

Artificial Intelligence and Diabetes Mellitus: An Inside Look Through the Retina

Yasin Sadeghi-Bazargani ^{1, #}, Majid Mirzaei ^{1, #}, Navid Sobhi ^{2, #}, Mirsaeed Abdollahi ², Ali Jafarizadeh ^{2, *}, Siamak Pedrammehr ³, Roohallah Alizadehsani ^{4, *}, Ru-San Tan ^{5,6}, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam ^{7,8,9}, U. Rajendra Acharya ^{10,11}

¹ Student Research Committee, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

² Nikookari Eye Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

³ Faculty of Design, Tabriz Islamic Art University, Tabriz, Iran

⁴ Institute for Intelligent Systems Research and Innovation (IISRI), Deakin University, VIC 3216, Australia

⁵ National Heart Centre Singapore, Singapore

⁶ Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore

⁷ Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition, School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC, Australia

⁸ Cardiovascular Division, The George Institute for Global Health, Newtown, Australia

⁹ Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Camperdown, Australia

¹⁰ School of Mathematics, Physics, and Computing, University of Southern Queensland, Springfield, QLD 4300, Australia

¹¹ Centre for Health Research, University of Southern Queensland, Australia

Contributed equally

*Corresponding Authors:

Ali Jafarizadeh, MD, MPH

Nikookari Eye Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

Tel: +98 901 098 0062

Postal code: 51666/14766

Email: Jafarizadeha@tbzmed.ac.ir, Ali.jafarizadeh.md@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4922-1923>

Roohallah Alizadehsani, PhD

Institute for Intelligent Systems Research and Innovation (IISRI), Deakin University, VIC 3216, Australia

Tel: +61 3 524 79394

Postal: 75 Pigdons Rd, Waurn Ponds VIC 3216, Australia

Email: r.alizadehsani@deakin.edu.au

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0898-5054>

Abstract

Diabetes mellitus (DM) predisposes patients to vascular complications. Retinal images and vasculature reflect the body's micro- and macrovascular health. They can be used to diagnose DM complications, including diabetic retinopathy (DR), neuropathy, nephropathy, and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, as well as forecast the risk of cardiovascular events. Artificial intelligence (AI)-enabled systems developed for high-throughput detection of DR using digitized retinal images have become clinically adopted. Beyond DR screening, AI integration also holds immense potential to address challenges associated with the holistic care of the patient with DM. In this work, we aim to comprehensively review the literature for studies on AI applications based on retinal images related to DM diagnosis, prognostication, and management. We will describe the findings of holistic AI-assisted diabetes care, including but not limited to DR screening, and discuss barriers to implementing such systems, including issues concerning ethics, data privacy, equitable access, and explainability. With the ability to evaluate the patient's health status vis a vis DM complication as well as risk prognostication of future cardiovascular complications, AI-assisted retinal image analysis has the potential to become a central tool for modern personalized medicine in patients with DM.

Keywords: Diabetes Mellitus, Artificial Intelligence, Personalized Medicine, Diabetic Retinopathy, Screening, Treatment, Review.




Figure 1. An illustration depicts the various diabetic complications diagnosed by retinal images using AI. (Graphical abstract)

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) affects more than 422 million people globally and is a significant cause of mortality and morbidity ^{1,2}. It predisposes patients to macrovascular complications — i.e., atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases like coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and peripheral arterial disease (the risk of death from cardiovascular diseases is two to six times greater in diabetic vs. non-diabetic populations ¹) — as well as microvascular complications like peripheral neuropathy ³, nephropathy (end-stage kidney disease is ten times more prevalent in diabetic vs. non-diabetic populations ⁴), and retinopathy.

With a prevalence of 34.6% among diabetic patients ⁵, diabetic retinopathy (DR) is the leading cause of preventable adult blindness ⁶. DR can be categorized into non-proliferative and proliferative stages; the incidence of sight-threatening diabetic macular edema (DME), which can occur in either stage, rises as DR progresses ⁶. With the rising prevalence and incidence of DM, the burden of DR and consequent vision loss continues to increase. Globally, 1.4 million patients with DM have severe non-proliferative DR and proliferative DR and will require treatment to arrest or retard vision deterioration ⁵. Preemptive systematic and continual screening of asymptomatic diabetic patients, with early initiation of anti-proliferative treatment where indicated, is obligatory for preventing the clinical sequelae and reducing the human costs of DR ⁷.

Fundoscopy retinal examination is traditionally used in the clinic to screen for DR. The retinal vasculature is the only part of the human body's microcirculation that can be visualized non-invasively ⁸. The retina is a veritable "window" to the state of health of the microcirculation as a whole—shedding light not only on DR but also on other diabetic microvascular complications like neuropathy and nephropathy ⁹—as well as the risk of macrovascular disease. The introduction of digital fundal photography, which enables offline analysis of retinal images by experts, has

contributed to the successful implementation of national DR screening programs at scale. With the advent of artificial intelligence (AI) applications in medicine, especially in the interpretation of digital medical images (which are by nature objective and accessible), many AI-enabled systems developed for high-throughput detection of DR using digitized retinal images have become clinically adopted ^{10, 11}.

Beyond DR screening, AI integration also holds immense potential to address challenges associated with the holistic care of the patient with DM. As alluded to above, the retinal vasculature (and image) contains diagnostic and prognostic clues to the micro- and macrovascular health of the whole body. In contrast to existing review studies centered solely on diabetic retinopathy within the realm of diabetes, retina, and artificial intelligence, our study takes a broader approach ¹²⁻²⁰. In this work, we aim to comprehensively review the literature for AI applications based on retinal images related to DM diagnosis, prognostication, and management. We believe the study will offer insights into applications of AI technology for diabetes care, including but not limited to DR screening. In addition, we will discuss barriers to implementing such systems, including data privacy concerns, algorithm transparency, regulatory compliance, ethical considerations surrounding decision-making autonomy, and equitable access to technology-enabled healthcare solutions.

2. Literature search method

We performed a comprehensive search of PubMed, Medline, Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Sciences, and IEEE Xplore databases for scholarly articles published in the English language up to October 1, 2023, using various combinations (by using Boolean operators: AND, OR, NOT) of the following main keywords: "diabetes mellitus," "retina," "artificial intelligence."

Other keywords are "diabetic care," "diabetes complications," "diabetic foot," "diabetic nephropathy," "diabetic neuropathy," "diabetic retinopathy," "diabetic macular edema," "cardiovascular risk assessment," "peripheral arterial disease," "fundus image," "personalized medicine," "diagnosis," "prognosis," "deep learning," "machine learning," "prediction," and "machine vision" ²¹.

In selecting articles for inclusion in our study, we employed a comprehensive approach focused on identifying original studies with clear research goals, meticulous methodology, and extensive technical details. In our evaluation process, we defined 'technical details' to include the technological aspects and analytical methods used in the studies. This entailed examining the types of artificial intelligence algorithms deployed, data processing techniques, specific retinal imaging methods, and any advanced computational strategies implemented. We also discussed the software applications, statistical techniques, and validation procedures in these research studies. Our focus on these technical details aimed to ensure a comprehensive assessment of the methodologies and technological advancements in AI applications in diabetic retinal image analysis.

3. Results and discussion

In this investigation, we conducted a comprehensive and discerning examination of the academic literature, particularly emphasizing seminal original articles published in leading Q1 journals (based on scimagojr.com). The aim was to gain a comprehensive understanding of the incorporation of artificial intelligence in diabetes management, focusing on retinal image analysis. Our review was strategically centered on 29 pivotal original studies that have significantly impacted the advancements in diabetic care through the application of AI.

The search strategy yielded 2716 research results, from which we meticulously eliminated 1089 duplicates to refine the scope of our examination. Subsequently, a preliminary evaluation of titles and abstracts was conducted, excluding 1376 studies that did not closely align with our focused criteria on AI's utility in diabetes management through retinal imaging. The remaining articles underwent a rigorous assessment of the complete text, excluding 179 papers due to either deviation from our research objectives or methodological limitations. This detailed process resulted in the selection of 72 scholarly articles chosen for their clear research goals, meticulous methodology, and extensive technical details. The article selection process, as illustrated in Figure 2, was precise, ensuring the inclusion of only the most relevant and rigorous studies. Case reports and animal studies were excluded to maintain the integrity and focus of our examination. Our research team carefully checked every paper chosen for this study. Our team rigorously evaluated each selected paper, scrutinizing the title and abstract to verify their relevance to the key aims of our research.




Figure 2. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram.

3.1 Diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema

3.1.1 DR screening

In the landmark study by Abramoff et al., an AI system based on supervised machine learning (ML) with logistic regression attained 96.8% sensitivity and 59.4% specificity for detection of referable DR. Despite the modest specificity, the system was projected to halve the burden compared to manual screening by experts²². Using convolutional neural network (CNN) architectures inspired by AlexNet and VGGNet, the same team developed a new deep learning (DL) model that demonstrated improved 87% specificity for detecting referable DR without loss

of sensitivity²³. EyeArt and Retmarker, two AI systems that reported 93.8% and 85% sensitivities, respectively, for referable DR, were found to be associated with the lowered cost of DR screening²⁴. Other economic modeling studies also showed that semi-automated and fully automated screening methods by human experts are more cost-effective than traditional manual screening²⁵.²⁶. IDx-DR, the first medical device implementing AI for detecting more than mild DR, was approved by the FDA in 2018²⁷.

In low-income countries with limited healthcare resources, the lack of established DM screening and programs for blood glucose control results in higher incidences of diabetes complications. In one study conducted in sub-Saharan Africa, the rate of progression from no DR to sight-threatening DR was five times higher than in Europe^{28,29}. Despite this, universal annual DR screening for all patients with DM remains an elusive goal²⁹. The solution may come in the form of technological advances. AI analysis of digital fundal photographs can potentially ameliorate the cost of nationwide screening programs with comparable or superior diagnostic performance^{5, 30, 31}. Whether models developed and validated in other countries with different ethnic mixes, apply to local populations is a logical concern. In study by Bellemo et al.³², an ensemble model comprising adapted VGGNet and ResNet architectures trained on a Singapore database of color retinal images was demonstrated to have acceptable diagnostic performance—0.973 receiver operating characteristic area-under-curve (AUC) for referable DR; 99.42% sensitivity for sight-threatening DR; 97.19% sensitivity for diabetic macular edema (DME)—in a real-world DM population in Zambia. Separately, a real-world prospective interventional cohort study in Thailand reported the accuracy of a DL-based system in detecting sight-threatening DR to be 94.7%, compared to 93.5% for human experts³³. Among novel cost-saving approaches, retinal images can be acquired using smartphone-based retinal cameras. This

method was demonstrated to be feasible in a study using EyeArt (ensemble of deep artificial neural networks) AI software, which attained 99.1% sensitivity and 80.4% specificity for detecting sight-threatening DR based on smartphone retinal images (with online processing) analyzed by AI vs. human experts³⁴.

