# REAL-TIME STEREO SPEECH ENHANCEMENT WITH SPATIAL-CUE PRESERVATION BASED ON DUAL-PATH STRUCTURE

Masahito Togami, Jean-Marc Valin, Karim Helwani, Ritwik Giri, Umut Isik, and Michael M. Goodwin

Amazon Web Services, Palo Alto, CA, USA

# ABSTRACT

We introduce a real-time, multichannel speech enhancement algorithm which maintains the spatial cues of stereo recordings including two speech sources. Recognizing that each source has unique spatial information, our method utilizes a dual-path structure, ensuring the spatial cues remain unaffected during enhancement by applying source-specific common-band gain. This method also seamlessly integrates pretrained monaural speech enhancement, eliminating the need for retraining on stereo inputs. Source separation from stereo mixtures is achieved via spatial beamforming, with the steering vector for each source being adaptively updated using postenhancement output signal. This ensures accurate tracking of the spatial information. The final stereo output is derived by merging the spatial images of the enhanced sources, with its efficacy not heavily reliant on the separation performance of the beamforming. The algorithm runs in real-time on 10-ms frames with a 40 ms of look-ahead. Evaluations reveal its effectiveness in enhancing speech and preserving spatial cues in both fully and sparsely overlapped mixtures.

*Index Terms*— speech enhancement, multichannel processing, spatial-cue preservation, common gain

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Industrial speech communication systems, e.g., teleconferencing systems, are often used in noisy and reverberant environments, so speech enhancement techniques are needed to ensure clear communication [1, 2]. In production grade teleconferencing applications, enabling two-way communication imposes constraints of real-time processing and low input/output latency. Additionally, stereo input is becoming increasingly common in current teleconferencing situations, so speech enhancement methods for two-channel input are needed. In such solutions, it is important not only to achieve high speech enhancement performance but also to preserve the spatial cues of speech sources, because spatial-cue information is an important clue to know who is speaking.

Many approaches for monaural speech enhancement have been studied [1, 3, 4], e.g. spectral subtraction [4] and Bayesian methods such as minimum mean-square error (MMSE) methods [3]. However, the speech enhancement performance of MMSE methods is not sufficient for nonstationary noise. Recently, deep neural network (DNN) based methods have been applied for monaural speech enhancement or speech source separation [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Due to the strong expression capability of DNNs for speech characteristics, the performance of monaural speech enhancement algorithms for nonstationary noise conditions has improved dramatically.

While research on DNN-based speech enhancement has focused on monaural algorithms, multichannel speech enhancement which combines multichannel spatial beamforming and DNN has also been recently explored in an effort to improve on established classical methods [11, 12]. Recently, methods which incorporate DNNs more directly into multichannel processing have been studied, e.g., [13, 14, 15, 16]. However, it is necessary to train a specific DNN model for multichannel signals.

In parallel with the study of DNN-based multichannel speech enhancement, multichannel speech enhancement techniques with spatial-cue preservation based on traditional signal processing frameworks have been also actively studied [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. The common-gain method [23] is a straightforward speech enhancement approach with spatial-cue preservation for singlesource cases. It estimates a common time-frequency gain for all microphone input signals. The common-gain based method ensures preservation of the interaural phase difference (IPD) and interaural level difference (ILD) between channels.

In this paper, we propose a real-time stereo speech enhancement method with spatial-cue preservation under the condition that there are two speech sources. Considering that spatial information such as phase difference and amplitude ratio between microphones is different for each source, the proposed method separates two speech sources by multichannel spatial beamforming followed by DNNbased monaural speech enhancement with a common gain specific to each source. As the monaural speech enhancement, the proposed method adopts the state-of-the art PercepNet algorithm [24] which operates in real-time on 10-ms frames with 30 ms of look-ahead, and there is no need to train a stereo-specific DNN model. The steering vector of each source required for spatial beamforming is adaptively updated using the output signal after speech enhancement to track spatial information of the speech source rapidly. A final stereo signal is obtained by remixing spatial images of the enhanced speech sources. We evaluate the proposed method using two datasets with different speech overlap characteristics.

