SegICL: A Multimodal In-context Learning Framework for Enhanced Segmentation in Medical Imaging

Lingdong Shen^{1,2†} Fangxin Shang³ Xiaoshuang Huang³ Yehui Yang^{3*} Haifeng Huang³ Shiming Xiang^{1,2*}

¹School of Artificial Intelligence, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS) ²State Key Laboratory of Multimodal Artificial Intelligence Systems (MAIS), Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CASIA)

³Healthcare Group, Baidu Inc.[†]

shenlingdong2022@ia.ac.cn yangyehuisw@126.com smxiang@nlpr.ia.ac.cn

Abstract

In the field of medical image segmentation, tackling Out-of-Distribution (OOD) segmentation tasks in a cost-effective manner remains a significant challenge. Universal segmentation models is a solution, which aim to generalize across the diverse modality of medical images, yet their effectiveness often diminishes when applied to OOD data modalities and tasks, requiring intricate fine-tuning of model for optimal performance. Few-shot learning segmentation methods are typically designed for specific modalities of data and cannot be directly transferred for use with another modality. Therefore, we introduce SegICL, a novel approach leveraging In-Context Learning (ICL) for image segmentation. Unlike existing methods, SegICL has the capability to employ text-guided segmentation and conduct in-context learning with a small set of image-mask pairs, eliminating the need for training the model from scratch or fine-tuning for OOD tasks (including OOD modality and dataset). Extensive experimental demonstrates a positive correlation between the number of shots and segmentation performance on OOD tasks. The performance of segmentation when provided thre-shots is approximately 1.5 times better than the performance in a zero-shot setting. This indicates that SegICL effectively address new segmentation tasks based on contextual information. Additionally, SegICL also exhibits comparable performance to mainstream models on OOD and in-distribution tasks. Our code will be released after paper review.

1 Introduction

Medical imaging segmentation is a crucial component of biomedical image analysis and has become a vital tool in medical diagnosis and health monitoring. It have evolved from initial CNN structures[37, 61, 11] to the vision transformer[44, 19, 9, 53]. Preliminary research outcomes have also been achieved in areas such as efficient data utilization[31, 4] and the design of universal models[8, 28, 33]. With the advancements in this area, researchers seek a framework with strong generalization performance, low training and maintenance costs, and user-friendly.

^{*}Correponding author.

[†]Work performed during an internship at Baidu Inc.

In the field of medical imaging segmentation, enhancing model generalization performance on OOD tasks and reducing develop cost remains a challenge. Traditional methods such as Few-Shot Learning (FSL) aim to improve model generalization with a small number of shots [50, 21, 43]. However, specific FSL models often excel only within specific modalities, and tend to underperform when applied to other types of medical imaging datasets. Semi-supervised learning approaches use data augmentation or pseudo-labeling techniques [4, 6, 29, 56, 45, 31, 54] to reduce the costs associated with data annotation. However, these methods usually require fine-tuning or retraining when facing to new tasks, which incurs additional costs and effort. ICL methods offers a promising alternative, as it can effectively handle Out-of-Distribution (OOD) tasks in a cost-efficient manner. It do not require retraining to adapt to new tasks, which significantly lowers maintenance costs. Despite their potential, existing ICL methods have primarily been explored in domains such as language tasks [32, 12, 49, 7, 1], image generation [47, 42], image segmentation and grounding [48, 26, 57], multimodal understanding [41, 16, 5, 60, 22], and embodied vision [30]. As far as we know, there have been limited attempts to apply ICL to fine-grained medical perception tasks like segmentation. From the perspective of user-friendly interaction, one notable method involves building a universal segmentation model based on the SAM[24]. This model uses geometric information such as points and boxes as prompts to guide segmentation. However, this approach can be less user-friendly if users lack the medical knowledge to accurately identify geometric locations of certain organs.

In summary, while FSL methods can somewhat alleviate OOD task issues, they struggle with cross-modal datasets. Semi-supervised methods help reduce development costs by minimizing data annotation requirements but still need fine-tuning or retraining for new tasks. Existing ICL methods show strong generalization and adaptability without retraining, yet they have not been adequately explored for fine-grained medical tasks.



Figure 1: The example of inference pipeline of SegICL. The left part demonstrates SegICL can following text instructions. The right part demonstrates SegICL can segment OOD data with a few image-mask pairs and text instructions.

To address these limitations, we propose SegICL, the first multimodal interactive in-context learning paradigm for medical segmentation, as shown in Fig. 1. SegICL addresses OOD tasks by performing ICL with few shots, making it suitable for segmenting various image modalities and avoiding the poor transfer performance of FSL. In terms of cost reduction and adaption of new tasks, SegICL adapts to new tasks with a training-free manner, thereby lowering maintenance and training costs associated with model fine-tuning or retraining. For user-friendliness, SegICL leverages text to guide the segmentation of target areas, which reduces the adaptation threshold for users.

