output of the app (which might have been truncated due to the sizing of the window in the image) are sympy-compatible equations.




FIG. 2. The various parts of the output of the PainleveBacklundCheck app with the KdV equation (38) as the input. The first (top left-corner) image shows a snapshot of the execution of algorithms 2 and 3, and each step of the execution therewith after pressing the 'Integrability test' button can be observed to be same as seen in section III. The Bäcklund transform obtained, as shown in all of the remnant images with the final result saying 'The equation $u_t + uu_x + \sigma u_{xx} = 0$ IS a good candidate for integrability ...', is the same in all as that in equation (45) and the corresponding compatibility equations. Both the input and the output of the app (which might have been truncated due to the sizing of the window in the image) are sympy-compatible equations.




FIG. 3. The various parts of the output of the PainleveBacklundCheck app with the modified KdV equation (62) as the input. The first (top left-corner) image shows a snapshot of the execution of algorithms 2 and 3, and each step of the execution therewith after pressing the ‘Integrability test’ button can be observed to be same as seen in section III. The Bäcklund transform obtained, as shown in all of the remnant images with the final result saying ‘The equation $u_t + 2\sigma^2 u_{xxx} - 3u^2 u_x = 0$ IS a good candidate for integrability ...’, is the same in all as that in equation (65) and the corresponding compatibility equations. Both the input and the output of the app (which might have been truncated due to the sizing of the window in the image) are sympy-compatible equations.




FIG. 4. The various parts of the output of the PainleveBacklundCheck app with the BBM equation (78) as the input. The first image shows a snapshot of the execution of algorithms 2 and 3, and each step of the execution therewith after pressing the ‘Integrability test’ button can be observed to be same as seen in section III. Both the input and the output of the app (which might have been truncated due to the sizing of the window in the image) are sympy-compatible equations. After the failure of the test of the context sensitivity, the final result from the app comes as a statement that reads ‘The equation $u_t + u_x + uu_x - u_{xt} = 0$ IS NOT a good candidate for integrability’.




FIG. 5. The various parts of the output of the PainleveBacklundCheck app with the KdV-Burgers equation (98) as the input. The first image shows a snapshot of the execution of algorithms 2 and 3, and each step of the execution therewith after pressing the ‘Integrability test’ button can be observed to be same as seen in section III. Both the input and the output of the app (which might have been truncated due to the sizing of the window in the image) are sympy-compatible equations. After the failure of the test of the context sensitivity, the final result from the app comes as a statement that reads ‘The equation $u_t + uu_x - \sigma u_{xx} + \kappa u_{xxx} = 0$ IS NOT a good candidate for integrability’.




FIG. 6. The various parts of the output of the PainleveBacklundCheck app with the ϕ^4 -theory based nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation (109) as the input. The first image shows a snapshot of the execution of algorithms 2 and 3, and each step of the execution therewith after pressing the ‘Integrability test’ button can be observed to be same as seen in section III. Both the input and the output of the app (which might have been truncated due to the sizing of the window in the image) are sympy-compatible equations. After the failure of the test of the context sensitivity, the final result from the app comes as a statement that reads ‘The equation $u_{tt} - u_{xx} + 2u^3 - 2u = 0$ IS NOT a good candidate for integrability’.

explored in this work concerns an observation regarding a pattern for the integer Painlevé exponent α . Evidence of this pattern in many different cases (in section III) is presented both as concerns the level of truncation at the “just right” level, and also as concerns the contradiction with going one level lower and one level higher. Using this pattern, we present an algorithm that additionally addresses the existence/non-existence of the Bäcklund transform by means of verifying the compatibility of the associated equations and an implementation thereof as a Sympy-powered Kivy app ‘PainleveBacklund-Check’ (written in Python) [30] is illustrated with the outputs in some of the simpler cases. This serves the purpose of providing the community with an open access, multi-platform, (in our view) easy to use implementation of the notable WTC approach towards the Painlevé test for partial differential equations. The results for other higher-order and higher-degree

PDEs are shown in [30]. Naturally, in the present work, we have chiefly limited ourselves to the cases of a sequence of well-established examples of integrability and have sought to ensure the functionality of our findings in such cases. However, as new integrable systems of different kinds are emerging, it would be particularly useful and relevant for practitioners of integrable systems to test the methodology in other such relevant systems and report the corresponding successes, as well as potential failures and needs of improvement, for the formulation and algorithms presented herein. Relevant findings and amendments, as well as generalizations will be reported in future publications.

Appendix A PAINLÉVÉ EXPANSIONS AND MORE EXAMPLES

In this appendix section, we revisit some of the examples in section III in terms of the contradiction with the working with the existence and validity of the Painlevé expansion, going one order ahead and going one order behind the “affine” level of truncation of $\beta = -\alpha$. We examine the resulting putative Bäcklund transformation in terms of the context-free and context-sensitive compatibilities.

