

EVERY SPECTRUM IS THE K-THEORY OF A STABLE ∞ -CATEGORY

MAXIME RAMZI, VLADIMIR SOSNILO, AND CHRISTOPH WINGES

ABSTRACT. We prove that any spectrum is equivalent to the nonconnective K-theory of a stable ∞ -category. We use these results to construct a stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} with a bounded t-structure such that $K(\mathcal{C})$ is not equivalent to $K(\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit)$, disproving a conjecture of Antieau, Gepner, and Heller.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Reminder on localizing invariants	3
2. Reminder on the Dundas–McCarthy theorem	4
3. Categorification of spectra	6
4. Bounded t-structures and the theorem of the heart	11
5. Counterexample to the nonconnective theorem of the heart	14
References	16

INTRODUCTION

0.1. Throughout the history of mathematics it has been observed that important numerical invariants of mathematical objects admit *categorifications*. For example, the Euler characteristics and the Betti numbers of a space are categorified by the homology, the Hilbert polynomial of a scheme is categorified by the coherent cohomology, and various knot-theoretic invariants are categorified by Khovanov homology [Kho00] and Knot-Floer homology [OS04]. More precisely, categorifying an invariant valued in some abelian group A means finding a stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C}_A with $K_0(\mathcal{C}_A) \simeq A$ such that the given invariant lifts to functor valued in \mathcal{C}_A . In this situation, \mathcal{C}_A is thought of as a categorification of A . In [Kho16] Mikhail Khovanov asked several questions about the extent to which categorification is possible. In particular, he asked whether it is possible to categorify the rational numbers, that is, to find a stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ with $K_0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Q}}) \simeq \mathbf{Q}$. On the level of abelian groups, this question was eventually answered in the paper [BGH⁺19]. More generally, for a given stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} and a set of primes $S \subset \mathbf{Z}$ they construct a stable ∞ -category $S^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ satisfying

$$K(S^{-1}\mathcal{C}) \simeq S^{-1}K(\mathcal{C}).$$

In this paper we show that every spectrum admits a categorification.

Theorem A (Theorem 3.1). *For every spectrum M there exists a small idempotent complete stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C}_M such that*

$$K(\mathcal{C}_M) \simeq M.$$

Here K denotes the nonconnective K-theory spectrum. Moreover, the construction of \mathcal{C}_M is functorial in M .

One could also view this result as a variant of Thomason’s theorem that says that every connective spectrum can be recovered as the group completion of the underlying monoid of a symmetric monoidal 1-category [Tho95].

To prove this theorem, we first show that several natural constructions one may perform with the K-theory spectrum can be naturally lifted to constructions on the categorical level. These include:

- (1) suspensions (Proposition 3.7);
- (2) loops (Theorem 3.5);
- (3) cofibers of maps induced by functors (Proposition 3.7).

The rest of the proof uses the Dundas–McCarthy formula for computing $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbf{S}; M) \simeq M$ (Theorem 2.8) and carefully performs all the constructions involved in it at the categorical level.

0.2. One of the most fundamental results about algebraic K-theory is the *theorem of the heart*. In Barwick’s formulation [Bar15], it says that for any small stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} with a bounded t-structure, there is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{cn}}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit)) \simeq \mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{cn}}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Here K^{cn} stands for connective K-theory. Antieau, Gepner, and Heller observed that this equivalence can be extended to nonconnective K-theory, as long as the heart \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit is a noetherian abelian category [AGH19, Theorem 1.3]. Their Conjecture C states that the *nonconnective theorem of the heart* holds in general, i.e. the map

$$u : \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit)) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{C})$$

is always an equivalence, without any assumptions on the heart. This was also asked by Burklund and Levy [BL23, Question 1.4]. As the main application of our results, we show that it is not true.

Theorem B (5.4.1). *The nonconnective theorem of the heart is false: there exists a small stable ∞ -category with a bounded t-structure such that the map u is not an equivalence.*

The construction of a counterexample is surprisingly easy, once we have Theorem A. We start by building a stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} whose K-theory has sufficiently nontrivial *chromatic* behaviour. Given such an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} we consider a new small stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{Ac}(\mathcal{C})$ that admits a bounded t-structure¹ (see Section 5), and whose K-theory spectrum happens to be chromatically similar to $\mathrm{K}(\mathcal{C})$. This immediately yields a counterexample, as the chromatic behaviour of $\mathrm{K}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit))$ is always very simple.

Using the same example we also show that the nonconnective K-theory of a stable ∞ -category with a bounded t-structure is not \mathbb{A}^n -invariant (Remark 5.5).

0.3. Notation.

- We will denote by \mathcal{S} the ∞ -category of spaces and by Spt – the ∞ -category of spectra.
- We will denote by $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty^{\mathrm{st}}$ the ∞ -category of small stable ∞ -categories and by $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty^{\mathrm{perf}}$ the subcategory of idempotent complete ∞ -categories. For $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{Cat}_\infty^{\mathrm{st}}$ we denote by $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ the ∞ -category of (left) exact functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} . The ∞ -category of presentable stable ∞ -categories is denoted by $\mathcal{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{L}}$.
- For ∞ -categories with products \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} we denote by $\mathrm{Fun}_\times(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ the ∞ -category of product-preserving functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} . We will denote by $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty^{\mathrm{padd}}$ the ∞ -category of small additive ∞ -categories which are idempotent complete.
- Given a stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} and $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ we denote by $\mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ the corresponding mapping spectrum.
- We use the notation $\mathrm{K}(-)$ for the **nonconnective** K-theory spectrum. The connective K-theory spectrum, as defined in [Bar16], is denoted with $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{cn}}(-)$. Note that $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{cn}}(-)$ is not invariant under idempotent completion.

¹This construction is an adaptation of the construction of Neeman [Nee21, Section 1] to the more general setting.

0.4. Acknowledgements. We want to thank Benjamin Antieau, Denis-Charles Cisinski, Sasha Efimov, Niklas Kipp, Ishan Levy and Marco Varisco for useful conversations and their comments on an early draft of the paper. The first author is supported by the Danish National Research Foundation through the Copenhagen Centre for Geometry and Topology (DNRF151). The second and third author are supported by the SFB 1085 “Higher Invariants” funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgesellschaft (DFG).

1. REMINDER ON LOCALIZING INVARIANTS

In our exposition we mostly follow the terminology of [BGT13] and [Hoy17]. Note, however, that we consider a slightly more general notion of a localizing invariant (as in [LT19] or [BKRS22]).

Definition 1.1. We say that a diagram in $\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{st}}$

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{C}$$

is a **Karoubi–Verdier sequence** if f is fully faithful, the composite $g \circ f$ is trivial and the induced functor

$$\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

is an equivalence up to idempotent completion.

We say that it is a **Verdier sequence** if g is essentially surjective and the essential image of f is closed under retracts.

Definition 1.2. Let \mathcal{E} be a stable ∞ -category. A functor

$$E : \text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

is said to be a **localizing invariant** if for any Karoubi–Verdier sequence $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ in $\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}}$ the sequence

$$E(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{C})$$

is a fiber sequence.

Definition 1.3. Let \mathcal{E} be a cocomplete stable ∞ -category. We say that a functor

$$E : \text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

is **finitary** if it preserves filtered colimits. For a cocomplete stable ∞ -category \mathcal{D} we denote the subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}}, \mathcal{D})$ consisting of finitary localizing invariants by $\text{Fun}^{\text{loc,fin}}(\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}}, \mathcal{D})$.

Example 1.4. The nonconnective K-theory functor $K : \text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \text{Spt}$ is a finitary localizing invariant.

