

ON SPLIT REGULAR HOM-LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

HELENA ALBUQUERQUE, ELISABETE BARREIRO, A.J. CALDERÓN, AND JOSÉ M. SÁNCHEZ

ABSTRACT. We introduce the class of split regular Hom-Lie superalgebras as the natural extension of the one of split Hom-Lie algebras and Lie superalgebras, and study its structure by showing that an arbitrary split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{L} is of the form $\mathfrak{L} = U + \sum_j I_j$ with U a linear subspace of a maximal abelian graded subalgebra H and any I_j a well described (split) ideal of \mathfrak{L} satisfying $[I_j, I_k] = 0$ if $j \neq k$. Under certain conditions, the simplicity of \mathfrak{L} is characterized and it is shown that \mathfrak{L} is the direct sum of the family of its simple ideals.

Keywords: Hom-Lie superalgebra, Root, Root space, Structure theory.

2000 MSC: 17A60, 17A70, 17B22.

1. INTRODUCTION AND FIRST DEFINITIONS

The motivation to study Hom-Lie structures are related to physics and to deformations of Lie algebras (see for instance [1, 3, 13, 14, 19, 21, 22]). A Hom-Lie superalgebra is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded space with a bracket for which the super Jacobi identity is twisted by a homomorphism. This class was introduced by Ammar and Makhoul in [1], where they have shown that the supercommutator bracket defined using the multiplication in a Hom-associative superalgebra leads naturally to a Hom-Lie superalgebra. Hom-Lie superalgebras are generalizations of Lie superalgebras, Lie algebras as well as Hom-Lie algebras, and also they are a particular case of Γ -graded quasi-Lie algebras introduced by Larsson and Silvestrov in [15], who also have shown its relation with discrete and deformed vector fields and differential calculus. We also note that an analogous notion has been considered in other categories like Hom-associative algebras, Hom-alternative algebras, Hom-Leibniz algebras, etc. (see [1, 12, 16, 17, 18, 20, 23]).

In the present paper we introduce the class of split regular Hom-Lie superalgebras as the natural extension of the one of split regular Hom-Lie algebras (see [2]) and study its structure presenting them as a direct sum of adequate ideals. We also characterize a simple split regular split Hom-Lie superalgebra which together a relation of equivalence defined in its root system allow us to obtain a final expression as direct sum of simple ideals. Here it is interesting to mention the recent references [9, 10, 11, 24] where different classes of split Hom-algebras are also studied from the viewpoint of their inner structures.

We briefly describe the content of this paper. In Section 2 we develop connection of roots techniques which becomes the main tool in our study. In Section 3 we apply all of the machinery introduced in the previous section to show that a split regular Hom-Lie

The first, second and fourth authors acknowledge financial assistance by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra – UID/MAT/00324/2013, funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/MEC and co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund through the Partnership Agreement PT2020. Third and fourth authors are supported by the PCI of the UCA ‘Teoría de Lie y Teoría de Espacios de Banach’, by the PAI with project numbers FQM298, FQM7156 and by the project of the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia MTM2013-41208P. The fourth author acknowledges the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia for the grant with reference SFRH/BPD/101675/2014.

superalgebra \mathfrak{L} is of the form $\mathfrak{L} = U + \sum_j I_j$ with U a linear subspace of a maximal abelian graded subalgebra H and any I_j a well described ideal of \mathfrak{L} satisfying $[I_j, I_k] = 0$ if $j \neq k$. In the final section, under certain conditions, the simplicity of \mathfrak{L} is characterized and it is shown that \mathfrak{L} is the direct sum of the family of its simple ideals.

Definition 1.1. A *Hom-Lie superalgebra* \mathfrak{L} is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{L}_1$ over a base field \mathbb{K} endowed with a bilinear product

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{L} \times \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$$

and an even superspace homomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$, satisfying

$$[x, y] = -(-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}[y, x] \quad (1)$$

$$(-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{k}}[[x, y], \phi(z)] + (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}[[y, z], \phi(x)] + (-1)^{\bar{j}\bar{k}}[[z, x], \phi(y)] = 0, \quad (2)$$

for all homogeneous elements $x \in \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{i}}, y \in \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{j}}, z \in \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{k}}$, with $\bar{i}, \bar{j}, \bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, called *skew-supersymmetry* and *super Hom-Jacobi identity*, respectively. Furthermore, if ϕ is an superalgebra automorphism \mathfrak{L} is called a *regular Hom-Lie superalgebra*.

Note that \mathfrak{L}_0 is a Hom-Lie algebra called the even or bosonic part of \mathfrak{L} while \mathfrak{L}_1 is called the odd or fermionic part of \mathfrak{L} . The usual regularity concepts will be understood in the graded sense. A *graded subalgebra* A of \mathfrak{L} is a graded subspace $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ of \mathfrak{L} such that $[A, A] \subset A$ and $\phi(A) \subset A$. An *ideal* I of \mathfrak{L} is a graded subalgebra $I = I_0 \oplus I_1$ of \mathfrak{L} satisfying $[I, \mathfrak{L}] \subset I$. A Hom-Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{L} is called *simple* if $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}] \neq 0$ and its only (graded) ideals are $\{0\}$ and \mathfrak{L} . Throughout this paper we consider regular Hom-Lie superalgebras and denote by \mathbb{N}_0 the set of all non-negative integers and by \mathbb{Z} the set of all integers. Finally, we emphasize that the regular Hom-Lie superalgebras \mathfrak{L} are considered of arbitrary dimension and over an arbitrary base field \mathbb{K} . Let us introduce the class of split algebras in the framework of regular Hom-Lie superalgebras in a similar way to the cases of Hom-Lie algebras, Lie algebras and Lie superalgebras, among other classes of algebras. We begin by considering a maximal abelian graded subalgebra $H = H_0 \oplus H_1$ among the abelian graded subalgebras of \mathfrak{L} .

Definition 1.2. Let \mathfrak{L} be a regular Hom-Lie superalgebra and denote by $H = H_0 \oplus H_1$ a maximal abelian graded subalgebra (MAGSA) of \mathfrak{L} . For a linear functional $\alpha : H_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, we define the *root space* of \mathfrak{L} (with respect to H) associated to α as the subspace

$$\mathfrak{L}_\alpha := \{v_\alpha \in \mathfrak{L} : [h_0, v_\alpha] = \alpha(h_0)\phi(v_\alpha) \text{ for any } h_0 \in H_0\}.$$

The elements $\alpha \in (H_0)^*$ satisfying $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha \neq 0$ are called *roots* of \mathfrak{L} (with respect to H) and we denote $\Lambda := \{\alpha \in (H_0)^* \setminus \{0\} : \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \neq 0\}$ the *root system* of \mathfrak{L} . We say that \mathfrak{L} is a *split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra* (with respect to H) if

$$\mathfrak{L} = H \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \right).$$

Split regular Hom-Lie algebras, split Lie superalgebras and split Lie algebras are examples of split regular Hom-Lie superalgebras. Hence, the present paper extends the results in [2, 4, 8]. Let us now show a proper example.

