

New non-GRS type MDS codes and NMDS codes ^{*}

Yang Li Shixin Zhu [†]

January 10, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we study a class of special linear codes involving their parameters, weight distributions, and self-orthogonal properties. On one hand, we prove that such codes must be maximum distance separable (MDS) or near MDS (NMDS) codes and completely determine their weight distributions with the help of the solutions to some subset sum problems. Based on the well-known Schur method, we also show that such codes are non-equivalent to generalized Reed-Solomon codes. On the other hand, a sufficient and necessary condition for such codes to be self-orthogonal is characterized. Based on this condition, we further deduce that there are no self-dual codes in this class of linear codes and explicitly construct two classes of almost self-dual codes.

Keywords: MDS code, NMDS code, Schur product, Self-orthogonal code, Almost self-dual code

1 Introduction

Let $q = p^m$ and \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of size q , where p is a prime and m is a positive integer. Let $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ be the multiplicative group of \mathbb{F}_q . A q -ary *linear code* C of length n and dimension k , denoted by $[n, k]_q$, is a k -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n . If C has a minimum distance d , we further denote C by $[n, k, d]_q$. For any two vectors $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ in \mathbb{F}_q^n , define their *Euclidean inner product* as

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i. \quad (1)$$

^{*}This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 12171134 and U21A20428. (*Corresponding author: Shixin Zhu.*)

[†]Yang Li and Shixin Zhu are with School of Mathematics, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei, 230601, Anhui, China. (Emails: yanglimath@163.com and zhushixinmath@hfut.edu.cn.)

Then the *Euclidean dual code* of an $[n, k]_q$ linear code C is an $[n, n - k]_q$ linear code given by

$$C^\perp = \{\mathbf{y} : \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0, \forall \mathbf{x} \in C\}. \quad (2)$$

We call C a *self-orthogonal* code if $C \subseteq C^\perp$; a *self-dual* code if $C = C^\perp$; and an *almost self-dual* code if C is an $[n, \frac{n-1}{2}]_q$ self-orthogonal code.

For any $[n, k, d]_q$ linear code C , the parameters n , k and d are subject to the well-known *Singleton bound*, which says: $d \leq n - k + 1$ [21]. If $d = n - k + 1$, we call C a *maximum distance separable (MDS)* code and if $d = n - k$, we call C an *almost MDS (AMDS)* code. Moreover, C is called a *near MDS (NMDS)* code if both C and C^\perp are AMDS codes. MDS codes and NMDS codes have attracted lots of attention because of their important applications in distributed storage systems [5], combinatorial designs [9], finite geometry [10], random error channels [32], informed source and index coding problems [37], and secret sharing scheme [33, 41].

Although the well-known generalized Reed-Solomon (GRS) codes (a special class of MDS codes [21]) can provide almost all desired parameters of MDS codes, it is still very interesting to construct non-GRS type MDS codes since the non-GRS properties make them resistant to Sidelnikov-Shestakov attacks and Wieschebrink attacks, whereas GRS codes are not [2, 23]. Much progress has been made on this topic and we can summarize some of them as follows:

- By adding two columns in generator matrices of GRS codes, Roth and Lempel constructed the so-called Roth-Lempel MDS codes and proved that they are non-GRS [31]. Note that Han and Fan have also recently studied the NMDS properties of Roth-Lempel codes [14].
- By adding twists in GRS codes, Beelen *et al.* introduced twisted GRS (TGRS) codes [3], which contain many non-GRS MDS codes and NMDS codes. Following this work, the authors further studied many different twists and showed that most of the corresponding TGRS codes are non-GRS MDS codes or NMDS codes (see [3, 7, 12, 13, 20, 26, 29, 34, 35, 38–40, 42] and the references therein). Note also that many self-orthogonal and (almost) self-dual codes have been constructed in these papers.
- By adding an overall parity-check in GRS codes, He and Liao obtained the lengthened GRS (LGRS) codes [16]. They obtained some sufficient and necessary conditions for an LGRS code to be MDS or AMDS and presented some sufficient conditions for which an LGRS code is non-GRS.
- Very recently, by considering subcodes of GRS codes, Han and Zhang constructed a class of q -ary linear codes and proved that they are either MDS or NMDS without analysing their non-GRS properties [15]. Furthermore, they obtained many self-dual NMDS codes.

