Resource-Efficient Gesture Recognition using Low-Resolution Thermal Camera via Spiking Neural Networks and Sparse Segmentation

Ali Safa^{1,2}, Wout Mommen^{1,3} and Lars Keuninckx¹ ¹ imec, Leuven, Belgium, ² ESAT, KU Leuven, Belgium, ³ ETRO, VUB, Brussels, Belgium

Abstract—This work proposes a novel approach for hand gesture recognition using an inexpensive, low-resolution ($24 \times$ 32) thermal sensor processed by a Spiking Neural Network (SNN) followed by Sparse Segmentation and feature-based gesture classification via Robust Principal Component Analysis (R-PCA). Compared to the use of standard RGB cameras, the proposed system is insensitive to lighting variations while being significantly less expensive compared to high-frequency radars, time-of-flight cameras and high-resolution thermal sensors previously used in literature. Crucially, this paper shows that the innovative use of the recently proposed Monostable Multivibrator (MMV) neural networks as a new class of SNN achieves more than one order of magnitude smaller memory and compute complexity compared to deep learning approaches, while reaching a top gesture recognition accuracy of 93.9% using a 5-class thermal camera dataset acquired in a car cabin, within an automotive context. Our dataset is released for helping future research.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Our dataset can be downloaded at: http://tinyurl.com/4purd2e3

I. INTRODUCTION

Hand gesture recognition is a popular domain of research for human-machine interfacing and has been studied in many different contexts, from touchless interaction with smartphones and computers [10], [11], [8] to in-car driver monitoring applications [4], [9], [17].

In addition, different sensor modalities have been investigated in the literature, from RGB and depth cameras [10] to high-frequency radars and high-resolution thermal camera sensors [20]. Since standard RGB cameras suffer from high sensitivity to lighting conditions [14], [15], the use of more *expensive* sensors such as high-frequency radars [2], [3], as well as *high-resolution* thermal [4] and time-offlight (ToF) cameras [10] has been investigated in scenarios where the gesture detection system must be robust to lighting conditions caused by day-night variations, such as for in-car driver gesture recognition, which is the scenario considered in this paper.

Since radars and ToF cameras can be expensive [18], this work investigates the use of an inexpensive low-resolution thermal sensors for in-cabin driver gesture recognition (see Fig. 1), as a sensing modality not affected by day-night lighting variation.

Crucially, we investigate a complementary approach to previously-proposed deep learning systems such as [18] (which uses deep Temporal Convolution Networks), by proposing a first-of-its-kind thermal gesture recognition system using an event-based or spiking neural networks (SNN) [19]. The SNN acts as a highly memory- and computeefficient wake-up system for a downstream sparse segmentation and feature-based classification pipeline using Robust Principal Component Analysis (R-PCA) [5]. As SNN



Fig. 1: Thermal gesture sensing setup. A 24×32 pixel MLX90640 thermal camera is mounted in a car cabin and used to acquire data for the study of gesture recognition.

model, we use the recently-proposed Monostable Multivibrator (MMV) Neural Networks as a fully-binarized and highly compute- and area-efficient SNN design approach [6], [7]. The contributions of this paper are the following:

- 1) We acquire a novel dataset for the study of in-car gesture recognition via low-resolution thermal camera data (our dataset is released as supplementary material).
- 2) Using our dataset, we present a novel memory- and compute-efficient approach for gesture recognition using the recently proposed MMV event-based neural network followed by R-PCA segmentation and featurebased gesture classification.
- 3) We show that our proposed approach significantly outperforms by more than one order of magnitude the use of deep learning methods in terms of memory and computational costs, while achieving comparable accuracy.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II provides background theory about MMVs and R-PCA segmentation. Section III presents our dataset acquisition and gesture classification methods. Section IV provides our experimental results. Finally, conclusions are provided in Section V.

