

Construction of weak solutions to a pressureless viscous model driven by nonlocal attraction–repulsion

Piotr B. Mucha^{*}, Maja Szlenk[†], Ewelina Zatorska[§]

February 19, 2024

^{*} Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics, and Mechanics, University of Warsaw,
ul. Banacha 2, Warsaw 02-097, Poland
p.mucha@mimuw.edu.pl

[†] Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics, and Mechanics, University of Warsaw,
ul. Banacha 2, Warsaw 02-097, Poland
m.szlenk@uw.edu.pl

[§] Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick
Zeeman Building, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom
ewelina.zatorska@warwick.ac.uk

Abstract

We analyze the pressureless Navier-Stokes system with nonlocal attraction–repulsion forces. Such systems appear in the context of models of collective behavior. We prove the existence of weak solutions on the whole space \mathbb{R}^3 in the case of density-dependent degenerate viscosity. For the nonlocal term it is assumed that the interaction kernel has the quadratic growth at infinity and almost quadratic singularity at zero. Under these assumptions, we derive the analog of the Bresch–Desjardins and Mellet–Vasseur estimates for the nonlocal system. In particular, we are able to adapt the approach of Vasseur and Yu [35, 34] to construct a weak solution.

Keywords: weak solutions; hydrodynamic models of swarming; compressible Navier-Stokes equations; density-dependent viscosity.

1 Introduction

Hydrodynamical equations featuring nonlocal forces frequently emerge in the modeling of collective behavior. Such systems find notable applications in phenomena such as flocking and swarming observed in various animal species and bacteria (see e. g. [23, 32]). On a microscopic scale, these interactions are characterized by N systems of Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs), each corresponding to one of the N agents. These systems essentially represent Newton’s equations, where the governing forces originate from agents attempting to adapt their positions and velocities in response to the presence of others. In contrast to the interactions between the gas particles, the interactions between the agents occur before the collision takes place, resulting in the nonlocal character of these forces. In instances where the ensemble size is rather small, the natural choice is to adopt the ODE description, see, for instance [36]. Yet, when dealing with a substantial number of agents or particles, change of perspective is unavoidable. This brings us to the macroscopic scale description. Via the mean-field limit, when the number of interacting agents is very large ($N \rightarrow \infty$), one can derive a significantly more concise description in terms of Partial Differential Equations (PDEs). The resulting systems of PDEs capture the evolution of the averaged quantities: the macroscopic density ρ and the macroscopic velocity u of the individuals. The nonlocal interactions persevere the limit passage giving rise to the nonlocal pressure-like forces in the macroscopic momentum equation. The examples of the above mathematical models and corresponding mean-field limits can be found in [13, 5, 17].

The purpose of this manuscript is to analyze one of such nonlocal hydrodynamic models of collective motion described by the degenerate pressureless Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= 0 \\ \partial_t(\varrho u) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) - \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbb{D}u) + \varrho \nabla(K * \varrho) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\varrho: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is the density of the particles, and $u: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ the velocity vector field, $\mathbb{D}u = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + \nabla^T u)$ is the symmetric part of the gradient of the velocity. The convolution term

$$\varrho \nabla(K * \varrho)(t, x) = \varrho(t, x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla K(x - y) \varrho(t, y) dy,$$

corresponds to the attractive-repulsive forces modelling the collective behaviour.

In comparison to the classical compressible Navier-Stokes equations, our model experiences a twofold degeneration. Firstly, the conventional stress tensor incorporates density dependence, and it vanishes when the density equals 0. Secondly, the system is pressureless, or rather, in place of the classical strongly repulsive pressure force $\nabla \varrho^\gamma$, we consider repulsion smeared around the origin and additional long-range attraction described by $\varrho \nabla K * \varrho$. The interaction potential K therefore consists of two parts:

$$K(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. The first part forces the particles to keep some small distance apart, and the second prevents them from spreading too far from each other, as illustrated in Fig. 1, below.




Figure 1: Attractive-repulsive potential $k(|x|) = K(x)$.

Note that the singular part of the potential K up to a constant corresponds to a Riesz potential

$$I_s(f)(x) = (-\Delta)^{-s/2} f(x) = \frac{1}{c_s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(y)}{|x - y|^{3-s}} dy, \quad c_s = \pi^{3/2} 2^s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3-s}{2})}$$

for $s = 3 - \alpha$. The case $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to the Newtonian potential and then equations (1.1) become the Navier-Stokes-Poisson system.

Our goal is to construct a weak solution to system (1.1) with potential K of the form (1.2). Assuming formally that solutions are smooth and well behaving at infinity, testing the momentum equation by u we get the basic energy law

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \varrho K * \varrho \right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu(\varrho) |\mathbb{D}u|^2 dx = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

There are two key elements different from the classical fluid mechanic systems:

♣ The part of energy corresponding to K determines the potential energy which spurs the motion of the fluid. Mathematically, the weak solutions lack a-priori estimates for the density in the L^γ space corresponding to the pressure exponent.

♣ The second point where we diverge from classical models is the form of the dissipative term. We consider the viscosity coefficient to be equal to ϱ . In particular, the dissipation, and the disappears if the density of the individuals is equal to 0. The effect of the magnitude of the density in the dissipation of the flow is natural for the hydrodynamic systems of interacting agents. It can be seen as macroscopic consequence of alignment forces, [30, Chapter 5]. From the mathematical perspective, this kind of viscosity results in a different kind of regularity expected for weak solutions.

The study of the highlighted aspects has gained increased attention within the community over the past decade. In case of the potential proportional to $|x|^{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in (0, d)$, stationary solutions and their stability were thoroughly studied for example in [7, 8, 14]. In [12] it was shown that the nonlocal Euler system admits infinitely many weak solutions. Concerning the existence of weak solutions, in [15] the authors proved the existence of weak solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes system with damping, when the nonlocal term is sufficiently integrable. The existence for Navier-Stokes-Poisson system on the torus in the same, degenerate setting as equation (1.1), was shown in [37].

The equation (1.1) is a special case of a Navier-Stokes system

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_t + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= 0, \\ \partial_t(\varrho u) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) - \operatorname{div}(\mu(\varrho)\mathbb{D}u) - \nabla(\lambda(\varrho)\operatorname{div} u) + \nabla P &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

with viscosity coefficients satisfying the algebraic relation $\lambda(\varrho) = \varrho\mu'(\varrho) - \mu(\varrho)$. In two dimensions, equation (1.4) with $\mu = \varrho$, $\lambda = 0$ is used to describe shallow water flow. When $\mu(0) = 0$ the system is degenerate, in the sense that the stress tensor does not provide us the typical L^2 integrability of the velocity gradient. An important tool to deal with this problem is then the Bresch-Desjardins inequality, first established in [1]. It allows to get an estimate on $\nabla\varphi(\varrho)$ in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2)$ for some φ depending on μ (in the case $\mu = \varrho, \lambda = 0$, one gets the estimate on $\nabla\sqrt{\varrho}$). With that information at hand, the authors of [2, 3] constructed the weak solutions to (1.4) with the additional regularizing terms in the momentum equation. Another interesting result, involving density-dependent viscosity, concerns the existence of weak solutions for quantum fluids, analysed for example in [22]. To construct weak solutions to the degenerate Navier-Stokes system (1.4) one needs another inequality, first used by Mellet and Vasseur in [29]. It provides the $L^\infty(0, T; L^1)$ estimate on the quantity $\varrho|u|^2 \ln(1 + |u|^2)$, which in consequence allows to derive compactness in L^2 of $\sqrt{\varrho}u$ (and in turn proves stability of solutions). Using this idea, Vasseur and Yu presented full, rigorous construction of global weak solutions to (1.4) with $\mu = \varrho, \lambda = 0$ in [35, 34], see also [25]. The case of more general viscosities was covered in [4], where the authors showed the existence of renormalized solutions, following the definition from [24].

An important feature of the density-dependent viscosity case and the Bresch-Desjardins inequality is that it allows to derive a priori estimates for the density without the use of the pressure term. In the classical theory of weak solutions to Navier-Stokes equations with constant viscosities, developed by Lions [28] and Feireisl [18], the construction of solutions is possible when $P \sim \varrho^\gamma$ for $\gamma > 3/2$. Without the pressure term, or with too low value of γ , the density is not integrable enough to show the compactness of the approximating sequence. In the density-dependent viscosity case, by virtue of the Bresch-Desjardins inequality, we get the estimate on the gradient of the density, and then compactness follows straight from the Aubin-Lions lemma. The lack of pressure term is natural in the case of systems derived from the models of collective behaviour, since they describe the interactions of different nature than gases. The pressureless systems were obtained as a mean-field limit from the agent-based model for example in [19] in the presence of the nonlocal alignment forces. The Euler-Poisson system with quadratic confinement was also recently considered in a spherically symmetric multi-dimensional setting by Carrillo and Shu [10] and we refer to this paper for up to date overview of results on that system in the context of continuous collective behaviour models. In the context of our work, particularly interesting results were obtained even earlier in the one-dimensional setting [11] and [16], where similar form of the nonlocal kernel was considered. In [11] the authors analysed the

asymptotics and critical thresholds for pressureless Euler system, whereas in [16] they showed that these solutions can be approximated by the solutions of the corresponding Navier-Stokes type system with degenerate viscosity. In higher dimensions without symmetry assumptions, pressureless limits in the density-dependent viscosity framework were performed in [26, 6]. In [20], a multidimensional version of result by Haspot and Zatorska [21] was proved, demonstrating that pressureless limit of (1.4) leads to the porous medium equation for "well prepared" data. However, according to our knowledge there are no corresponding results concerning the nonlocal systems.

2 The main result

Throughout the paper, by \mathbb{T}_L^3 we denote three-dimensional torus of size $2L$ (i.e. $\mathbb{T}_L^3 \sim [-L, L]^3$). By $L^p(0, T; X(\Omega))$ we denote the Bochner space of functions on $[0, T] \times \Omega$, with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p(0, T; X(\Omega))}^p = \int_0^T \|f(t, \cdot)\|_{X(\Omega)}^p dt.$$

In our case Ω is either \mathbb{T}_L^3 or \mathbb{R}^3 and for simplicity we will write X instead of $X(\Omega)$, when from the context it is clear what the spacial domain is.

We supplement problem (1.1) with the initial data

$$\varrho(0, x) = \varrho_0(x), \quad (\varrho u)(0, x) = m_0(x), \quad \text{such that } \varrho_0 \geq 0, \quad \sqrt{\varrho_0} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, for F defined as

$$F(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{2} \ln(1+z^2), \quad (2.2)$$

we assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0 F(|u_0|) dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} F(|x-y|) \varrho_0(x) \varrho_0(y) dx dy < \infty, \quad (2.3)$$

where we define $u_0 = \frac{m_0}{\varrho_0}$ on the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \varrho_0(x) > 0\}$. In particular, since $\frac{1}{2}z^2 \leq F(z)$ for large z , from (2.3) it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} \varrho_0 |u_0|^2 dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |x-y|^2 \varrho_0(x) \varrho_0(y) dx dy < \infty.$$

Definition 2.1. We say that (ϱ, u) is a weak finite energy solution to (1.1) on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with initial conditions (ϱ_0, m_0) , if

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^1), \quad \sqrt{\varrho} \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1), \\ \sqrt{\varrho} u &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2), \quad \overline{\varrho \mathbb{D}u} \in L^2(0, T; W^{-1,1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |x-y| \varrho(t, x) \varrho(t, y) dx dy < \infty,$$

and for each $\varphi \in C_0^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in C_0^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ we have

$$- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho \partial_t \varphi dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0 \varphi(0, \cdot) dx$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} m_0 \psi(0, \cdot) dx - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{\varrho} \sqrt{\varrho} u \partial_t \psi dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\sqrt{\varrho} u \otimes \sqrt{\varrho} u) : \nabla \psi dx dt \\ + \langle \overline{\varrho \mathbb{D}u}, \nabla \psi \rangle + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho \nabla(K * \varrho) \cdot \psi dx dt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we define

$$\langle \overline{\varrho \mathbb{D}u}, \nabla \psi \rangle := - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho u \cdot (\Delta \psi + \nabla \operatorname{div} \psi) dx dt - 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \otimes \sqrt{\varrho} u) : \nabla \psi dx dt.$$

Remark 2.2. Note that in the sense of Definition 2.1, the velocity itself is not defined on the set where $\varrho = 0$. Because of that, we operate with the variable $\sqrt{\varrho}u$ instead, and u is defined only via $u(t, x) = \frac{(\sqrt{\varrho}u)(t, x)}{\sqrt{\varrho(t, x)}}$ for (t, x) such that $\varrho(t, x) \neq 0$. In particular the gradient $\mathbb{D}u$ is not well defined as well. Because of that, we denote the stress tensor by $\overline{\varrho\mathbb{D}u}$ instead, which is defined using the relation

$$\overline{\varrho\mathbb{D}u} = \mathbb{D}(\varrho u) - \nabla \varrho \otimes u = \mathbb{D}(\varrho u) - 2\nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \otimes \sqrt{\varrho}u.$$

To avoid unnecessary complications of the notation, later on we will drop the bars and just write $\varrho\mathbb{D}u$, keeping in mind the above definition.

Under these assumptions, our main result states:

Theorem 2.3. Let (ϱ_0, m_0) satisfy (2.1-2.3). Then there exists a global in time weak solution (ϱ, u) to (1.1) in the sense of Definition 2.1. In addition, this solution satisfies:

(i) the energy estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} \varrho |u|^2 \, dx + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho |\mathbb{D}u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \sup_{t \geq 0} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} K(x-y) \varrho(t, x) \varrho(t, y) \, dx \, dy \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0 |u_0|^2 \, dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} K(x-y) \varrho_0(x) \varrho_0(y) \, dx \, dy; \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

(ii) the Bresch-Desjardins estimate

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \sqrt{\varrho}|^2 \, dx + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho |\nabla u - \nabla^T u|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq C(T), \quad (2.5)$$

(iii) the Mellet-Vasseur estimate

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho F(|u|) \, dx + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} F(|x-y|) \varrho(t, x) \varrho(t, y) \, dx \, dy \leq C(T). \quad (2.6)$$

Remark 2.4. Note that while the energy estimate is global in time, the constants in the two other estimates are time dependent and are finite only when $T < \infty$.

Below, we explain the overall strategy of the proof and discuss the main difficulties. The starting point is to find a solution to a certain approximation of (1.1). In this construction, we follow the approach of Vasseur and Yu from [35]. It is a multi-level construction with many approximation parameters regularising the solutions. Additionally, we localize the interaction kernel K_L , and consider the problem on the torus $\mathbb{T}_L^3 \sim [-L, L]^3$. The final step of the construction is expansion of the torus to the whole space and recovery of the solution to the original system (1.1) with (1.2). Similar approach to derive solutions on the whole space was proposed for the system (1.4) by Li and Xin in [25], and for quantum isothermal fluids by Carles, Carapatoso and Hillairet in [9]. Our approximate system reads as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= \varepsilon \Delta \varrho, \\ \partial_t(\varrho u) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) - \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbb{D}u) + \varrho \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \\ &= -r_0 u - r_1 \varrho |u|^2 u + \kappa \varrho \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \right) - \varepsilon \nabla \varrho \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta^2 u + \eta \nabla \varrho^{-6} + \delta \varrho \nabla \Delta^3 \varrho. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The outline of the paper follows the strategy of proof of Theorem 2.3, described below:

1. Construction of the solution to the approximated system (2.7) on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3$ via the Galerkin method and the Schauder fixed point theorem. At this point, the artificial viscosity $\varepsilon \Delta \varrho$ in the continuity equation allows us to apply classical approach for the construction.
2. Derivation of the approximate version of the Bresch-Desjardins inequality (2.5). To this purpose, one needs to test the momentum equation by $\nabla \log \varrho$. The terms $\eta \nabla \varrho^{-6} + \delta \varrho \nabla \Delta^3 \varrho$ provide that the density is strictly positive and that $\nabla \log \varrho$ is sufficiently regular in space. On the other hand, the parameter ν allows to differentiate the continuity equation and to deduce that $\nabla \log \varrho$ is also sufficiently regular in time to be used as a test function.

3. Passage to the limit with ε, ν, η and δ . Having derived the estimate (2.5), the improved regularity of ϱ allows to pass to the limit with consecutive regularizing parameters. The proof of Theorem 2.3 up to this point is pretty standard, and is only sketched in Sections 4.2-4.3.

4. Derivation of the approximate Meller-Vasseur inequality (2.6), uniformly with the size of the torus. This is the key step of the proof. We employ the approximating procedure introduced in [34], however due to the presence of nonlocal terms, we need different arguments to close the estimates. The estimate is derived by renormalization of the momentum equation. The overall idea is to test the equation by a function $F'(|u|)\frac{u}{|u|} = (1 + \ln(1 + |u|^2))u$. Since it is not an admissible test function, we introduce suitable approximation of F , and in place of u we put $v = \phi_m^0(\varrho)\phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u$, where ϕ_m^0 and ϕ_k^∞ cut off the density at zero and infinity respectively. Then, passing with m and k to ∞ , we derive the desired estimate in the limit. Bounding together the parameters κ and k , by deriving the estimate we simultaneously pass to the limit with κ as well.

The biggest challenge here is to deal with the attractive part of the kernel K , since on the whole space it is not integrable with any power. Because of that we are not able to follow the arguments from [34]. Instead, we apply the weak version of Gronwall's lemma and use generalized Young inequality for convex functions.