KdV equation

Continuing with the KdV equation (38) extensively discussed in section III, if we truncate one order earlier with $u_j = 0, j \geq 2$, then all the coefficients $P_n, n \geq 5$ vanish, provided,

$$u = \frac{u_0}{\phi^2} + \frac{u_1}{\phi} = \frac{12\sigma\phi_{xx}}{\phi} - \frac{12\sigma\phi_x^2}{\phi^2} = 12\sigma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (\log \phi), \quad (137)$$

where $u_0 = -12\sigma\phi_x^2$, $u_1 = 12\sigma\phi_{xx}$ follows from $P_0 = 0$ and $P_1 = 0$ respectively. Substituting $u_0 = -12\sigma\phi_x^2$ and $u_1 = 12\sigma\phi_{xx}$ in the equation $P_2 = 0$ with $\phi_x \neq 0$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= 12\sigma\phi_{xx}, 24\phi_t\phi_x^2\sigma + 168\phi_{xxx}\phi_x^2\sigma^2 + 288\phi_{xx}^2\phi_x\sigma^2 \\ &\quad - 18\phi_{xx}\phi_x\sigma u_1 - 6\phi_x^2\sigma u_{1,x} - \phi_x u_1^2 = 0 \\ \implies \phi_x\phi_t - 3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2 + 4\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxx} &= 0, \quad (138) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, substituting the expressions for u_0 and u_1 in the equation $P_3 = 0$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= 12\sigma\phi_{xx}, -24\phi_{,tx}\phi_{,x}\sigma - \phi_{,t}u_1 - 24\phi_{,xxx}\phi_{,x}\sigma^2 \\ &\quad - 72\phi_{,xxx}\phi_{,xx}\sigma^2 - \phi_{,xxx}\sigma u_1 - 3\phi_{,xx}\sigma u_{1,x} \\ &\quad - 3\phi_{,x}\sigma u_{1,xx} + u_1 u_{1,x} = 0 \\ \implies 2\phi_x\phi_{xt} + \phi_t\phi_{xx} - 2\sigma\phi_{xx}\phi_{xxx} + 5\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxxx} &= 0. \quad (139) \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying $P_4 = 0$ gives,

$$u_{1,t} + \sigma u_{1,xxx} = 0, u_1 = 12\sigma\phi_{xx}. \quad (140)$$

By means of systematic computation, one can show that the set $\{P_2, P_3, P_4\}$ is *not* context-free compatible, as one does not encounter a zero in the sequence of the differential ideals owing to the increasing magnitude of the coefficients in the differential equations (checked up to 150 recursions). From the equations (138), (139) and (140), one can systematically solve and obtain expressions for the following higher derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_t &= -7\phi_{xxx}\sigma - \frac{12\phi_{xx}^2\sigma}{\phi_x} + \frac{3\phi_{xx}u_1}{4\phi_x} + \frac{u_{1,x}}{4} \\ &\quad + \frac{u_1^2}{24\phi_x\sigma}, u_{1,t} = -\sigma u_{1,xxx}, u_{1,xt} = -\sigma u_{1,xxxx} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{xt} &= \frac{\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}\sigma}{2\phi_x} + \frac{\phi_{xxx}u_1}{6\phi_x} - \frac{2\phi_{xx}^3\sigma}{\phi_x^2} + \frac{17\phi_{xx}^2u_1}{24\phi_x^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{13\phi_{xx}u_{1,x}}{48\phi_x} - \frac{17\phi_{xx}u_1^2}{576\phi_x^2\sigma} - \frac{3u_{1,xx}}{16} + \frac{13u_1u_{1,x}}{576\phi_x\sigma} - \frac{7u_1^3}{3456\phi_x^2\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{x,4} &= -\frac{7\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}}{2\phi_x} + \frac{\phi_{xxx}u_1}{12\phi_x\sigma} + \frac{2\phi_{xx}^3}{\phi_x^2} - \frac{5\phi_{xx}^2u_1}{24\phi_x^2\sigma} \\ &\quad + \frac{7\phi_{xx}u_{1,x}}{48\phi_x\sigma} - \frac{\phi_{xx}u_1^2}{576\phi_x^2\sigma^2} + \frac{u_{1,xx}}{16\sigma} + \frac{5u_1u_{1,x}}{576\phi_x\sigma^2} + \frac{u_1^3}{3456\phi_x^2\sigma^3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{xxt} &= \frac{\phi_{xxx}^2\sigma}{2\phi_x} - \frac{33\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}^2\sigma}{4\phi_x^2} + \frac{17\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}u_1}{24\phi_x^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{5\phi_{xxx}u_{1,x}}{48\phi_x} - \frac{\phi_{xxx}u_1^2}{64\phi_x^2\sigma} + \frac{5\phi_{xx}^4\sigma}{\phi_x^3} \\ &\quad - \frac{19\phi_{xx}^3u_1}{16\phi_x^3} + \frac{101\phi_{xx}^2u_{1,x}}{96\phi_x^2} + \frac{3\phi_{xx}^2u_1^2}{128\phi_x^2\sigma} \\ &\quad - \frac{23\phi_{xx}u_{1,xx}}{96\phi_x} - \frac{61\phi_{xx}u_1u_{1,x}}{1152\phi_x^2\sigma} + \frac{\phi_{xx}u_1^3}{256\phi_x^3\sigma^2} - \frac{3u_{1,xxx}}{16} \\ &\quad + \frac{19u_1u_{1,xx}}{576\phi_x\sigma} + \frac{13u_{1,x}^2}{576\phi_x\sigma} - \frac{u_1^2u_{1,x}}{216\phi_x^2\sigma^2} + \frac{u_1^4}{20736\phi_x^3\sigma^3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{xxxxt} &= -\frac{41\phi_{xxx}^2\phi_{xx}\sigma}{2\phi_x^2} + \frac{19\phi_{xxx}^2u_1}{24\phi_x^2} + \frac{539\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}^3\sigma}{8\phi_x^3} \\ &\quad - \frac{401\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}^2u_1}{48\phi_x^3} + \frac{329\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}u_{1,x}}{96\phi_x^2} + \frac{73\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}u_1^2}{384\phi_x^3\sigma} \\ &\quad - \frac{9\phi_{xxx}u_{1,xx}}{32\phi_x} - \frac{97\phi_{xxx}u_1u_{1,x}}{1152\phi_x^2\sigma} + \frac{5\phi_{xxx}u_1^3}{1728\phi_x^3\sigma^2} - \frac{63\phi_{xx}^5\sigma}{2\phi_x^4} \\ &\quad + \frac{643\phi_{xx}^4u_1}{96\phi_x^4} - \frac{301\phi_{xx}^3u_{1,x}}{64\phi_x^3} - \frac{541\phi_{xx}^3u_1^2}{2304\phi_x^4\sigma} + \frac{149\phi_{xx}^2u_{1,xx}}{192\phi_x^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{475\phi_{xx}^2u_1u_{1,x}}{2304\phi_x^3\sigma} - \frac{167\phi_{xx}^2u_1^3}{13824\phi_x^4\sigma^2} - \frac{23\phi_{xx}u_{1,xxx}}{96\phi_x} - \frac{\phi_{xx}u_1u_{1,xx}}{24\phi_x^2\sigma} \\ &\quad - \frac{209\phi_{xx}u_{1,x}^2}{2304\phi_x^2\sigma} + \frac{173\phi_{xx}u_1^2u_{1,x}}{6912\phi_x^3\sigma^2} + \frac{29\phi_{xx}u_1^4}{331776\phi_x^4\sigma^3} - \frac{3u_{1,xxxx}}{16} \\ &\quad + \frac{19u_1u_{1,xxx}}{576\phi_x\sigma} + \frac{55u_{1,xx}u_{1,x}}{768\phi_x\sigma} - \frac{155u_1^2u_{1,xx}}{27648\phi_x^2\sigma^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{281u_1u_{1,x}^2}{27648\phi_x^2\sigma^2} + \frac{u_1^3u_{1,x}}{36864\phi_x^3\sigma^3} - \frac{u_1^5}{221184\phi_x^4\sigma^4} \end{aligned}$$

