

TWO-COMPONENT INTEGRABLE EXTENSION OF GENERAL HEAVENLY EQUATION

WOJCIECH KRYŃSKI AND ARTUR SERGYEYEV

ABSTRACT. We introduce an integrable two-component extension of the general heavenly equation and prove that the solutions of this extension are in one-to-one correspondence with 4-dimensional hyper-para-Hermitian metrics. Furthermore, we demonstrate that if the metrics in question are hyper-para-Kähler, then our system reduces to the general heavenly equation. We also present an infinite hierarchy of nonlocal symmetries and a recursion operator for the system under study.

1. INTRODUCTION

The partial differential equation in four independent variables derived by Schief in [24], known as the general heavenly equation, serves as a natural framework for anti-self-dual gravity and has a number of important applications in physics and integrable systems [6, 11, 15, 16, 23]. In the present paper, we introduce and study its two-component integrable extension, given below as system (1). We demonstrate that solutions of this new system descend to a special class of metrics $[g]$ of neutral signature. These metrics admit a triple of anti-commuting vector bundle endomorphisms $I, J, K: TM \rightarrow TM$ such that J is a complex structure, I and K are para-complex structures,

$$IK = -KI = J$$

and

$$g(X, Y) = -g(JX, JY) = g(IX, IY) = g(KX, KY),$$

for any $X, Y \in T_x M$, $x \in M$. Following [10, Section 6.1], we shall refer to the structures as hyper-para-Hermitian (see also [4, 5]). They are also known as pseudo-hyperhermitian in [3, 9] or hyper-Hermitian of neutral signature in [13]. The underlying algebra spanned by (I, J, K) is called split-quaternions [10] or para-quaternions [3], and it can be easily shown that the triple itself uniquely determines the conformal class $[g]$, see [4] and [13] for higher-dimensional generalizations. The hyper-para-Hermitian structures are necessarily anti-self-dual and are characterized in the class of anti-self-dual metrics by the condition that the corresponding twistor space, defined as the space of α -surfaces, fibers over a projective line [9].

In this paper we work in a local coordinate system (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) on manifold M . For any function $u \in C^\infty(M)$ we denote $u_i = \partial_{x^i} u$ and $u_{ij} = \partial_{x^i} \partial_{x^j} u$. Our main result is the following theorem giving a characterization of hyper-para-Hermitian metrics on 4-manifolds.

Theorem 1. *Any hyper-para-Hermitian metric $[g]$ a 4-dimensional manifold can be locally put in the form*

$$g = \omega^1 \omega^4 - \omega^2 \omega^3$$

where 1-forms ω^i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^1 &= dx^4 - \lambda_4 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_4} \frac{v_1}{v_4} dx^1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_4} \frac{u_2}{u_4} dx^2 \right) \\ \omega^2 &= \frac{1}{\lambda_4} dx^4 - \frac{1}{\lambda_4} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_4} \frac{v_1}{v_4} dx^1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_4} \frac{u_2}{u_4} dx^2 \right) \\ \omega^3 &= dx^3 - \lambda_3 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3} \frac{v_1}{v_3} dx^1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_3} \frac{u_2}{u_3} dx^2 \right) \\ \omega^4 &= \frac{1}{\lambda_3} dx^3 - \frac{1}{\lambda_3} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3} \frac{v_1}{v_3} dx^1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_3} \frac{u_2}{u_3} dx^2 \right)\end{aligned}$$

and functions u and v satisfy the following system

$$\begin{aligned}(1) \quad & (\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)v_1 u_2 u_{34} + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)(v_1 u_4 u_{23} - v_3 u_4 u_{12} + v_3 u_2 u_{14}) \\ & - (\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(v_1 u_3 u_{24} - v_4 u_3 u_{12} + v_4 u_2 u_{13}) = 0, \\ & (\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)v_1 u_2 v_{34} + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)(v_1 u_4 v_{23} - v_3 u_4 v_{12} + v_3 u_2 v_{14}) \\ & - (\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(v_1 u_3 v_{24} - v_4 u_3 v_{12} + v_4 u_2 v_{13}) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, are fixed, arbitrary pairwise distinct constants.