Theorem 1.5 (Blumberg, Gepner & Tabuada [BGT13, Theorem 8.7]). *There exists a functor*

$$\mathcal{U}_{\text{loc}} : \text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}$$

initial among finitary localizing invariants with values in a presentable stable ∞ -category.

The ∞ -category \mathcal{M}_{loc} is naturally presentably symmetric monoidal and the functor \mathcal{U}_{loc} admits a symmetric monoidal enhancement upon considering the symmetric monoidal structure of [BZFN10, 4.1.2] on $\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}}$ (see also [BGT13, Section 3.1]). This structure allows us to identify the nonconnective K-theory naturally in this setting:

Theorem 1.6 (Blumberg, Gepner & Tabuada [BGT13, Theorem 9.8]). *The unit $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}$ is compact and for any stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} there is a natural equivalence*

$$\text{map}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}}(\mathbf{1}, \mathcal{U}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq K(\mathcal{C}).$$

The following easy corollary of Theorem 1.6 will come in handy later in the paper.

Corollary 1.7. *Any natural equivalence $K \rightarrow K$ is either homotopic to id or $-\text{id}$.*

Proof. By Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 we have an equivalence of rings

$$\pi_0 \text{Nat}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}) \simeq \pi_0 \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{S}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}.$$

The result follows since the only units on the right-hand side are 1 and -1. \square

2. REMINDER ON THE DUNDAS–MCCARTHY THEOREM

In this section, we remind the reader of a special case of a nonconnective variant of the Dundas–McCarthy theorem. To state this result, we need to introduce twisted endomorphism ∞ -categories.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a small stable ∞ -category. By a \mathcal{C} -bimodule we simply mean an exact functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark 2.2. A \mathcal{C} -bimodule T gives rise to a colimit-preserving functor

$$T : \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}).$$

We often abuse the notation and denote the latter by the same letter.

Example 2.3. If M is an R -bimodule for some ring spectrum R , $M \otimes_{R-} : \mathbf{Perf}_R \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathbf{Perf}_R)$ defines a \mathbf{Perf}_R -bimodule.

Definition 2.4 ([NS18, Definition II.1.4]). Let \mathcal{C} be a small stable ∞ -category, and $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ a \mathcal{C} -bimodule. The ∞ -category $\text{End}(\mathcal{C}; T)$ of **twisted endomorphisms** is the lax equalizer of the Yoneda embedding and T :

$$\mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{j} \\ \xrightarrow{T} \end{array} \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}).$$

In other words, $\text{End}(\mathcal{C}; T)$ is the pullback of the cospan

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})) & & \\ & \downarrow (s,t) & \\ \mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{j} \\ \xrightarrow{T} \end{array} \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \times \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}). & & \end{array}$$

Its objects are pairs $(x, f : x \rightarrow Tx)$, $x \in \mathcal{C}$, and its morphisms are given by commutative squares.

Remark 2.5. When $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Perf}_A$ and $T = \Sigma M \otimes_A -$ for A a ring spectrum and M an A -bimodule, there is a fully faithful embedding $\mathbf{Perf}_{A \oplus M} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{Perf}_A; \Sigma M \otimes_A -)$ whose essential image consists of nilpotent twisted endomorphisms, i.e. objects $(P, P \rightarrow \Sigma M \otimes_A P)$ such that for $n \gg 0$, the composite

$$P \rightarrow \Sigma M \otimes_A P \rightarrow \Sigma^2 M^{\otimes_A 2} \otimes_A P \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Sigma^n M^{\otimes_A n} \otimes_A P$$

is null. In particular, if A and M are connective, this fully faithful embedding is an equivalence. We refer to [Ras18, Proposition 3.2.2] for a sketch of proof, and to [Bar22, Theorem 2.34] for a more detailed proof.

We will use the above remark specifically when $A = \mathbf{S}$ and $M = \Sigma^n \mathbf{S}$ for some n .

Construction 2.6. Let E be a functor from $\text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}}$ to a stable ∞ -category, and let T be a \mathcal{C} -bimodule for some small (idempotent complete) stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} . There is a retraction of $\text{End}(\mathcal{C}; T)$ onto \mathcal{C} : the inclusion is given by sending x to $(x, x \xrightarrow{0} Tx)$, and the retraction by sending $(x, x \rightarrow Tx)$ to x . We let $\tilde{E}(\mathcal{C}; T)$ denote the cofiber of the map $E(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow E(\text{End}(\mathcal{C}; T))$ induced by the inclusion. It is a direct summand of $E(\text{End}(\mathcal{C}; T))$, so it can be equivalently expressed as the fiber of the retraction.

For $\mathcal{C} = \text{Spt}^\omega = \mathbf{Perf}_{\mathbf{S}}$ and $T = M \otimes -$, we will abbreviate $\tilde{E}(\text{End}(\text{Spt}^\omega; M)) := \tilde{E}(\text{End}(\text{Spt}^\omega; M \otimes -))$.

We recall the following from [Lur17, Theorem 6.1.1.10, Example 6.1.1.28]:

Lemma 2.7. *Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a pointed functor between stable ∞ -categories, where \mathcal{D} admits sequential colimits. Its first Goodwillie derivative, P_1F , defined as the initial exact functor below F , is given by the formula*

$$\underset{n}{\operatorname{colim}} \Omega^n F(\Sigma^n -).$$

In particular, the latter is exact.

In this language, the main result of this section is:

Theorem 2.8. *The functor $P_1\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}(\operatorname{Spt}^\omega; -) : \operatorname{Spt} \simeq \operatorname{Fun}^L(\operatorname{Spt}, \operatorname{Spt}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spt}$ is equivalent to the identity, i.e. we have a natural equivalence*

$$M \simeq \underset{n}{\operatorname{colim}} \Omega^n \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}(\operatorname{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M)$$

Remark 2.9. This theorem is a special case of a more general theorem comparing $P_1\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}(\mathcal{C}; -)$ and $\operatorname{THH}(\mathcal{C}; -)$ for a fixed \mathcal{C} ; in fact, this comparison can be made natural in \mathcal{C} , too. We make a few remarks about this more general statement: using trace theories following Nikolaus' talk in [Mat18], one can give a completely natural and structured proof of this equivalence. Such a proof will appear in a forthcoming work of the first named author; in fact, using much less technology (but similar ideas), and for a fixed \mathcal{C} , one can *deduce* the general result from the special case $\mathcal{C} = \operatorname{Spt}^\omega$. A different proof will also appear in a forthcoming work of Harpaz, Nikolaus and Saunier. Finally, we point out that a proof is sketched in [Ras18, Theorem 3.10.1].

We also note that our proof here will in fact *use* the original theorem of Dundas–McCarthy [DM94], but the aforementioned approaches do not, and deduce it as a special case.

Remark 2.10. In the setting of Remark 2.5, and for a simplicial ring A , the result is simply the Dundas–McCarthy theorem, proved in [DM94]. Note that Dundas and McCarthy obtain $\operatorname{THH}(A, \Sigma M)$ rather than $\operatorname{THH}(A, M)$ precisely because of the Σ appearing in Remark 2.5.

Lemma 2.11. *Let \mathcal{C} be a small stable ∞ -category. The functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{ex}}(\mathcal{C}, \operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{C})) &\rightarrow \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}^{\operatorname{perf}} \\ T &\mapsto \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{C}; T) \end{aligned}$$

preserves filtered colimits.