3.1.2 Advanced DR screening for DME

DME, an accumulation of fluid in the macula, can lead to visual loss at any stage of DR. DME at any stage of DR mandates referral to a specialist. The definitive diagnosis requires either macular thickness measurement using optical coherence tomography (OCT) or visualization of edema on fluorescein angiography. Hard exudates within one optic disc diameter on the color fundus photograph (CFP) are a surrogate for DME³⁵, with a significant false positive rate of up to 42% in the UK screening program³⁶. The Danish guidelines recommend OCT as a second-line screening method for DR³⁷.

AI plays a significant role in diagnosing and classifying DME³⁸. A DL model trained on fundus photographs attained an AUC of 0.89 for discriminating center-involved DME, with superior specificity and positive predictive value vs. human experts³⁹. More DL systems have been developed for the automatic detection of DME on OCT images that can also discern the grades of severity, ranging from diffuse retinal thickening cystoid macular edema to serous retinal detachment⁴⁰. A meta-analysis of 53 studies reported 96% and 94% sensitivities for AI-assisted DME detection based on OCT and fundus images, respectively⁴¹. Another meta-analysis of 19 published DL algorithms reported pooled 96.0% (95% CI: 93.9% to 97.3%) sensitivity and 99.3% (95% CI: 98.2% to 99.7%) specificity for DME detection using OCT images⁴². In a comparison of two DL models, the Optic-Net model (98% accuracy, 100% specificity) outperformed Dense-Net (94% accuracy, 96% specificity) for OCT-based DME classification⁴³. Rui Liu et al.⁴⁴ trained

a faster R-CNN model with ResNet101 backbone on more than 50,000 labeled fundus images and 20,000 OCT B-scans acquired from patients from multiple centers and reported excellent 97.78% sensitivity, 98.38% specificity, and 0.981 AUC for detection of referable DR; and 91.30% sensitivity, 97.46% specificity, and 0.944 AUC for detection of DME. The incremental diagnostic utility of OCT-based AI analysis—combined CFP plus OCT screening-detected cases of DME that would have been missed on CFP analysis alone—lends support for adding OCT to standard CFP-based AI screening programs. However, the cost-effectiveness should be further assessed.

AI evaluation of OCT angiography, which generates angiographic images of retinal vessels from motion imaging of volumetric blood flow signals without contrast, has recently attracted attention. Ryu et al.⁴⁵ compared two models to detect early DR using OCT angiography: the first was an ML-based classifier comprising segmentation (using U-Net, which was able to distinguish blood vessels and the foveal avascular zone in the OCT angiography image), feature extraction, and classification; and the second, a CNN-based classifier that processed OCT angiography images directly using a ResNet101 architecture. The latter attained excellent 91-98% accuracy, 86-97% sensitivity, 94-99% specificity, and 0.919-0.976 AUC, which compared favorably against ultra-widefield fluorescein angiography.

3.1.3 Grading of DR severity

Classification of CFP images into various grades of DR severity (Figure 3) based on retinal vascular changes—no retinopathy, mild non-proliferative DR, moderate non-proliferative DR, severe non-proliferative DR, and proliferative DR²⁰—may offer additional insights into disease progression and prognosis⁴⁶. This is conventionally accomplished via manual fundal examination by experts DR⁴⁷, a scarce resource and not readily accessible. Promising AI-based tools can categorize DR grades, reducing healthcare costs and burdens^{48,49}. Gulshan et al.⁵⁰ developed a

CNN model with Inception-v3 architecture and transfer learning that outputs five independent binary classifiers for DR grading. The model attained good performance for grading DR: 84.0% (95% CI, 75.3%-90.6%) sensitivity and 98.8% (95% CI, 98.5%-99.0%) specificity for detection of severe or worse DR; and 90.8% (95% CI, 86.1%-94.3%) sensitivity and 98.7% (95% CI, 98.4%-99.0%) specificity for detection of DME. Takahashi et al.⁵¹ used GoogLeNet CNN to grade DR using four different 45-degree field CFP images captured per eye. The network attained 81% mean accuracy and prevalence-adjusted bias-adjusted kappa of 0.74 (i.e., correct answer with maximum probability in 402 of 496 CFP images), outperforming standard manual grading by experts based on one CFP image. Other researchers also reported high sensitivity and specificity rates for DR grading using various models⁵²⁻⁵⁴.




Figure 3. Schematic representation of funduscopy showing the staging of diabetic retinopathy.

On funduscopy, DR-induced vascular changes exhibit heterogeneous distribution; manual analysis typically assigns the highest observed class to the entire image. With AI analysis of the CFP image, the basis for severity grading is not apparent, which limits the explainability of AI decision-making. To address this, some researchers have developed DL neural networks for lesion-based classification^{50, 54, 55}. Wang et al.⁵⁶ trained a CNN-based DR lesion classifier, Lesion-Net, on 12,252 fundus images of patients with DM. The model contains two branches: the inferior uses a fully convolutional network (FCN-32s) for lesion segmentation predictions of eight types of common DR lesions to diagnose and stage DR, and the superior branch integrates convolutional layers from Inception-v3 architecture to classify referable vs. non-referable DR classes. Lesion-Net attained a good 0.943 AUC, 90.6% sensitivity, and acceptable 80.7% specificity for five-stage DR grading, as well as an improved AUC of 0.936 vs. 0.928 for the internal test set and 0.977 vs. 0.964 for the external test set for binary referable vs. non-referable DR classification. According to a recent study, the Inception-v3 model, trained on a dataset of 35,126 retinal images, delivered the best performance for DR diagnosis among ML/DL models, yielding an accuracy rate of approximately 97% in feature extraction⁵⁷. Concurrently, the MobileNetV3 model has been identified as optimal for data classification tasks in the same domain, achieving an accuracy of 98.56%⁵⁸.

Sandhu et al. combined OCT and OCT angiographic images with primary clinical and demographic data collected from 111 patients to train an AI model for screening and staging DR⁵⁹. They developed a novel computer-aided design system to grade non-proliferative DR into mild vs. moderate stages. They reported 98.7% accuracy, 100% sensitivity, 97.8% specificity, 99% differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), and 0.981 AUC (progressive improvements in almost all metrics were observed as OCT angiography, clinical, and demographic data were incrementally

added to the model). Wang et al. ⁶⁰ used ultra-widefield fluorescein angiographic images of 399 patients to train an AI model to differentiate normal, non-proliferative DR, and proliferative DR. The developed model attained 88.50% accuracy.

3.1.4 Individualized DR screening

With annual DR screening, about 11% of DM patients with mild non-proliferative DR in both eyes progress to sight-threatening DR every year ⁶¹. Given increasing DM incidence and anticipated DM-related resource utilization, individualized screening with varying intervals may be more cost-effective as an alternative to routine annual DR screening. Aspelund et al. used six parameters—sex, DM type, DM duration, glycated hemoglobin, blood pressure, and DR grade of DR—to statistically model DR screening intervals ⁶²⁻⁶⁴. Compared with routine annual DR screening, they and other groups reported 40-60% reductions in screening visits and 11-40% increases in patients developing sight-threatening DR at the next screening visit ⁶²⁻⁶⁴. AI can potentially improve upon the accuracy of predictions of such mathematical models. Piri et al. analyzed demographic, laboratory, and comorbidity data of more than 1.4 million DM patients using supervised ML models and artificial neural networks and were able to predict the presence of DR with an accuracy of 92.76% ⁶⁴. These observations suggest that risk stratification of asymptomatic DM without retinal imaging is feasible and can potentially be used to rationalize the timing of initiation and the intensity of the frequency of DR screening, especially in resource-limited healthcare systems.

3.1.5 Individualized DR follow-up

There is considerable inter-individual variability in the progression of DR, even among DM patients diagnosed with the same DR grade. Post-DR diagnosis, the frequency of follow-up

visits, the timing of treatment initiation, and the treatment time frame should ideally be tailored to the individual ⁶⁵. Skevofilakas et al. ⁶⁶ developed a decision support system for assessing DR progression using clinical data collected from the electronic health records of 55 type I DM patients who were followed over five years. The system used strongly linked risk factors—age, DM duration, glycated hemoglobin, cholesterol, triglyceride, hypertension incidence rate, and DM treatment duration—to predict DR progression, attaining high 97% accuracy with receiver operating characteristic analysis on the most accurate primary classifier, and higher overall decision support system sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy. Arcadu et al. ⁶⁷ developed an algorithm for predicting worsening DR using 7-field CFPs acquired from DM patients monitored over two years. Baseline CFPs were used to train the model to indicate the presence of 2-step or more worsening of DR on the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Scale during the follow-up visits. Based on an Inception-v3 architecture, separate deep CNNs were trained in parallel for each of the seven fields via transfer learning initialized with ImageNet weights, and the generated probabilities were consolidated using random forests. The trained DL model predicted DR worsening at 6, 12, and 24 months with AUCs of 0.68 ± 0.13 (sensitivity, $66\% \pm 23\%$; specificity $77\% \pm 12\%$), 0.79 ± 0.05 (sensitivity, $91\% \pm 8\%$; specificity, $65\% \pm 12\%$), and 0.77 ± 0.04 (sensitivity, $79\% \pm 12\%$; specificity, $72\% \pm 14\%$), respectively, which lends support to the use of AI to refine and personalize prognostication even among individuals within the same DR grade as assessed by traditional manual methods. Of note, the aggregate result was superior to the individual field-specific deep CNNs, which indirectly validates the choice of Inception-v3 design. In particular, the peripheral parts of the retina contributed more to the predictions than central regions, with model performance dropping significantly when peripheral zones were excluded. Further, the

attribution map showed that the model could differentiate among microaneurysms, hemorrhages, and hard exudates, laying the groundwork for potential lesion-specific analysis and predictions.