#### 2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

#### 2.1. Signal model

In our setup, we consider the scenario of two microphones capturing the sound of two speech sources in a noisy environment. The *m*-th microphone input signal  $x_{m,t}$  (*t* is the time-index) is modeled in the time domain as follows:

$$x_{m,t} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} s_{i,t} * h_{i,m} + n_{m,t},$$
(1)

where  $s_{i,t}$  is the *i*-th speech source signal,  $h_{i,m}$  is the impulse response between the *i*-th speech source location and the *m*-th microphone location, and  $n_{m,t}$  is the background noise signal. Speech enhancement is carried out in the time-frequency domain. The time-

frequency representation of  $x_{m,t}$  can be written as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{l,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} s_{i,l,k} \boldsymbol{a}_{i,k} + \boldsymbol{n}_{l,k}, \qquad (2)$$

where l is the frame-index, k is the frequency index,  $\boldsymbol{x}_{l,k} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,l,k} & x_{2,l,k} \end{bmatrix}^T$ , T is the transpose operator of a matrix or a vector, and  $x_{m,l,k}$  is the time-frequency representation of the time-domain signal  $x_{m,t}$ .  $\boldsymbol{n}_{l,k}$  is defined as  $\boldsymbol{n}_{l,k} = \begin{bmatrix} n_{1,l,k} & n_{2,l,k} \end{bmatrix}^T$ .  $\boldsymbol{a}_{i,k} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{i,1,k} & a_{i,2,k} \end{bmatrix}^T$  is a steering vector and  $a_{i,m,k}$  is the time-frequency representation of  $h_{i,m}$ .

In this paper, we focus on stereo speech enhancement with spatial-cue preservation. Thus, the objective is defined as extraction of  $\sum_{i=1}^{2} s_{i,l,k} \boldsymbol{a}_{i,k}$  from the microphone input signal  $\boldsymbol{x}_{l,k}$ . We assume that a pretrained DNN-based monaural speech enhancement method is incorporated in the system. For the experiments in this paper, the state-of-the art PercepNet method [24] is used for monaural speech enhancement. PercepNet satisfies our design requirements in for real-time, low-latency, high-quality enhancement; it operates on 10-ms frames with 30 ms of lookahead, and ranked second in the real-time track of the recent DNS challenge despite operating at much lower than the allowed complexity [25].

#### 2.2. Discrete channel processing

One approach for enhancing a stereo speech signal is to perform monaural speech enhancement independently for each channel (Fig. 1). We use this discrete-channel processing approach as a baseline for assessing our algorithm. In discrete-channel processing, it is not guaranteed that each speech source is enhanced the same way in each output channel, and as a result the output spatial image can be unstable.



Fig. 1. Block diagram of discrete-channel processing method.

## 2.3. Common gain method

An alternate method is proposed in [23] where a common timefrequency gain for both microphone signals is estimated (Fig. 2). The output signal of each channel is obtained by multiplying the common time-frequency gain by the input signal of the corresponding channel. The common-gain method ensures that the ILD and IPD of the output stereo signal are the same as those of the microphone input stereo signal. PercepNet operates on only triangular spectral bands, spaced according to the equivalent rectangular bandwidth (ERB) scale rather than time-frequency representation, and each band energy of the output signal is estimated via multiplying the estimated band gain by the band energy of the input signal. Thus, the common gain method is applied to the ERB scale in this paper. In our implementation of this approach depicted in Fig. 2, the common band gain is calculated by PercepNet operating on a downmix of the left-channel input signal and the right-channel input signal. PercepNet estimates N band gains, where N is set to be smaller than

the number of frequency bins in the input time-frequency representation. The band gains derived from the downmix are then shared between channels. In each channel, it is not needed to run DNN inference to estimate band gains and PercepNet w/o DNN inference is performed. We use this common gain method as a baseline method in evaluation.



Fig. 2. Block diagram of common gain method.

## 3. PROPOSED FRAMEWORK

### 3.1. Overview

An overview of the proposed framework is shown in Fig. 3. The approach is designed to achieve high-quality speech enhancement using spatial selectivity as well as monaural speech enhancement. To ensure robustness in the presence of two speech sources, we use a dual-path structure with two instances of spatial beamforming based on delay-and-sum beamformer (DSBF) and the common-gain method. In the first path (the top half), a dominant speech source is enhanced. In the second path (the bottom half), another speech source is enhanced. Separating the speech sources initially via DSBF improves the signal-to-noise ratio of the input signal for the monaural speech enhancement and correspondingly improves the quality of the output signal. The DSBF steering vector is determined from the outputs of the monaural speech enhancement model and fed back to the beamformer, as will be explained later. In each path, the DSBF output signal is used to serve as the input to common-gain estimation. Similar to the common gain method, it is not needed to run DNN inference to estimate band gains in each channel and Percep-Net w/o DNN inference is performed. A spatial image of the enhanced speech signal for each channel is used as the input of the PercepNet w/o DNN inference instead of the microphone input signals; this provides improved speech enhancement performance. After the two paths are processed, the output signal for each channel is generated by remixing the respective output channel signals of both paths. Note that under the assumption that the monaural speech enhancement algorithm provides a distortion-free speech output, the dual-path system ensures that two speech sources are perfectly reconstructed. The proposed method operates on 10-ms frames, and there is 30 ms of look-ahead in PercepNet and additional 10 ms look-ahead exists in stereo processing outside of PercepNet. Thus, the proposed method operates on total 40 ms of look-ahead.