Example 1.1. Consider the real \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{L}_1$, with basis

$$\{e_1, e_2\} \cup \{h_n, x_n, y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2\}$$

of \mathfrak{L}_0 and

$$\{e_3\} \cup \{f_n, g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2\}$$

of $\mathfrak{L}_{\bar{1}}$; and where the nonzero products on these elements are induced by the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_2, e_1] &= e_1, \quad [h_n, x_n] = 2n^2 x_n, \quad [h_n, y_n] = -\frac{2}{n^2} y_n, \\ [x_n, y_n] &= h_n, \quad [y_n, g_n] = \frac{1}{n} f_n, \quad [x_n, f_n] = n g_n, \\ [h_n, f_n] &= -\frac{1}{n} f_n, \quad [h_n, g_n] = n g_n, \quad [g_n, f_n] = h_n, \quad [g_n, g_n] = -2n^2 x_n, \\ [f_n, f_n] &= \frac{2}{n^2} y_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then by considering the superspace homomorphism

$$\phi : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$$

defined by

$$\phi(e_i) = e_i, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \text{ and}$$

$$\phi(h_n) = h_n, \quad \phi(x_n) = n^2 x_n, \quad \phi(y_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} y_n, \quad \phi(f_n) = \frac{1}{n} f_n, \quad \phi(g_n) = n g_n$$

for any $n \geq 2$, we have that $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{1}}$ becomes a, (non-Hom-Lie algebra and non-Lie superalgebra), split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra

$$\mathfrak{L} = H \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2} \mathfrak{L}_{\beta_n} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2} \mathfrak{L}_{-\beta_n} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2} \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_n} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2} \mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma_n} \right) \quad (3)$$

where

$$H = \langle e_2, h_2, h_3, \dots, h_n, \dots \rangle \oplus \langle e_3 \rangle,$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha} = \langle e_1 \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{L}_{\beta_n} = \langle x_n \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{L}_{-\beta_n} = \langle y_n \rangle, \quad \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_n} = \langle f_n \rangle \text{ and } \mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma_n} = \langle g_n \rangle,$$

being

$$\alpha, \beta_n, \gamma_n : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(e_2) &= 1, \text{ and } \alpha(e_3) = \alpha(h_n) = 0, \text{ for any } n \geq 2, \\ \beta_n(e_2) &= \beta_n(e_3) = 0, \quad \beta_n(h_n) = 2, \text{ and } \beta_n(h_m) = 0 \text{ when } n \neq m, \\ \gamma_n(e_2) &= \gamma_n(e_3) = 0, \quad \gamma_n(h_n) = -1, \text{ and } \gamma_n(h_m) = 0 \text{ when } n \neq m. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the root space associated to the zero root satisfies $H \subset \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{0}}$. Conversely, given any $v_0 \in \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{0}}$ we can write $v_0 = h + \sum_{i=1}^n v_{\alpha_i}$ with $h \in H$ and $v_{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_i}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, being $\alpha_i \in \Lambda$ with $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ if $i \neq j$. Hence $0 = [h_{\bar{0}}, h + \sum_{i=1}^n v_{\alpha_i}] = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(h_{\bar{0}}) \phi(v_{\alpha_i})$ for any $h_{\bar{0}} \in H_{\bar{0}}$. So, taking into account the direct character of the sum, that $\alpha_i \neq 0$ and ϕ is an automorphism, we have that any $v_{\alpha_i} = 0$ and then $v_0 \in H$. Consequently

$$H = \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{0}}.$$

By the grading of \mathfrak{L} , any $v_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ may be expressed in the form $v_{\alpha} = v_{\alpha, \bar{0}} + v_{\alpha, \bar{1}}$ with $v_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \in \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{i}}, \bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, then

$$[h_{\bar{0}}, v_{\alpha, \bar{i}}] = \alpha(h_{\bar{0}}) \phi(v_{\alpha, \bar{i}})$$

for any $h_{\bar{0}} \in H_{\bar{0}}$. From here, $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha = (\mathfrak{L}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{0}}) \oplus (\mathfrak{L}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{1}})$. Hence, by denoting $\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{i}} := \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{i}}$, for $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, we can write

$$\mathfrak{L}_\alpha = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{1}} \quad (4)$$

for any $\alpha \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$. From the above,

$$H_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{L}_{0, \bar{0}} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{L}_{0, \bar{1}},$$

and also

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\bar{0}} = H_{\bar{0}} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{0}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{1}} = H_{\bar{1}} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{1}} \right).$$

Taking into account this expression of $\mathfrak{L}_{\bar{0}}$, the direct character of the sum and the fact that $\alpha \neq 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$, we have that $H_{\bar{0}}$ is a MASA of the Hom-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}_{\bar{0}}$. Hence $\mathfrak{L}_{\bar{0}}$ is a split regular Hom-Lie algebra (with respect to $H_{\bar{0}}$) (cf. [2]) and $\mathfrak{L}_{\bar{1}}$ is (in a sense) a split anti-Lie triple system (with respect to $H_{\bar{0}}$) (cf. [5]). For an easier notation, the mappings $\phi|_{H_{\bar{0}}}, \phi|_{H_{\bar{0}}}^{-1} : H_{\bar{0}} \rightarrow H_{\bar{0}}$ will be denoted by ϕ and ϕ^{-1} , respectively, when there is not possible confusion. The below lemma is an immediate consequence of super Hom-Jacobi identity.

Lemma 1.1. *For any $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ the following assertions hold.*

1. *If $\phi(\mathfrak{L}_\alpha) \neq 0$ then $\alpha\phi^{-1} \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and $\phi(\mathfrak{L}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi^{-1}}$. In a similar way, if $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}_\alpha) \neq 0$ then $\alpha\phi \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi}$.*
2. *If $[\mathfrak{L}_\alpha, \mathfrak{L}_\beta] \neq 0$ then $\alpha\phi^{-1} + \beta\phi^{-1} \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and $[\mathfrak{L}_\alpha, \mathfrak{L}_\beta] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi^{-1} + \beta\phi^{-1}}$.*

Proof. 1. For any $h_{\bar{0}} \in H_{\bar{0}}$ and $0 \neq v_\alpha \in \mathfrak{L}_\alpha$, since $[h_{\bar{0}}, v_\alpha] = \alpha(h_{\bar{0}})\phi(v_\alpha)$, by writing $h' := \phi(h_{\bar{0}})$ we have

$$[h', \phi(v_\alpha)] = \phi([h_{\bar{0}}, v_\alpha]) = \alpha(h_{\bar{0}})\phi^2(v_\alpha) = \alpha\phi^{-1}(h')\phi(\phi(v_\alpha)).$$

That is, $0 \neq \phi(v_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi^{-1}}$, $\alpha\phi^{-1} \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and also

$$\phi(\mathfrak{L}_\alpha) \subset \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi^{-1}}. \quad (5)$$

Now, for any $h_{\bar{0}} \in H_{\bar{0}}$ and $0 \neq v_\alpha \in \mathfrak{L}_\alpha$, as in the previous inclusion, for $h' := \phi^{-1}(h_{\bar{0}})$ we get

$$[h', \phi^{-1}(v_\alpha)] = \phi^{-1}([h_{\bar{0}}, v_\alpha]) = \alpha(h_{\bar{0}})\phi^{-1}(\phi(v_\alpha)) = \alpha\phi(h')\phi(\phi^{-1}(v_\alpha)).$$

That is, $0 \neq \phi^{-1}(v_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi}$, $\alpha\phi \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and conclude

$$\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}_\alpha) \subset \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi}. \quad (6)$$

Now for any $x \in \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi^{-1}}$, we can write $x = \phi(\phi^{-1}(x))$ and since $\alpha\phi^{-1} \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ by Equation (6) we have $\phi^{-1}(x) \in \mathfrak{L}_\alpha$, and we conclude $\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi^{-1}} \subset \phi(\mathfrak{L}_\alpha)$. This fact together with Equation (5) show $\phi(\mathfrak{L}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi^{-1}}$. In a similar way we also prove $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi}$.