5. Passage to the limit with r_0 and r_1 , contained in Section 6. This is the final limit passage on the torus. The main issue is that although the density-dependent viscous stress tensor provides extra regularity for the density (via the Bresch-Desjardins estimate), it gives no information on u itself on the set where $\varrho = 0$. Without the extra friction terms, we end up with very little regularity of the velocity. However, having the estimate (2.6), following the arguments from [29] we are able to show strong convergence of $\sqrt{\varrho}u$, which combined with compactness properties of the density allows to still perform the limit passage.

6. Expansion of the torus. In the previous steps we needed to restrict our domain to the torus $\mathbb{T}_L^3 \sim [-L, L]^3$. The last part of the proof is to pass to the limit with $L \rightarrow \infty$ and in consequence to obtain the solutions on the whole space \mathbb{R}^3 . The previously derived estimates are uniform in L and thus allow to extend our solution. During this limit passage we also lose the compactness of the nonlocal term. Nonetheless, the energy inequality provides the estimate on a double second moment

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |x - y|^2 \varrho(t, x) \varrho(t, y) \, dx \, dy,$$

which allows to control the behaviour of the density far from the origin, and in consequence pass to the limit in the nonlocal term as well.

For the reader's convenience, Table 1 contains a list with all parameters, together with its short descriptions.

3 Fundamental level of approximation

The aim of this section is to construct the solution (ϱ_L, u_L) of system (2.7) on the torus $\mathbb{T}_L^3 \sim [-L, L]^3$. This is done by means of Galerkin approximation and the fixed point theorem.

3.1 Truncation to periodic domain

We begin by modification of kernel K in a way that allows $K * \varrho$ to be well-defined on the torus.

Let $\phi_L \geq 0$ be a radial, decreasing cut-off function such that $\text{supp } \phi_L \subset B(0, L)$, $\phi_L(x) \equiv 1$ for $|x| < \frac{L}{2}$ and

$$|\nabla \phi_L| \leq \frac{C}{L}, \quad |\Delta \phi_L| \leq \frac{C}{L^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then we simply put

$$K_L = K \phi_L.$$

ε	Necessary for the Faedo-Galerkin approximation
ν	Provides that $\partial_t \nabla \log \varrho \in L^2(0, T; L^2)$, which is needed for computing the Bresch-Desjardins estimate
η, δ	Provide that $\frac{1}{\varrho}$ is bounded and that $\nabla \log \varrho \in L^2(0, T; H^2)$, i.e. is a suitable test function (together with time regularity)
κ	provides that $\sqrt{\varrho} \in L^2(0, T; H^2)$ and $\nabla \varrho^{1/4} \in L^4(0, T; L^4)$, which is necessary for successful renormalization of the momentum equation
r_0, r_1	Provide improved integrability of u ; combined with estimates coming from κ enable to renormalize the momentum equation
m, k	The cutoff function $\phi_m^0(\varrho)$ cuts the area when $\varrho < \frac{1}{m}$ and $\phi_k^\infty(\varrho)$ when $\varrho > k$. They appear in the proof of the Mellet-Vasseur inequality and are the additional levels of approximation needed for renormalization of the momentum equation
L	indicates the size of the torus. By taking $L \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the solution on the whole space

Table 1: Description of parameters appearing in the paper

In a similar way we prepare the initial conditions. We put

$$\sqrt{\varrho_{0,L}} = \sqrt{\varrho_0} \phi_L,$$

where ϕ_L is defined above, then periodize. In consequence we obtain the initial condition on the torus \mathbb{T}_L^3 , satisfying:

Lemma 3.1. *The function $\sqrt{\varrho_{0,L}}$ satisfies the following properties:*

$$\|\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_{0,L}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \leq \|\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \frac{C}{L} \|\varrho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2},$$

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_{0,L}(x) \varrho_{0,L}(y) K_L(x-y) \, dx \, dy \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0(x) \varrho_0(y) K(x-y) \, dx \, dy$$

and

$$\varrho_{0,L} \rightarrow \varrho_0 \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Proof. The proof follows straight from the definition of $\varrho_{0,L}$. First, we have

$$\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_{0,L}} = \nabla \sqrt{\varrho_0} \phi_L + \sqrt{\varrho_0} \nabla \phi_L$$

and thus

$$\|\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_{0,L}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \leq \|\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \frac{C}{L} \|\varrho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2}.$$

The next estimate follows immediately, since

$$\iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_0(x) \varrho_0(y) K(x-y) \phi_L^2(x) \phi_L^2(y) \phi_L(x-y) \, dx \, dy \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0(x) \varrho_0(y) K(x-y) \, dx \, dy$$

by integrating over a larger domain and estimating ϕ_L by 1. The convergence in L^1 follows immediately from the dominated convergence theorem, since $\phi_L \rightarrow 1$ pointwise. \square

With the definition of $\varrho_{0,L}$, we can also define properly the initial conditions on u . Defining

$$u_{0,L}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \varrho_{0,L}(x) = 0; \quad u_{0,L}(x) = u_0(x) \quad \text{otherwise,}$$

we can periodize it in the same way as $\varrho_{0,L}$, and moreover

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_{0,L} |u_{0,L}|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0 |u_0|^2 dx.$$

The full approximated system on the torus is then given by (2.7) and it is supplemented with the initial condition:

$$u|_{t=0} = u_{0,L}, \quad \varrho|_{t=0} = \tilde{\varrho}_0 := \varrho_{0,L} * \xi_{\bar{\delta}} + \frac{1}{m_1}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $m_1 > 0$, $\xi_{\bar{\delta}}$ is the standard mollifier on the torus, and we choose $\bar{\delta}$ depending on δ such that $\delta \|\nabla \Delta \tilde{\varrho}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 \rightarrow 0$.

3.2 The Galerkin approximation

We solve the system (2.7) using the Galerkin approximation. Let $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a suitable basis of $H^2(\mathbb{T}_L^3)$ and set $X_N := \{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$. We put $u_N(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i(t) e_i(x)$. Moreover, let $S: C(0, T; X_N) \rightarrow C(0, T; C^k)$ be such that $\varrho = S(u)$ solves

$$\varrho_t + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) - \varepsilon \Delta \varrho = 0, \quad \varrho|_{t=0} = \varrho_0.$$

Then, we construct the solution by applying the Schauder fixed point theorem for the operator

$$\mathfrak{M}^{-1}[S(u_N)](t) \left(\mathfrak{M}[\varrho_0](u_0) + \int_0^t \mathfrak{N}(S(u_N), u_N)(s) ds \right),$$

where $\mathfrak{M}[\varrho]: X_N \rightarrow X_N^*$ is given by $\langle \mathfrak{M}[\varrho]u, w \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho u \cdot w dx$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}(\varrho, u) = & -\operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbb{D}u) - \varrho \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \\ & - r_0 u - r_1 \varrho |u|^2 u + \kappa \varrho \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \right) - \varepsilon \nabla \varrho \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta^2 u + \eta \nabla \varrho^{-6} + \delta \varrho \nabla \Delta^3 \varrho. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we obtain a smooth solution (ϱ_N, u_N) , corresponding to initial $\tilde{\varrho}_0$ and $u_{0,N} = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle u_0, e_i \rangle e_i$.

3.3 Energy estimate

Testing the momentum equation of (2.7) by u_N and using the approximate continuity equation, we get that the following equality is satisfied uniformly in N

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E(\varrho_N, u_N) + \nu \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta u_N|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_N |\mathbb{D}u_N|^2 dx + \varepsilon \delta \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta^2 \varrho_N|^2 dx \\ + \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon \eta \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla \varrho_N^{-3}|^2 dx + r_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u_N|^2 dx + r_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_N |u_N|^4 dx \\ + \kappa \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_N |\nabla^2 \log \varrho_N|^2 dx + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla(K_L * \varrho_N) \cdot \nabla \varrho_N dx = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$E(\varrho, u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \varrho (K_L * \varrho) + \frac{\eta}{7} \varrho^{-6} + \frac{\kappa}{2} |\nabla \sqrt{\varrho}|^2 dx + \frac{\delta}{2} |\nabla \Delta \varrho|^2 \right) dx.$$

To deduce useful bounds from this equality, we extract certain estimates from the nonlocal term $\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla(K_L * \varrho_N) \cdot \nabla \varrho_N dx$, which are a consequence of the following Lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *For a sufficiently smooth ϱ , we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \cdot \nabla \varrho dx \geq -C \|\varrho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 \quad (3.4)$$

for some $C > 0$ not depending on L .

Proof. We consider two cases, depending on α .

Case 1. If $\alpha \leq 1$, note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \cdot \nabla \varrho \, dx = - \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \Delta(K \phi_L)(x - y) \, dx \, dy.$$

We have $\Delta(K \phi_L) = \Delta K \phi_L + 2 \nabla K \cdot \nabla \phi_L + K \Delta \phi_L$. Further note that $\Delta(\frac{1}{2}|x|^2) = 3$, and

$$\Delta\left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}\right) = -\frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{|x|^{\alpha+2}}, \quad \text{for } \alpha < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right) = -4\pi\delta_0 \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1.$$

Putting it all together, for $\alpha < 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \cdot \nabla \varrho \, dx &= \alpha(1-\alpha) \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \frac{\phi_L(x-y)}{|x-y|^{\alpha+2}} \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad - 3 \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \phi_L(x-y) \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad - 2 \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \left[-\alpha \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^{\alpha+2}} + x-y \right] \nabla \phi_L(x-y) \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad - \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \left[\frac{1}{|x-y|^\alpha} + \frac{|x-y|^2}{2} \right] \Delta \phi_L(x-y) \, dx \, dy, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

whereas if $\alpha = 1$ the first term gets replaced by $4\pi \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho^2 \, dx$. From the assumptions (3.1) on ϕ_L , we have $|\phi_L| \leq 1$,

$$\left| -\alpha \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^{\alpha+2}} + x-y \right| |\nabla \phi_L(x-y)| \leq C \mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{L}{2} < |x-y| < L\}} \left(\frac{1}{L^{\alpha+2}} + 1 \right) \leq C$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^\alpha} + \frac{|x-y|^2}{2} \right) |\Delta \phi_L(x-y)| \leq C \mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{L}{2} < |x-y| < L\}} \left(\frac{1}{L^{\alpha+2}} + 1 \right) \leq C.$$

Applying these estimates to the last three terms in (3.5), we derive (3.4).

Case 2. In the case $\alpha > 1$, we use the fact that $\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{\phi_L}{|x|^\alpha}\right)$ is positive, where by \mathcal{F} we denote the Fourier transform of f on \mathbb{R}^3 or \mathbb{T}_L^3 respectively, i. e.

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} f(x) \, dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{f}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} e^{-2\pi k \cdot x} f(x) \, dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $F \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be positive, such that $F(x) = f(|x|)$ with $rf(r)$ decreasing for $r > 0$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} rf(r) = 0$. Then \hat{F} is positive.*

Proof. Using spherical coordinates, we get

$$\hat{F}(\xi) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{-ir|\xi| \cos \theta} r^2 f(r) \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\varphi \, dr = \frac{4\pi}{|\xi|} \int_0^\infty rf(r) \sin(r|\xi|) \, dr,$$

and the integral $\int_0^\infty rf(r) \sin(r|\xi|) \, dr$ is convergent and positive from the assumptions on $rf(r)$. \square

The positivity of Fourier transform allows us in turn to show the positivity of the integral operator.

Proposition 3.4. *If K is a radially symmetric kernel with support in $[-L, L]^d$ and positive Fourier transform, then for any sufficiently regular function with period $2L$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(y) K(x-y) dx dy \geq 0.$$

Proof. The assertion follows straight from the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} f(x)g(x) dx = (f(-\cdot) * g)(0) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \mathcal{F}(f(-\cdot) * g)(k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{f}(-k)\hat{g}(k).$$

In our case, it translates to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(y) K(x-y) dy dx &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{f}(-k) \mathcal{F}(K * f)(k) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{K}(k) \hat{f}(-k) \hat{f}(k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{K}(k) |\hat{f}(k)|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

By virtue of Proposition 3.3 and the assumptions on ϕ_L , the kernel $\frac{\phi_L(x)}{|x|^\alpha}$ has positive Fourier transform. Then in particular

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla \varrho \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\phi_L(\cdot)}{|\cdot|^\alpha} * \varrho \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla \varrho(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \varrho(y) \frac{\phi_L(x-y)}{|x-y|^\alpha} dy dx \geq 0.$$

Dealing with the quadratic part of K_L in the same way as in the case $\alpha \leq 1$, we end the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

Remark 3.5. *Note that the BD estimate holds for all $\alpha \in (0, 3)$. Moreover, for $\alpha > 1$ one can derive the following estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \cdot \nabla \varrho dx &\geq \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \|\varrho\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 - \frac{C}{L^{\alpha+2}} \|\varrho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 - 3\|\varrho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 \\ &\quad - C \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho(x)\varrho(y) \mathbb{1}_{\{(x,y): \frac{L}{2} < |x-y| < L\}} dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\|\varrho\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 = \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{|\varrho(x) - \varrho(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{\alpha+2}}.$$

However, in the case $\alpha \geq 2$ we are not able to close the Mellet-Vasseur estimate that appears later in the proof.

Thanks to Lemma 3.2, we get from (3.3) the following energy estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E(\varrho_N, u_N) + \nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta u_N|^2 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_N |\mathbb{D}u_N|^2 dx dt + \varepsilon \delta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta^2 \varrho_N|^2 dx dt \\ + \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon \eta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla \varrho_N^{-3}|^2 dx dt + r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u_N|^2 dx dt + r_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u_N|^4 dx dt \\ + \kappa \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_N |\nabla^2 \log \varrho_N|^2 dx dt \leq E(\varrho_0, u_0) + C \varepsilon T \|\varrho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

As the estimates in (3.7) are satisfied for any $T < \infty$, we can extend the solution to the whole interval $[0, T]$ for any $T < \infty$. From (3.7) we also extract the estimates to pass to the limit with $N \rightarrow \infty$. To converge in the term involving negative powers of the density, we use the following version of the Sobolev inequality:

Lemma 3.6. *We have:*

$$\|\varrho^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \leq C(1 + \|\varrho\|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}_L^3)})^2(1 + \|\varrho^{-1}\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}_L^3)})^3. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. We have

$$\nabla^2 \varrho^{-1} = -\frac{1}{\varrho^2} \nabla^2 \varrho + \frac{2}{\varrho^3} \nabla \varrho \otimes \nabla \varrho.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 \varrho^{-1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq \|\varrho^{-2}\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \|\nabla^2 \varrho\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} + 2\|\nabla \varrho\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 \|\varrho^{-3}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \\ &\leq C\|\varrho^{-1}\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 \|\varrho\|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} + C\|\varrho\|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 \|\varrho^{-1}\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^3 \\ &\leq C(1 + \|\varrho\|_{H^3(\mathbb{T}_L^3)})^2(1 + \|\varrho^{-1}\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}_L^3)})^3, \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof by virtue of Sobolev embeddings. \square

From (3.8) and (3.7), we get that

$$\varrho_N \geq C(\delta, \eta) > 0.$$

With this argument at hand, the limit passage in the terms in (2.7) is a straightforward application of Aubin–Lions lemma in suitable spaces, and thus we omit the details here. We refer to [35] for the complete proof of convergence.

By the weak lower semicontinuity of convex functions, the limit (ϱ, u) also satisfies the energy estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E(\varrho, u) + \nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta u|^2 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\mathbb{D}u|^2 dx dt + \varepsilon \delta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta^2 \varrho|^2 dx dt \\ + \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon \eta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla \varrho^{-3}|^2 dx + r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u|^2 dx dt + r_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^4 dx dt \\ + \frac{\kappa \varepsilon}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla^2 \log \varrho|^2 dx dt \leq E(\varrho_0, u_0) + C\varepsilon T \|\varrho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

4 The BD inequality and limit passages with $\varepsilon, \nu, \delta, \eta \rightarrow 0$.

Before we pass to the limit with the approximating parameters, we derive the Bresch–Desjardins inequality. To do that, we test the momentum equation by $\nabla \log \varrho$ and combine it with the energy inequality (3.9). In consequence, for

$$E_{BD}(\varrho, u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho \left| u + \frac{1}{\varrho} \nabla \varrho \right|^2 + \varrho (K_L * \varrho) + \frac{\delta}{2} |\nabla \Delta \varrho|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} |\nabla \sqrt{\varrho}|^2 + \frac{\eta}{7} \varrho^{-6} \right) dx$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{BD}(\varrho, u) + \frac{2}{3}\eta(1+\varepsilon) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla \varrho^{-3}|^2 dx dt + \delta(1+\varepsilon) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta^2 \varrho|^2 dx dt \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla u - \nabla^T u|^2 dx dt + \nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta u|^2 dx dt \\
& + r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u|^2 dx dt + r_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^4 dx dt \\
& + \frac{\kappa(1+\varepsilon)}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla^2 \log \varrho|^2 dx dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{|\Delta \varrho|^2}{\varrho} dx + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \cdot \nabla \varrho dx \quad (4.1) \\
& \leq E_{BD}(\varrho_0, u_0) + C\varepsilon T \|\varrho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 \\
& + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left(\nabla \varrho \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \log \varrho + \Delta \varrho \frac{|\nabla \log \varrho|^2}{2} - \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) \frac{1}{\varrho} \Delta \varrho \right) dx \\
& - \nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta u \cdot \nabla \Delta \log \varrho dx - r_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u|^2 u \nabla \varrho dx - r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{u \cdot \nabla \varrho}{\varrho} dx \\
& = E_{BD}(\varrho_0, u_0) + C\varepsilon T \|\varrho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 + R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_4 + R_5 + R_6.
\end{aligned}$$

The necessary calculations to derive (4.1) are performed in the Appendix A.