3.1.6 DR treatment: Who to treat and how to treat?

Intravitreal injection of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) medications, like ranibizumab, bevacizumab, and aflibercept, is an indicated treatment for sight-threatening DR, especially DME⁶⁸⁻⁷¹. OCT is often used to monitor the therapeutic response. Through analysis of OCT images, AI models can predict individual patient responses to anti-VEGF therapy and potentially facilitate personalized treatment approaches for DME⁷². Advanced AI algorithms have been developed that can evaluate OCT parameters—central macular fluid volume, integrity of the ellipsoid zone, intraretinal fluid, subretinal fluid, hyperreflective retina foci, and external limiting membrane⁷³—to predict visual acuity trajectories in DME, thereby providing clinicians with objective parameters for DME diagnosis and follow-up. Liu et al.⁷⁴ combined DL and classical machine learning (CML) models. They trained AlexNet, VGG16, ResNet18, and an ensemble of the three DL architectures on a dataset of 304 pre-treatment OCT images of patients with DME. Fifteen OCT features generated by the DL ensemble model were then used to train conventional ML algorithms—Lasso, support vector machine, decision tree, and random forest—to predict post-treatment central foveal thickness and best-corrected visual acuity values at one month after three months of anti-VEGF injections⁷⁴. However, the model failed to accurately predict the post-treatment values, suggesting that OCT images alone were insufficient as sole model inputs. Gallardo et al.⁷⁵ developed an ML system to assess the burden of anti-VEGF treatment—defined as low, moderate, and high based on the interval of injections—in a treat-and-extend regimen for DME and retinal vein occlusion using demographic data and OCT images obtained patients at two consecutive clinic visits⁷⁵. The proposed random forest-based supervised ML model to predict the

1-year treatment requirement with a reasonable AUC so that the decision-making process was rendered interpretable. In particular, all features related to intra-retinal fluid were important for predicting low vs. high treatment demand.

Besides predicting treatment response, AI can be applied to direct planning of the therapeutic procedure. Focal or grid laser photocoagulation—during which a series of controlled photocoagulations are delivered to retinal areas with pathology^{76, 77}—is another indicated treatment for DME and proliferative DR. By normalizing oxygen partial pressures in peripheral avascular regions of the retina, the treatment induces regression of newly formed vessels. It facilitates the rates of formation of aberrant vessels, vitreous hemorrhage, and membranes. Treatment efficacy highly depends on the siting and dosing of the administered photocoagulates⁷⁸. Standard pre-determined patterns for photocoagulation cannot account for individual differences in the shapes and patterns of macular edema and anatomical variations of retinal vasculature^{76, 79}. Furthermore, manually mapping a coagulation pattern requires surgical expertise and considerable time costs⁷⁹. AI can be harnessed to automate retinal segmentation such that only pre-determined areas of the retina are coagulated, thereby increasing the precision of laser photocoagulation and minimizing unwanted side effects. Personalized, high-quality coagulation maps were generated by inputting patient information into a novel AI software. The resultant enhanced precision in the localization of the exact point of burn and control of the amount of power delivered compared with manual methods resulted in a nine-fold decrease in the probability of laser burns beyond the borders of the edema, as well as shortened procedural preparation time and reduced postoperative complications⁷⁹.

3.2 Beyond the eye: Systemic micro- and macrovascular complications

Table 1 summarizes studies of AI models developed using retinal images to detect DM complications or sequelae outside the eye. The explanations are detailed in the following sections

3.2.1 Predicting Cardiovascular Risk Using Retinal Photographs

DM increases the risk of cardiovascular events by 2 to 4 folds^{1, 80}; cardiovascular diseases constitute the most common cause of death in DM. Cardiovascular risk can be calculated from clinical (e.g., age, sex, body mass index, blood pressure, etc.) and laboratory data (e.g., glycated hemoglobin, lipids, creatinine clearance, etc.). Based on the same information, AI models can modestly improve performance, raising the AUC from 0.69 to 0.75⁸¹. Of note, such models are not specific to the DM population. In DM patients, retinal parameters have been shown to correlate with cardiovascular outcomes independent of the underlying risk factors⁸². The abundance of retinal image databases from patients with DR has enabled researchers to extract new associations from these databases using AI. Poplin et al. trained an Inception-v3 model on 284,335 retinal images and reported 0.70 AUC for image-based prediction of major cardiovascular events⁸³. The accuracy of cardiovascular risk prediction can be enhanced using combined retinal images and accessible clinical and demographic data⁸⁴. While preliminary, these findings are promising and warrant research into cardiovascular risk assessment as part of DR screening in diabetic patients.

3.2.2 Beyond the optic nerve: Predicting diabetic neuropathy

Like DR, diabetic neuropathy is a common microvascular complication of DM. It causes skin ulcers, increases the risk of limb amputation, and reduces the quality of life, accounting for 27% of DM-related healthcare costs⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷. Routine annual screening via clinical neurological examination is insensitive and often fails to detect early changes before irreversible damage⁸⁸. Retinal vasculature, which reflects the systemic microcirculation, may hold clues to the development of diabetic neuropathy as well. Benson et al. used a pre-trained VGG16 CNN and a

support vector machine classifier to analyze retinal images. They attained 89% accuracy, 78% sensitivity, and 95% specificity for detecting physician-diagnosed diabetic peripheral neuropathy (which is subjective, insensitive, and time-consuming to diagnose clinically) ⁸⁹. Among DL methods for diagnosing diabetic neuropathy on CFP, the most effective are SqueezeNet, Inception, and DenseNet, which yielded the best AUCs of 0.8013 (± 0.0257) and 0.7097 (± 0.0031) during validation and testing, respectively, when evaluated on various datasets, with and without pre-trained weights ⁹⁰.

3.2.3 Eyes on the kidneys: Screening for chronic kidney disease

Diabetic nephropathy, another microvascular complication of DM, is a common cause of chronic kidney disease (CKD) ⁹¹⁻⁹⁴. The global prevalence of CKD is 10-14% ⁹⁵⁻⁹⁷, with nearly a million deaths attributed to CKD in 2013, of which a fifth were caused by DM nephropathy ^{98,99}. Early diagnosis by blood and urine screening assays is recommended to select at-risk patients for initiation of treatment to retard kidney function deterioration. Retinal images hold clues to systemic microcirculatory health and may be used to screen for diabetic nephropathy. In a study by Zhang et al. ¹⁰⁰, clinical parameters and retinal images were used separately and combined to predict diabetic nephropathy in a CondenseNet DL model. The model attained AUCs of 0.916, 0.911, and 0.938 for clinical risk factors, retinal images, and hybrid inputs, respectively. In a similar study, a ResNet50 DL model attained AUCs of 0.861, 0.918, and 0.930 for clinical risk factors, retinal images, and hybrid inputs, respectively ¹⁰¹. These findings corroborate the superior performance of AI-based diabetic nephropathy detection using combined clinical and retinal image inputs; retinal screening alone may not suffice ¹⁰².

3.2.4 Retinal images for diagnosing peripheral arterial disease

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is a macrovascular complication of DM, with an incidence rate of 25-30%¹⁰³. The elevated risk of PAD in type 2 DM is attributable to the interplay of traditional cardiovascular risk factors—age, sex, race, smoking, pulse pressure, glycated hemoglobin, albuminuria, and hyperlipidemia¹⁰⁴—as well as diabetes-specific elements, including postprandial hyperglycemia, advanced glycation end-products, lipoproteins, and hypercoagulability¹⁰⁵. Low ankle-brachial pressure index is commonly used to screen for lower limb PAD non-invasively but may be falsely high in elderly people with inelastic arteries. Alternative noninvasive diagnostic techniques are ultrasound Doppler waveform analysis and toe-brachial index¹⁰⁶. A deep neural network architecture has recently been demonstrated to be promising for detecting PAD using CFP. The most successful model in this inventive methodology attained a ROC AUC score of 0.890. Notably, visualizing the attention weights used by the network provides valuable insights into its decision-making process, particularly highlighting the significance of ocular features in PAD. Statistical analysis of the model's performance confirmed that the optic disc and the temporal arcades are assigned significantly higher importance ($p < 0.001$) than the retinal background in the detection process. These results robustly support the feasibility and effectiveness of utilizing modern DL methodologies to detect PAD¹⁰⁷. However, a major challenge to AI diagnosing PAD on retinal images is the difficulty of integrating high-quality images and comprehensive training datasets with labeled PAD cases into the AI frameworks^{107, 108}. Figure 4 depicts a systematic overview of the use of AI in diabetes care.

AI in Personalized Diabetic Care



Figure 4. The diagram provides a structured overview of the integration of AI in diabetic care, showing the pathways where AI has significant applications, including diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy, neuropathy, cerebrovascular disease, peripheral arterial disease, and cardiovascular assessments. Each branch highlights the potential of AI in enhancing diagnosis, monitoring, and management within these specialized areas of diabetic care.

