

Learning from Graphs with Heterophily: Recent Advances and Future Directions

Chenghua Gong¹, Yao Cheng¹, Jianxiang Yu¹, Xiang Li(✉)¹, Caihua Shan²,
Siqiang Luo³

1 School of Data Science and Engineering, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China

2 Microsoft Research Asia, Shanghai, China

3 School of Computer Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

© Higher Education Press 2024

Abstract Graphs are structured data that models complex relations between real-world entities. heterophilic graphs, where linked nodes are prone to be with different labels or dissimilar features, have recently attracted significant attention and found many real-world applications. Meanwhile, increasing efforts have been made to advance learning from heterophilic graphs. Although there exist surveys on the relevant topic, they focus on the graph neural networks specifically designed to address heterophily, which are only sub-topics of learning from graphs with heterophily. In this survey, we comprehensively review existing works on learning from graphs with heterophily. First, we overview more than 200 publications and introduce the development of this field. Then, we review the metrics of graph heterophily and recent benchmark datasets. Further, we systematically categorize existing methods based on a hierarchical taxonomy including learning strategies, model architectures and prac-

tical applications. Finally, we discuss the primary challenges of existing studies and highlight promising avenues for future research.

Keywords Graph Heterophily, Graph Neural Networks, Representation Learning

1 Introduction

Graph-structured data is ubiquitous in the real world, which models the entities as nodes and the complex relationships between entities as edges. Some graphs exhibit *homophily*, where linked nodes tend to have the same label or similar features, such as citation networks, friendship networks and political networks. As shown in Figure 1(a), the citation relationships between papers show typical homophily, because papers are more likely to cite other papers

Received month dd, yyyy; accepted month dd, yyyy

E-mail: xxx@xxx.xxx

within the same research field. In other cases, there also exist many graphs with *heterophily*, where the nodes with different labels or dissimilar features are more likely to be connected.




Fig. 1 Toy examples on homophilous and heterophilic graph in real-world applications.

Heterophily in real-world applications. Graphs with heterophily have found various practical applications, which verifies the significance of the research topic. For example, automated accounts (i.e., social bots) [1, 2] have been widely employed to create and disseminate fake news [3, 4], leading to negative impact on social networks. In Figure 1(b), we present a social network with bots, where automated bots tend to establish connections with users instead of other bots. Due to the significant difference in characteristics and behaviors between bots and normal users, this network exhibits typical heterophily. Moreover, we can view the human brain as a complex network in Figure 1(c), with different regions of the brain regarded as nodes and the connections between these regions seen as edges. Considering that each region supports different physiological

and psychological functions for human, they exhibit distinct structures and features [5]. Therefore, the brain is far from being homophilous but rather heterophilic. Moving to urban computing [6], the city is usually modeled as an urban graph where nodes are urban objects such as functional regions and edges are physical or social dependencies such as human mobility, traffic flow, and geographical data. Taking the urban graph constructed with human mobility as an example, graph heterophily usually exists in an urban graph [7] as end nodes of an edge could be of different functionalities, such as residential area and workplace, respectively. In summary, the heterophily inherent in graphs is prevalent across various real-world application scenarios and is closely related to our daily lives.

Problems when facing heterophily. Recently, many advanced Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) [8–10] have been proposed and achieved great success. Traditional GNNs implicitly assume that graphs are homophilous and follow the message passing mechanism, where each node aggregates uniform messages from neighbors to update the representation. However, this paradigm performs poorly when facing heterophily, and we attribute this degradation to nodes incorrectly aggregating the information from neighbors. More precisely, message passing mechanism fails to effectively **discriminate local uninformative nodes** and **explore global informative nodes** on graphs with heterophily.

For the former, simply aggregating neighborhood information without discrimination can easily introduce noise, resulting in distinguishable node representations. Although the attention mechanism [11] can be used to learn the weights for aggregation, representative attention-based methods such as GAT [10] ignore the fact that the weights can be negative on graphs with heterophily.

For the latter, message passing mechanism is naturally constrained by the local topology and fails to reach distant but informative nodes globally. A possible solution is to capture higher-order node information by stacking multiple GNN layers, but this inevitably leads to the over-smoothing problem [12]. To deeply dive into the heterophily on graphs, various learning paradigms and model architectures have emerged recently.

Difference from existing surveys. Due to increasing popularity, we have recently witnessed some relevant surveys on this topic [13, 14]. One recent survey [13] proposes a taxonomy of heterophilic GNN models and focuses on their distinct characteristics compared with homophilous GNNs. Through empirical analysis, another recent survey [14] summarizes the progress for addressing heterophily in GNNs and explores the factors determining the performance of heterophilic GNNs. Different from existing surveys, we are not confined to heterophilic GNNs, which is sub-topic of heterophilic graph learning. We summarize existing works at a fine-grained level and divide them into supervised and unsupervised methods based on learning paradigms. Moreover, we review extensive learning forms such as robust learning, large-scale graph learning, and prompt learning, which are important parts of this topic. In addition to message passing frameworks discussed earlier [13, 14], we closely follow the research frontier and review advanced frameworks currently applied to heterophilic graphs. Finally, We focus on the real-world applications of heterophilic graphs to provide theory support for solving complex real-world problems. Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

Comprehensive review. To our best knowledge, we are the first to provide a comprehensive review of learning from graphs with heterophily more than

GNNs designed for heterophilic graphs.

Systematic taxonomy. We introduce a systematic taxonomy that categorize existing works from three perspectives: learning paradigms, model architectures and practical applications.

Future directions. In addition to thorough analysis of existing methods, we also present insightful future directions covering various aspects for learning from graphs with heterophily.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notations

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ denote a graph with a set of nodes $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N\}$ and a set of edges \mathcal{E} , where $N = |\mathcal{V}|$ is the number of nodes. The adjacency matrix of \mathcal{G} is denoted as $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}] \in \{0, 1\}^{N \times N}$ to represent the topology of graph \mathcal{G} , where $a_{ij} = 1$ if and only if there exists an edge $e_{ij} = (v_i, v_j)$ between nodes v_i and v_j . The degree matrix \mathbf{D} is a diagonal matrix with each diagonal element $d_i = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij}$ being the degree of node v_i . The neighboring set of node v is denoted as $\mathcal{N}(v) = \{v_j : (v_i, v_j) \in \mathcal{E}\}$. $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times F}$ and $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times F'}$ respectively denote the collection of node features and representation of the i -th row, i.e., $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^F$ and $h_i \in \mathbb{R}^{F'}$. The ground-truth label matrix for each node is represented by $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times C}$, where C is the total number of distinct labels and the i -th row is one-hot label encoding of v_i , denoted as y_i . For nodes $v_i, v_j \in \mathcal{V}$, if $y_i = y_j$, then they are viewed as *intra-class* nodes; if $y_i \neq y_j$, then they are viewed as *inter-class* nodes. Equally, an edge $e_{ij} \in \mathcal{E}$ is viewed as an *intra-class* edge if $y_i = y_j$, and an *inter-class* edge if $y_i \neq y_j$.

2.2 Backbone Architectures

Various GNNs have been proposed and widely used in graph representation learning. The key compo-

nent used for feature extraction in graph learning models is commonly referred to as “backbone”. It can be broadly categorized into two major architectures: Message Passing Framework and Graph Transformer.

Message Passing Framework. Message passing framework [15] stands for a broad category of GNN architectures following the message passing mechanism, where each node aggregates the information from its neighboring nodes and then combining the aggregated message with ego representation. The process can be formulated as:

$$h_i^{(l)} = \text{COM}\left(h_i^{(l-1)}, \text{AGG}\{h_j^{(l-1)} : v_j \in \mathcal{N}(v_i)\}\right), \quad (1)$$

where $0 \leq l \leq L$ and L is the number of GNN layers, $h_i^{(0)} = x_i$ denotes the feature vector of v_i and $h_i^{(l)} (1 \leq l \leq L)$ denotes the node representation of v_i at the l -th layer. The choice of aggregation function $\text{AGG}(\cdot)$ is flexible (e.g, mean, sum, max pooling), and the combination function $\text{COM}(\cdot)$ in each layer can also be customized. While message passing-based GNNs have achieved significant advancements, they still encounter challenges such as over-smoothing [12], over-squashing [16], and difficulties in capturing long-range dependencies [17], especially lack of sensitivity to heterophily.

Graph Transformer. Recently, Transformer architecture [11] has rapidly advanced and revolutionized the field of natural language processing [18, 19] and computer vision [20, 21]. Inspired by that, Graph Transformers [22, 23] has emerged as a prominent approach in graph representation learning. The main difference between graph transformer and message passing framework lies in how they handle the underlying graph structure. Message passing framework is naturally constrained by the local topology and only propagates messages between explicitly connected nodes. Conversely, Graph Trans-

formers treat the entire graph as a fully connected graph and compute the attention coefficients between all pairs of nodes in the graph. Thanks to fully-connected self-attention mechanism, Graph Transformers can achieve promising performance on both homophilous and heterophilic graphs. However, high computational costs and neglect of the graph structure are issues that need to be taken into consideration for Graph Transformers. Moreover, a recent study find that the globalizing mechanism does not always benefit Graph Transformers, resulting in over-globalizing problem [24].