Moreover, the above system is integrable and admits an isospectral Lax pair with the Lax operators

$$\begin{aligned}L_0 &= (\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1 - \lambda)u_2 v_4 \partial_{x^1} - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_2 - \lambda)u_4 v_1 \partial_{x^2} + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_4 - \lambda)u_2 v_1 \partial_{x^4} \\ L_1 &= (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda)u_2 v_3 \partial_{x^1} - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda)u_3 v_1 \partial_{x^2} + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_3 - \lambda)u_2 v_1 \partial_{x^3}\end{aligned}$$

Alternative descriptions of hyper-para-Hermitian structures through integrable systems have been studied in [7, 9, 13]. Notice that both equations in (1) share the same symbol, which, in turn, recovers the conformal class by means of the characteristic variety coinciding with the null cone of $[g]$. The relation of system (1) to the aforementioned general heavenly equation is explained in the following result.

Theorem 2. *If w satisfies the general heavenly equation*

$$(2) \quad (\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)w_{13}w_{24} - (\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)w_{12}w_{34} - (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)w_{14}w_{23} = 0$$

then

$$(3) \quad u = w_1, \quad v = w_2$$

satisfy (1).

Moreover, a hyper-para-Hermitian structure is hyper-para-Kähler if and only if it can be locally put in the form from Theorem 1 with (u, v) given by (3) for some function w satisfying (2).

The hyper-para-Kähler structures appearing in Theorem 2 can be introduced as Ricci-flat hyper-para-Hermitian structures (see [9, 18]). According to the Mason–Newman formalism [18], they are described by an integrable system with a Lax pair whose Lax operators are vector fields that are divergence-free with respect to some volume form. One realization of this formalism is provided by the general heavenly equation (2) (we refer to [11, 16, 24] for details). Other approaches include the Plebański equations and the Husain–Park equation, among others, cf. [11, 23].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows.

Section 2 gives the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. We apply the approach from [13], where the hyper-para-Hermitian structures are studied through the lens of Kronecker webs. Recall that the webs are special families of foliations, originally introduced as reductions of certain bi-Hamiltonian systems [26]. Similar concepts have also been recently exploited in [23] and earlier in [8, 14] in the context of 3-dimensional Einstein–Weyl geometry.

Section 3 explores the properties of (1) as an integrable system. In particular, we present an infinite hierarchy of nonlocal symmetries and a recursion operator for (1).

2. HYPER-PARA-HERMITIAN STRUCTURES

The aim of this section is to give proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. As mentioned in the Introduction, we shall use a description of hyper-para-Hermitian structures via Kronecker webs, which was recently exploited in [13] and [23]. In the 4-dimensional case studied in this paper, the webs are defined as follows.

Definition. A *Kronecker web* on a 4-dimensional manifold is a 1-parameter family of foliations $\{\mathcal{F}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ such that, locally, there exist point-wise independent 1-forms $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^4$ such that

$$T\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \ker (\alpha^1 + \lambda\alpha^2, \alpha^3 + \lambda\alpha^4).$$

Notice that we can equivalently write

$$T\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \text{span} \{X_0(\lambda), X_1(\lambda)\}$$

for $X_0(\lambda) = Y_2 - \lambda Y_1$, $X_1(\lambda) = Y_4 - \lambda Y_3$, where $(Y_i)_{i=1, \dots, 4}$ is a frame on M dual to the co-frame $(\alpha^i)_{i=1, \dots, 4}$.

In [13], a one-to-one correspondence between hyper-para-Hermitian structures and Kronecker webs on 4-dimensional manifolds is established. Here, the endomorphisms I and K are defined such that the corresponding eigenspaces \mathcal{D}_I^+ , \mathcal{D}_I^- , \mathcal{D}_K^+ and \mathcal{D}_K^- , associated with the eigenvalues ± 1 , respectively, are given by $T\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_i}$ for certain fixed values of λ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ (see Corollaries 2.3, 2.5 and the discussion on page 461 in [13]). Note that the complex structure J is induced from I and K by means of the composition $J = IK$. Additionally, from the viewpoint of the anti-self-dual metrics, the leaves of foliations $\{\mathcal{F}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ are α -submanifolds of $[g]$ and the collection of all leaves is usually referred to as the twistor space, see [9].

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ be four distinct values of λ . There are functions $f^i, g^i, i = 1, \dots, 4$, defined locally in a neighborhood of a point $x \in M$, such that the corresponding foliations \mathcal{F}_{λ_i} are defined as $f^i = \text{const}, g^i = \text{const}$, respectively. Without loss of generality, since the foliations \mathcal{F}_λ corresponding to different values of λ are transversal, one can define local coordinates in the neighborhood of x as $x^i = f^i$. Moreover, let $u = g^1$ and $v = g^2$.