2. For any $h_{\bar{0}} \in H_{\bar{0}}$, $v_\alpha \in \mathfrak{L}_\alpha$ and $v_\beta \in \mathfrak{L}_\beta$ such that $[v_\alpha, v_\beta] \neq 0$, by denoting $h' := \phi(h_{\bar{0}})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [h', [v_\alpha, v_\beta]] &= -[[h_{\bar{0}}, v_\beta], \phi(v_\alpha)] + [[h_{\bar{0}}, v_\alpha], \phi(v_\beta)] \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)(h_{\bar{0}})\phi([v_\alpha, v_\beta]) \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)\phi^{-1}(h')\phi([v_\alpha, v_\beta]). \end{aligned}$$

That is, $0 \neq [v_\alpha, v_\beta] \in \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi^{-1} + \beta\phi^{-1}}$ and $\alpha\phi^{-1} + \beta\phi^{-1} \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$. \square

Remark 1.1. *From Lemma 1.1 and Equation (4) we can assert that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and $\bar{i}, \bar{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$:*

1. *If $\phi(\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{i}}) \neq 0$ then $\alpha\phi^{-1} \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and $\phi(\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{i}}) = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi^{-1}, \bar{i}}$,*

2. If $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{i}}) \neq 0$ then $\alpha\phi \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{i}}) = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi, \bar{i}}$,
3. If $[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, \mathfrak{L}_{\beta, \bar{j}}] \neq 0$ then $\alpha\phi^{-1} + \beta\phi^{-1} \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and $[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, \mathfrak{L}_{\beta, \bar{j}}] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi^{-1} + \beta\phi^{-1}, \bar{i} + \bar{j}}$,

Lemma 1.2. If $\alpha \in \Lambda$ then $\alpha\phi^z \in \Lambda$ for any $z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Consequence of Lemma 1.1-1. \square

Remark 1.2. From Lemma 1.2, Remark 1.1 and Equation (4) we can assert if $\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \neq 0$, with $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, then $\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi^z, \bar{i}} \neq 0$ for any $z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2. CONNECTIONS OF ROOTS TECHNIQUES

In the following, \mathfrak{L} denotes a split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra and

$$\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}_\alpha \right)$$

the corresponding root spaces decomposition. Given a linear functional $\alpha : H_{\bar{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, we denote by $-\alpha : H_{\bar{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ the element in $(H_{\bar{0}})^*$ defined by $(-\alpha)(h_{\bar{0}}) := -\alpha(h_{\bar{0}})$ for all $h_{\bar{0}} \in H_{\bar{0}}$. We also denote by $-\Lambda := \{-\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ and

$$\pm\Lambda := \Lambda \cup -\Lambda.$$

Definition 2.1. Let α and β be two elements in Λ . We shall say that α is *connected* to β if there exists $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\} \subset \pm\Lambda$ such that:

If $k = 1$,

1. $\alpha_1 \in \{\alpha\phi^{-n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \cap \{\pm\beta\phi^{-m} : m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.

If $k \geq 2$,

1. $\alpha_1 \in \{\alpha\phi^{-n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.
2. $\alpha_1\phi^{-1} + \alpha_2\phi^{-1} \in \pm\Lambda$,
 $\alpha_1\phi^{-2} + \alpha_2\phi^{-2} + \alpha_3\phi^{-1} \in \pm\Lambda$,
 $\alpha_1\phi^{-3} + \alpha_2\phi^{-3} + \alpha_3\phi^{-2} + \alpha_4\phi^{-1} \in \pm\Lambda$,
 \vdots
 $\alpha_1\phi^{-i} + \alpha_2\phi^{-i} + \alpha_3\phi^{-i+1} + \dots + \alpha_{i+1}\phi^{-1} \in \pm\Lambda$,
 \vdots
 $\alpha_1\phi^{-k+2} + \alpha_2\phi^{-k+2} + \alpha_3\phi^{-k+3} + \dots + \alpha_i\phi^{-k+i} + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}\phi^{-1} \in \pm\Lambda$.
3. $\alpha_1\phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_2\phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_3\phi^{-k+2} + \dots + \alpha_i\phi^{-k+i-1} + \dots + \alpha_k\phi^{-1} \in \{\pm\beta\phi^{-m} : m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.

We shall also say that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ is a *connection* from α to β .

Observe that the above concept of connection of nonzero roots from α to β , given in Definition 2.1, for the case $k = 1$ is equivalent to the fact $\beta = \epsilon\alpha\phi^z$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. Our next goal is to show that the connection relation is of equivalence. We begin with a couple of previous lemmas being their proof analogous to the ones of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 in [2].

Lemma 2.1. For any $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $\alpha\phi^{z_1}$ is connected to $\alpha\phi^{z_2}$ for any $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and also to $-\alpha\phi^{z_2}$ in case $-\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ be a connection from α to β . The following assertions hold.

1. If $\alpha_1 = \alpha\phi^{-n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then for any $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $r \geq n$, there exists a connection $\{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_k\}$ from α to β such that $\bar{\alpha}_1 = \alpha\phi^{-r}$.

2. If $\alpha_1 = \epsilon\beta\phi^{-m}$ in case $k = 1$ or

$$\alpha_1\phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_2\phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_3\phi^{-k+2} + \cdots + \alpha_k\phi^{-1} = \epsilon\beta\phi^{-m}$$

in case $k \geq 2$, with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, then for any $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $r \geq m$, there exists a connection $\{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_k\}$ from α to β such that $\bar{\alpha}_1 = \epsilon\beta\phi^{-r}$ in case $k = 1$ or

$$\bar{\alpha}_1\phi^{-k+1} + \bar{\alpha}_2\phi^{-k+1} + \bar{\alpha}_3\phi^{-k+2} + \cdots + \bar{\alpha}_k\phi^{-1} = \epsilon\beta\phi^{-r}$$

in case $k \geq 2$.

The proof of the next result follows the ideas of [2] for split Hom-Lie algebras. For the sake of completeness we add an sketch of the same.