2.3 Spectrum and Graph Filters

The graph laplacian matrix is defined as $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}$ and the normalized version as $\tilde{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix. \mathbf{L} can be expressed as $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^T$ through eigen-decomposition, where graph spectrum $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N\}$ is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues $0 = \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \leq 2$, and \mathbf{U} is the matrix consisting of eigen-vectors. The eigen-values represent frequency information in the spectrum, and large eigen-values represent high frequencies and small eigen-values represent low frequencies. The convolution operation of spectral GNNs can be expressed as:

$$g * \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{U}g(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{U}^T = \sum_{i=0}^n g(\lambda_i)\mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{x} \quad (2)$$

where $g(\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \text{diag}\{g(\lambda_1), \dots, g(\lambda_n)\}$ and $g : [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the spectral filter to re-weight different frequencies. Low-pass filter tends to preserve more low-frequency information, while high-pass filter tends to retain more high-frequency information. Most GNNs act as low-pass filters to smooth the signals, such as $\mathbf{F}_{LP} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{L}$. However, high-frequency information on heterophilic graphs is more important and we should consider high-pass

filters, such as $\mathbf{F}_{HP} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L}$. For more information about research on spectral GNNs, please refer to [25].

2.4 Learning Paradigms

The learning paradigms of graph include three main categories: supervised learning, unsupervised learning, and the recently proposed prompt learning.

Supervised learning. Under the supervised setting, model learns the mapping relationship between input and output labels through the training dataset. Semi-supervised learning is a popular paradigm in graph learning [26]. It fully utilizes both labeled and unlabeled data, and significantly improve the performance when labeled data is scarce. Here, we categorize semi-supervised learning into supervised learning for conciseness.

Unsupervised learning. Unsupervised learning [27] is a broader machine learning approach where the model learns the latent patterns from unlabeled data. Self-supervised learning is an important subfield of unsupervised learning, which aims to generate supervised signals using the input data itself. Generally, after obtaining representations through self-supervised learning, models are fine-tuned to adapt to various downstream tasks.

Prompt learning. Prompt learning is an emerging technique primarily applied to the fine-tuning and optimization of NLP pre-trained models [28]. The core idea is to bridge the gap between pre-training and downstream tasks through a unified task template, thereby fully leveraging the pre-trained model. Compared to unsupervised learning, prompt learning typically has fewer parameters, faster training, and better performance. It has already been widely applied in the fields of graph [29], computer vision and multiple modalities [30].

3 Overview

In this section, we will preview this research area from two aspects: the literature and the development of the research.

3.1 Literature Overview

In this survey, we collect over 200 papers related to the topic and pay special attention to that published in top conferences or journals including but not limited to ICML, ICLR, NeurIPS, KDD, WWW, TPAMI, etc. To catch up with the frontiers, some latest works on OpenReview and ArXiv are also included. We present part of the most representative works in this survey, and if you would like to access more comprehensive materials, you can visit our GitHub repository¹. Figure 2 summarizes the statistics of the collected papers. From Figure 2(a), we can see that the number of papers released about heterophilic graph learning has significantly increased in recent three years, indicating the enormous potential of this direction. Meanwhile, the distribution of collected papers published in the major venues is given in Figure 2(c). Further, we analyze the abstract content of the collected papers and present the top-5 keywords that appear in the titles in Figure 2(b). Notably, these keywords are closely related to our focus, which center around heterophilic graph learning.

3.2 Development History

As early in 2019, MixHop [31] firstly proposed to capture more complex patterns beyond homophily on graph and conducted synthetic experiments to analyze the impact of heterophily on GNNs, which paves the way for heterophilic graph learning. In

¹<https://github.com/gongchenghua/Papers-Graphs-with-Heterophily>




Fig. 2 The statistics of collected papers.

the early stage, many representative studies [32–35] decoupled the architectures of GNNs and utilize high-pass filters, thereby enhancing the performance on heterophilic graphs. It is worth noting that all the works above focused on the (semi-)supervised scenarios. Recently, self-supervised learning has caught much attention and many studies have attempted to uncover the intrinsic patterns of graphs with heterophily in a unsupervised manner such as contrastive learning [36–39], and generative learning [40–42]. Currently, due to the emergence of powerful architectures like Transformer [11], many advanced frameworks [43–45] on graphs are no longer confined to the traditional message passing scheme. Meanwhile, following the wave of prompt learning in LLMs, there have also been some efforts [46, 47] to study the topic on heterophilic graphs. Unlike “pre-training, fine-tuning”, the “pre-training, prompt-tuning” paradigm aligns the objectives of pre-training and downstream tasks, achieving great performance with only few labeled data while avoiding fine-tuning the whole pre-trained model parameters. Parallely, learning from graph with heterophily has witnessed a transformative revolution towards foundation models [48]. The emergence and homogenization capabilities of

foundation models [49, 50] have piqued the interest of graph learning researchers, sparking discussions about developing the next graph learning paradigm that is pre-trained on broad graph data and can be adapted to a wide range of downstream graph tasks. We hope that we can witness the graph domain truly realizing general artificial intelligence.

4 Heterophilic Graph Data

The success of learning from graphs with heterophily depends on high-quality data. In this section, we discuss the metrics of graph heterophily and recent benchmark datasets.

4.1 Measuring Heterophily

The graph heterophily refers to the phenomenon that connected nodes tend to share different features or labels. Understanding this concept and establishing relevant metrics is crucial for further learning. Currently, the proposed metrics are mostly defined as the consistency of node labels. Here, we introduce several of the most representative metrics.

For example, *node homophily* [51] and *edge homophily* [52] are two commonly used metrics. Specifically, the node homophily \mathcal{H}_{node} is defined as the

average proportion of edge-label consistency of all the nodes. Formally, we have:

$$\mathcal{H}_{node} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{|\{u \in \mathcal{N}(v) : y_v = y_u\}|}{|\mathcal{N}(v)|}, \quad (3)$$

where \mathcal{V} is the node set and $\mathcal{N}(v)$ is the neighbor set of node v . y_v, y_u denote the labels of nodes v and u , respectively. It is worthing that \mathcal{H}_{node} solely reflects the heterophily within 1-hop neighbors. Recent research [39] extend this definitaion to the k -hop neighbors to measure high-order homophily:

$$\mathcal{H}_{high-order} = \frac{|\{u \in \mathcal{N}^{(k)}(v) : y_v = y_u\}|}{|\mathcal{N}^{(k)}(v)|}, \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}(v) = \{u : 1 \leq ShortestPath(u, v) \leq k\}$ represents the k -hop neighbors of v . Similar to node homophily, the edge homophily \mathcal{H}_{edge} is defined as the fraction of edges connecting nodes with the same label:

$$\mathcal{H}_{edge} = \frac{|\{(v, u) \in \mathcal{E} : y_v = y_u\}|}{|\mathcal{E}|}, \quad (5)$$

where \mathcal{E} is the edge set. Both node and edge homophily range from $[0, 1]$, and high homophily indicates low heterophily, and vice versa.

While widely used, these two simple metrics are highly sensitive to the number of classes, leading to limited utility [53]. For example, if most of nodes were of one class, then most edges would likely be within that same class, so the edge homophily would be high. To mitigate the misleading issue when dealing with class imbalance, another metric referred to as *class homophily* [53] is proposed:

$$\mathcal{H}_{class} = \frac{1}{C-1} \sum_{k=0}^{C-1} \left[\mathcal{H}_k - \frac{|C_k|}{|\mathcal{V}|} \right]_+, \quad (6)$$

where $[x]_+ = \max\{x, 0\}$, C is the number of classes, C_k is the set of nodes in class k , and \mathcal{H}_k is the *class-wise homophily* metric:

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \frac{\sum_{v: y_v=c} |\{u \in \mathcal{N}(v) : y_v = y_u\}|}{\sum_{v: y_v=c} |\mathcal{N}(v)|}. \quad (7)$$

Although \mathcal{H}_{class} can further measure presence of heterophily, there are still some issues with class homophily [54]. For example, the class homophily does not consider the variation of node degrees when correcting the fraction of intra-class edges by its expected value. Combined with a traditional graph measure, referred to as assortativity coefficient [55], a more advanced measure *adjusted homophily* [54] is proposed and formulated as:

$$\mathcal{H}_{adj} = \frac{\mathcal{H}_{edge} - \sum_{k=1}^C \mathcal{D}_k^2 / (2|\mathcal{E}|)^2}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^C \mathcal{D}_k^2 / (2|\mathcal{E}|)^2}, \quad (8)$$

where \mathcal{D}_k is the sum of degrees of all the nodes with label k . Adjusted homophily \mathcal{H}_{adj} is shown to be comparable across different datasets with varying numbers of classes and class size balance [56].

Apart from measuring heterophily based on labels, some researches [57] are exploring how to measure heterophily without labels. Meanwhile, there are also studies [58, 59] attempting to disentangle the heterophily from label, structural, and feature aspect. However, recent studies [54, 60–62] find that the conventional heterophily metrics are insufficient to measure the performance of GNNs. Here we provide a brief introduction, please refer to [58] for more in-depth research on heterophily metrics.

4.2 Benchmark Datasets

To boost learning from graphs with heterophily, there is an urgent need for more high-quality benchmark datasets. We categorize the current datasets into three types: naive datasets, large-scale datasets and reliable datasets, and list their statistics and related heterophily measurement in Table 1.