II. BACKGROUND

A. Network of Monostable Multivibrator Neurons (MMVs)

Recently, a new approach to SNNs has emerged through the use of event-driven binary neurons behaving as Monostable Multivibrator (MMV) timers [6], [7]. Fig. 2 a) shows the working principle of the MMV neurons. The neuron has two types of inputs: an excitatory (EXC) and an inhibitory (INH) one. As soon as an input spike is received in the EXC connection, a state counter is triggered which counts up to T time-steps. As soon as the counter reached T, the MMV counter is reset to zero and an output spike (OUT) is

This research received funding from the Flemish Government under the "Onderzoeksprogramma Artificiële Intelligentie (AI) Vlaanderen" programme.

emitted. On the other hand, if a spike is received in the INH connection while the timer is in the triggered state, the timer is reset to zero and the MMV does not output any spikes.



Fig. 2: Monostable Multivibrator Neuron. a) MMV neuron behavior. b) Single MMV neuron connected to an input spiking vector $\bar{\sigma}$ via the OR-ing binary weights.

Using the event-driven MMV neuron of Fig. 2, networks of fully-connected or recurrent MMV neurons can be built. MMV networks have the additional particularity that all synapses or weight connections are strictly binary: given a d-dimensional vector of spikes $\bar{\sigma}$, each entry i in $\bar{\sigma}$ is either connected to the EXC input, either to the INH input or not connected at all to the downstream MMV neuron. In addition, the weight-input inner product found in conventional deep neural networks is replaced by a simple logical OR operation between the incoming spikes that are connected to either the EXC or the INH input (see Fig. 2 b). Therefore, during training [7], the MMV network essentially learns what input spike source σ_i should be connected to which downstream MMV neuron and with which type of connection (EXC or INH). In addition to learning the binary EXC and INH connections, the integer timer period T_i of each MMV j is learned as well during training [7].

Similar to deep learning, training is carried out using *backprop* with two additional particularities: a) since MMV neurons output spikes and the derivative of a spike in function of the MMV state counter value is ill-defined, this derivative is replaced with a Gaussian function (1) acting as a surrogate gradient (see [13] for an overview of surrogate gradients in SNNs); b) since the weights of the MMV network are strictly binary, a quantization-aware training procedure is followed which slowly binarizes the weights to either EXC, INH or no connections. We refer the reader to [7] for additional details behind MMV network training.

$$\text{OUT}'(\text{STATE}) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-2 \text{ STATE}^2}$$
 (1)

Crucially, MMV networks have been shown to be more than one order of magnitude more hardware-efficient compared to traditional SNNs due to their drastic simplification in both neuron model and binary weight connections (~ 1pJ vs. ~ 200nJ of energy consumption on MNIST compared to state-of-the-art SNN implementations) [7]. Therefore, the compute- and memory-efficient aspect of MMVs justifies their use for our thermal gesture recognition scenario, where our aim is to drastically reduce the hardware footprint compared to conventional deep learning models.

B. Robust Principal Component Analysis (R-PCA)

Robust Principal Component Analysis (R-PCA) [5] is concerned with the recovery of a low-rank signal matrix Laffected by a sparse outlier matrix S with arbitrary amplitude, from the $n_1 \times n_2$ measurement matrix M, with:

$$M = L + S \tag{2}$$

By defining the nuclear norm $||A||_* = \sum_i \sigma_i(A)$ as the sum of the singular values of the matrix A and $||A||_1 = \sum_{ij} |A_{ij}|$ as the l_1 norm of A, it can be shown that the recovery of both L and S from M in (2) can be done by solving the following optimization problem [5]:

$$L, S = \arg\min_{L,S} ||L||_* + \lambda ||S||_1 \text{ s.t } M = L + S \quad (3)$$

where λ is the sparsity defining hyper-parameter [5].

In practice, given a measurement matrix M (such as N_c successive thermal camera data frames), (3) can be solved using the algorithm for Principal Component Pursuit by Alternating Directions provided in [5], with an open *Python* implementation provided in [1]. In this paper, we use R-PCA to segment the thermal camera images in order to isolate the *sparse* moving hand in S from the near-static *dense* background in L (see Fig. 3 c). After this segmentation, the trajectory of the hand performing the gesture can be tracked and used to classify the gesture type (see Section III-B.2).