We shall look for the λ -dependent vector fields $X_0(\lambda)$ and $X_1(\lambda)$, spanning $T\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. They can be written in the most general form as

$$X_j = (a_j^1 + \lambda b_j^1)\partial_{x^1} + (a_j^2 + \lambda b_j^2)\partial_{x^2} + (a_j^3 + \lambda b_j^3)\partial_{x^3} + (a_j^4 + \lambda b_j^4)\partial_{x^4}, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

for some functions a_j^i and $b_j^i, i = 1, \dots, 4, j = 0, 1$, in a neighborhood of x . Now, since dx^i annihilates both $X_0(\lambda_i)$ and $X_1(\lambda_i)$ we get $a_j^i = -\lambda_i b_j^i$ and consequently

$$X_j = (\lambda - \lambda_1)b_j^1\partial_{x^1} + (\lambda - \lambda_2)b_j^2\partial_{x^2} + (\lambda - \lambda_3)b_j^3\partial_{x^3} + (\lambda - \lambda_4)b_j^4\partial_{x^4}, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Further on, du annihilates $X_0(\lambda_1)$ and $X_1(\lambda_1)$ and dv annihilates $X_0(\lambda_2)$ and $X_1(\lambda_2)$. These conditions give equations

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)u_2b_j^2 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)u_3b_j^3 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)u_4b_j^4 &= 0, & j = 0, 1 \\ (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)v_1b_j^1 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)v_3b_j^3 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)v_4b_j^4 &= 0, & j = 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, X_1 and X_2 are defined up to a $GL(2)$ -action. Hence, it can be assumed that $b_0^3 = 0, b_0^4 = 1, b_1^3 = 1$ and $b_1^4 = 0$. There are four b_j^i left, with $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 0, 1$. However, system (4) fixes them uniquely:

$$b_0^1 = \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)v_4}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)v_1}, \quad b_1^1 = \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)v_3}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)v_1}, \quad b_0^2 = -\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)u_4}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)u_2}, \quad b_1^2 = -\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)u_3}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)u_2}.$$

We get

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} X_0 &= (\lambda - \lambda_1)\frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)v_4}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)v_1}\partial_{x^1} - (\lambda - \lambda_2)\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)u_4}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)u_2}\partial_{x^2} + (\lambda - \lambda_4)\partial_{x^4}, \\ X_1 &= (\lambda - \lambda_1)\frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)v_3}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)v_1}\partial_{x^1} - (\lambda - \lambda_2)\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)u_3}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)u_2}\partial_{x^2} + (\lambda - \lambda_3)\partial_{x^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that setting $L_i = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)u_2v_1X_i$ recovers the Lax operators from Theorem 1. By assumption, $X_0(\lambda)$ and $X_1(\lambda)$ span an integrable distribution for any λ . Hence, $X_0(\lambda)$ and $X_1(\lambda)$ necessarily commute. One readily checks that vanishing of the commutator of $X_i(\lambda)$ is equivalent to system (1). Likewise, it is easily seen that vanishing of the commutator of L_i modulo a certain linear combination of L_i is equivalent to system (1). Thus an overdetermined linear system for $\psi, L_i\psi = 0, i = 0, 1$, whose compatibility condition is nothing but (1), provides a Lax pair for (1). \square

Lemma 1. *Suppose that (u, v) is a solution to (1). Then, for any two nowhere vanishing functions $a, b: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the pair (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) &= a(x^1, u(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4))u(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4), \\ \tilde{v}(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) &= b(x^2, v(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4))v(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4), \end{aligned}$$

is a solution to (1) descending to the same hyper-para-Hermitian metric.