Naive datasets. Most current work of the heterophilic graph learning use 6 datasets for evaluation from previous studies [51], which we refer

Table 1 Statistics of current heterophilic graph benchmarks.

Dataset	# Nodes	# Edges	# Features	# Classes	\mathcal{H}_{node}	\mathcal{H}_{edge}	# Data Sources
Cornell	183	295	1,703	5	0.11	0.30	WebKB Webpage
Texas	183	309	1,703	5	0.06	0.11	WebKB Webpage
Wisconsin	251	499	1,703	5	0.16	0.21	WebKB Webpage
Chameleon	2,277	36,101	2,325	5	0.25	0.23	Wikipedia Webpage
Squirrel	5,201	217,073	2,089	5	0.22	0.22	Wikipedia Webpage
Actor	7,600	33,544	931	5	0.24	0.22	Actor Collaboration
Deezer-Europe	28,281	92,752	31,241	2	0.53	0.53	Social Networks
Penn94	41,554	1,362,229	5	2	-	0.47	Social Networks
Genius	421,961	922,868	12	2	0.51	0.59	Social Networks
Twitch-Gamers	168,114	6,797,557	7	2	0.56	0.55	Social Networks
Pokec	1,632,803	30,622,564	65	2	-	0.45	Social Networks
ArXiv-Year	169,343	1,166,243	128	5	0.29	0.22	Citation
Snap-Patents	2,923,922	139,725,47	269	5	0.21	0.22	Citation
Wiki	1,770,981	242,605,360	600	5	0.28	0.38	Wikipedia Webpage
Roman-Empire	22,662	32,927	300	18	0.05	0.05	Wikipedia Webpage
Amazon-Ratings	24,492	93,050	300	5	0.38	0.38	Co-Purchasing
Minesweeper	10,000	39,402	7	2	0.68	0.68	Game
Tolokers	11,758	519,000	10	2	0.63	0.59	Social Networks
Questions	48,921	153,540	301	2	0.90	0.84	Social Networks

to as naive datasets. While heterophilic graphs are prevalent in real scenarios, the number of benchmark datasets is much less than homophilic graphs.

Large-scale datasets. Given that naive datasets are small-scale and limited in their domains, a series of large-scale benchmark datasets from diverse domains are collected and released [53,63]. Therefore, we classify these benchmark datasets as large-scale datasets.

Reliable datasets. Recent work [56] has noticed that part of naive datasets suffer from the data leakage issues, which has misled many researchs. To better evaluate heterophilic graph learning methods, they have proposed more reliable datasets.

We call for more public benchmarks for graphs with heterophily to further propel the research in this field.

5 Learning Paradigm

5.1 Supervised Learning

Leveraging Adaptive Filters. Most traditional GNNs utilize non-negative weights during the message aggregation, which act as the low-pass filters and restrict the expressive power for graphs with heterophily. Therefore, many studies design adaptive filters to capture the high-frequency signals and solve the aggregation constraint mentioned above. For example, GPR-GNN [32] sets the weights as parameters that allow signed values to learn the optimal polynomial filter. Sharing the same idea, DMP [33] and FAGCN [35] allow the learnable weights to be negative to capture high-frequency signals. Moreover, ACM-GNN [64] employs multiple filters and perform adaptive fusion among them to address heterophily.

Utilizing Local Neighbors. In heterophilic graphs, the adjacent neighbors of a node tend to be with different labels, which motivates us to amplify the node’s receptive field to employ more informative neighbors. The early model MixHop [31] first proposes to extract more information from multi-hop neighborhoods. After that, H₂GCN [52] separates the ego- and neighborhood embeddings for nodes, and verifies that within a two-hop range, there are often more nodes with the same label for the ego node. Further, U-GCN [65] imposes more restrictions on the 2-hop neighbor set and further emphasizes the importance of 2-hop neighbors. These methods can only focus on the information within a few hops, but overlook the potential to capture the long-range or global information on graphs.

Exploring Non-Local Homophily. Another possible solution to mitigate heterophily is to go beyond local homophily and discover additional connections from a global perspective. Specifically, Geom-GCN [51] proposes a novel geometric aggregation scheme which aggregates immediate neighborhoods and distant nodes that have connections with the target node in a continuous space. NL-GCN [66] adopt attention-guided sorting to efficiently explore the global homophily and achieve non-local aggregation. Both HOG-GNN [67] and BM-GCN [68] reconstruct the topology based on a homophily degree matrix to guide non-local aggregation. Moreover, WRGNN [69] transforms the raw input to a multi-relational graph to conduct global aggregation, while GloGNN [70] efficiently generates a node’s embedding from global nodes in the graph. Although these methods can achieve excellent results, the unavoidable trade-off is the high computational cost and overhead.

Modeling Message Passing Process. Apart from exploring global homophily, advanced message pass-

ing modeling can provide enhanced expressive power and help address the heterophily issues. For one hand, GREAD [71] creatively uses the heat diffusion to model the message passing and introduces a series of diffusion-based GNNs, effectively alleviating the oversmoothing and performing well on various homophily rates. Similarly, ACMP [72] models the message passing based on the Allen-Cahn diffusion system [73] with repulsive force to solve heterophily, and CDE [74] takes into account both the diffusion of information due to homophily and the “convection” of information due to heterophily. Further, a general diffusion equation framework are proposed in [75], which formally establishes the relationship between the diffusion process with more GNNs. For another hand, OrderedGNN [76] utilize tree decomposition to model message passing process in an ordered form and aligns the hierarchy of the rooted-tree of a central node with the ordered neurons in its representation. Even though these methods possess strong expressive power, the complex message passing process could be less efficient.

Rewiring Graph Topology. Since mainstream GNNs stand out on homophilous graphs, another feasible approach is to restructure the input graph to be homophilous and leverage the prominent standard GNNs. For example, SDRF [77] introduces a new edge-based combinatorial curvature metric and shows that negatively curved edges are responsible for the over-squashing issue. Removing edges with high negative curvature for graph rewiring can address the bottleneck and solve heterophily. Further, a new density-aware homophilous metric which is robust to label imbalance is proposed in [78]. Based on the metric, the weights of pseudo-eigen vectors are learned for the adaptive spectral clustering and the adjacency matrix is reconstructed for graph

rewiring to maximize homophilous scores. Additionally, GOAL [79] performs graph discrimination to complement the input graph and then designs a proper graph convolution for complemented graphs to handle heterophily. These methods rewire graphs based on the assumption that the raw graph topology is unreliable.

5.2 Self-supervised Learning

Contrastive Learning. By leveraging contrastive loss functions, the core idea of graph contrastive learning is to maximize the similarity between positive pairs while minimizing that between negative pairs. Considering the heterophily on graphs, some methods design specialized views to sample positive and negative pairs for contrast. For example, GREET [36] employs an edge discriminator to distinguish heterophilic and homophilous edges, and then constructs dual views for contrast through low-pass and high-pass graph filters. To jointly learn edge distinction and node representations, it introduces an alternating training strategy for iteratively optimization. Similarly, NeCo [38] integrates the graph contrastive learning with homophily discrimination and extends positive samplings to node neighbors without extra views and data augmentation. With edge discrimination, NeCo learns and updates the intra-class neighbor sets for contrast and improve the homophily rate of the structure. Apart from edge discrimination, another approach is to extract more information based on the characteristics of graph. MUSE [37] builds the semantic and contextual views to capture the information of the ego node and its neighborhood for contrast, and then fuses the representation of multi-views via a fusion controller. Emphasizing the semantic information of nodes significantly enhances performance on heterophilic graphs. GASSER [80] gener-

ate augmentation views through selective spectrum perturbation that are adaptive, controllable, and invariant to the key information. GraphACL [39] presents an asymmetric contrastive framework instead of traditional symmetric dual-branch structure and proves that this simple design can capture both local neighborhood context of one hop and a monophily similarity of multiple hops to address heterophily. Based on GraphACL, a more efficient version named GraphECL [81] are proposed for fast inference on graphs. Besides, PolyGCL [82], S3GCL [83] and HLCL [84] are all committed to using advanced filters to improve the performance of graph contrastive learning.

Generative Learning. The objective of graph generative learning is to obtain high-quality representations through reconstructing the input graph. Most existing methods are designed to reconstruct the direct links, so the models trained in this way are generally optimized towards proximity-oriented graph mining tasks, and will fall short when the graph exhibits heterophily. So many effective generative learning methods for graphs with heterophily have been proposed. For example, NWR-GAE [41] encodes the neighborhood information of each node into an embedding vector, and then reconstructs the neighborhood information regarding both proximity and structure via Neighborhood Wasserstein Reconstruction (NWR). NWR-GAE jointly predicts its node degree and neighbor feature distribution, where the distribution prediction adopts an optimal-transport loss based on the wasserstein distance. DGCN [42] constructs a homophilous view and a heterophilic view based on raw input and design a mixed filter to jointly explore low-frequency and high-frequency components by reconstructing them. To reduce the potential coupling between attribute and structure, DGCN projects the smoothed

attribute and raw structure into two subspaces.

5.3 Other Learning Strategies

We next summarize some other strategies related to learning from graphs with heterophily. Although existing methods are either (semi-)supervised or unsupervised, we can still categorize them from other perspectives.