III. SENSOR PROCESSING METHODS

A. Data Acquisition and Sensing Setup

We mount a MLX90640 24×32 thermal camera inside a car cabin facing the driver seat (see Fig. 1) and use it to collect data at 8 frames per second in both day and night times. The in-cabin changes in temperature caused by the day to night shift adds a challenge to this use case. We consider a 5-class recognition problem composed of: i) *circular clockwise*, ii) *circular counter-clock-wise*, iii) *vertical up-down*, iv) *horizontal right-left* and v) *no gesture* (background and driver's movements). Table I lists all data sequences acquired in this work and Fig. 4 shows gesture acquisition examples from our dataset.

Acquisition Name	Gesture Type	Frames	
no-gesture-{m,n}	no gestures	1853, 1062	
all-gesture-{m,n}	all gestures	2631, 1109	
cirCW-gesture-{m,n}	circular clock-wise	824, 727	
cirCCW-gesture-{m,n}	circular counter-clock-wise	828, 748	
vert-gesture-{m,n}	vertical	853, 766	
hor-gesture-{m,n}	horizontal	790, 769	

TABLE I: Gesture data acquired in this work. In the acquisition name, the suffix -m denotes the morning-noon daytime while the suffix -n denotes night time. The acquisition length is reported accordingly.



Fig. 3: MMV - R-PCA System Overview a) Pre-processing Eq. 4-6 b) Gesture presence detection c) Gesture classification.



Fig. 4: Examples of gestures acquired in our dataset.

B. Proposed Gesture Recognition Pipeline

Our proposed thermal gesture recognition pipeline is a modular system composed of two sub-systems: a) a wake-up system using a very compute-efficient binary MMV network, which is responsible for detecting if any gesture is being performed by the driver; and b) a gesture classification system based on on-line R-PCA thermal camera segmentation and hand-crafted feature extraction. Fig. 3 provides a complete overview of the proposed modular system. The MMV-based wake-up sub-system enables a drastic saving in energy consumption by monitoring when an actual gesture is performed (binary detection) and waking up the more compute-expensive R-PCA scene segmentation pipeline only when needed. Then, the R-PCA segmentation filters the environment-dependent background clutter slowly changing through time (i.e., the low-rank contribution L in Eq. 2) and isolates the pixels corresponding to the fast hand gesture movements as the matrix S in (2). Thus, the R-PCA segmentation helps making our system robust to changes in the background, greatly helping model generalization. The trajectory of the segmented hand movement returned in S can then be directly classified using robust hand-crafted features (see Section III-B.2).

1) MMV gesture detection: We use a recurrent MMV network with C neurons followed by a spike counter (which returns the spike count at the output of each MMV neuron after the T MMV execution time steps) and a 2-class logistic regression layer (composed of a $C \times 2$ weight matrix W_{out} followed by 2 sigmoid neurons) which classifies the spike count result in order to detect if a gesture is being initiated by the user (see the MMV architecture in Fig. 3 b). As soon

as the MMV network detects the execution of a gesture, the R-PCA classification pipeline described in Section III-B.2 is woken up.

As input data to the MMV network, a sliding window containing the past N_c thermal frames (flatten as 1D vectors) at time-step k is aggregated as the matrix $M_{th}[k]$ with dimensions $N_c \times (h_{th}w_{tw})$, where the sensor height and width are respectively $h_{th} = 24$ and $w_{tw} = 32$ in Fig. 1. Then, the thermal camera frames are normalized to a range of pixel values between [0, 1] using:

$$M_{th}[k] \leftarrow \frac{M_{th}[k] - \min(M_{th}[k])}{\max(M_{th}[k]) - \min(M_{th}[k])}$$
(4)

After normalization in (4), the thermal frames are differentiated in time in order to capture the *change* in each pixel, as conventionally done with event-driven neural networks [15]:

$$\Delta M_{th}[k] = M_{th}[k] - M_{th}[k-1]$$
(5)