The terms R_1 – R_4 go to 0 as $\varepsilon, \nu \rightarrow 0$. For R_5 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_5 & = -r_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla \varrho dx = r_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \operatorname{div}(|u|^2 u) dx \\
& \leq Cr_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^2 |\nabla u| dx \leq Cr_1^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^4 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla u|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla u|^2 dx dt \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\mathbb{D}u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla u - \nabla^T u|^2 dx dt,$$

the last term is further estimated by

$$\frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla u - \nabla^T u|^2 dx dt + E(\varrho_0, u_0) + C\varepsilon T \|\varrho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2.$$

For R_6 , we write

$$R_6 = -r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{\operatorname{div}(\varrho u) - \varrho \operatorname{div} u}{\varrho} dx dt = r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \partial_t \log \varrho dx - \varepsilon r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{\Delta \varrho}{\varrho}.$$

Since ϱ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; L^1)$, we have $r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \log_+ \varrho dx \leq C$. For the second term of R_6 , we get

$$\left| \varepsilon r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{\Delta \varrho}{\varrho} dx \right| \leq CT\varepsilon r_0 \|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^2)} \|\varrho^{-1}\|_{L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)},$$

which also tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In consequence, using additionally Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{BD}(\varrho, u) - r_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \log \varrho \, dx \\
& + \frac{2}{3} \eta(1 + \varepsilon) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla \varrho^{-3}|^2 \, dx \, dt + \delta(1 + \varepsilon) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta^2 \varrho|^2 \, dx \, dt \\
& + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla u - \nabla^T u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta u|^2 \, dx \, dt \\
& + r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u|^2 \, dx \, dt + r_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^4 \, dx \, dt \\
& + \frac{\kappa(1 + \varepsilon)}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla^2 \log \varrho|^2 \, dx \, dt + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{|\Delta \varrho|^2}{\varrho} \, dx \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^4 R_i + Cr_1^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^4 \, dx \, dt + CT\varepsilon r_0 \|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^2)} \|\varrho^{-1}\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} \\
& + E_{BD}(\varrho_0, u_0) - r_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \log \varrho_0 \, dx + E(\varrho_0, u_0) + CT \|\varrho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

4.1 Convergence lemmas

In this section, we present the tools which enable us to perform the limit passages in the following parts of the paper.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume the sequence of functions (ϱ_n, u_n) defined on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_L^3$ satisfies*

$$\|\partial_t \varrho_n\|_{L^2(0,T;L^{6/5})} + \|\partial_t(\varrho_n u_n)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-m})} \leq C$$

for some $m \geq 1$,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_n |u_n|^2 \, dx + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_n |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u_n|^2 \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_n |u_n|^4 \, dx \, dt \leq C, \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\|\sqrt{\varrho_n}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1)} \leq C \tag{4.4}$$

uniformly in n . Then up to a subsequence

$$\sqrt{\varrho_n} \rightharpoonup \sqrt{\varrho} \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^1), \quad u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^2),$$

and

$$\varrho_n \rightarrow \varrho \quad \text{in } C(0, T; L^{3/2}), \quad \varrho_n u_n \rightarrow \varrho u \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^{3/2}).$$

Moreover, if additionally $\partial_t \sqrt{\varrho_n}$ is bounded in $L^2(0, T; L^2)$ and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_n |\nabla^2 \log \varrho_n|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq C,$$

then

$$\sqrt{\varrho_n} \rightharpoonup \sqrt{\varrho} \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{\varrho_n} \rightarrow \sqrt{\varrho} \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^1).$$

Proof. The weak convergence of $\sqrt{\varrho_n}$ and u_n follows straight from the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem. To prove strong convergence, we use the Aubin-Lions lemma. Note that $\nabla \varrho_n = 2\sqrt{\varrho_n} \nabla \sqrt{\varrho_n}$ and therefore

$$\|\nabla \varrho_n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{3/2})} \leq \|\sqrt{\varrho_n}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^6)} \|\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_n}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} \leq C$$

by (4.4) and the Sobolev embedding. Therefore from the Aubin-Lions-Simon lemma (see e.g. [33])

$$\varrho_n \rightarrow \varrho \quad \text{in } C(0, T; L^{3/2}).$$

Since

$$\nabla(\varrho_n u_n) = \nabla \varrho_n \otimes u_n + \varrho_n \nabla u_n = 2\varrho_n^{1/4} \nabla \sqrt{\varrho_n} \otimes \varrho_n^{1/4} u_n + \sqrt{\varrho_n} \sqrt{\varrho_n} \nabla u_n,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(\varrho_n u_n)\|_{L^2(0, T; L^{6/5})} &\leq C \|\varrho_n\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^3)}^{1/4} \|\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_n}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2)} \|\varrho_n^{1/4} u_n\|_{L^4(0, T; L^4)} \\ &\quad + C \|\sqrt{\varrho_n}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^6)} \|\sqrt{\varrho_n} \nabla u_n\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\|\varrho_n u_n\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^{3/2})} \leq \|\sqrt{\varrho_n}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^6)} \|\sqrt{\varrho_n} u_n\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2)} \leq C$$

and thus again from the Aubin-Lions lemma

$$\varrho_n u_n \rightarrow \varrho u \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^{3/2}).$$

For the second part of the lemma, the estimate on $\varrho_n |\nabla^2 \log \varrho_n|^2$ in particular yields

$$\|\sqrt{\varrho_n}\|_{L^2(0, T; H^2)} + \|\nabla \varrho_n^{1/4}\|_{L^4(0, T; L^4)} \leq C.$$

This is the consequence of the following Proposition, proved in [22]:

Proposition 4.2. *For smooth ϱ , we have*

$$\int_{\Omega} \varrho |\nabla^2 \log \varrho|^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{7} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla^2 \sqrt{\varrho}|^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} \varrho |\nabla^2 \log \varrho|^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varrho^{1/4}|^4 dx.$$

Then from the estimates on $\sqrt{\varrho_n}$, again by Aubin-Lions lemma we get

$$\sqrt{\varrho_n} \rightarrow \sqrt{\varrho} \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^1).$$

□

Lemma 4.3 (Limit in the nonlinear damping). *If $\sqrt{\varrho_n} \rightarrow \sqrt{\varrho}$ in $L^2(0, T; H_{loc}^1)$, $\varrho_n u_n \rightarrow \varrho u$ in $L^2(0, T; L_{loc}^{3/2})$ and $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2)$, and additionally*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varrho_n |u_n|^4 dx dt \leq C$$

uniformly in n , then

$$\varrho_n |u_n|^2 u_n \rightarrow \varrho |u|^2 u \quad \text{in } L^1(0, T; L^1).$$

Proof. First, note that $\varrho_n^{1/4} u_n \rightharpoonup \varrho^{1/4} u$ in $L^4(0, T; L^4)$. Therefore, from lower semicontinuity of the norm and Fatou's lemma,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varrho |u|^4 dx dt \leq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_n |u_n|^4 dx dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varrho_n |u_n|^4 dx dt \leq C.$$

From the strong convergence of $\sqrt{\varrho_n}$ and $\varrho_n u_n$, we know that

$$\varrho_n(t, x), (\varrho_n u_n)(t, x) \rightarrow \varrho(t, x), (\varrho u)(t, x) \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

up to a subsequence. Therefore, for almost every (t, x) such that $\varrho_n(t, x) \not\rightarrow 0$, we have

$$u_n = \frac{\varrho_n u_n}{\varrho_n} \rightarrow u.$$

For the points where $\varrho_n \rightarrow 0$, we write

$$\varrho_n |T_M(u_n)|^3 \leq M^3 \varrho_n \rightarrow 0 = \varrho |T_M(u)|^3,$$

where T_M is the truncation operator defined as

$$T_M(u) = \begin{cases} u, & |u| \leq M, \\ M \frac{u}{|u|}, & |u| > M, \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore from the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\varrho_n |T_M(u_n)|^2 T_M(u_n) \rightarrow \varrho |T_M(u)|^2 T_M(u) \quad \text{in } L^1(0, T; L^1)$$

for any fixed $M > 0$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left| \varrho_n |u_n|^2 u_n - \varrho |u|^2 u \right| dx dt &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left| \varrho_n |T_M(u_n)|^2 T_M(u_n) - \varrho |T_M(u)|^2 T_M(u) \right| dx dt \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_n |u_n|^3 \mathbb{1}_{|u_n| > M} dx dt + 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^3 \mathbb{1}_{|u| > M} dx dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left| \varrho_n |u_n|^2 u_n \mathbb{1}_{|u_n| \leq M} - \varrho |u|^2 u \mathbb{1}_{|u| \leq M} \right| dx dt \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{M} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_n |u_n|^4 dx dt + \frac{2}{M} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^4 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left| \varrho_n |u_n|^2 u_n - \varrho |u|^2 u \right| dx dt \leq \frac{C}{M}.$$

Letting $M \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the desired convergence. \square

4.2 Limit passage with $\nu, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

Now we pass to the limit with $\nu, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Note that the inequality (4.2), together with the energy estimate (3.9) again provides us the estimates required in Lemmas 4.1-4.3 uniformly in ε and ν , where the estimate on $\varrho |\nabla u|^2$ comes from the estimate on the symmetric gradient $\varrho |\mathbb{D}u|^2$ in (3.9) and the antisymmetric part $\varrho |\nabla u - \nabla^T u|^2$ in (4.2).

For the terms depending on ε and ν , in the weak formulation we have

$$\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla \varrho_{\nu, \varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx dt \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|\nabla \varrho_{\nu, \varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla \varrho_{\nu, \varepsilon} \nabla u_{\nu, \varepsilon} \varphi dx dt \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_{\nu, \varepsilon}}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2)} \|\sqrt{\varrho_{\nu, \varepsilon}} \nabla u_{\nu, \varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(0, T; L^\infty)} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta u_{\nu, \varepsilon} \Delta \varphi dx dt \leq \sqrt{\nu} \sqrt{\nu} \|\Delta u_{\nu, \varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)} \|\Delta \varphi\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)} \rightarrow 0.$$

In consequence, performing limit passages as before, we obtain the solutions to the system

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= 0, \\ \partial_t(\varrho u) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) - \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbb{D}u) + \varrho \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \\ &= -r_0 u - r_1 \varrho |u|^2 u + \kappa \varrho \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \right) + \eta \nabla \varrho^{-6} + \delta \varrho \nabla \Delta^3 \varrho. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Passing to the limit in (3.9) and (4.2), again by the lower semicontinuity of convex functions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E(\varrho, u) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\mathbb{D}u|^2 dx dt + r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u|^2 dx dt + r_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^4 dx dt \\ \leq E(\varrho_0, u_0) \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

and for the Bresch–Desjardins inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{BD}(\varrho, u) - r_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \log \varrho \, dx + \eta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla \varrho^{-3}|^2 \, dx \, dt + 2\delta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta^2 \varrho|^2 \, dx \, dt \\
+ \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla u - \nabla^T u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \kappa \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla^2 \log \varrho|^2 \, dx \, dt \\
\leq E_{BD}(\varrho_0, u_0) - r_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \log \varrho_0 \, dx + E(\varrho_0, u_0) + CT \|\varrho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

4.3 Limit passage with $\eta, \delta \rightarrow 0$.

We will first pass to the limit with η and then with δ . Note that the inequalities (4.7) and (4.8) provide us again the estimates required in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3, this time uniformly in η and δ (provided that $\delta \|\nabla \Delta \tilde{\varrho}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 \rightarrow 0$). We need to pass to the limit only with the terms $\eta \nabla \varrho^{-6}$ and $\delta \varrho \nabla \Delta^3 \varrho$, since the remaining terms are treated in the same way as before. Note that since we lose the information on $\mathbb{D}u$ on the set where $\varrho = 0$, we pass to the limit in the stress tensor using the relation

$$\varrho \mathbb{D}u = \mathbb{D}(\varrho u) - \nabla \varrho \otimes u.$$

From (4.7) and (4.8) we also have the estimates

$$\eta \|\varrho_{\eta, \delta}^{-6}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^1)}, \sqrt{\eta} \|\varrho_{\eta, \delta}^{-3}\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1)} \leq C(T). \tag{4.9}$$

In consequence, using the interpolation between $L^\infty(0, T; L^1)$ and $L^1(0, T; L^3)$, we have

$$\|\eta \varrho_{\eta, \delta}^{-6}\|_{L^{5/3}(0, T; L^{5/3})} \leq C(T)$$

as well.

The above estimates allow us to show

Lemma 4.4. *If $\varrho_{\eta, \delta}$ satisfies the estimates following from (4.7) and (4.8), then*

$$\eta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_{\eta, \delta}^{-6} \, dx \, dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \eta \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. From (4.8) we know that

$$r_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \log_+ \left(\frac{1}{\varrho_{\eta, \delta}} \right) \, dx \leq C(T).$$

As $\sqrt{\varrho_{\eta, \delta}} \rightarrow \sqrt{\varrho_\delta}$ in $L^2(0, T; H^1)$, then $\varrho_{\eta, \delta} \rightarrow \varrho_\delta$ a. e. and using the convexity of a function $y \mapsto \log_+ \left(\frac{1}{y} \right)$ from Fatou's Lemma

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \log_+ \left(\frac{1}{\varrho_\delta} \right) \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \liminf_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \log_+ \left(\frac{1}{\varrho_{\eta, \delta}} \right) \, dx \leq \liminf_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \log_+ \left(\frac{1}{\varrho_{\eta, \delta}} \right) \, dx \leq C.$$

Therefore $|\{x : \varrho_\delta(t, x) = 0\}| = 0$ for almost every t . Then, as $\varrho_{\eta, \delta} \rightarrow \varrho_\delta$ a. e., we get $\eta \varrho_{\eta, \delta}^{-6} \rightarrow 0$ a. e.. As $\eta \varrho_{\eta, \delta}^{-6}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{5/3}(0, T; L^{5/3})$, it follows that

$$\eta \varrho_{\eta, \delta}^{-6} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^1(0, T; L^1).$$

□

Now we pass to the limit with δ :

Lemma 4.5. For any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)$ we have

$$\delta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\delta \nabla \Delta^3 \varrho_\delta \varphi \, dx \, dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$\delta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\delta \nabla \Delta^3 \varrho_\delta \varphi \, dx \, dt = -\delta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta \operatorname{div}(\varrho_\delta \varphi) \Delta^2 \varrho_\delta \, dx \, dt.$$

The inequalities (4.7) and (4.8) give

$$\sqrt{\delta} \|\varrho_\delta\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^3)}, \sqrt{\delta} \|\varrho_\delta\|_{L^2(0, T; H^4)} \leq C(T).$$

Moreover, from the uniform estimate on $\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_\delta}$ in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2)$, we also have

$$\|\varrho_\delta\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^3)} \leq C(T).$$

Using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality $\|\nabla^3 \varrho_\delta\|_{L^3} \leq C \|\nabla^4 \varrho_\delta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6}{7}} \|\varrho_\delta\|_{L^3}^{\frac{1}{7}}$, we get

$$\delta \int_0^T \|\nabla^3 \varrho_\delta\|_{L^3}^{\frac{7}{3}} \, dt \leq C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\varrho_\delta\|_{L^3}^{\frac{1}{3}} \int_0^T \delta \|\nabla^4 \varrho_\delta\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt$$

and in consequence $\delta^{\frac{3}{7}} \|\nabla^3 \varrho_\delta\|_{L^{\frac{7}{3}}(0, T; L^3)} \leq C(T)$. Then

$$\left| \delta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta \nabla \varrho_\delta \cdot \Delta^2 \varrho_\delta \varphi \, dx \, dt \right| \leq C(\varphi) \delta^{\frac{1}{14}} \|\sqrt{\delta} \nabla^4 \varrho_\delta\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)} \|\delta^{\frac{3}{7}} \nabla^3 \varrho_\delta\|_{L^{\frac{7}{3}}(0, T; L^3)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Applying the same arguments to the rest of the terms from

$$\delta \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta \operatorname{div}(\varrho_\delta \varphi) \Delta^2 \varrho_\delta \, dx \, dt,$$

we finish the proof of the Lemma. \square

Remark 4.6. Note that in the limit passage with η we lost any information on ∇u . However, from the uniform estimates we know that up to a subsequence

$$\sqrt{\varrho_{\eta, \delta}} \nabla u_{\eta, \delta} \rightharpoonup \sqrt{\varrho_\delta} \nabla u_\delta \quad \text{in } L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3).$$

Using the relation

$$\sqrt{\varrho} \nabla u = \nabla(\sqrt{\varrho} u) - \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \otimes u,$$

from the strong convergence of $\varrho_{\eta, \delta}$ and $\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_{\eta, \delta}}$ and weak convergence of $u_{\eta, \delta}$ we get

$$\sqrt{\varrho_\delta} \nabla u_\delta = \nabla(\sqrt{\varrho_\delta} u_\delta) - \nabla \sqrt{\varrho_\delta} \otimes u_\delta.$$

Proceeding analogously, after passing to the limit with $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we get as well

$$\sqrt{\varrho} \nabla u = \nabla(\sqrt{\varrho} u) - \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \otimes u. \quad (4.10)$$

In the analogous way we can also define $\overline{\sqrt{\varrho} \mathbb{D}u}$, $\overline{\sqrt{\varrho} \operatorname{div} u}$ etc. In the next sections we will again omit the bars, keeping in mind the relation (4.10).