Proof. Observe that, in view of the proof of Theorem 1, the functions \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} belong to the rings of functions constant on leaves of foliations \mathcal{F}_{λ_1} and \mathcal{F}_{λ_2} , respectively. Hence \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} can replace u and v in the derivation of the Lax pair in the proof of Theorem 1, and therefore in system (1) itself, and the result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. The first part of the theorem can be verified by direct computations. In order to prove the second part, we shall work using the coordinates from Theorem 1. The Lax distribution $\text{span}\{L_0(\lambda), L_1(\lambda)\}$ is annihilated by two λ -dependent 1-forms that can be taken in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0(\lambda) &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_4 - \lambda) \left(\frac{u_3}{u_2} dx^1 + \frac{v_3}{v_1} dx^2 + \frac{u_3 v_3}{u_2 v_1} dx^3 \right) \\ &\quad + \left((\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_2 - \lambda) \frac{u_4 v_3}{u_2 v_1} - (\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1 - \lambda) \frac{u_3 v_4}{u_2 v_1} \right) dx^4 \\ \alpha_1(\lambda) &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_3 - \lambda) \left(\frac{u_4}{u_2} dx^1 + \frac{v_4}{v_1} dx^2 + \frac{u_4 v_4}{u_2 v_1} dx^3 \right) \\ &\quad + \left((\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda) \frac{u_4 v_3}{u_2 v_1} - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_2 - \lambda) \frac{u_3 v_4}{u_2 v_1} \right) dx^4\end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta = \alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_1$. According to [23, Corollary 2.4] a hyper-para-Hermitian structure is divergence-free (which in the terminology of the present paper means that the structure is hyper-para-Kähler) if and only if there is a nowhere vanishing function $c: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $c\beta$ is closed. Since L_0 and L_1 commute modulo a linear combination thereof with nonconstant coefficients, see the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$d\alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{mod } \alpha_0, \alpha_1,$$

and consequently

$$d\beta = \varphi \wedge \beta$$

where φ is explicitly written as

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_3)u_2 v_1} \left((\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda - \lambda_1)(u_{12}(v_3 - u_{23}v_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(\lambda - \lambda_2)(u_2 v_{13} - u_3 v_{12})) dx^3 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_4)u_2 v_1} \left((\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)(\lambda - \lambda_1)(u_{12}v_4 - u_{24}v_1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)(\lambda - \lambda_2)(u_2 v_{14} - u_4 v_{12})) dx^4 \right)\end{aligned}$$

Notice that φ is specified only up to transformations $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_{A^0, A^1} = \varphi + A^0 \alpha_0 + A^1 \alpha_1$ for some functions A^0 and A^1 . Since the result is local, we can apply the Poincaré lemma for differential forms, and get that function c exists if and only if φ_{A^0, A^1} is exact for an

appropriate choice of A^0 and A^1 . Moreover φ_{A^0, A^1} has to be a function on M (i.e. it cannot depend on λ). We now consider the equation

$$\varphi_{A^0, A^1} = \varphi + A^0 \alpha_0 + A^1 \alpha_1 = df$$

where A^0, A^1 and f are unknown. Examining the coefficients at dx^1 and dx^2 , we obtain an algebraic system for A^i 's. This system can be effortlessly solved, resulting in

$$A^0 = \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_4)} \left(\frac{u_4 v_1 f_2 - u_2 v_4 f_1}{u_3 v_4 - u_4 v_3} \right), \quad A^1 = \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_3)} \left(\frac{u_2 v_3 f_1 - u_3 v_1 f_2}{u_3 v_4 - u_4 v_3} \right).$$

Then, the coefficients at dx^3 and dx^4 reduce to differential equations for f , which, in turn, can be written in the form

$$(6) \quad L_0(f) = (\lambda - \lambda_4)\varphi_4, \quad L_1(f) = (\lambda - \lambda_3)\varphi_3,$$

where φ_3 and φ_4 stand for the coefficients defined as $\varphi = \varphi_3 dx^3 + \varphi_4 dx^4$. The system always satisfies the compatibility condition, which can be verified directly. Hence, it yields a solution f . However, in general, f depends on λ . Imposing the condition that $\partial_\lambda f = 0$ and substituting $\lambda = \lambda_1$ and $\lambda = \lambda_2$ in (6) gives the following system

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 v_1 f_3 - u_3 v_1 f_2 &= u_2 v_{13} - u_3 v_{12}, \\ u_2 v_1 f_3 - u_2 v_3 f_1 &= u_{23} v_1 - u_{12} v_3, \\ u_2 v_1 f_4 - u_4 v_1 f_2 &= u_2 v_{14} - u_4 v_{12}, \\ u_2 v_1 f_4 - u_2 v_4 f_1 &= u_{24} v_1 - u_{12} v_4. \end{aligned}$$

