

## **Advancing Biomedical Text Mining with Community Challenges**

Hui Zong <sup>1,†</sup>, Rongrong Wu <sup>1,†</sup>, Jiaxue Cha <sup>2</sup>, Erman Wu <sup>1</sup>, Jiakun Li <sup>1,3</sup>, Liang Tao <sup>4</sup>, Zuofeng Li <sup>5</sup>, Buzhou Tang <sup>6</sup>, Bairong Shen <sup>1,\*</sup>

1. Joint Laboratory of Artificial Intelligence for Critical Care Medicine, Department of Critical Care Medicine and Institutes for Systems Genetics, Frontiers Science Center for Disease-related Molecular Network, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610041, China.

2. Shanghai Key Laboratory of Signaling and Disease Research, Laboratory of Receptor-Based Bio-Medicine, Collaborative Innovation Center for Brain Science, School of Life Sciences and Technology, Tongji University, Shanghai, 200092, China.

3. Department of Urology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610041, China.

4. Faculty of Business Information, Shanghai Business School, Shanghai, 201400, China

5. Takeda Co. Ltd., Shanghai, 200040, China

6. Department of Computer Science, Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China

† The authors contributed equally.

\* Corresponding Author: Bairong Shen, PhD, Professor, Institutes for Systems Genetics, Frontiers Science Center for Disease-related Molecular Network, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, No. 37 Guoxue Alley, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan, China. Tel: 86-28-61528682, Email: bairong.shen@scu.edu.cn, ORCID: 0000-0003-2899-1531

## **Abstract**

The field of biomedical research has witnessed a significant increase in the accumulation of vast amounts of textual data from various sources such as scientific literatures, electronic health records, clinical trial reports, and social media. However, manually processing and analyzing these extensive and complex resources is time-consuming and inefficient. To address this challenge, biomedical text mining, also known as biomedical natural language processing, has garnered great attention. Community challenge evaluation competitions have played an important role in promoting technology innovation and interdisciplinary collaboration in biomedical text mining research. These challenges provide platforms for researchers to develop state-of-the-art solutions for data mining and information processing in biomedical research. In this article, we review the recent advances in community challenges specific to Chinese biomedical text mining. Firstly, we collect the information of these evaluation tasks, such as data sources and task types. Secondly, we conduct systematic summary and comparative analysis, including named entity recognition, entity normalization, attribute extraction, relation extraction, event extraction, text classification, text similarity, knowledge graph construction, question answering, text generation, and large language model evaluation. Then, we summarize the potential clinical applications of these community challenge tasks from translational informatics perspective. Finally, we discuss the contributions and limitations of these community challenges, while highlighting future directions in the era of large language models.

**Keywords:** biomedical text mining; biomedical natural language processing; health information processing; community challenge; shared task; translational informatics

## **1. Introduction**

Over the past few decades, the field of biomedical research has witnessed a remarkable growth in the accumulation of extensive amounts of textual data[1, 2]. These data come from various sources with a substantial volume, including scientific literatures, electronic health records, clinical trial reports, social media platforms, books, patents, and more. These data contain rich information that can be leveraged for knowledge discovery [3, 4], hypothesis generation[5, 6] and clinical practice[7, 8]. However, due to the vast amount and complexity of textual resources, manual reading and processing of data are time-consuming, labor-intensive and inefficient. Researchers and clinicians are facing the challenges of information explosion and knowledge emergence. As a result, there is a need in the development of efficient computational techniques for health information processing.

Biomedical text mining, also known as biomedical natural language processing (BioNLP) has gained significant attention [9-13]. BioNLP can extract key biological entities (such as variant, gene, protein, and disease) [14-16] and medical entities (such as treatment, surgery, and drug) [17-20], identify entity relationships [21-24], perform document classification [25, 26], information retrieval [27], and knowledge question answering [28], among other tasks. BioNLP techniques have found extensive applications in scientific research and clinical practice. For instance, the identification of new drug targets [29], the discovery of novel therapeutic interventions [30, 31], the exploration of adverse drug reactions [32], and the cohort building of clinical trials [33-35]. BioNLP techniques can also facilitate the construction of knowledge bases and ontologies [36-38], enabling efficient data integration and interoperability across different sources [39]. Furthermore, cutting-edge large language models have demonstrated remarkable applications in the fields of biomedical research and healthcare [40-42].

Community challenge evaluation competitions have emerged as crucial catalysts for promoting technological innovation and interdisciplinary collaboration in the field of BioNLP. These challenges provide platforms for researchers, data scientists, and domain experts to showcase their expertise, exchange ideas, and develop state-of-the-art solutions for data mining and information processing in the biomedical research [43]. By providing manually curated datasets and specifically defined tasks, BioNLP community challenge evaluations foster the development of robust algorithms, novel methods, and benchmark frameworks. In the past few decades, multiple renowned community challenges, including BioCreative [22, 26], TREC [44], and i2b2 [34, 45], have made significant contributions to advancing biomedical text mining technology. Similarly, China has witnessed numerous community challenges aimed at addressing biomedical and health information processing problems specific to the Chinese language [46-52]. However, there has been a lack of systematic summaries and comparative analyses of these community challenges to date. In addition, with the rapid development of large language models, such as ChatGPT, the field of biomedical text mining faces new opportunities and requirements for future organization of community challenges.

This review aims to provide a comprehensive landscape for the recent advances in community challenges of Chinese biomedical text mining. We first collect evaluation shared tasks organized by academic conference, and conduct systematic summary and comparative analysis for specific tasks, including data sources and task types. Then, we summarize the potential clinical applications of these community challenge tasks from translational informatics perspective. Finally, we discuss the contributions and limitations of these

community challenge tasks, while highlighting future directions in the era of large language models.