Proposition 2.1. *The relation \sim in Λ defined by $\alpha \sim \beta$ if and only if α is connected to β is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 the relation \sim is reflexive. The symmetric character of \sim is consequence of consider $\alpha \sim \beta$ with a connection $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \alpha_k\} \subset \pm\Lambda$ from α to β . If $k = 1$ we get $\beta \sim \alpha$ with the connection $\{\epsilon\alpha_1\}$. If $k \geq 2$, we conclude $\beta \sim \alpha$ taking the connection

$$\{\beta\phi^{-m}, -\alpha_k\phi^{-1}, -\alpha_{k-1}\phi^{-3}, -\alpha_{k-2}\phi^{-5}, \dots, -\alpha_{k-i}\phi^{-2i-1}, \dots, -\alpha_2\phi^{-2k+3}\} \subset \pm\Lambda$$

in case $\alpha_1\phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_2\phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_3\phi^{-k+2} + \cdots + \alpha_{k-i+1}\phi^{-i} + \cdots + \alpha_k\phi^{-1} = \beta\phi^{-m}$, and the connection

$$\{\beta\phi^{-m}, \alpha_k\phi^{-1}, \alpha_{k-1}\phi^{-3}, \alpha_{k-2}\phi^{-5}, \dots, \alpha_{k-i}\phi^{-2i-1}, \dots, \alpha_2\phi^{-2k+3}\} \pm \Lambda$$

in case $\alpha_1\phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_2\phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_3\phi^{-k+2} + \cdots + \alpha_{k-i+1}\phi^{-i} + \cdots + \alpha_k\phi^{-1} = -\beta\phi^{-m}$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Finally, to verify that \sim is transitive we suppose $\alpha \sim \beta$ with connection $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ such that

$$\alpha_1 = \epsilon\beta\phi^{-m} \text{ if } k = 1 \tag{7}$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, or

$$\alpha_1\phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_2\phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_3\phi^{-k+2} + \cdots + \alpha_k\phi^{-1} = \epsilon\beta\phi^{-m} \text{ if } k \geq 2, \tag{8}$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and suppose $\beta \sim \gamma$ with connection $\{h_1, \dots, h_p\}$. If $p = 1$, $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ is also a connection from α to γ . If $p \geq 2$, $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, h_2, \dots, h_p\}$ is a connection from α to γ if $\epsilon = 1$ in Equations (7) or (8), and $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, -h_2, \dots, -h_p\}$ is the referred connection if $\epsilon = -1$ in Equations (7) or (8). Summarizing, the connection relation is also transitive and so it is an equivalence relation. \square

3. DECOMPOSITIONS

By Proposition 2.1 the connection relation is an equivalence relation in Λ and so we can consider the quotient set

$$\Lambda / \sim := \{[\alpha] : \alpha \in \Lambda\},$$

becoming $[\alpha]$ the set of nonzero roots \mathfrak{L} which are connected to α . Our next goal in this section is to associate an (adequate) ideal $I_{[\alpha]}$ of the split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{L} to any $[\alpha]$ of Λ / \sim . For $[\alpha]$, with $\alpha \in \Lambda$, we define

$$H_{[\alpha]} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{[\mathfrak{L}_\beta, \mathfrak{L}_\delta] : \beta, \delta \in [\alpha]\} \cap \mathfrak{L}_0.$$

Applying Lemma 1.1-2 we obtain

$$H_{[\alpha]} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{[\mathfrak{L}_\beta, \mathfrak{L}_{-\beta}] : \beta \in [\alpha]\} =$$

$$\left(\sum_{\beta \in [\alpha]} ([\mathfrak{L}_{\beta, \bar{0}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\beta, \bar{0}}] + [\mathfrak{L}_{\beta, \bar{1}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\beta, \bar{1}}]) \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{\beta \in [\alpha]} ([\mathfrak{L}_{\beta, \bar{0}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\beta, \bar{1}}] + [\mathfrak{L}_{\beta, \bar{1}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\beta, \bar{0}}]) \right) \subset H_{\bar{0}} \oplus H_{\bar{1}},$$

last equality being consequence of Equation (4), and we also define

$$V_{[\alpha]} := \bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]} \mathfrak{L}_{\beta} = \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]} \mathfrak{L}_{\beta, \bar{0}} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]} \mathfrak{L}_{\beta, \bar{1}} \right).$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ the following (graded) subspace of \mathfrak{L} ,

$$\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]} := H_{[\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *For any $[\alpha] \in \Lambda / \sim$, the linear subspace $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ is a graded subalgebra of \mathfrak{L} .*

Proof. First, we have to check that $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ satisfies $[\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$. Since $H_{[\alpha]} \subset \mathfrak{L}_0 = H$, then $[H_{[\alpha]}, H_{[\alpha]}] = 0$ and we have

$$[H_{[\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}, H_{[\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}] \subset [H_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\alpha]}] + [V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\alpha]}]. \quad (9)$$

Let us consider the first summand in Equation (9). Given $\beta \in [\alpha]$ we have $[H_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}_{\beta}] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{\beta\phi^{-1}}$, being $\beta\phi^{-1} \in [\alpha]$ by Lemma 2.1. Hence

$$[H_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}_{\beta}] \subset V_{[\alpha]}. \quad (10)$$

Consider now the second summand in Equation (9), that is, $[V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\alpha]}]$. Given $\beta, \gamma \in [\alpha]$ such that $[\mathfrak{L}_{\beta}, \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma}] \neq 0$, if $\gamma = -\beta$ then clearly $[\mathfrak{L}_{\beta}, \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma}] = [\mathfrak{L}_{\beta}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\beta}] \subset H_{[\alpha]}$. Suppose $\gamma \neq -\beta$. Taking into account that the fact $[\mathfrak{L}_{\beta}, \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma}] \neq 0$ together with Lemma 1.1-2 ensure $\beta\phi^{-1} + \gamma\phi^{-1} \in \Lambda$, we have that $\{\beta, \gamma\}$ is a connection from β to $\beta\phi^{-1} + \gamma\phi^{-1}$. The transitivity of \sim gives now that $\beta\phi^{-1} + \gamma\phi^{-1} \in [\alpha]$ and so $[\mathfrak{L}_{\beta}, \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma}] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{\beta\phi^{-1} + \gamma\phi^{-1}} \subset V_{[\alpha]}$. Hence $[\bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]} \mathfrak{L}_{\beta}, \bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]} \mathfrak{L}_{\beta}] \subset H_{[\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}$. That is,

$$[V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\alpha]}] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}. \quad (11)$$

From Equations (9)-(11) we get $[\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}] = [H_{[\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}, H_{[\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$.