5 Mellet – Vasseur estimates

Before we pass to the limit with the remaining parameters, we need to extract another estimate from the system. In the previous section, we showed the existence of a weak solution to the system

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= 0, \\ \partial_t(\varrho u) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) - \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbb{D}u) + \varrho \nabla(K_L * \varrho) &= -r_0 u - r_1 \varrho |u|^2 u + \kappa \varrho \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_L^3$ with the initial conditions

$$\varrho|_{t=0} := \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L} = \varrho_{0,L} + \frac{1}{m_1}, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_{0,L},$$

where $m_1 > 0$ and $\varrho_{0,L}, u_{0,L}$ are like in Section 3.1. Similarly as in the Definition 2.1, this means that for each $\varphi \in C_0^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_L^3; \mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in C_0^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_L^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ it holds

$$- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \partial_t \varphi \, dx \, dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \sqrt{\varrho} \sqrt{\varrho} u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \, dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L} \varphi(0, \cdot) \, dx$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L} u_{0,L} \psi(0, \cdot) \, dx - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \sqrt{\varrho} \sqrt{\varrho} u \partial_t \psi \, dx \, dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} (\sqrt{\varrho} u \otimes \sqrt{\varrho} u) : \nabla \psi \, dx \, dt \\ + \langle \varrho \mathbb{D}u, \nabla \psi \rangle + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \cdot \psi \, dx \\ = -r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} u \cdot \psi \, dx \, dt - r_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^2 u \cdot \psi \, dx \, dt \\ - \kappa \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} \sqrt{\varrho} \operatorname{div} \psi \, dx \, dt - 2\kappa \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \cdot \psi \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

The solution satisfies the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} (\varrho |u|^2 + \varrho(K_L * \varrho) + \kappa |\nabla \sqrt{\varrho}|^2) \, dx + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\mathbb{D}u|^2 \, dx \, dt \\ + r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u|^2 \, dx \, dt + r_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^4 \, dx \, dt \leq E(\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}, u_{0,L}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$E(\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}, u_{0,L}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left(\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L} |u_{0,L}|^2 + \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L} (K_L * \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}) + \kappa |\nabla \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}|^2 \right) \, dx,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} (|\nabla \sqrt{\varrho}|^2 - r_0 \log \varrho) \, dx + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla u - \nabla^T u|^2 \, dx + \kappa \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla^2 \log \varrho|^2 \, dx \\ \leq 2E(\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}, u_{0,L}) + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left(|\nabla \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}|^2 - r_0 \log \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L} \right) \, dx + CT \|\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

From (5.3) and Proposition 4.2, it also follows that

$$\kappa^{1/2} \|\sqrt{\varrho}\|_{L^2(0, T; H^2)} + \kappa^{1/4} \|\nabla \varrho^{1/4}\|_{L^4(0, T; L^4)} \leq C. \quad (5.4)$$

For the time regularity we have

$$\|\partial_t \sqrt{\varrho}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\varrho} \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \varrho^{1/4}\|_{L^4(0, T; L^4)} \|\varrho^{1/4} u\|_{L^4(0, T; L^4)},$$

and since

$$\partial_t \varrho = -2\nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \cdot \varrho^{1/4} u \cdot \varrho^{1/4} - \sqrt{\varrho} \sqrt{\varrho} \operatorname{div} u,$$

from (5.2) and (5.3) we get

$$\|\partial_t \varrho\|_{L^2(0,T;L^{6/5})} \leq C.$$

In this section we perform the limit with $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ and simultaneously derive another estimate. The main result states

Lemma 5.1. *There exists a solution to system (5.1) with $\kappa = 0$, which satisfies the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho F(|u|) \, dx + \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F(|x - y|) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy \right) \\ \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_0 F(|u_0|) + \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F(|x - y|) \varrho_0(x) \varrho_0(y) \, dx \, dy \right) + C + \frac{C}{L^2} \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

for $F(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{2} \ln(1+z^2)$, where C does not depend on r_0 and r_1 . Moreover, estimates (5.2) and (5.3) are valid with $\kappa = 0$.

Proof. The strategy of the proof is based on the approach from [34], however the need to incorporate the nonlocal term imposes some key differences in the method. Let

$$F(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{2} \ln(1+z^2), \quad \psi(z) = \frac{1}{z} F'(z) = 1 + \ln(1+z^2)$$

for $z > 0$. To get (5.5), we would like to test the momentum equation by the function $F'(|u|^2) \frac{u}{|u|}$. However, the regularity of the solution coming from the estimates (2.4) and (2.5) does not allow that (in particular, they do not provide any Sobolev regularity on u itself). Instead, we will introduce a suitable approximation of the function $F'(|u|^2) \frac{u}{|u|}$, which will allow us to perform the needed renormalization of the momentum equation. Then (5.5) is obtained by passing to the limit.

Preparation of initial data. Note that our approximation of the initial data satisfies in particular

$$\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L} \geq \frac{1}{m_1}.$$

In order to get the suitable continuity of ϱ and u , we need to further truncate the initial data. Hence we will first derive the desired inequality assuming that

$$\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L} |u_{0,L}|^2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_L^3). \quad (5.6)$$

Under this additional assumption we show that

Proposition 5.2. *For (ϱ, u) solving (5.1), we have*

$$\varrho \in C(0, T; L^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{\varrho} u \in C(0, T; L^2).$$

Proof. Since $\varrho \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1)$,

$$\partial_t \sqrt{\varrho} \in L^2(0, T; L^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{\varrho} \in L^2(0, T; H^2),$$

we have

$$\sqrt{\varrho} \in C(0, T; L^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \in C(0, T; L^2).$$

(the last convergence is shown by computing $\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \sqrt{\varrho} - \nabla \sqrt{\varrho_0}\|_{L^2}^2$). As $\sqrt{\varrho} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^6)$, we therefore get

$$\sqrt{\varrho} \in C(0, T; L^p) \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \leq p < 6.$$

In particular, it also follows that $\varrho \in C(0, T; L^2)$. Now we show that $\sqrt{\varrho}u \in C(0, T; L^2)$. By the estimates on $\partial_t(\varrho u)$ and ϱu , we know that $\varrho u \in C_{\text{weak}}(0, T; L^{3/2})$. We estimate $|\sqrt{\varrho}u - \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L}|^2$ using the continuity properties of energy. Since the function $t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{|m(t,x)|^2}{\varrho(t,x)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\varrho>0\}} dx$ is lower-semicontinuous (see also Lemma 7.19 in [31]), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}|u_{0,L}|^2 + \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}(K_L * \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}) + \kappa|\nabla\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}|^2 dx \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho|u|^2 + \varrho(K_L * \varrho) + \kappa|\nabla\sqrt{\varrho}|^2 dx.$$

Combining that with the energy inequality, we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} (\varrho|u|^2 + \varrho(K_L * \varrho) + \kappa|\nabla\sqrt{\varrho}|^2) dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} (\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}|u_{0,L}|^2 + \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}(K_L * \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}) + \kappa|\nabla\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}|^2) dx.$$

With this information at hand, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\sqrt{\varrho}u - \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L}|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} (\varrho|u|^2 + \varrho(K_L * \varrho) + \kappa|\nabla\sqrt{\varrho}|^2) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} (\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}|u_{0,L}|^2 + \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}(K_L * \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}) + \kappa|\nabla\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}|^2) dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L}(\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L} - \sqrt{\varrho}u) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} (\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}(K_L * \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}) - \varrho(K_L * \varrho)) dx \\ &\quad - \kappa \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}} - \nabla\sqrt{\varrho}|^2 dx \\ &\quad + 2\kappa \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}(\nabla\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}} - \nabla\sqrt{\varrho}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the continuity of $\nabla\sqrt{\varrho}$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}} - \nabla\sqrt{\varrho}|^2 dx = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}(\nabla\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}} - \nabla\sqrt{\varrho}) dx = 0.$$

Since $K_L \in L^p(\mathbb{T}_L^3)$ for $p < 3/\alpha$, from the continuity of ϱ it follows that $K_L * \varrho \in C(0, T; L^q)$ for $q < \frac{3}{\alpha-2}$ (or ∞ if $\alpha \leq 2$) and therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} (\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}(K_L * \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}) - \varrho(K_L * \varrho)) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

In consequence,

$$\text{ess lim sup}_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\sqrt{\varrho}u - \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L}|^2 dx = 2 \text{ess lim sup}_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L}(\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L} - \sqrt{\varrho}u) dx. \quad (5.7)$$

Now, let ϕ_{m_1} be a smooth cutoff function such that $\phi_{m_1}(\varrho) = 1$ for $\varrho \geq \frac{1}{m_1}$ and $\phi_{m_1}(\varrho) = 0$ for $\varrho \leq \frac{1}{2m_1}$. Then we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L}(\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L} - \sqrt{\varrho}u) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L}(\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L} - \phi_{m_1}(\varrho)\sqrt{\varrho}u) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L}(1 - \phi_{m_1}(\varrho))\sqrt{\varrho}u dx \\ &= B_1(t) + B_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

For the term B_1 , we use the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L}(\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L} - \phi_{m_1}(\varrho)\sqrt{\varrho}u) &= \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L} \frac{\phi_{m_1}(\varrho)}{\sqrt{\varrho}}(\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}u_{0,L} - \varrho u) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}|u_{0,L}|^2 \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}}{\sqrt{\varrho}}\phi_{m_1}(\varrho)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L} \geq \frac{1}{m_1}$, we know that in particular $\phi_{m_1}(\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}) = 1$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} B_1(t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L} \frac{\phi_{m_1}(\varrho)}{\sqrt{\varrho}}(\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}u_{0,L} - \varrho u) dx \\ &\quad + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}|u_{0,L}|^2 \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}} \left(\frac{\phi_{m_1}(\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L})}{\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}} - \frac{\phi_{m_1}(\varrho)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \right) dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by the weak continuity of ϱu and strong continuity of ϱ . For B_2 , by (5.6) we have

$$|B_2(t)| \leq \|\sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}}u_{0,L}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \|\sqrt{\varrho}u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} \|1 - \phi_{m_1}(\varrho)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_L^3)},$$

which goes to zero as $t \rightarrow 0$ again from the strong continuity of ϱ .

In consequence, $\sqrt{\varrho}u \in C(0, T; L^2)$ as we needed to prove. \square

Preparation of the test function. Let ϕ_m^0 and ϕ_k^∞ be smooth cutoff functions at zero at infinity respectively, such that

$$\phi_m^0(\varrho) = 1 \quad \text{for } \varrho > \frac{1}{m}, \quad \phi_m^0(\varrho) = 0 \quad \text{for } \varrho < \frac{1}{2m}, \quad |(\phi_m^0)'| \leq 2m,$$

and

$$\phi_k^\infty(\varrho) = 1 \quad \text{for } \varrho < k, \quad \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) = 0, \quad \text{for } \varrho > 2k, \quad |(\phi_k^\infty)'| \leq \frac{2}{k}.$$

Then we define

$$v_{m,k} = \phi_{m,k}(\varrho)u \quad \text{for } \phi_{m,k}(\varrho) = \phi_m^0(\varrho)\phi_k^\infty(\varrho).$$

To simplify the notation, we will just write $v = v_{m,k}$ and $\phi = \phi_{m,k}$ when it does not raise confusion. It turns out that v has the $W^{1,2}$ regularity missing for u :

Proposition 5.3. *We have*

$$\nabla v \in L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3).$$

Proof. By straightforward calculations,

$$\nabla v = \phi'(\varrho)\nabla\varrho \otimes u + \phi(\varrho)\nabla u = 4\phi'(\varrho)\sqrt{\varrho}\nabla\varrho^{1/4} \otimes \varrho^{1/4}u + \frac{\phi(\varrho)}{\sqrt{\varrho}}\sqrt{\varrho}\nabla u.$$

From the definition of ϕ ,

$$\frac{\phi(\varrho)}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \leq \sqrt{2m} \quad \text{and} \quad |\phi'(\varrho)\sqrt{\varrho}| \leq \max\left(2m \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}, \frac{2}{k} \cdot \sqrt{2k}\right)$$

and therefore

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} \leq C(m, k) \left(\|\nabla\varrho^{1/4}\|_{L^4((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} \|\varrho^{1/4}u\|_{L^4((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} + \|\sqrt{\varrho}\nabla u\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} \right).$$

\square

In order to construct a suitable test function, we need to approximate the functions F and ψ as well. Let

$$F_n(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+z^2}{2} \ln(1+z^2), & z \leq n, \\ \left(nz + \frac{1-n^2}{2}\right) \ln(1+z^2), & z > n \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} F_n'(z) = \begin{cases} 1 + \ln(1+z^2), & z \leq n, \\ \frac{n}{z} \ln(1+z^2) + \frac{2nz + 1 - n^2}{1+z^2}, & z > n \end{cases}$$

That way

$$F_n(z) \leq C_n |z|^{1+\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n(z)z = F_n'(z) \leq C_n |z|^\delta,$$

for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and some $C_n > 0$. Since $F_n \leq \frac{1+z^2}{2} \ln(1+z^2)$ and $\psi_n \leq 1 + \ln(1+z^2)$, we also have the estimates

$$F_n(z) \leq C + C|z|^{2+\delta}, \quad \psi_n(z)z \leq C + C|z|^{1+\delta},$$

where this time $C > 0$ does not depend on n .

Finally, we have the estimates for the second derivative:

$$F_n''(z) = \psi_n'(z)z + \psi_n(z) = \begin{cases} 1 + \ln(1+z^2) + \frac{2z^2}{1+z^2}, & z \leq n, \\ \frac{2nz}{1+z^2} + \frac{(n^2-1)z^2 + 4nz - (n^2-1)}{(1+z^2)^2}, & z > n \end{cases}$$

and thus it is positive and bounded.

Having the suitable approximations, we can state the first step towards the proof of Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.4. *For any nonnegative $\xi(t) \in C_0^\infty(0, +\infty)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi'(t) \varrho F_n(|v|) \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t) \psi_n(|v|) v \cdot G \, dx \, dt \\ + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t) S : \nabla(\psi_n(|v|)v) \, dx \, dt = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$S = \varrho \phi(\varrho) \left(\mathbb{D}u + \kappa \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \mathbb{I} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G = \varrho^2 u \phi'(\varrho) \operatorname{div} u + \varrho \nabla \phi(\varrho) \mathbb{D}u + \phi(\varrho) \varrho \nabla(K_L * \varrho) + r_0 u \phi(\varrho) \\ + r_1 \varrho |u|^2 u \phi(\varrho) + \kappa \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \phi(\varrho) \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} + 2\kappa \phi(\varrho) \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Testing the momentum equation by $\phi(\varrho)\varphi$ for $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\varrho v) - \varrho u \phi'(\varrho) \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v) - \varrho u \otimes u \nabla \phi(\varrho) \\ - \operatorname{div}(\phi(\varrho) \varrho \mathbb{D}u) + \varrho \mathbb{D}u \nabla \phi(\varrho) + \phi(\varrho) \varrho \nabla(K * \varrho) \\ = -r_0 v - r_1 \phi(\varrho) \varrho |u|^2 u \\ - \kappa \left(\sqrt{\varrho} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \phi(\varrho) + 2\phi(\varrho) \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} - \nabla(\phi(\varrho) \sqrt{\varrho} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

in the sense of distributions. Since

$$-\varrho u \phi'(\varrho) \partial_t \varrho - \varrho u \otimes u \nabla \phi(\varrho) = \varrho^2 u \phi'(\varrho) \operatorname{div} u,$$

we can rewrite (5.9) as

$$\partial_t(\varrho v) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v) - \operatorname{div} S + G = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

Now, let us take $\xi \in C_0^\infty(0, +\infty)$. We test equation (5.10) by $\Phi = (\xi(t)\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, where $f_\varepsilon = f * \eta_\varepsilon$ denotes the mollification over time and space. Note that since ξ has compact support, for sufficiently small ε the function $\Phi(t, \cdot)$ is well defined on $(0, \infty)$. Then we get

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t)\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon \cdot (\partial_t(\varrho v) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v) - \operatorname{div} S + G)_\varepsilon \, dx \, dt = 0.$$

Let us rewrite the first two terms of the above. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t)\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon \cdot (\partial_t(\varrho v))_\varepsilon \, dx \, dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t)\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon \partial_t(\varrho v_\varepsilon) \, dx \, dt + R_1 \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t) \left(\partial_t \varrho \psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|) |v_\varepsilon|^2 + \varrho \partial_t F_n(|v_\varepsilon|) \right) \, dx \, dt + R_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_1 = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \xi(t)\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon \left((\partial_t(\varrho v))_\varepsilon - \partial_t(\varrho v_\varepsilon) \right) \, dx \, dt.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t)\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon \cdot (\operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v))_\varepsilon \, dx \, dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t)\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v_\varepsilon) \, dx \, dt + R_2 \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t) \left(-\partial_t \varrho \psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|) |v_\varepsilon|^2 + \partial_t \varrho F_n(|v_\varepsilon|) \right) \, dx \, dt + R_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_2 = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t)\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon \left((\operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v))_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v_\varepsilon) \right) \, dx \, dt.$$