It turns out that this system can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\partial_{x^3} - \frac{u_3}{u_2} \partial_{x^2} \right) (f - \ln(v_1)) &= 0, & \left(\partial_{x^3} - \frac{v_3}{v_1} \partial_{x^1} \right) (f - \ln(u_2)) &= 0, \\ \left(\partial_{x^4} - \frac{u_4}{u_2} \partial_{x^2} \right) (f - \ln(v_1)) &= 0, & \left(\partial_{x^4} - \frac{v_4}{v_1} \partial_{x^1} \right) (f - \ln(u_2)) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting $g = f - \ln(v_1)$ and $h = f - \ln(u_2)$ and using the fact that the vector fields $\partial_{x^3} - \frac{u_3}{u_2} \partial_{x^2}$ and $\partial_{x^4} - \frac{u_4}{u_2} \partial_{x^2}$ commute, and so do $\partial_{x^3} - \frac{v_3}{v_1} \partial_{x^1}$ and $\partial_{x^4} - \frac{v_4}{v_1} \partial_{x^1}$, we get that g and h can be written as $g = g(x^1, u)$ and $h = h(x^2, v)$, because x^1 and u are constant along the first pair of vector fields, and x^2 and v are constant along the second pair of vector fields. Further, expressing f in terms of g and h and comparing the expressions gives

$$\ln(u_2) - \ln(v_1) = h - g.$$

By Lemma 1 there is a different solution to (1) such that $\ln(\tilde{u}_2) - \ln(\tilde{v}_1) = 0$. Indeed, one can adjust functions a and b from Lemma 1, such that h and g cancel out. Consequently,

$$\ln \left(\frac{\tilde{u}_2}{\tilde{v}_1} \right) = 0.$$

Hence, we have $\tilde{u}_2 = \tilde{v}_1$ and it implies that the 1-form

$$\tilde{u} dx^1 + \tilde{v} dx^2$$

is closed with respect to coordinates (x^1, x^2) , meaning that locally $\tilde{u} = w_1$ and $\tilde{v} = w_2$, for some function w , i.e. (3) holds. Furthermore, the Lax pair in Theorem 1, under assumption (3), reduces to the Lax pair for (2), as can be found, for instance, in [11, formula (4.11)]. It follows that w is a solution to (2). \square

Remark. The second assertion of Theorem 2 can be expressed in terms of a curvature. Namely, in order for a hyper-para-Hermitian structure to be hyper-para-Kähler the Obata connection associated with the former has to be Ricci-flat. Recall that the Obata connection is the unique connection ∇ such that $\nabla I = \nabla J = \nabla K = 0$. It was initially introduced within the framework of hyper-Hermitian structures [21], but its definition extends seamlessly to the neutral signature, see [1]. In this context it coincides with the Chern connection of webs [20], defined by the following expression (see also [13, formula (2.1)])

$$\nabla_X Y = \pi_H(j[\pi_H(X), j\pi_H(Y)] + [\pi_V(X), \pi_H(Y)]) + \pi_V(j[\pi_V(X), j\pi_V(Y)] + [\pi_H(X), \pi_V(Y)])$$
 where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the Lie bracket of vector fields, and π_V and π_H are projections to the factors of the decomposition $TM = V \oplus H$, where

$$V = \text{span}\{L_0(\lambda_1), L_1(\lambda_1)\}, \quad H = \text{span}\{L_0(\lambda_2), L_1(\lambda_2)\},$$

and $j: TM \rightarrow TM$ is a mapping satisfying $j^2 = 1$ and uniquely determined by properties

$$j: V \rightarrow H, \quad j: H \rightarrow V, \quad j(\pi_H(X)) - \pi_H(X) \in T, \quad j(\pi_V(X)) - \pi_V(X) \in T,$$

for any $X \in TM$, where $T = \text{span}\{L_0(\lambda_3), L_1(\lambda_3)\}$. In the present context

$$V = \text{span}\{u_4\partial_2 - u_2\partial_4, u_3\partial_2 - u_2\partial_3\}, \quad H = \text{span}\{v_4\partial_1 - v_1\partial_4, v_3\partial_1 - v_1\partial_3\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} j(u_3\partial_2 - u_2\partial_3) &= \frac{u_2}{v_1}(v_3\partial_1 - v_1\partial_3), & j(u_4\partial_2 - u_2\partial_4) &= C \frac{u_2}{v_1}(v_4\partial_1 - v_1\partial_4), \\ j(v_3\partial_1 - v_1\partial_3) &= \frac{v_1}{u_2}(u_3\partial_2 - u_2\partial_3), & j(v_4\partial_1 - v_1\partial_4) &= C^{-1} \frac{v_1}{u_2}(u_4\partial_2 - u_2\partial_4). \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_4 - \lambda_2)}{(\lambda_4 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)}$.