Our contributions are as follows. Firstly, we propose a ICL paradigm for medical image segmentation, which can learn a in-context visual representation and follow multimodal instructions. Secondly, SegICL utilizes ICL to solve segmentation of OOD tasks, tackles region-of-interest segmentation through user-friendly instructions. Thirdly, extensive experiments have demonstrated that SegICL exhibits impressive performance across multiple medical image segmentation datasets, showcasing competitive capabilities comparable to other SOTA methods.

2 Related work

2.1 Universal medical image Segmentation

With the rise of universal vision models such as CLIP[35], SAM[25] and SegGPT[48], the field of biomedical image segmentation has also witnessed a surge in research on this topic. These studies can be classified into two types. One method involves fine-tuning or replacing new modules based on existing general vision models (such as SAM)[58, 51, 10, 13, 28]. For example, SAMed[58] adopts a low-rank-based fine-tuning strategy (LoRA) on top of SAM, fine-tuning the SAM image encoder on labeled medical image segmentation datasets. Another example is Med-SA[51], which differs from fine-tuning the SAM model by proposing the Medical SAM Adapter (Med-SA), incorporating domain-specific medical knowledge into the segmentation model. The other type involves training specialized universal medical segmentation models from scratch based on large-scale datasets. For instance, UniverSeg[8] utilizes a novel CrossBlock mechanism to generate accurate segmentation mask without requiring additional training, achieving generalization to new tasks.

Our approach differs from the methods mentioned above. The core of our proposed paradigm lies in a multimodal input-driven segmentation method that incorporates in-context learning based on textual instructions. None of the aforementioned methods can simultaneously achieve both text-guided segmentation and context-aware segmentation, which are the two core aspects of our approach.

2.2 Learning from limited data samples

Few-shot medical image segmentation[50, 21, 43, 40, 3, 46] is designed to efficiently address the scarcity of learning and generalizing from a limited number of shots. In the context of segmentation tasks, few-shot learning is introduced to segment unseen classes with the limited dataset. VQNet[56] introduces a VQ learning mechanism with Grid-Format VQ (GFVQ), Self-Organizing VQ (SOVQ), and Residual-Guided VQ (ROVQ) to medical MRI image segmentation. SE-Net[38] incorporate few-shot learning for segmenting abdominal organs in CT images.

SegICL's motivation aligns with few-shot learning, aiming to learn OOD tasks or adapt to OOD datasets with minimal annotated data. However, these approaches exhibit poorer transfer performance, making them challenging to apply across modalities and tasks. Moreover, when confronted with OOD tasks from different modalities, they struggle to complete the segmentation accurately. In contrast, the proposed paradigm possesses the capability of multimodal ICL, enabling it to wrok without retraining when facing OOD tasks.

3 Methodology



Figure 2: The overall structure of the SegICL paradigm. SegICL-0 represents zero-shot inference, while SegICL-x represents using x image-mask pairs as prompts for inference.

3.1 In-Context Learning Paradigm of SegICL

The learning paradigm of SegICL aims to utilize information from multimodal input, enabling to extract implicit data correlations in multimodal data. Additionally, it empowers the model with the ability to perform segmentation using text-guided methods.

$$H = Enc(X_{img}, X_{text}) \tag{1}$$

$$S = Proj(H) \tag{2}$$

The learning paradigm of SegICL (See Fig.2) can be represented as eq.1-eq.3: where X_{img} and X_{text} represents the input including images and texts respectively, Enc is a large language model (LLM). After passing the multimodal input through Enc, it obtains a hidden variable (H). Then, using a projector to align the H of the encoder with the feature space of the decoder, obtaining the encoded result state (S). Finally, the state is fed into the Img_{Dec} for decoding, resulting in the final output mask.

$$M' = Img_{Dec}(S) \tag{3}$$

The Enc is a trainable function that accepts multimodal input and regresses to a hidden state vector. The Img_{Dec} can be any image generation model, decoding the final prediction from above state. The Enc's supervision signal comes from the regression loss between the encoded result state and the condition encoder (See Fig.3). The Img_{Dec} 's supervision signal comes from the regression loss between the generated image and the ground truth masks.



Figure 3: Training pipeline of SegICL. Module A is a image decoder, and Module B is a multimodal encoder. Interleaved multimodal text and image input undergoes encoding in Module B (get the hidden variable H), and the projected data (get the result state S) is then passed to Module A for decoding, ultimately generating the corresponding mask.