In conclusion, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t) \partial_t(\varrho F_n(|v_\varepsilon|)) \, dx \, dt + R_1 + R_2 \\ - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t)\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div} S)_\varepsilon \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t)\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon G_\varepsilon \, dx \, dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v \in L^2(0, T; H^1)$, $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$ in $L^2(0, T; H^1)$. In particular, up to a subsequence $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$ almost everywhere. For $1 < p$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $\frac{p}{3} + \frac{p(1+\delta)}{2} \leq 1$ and $p(1+\delta) < 2$, by the definition of F_n we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi'(t)\varrho F_n(|v_\varepsilon|)\|_{L^p((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)}^p &\leq C_n \|\xi'\|_{L^\infty(0, T)} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho^p |v_\varepsilon|^{p(1+\delta)} \, dx \, dt \\ &\leq C_n \|\xi'\|_{L^\infty(0, T)} \int_0^T \|\varrho\|_{L^3}^p \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^{p(1+\delta)} \, dt \\ &\leq C(n, T) \|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^3)}^p \|v\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)}^{p(1+\delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\xi'(t)\varrho F_n(|v_\varepsilon|)$ converges in $L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)$ and we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi'(t)\varrho F_n(|v_\varepsilon|) \, dx \, dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi'(t)\varrho F_n(|v|) \, dx \, dt.$$

Since $G \in L^{4/3}((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)$ and $\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)|v_\varepsilon| \leq C_n |v_\varepsilon|^\delta$, we similarly have the convergence

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t)\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon G_\varepsilon \, dx \, dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t)\psi_n(|v|)v \cdot G \, dx \, dt.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t) \psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|) v_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div} S)_\varepsilon \, dx \, dt &= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t) S_\varepsilon : \nabla(\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|) v_\varepsilon) \, dx \, dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t) (\psi'_n(|v_\varepsilon|) |v_\varepsilon| + \psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)) S_\varepsilon : \nabla v_\varepsilon \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the estimates on $\sqrt{\varrho} \mathbb{D}u$ and $\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}$, the function S belongs to $L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)$ and thus $S_\varepsilon \rightarrow S$ in $L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)$. Moreover ∇v_ε converges strongly in $L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)$ to ∇v , and $\psi'_n(|v_\varepsilon|) |v_\varepsilon| + \psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)$. Therefore we have the convergence

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t) \psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|) v_\varepsilon (\operatorname{div} S)_\varepsilon \, dx \, dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t) S : \nabla(\psi_n(|v|) v) \, dx \, dt.$$

What is left is to show that $R_1, R_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

To do that, we use the following commutator lemmas (see e. g. Lemma 2.3 in [27]):

Lemma 5.5. *Let $f \in (W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d))^d$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} < 1$. Then*

$$\|(\operatorname{div}(fg))_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(fg_\varepsilon)\|_{L^r} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{1,p}} \|g\|_{L^q}$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of ε , and

$$(\operatorname{div}(fg))_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(fg_\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Analogously with respect to time, we also have

Lemma 5.6. *Let $f_t \in L^p((0, T))$ and $g \in L^q(0, T)$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} < 1$. Then*

$$\|(\partial_t(fg))_\varepsilon - \partial_t(fg_\varepsilon)\|_{L^r} \leq C \|f_t\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}$$

and

$$(\partial_t(fg))_\varepsilon - \partial_t(fg_\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^r((0, T)).$$

Now we apply the above lemmas to R_1 and R_2 . By Sobolev embedding, $v \in L^2(0, T; L^6)$. For R_2 , we have

$$\nabla(\varrho u) = \varrho^{1/2} \nabla \varrho^{1/4} \otimes \varrho^{1/4} u + \sqrt{\varrho} \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla u \in L^2(0, T; L^{3/2}).$$

Therefore since $\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|) |v_\varepsilon| \leq C_n |v_\varepsilon|^{1/3}$, from Lemma 5.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|) v_\varepsilon ((\operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v))_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v_\varepsilon)) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq C_n \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^{1/3} \|(\operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v))_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v_\varepsilon)\|_{L^{6/5}(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \\ &\leq C_n \|v\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2)}^{1/3} \|\nabla(\varrho u)\|_{W^{1,3/2}(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \|v\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \end{aligned}$$

and the right hand side is integrable in time (note that the $L^\infty(0, T; L^2)$ estimate on v follows from the same regularity of $\sqrt{\varrho} u$). Thus from the Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$|R_2| \leq \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(0, T)} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|) v_\varepsilon ((\operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v))_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes v_\varepsilon))| \, dx \, dt \rightarrow 0$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. For R_1 , first note that

$$v = \varrho^{-1/4} \phi(\varrho) \varrho^{1/4} u \in L^4((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3).$$

Moreover,

$$\partial_t \varrho = 4\sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \varrho^{1/4} \varrho^{1/4} u + \sqrt{\varrho} \sqrt{\varrho} \operatorname{div} u \in L^{3/2}((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3).$$

Then similarly as before we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T \psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon((\partial_t(\varrho v))_\varepsilon - \partial_t(\varrho v_\varepsilon)) dt \right| &\leq C_n \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^4(0,T)}^{1/3} \|(\partial_t(\varrho v))_\varepsilon - \partial_t(\varrho v_\varepsilon)\|_{L^{12/11}(0,T)} \\ &\leq C_n \|v\|_{L^4(0,T)}^{4/3} \|\partial_t \varrho\|_{L^{3/2}(0,T)} \end{aligned}$$

and from the Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$|R_1| \leq \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(0,T)} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\psi_n(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon((\partial_t(\varrho v))_\varepsilon - \partial_t(\varrho v_\varepsilon))| dx dt \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that in this case we use the estimates in Lebesgue spaces on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3$ instead of Bochner spaces with different exponents over time and space, which allows us to change the order of integration.

In consequence, when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we derive (5.8) for any $\xi \in C_0^\infty(0, +\infty)$. \square

The next step of the proof of Lemma 5.1 is based on application of the Weak Gronwall's Lemma (Lemma B.1). Let us rewrite (5.8) as

$$- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi'(t) \varrho F_n(|v|) dx dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi(t) \psi_n(|v|) v \cdot \phi(\varrho) \varrho \nabla(K_L * \varrho) dx dt - \int_0^T \xi(t) b(t) dt,$$

where $b(t)$ contains the rest of the terms from G and S , i. e.

$$\begin{aligned} b(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v|) v \cdot \left[\varrho^2 u \phi'(\varrho) \operatorname{div} u + \varrho \nabla \phi(\varrho) \mathbb{D}u + \phi(\varrho) \varrho \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + r_0 u \phi(\varrho) + r_1 \varrho |u|^2 u \phi(\varrho) + \kappa \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \phi(\varrho) \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} + 2\kappa \phi(\varrho) \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} \right] dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \phi(\varrho) \left(\mathbb{D}u + \kappa \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \mathbb{I} \right) : \nabla(\psi_n(|v|)v) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We first focus on the nonlocal term. From the definition of K_L , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla(K_L * \varrho)(x)| &= \left| \frac{\phi_L(\cdot)}{|\cdot|^\alpha} * \nabla \varrho + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla(|x-y|^2 \phi_L(x-y)) \varrho(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\phi_L(\cdot)}{|\cdot|^\alpha} * \nabla \varrho \right| + C \int_{|x-y|<L} |x-y| \varrho(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last term we used (3.1). Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \xi \psi_n(|v|) v \phi(\varrho) \varrho \nabla(K_L * \varrho) dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T \xi \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v|) |v| \varrho \left| \frac{\phi_L(\cdot)}{|\cdot|^\alpha} * \nabla \varrho \right| dx dt + C \int_0^T \xi \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v(x)|) |v(x)| \varrho(x) \varrho(y) |x-y| dx dy dt \\ &= A_1 + A_2. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate A_1 , we use the estimates on Riesz potentials. For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)$, let

$$I_{3-\alpha}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^\alpha} dy.$$

Then, in particular

$$\|I_{3-\alpha}(f)\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \quad \text{for } p^* = \frac{3p}{3-(3-\alpha)p}.$$

From (5.3), we have

$$\|\nabla \varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{3/2})} \leq 2\|\sqrt{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^6)}\|\nabla\sqrt{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} \leq C$$

and thus

$$\|I_{3-\alpha}(\nabla\varrho)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} \leq C\|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{3/2})} \quad \text{for } q = \frac{3 \cdot 3/2}{3 - (3 - \alpha) \cdot 3/2} = \frac{3}{\alpha - 1},$$

if $\alpha \leq 1$, then $q < \infty$. Since $\psi_n(|v|)|v| \leq C + C|v|^{1+\delta}$, the integral in A_1 is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |v|^{1+\delta} \varrho \left| \frac{\phi_L(\cdot)}{|\cdot|^\alpha} * \nabla \varrho \right| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\sqrt{\varrho}v|^{1+\delta} \varrho^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}} |I_{3-\alpha}(\nabla\varrho)| dx \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{\varrho}v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)}^{1+\delta} \|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^3)}^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}} \|I_{3-\alpha}(\nabla\varrho)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\frac{3}{1-\delta}})} \\ &\leq C\|\sqrt{\varrho}v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)}^{1+\delta} \|\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^3)}^{\frac{1-\delta}{2}} \|\nabla\varrho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{3/2})}, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\frac{3}{1-\delta} \leq \frac{3}{\alpha-1}$ (which is valid for $\alpha < 2$ and sufficiently small δ). In the end, we get $|A_1| \leq C$, where C depends on the right hand sides of (5.2) and (5.3) (in particular it does not depend on n, m, k, r_0, r_1 and κ).

For the term A_2 , we use the following generalized Young inequality for convex functions:

$$ab \leq F(a) + F^*(b), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.11)$$

where F^* is a convex conjugate of F , given by

$$F^*(s) = \sup\{sz - F(z) : z \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The proof of (5.11) is elementary, since straight from the definition of F^*

$$ab - F(a) \leq \sup\{bz - F(z) : z \in \mathbb{R}\} = F^*(b).$$

Applying this inequality to A_2 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= C \int_0^T \xi(t) \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F'_n(|v(x)|)|x-y|\varrho(x)\varrho(y) dx dy dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \xi(t) \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n^*(F'_n(|v(x)|))\varrho(x)\varrho(y) dx dy dt \\ &\quad + C \int_0^T \xi(t) \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|)\varrho(x)\varrho(y) dx dy dt. \end{aligned}$$

To further simplify the estimate, we use the following Proposition:

Proposition 5.7. *If $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ is strictly convex and such that*

$$zF'(z) \leq aF(z)$$

for some $a > 1$, then

$$F^*(F'(z)) \leq (a-1)F(z).$$

Proof. Fix $s \in F'(\mathbb{R})$ and let $g(z) = sz - F(z)$. Then

$$g'(z) = s - F'(z)$$

and as F' is increasing, g attains a maximum at $z^* = (F')^{-1}(s)$. In consequence, F^* is explicitly given by

$$F^*(s) = g(z^*) = s(F')^{-1}(s) - F((F')^{-1}(s)).$$

Therefore

$$F^*(F'(z)) = F'(z)(F')^{-1}(F'(z)) - F((F')^{-1}(F'(z))) = zF'(z) - F(z) \leq (a-1)F(z),$$

which finishes the proof. \square

One can check that $zF'_n(z) \leq 4F_n(z)$ for sufficiently large n and thus it satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 5.7. Therefore finally we derive

$$A_2 \leq C \int_0^T \xi(t) \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho F_n(|v|) \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \xi(t) \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy \, dt.$$

To close the estimate, we need to control the second term. To do this, we compute its derivative using the continuity equation and applying again the Young inequality. From the continuity equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy &= \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) (\partial_t \varrho(x) \varrho(y) + \varrho(x) \partial_t \varrho(y)) \, dx \, dy \\ &= - \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho u)(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy \\ &= 2 \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F'_n(|x-y|) \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} \cdot u(x) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy \\ &= 2 \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F'_n(|x-y|) \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} v(x) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad + 2 \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F'_n(|x-y|) \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} ((1-\phi(\varrho))u)(x) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore applying Young inequality and Proposition 5.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy &\leq 2 \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n^*(F'_n(|x-y|)) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad + 2 \|\varrho\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho F_n(|v|) \, dx \\ &\quad + 2 \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F'_n(|x-y|) (1-\phi(\varrho(x))) |u(x)| \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy \\ &\leq C \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy + C \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho F_n(|v|) \, dx \\ &\quad + C_n \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} |x-y|^\delta (1-\phi(\varrho(x))) |u(x)| \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

In consequence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^T \xi'(t) f(t) \, dt &\leq C \int_0^T \xi(t) f(t) \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \xi(t) \left(-b(t) + C + C_n \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} |u(x)| |x-y|^\delta (1-\phi(\varrho(x))) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho F_n(|v|) \, dx + \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} b(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v|) v \cdot \left(\varrho^2 u \phi'(\varrho) \operatorname{div} u + \varrho \nabla \phi(\varrho) \mathbb{D}u + r_0 u \phi(\varrho) + r_1 \varrho |u|^2 u \phi(\varrho) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \kappa \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \phi(\varrho) \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} + 2\kappa \phi(\varrho) \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} \right) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \phi(\varrho) \left(\mathbb{D}u + \kappa \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \mathbb{I} \right) : \nabla (\psi_n(|v|) v) \, dx \quad (5.12) \\ &= J_1(t) + J_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now applying weak Gronwall's lemma (Lemma B.1) and using the continuity in time of $\sqrt{\varrho}$ and $\sqrt{\varrho}u$, we get for a. e. $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho F_n(|v|) \, dx + \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy \\
& \leq e^{CT} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_0 F_n(|v_0|) \, dx + \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) \varrho_0(x) \varrho_0(y) \, dx \, dy \right) \\
& \quad - e^{CT} \int_0^T b(t) \, dt + CT e^{CT} \\
& \quad + C_n e^{CT} \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} |u(x)| |x-y|^\delta (1 - \phi(\varrho(x))) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy \, dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

where the constant C depends on L , but does not depend on n, m, k, κ, r_0 and r_1 .

5.1 Limit passage with $m \rightarrow \infty$

We now pass to the limit with m in (5.13), i. e. remove the truncation of ϱ at zero. Obviously

$$v_m = \phi_m^0(\varrho) \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) u \rightarrow \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) u \quad \text{a. e.}$$

and $|v_m| \leq |u|$. Since $\varrho F_n(|u|) \leq C_n \varrho |u|^{1+\delta}$ is integrable, from the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho F_n(|v_m|) \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho F_n(\phi_k^\infty(\varrho) |u|) \, dx$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and similarly

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_0 F_n(|v_{0,m}|) \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_0 F_n(\phi_k^\infty(\varrho_0) |u_0|) \, dx.$$

Since for $\delta < 1$ the term $|u(x)| |x-y|^\delta \varrho(x) \varrho(y)$ is integrable on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3$ by virtue of energy estimate (5.2), the last term in (5.13) converges to

$$\int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} |u(x)| |x-y|^\delta (1 - \phi_k^\infty(\varrho)) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy \, dt.$$

Now we deal with the terms J_1 and J_2 of $b(t)$ (as in (5.12)). The convergence in all the terms will be a consequence of the following Proposition:

Proposition 5.8. *If $\|a_m\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \Omega)} \leq C$, $a_m \rightarrow a$ a. e. and $f \in L^1((0,T) \times \Omega)$, then*

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \phi_m^0(\varrho) a_m f \, dx \, dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_\Omega a f \, dx \, dt \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\varrho(\phi_m^0)'(\varrho) a_m f| \, dx \, dt \rightarrow 0$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Note that $\phi_m^0(\varrho) \rightarrow 1$ a. e. as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $|\phi_m^0(\varrho) f - f| \leq 2|f|$ and $|a_m f - a f| \leq |f|(\|a_m\|_{L^\infty} + \|a\|_{L^\infty})$, by Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega |\phi_m^0(\varrho) f - f| \, dx \, dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T \int_\Omega |a_m f - a f| \, dx \, dt \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_0^T \int_\Omega \phi_m^0(\varrho) a_m f \, dx \, dt - \int_0^T \int_\Omega a f \, dx \, dt \right| \\
& \leq \|a_m\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\phi_m^0(\varrho) f - f| \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega |a_m f - a f| \, dx \, dt \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second part of the Proposition, it is enough to notice that $|(\varrho\phi_m^0)'(\varrho)| \leq C$ and $(\phi_m^0)'(\varrho) \rightarrow 0$ a. e. Then again from the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\varrho(\phi_m^0)'(\varrho)a_m f| \, dx \, dt \rightarrow 0.$$