## **2. Community Challenges Overview**

Figure 1 presents the timeline overview of these challenges spanning the years 2017 to 2023. Each community challenge is represented by a different background color. The challenge names are presented in white color, while the specific shared tasks within each challenge are shown in black. The challenge tasks were initially introduced to the Chinese biomedical text mining community by China Conference on Knowledge Graph and Semantic Computing (CCKS) in 2017, and was subsequently gained prominence through the China Health Information Processing Conference (CHIP). Others such as Chinese Conference on Information Retrieval (CCIR), Chinese Society of Medical Information (CSMI), China National Conference on Computational Linguistics (CCL), and Digital China Innovation Contest (DCIC) also contributed in recent years.

### **2.1 CCKS**

As shown in Table 1, in 2017, CCKS held first Chinese Biomedical Text Mining Evaluation, focusing on clinical named entity recognition in electronic medical records [53]. As a basic Natural Language Processing (NLP) task, CCKS has held clinical named entity recognition task for five consecutive years from 2017 to 2021 [51-55]. In 2019, entity attribute recognition was additionally introduced [51], and in 2020, event extraction was introduced [52]. Furthermore, in 2020, knowledge graph construction and question-answering tasks specifically related to COVID-19 were organized [56]. In 2021, CCKS expanded its scope to include various task types such as medical entity recognition and event extraction, link prediction in a multi-level knowledge graph involving phenotypes, drugs, and molecules, generation of medical dialogues containing implicit entities, and reading comprehension of medical popular science knowledge [55, 57, 58]. In 2023, based on the Chinese Biomedical Language Understanding Evaluation (CBLUE) dataset, CCKS transformed 16 different NLP tasks in medical scenarios into prompt-based language generation tasks, establishing the first Chinese benchmark for evaluating language models in the medical domain [59, 60]. It is worth noting that the evaluation tasks organized in the CCKS community challenge encompass a broad range of domains, with biomedical and healthcare being just one of them.

### **2.2 CHIP**

CHIP, as an organization specialized in the processing of health information in Chinese, released multiple community challenge evaluation tasks focused on biomedical text mining each year (Table 2). In 2018, two tasks were released, namely medical entity recognition and attribute extraction, as well as health consultation question pairs matching. In 2019, three tasks were released, including clinical terminology standardization, disease question pairs similarity calculation task and clinical trial eligibility criteria text classification task [25, 61]. In 2020, six tasks were released [62, 63]. For task types, literature-based question generation and COVID-19 trends prediction are new added. For data types, in addition to electronic medical records, medication instructions and traditional Chinese medicine literature were also involved. In 2021, three tasks were released. It is worth noting that in 2021, CHIP introduced the CBLUE benchmark [64], which encompassed the 8 biomedical NLP tasks as benchmark subtasks. In 2022, five tasks were released [46-49, 65]. For the first time, CHIP included tasks related to optical character recognition and information extraction from medical paper documents [65]. Additionally, CBLUE released its second version, which comprised 18 subtasks. In 2023, six tasks were released, two of which were related to the evaluation of medical large language models. One dataset originated from CBLUE [50], while the other dataset was created manually.

### **2.3 CCIR, CSMI, CCL and DCIC**

There are some other community challenges that occasionally released biomedical text mining evaluation tasks (Table 3). For example, in 2019, CCIR introduced a task, which required system to return results given a medical event knowledge graph and a series of natural language questions. In 2020, CSMI launched a task for classifying public health questions into 6 categories. In 2021, CCL released an intelligent medical dialogue diagnosis and treatment task, which contained 3 subtasks, including the extraction of medical entities and symptom information from medical dialogue texts, the generation of structured medical reports, and the simulation of dialogues process to determine specific diseases.

### **3. Evaluation Tasks Overview**

Figure 2 presents the distribution of data sources, organizations, and artificial intelligence tasks in the Chinese biomedical text mining community challenge. For data sources, we categorize into electronic health records (EHRs) and non-electronic health records (non-EHRs). EHR comprises various types such as radiology reports, pathology reports, and scanned documents of paper-based medical records. Non-EHR consists of published literatures (e.g., title and abstract text), internet medical data (e.g., health consultation questions, medical popularization content, online consultation data, and doctor-patient question-answering dialogues), clinical experiment registration documents (e.g., eligibility criteria text), clinical

practice guidelines, medical textbooks, and medication instructions. It is important to note that most tasks used textual data from a single source, with only a few tasks incorporating both EHR and non-EHR data. For task organization, the results indicate that the majority of tasks are jointly organized by researchers from both academia and industry, highlighting the intersection and collaboration between the fields of medicine and engineering. Additionally, the number of tasks organized by academic researchers is higher than industrial researchers, suggesting that academia plays a pivotal role in driving advancements within the field. For artificial intelligence tasks, named entity recognition is the most focused task, followed by other tasks including question answering, text classification, relationship extraction, entity normalization, knowledge graph, text similarity, event extraction, large language model evaluation, attribute extraction, optical character recognition, and text generation. In the following sections, we will provide detailed descriptions of these tasks.

### **3.1 Information Extraction**

Information extraction is a fundamental task in the field of biomedical text mining, encompassing the extraction of domain-specific entities, attributes, relationships, and events from both structured and unstructured biomedical texts.

Named entity recognition is the most common task in Chinese biomedical text mining research. From 2017 to 2021, CCKS continuously organized clinical named entity recognition tasks. These task data were all derived from electronic medical records from real hospitals, with variations in entity types and dataset sizes each year. In 2017, the task defined five entity types, including symptom and sign, examination and test, disease and diagnosis, treatment, and body part [53]. In 2018, the task defined five entity types, including anatomical site, symptom description, symptoms item, medication, and surgery [54]. In 2019, the task defined the same five entity types as 2017 [51]. In 2020, six entity types were defined, including disease and diagnosis, examination, test, surgery, medication, and anatomical site [52]. The entity types for 2021 remained the same as in 2020 [55]. The named entity recognition tasks organized by CHIP are more diverse in terms of data types and entity types. In 2020, two named entity recognition tasks were released. One of them focused on identifying and extracting entities from Chinese traditional medication instruction texts, consisting of 13 types: drug-related entities, including drug, drug ingredient, disease, symptom, syndrome, disease group, food, food group, person group, drug group, drug dosage, drug taste, and drug efficacy. The other task aimed to identify and extract clinical entities from medical documents, with entities divided into 9 types, including diseases, symptom, drug, medical equipment, procedure, body part, test item, microorganism, and department [62]. In 2023, two named entity recognition tasks were released. One task focused on few-shot medical named entity recognition, defining 15 labels, including

item, sociology, disease, etiology, body, age, adjuvant, therapy, electroencephalogram, equipment, drug, procedure, treatment, microorganism, department, epidemiology, symptom, and others. Another task focused on identifying PICOS information from Chinese medical literatures, where PICOS elements include population, intervention, comparison, outcome, and study design. Additionally, in 2021, DCIC organized a task that required identifying annotated entities from pathology reports of tumors.

