

CALDERÓN PROBLEM FOR NONLOCAL VISCOUS WAVE EQUATIONS: UNIQUE DETERMINATION OF LINEAR AND NONLINEAR PERTURBATIONS

PHILIPP ZIMMERMANN

ABSTRACT. The main goal of this article is the study of a Calderón type inverse problem for a viscous wave equation. We show that the partial Dirichlet to Neumann map uniquely determines on the one hand linear perturbations and on the other hand homogeneous nonlinearities $f(u)$ whenever the latter satisfy a certain growth assumption. As a preliminary step we discuss the well-posedness in each case, where for the nonlinear setting we invoke the implicit function theorem after establishing the differentiability of the associated Nemytskii operator $f(u)$. In the linear case we establish a Runge approximation theorem in $L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$, which allows us to uniquely determine potentials that belong only to $L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$ for some $1 < p \leq \infty$ satisfying suitable restrictions. In the nonlinear case, we first derive an appropriate integral identity and combine this with the differentiability of the solution map around zero to show that the nonlinearity is uniquely determined by the Dirichlet to Neumann map. To make this linearization technique work, it is essential that we have a Runge approximation in $L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ instead of $L^2(\Omega_T)$ at our disposal.

Keywords. Fractional Laplacian, Viscous wave equations, Nonlinear PDEs, Inverse problems, Runge approximation, Nemytskii operators.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): Primary 35R30; secondary 26A33, 42B37

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Nonlocal viscous wave equations and related models	4
1.2. Main results	5
1.3. Organization of the article	6
2. Preliminaries	6
2.1. Fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional Laplacian	7
2.2. Bochner spaces	8
2.3. Abstract framework for solving some 2nd order in time PDEs	8
3. Well-posedness theory of viscous wave equations	11
3.1. Viscous wave equation with linear perturbations	11
3.2. Viscous wave equation with nonlinear perturbations	15
4. Inverse problem for the linear viscous wave equation	20
4.1. DN map and Runge approximation for the linear problem	20
4.2. Unique determination of linear perturbations	22
5. Inverse problem for the nonlinear viscous wave equation	25
5.1. An integral identity for the nonlinear problem	25
5.2. Unique determination of nonlinear perturbations	27
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years many different inverse problems for nonlocal partial differential equations (PDEs) has been studied in the literature. The very first work in this area was the article [GSU20] by Ghosh, Salo and Uhlman. They showed that the potential $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ in the *fractional Schrödinger equation*

$$(1.1) \quad ((-\Delta)^s + q)u = 0$$

is uniquely determined by the (*partial*) *Dirichlet to Neumann (DN) map*

$$\Lambda_q f = (-\Delta)^s u_f|_{W_2}, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(W_1),$$

for arbitrary fixed measurement sets $W_1, W_2 \subset \Omega_e$. Here, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with exterior $\Omega_e = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}$, $0 < s < 1$ and $u_f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes the unique solution to (1.1) with exterior data $u_f|_{\Omega_e} = f$. An essential analytical tool in their work is the so-called *unique continuation property (UCP)* of the fractional Laplacian. Roughly speaking, the UCP can be phrased as follows:

If $u: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $(-\Delta)^s u = u = 0$ in an open set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then $u \equiv 0$.

Its proof depends on the famous *Caffarelli–Silvestre (CS) extension* [CS07] of the fractional Laplacian, which allows to characterize the fractional Laplacian of u as the Neumann data of the solution U to the degenerate elliptic PDE

$$\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s}\nabla U(x, y)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$$

with Dirichlet data $U(x, 0) = u$ on $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$. Solutions to such equations, having A_2 Muckenhoupt weights as coefficients, have already been studied a long time ago in the celebrated work [EBFS82] by Fabes, Kenig and Serapioni. Let us note that CS type extensions are only available for a restricted classes of nonlocal operators as discussed in more detail by Kwaśnicki, Mucha and Stinga, Torrea in [KM18] and [ST10], respectively. Based on this fact, in subsequent research articles in this field, the main focus was put on nonlocal inverse problems for equations of the form

$$(1.2) \quad L_K u + Q(u) = 0,$$

where L_K is an elliptic (with potentially variable coefficients) nonlocal operator having the UCP and Q is possibly nonlinear function with respect to u . Prototypical results in this field, then showed that the DN map related to equation (1.2) uniquely determines the function Q (see e.g. [BGU21, CLR20, HL19, GRSU20, GU21, RS20, RZ23]). Additionally, there are some works in which the authors also tried to recover the coefficients K on which the nonlocal operator L_K possibly depends. Examples of such nonlocal operators L include fractional powers of elliptic second order operators $L^s = (-\operatorname{div}(\sigma\nabla))^s$ or the fractional conductivity operator L_γ . Both examples fall into the class of elliptic integro-differential operator, that is of operators L_K which can be strongly written as

$$L_K u(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y)(u(x) - u(y)) dy,$$

where the kernel $K(x, y)$ satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad K(x, y) = K(y, x) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \leq K(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{|x - y|^{n+2s}}.$$

Let us note that the second condition in (1.3) means nothing else than that the kernel $K(x, y)$ is comparable to the kernel of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ (see Section 2 for more details on the fractional Laplacian). For such studies we refer the reader to the following works [RZ24, Rül23] and the references therein. Let us remark that there are only very few results on the simultaneous recovery of the

leading order coefficient and a lower order perturbation (see [Zim23] for further information).

Later on these studies had been extended to the parabolic setting (e.g. see [LRZ22, LLR20, LLU22, LLU23, LZ23]). Recently, Kow, Lin and Wang studied in [KLW22] a Calderón type inverse problem related to the *nonlocal wave equation*¹

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s + q) u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

with $0 < s < 1$, $q = q(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and showed under suitable assumptions on the domains that:

- (i) *Runge approximation:* Any $v \in L^2(\Omega_T)$ can be approximated arbitrarily well in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ by solutions $u_\varphi|_{\Omega_T}$ of (1.4), where $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(W_T)$ for some fixed measurement set $W \Subset \Omega_e$.
- (ii) *Unique determination:* Let $q_1, q_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ be two potentials and denote by Λ_{q_j} its DN map related to (1.4), i.e.

$$\Lambda_{q_j} \varphi = (-\Delta)^s u_\varphi|_{(\Omega_e)_T}.$$

If one has

$$\Lambda_{q_1} \varphi|_{(W_2)_T} = \Lambda_{q_2} \varphi|_{(W_2)_T}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty((W_1)_T)$, where $W_1, W_2 \subset \Omega_e$ are two fixed measurement sets, then one has

$$q_1(x) = q_2(x) \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Let us point out that in contrast to the elliptic (see [RZ23]) or the parabolic case (see [LRZ22]), it is not known whether the Runge approximation for the nonlocal wave equation (1.4) holds in $L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ ². That is, it is still an open question whether the Runge set

$$\mathcal{R}_W = \{u_\varphi - \varphi; \varphi \in C_c^\infty(W_T)\},$$

where the notation u_φ is as above, is dense in $L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$. The main obstruction in proving such a Runge approximation is the low regularity of solutions to the equation

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s + q) u = F & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = 0 & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

when F only belongs to the space $L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$ instead of $L^2(\Omega_T)$. This phenomenon already appears in the local analogon $s = 1$ (see [Pre13, Chapter 11]). On the other hand, a main difference between the local and nonlocal wave equation (1.4) is that in the later case the equation does not have a finite speed of propagation, which in turn relies on the UCP of the fractional Laplacian.

Because of the lack of such a density result the techniques of this article cannot be directly adapted to the nonlinear nonlocal wave equation and it is an open question, whether the DN map related to the *nonlinear nonlocal wave equation*

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s) u + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

¹For any set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $T > 0$, we write A_T to denote the space time cylinder $A \times (0, T)$.

²The precise definition of this space is given in Section 2.

uniquely determines suitable nonlinearities f . Here and below, $f(u)$ denotes the Nemytskii operator associated to a Carathéodory function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, that is

$$f(u)(x, t) = f(x, u(x, t)).$$

In this article, we study a Calderón type inverse problem for linear and nonlinear perturbations of the *nonlocal viscous wave equation*

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

The used terminology for this equation is discussed in the next section. More concretely, this means that we study the problems

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q) u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) u + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

and aim to uniquely recover the potential q and nonlinearity f under suitable assumptions from the related DN maps

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_q \varphi &= ((-\Delta)^s u_\varphi + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t u_\varphi)|_{(\Omega_e)_T} \\ \Lambda_f \varphi &= ((-\Delta)^s v_\varphi + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t v_\varphi)|_{(\Omega_e)_T}, \end{aligned}$$

where u_φ, v_φ are the unique solutions to (1.6) and (1.7), respectively. Let us remark that in contrast to the results in [KLW22] the potential in (1.6) is allowed to vary in time and is not necessarily bounded in space.

Finally, let us note that in fact one can construct unique solutions to the linear nonlocal wave equations by first considering solutions u_ε to the nonlocal viscous wave equation with loss term $\varepsilon(-\Delta)^s \partial_t$ and then passing to the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (see [DL92, Chapter XVIII]).

1.1. Nonlocal viscous wave equations and related models. The main goal of this section is to motivate the terminology for equation (1.5) and to discuss related models.

First of all let us recall that the initial and boundary value problem for the *viscous wave equation* is given by

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 + \tau(-\Delta) \partial_t + (-\Delta)) u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{on } \partial\Omega_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

which emerges in acoustics to describe the propagation of sound in a viscous fluid. The quantity u represents the sound pressure, c the speed of propagation and τ the relaxation time, which can be calculated as

$$\tau = \frac{4\mu}{3\rho_0 c^2}$$

with μ being the shear bulk viscosity coefficient that has been measured for many fluids and ρ_0 is the static density. The term $\tau(-\Delta)u$ corresponds physically to an additional loss term. If we formally put $c = \tau = 1$ and replace the Laplacian by the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$, then we arrive at the problem (1.5).

Next, we describe a time fractional generalization of the problem (1.8) and a generalization of (1.5).

(G1) The first model we would like to introduce reads as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 + \beta \tau^\beta (-\Delta) \partial_t^\beta + (-\Delta) \right) u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{on } \partial\Omega_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here ∂_t^β is a fractional time derivative and it emerges when one considers an interpolation between Hooke's law, relating linearly the strain and stress of an elastic solid, and Newton's fluid law, describing the linear relationship between the stress and strain rate in an ideal viscous fluid. For further information on this model we refer to [Wan16, XW23] and the references therein.

(G2) An immediate generalization of the model (1.5) is

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^{s_1} \partial_t + (-\Delta)^{s_2}) u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where we now have two fractional Laplacians of different order s_1 and s_2 , respectively. The special case $s_1 = 1/2$ and $s_2 = 1$, received recently some interest and has been studied in the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ for example in [KČ21, KOČ22] and [dRO23] with a possible nonlinearity f of the form $|u|^{p-1}u$ for $p > 1$ or u^k for $k \geq 2$. Such type of power nonlinearities have been studied in detail for dispersive wave equations like the nonlinear wave equation or the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u + f(u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

(see [Tao06]).

