

# ON THE MINIMALITY OF THE WINTERBOTTOM SHAPE

SHOKHRUKH YU. KHOLMATOV

ABSTRACT. In this short note we prove that the Winterbottom shape [5] is a volume-constraint minimizer of the corresponding anisotropic capillary functional.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study volume-constraint minimizers of the anisotropic capillary functional in the upper half-space  $\Omega := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_n > 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Phi, \beta}(E) := P_{\Phi}(E, \Omega) - \beta \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_E d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, \quad E \in BV(\Omega; \{0, 1\}),$$

where  $\Phi$  is an anisotropy – a positively-one homogeneous convex function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying

$$c_{\Phi}|x| \leq \Phi(x) \leq C_{\Phi}|x|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.1)$$

for some  $C_{\Phi} \geq c_{\Phi} > 0$ ,

$$P_{\Phi}(E, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega \cap \partial^* E} \Phi(\nu_E) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

is the  $\Phi$ -perimeter of  $E$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\partial^* E$  is the reduced boundary and  $\nu_E$  is the generalized outer unit normal of  $E$ ,  $\beta$  is constant – a relative adhesion constant of  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $\chi_E$  is the interior trace of  $E$  along  $\partial\Omega$ , i.e.,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_E d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial\Omega \cap \partial^* E).$$

Recall that  $E \in BV(\Omega; \{0, 1\})$  if and only if  $E \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n; \{0, 1\})$ , and in particular,  $\chi_E \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$  (see e.g. [1]). It is well-known that if  $\beta \leq -\Phi(-\mathbf{e}_n)$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ , then up to a translation the unique volume-constrained minimizer of  $\mathcal{C}_{\Phi, \beta}$  is a translation of the Wulff shape  $W^{\Phi} := \{\Phi^{\circ} \leq 1\}$  of  $\Phi$  in  $\Omega$ , where

$$\Phi^{\circ}(x) := \max_{\Phi(y)=1} \langle x, y \rangle$$

is the dual anisotropy, where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the Euclidean scalar product. On the other hand, if  $\beta \geq \Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)$ , then one can readily check that

$$\inf_{E \in BV(\Omega; \{0, 1\}), |E|=1} \mathcal{C}_{\Phi, \beta}(E) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } \beta > \Phi(\mathbf{e}_n), \\ 0 & \text{if } \beta = \Phi(\mathbf{e}_n), \end{cases}$$

and the minimum problem does not admit a solution. In case  $\beta = 0$  from [2, Theorem 1.3] we deduce the following relative isoperimetric inequality in  $\Omega$ :

$$\frac{P_{\Phi}(E, \Omega)}{|E|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \geq \frac{P_{\Phi}(W^{\Phi}, \Omega)}{|\Omega \cap W^{\Phi}|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}, \quad E \in BV(\Omega, \{0, 1\}), \quad (1.2)$$

for  $0 < |E \cap \Omega| < +\infty$ . It turns out (see [2, p. 2979]) that the equality in (1.2) holds if and only if  $E = b + rW^{\Phi}$  for some  $b \in \partial\Omega$  and  $r > 0$ , i.e.,  $E$  is a horizontal translation of scaled Wulff shapes. In particular, the set  $W_0^{\Phi} := \Omega \cap W^{\Phi}$  is a unique (up to a horizontal translation) solution to the minimum problem

$$\inf_{E \in BV(\Omega; \{0, 1\}), |E|=|W_0^{\Phi}|} \mathcal{C}_{\Phi, 0}(E).$$

*Date:* February 6, 2024.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C44, 49Q20, 35A15, 35D30, 35D35.

*Key words and phrases.* anisotropy, capillarity functional, Wulff shape, Winterbottom shape, minimizer.

More generally, for  $\beta \in (-\Phi(-\mathbf{e}_n), \Phi(\mathbf{e}_n))$  Winterbottom in [5] constructed an equilibrium shape of crystals atop other material, which can be defined as

$$W_\beta^\Phi := \Omega \cap W^\Phi(-\beta\mathbf{e}_n), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $W^\Phi(z) = z + W^\Phi$ . As we have seen earlier, the ‘‘half’’ Wulff shape  $W_0^\Phi$  (which is also the Winterbottom shape with  $\beta = 0$ ) is not only an equilibrium, but also a global volume-constraint minimizer of  $\mathcal{C}_{\Phi,0}$ . The following result shows that this property is true also for other values of  $\beta$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any  $\beta \in (-\Phi(-\mathbf{e}_n), \Phi(\mathbf{e}_n))$*

$$\inf_{E \in BV(\Omega; \{0,1\}), |E|=W_\beta^\Phi} \mathcal{C}_{\Phi,\beta}(E) = \mathcal{C}_{\Phi,\beta}(W_\beta^\Phi). \quad (1.4)$$