#### 3.2. Spatial beamforming with DSBF

Let  $\hat{\alpha}_{1,l,k}$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}_{2,l,k}$  be the estimated steering vectors of the first path and the second path, respectively. These steering vectors are updated in the previous frame, as described later. The input signal for the



Fig. 3. Block diagram of proposed stereo speech enhancement algorithm.

monaural speech enhancement for each path  $d_{i,l,k}$  is obtained by using the DSBF as follows:

$$d_{i,l,k} = \hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{i,l,k}^{H} \boldsymbol{x}_{lk}, \qquad (3)$$

where H denotes the Hermitian transpose operator. The *m*-th input signal for the *i*-th path,  $y_{i,m,l,k}$ , is estimated as a spatial image of the enhanced speech signal of the *i*-th path as follows:

$$y_{i,m,l,k} = d_{i,l,k}\hat{a}_{i,m,l,k}.$$
 (4)

#### 3.3. Monaural speech enhancement

For each path, PercepNet estimates a common band gain from  $d_{i,l,k}$ . In each path, band gains are shared between channels so as to preserve spatial information specific to each source. The output signal after monaural speech enhancement for the *m*-th channel of the *i*-th path  $z_{i,m,l,k}$  is obtained. The final output signal for each channel  $c_{m,l,k}$  is obtained as  $c_{m,l,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_{i,m,l,k}$ .

### 3.4. Steering vectors update

To perform DSBF effectively, it is necessary to estimate the steering vector  $\hat{a}_{i,l,k}$  accurately. The proposed method estimates  $\hat{a}_{1,l,k}$  as the steering vector of the dominant speech source by using principal component analysis (PCA) with an estimated spatial covariance matrix (SCM). The steering vector for the second path  $\hat{a}_{2,l,k}$  is estimated such that  $\hat{a}_{2,l,k}$  is orthogonal to  $\hat{a}_{1,l,k}$ . The  $l^2$ -norm of each steering vector is set to 1. The SCM  $\mathbf{R}_{l,k}$  of the dominant speech source is updated in an online manner to track spatial information of speech sources as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{l,k} = \gamma_{l,k} \boldsymbol{R}_{l-1,k} + (1 - \gamma_{l,k}) \boldsymbol{x}_{l,k} \boldsymbol{x}_{l,k}^{H}, \qquad (5)$$

$$\gamma_{l,k} = 1 - \mathcal{M}_{l,k}(1 - \alpha), \tag{6}$$

where  $\alpha$  is a forgetting factor.  $\alpha$  is set to 0.99.  $\mathcal{M}_{l,k}$  is a timefrequency mask which controls updating of the SCM depending on the speech presence at each time-frequency bin. To avoid performance degradation in SCM estimation, it is important to accurately estimate the time-frequency mask, which essentially selects the time-frequency bins where speech sources are dominant. Time-frequency mask estimation is done by reusing the monaural speech enhancement results and additional DNN training and inference is not needed for time-frequency mask estimation. The time-frequency mask is estimated as  $\mathcal{M}_{l,k} = \min\left(\frac{\|\boldsymbol{c}_{l,k}\|_2}{\|\boldsymbol{x}_{l,k}\|_2}, 1\right)$ , where  $\boldsymbol{c}_{l,k} = [c_{1,l,k} \quad c_{2,l,k}]^T$  is a stereo signal which contains two speech signals. When the dominant speech source is absent due to turn-taking, and a new source is present, the new speech source is initially enhanced in the second path, but since the time-frequency mask  $\mathcal{M}_{l,k}$  specifies the time-frequency bins at which the new speech source is active and the new speech source gradually becomes dominant in the SCM. Since the updated steering vectors are used in spatial beamforming with DSBF in a feedback manner, the new speech source. With such a mechanism, the proposed method track change of the dominant sound source.