Table 1. Summary of Performance Metrics of Models and Architectures for diabetes complications diagnosis

Study	AI modeling algorithms	Architecture	clinical predictors	Metric	Results
Diabetic Cardiovascular disease					
Poplin ⁸³	DL	Inception-v3	Age	R2 (95% CI)	0.74 (0.73,0.75)
			Gender	AUC (95% CI)	0.97 (0.966,0.971)
			Smoking status	AUC (95% CI)	0.71 (0.70,0.73)
			BMI	R2 (95% CI)	0.13 (0.11,0.14)
			SBP	R2 (95% CI)	0.36 (0.35,0.37)
			DBP	R2 (95% CI)	0.32 (0.30,0.33)
			HbA1c	R2 (95% CI)	0.09 (0.03,0.16)
Diabetic Neuropathy					
Benson ⁸⁹	DL, in conjunction with TL	VGG16 CNN	retinal changes as a predictor of diabetic neuropathy	Accuracy	89%
				Sensitivity	78%
				specificity	95%
Cervera ⁹⁰	DL	SqueezeNet, Inception, and DenseNet	retinal changes as a predictor of diabetic neuropathy	AUC (95% CI)	0.8013 (±0.0257)
Diabetic Nephropathy					
Sabanayagam ¹⁰¹	DL	CondenseNet	Image only showing retinal changes as a predictor of diabetic nephropathy	AUC (95% CI)	0.911 (0.886–0.936)
				Sensitivity	0.83
				Specificity	0.83
			RF only includes age, sex, ethnicity, diabetes, and hypertension	AUC (95% CI)	0.916 (0.891–0.941)
				Sensitivity	0.82
				Specificity	0.84
			hybrid DLA combining image and RF	AUC (95% CI)	0.938 (0.917–0.959)
				Sensitivity	0.84
				Specificity	0.85
Zhang ¹⁰⁰	DL (CNN)	ResNet-50	Image only showing retinal changes as a predictor of diabetic nephropathy	AUC	0.829-0.918
			RF only (age, sex, height, weight, body-mass index, and blood pressure)	AUC	0.787-0.861
			hybrid DLA combining image and RF	AUC	0.845-0.930
Diabetic peripheral arterial disease					
Mueller ¹⁰⁷	DL (CNN)	Multiple Instance Learning (MIL)	retinal changes as a predictor of diabetic PAD	Accuracy	0.674- 0.837
				ROC AUC	0.653-0.890

3.3 AI techniques used in retinal images analysis

AI researchers have used various models to analyze retinal images; the two most common neural are the CNN-based architectures ResNet¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹¹ and VGGNet^{112, 113}. Figure 5 illustrates the architecture of a CNN specifically designed for image analysis. Deep networks often falter in practice due to difficulty optimizing the networks caused by the vanishing gradient problem. ResNet circumvents this issue by residual learning, which uses skip or shortcut connections to skip one or more layers during forward and backward passes^{109, 114}. This ensures that the deeper layers produce no higher training errors than their shallower counterparts, which makes it easier for the network to learn identity functions. ResNet's modular architecture also facilitates up or down scaling of the model by adjusting the number of residual blocks. This makes it an ideal choice for developers, as they can tailor the architecture to specific needs and computational constraints. Belying its depth, ResNet consumes comparatively fewer computational resources, which underscores its efficiency. VGGNet is a family of deep CNNs distinguished by its simple design, ability to capture complex image characteristics, and excellent image classification performance. VGG16, a notable variant comprising 16 layers^{112, 113}, begins with layers containing 64 channels, with a 3x3 filter size and consistent padding, which are succeeded by a max-pooling layer with a stride of (2, 2), and subsequent convolution layers that progressively increase in channels, to for example 128, while maintaining a uniform 3x3 filter size. VGGNet is predominantly engineered for image classification on extensive datasets, notably the ImageNet database, which encompasses over 14 million images categorized based on the WordNet structure. Beyond image classification, advancements in VGG architectures have been pursued to cater to diverse computer vision applications and to augment its efficacy in classification problems¹¹⁵⁻¹¹⁷.

Inception-v3, DenseNet, AlexNet, ResNet, and U-Net are neural network architectures with distinct structural variations that have been applied to retinal image analysis^{118,119}. Inception-v3, from the GoogLeNet family, was designed for multi-level feature extraction tailored to large-scale image recognition tasks, incorporating factorization and other approaches, such as batch normalization, to minimize parameters and maximize performance^{120,121}. DenseNet is well-suited for image classification and segmentation due to dense connections between layers, which improve gradient flow and encourage feature reuse¹²². AlexNet, an early CNN, is adept at image classification due to its deep architecture and use of the rectified linear unit (RELU) activation but may be outperformed by later models in terms of efficiency¹²³. By expanding on residuals and using split-attention methods, ResNet is able to train the model to focus on the most relevant characteristics for image classification¹²⁴. Unlike Inception-v3 and AlexNet, which are more general-purpose models, U-Net was developed specifically for segmenting biological images¹²⁵. Its "U-shaped" design allows for more accurate localization, which is important in diagnostic imaging¹²⁶.

Supervised ML learns by mapping input signals or images to their respective labels. In retinal image analysis, supervised ML techniques have been extensively employed for the detection and classification of various retinal diseases, including DR. With labeled retinal images, the algorithms can effectively learn the patterns associated with DR, thereby facilitating early diagnosis and timely interventions to avert irreversible vision loss^{23, 127}. Transfer learning leverages learning gained from vast datasets, such as ImageNet¹²⁸, circumventing the need for vast retina-specific training datasets¹²⁹. For retinal image analysis, the pre-trained model's final layers are typically adjusted to cater to the specificities of retinal diseases or features. After this adjustment, the model is fine-tuned on available retinal datasets. Transfer learning accelerates the

training process, often yielding models with enhanced accuracy and robustness that can be applied to diverse tasks, including DR detection, retinal lesion identification, and retinal vessel segmentation^{23, 50}.




Figure 5. A detailed look at the architecture of a convolutional neural network (CNN) for image analysis. a: The convolutional layers (green) use kernels that slide over the three-channel RGB image to recognize key features from

the input image. Following the convolution process, the Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) activation function (green) is applied to introduce non-linearity, enhancing the network's capability to learn intricate patterns. Subsequently, the max pooling process (orange) is applied, reducing the spatial dimensions by selecting the maximum value within specified regions. **b:** this part is the multiple repetitions of convolution, ReLU activation function, and max pooling processes, creating the final feature map. **c:** shows flattening of the last max pooling layer, which converts the 2D feature maps into a 1D vector to prepare the data for the upcoming fully connected layers. **d:** the architecture transitions to fully connected layers, leading to a classification process where the features are used to provide definitive conclusions.

4. Challenges of AI in diabetes care

Only two Asian countries have DR screening programs that conform to International Council of Ophthalmology standards ¹³⁰. There is not only an imperative to standardize national protocols to mitigate gaps in screening and referral timelines ¹³¹ but also a need to establish comprehensive guidelines for AI implementation in DR screening to ensure standardized and effective practice ¹³². AI-enabled DR screening can reduce economic constraints and enhance accessibility to healthcare services ¹³³, but several obstacles remain to surmount ¹³⁴. Overcoming these challenges will require multidisciplinary cooperation, data standardization, resource sharing, real-world verification, and productization ¹³⁵. In particular, DL algorithms require large datasets with thousands or millions of images for training, which are costly to label and curate. AI developers often resort to using available but limited training datasets, which may not be generalizable to the real world, where image quality may be affected by deficiencies in the clinical setting and are not of consistently high quality ¹³⁶. Suboptimal image quality and low target pathology incidence may drive higher false-positive rates ¹³⁷. Even if image issues are resolved, AI algorithms that only focus on retinal image assessment will not be able to analyze the clinical

and psychosocial aspects that can modulate the diagnosis, perception, coping mechanisms, and holistic management of individual patients¹³⁸. The lack of compatibility among electronic health record vendors impedes such clinical data integration and may delay the execution of computer-interpretable guidelines for clinical decision-making in DR¹³⁹. To garner wider adoption, there is a need for more research on the assessment and testing of AI diagnostic tools in clinical settings¹⁴⁰, even as validating AI algorithms in different populations and camera systems remains challenging¹⁴¹. Additionally, conducting rigorous clinical trials of AI models, particularly randomized controlled trials, requires meticulous methodological adjustments and considerations of clinical equipoise, informed consent, and fairness¹⁴². Practical challenges to building and deploying AI at scale include regulatory pressures, conflicting business goals, and data quality issues¹⁴³. Even as AI promises solutions to problems through technological advances, AI in healthcare raises ethical and legal issues¹⁴⁴. As AI systems , become more autonomous¹⁴⁵ the need to incorporate ethical considerations and moral reasoning into model decision-making processes has become more pressing. Equally important is the obligation to identify and resolve ethical issues—inclusivity, bias, and social acceptability—to ensure fair user access to promising healthcare AI technologies¹⁴⁶. Issues such as protecting sensitive medical information during image analysis or remote sharing via tele-retinal screening¹⁴⁷ also raise patient privacy concerns, requiring careful system security during AI solution development and deployment.

Current approaches to AI model design are delinked mainly from the complex healthcare environments they are intended for; as a result, the development of AI models has vastly outpaced their adoption into existing clinical workflows¹⁴⁸. This separation contributes to models that lack clear use cases and are neither tested nor scaled in clinical settings. A mixed-methods approach that integrates design thinking and quality improvement methodologies—aiming to understand

variations in healthcare processes and incorporating user-centered design to ensure model functionality in practice ¹⁴⁹—can potentially redress this gap to smoothen AI integration within the healthcare domain ¹⁵⁰, and garner wider clinical adoption ¹⁵¹.

AI screening systems have shown promising results in detecting DR from CFP and OCT images. However, there is still a need for validation, regulation, safe implementation, and demonstration of clinical impact before widespread adoption. Test-bedding new AI models in clinical settings is essential for identifying and remedying system bugs before full-scale deployment ^{152, 153}. Further, the AI tools may need to be validated and calibrated against local populations and clinical contexts: published results from one context may not always be generalizable or achievable in a different setting ¹⁵⁴. Finally, in complex AI models of DR diagnosis or treatment decision-making, interpretability is essential for gaining the trust of clinicians and patients alike. There is a need for transparent and interpretable AI in the reasoning processes behind the generated model outputs. Explainable AI is an active area of research and remains a challenge ¹⁵⁵.

5. Potential enhancements and future directions of AI in DR management

At the intersection of telemedicine and AI, tele-retinal image analysis promises to democratize access to screening and downstream healthcare services, transform the management of DR, and improve long-term patient outcomes while reducing financial and time costs for both patients and payers. AI-enabled DR screening has demonstrated encouraging outcomes, with DL algorithms yielding high levels of sensitivity and specificity. The operational efficiency of community-based tele-retinal image analysis may be enhanced: the gradability of retinal images can be assessed at source, expediting identification of poor-quality images for either manual or additional AI-based grading (the ultimate choice will depend on the associated labor cost vs.

intrinsic diagnostic value-add of AI)¹⁵⁶⁻¹⁵⁸. Future technological developments in AI can introduce significant opportunities for technical refinements to optimize DR diagnosis and downstream management. Faster AI software processing speeds will enhance system accuracy and efficiency, facilitate seamless and responsive navigation of the AI interface by clinician users, and enable more effective preventive therapeutic interventions. Independent of these developments, real-world implementation of AI technology presents its practical challenges, e.g., workflow integration, technical adaptability, ethical implications, cost-effectiveness considerations, etc. To ensure the ethical and balanced integration of AI into DR screening programs, the governance model for AI implementation must focus on honesty, equality, reliability, and responsibility¹⁵²⁻¹⁵⁴.