Proof. Let $T_\bullet : I \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}_{\operatorname{ex}}(\mathcal{C}, \operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$ be a filtered diagram, let $T := \underset{I}{\operatorname{colim}} T_i$ and consider the assembly map $\underset{I}{\operatorname{colim}} \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{C}; T_i) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{C}; T)$. We first note that a simple calculation on mapping spaces proves that this is fully faithful. Now given $(x, x \rightarrow Tx)$ in the target, as $x \in \mathcal{C}$ is compact in $\operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$, we find that the map $x \rightarrow Tx$ factors through $T_i x$ for some i , so that $(x, x \rightarrow Tx)$ is in the image of $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{C}; T_i) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{C}; T)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.8. By Lemma 2.7 (or by definition), the functor $P_1\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}(\operatorname{Spt}^\omega, -) : \operatorname{Spt} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spt}$ is an exact functor, and by Lemma 2.11 together with the fact that K-theory preserves filtered colimits, it is a filtered colimit-preserving functor. All in all, we are trying to identify a colimit-preserving functor $\operatorname{Spt} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spt}$. Such a functor is completely determined by the image of \mathbf{S} , in our situation, by $\underset{n}{\operatorname{colim}} \Omega^n \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}(\operatorname{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n \mathbf{S})$.

By Remark 2.5, this is equivalently given by $\underset{n}{\operatorname{colim}} \Omega^n \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{S} \oplus \Sigma^{n-1} \mathbf{S})$, and we simply claim this is the sphere spectrum. This is now essentially the main result of [DM94]. Strictly speaking, the authors in [DM94] only prove this for simplicial rings and simplicial bimodules, but the case of ring spectra is proved in [DGM13, Theorem 5.3.5.1], where they deduce it from that case by some connectivity arguments. Alternatively, one can use the methods of [Wal78], or one can compare $\mathbf{S} \oplus \Sigma^{n-1} \mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{S}[\Omega(\Sigma^n S^0)]$ (there is a highly connected map between the two) and reduce to the original results of Goodwillie [Goo90].

In any case, it follows that in this case, $P_1\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}(\operatorname{Spt}^\omega; -) \simeq \operatorname{id}_{\operatorname{Spt}}$. \square

3. CATEGORIFICATION OF SPECTRA

The goal of this section is proving the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a spectrum. Then there exists a small idempotent complete stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C}_M such that*

$$K(\mathcal{C}_M) \simeq M.$$

Moreover, the assignment $M \mapsto \mathcal{C}_M$ is functorial.

3.2. Reminder on the Calkin construction. For a small stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} we denote by $\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})$ the ω_1 -small Calkin category of \mathcal{C} , i.e. the idempotent completion of the Verdier quotient of the Yoneda embedding

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}.$$

Here $(-)^{\omega_1}$ denotes the subcategory of ω_1 -compact objects. Note that $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}$ and $\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})$ are small ∞ -categories.

3.3. Categorifying suspensions and loops. Observe that $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}$ admits an Eilenberg swindle and, in particular, $K(\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}) \simeq 0$. This implies that Calk categorifies the suspension:

Proposition 3.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a small idempotent complete stable ∞ -category. Then*

$$K(\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \Sigma K(\mathcal{C}).$$

Less trivially, it is also possible to categorify loops.

Theorem 3.5. *There exists a functor $\Gamma : \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{perf}}$ and a canonical equivalence*

$$K(\Gamma\mathcal{C}) \simeq \Omega K(\mathcal{C}).$$

3.5.1. To prove Theorem 3.5 we need to recall a few constructions from [KW19]. Denote by $F\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Fun}(\mathbf{N}, \mathcal{C})$ the full subcategory of those filtered objects in \mathcal{C} which stabilize after finitely many steps, and let $F^q\mathcal{C} \subseteq F\mathcal{C}$ be the full subcategory of those filtered objects which stabilize at 0. Sending an essentially finite filtration to its associated graded defines a functor $\text{gr} : F\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathbf{N}} \mathcal{C}$. Define the ∞ -categories $B\mathcal{C}$, $B^t\mathcal{C}$ and $B^q\mathcal{C}$ of *binary complexes*, *binary top-acyclic complexes* and *binary acyclic complexes* by the following pullbacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\top} & F\mathcal{C} \\ \perp \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{gr} \\ F\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{gr}} & \bigoplus_{\mathbf{N}} \mathcal{C} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B^t\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\top} & F^q\mathcal{C} \\ \perp \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{gr} \\ F\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{gr}} & \bigoplus_{\mathbf{N}} \mathcal{C} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B^q\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\top} & F^q\mathcal{C} \\ \perp \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{gr} \\ F^q\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{gr}} & \bigoplus_{\mathbf{N}} \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

Note that we have natural fully faithful functors $B^q\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B^t\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B\mathcal{C}$. The identity functors induce natural transformations $\Delta : F \Rightarrow B$ and $\Delta : F^q \Rightarrow B^q$.

Kasprowski and the third author have obtained the following result.

Theorem 3.6 ([KW19, Theorem 1.1]). *We have a canonical equivalence*

$$\text{Cofib}(K(F^q\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{K(\Delta)} K(B^q\mathcal{C})) \simeq \Omega K(\mathcal{C}).$$

Now to deduce Theorem 3.5 it suffices to show that one can categorify the cofiber of the map $K(\Delta)$ in Theorem 3.6. It turns out that even the following more general claim holds.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an exact functor of small stable ∞ -categories. Then there exist a fully faithful exact functor $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ and an exact functor $P : \mathcal{D}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that $P \circ G \simeq F$ and P fits into a right-split Verdier sequence $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}' \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{D}$. This factorization can be chosen functorially in F .*

In particular, there exist a small stable ∞ -category $\text{Cone}(F)$ and an exact functor $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Cone}(F)$ that induces an equivalence

$$\text{Cofib}(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}(F)} \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{D})) \simeq \mathbf{K}(\text{Cone}(F)).$$

Proof. Recall the following construction by Georg Tamme [Tam18]. Consider a commutative square of stable ∞ -categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{B} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \mathcal{A}' & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{B}' \end{array}$$

such that the map $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \times_{\mathcal{B}'} \mathcal{B}$ is fully faithful. Denote by $\mathcal{A}' \overset{\rightarrow}{\times}_{\mathcal{B}'} \mathcal{B}$ the lax pullback, i.e. the pullback of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathcal{B}') & \\ & \downarrow (s, \ell) & \\ \mathcal{A}' \times \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}' \times \mathcal{B}'. \end{array}$$

There are projection functors from $\mathcal{A}' \overset{\rightarrow}{\times}_{\mathcal{B}'} \mathcal{B}$ to \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} split by fully faithful inclusions in the opposite directions. Under our assumptions, we also have a fully faithful functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \overset{\rightarrow}{\times}_{\mathcal{B}'} \mathcal{B}$ sending a to $(p(a), f(a), qp(a) \simeq gf(a))$ and a Karoubi–Verdier sequence

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \overset{\rightarrow}{\times}_{\mathcal{B}'} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \odot_{\mathcal{B}'}^{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}.$$

Moreover, the composite functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}' \overset{\rightarrow}{\times}_{\mathcal{B}'} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is equivalent to f . It follows from [Tam18, Proposition 10] that we have an equivalence $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}' \overset{\rightarrow}{\times}_{\mathcal{B}'} \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}') \oplus \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B})$ induced by the two projections. Using this and the fact that $\mathbf{K}(-)$ sends Karoubi–Verdier sequences to cofiber sequences, we obtain a canonical equivalence

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}' \odot_{\mathcal{B}'}^{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}) \simeq \text{Cofib}(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}') \oplus \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{B})).$$

Now for any exact functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ we can apply the above construction to the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1} \\ F \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ind}(\mathcal{D})^{\omega_1} \end{array}$$

to obtain a factorization

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \overset{\rightarrow}{\times}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{D})^{\omega_1}} \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

of F into a fully faithful exact functor and a split Verdier projection with kernel $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{D} \odot_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{D})^{\omega_1}}^{\mathcal{C}} \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}) \simeq \text{Cofib}(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{D})).$$

Hence we may take $\text{Cone}(F)$ to be $\mathcal{D} \odot_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{D})^{\omega_1}}^{\mathcal{C}} \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}$ and the functor $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Cone}(F)$ to be the composite of

$$\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D} \overset{\rightarrow}{\times}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{D})^{\omega_1}} \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \odot_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{D})^{\omega_1}}^{\mathcal{C}} \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}. \quad \square$$

3.7.1. The next important step is to show that, along with the suspension and loops functors, the unit equivalence

$$\eta : x \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega \Sigma x$$

can be categorified.