1.2. Main results. Our main result on the aforementioned inverse problem for the nonlocal viscous wave equation with linear and nonlinear perturbations read as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (Uniqueness of linear perturbations). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $T > 0$ and $s > 0$ a non-integer. Suppose that for $j = 1, 2$ we have given potentials $q_j \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_T)$ such that*

(i) $q_j \in L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} n/s \leq p \leq \infty, & \text{if } 2s < n, \\ 2 < p \leq \infty, & \text{if } 2s = n, \\ 2 \leq p \leq \infty, & \text{if } 2s \geq n, \end{cases}$$

(ii) $t \mapsto \int_\Omega q_j(x, t) \varphi(x) dx \in C([0, T])$ for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

Furthermore, assume that $W_1, W_2 \subset \Omega_e$ are given measurement sets such that the DN maps Λ_{q_j} related to

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q_j) u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

satisfy

$$(1.9) \quad \Lambda_{q_1} \varphi = \Lambda_{q_2} \varphi \text{ in } (W_2)_T$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty((W_1)_T)$. Then there holds

$$(1.10) \quad q_1(x, t) = q_2^*(x, t) \text{ in } \Omega_T.$$

In particular, if the potential q_2 is time-reversal invariant³, then we get

$$q_1(x, t) = q_2(x, t) \text{ in } \Omega_T.$$

Theorem 1.2 (Uniqueness of nonlinear perturbations). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $T > 0$ and $s > 0$ a non-integer. Suppose that for $j = 1, 2$ we have given nonlinearities f_j satisfying Assumption 3.4 with $0 < r \leq 2$ and f_j is $r + 1$ homogeneous. Let $U_0^j \subset \widetilde{W}_{rest}^s((\Omega_e)_T)$, $U_1^j \subset \widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ be the neighborhoods of Theorem 3.8 such that for any $\varphi \in U_0^j$ the problem*

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)u + f_j(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $u \in U_1^j$. Furthermore, assume that $W_1, W_2 \subset \Omega_e$ are given measurement sets such that the DN maps Λ_{f_j} related to (1.11) satisfy

$$(1.12) \quad \Lambda_{f_1} \varphi = \Lambda_{f_2} \varphi \text{ in } (W_2)_T$$

for all $\varphi \in U_0^1 \cap U_0^2$. Then there holds

$$(1.13) \quad f_1(x, \rho) = f_2(x, \rho) \text{ for } x \in \Omega \text{ and } \rho \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Remark 1.3. *Both uniqueness theorems can be extended to other nonlocal operators L instead of the fractional Laplacian as long as they satisfy appropriate structural assumptions and a corresponding UCP. For this purpose we recall the necessary tools to solve the forward problem in a general framework in Section 2.3. Note that this has been done in the case of elliptic nonlocal inverse problems in the work [RZ23].*

1.3. Organization of the article. This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the functional analytic setup and in particular introduce the fractional Sobolev spaces, the fractional Laplacian and the Bochner Lebesgue spaces. Moreover, in the last paragraph of this section we discuss an abstract framework for solving some classes of second order in time PDEs. In Section 3, we study the well-posedness theory of the problems (1.6) and (1.7). The well-posedness of the nonlinear problem is achieved by invoking the implicit function theorem and to this end we study first in Section 3.2.1 the differentiability of the Nemytskii operator $u \mapsto f(u)$ (Lemma 3.7). Then in Section 4, after establishing the Runge approximation (Proposition 4.2) and a suitable integral identity (Lemma 4.3), we prove Theorem 1.1. Finally, in Section 5 we prove with the help of a suitable integral identity (Lemma 5.2) and the linearization of the DN map the main theorem on the inverse problem for the nonlocal viscous wave equation with a nonlinear perturbation (Theorem 1.2).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce several function spaces together with the fractional Laplacian, recall some important properties of this nonlocal operator and describe an abstract framework for solving some classes of second order in time PDEs.

³This means $q_2^* = q_2$.

2.1. Fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional Laplacian. We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ Schwartz functions and tempered distributions respectively. We define the Fourier transform by

$$\mathcal{F}u(\xi) := \hat{u}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x)e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx.$$

By duality it can be extended to the space of tempered distributions and will again be denoted by $\mathcal{F}u = \hat{u}$, where $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we denote the inverse Fourier transform by \mathcal{F}^{-1} .

Given $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the fractional Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all tempered distributions $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\langle D \rangle^s u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty,$$

where $\langle D \rangle^s$ is the Bessel potential operator of order s having Fourier symbol $(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2}$. The fractional Laplacian of order $s \geq 0$ can be defined as a Fourier multiplier

$$(-\Delta)^s u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^{2s} \hat{u}(\xi)),$$

for $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whenever the right hand side of the above identity is well-defined. In addition, it is also known that for $s \geq 0$, an equivalent norm on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^* = \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s: H^t(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^{t-2s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a bounded linear operator for all $s \geq 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The above heuristically introduced UCP reads more formally as follows:

Proposition 2.1 (UCP for fractional Laplacians). *Let $s > 0$ be a non-integer and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $u \in H^t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $u = (-\Delta)^s u = 0$ in a nonempty open subset $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then $u \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .*

The preceding proposition was first shown in [GSU20, Theorem 1.2] for the range $s \in (0, 1)$, in which case the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ can be equivalently computed as the singular integral

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{n,s} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy$$

for sufficiently nice functions u and some constant $C_{n,s} > 0$. For the higher order case $s > 1$, one can apply the standard Laplacian to the equation, then the classical UCP for the Laplacian yields iteratively the desired result.

For the well-posedness theory, we will use the following Poincaré inequality.

Proposition 2.2 (Poincaré inequality (cf. [RZ23, Lemma 5.4])). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain. For any $s \geq 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

Next we introduce some local variants of the above fractional Sobolev spaces. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set, $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a closed set and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then we set

$$\begin{aligned} H^s(\Omega) &:= \{u|_\Omega : u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \\ \tilde{H}^s(\Omega) &:= \text{closure of } C_c^\infty(\Omega) \text{ in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ H_F^s &:= \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) ; \text{supp}(u) \subset F\}. \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, $H^s(\Omega)$ is a Banach space with respect to the quotient norm

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)} := \inf \{ \|U\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} : U \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } U|_{\Omega} = u \}.$$

Hence, using the fact that (2.1) is an equivalent norm on $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$, Propositions 2.2 and the density of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ in $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$, we have:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain and $s \geq 0$. Then an equivalent norm on $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ is given by*

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)} = \|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The observation of Lemma 2.3 will be of constant use in the well-posedness theory below.

2.2. Bochner spaces. Next, we introduce some standard function spaces for time-dependent PDEs adapted to the nonlocal setting considered in this article. Let X be a Banach space and $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then we let $C^k([a, b]; X)$, $L^p(a, b; X)$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$) stand for the space of k -times continuously differentiable functions and the space of measurable functions $u: (a, b) \rightarrow X$ such that $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_X \in L^p([a, b])$. These spaces carry the norms

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^p(a, b; X)} &:= \left(\int_a^b \|u(t)\|_X^p dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \\ \|u\|_{C^k([a, b]; X)} &:= \sup_{0 \leq \ell \leq k} \|\partial_t^\ell u\|_{L^\infty([a, b]; X)} \end{aligned}$$

with the usual modifications in the case $p = \infty$.

Additionally, whenever $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(a, b; X)$ with X being a space of functions over a subset of some euclidean space, such as $L^2(\Omega)$ or $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then u is identified with a function $u(x, t)$ and $u(t)$ denotes the function $x \mapsto u(x, t)$ for almost all t . This is justified by the fact, that any $u \in L^q(a, b; L^p(\Omega))$ with $1 \leq q, p < \infty$ can be seen as a measurable function $u: \Omega \times (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the norm $\|u\|_{L^q(a, b; L^p(\Omega))}$, as defined in (2.2), is finite. In particular, one has $L^p(0, T; L^p(\Omega)) = L^p(\Omega_T)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Clearly, a similar statement holds for the spaces $L^q(a, b; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and their local versions. If no confusion arises we also denote $L^p(0, T; X)$ by $L^p(X)$ and $L^q(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$ by $L^q L^p$.

Furthermore, the distributional derivative $\frac{du}{dt} \in \mathcal{D}'((a, b); X)$ is identified with the derivative $\partial_t u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (a, b))$ as long as it is well-defined. Here $\mathcal{D}'((a, b); X)$ stands for all continuous linear operators from $C_c^\infty((a, b))$ to X .

2.3. Abstract framework for solving some 2nd order in time PDEs. We collect here preliminary material to solve some classes of second order PDEs and for a more comprehensive presentation the interested reader can consult [DL92, Chapter XVIII].

Definition 2.4. *We say that a tuple (V, H, a, b, c) consisting of two complex Hilbert spaces V, H , two sesquilinear forms a, b over V and one sesquilinear form c over H satisfy the usual conditions if they have the following properties: The spaces V and H are such that*

$$(2.3) \quad V \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow V'$$

and the inclusions are dense.⁴ The triple (a, b, c) fulfill the following assumptions:

⁴Here, V' denotes the antidual of V , that is the space of all antilinear continuous functionals on V , and the antidual of H is identified with H via Riesz's representation theorem. Moreover, the dual of V, H are still denoted by V^* and H^* . Recall that for any Hilbert space X , we have $X' = \overline{X^*}$.

(S1) $a(t; \cdot, \cdot)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is a family of sesquilinear forms over V , which can be decomposed as

$$a = a_0 + a_1.$$

The principal part a_0 is required to satisfy

(A1) $a_0(t; u, v) \in C^1([0, T])$ for all $u, v \in V$ such that the sesquilinear forms $a_0, \partial_t a_0$ are continuous over V ,

(A2) a_0 is hermitian (i.e. $a_0(t; u, v) = \overline{a_0(t; v, u)}$),

(A3) a_0 is coercive over V with respect to H in the sense that

$$a_0(t; u, u) \geq \alpha \|u\|_V^2 - \lambda \|u\|_H^2$$

for some $\alpha > 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $u \in V, t \in [0, T]$.

The lower order part a_1 is assumed to satisfy

(A4) $a_1(t; u, v) \in C([0, T])$ for all $u, v \in V$,

(A5) $|a_1(t; u, v)| \leq C \|u\|_V \|v\|_H$ for all $u, v \in V$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

(S2) $b(t; \cdot, \cdot)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is a family of sesquilinear forms over V , which can be decomposed as

$$b = b_0 + b_1.$$

(B1) b_0 is a continuous sesquilinear form over V , hermitian and coercive in the sense

$$b_0(t; u, u) \geq \mu \|u\|_V^2$$

for some $\mu > 0$ and $t \in [0, T], v \in V$,

(B2) b_1 is a sesquilinear form satisfying

$$|b_1(t; u, v)| \leq C \|u\|_V \|v\|_H$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $u, v \in V$,

(B3) $b_j(t; u, v) \in C([0, T])$ for all $u, v \in V$ and $j = 0, 1$.

(S3) $c(t; \cdot, \cdot)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is a family of sesquilinear forms over H , which can be written as

$$c(t; u, v) = \langle C(t)u, v \rangle_H$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ and $u, v \in H$. Here $C(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is a family of linear bounded operators on H to itself such that

(C1) $C(t)$ is hermitian and coercive over H , that is

$$\langle C(t)u, u \rangle_H \geq \gamma \|u\|_H^2$$

for some $\gamma > 0$ and all $u \in H, t \in [0, T]$,

(C2) $\langle C(t)u, v \rangle_H \in C^1([0, T])$ for all $u, v \in H$.

Example 2.5. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be any bounded Lipschitz domain. Then the separable Hilbert spaces $V = \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ and $H = L^2(\Omega)$ ⁵ satisfy (2.3) and the inclusions are dense. This is a direct consequence of the Sobolev embedding, the assumption that Ω is a bounded Lipschitz domain and the fact that $u \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ implies $u = 0$ a.e. in Ω^c .

Example 2.6. Let V, H be as in Example 2.5. Then we define the principle part sesquilinear form $a_0: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$a_0(u, v) = \langle (-\Delta)^{s/2}u, (-\Delta)^{s/2}v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

⁵These spaces are considered here as being complex, but later on we always assume that they consist of real valued functions.

for all $u, v \in V$. This form clearly satisfies (A1)-(A2) (see Lemma 2.3). The condition (A3) is a consequence of the Poincaré inequality (Proposition 2.2). More precisely, there holds

$$(2.4) \quad a_0(u, u) \geq c\|u\|_V^2.$$

Hence, by the properties of a_0 , particularly (2.4), we can choose $b_0 = a_0$ and the properties (B1) and (B3) are fulfilled. Moreover, we set $b_1 = 0$ and $C(t) = id_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Finally, we assume that $q \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_T)$ is any function such that the induced sesquilinear forms $a_1(t; \cdot, \cdot): V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $t \in [0, T]$, given by

$$a_1(t; u, v) = \langle q(t)u, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

are well-defined and satisfy (A4), (A5). Hence, the tuple (V, H, a, b, c) satisfies the usual conditions in the sense of Definition 2.4.