3. SYMMETRIES AND RECURSION OPERATOR

Now turn to the study of symmetries for (1). To this end we first note that the operators X_i given by (5) from the proof of Theorem 1 are linear in λ and thus can be written as

$$(7) \quad X_i = X_i^{(0)} - \lambda X_i^{(1)}, \quad i = 0, 1$$

with obvious expressions for $X_i^{(j)}$.

Consider now the following ‘adjoint Lax pair’ for (1):

$$(8) \quad [Q, X_i] = 0, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is again the usual Lie bracket of vector fields and

$$(9) \quad Q = \sum_{j=1}^2 \xi^j \partial_{x^j}$$

(in this connection recall that $[X_0, X_1] = 0$ modulo (1)).

The formal expansions

$$(10) \quad \xi^j = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \xi_r^j \lambda^r, \quad j = 1, 2$$

give rise to an infinite hierarchy of nonlocal variables ξ_r^j associated with (1) as follows.

Let $Q_r = \sum_{j=1}^2 \xi_r^j \partial_{x^j}$. Then substituting (9) and (10) into (8) yields

$$(11) \quad [Q_0, X_i^{(0)}] = 0, \quad i = 0, 1$$

and a set of recursion relations

$$(12) \quad [Q_r, X_i^{(0)}] = [Q_{r-1}, X_i^{(1)}], \quad i = 0, 1, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

relating Q_r and Q_{r-1} .

Equations (11) and (12) can be solved with respect to $\partial \xi_r^j / \partial x^m$, $m = 3, 4$, to yield relations of general form

$$\partial \xi_r^j / \partial x^m = A_{mr}^j, \quad m = 3, 4, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

which recursively define ξ_r^j starting from $r = 0$; here A_{mr}^j are certain functions, a bit too cumbersome to spell out here in full, of ξ_s^j , $s = 0, \dots, r$ and x - and y -derivatives of those, and of a number of first- and second-order derivatives of u and v .

In other words, we have here an infinite-dimensional differential covering over (1) with the nonlocal variables ξ_r^j , $j = 1, 2$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; the said covering is defined via (11) and (12). For generalities on nonlocal variables, differential coverings and nonlocal symmetries the reader is referred to [12, 17, 25] and references therein.¹

With this in mind, we readily arrive at the following result

Proposition 1. *The flows*

$$(13) \quad u_{\tau_r} = \xi_r^2 u_2, \quad v_{\tau_r} = -\xi_r^1 v_1, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

with ξ_r^k defined above, are compatible with (1), and thus define an infinite hierarchy of nonlocal symmetries for (1) with the characteristics $(\xi_r^2 u_2, -\xi_r^1 v_1)$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Note that such infinite hierarchies of symmetries are a common occurrence for integrable partial differential systems, and for systems in more than two independent variables the symmetries in question are usually nonlocal, cf. e.g. [12, 17, 19, 22, 25] and references therein.

¹Note, however, that the authors of [12] refer to what we call below nonlocal symmetries as to the shadows of nonlocal symmetries.

Sketch of proof. It is straightforward to verify that $U = \xi^2 u_2$ and $V = -\xi^1 v_1$ satisfy the linearized version of (1), that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(V_1 u_2 u_{34} + v_1 U_2 u_{34} + v_1 u_2 U_{34}) \\
 & + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)(V_1 u_4 u_{23} + v_1 U_4 u_{23} + v_1 u_4 U_{23}) \\
 & - V_3 u_4 u_{12} - v_3 U_4 u_{12} - v_3 u_4 U_{12} + V_3 u_2 u_{14} + v_3 U_2 u_{14} + v_3 u_2 U_{14}) \\
 & - (\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(V_1 u_3 u_{24} + v_1 U_3 u_{24} + v_1 u_3 U_{24}) \\
 & - V_4 u_3 u_{12} - v_4 U_3 u_{12} - v_4 u_3 U_{12} + V_4 u_2 u_{13} + v_4 U_2 u_{13} + v_4 u_2 U_{13}) = 0, \\
 (14) \quad & (\lambda_3 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(V_1 u_2 v_{34} + v_1 U_2 v_{34} + v_1 u_2 V_{34}) \\
 & + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_4)(V_1 u_4 v_{23} + v_1 U_4 v_{23} + v_1 u_4 V_{23}) \\
 & - V_3 u_4 v_{12} - v_3 U_4 v_{12} - v_3 u_4 V_{12} + V_3 u_2 v_{14} + v_3 U_2 v_{14} + v_3 u_2 V_{14}) \\
 & - (\lambda_2 - \lambda_4)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)(V_1 u_3 v_{24} + v_1 U_3 v_{24} + v_1 u_3 V_{24}) \\
 & - V_4 u_3 v_{12} - v_4 U_3 v_{12} - v_4 u_3 V_{12} + V_4 u_2 v_{13} + v_4 U_2 v_{13} + v_4 u_2 V_{13}) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