Theorem 3.8. *There exists a natural functor*

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Gamma \text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})$$

that induces the unit equivalence $\text{K}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega \Sigma \text{K}(\mathcal{C})$ under the equivalences from Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 3.5.

Proof. The natural functors

$$\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1} \rightarrow F^q \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}, \quad X \mapsto X^{\oplus \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} X^{\oplus \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

and

$$\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1} \rightarrow F \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}, \quad X \mapsto X^{\oplus \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} X \oplus X^{\oplus \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \dots$$

become naturally equivalent after composition with gr since both filtrations have associated graded $(X^{\oplus \mathbb{N}}, 0, \Sigma X^{\oplus \mathbb{N}}, 0, 0, \dots)$. Hence we obtain a natural transformation

$$\sigma : \text{Ind}(-)^{\omega_1} \Rightarrow B^t \text{Ind}(-)^{\omega_1}.$$

In the sequel, denote by SC the Verdier quotient of $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}$ by \mathcal{C} (note that this differs from $\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})$ by an idempotent completion). Consider the composition

$$\tau : \text{Ind}(-)^{\omega_1} \xrightarrow{\sigma} B^t \text{Ind}(-)^{\omega_1} \Rightarrow B^t \text{SC}.$$

Now observe that the composition

$$\tau_0 : \text{id} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Ind}(-)^{\omega_1} \xrightarrow{\tau} B^t \text{S}(-)$$

factors over the full subfunctor $B^q \text{S}(-)$ of $B^t \text{S}(-)$. This gives rise to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1} & \longrightarrow & \text{SC} \\ \downarrow \tau_0 & & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \overline{\tau} \\ B^q \text{SC} & \longrightarrow & B^t \text{SC} & \longrightarrow & B^t \text{SC} / B^q \text{SC} \\ \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B^q \text{SC} & \longrightarrow & B \text{SC} & \longrightarrow & B \text{SC} / B^q \text{SC} \\ \downarrow \Gamma & & \downarrow \Gamma & & \downarrow \Gamma \\ F^q \text{SC} & \longrightarrow & F \text{SC} & \longrightarrow & F \text{SC} / F^q \text{SC} \end{array}$$

in which each row is a Karoubi–Verdier sequence. Our goal is to show that the composite

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\tau_0} B^q \text{SC} \rightarrow \text{Cone}(\Delta_{\text{SC}})$$

gives the required natural transformation.

The sequence

$$\text{K}(B^t \text{SC} / B^q \text{SC}) \rightarrow \text{K}(B \text{SC} / B^q \text{SC}) \rightarrow \text{K}(F \text{SC} / F^q \text{SC})$$

is a fiber sequence by [KW19, Corollary 3.17]. Since $K(\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}) \simeq 0$, the induced map $K(\tau)$ lifts to the fiber of $K(B\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(F\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C})$ (which is also trivial by [KW19, Lemma 3.10]). Consequently, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & K(\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1}) & \longrightarrow & K(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow e & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow K(\bar{\tau}) \\ \text{Fib}(K(B^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{K(\tau)} K(F^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C})) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & K(B^t\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}/B^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

Since $\pi_0 K^{\text{cn}}(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C})$ is a quotient of $\pi_0 K^{\text{cn}}(\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^{\omega_1})$, we have $\pi_0 K^{\text{cn}}(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}) = 0$. Therefore, [KW19, Proposition 3.21] implies that the composite functor

$$\beta: B^t\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}/B^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\perp} F\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}$$

is an equivalence, where the latter functor takes the colimit. Since $\bar{\tau}$ is evidently a section to β , we conclude that $K(\bar{\tau})$ is an equivalence. This shows that we have an equivalence

$$e: K(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fib}(K(B^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{K(\tau)} K(F^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}))$$

such that the induced map $K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(B^q\mathcal{C})$ is given by $K(\tau_0)$. Since τ admits the section Δ , we may canonically identify

$$\text{Fib}(K(B^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{K(\tau)} K(F^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C})) \simeq \text{Cofib}(K(F^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{K(\Delta)} K(B^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C})),$$

and under this identification we may recover e as the composite

$$K(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{K(\tau_0)} K(B^q\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Cofib}(K(F^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{K(\Delta)} K(B^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C})).$$

Applying Proposition 3.7, we finally conclude that the composite

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\tau_0} B^q\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Cone}(\Delta_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}})$$

induces an equivalence upon application of K . Since K is invariant under idempotent completion, it follows from Theorem 3.5 that the natural functor $\text{Cone}(\Delta_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow \text{Cone}(\Delta_{\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})})$ induces an equivalence after applying K , and we obtain the desired natural transformation $u: \text{id} \Rightarrow \Gamma\text{Calk}$ as the composite

$$\text{id} \xrightarrow{\tau_0} B^q\mathcal{S}(-) \Rightarrow \text{Cone}(\Delta_{\mathcal{S}(-)}) \Rightarrow \text{Cone}(\Delta_{\text{Calk}(-)}) \simeq \Gamma\text{Calk}(-).$$

Finally, we observe that the induced natural transformation $K \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma K$ is either homotopic to the unit map η_K or to $-\eta_K$ by Corollary 1.7. If the former is the case, we are done. Otherwise, Σu will induce the unit map. \square

Remark 3.9. We note that most of the constructions presented above do not use any special properties of K -theory. Propositions 3.4 and 3.7 hold for arbitrary localizing invariants in place of K -theory. Theorem 3.5 as well as the proof of the equivalence in Theorem 3.8 are valid for any finitary localizing invariant. In particular, all of these apply to the universal localizing invariant $\mathcal{U}_{\text{loc}}: \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}$.

The fact that the map $u: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Gamma\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})$ categorifies η also holds in this generalised setting, but a more involved argument is required.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Given an arbitrary spectrum M , Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.7 allow us to identify M with a sequential colimit:

$$(3.9.a) \quad M \simeq \text{colim}(\tilde{K}(\text{Spt}^{\omega}; M) \rightarrow \Omega\tilde{K}(\text{Spt}^{\omega}; \Sigma M) \rightarrow \Omega^2\tilde{K}(\text{Spt}^{\omega}; \Sigma^2 M) \rightarrow \dots).$$

Our goal is to realize this sequential colimit as the K -theory of some stable ∞ -category. To do this, we begin with an elementary observation concerning sequential colimits.

Lemma 3.10. *Consider a diagram $x_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} x_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} x_2 \rightarrow \dots$ in a stable ∞ -category. Suppose that each α_n admits a factorization*

$$\alpha_n: x_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} x'_n \xrightarrow{\psi_n} x''_n \xrightarrow{\beta_n} x_{n+1},$$

where ϕ_n and ψ_n are equivalences.