Next, we introduce several function spaces used throughout this article.

Definition 2.7. Let $T > 0$. Suppose that we have given Hilbert spaces V, H satisfying the conditions in Definition 2.4 and a family of bounded linear operators $C(t) \in L(H)$, $t \in [0, T]$, such that the related sesquilinear forms

$$c(t; u, v) = \langle C(t)u, v \rangle_H$$

fulfill the property (S3) of Definition 2.4.

(F1) Then we set

$$W_c(0, T; V) = \{v \in L^2(0, T; V); \frac{d}{dt}(Cv) \in L^2(0, T; V')\},$$

which carries the norm

$$\|v\|_{W_c(0, T; V)} = \left(\|v\|_{L^2(0, T; V)}^2 + \left\| \frac{d}{dt} Cv \right\|_{L^2(0, T; V')}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

(F2) Furthermore, we define

$$\widetilde{W}_c(0, T; V) = \{v \in L^2(0, T; V); \partial_t v \in W_c(0, T; V)\}$$

and equip it with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\widetilde{W}_c(0, T; V)} = \left(\|u\|_{L^2(0, T; V)}^2 + \|\partial_t u\|_{W_c(0, T; V)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

Remark 2.8. Clearly both space $W_c(0, T; V)$ and $\widetilde{W}_c(0, T; V)$ are Hilbert spaces. Moreover, if $C(t) = id_H$, then we drop the subscript c .

The next lemma collects a few properties of these spaces (see [DL92, Chapter XVIII, §5]).

Lemma 2.9. Let $T > 0$. Suppose that we have given Hilbert spaces V, H satisfying the conditions in Definition 2.4 and a family of bounded linear operators $C(t) \in L(H)$, $t \in [0, T]$, such that the related sesquilinear forms

$$c(t; u, v) = \langle C(t)u, v \rangle_H$$

fulfill the property (S3) of Definition 2.4.

(i) One has the embeddings

$$(2.5) \quad \widetilde{W}_c(0, T; V) \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; V) \text{ and } W_c(0, T; V) \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; H).$$

(ii) The space $C_c^\infty([0, T]; V)$ is dense in $W_c(0, T; V)$ and in $\widetilde{W}_c(0, T; V)$.

Now, we can formulate the abstract forward problem.

Problem 2.10. *Suppose we have given a tuple (V, H, a, b, c) satisfying the usual conditions. Does there exist for all functions $u_0 \in V$, $u_1 \in H$ and $f \in L^2(0, T; V')$ a unique function $u \in \widetilde{W}_c(0, T; V)$ satisfying*

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} c(\cdot, \partial_t u, v) + b(\cdot; \partial_t u, v) + a(\cdot; u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{V' \times V}$$

for all $v \in V$ in the sense of $\mathcal{D}'((0, T))$ and

$$(2.7) \quad u(0) = u_0 \text{ in } V \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t u(0) = u_1 \text{ in } H.$$

Remark 2.11. *Note that $u \in \widetilde{W}_c(0, T; V)$ guarantees that all terms in (2.6) are well-defined and the embeddings (2.5) ensure that (2.7) makes sense.*

Now, we can state the main well-posedness result on abstract second order in time PDEs, which we will use later on.

Theorem 2.12 (Well-posedness abstract PDEs). *Let $T > 0$. Assume (V, H, a, b, c) consisting of two complex Hilbert spaces V, H , two sesquilinear forms a, b over V and a sesquilinear form over H satisfy the usual conditions. Then for any $u_0 \in V$, $u_1 \in H$ and $f \in L^2(0, T; V')$, the Problem 2.10 has a unique solution $u \in \widetilde{W}_c(0, T; V)$.*

Proof. This result is a direct consequence of [DL92, Chapter XVIII, §5, Theorem 1, Remark 4]. \square

3. WELL-POSEDNESS THEORY OF VISCOUS WAVE EQUATIONS

In this section, we study the well-posedness theory of the viscous wave equation with linear and nonlinear perturbations.

3.1. Viscous wave equation with linear perturbations. Let us start by stating the well-posedness result in the linear case.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $T > 0$ and $s > 0$. Suppose that the (real valued) function $q \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_T)$ has the following properties:*

(i) $q \in L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} n/s \leq p \leq \infty, & \text{if } 2s < n, \\ 2 < p \leq \infty, & \text{if } 2s = n, \\ 2 \leq p \leq \infty, & \text{if } 2s \geq n, \end{cases}$$

(ii) $t \mapsto \int_\Omega q(x, t) \varphi(x) dx \in C([0, T])$ for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

Then for any pair $(u_0, u_1) \in \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ and $h \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$ there exists a unique solution $u \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ of

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q) u = h & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = 0 & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = u_1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, u satisfies the following energy identity⁶

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + 2\|(-\Delta)^{s/2} \partial_t u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_t)}^2 \\ &= \|u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \langle h(\tau), \partial_t u(\tau) \rangle d\tau - 2\langle qu, \partial_t u \rangle_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \end{aligned}$$

⁶Here, and throughout this work, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between $H^{-s}(\Omega)$ and $\widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)$.

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, if $(u_{0,j}, u_{1,j}) \in \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, $h_j \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$ and $u_j \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ denote the related unique solution to (3.1) for $j = 1, 2$, then the following continuity estimate holds

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^\infty(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t u_1 - \partial_t u_2\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t u_1 - \partial_t u_2\|_{L^2(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))} \\ & \leq C(\|u_{0,1} - u_{0,2}\|_{\widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)} + \|u_{1,1} - u_{1,2}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|h_1 - h_2\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))}) \end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$ depending on $T > 0$.

Proof. For the time being assume $\widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ and $L^2(\Omega)$ consist of complex functions. We claim that we are in the setting of Example 2.6. To this end, we only need to verify that the sesquilinear form

$$a_1(t; u, v) = \langle q(t)u, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for $u, v \in \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ satisfies (A4) and (A5). If we can show the estimate

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} |\langle qu, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}| & \leq C\|q(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}\|u\|_{\widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ & \leq C\|q\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))}\|u\|_{\widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of $t \in [0, T]$, then (A5) follows. The case $p = \infty$ is clear. In the case $\frac{n}{s} \leq p < \infty$ with $2s < n$ one can use Hölder's inequality with

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{n-2s}{2n} + \frac{s}{n},$$

$L^{r_2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r_1}(\Omega)$ for $r_1 \leq r_2$ as $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded and Sobolev's inequality to obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |\langle qu, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}| & \leq \|qu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ & \leq \|q\|_{L^{n/s}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ & \leq C\|q\|_{L^{n/s}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ & \leq C\|q\|_{L^p(\Omega)}\|(-\Delta)^{s/2}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)$. In the case $2s > n$ one can use the embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ together with Lemma 2.3 and the boundedness of Ω to see that the estimate (3.5) holds. In the case $n = 2s$ one can use the boundedness of the embedding $\widetilde{H}^s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\overline{p}}(\Omega)$ for all $2 \leq \overline{p} < \infty$, Hölder's inequality and the boundedness of Ω to get the estimate (3.5). In fact, the aforementioned embedding in the critical case follows by [Oza95] and the Poincaré inequality.

Next, we show that the condition (ii) implies (A4). For this purpose, let us define for any $t \in [0, T]$ the function

$$\Phi_\varphi(t) = \int_\Omega q(x, t)\varphi(x) dx$$

for an $\varphi \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ such that the integral is well-defined. Suppose $u_j, v_j \in \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)$, $j = 1, 2$, are given. Then the estimate (3.5) implies

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\Phi_{u_1 \overline{v_1}}(t) - \Phi_{u_2 \overline{v_2}}(t)| \\ & \leq |\Phi_{(u_1 - u_2) \overline{(v_1 - v_2)}}(t)| + |\Phi_{(u_1 - u_2) \overline{v_2}}(t)| + |\Phi_{u_2 \overline{(v_1 - v_2)}}(t)| \\ & \leq C(\|u_1 - u_2\|_{\widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)}\|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{\widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)}\|v_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u_2\|_{\widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Now, for fixed $u, v \in \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ there exist sequences $u_k, v_k \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_k \rightarrow u \text{ and } v_k \rightarrow v \text{ in } \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then the estimate (3.6) shows that

$$\Phi_{\varphi_k}(t) \rightarrow \Phi_{u\bar{v}}(t) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$, where $\varphi_k = u_k \bar{v}_k \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Hence, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|\Phi_{\varphi_k} - \Phi_{u\bar{v}}\|_{L^\infty([0, T])} < \varepsilon/3$$

for all $k \geq k_0$. Now, let us fix such a $k \geq k_0$. On the other hand, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we know by (ii) that $\Phi_{\varphi_k} \in C([0, T])$ and thus for given $k \geq k_0$ we can choose $\delta = \delta(k) > 0$ such that if $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$, we have

$$|\Phi_{\varphi_k}(t_1) - \Phi_{\varphi_k}(t_2)| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Phi_{u\bar{v}}(t_1) - \Phi_{u\bar{v}}(t_2)| \\ & \leq |\Phi_{u\bar{v}}(t_1) - \Phi_{\varphi_k}(t_1)| + |\Phi_{u\bar{v}}(t_2) - \Phi_{\varphi_k}(t_2)| + |\Phi_{\varphi_k}(t_1) - \Phi_{\varphi_k}(t_2)| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$ such that $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$. Hence, we have $\Phi_{u\bar{v}} \in C([0, T])$ and so the sesquilinear form a_1 satisfies (A4) as well.

Therefore, if we extend $h \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega)) = L^2(0, T; (\tilde{H}^s(\Omega))^*)$ to its unique antilinear functional $H \in (\tilde{H}^s(\Omega))'$, we may deduce from Theorem 2.12 the existence of a unique solution $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{W}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ of (2.6), where (V, H, a, b, c) are as above. Since q is real valued, one easily deduces the existence of a unique real valued solution $u \in \tilde{W}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ of (3.1).

The energy identity (3.2) and the continuity estimate (3.6) are direct consequence of [DL92, Chapter XVIII, §5, Equations (5.81)-(5.82) and Remark 4] and the fact that all appearing functions are real valued. \square

Next, we introduce several function spaces, which are used below. First, we define

$$\tilde{W}_*(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \{v \in \tilde{W}(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)); v(0) \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega), \partial_t v(0) \in L^2(\Omega)\}.$$

By Lemma 2.9 it follows that $\tilde{W}_*(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \subset \tilde{W}(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is a closed subspace and thus again a Hilbert space. Additionally, we introduce the Banach space

$$\tilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)) = \tilde{W}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)) + \tilde{W}_*(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \subset H^1(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

which is as usual endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))} = \inf(\|v\|_{\tilde{W}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))} + \|\varphi\|_{\tilde{W}_*(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))}),$$

where the infimum is taken over all $v \in \tilde{W}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ and $\varphi \in \tilde{W}_*(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that $u = v + \varphi$. Later $\tilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ will play the role of the solution space to problems with nonzero exterior conditions φ .

The last space we need is

$$\tilde{W}_{rest}^s((\Omega_\varepsilon)_T) = \{v|_{(\Omega_\varepsilon)_T}; v \in \tilde{W}_*(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))\},$$

which is a Banach space when endowed with the corresponding quotient norm.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $T > 0$ and $s > 0$. Suppose that the (real valued) function $q \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_T)$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.1.*

(i) For any pair $(u_0, u_1) \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, $h \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$ and $\Phi \in \widetilde{W}_*(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$, there exists a unique solution $u \in \widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ of

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q) u = h & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \Phi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = u_1 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

This means

(I) for all $v \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \langle \partial_t u, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle (-\Delta)^{s/2} \partial_t u, (-\Delta)^{s/2} v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & + \langle (-\Delta)^{s/2} u, (-\Delta)^{s/2} v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \langle qu, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle h, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

in the sense of $\mathcal{D}'((0, T))$,

(II) $u = \Phi$ in $(\Omega_e)_T$,

(III) $u(0) = u_0$ in $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ and $\partial_t u(0) = u_1$ in $L^2(\Omega)$.