Explainable AI—AI systems that provide understandable explanations for their decisions and actions—make the complex decision-making processes of AI models transparent and interpretable, which is essential, given the clinical impact of healthcare decisions, for gaining the trust and acceptance of AI model outputs by doctors and patients. The applications of explainable AI in the medical domain are vast and transformative^{159, 160}, encompassing diverse tasks, such as decision-making, risk management, predictions, and medical image analysis (the sensitivity of AI for detecting abnormalities often surpasses that of the human eye). Explainable AI aids in explaining AI-driven insights. For example, while a traditional AI model may provide a diagnosis with a confidence score ("Diabetic retinopathy detected with 95% confidence"), ophthalmologists may hesitate to Google Net trust the result or administer recommended treatment without knowing the basis for the diagnosis. With explainable AI, the system can highlight regions in the retina or specific lesions, like hemorrhages or microaneurysms, critical to the model decision via heat maps. These allow clinicians to relate to the results like traditional fundoscopic examination, and the

maps may additionally serve as useful guides to the planning of photocoagulation therapy, where applicable ^{161, 162}.

Cloud-based systems revolutionize diabetes management and prevention by enhancing data processing, enabling real-time interventions, and optimizing resources. They facilitate early detection, personalized therapy, and swift glucose fluctuation response. Migration to cloud structures reduces costs and administrative burdens, while user-friendly digital platforms support self-monitoring and community engagement. Integrating artificial intelligence with cloud platforms promises sharper insights for combating diseases like Type II diabetes, leading to societal benefits. Moreover, some researchers have investigated the potential of cloud-based systems for diabetes management and prevention ^{163, 164}. A study by Salari et al. ¹⁶⁵ has shown promising results in using mobile and cloud systems to improve self-care for chronic conditions, offering hope for more effective and user-friendly solutions. Similarly, R. Nasser et al. ¹⁶⁶ have proposed innovative methods using advanced technology, such as AI and cloud computing, to predict glucose levels and integrate them with wearable devices. These advancements point toward a future where cloud-based systems could revolutionize how diabetes is managed, offering personalized and timely interventions to enhance health outcomes for individuals with the condition. Figure 6 illustrates the interconnected components of a cloud-based system for diabetic retinopathy screening.




Figure 6. This schematic illustrates the interconnected components of a cloud-based system for diabetic retinopathy screening. The cloud infrastructure, depicted as remote servers and databases, processes retinal image data captured by a retinal imaging device, such as a fundus camera or OCT scanner. AI algorithms analyze the images within the cloud, generating diagnostic results like risk scores for diabetic retinopathy. These results are accessible to healthcare providers through interfaces on computers or mobile devices, ensuring prompt patient care. Patient data privacy measures safeguard sensitive information, including encryption and secure transmission protocols. Additionally, a feedback loop may exist, where diagnostic results contribute to the continuous improvement of AI algorithms over time.

6. Conclusion

Given the increasing prevalence and incidence of DM, developing cost-effective, population-based DR screening and management strategies is becoming increasingly important nationally. AI has shown significant promise in accurately diagnosing DR, with potential applicability extending beyond the diagnosis and grading of DR. This includes the diagnosis of diabetic neuropathy, diabetic nephropathy, and cardiovascular diseases. These applications may involve using CFP independently or in conjunction with other advanced diagnostic techniques, such as OCT and various clinical parameters. AI's capacity to assess a patient's health about DM complications and to forecast the risk of future complications positions AI-assisted retinal image analysis as a potentially key component in personalized medicine for individuals with DM.

Declaration

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

This study was conducted under the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

N/A

Availability of data

N/A

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding Sources

None.

Authors' contributions

AJ conceptualized the manuscript. YSB, MM, MA, AJ, and NS conducted a literature review. YSB, MM, MA, and NS drafted the manuscript. SP, RST, RA, URA, SMSI, NS, MA, and AJ provided comments and revised the manuscript. NS and AJ created and designed all the figures. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript. It should be noted that YSB, MM, and NS contributed equally to this study, and AJ and RA are co-corresponding authors of this manuscript.

Acknowledgments

None.

References

1. Glovaci D, Fan W and Wong ND. Epidemiology of Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease. *Current Cardiology Reports* 2019; 21: 21. DOI: 10.1007/s11886-019-1107-y.
2. Jafarizadeh A, Raeisi SA, Ghassab-Abdollahi N, et al. Effect of Citrullus colocynthis on glycemic factors and lipid profile in type II diabetic patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Diabetes Metab Disord* 2022; 21: 1785-1795. 2022/11/22. DOI: 10.1007/s40200-022-01045-9.
3. Jeffcoate WJ and Harding KG. Diabetic foot ulcers. *The Lancet* 2003; 361: 1545-1551. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)13169-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13169-8).
4. Koye DN, Magliano DJ, Nelson RG, et al. The Global Epidemiology of Diabetes and Kidney Disease. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis* 2018; 25: 121-132. DOI: 10.1053/j.ackd.2017.10.011.
5. Yau JW, Rogers SL, Kawasaki R, et al. Global prevalence and major risk factors of diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes Care* 2012; 35: 556-564. 20120201. DOI: 10.2337/dc11-1909.
6. Cheung N, Mitchell P and Wong TY. Diabetic retinopathy. *Lancet* 2010; 376: 124-136. 20100626. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)62124-3.
7. Kollias AN and Ulbig MW. Diabetic retinopathy: Early diagnosis and effective treatment. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 2010; 107: 75-83; quiz 84. 20100205. DOI: 10.3238/arztebl.2010.0075.
8. McMillan DE. The microcirculation in diabetes. *Microcirc Endothelium Lymphatics* 1984; 1: 3-24.
9. Abbas K, Lu Y, Bavishi S, et al. A Simple Review of Small Vessel Disease Manifestation in the Brain, Retina, and Kidneys. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 11. DOI: 10.3390/jcm11195546.

10. Li S, Zhao R and Zou H. Artificial intelligence for diabetic retinopathy. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2021; 135: 253-260. 20211208. DOI: 10.1097/cm9.0000000000001816.
11. Rajesh AE, Davidson OQ, Lee CS, et al. Artificial Intelligence and Diabetic Retinopathy: AI Framework, Prospective Studies, Head-to-head Validation, and Cost-effectiveness. *Diabetes Care* 2023; 46: 1728-1739. DOI: 10.2337/dci23-0032.
12. Uppamma P and Bhattacharya S. Deep Learning and Medical Image Processing Techniques for Diabetic Retinopathy: A Survey of Applications, Challenges, and Future Trends. *J Healthc Eng* 2023; 2023: 2728719. 2023/02/14. DOI: 10.1155/2023/2728719.
13. Gonçalves MB, Nakayama LF, Ferraz D, et al. Image quality assessment of retinal fundus photographs for diabetic retinopathy in the machine learning era: a review. *Eye (Lond)* 2024; 38: 426-433. 2023/09/05. DOI: 10.1038/s41433-023-02717-3.
14. Balakrishnan U, Venkatachalapathy K and Marimuthu GS. A Hybrid PSO-DEFS Based Feature Selection for the Identification of Diabetic Retinopathy. *Curr Diabetes Rev* 2015; 11: 182-190. 2015/03/31. DOI: 10.2174/1573399811666150330150038.
15. Mudie LI, Wang X, Friedman DS, et al. Crowdsourcing and Automated Retinal Image Analysis for Diabetic Retinopathy. *Curr Diab Rep* 2017; 17: 106. 2017/09/25. DOI: 10.1007/s11892-017-0940-x.
16. Raman R, Srinivasan S, Virmani S, et al. Fundus photograph-based deep learning algorithms in detecting diabetic retinopathy. *Eye (Lond)* 2019; 33: 97-109. 2018/11/08. DOI: 10.1038/s41433-018-0269-y.
17. Asiri N, Hussain M, Al Adel F, et al. Deep learning based computer-aided diagnosis systems for diabetic retinopathy: A survey. *Artif Intell Med* 2019; 99: 101701. 2019/10/14. DOI: 10.1016/j.artmed.2019.07.009.
18. Rajalakshmi R, Prathiba V, Arulmalar S, et al. Review of retinal cameras for global coverage of diabetic retinopathy screening. *Eye (Lond)* 2021; 35: 162-172. 2020/11/11. DOI: 10.1038/s41433-020-01262-7.
19. Shahriari MH, Sabbaghi H, Asadi F, et al. Artificial intelligence in screening, diagnosis, and classification of diabetic macular edema: A systematic review. *Surv Ophthalmol* 2023; 68: 42-53. 2022/08/16. DOI: 10.1016/j.survophthal.2022.08.004.
20. Yang Z, Tan TE, Shao Y, et al. Classification of diabetic retinopathy: Past, present and future. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2022; 13: 1079217. 2023/01/03. DOI: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1079217.
21. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Systematic Reviews* 2021; 10: 89. DOI: 10.1186/s13643-021-01626-4.
22. Abràmoff MD, Folk JC, Han DP, et al. Automated Analysis of Retinal Images for Detection of Referable Diabetic Retinopathy. *JAMA Ophthalmology* 2013; 131: 351-357. DOI: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2013.1743.
23. Abràmoff MD, Lou Y, Erginay A, et al. Improved Automated Detection of Diabetic Retinopathy on a Publicly Available Dataset Through Integration of Deep Learning. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* 2016; 57: 5200-5206. DOI: 10.1167/iovs.16-19964.
24. Tufail A, Rudisill C, Egan C, et al. Automated Diabetic Retinopathy Image Assessment Software: Diagnostic Accuracy and Cost-Effectiveness Compared with Human Graders. *Ophthalmology* 2017; 124: 343-351. DOI: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.11.014.
25. Xie Y, Nguyen QD, Hamzah H, et al. Artificial intelligence for teleophthalmology-based diabetic retinopathy screening in a national programme: an economic analysis modelling study. *The Lancet Digital Health* 2020; 2: e240-e249. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(20\)30060-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30060-1).
26. Huang X-M, Yang B-F, Zheng W-L, et al. Cost-effectiveness of artificial intelligence screening for diabetic retinopathy in rural China. *BMC Health Services Research* 2022; 22: 260. DOI: 10.1186/s12913-022-07655-6.