Then $\varinjlim x_n$ is equivalent to the cofiber of the map

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} x_n \oplus x''_n \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} x_n \oplus x'_n$$

represented by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} x_0 & \oplus & x''_0 & \oplus & x_1 & \oplus & x''_1 & \oplus & x_2 & \oplus & x''_2 & \oplus & \dots \\ \downarrow \text{id} & \searrow^{-\phi_0} & \downarrow \psi_0^{-1} & \searrow^{-\beta_0} & \downarrow \text{id} & \searrow^{-\phi_1} & \downarrow \psi_1^{-1} & \searrow^{-\beta_1} & \downarrow \text{id} & \searrow^{-\phi_2} & \downarrow \psi_2^{-1} & \searrow^{-\beta_2} & \dots \\ x_0 & \oplus & x'_0 & \oplus & x_1 & \oplus & x'_1 & \oplus & x_2 & \oplus & x'_2 & \oplus & \dots \end{array}$$

Proof. Set $\gamma_n := \psi_n \phi_n: x_n \rightarrow x''_n$. The map in question is equivalent to the map $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} x_n \oplus x''_n \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} x_n \oplus x'_n$ represented by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} x_0 & \oplus & x''_0 & \oplus & x_1 & \oplus & x''_1 & \oplus & x_2 & \oplus & x''_2 & \oplus & \dots \\ \downarrow \text{id} & \searrow^{-\gamma_0} & \downarrow \text{id} & \searrow^{-\beta_0} & \downarrow \text{id} & \searrow^{-\gamma_1} & \downarrow \text{id} & \searrow^{-\beta_1} & \downarrow \text{id} & \searrow^{-\gamma_2} & \downarrow \text{id} & \searrow^{-\beta_2} & \dots \\ x_0 & \oplus & x''_0 & \oplus & x_1 & \oplus & x''_1 & \oplus & x_2 & \oplus & x''_2 & \oplus & \dots \end{array}$$

The cofiber of this map is equivalent to the colimit of the diagram

$$x_0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_0} x''_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_0} x_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} x''_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} x_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \dots,$$

which is evidently equivalent to $\varinjlim x_n$. \square

Recall that $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M)$ is defined as the cofiber of the map

$$\mathbf{K}(\text{End}(\text{Spt}^\omega; 0)) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}(i_{n-1})} \mathbf{K}(\text{End}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M)).$$

Considering the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M) & \xrightarrow{p_n} & \text{End}(\text{Spt}^\omega; 0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{End}(\text{Spt}^\omega; 0) & \xrightarrow{i_n} & \text{End}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^{n+1} M) \end{array}$$

we may identify the structure maps in the sequential colimit (3.9.a) with the compositions

$$\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M) \xrightarrow{\eta_n} \Omega \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M) \xrightarrow{v_n} \Omega \text{Cofib}(\mathbf{K}(p_n)) \xrightarrow{f_n} \Omega \text{Cofib}(\mathbf{K}(i_n)) = \Omega \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^{n+1} M).$$

Here, η_n is the unit map $\text{id} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega \Sigma$, the map v_n is the inverse of the map induced on the (horizontal) cofibers of the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{K}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}(p_n)} & \mathbf{K}(\text{Spt}^\omega; 0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and f_n is the canonical map induced on cofibers. Note already that we will be able to apply Lemma 3.10 to this situation.

First, apply Proposition 3.7 to the exact functor $\text{End}(\text{Spt}^\omega; 0) \xrightarrow{i_{n-1}} \text{End}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M)$ to obtain a stable ∞ -category $\widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M)$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{K}(\widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M)) \simeq \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M).$$

By Theorem 3.8, there exists an exact functor

$$\phi_n: \widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M) \rightarrow \Gamma\text{Calk}(\widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M))$$

such that $\mathbf{K}(\phi_n)$ is identified with η_n . Now observe that the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M) & \xrightarrow{p_n} & \text{End}(\text{Spt}^\omega; 0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^n M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

induces via Proposition 3.7 an exact functor

$$\psi_n: \text{Cone}(p) \rightarrow \text{Calk}(\widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; - \otimes \Sigma^n M))$$

such that $\mathbf{K}(\Gamma\psi_n)$ is identified with v_n^{-1} . Appealing to Proposition 3.7 once again, we obtain an exact functor

$$\beta_n: \text{Cone}(p) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma^{n+1} M)$$

such that $\mathbf{K}(\beta_n)$ is identified with f_n .

Now consider the exact functor F represented by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; M) & \oplus & \Gamma\text{Cone}(p_0) & \oplus & \widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma M) & \oplus & \Gamma\text{Cone}(p_1) & \oplus & \dots \\ \downarrow \text{id} & \searrow^{\Sigma \circ \phi_0} & \downarrow \Gamma\psi_0 & \searrow^{\Sigma \circ \Gamma\beta_0} & \downarrow \text{id} & \searrow^{\Sigma \circ \phi_1} & \downarrow \Gamma\psi_1 & \searrow^{\Sigma \circ \Gamma\beta_1} & \dots \\ \widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; M) & \oplus & \Gamma\text{Calk}(\widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; M)) & \oplus & \widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma M) & \oplus & \Gamma\text{Calk}(\widetilde{\text{End}}(\text{Spt}^\omega; \Sigma M)) & \oplus & \dots \end{array}$$

Since K-theory preserves arbitrary direct sums and the suspension functors Σ induce $-\text{id}$ on K-theory, the preceding discussion in combination with Lemma 3.10 shows that

$$\mathbf{K}(\text{Cone}(F)) \simeq M$$

as desired.

The functoriality of Cone and Γ together with the naturality of the functors ϕ_n imply that the construction of $\text{Cone}(F)$ refines to a functor $\mathcal{C}_{(-)}: \text{Spt} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}}$ such that $\mathbf{K} \circ \mathcal{C}_{(-)} \simeq \text{id}$.

Remark 3.11. Theorem 3.1 trivially implies that every abelian group is $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{C})$ for some $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{perf}}$. A more interesting question concerning categorifying (commutative) *rings*, rather than abelian groups, remains open. Given a ring R one wants to find a stably symmetric monoidal small ∞ -category \mathcal{C} with $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq R$. The strongest result in this direction is proved in [Lev23].

4. BOUNDED T-STRUCTURES AND THE THEOREM OF THE HEART

Definition 4.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a stable ∞ -category. A pair of full subcategories $(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0})$ is said to be a **t-structure** if the following axioms hold:

- (1) $\Sigma\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $\Omega\mathcal{C}_{\leq 0} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$;
- (2) for $x \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $y \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$ we have $\pi_0 \text{Maps}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma x, y) = 0$;
- (3) for $x \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists a fiber sequence

$$x_{\geq 1} \rightarrow x \rightarrow x_{\leq 0}$$

such that $x_{\geq 1} \in \Sigma\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $x_{\leq 0} \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$.

The **heart** of a t-structure on \mathcal{C} is $\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit := \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$.

An exact functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of stable ∞ -categories equipped with t-structures is called t-exact if $F(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}$ and $F(\mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\leq 0}$.

We set $\mathcal{C}_{\geq n} = \Sigma^n \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\leq n} = \Sigma^n \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$.

A t-structure on \mathcal{C} is called bounded if $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (\mathcal{C}_{\geq -n} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\leq n})$.

4.1.1. Basic properties.

- (1) It formally follows from the definition that the choice of a fiber sequence in (3) is unique up to equivalence and is functorial in x .
- (2) The subcategories $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$ determine each other as the corresponding categorical orthogonals, that is, we have:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0} = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : \pi_0 \operatorname{Maps}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma x, y) = 0 \text{ for any } y \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\leq 0} = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : \pi_0 \operatorname{Maps}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma y, x) = 0 \text{ for any } y \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

- (3) The heart of a t-structure is always an abelian category.
- (4) A stable ∞ -category with a bounded t-structure is automatically idempotent complete [AGH19, Corollary 2.14].