(ii) If $\varphi \in \widetilde{W}_{rest}^s((\Omega_e)_T)$ and Φ_1, Φ_2 are any two representations of φ with unique solutions u_1 and u_2 of (3.7) with $\Phi = \Phi_1$ and $\Phi = \Phi_2$, respectively, then there holds $u_1 = u_2$. In particular, for any $\varphi \in \widetilde{W}_{rest}^s((\Omega_e)_T)$ we have a unique solution u of (3.7).

Proof. (i): We first observe that if $u \in \widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ and $\Phi \in \widetilde{W}_*(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then one has $u = \Phi$ in $(\Omega_e)_T$ if and only if

$$(3.8) \quad u - \Phi \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)).$$

In fact, if $u = v + \psi$ with $v \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ and $\psi \in \widetilde{W}_*(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then one has $u = \Phi$ in $(\Omega_e)_T$ if and only if $\psi = \Phi$ in $(\Omega_e)_T$. As Ω has a Lipschitz continuous boundary, one knows that functions in $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ coincide with $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions vanishing a.e. in Ω^c . Thus, we have $\psi - \Phi \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ and thus $u - \Phi \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$. On the other hand, the condition (3.8) clearly implies $u = \Phi$ in $(\Omega_e)_T$.

By the regularity assumptions of the involved functions and the above equivalent reformulation of the exterior condition, this means nothing else than that $v = u - \Phi \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ solves (3.1), where the right hand side is given by

$$(3.9) \quad h - (\partial_t^2 \Phi + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t \Phi + (-\Delta)^s \Phi + q\Phi) \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$$

and the initial conditions by $u_0 - \Phi(0)$, $u_1 - \partial_t \Phi(0)$. To see the regularity condition in (3.9) recall the estimate (3.4) from the proof of Theorem 3.1. As this problem is well-posed the same holds for problem (3.7).

(ii): One easily sees that $u_1 - u_2$ belongs to $\widetilde{W}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ and is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q) u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = 0 & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 3.1 we deduce $u_1 = u_2$. Hence, we can conclude the proof. \square

3.2. Viscous wave equation with nonlinear perturbations. In this section we establish the well-posedness of the viscous wave equation with nonlinear perturbations. To this end we first discuss in Section 3.2.1 the continuity and differentiability of the Nemytskii operator $f(u)$ under certain assumptions on f (see Assumption 3.4). Then in Section 3.2.2 we invoke the implicit function theorem to get the desired well-posedness result and see that the solution map $S(\varphi)$ depends differentiably on the exterior condition for small φ .

3.2.1. Differentiability of nonlinear perturbations. Next, we move on to the nonlinear problem. For this purpose let us specify a class of nonlinearities f containing the one considered in the PDE (1.7). We start by recalling the notion of a Carathéodory function.

Definition 3.3. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. We say that $f: U \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, if it has the following properties:*

- (i) $\tau \mapsto f(x, \tau)$ is continuous for a.e. $x \in U$,
- (ii) $x \mapsto f(x, \tau)$ is measurable for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Assumption 3.4. *Let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Carathéodory function satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) f has partial derivative $\partial_\tau f$, which is a Carathéodory function,
- (ii) and there exists $a \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$|\partial_\tau f(x, \tau)| \lesssim a(x) + |\tau|^r$$

for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Here the exponents p and r satisfy the restrictions

$$\begin{cases} n/s \leq p \leq \infty, & \text{if } 2s < n, \\ 2 < p \leq \infty, & \text{if } 2s = n, \\ 2 \leq p \leq \infty, & \text{if } 2s \geq n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \leq r < \infty, & \text{if } 2s \geq n, \\ 0 \leq r \leq \frac{2s}{n-2s}, & \text{if } 2s < n, \end{cases}$$

respectively.

Remark 3.5. *An example of a nonlinearity f , which satisfies the conditions in Assumption 3.4 is given by a fractional power type nonlinearity $f(x, \tau) = q(x)|\tau|^r \tau$ for $r \geq 0$ satisfying (3.10) and $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. The regularity conditions are clearly fulfilled. Moreover, one easily checks that there holds*

$$\partial_\tau f(x, \tau) = (r+1)q(x)|\tau|^r.$$

Next, we state to auxiliary lemmas on the continuity and differentiability of Nemytskii operators.

Lemma 3.6 (Continuity of Nemytskii operators). *Let $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $T > 0$, $1 \leq q, p < \infty$ and assume that $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying*

$$(3.11) \quad |f(x, \tau)| \leq a + b|\tau|^\alpha$$

for some constants $a, b \geq 0$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \min(p, q)$. Then the Nemytskii operator f , defined by

$$f(u)(x, t) := f(x, u(x, t))$$

for all measurable functions $u: \Omega_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, maps continuously $L^q(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$ into $L^{q/\alpha}(0, T; L^{p/\alpha}(\Omega))$.

Proof. The measurability and that the Nemytskii operator f is well-defined, are immediate. Thus, we only need to check that it is continuous.

Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^q L^p$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q L^p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the converse of the dominated convergence theorem, we know that there is a subsequence, still denoted by (u_n) , and a function $g \in L^q((0, T))$ such that

- (a) $u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ for a.e. t ,
- (b) $\|u_n(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq g(t)$ for a.e. t .

By [AP95, Theorem 2.2] we know that f is continuous from $L^p(\Omega)$ to $L^{p/\alpha}(\Omega)$ and so taking into account the estimate (3.11) as well as (a), (b), we deduce that

- (A) $f(u_n(t)) \rightarrow f(u(t))$ in $L^{p/\alpha}(\Omega)$ for a.e. t ,
- (B) $\|f(u_n(t))\|_{L^{p/\alpha}(\Omega)} \leq C(1 + \|u_n(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^\alpha) \leq C(1 + g(t)^\alpha) \in L^{q/\alpha}((0, T))$.

Applying the dominated convergence theorem shows that $f(u_n) \rightarrow f(u)$ in $L^{q/\alpha} L^{p/\alpha}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since this holds for every subsequence of $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we see that the whole sequence $(f(u_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $f(u)$. Hence, we can conclude the proof. \square

Lemma 3.7 (Differentiability of Nemytskii operators). *Let $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain, $T > 0$, $2 < p < \infty$, $p-1 \leq q < \infty$ and assume that $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function with $f(\cdot, 0) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Moreover, suppose that f has partial derivative $\partial_\tau f$, which is a Carathéodory function and satisfies the estimate*

$$(3.12) \quad |\partial_\tau f(x, \tau)| \leq a + b|\tau|^{p-2}$$

for some constants $a, b \geq 0$. Then the Nemytskii operator f is Fréchet differentiable as a map from $L^q(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$ to $L^{\frac{q}{p-1}}(0, T; L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega))$ with differential

$$df(u)h = \partial_\tau f(u)h.$$

Proof. An integration of (3.12) shows that f satisfies the growth condition

$$|f(x, \tau)| \leq c + d|\tau|^{p-1}$$

for some constants $c, d \geq 0$. By Lemma 3.6 it follows that f and $\partial_\tau f$ are continuous as mappings

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} f: L^q(0, T; L^p(\Omega)) &\rightarrow L^{\frac{q}{p-1}}(0, T; L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)) \\ \partial_\tau f: L^q(0, T; L^p(\Omega)) &\rightarrow L^{\frac{q}{p-2}}(0, T; L^{\frac{p}{p-2}}(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Next, let $u, h \in L^q L^p$ and define

$$\omega(u, h) = \|f(u+h) - f(u) - \partial_\tau f(u)h\|_{L^{\frac{q}{p-1}} L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}.$$

By [AP95, eq. (2.8)], we know

$$\omega(u, h) \leq \left\| \|h\|_{L^p} \left\| \int_0^1 (\partial_\tau f(u + \xi h) - \partial_\tau f(u)) d\xi \right\|_{L^{\frac{q}{p-2}} L^{\frac{p}{p-2}}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{q}{p-1}} L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}.$$

Observing that

$$\frac{p-1}{q} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{p-2}{q},$$

we get by Hölder's and Minkowski's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(u, h) &\leq \|h\|_{L^q L^p} \left\| \int_0^1 (\partial_\tau f(u + \xi h) - \partial_\tau f(u)) d\xi \right\|_{L^{\frac{q}{p-2}} L^{\frac{p}{p-2}}} \\ &\leq \|h\|_{L^q L^p} \int_0^1 \|\partial_\tau f(u + \xi h) - \partial_\tau f(u)\|_{L^{\frac{q}{p-2}} L^{\frac{p}{p-2}}} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.13) the second factor goes to zero as $h \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q L^p$ and therefore we get $\omega(u, h) = o(\|h\|_{L^q L^p})$. Hence, f is a differentiable map from $L^q L^p$ to $L^{\frac{q}{p-1}} L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$. \square

3.2.2. *Differentiability of solution map to the nonlinear problem.* Now with the tools from the preceding section at our disposal, we can show that the viscous wave equation with nonlinear perturbations is well-posed for small exterior conditions.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $T > 0$ and $s > 0$. Suppose that f satisfies $f(0) = 0$ and Assumption 3.4 with $r > 0$ and $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then there exist neighborhoods $U_0 \subset \widetilde{W}_{\text{rest}}^s((\Omega_e)_T)$, $U_1 \subset \widetilde{W}_{\text{ext}}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ such that the problem*

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t u + (-\Delta)^s u + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

has for any $\varphi \in U_0$ a unique solution $u \in U_1$ in the sense that

(i) for all $v \in \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \langle \partial_t u, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle (-\Delta)^{s/2} \partial_t u, (-\Delta)^{s/2} v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & + \langle (-\Delta)^{s/2} u, (-\Delta)^{s/2} v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \langle f(u), v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

in the sense of $\mathcal{D}'((0, T))$,

(ii) $u = \varphi$ in $(\Omega_e)_T$,

(iii) $u(0) = 0$ in $\widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ and $\partial_t u(0) = 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$.

The related map $U_0 \ni \varphi \mapsto S(\varphi) \in U_1$ is C^1 in the Fréchet sense.

Remark 3.9. *Heuristically the map S associates to each "small" exterior condition φ its unique "small" solution $u = S(\varphi)$ and we call the map S the solution map of problem (3.14).*

Proof. Let us start by defining the following Banach spaces

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \widetilde{W}_{\text{rest}}^s((\Omega_e)_T), \quad E_1 = \widetilde{W}_{\text{ext}}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)), \\ V_0 &= \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega), \quad V_1 = L^2(\Omega), \quad V_2 = \widetilde{W}_{\text{rest}}^s((\Omega_e)_T), \quad V_3 = L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

and define the map $F : E_0 \times E_1 \rightarrow \prod_{j=0}^3 V_j$ via

$$F(\varphi, u) = (u(0), \partial_t u(0), (u - \varphi)|_{(\Omega_e)_T}, (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)u + f(u)).$$

We now wish to argue that F is well-defined. For this purpose we first show that there holds

$$(3.15) \quad \|f(\psi)\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \lesssim (\|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{r+1}).$$

for any $\psi \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$. First of all by the fundamental theorem of calculus, Assumption 3.4 and $f(0) = 0$ we have

$$|f(x, s)| \leq \left| \int_0^s \partial_\tau f(x, \tau) d\tau \right| \leq C (|a(x)| |s| + |s|^{r+1}).$$

This ensures that we have

$$|f(\psi(t))| \leq C (|a| |\psi(t)| + |\psi(t)|^{r+1}).$$

Hence, we get

$$\|f(\psi(t))\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|a\psi(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\psi(t)\|_{L^{2(r+1)}(\Omega)}^{r+1} \right),$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Then the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.1 allows to estimate

$$\|a\psi(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} \psi(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Note that the conditions on the exponent r yield

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq 1+r < \infty, & \text{if } 2s \geq n, \\ 1 \leq 1+r \leq \frac{n}{n-2s} & \text{if } 2s < n. \end{cases}$$

If $2s > n$, then the Sobolev embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies

$$\|\psi(t)\|_{L^{2(r+1)}(\Omega)}^{r+1} \leq C \|\psi(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{r+1}.$$

In the critical case $2s = n$, we can apply [Oza95] to obtain

$$\|\psi(t)\|_{L^{2(r+1)}(\Omega)}^{r+1} \leq C \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} \psi(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^r \|\psi(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In the subcritical case $2s < n$, we apply the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev lemma to deduce

$$\|\psi(t)\|_{L^{2(r+1)}(\Omega)}^{r+1} \leq C \|\psi(t)\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}}(\Omega)}^{r+1} \leq C \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} \psi(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{r+1}.$$

As $\psi \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$, we get by the continuity of the fractional Laplacian the desired estimate (3.15).