Entity normalization is generally performed after named entity recognition. The purpose of entity normalization is to map entities to a unified standard terminology in order to facilitate information exchange and knowledge sharing. In the field of biomedical research, commonly used standard terminologies include International Classification of Diseases 10th Revision (ICD-10), Unified Medical Language System (UMLS), Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms (SNOMED CT), and others. In 2019, the CHIP organized a entity normalization task with the aim of mapping surgery-related entities in Chinese EHRs to the standard terminology "ICD9-2017 Peking Union Medical College Clinical Edition". In 2020 and 2021, CHIP organized two entity normalization tasks for diagnosis-related entities using the standard terminology "ICD-10 Beijing Clinical Edition v601".

Event extraction refers to the process of identifying specific events or occurrences mentioned in text. Attribute extraction aims to extract specific attributes or features from text. In clinical, it focuses on extracting events or attributes related to healthcare and clinical processes. For example, in the radiology reports of lung cancer and breast cancer, the task defined by CHIP in 2018 included three attributes: tumor size, primary tumor site, and metastatic site. Subsequently, CCKS organized tasks in 2019 and 2020 to further explore the extraction of the same three attributes [51, 52]. In 2021, CHIP organized the clinical event extraction task, which aimed to identify four attributes from a given medical history or medical imaging report: anatomical location, subject, description, and occurrence status.

Relation extraction is the process of identifying entities from unstructured texts and determining the relationships between these entities. In 2020, CHIP organized a relation extraction task which defined 53 types of relationships, and required the analysis of medical text sentences to output of all relationships that met the specified conditions [63]. In 2022, CHIP organized another relation extraction task that focused on extracting three key types of medical causal inference relationships from online consultation texts [46]. These relationships include causal relationships, conditional relationships, and hypothetical relationships.

Optical character recognition is a specific type of information extraction task, enabling conversion of printed or handwritten text into machine-readable text. In clinical practice,

various paper-based medical documents are generated, and the information contained within them can be used for assist in clinical diagnosis and medical insurance claims [66]. In 2022, CHIP released a task in which the organizer collected scanned images of four types of medical records, including discharge summaries, outpatient invoices, medication invoices, and hospitalization invoices [65]. The task explored the structured data generation and information extraction, which would be utilized for insurance claims. In 2023, CHIP released another task with a corpus of scanned drug package inserts, aiming to identify entities and relationships within them.

Some information extraction tasks may contain multiple subtasks. For example, in 2022, CHIP organized a task which aims to extract semantic associations between genes and diseases from scientific literature [48]. This task defined 12 types of named entities, including nine molecular objects and three regulations. It also required recognizing two semantic roles: ThemeOf and CauseOf, as well as four types of regulatory types: Loss of Function (LOF), Gain of Function (GOF), Regulation (REG), and Compound change of a function (COM). In another task released by CHIP in 2022, which aims to extract medical decision trees from unstructured texts such as clinical practice guidelines and medical textbooks [49]. The task required the system to identify entities and relationships within the text, and interconnect the information in order to construct a complete clinical decision-making process.

### **3.2 Text Classification and Text Similarity**

Text classification refers to the task of categorizing texts in the field of biomedical sciences based on their themes, types, or other characteristics. In 2019, CHIP introduced a clinical trial eligibility criteria text classification task, which aimed to classify criteria sentences into 44 predefined semantic categories [25, 61]. In 2021, CHIP released a task which aims to classify clinical descriptions collected from internet into negative and positive categories, based on their relevance to patient conditions. In 2023, CHIP released an internet diabetes consultation question classification task, which defined six classes, including diagnosis, treatment, common knowledge, healthy lifestyle, epidemiology, and other. In 2021, CSMI organized a task focused public health questions, which defined six classes, including diagnosis, treatment, anatomy/physiology, epidemiology, healthy lifestyle, and physician selection. It is worth noting that all of these tasks are single-label classification tasks.

Text similarity refers to the measurement of the semantic or content-related similarity between two texts. For instance, in the tasks released by CHIP in 2018 and 2019, patient health consultation question corpora were collected from the internet, and given two questions, the objective was to determine if their intents were the same. On the other hand, in the task released



by CCKS in 2021, focusing on medical popular science knowledge, questions and answers were provided, and the objective was to judge whether they matched or not [57].

### **3.3 Knowledge Graph and Question Answering**

The knowledge graph is a structured knowledge representation used to store and organize knowledge. It consists of a network of entities and their relationships. Knowledge graph have extensive applications in the field of biomedicine, it provides a way to organize and integrate diverse biomedical data from various sources, enabling researchers to explore complex relationships and make new discoveries. Biomedical knowledge question answering utilizes the biomedical knowledge graph and NLP techniques to enable computers to answer natural language questions related to biomedicine or healthcare, assisting doctors and researchers in more efficiently accessing and applying biomedical knowledge.

In 2020, CCKS released the task of construction and question-answering of COVID-19 knowledge graph [56]. It defined four subtasks, including: 1) inference of entity types in the COVID-19 knowledge graph, 2) prediction of hierarchical relationships between entities, 3) link prediction, such as targeted effects of drugs and viruses or protein interactions, and 4) knowledge question answering: constructing question-answering data focused on specific subjects such as health, medicine, and disease prevention and control related to COVID-19. In 2021, CCKS released the task for link prediction in a multi-level knowledge graph of phenotypes, drugs, and molecules, aims to predict seven relationship categories [57]. In 2019, CCIR organized a task that provided a medical event graph built with EHRs, along with a series of natural language questions, requiring participants to build systems that can automatically return results for the given questions.