3.2 Architecture

Building upon the aforementioned learning paradigm, we propose the first universal text-guidence in-context learning medical segmentation framework SegICL. This framework (See Fig.3) use a LLM implementing the Enc to model the input multimodal data. Additionally, it includes a diffusion model (Img_{Dec}) to decode the state vector outputted by the encoder, yielding the final prediction. A MLP serves as the shared condition encoder to provide regression targets for the encoder model.

$$M' = Img_{Dec}(Proj((Enc(X_{img}, X_{text}))))$$
(4)

The forward computation of the framework is represented by the equation above (see Fig.4). This framework initially takes arbitrary interleaved textual and visual data as input. After processing

through a visual encoder and a text encoder, it generates interleaved tokens of text and image (See Fig.3 module B). These tokens serve as input for the multimodal model, generating latent variables within a transformer structure rich in causal self-attention mechanisms. Since the encoder and decoder are decoupled, a projector is needed to map the model's feature space. After the tokens pass through the projector, a state vector is generated and made available for the decoder. The decoder model utilizes a diffusion model to ensure the quality of the generated images. When the input state vector is provided to the decoder model, it introduces random noise and uses the state vector as a condition to predict the output mask (See Fig.3 module A). SegICL's Img_{Dec} is trained using ground truth mask images. Its input includes random noise and a conditional vector (obtained by encoding mask images through the condition encoder). The output is a segmentation mask, with the goal of reconstructing the original image using the vector provided by the shared condition encoder.

3.3 Multi-modal Encoder

In contrast to existing multimodal large models[40, 16, 3, 2], the multimodal encoder used in this paper aims to regress the supervision signals provided by the condition encoder. Therefore, a lightweight condition encoder is incorporated for supervised fine-tuning of the multimodal Encoder.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\mathbf{H}_i - \hat{\mathbf{C}}_i \right)^2 \tag{5}$$

The input $img \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$ and $text \in \mathbb{R}^{Len \times 1}$ is processed by Enc to obtain $M \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{hs} \times N_v}$, where H represents a multimodal input token vector, C_{hs} is the hidden size in the multimodal Encoder. M is then fed into the multimodal encoder and get the image feature vectors $H \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{hs} \times N_v}$ and text feature vectors $text \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{hs} \times N_v}$. Finally, the text are sent to the language head for decoding, while the H are sent to the image decoder for decoding (See Fig.3).

The language base model uses the pre-trained Qwen-7B model. The condition encoder implemented with a same MLP structure as the diffusion's condition encoder. By infinitely narrowing the gap between the output of the condition encoder and the output of the multimodal encoder, we can ensure the final generation quality of the decoder. Therefore, the loss function use the MSE loss(See eq.5) function to efficiently model the latent mapping between image feature H and condition C.

3.4 Image Decoder

The Img_{Dec} can be any model capable of performing image generation tasks. In this paper, ControlNet[59] is chosen as the Img_{Dec} , and its model structure can be referred to in Fig.3, Module B. In this context, the task of the image decoder is to accept a set of conditional vectors and generate images based on these vectors.

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{m}_0, \boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{c}_k, \boldsymbol{c}_t, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)} \Big[\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{m}_t, \boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{c}_k, \boldsymbol{c}_t))\|_2^2 \Big]$$
(6)

A segmentation mask $m_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$ as input, then pass VAE encoder and diffusion algorithms progressively introduce noise to the image, generating a latent space image $m_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{H}{8} \times \frac{W}{8} \times 4}$. Subsequently, with the keyword prompt $c_k \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 77 \times dim_p}$ and the feature vector output from the conditional model $c_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{H}{8} \times \frac{W}{8} \times dim_c}$ as auxiliary, a function ϵ_{θ} is employed to predict noise. dim_p and dim_c is the the dimensions of the features. We utilized the pre-training parameters of the SD 1.5B[36] to ensure the image decoder could be trained with relatively less data while achieving excellent image generation results. The training process can be conceptualized as the recovery of input image features from the conditioned vectors. Initially, the input image is fed into the designated conditional encoder, extracting features from the input image to generate conditional variables. Subsequently, the conditional variables are injected into the backbone network using cross-attention. The loss is calculated by comparing the noise to optimize the entire image encoder during the training process.



Figure 4: Diagram of the differences between different base models. Different base models possess varying degrees of prior knowledge. Thanks to pre-trained weights, the model can roughly localize the target even in a zero-shot scenario.

4 Experiments

4.1 Dataset

The training set includes 71 publicly available datasets. Additionally, we reserved the optic fundus segmentation task to evaluate SegICL's learning capabilities for OOD tasks. Furthermore, for each modality, we retained some datasets to assess the performance of SegICL on OOD tasks. Additionally, we applied the approach described in [55] to uniformly preprocess the experimental datasets.