Now we can show that F is well-defined. Since $u \in \widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$, we have by definition $u(0) \in \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ and $\partial_t u(0) \in L^2(\Omega)$. Thus, the first two entries of F are well-defined. On the other hand as $u = v + \psi \in \widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$, we have $(u - \varphi)|_{(\Omega_e)_T} = (\psi - \varphi)|_{(\Omega_e)_T} \in \widetilde{W}_{rest}^s((\Omega_e)_T)$. Finally, using the mapping properties of $(-\Delta)^s$, Lemma 2.9 and (3.15), one easily sees that $\partial_t^2 u + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t u + (-\Delta)^s u + f(u) \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$ for $u \in \widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$.

We next show that F is (Fréchet) differentiable. Note that all appearing operators, up to $f(u)$, are linear bounded operators and hence differentiable. Thus, it remains to show that $f(u)$ is differentiable from $E_1 \rightarrow V_3$.

Case $2s < n$. By Assumption 3.4, $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $r > 0$, we see that all conditions in Lemma 3.7 are satisfied, when $p = r + 2$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$ is any number satisfying $r + 1 \leq q < \infty$. Hence, the Nemytskii operator $f(u)$ is differentiable as a map from $L^q(0, T; L^{r+2}(\Omega))$ to $L^{\frac{q}{r+1}}(0, T; L^{\frac{r+2}{r+1}}(\Omega))$ with differential

$$(3.16) \quad df(u)h = \partial_\tau f(u)h.$$

Next, recall that r satisfies the condition (3.10) so that

$$1 < 1+r \leq 1 + \frac{2s}{n-2s} = \frac{n}{n-2s}.$$

This implies

$$2 < 2+r \leq 2 + \frac{2s}{n-2s} = \frac{2n-2s}{n-2s} < \frac{2n}{n-2s}.$$

Thus, by the Sobolev embedding and boundedness of Ω we get

$$(3.17) \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r+2}(\Omega).$$

On the other hand the conjugate exponent

$$(r+2)' = \frac{r+2}{r+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{r+1}$$

fulfills

$$2 > (r+2)' \geq 1 + \frac{n-2s}{n} = \frac{2(n-s)}{n}.$$

Next, observe that

$$\frac{2n}{n+2s} < \frac{2(n-s)}{n} \Leftrightarrow n^2 < n^2 + sn - 2s^2$$

and thus by the Sobolev embedding $L^{\frac{2n}{n+2s}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow H^{-s}(\Omega)$ we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad L^{(r+2)'}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^{-s}(\Omega).$$

Combining (3.17) and (3.18), we get that $u \mapsto f(u)$ is differentiable as a map from $L^q(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ to $L^{\frac{q}{r+1}}(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$. Choosing $q = 2(r+1) \geq 2$ and using the embedding $\widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(U)) \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; \widetilde{H}^s(U))$ for any open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we see that $f(u)$ is differentiable as a map from E_1 to V_3 . Next, we assert that the differential, given by (3.16), is continuous as a map from E_1 to $L(E_1, V_3)$. This then establishes that f is C^1 as a map from E_1 to V_3 . By Lemma 3.6 with $\alpha = r$, we know that $\partial_\tau f(u)$ is continuous as a map from $L^q(0, T; L^{r+2}(\Omega))$ to $L^{\frac{q}{r}}(0, T; L^{\frac{r+2}{r}}(\Omega))$, when $q \geq r$. Now, let us choose q such that $q \geq 2 \max(r, 1)$ and observe that by Assumption 3.4 there holds

$$\frac{r+2}{r} = 1 + \frac{2}{r} \in [n/s - 1, \infty).$$

Therefore, we can define

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{n+2s}{2n} - \frac{r}{r+2} > 0.$$

One may observe that

$$\frac{n+2s}{2n} - \frac{s}{n-s} \geq \frac{n-2s}{2n}$$

and hence one has

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{1}{p} \geq \frac{n+2s}{2n} - \frac{s}{n-s} \geq \frac{n-2s}{2n}.$$

Now let $u_k \in E_1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, converge to some $u \in E_1$ and fix some functions $v \in E_1$, $\psi \in L^2(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$. Then by Hölders and Sobolev's inequality we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & | \langle (\partial_\tau f(u_k) - \partial_\tau f(u))v, \psi \rangle | \\ & \lesssim \| (\partial_\tau f(u_k) - \partial_\tau f(u))v \|_{L^2(0, T; L^{\frac{2n}{n+2s}}(\Omega))} \| \psi \|_{L^2(0, T; L^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}}(\Omega))} \\ & \stackrel{(3.19)}{\lesssim} \| \partial_\tau f(u_k) - \partial_\tau f(u) \|_{L^2(0, T; L^{\frac{r+2}{r}}(\Omega))} \| v \|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))} \| \psi \|_{L^2(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))} \\ & \stackrel{(3.20)}{\lesssim} \| \partial_\tau f(u_k) - \partial_\tau f(u) \|_{L^2(0, T; L^{\frac{r+2}{r}}(\Omega))} \| v \|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}}(\Omega))} \| \psi \|_{L^2(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))} \\ & \lesssim \| \partial_\tau f(u_k) - \partial_\tau f(u) \|_{L^2(0, T; L^{\frac{r+2}{r}}(\Omega))} \| v \|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))} \| \psi \|_{L^2(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))} \\ & \lesssim \| \partial_\tau f(u_k) - \partial_\tau f(u) \|_{L^2(0, T; L^{\frac{r+2}{r}}(\Omega))} \| v \|_{E_1} \| \psi \|_{L^2(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))} \\ & \lesssim \| \partial_\tau f(u_k) - \partial_\tau f(u) \|_{L^{\frac{q}{r}}(0, T; L^{\frac{r+2}{r}}(\Omega))} \| v \|_{E_1} \| \psi \|_{L^2(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$(3.21) \quad \| \partial_\tau f(u_k) - \partial_\tau f(u) \|_{L(E_1, V_3)} \lesssim \| \partial_\tau f(u_k) - \partial_\tau f(u) \|_{L^{\frac{q}{r}}(0, T; L^{\frac{r+2}{r}}(\Omega))}.$$

Now, by the continuity of $\partial_\tau f(u)$ from $L^q(0, T; L^{r+2}(\Omega))$ to $L^{\frac{q}{r}}(0, T; L^{\frac{r+2}{r}}(\Omega))$ and the embedding $E_1 \hookrightarrow L^{\bar{p}}(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for any $1 \leq \bar{p} \leq \infty$, we see that (3.21) goes to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and hence the differential is continuous as we wanted to show.

Case $2s \geq n$. After recalling that in this case we have $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ for any $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $L^q(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^{-s}(\Omega)$ for any $1 < q \leq 2$ (see [Oza95] for supercritical Sobolev embedding), one can argue similarly as in the subcritical case $2s < n$.

Hence, F is a C^1 map. Next note that $F(0, 0) = 0$. Now, the derivative of F at the origin in the u -variable is

$$\partial_u F(0, 0)v = (v(0), \partial_t v(0), v|_{(\Omega_\varepsilon)_T}, (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + \partial_\tau f(0))v)$$

for $v \in E_1$. This map is a linear, bounded and invertible operator from $E_1 \rightarrow \prod_{j=0}^3 V_j$. To see this, consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + \partial_\tau f(0))v = h & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ v = \psi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ v = v_0, \quad \partial_t v = v_1 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

for $v_0 \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$, $v_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\psi \in W_{rest}^s((\Omega_e)_T)$ and $h \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$. The well-posedness of this problem follows from Corollary 3.2.

Now, the implicit function theorem on Banach spaces [AP95, Theorem 2.3] yields that there exist neighborhoods $U_0 \subset E_0$, $U_1 \subset E_1$ containing the origin and a map $S \in C^1(U_0, E_1)$ such that

- (i) $F(\varphi, S(\varphi)) = 0$ for all $\varphi \in U_0$,
- (ii) $F(\varphi, u) = 0$ for some $(\varphi, u) \in U_0 \times U_1$, then $u = S(\varphi)$.

One easily sees that $u = S(\varphi)$, for $\varphi \in U_0$, satisfies the conditions (i)–(iii). \square

4. INVERSE PROBLEM FOR THE LINEAR VISCOUS WAVE EQUATION

In this section we move on to the inverse problem for the viscous wave equation with linear perturbations. First in Section 4.1 we introduce rigorously the corresponding DN map (Definition 4.1) and then prove the Runge approximation (Proposition 4.2) in $L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$. Then in Section 4.2 we present the proof of Theorem 1.1 after establishing a suitable integral identity in Lemma 4.3.

4.1. DN map and Runge approximation for the linear problem.

Definition 4.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $T > 0$ and $s > 0$. Suppose that the (real valued) function $q \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega_T)$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.1. Then we define the Dirichlet to Neumann map Λ_q by

$$\langle \Lambda_q \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}_T^n} (-\Delta)^{s/2} u (-\Delta)^{s/2} \psi \, dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}_T^n} (-\Delta)^s \partial_t u (-\Delta)^{s/2} \psi \, dx dt$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty((\Omega_e)_T)$. Here, $u \in \tilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ denotes the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q) u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

In what follows we will denote the *time reversal* of any function $u \in L_{loc}^1(U_T)$, where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an arbitrary open set, by

$$u^*(x, t) = u(x, T - t).$$

Proposition 4.2 (Runge approximation). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $W \subset \Omega_e$ a given measurement set, $T > 0$ and $s > 0$ a non-integer. Suppose that the (real valued) function $q \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega_T)$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.1. Consider the Runge set

$$\mathcal{R}_W := \{u_\varphi - \varphi : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(W_T)\},$$

where $u \in \tilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{R}_W is dense in $L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R}_W \subset L^2(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ is a subspace it is enough by the Hahn–Banach theorem to show that if $F \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$ vanishes on \mathcal{R}_W , then $F = 0$. Hence, choose any $F \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$ and assume that

$$\langle F, u_\varphi - \varphi \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(W_T).$$

Next, let $w_F \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ be the unique solution to the adjoint equation

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q)w = F & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ w = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_e \times (0, T), \\ w(T) = 0, \quad \partial_t w(T) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

which exists by Theorem 3.1 with q replaced by q^* in (3.1) and a subsequent time reversal of the solution. Next, let us note that the integration by parts formula gives us

$$(4.1) \quad \int_0^T \langle \partial_t^2 v, w \rangle dt = \int_0^T \langle \partial_t^2 w, v \rangle dt + \langle \partial_t v(T), w(T) \rangle - \langle \partial_t w(T), v(t) \rangle \\ - (\langle \partial_t v(0), w(0) \rangle - \langle \partial_t w(0), v(0) \rangle)$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^T \langle (-\Delta)^{s/2} \partial_t v, (-\Delta)^{s/2} w \rangle dt = - \int_0^T \langle (-\Delta)^{s/2} v, (-\Delta)^{s/2} \partial_t w \rangle dt \\ + \langle (-\Delta)^{s/2} v(T), (-\Delta)^{s/2} w(T) \rangle - \langle (-\Delta)^{s/2} v(0), (-\Delta)^{s/2} w(0) \rangle$$

for all $v, w \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$. As by density the PDEs for $u - \varphi$ and w_F hold in the $L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$ sense, with the help of (4.1), (4.2) and the vanishing initial and terminal conditions, respectively, we may compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle F, u_\varphi - \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle (\partial_t^2 - (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q)w_F, u_\varphi - \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q)(u_\varphi - \varphi), w_F \rangle \\ &= - \langle (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q)\varphi, w_F \rangle \\ &= - \langle (-\Delta)^s \partial_t \varphi + (-\Delta)^s \varphi, w_F \rangle \\ &= \langle (-\Delta)^s (w_F - \partial_t w_F), \varphi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(W_T)$. This implies that $\widetilde{w}_F = w_F - \partial_t w_F \in L^2(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s \widetilde{w}_F = \widetilde{w}_F = 0 \quad \text{in } W_T.$$

By the unique continuation property of the fractional Laplacian [GSU20, Theorem 1.2], this gives $\widetilde{w}_F = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_T^n . By construction we have $w_F \in H^1(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ and hence $w_F(x, \cdot) \in H^1((0, T))$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then as $\widetilde{w}_F = 0$ in \mathbb{R}_T^n we know that $w_F(\cdot, x)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w_F = w_F, \\ w_F(T) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and hence we may conclude that $w_F(x, \cdot) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Thus, we deduce that $w_F = 0$ and therefore it follows that $F = 0$ as we wanted to show. \square

4.2. Unique determination of linear perturbations. In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. We first deduce a suitable integral identity, which plays the role of the Alessandrini identity in the elliptic case.