*The equality holds if and only if  $E = W^\Phi(b - \beta\mathbf{e}_n)$  for some  $b \in \partial\Omega$ . Equivalently,*

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_{\Phi,\beta}(E)}{|E|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \geq \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\Phi,\beta}(W_\beta^\Phi)}{|W_\beta^\Phi|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}, \quad E \in BV(\Omega; \{0,1\}), \quad (1.5)$$

*and the equality holds if and only if  $E = \Omega \cap (b - r\beta\mathbf{e}_n + rW^\Phi)$  for some  $r > 0$  and  $b \in \partial\Omega$ .*

Thus, the volume-constraint minimizers of  $\mathcal{C}_{\Phi,\beta}$  are precisely the horizontal translations of  $W_\beta^\Phi$ . This result is well-known in the Euclidean case  $\Phi = |\cdot|$  (see, for example, [4, Theorem 19.21]). To the best of my knowledge, there is no literature on the minimality of  $W_\beta^\Phi$  except for cases where  $\beta = 0$  or  $\Phi$  is Euclidean.

**Acknowledgement.** I thank Guido De Philippis and Francesco Maggi for useful discussions, especially, for showing their (unpublished) short notes on a generalization of Theorem 1.1 to more general cones with vertex at origin. I acknowledge support from the FWF Stand-Alone project P33716.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Owing (1.2) we provide an elementary proof of this result using only properties of anisotropies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We divide the prove into smaller steps.

*Step 1: Introducing new anisotropies.* Fix any  $\beta \in (-\Phi(-\mathbf{e}_n), \Phi(\mathbf{e}_n))$  and  $\eta^\pm \in \partial\Phi(\pm\mathbf{e}_n)$ , i.e.<sup>1</sup>,

$$\langle \eta^\pm, \pm\mathbf{e}_n \rangle = \Phi(\pm\mathbf{e}_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^\circ(\eta^\pm) = 1, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\partial f$  is the subdifferential of a convex function  $f$ . Consider the functions

$$\Psi_\beta(x) := \begin{cases} \Phi(x) - \beta \left\langle x, \frac{\eta^+}{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)} \right\rangle & \text{if } \beta \geq 0, \\ \Phi(x) + \beta \left\langle x, \frac{\eta^-}{\Phi(-\mathbf{e}_n)} \right\rangle & \text{if } \beta < 0, \end{cases} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.2)$$

where for shortness we drop the dependence of  $\Psi_\beta$  on  $\Phi$  and the choice of  $\eta^\pm$ . Notice that such a technique of ‘‘absorbing’’ the relative adhesion coefficient into the anisotropy was already used in [3].

Let us show that  $\Psi_\beta$  is an anisotropy in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Indeed, the convexity and positive one-homogeneity of  $\Psi_\beta$  are obvious. Let us show that there exists  $C_{\Psi_\beta} \geq c_{\Psi_\beta} > 0$  such that

$$c_{\Psi_\beta}|x| \leq \Psi_\beta(x) \leq C_{\Psi_\beta}|x|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.3)$$

Indeed, by (1.1)

$$\sup_{|x|=1} \Psi_\beta(x) \leq \sup_{|x|=1} \Phi(x) + \frac{|\beta| \max\{|\eta^+|, |\eta^-\|\}}{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)} \leq C_\Phi + \frac{|\beta| \max\{|\eta^+|, |\eta^-\|\}}{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)} =: C_{\Psi_\beta}.$$

Thus, the second inequality in (2.3) holds.

On the other hand, by the Young inequality<sup>2</sup> and the second equality in (2.1)

$$\left\langle x, \frac{\eta^\pm}{\Phi(\pm\mathbf{e}_n)} \right\rangle \leq \frac{\Phi(x)\Phi^\circ(\eta^\pm)}{\Phi(\pm\mathbf{e}_n)} = \frac{1}{\Phi(\pm\mathbf{e}_n)} \Phi(x). \quad (2.4)$$

<sup>1</sup>Since a priori we are not assuming the regularity of  $\Phi$ , there could be more than one possible choice of  $\eta^\pm$ . Moreover, since we are not assuming the evenness of  $\Phi$ , in general we cannot claim  $\eta^+ = -\eta^-$ .