□

We apply the above Proposition to each of the terms in $b(t)$. First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(\psi_n(|v_m|)v_m) &= \frac{\psi_n'(|v_m|)}{|v_m|} v_m \otimes v_m \nabla v_m + \psi_n(|v_m|) \nabla v_m \\ &= F_n''(|v_m|) \left(\nabla \phi_m^0(\varrho) \otimes (\phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u_m) + \phi_m^0(\varrho) (\nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \otimes u_m + \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \nabla u_m) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T J_2(t) \, dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho \phi(\varrho) \left(\mathbb{D}u + \kappa \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \mathbb{I} \right) : \nabla(\psi_n(|v_m|)v_m) \, dx \, dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \phi_m^0(\varrho) a_m f_1 \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho(\phi_m^0)'(\varrho) a_m f_2 \, dx \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_m = \phi_m^0(\varrho) F_n''(|v_m|)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \varrho \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \left(\mathbb{D}u + \kappa \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \mathbb{I} \right) : (\nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \otimes u + \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \nabla u), \\ f_2 &= \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \left(\mathbb{D}u + \kappa \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \mathbb{I} \right) : (\nabla \varrho \otimes (\phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u)). \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Proposition 5.8,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \phi_{m,k}(\varrho) \left(\mathbb{D}u + \kappa \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \mathbb{I} \right) : \nabla(\psi_n(|v_m|)v_m) \, dx \, dt \\ = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \left(\mathbb{D}u + \kappa \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \mathbb{I} \right) : \nabla(\psi_n(|\phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u|) \phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u) \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now we deal with J_1 . From (5.12), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v_m|)v_m \cdot \left(\varrho^2 u \phi'(\varrho) \operatorname{div} u + \varrho \nabla \phi(\varrho) \mathbb{D}u \right. \\ &\quad \left. + r_0 u \phi(\varrho) + r_1 \varrho |u|^2 u \phi(\varrho) + \kappa \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \phi(\varrho) \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} + 2\kappa \phi(\varrho) \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} \right) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v_m|) \phi_m^0(\varrho) \cdot \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) u \cdot \left(\varrho^2 u \phi'(\varrho) \operatorname{div} u + \varrho \nabla \phi(\varrho) \mathbb{D}u \right. \\ &\quad \left. + r_0 u \phi(\varrho) + r_1 \varrho |u|^2 u \phi(\varrho) + \kappa \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \phi(\varrho) \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} + 2\kappa \phi(\varrho) \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} \right) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We group all the terms in J_1 with respect to $\phi_m^0(\varrho)$ and $\varrho(\phi_m^0)'(\varrho)$. Let

$$b_m = \phi_m^0(\varrho) \psi_n(|v_m|), \quad g_1 = \phi_k^\infty(\varrho)^2 \left(\varrho |u|^2 \operatorname{div} u + u \cdot \mathbb{D}u \cdot \nabla \varrho + \kappa u \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 &= \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) (\phi_k^\infty)'(\varrho) \varrho^2 |u|^2 \operatorname{div} u + \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) (\phi_k^\infty)'(\varrho) \varrho u \cdot \mathbb{D}u \cdot \nabla \varrho + r_0 \phi_k^\infty(\varrho)^2 |u|^2 \\ &\quad + r_1 \phi_k^\infty(\varrho)^2 \varrho |u|^4 + \kappa \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) u \cdot (\phi_k^\infty)'(\varrho) \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \varrho \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} + 2\kappa \phi_k^\infty(\varrho)^2 u \cdot \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_0^T J_1(t) \, dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho(\phi_m^0)'(\varrho) b_m g_1 \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \phi_m^0(\varrho) b_m g_2 \, dx \, dt$$

and therefore from Proposition 5.8

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T J_1(t) dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|\phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u|) \phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u \cdot \left(\varrho^2 u (\phi_k^\infty)'(\varrho) \operatorname{div} u + \varrho \nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \mathbb{D}u \right. \\ &\quad + r_0 u \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) + r_1 \varrho |u|^2 u \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \\ &\quad \left. + \kappa \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} + 2\kappa \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} \right) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Combining J_1 and J_2 , in the end we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T b(t) dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|\phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u|) \phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u \cdot \left(\varrho^2 u (\phi_k^\infty)'(\varrho) \operatorname{div} u + \varrho \nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \mathbb{D}u \right. \\ &\quad + r_0 \phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u + r_1 \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \varrho |u|^2 u \\ &\quad \left. + \kappa \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} + 2\kappa \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} \right) dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \left(\mathbb{D}u + \kappa \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \mathbb{I} \right) : \nabla (\psi_n(|\phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u|) \phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|\phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u|) (\phi_k^\infty)^2(\varrho) (r_0 |u|^2 + r_1 \varrho |u|^4) dx dt \geq 0,$$

after taking $m \rightarrow \infty$ we finally obtain the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho F_n(|v_k|) dx + \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) dx dy \\ &\leq e^{CT} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_0 F_n(|\phi_k^\infty(\varrho_0)u_0|) dx + \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) \varrho_0(x) \varrho_0(y) dx dy \right) \\ &\quad - e^{CT} \int_0^T b(t) dt + CT e^{CT} \\ &\quad + C_n e^{CT} \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} |u(x)| |x-y|^\delta (1 - \phi_k^\infty(\varrho(x))) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) dx dy dt \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

for $v_k = \phi_k^\infty(\varrho)u$, where

$$\begin{aligned} b(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v_k|) v_k \cdot \left(\varrho^2 u (\phi_k^\infty)'(\varrho) \operatorname{div} u + \varrho \nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \mathbb{D}u \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \kappa \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} + 2\kappa \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho} \right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \phi_k^\infty(\varrho) \left(\mathbb{D}u + \kappa \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \mathbb{I} \right) : \nabla (\psi_n(|v_k|) v_k) dx \\ &= \tilde{J}_1 + \tilde{J}_2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

5.2 Limit passage with $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ and $k \rightarrow \infty$

We choose the parameters κ and k , so that we can pass to the limit in (5.14) and (5.1) with both of them at the same time. Fix $\delta \leq 2/3$ and let $k = \kappa^{-2/\delta}$. We have the following lemma:

Lemma 5.9. *If $(\varrho_\kappa, u_\kappa)$ is the solution to (5.1) and $v_\kappa = \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa)u_\kappa$, then $(\varrho_\kappa, u_\kappa)$ converges to a solution (ϱ, u) to (5.1) with $\kappa = 0$, and the limit satisfies for a. e. $e \in [0, T]$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho F_n(|u|) dx + \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) dx dy \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_0 F_n(|u_0|) dx + \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F_n(|x-y|) \varrho_0(x) \varrho_0(y) dx dy \right) + C, \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

where C depends on T and on the right hand sides of (5.2) and (5.3).

Proof. Since $\|\partial_t(\varrho_\kappa, u_\kappa)\|_{L^2(0,T;W^{-1,4})} \leq C$, by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\varrho_\kappa \rightarrow \varrho \quad \text{in } C(0,T;L^{3/2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho_\kappa u_\kappa \rightarrow \varrho u \quad \text{in } L^2(0,T;L^{3/2}).$$

In consequence

$$\varrho_\kappa u_\kappa \rightarrow \varrho u \quad \text{a. e.}$$

and for a. e. (t, x) such that $\varrho(t, x) \neq 0$, we get

$$u_\kappa = \frac{\varrho_\kappa u_\kappa}{\varrho_\kappa} \rightarrow u.$$

Therefore $v_\kappa(t, x) \rightarrow u(t, x)$ as well. On the other hand, for a. e. (t, x) where $\varrho(t, x) = 0$ we have

$$\varrho_\kappa F_n(|v_\kappa|) \leq C_n \varrho_\kappa^{1-\delta} |\varrho_\kappa u_\kappa|^\delta \rightarrow 0$$

as $\kappa \rightarrow 0$. In consequence $\varrho_\kappa F_n(|v_\kappa|) \rightarrow \varrho F_n(|u|)$ a. e. Then Fatou's Lemma yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho F_n(|u|) \, dx \leq \liminf_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\kappa F_n(|v_\kappa|) \, dx.$$

Let us pass to the limit with the terms on the right hand side of (5.14) one by one. Similarly as before,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_0 F_n(|\phi_k^\infty(\varrho_0)u_0|) \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_0 F_n(|u_0|) \, dx.$$

Since, similarly as in the previous limit passage,

$$|u_\kappa(x)||x-y|^\delta(1-\phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa(x)))\varrho_\kappa(x)\varrho_\kappa(y)$$

is uniformly bounded in $L^p((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)$ for some $p > 1$, and convergent to 0 a. e., we have

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} |u_\kappa(x)||x-y|^\delta(1-\phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa(x)))\varrho_\kappa(x)\varrho_\kappa(y) \, dx \, dy \, dt = 0.$$

What is left is to estimate the terms \tilde{J}_1, \tilde{J}_2 defined in (5.15). For \tilde{J}_1 , we respectively have the following bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|\phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa)u_\kappa|)\phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa)(\phi_k^\infty)'(\varrho_\kappa)\varrho_\kappa^2|u_\kappa|^2 \operatorname{div} u_\kappa \, dx \, dt \right| \\ & \leq C_n k^{-\delta/4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\kappa^{1/4} |\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa|^{1+\delta} \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \operatorname{div} u_\kappa \, dx \, dt \\ & \leq C(n, T) k^{-\delta/4} \|\varrho_\kappa\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}})}^{1/4} \|\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)}^{1+\delta} \|\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \operatorname{div} u_\kappa\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)}, \\ & \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|\phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa)u_\kappa|)\phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa)u_\kappa \cdot \varrho_\kappa \nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) \mathbb{D}u_\kappa \, dx \, dt \right| \\ & \leq C_n k^{-\delta/4} \kappa^{-1/4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\kappa^{1/4} |\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa|^\delta |\kappa^{1/4} \nabla \varrho_\kappa^{1/4}| |\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \mathbb{D}u_\kappa| \, dx \, dt \\ & \leq C(n, T) \kappa^{1/4} \|\varrho_\kappa\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}})}^{1/4} \|\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)}^\delta \|\kappa^{1/4} \nabla \varrho_\kappa^{1/4}\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)} \|\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \mathbb{D}u_\kappa\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)}, \\ & \kappa \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|\phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa)u_\kappa|)\phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa)u_\kappa \cdot \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \, dx \, dt \right| \\ & \leq C_n \frac{\kappa^{1/4}}{k^{\delta/4}} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\kappa^{1/4} |\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa|^\delta |\kappa^{1/4} \nabla \varrho_\kappa^{1/4}| |\kappa^{1/2} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa}| \, dx \, dt \\ & \leq C(n, T) \frac{\kappa^{1/4}}{k^{\delta/4}} \|\varrho_\kappa\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}})}^{1/4} \|\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)}^\delta \times \\ & \quad \times \|\kappa^{1/4} \nabla \varrho_\kappa^{1/4}\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)} \|\kappa^{1/2} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v_\kappa|) v_\kappa \cdot \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) \nabla \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \, dx \, dt \right| \\
& \leq C_n \kappa^{1/4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa|^\delta \varrho_\kappa^{1/4} |\kappa^{1/4} \nabla \varrho_\kappa^{1/4}| |\kappa^{1/2} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa}| \, dx \, dt \\
& \leq C(n, T) \kappa^{1/4} \|\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa\|_{L^4(0, T; L^4)}^\delta \|\kappa^{1/4} \nabla \varrho_\kappa^{1/4}\|_{L^4(0, T; L^4)} \|\kappa^{1/2} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the estimates in (5.2) and (5.3), all above terms converge to 0 with $k \rightarrow \infty, \kappa \rightarrow 0$ if $\delta \leq 2/3$. To estimate \tilde{J}_2 , we further write

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{J}_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\kappa \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) \mathbb{D}u_\kappa : \nabla(\psi_n(|v_\kappa|) v_\kappa) \, dx + \kappa \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\kappa \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa}}{\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa}} \operatorname{div}(\psi_n(|v_\kappa|) v_\kappa) \, dx \\
&= S_1 + S_2.
\end{aligned}$$

For S_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T S_1(t) \, dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\kappa \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) \mathbb{D}u_\kappa : \nabla(\psi_n(|v_\kappa|) v_\kappa) \, dx \, dt \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{\psi_n'(|v_\kappa|)}{|v_\kappa|} \varrho_\kappa (\phi_k^\infty)^2(\varrho_\kappa) \mathbb{D}u_\kappa : (v_\kappa \otimes v_\kappa \nabla v_\kappa) \, dx \, dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v_\kappa|) \varrho_\kappa \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) \mathbb{D}u_\kappa : \nabla v_\kappa \, dx \, dt \\
&= A_1 + A_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The term A_1 is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_1| &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\psi_n'(|v_\kappa|)| |v_\kappa| \varrho_\kappa (\phi_k^\infty)^2(\varrho_\kappa) |\nabla u_\kappa|^2 \, dx \, dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\psi_n'(|v_\kappa|)| |v_\kappa|^2 \varrho_\kappa \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) |\mathbb{D}u_\kappa| |\nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa)| \, dx \, dt \\
&= A_{1,1} + A_{1,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\psi_n'(|v_\kappa|)| |v_\kappa| \leq 2$ independently of n , we have

$$A_{1,1} \leq 2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\kappa |\nabla u_\kappa|^2 \, dx \, dt,$$

which is bounded uniformly by virtue of (5.2) and (5.3).

For $A_{1,2}$, from the definition of ψ we have

$$\psi_n'(z) z^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{2z^3}{1+z^2}, & z \leq n, \\ -n \ln(1+z^2) + \frac{4nz^2 - 2z^3(1-n^2)}{(1+z^2)^2}, & z > n \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$|\psi_n'(v_\kappa)| |v_\kappa|^2 \leq C_n + C_n |v_\kappa|^\delta$$

for some $C_n > 0$ and thus

$$A_{1,2} \leq C_n \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) (\phi_k^\infty)'(\varrho_\kappa) |\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \mathbb{D}u_\kappa| |\nabla \varrho_\kappa^{1/4}| \left(\varrho_\kappa^{5/4} + \varrho_\kappa^{5/4-\delta/4} |\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa|^\delta \right) \, dx \, dt.$$

In consequence

$$A_{1,2} \leq C_n \|\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \mathbb{D}u_\kappa\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} \|\kappa^{1/4} \nabla \varrho_\kappa^{1/4}\|_{L^4((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} \left(k^{-1/2} \kappa^{-1/4} \|\varrho_\kappa^{3/4}\|_{L^4((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} \right. \\ \left. + k^{-\delta/4} \kappa^{-1/4} \|\varrho_\kappa\|_{L^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}}((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)}^{1/4} \|\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa\|_{L^4((0,T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} \right),$$

which converges to 0 with $k \rightarrow \infty, \kappa \rightarrow 0$.

For A_2 , we write

$$A_2 = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v_\kappa|) \varrho_\kappa \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) |\mathbb{D}u_\kappa|^2 \, dx \, dt \\ + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v_\kappa|) \varrho_\kappa \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) \mathbb{D}u_\kappa : (\nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) \otimes u_\kappa) \, dx \, dt \\ = A_{2,1} + A_{2,2}.$$

The term $A_{2,1}$ is positive and thus we can estimate it in (5.14) by 0. The term $A_{2,2}$ however, is estimated by

$$|A_{2,2}| \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \psi_n(|v_\kappa|) |v_\kappa| \varrho_\kappa |\mathbb{D}u_\kappa| |\nabla \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa)| \, dx \, dt \\ \leq C(n, T) \frac{\kappa^{-1/4}}{k^{\delta/4}} \|\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)}^\delta \|\kappa^{1/4} \nabla \varrho_\kappa^{1/4}\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)} \times \\ \times \|\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \mathbb{D}u_\kappa\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)} \|\varrho_\kappa\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^{\frac{1}{1-\delta}})}^{1/4}$$

which converges to 0 as well.

What is left is the term

$$\int_0^T S_2(t) \, dt = \kappa \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \mathbb{I} : \nabla(\psi_n(|v_\kappa|) v_\kappa) \, dx \, dt \\ = \kappa \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \frac{\psi_n'(|v_\kappa|)}{|v_\kappa|} \mathbb{I} : (v_\kappa \otimes v_\kappa \nabla v_\kappa) \, dx \, dt \\ + \kappa \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi_n(|v_\kappa|) \phi_k^\infty(\varrho_\kappa) \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \operatorname{div} v_\kappa \, dx \, dt \\ = B_1 + B_2.$$

Similarly as before,

$$|B_1| \leq \kappa^{1/2} \|\kappa^{1/2} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)} \|\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \mathbb{D}u_\kappa\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)} \\ + \kappa^{1/4} \|\kappa^{1/2} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)} \|\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)} \|\kappa^{1/4} \nabla \varrho_\kappa^{1/4}\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)}$$

and

$$|B_2| \leq C_n \kappa^{1/2} \|\kappa^{1/2} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)} \|\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \operatorname{div} u_\kappa\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)} \\ + C_n \kappa^{1/4} \|\kappa^{1/2} \Delta \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa}\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)} \|\kappa^{1/4} \nabla \varrho_\kappa^{1/4}\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)} \|\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)},$$

which means that both $B_1, B_2 \rightarrow 0$.

In conclusion, after performing the limit passage $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ in (5.14), we end up with the estimate (5.16). \square

With the estimate (5.16) at hand, we are ready to finish the proof of Lemma 5.1. Since $F_n \nearrow F$, simply taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.16), by monotone convergence theorem we derive (5.5). In order to show that the limit (ϱ, u) satisfies (5.1) with $\kappa = 0$, we focus only on $\varrho_\kappa \mathbb{D}u_\kappa$, since the limit passage in the remaining terms is performed in the same way as before. We will show that

$$\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} u_\kappa \rightarrow \sqrt{\varrho} u \quad \text{in } L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3).$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.3. From the weak convergence of $\varrho_\kappa^{1/4} u_\kappa$ in $L^4((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)$ it follows that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^4 \, dx \, dt \leq \liminf_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\kappa u_\kappa \, dx \, dt \leq C.$$

From the pointwise convergence of $\varrho_\kappa u_\kappa$ it follows that $\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} T_M(u_\kappa) \rightarrow \sqrt{\varrho} T_M(u)$ a.e. for the truncation T_M defined as in (4.5). Since $\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} T_M(u_\kappa)$ is also uniformly bounded with respect to κ in $L^\infty(0, T; L^6)$, in particular it also converges in $L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} u_\kappa - \sqrt{\varrho} u\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} &\leq \|\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} T_M(u_\kappa) - \sqrt{\varrho} T_M(u)\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} \\ &\quad + 2\|\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} |u_\kappa| \mathbb{1}_{|u_\kappa| > M}\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} + 2\|\sqrt{\varrho} |u| \mathbb{1}_{|u| > M}\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last two terms are estimated as follows:

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\kappa |u_\kappa|^2 \mathbb{1}_{|u_\kappa| > M} \, dx \, dt \leq \frac{1}{M^3} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_\kappa |u_\kappa|^4 \, dx \, dt \leq \frac{C}{M^3}$$

and for the limit analogously. In conclusion,

$$\limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \|\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} u_\kappa - \sqrt{\varrho} u\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} \leq \frac{C}{M^3}$$

and the convergence follows by taking $M \rightarrow \infty$.