Model Robustness Learning. To improve the model reliability, some studies [85–88] focus on boosting the model robustness against noisy data. For graphs with heterophily, EvenNet [89] discards messages from odd-order neighbors and shows that ignoring odd-hop neighbors improves the robustness of GNNs. Further, in [90], the authors show how the relation between attacks and heterophily can inspire more robust GNNs. They demonstrate that separating aggregators for ego- and neighbor-embeddings can not only deal with the issue of graph heterophily, but improves the robustness of GNNs against attacks. To handle label noise, LP4GLN [91] iteratively reconstructs homophilous graphs, performs label propagation to rectify label noise and adds high-confidence corrected labels to the clean label set.

Large-scale Graph Learning. To generalize models to large-scale graphs with heterophily, there exist works that focus on improving model efficiency. The early model LINKX [63] separately embeds the adjacency matrix and node feature matrix, and then combines the generated embeddings with simple MLPs. After that, LD² [92] generates embeddings from raw features and adjacency matrix in the precomputation stage, and then applies multi-hop discriminative propagation with simple neural networks to learn node representation. Theoretical and empirical results show that LD² has a time complexity linear to the number of nodes and memory

overhead independent of the graph scale. Moreover, SIMGA [93] integrates SimRank [94] structural similarity with GNN designs. The model can effectively capture distant structurally similar nodes for heterophilic learning, while achieving high efficiency by one-time global aggregation whose complexity is only near-linear to the number of graph nodes.

Graph Prompt Learning. Originated from the NLP domain, the “pre-training, prompt-tuning” paradigm [28] reformulates downstream tasks into the same template of pretexts and designs prompts for downstream adaptation. Graph prompt learning [29] fully unleashes the potential of pre-trained models where adjusting only a few parameters can achieve great results with limited labels. However, existing studies for prompt learning on graphs with heterophily are still limited. The representative model Self-Pro [46] aligns the objectives of pre-training and downstream tasks through contrastive learning and introduces a unified framework to accommodate both homophilic and heterophilic graphs. In parallel, SAP [47] employs a dual-view contrastive learning to align the latent semantic spaces of node attributes and graph structure, and incorporates structural information in prompted graphs to facilitate prompt tuning.

5.4 Discussion

Although (semi-)supervised learning on heterophilic graphs have exhibited remarkable capabilities, their reliance on task-specific supervision imposes constraints when dealing with tasks that have limited labeled data. To address the limitations, self-supervised learning leverages the inherent characteristics of heterophilic graphs and demonstrates better adaptability and generalization. However, there is still no consensus for graphs with heterophily on how

to generate reasonable and effective views as well as how to select appropriate positive or negative pairs for contrastive learning. Additionally, further exploration is also needed on how to design effective generation objectives and pretexts for generative learning. With the continuous advancement of graph learning, learning from graphs with heterophily have also given rise to more extensions, indicating the need for models that are more robust, efficient, and adaptable to the demands of real-world large-scale graphs.

6 Model Architectures

6.1 Message Passing Based Methods

Message Passing Neural Networks (MPNNs) [95] adopt the message passing strategy to perform neighborhood aggregation, which is the most widely used model architecture for graph representation learning in recent years. For heterophilic graph learning, we can refine categorization for models that use or belong to MPNNs.

Vanilla MPNNs. Many existing works [37, 39] directly adopt the vanilla MPNNs to obtain node representations due to their high expressive power. Representative models such as MUSE [37] and GraphACL [39] employ GCN [8] as the encoder to obtain the representation for contrastive learning. However, vanilla MPNNs still implicitly follow the graph homophily assumption, we need to make improvements to adapt them to heterophilic graphs.

Component-enhanced MPNNs. There also exist many works attempting to integrate attention mechanism or other components to enhance vanilla MPNNs. We call them the component-enhanced MPNNs. For instance, NLGNN [66] utilizes the attention mechanism to enable MPNNs to perform

global aggregation. Moreover, GPNN [96] employs the LSTM modules in the network to enhance MPNNs. Different from directly using LSTM, G^2 [97] effectively embeds the LSTM modules within the MPNN layers to build the multi-rate gradient gating mechanism, which flexibly allows any basic MPNN layer as a wrapper to alleviate the over-smoothing problem and further solve the heterophily. **Decoupled MPNNs.** Another line of works [35, 70, 98] focus on decoupling the message passing process of MPNNs. A standard decoupling strategy is to first transform node features and then perform propagation between deep layers. Therefore, decoupled MPNNs can go deeper to capture long-term informative nodes from multi-round propagation to address heterophily. For example, GPR-GNN [32] first transforms the initial node features into low-dimensional representations and then fuses the representations in each layer with learnable weights. GCNII [34] decouples the MPNNs and designs deep propagation architectures with initial residual connection and identity mapping. Further, AERO-GNN [99] theoretically analyzes the graph attention mechanism and attempts to integrate deep graph attention with deep decoupled propagation.

6.2 Graph Transformer Based Methods

The Transformer architecture [11] has shown remarkable success in the fields of NLP and CV due to its powerful capabilities [100–102]. Graph Transformers [22] can also achieve great performance owing to the fully-connected self-attention mechanism. Different from MPNNs performing local aggregation, Graph Transformers learn node representations by aggregating information from global nodes, which naturally deals with graph heterophily [70]. Existing Graph Transformer based methods primarily focus on *complexity optimization* and the design

of *positional encodings* on graphs. For example, NodeFormer [103] utilizes the kernelized Gumbel-Softmax operator to obtain a linear time complexity w.r.t. the number of nodes, while GOAT [43] uses dimension reduction techniques to make each node efficiently attend to all the nodes for local information augmentation. Both of them can perform well on heterophilic graphs due to global aggregation. Instead of focusing on the low-frequency information on graphs, SignGT [44] develops a signed attention mechanism to capture the high-frequency information and reduces the time complexity through non-parametric propagation before the training stage. Further, AGT [45] pays attention to the limitations of positional encodings in existing Graph Transformers. It designs learnable centrality encodings and kernelized local structure encodings to enhance adaptive Transformer with simplified gated attention unit. Free from the expensive positional encodings, Polynormer [104] is the first Graph Transformer designed from the polynomial-expressive view. Polynormer adopts a linear local-to-global attention scheme to learn high-degree equivariant polynomials and surpasses state-of-the-art baselines on multiple datasets even without any activation function owing to the high polynomial expressivity. Sharing the same underlying principle, PolyFormer [105] propose a scalable node-wise filter to enhanced graph transformer with capture spectral information, enhancing expressiveness while maintaining efficiency.

6.3 Discussion

While message passing based methods have shown their success, they confront the fundamental limitation of local aggregation and expressive power. Although the Graph Transformers possess strong modeling capabilities, the high time complexity hin-

ders its wide applicability on large-scale graphs. Moreover, due to the fact that graphs are not sequentially structured, it is difficult to design suitable positional encodings for graphs. Overall, it remains uncertain which architecture is the optimal solution and whether more advanced model architectures will emerge for heterophilic graph learning. Therefore, further exploration on model architecture is definitely worthwhile.

7 Learning for Applications

The graph heterophily is commonly present in real-world applications. Although applying graph learning to heterophily-related applications poses greater challenges, it holds significant importance. In this section, we will delve into the hot applications and provide detailed introductions.

7.1 Anomaly Detection

The rich relations between normal and abnormal objects can be naturally modeled as graphs, giving rise to the problem of graph-based anomaly detection. Similar to GNNs, graph anomaly detection also suffers from the heterophily issue [106, 107]. Intuitively, anomalies are always submerged in amounts of normal neighbors, and plain GNN-based methods blindly smooth the representation of neighboring nodes, thus undermining the discriminative message of the anomalies. Therefore, the graph heterophily is a key factor to consider when designing models and fraud detection is the most prominent application of heterophily [108–114]. We further categorize related works as follows:

Fraud Detection. Graph-based fraud detection [115], widely used in financial, e-commerce, and insurance industries, aims to detect users with suspicious behaviors in communication networks. Fraud nodes

tend to interact with normal users and exhibit significantly abnormal characteristics. For example, H²-FDetector [116] proposes a separate information aggregation strategy with heterophilic connection discriminator and introduces a prototype prior to guide the identification of fraudsters. DRAG [117] proposes a dynamic attention-based fraud detection framework, performing relation-wise and layer-wise attentive aggregations. By dynamically adapting the attention coefficients, DRAG can effectively handle the heterophily of graphs in fraud detection. GAGA [118] presents a Graph Transformer based framework to handle the heterophily issue in fraud detection. Specifically, GAGA combines the group aggregation and the learnable encodings into the Transformer encoder to form a professional fraud detectors. PMP [118] points out that the key to fraud detection lies in distinguishing inter-class neighbors during the message passing process, as real-world data often involves a mixture of homophily and heterophily. Therefore, they introduce partitioned message passing (PMP), which customizes personalized aggregation functions for each node to differentiate intra-class neighbors from inter-class neighbors.

Bot Detection. Automated accounts, also known as social bots, have been widely employed to disseminate false information [119], manipulate elections [120], and deceive users, resulting in negative social consequences. On social networks with bots, the tendency of a bot to establish connections with users leads to the heterophily on graphs. To address the problem, BotSCL [1] introduces a heterophily-aware contrastive learning framework using graph augmentation and a channel-wise and attention-free encoder for social bot detection. Further, HOFA [2] combats the heterophilic disguise challenge in Twitter bot detection with a homophily-oriented graph augmentation module and a frequency adaptive at-

ention module to learn low-and high-pass filters, respectively. MSGS [121] constructs an adaptive graph filter that adjusts the frequency response of the social bot detection model, which effectively leverages both low-frequency and high-frequency information. HOVER [122] removes the interclass edges to reduce heterophily and enhance the node embeddings. Furthermore, they use the node embeddings to oversample the minority bots and generate a balanced class distribution. Similarly, BothH [123] proposes a multi-modal social bot detection method with a classifier to determine homophilic or heterophilic edges, and an adaptive message propagation strategy for the homophilic and heterophilic connections.