### 3.5. Perfect reconstruction

Especially during turn-taking, one speech source can span over outputs in both paths. However, even in that case, under the assumption that the monaural speech enhancement outputs speech sources with no degradation and completely removes noise, the spatial images of speech sources are reconstructed perfectly in the stereo output signal; The final stereo output signal  $c_{l,k}$  can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{c}_{l,k} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{i,l,k} \hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{i,l,k}^{H}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{2} s_{n,l,k} \boldsymbol{a}_{n,k} \\ &= \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{P}^{H} \sum_{n=1}^{2} s_{n,l,k} \boldsymbol{a}_{n,k} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{2} s_{n,l,k} \boldsymbol{a}_{n,k}, \end{aligned}$$
(7)

where  $\mathbf{P} = [\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{1,l,k} \ \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{2,l,k}]$  and  $\mathbf{P}$  is a unitary matrix, because  $\|\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{i,l,k}\|_2 = 1$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{1,l,k} \perp \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{2,l,k}$ , and  $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{P}^H = \mathbf{I}$  ( $\mathbf{I}$  is the identity matrix). Thus, in the ideal case, stereo speech sources

	Dataset	WSJ1			Stereo Sparse Librimix		
Single / Dual		IPD error	ILD error	MOS	IPD error	ILD error	MOS
	Discrete-channel processing	0.234	3.53	$3.27\pm0.06$	0.192	3.68	$3.47\pm0.06$
Single	Common gain (Baseline) Common gain (Proposed)	0.232 0.207	3.03 <b>1.93</b>	$\begin{array}{c} 3.27 \pm 0.06 \\ 3.29 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$	0.194 0.158	3.22 <b>1.63</b>	$\begin{array}{c} 3.48 \pm 0.06 \\ 3.48 \pm 0.06 \end{array}$
Dual	Common gain w/ NSV Common gain (Proposed)	0.239 <b>0.195</b>	2.64 2.65	$\begin{array}{c} 3.26\pm0.06\\ \textbf{3.30}\pm0.06\end{array}$	0.187 <b>0.147</b>	2.72 2.62	$\begin{array}{c} 3.51 \pm 0.06 \\ \textbf{3.52} \pm 0.06 \end{array}$

are perfectly reconstructed with spatial-cue preservation in the final stereo output signal. Although the conventional common-gain based method also reconstructs a stereo speech signal perfectly under the condition that the common gain outputs a speech signal without degradation, it does not ensure that two speech sources are enhanced without degradation when there are two speech sources in the input monaural signal. On the other hand, in the proposed method, even when there are two sound sources in the input stereo signal, one speech signal is emphasized in the input monaural signal in each monaural speech enhancement path. Thus, it is possible to focus on enhancement of a relatively small number of speech sources in the monaural speech enhancement and it can be expected that noise can be suppressed more effectively with relatively less distortion of speech sources in the proposed dual-path structure.

# 4. EVALUATION

# 4.1. Setup

We evaluated the proposed stereo speech enhancement framework with objective and subjective experiments. The sampling rate was 16000 Hz. The number of the band gains N was set to 32. We developed our evaluation datasets by using wsj1\_2345\_db<sup>1</sup>, in which room dimension, source location, microphone location, SNR, and reverberation time are simulated similarly to spatialized wsj0-2mix [26]. We added noise signals which were extracted from CHiME3 dataset [27]. For speech source signals, we used two datasets, WSJ1 [28] and LibriSpeech ASR corpus [29]. For WSJ1 corpus, fully overlapped mixtures were generated. For the LibriSpeech ASR corpus, the overlap of two speech sources was determined by Sparse LibriMix  $^{2}$ , and the overlap ratio was set to 0.2. We call this dataset Stereo Sparse LibriMix. The number of speech sources was set to 2. We compared methods with a single-path structure and a dual-path structure. In the methods with a single path structure, the output signal is obtained as  $c_{m,l,k} = z_{1,m,l,k}$ . We also evaluated the commongain method with the non-adaptive steering vectors (common gain w/NSV) in which the steering vector is fixed to  $\hat{a}_{1,l,k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$ and  $\hat{a}_{2,l,k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^T$  so as to confirm the effectiveness of adaptive steering vector estimation in the proposed method.