<sup>2</sup>I.e.,  $\langle x, y \rangle \leq \Phi(x)\Phi^\circ(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Now if  $\beta \geq 0$ , then by (2.4) and (1.1)

$$\Psi_\beta(x) \geq \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)}\right) \Phi(x) \geq \frac{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n) - \beta}{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)} c_\Phi |x|,$$

and similarly, if  $\beta < 0$ ,

$$\Psi_\beta(x) \geq \frac{\Phi(-\mathbf{e}_n) + \beta}{\Phi(-\mathbf{e}_n)} c_\Phi |x|.$$

Thus,

$$c_{\Psi_\beta} := c_\Phi \min \left\{ \frac{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n) - |\beta|}{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)}, \frac{\Phi(-\mathbf{e}_n) - |\beta|}{\Phi(-\mathbf{e}_n)} \right\} > 0$$

and the first inequality in (2.3) holds. Therefore,  $\Psi_\beta$  is an anisotropy in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Step 2: A representation of the capillary functional.* Let us show

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Phi, \beta} = P_{\Psi_\beta}(\cdot, \Omega).$$

Indeed, since  $\eta^\pm$  are constant, by the divergence theorem

$$0 = \int_E \operatorname{div} \eta^\pm dx = \int_{\Omega \cap \partial^* E} \langle \eta^\pm, \nu_E \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \int_{\partial \Omega \cap \partial^* E} \langle \eta^\pm, \mathbf{e}_n \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\Psi_\beta}(E, \Omega) &= \int_{\Omega \cap \partial^* E} \Phi(\nu_E) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \mp \frac{\beta}{\Phi(\pm \mathbf{e}_n)} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial^* E} \langle \nu_E, \eta^\pm \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= P_\Phi(E, \Omega) - \beta \frac{\langle \eta^\pm, \pm \mathbf{e}_n \rangle}{\Phi(\pm \mathbf{e}_n)} \int_{\partial \Omega} \chi_E d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= P_\Phi(E, \Omega) - \beta \int_{\partial \Omega} \chi_E d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \mathcal{C}_{\Phi, \beta}(E), \end{aligned}$$

*Step 3: Wulff shapes of  $\Psi_\beta$  and  $\Phi$ .* We claim

$$W^{\Psi_\beta} = \mp \frac{\beta \eta^\pm}{\Phi(\pm \mathbf{e}_n)} + W^\Phi, \quad (2.5)$$

where if  $\beta \geq 0$ , we take "+" sign, otherwise we take "-" sign. Indeed, assume that  $\beta \geq 0$  and take any  $x$  with  $\Psi_\beta^o(x) = 1$ , where  $\Psi_\beta^o$  is the dual of  $\Psi_\beta$  (since  $\Psi_\beta$  is an anisotropy, its dual is well-defined and also is an anisotropy). We claim that

$$\Phi^o\left(x + \frac{\beta \eta^+}{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)}\right) = 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Let  $\xi \in \partial \Psi_\beta^o(x)$ , i.e.,  $\langle x, \xi \rangle = 1$  and  $\Psi_\beta(\xi) = 1$ . Then one can readily check that  $x \in \partial \Psi_\beta(\xi)$ . Hence, using the explicit expression of  $\Psi_\beta$  in (2.2) we can compute its subdifferential:

$$\partial \Psi_\beta(\theta) = \partial \Phi(\theta) - \frac{\beta \eta^+}{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)} \quad (2.7)$$

at each  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , and get

$$x = \zeta - \frac{\beta \eta^+}{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)} \quad \text{for some } \zeta \in \partial \Phi(\xi).$$

Thus,

$$\Phi^o\left(x + \frac{\beta \eta^+}{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)}\right) = \Phi^o(\zeta) = 1.$$

On the other hand, if (2.6) holds, then  $\zeta := x + \frac{\beta \eta^+}{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)} \in \partial \Phi(\xi)$  for some  $\xi \neq 0$ . This and (2.7) implies  $x \in \partial \Psi_\beta(\xi)$ , i.e.,  $\Psi_\beta^o(x) = 1$ . Thus,

$$\Phi^o\left(x + \frac{\beta \eta^+}{\Phi(\mathbf{e}_n)}\right) = 1 \quad \iff \quad \Psi_\beta^o(x) = 1.$$

Since both Wulff shapes are convex and their boundaries coincide, this implies (2.5).