Example 4.2. The ∞ -category of spectra admits a t-structure with $\operatorname{Spt}_{\geq 0}$ being the subcategory of connective spectra and $\operatorname{Spt}_{\leq 0}$ the subcategory of coconnective spectra. The fact that the axiom (3) is satisfied reflects the existence of Postnikov towers of spectra. This t-structure is **not** bounded. The heart of this t-structure consists of Eilenberg–MacLane spectra of abelian groups, and is equivalent to $\mathcal{A}b$.

Example 4.3. Generalizing the previous example, for a connective ring spectrum R , the ∞ -category of right R -module spectra Mod_R admits a t-structure with $(\operatorname{Mod}_R)_{\geq 0}$ being the subcategory of connective R -module spectra.

Example 4.4. Given a small abelian category A , the bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$ admits a bounded t-structure with $\mathcal{D}^b(A)_{\geq 0}$ consisting of complexes x with $H_i(x) = 0$ for $i < 0$ and similarly for $\mathcal{D}^b(A)_{\leq 0}$. The heart of this t-structure is equivalent to A .

The following result shows that the bounded t-structure of Example 4.4 is in some sense a universal one.

Proposition 4.5 ([AGH19, Proposition 3.26]). *For any small stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} equipped with a bounded t-structure, there is a natural t-exact functor*

$$\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

that induces an equivalence on the hearts of the t-structures.

4.6. K-theoretic properties of t-structures. Proposition 4.5 yields a canonical map

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit)) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$$

for any small stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} endowed with a bounded t-structure. In [AGH19] Antieau, Gepner, and Heller formulated the following conjectures:

Conjecture 4.7 (Conjecture B). *Let \mathcal{C} be a small stable ∞ -category equipped with a bounded t-structure. Then the K-theory spectrum of \mathcal{C} is connective.*

Conjecture 4.8 (Conjecture C). *Let \mathcal{C} be a small stable ∞ -category equipped with a bounded t-structure. Then the map*

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit)) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$$

is an equivalence of spectra.

Remark 4.9. Both conjectures were proved in [AGH19] for t-structures whose heart is a *noetherian* abelian category. Besides, they showed that $\pi_{-1}K(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ without any extra assumptions on the t-structure. In [Sch06] a version of Conjecture 4.7 was investigated for $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}^b(A)$ and proved under the same assumptions.

It is also worth pointing out that Conjecture 4.8 is true for the *connective* version of K-theory. This is known as the theorem of the heart and was proved by Clark Barwick [Bar15] and a triangulated version of it had been proved even before in the works of Amnon Neeman [Nee98, Nee99, Nee01a]. In particular, Conjecture 4.8 would follow from Conjecture 4.7.

However, Conjecture 4.7 in its full generality turned out to be false. In [Nee21] Neeman constructed an explicit stable ∞ -category \mathcal{A} with a t-structure such that $\pi_{-2}K(\mathcal{A})$ is not zero. Until now Conjecture 4.8 has remained open.

4.10. Chromatic obstructions to bounded t-structures. In this paper we show that Conjecture 4.8 is false. To do this, we will need to investigate some general *chromatic* properties of the spectra $K(\mathcal{C})$ for small stable ∞ -categories \mathcal{C} . First recall some basic definitions.

Definition 4.11. Let E, X be spectra.

- (1) X is called *E -acyclic* if $X \otimes E \simeq 0$.
- (2) X is called *E -local* if it is orthogonal to all E -acyclic spectra, i.e. for any E -acyclic spectrum Y $\text{Maps}_{\text{Spt}}(Y, X) = 0$.

Example 4.12. Assume E is a ring spectrum. Then any E -module spectrum X is E -local. To see this, observe that for any E -acyclic spectrum Y

$$\text{Maps}_{\text{Spt}}(Y, X) \simeq \text{Maps}_E(Y \otimes E, X) \simeq \text{Maps}_E(0, X) \simeq 0.$$

Example 4.13. Let E be a connective ring spectrum such that $\pi_0 R = \mathbf{Z}$. Then any (eventually) connective spectrum X is E -local. This is an instance of a much more general [Bou79, Theorem 3.1].

Example 4.14. Fix any prime p . A theorem of Mitchell [Mit90] states that the Morava K-theory spectra $K(n)$ are $K(\mathbf{Z})$ -acyclic for $n \geq 2$. In particular, the Morava K-theories are all examples of spectra that are not $K(\mathbf{Z})$ -local.

Immediately from Example 4.14 we can observe that the K-theory spectra obtained from derived categories are quite special from the chromatic perspective.

Proposition 4.15. Let A be a small abelian category. Then $K(\mathcal{D}^b(A))$ is a module over the K-theory of the integers $K(\mathbf{Z})$.

Proof. The stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$ is \mathbf{Z} -linear. In particular, it admits an action by $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{Z})$. This induces the desired action of $K(\mathbf{Z})$ on $K(\mathcal{D}^b(A))$. \square

Corollary 4.16. Let \mathcal{C} be a small stable ∞ -category equipped with a bounded t-structure. If Conjecture 4.8 holds for \mathcal{C} , then $K(\mathcal{C})$ is $K(\mathbf{Z})$ -local.

4.16.1. For a small additive ∞ -category \mathcal{A} consider the ∞ -category of *additive* spectrum-valued presheaves on \mathcal{A} :

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} := \text{Fun}_{\times}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Spt}).$$

We define \mathcal{A}^{fin} to be the smallest stable subcategory of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ that contains the image of the Yoneda embedding

$$\mathfrak{y} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}.$$

The functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\text{fin}}$ is an initial additive functor from \mathcal{A} into a small stable ∞ -category [ES21, Remark 2.1.18]. The ∞ -category \mathcal{A}^{fin} is idempotent complete if \mathcal{A} is [ES21, Theorem 2.2.9(2)].

Theorem 4.17. Let \mathcal{A} be an idempotent complete small additive ∞ -category. Then $K(\mathcal{A}^{\text{fin}})$ is a $K(\mathbf{Z})$ -local spectrum.

Proof. Assume first that \mathcal{A} is a classical additive category. Then it is canonically \mathbf{Z} -linear and so is \mathcal{A}^{fin} . Hence $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{fin}})$ is a $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Z})$ -local spectrum.

Now for a general \mathcal{A} consider the initial functor into a classical additive category

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{h}\mathcal{A}.$$

This induces a functor

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{h}\mathcal{A})^{\text{fin}}$$

and a map

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{fin}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}((\mathbf{h}\mathcal{A})^{\text{fin}}).$$

This map has connective fiber by [Sos19, Theorem 4.3] (see also [Sos22, Corollary 5.3.12]), so it is $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Z})$ -local by Example 4.13. Since $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Z})$ -local spectra form a stable subcategory, the theorem follows. \square

Remark 4.18. The construction $\mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}^{\text{fin}}$ is central in the theory of weight structures. In fact, \mathcal{A}^{fin} is canonically endowed with a bounded weight structure and any small stable ∞ -category equipped with a bounded weight structure is canonically equivalent to one of this form. Thus, in the spirit of Corollary 4.16, we can reformulate Theorem 4.17 into saying that the K-theory of any stable ∞ -category with a bounded weight structure is a $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Z})$ -local spectrum.

To further illustrate that $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{fin}})$ is $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{Z})$ -local when \mathcal{A} is a classical additive category, let us also remark that \mathcal{A}^{fin} is equivalent to the Dwyer–Kan localization of the ordinary category of bounded chain complexes at the collection of chain homotopy equivalences. For example, this can be seen by observing that the full subcategories of chain complexes concentrated in non-negative (respectively non-positive) degrees define a bounded weight structure on this localization.