As already observed, below we will make use of the following simple fact: The function $u \in \widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

if and only if $u^* \in \widetilde{W}_{ext}^*(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q^*)v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ v = \varphi^* & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ v(T) = 0, \quad \partial_t v(T) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here, $\widetilde{W}^*(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ denotes the space $\widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)) + \widetilde{W}_*^*(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ with

$$\widetilde{W}_*^*(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \{v \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)); v(T) \in \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega), \partial_t v(T) \in L^2(\Omega)\}.$$

Lemma 4.3 (Integral identity). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $T > 0$ and $s > 0$. Suppose that the (real valued) function $q \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_T)$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.1. Then there holds*

$$\langle (\Lambda_{q_1} - \Lambda_{q_2})\varphi_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle = \int_{\Omega_T} (q_1 - q_2^*)(u_1 - \varphi_1)(u_2 - \varphi_2)^* dx dt,$$

where $u_j \in \widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q_j)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi_j & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

for $j = 1, 2$.

Proof. Let Q_1, Q_2 be two potentials satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 and denote by U_1, U_2^* the unique solutions of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + Q_1)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi_1 & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + Q_2)v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ v = \varphi_2^* & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ v(T) = 0, \quad \partial_t v(T) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

respectively. Clearly, U_2^* is the time reversal of the solution U_2 solving

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + Q_2^*)w = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ w = \varphi_2 & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ w(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t w(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

By (4.1), we know that there holds

$$(4.3) \quad \int_0^T \langle \partial_t^2(U_1 - \varphi_1), (U_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle dt = \int_0^T \langle \partial_t^2(U_2 - \varphi_2)^*, U_1 - \varphi_1 \rangle dt.$$

Therefore, we may compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_T} (Q_1 - Q_2)(U_1 - \varphi_1)(U_2 - \varphi_2)^* dxdt \\
&= - \int_0^T \langle (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)(U_1 - \varphi_1), (U_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle dt \\
&+ \int_0^T \langle (\partial_t^2 - (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)(U_2 - \varphi_2)^*, U_1 - \varphi_1 \rangle dt \\
&- \int_0^T \langle (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)\varphi_1, (U_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle dt \\
&+ \int_0^T \langle (\partial_t^2 - (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)\varphi_2^*, U_1 - \varphi_1 \rangle dt \\
&\stackrel{(4.3)}{=} - \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)(U_1 - \varphi_1), (U_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle dt \\
&+ \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)(U_2 - \varphi_2)^*, U_1 - \varphi_1 \rangle dt \\
&- \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)\varphi_1, (U_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)\varphi_2^*, U_1 - \varphi_1 \rangle dt.
\end{aligned}$$

In the second equality sign we also used the support conditions of φ_j . As the first two terms compensate each other, using integration by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad & \int_{\Omega_T} (Q_1 - Q_2)(U_1 - \varphi_1)(U_2 - \varphi_2)^* dxdt \\
&= - \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)\varphi_1, (U_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)\varphi_2^*, U_1 - \varphi_1 \rangle dt \\
&= - \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)U_2^*, \varphi_1 \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)U_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle dt \\
&= - \int_0^T \langle [((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)U_2]^*, \varphi_1 \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)U_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle dt \\
&= - \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)U_2, \varphi_1^* \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)U_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle dt.
\end{aligned}$$

If

$$Q_1 = Q_2 = q_j,$$

then (4.4) implies

$$\int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)U_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle dt = \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)U_2, \varphi_1^* \rangle dt$$

By definition of the DN map the left hand side is equal to $\langle \Lambda_{q_j} \varphi_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle$ and the right hand side equal to $\langle \Lambda_{q_j^*} \varphi_2, \varphi_1^* \rangle$. Hence, we deduce that

$$(4.5) \quad \langle \Lambda_{q_j} \varphi_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle = \langle \Lambda_{q_j^*} \varphi_2, \varphi_1^* \rangle.$$

On the other hand, taking

$$Q_1 = q_1 \text{ and } Q_2 = q_2^*$$

in (4.4), gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_T} (Q_1 - Q_2)(U_1 - \varphi_1)(U_2 - \varphi_2)^* dxdt \\
&= - \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)U_2, \varphi_1^* \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)U_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle dt.
\end{aligned}$$

This time the second integral is equal to $\langle \Lambda_{q_1} \varphi_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle$ and the first integral equal to $-\langle \Lambda_{q_2^*} \varphi_2, \varphi_1^* \rangle$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_T} (q_1 - q_2^*)(U_1 - \varphi_1)(U_2 - \varphi_2)^* dxdt \\ &= -\langle \Lambda_{q_2^*} \varphi_2, \varphi_1^* \rangle + \langle \Lambda_{q_1} \varphi_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_T} (q_1 - q_2^*)(U_1 - \varphi_1)(U_2 - \varphi_2)^* dxdt \\ &= \langle (\Lambda_{q_1} - \Lambda_{q_2})\varphi_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where U_1, U_2 solve

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q_1)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi_1 & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q_2)w = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ w = \varphi_2 & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ w(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t w(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

respectively. Hence, we can conclude the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First observe that by Lemma 4.3 and the condition (1.9), there holds

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (q_1 - q_2^*)(u_1 - \varphi_1)(u_2 - \varphi_2)^* dxdt = 0,$$

where u_j is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q_j)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi_j & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

By the Runge approximation (Proposition 4.2), for all $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_T)$ there exist sequences $v_k^{(1)} \in \mathcal{R}_{W_1}^{(1)}$ and $v_k^{(2)} \in \mathcal{R}_{W_2}^{(2)}$ such that

$$v_k^{(1)} \rightarrow \Phi_1 \text{ and } v_k^{(2)} \rightarrow \Phi_2 \text{ in } L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Above we used the notation

$$\mathcal{R}_{W_j}^{(j)} = \{u^{(j)} - \varphi : \varphi \in C_c^\infty((W_j)_T)\},$$

where $u^{(j)} \in \tilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + q_j)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (q_1 - q_2^*)v_k^{(1)}(v_k^{(2)})^* dxdt = 0$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By (3.4), we get

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_T} (q_1 - q_2^*)v_k^{(1)}(v_k^{(2)})^* dxdt - \int_{\Omega_T} (q_1 - q_2^*)\Phi_1\Phi_2^* dxdt \right| \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (q_1 - q_2^*) \Phi_1 \Phi_2^* dxdt = 0.$$

In particular, we deduce that (1.10) holds, that is one has $q_1 = q_2^*$ a.e. in Ω_T . The rest of the assertion of Theorem 1.1 is immediate and we can conclude the proof. \square

Remark 4.4. *Note that if one knows a priori that the potentials q_j are bounded, then a Runge approximation in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ is enough to conclude the above uniqueness proof, but for lower regular potentials one needs the Runge approximation in $L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$.*

5. INVERSE PROBLEM FOR THE NONLINEAR VISCOUS WAVE EQUATION

In this section we study the inverse problem for the viscous wave equation with nonlinear perturbations. In Section 5.1 we first introduce rigorously the DN map and then prove a suitable integral identity (Lemma 5.2). Then finally in Section 5.2 we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

5.1. An integral identity for the nonlinear problem.

Definition 5.1 (The DN map). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $T > 0$ and $s > 0$ a non-integer. Suppose that we have given a nonlinearity f satisfying Assumption 3.4 and f is $r + 1$ homogeneous. Let $U_0 \subset \widetilde{W}_{rest}^s((\Omega_e)_T)$, $U_1 \subset \widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ be the neighborhoods of Theorem 3.8 such that for any $\varphi \in U_0$ the problem*

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)u + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $u \in U_1$. Then we define the DN map Λ_f related to (5.1) by

$$(5.2) \quad \langle \Lambda_f \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}_T^n} (-\Delta)^{s/2} u (-\Delta)^{s/2} \varphi_2 dxdt + \int_{\mathbb{R}_T^n} (-\Delta)^{s/2} \partial_t u (-\Delta)^{s/2} \varphi_2 dxdt,$$

for all $\varphi \in U_0$, $\psi \in C_c^\infty((\Omega_e)_T)$, where $u \in U_1$ is the unique solution of (5.1) with exterior condition $\varphi = \varphi_1$ (see Theorem 3.8).

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $T > 0$ and $s > 0$ a non-integer. Suppose that for $j = 1, 2$ we have given nonlinearities f_j satisfying Assumption 3.4 with $r > 0$ and $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $f_j(0) = 0$. Let $U_0^j \subset \widetilde{W}_{rest}^s((\Omega_e)_T)$, $U_1^j \subset \widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ be the neighborhoods of Theorem 3.8 such that for any $\varphi \in U_0^j$ the problem*

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)u + f_j(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $u \in U_1^j$. Then for all exterior conditions $\varphi_1 \in U_0^1 \cap U_0^2 \cap C_c^\infty((\Omega_e)_T)$ and $\varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty((\Omega_e)_T)$ one has

$$(5.4) \quad \langle (\Lambda_{f_1} - \Lambda_{f_2}) \varphi_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle = \int_{\Omega_T} (f_1(u_1^{(1)}) - f_2(u_1^{(2)}))(u_2 - \varphi_2)^* dxdt,$$

where $u_1^{(j)}$ is the unique solution of (5.3) with $\varphi = \varphi_1$ and u_2 is the unique solution of the linear equation

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ u = \varphi_2, & \text{in } (\Omega_\epsilon)_T, \\ u(0) = \partial_t u(0) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First of all note that $u = u_1^{(1)} - u_1^{(2)} \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ solves

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) u = -(f_1(u_1^{(1)}) - f_2(u_1^{(2)})) & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = 0 & \text{in } (\Omega_\epsilon)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then the definition of the DN map (5.2) implies

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle (\Lambda_{f_1} - \Lambda_{f_2})\varphi_1, \varphi_2^* \rangle \\ &= - \int_0^T \langle (-\Delta)^s (u_1^{(1)} - u_1^{(2)}) + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t (u_1^{(1)} - u_1^{(2)}), (u_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \langle (-\Delta)^{s/2} (u_1^{(1)} - u_1^{(2)}) + (-\Delta)^{s/2} \partial_t (u_1^{(1)} - u_1^{(2)}), (-\Delta)^{s/2} u_2^* \rangle dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

As $u_1^{(1)} - u_1^{(2)}$ solves (5.6), the identity (4.1) together with the fact that u_2 is a solution of (5.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^T \langle \partial_t^2 (u_1^{(1)} - u_1^{(2)}) + (f_1(u_1^{(1)}) - f_2(u_1^{(2)})), (u_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle dt \\ &= \int_0^T \langle (\partial_t^2 (u_2 - \varphi_2)^*, (u_1^{(1)} - u_1^{(2)})) + \langle (f_1(u_1^{(1)}) - f_2(u_1^{(2)})), (u_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle \rangle dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \langle (-(-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) (u_2 - \varphi_2)^*, u_1^{(1)} - u_1^{(2)} \rangle dt \\ & \quad - \int_0^T \langle (\partial_t^2 - (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) \varphi_2^*, u_1^{(1)} - u_1^{(2)} \rangle dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \langle (f_1(u_1^{(1)}) - f_2(u_1^{(2)})), (u_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle dt \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the support condition of φ_2 , we deduce that