27. Taylor NP. FDA APPROVES FIRST AI DEVICE TO DETECT DIABETIC RETINOPATHY <https://www.fiercebiotech.com/medtech/fda-approves-diabetic-retinopathy-detecting-ai-algorithm> (2018).
28. Burgess PI, Harding SP, García-Fiñana M, et al. Incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy in Sub-Saharan Africa: A five year cohort study. *PLoS One* 2017; 12: e0181359. 20170802. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0181359.
29. Zheng Y, He M and Congdon N. The worldwide epidemic of diabetic retinopathy. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2012; 60: 428-431. DOI: 10.4103/0301-4738.100542.
30. Ogurtsova K, da Rocha Fernandes JD, Huang Y, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global estimates for the prevalence of diabetes for 2015 and 2040. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2017; 128: 40-50. 20170331. DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2017.03.024.
31. Huemer J, Wagner SK and Sim DA. The Evolution of Diabetic Retinopathy Screening Programmes: A Chronology of Retinal Photography from 35 mm Slides to Artificial Intelligence. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2020; 14: 2021-2035. 20200720. DOI: 10.2147/opth.S261629.
32. Bellemo V, Lim ZW, Lim G, et al. Artificial intelligence using deep learning to screen for referable and vision-threatening diabetic retinopathy in Africa: a clinical validation study. *Lancet Digit Health* 2019; 1: e35-e44. 20190502. DOI: 10.1016/s2589-7500(19)30004-4.
33. Ruamviboonsuk P, Tiwari R, Sayres R, et al. Real-time diabetic retinopathy screening by deep learning in a multisite national screening programme: a prospective interventional cohort study. *The Lancet Digital Health* 2022; 4: e235-e244. DOI: 10.1016/S2589-7500(22)00017-6.
34. Rajalakshmi R, Subashini R, Anjana RM, et al. Automated diabetic retinopathy detection in smartphone-based fundus photography using artificial intelligence. *Eye* 2018; 32: 1138-1144. DOI: 10.1038/s41433-018-0064-9.
35. Wong RL, Tsang CW, Wong DS, et al. Are we making good use of our public resources? The false-positive rate of screening by fundus photography for diabetic macular oedema. *Hong Kong Med J* 2017; 23: 356-364. 20170707. DOI: 10.12809/hkmj166078.
36. Mackenzie S, Schmermer C, Charnley A, et al. SDOCT Imaging to Identify Macular Pathology in Patients Diagnosed with Diabetic Maculopathy by a Digital Photographic Retinal Screening Programme. *PLOS ONE* 2011; 6: e14811. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0014811.
37. Grauslund J, Andersen N, Andresen J, et al. Evidence-based Danish guidelines for screening of diabetic retinopathy. *Acta Ophthalmologica* 2018; 96: 763-769. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aos.13936>. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/aos.13936>.
38. Shahriari MH, Sabbaghi H, Asadi F, et al. Artificial intelligence in screening, diagnosis, and classification of diabetic macular edema: A systematic review. *Survey of Ophthalmology* 2023; 68: 42-53. DOI: 10.1016/j.survophthal.2022.08.004.
39. Varadarajan AV, Bavishi P, Ruamviboonsuk P, et al. Predicting optical coherence tomography-derived diabetic macular edema grades from fundus photographs using deep learning. *Nature Communications* 2020; 11: 130-130. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-13922-8.
40. Wu Q, Zhang B, Hu Y, et al. DETECTION OF MORPHOLOGIC PATTERNS OF DIABETIC MACULAR EDEMA USING A DEEP LEARNING APPROACH BASED ON OPTICAL COHERENCE TOMOGRAPHY IMAGES. *Retina* 2021; 41: 1110-1117. DOI: 10.1097/IAE.0000000000002992.
41. Manikandan S, Raman R, Rajalakshmi R, et al. Deep learning-based detection of diabetic macular edema using optical coherence tomography and fundus images: A meta-analysis. *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology* 2023; 71: 1783-1796. DOI: 10.4103/IJO.IJO_2614_22.
42. Li H-Y, Wang D-X, Dong L, et al. Deep learning algorithms for detection of diabetic macular edema in OCT images: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Ophthalmology* 2023; 33: 278-290. DOI: 10.1177/112067212211094786.

43. Pavithra K, Kumar P, Geetha M, et al. Statistical Analysis of Deep Learning Models for Diabetic Macular Edema Classification using OCT Images. In: *2022 International Conference on Distributed Computing, VLSI, Electrical Circuits and Robotics (DISCOVER) 2022/10// 2022*, pp.305-310. IEEE.
44. Liu R, Li Q, Xu F, et al. Application of artificial intelligence-based dual-modality analysis combining fundus photography and optical coherence tomography in diabetic retinopathy screening in a community hospital. *Biomed Eng Online* 2022; 21: 47. 20220720. DOI: 10.1186/s12938-022-01018-2.
45. Ryu G, Lee K, Park D, et al. A deep learning model for identifying diabetic retinopathy using optical coherence tomography angiography. *Sci Rep* 2021; 11: 23024. 20211126. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-02479-6.
46. Ferris FL. How effective are treatments for diabetic retinopathy? *Jama* 1993; 269: 1290-1291.
47. Harding SP, Broadbent DM, Neoh C, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of photography and direct ophthalmoscopy in screening for sight threatening eye disease: the Liverpool Diabetic Eye Study. *Bmj* 1995; 311: 1131-1135. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.311.7013.1131.
48. Xie Y, Nguyen QD, Hamzah H, et al. Artificial intelligence for teleophthalmology-based diabetic retinopathy screening in a national programme: an economic analysis modelling study. *The Lancet Digital Health* 2020; 2: e240-e249.
49. Ye X, Wang J, Chen Y, et al. Automatic screening and identifying myopic maculopathy on optical coherence tomography images using deep learning. *Translational Vision Science & Technology* 2021; 10: 10-10.
50. Gulshan V, Peng L, Coram M, et al. Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm for detection of diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus photographs. *jama* 2016; 316: 2402-2410.
51. Takahashi H, Tampo H, Arai Y, et al. Applying artificial intelligence to disease staging: Deep learning for improved staging of diabetic retinopathy. *PloS one* 2017; 12: e0179790.
52. Ruamviboonsuk P, Krause J, Chotcomwongse P, et al. Deep learning versus human graders for classifying diabetic retinopathy severity in a nationwide screening program. *NPJ digital medicine* 2019; 2: 25.
53. Abbas Q, Fondon I, Sarmiento A, et al. Automatic recognition of severity level for diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy using deep visual features. *Medical & biological engineering & computing* 2017; 55: 1959-1974.
54. Ting DSW, Cheung CY-L, Lim G, et al. Development and validation of a deep learning system for diabetic retinopathy and related eye diseases using retinal images from multiethnic populations with diabetes. *Jama* 2017; 318: 2211-2223.
55. Li Z, Keel S, Liu C, et al. An automated grading system for detection of vision-threatening referable diabetic retinopathy on the basis of color fundus photographs. *Diabetes care* 2018; 41: 2509-2516.
56. Wang Y, Yu M, Hu B, et al. Deep learning-based detection and stage grading for optimising diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews* 2021; 37: e3445.
57. B P A, M S C, K S P, et al. Diabetic Retinopathy using Inception V3 Model. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology* 2023; 11: 4612-4616. DOI: 10.22214/ijraset.2023.51304.
58. Prajapati M, Baliarsingh SK and Hota J. MobileNetV3 based Classification Model for Diabetic Retinopathy. In: *2023 10th International Conference on Signal Processing and Integrated Networks (SPIN) 2023/3// 2023*, pp.213-217. IEEE.
59. Sandhu HS, Elmogy M, Sharafeldeen AT, et al. Automated diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy using clinical biomarkers, optical coherence tomography, and optical coherence tomography angiography. *American journal of ophthalmology* 2020; 216: 201-206.

60. Wang X, Ji Z, Ma X, et al. Automated Grading of Diabetic Retinopathy with Ultra-Widefield Fluorescein Angiography and Deep Learning. *J Diabetes Res* 2021; 2021: 2611250. 20210908. DOI: 10.1155/2021/2611250.
61. García-Fiñana M, Hughes DM, Cheyne CP, et al. Personalized risk-based screening for diabetic retinopathy: A multivariate approach versus the use of stratification rules. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2019; 21: 560-568. 20181030. DOI: 10.1111/dom.13552.
62. Aspelund T, Þórisdóttir Ó, Ólafsdóttir E, et al. Individual risk assessment and information technology to optimise screening frequency for diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetologia* 2011; 54: 2525. DOI: 10.1007/s00125-011-2257-7.
63. Soto-Pedre E, Pinies JA and Hernaez-Ortega MC. External validation of a risk assessment model to adjust the frequency of eye-screening visits in patients with diabetes mellitus. *J Diabetes Complications* 2015; 29: 508-511. 20150108. DOI: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2014.12.020.
64. Piri S, Delen D, Liu T, et al. A data analytics approach to building a clinical decision support system for diabetic retinopathy: Developing and deploying a model ensemble. *Decision Support Systems* 2017; 101: 12-27. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2017.05.012>.
65. Group ETDRSR. Grading diabetic retinopathy from stereoscopic color fundus photographs—an extension of the modified Airlie House classification: ETDRS report number 10. *Ophthalmology* 1991; 98: 786-806.
66. Skevofilakas M, Zarkogianni K, Karamanos BG, et al. A hybrid Decision Support System for the risk assessment of retinopathy development as a long term complication of Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. In: *2010 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology* 2010, pp.6713-6716. IEEE.
67. Arcadu F, Benmansour F, Maunz A, et al. Deep learning algorithm predicts diabetic retinopathy progression in individual patients. *NPJ digital medicine* 2019; 2: 1-9.
68. Rosenfeld PJ, Fung AE and Puliafito CA. Optical coherence tomography findings after an intravitreal injection of bevacizumab (avastin) for macular edema from central retinal vein occlusion. *Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging* 2005; 36: 336-339.
69. Ng EW, Shima DT, Calias P, et al. Pegaptanib, a targeted anti-VEGF aptamer for ocular vascular disease. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2006; 5: 123-132. DOI: 10.1038/nrd1955.
70. Chun DW, Heier JS, Topping TM, et al. A pilot study of multiple intravitreal injections of ranibizumab in patients with center-involving clinically significant diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology* 2006; 113: 1706-1712. DOI: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2006.04.033.
71. Nguyen QD, Tatlipinar S, Shah SM, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor is a critical stimulus for diabetic macular edema. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2006; 142: 961-969. 20060802. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajo.2006.06.068.
72. Rasti R, Allingham MJ, Mettu PS, et al. Deep learning-based single-shot prediction of differential effects of anti-VEGF treatment in patients with diabetic macular edema. *Biomedical Optics Express* 2020; 11: 1139-1139. DOI: 10.1364/BOE.379150.
73. Ye X, Gao K, He S, et al. Artificial Intelligence-Based Quantification of Central Macular Fluid Volume and VA Prediction for Diabetic Macular Edema Using OCT Images. *Ophthalmology and Therapy* 2023; 12: 2441-2452. DOI: 10.1007/s40123-023-00746-5.
74. Liu B, Zhang B, Hu Y, et al. Automatic prediction of treatment outcomes in patients with diabetic macular edema using ensemble machine learning. *Annals of Translational Medicine* 2021; 9.
75. Gallardo M, Munk MR, Kurmann T, et al. Machine Learning Can Predict Anti-VEGF Treatment Demand in a Treat-and-Extend Regimen for Patients with Neovascular AMD, DME, and RVO Associated Macular Edema. *Ophthalmol Retina* 2021; 5: 604-624. 20210508. DOI: 10.1016/j.oret.2021.05.002.
76. Zamytskiy EA ZA, Karlova E and Zamytskiy P. Analysis of the coagulates intensity in laser treatment of diabetic macular edema in a Navilas robotic laser system. 2017.