The evaluation perform on three optic fundus datasets, along with one CT dataset and one MRI dataset. **REFUGE2**[18]. This dataset contains 2000 color optic fundus images with annotations of glaucoma classification, optic disc/cup segmentation, as well as fovea localization. We conducted evaluations on the optic disc/cup segmentation task. **PALM**[17]. This dataset consists of 1200 images with labels for pathologic myopia, including manual annotations for the optic disc, fovea position. We chose evaluations on the optic disc segmentation task. **IDRiD**[34]. This dataset includes disease severity information for diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema in each image. There are five segmentation tasks, and we chose optic disc segmentation for the evaluation experiment. **CHAOS**[23]. This dataset includes abdomen MRII data, which sourced from ISBI 2019 Combined Healthy Abdominal Organ Segmentation Challenge (Task 5). We focus on four segmentation classes:liver, left kidney, right kidney, spleen. **BTCV**[27]. This dataset includes a total of 50 abdominal CT scans. We adhere to the aforementioned evaluation metrics, focusing specifically on four segmentation classes: liver, left kidney, right kidney and spleen.

4.2 Implementation details

Model training is divided into two stages (see appendix). LoRA[20] is applied to the multimodal encoder. The Dice[14] score is used as the evaluation metric for the model. For the calculation of few-shot performance, we randomly sample data from the training set of the dataset under evaluation as multimodal prompt. The final result is obtained by averaging the pixel-wise results of 5 independently randomly sampled predictions, followed by post-processing through binarization of the output masks.

4.3 Performance of the OOD modality



Figure 5: Performance comparison of SegICL on OOD modality. The positive correlation can be observed between the number of prompt samples (SegICL-x) and segmentation performance. Although SegICL-3 doesn't match the SOTA models, its train-free results are still adequate for assisting cold-start in semi-automatic annotation.

This section primarily analyzes modalities and their segmentation tasks beyond the distribution of the training dataset. The optic fundus image data did not utilized in the training dataset for SegICL. Pre-trained models understand optic fundus images as shown in Fig.4, and the approximate shape and position of the optic disc can be identified. We evaluated the effectiveness of the proposed framework on three datasets(See Fig.5). On the REFUGE2 dataset, employing SegICL-0 by directly using text prompts for optic disc and cup segmentation tasks resulted in scores of 0.447 and 0.294, respectively. This indicates that the prior knowledge of large models can provide some help for the segmentation of the model.



Figure 6: The qualitative analysis diagram of SegICL on optic fundus segmentation tasks. As more examples are provided, the shape and position of the masks increasingly approximate the GT.

For the optic disc and cup segmentation task, introducing SegICL-0 to SegICL-3 brings continuous performance growth. It can be observed that with the addition of shots, SegICL can effectively capture the feature correlations between contexts, thereby transitioning OOD tasks from being undoable to achievable albeit with suboptimal performance. Based on the above experiments, it can be concluded that SegICL possesses powerful in-context learning capabilities. When confronted with a new task without prior training, the segmentation performance is initially low. However, through example-based teaching, the model can grasp the segmentation approach for the task (See Fig.6). With more shots provided, the model's segmentation performance on that task shows a linear growth.



Figure 7: The qualitative analysis diagram of SegICL on OOD dataset. Demonstrates that with an increase in provided examples, segmentation performance also improves.

4.4 Performance of the OOD dataset

The generalization performance of SegICL is evaluated using an abdominal MRI image dataset that did not appear in the training dataset. However, despite the presence of segmentation task data similar to the training dataset in this OOD dataset, they are not sampled from the same data distribution.

Method	Paradigm	Liver	Spleen	L.Kidney	R.Kidney	Mean
PANet[46]	1-shot	50.40	40.58	32.19	30.99	38.53
SE-Net[38]	1-shot	29.02	47.30	47.96	45.78	42.51
ALPNet[31]	1-shot	62.35	61.32	60.81	58.83	63.17
RPNet[43]	1-shot	73.51	69.85	70.00	70.48	79.26
GCN-DE[39]	1-shot	49.47	60.63	83.03	76.07	67.03
VQNet[21]	1-shot	81.72	79.08	68.94	60.03	72.44
CRAPNet[15]	1-shot	76.46	74.32	81.95	86.42	79.79
SSL-ALPNet[31]	1-shot	76.10	72.18	85.18	81.92	78.84
SSL-VQNet[21]	1-shot	79.92	77.21	91.56	89.54	84.56
	ICL-0	70.91	62.95	82.20	80.47	73.95
SegICL	ICL-1	75.42	71.95	86.22	85.90	79.65
	ICL-3	79.47	78.92	92.18	89.95	85.13

Table 1: Performance comparison of SegICL and SOTA few-shot models on MRII dataset, SSL represents the utilization of self-supervised learning to enhance model performance, while SegICL-x stands for the number of samples for in-context learning.