5. COUNTEREXAMPLE TO THE NONCONNECTIVE THEOREM OF THE HEART

Recall from (4.16.1) that we have an adjunction

$$(-)^{\text{fin}} : \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{padd}} \rightleftarrows \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{perf}} : U$$

Given a small stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{perf}}$, consider the counit map

$$L : \mathcal{C}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

For any stable ∞ -category \mathcal{D} this induces a fully faithful embedding

$$\text{Fun}_{\text{ex}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}_{\text{ex}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{fin}}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}_{\times}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}).$$

Thus L is a Verdier localization and its kernel $\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by objects of the form

$$(5.0.a) \quad \text{Cofib}(\mathcal{J}(x)/\mathcal{J}(y) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(x/y))$$

for all maps $y \rightarrow x$ in \mathcal{C} (see also [Kle22, Proposition 4.22]).

Theorem 5.1. *For any small stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} there is a bounded t -structure on $\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})$ whose heart contains all the objects (5.0.a).*

Proof. Following [PP23, Definition 4.20], for an additive ∞ -category \mathcal{A} we denote by $A_{\infty}^{\omega}(\mathcal{A})$ the smallest subcategory of $\text{Fun}_{\times}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ containing the image of the Yoneda embedding and closed under finite colimits. This is a prestable ∞ -category and the functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow A_{\infty}^{\omega}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfies the following universal property: any additive functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ where \mathcal{B} is an additive ∞ -category with finite colimits factors essentially uniquely through a right exact functor $A_{\infty}^{\omega}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. There is a canonical equivalence

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{fin}} \simeq \text{SW}(A_{\infty}^{\omega}(\mathcal{A}))$$

which follows from the universal properties of both sides (see also [ES21, Remark 2.1.18]).

Now consider the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}$. Since \mathcal{C} admits finite limits, $A_\infty^\omega(\mathcal{C})$ does, too, by [PP23, Theorem 4.26]. Hence, by [Lur18, Proposition C.1.2.9] there is a t-structure on \mathcal{C}^{fin} . Moreover, the induced functor

$$A_\infty^\omega(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

is left exact by [PP23, Theorem 4.49]. By [Lur18, Proposition C.3.2.1] the induced functor

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{fin}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

is t-exact where \mathcal{C} is endowed with the trivial t-structure: $\mathcal{C}_{t \geq 0} = \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{t \leq 0} = \{0\}$. In particular, the t-structure on \mathcal{C}^{fin} restricts to $\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})$. Note that all objects of the form (5.0.a) have discrete values on objects of $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}^{\text{fin}}$ and thus belong to the heart of the t-structure. Since $\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by these objects under finite colimits and finite limits, we conclude that the t-structure on $\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})$ is bounded. \square

Remark 5.2. The construction of a t-structure on $\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})$ is a direct adaptation of a construction of Neeman done in the setting of exact categories [Nee21, Section 1].

The t-structure of Theorem 5.1 is also implicit in [Kle22]: Proposition 3.16 in *loc. cit.* asserts that the connective cover of any object in $\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})$ also lies in $\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})$, so the Postnikov t-structure on spectrum-valued presheaves restricts to a t-structure on $\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})$. Since $\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by discrete objects (cf. [Kle22, Proposition 3.10]), the resulting t-structure is bounded.

Remark 5.3. From the proof of Theorem 5.1 it follows that \mathcal{C}^{fin} also admits a t-structure. It is, however, not bounded (from above), and $\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})$ is exactly the subcategory of t-bounded objects in \mathcal{C}^{fin} . Furthermore, one can identify the heart of the t-structure as the category of finitely presented additive presheaves of abelian groups² on \mathcal{C} (see [PP23, Example 4.17]).

Remark 5.4. Theorem 5.1 was stated and proved by Patchkoria and Pstragowski using a slightly different language in [PP23, Section 5.2]. There they define the *perfect derived* ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}^\omega(\mathcal{C}; H)$ of a stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} associated with an *adapted* homology theory H on \mathcal{C} . It is a prestable ∞ -category with finite limits. They construct a left and right exact functor of prestable ∞ -categories

$$A_\infty^\omega(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\omega(\mathcal{C}; H)$$

which is a localization and whose kernel consists of bounded objects (see [PP23, Theorem 5.34(4)]). After applying $(-)^{\text{fin}}$ this yields a t-exact Verdier localization functor such that the kernel consists of t-bounded objects. In case H is the trivial homology theory valued in the trivial abelian category, we have $\mathcal{D}^\omega(\mathcal{C}; H) = \mathcal{C}$ and deduce the claim of Theorem 5.1.

Now we can finally show Theorem B.

5.4.1. *Construction of the counterexample.* For any stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} we have a fiber sequence

$$K(\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C}^{\text{fin}}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C}).$$

For any spectrum M we can apply this to $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_M$ from Theorem 3.1. We now have a fiber sequence

$$K(\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C}^{\text{fin}}) \rightarrow M.$$

Theorem 4.17 says that the spectrum $K(\mathcal{C}^{\text{fin}})$ is $K(\mathbf{Z})$ -local. Thus, for any M that is not $K(\mathbf{Z})$ -local, $K(\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C}))$ is not $K(\mathbf{Z})$ -local. Such spectra exist: we can pick $M = K(2)$ by Example 4.14. Since $\mathcal{A}c(\mathcal{C}_M)$ is endowed with a bounded t-structure, Conjecture 4.8 fails by Corollary 4.16.

Remark 5.5. The formula

$$\mathcal{C} \mapsto \frac{\text{colim}}{\Delta^{\text{op}}} K(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathbf{Perf}_{\mathbf{S}[\Delta^\bullet]})$$

²also known as the *Freyd envelope* of \mathcal{C} [Fre66, Section 3], [Nee01b, Chapter 5]

defines a localizing invariant $\mathrm{KH} : \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{perf}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spt}$ (see [Sos, 1.14.2] and also [LT19, Definition 3.13]). By [CK, Corollary 5.1.9] (see also [Kha19, Theorem A]) we have

$$\mathrm{KH}(\mathbf{S}) \simeq \mathrm{KH}(\mathbf{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{K}(\mathbf{Z}),$$

which gives a $\mathrm{K}(\mathbf{Z})$ -module structure on $\mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{C})$ for any $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{perf}}$. Now the construction in (5.4.1) shows that there exists a stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} endowed with a bounded t-structure such that $\mathrm{K}(\mathcal{C})$ is not equivalent to $\mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, the maps in the colimit defining KH are not all equivalences and so, there exists an integer $n > 0$ such that the map

$$\mathrm{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathbf{Perf}_{\mathbf{S}[t_1, \dots, t_n]})$$

is *not* an equivalence. This shows that the \mathbf{A}^n -invariance in the sense of [BL23, Theorem 1.6] does not hold for nonconnective algebraic K-theory.