Second, we have to verify that $\phi(\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}) = \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$. But this is a direct consequence of Lemma 1.1-1 and Lemma 2.1. \square

Proposition 3.2. *If $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$ then $[\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}_{[\gamma]}] = 0$.*

Proof. We have

$$[H_{[\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}, H_{[\gamma]} \oplus V_{[\gamma]}] \subset [H_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}] + [V_{[\alpha]}, H_{[\gamma]}] + [V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}]. \quad (12)$$

Consider the above third summand $[V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}]$ and suppose there exist $\alpha_1 \in [\alpha]$ and $\gamma_1 \in [\gamma]$ such that $[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1}, \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1}] \neq 0$. As necessarily $\alpha_1 \neq -\gamma_1$, then $\alpha_1\phi^{-1} + \gamma_1\phi^{-1} \in \Lambda$. So $\{\alpha_1, \gamma_1, -\alpha_1\phi^{-1}\}$ is a connection between α_1 and γ_1 . By the transitivity of the connection relation we have $\alpha \in [\gamma]$, a contradiction. Hence $[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1}, \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1}] = 0$ and so

$$[V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}] = 0. \quad (13)$$

Consider now the first summand $[H_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}]$ in Equation (12) and suppose there exist $\alpha_1 \in [\alpha]$ and $\gamma_1 \in [\gamma]$ such that $[[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha_1}], \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1}] \neq 0$. By Lemma 1.1-1 we have $\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1} = \phi(\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1\phi})$, so we obtain $[[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha_1}], \phi(\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1\phi})] \neq 0$. Using the grading of \mathfrak{L}_{α_1} we get

$$[[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1, \bar{0}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha_1}], \phi(\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1\phi})] + [[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1, \bar{1}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha_1}], \phi(\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1\phi})] \neq 0.$$

Therefore $[[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1, \bar{0}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha_1}], \phi(\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1\phi})] \neq 0$ or $[[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1, \bar{1}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha_1}], \phi(\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1\phi})] \neq 0$. In the first case, by super Hom-Jacobi identity we get either $[\mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha_1}, \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1\phi}] \neq 0$ or $[\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1\phi}, \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1, \bar{0}}] \neq 0$.

From here $[V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}] \neq 0$ in any case, what contradicts Equation (13). In the second case we have $0[[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1, \bar{1}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha_1, \bar{0}}], \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1 \phi}] + [[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1, \bar{1}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha_1, \bar{1}}], \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1 \phi}] \neq 0$ and so either $[[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1, \bar{1}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha_1, \bar{0}}], \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1 \phi}] \neq 0$ or $[[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1, \bar{1}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha_1, \bar{1}}], \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_1 \phi}] \neq 0$. By applying super Hom-Jacobi identity to any of these summands we have as above that necessarily $[V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}] \neq 0$ what contradicts again Equation (13). From here $[H_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}] = 0$.

In a similar way we get $[V_{[\alpha]}, H_{[\gamma]}] = 0$ and we conclude, together with Equations (12) and (13) that $[\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}_{[\beta]}] = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathfrak{L} be a split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra. The following assertions hold:*

1. *For any $[\alpha] \in \Lambda / \sim$, the (graded) subalgebra*

$$\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]} = H_{[\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}$$

of \mathfrak{L} associated to $[\alpha]$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{L} .

2. *If \mathfrak{L} is simple then there exists a connection from α to β for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ and*

$$H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} [\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha}].$$

Proof. 1. Since $[\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, H] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ we have by Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 that

$$[\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}] = [\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, H \oplus (\bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]} \mathfrak{L}_{\beta}) \oplus (\bigoplus_{\gamma \notin [\alpha]} \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma})] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}.$$

We also have by Proposition 3.1 that $\phi(\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}) = \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$, we conclude $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{L} .

2. The simplicity of \mathfrak{L} implies $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]} = \mathfrak{L}$. From here, it is clear that $[\alpha] = \Lambda$ and $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} [\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha}]$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *A split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{L} can be represented as*

$$\mathfrak{L} = U + \sum_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda / \sim} \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]},$$

where U is a linear complement in H of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha}] : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ and any $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ is one of the ideals of \mathfrak{L} described in Theorem 3.1-1, satisfying $[\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}_{[\gamma]}] = 0$ if $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$.

Proof. We have $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ is well defined and, by Theorem 3.1-1, an ideal of \mathfrak{L} , being clear that

$$\mathfrak{L} = H \oplus (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}) = U + \sum_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda / \sim} \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}.$$

Finally from Proposition 3.2 we obtain $[\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}_{[\gamma]}] = 0$ if $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$. \square

Let us denote by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{L}) := \{v \in \mathfrak{L} : [v, \mathfrak{L}] = 0\}$ the *center* of \mathfrak{L} .

Corollary 3.1. *If $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{L}) = 0$ and $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} [\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha}]$ then \mathfrak{L} is the direct sum of the ideals given in Theorem 3.1,*

$$\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda / \sim} \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]},$$

being $[\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}_{[\gamma]}] = 0$ if $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$.

Proof. Since $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} [\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha}]$ we get $\mathfrak{L} = \sum_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda / \sim} \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$. Finally, the direct character of the sum can be followed from the facts $[\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}_{[\gamma]}] = 0$, if $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$, and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{L}) = 0$. \square

Example 3.1. Let us consider the split Hom-Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{L} in Example 1.1. We have that

$$\Lambda = \{\alpha\} \cup \{\pm\beta_n, \pm\gamma_n; n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2\}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that

$$\Lambda / \sim = \{[\alpha]\} \cup \{[\beta_n] : n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2\},$$

where $[\alpha] = \{\alpha\}$ and any $[\beta_n] = \{\pm\beta_n, \pm\gamma_n\}$. Indeed, for checking for instance $\beta_n \sim \gamma_n$, observe that the fact $\beta_n\phi^{-1} + \gamma_n\phi^{-1} = -\gamma_n$ gives us that the set $\{\beta_n, \gamma_n\}$ is a connection from β_n to γ_n .

From here, the results in this section allow us to consider the family of ideals

$$\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]} := \mathfrak{L}_\alpha, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{[\beta_n]} := \langle h_n \rangle \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{\beta_n} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{-\beta_n} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma_n} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma_n}, \text{ for } n \geq 2;$$

and the decomposition of \mathfrak{L} as the sum of the ideals

$$\mathfrak{L} = \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2} \mathfrak{L}_{[\beta_n]} \right). \quad (14)$$

4. THE SIMPLE COMPONENTS

In this section we are interested in studying under which conditions \mathfrak{L} decomposes as the direct sum of the family of its simple ideals. We recall that a roots system Λ of a split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{L} is called *symmetric* if it satisfies that $\alpha \in \Lambda$ implies $-\alpha \in \Lambda$. From now on we will suppose Λ is symmetric.

Lemma 4.1. If I is an ideal of \mathfrak{L} such that $I \subset H$, then $I \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{L})$.

Proof. Consequence of $[I, H] \subset [H, H] = 0$ and $[I, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}_\alpha] \subset (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{L}_\alpha) \cap H = 0$. \square

Observe that the grading of I and Equation (4) let us write

$$I = I_{\bar{0}} \oplus I_{\bar{1}} = \left((I_{\bar{0}} \cap H_{\bar{0}}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (I_{\bar{0}} \cap \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{0}}) \right) \right) \oplus \left((I_{\bar{1}} \cap H_{\bar{1}}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (I_{\bar{1}} \cap \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{1}}) \right) \right). \quad (15)$$

Next lemmas have a proof similar to the ones of Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 in [2].

Lemma 4.2. For any $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$ there exists $h_0 \in H_{\bar{0}}$ such that $\alpha(h_0) \neq 0$ and $\alpha(h_0) \neq \beta(h_0)$.