In Tab.1, we compared the performance of SegICL with SOTA few-shot methods. All few-shot methods are based on 1-shot settings. As shown in the table, our approach achieved an average Dice score higher than VQNet, by 1.52% in the SegICL-0 setting. Specifically, our SegICL-0 outperformed VQNet by 13.26% and 20.44% in Kidney segmentation. Compared to incorporating Self-Supervised Learning (SSL), SegICL, with the introduction of In-Context Learning (ICL), demonstrated further improvement in performance. Its average Dice score surpassed the strongest few-shot method, VQNets, by 0.57%. Moreover, it reached SOTA levels in spleen, left kidney, and right kidney sub-segmentation tasks. It is important to note that our method achieved inference in a completely train-free manner, avoiding the need to retrain the network with an SSL module. Additionally, the table reflects the necessity of In-Context Learning for performance enhancement on OOD tasks. It is evident that, for the four sub-classification tasks, performance improved by 8.56%, 15.97%, 9.98%, and 9.48%, respectively, resulting in an average Dice improvement of 11.18%. Thus, SegICL demonstrates a certain level of generalization ability for OOD datasets and segmentation tasks.

From Fig.7, it can be observed that the segmentation accuracy of SegICL improves with the increasing context information. The segmentation images of three different modalities are displayed, indicating that the model's contextual learning ability is effective across various modalities.





Figure 8: Performance comparison on CT dataset. The performance of SegICL is comparable to those model specifically customized for this dataset.

In this section, we conducted performance evaluation of SegICL using the test set from the dataset that is previously used in the training set. As shown in Fig.8, SegICL exhibits comparable performance to models trained specifically on dedicated datasets. We used nnU-Net, trained on the BTCV dataset through supervised learning, as the performance benchmark. In SegICL-0 inference, SegICL's performance differs from UNet by only 0.4%. After employing in-context learning with example data selected from the training set, our method's average performance surpasses UNet by 0.5%, demonstrating the learning capability of SegICL.

Simultaneously, the table indicates that in this experimental setup, the learning capacity of SegICL is noteworthy. Through in-context learning, the model is able to capture contextual features of the segmentation task, leading to improved segmentation performance. SegICL falls short of surpassing proprietary models trained specifically for dedicated datasets due to its training dataset encompassing multiple modalities from various datasets. As a result, its performance on a single dataset can only be competitive rather than achieving SOTA status. The primary purpose behind introducing SegICL is to propose a method capable of addressing OOD tasks through ICL with a limited scale.

4.6 Qualitative results

For a more in-depth exploration of SegICL's segmentation performance, we visualize four typical CT images featuring the liver, spleen, kidneys, and pancreas in Fig.9. The results reveal that SegICL excels in segmenting CT slices with larger and more regular-shaped target volumes, such as the upper part displaying the liver and kidneys. However, its performance diminishes with smaller and elongated target shapes. Qualitative analysis unveils two potential issues. First, the limited modeling capacity of the multimodal encoder for the state vector. Alternatively, increasing the number of examples can lead to better segmentation results. Secondly, the use of diffusion to generate segmentation masks inherits issues from the diffusion model, leading to a slight deficiency in generating fine-grained segmentation details. Nonetheless, existing segmentation works based on diffusion[52] have demonstrated its significant improvement in segmentation performance, maybe this issue can be solve.

5 Discussion and Broader Impact

Discussion: First, due to computing resource constraint, the token length of inputs and outputs is limited, which prevents us from exploring the performance upper limit of SegICL-x. Second, there's

Liver	GT	Prediction	Kidney	GT	Prediction
				•	
Pancreas	GT	Prediction	Spleen	GT	Prediction

Figure 9: Qualitative analysis results display: Segmentation results for different organs in CT image. Demonstrate the strengths and limitation of SegICL.

still room for improvement in contour processing for the generated masks, even with post-processing, precise contour segmentation cannot be achieved. Future research can be focused on the following three aspects: Firstly, training the model using larger models and datasets, transitioning from Few-Shot to Many-Shot ICL. Secondly, improving the details of generated images is also crucial for enhancing the performance of the model. Thirdly, accelerating the segmentation speed of the model. Due to the introduction of large language models and diffusion models, the segmentation speed is relatively slow, so it is necessary to study how to improve the speed of the model.

Broader Impact: In this paper, we introduce SegICL, a pioneering approach to image segmentation leveraging text-guided segmentation and ICL that effectively addresses the limitations of universal segmentation models on OOD task with a training-free manner. Our work redefine the paradigms for segmentation tasks in the era of larger models and paves the way for more intuitive, efficient, and effective segmentation models that can adapt to the rapidly evolving landscape of medical image.