REFERENCES

- [AGH19] B. Antieau, D. Gepner, and J. Heller, *K-theoretic obstructions to bounded t-structures*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **216** (2019), no. 1, p. 241–300, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/S00222-018-00847-0>
- [Bar15] C. Barwick, *On exact ∞ -categories and the Theorem of the Heart*, *Compositio Mathematica* **151** (2015), no. 11, p. 2160–2186, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X15007447>
- [Bar16] C. Barwick, *On the algebraic K-theory of higher categories*, *J. Topol.* **9** (2016), no. 1, pp. 245–347
- [Bar22] S. Barkan, *Explicit Square Zero Obstruction Theory*, arXiv:2211.07034, 2022
- [BGH⁺19] C. Barwick, S. Glasman, M. Hoyois, D. Nardin, and J. Shah, *Categorifying Rationalization*, *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma* **7** (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1017/fms.2019.26>
- [BGT13] A. J. Blumberg, D. Gepner, and G. Tabuada, *A universal characterization of higher algebraic K-theory*, *Geom. Topol.* **17** (2013), no. 2, pp. 733–838, <https://doi.org/10.2140/gt.2013.17.733>
- [BKRS22] T. Bachmann, A. A. Khan, C. Ravi, and V. Sosnilo, *Categorical Milnor squares and K-theory of algebraic stacks*, *Selecta Mathematica* **28** (2022), no. 5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00029-022-00796-w>
- [BL23] R. Burklund and I. Levy, *On the K-theory of regular coconnective rings*, *Selecta Mathematica* **29** (2023), no. 2, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00029-023-00833-2>
- [Bou79] A. Bousfield, *The localization of spectra with respect to homology*, *Topology* **18** (1979), no. 4, pp. 257–281, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383\(79\)90018-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(79)90018-1)
- [BZFN10] D. Ben-Zvi, J. Francis, and D. Nadler, *Integral transforms and Drinfeld centers in derived algebraic geometry*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), no. 4, pp. 909–966, <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-10-00669-7>
- [CK] D.-C. Cisinski and A. A. Khan, *A^1 -homotopy invariance in spectral algebraic geometry*, arXiv:1705.03340
- [DGM13] B. I. Dundas, T. G. Goodwillie, and R. McCarthy, *The local structure of algebraic K-theory*, *Algebra and Applications*, vol. 18, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2013
- [DM94] B. I. Dundas and R. McCarthy, *Stable K-Theory and Topological Hochschild Homology*, *The Annals of Mathematics* **140** (1994), no. 3, p. 685, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2118621>
- [ES21] E. Elmanto and V. Sosnilo, *On nilpotent extensions of ∞ -categories and the cyclo-tomic trace*, *International Mathematics Research Notices* (2021), pp. 16569–16633, preprint <https://academic.oup.com/imrn/advance-article-pdf/doi/10.1093/imrn/rnab179/39312665/rnab179.pdf>
- [Fre66] P. Freyd, *Stable Homotopy*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1966, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-99902-4_5
- [Goo90] T. G. Goodwillie, *Calculus I: The first derivative of pseudoisotopy theory*, *K-Theory* **4** (1990), no. 1, p. 1–27, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00534191>
- [Hoy17] Hoyois, Marc and Scherrotzke, Sarah and Sibilla, Nicolò, *Higher traces, noncommutative motives, and the categorified Chern character*, *Adv. Math.* **309** (2017), pp. 97–154, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2017.01.008>
- [Kha19] A. Khan, *The Morel–Voevodsky localization theorem in spectral algebraic geometry*, *Geometry & Topology* **23** (2019), no. 7, p. 3647–3685, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/gt.2019.23.3647>
- [Kho00] M. Khovanov, *A categorification of the Jones polynomial*, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **101** (2000), no. 3, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-00-10131-7>
- [Kho16] ———, *Linearization and categorification*, *Portugaliae Mathematica* **73** (2016), no. 4, p. 319–335, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4171/PM/1989>
- [Kle22] J. Klemenc, *The stable hull of an exact ∞ -category*, *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* **24** (2022), no. 2, p. 195–220, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/HHA.2022.v24.n2.a9>
- [KW19] D. Kasprowski and C. Winges, *Algebraic K-theory of stable ∞ -categories via binary complexes*, *Journal of Topology* **12** (2019), no. 2, p. 442–462, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/topo.12093>
- [Lev23] I. Levy, *Categorifying reduced rings*, arXiv:2303.00263, 2023

- [LT19] M. Land and G. Tamme, *On the K-theory of pullbacks*, *Annals of Mathematics* **190** (2019), no. 3, pp. 877–930, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4007/annals.2019.190.3.4>
- [Lur17] J. Lurie, *Higher Algebra*, September 2017, <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>
- [Lur18] ———, *Spectral Algebraic Geometry*, February 2018, <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf>
- [Mat18] Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, *Arbeitsgemeinschaft: Topological Cyclic Homology*, Workshop Reports (2018), <https://publications.mfo.de/handle/mfo/3637>
- [Mit90] S. A. Mitchell, *The Morava K-theory of algebraic K-theory spectra*, *K-Theory* **3** (1990), no. 6, pp. 607–626, <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01054453>
- [Nee98] A. Neeman, *K-theory for triangulated categories III(A): The theorem of the heart*, *Asian Journal of Mathematics* **2** (1998), no. 3, pp. 495–589
- [Nee99] A. Neeman, *K-theory for triangulated categories III(B): The theorem of the heart*, *Asian Journal of Mathematics* **3** (1999), no. 3, pp. 557–608, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/ajm.1999.v3.n3.a2>
- [Nee01a] A. Neeman, *K-Theory for Triangulated Categories 3 $\frac{3}{4}$: A Direct Proof of the Theorem of the Heart*, *K-theory* **22** (2001), pp. 1–144, <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:124886299>
- [Nee01b] A. Neeman, *Triangulated Categories*, Princeton University Press, December 2001, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9781400837212>
- [Nee21] A. Neeman, *A counterexample to vanishing conjectures for negative K-theory*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **225** (2021), no. 2, pp. 427–452, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-021-01034-4>
- [NS18] T. Nikolaus and P. Scholze, *On topological cyclic homology*, *Acta Math.* **221** (2018), no. 2, pp. 203–409, <https://doi.org/10.4310/ACTA.2018.v221.n2.a1>
- [OS04] P. Ozsvath and Z. Szabo, *Holomorphic disks and knot invariants*, *Advances in Mathematics* **186** (2004), no. 1, p. 58–116, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/J.AIM.2003.05.001>
- [PP23] I. Patchkoria and P. Pstrągowski, *Adams spectral sequences and Franke’s algebraicity conjecture*, 2023, [arXiv:2110.03669](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.03669)
- [Ras18] S. Raskin, *On the Dundas-Goodwillie-McCarthy theorem*, [arXiv:1807.06709](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.06709), 2018
- [Sch06] M. Schlichting, *Negative K-theory of derived categories*, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **253** (2006), no. 1, p. 97–134, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-005-0889-3>
- [Sos] V. Sosnilo, *A^1 -invariance of localizing invariants*, [arXiv:2211.05602](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.05602)
- [Sos19] V. Sosnilo, *Theorem of the Heart in Negative K-Theory for Weight Structures*, *Documenta Mathematica* **24** (2019), p. 2137–2158, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4171/dm/722>
- [Sos22] ———, *Weighted Methods in Noncommutative Geometry*, Ph.D. thesis, Higher School of Economics, 2022, available at <https://www.hse.ru/sci/diss/527514830>
- [Tam18] G. Tamme, *Excision in algebraic K-theory revisited*, *Compos. Math.* **154** (2018), no. 9, pp. 1801–1814, <https://doi.org/10.1112/s0010437x18007236>
- [Tho95] R. Thomason, *Symmetric monoidal categories model all connective spectra.*, *Theory and Applications of Categories* [electronic only] **1** (1995), pp. 78–118, <http://eudml.org/doc/119148>
- [Wal78] F. Waldhausen, *Algebraic K-theory of topological spaces. I*, I. In *Algebraic and geometric topology* (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1976), Part, vol. 1, 1978, pp. 35–60, <https://pub.uni-bielefeld.de/record/1782192>

KØBENHAVNS UNIVERSITET, INSTITUT FOR MATEMATISKE FAG, UNIVERSITETSPARKEN 5, 2100 KØBENHAVN Ø, DENMARK

Email address: ramzi@math.ku.dk

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG, 93040 REGENSBURG, GERMANY

Email address: vsosnilo@gmail.com

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG, 93040 REGENSBURG, GERMANY

Email address: christoph.winges@ur.de