### **3.4 Text Generation and Knowledge Reasoning**

Text generation refers to the process of generate natural language text, while knowledge reasoning refers to the process of using existing knowledge and information to make inferences. Both of them are complex and challenging NLP tasks. In 2020, CHIP organized a question generation task focused on Chinese traditional medical literatures and related texts from internet forum. Participants were required to develop algorithms that process these texts and generate questions. In 2021, CCKS organized a dialogue generation task, which was based on the medical dialogue dataset MedDG [67] related to 12 types of common gastrointestinal diseases. The task aimed to generate question-answer pairs containing 160 related entities from five categories: diseases, symptoms, attributes, examinations, and medications. At same year, CCKS organized another task focused on the reading comprehension of Chinese popular medical knowledge. Given texts and questions, the task aims to extract corresponding text spans

as answers. For medical knowledge reasoning, in 2021, CCL released the medical dialogue based intelligent diagnosis evaluation task, which explored the identification of medical entities and symptom information from doctor-patient dialogue texts, the automatic generation of medical reports, and the simulation of dialogue process to determine specific diseases. In 2022, CHIP organized a task, which aims to automatic clinical diagnostic coding by given relevant diagnostic information (e.g., admission diagnosis, preoperative diagnosis, postoperative diagnosis, and discharge diagnosis), surgery, medication, and medical advice [47].

### **3.5 Large Language Model Evaluation**

Large language model (LLM) possesses powerful capability in text understanding and generation. Extensive research has explored wide range of potential applications for LLM. In biomedical and healthcare fields, higher evaluation standards are required for development and application of LLM due to its specialization, rigor, privacy, and ethical considerations. Ensuring the reliability and credibility of these models in clinical applications is crucial, making comprehensive evaluations of biomedical LLM extremely important. In 2023, based on the benchmark of CBLUE [64], CCKS organized a task which transformed various NLP tasks within different medical scenarios into prompt-based language generation tasks, creating a large-scale prompt tuning benchmark PromptCBLUE[59, 60]. Next, the organizers optimized the dataset and conducted evaluation tasks at CHIP in 2023 [50]. Additionally, CHIP organized another task in 2023, which released a dataset CHIP-YIER-LLM contains various multiple-choice questions collected from medical licensing exams, medical textbooks, medical literatures, clinical practice guidelines, publicly available EHRs. This task was designed to assess the capabilities of LLM in the field of biomedical research.

## **4. Translational Informatics in Biomedical Text Mining**

Based on the perspective of translational informatics, Figure 3 demonstrates the community challenges in biomedical text mining, which aims in health information processing that collected from diverse biomedical resources by leveraging various NLP technologies, and has numerous applications in clinical translational research. Translational informatics refers to the application of data science and computational approaches to bridge the gap between biomedical research and clinical practice. In this context, biomedical text mining plays a crucial role in extracting valuable information from large volumes of biomedical texts, such as electronic health records, scientific literatures, clinical trial reports, medical books, clinical practice guidelines, and even the internet. By using a variety of NLP technologies, including named entity recognition, normalization, attribute extraction, relation extraction, event extraction, text classification, text similarity, knowledge graph, question answering, and text

generation, biomedical text mining enables the efficient processing and analysis of biomedical texts, leading to various clinical translational applications such as medical text mining and information processing, clinical data management, terminology standardization, health consultation, question-answering, disease prediction, epidemic forecasting, clinical decision-making, and knowledge discovery.

## **5. Discussion and Perspective**

### **5.1. Contributions of Community Challenges**

Community challenges has made significant contributions for biomedical text mining research in many aspects. We summarize five notable contributions ranging from research level to application level, including driving the development of data standards and evaluation benchmarks, promoting technological innovation and development, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration and communication, promoting education and training, facilitating translational informatics application (see Figure 4).

#### **Datasets and benchmarks**

The community challenges generally release datasets that are related to specific NLP tasks. These datasets are manually annotated by domain experts, and are sourced from a wide range of biomedical materials, such as published literatures, clinical records, and internet forums. These datasets serve as valuable resources for training and evaluating models, as well as benchmarks for comparing the performance of different approaches. For example, researchers have developed the benchmark CBLUE [64], which combines datasets from multiple shared tasks and aims to evaluate the model's ability in Chinese biomedical language understanding. Moreover, Recently, researchers have also transformed numerous tasks into prompt-based language generation tasks and construct an evaluation benchmark PromptCBLUE [59], which aims to evaluate the capabilities of large language models in healthcare domain.

#### **Technology innovation and development**

The community challenges encourage participants to propose state-of-the-art algorithms and methodologies to address problems in biomedical text mining. This competitive environment stimulates researchers' innovative thinking and technological advancements, driving the development and breakthroughs in the field. Taking the information extraction tasks as an example. Initially, early solutions relied on rule-based and dictionary-based methods. Then, deep neural network methods, particularly long short-term memory (LSTM) architecture, gradually gained traction and garnered significant attention. Subsequently, BERT renowned for

their powerful text representation capabilities, were often used by participants and found applications in various biomedical fields [68]. In recent research, large language models such as ChatGPT have also been leveraged for purposes such as data augmentation or zero-shot information extraction [69], showcasing their potential for advancing the field even further.

### **Interdisciplinary collaboration and communication**

Numerous experts with different research background participate in these community challenges. These experts, hailing from both academia and industry, come together through academic conferences to showcase their algorithm architectures, performance outcomes, and explore potential collaboration opportunities. Additionally, the community challenges also provide benefits to participants through the publication of papers on specific task topics. This interdisciplinary collaboration and communication play a crucial role in promoting knowledge sharing and cooperation among different domains, thus driving the development of biomedical text mining.