And from there one can verify that the difference $\frac{\partial\phi_{xxxxt}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial\phi_{xxxxt}}{\partial t}$ does not vanish identically (verifiable both with and without the substitution of $u_1 = 12\sigma\phi_{xx}$), which implies that the set $\{P_2, P_3, P_4\}$ is *not* context-sensitive compatible, leading to the non-existence of the sought Bäcklund transform.

To complete our discussion, let us now get one order ahead of the original case, i.e., we set $u_j = 0, j \geq 4$, then all the coefficients $P_n, n \geq 8$ vanish, provided,

$$u = \frac{u_0}{\phi^2} + \frac{u_1}{\phi} + u_2 + u_3\phi, \quad (141)$$

where the relations $u_0 = -12\sigma\phi_x^2$ and $u_1 = 12\sigma\phi_{xx}$ are still valid, as before. For the coefficient u_2 , one can substitute the coefficients u_0 and u_1 in the equation $P_2 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 24\sigma\phi_x(\phi_t\phi_x + u_2\phi_x^2 - 3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2 + 4\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxx}) &= 0, \\ \implies Q_{\text{KdV},1,+} = 4\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxx} + \phi_t\phi_x + u_2\phi_x^2 - 3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

which one can simplify to obtain,

$$u_2 = -3\sigma\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\left(\log\phi_x\right) - \frac{\phi_t + \sigma\phi_{xxx}}{\phi_x^2}, \quad (143)$$

and a similar procedure for $P_3 = 0$ gives the coefficient u_3 as well,

$$\begin{aligned} u_3 &= \frac{\phi_x\phi_{xt}(2\phi_x - 1) + \phi_t\phi_{xx}(\phi_x - 1)}{\phi_x^4} + \sigma \\ &\left(\frac{3\phi_{xx}^3 - \phi_{xx}\phi_{xxx}(2\phi_x + 1) + \phi_x\phi_{xxxx}(2\phi_x - 1)}{\phi_x^4}\right), \\ Q_{\text{KdV},2,+} &= u_3\phi_x^4 - \phi_x\phi_{xt}(2\phi_x - 1) - \phi_t\phi_{xx}(\phi_x - 1) - \\ &\sigma(3\phi_{xx}^3 - \phi_{xx}\phi_{xxx}(2\phi_x + 1) \\ &+ \phi_x\phi_{xxxx}(2\phi_x - 1)) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (144)$$

The other associated compatibility conditions come from the simplification $P_k = 0$, $4 \leq k \leq 7$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_4 = 0 &\implies \phi_{txx} + \phi_{xxxx}\sigma + \phi_{xxx}u_2 - 2\phi_{xx}\phi_x u_3 \\ &+ \phi_{xx}u_{2,x} - \phi_x^2 u_{3,x} = 0 \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial x}Q_{\text{KdV},3,+} = 0, \\ Q_{\text{KdV},3,+} &= u_2\phi_{xx} - u_3\phi_x^2 + \phi_{xt} + \sigma\phi_{xxx} \end{aligned} \quad (145)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_5 = 0 &\implies Q_{\text{KdV},4,+} = 12\sigma\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\phi_{xx}u_3\right) \\ &+ \sigma u_{2,xxx} + u_2u_{2,x} + u_{2,t} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (146)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_6 = 0 &\implies Q_{\text{KdV},5,+} = \\ u_{3,t} + u_3^2\phi_x + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(u_2u_3 + \sigma u_{3,xx}\right) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (147)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_7 = 0 &\implies \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{2}u_3^2\right) = u_3u_{3,x} = 0 \\ \implies Q_{\text{KdV},6,+} = u_{3,x} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (148)$$