7.2 Computer Vision

In computer vision, graphs are often used to model the complex relationships between entities in an image. Heterophily occurs when the entities in the image have different visual features, such as color, texture, etc.

Scene Generation. Scene graph generation (SGG) aims to detect objects and predict their pairwise relationships within an image to model a planar spatial network [124]. GPS-Net [125] takes the lead in attempting to model objects in graphs for SGG and proposes a graph property sensing network to explore the heterophily between objects. Inspired by learning from heterophily, HL-Net [126] presents a novel framework with an adaptive reweighting Transformer and a relationship feature propagation module to explore the heterophily between objects in scene graphs. Incorporating a heterophily-aware message-passing scheme, HL-Net can distinguish the heterophily between objects in SGG. Moreover, KWGNN [127] proves that spectral energy gradually concentrates towards the high-frequency

part when heterophily on the scene graph increases. So they leverages complementary multi-group Kumaraswamy wavelets to cover all frequency bands, generating band-pass filters adaptively to accommodate different levels of smoothness on the graph.

7.3 Urban computing

Urban dynamics, such as traffic flow and human mobility, can be modeled through networks. Urban networks are often heterophilic, as regions with different attributes or functions may have strong interactions. For example, areas with different urban functions within a city may have significant mutual influences.

Urban Computation. Urban graphs have been broadly applied in urban spatial computation where nodes are urban objects like regions or points of interest. They generally have a unique spatial heterophily property, since the dissimilarity of neighbors at different spatial distances can exhibit great diversity. Therefore, SHGNN [7] proposes a metric, called *Spatial Diversity Score*, to quantitatively measure the spatial heterophily of urban graphs, and tackle the heterophily through rotation scaling spatial aggregation and heterophily-sensitive spatial interaction in a divide-and-conquer way.

7.4 Biochemical Networks

Graph deep learning has already achieved tremendous breakthroughs in the field of biochemistry [128–131], where modeling biochemical molecules as graph structures and conducting further analysis has become a paradigm. However, recent research has found that heterophily remains a pressing problem that needs to be addressed in this domain.

Molecular Generation. The concept of heterophily can be leveraged to enhance graph generative models, especially for molecular generation tasks. HT-

Flows [132] propose a novel flow-based method for molecule generation with conditional properties. They point out that existing GNN-based methods for molecular generation follow the homophily assumption, which ignores the repulsions between different atoms, leading to information loss and over-smoothing. Therefore, they use multiple interactive flows to capture graph heterophily in the molecular space and harnesses these dissimilarities in generation.

Drug Discovery. Combination therapy [133], the use of multiple drugs to improve clinical outcomes, has shown advantages over monotherapy. To avoid the expensive high-throughput testing in drug combination, researchers have constructed drug-drug networks to accelerate drug discovery. In [134], the authors find that the single-drug targets in an effective drug pair tend to be close to the disease module but cover different neighborhoods, so the drug-drug networks exhibit the heterophily. Further, it is confirmed in [135] that heterophilic drug-drug networks can treat complex diseases synergistically, which is consistent with the non-overlapping pharmacological principle in drug discovery. MGCN is proposed to improve the performance of GCN in heterophilic drug-drug networks by introducing two key factors: non-local homophily and decoupled architectures. To address heterophily and learn more comprehensive representations of drug–disease pairs, SLGCN [136] develop a structure-enhanced line GCN framework, together with a gated update function to adaptively control the integration of ego biological representation and aggregated structural features.

Gene Regulation. Gene regulatory relationships can be abstracted as a gene regulatory network (GRN). In GRNs, nodes stand for transcription factors and genes, and edges signify the regulatory con-

nections between them. However, interactions between different transcription factors are commonly observed, thus exhibiting heterophily. Recently, Q-GAT [137] introduces a graph attention network using quadratic neurons [138], which can amplify useful signals under heterophily settings and suppress undesired noise. The model thereby facilitates robust feature extraction in the task of reconstructing GRNs.

Brain Analysis. The analysis of brain networks play a role in the diagnosis and disease prediction of neurodegenerative diseases [139, 140]. The brain can be modeled as a graph, where the brain's Regions Of Interest (ROIs) correspond to nodes, and the connectivity features represent the edges. Due to a certain disease, the different regions of the brain network will gradually deteriorate over time [141, 142]. However, brain networks exhibit complex patterns with both homophily and heterophily, where dissimilar ROIs can physically attach. AGT [143] utilizes node-wise filters to adaptively handle low and high-frequency signals and captures sequential variations within progressive diagnostic groups with a novel temporal regularization, thus tackling the heterophily issue.

8 Challenges and Future Directions

After reviewing recent advance, there still remain several challenges and promising directions in this field for further exploration.

8.1 More Complex Graph Data.

Currently, most heterophilic graph learning work focuses on static graphs. However, the complex relationships in the real world can be modeled through more advanced graph structures, such as temporal graphs [144, 145] and hypergraphs [146]. For

example, Greto [147] explores novel homophily measurements on dynamic graphs with both signs and distances, capturing multiple node-level spatial relations and temporal evolutions. Moreover, heterophily has been proven to widely exist in hypergraphs [148], and there have been some studies [149–151] attempting to address this issue. For future research, there will be a call for new heterophily metrics, benchmark datasets and advanced models architecture for learning from heterophilic temporal graphs and hypergraphs.

8.2 Model Robustness and Explainability.

Model robustness [152, 153] and explainability [154, 155] are two worthy topics in learning from heterophilous graphs. For the former, models are expected to perform consistently well against node feature attacks, graph structure attacks and label noise. Since adjacent nodes tend to have different features and labels, graph heterophily prevents each node from obtaining information from adjacent neighbors to defend attacks and rectify noise. Heterophily also poses challenges on the study of explainability. Different from homophilic graphs, extracting an explainable sub-graph for a node in heterophilic graphs needs to explore both proximal and distant nodes. In homophilous graphs, we can at least explain the predicted label for a node by referring to its neighbors based on the homophily assumption, while this loses effect in heterophilic graphs. Further, there is no consensus yet on how to obtain the ground-truth to evaluate explainers on heterophilic graphs.

8.3 Comprehensive Metrics and Settings.

Heterophily metrics have been widely integrated with various graph analytic tasks. Although some metrics have been proposed, such as node and edge

homophily [31, 51, 52], they can only partially characterize graph heterophily and are sensitive to the number of labels, which could adversely affect heterophilic graph learning. To tackle the issue, few recent works [54, 78] have put forward more comprehensive metrics, but they are still far from enough. Therefore, one promising direction is to explore more innovative metrics that can capture deeper properties of heterophily and further promote learning from heterophilic graphs. Additionally, most existing metrics capture graph heterophily based on the premise that labels of all the nodes in the graphs are given. However, this is infeasible in practical scenarios. How to estimate graph heterophily with a small proportion of labeled nodes deserves further exploration. It is worth noting that most existing works focus on the task of node or graph classification. More analytic tasks and learning settings such as link prediction [156–158], weakly-supervised learning [159, 160] and few-shot learning [46, 161, 162] are also desired for in-depth investigation.

8.4 Advanced Backbones and Tools.

The designs of backbone architecture are key factors to heterophilic graph learning. Regarding the model backbone, we have clarified that MPNNs have the fundamental limitation of local aggregation, while Transformers are time-expensive. This necessitates to study more advanced backbone architectures that can combine both the advantages of MPNNs and Transformers. Further, LLMs have recently attracted significant attention. Despite the success, LLMs exhibit great potential handling graph-structured data [163–165] as well as texts and images. Due to the graph heterophily, it is even harder for LLMs to understand and generate heterophilic graphs. Nevertheless, LLMs can still serve as pow-

erful external tools for heterophilic graph learning. Demystifying the utilization of LLMs in heterophilic graph learning could be a milestone work for the whole area, which deserves emergent and special attention.

9 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a comprehensive overview of the benchmark datasets, learning paradigms, model architectures, real-world applications and future directions for learning from graph with heterophily. Through a comprehensive introduction and in-depth analysis of the recent advance, we hope to provide inspiration and insights for this field, thereby promoting the further development of learning from graphs with heterophily.