### **Education and training**

The evaluation tasks serve as valuable learning and practical resources for students and beginners in the BioNLP domain. They allow participants to focus on specific tasks, engage in competition, discuss with experts, and improve their skills by evaluating their methods and receiving constructive feedback. After the task is completed, the researchers can further utilize the dataset to evaluate the algorithms and publish articles. Moreover, these shared tasks also provide open access to relevant datasets, which are often used by universities for teaching courses and practical exercises to assess student learning performance. It is worth noting that some datasets explicitly state their restriction to be used only during community challenges and are not made available thereafter.

### **Translational informatics application**

Biomedical text mining has the potential to contribute significantly to the standardization of knowledge. By extracting valuable information from vast volumes of biomedical literature, it becomes possible to establish high-quality knowledge bases and ontologies. These resources, in turn, can offer timely evidence-based information for researchers, clinicians, and policy makers. For example, mining the gene-disease semantic associations from literatures can help researchers in discovering novel gene candidates related to diseases [70], while extracting PICOS information from the literature can contribute to the construction of clinical evidence knowledge bases [71]. Biomedical text mining plays a crucial role in clinical decision support. Through the extraction and normalization of clinical information, large quantities of unstructured clinical textual data can be converted into structured and computable formats. This

transformation enables clinicians to access comprehensive and accurate patient information, facilitating clinical diagnosis and treatment recommendations. Moreover, biomedical text mining techniques can also be employed in epidemic trend prediction and risk assessment. By mining extensive clinical textual data, it becomes possible to identify key features and patterns associated with patients, which enabling the implementation of appropriate intervention measures to improve patient health outcomes. Biomedical text mining holds significant potential for commercial applications. In the community challenges, multiples evaluation tasks are organized by company. These tasks typically employ data from real-world healthcare sources and have clear clinical application scenarios, such as patient health consultation, and automated question answering. The successful development of solutions for these tasks can provide opportunities and competitive advantages for businesses.

## **5.2. Limitations of Current Community Challenges**

There are some limitations in current community challenge evaluation tasks on biomedical text mining.

Firstly, there is a lack of representativeness of data in evaluation tasks. Biomedical and healthcare data possess sensitivity and privacy concerns, making it difficult to obtain large-scale datasets. This results in many tasks using small or synthetic datasets, which may limit the representativeness and applicability. Data quality and annotation are also challenging, as the annotation of medical data are complex and requires high levels of expertise from annotators. For data types, many tasks only collect a single type of data. In the future, task organizer should consider incorporating data from multiple sources and modalities. Additionally, some datasets are restricted for use only during the evaluations and not made available afterward, limiting the impact of community challenge evaluation tasks.

Secondly, the developed solutions may lack sufficient innovation and exhibit poor reproducibility. Some participants tend to apply established methods to achieve quick results, rather than exploring novel and innovative approaches. This can lead to a lack of innovation and diversity in methods, limiting further technical advancements. On the other hand, some algorithms may perform well on specific datasets but fail to generalize to others, reducing their broad applicability and reliability. To encourage innovation, future community challenges should emphasize the exploration of novel techniques and reward participants for their creativity. When integrating with existing systems, algorithm performance must be evaluated across multiple datasets and scenarios to ensure robustness and generalizability.

Lastly, there exists a gap between evaluation tasks and applications in clinical practice. These tasks are generally abstracted from complex real-world problems and simplified to

facilitate evaluation and comparison. However, such simplification may not reflect the complexity, diversity, and ambiguity of problems in real-world clinical settings. For example, several tasks focus on fundamental NLP tasks, such as medical information extraction and text classification, while more intricate challenges closely aligned with clinical practice are lacking. In real-world clinical practice, models always need to handle more noise and uncertainty, which may not have been fully considered in current evaluation tasks. Therefore, even systems that perform well in evaluation tasks may not be able to achieve similar results in practical applications.

### **5.3. Future Perspectives in the Era of Large Language Models**

Here, we summarize six perspectives that can enhance the community challenges in biomedical text mining in the era of large language models.

#### **Comprehensive biomedical evaluation benchmark**

With the emergence of large language models such as ChatGPT and its successors, there is an urgent need for comprehensive evaluation of their capability in biomedical text mining or healthcare information processing. These capabilities should include medical information retrieval, medical language understanding, medical text generation, medical knowledge question answering, clinical decision reasoning, and more. To address this, evaluation benchmarks like PromptCBLUE [50, 59], CMB [72] and MedBench [73] have been proposed.

#### **Handling multi-source and multimodal data**

Biomedical text data encompasses a wide range of sources, including published literatures, electronic medical records, textbooks, and social media, etc. Additionally, Biomedical data exist in various modalities such as images, videos, and audio. Utilizing these diverse sources and multimodal data can provide more comprehensive information for diagnosis, treatment, and research [39]. Therefore, evaluating the model's ability to integrate multiple sources and process multimodal data is crucial in future community challenges. This may involve tasks such as cross-modal information fusion, cross-modal querying and retrieval.

#### **Leveraging domain-specific knowledge**

The field of biomedicine has a large number of software, tools, and knowledge bases. Integrating their functionalities into large language models can greatly enhance the models' capabilities. For example, the model can automatically invoke appropriate software and tools to parse, annotate, and analyze biomedical data [74]. Moreover, the model can extract relevant information from knowledge bases to generate more accurate responses and reduce erroneous information.

## **Data privacy and security**

The collection and sharing of biomedical data raise concerns about data privacy and security [75]. It is necessary to consider how to protect sensitive information while performing text mining and information processing using large language models. Future community challenges should include evaluation tasks related to data privacy and security to ensure responsible development and deployment of these models.

## **Interpretability**

Interpreting and understanding the predictions of models is crucial in clinical practice. Reliable explanations and evidence-based support are necessary for clinical decision-making. However, evaluating the interpretability of models represents a significant challenge. This may involve explaining the decision-making process of the model, providing reliable evidence and explanations for the predictions, and effectively interacting with clinical experts to ensure that model's predictions are correctly understood or adjusted based on their knowledge and experience.