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= - \int_0^T \langle (-(-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) u_2^*, u_1^{(1)} - u_1^{(2)} \rangle dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \langle (f_1(u_1^{(1)}) - f_2(u_1^{(2)})), (u_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using integration by parts, the integral I_2 is given by

$$(5.9) \quad I_2 = \int_0^T \langle (-(-\Delta)^{s/2} \partial_t + (-\Delta)^{s/2}) u_2^*, (-\Delta)^{s/2} (u_1^{(1)} - u_1^{(2)}) \rangle dt$$

Summing up (5.8) and (5.9), we deduce from (5.7) the desired identity (5.4) and can conclude the proof. \square

5.2. Unique determination of nonlinear perturbations.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1)$ and define

$$\varphi_1^\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \psi_0 + \varepsilon_1 \psi_1$$

for some $\psi_j \in C_c^\infty((W_1)_T)$. If $|\varepsilon| \ll 1$, then by the integral identity (5.4) with $\varphi_1 = \varphi_1^\varepsilon$ of Lemma 5.2 we have

$$(5.10) \quad \langle (\Lambda_{f_1} - \Lambda_{f_2}) \varphi_1^\varepsilon, \varphi_2^* \rangle = \int_{\Omega_T} (f_1(u_\varepsilon^{(1)}) - f_2(u_\varepsilon^{(2)}))(u_2 - \varphi_2)^* dx dt$$

for $\varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty((W_2)_T)$, where $u_\varepsilon^{(j)}$ solves

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) u + f_j(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi_1^\varepsilon & \text{in } (\Omega_\varepsilon)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

and u_2 solves

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ u = \varphi_2, & \text{in } (\Omega_\varepsilon)_T, \\ u(0) = \partial_t u(0) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.8, we know that the solution map $U_0^j \ni \varphi \mapsto u = S_j(\varphi)$ associated to

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) u + f_j(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varphi & \text{in } (\Omega_\varepsilon)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

is C^1 as a map from U_0^j to $\widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$. In particular, we see that

$$v_j = \partial_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} S_j(\rho + \varepsilon \eta) \in \widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$$

exists for any $\rho \in U_0^j$ and $\eta \in C_c^\infty((\Omega_\varepsilon)_T)$. Moreover, from the proof of Theorem 3.8 it follows that v_j solves

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + \partial_\tau f_j(S_j(\rho))) v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ v = \eta & \text{in } (\Omega_\varepsilon)_T, \\ v(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t v(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Using these observations we deduce from (5.10) that there holds

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \partial_{\varepsilon_1}|_{\varepsilon_1=0} \langle (\Lambda_{f_1} - \Lambda_{f_2}) \varphi_1^\varepsilon, \varphi_2^* \rangle \\ & = \langle \partial_\tau f_1(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(1)}) v_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(1)} - \partial_\tau f_2(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(2)}) v_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(2)}, (u_2 - \varphi_2^*) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the right hand side is the duality pairing between $L^2(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ and $L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$ and we set

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)} &= \lim_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} u_\varepsilon^{(j)} \\ v_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} &= \partial_{\varepsilon_1}|_{\varepsilon_1=0} u_\varepsilon^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of the solution map and (5.11), it follows that $u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}$ and $v_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}$ solve

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) u + f_j(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ u = \varepsilon_0 \psi_0 & \text{in } (\Omega_\varepsilon)_T, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + \partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}))v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ v = \psi_1 & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ v(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t v(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

respectively. Next note that by the homogeneity of $\partial_\tau f_j$ and arguing as above, one has

$$(5.13) \quad \varepsilon_0^{-1} u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)} \rightarrow v_0 = \partial_{\varepsilon_0}|_{\varepsilon_0=0} u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}$$

as $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$ in $\widetilde{W}_{ext}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ and in particular in $L^q(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for any $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Moreover, v_0 is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ v = \psi_0 & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ v(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t v(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Next, we show the following assertion.

Claim 5.3. *Let $w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}$ be defined by*

$$w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} = v_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} - \psi_1 \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)).$$

Then there exists $w_1 \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ such that

- (i) $w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} \rightarrow w_1$ in $\widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$,
- (ii) $w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} \rightarrow w_1$ in $C([0, T]; \widetilde{H}^t(\Omega))$ for any $0 \leq t < s$.

Moreover, $v_1 = w_1 + \psi_1$ is the unique solution of

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ v = \psi_1 & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ v(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t v(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Claim 5.3. Let us start by observing that $w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}$ is the unique solution of

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s + \partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}))w = -((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)\psi_1 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ w = 0 & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ w(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t w(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Now, we show that the function

$$q := \partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}) \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega_T)$$

satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1.

(i): If $n \geq 2s$, then the integrability is clear as $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $2 \leq p < \infty$. Hence, we can assume without loss of generality that $2s < n$. In this case the condition

$$0 < r \leq \frac{2s}{n-2s}$$

guarantees that

$$\frac{n}{s} \leq \frac{2n}{r(n-2s)}.$$

Therefore, we may estimate

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)})\|_{L^{n/s}(\Omega)} &\lesssim \|\partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)})\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{r(n-2s)}}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}}(\Omega)}^r \\ &\lesssim \|u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^r < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

As $u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)} \in L^\infty(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$ this shows that $\partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^{n/s}(\Omega))$ as we wanted to prove.

(ii): Next, note that

$$u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)} = u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)} - \varepsilon_0 \psi_0 \text{ in } \Omega_T.$$

By Lemma 2.9 and the Sobolev embedding, we know that

$$u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)} - \varepsilon_0 \psi_0 \in C([0, T]; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; L^{\bar{p}}(\Omega)).$$

for all \bar{p} satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq \bar{p} \leq \frac{2n}{n-2s}, & \text{for } 2s < n \\ 1 \leq \bar{p} < \infty, & \text{for } 2s = n \\ 1 \leq \bar{p} \leq \infty, & \text{for } 2s > n. \end{cases}$$

But then the continuity of

$$t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}) \varphi \, dx,$$

for fixed $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, is an immediate consequence of Hölder's inequality, the fact that $\partial_\tau f(u)$ is continuous as a map from $L^{r+2}(\Omega)$ to $L^{\frac{r+2}{r}}(\Omega)$ and that there holds

$$2 + r < \frac{2n}{n-2s} \text{ for } 2s < n.$$

This establishes the condition (ii).

Therefore, $q = \partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)})$ satisfies all necessary conditions in Theorem 3.1, where

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{cases} p = n/s, & \text{for } 2s < n \\ 2 < p < \infty, & \text{for } 2s = n \\ 2 \leq p \leq \infty, & \text{for } 2s > n, \end{cases}$$

and we can apply the energy identity of that theorem to obtain

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + 2\|(-\Delta)^{s/2} \partial_t w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t^n)}^2 \\ &= -2 \int_0^t \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) \psi_1(\sigma), \partial_t w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}(\sigma) \rangle \, d\sigma - 2 \langle \partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}) w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}, \partial_t w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega_t)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.4), we know that there holds

$$\left| \langle \partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}) u, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \right| \leq C \|\partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}(t))\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|u\|_{\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for all $u, v \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$. Hence, the last term in the second line of (5.18) can be estimate as

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}) w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}, \partial_t w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega_t)} \right| \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \|\partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}(\sigma))\|_{L^p(\Omega)} (\|w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}(\sigma)\|_{\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)}^2 + \|\partial_t w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}(\sigma)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) \, d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of T . On the other hand, the first term in the second line of (5.18) can be estimated as

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t \langle ((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s) \psi_1(\sigma), \partial_t w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}(\sigma) \rangle \, d\sigma \right| \\ & \leq C \int_0^t \|(-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s \psi_1(\sigma)\|_{\tilde{H}^{-s}(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \|\partial_t w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}(\sigma)\|_{\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)}^2 \, d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Note that we can absorb the last term on the left hand side of (5.18). Then combing (5.18), (5.19) and (5.20), we see that the function

$$\Phi(t) = \|\partial_t w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} \partial_t w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t^n)}^2$$

satisfies $\Phi \in L^\infty((0, T))$ and

$$\Phi(t) \leq C \left(\int_0^t \|\partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}(\sigma))\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \Phi(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^t \|(-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s \psi_1(\sigma)\|_{H^{-s}(\Omega)}^2 d\sigma \right).$$

Now, using $\partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$, we deduce from Gronwall's inequality the estimate

$$(5.21) \quad \Phi(t) \leq C \|(-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s \psi_1\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))}^2 e^{C \|\partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)})\|_{L^1(0, T; L^p(\Omega))}}$$

for a.e. $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Next recall by (3.13) that the map

$$(5.22) \quad \partial_\tau f: L^q(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \rightarrow L^{q/r}(0, T; L^{n/s}(\Omega))$$

is continuous for any $r \leq q < \infty$. As the solution map is continuous and $f_j(0) = 0$, we deduce that

$$u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

as $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$. This ensures that

$$(5.23) \quad \partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^{q/r}(0, T; L^{n/s}(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow L^1(0, T; L^{n/s}(\Omega))$$

as $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$, for any $r \leq q < \infty$. Hence, by (5.21) we achieve that

$$(5.24) \quad w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } \widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)).$$

Note that the uniform bound of $\partial_t^2 w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}$ in $L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$ comes from the PDE (5.15), the uniform bound of $w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}$ in $H^1(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ and the estimate (5.16) (with similar estimate in the range $2s \geq n$). By the usual embeddings we get

- (a) $w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$,
- (b) $\partial_t w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}$ is uniformly bounded in $C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$.

Next, recall that $\widetilde{H}^s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{H}^t(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ for any $0 < t < s$, where the first embedding is compact. Using (a), (b) and the Aubin–Lions lemma ([Sim87, Corollary 4]) we see that

$$(5.25) \quad w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(1)} \text{ is relatively compact in } C([0, T]; \widetilde{H}^t(\Omega))$$

for any $0 < t < s$. Thus, we deduce from (5.24) and (5.25) that there exists $w_1^{(j)} \in \widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ and a subsequence of $w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that

- (I) $w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} \rightharpoonup w_1^{(j)}$ in $\widetilde{W}(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ as $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$,
- (II) $w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} \rightarrow w_1^{(j)}$ in $C([0, T]; \widetilde{H}^t(\Omega))$ for all $0 < t < s$ as $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$.