77. Kozak I and Luttrull JK. Modern retinal laser therapy. *Saudi J Ophthalmol* 2015; 29: 137-146. 20140928. DOI: 10.1016/j.sjopt.2014.09.001.
78. Moutray T, Evans JR, Lois N, et al. Different lasers and techniques for proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2018.
79. Ilyasova N, Demin N, Shirokanev A, et al. Automated System for the Personalization of Retinal Laser Treatment in Diabetic Retinopathy Based on the Intelligent Analysis of OCT Data and Fundus Images. *Intelligent Decision Technologies*. Springer, 2022, pp.171-181.
80. Larsson SC, Wallin A, Håkansson N, et al. Type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus and incidence of seven cardiovascular diseases. *International Journal of Cardiology* 2018; 262: 66-70. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2018.03.099.
81. Wang M, Francis F, Kunz H, et al. Artificial intelligence models for predicting cardiovascular diseases in people with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review. *Intelligence-Based Medicine* 2022; 6: 100072. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmed.2022.100072>.
82. Guo VY, Chan JC, Chung H, et al. Retinal Information is Independently Associated with Cardiovascular Disease in Patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Sci Rep* 2016; 6: 19053. 20160112. DOI: 10.1038/srep19053.
83. Poplin R, Varadarajan AV, Blumer K, et al. Prediction of cardiovascular risk factors from retinal fundus photographs via deep learning. *Nature Biomedical Engineering* 2018; 2: 158-164. DOI: 10.1038/s41551-018-0195-0.
84. Mordi IR, Trucco E, Syed MG, et al. Prediction of Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events From Retinal, Clinical, and Genomic Data in Individuals With Type 2 Diabetes: A Population Cohort Study. *Diabetes Care* 2022; 45: 710-716. DOI: 10.2337/dc21-1124.
85. Juster-Switlyk K and Smith AG. Updates in diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *F1000Res* 2016; 5: 20160425. DOI: 10.12688/f1000research.7898.1.
86. Gordois A, Scuffham P, Shearer A, et al. The health care costs of diabetic peripheral neuropathy in the US. *Diabetes Care* 2003; 26: 1790-1795. DOI: 10.2337/diacare.26.6.1790.
87. Quattrini C and Tesfaye S. Understanding the impact of painful diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2003; 19 Suppl 1: S2-8. DOI: 10.1002/dmrr.360.
88. Petropoulos IN, Ponirakis G, Khan A, et al. Diagnosing Diabetic Neuropathy: Something Old, Something New. *Diabetes Metab J* 2018; 42: 255-269. DOI: 10.4093/dmj.2018.0056.
89. Benson J, Estrada T, Burge M, et al. Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy Risk Assessment using Digital Fundus Photographs and Machine Learning. *Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2020; 2020: 1988-1991. DOI: 10.1109/embc44109.2020.9175982.
90. Cervera DR, Smith L, Diaz-Santana L, et al. Identifying Peripheral Neuropathy in Colour Fundus Photographs Based on Deep Learning. *Diagnostics* 2021; 11: 1943-1943. DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics11111943.
91. Lee WJ, Sobrin L, Lee MJ, et al. The Relationship Between Diabetic Retinopathy and Diabetic Nephropathy in a Population-Based Study in Korea (KNHANES V-2, 3). *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science* 2014; 55: 6547-6553. DOI: 10.1167/iovs.14-15001.
92. Ahmed MH, Elwali ES, Awadalla H, et al. The relationship between diabetic retinopathy and nephropathy in Sudanese adult with diabetes: population based study. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews* 2017; 11: S333-S336. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2017.03.011>.
93. Pedro R-A, Ramon S-A, Marc B-B, et al. Prevalence and Relationship Between Diabetic Retinopathy and Nephropathy, and its Risk Factors in the North-East of Spain, a Population-Based Study. *Ophthalmic Epidemiology* 2010; 17: 251-265. DOI: 10.3109/09286586.2010.498661.
94. Gupta M, Rao IR, Nagaraju SP, et al. Diabetic Retinopathy Is a Predictor of Progression of Diabetic Kidney Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Nephrology* 2022; 2022: 3922398. DOI: 10.1155/2022/3922398.

95. Mills KT, Xu Y, Zhang W, et al. A systematic analysis of worldwide population-based data on the global burden of chronic kidney disease in 2010. *Kidney International* 2015; 88: 950-957. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2015.230>.
96. Hill NR, Fatoba ST, Oke JL, et al. Global Prevalence of Chronic Kidney Disease – A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLOS ONE* 2016; 11: e0158765. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0158765.
97. Ene-Iordache B, Perico N, Bikbov B, et al. Chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular risk in six regions of the world (ISN-KDDC): a cross-sectional study. *The Lancet Global Health* 2016; 4: e307-e319. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(16\)00071-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(16)00071-1).
98. Global, regional, and national age–sex specific all-cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of death, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *The Lancet* 2015; 385: 117-171. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61682-2.
99. Tuttle KR, Bakris GL, Bilous RW, et al. Diabetic kidney disease: a report from an ADA Consensus Conference. *Diabetes care* 2014; 37: 2864-2883.
100. Zhang K, Liu X, Xu J, et al. Deep-learning models for the detection and incidence prediction of chronic kidney disease and type 2 diabetes from retinal fundus images. *Nature Biomedical Engineering* 2021; 5: 533-545. DOI: 10.1038/s41551-021-00745-6.
101. Sabanayagam C, Xu D, Ting DSW, et al. A deep learning algorithm to detect chronic kidney disease from retinal photographs in community-based populations. *Lancet Digit Health* 2020; 2: e295-e302. 20200512. DOI: 10.1016/s2589-7500(20)30063-7.
102. Zhao L, Ren H, Zhang J, et al. DIABETIC RETINOPATHY, CLASSIFIED USING THE LESION-AWARE DEEP LEARNING SYSTEM, PREDICTS DIABETIC END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE IN CHINESE PATIENTS. *Endocr Pract* 2020; 26: 429-443. 20200122. DOI: 10.4158/ep-2019-0512.
103. Diehm C and Lawall H. Diabetes, Herzchirurgie und periphere GefäÙe. *Clinical Research in Cardiology* 2006; 95: i63-i69. DOI: 10.1007/s00392-006-1123-y.
104. Althouse AD, Abbott JD, Forker AD, et al. Risk Factors for Incident Peripheral Arterial Disease in Type 2 Diabetes: Results From the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation in Type 2 Diabetes (BARI 2D) Trial. *Diabetes Care* 2014; 37: 1346-1352. DOI: 10.2337/dc13-2303.
105. Yamagishi SI, Nakamura K, Matsui T, et al. Role of postprandial hyperglycaemia in cardiovascular disease in diabetes. *International Journal of Clinical Practice* 2007; 61: 83-87. DOI: 10.1111/j.1742-1241.2006.01168.x.
106. Achim A, Stanek A, Homorodean C, et al. Approaches to Peripheral Artery Disease in Diabetes: Are There Any Differences? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2022; 19: 9801-9801. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph19169801.
107. Mueller S, Wintergerst MWM, Falahat P, et al. Multiple instance learning detects peripheral arterial disease from high-resolution color fundus photography. *Scientific Reports* 2022; 12: 1389-1389. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-022-05169-z.
108. Panda BB, Thakur S, Mohapatra S, et al. Artificial intelligence in ophthalmology: A new era is beginning. *Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging* 2021; 2: 5-12. DOI: 10.35711/aimi.v2.i1.5.
109. He K, Zhang X, Ren S, et al. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* 2016, pp.770-778.
110. Kaiming H, Xiangyu Z, Shaoqing R, et al. Identity mappings in deep residual networks. In: *European conference on computer vision* 2016, pp.630-645. Springer.
111. Kurama V. A Review of Popular Deep Learning Architectures: ResNet, InceptionV3, and SqueezeNet, <https://blog.paperspace.com/popular-deep-learning-architectures-resnet-inceptionv3-squeezenet/> (2020).
112. Anwar A. Difference between alexnet, vggnet, resnet and inception. *Medium-Towards Data Sci* 2019.