Lemma 4.3. If I is an ideal of \mathfrak{L} and $x = h + \sum_{j=1}^n v_{\alpha_j} \in I$, with $h \in H$, $v_{\alpha_j} \in \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_j}$ and $\alpha_j \neq \alpha_k$ if $j \neq k$. Then any $v_{\alpha_j} \in I$.

Let us introduce the concepts of root-multiplicativity and maximal length in the framework of split Hom-Lie superalgebras in a similar way to the ones for split Hom-Lie algebras, split Lie algebras, split color algebras, split Leibniz algebras and split Lie superalgebras (see [2, 4, 6, 7, 8] for these notions and examples). We denote by $\Lambda_{\bar{0}} := \{\alpha \in \Lambda : \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{0}} \neq 0\}$ and by $\Lambda_{\bar{1}} := \{\alpha \in \Lambda : \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{1}} \neq 0\}$ (see Equation (4)). So $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\bar{0}} \cup \Lambda_{\bar{1}}$, being a non necessarily disjoint union.

Definition 4.1. We say that a split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{L} is *root-multiplicative* if given $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\bar{i}}, \beta \in \Lambda_{\bar{j}}$, for $\bar{i}, \bar{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, such that $\alpha\phi^{-1} + \beta\phi^{-1} \in \Lambda_{\bar{i}+\bar{j}}$, then $[\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, \mathfrak{L}_{\beta, \bar{j}}] \neq 0$.

Definition 4.2. It is said that a split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{L} is of *maximal length* if $\dim \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \in \{0, 1\}$ for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$ with $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Observe that if \mathfrak{L} is of maximal length, then the grading of I and Equation (4) allow us to assert that given any nonzero ideal I of \mathfrak{L} then

$$I = \left((I_{\bar{0}} \cap H_{\bar{0}}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\bar{0}}^I} \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{0}} \right) \right) \oplus \left((I_{\bar{1}} \cap H_{\bar{1}}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\bar{1}}^I} \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{1}} \right) \right) \quad (16)$$

where $\Lambda_{\bar{i}}^I := \{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\bar{i}} : I_{\bar{i}} \cap \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \neq 0\}$, for $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathfrak{L} be a split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra of maximal length, root multiplicative and with $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{L}) = 0$. It holds that \mathfrak{L} is simple if and only if it has all its nonzero roots connected and $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} [\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha}]$.*

Proof. The necessary implication is Theorem 3.1-2. To prove the converse, consider I a nonzero ideal of \mathfrak{L} . By Lemmas 4.2, 4.3 and Equation (16) we can write

$$I = \left((I_{\bar{0}} \cap H_{\bar{0}}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\bar{0}}^I} \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{0}} \right) \right) \oplus \left((I_{\bar{1}} \cap H_{\bar{1}}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{\bar{1}}^I} \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{1}} \right) \right)$$

with $\Lambda_{\bar{i}}^I \subset \Lambda_{\bar{i}}$, for $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and some $\Lambda_{\bar{i}}^I \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 4.1. Hence, we can take $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda_{\bar{i}}^I$ being so

$$0 \neq \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_0, \bar{i}} \subset I, \quad (17)$$

thus

$$\{\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_0 \phi^z, \bar{i}} : z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset I \quad (18)$$

because $\phi(I) = I$ together with Lemma 1.1 and Remark 1.1 allow us to assert that

$$\text{if } \alpha \in \Lambda_{\bar{i}}^I \text{ then } \{\alpha \phi^z : z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \Lambda_{\bar{i}}^I. \quad (19)$$

Now, let us take any $\beta \in \Lambda \setminus \{\alpha_0 \phi^z, -\alpha_0 \phi^z : z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Since α_0 and β are connected we have a connection $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\} \subset \Lambda, k \geq 2$, from α_0 to β satisfying:

1. $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0 \phi^{-n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
2. $\alpha_1 \phi^{-1} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-1} \in \Lambda$
 $\alpha_1 \phi^{-2} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-2} + \alpha_3 \phi^{-1} \in \Lambda$
 \vdots
 $\alpha_1 \phi^{-i} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-i} + \alpha_3 \phi^{-i+1} + \dots + \alpha_{i+1} \phi^{-1} \in \Lambda$
 \vdots
 $\alpha_1 \phi^{-k+2} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-k+2} + \alpha_3 \phi^{-k+3} + \dots + \alpha_i \phi^{-k+i} + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \phi^{-1} \in \Lambda$
3. $\alpha_1 \phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_3 \phi^{-k+2} + \dots + \alpha_i \phi^{-k+i-1} + \dots + \alpha_k \phi^{-1} = \epsilon \beta \phi^{-m}$
for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$.

Consider $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0 \in \Lambda_{\bar{i}}$. Since $\alpha_2 \in \Lambda$ it follows $\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_2, \bar{j}} \neq 0$, for some $\bar{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, and so $\alpha_2 \in \Lambda_{\bar{j}}$. We have $\alpha_1 \in \Lambda_{\bar{i}}$ and $\alpha_2 \in \Lambda_{\bar{j}}$ such that $\alpha_1 \phi^{-1} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-1} \in \Lambda_{\bar{i}+\bar{j}}$ by Remark 1.1. The root-multiplicativity and maximal length of \mathfrak{L} allow us to assert $0 \neq [\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1, \bar{i}}, \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_2, \bar{j}}] = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1 \phi^{-1} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-1}, \bar{i}+\bar{j}}$. As consequence of Equation (17) we get

$$0 \neq \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1 \phi^{-1} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-1}, \bar{i}+\bar{j}} \subset I.$$

A similar argument applied to $\alpha_1 \phi^{-1} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-1}, \alpha_3$ and

$$(\alpha_1 \phi^{-1} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-1}) \phi^{-1} + \alpha_3 \phi^{-1} = \alpha_1 \phi^{-2} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-2} + \alpha_3 \phi^{-1}$$

gives us $0 \neq \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1 \phi^{-2} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-2} + \alpha_3 \phi^{-1}, \bar{l}} \subset I$, for certain $\bar{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. We can follow this process with the connection $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ to get

$$0 \neq \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_1 \phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_2 \phi^{-k+1} + \alpha_3 \phi^{-k+2} + \dots + \alpha_i \phi^{-k+i-1} + \dots + \alpha_k \phi^{-1}, \bar{h}} \subset I,$$

for some $\bar{h} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, and then

$$0 \neq \mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\beta\phi^{-m}, \bar{h}} \subset I, \text{ for certain } \epsilon \in \{1, -1\} \quad (20)$$

for any $\beta \in \Lambda \setminus \{\alpha_0\phi^z, -\alpha_0\phi^z : z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In general, from Equations (18) and (20) we get for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$

$$\text{either } \{\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha\phi^z, \bar{i}} : z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset I \text{ or } \{\mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha\phi^z, \bar{i}} : z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset I, \text{ for a fixed } \bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

which can be reformulated by given any $\alpha \in \Lambda$:

$$\text{either } \{\alpha\phi^z : z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ or } \{-\alpha\phi^z : z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ is contained in } \Lambda_{\bar{i}}^I, \text{ for some } \bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2. \quad (21)$$

From here, if we have

$$\Lambda_{\bar{0}} \cap \Lambda_{\bar{1}} = \emptyset,$$

Equation (21) shows that for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$ we have

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\alpha} \subset I \quad (22)$$

for some $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. Since the expression of H we get

$$H \subset I. \quad (23)$$

Now, for $-\epsilon\alpha \in \Lambda$, the facts $-\epsilon\alpha \neq 0$, $H \subset I$ and the maximal length of \mathfrak{L} show

$$[H_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\epsilon\alpha}] = \mathfrak{L}_{-\epsilon\alpha} \subset I. \quad (24)$$

From Equations (22)-(24) we conclude $I = \mathfrak{L}$.