## **Integration with translational applications**

Applying large language models to clinical practice is an important goal. Evaluating the model's ability to integrate with clinical translational applications is a key challenge. This may involve integrating the model into medical knowledge bases, hospital information systems, clinical decision support systems, or other clinical workflows. By doing so, the translation of research findings into practical healthcare solutions can be accelerated.

## **Acknowledgements**

We thank the community challenges for providing an open platform, the organizers of evaluation tasks for defining tasks and providing datasets, and the participants of the tasks for developing algorithms or systems.

## **Author contributions**

HZ and RW performed data collection, investigation, and analysis. HZ drafted the manuscript. RW revised the manuscript. JC, EW, JL and LT provided constructive suggestion and technique assistance; BS obtained the funding; ZL, BT and BS supervised the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

## Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

## Data availability

No datasets were created in this study. The datasets in evaluation tasks of community challenges can be found in related websites or papers.

## Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32270690 and 32070671).

## References

1. Lu, Z., PubMed and beyond: a survey of web tools for searching biomedical literature. *Database (Oxford)*, 2011. 2011: p. baq036.
2. Chen, Q., et al., LitCovid in 2022: an information resource for the COVID-19 literature. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2023. 51(D1): p. D1512-D1518.
3. Wang, Y., et al., A knowledge empowered explainable gene ontology fingerprint approach to improve gene functional explication and prediction. *iScience*, 2023. 26(4): p. 106356.
4. Shen, L., et al., The fourth scientific discovery paradigm for precision medicine and healthcare: Challenges ahead. *Precis Clin Med*, 2021. 4(2): p. 80-84.
5. Bekhuis, T., Conceptual biology, hypothesis discovery, and text mining: Swanson's legacy. *Biomed Digit Libr*, 2006. 3: p. 2.
6. Gopalakrishnan, V., et al., Towards self-learning based hypotheses generation in biomedical text domain. *Bioinformatics*, 2018. 34(12): p. 2103-2115.
7. He, J., et al., The practical implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in medicine. *Nat Med*, 2019. 25(1): p. 30-36.
8. Shortliffe, E.H. and M.J. Sepulveda, Clinical Decision Support in the Era of Artificial Intelligence. *JAMA*, 2018. 320(21): p. 2199-2200.
9. Zhu, F., et al., Biomedical text mining and its applications in cancer research. *J Biomed Inform*, 2013. 46(2): p. 200-11.
10. Przybyla, P., et al., Text mining resources for the life sciences. *Database (Oxford)*, 2016. 2016.
11. Allot, A., et al., LitSense: making sense of biomedical literature at sentence level. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2019. 47(W1): p. W594-W599.
12. Wei, C.H., et al., PubTator central: automated concept annotation for biomedical full text articles. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2019. 47(W1): p. W587-W593.
13. Zhao, S., et al., Recent advances in biomedical literature mining. *Brief Bioinform*, 2021. 22(3).




14. Wei, C.H., H.Y. Kao, and Z. Lu, PubTator: a web-based text mining tool for assisting biocuration. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2013. 41(Web Server issue): p. W518-22.
15. Dogan, R.I., R. Leaman, and Z. Lu, NCBI disease corpus: a resource for disease name recognition and concept normalization. *J Biomed Inform*, 2014. 47: p. 1-10.
16. Wei, C.H., et al., tmVar: a text mining approach for extracting sequence variants in biomedical literature. *Bioinformatics*, 2013. 29(11): p. 1433-9.
17. Lei, J., et al., A comprehensive study of named entity recognition in Chinese clinical text. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, 2014. 21(5): p. 808-14.
18. Yang, X., et al., Clinical concept extraction using transformers. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, 2020. 27(12): p. 1935-1942.
19. Hu, Y., et al., Towards precise PICO extraction from abstracts of randomized controlled trials using a section-specific learning approach. *Bioinformatics*, 2023. 39(9).
20. Krallinger, M., et al., The CHEMDNER corpus of chemicals and drugs and its annotation principles. *J Cheminform*, 2015. 7(Suppl 1 Text mining for chemistry and the CHEMDNER track): p. S2.
21. Luo, L., et al., BioRED: a rich biomedical relation extraction dataset. *Brief Bioinform*, 2022. 23(5).
22. Li, J., et al., BioCreative V CDR task corpus: a resource for chemical disease relation extraction. *Database (Oxford)*, 2016. 2016.
23. Liu, S., et al., Drug-Drug Interaction Extraction via Convolutional Neural Networks. *Comput Math Methods Med*, 2016. 2016: p. 6918381.
24. Chen, J., et al., Biomedical relation extraction via knowledge-enhanced reading comprehension. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 2022. 23(1): p. 20.
25. Zong, H., et al., Semantic categorization of Chinese eligibility criteria in clinical trials using machine learning methods. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*, 2021. 21(1): p. 128.
26. Chen, Q., et al., Multi-label classification for biomedical literature: an overview of the BioCreative VII LitCovid Track for COVID-19 literature topic annotations. *Database (Oxford)*, 2022. 2022.
27. Fiorini, N., et al., Best Match: New relevance search for PubMed. *PLoS Biol*, 2018. 16(8): p. e2005343.
28. Chen, Y., et al., Prostate cancer management with lifestyle intervention: From knowledge graph to Chatbot. *Clinical and Translational Discovery*, 2022. 2(1): p. e29.
29. Chakraborty, C., M. Bhattacharya, and S.S. Lee, Artificial intelligence enabled ChatGPT and large language models in drug target discovery, drug discovery, and development. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids*, 2023. 33: p. 866-868.
30. Malgaroli, M., et al., Natural language processing for mental health interventions: a systematic review and research framework. *Transl Psychiatry*, 2023. 13(1): p. 309.
31. Liu, S., et al., SHAPE: A Sample-Adaptive Hierarchical Prediction Network for Medication Recommendation. *IEEE J Biomed Health Inform*, 2023. 27(12): p. 6018-6028.
32. Liu, M., et al., Large-scale prediction of adverse drug reactions using chemical, biological, and phenotypic properties of drugs. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, 2012. 19(e1): p. e28-35.
33. Xiong, Y., et al., A Unified Machine Reading Comprehension Framework for Cohort Selection. *IEEE J Biomed Health Inform*, 2022. 26(1): p. 379-387.