# 4.2. Experimental results

We use IPD error and ILD error [dB] as objective measures, and mean opinion score (MOS) as a subjective measure. Since DNN based speech enhancement includes nonlinear processing, it is difficult to evaluate ILD and IPD for each source separately. We evaluate the ILD and IPD of the output stereo signal that contains two enhanced speech sources and those of the non-reverberant stereo signal that contains two oracle clean speech sources. IPD error is defined as a distance between the IPD of the output stereo signal  $\phi_{\hat{c}}$  and the IPD of the non-reverberant stereo signal  $\phi_{c}$  as follows:

IPD error = 
$$\frac{|\phi_{\mathbf{c}} - \phi_{\hat{\mathbf{c}}}|}{\pi}$$
, (8)

where phase compensation is incorporated. The ILD error is defined as the distance between the ILD of the output stereo signal  $L_{c}$  and the ILD of the non-reverberant stereo signal  $L_{c}$  as follows:

ILD error = 
$$|20 \log_{10} L_{\hat{c}} - 20 \log_{10} L_{c}|.$$
 (9)

MOS testing [30] was also carried using the crowd sourcing methodology described in P.808 [31, 32]. The number of listeners was 10. The evaluation results are shown in Table 1. The proposed commongain method with the dual-path structure outperformed the discretechannel processing method and the baseline common-gain method in the single-path structure, and it achieved the best performance in terms of IPD error and MOS for both WSJ1 and Stereo Sparse Librimix datasets. In the single-path structure, the common gain method with the proposed adaptive steering vector estimation is superior to the baseline common-gain method. By comparing the proposed common-gain method in the dual-path structure with the common gain w/ NSV, the proposed common-gain method achieved better performance than the common gain w/ NSV in terms of IPD error and MOS. The proposed common-gain method is slightly inferior to the common gain w/ NSV in terms of ILD error in WSJ1, but the proposed common-gain method is superior to the common gain w/ NSV in Stereo Sparse Librimix. ILD error of the proposed commongain method in the single-path structure is lower than that of the proposed common-gain method in the dual-path structure, but the proposed common-gain method in the single-path structure is inferior to the proposed common-gain method in the dual-path structure in terms of MOS. It indicates the importance of perfect reconstruction characteristics in the proposed method in the dual-path structure.

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

We proposed a stereo speech enhancement technique which combines DNN-based monaural speech enhancement and spatial beamforming. By using a dual-path structure with a common band gain between channels in each path, the approach preserves the spatial cues of two input speech sources. The approach also avoids the complex training requirements of dedicated stereo DNN speech enhancement models by relying on a pretrained monaural model. Experimental results show that the method to be effective under the condition that there are two speech sources.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://github.com/fakufaku/create\_wsj1\_2345\_db <sup>2</sup>https://github.com/popcornell/SparseLibriMix

#### 6. REFERENCES

- Philipos C. Loizou, Speech enhancement : theory and practice / Philipos C. Loizou., CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, 2nd ed. edition, 2013.
- [2] Jacob Benesty, Shoji Makino, and Jingdong Chen, Speech Enhancement, Springer, 2005.
- [3] Y. Ephraim and D. Malah, "Speech enhancement using a minimummean square error short-time spectral amplitude estimator," *IEEE Trans. on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1109–1121, 1984.
- [4] S. Boll, "Suppression of acoustic noise in speech using spectral subtraction," *IEEE Trans. on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 113–120, 1979.
- [5] Yong Xu, Jun Du, Li-Rong Dai, and Chin-Hui Lee, "A regression approach to speech enhancement based on deep neural networks," *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 7–19, 2015.
- [6] Hakan Erdogan, John R. Hershey, Shinji Watanabe, and Jonathan Le Roux, "Phase-sensitive and recognition-boosted speech separation using deep recurrent neural networks," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2015, pp. 708–712.
- [7] John R. Hershey, Zhuo Chen, Jonathan Le Roux, and Shinji Watanabe, "Deep clustering: Discriminative embeddings for segmentation and separation," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2016, pp. 31–35.
- [8] Dong Yu, Morten Kolbæk, Zheng-Hua Tan, and Jesper Jensen, "Permutation invariant training of deep models for speaker-independent multitalker speech separation," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2017, pp. 241–245.
- [9] Santiago Pascual, Antonio Bonafonte, and Joan Serrà, "SEGAN: Speech Enhancement Generative Adversarial Network," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2017, pp. 3642–3646.
- [10] Yi Luo and Nima Mesgarani, "Conv-tasnet: Surpassing ideal time-frequency magnitude masking for speech separation," *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1256–1266, 2019.
- [11] Jahn Heymann, Lukas Drude, and Reinhold Haeb-Umbach, "Neural network based spectral mask estimation for acoustic beamforming," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2016, pp. 196–200.
- [12] Takuya Higuchi, Keisuke Kinoshita, Nobutaka Ito, Shigeki Karita, and Tomohiro Nakatani, "Frame-by-frame closed-form update for maskbased adaptive mvdr beamforming," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2018, pp. 531–535.
- [13] Masahito Togami, "Multi-channel itakura saito distance minimization with deep neural network," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2019, pp. 536–540.
- [14] Yoshiki Masuyama, Masahito Togami, and Tatsuya Komatsu, "Multichannel Loss Function for Supervised Speech Source Separation by Mask-Based Beamforming," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2019, pp. 2708– 2712.
- [15] Cong Han, Yi Luo, and Nima Mesgarani, "Real-time binaural speech separation with preserved spatial cues," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2020, pp. 6404–6408.
- [16] Bahareh Tolooshams and Kazuhito Koishida, "A training framework for stereo-aware speech enhancement using deep neural networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.04939*, 2020.
- [17] Tim Van den Bogaert, Jan Wouters, Simon Doclo, and Marc Moonen, "Binaural cue preservation for hearing aids using an interaural transfer function multichannel wiener filter," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2007, vol. 4, pp. IV–565–IV–568.
- [18] Joseph Szurley, Alexander Bertrand, Bas Van Dijk, and Marc Moonen, "Binaural noise cue preservation in a binaural noise reduction system with a remote microphone signal," *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 952–966, 2016.
- [19] Andreas I. Koutrouvelis, Jesper Jensen, Meng Guo, Richard C. Hendriks, and Richard Heusdens, "Binaural speech enhancement with spatial cue preservation utilising simultaneous masking," in *Proc. EU-SIPCO*, 2017, pp. 598–602.