References

1. Wu Q, Yang Y, He B, Liu H, Wang X, Liao Y, Yang R, Zhou P. Heterophily-aware social bot detection with supervised contrastive learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.07478, 2023
2. Ye S, Tan Z, Lei Z, He R, Wang H, Zheng Q, Luo M. Hofa: Twitter bot detection with homophily-oriented augmentation and frequency adaptive attention. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.12870, 2023
3. Phan H T, Nguyen N T, Hwang D. Fake news detection: A survey of graph neural network methods. *Applied Soft Computing*, 2023, 110235
4. Gao Y, Wang X, He X, Feng H, Zhang Y. Rumor detection with self-supervised learning on texts and social graph. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 2023, 17(4): 174611
5. Lynn C W, Bassett D S. The physics of brain network structure, function and control. *Nature Reviews Physics*, 2019, 1(5): 318–332
6. Zheng Y, Capra L, Wolfson O, Yang H. Urban computing: concepts, methodologies, and applications. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)*, 2014, 5(3): 1–55
7. Xiao C, Zhou J, Huang J, Xu T, Xiong H. Spatial heterophily aware graph neural networks. In: *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. 2023, 2752–2763

8. Kipf T N, Welling M. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.02907, 2016
9. Hamilton W, Ying Z, Leskovec J. Inductive representation learning on large graphs. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2017, 30
10. Veličković P, Cucurull G, Casanova A, Romero A, Lio P, Bengio Y. Graph attention networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10903, 2017
11. Vaswani A, Shazeer N, Parmar N, Uszkoreit J, Jones L, Gomez A N, Kaiser Ł, Polosukhin I. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2017, 30
12. Li Q, Han Z, Wu X M. Deeper insights into graph convolutional networks for semi-supervised learning. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*. 2018
13. Zheng X, Liu Y, Pan S, Zhang M, Jin D, Yu P S. Graph neural networks for graphs with heterophily: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.07082, 2022
14. Zhu J, Yan Y, Heimann M, Zhao L, Akoglu L, Koutra D. Heterophily and graph neural networks: Past, present and future. *IEEE Data Engineering Bulletin*, 2023
15. Gilmer J, Schoenholz S S, Riley P F, Vinyals O, Dahl G E. Neural message passing for quantum chemistry. In: *International conference on machine learning*. 2017, 1263–1272
16. Alon U, Yahav E. On the bottleneck of graph neural networks and its practical implications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.05205, 2020
17. Corso G, Cavalleri L, Beaini D, Liò P, Veličković P. Principal neighbourhood aggregation for graph nets. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2020, 33: 13260–13271
18. Devlin J, Chang M W, Lee K, Toutanova K. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805, 2018
19. Brown T, Mann B, Ryder N, Subbiah M, Kaplan J D, Dhariwal P, Neelakantan A, Shyam P, Sastry G, Askell A, others . Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2020, 33: 1877–1901
20. Dosovitskiy A, Beyer L, Kolesnikov A, Weissenborn D, Zhai X, Unterthiner T, Dehghani M, Minderer M, Heigold G, Gelly S, others . An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929, 2020
21. Liu Z, Lin Y, Cao Y, Hu H, Wei Y, Zhang Z, Lin S, Guo B. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*. 2021, 10012–10022
22. Min E, Chen R, Bian Y, Xu T, Zhao K, Huang W, Zhao P, Huang J, Ananiadou S, Rong Y. Transformer for graphs: An overview from architecture perspective. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.08455, 2022
23. Müller L, Galkin M, Morris C, Rampášek L. Attending to graph transformers. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.04181, 2023
24. Xing Y, Wang X, Li Y, Huang H, Shi C. Less is more: on the over-globalizing problem in graph transformers. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.01102, 2024
25. Liao N, Liu H, Zhu Z, Luo S, Lakshmanan L V. Benchmarking spectral graph neural networks: A comprehensive study on effectiveness and efficiency. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.09675, 2024
26. Song Z, Yang X, Xu Z, King I. Graph-based semi-supervised learning: A comprehensive review. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2022, 34(11): 8174–8194
27. Liu Y, Jin M, Pan S, Zhou C, Zheng Y, Xia F, Philip S Y. Graph self-supervised learning: A survey. *IEEE transactions on knowledge and data engineering*, 2022, 35(6): 5879–5900
28. Liu P, Yuan W, Fu J, Jiang Z, Hayashi H, Neubig G. Pre-train, prompt, and predict: A systematic survey of prompting methods in natural language processing. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 2023, 55(9): 1–35
29. Sun X, Zhang J, Wu X, Cheng H, Xiong Y, Li J. Graph prompt learning: A comprehensive survey and beyond. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16534, 2023
30. Gu J, Han Z, Chen S, Beirami A, He B, Zhang G, Liao R, Qin Y, Tresp V, Torr P. A systematic survey of prompt engineering on vision-language foundation models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.12980, 2023
31. Abu-El-Haija S, Perozzi B, Kapoor A, Alipourfard N, Lerman K, Harutyunyan H, Ver Steeg G, Galstyan A. Mixhop: Higher-order graph convolutional architectures via sparsified neighborhood mixing. In: *international conference on machine learning*. 2019, 21–29
32. Chien E, Peng J, Li P, Milenkovic O. Adaptive universal generalized pagerank graph neural network. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.07988, 2020
33. Yang L, Li M, Liu L, Wang C, Cao X, Guo Y, others . Diverse message passing for attribute with heterophily. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2021, 34: 4751–4763
34. Chen M, Wei Z, Huang Z, Ding B, Li Y. Simple and deep graph convolutional networks. In: *International conference on machine learning*. 2020, 1725–1735
35. Bo D, Wang X, Shi C, Shen H. Beyond low-frequency information in graph convolutional networks. In: *Pro-*

- ceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence. 2021, 3950–3957
36. Liu Y, Zheng Y, Zhang D, Lee V C, Pan S. Beyond smoothing: Unsupervised graph representation learning with edge heterophily discriminating. In: Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence. 2023, 4516–4524
 37. Yuan M, Chen M, Li X. Muse: Multi-view contrastive learning for heterophilic graphs. In: Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management. 2023, 3094–3103
 38. He D, Zhao J, Guo R, Feng Z, Jin D, Huang Y, Wang Z, Zhang W. Contrastive learning meets homophily: two birds with one stone. In: International Conference on Machine Learning. 2023, 12775–12789
 39. Xiao T, Zhu H, Chen Z, Wang S. Simple and asymmetric graph contrastive learning without augmentations. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024, 36
 40. Xiao T, Chen Z, Guo Z, Zhuang Z, Wang S. Decoupled self-supervised learning for graphs. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022, 35: 620–634
 41. Tang M, Yang C, Li P. Graph auto-encoder via neighborhood wasserstein reconstruction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.09025*, 2022
 42. Pan E, Kang Z. Beyond homophily: Reconstructing structure for graph-agnostic clustering. In: International Conference on Machine Learning. 2023, 26868–26877
 43. Kong K, Chen J, Kirchenbauer J, Ni R, Bruss C B, Goldstein T. Goat: A global transformer on large-scale graphs. In: International Conference on Machine Learning. 2023, 17375–17390
 44. Chen J, Li G, Hopcroft J E, He K. Signgt: Signed attention-based graph transformer for graph representation learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.11025*, 2023
 45. Ma X, Chen Q, Wu Y, Song G, Wang L, Zheng B. Rethinking structural encodings: Adaptive graph transformer for node classification task. In: Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023. 2023, 533–544
 46. Gong C, Li X, others . Prompt tuning for multi-view graph contrastive learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10362*, 2023
 47. Ge Q, Zhao Z, others . Enhancing graph neural networks with structure-based prompt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.17394*, 2023
 48. Liu J, Yang C, Lu Z, Chen J, Li Y, Zhang M, Bai T, Fang Y, Sun L, Yu P S, others . Towards graph foundation models: A survey and beyond. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.11829*, 2023
 49. Bommasani R, Hudson D A, Adeli E, Altman R, Arora S, Arxiv S, Bernstein M S, Bohg J, Bosselut A, Brunskill E, others . On the opportunities and risks of foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07258*, 2021
 50. Zhou C, Li Q, Li C, Yu J, Liu Y, Wang G, Zhang K, Ji C, Yan Q, He L, others . A comprehensive survey on pretrained foundation models: A history from bert to chatgpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09419*, 2023
 51. Pei H, Wei B, Chang K C C, Lei Y, Yang B. Geomgn: Geometric graph convolutional networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.05287*, 2020
 52. Zhu J, Yan Y, Zhao L, Heimann M, Akoglu L, Koutra D. Beyond homophily in graph neural networks: Current limitations and effective designs. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2020, 33: 7793–7804
 53. Lim D, Li X, Hohne F, Lim S N. New benchmarks for learning on non-homophilous graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.01404*, 2021
 54. Platonov O, Kuznedelev D, Babenko A, Prokhorenkova L. Characterizing graph datasets for node classification: Homophily-heterophily dichotomy and beyond. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024, 36
 55. Newman M E. Mixing patterns in networks. *Physical review E*, 2003, 67(2): 026126
 56. Platonov O, Kuznedelev D, Diskin M, Babenko A, Prokhorenkova L. A critical look at the evaluation of gnns under heterophily: Are we really making progress? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.11640*, 2023
 57. Ojha I, Bose K, Das S. Affinity-based homophily: Can we measure homophily of a graph without using node labels? In: The Second Tiny Papers Track at ICLR 2024
 58. Zheng Y, Luan S, Chen L. What is missing in homophily? disentangling graph homophily for graph neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.18854*, 2024
 59. Luan S, Hua C, Lu Q, Ma L, Wu L, Wang X, Xu M, Chang X W, Precup D, Ying R, others . The heterophilic graph learning handbook: Benchmarks, models, theoretical analysis, applications and challenges. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.09618*, 2024
 60. Rozemberczki B, Allen C, Sarkar R. Multi-scale attributed node embedding. *Journal of Complex Networks*, 2021, 9(2): cnab014
 61. Luan S, Hua C, Xu M, Lu Q, Zhu J, Chang X W, Fu J, Leskovec J, Precup D. When do graph neural networks help with node classification? investigating the homophily principle on node distinguishability. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024, 36
 62. Ma Y, Liu X, Shah N, Tang J. Is homophily a ne-