34. Stubbs, A., et al., Cohort selection for clinical trials: n2c2 2018 shared task track 1. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, 2019. 26(11): p. 1163-1171.
35. Xiong, Y., et al., Cohort selection for clinical trials using hierarchical neural network. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, 2019. 26(11): p. 1203-1208.
36. Singhal, A., M. Simmons, and Z. Lu, Text Mining Genotype-Phenotype Relationships from Biomedical Literature for Database Curation and Precision Medicine. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 2016. 12(11): p. e1005017.
37. Tong, Y., et al., ViMRT: a text-mining tool and search engine for automated virus mutation recognition. *Bioinformatics*, 2023. 39(1).
38. Li, P.H., et al., pubmedKB: an interactive web server for exploring biomedical entity relations in the biomedical literature. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2022. 50(W1): p. W616-W622.
39. Kline, A., et al., Multimodal machine learning in precision health: A scoping review. *NPJ Digit Med*, 2022. 5(1): p. 171.
40. Zong, H., et al., Performance of ChatGPT on Chinese national medical licensing examinations: a five-year examination evaluation study for physicians, pharmacists and nurses. *BMC Med Educ*, 2024. 24(1): p. 143.
41. Wornow, M., et al., The shaky foundations of large language models and foundation models for electronic health records. *NPJ Digit Med*, 2023. 6(1): p. 135.
42. Thirunavukarasu, A.J., et al., Large language models in medicine. *Nat Med*, 2023. 29(8): p. 1930-1940.
43. Huang, C.C. and Z. Lu, Community challenges in biomedical text mining over 10 years: success, failure and the future. *Brief Bioinform*, 2016. 17(1): p. 132-44.
44. Roberts, K., et al., Searching for scientific evidence in a pandemic: An overview of TREC-COVID. *J Biomed Inform*, 2021. 121: p. 103865.
45. Mahajan, D., et al., Overview of the 2022 n2c2 shared task on contextualized medication event extraction in clinical notes. *J Biomed Inform*, 2023. 144: p. 104432.
46. Li, Z., et al. CHIP2022 Shared Task Overview: Medical Causal Entity Relationship Extraction. in *Health Information Processing. Evaluation Track Papers*. 2023. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
47. Luo, G., et al. Overview of CHIP 2022 Shared Task 5: Clinical Diagnostic Coding. in *Health Information Processing. Evaluation Track Papers*. 2023. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
48. Ouyang, S., et al. Text Mining Task for “Gene-Disease” Association Semantics in CHIP 2022. in *Health Information Processing. Evaluation Track Papers*. 2023. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
49. Zhu, W., et al. Extracting Decision Trees from Medical Texts: An Overview of the Text2DT Track in CHIP2022. in *Health Information Processing. Evaluation Track Papers*. 2023. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
50. Zhu, W., et al. Overview of the PromptCBLUE Shared Task in CHIP2023. 2023. arXiv:2312.17522 DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2312.17522.
51. Han, X., et al., Overview of the CCKS 2019 knowledge graph evaluation track: entity, relation, event and QA. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.03875, 2020.
52. Li, X., et al., Overview of CCKS 2020 Task 3: named entity recognition and event extraction in Chinese electronic medical records. *Data Intelligence*, 2021. 3(3): p. 376-388.


53. Xia, Y. and Q. Wang. Clinical named entity recognition: ECUST in the CCKS-2017 shared task 2. in CEUR workshop proceedings. 2017.
54. Zhang, J., et al. Overview of CCKS 2018 Task 1: named entity recognition in Chinese electronic medical records. in Knowledge Graph and Semantic Computing: Knowledge Computing and Language Understanding: 4th China Conference, CCKS 2019, Hangzhou, China, August 24–27, 2019, Revised Selected Papers 4. 2019. Springer.
55. Ma, C. and W. Huang. Named Entity Recognition and Event Extraction in Chinese Electronic Medical Records. 2022. Singapore: Springer Singapore.
56. Jia, T., et al., Link prediction based on tensor decomposition for the knowledge graph of COVID-19 antiviral drug. *Data Intelligence*, 2022. 4(1): p. 134-148.
57. Qin, B., et al., Ccks 2021-evaluation track. 2022: Springer.
58. Wang, Y., et al. End-to-End Pre-trained Dialogue System for Automatic Diagnosis. 2022. Singapore: Springer Singapore.
59. Zhu, W., et al. PromptCBLUE: A Chinese Prompt Tuning Benchmark for the Medical Domain. 2023. arXiv:2310.14151 DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2310.14151.
60. Ling, H., et al. Advanced PromptCBLUE Performance: A Novel Approach Leveraging Large Language Models. in Knowledge Graph and Semantic Computing: Knowledge Graph Empowers Artificial General Intelligence. 2023. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
61. Zong, H., et al., [Artificial intelligence based Chinese clinical trials eligibility criteria classification]. *Sheng Wu Yi Xue Gong Cheng Xue Za Zhi*, 2021. 38(1): p. 105-110.
62. Hongying, Z., et al. Building a pediatric medical corpus: Word segmentation and named entity annotation. in Chinese Lexical Semantics: 21st Workshop, CLSW 2020, Hong Kong, China, May 28–30, 2020, Revised Selected Papers 21. 2021. Springer.
63. Guan, T., et al. CMeIE: Construction and evaluation of Chinese medical information extraction dataset. in Natural Language Processing and Chinese Computing: 9th CCF International Conference, NLPCC 2020, Zhengzhou, China, October 14–18, 2020, Proceedings, Part I 9. 2020. Springer.
64. Zhang, N., et al. CBLUE: A Chinese Biomedical Language Understanding Evaluation Benchmark. 2021. arXiv:2106.08087 DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2106.08087.
65. Liu, L., et al. Information Extraction of Medical Materials: An Overview of the Track of Medical Materials MedOCR. 2023. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
66. Ma, M.W., et al., Extracting laboratory test information from paper-based reports. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*, 2023. 23(1): p. 251.
67. Liu, W., et al., MedDG: An Entity-Centric Medical Consultation Dataset for Entity-Aware Medical Dialogue Generation, in Natural Language Processing and Chinese Computing: 11th CCF International Conference, NLPCC 2022, Guilin, China, September 24–25, 2022, Proceedings, Part I. 2022, Springer-Verlag: Guilin, China. p. 447–459.
68. Devlin, J., et al., Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805, 2018.
69. Hu, D., et al., Zero-shot information extraction from radiological reports using ChatGPT. *Int J Med Inform*, 2024. 183: p. 105321.
70. Pinero, J., et al., The DisGeNET knowledge platform for disease genomics: 2019 update. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2020. 48(D1): p. D845-D855.