One can show that the set $\{Q_{\text{KdV},1,+}, Q_{\text{KdV},2,+}, Q_{\text{KdV},3,+}, Q_{\text{KdV},4,+}, Q_{\text{KdV},5,+}, Q_{\text{KdV},6,+}\}$ is context-free compatible as $0 \in g_9$. Also, surprisingly in the similar vein, one can show that the set $\{Q_{\text{KdV},1,+}, Q_{\text{KdV},2,+}, Q_{\text{KdV},4,+}, Q_{\text{KdV},5,+}, Q_{\text{KdV},6,+}\}$ is also context-free compatible as $0 \in g_8$. Consequently two reconciliation relations amongst the PDEs can

be algebraically compactified in the following form.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma H_1 W_2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_{\text{KdV},1,+} - \phi_x^2 Q_{\text{KdV},4,+} \right) &- \sigma H_2 W_2 \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_{\text{KdV},2,+} - \phi_x^4 Q_{\text{KdV},5,+} \right) &- W_2 W_3 Q_{\text{KdV},5,+} \\ + W_3 W_4 Q_{\text{KdV},4,+} &= Q_{\text{KdV},6,+} \left(u_2 W_2 W_3 + 12\sigma\phi_{xx} W_3 W_4 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (149)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_3 \left(2Q_{\text{KdV},2,+} - \phi_x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} Q_{\text{KdV},1,+} + 2\phi_x^2 Q_{\text{KdV},3,+} \right) \\ + H_4 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q_{\text{KdV},2,+} - \phi_x^4 Q_{\text{KdV},5,+} \right) &= V_1 Q_{\text{KdV},6,+} \\ + V_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} Q_{\text{KdV},6,+} \end{aligned} \quad (150)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= -\phi_{tt}\phi_x^2 + \phi_{tt}\phi_{xx}\phi_x \\ &- \phi_{txxx}\phi_x^2\sigma + 4\phi_{txxx}\phi_{xx}\phi_x\sigma + \phi_{txx}\phi_t\phi_x \\ &+ 4\phi_{txx}\phi_{xxx}\phi_x\sigma - 9\phi_{txx}\phi_{xx}^2\sigma - 2\phi_{tx}^2\phi_x + \phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_{xx} \\ &- 2\phi_{tx}\phi_{xxx}\phi_x\sigma + 4\phi_{tx}\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}\sigma + 4\phi_{tx}\phi_x^3 u_3 \\ &- \phi_x^5 u_3^2 - \phi_x^4 u_{2,x} u_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= \phi_{tt}\phi_x + 4\phi_{txxx}\phi_x\sigma \\ &- 6\phi_{txx}\phi_{xx}\sigma + \phi_{tx}\phi_t + 4\phi_{tx}\phi_{xxx}\sigma + 2\phi_{tx}\phi_x u_2 \\ &- 12\phi_{xxx}\phi_x^2\sigma u_3 - \phi_x^2\sigma u_{2,xxx} - \phi_x^2 u_2 u_{2,x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_3 &= -\phi_{tt}\phi_x^2 + \phi_{tt}\phi_{xx}\phi_x - \phi_{txxx}\phi_x^2\sigma + 4\phi_{txxx}\phi_{xx}\phi_x\sigma \\ &+ \phi_{txx}\phi_t\phi_x + 4\phi_{txx}\phi_{xxx}\phi_x\sigma - 9\phi_{txx}\phi_{xx}^2\sigma - 2\phi_{tx}^2\phi_x \\ &+ \phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_{xx} - 2\phi_{tx}\phi_{xxx}\phi_x\sigma + 4\phi_{tx}\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}\sigma \\ &+ 4\phi_{tx}\phi_x^3 u_3 - \phi_x^5 u_3^2 - \phi_x^4 u_{2,x} u_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_4 &= -\phi_{tx}\phi_x^3 - \phi_t\phi_{xx}\phi_x^2 + 2\phi_t\phi_{xx}\phi_x - 4\phi_{xxxx}\phi_x^3\sigma \\ &+ 2\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}\phi_x^2\sigma + 8\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}\phi_x\sigma - 6\phi_{xx}^3\sigma \\ &- 2\phi_{xx}\phi_x^3 u_2 + 2\phi_{xx}\phi_x^2 u_2 - \phi_x^4 u_{2,x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &= 12\phi_{tx}\phi_{xx}\phi_x^4\sigma - 12\phi_{tt}\phi_{xx}\phi_x^3\sigma + \phi_{tt}\phi_x^5 u_2 \\ &+ 12\phi_{txxxx}\phi_{xx}\phi_x^4\sigma^2 - 48\phi_{txxx}\phi_{xx}^2\phi_x^3\sigma^2 + 4\phi_{txxx}\phi_x^5\sigma u_2 \\ &- 12\phi_{txx}\phi_t\phi_{xx}\phi_x^3\sigma - 48\phi_{txx}\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}\phi_x^3\sigma^2 \\ &+ 108\phi_{txx}\phi_{xx}^3\phi_x^2\sigma^2 - 6\phi_{txx}\phi_{xx}\phi_x^4\sigma u_2 + 24\phi_{tx}^2\phi_{xx}\phi_x^3\sigma \\ &- 12\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_{xx}^2\phi_x^2\sigma + \phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_x^4 u_2 + 24\phi_{tx}\phi_{xxxx}\phi_{xx}\phi_x^3\sigma^2 \\ &- 48\phi_{tx}\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}^2\phi_x^2\sigma^2 + 4\phi_{tx}\phi_{xxx}\phi_x^4\sigma u_2 \\ &- 48\phi_{tx}\phi_{xx}\phi_x^5\sigma u_3 + 2\phi_{tx}\phi_x^5 u_2^2 - 12\phi_{xxx}\phi_x^6\sigma u_2 u_3 \\ &+ 12\phi_{xx}\phi_x^7\sigma u_3^2 + 12\phi_{xx}\phi_x^6\sigma u_{2,x} u_3 \\ &- \phi_x^6\sigma u_2 u_{2,xxx} - \phi_x^6 u_2^2 u_{2,x} \end{aligned}$$