- cessity for graph neural networks? arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.06134, 2021
63. Lim D, Hohne F, Li X, Huang S L, Gupta V, Bhalerao O, Lim S N. Large scale learning on non-homophilous graphs: New benchmarks and strong simple methods. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2021, 34: 20887–20902
 64. Luan S, Hua C, Lu Q, Zhu J, Zhao M, Zhang S, Chang X W, Precup D. Revisiting heterophily for graph neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2022, 35: 1362–1375
 65. Jin D, Yu Z, Huo C, Wang R, Wang X, He D, Han J. Universal graph convolutional networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2021, 34: 10654–10664
 66. Liu M, Wang Z, Ji S. Non-local graph neural networks. *TPAMI*, 2021, 44(12): 10270–10276
 67. Wang T, Jin D, Wang R, He D, Huang Y. Powerful graph convolutional networks with adaptive propagation mechanism for homophily and heterophily. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*. 2022, 4210–4218
 68. He D, Liang C, Liu H, Wen M, Jiao P, Feng Z. Block modeling-guided graph convolutional neural networks. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*. 2022, 4022–4029
 69. Suresh S, Budde V, Neville J, Li P, Ma J. Breaking the limit of graph neural networks by improving the assortativity of graphs with local mixing patterns. In: *Proceedings of the 27th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*. 2021, 1541–1551
 70. Li X, Zhu R, Cheng Y, Shan C, Luo S, Li D, Qian W. Finding global homophily in graph neural networks when meeting heterophily. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. 2022, 13242–13256
 71. Choi J, Hong S, Park N, Cho S B. Gread: Graph neural reaction-diffusion networks. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. 2023, 5722–5747
 72. Wang Y, Yi K, Liu X, Wang Y G, Jin S. Acmp: Allencahn message passing with attractive and repulsive forces for graph neural networks. In: *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*. 2022
 73. Allen S M, Cahn J W. A microscopic theory for antiphase boundary motion and its application to antiphase domain coarsening. *Acta metallurgica*, 1979, 27(6): 1085–1095
 74. Zhao K, Kang Q, Song Y, She R, Wang S, Tay W P. Graph neural convection-diffusion with heterophily. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16780, 2023
 75. Li Y, Wang X, Liu H, Shi C. A generalized neural diffusion framework on graphs. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 2024, 8707–8715
 76. Song Y, Zhou C, Wang X, Lin Z. Ordered gnn: Ordering message passing to deal with heterophily and over-smoothing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.01524, 2023
 77. Topping J, Di Giovanni F, Chamberlain B P, Dong X, Bronstein M M. Understanding over-squashing and bottlenecks on graphs via curvature. arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.14522, 2021
 78. Li S, Kim D, Wang Q. Restructuring graph for higher homophily via adaptive spectral clustering. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 2023, 8622–8630
 79. Zheng Y, Zhang H, Lee V, Zheng Y, Wang X, Pan S. Finding the missing-half: Graph complementary learning for homophily-prone and heterophily-prone graphs. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. 2023, 42492–42505
 80. Yang L, Hu W, Xu J, Shi R, He D, Wang C, Cao X, Wang Z, Niu B, Guo Y. Gauss: Graph-customized universal self-supervised learning. In: *Proceedings of the ACM on Web Conference 2024*. 2024, 582–593
 81. Xiao T, Zhu H, Zhang Z, Guo Z, Aggarwal C C, Wang S, Honavar V G. Efficient contrastive learning for fast and accurate inference on graphs. In: *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*
 82. Chen J, Lei R, Wei Z. Polygcl: Graph contrastive learning via learnable spectral polynomial filters. In: *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*. 2024
 83. Wan G, Tian Y, Huang W, Chawla N V, Ye M. S3gcl: Spectral, swift, spatial graph contrastive learning. In: *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*
 84. Yang W, Mirzasoleiman B. Graph contrastive learning under heterophily via graph filters. In: *The 40th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*
 85. Wei Y, Xue M, Liu X, Xu P. Data fusing and joint training for learning with noisy labels. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 2022, 16(6): 166338
 86. Zhou Z, Jin Y X, Li Y F. Rts: learning robustly from time series data with noisy label. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 2024, 18(6): 1–18
 87. Ren L, Jiang L, Zhang W, Li C. Label distribution similarity-based noise correction for crowdsourcing. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 2024, 18(5): 1–12
 88. Dedeoglu E, Kesgin H T, Amasyali M F. A robust optimization method for label noisy datasets based on adaptive threshold: Adaptive-k. *Frontiers of Computer*

- Science, 2024, 18(4): 184315
89. Lei R, Wang Z, Li Y, Ding B, Wei Z. Evnnet: Ignoring odd-hop neighbors improves robustness of graph neural networks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022, 35: 4694–4706
 90. Zhu J, Jin J, Loveland D, Schaub M T, Koutra D. How does heterophily impact the robustness of graph neural networks? theoretical connections and practical implications. In: *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. 2022, 2637–2647
 91. Cheng Y, Shan C, Shen Y, Li X, Luo S, Li D. Label propagation for graph label noise. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.16560*, 2023
 92. Liao N, Luo S, Li X, Shi J. Ld2: Scalable heterophilous graph neural network with decoupled embeddings. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024, 36
 93. Liu H, Liao N, Luo S. Simga: A simple and effective heterophilous graph neural network with efficient global aggregation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.09958*, 2023
 94. Jeh G, Widom J. Simrank: a measure of structural-context similarity. In: *Proceedings of the eighth ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. 2002, 538–543
 95. Gilmer J, Schoenholz S S, Riley P F, Vinyals O, Dahl G E. Message passing neural networks. *Machine learning meets quantum physics*, 2020, 199–214
 96. Yang T, Wang Y, Yue Z, Yang Y, Tong Y, Bai J. Graph pointer neural networks. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*. 2022, 8832–8839
 97. Rusch T K, Chamberlain B P, Mahoney M W, Bronstein M M, Mishra S. Gradient gating for deep multi-rate learning on graphs. In: *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*. 2022
 98. Wang Y, Derr T. Tree decomposed graph neural network. In: *Proceedings of the 30th ACM international conference on information & knowledge management*. 2021, 2040–2049
 99. Lee S Y, Bu F, Yoo J, Shin K. Towards deep attention in graph neural networks: Problems and remedies. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. 2023, 18774–18795
 100. Lin T, Wang Y, Liu X, Qiu X. A survey of transformers. *AI open*, 2022, 3: 111–132
 101. Han K, Wang Y, Chen H, Chen X, Guo J, Liu Z, Tang Y, Xiao A, Xu C, Xu Y, others. A survey on vision transformer. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 2022, 45(1): 87–110
 102. Chen C, Wu Y, Dai Q, Zhou H Y, Xu M, Yang S, Han X, Yu Y. A survey on graph neural networks and graph transformers in computer vision: a task-oriented perspective. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.13232*, 2022
 103. Wu Q, Zhao W, Li Z, Wipf D P, Yan J. Nodeformer: A scalable graph structure learning transformer for node classification. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022, 35: 27387–27401
 104. Deng C, Yue Z, Zhang Z. Polynormer: Polynomial-expressive graph transformer in linear time. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.01232*, 2024
 105. Ma J, He M, Wei Z. Polyformer: Scalable node-wise filters via polynomial graph transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.14459*, 2024
 106. Gao Y, Wang X, He X, Liu Z, Feng H, Zhang Y. Addressing heterophily in graph anomaly detection: A perspective of graph spectrum. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023*. 2023, 1528–1538
 107. Xu F, Wang N, Wu H, Wen X, Zhao X, Wan H. Revisiting graph-based fraud detection in sight of heterophily and spectrum. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 2024, 9214–9222
 108. Wu B, Yao X, Zhang B, Chao K M, Li Y. Splitgcn: Spectral graph neural network for fraud detection against heterophily. In: *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. 2023, 2737–2746
 109. Xiao C, Pang S, Xu X, Li X, Trajcevski G, Zhou F. Counterfactual data augmentation with denoising diffusion for graph anomaly detection. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, 2024
 110. Wen J, Jiang N, Li L, Zhou J, Li Y, Zhan H, Kou G, Gu W, Zhao J. Ta-detector: A gnn-based anomaly detector via trust relationship. *ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications and Applications*, 2024
 111. Zhang R, Cheng D, Liu X, Yang J, Ouyang Y, Wu X, Zheng Y. Generation is better than modification: Combating high class homophily variance in graph anomaly detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.10339*, 2024
 112. Gong Z, Wang G, Sun Y, Liu Q, Ning Y, Xiong H, Peng J. Beyond homophily: Robust graph anomaly detection via neural sparsification. In: *IJCAI*. 2023, 2104–2113
 113. Gao Y, Wang X, He X, Liu Z, Feng H, Zhang Y. Alleviating structural distribution shift in graph anomaly detection. In: *Proceedings of the sixteenth ACM international conference on web search and data mining*. 2023, 357–365
 114. Qiao H, Pang G. Truncated affinity maximization: One-class homophily modeling for graph anomaly detection. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024, 36