71. Marshall, I.J., et al., Trialstreamer: A living, automatically updated database of clinical trial reports. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*, 2020. 27(12): p. 1903-1912.
72. Wang, X., et al., Cmb: A comprehensive medical benchmark in chinese. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.08833*, 2023.
73. Cai, Y., et al., Medbench: A large-scale chinese benchmark for evaluating medical large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.12806*, 2023.
74. Schick, T., et al., Toolformer: Language models can teach themselves to use tools. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024. 36.
75. Price, W.N., 2nd and I.G. Cohen, Privacy in the age of medical big data. *Nat Med*, 2019. 25(1): p. 37-43.


## Figure Legends and Tables




**Figure 1.** Timeline overview of community challenges in Chinese biomedical text mining. Different challenges are shown by different background colors. The challenge names are shown in white, while the specific tasks within each challenge are shown in black. CCKS: China Conference on Knowledge Graph and Semantic Computing, CHIP: China Health Information Processing Conference, CCIR: Chinese Conference on Information Retrieval, CSMI: Chinese Society of Medical Information, CCL: China National Conference on Computational Linguistics, DCIC: Digital China Innovation Contest.



**Figure 2.** The distribution of data sources, organizations, and artificial intelligence tasks in Chinese biomedical text mining community challenges.




**Figure 3.** The perspective of translational informatics for biomedical text mining community challenges, which plays a crucial role in health information processing that collected from diverse biomedical resources by leveraging various natural language processing technologies, and has numerous applications in clinical translational research.



**Figure 4.** The contributions of community challenges of biomedical text mining.





**Figure 5.** The six future perspectives of community challenges of biomedical text mining in the era of large language models.

**Table 1.** Summary of evaluation tasks in China Conference on Knowledge Graph and Semantic Computing (CCKS).

<b>Community challenge</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Evaluation task</b>	<b>Brief task description</b>
CCKS	2023	PromptCBLUE	CHIP-PromptCBLUE medical large model evaluation
	2021	CNER-EE	Entity and event extraction of Chinese electronic medical records
	2021	Phe-Drug-Mol	Link Prediction of Phenotype-Drug-Molecular Multilevel Knowledge Graph
	2021	MedDG	Chinese Medical Dialogue Generation Incorporating Implicit Entities
	2021	CMRC	Reading Comprehension for Chinese Medical Popular Science Knowledge
	2020	Covid19	Construction and Question-Answering of COVID-19 Knowledge Graph
	2020	CNER-EE	Entity and event extraction of Chinese electronic medical records
	2019	CNER-AE	Named entity recognition and attribute extraction for electronic health records
	2018	CNER	Named entity recognition for electronic health records
	2017	CNER	Named entity recognition for electronic health records

**Table 2.** Summary of evaluation tasks in China Health Information Processing Conference (CHIP).

<b>Community challenge</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Evaluation task</b>	<b>Brief task description</b>
CHIP	2023	PromptCBLUE	CHIP-PromptCBLUE medical large model evaluation
	2023	NER	Chinese medical text few-shot named entity recognition evaluation
	2023	MedOCR	Drug paper document recognition and entity relation extraction
	2023	YIER-LLM	CHIP-YIER medical large model evaluation task
	2023	PICOS	Medical literature PICOS identification
	2023	DTC	Chinese diabetes question classification evaluation
	2022	AGAC	Text mining task for gene-disease association semantics
	2022	CMedCausal	Medical causal entity and relation extraction
	2022	Text2DT	Extracting medical decision trees from medical texts
	2022	MedOCR	Identification of electronic medical paper documents
	2022	CDN	Clinical diagnostic coding
	2021	MDCFNPC	Classifying positive and negative clinical findings in medical dialog
	2021	CDEE	Event extraction of clinical discovery
	2021	CDN	Normalization of Chinese clinical terminology
	2020	CMeEE	Chinese medical text named entity recognition
	2020	CMeIE	Chinese medical text relationship extraction
	2020	CDN	Clinical terminology normalization
	2020	Covid19	Prediction of epidemic trends in COVID-19
	2020	TCM-QA	Question generation of traditional Chinese medicine literature
	2020	TCM-NER	Entity recognition in traditional Chinese medicine instructions
	2019	CDN	Clinical terminology normalization
	2019	STS	Disease question-answering based on transfer learning
	2019	CTC	Text classification of Chinese clinical trials eligibility criteria
	2018	CNER-AE	Entity and attribute extraction of Chinese electronic medical records
2018	STS	Patient health consultation question pairs matching	

**Table 3.** Summary of evaluation tasks in Chinese Conference on Information Retrieval (CCIR), Chinese Society of Medical Information (CSMI), China National Conference on Computational Linguistics (CCL), and Digital China Innovation Contest (DCIC).

<b>Community challenge</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Evaluation task</b>	<b>Brief task description</b>
DCIC	2021	CNER	Medical entity recognition based on pathology report text
CCL	2021	IMCS	Intelligent medical dialogue diagnosis and evaluation
CSMI	2020	PHQC	Classification of public health questions
CCIR	2019	EMR-Query	Data query-based question answering using electronic health records