With the above convergence, we pass to the limit using the relation

$$\varrho_\kappa \mathbb{D} u_\kappa = \mathbb{D}(\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} u_\kappa) - \nabla \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \otimes \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} u_\kappa$$

and weak convergence of $\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa}$, coming from (5.3). \square

Remark 5.10. *Note that at this point we cannot use (4.10) to define $\sqrt{\varrho} \nabla u$ as in the previous section. However, from the uniform estimates we still have the convergence*

$$\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \nabla u_\kappa \rightharpoonup \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla u \quad \text{in } L^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{T}_L^3).$$

Using the strong convergence of $\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa}$, we can then pass to the limit in the relation

$$\sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \nabla u_\kappa = \nabla(\varrho_\kappa u_\kappa) - \nabla \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} \otimes \sqrt{\varrho_\kappa} u_\kappa$$

and obtain

$$\sqrt{\varrho} \sqrt{\varrho} \nabla u = \nabla(\varrho u) - \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \otimes \sqrt{\varrho} u. \tag{5.17}$$

In particular, from (5.17) it follows that $\nabla(\varrho u) \in L^2(0, T; L^1)$. Similarly as before, we will drop the bars and define other differential operators of u analogously.

6 Limit passage with $r_0, r_1 \rightarrow 0$

In the previous section, we constructed the solutions to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= 0 \\ \partial_t(\varrho u) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) - \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbb{D} u) + \varrho \nabla(K_L * \varrho) &= -r_0 u - r_1 \varrho |u|^2 u, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

defined on the torus \mathbb{T}_L^3 , satisfying the estimates (5.2) and (5.3) with $\kappa = 0$, together with (5.5).

Our next goal is to perform the last limit passage $r_0, r_1 \rightarrow 0$ and in consequence obtain the solutions to (1.1) on the torus. The main tool to do so is the following lemma:

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$ or \mathbb{R}^3 . Assume the sequence (ϱ_n, u_n) satisfies uniformly the following estimates:*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_n |u_n|^2 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varrho_n |\mathbb{D}u_n|^2 dx dt \leq C, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_n}|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varrho_n |\nabla u_n - \nabla^T u_n|^2 dx dt \leq C, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_n F(|u_n|) dx \leq C, \quad (6.4)$$

and

$$\|\partial_t \varrho_n\|_{L^\infty(0, T; W^{-1, 3/2})}, \|\partial_t(\varrho_n u_n)\|_{L^2(0, T; W^{-2, 4/3})} \leq C.$$

Then up to a subsequence we have

$$\varrho_n \rightarrow \varrho \quad \text{in } C(0, T; L_{\text{loc}}^{3/2})$$

(in consequence also in particular $\sqrt{\varrho_n} \rightarrow \sqrt{\varrho}$ in $C(0, T; L_{\text{loc}}^2)$). Moreover, there exists a function m such that

$$\varrho_n u_n \rightarrow m \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; L_{\text{loc}}^p), \quad p < 3/2,$$

and $m(t, x) = 0$ a. e. on $\{(t, x) : \varrho(t, x) = 0\}$. In conclusion, there exists also a function u (defined uniquely on the set $\{(t, x) : \varrho(t, x) \neq 0\}$) such that $m = \varrho u$, and moreover

$$\sqrt{\varrho_n} u_n \rightarrow \sqrt{\varrho} u \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^2([0, T] \times \Omega).$$

Lemma 6.1 combines together the consecutive limit passages performed in [29] in order to show stability of solutions to (1.1) without the nonlocal term. Because of that, we skip the proof and instead refer to [29].

In our case, let $r = r_0 = r_1$ and (ϱ_r, u_r) be the corresponding solution to (6.1). From (5.2), (5.3) and (5.5), we have the required uniform estimates. For the time regularity, from the continuity equation, we have

$$\|\partial_t \varrho_r\|_{W^{-1, 3/2}(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \leq \|\sqrt{\varrho_r}\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}_L^3)} \|\sqrt{\varrho_r} u_r\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}.$$

For $\partial_t(\varrho_r u_r)$, the term of the highest order is $\text{div}(\varrho_r u_r \otimes u_r)$. Since for $\varphi \in W^{2, 4}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} \text{div}(\varrho_r u_r \otimes u_r) \cdot \varphi dx = - \int_{\Omega} (\sqrt{\varrho_r} u_r \otimes \sqrt{\varrho_r} u_r) : \nabla \varphi dx \leq \|\varrho_r |u_r|^2\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)},$$

we get the bound

$$\|\partial_t(\varrho_r u_r)\|_{L^2(0, T; W^{-2, 4/3})} \leq C.$$

By Lemma 6.1, we can pass to the limit in all terms in the weak formulation of (6.1). In the convective term we use strong convergence of $\sqrt{\varrho_r} u_r$ and to pass to the limit in the viscous stress tensor we use the relation

$$\varrho \mathbb{D}u = \mathbb{D}(\varrho u) - 2\nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \otimes \sqrt{\varrho} u.$$

For the nonlocal term, similarly as before we use the fact that $K_L \in L^p(\mathbb{T}_L^3)$ for $p < 3/\alpha$. Since $\nabla \varrho_r$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; L^{3/2})$, up to a subsequence we get

$$K_L * \nabla \varrho_r \rightarrow K_L * \nabla \varrho \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; L^{\frac{3}{\alpha-1}}).$$

The convergence of $\varrho_r \nabla(K_L * \varrho_r)$ follows thus from the strong convergence of ϱ_r . For the rest of the terms, we have

$$r_0 \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} u_r \varphi dx dt \right| \leq \sqrt{r_0} \|\sqrt{r_0} u_r\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} \|\varphi\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}_L^3)} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$r_1 \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_r |u_r|^2 u_r \varphi \, dx \, dt \right| \leq r_1^{1/4} \|\varrho_r\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^3)}^{1/4} \|r_1^{1/4} \varrho_r^{1/4} u_r\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)}^3 \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^6)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Using weak lower semicontinuity of the norm, Fatou's lemma and (5.5), the limit solution also satisfies the inequalities (5.2), (5.3) and (5.5) with $r_0 = r_1 = \kappa = 0$.

Note that up to this point we obtained the solutions for initial conditions satisfying

$$\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L} = \varrho_{0,L} + \frac{1}{m_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_{0,L}} u_{0,L} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_L^3).$$

However, Lemma 6.1 in particular provides the sequential stability of solutions. Repeating the above reasoning, we are able to pass to the limit with $m_1 \rightarrow \infty$ and with the truncation of initial data. In conclusion, we constructed weak solutions to the system

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) &= 0, \\ \partial_t(\varrho u) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) - \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbb{D}u) + \varrho \nabla(K_L * \varrho) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

on the torus \mathbb{T}_L^3 , with the initial data $(\varrho_{0,L}, u_{0,L})$ defined as in Section 3.1, satisfying the energy estimate

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E(\varrho, u) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\mathbb{D}u|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq E(\varrho_0, u_0) \tag{6.6}$$

for

$$E(\varrho, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^2 + \varrho(K_L * \varrho) \, dx,$$

the Bresch–Desjardins estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla \sqrt{\varrho}|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla u - \nabla^T u|^2 \, dx \\ \leq 3E(\varrho_{0,L}, u_{0,L}) + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_{0,L}}|^2 \, dx + CT \|\varrho_{0,L}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}_L^3)}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho F(|u|) \, dx + \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F(|x-y|) \varrho(x) \varrho(y) \, dx \, dy \right) \\ \leq C + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_{0,L} F(|u_{0,L}|) + \iint_{\mathbb{T}_L^3 \times \mathbb{T}_L^3} F(|x-y|) \varrho_{0,L}(x) \varrho_{0,L}(y) \, dx \, dy \right), \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

where C depends on $E(\varrho_{0,L}, u_{0,L})$ and the right hand side of (6.7).

7 Expansion of the torus

Having the solutions to (6.5) defined on the torus \mathbb{T}_L^3 , together with estimates (6.6)–(6.8), we can now pass to the limit with $L \rightarrow \infty$ and in consequence obtain the solutions on the whole space \mathbb{R}^3 . Let (ϱ_L, u_L) be the solutions to (6.5) and by $(\tilde{\varrho}_L, \tilde{u}_L)$ we will denote (ϱ_L, u_L) extended by zero outside the torus. Using the properties of $\varrho_{0,L}$ described in Lemma 3.1, we have the following estimates, uniform in L :

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\tilde{\varrho}_L |\tilde{u}_L|^2 + \tilde{\varrho}_L(K_L * \tilde{\varrho}_L)) \, dx + \int_0^T \int_{[-L, L]^3} \tilde{\varrho}_L |\mathbb{D}\tilde{u}_L|^2 \, dx \, dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0 |u_0|^2 + \varrho_0(K * \varrho_0) \, dx \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{[-L, L]^3} |\nabla \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_L}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_{[-L, L]^3} \tilde{\varrho}_L |\nabla \tilde{u}_L - \nabla^T \tilde{u}_L|^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0 |u_0|^2 + \varrho_0 (K * \varrho_0) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \sqrt{\varrho_0}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{L^2} \|\varrho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2} + CT \|\varrho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

From Lemma 3.1 it also follows that the right hand sides of (6.6) and (6.7) are independent of L . As a consequence, from (6.8) we also get the uniform bound

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\varrho}_L F(|\tilde{u}_L|) dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} F(|x - y|) \tilde{\varrho}_L(x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(y) dx dy \right) \leq C \quad (7.3)$$

for C depending on T and ϱ_0, u_0 .

Now, let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be compact. Then $V \subset [-L, L]^3$ for sufficiently large L and the estimates (7.1), (7.2) and (7.3) provide the uniform estimates on $(\tilde{\varrho}_L, \tilde{u}_L)$ needed in Lemma 5.1 for $\Omega = V$. Moreover, using analogous arguments as in the previous section,

$$\|\partial \tilde{\varrho}_L\|_{L^\infty(0, T; W^{-1, 3/2}(V))}, \|\partial_t(\tilde{\varrho}_L, \tilde{u}_L)\|_{L^2(0, T; W^{2, 4/3}(V))} \leq C.$$

Therefore up to a subsequence we get the convergence from Lemma 5.1 for $\Omega = V$. By the arbitrary choice of V and applying the diagonal method, we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_L} & \rightarrow \sqrt{\varrho} \quad \text{in } C(0, T; L^2_{\text{loc}}), \\ \tilde{\varrho}_L & \rightarrow \varrho \quad \text{in } C(0, T; L^{3/2}_{\text{loc}}), \\ \tilde{\varrho}_L \tilde{u}_L & \rightarrow \varrho u \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^{3/2}_{\text{loc}}), \\ \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_L} u_L & \rightarrow \sqrt{\varrho} u \quad \text{in } L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly from the Banach-Alaoglu theorem we get

$$\nabla \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_L} \mathbb{1}_{[-L, L]^d} \rightharpoonup^* \nabla \sqrt{\varrho} \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{\text{loc}}).$$

Then for the term $\tilde{\varrho}_L \mathbb{D}u_L$ the relation (5.17) again provides convergence in the sense of distributions.

7.1 Convergence of the nonlocal term

The obtained convergence allows us to pass to the limit with $(\tilde{\varrho}_L, \tilde{u}_L)$ in the weak formulation of (6.5) in all terms except the nonlocal one. Note that since K is unbounded, as $L \rightarrow \infty$ we lose any compactness properties of K_L . However, due to (7.1) we are able to show that

Lemma 7.1.

$$\tilde{\varrho}_L (\nabla K_L * \tilde{\varrho}_L) \rightarrow \varrho (\nabla K * \varrho) \quad \text{in } L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Proof. First, note that from the strong convergence of $\tilde{\varrho}_L$ it follows that up to a subsequence

$$\tilde{\varrho}_L \rightarrow \varrho \quad \text{a. e. in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

From (7.1) in particular we have that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, y) |x - y|^2 \phi_L(x - y) dx dy \leq C.$$

Therefore by Fatou's lemma

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \varrho(t, x) \varrho(t, y) |x - y|^2 dx dy \\ & \leq \liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, y) |x - y|^2 \phi_L(x - y) dx dy \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

Since $\nabla\sqrt{\varrho_L}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{loc})$, we also have the bound on $\|\varrho_L\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^3_{loc})}$ and in consequence

$$\tilde{\varrho}_L \rightarrow \varrho \quad \text{in} \quad C(0, T; L^p_{loc})$$

for any $3/2 < p < 3$.

Now fix $R > 0$ and denote by B_R the ball of radius R centered in zero. From the definition of K_L and the strong convergence of $\tilde{\varrho}_L$ we know that $\nabla K_L \rightarrow K$ and $\tilde{\varrho}_L \rightarrow \varrho$ a. e. Moreover, $\nabla K_L \mathbb{1}_{B_R}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to L in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $p < \frac{3}{\alpha+1}$. Therefore we also have

$$\|\tilde{\varrho}_L(\nabla K_L \mathbb{1}_{B_R} * \varrho_L)\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^q)} \leq \|\nabla K_L \mathbb{1}_{B_R}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\tilde{\varrho}_L\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^3)}^2$$

for $q < \frac{3}{\alpha}$ and in consequence

$$\tilde{\varrho}_L(\nabla K_L \mathbb{1}_{B_R} * \tilde{\varrho}_L) \rightarrow \varrho(\nabla K \mathbb{1}_{B_R} * \varrho) \quad \text{in} \quad L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

We now estimate the rest. We have

$$I = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\varrho}_L((\nabla K_L((1 - \mathbb{1}_{B_R}) * \tilde{\varrho}_L)) \, dx \right| \leq \iint_{|x-y|>R} \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, y) |\nabla K_L(x-y)| \, dx \, dy.$$

Using the definition of K_L and ϕ_L , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla K_L(x-y)| &\leq \phi_L(x-y) \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha+1}} + |x-y| \right) \\ &\quad + |\nabla \phi_L(x-y)| \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}|x-y|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha+1}} + \phi_L(x-y)|x-y| + \frac{C}{L} \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}|x-y|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \frac{1}{R^{\alpha+1}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, y) \, dx \, dy + \iint_{|x-y|>R} \phi_L(x-y) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, y) |x-y| \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{LR^\alpha} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, y) \, dx \, dy + \frac{C}{L} \iint_{|x-y|>R} \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, y) |x-y|^2 \, dx \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now estimate all terms on the right hand side. For the first and the third term, we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, y) \, dx \, dy = \|\varrho_L\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3_L)}^2 = \|\varrho_{0,L}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^3_L)}^2 \leq \|\varrho_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2.$$

For the second term, by (7.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{|x-y|>R} \phi_L(x-y) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, y) |x-y| \, dx \, dy \\ \leq \frac{1}{R} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \phi_L(x-y) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, y) |x-y|^2 \, dx \, dy \leq \frac{C}{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we estimate the last term using (7.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, y) |x-y|^2 \mathbb{1}_{|x-y|>R} \, dx \, dy \\ \leq \frac{1}{\ln(1+R^2)} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, x) \tilde{\varrho}_L(t, y) F(|x-y|) \, dx \, dy \leq \frac{C}{\ln(1+R^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

In consequence,

$$I \leq \frac{C}{R} + \frac{C}{L \ln(1+R^2)}.$$

Doing analogous estimates for K , using (7.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint_{|x-y|>R} \varrho(t,x)\varrho(t,y)\nabla K(x-y) \, dx \, dy \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{R^2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \varrho(t,x)\varrho(t,y) \, dx \, dy + \iint_{|x-y|>R} \varrho(t,x)\varrho(t,y)|x-y| \, dx \, dy \leq \frac{C}{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we obtain

$$\liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\tilde{\varrho}_L \nabla K_L * \tilde{\varrho}_L - \varrho \nabla K * \varrho| \, dx \, dt \leq \frac{C}{R}.$$

Finally, we end the proof by taking $R \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Lemma 7.1 finishes the limit passage in the weak formulation of (6.5). In consequence, we obtain the weak solution to (1.1) on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Since $\nabla \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_L} \rightharpoonup \nabla \sqrt{\varrho}$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2_{loc})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \sqrt{\varrho}|^2 \, dx = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(0,R)} |\nabla \sqrt{\varrho}|^2 \, dx \leq \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(0,R)} |\nabla \sqrt{\tilde{\varrho}_L}|^2 \, dx \leq C(T).$$

Doing analogously with $\sqrt{\varrho} \nabla u$, we finally show that the solution satisfies the estimates (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6).