modulo (1), (8) and differential consequences thereof, i.e., (1) admits a nonlocal symmetry with the characteristic $(\xi^2 u_2, -\xi^1 v_1)$. Upon taking into account linearity of (14) in U and V and substituting the expansions (10) into (14) it is readily seen that $U = \xi_s^2 u_2$ and $V = -\xi_s^1 v_1$ for $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ also satisfy (14) modulo (1), (11), and (12) for $r = 1, \dots, s$, and differential consequences thereof, so (1) indeed admits an infinite hierarchy of nonlocal symmetries with the characteristics $(\xi_s^2 u_2, -\xi_s^1 v_1)$, $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Compatibility of (13) with (1) immediately follows from this, cf. e.g. [12, 22] and references therein. \square

In closing note that, using the technique from [25], we have arrived at the following result, which is readily verified by straightforward albeit fairly tedious computation (for background on total derivatives and recursion operators seen essentially as Bäcklund auto-transformations for the linearized version of the system under study see e.g. [12, 17, 19, 25]):

Proposition 2. *Let the flow*

$$(15) \quad u_\tau = U, \quad v_\tau = V,$$

where U and V are assumed to be functions of independent variables, jet variables intrinsic to (1), and some nonlocal variables over (1), be compatible with (1) and thus define a nonlocal symmetry with the characteristic (U, V) for (1).

Consider nonlocal variables ζ^i defined by the relations

$$(16) \quad [X_i^{(1)}, S] = \left([X_i^{(0)}, R] \right)^{TD}, \quad i = 0, 1$$

where $X_i^{(j)}$ are defined via (7), and

$$(17) \quad R = -(V/v_1)\partial_{x^1} + (U/u_2)\partial_{x^2}, \quad S = \sum_{j=1}^2 \zeta^j \partial_{x^j}$$

and the superscript *TD* means that expressions involving the derivatives of U and V like U_{x^i} and V_{x^j} should be replaced by $D_{x^i}U$ and $D_{x^j}V$, where D_{x^i} are total derivatives.

Then the new flow

$$(18) \quad u_\sigma = \tilde{U}, \quad v_\sigma = \tilde{V},$$

where

$$(19) \quad \tilde{U} = u_2 \zeta^2, \quad \tilde{V} = -v_1 \zeta^1,$$

is also compatible with (1) and thus defines another nonlocal symmetry with the characteristic (\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}) for (1).

In other words, the relations (16), (17), and (19) define a recursion operator for (1) associating to any nonlocal symmetry with the characteristic (U, V) for (1) a new (again in general nonlocal) symmetry with the characteristic (\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}) for (1).

The above is a natural generalization of the recursion operator for general heavenly equation found in [25].

Notice that one now can construct (in general nonlocal) symmetries for system (1) beyond those from Proposition 1 by applying the recursion operator from Proposition 2 e.g. to ‘simpler’ symmetries like, say, those with the characteristics (u_i, v_i) , $i = 1, \dots, 4$, that result from the translation invariance of (1).

Acknowledgments. The research of WK, and the visit of AS to Warsaw, were supported in part by the grant 2019/34/E/ST1/00188 from the National Science Centre (NCN), Poland. The research of AS was also supported in part through institutional funding for the development of research organizations (RVO) for IČ 47813059. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support from the above sources.

WK and AS thank respectively Silesian University in Opava and Institute of Mathematics of Polish Academy of Sciences in Warsaw for warm hospitality extended to them in the course of their visits to the respective institutions.

Some of the computations in the paper were performed employing the package *Jets* [2] for Maple[®] whose use is hereby gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Alekseevsky, C. Medori, A. Tomassini, *Homogeneous para-Kähler Einstein manifolds*, Russ. Math. Surv. 64, No. 1 (2009), 1–43. [7](#)
- [2] H. Baran, M. Marvan, *Jets. A software for differential calculus on jet spaces and diffieties*. Available online at <http://jets.math.slu.cz/> [10](#)
- [3] A. Dancer, H. Jorgensen, A. Swann, *Metric geometries over the split quaternions*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 63 (2005), 119–139. [1](#)
- [4] J. Davidov, G. Grantcharov, O. Mushkarov, M. Yotov, *Para-hyperhermitian surfaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.) 52(100) (2009), no. 3, 281–289. [1](#)
- [5] J. Davidov, G. Grantcharov, O. Mushkarov, *Complex surfaces and null conformal Killing vector fields*, J. Geom. Anal. 33 (2023), no. 7, Paper No. 224, 18 pp. [1](#)
- [6] B. Doubrov, E.V. Ferapontov, *On the integrability of symplectic Monge–Ampère equations*, J. Geom. Phys. 60 (2010), 1604–1616 [1](#)
- [7] M. Dunajski, *The twisted photon associated to hyper-hermitian four-manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. 30 (1999), 266–281 [2](#)