- [20] T.J. Klasen, M. Moonen, T. Van den Bogaert, and J. Wouters, "Preservation of interaural time delay for binaural hearing aids through multichannel wiener filtering based noise reduction," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2005, vol. 3, pp. iii/29–iii/32 Vol. 3.
- [21] Bram Cornelis, Simon Doclo, Tim Van dan Bogaert, Marc Moonen, and Jan Wouters, "Theoretical analysis of binaural multimicrophone noise reduction techniques," *IEEE Trans. on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 342–355, 2010.
- [22] Márcio H. Costa and Patrick A. Naylor, "ILD preservation in the multichannel wiener filter for binaural hearing aid applications," in *Proc. EUSIPCO*, 2014, pp. 636–640.
- [23] Stefan Thaleiser and Gerald Enzner, "Cue-preserving mmse filter with bayesian snr marginalization for binaural speech enhancement," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2021, pp. 6124–6128.
- [24] Jean-Marc Valin, Umut Isik, Neerad Phansalkar, Ritwik Giri, Karim Helwani, and Arvindh Krishnaswamy, "A perceptually-motivated approach for low-complexity, real-time enhancement of fullband speech," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2020, pp. 2482–2486.
- [25] Chandan K. A. Reddy, Ebrahim Beyrami, Harishchandra Dubey, Vishak Gopal, Roger Cheng, Ross Cutler, Sergiy Matusevych, Robert Aichner, Ashkan Aazami, Sebastian Braun, Puneet Rana, Sriram Srinivasan, and Johannes Gehrke, "The INTERSPEECH 2020 deep noise suppression challenge: Datasets, subjective speech quality and testing framework," arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08662, 2020.
- [26] Zhong-Qiu Wang, Jonathan Le Roux, and John R. Hershey, "Multichannel deep clustering: Discriminative spectral and spatial embeddings for speaker-independent speech separation," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2018, pp. 1–5.
- [27] Jon Barker, Ricard Marxer, Emmanuel Vincent, and Shinji Watanabe, "The third 'CHiME' speech separation and recognition challenge: Dataset, task and baselines," in *Proc. IEEE ASRU*, 2015, pp. 504–511.
- [28] "Linguistic data consortium, and nist multimodal information group, csr-ii (wsj1) complete ldc94s13a, linguisticdata consortium, philadelphia, 1994, web download.,".
- [29] Vassil Panayotov, Guoguo Chen, Daniel Povey, and Sanjeev Khudanpur, "Librispeech: An asr corpus based on public domain audio books," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, 2015, pp. 5206–5210.
- [30] ITU-T, "Recommendation p.800: Methods for subjective determination of transmission quality," 1996.
- [31] ITU-T, "Recommendation p.808: Subjective evaluation of speech quality with a crowdsourcing approach," 2018.
- [32] B. Nadari and R. Cutler, "An open source implementation of ITU-T recommendation P.808 with validation," in *Proc. Interspeech*, 2020.