The convergence (I) clearly implies

$$(5.26) \quad \begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^s w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} &\rightharpoonup (-\Delta)^s w_1^{(j)} \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega)) \\ (-\Delta)^s \partial_t w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} &\rightharpoonup (-\Delta)^s \partial_t w_1^{(j)} \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, by choosing q sufficiently large in (5.23) we see that

$$(5.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_T} \partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}) w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} v dx dt \right| &\leq \int_0^T \|\partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)})\|_{L^{n/s}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)} \|w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} dt \\ &\leq \|w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \|\partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)})\|_{L^2(0, T; L^{n/s}(\Omega))} \|v\|_{L^2(0, T; \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega))} \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$. As the first factor is uniformly bounded, we get the convergence

$$(5.28) \quad \partial_\tau f_j(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)})w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H^{-s}(\Omega))$$

as $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$. Again a similar argument can be used in the cases $2s \geq n$ to obtain this convergence. Using (5.26) and (5.28), we can pass to the limit in the weak formulation of (5.15) and see that $w_1^{(j)}$ solves

$$(5.29) \quad (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)w = -((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)\psi_1$$

in Ω_T . Additionally, from the trace theorem, we infer that

$$(5.30) \quad w_1^{(j)}(0) = \partial_t w_1^{(j)}(0) = 0.$$

Thus, $w_1^{(j)}$ is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)w = -((-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)\psi_1 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ w = 0 & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ w(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t w(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

and in particular is independent of j . As the above analysis works for any subsequence of $w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}$, one also sees that the whole sequence needs to converge to w_1 . Therefore, we may conclude from (5.29) and (5.30) that v is the unique solution of (5.14) and this finishes the proof of the Claim 5.3. \square

Next, let us recall that by (5.12) and (1.12), we have

$$0 = \langle \partial_\tau f_1(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(1)})v_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(1)} - \partial_\tau f_2(u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(2)})v_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(2)}, (u_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle.$$

Multiplying this identity by ε_0^{-r} and using the r homogeneity of $\partial_\tau f_j(u)$, we get

$$(5.31) \quad 0 = \langle \partial_\tau f_1(\varepsilon_0^{-1}u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(1)})v_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(1)} - \partial_\tau f_2(\varepsilon_0^{-1}u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(2)})v_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(2)}, (u_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle.$$

By (5.13) and (5.22), we know that

$$(5.32) \quad \partial_\tau f_j(\varepsilon_0^{-1}u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)}) \rightarrow \partial_\tau f_j(v_0) \text{ in } L^{q/r}(0, T; L^{n/s}(\Omega))$$

as $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$ for any $q \geq \max(1, r)$. If we choose q such that $q \geq \max(1, 2r)$, then the computation in (5.27) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega_T} \partial_\tau f_j(\varepsilon_0^{-1}u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)})w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} \eta \, dxdt \right| \\ & \leq \|w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \|\partial_\tau f_j(\varepsilon_0^{-1}u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)})\|_{L^2(0, T; L^{n/s}(\Omega))} \|\eta\|_{L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))} \\ & \leq C \|w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)}\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \|\partial_\tau f_j(\varepsilon_0^{-1}u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)})\|_{L^{q/r}(0, T; L^{n/s}(\Omega))} \|\eta\|_{L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\eta \in L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$. Using this estimate, the convergence (ii) and (5.32), we deduce that

$$(5.33) \quad \int_{\Omega_T} \partial_\tau f_j(\varepsilon_0^{-1}u_{\varepsilon_0}^{(j)})w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} v \, dxdt \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_T} \partial_\tau f_j(v_0)w_1 \eta \, dxdt$$

for any $\eta \in L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$. In particular, (5.33) and the splitting $v_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} = w_{\varepsilon_0, \psi_1}^{(j)} + \psi_1$ allows us to pass to the limit in (5.31), which gives

$$(5.34) \quad 0 = \langle (\partial_\tau f_1(v_0) - \partial_\tau f_2(v_0))v_1, (u_2 - \varphi_2)^* \rangle.$$

Now, let $\Psi_j \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_T)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, be given functions and choose according to the Runge approximation (Proposition 4.2) the following sequences:

- (A) $v_0^k - \psi_0^k \in L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\psi_0^k \in C_c^\infty((W_1)_T)$, v_0^k is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ v = \psi_0^k & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ v(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t v(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

and $v_1^k - \psi_1^k \rightarrow \Psi_1$ in $L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$.

- (B) $v_1^k - \psi_1^k \in L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\psi_1^k \in C_c^\infty((W_1)_T)$, v_1^k is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T \\ v = \psi_1^k & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ v(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t v(0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

and $v_1^k - \psi_1^k \rightarrow \Psi_1$ in $L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ as well as $v_1^k \rightarrow \Psi_1$ in $L^2(\Omega_T)$.

- (C) $u_2^k - \varphi_2^k \in L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\varphi_2^k \in C_c^\infty((W_2)_T)$, u_2^k is the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 + (-\Delta)^s \partial_t + (-\Delta)^s)u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ u = \varphi_2^k, & \text{in } (\Omega_e)_T, \\ u(0) = \partial_t u(0) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

and $u_2^k - \varphi_2^k \rightarrow \Psi_2$ in $L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$.

As seen in the beginning of the proof of Claim 5.3, we know that if $v \in L^\infty(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then

$$q_j = \partial_\tau f_j(v) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))$$

for some p satisfying the restrictions given in equation (5.17). Moreover, from (3.4) we deduce that there holds

$$(5.35) \quad |((q_1 - q_2)u, v)_{L^2(\Omega_T)}| \leq C \|q_1 - q_2\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^p(\Omega))} \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}$$

for all $u \in L^2(0, T; \tilde{H}^s(\Omega))$ and $v \in L^2(\Omega_T)$. Next, by replacing v_1 by v_1^k and $u_2 - \varphi_2$ by $u_2^k - \varphi_2^k$ in (5.34) we have

$$(5.36) \quad 0 = \int_{\Omega_T} (\partial_\tau f_1(v_0) - \partial_\tau f_2(v_0)) v_1^k (u_2^k - \varphi_2^k)^* dx dt$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Using (5.35), we see that in the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ the identity (5.36) converges to

$$(5.37) \quad 0 = \int_{\Omega_T} (\partial_\tau f_1(v_0) - \partial_\tau f_2(v_0)) \Psi_1 \Psi_2^* dx dt.$$

Next, we replace v_0 by v_0^k to get

$$(5.38) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Omega_T} (\partial_\tau f_1(v_0^k) - \partial_\tau f_2(v_0^k)) \Psi_1 \Psi_2^* dx dt \\ &= \int_{\Omega_T} (\partial_\tau f_1(v_0^k - \psi_0^k) - \partial_\tau f_2(v_0^k - \psi_0^k)) \Psi_1 \Psi_2^* dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.6, we obtain that

$$(5.39) \quad \partial_\tau f_j: L^q(0, T; L^{r+2}(\Omega)) \rightarrow L^{\frac{q}{r}}(0, T; L^{\frac{r+2}{r}}(\Omega))$$

is continuous for any $r \leq q < \infty$. Next, recall that we have the embedding

$$(5.40) \quad H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{r+2}(\Omega)$$

(see (3.17) for the case $2s < n$). Therefore, as by assumption we have $0 < r \leq 2$, we may from (5.39) and (5.40) that $\partial_\tau f_j$ is continuous as a map from $L^2(0, T; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$

to $L^{\frac{2}{r}}(0, T; L^{\frac{r+2}{r}}(\Omega))$. Hence, by Hölder's inequality we can pass to the limit in (5.38) and get

$$\int_{\Omega_T} (\partial_\tau f_1(\Psi_0) - \partial_\tau f_2(\Psi_0)) \Psi_1 \Psi_2^* dx dt = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\partial_\tau f_1(x, \Psi_0(x, t)) = \partial_\tau f_2(x, \Psi_0(x, t)) \text{ in } \Omega_T$$

for any $\Psi_0 \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_T)$. This in turn allows us to conclude that

$$\partial_\tau f_1(x, \rho) = \partial_\tau f_2(x, \rho) \text{ for all } (x, \rho) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Now, by the homogeneity of f_j we can invoke Euler's homogeneous function theorem to conclude that (1.13) holds and we can finish the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Acknowledgments. P. Zimmermann is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF), under the grant number 214500.

REFERENCES

- [AP95] Antonio Ambrosetti and Giovanni Prodi. *A primer of nonlinear analysis*. Number 34. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [BGU21] Sombuddha Bhattacharyya, Tuhin Ghosh, and Gunther Uhlmann. Inverse problems for the fractional-Laplacian with lower order non-local perturbations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 374(5):3053–3075, 2021.
- [CLR20] Mihajlo Cekic, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Angkana Rüland. The Calderón problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation with drift. *Cal. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 59(91), 2020.
- [CS07] Luis Caffarelli and Luis Silvestre. An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 32(7-9):1245–1260, 2007.
- [DL92] Robert Dautray and Jacques-Louis Lions. *Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for science and technology. Vol. 5*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. Evolution problems. I, With the collaboration of Michel Artola, Michel Cessenat and Hélène Lanchon, Translated from the French by Alan Craig.
- [dRO23] Pierre de Roubin and Mamoru Okamoto. Norm inflation for the viscous nonlinear wave equation, 2023.
- [EBFS82] Carlos E. Kenig Eugene B. Fabes and Raul P. Serapioni. The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 7(1):77–116, 1982.
- [GRSU20] Tuhin Ghosh, Angkana Rüland, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. Uniqueness and reconstruction for the fractional Calderón problem with a single measurement. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 279(1):108505, 42, 2020.
- [GSU20] Tuhin Ghosh, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. The Calderón problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation. *Anal. PDE*, 13(2):455–475, 2020.
- [GU21] Tuhin Ghosh and Gunther Uhlmann. The Calderón problem for nonlocal operators. *arXiv:2110.09265*, 2021.
- [HL19] Bastian Harrach and Yi-Hsuan Lin. Monotonicity-based inversion of the fractional Schrödinger equation I. Positive potentials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 51(4):3092–3111, 2019.
- [KČ21] Jeffrey Kuan and Suncića Čanić. Deterministic ill-posedness and probabilistic well-posedness of the viscous nonlinear wave equation describing fluid-structure interaction. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 374(08):5925–5994, 2021.
- [KLW22] Pu-Zhao Kow, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Jenn-Nan Wang. The Calderón problem for the fractional wave equation: uniqueness and optimal stability. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 54(3):3379–3419, 2022.
- [KM18] Mateusz Kwaśnicki and Jacek Mucha. Extension technique for complete bernstein functions of the laplace operator. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 18:1341–1379, 2018.
- [KOČ22] Jeffrey Kuan, Tadahiro Oh, and Suncića Čanić. Probabilistic global well-posedness for a viscous nonlinear wave equation modeling fluid-structure interaction, 2022.
- [LLR20] Ru-Yu Lai, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Angkana Rüland. The Calderón problem for a space-time fractional parabolic equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 52(3):2655–2688, 2020.

- [LLU22] Ching-Lung Lin, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Gunther Uhlmann. The Calderón problem for nonlocal parabolic operators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.11157*, 2022.
- [LLU23] Ching-Lung Lin, Yi-Hsuan Lin, and Gunther Uhlmann. The Calderón problem for nonlocal parabolic operators: A new reduction from the nonlocal to the local. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.09654*, 2023.
- [LRZ22] Yi-Hsuan Lin, Jesse Railo, and Philipp Zimmermann. The Calderón problem for a nonlocal diffusion equation with time-dependent coefficients. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.07781*, 2022.
- [LZ23] Yi-Hsuan Lin and Philipp Zimmermann. Unique determination of coefficients and kernel in nonlocal porous medium equations with absorption term. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16282*, 2023.
- [Oza95] T. Ozawa. On critical cases of Sobolev’s inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 127(2):259–269, 1995.
- [Pre13] Radu Precup. *Linear and Semilinear Partial Differential Equations: An Introduction*. De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston, 2013.
- [RS20] Angkana Rüland and Mikko Salo. The fractional Calderón problem: low regularity and stability. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 193:111529, 56, 2020.
- [Rül23] Angkana Rüland. Revisiting the anisotropic fractional Calderón problem using the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.00858*, 2023.
- [RZ23] Jesse Railo and Philipp Zimmermann. Fractional Calderón problems and Poincaré inequalities on unbounded domains. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 13(1):63–131, 2023.
- [RZ24] Jesse Railo and Philipp Zimmermann. Low regularity theory for the inverse fractional conductivity problem. *Nonlinear Analysis*, 239:113418, 2024.
- [Sim87] Jacques Simon. Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 146:65–96, 1987.
- [ST10] Pablo Raúl Stinga and José Luis Torrea. Extension problem and Harnack’s inequality for some fractional operators. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 35(11):2092–2122, 2010.
- [Tao06] Terence Tao. *Nonlinear dispersive equations: local and global analysis*. Number 106. American Mathematical Soc., 2006.
- [Wan16] Yanghua Wang. Generalized viscoelastic wave equation. *Geophysical Journal International*, 204(2):1216–1221, 2016.
- [XW23] Qiang Xu and Yanghua Wang. Determination of the viscoelastic parameters for the generalized viscoelastic wave equation. *Geophysical Journal International*, 233(2):875–884, 2023.
- [Zim23] Philipp Zimmermann. Inverse problem for a nonlocal diffuse optical tomography equation. *Inverse Problems*, 39(9):Paper No. 094001, 25, 2023.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH ZÜRICH, ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND & DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, BARCELONA, SPAIN
Email address: philipp.zimmermann@ub.edu