- [8] M. Dunajski and W. Kryński, *Einstein–Weyl geometry, dispersionless Hirota equation and Veronese webs*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 157 (2014), no. 1, 139–150. [3](#)
- [9] M. Dunajski, S. West, *Anti-self-dual conformal structures in neutral signature*, in Recent developments in pseudo-Riemannian geometry, 113–148. ESI Lect. Math. Phys. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008 [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [10] S. Ivanov, S. Zamkovoy, *ParaHermitian and paraquaternionic manifolds*, Diff. Geom. App. 23(2) (2005) 205–234 [1](#)
- [11] B. Konopelchenko, W. Schief, A. Szereszewski, *Self-dual Einstein spaces and the general heavenly equation. Eigenfunctions as coordinates*, Class. Quant. Grav. 38 (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 045007, 30 pp. [1](#), [3](#), [7](#)
- [12] J. Krasil’shchik, A.M. Verbovetsky, R. Vitolo, *The Symbolic Computation of Integrability Structures for Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, Cham, 2017. [8](#), [9](#)
- [13] W. Kryński, *Webs and the Plebański equations*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 161(3) (2016) 455–468. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [7](#)
- [14] W. Kryński, *On deformations of the dispersionless Hirota equation*, J. Geom. Phys. 127 (2018) 46–54. [3](#)
- [15] W. Kryński, *Deformations of dispersionless Lax systems*, Class. Quant. Grav. 40(23) (2023), Paper No. 235013, 12 pp. [1](#)
- [16] A.A. Malykh, M.B. Sheftel, *General heavenly equation governs anti-self-dual gravity*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011), Paper No. 155201, 11 pp. [1](#), [3](#)
- [17] M. Marvan, A. Sergyeyev, *Recursion operators for dispersionless integrable systems in any dimension*, Inverse Problems 28 (2012), no. 2, Paper No. 025011, 12 pp. [8](#), [9](#)
- [18] L. Mason, E.T. Newman, *A connection between the Einstein and Yang-Mills equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. 121 (1989), 659–668. [3](#)
- [19] O.I. Morozov, A. Sergyeyev, *The four-dimensional Martínez Alonso–Shabat equation: reductions and nonlocal symmetries*, J. Geom. Phys. 85 (2014), 40–45. [8](#), [9](#)
- [20] P. Nagy, *Webs and curvature*, In Web Theory and Related Topics. (Toulouse, December, 1996), World Scientific, River Edge, 2001, 48–91. [7](#)
- [21] M. Obata, *Affine connections on manifolds with almost complex, quaternion or Hermitian structure*, Jap. J. Math. 26 (1956), 43–77. [7](#)
- [22] P.J. Olver, *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations*, 2nd ed. Springer, New York, 1993. [8](#), [9](#)
- [23] A. Panasyuk, A. Szereszewski, *Webs, Nijenhuis operators, and heavenly PDEs*, Class. Quantum Grav. 40 (2023), Paper No. 235003, 33 pp. [1](#), [3](#), [5](#)
- [24] W. Schief, *Self-dual Einstein spaces via a permutability theorem for the Tzitzeica equation*, Phys. Lett. A 223 (1996), 55–62. [1](#), [3](#)
- [25] A. Sergyeyev, *A simple construction of recursion operators for multidimensional dispersionless integrable systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 454 (2017), 468–480. [8](#), [9](#), [10](#)
- [26] I. Zakharevich, *Kronecker webs, bihamiltonian structures and the method of argument translation*, Transform. Groups. 6, No. 3 (2001), 267–300. [3](#)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARSAW, POLAND

Email address: krynski@impan.pl

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SILESIAN UNIVERSITY IN OPAVA, NA RYBNÍČKU 1, 746 01 OPAVA, CZECH REPUBLIC

Email address: artur.sergyeyev@math.slu.cz