References

- Rishabh Agarwal, Avi Singh, Lei M Zhang, Bernd Bohnet, Stephanie Chan, Ankesh Anand, Zaheer Abbas, Azade Nova, John D Co-Reyes, Eric Chu, et al. Many-shot in-context learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.11018, 2024.
- [2] Anas Awadalla, Irena Gao, Josh Gardner, Jack Hessel, Yusuf Hanafy, Wanrong Zhu, Kalyani Marathe, Yonatan Bitton, Samir Gadre, Shiori Sagawa, et al. Openflamingo: An opensource framework for training large autoregressive vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.01390*, 2023.
- [3] Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Shusheng Yang, Shijie Wang, Sinan Tan, Peng Wang, Junyang Lin, Chang Zhou, and Jingren Zhou. Qwen-vl: A versatile vision-language model for understanding, localization, text reading, and beyond. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12966*, 2023.
- [4] Yunhao Bai, Duowen Chen, Qingli Li, Wei Shen, and Yan Wang. Bidirectional copy-paste for semi-supervised medical image segmentation. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision* and Pattern Recognition, pages 11514–11524, 2023.
- [5] Yutong Bai, Xinyang Geng, Karttikeya Mangalam, Amir Bar, Alan Yuille, Trevor Darrell, Jitendra Malik, and Alexei A Efros. Sequential modeling enables scalable learning for large vision models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00785*, 2023.
- [6] Hritam Basak and Zhaozheng Yin. Pseudo-label guided contrastive learning for semi-supervised medical image segmentation. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 19786–19797, 2023.
- [7] Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Christopher Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. Language models are few-shot learners. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2020.

- [8] Victor Ion Butoi, Jose Javier Gonzalez Ortiz, Tianyu Ma, Mert R. Sabuncu, John V. Guttag, and Adrian V. Dalca. Universeg: Universal medical image segmentation. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 21381–21394, 2023.
- [9] Jieneng Chen, Yongyi Lu, Qihang Yu, Xiangde Luo, Ehsan Adeli, Yan Wang, Le Lu, Alan L Yuille, and Yuyin Zhou. Transunet: Transformers make strong encoders for medical image segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.04306, 2021.
- [10] Junlong Cheng, Jin Ye, Zhongying Deng, Jianpin Chen, Tianbin Li, Haoyu Wang, Yanzhou Su, Ziyan Huang, Jilong Chen, Lei Jiang, et al. Sam-med2d. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16184, 2023.
- [11] Özgün Çiçek, Ahmed Abdulkadir, Soeren S. Lienkamp, Thomas Brox, and Olaf Ronneberger. 3d u-net: Learning dense volumetric segmentation from sparse annotation. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention*, pages 424–432, 2016.
- [12] Julian Coda-Forno, Marcel Binz, Zeynep Akata, Matt M. Botvinick, Jane X. Wang, and Eric Schulz. Meta-in-context learning in large language models. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36, 2023.
- [13] Ruining Deng, Can Cui, Quan Liu, Tianyuan Yao, Lucas W Remedios, Shunxing Bao, Bennett A Landman, Lee E Wheless, Lori A Coburn, Keith T Wilson, et al. Segment anything model (sam) for digital pathology: Assess zero-shot segmentation on whole slide imaging. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.04155, 2023.
- [14] Lee R Dice. Measures of the amount of ecologic association between species. *Ecology*, 26(3):297–302, 1945.
- [15] Hao Ding, Changchang Sun, Hao Tang, Dawen Cai, and Yan Yan. Few-shot medical image segmentation with cycle-resemblance attention. In *WACV*, pages 2487–2496, 2023.
- [16] Runpei Dong, Chunrui Han, Yuang Peng, Zekun Qi, Zheng Ge, Jinrong Yang, Liang Zhao, Jianjian Sun, Hongyu Zhou, Haoran Wei, et al. Dreamllm: Synergistic multimodal comprehension and creation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.11499, 2023.
- [17] Huihui Fang, Fei Li, Junde Wu, Huazhu Fu, Xu Sun, José Ignacio Orlando, Hrvoje Bogunović, Xiulan Zhang, and Yanwu Xu. Open fundus photograph dataset with pathologic myopia recognition and anatomical structure annotation. *Scientific Data*, (1):99, 2024.
- [18] Huihui Fang, Fei Li, Junde Wu, Huazhu Fu, Xu Sun, Jaemin Son, Shuang Yu, Menglu Zhang, Chenglang Yuan, Cheng Bian, et al. Refuge2 challenge: A treasure trove for multi-dimension analysis and evaluation in glaucoma screening. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.08994*, 2022.
- [19] Yunhe Gao, Mu Zhou, and Dimitris N. Metaxas. Utnet: A hybrid transformer architecture for medical image segmentation. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention*, pages 61–71, 2021.
- [20] Edward J. Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.
- [21] Shiqi Huang, Tingfa Xu, Ning Shen, Feng Mu, and Jianan Li. Rethinking few-shot medical segmentation: A vector quantization view. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3072–3081, 2023.
- [22] Yixing Jiang, Jeremy Irvin, Ji Hun Wang, Muhammad Ahmed Chaudhry, Jonathan H. Chen, and Andrew Y. Ng. Many-shot in-context learning in multimodal foundation models. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2405.09798, 2024.
- [23] A Emre Kavur, N Sinem Gezer, Mustafa Barış, Sinem Aslan, Pierre-Henri Conze, Vladimir Groza, Duc Duy Pham, Soumick Chatterjee, Philipp Ernst, Savaş Özkan, et al. Chaos challengecombined (ct-mr) healthy abdominal organ segmentation. *Medical Image Analysis*, 69:101950, 2021.
- [24] Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, et al. Segment anything. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02643*, 2023.
- [25] Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, et al. Segment anything. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02643, 2023.