113. Simonyan K and Zisserman A. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:14091556* 2014.
114. Jafarizadeh A, Maleki SF, Pouya P, et al. Current and future roles of artificial intelligence in retinopathy of prematurity. *ArXiv* 2024; abs/2402.09975. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2402.09975.
115. Rosebrock A. Imagenet: Vggnet, resnet, inception, and xception with keras. *Mars* 2017.
116. Guo Y, Liu Y, Oerlemans A, et al. Deep learning for visual understanding: A review. *Neurocomputing* 2016; 187: 27-48.
117. Abdollahi M, Jafarizadeh A, Ghafouri Asbagh A, et al. Artificial Intelligence in Assessing Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors via Retinal Fundus Images: A Review of the Last Decade. *ArXiv* 2023; abs/2311.07609. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2311.07609.
118. Farabi Maleki S, Yousefi M, Afshar S, et al. Artificial Intelligence for Multiple Sclerosis Management Using Retinal Images: Pearl, Peaks, and Pitfalls. *Semin Ophthalmol* 2023: 1-18. 2023/12/13. DOI: 10.1080/08820538.2023.2293030.
119. Ashayeri H, Jafarizadeh A, Yousefi M, et al. Retinal imaging and Alzheimer's disease: a future powered by Artificial Intelligence. *Graefes's Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology* 2024: 1-13. DOI: 10.1007/s00417-024-06394-0.
120. Szegedy C, Vanhoucke V, Ioffe S, et al. Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* 2016, pp.2818-2826.
121. Ioffe S and Szegedy C. Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. In: *International conference on machine learning* 2015, pp.448-456. pmlr.
122. Huang G, Liu Z, Van Der Maaten L, et al. Densely connected convolutional networks. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* 2017, pp.4700-4708.
123. Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I and Hinton GE. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 2012; 25.
124. Zhang H, Wu C, Zhang Z, et al. Resnest: Split-attention networks. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* 2022, pp.2736-2746.
125. Litjens G, Kooi T, Bejnordi BE, et al. A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. *Medical Image Analysis* 2017; 42: 60-88. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005>.
126. Ronneberger O, Fischer P and Brox T. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In: *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, Proceedings, Part III* 2015, pp.234-241. Springer.
127. Jeong Y, Hong YJ and Han JH. Review of Machine Learning Applications Using Retinal Fundus Images. *Diagnostics (Basel)* 2022; 12 20220106. DOI: 10.3390/diagnostics12010134.
128. Pan SJ and Yang Q. A Survey on Transfer Learning. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* 2010; 22: 1345-1359. DOI: 10.1109/TKDE.2009.191.
129. Tan C, Sun F, Kong T, et al. A survey on deep transfer learning. In: *Artificial Neural Networks and Machine Learning—ICANN 2018: 27th International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks, Rhodes, Greece, October 4-7, 2018, Proceedings, Part III* 2018, pp.270-279. Springer.
130. Kanagasingham Y, Xiao D, Vignarajan J, et al. Evaluation of Artificial Intelligence–Based Grading of Diabetic Retinopathy in Primary Care. *JAMA Network Open* 2018; 1: e182665-e182665. DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.2665.
131. Das T, Takkar B, Sivaprasad S, et al. Recently updated global diabetic retinopathy screening guidelines: commonalities, differences, and future possibilities. *Eye* 2021; 35: 2685-2698. DOI: 10.1038/s41433-021-01572-4.
132. Wang LZ, Cheung CY, Tapp RJ, et al. Availability and variability in guidelines on diabetic retinopathy screening in Asian countries. *British Journal of Ophthalmology* 2017; 101: 1352-1360. DOI: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2016-310002.

133. Martins TGdS. Comment on: How is the risk of being diagnosed with referable diabetic retinopathy affected by failure to attend diabetes eye screening appointments? *Eye* 2021; 35: 2045-2045. DOI: 10.1038/s41433-020-1040-8.
134. Rahim SS, Palade V and Holzinger A. Image Processing and Machine Learning Techniques for Diabetic Retinopathy Detection: A Review. 2020, pp.136-154.
135. Shuai M. Research status and prospect of deep learning algorithm-based artificial intelligence in assisted diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy. *Chinese Journal of Experimental Ophthalmology* 2019; 684-688.
136. Chu A, Squirrell D, Phillips AM, et al. Essentials of a Robust Deep Learning System for Diabetic Retinopathy Screening: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Ophthalmology* 2020; 2020: 1-11. DOI: 10.1155/2020/8841927.
137. Morris A. AI can diagnose diabetic retinopathy. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology* 2018; 14: 65-65. DOI: 10.1038/nrendo.2017.177.
138. Pieczynski J, Kuklo P and Grzybowski A. The Role of Telemedicine, In-Home Testing and Artificial Intelligence to Alleviate an Increasingly Burdened Healthcare System: Diabetic Retinopathy. *Ophthalmology and Therapy* 2021; 10: 445-464. DOI: 10.1007/s40123-021-00353-2.
139. Wang L, Shang Y, Weng X, et al. The Development of Standardized and Sharable Computer Interpretable Guidelines for Diabetic Retinopathy. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Intelligent Medicine and Health* New York, NY, USA, 2019/7// 2019, pp.1-7. ACM.
140. Nsoesie EO. Evaluating Artificial Intelligence Applications in Clinical Settings. *JAMA Network Open* 2018; 1: e182658-e182658. DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.2658.
141. Xie Y, Gunasekeran DV, Balaskas K, et al. Health Economic and Safety Considerations for Artificial Intelligence Applications in Diabetic Retinopathy Screening. *Translational Vision Science & Technology* 2020; 9: 22-22. DOI: 10.1167/tvst.9.2.22.
142. Grote T. Randomised controlled trials in medical AI: ethical considerations. *Journal of Medical Ethics* 2022; 48: 899-906. DOI: 10.1136/medethics-2020-107166.
143. Chen J, Storchan V and Kurshan E. Beyond Fairness Metrics: Roadblocks and Challenges for Ethical AI in Practice. 2021.
144. Amer S. Ethical Issues of the Use of AI in Healthcare. 2021, pp.843-853.
145. Casas-Roma J, Conesa J and Caballé S. Education, Ethical Dilemmas and AI: From Ethical Design to Artificial Morality. 2021, pp.167-182.
146. Morris MR. AI and Accessibility: A Discussion of Ethical Considerations. 2019. DOI: 10.1145/3356727.
147. Ogunyemi OI, Gandhi M and Tayek C. Predictive Models for Diabetic Retinopathy from Non-Image Teleretinal Screening Data. *AMIA Joint Summits on Translational Science proceedings AMIA Joint Summits on Translational Science* 2019; 2019: 472-477.
148. Verma H, Mlynar J, Schaer R, et al. Rethinking the Role of AI with Physicians in Oncology: Revealing Perspectives from Clinical and Research Workflows. In: *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* New York, NY, USA, 2023/4// 2023, pp.1-19. ACM.
149. Juluru K, Shih H-H, Keshava Murthy KN, et al. Integrating AI Algorithms into the Clinical Workflow. *Radiology: Artificial Intelligence* 2021; 3. DOI: 10.1148/ryai.2021210013.
150. Hua D, Petrina N, Young N, et al. Using AI-Driven Triaging to Optimise Clinical Workflows in Non-Emergency Outpatient Settings. In: *2023 Australasian Computer Science Week* New York, NY, USA, 2023/1// 2023, pp.240-243. ACM.
151. Smith M, Sattler A, Hong G, et al. From Code to Bedside: Implementing Artificial Intelligence Using Quality Improvement Methods. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2021; 36: 1061-1066. DOI: 10.1007/s11606-020-06394-w.

152. Ahmed Adel S. An overview of artificial intelligence use in diabetic retinopathy treatment: a narrative review. *Futurity Medicine* 2023; 4-13. DOI: 10.57125/FEM.2023.03.30.01.
153. Daich Varela M, Sen S, De Guimaraes TAC, et al. Artificial intelligence in retinal disease: clinical application, challenges, and future directions. *Graefe's Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology* 2023. DOI: 10.1007/s00417-023-06052-x.
154. Happe M, Gill H, Salem DH, et al. Clinical Features Distinguishing Diabetic Retinopathy Severity Using Artificial Intelligence. *Proceedings of IMPRS* 2023; 5. DOI: 10.18060/26676.
155. Nolan BM, DayBranch ER, Barton K, et al. Patient and Provider Experience with Artificial Intelligence Screening Technology for Diabetic Retinopathy in a Rural Primary Care Setting. *Journal of Maine Medical Center* 2023; 5. DOI: 10.46804/2641-2225.1144.
156. Land MR, Patel PA, Bui T, et al. Examining the Role of Telemedicine in Diabetic Retinopathy. *Journal of Clinical Medicine* 2023; 12: 3537-3537. DOI: 10.3390/jcm12103537.
157. Bryan JM, Bryar PJ and Mirza RG. Convolutional Neural Networks Accurately Identify Ungradable Images in a Diabetic Retinopathy Telemedicine Screening Program. *Telemedicine and e-Health* 2023; 29: 1349-1355. DOI: 10.1089/tmj.2022.0357.
158. Lin S, Ma Y, Xu Y, et al. Artificial Intelligence in Community-Based Diabetic Retinopathy Telemedicine Screening in Urban China: Cost-effectiveness and Cost-Utility Analyses With Real-world Data. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance* 2023; 9: e41624-e41624. DOI: 10.2196/41624.
159. Loh HW, Ooi CP, Seoni S, et al. Application of explainable artificial intelligence for healthcare: A systematic review of the last decade (2011–2022). *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine* 2022: 107161.
160. Doshi-Velez F and Kim B. Towards a rigorous science of interpretable machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:170208608* 2017.
161. Ribeiro MT, Singh S and Guestrin C. " Why should i trust you?" Explaining the predictions of any classifier. In: *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining* 2016, pp.1135-1144.
162. Chen JH and Asch SM. Machine learning and prediction in medicine—beyond the peak of inflated expectations. *The New England journal of medicine* 2017; 376: 2507.
163. Shakeel PM, Baskar S, Dhulipala VRS, et al. Cloud based framework for diagnosis of diabetes mellitus using K-means clustering. *Health Information Science and Systems* 2018; 6: 16-16. DOI: 10.1007/s13755-018-0054-0.
164. Sharma SK, Zamani AT, Abdelsalam A, et al. A Diabetes Monitoring System and Health-Medical Service Composition Model in Cloud Environment. *IEEE Access* 2023; 11: 32804-32819. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3258549.
165. Salari R, R Niakan Kalhori S, GhaziSaeedi M, et al. Mobile-Based and Cloud-Based System for Self-management of People With Type 2 Diabetes: Development and Usability Evaluation. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2021; 23: e18167-e18167. DOI: 10.2196/18167.
166. Nasser AR, Hasan AM, Humaidi AJ, et al. IoT and Cloud Computing in Health-Care: A New Wearable Device and Cloud-Based Deep Learning Algorithm for Monitoring of Diabetes. *Electronics* 2021; 10: 2719-2719. DOI: 10.3390/electronics10212719.