Due to differentiation (5), the resulting dimension becomes $(N_c - 1) \times (h_{th} w_{tw})$. Then, $\Delta M_{th}[k]$ is transformed into MMV-compatible spiking (binary) data by thresholding the absolute change in pixel intensity against a threshold θ_s :

$$E_{ij}[k] = 1 \text{ if } |\Delta M_{th}[k]| \ge \theta_s \text{ else } E_{ij}[k] = 0 \ \forall i, j \quad (6)$$

Finally, the $(N_c - 1) \times (h_{th}w_{tw})$ spiking matrix E[k] is reshaped to $(N_c - 1)h_{th} \times w_{tw}$ and fed row by row into the MMV network, with input dimension w_{tw} during $T = (N_c - 1)h_{th}$ MMV execution time steps. Fig. 3 a) shows how the thermal data is fed into the MMV network for gesture detection. The MMV network is trained through backprop following the method described in Section II-A, and used to detect the execution of a gesture by the user (see Fig. 3 b).

2) R-PCA segmentation and feature-based classification: As soon as the MMV network detects the start of a gesture, the R-PCA and classification is woken up. The collection of N_c consecutive thermal camera frames $M_{th}[k]$ at timestep k previously used by the MMVs to detect the presence of a gesture in Fig. 3 a) is decomposed by R-PCA into a low-rank contribution $L_{th}[k]$ containing the quasi-static background, and a sparse contribution $S_{th}[k]$ containing nonzero pixels corresponding to the faster gesture movement (see Fig. 3 c). The centroid location of the hand performing the gesture is derived from the sparse image $S_{th}[k]$ as the center coordinate $[x_k, y_k]$ of the hand blob [12], and noted $\bar{p}_c[k] = [x_k, y_k]$. Then, the hand is tracked throughout time k by low-pass filtering the evolution of $\bar{p}_c[k]$ to alleviate noise and registering the low-pass-filtered coordinate $\bar{p}_{c}^{l}[k]$ as a new point in the track history:

$$T = \{\bar{p}_c^l[k], \forall k = k^* - L, ..., k^*\}$$
(7)

where k^* is the current time step, L is the track length and:

$$\bar{p}_{c}^{l}[k+1] = \beta \bar{p}_{c}^{l}[k] + (1-\beta)\bar{p}_{c}[k+1]$$
(8)

where $\beta \in [0, 1]$ is the decay parameter setting the strength of the low-pass filter.

Finally, the gesture class is derived from the track history T in (7) as follows:

1) If the *difference* between maximum extend in the xand y directions $D_x = \max(T_x) - \min(T_x)$ and $D_y = \max(T_y) - \min(T_y)$ is smaller than a threshold $\theta_{c,1}$: $|D_x - D_y| < \theta_{c,1}$; and the minimum diameter is larger than a threshold $\theta_{c,2}$: min $(D_x, D_y) > \theta_{c,2}$, the gesture is classified as *circular*.

The circular gesture is considered *clock-wise* if the Tangular evolution of the track $T_{\phi} = \arctan \frac{T_y}{T_x}$ is decreasing and considered *counter-clock-wise* in the opposite case.

- 2) Else, we test if the gesture is vertical or horizontal by first measuring the variances of the track evolution along the x-axis and along the y-axis: σ_x² and σ_y². If the variance along the y-axis is larger than along the x-axis σ_y² > σ_x², the gesture is registered as *vertical*.
 3) Finally, if the inverse is true: σ_x² > σ_y², the gesture is registered as *horizontal*.
- registered as *horizontal*.

The first case effectively verifies circularity of the track by checking if diameters along the x- and y- are similar (as it is the case for a circle), and also checks whether the circle is larger than a certain minimum diameter $\theta_{c,2}$. If the first case is not verified, the vertical or horizontal nature of the gesture is identified by checking along which axis changes occur the most by, measuring the variances of T_x and T_y .

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We evaluate the accuracy of the proposed system as follows. We first train the MMV wake-up system using only the *no-gesture-m* and *all-gesture-m* acquisitions in Table I, randomly partitioned into a training and validation set following a 70%-30% split. The training is done using the Adam optimizer with learning rate $\eta = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ and batch size 32 during 50 epochs. MMV network binarization starts from epoch 10 and ends at epoch 25. After binarization, the model keeps on being trained for an addition 25 epochs and MMV accuracy is assessed on the validation set after each epoch. The MMV network parameters with maximum validation accuracy is kept as the final model. Training is carried for three MMV network configurations using respectively 125, 250 and 500 neurons. In addition, Table II lists the various MMV and R-PCA hyper-parameters used in our experiments (tuned manually for reducing compute complexity while not sacrificing accuracy).