7.2 Mass preservation

In the end, let us conclude that

Lemma 7.2. *The total mass is conserved, i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0 \, dx$.*

Proof. By Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho \, dx \leq \liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_L \, dx = \liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_{L,0} \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0 \, dx.$$

On the other hand, for a smooth function ϕ_R such that $\phi_R(x) = 1$ for $|x| < R/2$, $\phi_R \in (0, 1)$ and $\text{supp} \phi_R \subset B_R$, $|\nabla \phi_R| \leq \frac{C}{R}$, for L large enough we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\varrho}_L(t,x)\phi_R(x) \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_L(0,x)\phi_R(x) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_L(t,x)u_L(t,x) \cdot \nabla \phi_R(x) \, dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_{0,L}(x)\phi_R(x) \, dx - \frac{C}{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho \, dx \geq \int_{|x| \leq R} \varrho \, dx = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq R} \tilde{\varrho}_L \, dx \geq \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_{L,0} \phi_R \, dx - \frac{C}{R} \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0 \, dx - \frac{C}{R},$$

and taking $R \rightarrow +\infty$ we conclude the proof. \square

A Proof of Bresch-Desjardins estimates

Below we show how to derive the inequality (4.2). We need to compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho |u + \nabla \log \varrho|^2 + \varrho (K_L * \varrho) + \frac{\delta}{2} |\nabla \Delta \varrho|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} |\nabla \sqrt{\varrho}|^2 + \frac{\eta}{7} \varrho^{-6} \right) \, dx \\ & = \frac{d}{dt} E(\varrho, u) + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho u \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla \log \varrho|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

For the first term on the right hand side of (A.1), we use the energy inequality (3.9). For the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho u \cdot \partial_t \nabla \log \varrho \, dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) \frac{1}{\varrho} \partial_t \varrho \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{1}{\varrho} (\operatorname{div}(\varrho u))^2 \, dx - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{1}{\varrho} \Delta \varrho \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) \, dx \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and from the momentum equation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \partial_t(\varrho u) \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbb{D}u) \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx + \kappa \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} \right) \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx \\ &\quad - r_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} u \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx - r_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla \varrho \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx - \nu \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta^2 u \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx \\ &\quad + \eta \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla \varrho^{-6} \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx + \delta \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \nabla \Delta^3 \varrho \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \mathbb{D}u : \nabla^2 \log \varrho \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx - \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla^2 \log \varrho|^2 \, dx \\ &\quad - r_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} u \cdot \frac{1}{\varrho} \nabla \varrho \, dx - r_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla \varrho \, dx \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla \varrho \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx - \nu \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta u \cdot \nabla \Delta \log \varrho \, dx \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{3} \eta \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla \varrho^{-3}|^2 \, dx - \delta \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta^2 \varrho|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \mathbb{D}u : \nabla^2 \log \varrho \, dx &= - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho (\partial_{x_i} u_j + \partial_{x_j} u_i) \partial_{x_i x_j} \log \varrho \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left(\partial_{x_j} (\varrho \partial_{x_i} u_j) \partial_{x_i} \log \varrho + \partial_{x_i} (\varrho \partial_{x_j} u_i) \partial_{x_j} \log \varrho \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla u : (\nabla \varrho \otimes \nabla \log \varrho) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \nabla \operatorname{div} u \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla u : (\nabla \varrho \otimes \nabla \log \varrho) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta \varrho \operatorname{div} u \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \partial_t(\varrho u) \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla u : (\nabla \varrho \otimes \nabla \log \varrho) \, dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta \varrho \operatorname{div} u \, dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx - \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla^2 \log \varrho|^2 \, dx \\
&\quad - r_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} u \cdot \frac{1}{\varrho} \nabla \varrho \, dx - r_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla \varrho \, dx \\
&\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla \varrho \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx - \nu \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta u \cdot \nabla \Delta \log \varrho \, dx \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{3} \eta \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla \varrho^{-3}|^2 \, dx - \delta \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta^2 \varrho|^2 \, dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

To compute the last term on the right hand side of (A.1), we see that from the continuity equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \frac{|\nabla \log \varrho|^2}{2} &= \nabla \log \varrho \cdot \partial_t \nabla \log \varrho \\
&= \nabla \log \varrho \cdot \nabla(-\operatorname{div}((\log \varrho)u) + (\log \varrho - 1)\operatorname{div} u + \varepsilon \frac{1}{\varrho} \Delta \varrho) \\
&= -u \cdot \nabla^2 \log \varrho \cdot \nabla \log \varrho - \nabla u : (\nabla \log \varrho \otimes \nabla \log \varrho) - \nabla \log \varrho \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} u \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \nabla \log \varrho \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{1}{\varrho} \Delta \varrho \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The above calculations are justified, since $u \in L^2(0, T; H^2)$, $\varrho \in L^\infty(0, T; H^3)$ and ϱ is bounded away from zero. Using the above calculations, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \frac{|\nabla \log \varrho|^2}{2} \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \partial_t \frac{|\nabla \log \varrho|^2}{2} \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{|\nabla \log \varrho|^2}{2} \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) \, dx \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{|\nabla \log \varrho|^2}{2} \Delta \varrho \, dx \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho u \cdot \nabla^2 \log \varrho \cdot \nabla \log \varrho \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \nabla u : (\nabla \log \varrho \otimes \nabla \log \varrho) \, dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \nabla \log \varrho \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} u \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho u \cdot \nabla \frac{|\nabla \log \varrho|^2}{2} \, dx \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \nabla \log \varrho \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{1}{\varrho} \Delta \varrho \right) \, dx + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{|\nabla \log \varrho|^2}{2} \Delta \varrho \, dx \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \nabla u : (\nabla \log \varrho \otimes \nabla \log \varrho) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta \varrho \operatorname{div} u \, dx \\
&\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{|\Delta \varrho|^2}{\varrho} \, dx + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{|\nabla \log \varrho|^2}{2} \Delta \varrho \, dx
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Since $\nabla \varrho \cdot \nabla \log \varrho = \varrho |\nabla \log \varrho|^2$, and $\nabla \varrho \otimes \nabla \log \varrho = \varrho \nabla \log \varrho \otimes \nabla \log \varrho$, combining (A.2), (A.3) and

(A.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \frac{|\nabla \log \varrho|^2}{2} + \varrho u \cdot \nabla \log \varrho dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{1}{\varrho} (\operatorname{div}(\varrho u))^2 dx - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{1}{\varrho} \Delta \varrho \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) \cdot \nabla \log \varrho dx \\
&\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{|\Delta \varrho|^2}{\varrho} dx + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{|\nabla \log \varrho|^2}{2} \Delta \varrho dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \cdot \nabla \log \varrho dx - \frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla^2 \log \varrho|^2 dx \\
&\quad - r_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} u \cdot \frac{1}{\varrho} \nabla \varrho dx - r_1 \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u|^2 u \cdot \nabla \varrho dx \\
&\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla \varrho \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \log \varrho dx - \nu \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta u \cdot \nabla \Delta \log \varrho dx \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{3} \eta \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla \varrho^{-3}|^2 dx - \delta \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta^2 \varrho|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We have the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
-\nabla \log \varrho \cdot \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) &= -\frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{i,j} \partial_{x_i} \varrho \left(\partial_{x_j} \varrho u_i u_j + \varrho \partial_{x_j} u_i u_j + \varrho u_i \partial_{x_j} u_j \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{\varrho} \left((u \cdot \nabla \varrho)^2 + \varrho u \cdot (\nabla u \nabla \varrho) + \varrho \operatorname{div} uu \cdot \nabla \varrho \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\varrho} (\operatorname{div}(\varrho u))^2 = \frac{1}{\varrho} \left(\varrho^2 (\operatorname{div} u)^2 + 2\varrho \operatorname{div} uu \cdot \nabla \varrho + (u \cdot \nabla \varrho)^2 \right),$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} -\nabla \log \varrho \cdot \operatorname{div}(\varrho u \otimes u) + \frac{1}{\varrho} (\operatorname{div}(\varrho u))^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \operatorname{div} uu \cdot \nabla \varrho - u \cdot (\nabla u \nabla \varrho) + \varrho (\operatorname{div} u)^2 dx \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \partial_{x_j} u_i \partial_{x_i} u_j dx
\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting $\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\mathbb{D}u|^2 dx$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \sum_{i,j} \left(- \left(\frac{\partial_{x_j} u_i + \partial_{x_i} u_j}{2} \right)^2 + \partial_{x_j} u_i \partial_{x_i} u_j \right) dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{\partial_{x_j} u_i - \partial_{x_i} u_j}{2} \right)^2 dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla u - \nabla^T u|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above calculations with (3.9) and integrating in time, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{BD}(\varrho, u) + \frac{2}{3}\eta(1+\varepsilon) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\nabla \varrho^{-3}|^2 dx dt + \delta(1+\varepsilon) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta^2 \varrho|^2 dx dt \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla u - \nabla^T u|^2 dx dt + \nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |\Delta u|^2 dx dt \\
& + r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u|^2 dx dt + r_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |u|^4 dx dt \\
& + \frac{\kappa(1+\varepsilon)}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho |\nabla^2 \log \varrho|^2 dx dt + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{|\Delta \varrho|^2}{\varrho} dx + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \nabla(K_L * \varrho) \cdot \nabla \varrho dx \\
& \leq E_{BD}(\varrho_0, u_0) + C\varepsilon T \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \varrho_0 dx \right)^2 \\
& + \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left(\nabla \varrho \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla \log \varrho + \Delta \varrho \frac{|\nabla \log \varrho|^2}{2} - \operatorname{div}(\varrho u) \frac{1}{\varrho} \Delta \varrho \right) dx \\
& - \nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \Delta u \cdot \nabla \Delta \log \varrho dx - r_1 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} |u|^2 u \nabla \varrho dx - r_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \frac{u \cdot \nabla \varrho}{\varrho} dx
\end{aligned}$$

for

$$E_{BD}(\varrho, u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}_L^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho \left| u + \frac{1}{\varrho} \nabla \varrho \right|^2 + \varrho(K_L * \varrho) + \frac{\delta}{2} |\nabla \Delta \varrho|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} |\nabla \sqrt{\varrho}|^2 + \frac{\eta}{7} \varrho^{-6} \right) dx.$$

B Weak Gronwall's lemma

Below, let us present the weak version of Gronwall's Lemma, which becomes useful in Section 5:

Lemma B.1 (Weak version of Gronwall's lemma). *Let $f \in L^1(0, T)$ satisfy*

$$- \int_0^T \xi'(s) f(s) ds \leq \int_0^T \xi(s) (af(s) + b(s)) ds$$

for any $\xi \in C_0^\infty(0, T)$, $\xi \geq 0$, a constant $a \geq 0$ and nonnegative function $b \in L^1(0, T)$. Then for almost all $0 \leq s < t < T$ we have

$$f(t) \leq f(s) e^{a(t-s)} + \int_s^t e^{a(t-\tau)} b(\tau) d\tau$$

Proof. Let $f_\varepsilon = f * \eta_\varepsilon$, where η_ε is a standard mollifier. Fix $t \in (0, T)$ and let $\xi(s) = \eta_\varepsilon(t - s)$. Then f satisfies

$$\int_0^T f(s) \eta_\varepsilon'(t - s) ds \leq a \int_0^T f(s) \eta_\varepsilon(t - s) ds + \int_0^T b(s) \eta_\varepsilon(t - s) ds,$$

which is equivalent to

$$f_\varepsilon'(t) \leq a f_\varepsilon(t) + b_\varepsilon(t).$$

Then from Gronwall inequality on f_ε , we get

$$f_\varepsilon(t) \leq f_\varepsilon(s) e^{a(t-s)} + \int_s^t e^{a(t-\tau)} b_\varepsilon(\tau) d\tau,$$

for any $0 \leq s < t < T$. Choosing s, t such that $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$ pointwise in s, t and passing to the limit with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$f(t) \leq f(s) e^{a(t-s)} + \int_s^t e^{a(t-\tau)} b(\tau) d\tau.$$

□

Acknowledgement: The first author (PBM) has been partly supported by the Polish National Science Centre’s Grant No. 2018/30/M/ST1/00340 (HARMONIA). The work of M. S. was supported by the National Science Centre grant no. 2022/45/N/ST1/03900 (Preludium). The work of E.Z. was supported by the EPSRC Early Career Fellowship no. EP/V000586/1.

References

- [1] D. Bresch and B. Desjardins. Existence of global weak solutions for a 2D viscous shallow water equations and convergence to the quasi-geostrophic model. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 238:211–223, 07 2003.
- [2] D. Bresch and B. Desjardins. On the construction of approximate solutions for the 2D viscous shallow water model and for compressible Navier–Stokes models. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 86(4):362–368, 2006.
- [3] D. Bresch, B. Desjardins, and C.-K. Lin. On some compressible fluid models: Korteweg, lubrication, and shallow water systems. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 28(3-4):843–868, 2003.
- [4] D. Bresch, A. Vasseur, and C. Yu. Global existence of entropy-weak solutions to the compressible Navier–Stokes equations with non-linear density dependent viscosities. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 24(5).
- [5] J. A. Cañizo, J. A. Carrillo, and J. Rosado. A well-posedness theory in measures for some kinetic models of collective motion. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 21(03):515–539, 2011.
- [6] M. Caggio and D. Donatelli. High mach number limit for korteweg fluids with density dependent viscosity. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 277:1–37, 2021.
- [7] V. Calvez, J. Carrillo, and F. Hoffmann. Equilibria of homogeneous functionals in the fair-competition regime. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 159:85–128, 2017. Advances in Reaction-Cross-Diffusion Systems.
- [8] V. Calvez, J. A. Carrillo, and F. Hoffmann. *The Geometry of Diffusing and Self-Attracting Particles in a One-Dimensional Fair-Competition Regime*, pages 1–71. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2017.
- [9] R. Carles, K. Carrapatoso, and M. Hillairet. Global weak solutions for quantum isothermal fluids. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, 72(6):2241–2298, 2022.
- [10] J. Carrillo and R. Shu. Existence of radial global smooth solutions to the pressureless Euler–Poisson equations with quadratic confinement. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 247, 08 2023.
- [11] J. A. Carrillo, Y.-P. Choi, and E. Zatorska. On the pressureless damped Euler–Poisson equations with quadratic confinement: Critical thresholds and large-time behavior. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 26(12):2311–2340, 2016.
- [12] J. A. Carrillo, E. Feireisl, P. Gwiazda, and A. Świerczewska-Gwiazda. Weak solutions for Euler systems with non-local interactions. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 95(3):705–724, 2017.
- [13] J. A. Carrillo, M. Fornasier, G. Toscani, and F. Vecil. *Particle, kinetic, and hydrodynamic models of swarming*, pages 297–336. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 2010.
- [14] J. A. Carrillo, F. Hoffmann, E. Mainini, and B. Volzone. Ground states in the diffusion-dominated regime. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 57(5):Art. No. 127, paź 2018.

- [15] J. A. Carrillo, A. Wróblewska-Kamińska, and E. Zatorska. On long-time asymptotics for viscous hydrodynamic models of collective behavior with damping and nonlocal interactions. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 29(01):31–63, 2019.
- [16] J. A. Carrillo, A. Wróblewska-Kamińska, and E. Zatorska. Pressureless Euler with nonlocal interactions as a singular limit of degenerate Navier-Stokes system. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 492(1):124400, 2020.
- [17] Y.-L. Chuang, M. R. D’Orsogna, D. Marthaler, A. L. Bertozzi, and L. S. Chayes. State transitions and the continuum limit for a 2D interacting, self-propelled particle system. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 232(1):33–47, 2007.
- [18] E. Feireisl. On compactness of solutions to the compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations when the density is not square integrable. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, 42(1):83–98, 2001.
- [19] A. Figalli and M.-J. Kang. A rigorous derivation from the kinetic Cucker–Smale model to the pressureless Euler system with nonlocal alignment. *Analysis & PDE*, 2017.
- [20] B. Haspot. From the highly compressible Navier-Stokes equations to fast diffusion and porous media equations, existence of global weak solution for the quasi-solutions. *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, 18(2):243–291, June 2016.
- [21] B. Haspot and E. Zatorska. From the highly compressible Navier-Stokes equations to the Porous Medium equation - rate of convergence. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 36, 04 2015.
- [22] A. Jüngel. Global weak solutions to compressible Navier–Stokes equations for quantum fluids. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 42(3):1025–1045, 2010.
- [23] A. L. Koch and D. White. The social lifestyle of myxobacteria. *BioEssays*, 20(12):1030–1038, 1998.
- [24] I. Lacroix-Violet and A. Vasseur. Global weak solutions to the compressible quantum navier–stokes equation and its semi-classical limit. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 114:191–210, 2018.
- [25] J. Li and Z. Xin. Global existence of weak solutions to the barotropic compressible Navier-Stokes flows with degenerate viscosities. *arXiv:1504.06826*.
- [26] Z. Liang. Vanishing pressure limit for compressible navier–stokes equations with degenerate viscosities. *Nonlinearity*, 30(11):4173, oct 2017.
- [27] P.-L. Lions. *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics Vol. 1: Incompressible Models*. Number 3 in Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications. Oxford University Press, 1996.
- [28] P.-L. Lions. *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 2: Compressible Models*. Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [29] A. Mellet and A. Vasseur. On the Barotropic Compressible Navier–Stokes Equations. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 32(3):431–452, 2007.
- [30] P. Minakowski, P. B. Mucha, J. Peszek, and E. Zatorska. Singular cucker-smale dynamics. *Active Particles, Vol. 2*, 2019.
- [31] A. Novotný and I. Straškraba. *Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Compressible Flow*. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications. Oxford University Press, 2004.
- [32] J. K. Parrish and L. Edelstein-Keshet. Complexity, pattern, and evolutionary trade-offs in animal aggregation. *Science*, 284(5411):99–101, 1999.

- [33] J. Simon. Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 146:65–96, 1987.
- [34] A. Vasseur and C. Yu. Existence of global weak solutions for 3D degenerate compressible Navier–Stokes equations. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 206, 12 2016.
- [35] A. F. Vasseur and C. Yu. Global weak solutions to the compressible quantum Navier–Stokes equations with damping. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 48(2):1489–1511, 2016.
- [36] T. Vicsek, A. Czirók, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen, and O. Shochet. Novel type of phase transition in a system of self-driven particles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 75:1226–1229, Aug 1995.
- [37] Y. Ye and C. Dou. Global weak solutions to 3D compressible Navier–Stokes–Poisson equations with density-dependent viscosity. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 455(1):180–211, 2017.