Therefore, it just remains to study the case in which there exists $\alpha \in \Lambda$ such that

$$\alpha \in \Lambda_{\bar{0}} \cap \Lambda_{\bar{1}}.$$

We begin with the following observation.

$$\text{If there exists some } \alpha \in \Lambda_{\bar{0}} \cap \Lambda_{\bar{1}} \text{ satisfying } \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{1}} \subset I \text{ then } I = \mathfrak{L}. \quad (25)$$

Indeed, by arguing as above with the fact that \mathfrak{L} has all its nonzero roots connected from $\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{0}}$, we get that for any $\beta \in \Lambda \setminus \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$, $0 \neq \mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\beta, \bar{h}} \subset I$ for some $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ and some $\bar{h} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. If we argue similarly from $\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{1}}$ we obtain $0 \neq \mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\beta, \bar{h}+\bar{1}} \subset I$. So $\mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\beta} \subset I$. From here, as $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} [\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha}]$ we have

$$H \subset I. \quad (26)$$

Given now any $\gamma \in \Lambda$, the facts $\gamma \neq 0$, $H \subset I$ and the maximal length of \mathfrak{L} show

$$[H_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \bar{i}}] = \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \bar{i}} \subset I$$

for any $\bar{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Therefore

$$[H_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma}] = \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma} \subset I. \quad (27)$$

From Equations (26) and (27) we conclude $I = \mathfrak{L}$.

Let us return to our $\alpha \in \Lambda$ such that $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\bar{0}} \cap \Lambda_{\bar{1}}$. Taking into account Equation (21) $\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m} \in \Lambda$, for certain $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ and some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $[H_{\bar{0}}, \mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m}, \bar{h}}] \neq 0$ for fixed $\bar{h} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Since $H_{\bar{0}} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} ([\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \bar{0}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma, \bar{0}}] + [\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \bar{1}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma, \bar{1}}])$, there exists $\gamma \in \Lambda$ such that either

$[[\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \bar{0}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma, \bar{0}}], \mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m}, \bar{h}}] \neq 0$ or $[[\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \bar{1}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma, \bar{1}}], \mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m}, \bar{h}}] \neq 0$. That is, by Lemma 1.1 and Remark 1.2 is $\phi(\mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\beta\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{h}}) = \mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m}, \bar{h}}$, and so

$$[[\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \bar{n}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma, \bar{n}}], \phi(\mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{h}})] \neq 0 \quad (28)$$

for some $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and fixed $\bar{h} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By super Hom-Jacobi identity

$$\text{either } [\mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{h}}, \mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \bar{n}}] \neq 0 \text{ or } [\mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma, \bar{n}}, \mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{h}}] \neq 0$$

and so $\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma+\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{n}+\bar{h}} \neq 0$ or $\mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma+\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{n}+\bar{h}} \neq 0$. That is, by Equation (20),

$$0 \neq \mathfrak{L}_{\kappa\gamma+\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{n}+\bar{h}} \subset I \quad (29)$$

for some $\kappa \in \{1, -1\}$.

Let us distinguish two possibilities, if $\mathfrak{L}_{-\kappa\gamma, \bar{n}+\bar{1}} \neq 0$, we get by the root-multiplicativity and maximal length of \mathfrak{L} that

$$0 \neq [\mathfrak{L}_{\kappa\gamma+\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{n}+\bar{h}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\kappa\gamma, \bar{n}+\bar{1}}] = \mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{h}+\bar{1}} \subset I.$$

From here, Equations (21) and (25) give us $I = \mathfrak{L}$.

If $\mathfrak{L}_{-\kappa\gamma, \bar{n}+\bar{1}} = 0$, as by symmetry of Λ is $-\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m} \in \Lambda$, and by Lemma 1.2 we also obtain $-\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1} \in \Lambda$, so $\mathfrak{L}_{-\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{m}} \neq 0$ for some $\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. By Equation (29) and the root-multiplicativity and maximal length of \mathfrak{L} we obtain

$$0 \neq [\mathfrak{L}_{\kappa\gamma+\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{n}+\bar{h}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{m}}] = \mathfrak{L}_{\kappa\gamma, \bar{n}+\bar{h}+\bar{m}} \subset I. \quad (30)$$

If $\bar{h}+\bar{m} = \bar{0}$, taking into account that Equation (28) gives us $[[\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \bar{n}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma, \bar{n}}], \mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m}, \bar{h}}] \neq 0$, that is,

$$\alpha([\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \bar{n}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma, \bar{n}}]) \neq 0,$$

Equation (30) and the fact $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\bar{0}} \cap \Lambda_{\bar{1}}$ let us assert $0 \neq [[\mathfrak{L}_{\gamma, \bar{n}}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\gamma, \bar{n}}], \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{p}}] = \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha, \bar{p}} \subset I$ for any $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. From here, Equation (25) gives us $I = \mathfrak{L}$.

If $\bar{h}+\bar{m} = \bar{1}$ we have by Equation (30) and the root-multiplicativity and maximal length of \mathfrak{L} that $0 \neq [\mathfrak{L}_{\kappa\gamma, \bar{n}+\bar{1}}, \mathfrak{L}_{\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{h}}] = \mathfrak{L}_{\kappa\gamma+\epsilon\alpha\phi^{-m+1}, \bar{n}+\bar{h}+\bar{1}} \subset I$. From here, Equations (29) and (25) give us $I = \mathfrak{L}$. Consequently \mathfrak{L} is simple. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let \mathfrak{L} be a split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra of maximal length, root multiplicative satisfying $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{L}) = 0$ and $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} [\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha}]$. Then \mathfrak{L} is the direct sum of the family of its minimal ideals, each one being a simple split regular Hom-Lie superalgebra having all its nonzero roots connected.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.1, $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda/\sim} \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ is the direct sum of the ideals

$$\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]} = H_{[\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]} = \left(\sum_{\beta \in [\alpha]} [\mathfrak{L}_{\beta}, \mathfrak{L}_{-\beta}] \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]} \mathfrak{L}_{\beta} \right)$$

having any $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ its root system $[\alpha]$ with all of its roots connected. Taking into account the facts $[\alpha] = -[\alpha]$ and $[\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}] \subset \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ (see Proposition 3.1-1) we easily deduce that $[\alpha]$ has all of its roots connected through roots in $[\alpha]$. We also have that any of the $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ is root-multiplicative as consequence of the root-multiplicativity of \mathfrak{L} and trivially is regular. Finally, is clear that $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ is of maximal length and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}}(\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}) = 0$ (where $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}}(\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]})$ denotes de center of $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ in $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$) as consequence of $[\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}, \mathfrak{L}_{[\gamma]}] = 0$ if $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$ (Theorem 3.2) and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{L}) = 0$. We can apply Theorem 4.1 to any $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ so as to conclude $\mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ is simple. It is clear that the decomposition $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda/\sim} \mathfrak{L}_{[\alpha]}$ satisfies the assertions of the theorem. \square