N_c	h_{tw}	w_{tw}	L	β	θ_s	$\theta_{c,1}$	$\theta_{c,2}$	λ
5	24	32	10	0.5	0.2	5	5	0.05

TABLE II: System hyper-parameters.

Then, the MMV network is integrated into our proposed modular gesture recognition system described in Section III-B (see Fig. 3) and accuracy is assessed using all other acquisitions of Table I. This assessment approach makes the problem challenging since the night-time data is not used during the model training, but rather only used during testing. These aspects enable a challenging and fair assessment of model generalization to sensing contexts outside its training data (i.e., daytime vs. night-time).

The performance of the proposed MMV - R-PCA system is reported in Table III and compared against the state-ofthe-art 9-class deep learning method proposed in [18] using a Temporal Convolution Network (TCN) with the same lowresolution sensor used in this work (see Fig. 1). We also compare our proposed system against a baseline MMV-only classifier (using 500 MMV neurons), without the R-PCA classification pipeline of Section III-B.2.

Model	Accuracy [%]	Parameters (kB)	Avg. FLOPS
TCN f128 [18]	95.9	12550	622.4M
TCN f64 [18]	93	1090	34.01M
Ours (125)	92.6	36.7	129k + 1.7M
Ours (250)	93.56	50.5	257k + 1.7M
Ours (500)	93.9	101.4	513k + 1.7M
MMV only (500)	85.4	76.7	1.28M

TABLE III: Accuracy, Parameter Utilization and FLOPS. The average FLOPS is computed assuming a maximum user gesture execution rate of 1 gesture per minute. For our proposed systems (with 125, 250 or 500 neurons), the Avg. FLOPS is reported as "MMV + R-PCA". We also train an MMV-only setup (without R-PCA) as baseline model.

Table III shows that our proposed MMV - R-PCA system significantly outperforms the use of TCN in terms of parameter utilization (memory) and average Float Operation Per Seconds (FLOPS)¹. In addition, Table III demonstrates that our proposed R-PCA-based classification approach of Section III-B.2 leads to a significant gain of +8.5% in accuracy compared to the MMV-only baseline classifier. On the other hand, the TCN in [18] achieves superior accuracy to our system, with the ability to recognize more classes than ours (9 vs. 5 classes), while suffering from more than one order of magnitude more memory and compute complexity.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a memory- and compute-efficient gesture recognition system using low-resolution thermal camera data. After acquiring a novel thermal camera dataset for gesture recognition, a first-of-its-kind system using the recently-proposed MMV approach followed by conventional R-PCA and feature-based gesture classification has been presented. Thanks to its use of an ultra-low-complexity MMV wake-up system, it was shown that the proposed gesture detector achieves close accuracy to state-of-the-art deep learning systems while consuming more than one order of magnitude less memory and compute power. Hence, the proposed approach is well-suited for application cases where accuracy can be slightly traded off for a significant gain in memory and compute efficiency. Finally, we released our dataset for helping future research.

¹The FLOPS for the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) executed for R-PCA [1] is computed by considering the formula provided by [16] for the number of operations in SVD: $n_{svd} = 2N_c(h_{th}w_{th})^2 + 11(h_{th}w_{th})^3$ and considering a maximum number of 100 iterations in R-PCA [1].