- [26] Xin Lai, Zhuotao Tian, Yukang Chen, Yanwei Li, Yuhui Yuan, Shu Liu, and Jiaya Jia. Lisa: Reasoning segmentation via large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.00692*, 2023.
- [27] Bennett Landman, Zhoubing Xu, J Igelsias, Martin Styner, T Langerak, and Arno Klein. Miccai multi-atlas labeling beyond the cranial vault–workshop and challenge. In Proc. Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention Multi-Atlas Labeling Beyond Cranial Vault–Workshop Challenge, volume 5, page 12, 2015.
- [28] Jun Ma, Yuting He, Feifei Li, Lin Han, Chenyu You, and Bo Wang. Segment anything in medical images. *Nature Communications*, 15(1):654, 2024.
- [29] Juzheng Miao, Cheng Chen, Furui Liu, Hao Wei, and Pheng-Ann Heng. Causal: Causalityinspired semi-supervised learning for medical image segmentation. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 21369–21380, 2023.
- [30] Yao Mu, Qinglong Zhang, Mengkang Hu, Wenhai Wang, Mingyu Ding, Jun Jin, Bin Wang, Jifeng Dai, Yu Qiao, and Ping Luo. Embodiedgpt: Vision-language pre-training via embodied chain of thought. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 36, 2023.
- [31] Cheng Ouyang, Carlo Biffi, Chen Chen, Turkay Kart, Huaqi Qiu, and Daniel Rueckert. Selfsupervision with superpixels: Training few-shot medical image segmentation without annotation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 762–780, 2020.
- [32] Ajay Patel, Bryan Li, Mohammad Sadegh Rasooli, Noah Constant, Colin Raffel, and Chris Callison-Burch. Bidirectional language models are also few-shot learners. In *The International Conference on Learning Representations*. OpenReview, 2023.
- [33] Mathias Perslev, Erik Bjørnager Dam, Akshay Pai, and Christian Igel. One network to segment them all: A general, lightweight system for accurate 3d medical image segmentation. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention*, pages 30–38, 2019.
- [34] Prasanna Porwal, Samiksha Pachade, Ravi Kamble, Manesh Kokare, Girish Deshmukh, Vivek Sahasrabuddhe, and Fabrice Meriaudeau. Indian diabetic retinopathy image dataset (idrid): a database for diabetic retinopathy screening research. *Data*, (3):25, 2018.
- [35] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 8748–8763, 2021.
- [36] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. Highresolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10674–10685, 2022.
- [37] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention*, pages 234–241, 2015.
- [38] Abhijit Guha Roy, Shayan Siddiqui, Sebastian Pölsterl, Nassir Navab, and Christian Wachinger. 'squeeze & excite' guided few-shot segmentation of volumetric images. *Medical Image Anal.*, 59, 2020.
- [39] Liyan Sun, Chenxin Li, Xinghao Ding, Yue Huang, Zhong Chen, Guisheng Wang, Yizhou Yu, and John Paisley. Few-shot medical image segmentation using a global correlation network with discriminative embedding. *Computers in biology and medicine*, 140:105067, 2022.
- [40] Quan Sun, Yufeng Cui, Xiaosong Zhang, Fan Zhang, Qiying Yu, Zhengxiong Luo, Yueze Wang, Yongming Rao, Jingjing Liu, Tiejun Huang, et al. Generative multimodal models are in-context learners. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.13286, 2023.
- [41] Quan Sun, Qiying Yu, Yufeng Cui, Fan Zhang, Xiaosong Zhang, Yueze Wang, Hongcheng Gao, Jingjing Liu, Tiejun Huang, and Xinlong Wang. Emu: Generative pretraining in multimodality. In *The International Conference on Learning Representations*. OpenReview, 2023.
- [42] Yasheng Sun, Yifan Yang, Houwen Peng, Yifei Shen, Yuqing Yang, Han Hu, Lili Qiu, and Hideki Koike. Imagebrush: Learning visual in-context instructions for exemplar-based image manipulation. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2023.
- [43] Hao Tang, Xingwei Liu, Shanlin Sun, Xiangyi Yan, and Xiaohui Xie. Recurrent mask refinement for few-shot medical image segmentation. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3898–3908, 2021.