Remark 4.1. *We finally note that the results in the present paper also hold for non regular Hom-Lie superalgebras \mathfrak{L} if we introduce the class of split non regular Hom-Lie superalgebras by asking for H not only the condition of being a maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{L} but also the one of satisfying that $\phi|_H$ is a linear bijection from H onto H .*

Example 4.1. Let us consider the real \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{1}}$, with basis

$$\{e_1, e_2\} \cup \{h_n, x_n, y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2\}$$

of $\mathfrak{L}_{\bar{0}}$ and

$$\{e_3\} \cup \{f_n, g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2\}$$

of $\mathfrak{L}_{\bar{1}}$ given in Example 1.1. Here the nonzero products on these elements were induced by the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_2, e_1] &= e_1, & [h_n, x_n] &= 2n^2 x_n, & [h_n, y_n] &= -\frac{2}{n^2} y_n, \\ [x_n, y_n] &= h_n, & [y_n, g_n] &= \frac{1}{n} f_n, & [x_n, f_n] &= n g_n, \\ [h_n, f_n] &= -\frac{1}{n} f_n, & [h_n, g_n] &= n g_n, & [g_n, f_n] &= h_n, & [g_n, g_n] &= -2n^2 x_n, \\ [f_n, f_n] &= \frac{2}{n^2} y_n. \end{aligned}$$

If we now consider the superspace homomorphism

$$\phi' : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(e_1) &= e_1, \phi'(e_2) = e_2, \phi'(e_3) = 0 \text{ and} \\ \phi'(h_n) &= h_n, \phi'(x_n) = n^2 x_n, \phi'(y_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} y_n, \phi'(f_n) = \frac{1}{n} f_n, \phi'(g_n) = n g_n \end{aligned}$$

for any $n \geq 2$, we have that $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{\bar{1}}$ also becomes a split Hom-Lie superalgebra, with a root spaces decomposition as in Equation (3), which is not regular since ϕ' is not an automorphism of $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$. However, $\phi'|_H$ is a linear bijection from H onto H . From here, Remark 4.1 allows us to assert that \mathfrak{L} admits an analogous decomposition as sum of ideals as the one given in Equation (14).

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank the referee for the detailed reading of this work and for the suggestions which have improved the final version of the same.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ammar, F.; Makhoul, A.; Hom-Lie superalgebras and Hom-Lie admissible superalgebras. *J. Algebra* **324** (2010), no. 7, 1513–1528.
- [2] Aragón, M.J.; Calderón, A.J.; Split regular Hom-Lie algebras. *J. Lie Theory* **25** (2015), no. 3, 875–888.
- [3] Arnlind, J.; Makhoul, A.; Silvestrov, S.D.; Ternary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras induced by Hom-Lie algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010), no. 4, 043515, 11 pp.
- [4] Calderón, A.J.; On split Lie algebras with symmetric root systems. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **118** (2008), 351–356.
- [5] Calderón, A.J.; On simple split Lie triple systems. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **12** (2009), 401–415.
- [6] Calderón, A.J.; Sánchez, J.M.; On the structure of split Lie color algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **436** (2012), 307–315.
- [7] Calderón, A.J.; Sánchez, J.M.; On split Leibniz algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **436** (2012), no. 6, 1648–1660.
- [8] Calderón, A.J.; Sánchez, J.M.; On split Lie superalgebras. *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010), no. 7, 073511, 9 pp.
- [9] Cao, Y.; Chen, L.; On split regular Hom-Lie color algebras. *Colloquium Mathematicum* **146** (2017), 143–155.
- [10] Cao, Y.; Chen, L.; On split regular δ -Hom-Jordan-Lie algebras. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* In press.
- [11] Cao, Y.; Chen, L.; On split regular Hom-Leibniz algebras. Preprint. arXiv:1504.04236v.
- [12] Cheng, Y.S.; Su, Y.C.; (Co)Homology and universal central extension of Hom-Leibniz algebras. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **27** (2011), no. 5, 813–830.

- [13] Fregier, Y.; Gohr, A.; Silvestrov, S.D.; Unital algebras of Hom-Lie type and surjective or injective twistings. *Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* **3** (2009), no. 4, 285–295.
- [14] Jin, Q.; Li, X.; Hom-Lie algebra structures on semi-simple Lie algebras. *J. Algebra* **319** (2008), no. 4, 1398–1408.
- [15] Larsson, D.; Silvestrov, S.D.; Graded quasi-Lie algebras. *Czechoslovak J. Phys.* **55** (2005), 1473–1478.
- [16] Larsson, D.; Silvestrov, S.D.; Quasi-Lie algebras in “Non-commutative Geometry and Representation Theory in Mathematical Physics”. *Contemp. Math.* **391** (2005), 241–248.
- [17] Makhlouf, A.; Hom-alternative algebras and Hom-Jordan algebras. *Int. Electron. J. Algebra* **8** (2010), 177–190.
- [18] Makhlouf, A.; Silvestrov, S.D.; Hom-algebra structures. *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* **2** (2008), 51–64.
- [19] Makhlouf, A.; Silvestrov, S.D.; Notes on 1-parameter formal deformations of Hom-Lie and Hom-Lie algebras. *Forum Math.* **22** (2010), no. 4, 715–739.
- [20] Makhlouf, A.; Silvestrov, S.D.; Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras. *J. Algebra Appl.* **9** (2010), no. 4, 553–589.
- [21] Sheng, Y.; Representations of Hom-Lie algebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **12** (2012), 1081–1098.
- [22] Yau, D.; The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation and Hom-Lie algebras. *J. Math. Phys.* **52** (2011), no. 5, 053502, 19 pp.
- [23] Yau, D.; Hom-Novikov algebras. *J. Phys. A* **44** (2011), no. 8, 085202, 20 pp.
- [24] Zhang, J.; Zhang C.; Cao, Y.; On the structure of split involutive regular hom-Lie algebras. *Operators and Matrices.* **11** (2017), no. 3, 783–792.

HELENA ALBUQUERQUE, CMUC, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE COIMBRA, APARTADO 3008, 3001-454 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL. *E-mail address:* lena@mat.uc.pt

ELISABETE BARREIRO, CMUC, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE COIMBRA, APARTADO 3008, 3001-454 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL. *E-mail address:* mefb@mat.uc.pt

A.J. CALDERÓN, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE CÁDIZ, CAMPUS DE PUERTO REAL, 11510, PUERTO REAL, CÁDIZ, ESPAÑA. *E-mail address:* ajesus.calderon@uca.es

JOSÉ M. SÁNCHEZ, CMUC, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE COIMBRA, APARTADO 3008, 3001-454 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL. *E-mail address:* txema.sanchez@mat.uc.pt