REFERENCES

- [1] Principal component pursuit by alternating directions. https://

- Principal component pursuit by alternating directions. https://github.com/dganguli/robust-pca. Accessed: 2023-12-19.
 M. Arsalan, A. Santra, and V. Issakov. Radarsnn: A resource efficient gesture sensing system based on mm-wave radar. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, 70(4):2451-2461, 2022.
 D. Auge, J. Hille, E. Mueller, and A. Knoll. Hand gesture recognition in range-doppler images using binary activated spiking neural networks. In 2021 16th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG 2021), pages 01–07, 2021.
 G. Borghi, E. Frigieri, R. Vezzani, and R. Cucchiara. Hands on the wheel: A dataset for driver hand detection and tracking. In 2018 13th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG 2018), pages 564-570, 2018.
 E. J. Candès, X. Li, Y. Ma, and J. Wright. Robust principal component analysis? J. ACM, 58(3), jun 2011.
 L. Keuninckx, J. Danckaert, and G. Van der Sande. Monostable multivibrators as novel artificial neurons. Neural Networks, 108:224-239, 2018.

- 239, 2018. L. Keuninckx, M. Hartmann, P. Detterer, A. Safa, and I. Ocket. Not bi-ologically inspired: On training networks of monostable multivibrator [7]
- O. Köpüklü, A. Gunduz, N. Kose, and G. Rigoll. Real-time hand ges-ture detection and classification using convolutional neural networks. [8]
- In 2019 14th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG 2019), pages 1–8, 2019.
 [9] O. Köpüklü, T. Ledwon, Y. Rong, N. Kose, and G. Rigoll. Drivermhg: A multi-modal dataset for dynamic recognition of driver micro hand gestures and a real-time recognition framework. In 2020 15th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (EC 2020) pages 77.84, 2020.
- [10] Z. Lu, G. Xiao, Z. Luo, P. Jin, K. Li, and J. Yin. A new rgb-d gesture video dataset for real-life scenarios. In 2021 16th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG 2021), es 1-5, 2021
- pages 1–5, 2021.
 [11] J.-M. Maro, G. Lenz, C. Reeves, and R. Benosman. Event-based visual gesture recognition with background suppression running on a smartphone. In 2019 14th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG 2019), pages 1–1, 2019.
 [12] S. R. Mokalla and T. Bourlai. Robust lwir-based eye center detection through thermal to visible image synthesis. In 2021 16th IEEE Internetional Conference on Automatic Conference on Conference on Automatic Conference on Automat
- International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG 2021), pages 1–8, 2021.
 [13] E. O. Neftci, H. Mostafa, and F. Zenke. Surrogate gradient learning
- [15] E. O. Ivenet, H. Mostala, and F. Zenet. Surlogate gradient learning in spiking neural networks: Bringing the power of gradient-based optimization to spiking neural networks. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 36(6):51–63, 2019.
 [14] A. Safa, T. Verbelen, I. Ocket, A. Bourdoux, F. Catthoor, and G. G. E. Gielen. Fail-safe human detection for drones using a multi-modal
- curriculum learning approach. IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, 7(1):303–310, 2022. [15] A. Safa, T. Verbelen, I. Ocket, A. Bourdoux, H. Sahli, F. Catthoor,
- and G. Gielen. Fusing event-based camera and radar for slam using spiking neural networks with continual stdp learning. In 2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pages
- International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pages 2782–2788, 2023.
 [16] L. N. Trefethen and D. Bau. Numerical Linear Algebra. SIAM, 1997.
 [17] F. Trenta, S. Conoci, F. Rundo, and S. Battiato. Advanced motion-tracking system with multi-layers deep learning framework for innovative car-driver drowsiness monitoring. In 2019 14th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition (FG 2019), pages 1.5, 2019. [18] M. Vandersteegen, W. Reusen, K. V. Beeck, and T. Goedemé. Low-
- M. vandersteegen, W. Reusen, K. V. Beeck, and T. Goedenie. Low-latency hand gesture recognition with a low resolution thermal imager. In 2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW), pages 440–449, 2020.
 A. Vasudevan, P. Negri, B. Linares-Barranco, and T. Serrano-Gotarredona. Introduction and analysis of an event-based sign language dataset. In 2020 15th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Contine Reaconities (EC 2020) pages 675–682
- [19] Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG 2020), pages 675-682,
- 2020. Z. Zhao, J. Zhang, and S. Shan. Noise robust hard example mining for human detection with efficient depth-thermal fusion. In 2020 15th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG 2020), pages 809–813, 2020. [20]