- [44] Jeya Maria Jose Valanarasu, Poojan Oza, Ilker Hacihaliloglu, and Vishal M. Patel. Medical transformer: Gated axial-attention for medical image segmentation. In *Medical Image Computing* and Computer Assisted Intervention, pages 36–46, 2021.
- [45] Haonan Wang and Xiaomeng Li. Towards generic semi-supervised framework for volumetric medical image segmentation. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023.
- [46] Kaixin Wang, Jun Hao Liew, Yingtian Zou, Daquan Zhou, and Jiashi Feng. Panet: Few-shot image semantic segmentation with prototype alignment. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference* on Computer Vision, pages 9196–9205, 2019.
- [47] Xinlong Wang, Wen Wang, Yue Cao, Chunhua Shen, and Tiejun Huang. Images speak in images: A generalist painter for in-context visual learning. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6830–6839. IEEE, 2023.
- [48] Xinlong Wang, Xiaosong Zhang, Yue Cao, Wen Wang, Chunhua Shen, and Tiejun Huang. Seggpt: Towards segmenting everything in context. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1130–1140, 2023.
- [49] Xinyi Wang, Wanrong Zhu, Michael Saxon, Mark Steyvers, and William Yang Wang. Large language models are latent variable models: Explaining and finding good demonstrations for in-context learning. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36, 2023.
- [50] Huisi Wu, Fangyan Xiao, and Chongxin Liang. Dual contrastive learning with anatomical auxiliary supervision for few-shot medical image segmentation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 417–434, 2022.
- [51] Junde Wu, Rao Fu, Huihui Fang, Yuanpei Liu, Zhaowei Wang, Yanwu Xu, Yueming Jin, and Tal Arbel. Medical sam adapter: Adapting segment anything model for medical image segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.12620*, 2023.
- [52] Junde Wu, Rao Fu, Huihui Fang, Yu Zhang, and Yanwu Xu. Medsegdiff-v2: Diffusion based medical image segmentation with transformer. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.11798, 2023.
- [53] Dong Yang, Andriy Myronenko, Xiaosong Wang, Ziyue Xu, Holger R. Roth, and Daguang Xu. T-automl: Automated machine learning for lesion segmentation using transformers in 3d medical imaging. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3942–3954, 2021.
- [54] Zhangsihao Yang, Mengwei Ren, Kaize Ding, Guido Gerig, and Yalin Wang. Keypointaugmented self-supervised learning for medical image segmentation with limited annotation. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023.
- [55] Jin Ye, Junlong Cheng, Jianpin Chen, Zhongying Deng, Tianbin Li, Haoyu Wang, Yanzhou Su, Ziyan Huang, Jilong Chen, Lei Jiang, et al. Sa-med2d-20m dataset: Segment anything in 2d medical imaging with 20 million masks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.11969, 2023.
- [56] Chenyu You, Weicheng Dai, Yifei Min, Fenglin Liu, David A. Clifton, S. Kevin Zhou, Lawrence H. Staib, and James S. Duncan. Rethinking semi-supervised medical image segmentation: A variance-reduction perspective. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023.
- [57] Haoxuan You, Haotian Zhang, Zhe Gan, Xianzhi Du, Bowen Zhang, Zirui Wang, Liangliang Cao, Shih-Fu Chang, and Yinfei Yang. Ferret: Refer and ground anything anywhere at any granularity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.07704*, 2023.
- [58] Kaidong Zhang and Dong Liu. Customized segment anything model for medical image segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.13785*, 2023.
- [59] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-toimage diffusion models. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3813–3824, 2023.
- [60] Ge Zheng, Bin Yang, Jiajin Tang, Hong-Yu Zhou, and Sibei Yang. Ddcot: Duty-distinct chainof-thought prompting for multimodal reasoning in language models. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 36, 2023.
- [61] Zongwei Zhou, Md Mahfuzur Rahman Siddiquee, Nima Tajbakhsh, and Jianming Liang. Unet++: Redesigning skip connections to exploit multiscale features in image segmentation. *IEEE Trans. Medical Imaging*, pages 1856–1867, 2020.