$$W_2 = 12\sigma u_3 \phi_{xxx} + u_{2,t} + u_2 u_{2,x} + \sigma u_{2,xxx}$$

$$W_3 = \phi_{tt} \phi_x^5 \sigma + 4\phi_{txx} \phi_x^5 \sigma^2 - 6\phi_{txx} \phi_{xx} \phi_x^4 \sigma^2 \\ + \phi_{tx} \phi_t \phi_x^4 \sigma + 4\phi_{tx} \phi_{xxx} \phi_x^4 \sigma^2 + 2\phi_{tx} \phi_x^5 \sigma u_2 \\ - 12\phi_{xxx} \phi_x^6 \sigma^2 u_3 - \phi_x^6 \sigma^2 u_{2,xxx} - \phi_x^6 \sigma u_2 u_{2,x}$$

$$W_4 = u_{3,t} + u_3^2 \phi_x + u_{2,x} u_3$$

$$V_1 = -\phi_{tx} \phi_x^7 u_2 - \phi_t \phi_{xx} \phi_x^6 u_2 + 2\phi_t \phi_{xx} \phi_x^5 u_2 \\ - 4\phi_{xxx} \phi_x^7 \sigma u_2 + 2\phi_{xxx} \phi_{xx} \phi_x^6 \sigma u_2 + 8\phi_{xxx} \phi_{xx} \phi_x^5 \sigma u_2 \\ - 6\phi_{xx}^3 \phi_x^4 \sigma u_2 - 2\phi_{xx} \phi_x^7 u_2^2 + 2\phi_{xx} \phi_x^6 u_2^2 - \phi_x^8 u_2 u_{2,x}$$

$$V_2 = -\phi_{tx} \phi_x^7 \sigma - \phi_t \phi_{xx} \phi_x^6 \sigma + 2\phi_t \phi_{xx} \phi_x^5 \sigma \\ - 4\phi_{xxx} \phi_x^7 \sigma^2 + 2\phi_{xxx} \phi_{xx} \phi_x^6 \sigma^2 + 8\phi_{xxx} \phi_{xx} \phi_x^5 \sigma^2 \\ - 6\phi_{xx}^3 \phi_x^4 \sigma^2 - 2\phi_{xx} \phi_x^7 \sigma u_2 + 2\phi_{xx} \phi_x^6 \sigma u_2 - \phi_x^8 \sigma u_{2,x}$$

The equations (149) and (150) reveal that for non-constant functions ϕ , u_2 and a non-zero function u_3 if $Q_{\text{KdV}, 1, +} = Q_{\text{KdV}, 2, +} = Q_{\text{KdV}, 4, +} = Q_{\text{KdV}, 5, +} = 0$ then $Q_{\text{KdV}, 3, +} = Q_{\text{KdV}, 6, +} = 0$. The above reconciliation relations (149) and (150) can be worked out upon a careful and systematic differential-algebraic recombination of the different occurrences of u_3 and its derivatives $u_{3,x}$, $u_{3,t}$, $u_{3,xx}$ and $u_{3,xxx}$ from $Q_{\text{KdV}, 1, +}$, $Q_{\text{KdV}, 2, +}$, $Q_{\text{KdV}, 4, +}$, and $Q_{\text{KdV}, 5, +}$ and then comparing them with the same with corresponding higher derivatives of $Q_{\text{KdV}, 3, +}$ and $Q_{\text{KdV}, 6, +}$ to observe and eliminate the required coefficients. From the equation (142), one can obtain ϕ_t ,

$$\phi_t = \frac{3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2 - 4\sigma\phi_x\phi_{xxx} - u_2\phi_x^2}{\phi_x}$$

and with the help of the equation (144), one can also obtain

$$\phi_{xt} = \frac{6\sigma\phi_{xx}\phi_{xxx}}{\phi_x} - \frac{3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2}{\phi_x^2} + 3u_2\phi_{xx} - 4u_3\phi_{xx}^2 \\ + 4\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2}{\phi_x} - 4\sigma\phi_{xxx} - u_2\phi_x \right)$$

$$\phi_{x,4} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(u_3\phi_x^2 - u_2\phi_{xx} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{3\sigma\phi_{xx}^2}{\phi_x} - 4\sigma\phi_{xxx} - u_2\phi_x \right) \right)$$

And from the equations (146) and (147), one can obtain the time derivatives of u_2 and u_3 ,

$$u_{2,t} = -12\sigma u_3 \phi_{xxx} - 12\sigma u_{3,x} \phi_{xx} - \sigma u_{2,xxx} - u_2 u_{2,x}$$

$$u_{3,t} = -\phi_x u_3^2 + \sigma u_{3,xxx} + u_2 u_{3,x} + u_{2,x} u_3$$

The above relations can be shown to imply that the difference $\frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} \phi_t - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_{xxxx}$ does not vanish identically, which also goes to show that the set $\{Q_{\text{KdV}, 1, +}, Q_{\text{KdV}, 2, +}, Q_{\text{KdV}, 4, +}, Q_{\text{KdV}, 5, +}\}$ is *not* context-sensitive compatible, thus leading to the non-existence of the sought Bäcklund transform unless we assume $u_3 = 0$ in which case we fall back to the scenario studied earlier.

Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation

Continuing with the BBM equation (78) extensively discussed in section III, if we truncate one order earlier with $u_j = 0, j \geq 2$, one obtains,

$$u = \frac{u_0}{\phi^2} + \frac{u_1}{\phi} \quad (151)$$

where $u_0 = 12\phi_t\phi_x$ and $u_1 = \frac{-12}{5\phi_t\phi_x} \left(\phi_{tt}\phi_x^2 + 3\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_x + \phi_t^2\phi_{xx} \right)$ follow from $P_0 = 0$ and $P_1 = 0$ respectively. The equations that follow from $P_2 = 0$, $P_3 = 0$ and $P_4 = 0$ respectively are given by,

$$g_{1, \text{BBM}, -} = 48\phi_{ttx}\phi_x^2 + 72\phi_{tt}\phi_{xx}\phi_x \\ + 96\phi_{txx}\phi_t\phi_x + 96\phi_{tx}^2\phi_x + 120\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_{xx} \\ + 8\phi_{tx}\phi_x u_1 + 24\phi_t^2\phi_{xxx} - 24\phi_t^2\phi_x + 10\phi_t\phi_{xx}u_1 \\ - 24\phi_t\phi_x^2 + 8\phi_t\phi_x u_{1,x} - 2\phi_x^2 u_{1,t} - \phi_x u_1^2 = 0 \quad (152)$$

$$g_{2, \text{BBM}, -} = 12\phi_{ttxx}\phi_x + 24\phi_{ttx}\phi_{xx} + 12\phi_{tt}\phi_{xxx} \\ - 12\phi_{tt}\phi_x + 12\phi_{txxx}\phi_t + 36\phi_{txx}\phi_{tx} - \phi_{txx}u_1 - 12\phi_{tx}\phi_t \\ - 12\phi_{tx}\phi_x - 2\phi_{tx}u_{1,x} - 12\phi_t\phi_{xx} + \phi_t u_1 - \phi_t u_{1,xx} \\ - \phi_{xx}u_{1,t} + \phi_x u_1 - 2\phi_x u_{1,tx} - u_1 u_{1,x} = 0 \quad (153)$$

$$g_{3, \text{BBM}, -} = -u_{1,txx} + u_{1,t} + u_{1,x} = 0, \quad (154)$$

and it can be shown that $P_j = 0, j \geq 5$. One can verify that the set $\{g_{1, \text{BBM}, -}, g_{2, \text{BBM}, -}, g_{3, \text{BBM}, -}\}$ is *not* context-free compatible (checked up to 150 recursions with the increasing order of the differential polynomials in the consequent differential ideal). From equations (152), (153) and (154), one can infer that,

$$u_{1,txx} = u_{1,t} + u_{1,x}$$

$$\phi_{xtt} = -\frac{3\phi_{tt}\phi_{xx}}{2\phi_x} - \frac{2\phi_{txx}\phi_t}{\phi_x} - \frac{2\phi_{tx}^2}{\phi_x} \\ - \frac{5\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_{xx}}{2\phi_x^2} - \frac{\phi_{tx}u_1}{6\phi_x} - \frac{\phi_t^2\phi_{xxx}}{2\phi_x^2} + \frac{\phi_t^2}{2\phi_x} \\ - \frac{5\phi_t\phi_{xx}u_1}{24\phi_x^2} + \frac{\phi_t}{2} - \frac{\phi_t u_{1,x}}{6\phi_x} + \frac{u_{1,t}}{24} + \frac{u_1^2}{48\phi_x}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{xxtt} = & -\frac{\phi_{tt}\phi_{xxx}}{\phi_x} + \frac{3\phi_{tt}\phi_{xx}^2}{\phi_x^2} + \phi_{tt} - \frac{\phi_{txxx}\phi_t}{\phi_x} \\
& - \frac{3\phi_{txx}\phi_{tx}}{\phi_x} + \frac{4\phi_{txx}\phi_t\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x^2} + \frac{\phi_{txx}u_1}{12\phi_x} + \frac{4\phi_{tx}^2\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x^2} + \\
& \frac{5\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_{xx}^2}{\phi_x^3} + \frac{\phi_{tx}\phi_t}{\phi_x} + \frac{\phi_{tx}\phi_{xx}u_1}{3\phi_x^2} + \phi_{tx} + \frac{\phi_{tx}u_{1,x}}{6\phi_x} \\
& + \frac{\phi_t^2\phi_{xxx}\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x^3} - \frac{\phi_t^2\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x^2} + \frac{5\phi_t\phi_{xx}^2u_1}{12\phi_x^3} + \frac{\phi_t\phi_{xx}u_{1,x}}{3\phi_x^2} \\
& - \frac{\phi_t u_1}{12\phi_x} + \frac{\phi_t u_{1,xx}}{12\phi_x} - \frac{\phi_{xx}u_1^2}{24\phi_x^2} - \frac{u_1}{12} + \frac{u_{1,tx}}{6} + \frac{u_1 u_{1,x}}{12\phi_x}
\end{aligned}$$

From there one can verify that the difference $\frac{\partial\phi_{xxtt}}{\partial x} - \phi_{xxtt}$ does not vanish identically, which implies that the set $\{g_{1, \text{BBM}, -}, g_{2, \text{BBM}, -}, g_{3, \text{BBM}, -}\}$ is *not* context-sensitive compatible, leading to the non-existence of the sought Bäcklund transform.