115. Pourhabibi T, Ong K L, Kam B H, Boo Y L. Fraud detection: A systematic literature review of graph-based anomaly detection approaches. *Decision Support Systems*, 2020, 133: 113303
116. Shi F, Cao Y, Shang Y, Zhou Y, Zhou C, Wu J. H2fdetector: A gnn-based fraud detector with homophilic and heterophilic connections. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022*. 2022, 1486–1494
117. Kim H, Choi J, Whang J J. Dynamic relation-attentive graph neural networks for fraud detection. In: *2023 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining Workshops (ICDMW)*. 2023, 1092–1096
118. Wang Y, Zhang J, Huang Z, Li W, Feng S, Ma Z, Sun Y, Yu D, Dong F, Jin J, others . Label information enhanced fraud detection against low homophily in graphs. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023*. 2023, 406–416
119. Cresci S. A decade of social bot detection. *Communications of the ACM*, 2020, 63(10): 72–83
120. Deb A, Luceri L, Badaway A, Ferrara E. Perils and challenges of social media and election manipulation analysis: The 2018 us midterms. In: *Companion proceedings of the 2019 world wide web conference*. 2019, 237–247
121. Shi S, Qiao K, Wang Z, Yang J, Song B, Chen J, Yan B. Multi-scale graph neural network with signed-attention for social bot detection: A frequency perspective. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01968*, 2023
122. Ashmore B, Chen L. Hover: Homophilic oversampling via edge removal for class-imbalanced bot detection on graphs. In: *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. 2023, 3728–3732
123. Li S, Qiao B, Li K, Lu Q, Lin M, Zhou W. Multi-modal social bot detection: Learning homophilic and heterophilic connections adaptively. In: *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*. 2023, 3908–3916
124. Zhu G, Zhang L, Jiang Y, Dang Y, Hou H, Shen P, Feng M, Zhao X, Miao Q, Shah S A A, others . Scene graph generation: A comprehensive survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.00443*, 2022
125. Lin X, Ding C, Zeng J, Tao D. Gps-net: Graph property sensing network for scene graph generation. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 2020, 3746–3753
126. Lin X, Ding C, Zhan Y, Li Z, Tao D. Hl-net: Heterophily learning network for scene graph generation. In: *proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2022, 19476–19485
127. Chen L, Song Y, Lin S, Wang C, He G. Kumaraswamy wavelet for heterophilic scene graph generation. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 2024, 1138–1146
128. Wang Y, Wang J, Cao Z, Barati Farimani A. Molecular contrastive learning of representations via graph neural networks. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 2022, 4(3): 279–287
129. Merchant A, Batzner S, Schoenholz S S, Aykol M, Cheon G, Cubuk E D. Scaling deep learning for materials discovery. *Nature*, 2023, 624(7990): 80–85
130. Fang X, Liu L, Lei J, He D, Zhang S, Zhou J, Wang F, Wu H, Wang H. Geometry-enhanced molecular representation learning for property prediction. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 2022, 4(2): 127–134
131. Li H, Han Z, Sun Y, Wang F, Hu P, Gao Y, Bai X, Peng S, Ren C, Xu X, others . Cgmeqa: explainable graph neural network framework with attention mechanisms for cancer gene module dissection. *Nature Communications*, 2024, 15(1): 5997
132. Wang H, Solin A, Garg V. Molecule generation by heterophilous triple flows
133. Wu L, Wen Y, Leng D, Zhang Q, Dai C, Wang Z, Liu Z, Yan B, Zhang Y, Wang J, others . Machine learning methods, databases and tools for drug combination prediction. *Briefings in bioinformatics*, 2022, 23(1): bbab355
134. Cheng F, Kovács I A, Barabási A L. Network-based prediction of drug combinations. *Nature communications*, 2019, 10(1): 1197
135. Chen H, Lu Y, Yang Y, Rao Y. A drug combination prediction framework based on graph convolutional network and heterogeneous information. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, 2022
136. Liu B M, Gao Y L, Li F, Zheng C H, Liu J X. Slgcn: Structure-enhanced line graph convolutional network for predicting drug–disease associations. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 2024, 283: 111187
137. Zhang H, An X, He Q, Yao Y, Zhang Y, Fan F L, Teng Y. Quadratic graph attention network (q-gat) for robust construction of gene regulatory networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.14193*, 2023
138. Fan F, Xiong J, Wang G. Universal approximation with quadratic deep networks. *Neural Networks*, 2020, 124: 383–392
139. Wang Z, Zhu X, Adeli E, Zhu Y, Nie F, Munsell B, Wu G, others . Multi-modal classification of neurodegenerative disease by progressive graph-based transductive learning. *Medical image analysis*, 2017, 39: 218–230

140. Zhu Y, Zhu X, Kim M, Yan J, Kaufer D, Wu G. Dynamic hyper-graph inference framework for computer-assisted diagnosis of neurodegenerative diseases. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 2018, 38(2): 608–616
141. Wang M, Shao W, Huang S, Zhang D. Hypergraph-regularized multimodal learning by graph diffusion for imaging genetics based alzheimer’s disease diagnosis. *Medical Image Analysis*, 2023, 89: 102883
142. Qu Z, Yao T, Liu X, Wang G. A graph convolutional network based on univariate neurodegeneration biomarker for alzheimer’s disease diagnosis. *IEEE Journal of Translational Engineering in Health and Medicine*, 2023, 11: 405–416
143. Cho H, Sim J, Wu G, Kim W H. Neurodegenerative brain network classification via adaptive diffusion with temporal regularization. In: *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*
144. Longa A, Lachi V, Santin G, Bianchini M, Lepri B, Lio P, Scarselli F, Passerini A. Graph neural networks for temporal graphs: State of the art, open challenges, and opportunities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.01018*, 2023
145. Sahili Z A, Awad M. Spatio-temporal graph neural networks: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.10569*, 2023
146. Antelmi A, Cordasco G, Polato M, Scarano V, Spagnuolo C, Yang D. A survey on hypergraph representation learning. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 2023, 56(1): 1–38
147. Zhou Z, Huang Q, Lin G, Yang K, Bai L, Wang Y. Greto: remedying dynamic graph topology-task discordance via target homophily. In: *The eleventh international conference on learning representations*. 2022
148. Veldt N, Benson A R, Kleinberg J. Combinatorial characterizations and impossibilities for higher-order homophily. *Science Advances*, 2023, 9(1): eabq3200
149. Nguyen B, Sani L, Qiu X, Liò P, Lane N D. Sheaf hypernetworks for personalized federated learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.20882*, 2024
150. Wang P, Yang S, Liu Y, Wang Z, Li P. Equivariant hypergraph diffusion neural operators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.06680*, 2022
151. Zou M, Gan Z, Wang Y, Zhang J, Sui D, Guan C, Leng S. Unig-encoder: A universal feature encoder for graph and hypergraph node classification. *Pattern Recognition*, 2024, 147: 110115
152. Xu J, Chen J, You S, Xiao Z, Yang Y, Lu J. Robustness of deep learning models on graphs: A survey. *AI Open*, 2021, 2: 69–78
153. Zhu Y, Xu W, Zhang J, Liu Q, Wu S, Wang L. Deep graph structure learning for robust representations: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.03036*, 2021, 14: 1–1
154. Li Y, Zhou J, Verma S, Chen F. A survey of explainable graph neural networks: Taxonomy and evaluation metrics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12599*, 2022
155. Yuan H, Yu H, Gui S, Ji S. Explainability in graph neural networks: A taxonomic survey. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 2022, 45(5): 5782–5799
156. Kumar A, Singh S S, Singh K, Biswas B. Link prediction techniques, applications, and performance: A survey. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 2020, 553: 124289
157. Zhou S, Guo Z, Aggarwal C, Zhang X, Wang S. Link prediction on heterophilic graphs via disentangled representation learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.01820*, 2022
158. Di Francesco A G, Caso F, Bucarelli M S, Silvestri F. Link prediction under heterophily: A physics-inspired graph neural network approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.14802*, 2024
159. Zhou Z H. A brief introduction to weakly supervised learning. *National science review*, 2018, 5(1): 44–53
160. Xu H, Chen Z, Zhang Y, Geng X, Mi S, Yang Z. Weakly supervised temporal action localization with proxy metric modeling. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 2023, 17(2): 172309
161. Xue Z, Du J, Xu X, Liu X, Wang J, Kou F. Few-shot node classification via local adaptive discriminant structure learning. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 2023, 17(2): 172316
162. Zhu Y, Zhuang F, Zhang X, Qi Z, Shi Z, Cao J, He Q. Combat data shift in few-shot learning with knowledge graph. *Frontiers of Computer Science*, 2023, 17(1): 171305
163. Fan W, Wang S, Huang J, Chen Z, Song Y, Tang W, Mao H, Liu H, Liu X, Yin D, others . Graph machine learning in the era of large language models (llms). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.14928*, 2024
164. Chen Z, Mao H, Li H, Jin W, Wen H, Wei X, Wang S, Yin D, Fan W, Liu H, others . Exploring the potential of large language models (llms) in learning on graphs. *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter*, 2024, 25(2): 42–61
165. Mao Q, Liu Z, Liu C, Li Z, Sun J. Advancing graph representation learning with large language models: A comprehensive survey of techniques. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05952*, 2024