The case  $\beta < 0$  is analogous.

*Step 4: Translated Wulff shapes.* Let us show that the translated  $W^\Phi$  in (2.5) is a horizontal translation of truncated Wulff shapes  $W_\beta^\Phi$  in (1.3). Indeed, consider the vector

$$b := \mp \frac{\beta \eta^\pm}{\Phi(\pm \mathbf{e}_n)} + \beta \mathbf{e}_n.$$

By (2.1)

$$\langle b, \mathbf{e}_n \rangle = -\frac{\beta \langle \eta^\pm, \pm \mathbf{e}_n \rangle}{\Phi(\pm \mathbf{e}_n)} + \beta = 0,$$

and hence,  $b \in \partial\Omega$ . Therefore, the translated Wulff shape  $\mp \frac{\beta \eta^\pm}{\Phi(\pm \mathbf{e}_n)} + W^\Phi$  is a horizontal translation of the translated Wulff shape  $-\beta \mathbf{e}_n + W^\Phi$ .

*Step 5: Minimality of truncated Wulff shape  $W_\beta^\Phi$  in (1.3).* Applying (1.2) with  $\Psi_\beta$  we find

$$\frac{P_{\Psi_\beta}(E, \Omega)}{|E|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \geq \frac{P_{\Psi_\beta}(W^{\Psi_\beta}, \Omega)}{|\Omega \cap W^{\Psi_\beta}|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}, \quad E \in BV(\Omega; \{0, 1\}). \quad (2.8)$$

The equality holds iff  $E = b + rW^{\Psi_\beta}$  for some  $r > 0$  and  $b \in \partial\Omega$ . By steps 3 and 4,  $W_\beta^\Phi$  is a horizontal translation of

$$W^{\Psi_\beta} = W^\Phi\left(\mp \frac{\beta \eta^\pm}{\Phi(\pm \mathbf{e}_n)}\right) = b_0 + W^\Phi(-\beta \mathbf{e}_n) \quad (2.9)$$

for some  $b_0 \in \partial\Omega$ . In particular, we can use  $W_\beta^\Phi$  in place of  $\Omega \cap W^{\Psi_\beta}$  in (2.8). Moreover, by step 2  $P_{\Psi_\beta}(\cdot, \Omega) = \mathcal{C}_{\Phi, \beta}$ , and hence, we can represent (2.8) as

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_{\Phi, \beta}(E)}{|E|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \geq \frac{\mathcal{C}_{\Phi, \beta}(W_\beta^\Phi)}{|W_\beta^\Phi|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}, \quad E \in BV(\Omega; \{0, 1\}),$$

which is (1.5).

*Step 6: Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1.1.* Since  $\partial\Omega$  is a (horizontal) hyperplane, the set of all horizontal translations form an additive group. In particular, by step 5 and (2.9) the sets

$$E := b + rW^{\Psi_\beta} = b + rW^\Phi\left(\mp \frac{\beta \eta^\pm}{\Phi(\pm \mathbf{e}_n)}\right) = (b + b_0 r) + rW^\Phi(-\beta \mathbf{e}_n)$$

are the only ones preserving the equality in (2.8), or equivalently, the equality in (1.5) holds if and only if  $E = \Omega \cap (b + W^\Phi(-\beta r \mathbf{e}_n))$  for some  $b \in \partial\Omega$  and  $r > 0$ . Finally, the assertions related to the equality (1.4) directly follows from (1.5).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Bellettini, Sh. Kholmatov: Minimizing movements for mean curvature flow of droplets with prescribed contact angle. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **117** (2018), 1–58.
- [2] X. Cabré, X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra: Sharp isoperimetric inequalities via the ABP method. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **18** (2016), 2971–2998.
- [3] G. De Philippis, F. Maggi: Regularity of free boundaries in anisotropic capillarity problems and the validity of Young’s law. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **216** (2015), 473–568.
- [4] F. Maggi: *Sets of Finite Perimeter and Geometric Variational Problems. An Introduction to Geometric Measure Theory.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [5] W.L. Winterbottom: Equilibrium shape of a small particle in contact with a foreign substrate. *Acta Metallurgica* **15** (1967), 303–310.

(Sh. Kholmatov) UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN PLATZ 1, 1090 VIENNA (AUSTRIA)  
*Email address:* shokhrukh.kholmatov@univie.ac.at