Finally if we truncate one order ahead with $u_j = 0, j \geq 4$, one obtains,

$$u = \frac{u_0}{\phi^2} + \frac{u_1}{\phi} + u_2 + u_3\phi \quad (155)$$

where $u_0 = 12\phi_t\phi_x$ and $u_1 = \frac{-12}{5\phi_t\phi_x} \left(\phi_{tt}\phi_x^2 + 3\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_x + \phi_t^2\phi_{xx} \right)$ follow from $P_0 = 0$ and $P_1 = 0$ respectively. The equations that follow from $P_2 = 0, P_3 = 0, P_4 = 0, P_5 = 0, P_6 = 0$ and $P_7 = 0$ respectively are given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2 = 0 \implies & g_{1, \text{BBM}, +} = 48\phi_{ttx}\phi_x^2 + 72\phi_{tt}\phi_{xx}\phi_x \\
& + 96\phi_{txx}\phi_t\phi_x + 96\phi_{tx}^2\phi_x + 120\phi_{tx}\phi_t\phi_{xx} + 8\phi_{tx}\phi_x u_1 \\
& + 24\phi_t^2\phi_{xxx} - 24\phi_t^2\phi_{xx} + 10\phi_t\phi_{xx}u_1 - 24\phi_t\phi_x^2 u_2 \\
& - 24\phi_t\phi_x^2 + 8\phi_t\phi_x u_{1,x} - 2\phi_x^2 u_{1,t} - \phi_x u_1^2 = 0 \quad (156)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3 = 0 \implies & g_{2, \text{BBM}, +} = 12\phi_{ttxx}\phi_x + 24\phi_{txx}\phi_{xx} \\
& + 12\phi_{tt}\phi_{xxx} - 12\phi_{tt}\phi_x + 12\phi_{txxx}\phi_t + 36\phi_{txx}\phi_{tx} - \phi_{txx}u_1 \\
& - 12\phi_{tx}\phi_t - 12\phi_{tx}\phi_x u_2 - 12\phi_{tx}\phi_x - 2\phi_{tx}u_{1,x} \\
& - 12\phi_t\phi_{xx}u_2 - 12\phi_t\phi_{xx} + 12\phi_t\phi_x^2 u_3 - 12\phi_t\phi_x u_{2,x} + \phi_t u_1 \\
& - \phi_t u_{1,xx} - \phi_{xx}u_{1,t} + \phi_x u_1 u_2 + \phi_x u_1 - 2\phi_x u_{1,tx} - u_1 u_{1,x} = 0 \quad (157)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_4 = 0 \implies & g_{3, \text{BBM}, +} = 12\phi_{tx}\phi_x u_3 + 12\phi_t\phi_{xx}u_3 \\
& + 12\phi_t\phi_x u_{3,x} + u_1 u_{2,x} - u_{1,txx} + u_{1,t} + u_{1,x} u_2 + u_{1,x} = 0 \quad (158)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_5 = 0 \implies & g_{4, \text{BBM}, +} = u_1 u_{3,x} + u_{1,x} u_3 + u_2 u_{2,x} \\
& - u_{2,txx} + u_{2,t} + u_{2,x} = 0 \quad (159)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_6 = 0 \implies & g_{5, \text{BBM}, +} = \phi_x u_3^2 + u_2 u_{3,x} \\
& + u_{2,x} u_3 - u_{3,txx} + u_{3,t} + u_{3,x} = 0 \quad (160)
\end{aligned}$$

$$P_7 = 0 \implies g_{6, \text{BBM}, +} = u_3 u_{3,x} = 0 \quad (161)$$

and all the other coefficients $P_k = 0, k \geq 8$. One can verify that the set $\{g_{1, \text{BBM}, +}, g_{2, \text{BBM}, +}, g_{3, \text{BBM}, +}, g_{4, \text{BBM}, +}, g_{5, \text{BBM}, +}, g_{6, \text{BBM}, +}\}$ is *not* context-free compatible (checked up to 150 recursions with the increasing order of the differential polynomials in the consequent differential ideal). A specific and interesting branch of the solution to equation (161) is $u_3 = 0$ which takes us back to the results from the truncation $u = \frac{u_0}{\phi^2} + \frac{u_1}{\phi} + u_2$ where we have already showed the context-sensitive *incompatibility* of the set $\{g_{1, \text{BBM}}, g_{2, \text{BBM}}, g_{3, \text{BBM}}, g_{4, \text{BBM}}, g_{5, \text{BBM}}\}$.

Another explicit route to a contradiction is the alternate, so-called ‘‘potential’’ form of the BBM equation as annotated in [25]; for a choice of a potential function $v = \int_{-\infty}^x u(y, t) dy, \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} v = C_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ (or equivalently $u = v_x$) the BBM reduces to,

$$v_t + v_x + \frac{1}{2}v_x^2 - v_{xxt} = 0, \quad (162)$$

with the Painlevé expansion $v = \frac{v_0}{\phi} + v_1 + v_2\phi + v_3\phi^2 + \dots$ substituted in equation (162), we get the expansion $\frac{1}{\phi^x}(P_0 + P_1\phi + P_2\phi^2 + P_3\phi^3 + \dots) = 0$,

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{2}v_0\phi_x^2(v_0 + 12\phi_t) \implies v_0 = -12\phi_t \quad (163)$$

and when we substitute $v_0 = -12\phi_t$ in the equation $P_1 = 0$ we obtain,

$$\phi_{tt}\phi_x^2 - 2\phi_x\phi_t\phi_{xt} + \phi_t^2\phi_{xx} = 0. \quad (164)$$

With the choice $g = \frac{-\phi_t}{\phi_x}$, one can reduce the equation (164) to the inviscid Burgers’ equation $g_t + gg_x = 0$ (the Burgers’ equation $u_t + uu_x - \sigma u_{xx} = 0$ with $\sigma = 0$) which can be solved using the method of characteristics [34] to obtain the solution to be of the form of a shock wave $g = f_0(x - gt), f_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g = g(x, t)$, which again can be solved for ϕ from $\phi_t = g\phi_x \implies \phi_t = \phi_x f_1(x\phi_x - t\phi_t), f_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ using the Charpit’s method of characteristics. This appears to generically lead to the formation of shock waves in finite time. This leads to the failure of the Painlevé test for the potential form of the BBM equation as the coefficient at the resonant index $j = 1$ does not identically vanish. Also, apart from the application of the tests of context-free and context-sensitive compatibilities to the original PDE, one may apply the test to the potential form of the PDE (only if there exists one) to examine its integrability.

-
- [1] A. Hasegawa, *Solitons in Optical Communications*, Clarendon Press (Oxford, NY 1995).
- [2] Yu. S. Kivshar and G.P. Agrawal, *Optical solitons: from fibers to photonic crystals*, Academic Press (San Diego, 2003).
- [3] M. Kono and M. Škorić, *Nonlinear Physics of Plasmas*, Springer-Verlag (Heidelberg, 2010).
- [4] C.J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein condensation in dilute gases*, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge, 2002).
- [5] L.P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation*, Oxford University Press (Oxford, 2003).
- [6] M.J. Ablowitz and H. Segur, *Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform*, SIAM (Philadelphia, 1981).
- [7] M.J. Ablowitz and P.A. Clarkson, *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering*, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge, 1991).
- [8] M.J. Ablowitz, B. Prinari, and A.D. Trubatch, *Discrete and Continuous Nonlinear Schrödinger Systems*, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge, 2004).
- [9] C. Sulem and P.L. Sulem, *The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*, Springer-Verlag (New York, 1999).
- [10] P.G. Kevrekidis, D.J. Frantzeskakis, and R. Carretero-González, *The Defocusing Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*, SIAM (Philadelphia, 2015).
- [11] E. Infeld and G. Rowlands, *Nonlinear Waves, Solitons and Chaos* (Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 1990).
- [12] M. J. Ablowitz, *Nonlinear dispersive waves: Asymptotic analysis and solitons*, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge, 2011).
- [13] Drazin, P.G. and Johnson, R.S. *Solutions: An Introduction*, Cambridge University Press, (Cambridge, 1989).
- [14] T. Dauxois, M. Peyrard, *Physics of Solitons*, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge, 2006).
- [15] J. Cuevas, P.G. Kevrekidis, F.L. Williams (Eds.), *From Pendula and Josephson Junctions to Gravity and High Energy Physics*, Nonlinear Systems and Complexity Series, Springer-Verlag (Heidelberg, 2014).
- [16] P. Painlevé, Bull. Soc. Math. France **28**, 201(1900).
- [17] P. Painlevé, Acta Math. **25**, 1(1902).
- [18] T. Bountis, H. Segur, F. Vivaldi, Phys. Rev. A **25**, 1257 (1981).
- [19] Ablowitz, M.J., Ramani, A. and Segur, H.A. J. Math. Phys. **21**, 715 (1980).
- [20] J. Weiss, M. Tabor, and G. Carnevale, J. Math. Phys. **24**, 522 (1983).
- [21] J. Weiss, J. Math. Phys. **25**, 2226 (1984).
- [22] F. Xie, F. and Y. Chen, Comp. Phys. Commun. **154**, 197 (2003).
- [23] W. Hereman, "Painlevé Theory", In: *Computer Algebra Handbook: Foundations, Applications, Systems*, J. Grabmeier, E. Kaltrofen, and V. Weispfenning (Eds.), Springer Verlag, (Berlin, 2002).
- [24] D. Baldwin, and W. Hereman, J. Nonlin. Math. Phys. **13**, 90 (2006).
- [25] A. Hone, "Painlevé Tests, Singularity Structure and Integrability", In: *Integrability*, Mikhailov, A.V. (Ed.) Springer (Berlin, 2009).
- [26] Musette, M. (1999), "Painlevé Analysis for Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations", In: Conte, R. (eds) *The Painlevé Property, CRM Series in Mathematical Physics*, Springer, New York, NY
- [27] Benjamin, T.B., Bona, J.L. and Mahony, J.J. (1972) "Model Equations for Long Waves in Nonlinear Dispersive Systems", *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London Series A*, 272, 47-78
- [28] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Domingos Cavalcanti, V. Komornik, J. H. Rodrigues, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non-Linear **31**, 5, pp. 1079-1100 (2014)
- [29] Meurer A, Smith CP, Paprocki M, Certik O, Kirpichev SB, Rocklin M, Kumar A, Ivanov S, Moore JK, Singh S, Rathnayake T, Vig S, Granger BE, Muller RP, Bonazzi F, Gupta H, Vats S, Johansson F, Pedregosa F, Curry MJ, Terrel AR, Roucka S, Saboo A, Fernando I, Kulal S, Cimrman R, Scopatz A. (2017) "SymPy: symbolic computing in Python", *PeerJ Computer Science* 3:e103
- [30] Mohapatra S. (2011), "PainleveBäcklundCheck", [Source code] <https://github.com/ShrohanMohapatra/PainleveBacklundCheck>
- [31] J. B. McLeod and P. J. Olver (1983), "The connection between partial differential equations soluble by inverse scattering and ordinary differential equations of Painlevé type", *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **14**, 488–506.
- [32] W. D. Halford and M. Vlieg-Hulstman (1992), "Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation and the Painleve property", *J. Phys. A* **25**, 2375–2379.
- [33] Kivy organization (2011), "Kivy: Cross-platform Python Framework for GUI apps", [Source code] <https://github.com/kivy/kivy>
- [34] Sneddon, I.N. (1957), "Elements of partial differential equations", McGraw-Hill, New York