For more constructions of MDS and NMDS codes, readers can also refer to recent papers [6, 19, 22, 36]. From the above discussions, it is easily seen that most of the known non-GRS MDS codes and NMDS codes are from the class of TGRS codes. This motivates us to construct new non-GRS MDS codes and NMDS codes that are not TGRS codes. Inspired by this problem, we study a special class of linear codes $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ (see Definition 3.1) in this paper. Note that their generator matrices G_k (see Equation (10)) are indeed obtained by adding a column in

the generator matrices (see [15, Equation 1.1]) of linear codes constructed in [15]. Our main contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We completely determine the parameters of such codes and prove they must be MDS or NMDS codes in Theorems 3.2 and 3.4. We also characterize sufficient and necessary conditions for such codes to be MDS and NMDS codes in Theorem 3.5. Based on the Schur method, we further show that such codes are non-GRS in Theorem 3.9.
- With the help of the solutions to subset sum problems, we completely determine the weight distributions of such codes in Theorem 3.10 and Corollaries 3.11 and 3.12. Detailed comparisons have also been made in Remark 3.13 to show that these weight distributions, and hence this class of linear codes, are different from known ones. As an application, we immediately obtain several new infinite families of 5- and 6-dimensional NMDS codes in Theorems 3.16 and 3.17.
- Employing some identical equations over finite fields, we characterize the self-orthogonal properties of such codes in Theorem 3.19. Based on this, we deduce that there are no self-dual codes in this class of linear codes in Corollary 3.20 and obtain two explicit constructions of almost self-dual codes in Theorems 3.22 and 3.23.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic notations and auxiliary results on linear codes. In Section 3, we study the class of linear codes $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ involving their parameters, weight distributions, non-GRS properties, and self-orthogonal properties. In Section 4, we conclude this paper.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Basic notations

Throughout this paper, we fix the following notations, unless they are stated otherwise:

- $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is a subset of \mathbb{F}_q with

$$u_i = \prod_{a_j \in \mathcal{S}, 1 \leq j \neq i \leq n} (a_i - a_j)^{-1} \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (3)$$

- For a linear code C , $\text{wt}(\mathbf{c})$ is the *Hamming weight* of a codeword $\mathbf{c} \in C$ and A_i denotes the *number of codewords of weight i* in C for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, $\dim(C)$ denotes the dimension of C and $d(C)$ denotes the minimum Hamming distance of C .
- $\{A_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ denotes the *weight distribution* of an $[n, k]_q$ linear code C and $\{A_i^\perp \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ denotes the *weight distribution of the dual code* of C . Moreover, $A(z) = 1 + A_1z + A_2z^2 + \dots + A_nz^n$ and $A^\perp(z) = 1 + A_1^\perp z + A_2^\perp z^2 + \dots + A_n^\perp z^n$ are *weight enumerators* of C and C^\perp , respectively.

- $\mathbb{F}_q[x]_k = \left\{ f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i x^i : f_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \text{ for } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \right\}$ and

$$\mathcal{V}_k = \left\{ f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} f_i x^i + f_k x^k : f_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \text{ for } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-2, k\} \right\}. \quad (4)$$

2.2 MDS codes and NMDS codes

In this subsection, we recall some basic knowledge on MDS codes and NMDS codes. As we mentioned before, an important class of MDS codes are the so-called generalized Reed-Solomon (GRS) codes [21]. Let k and n be two positive integers satisfying $1 \leq k \leq n \leq q$. With above notations, an $[n, k, n-k+1]_q$ *generalized Reed-Solomon (GRS) code* associated with \mathcal{S} and \mathbf{v} is defined by

$$\text{GRS}_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}) = \{(v_1 f(a_1), v_2 f(a_2), \dots, v_n f(a_n)) \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]_{k-1}\}. \quad (5)$$

With [21], the dual code of a GRS code is still a GRS code and a generator matrix of $\text{GRS}_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v})$ has the form of

$$G_{\text{GRS}_k} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \\ v_1 a_1 & v_2 a_2 & \cdots & v_n a_n \\ v_1 a_1^2 & v_2 a_2^2 & \cdots & v_n a_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1 a_1^{k-1} & v_2 a_2^{k-1} & \cdots & v_n a_n^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

For any given linear code, one can also use the following lemma to determine whether it is an MDS code or not.

Lemma 2.1. ([1, Lemma 7.3]) *Let C be an $[n, k]_q$ linear code with a generator matrix G . Then C is MDS if and only if any k columns of G are linearly independent.*

It is well-known that all weight distributions of MDS codes with the same parameters are the same, but this argument does not hold generally for NMDS codes. In [10], Dodunekov and Landjev proved that the weight distributions of an NMDS code and its dual code depend on the numbers of their minimum weight codewords.

Lemma 2.2. ([10, Corollary 4.2]) *Let C be an $[n, k]_q$ NMDS code. If $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, then*

$$A_{n-k+s} = \binom{n}{k-s} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (-1)^i \binom{n-k+s}{i} (q^{s-i} - 1) + (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} A_{n-k}.$$

If $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-k\}$, then

$$A_{k+s}^\perp = \binom{n}{k+s} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (-1)^i \binom{k+s}{i} (q^{s-i} - 1) + (-1)^s \binom{n-k}{s} A_k^\perp.$$

Moreover, $A_{n-k} = A_k^\perp$, $A_0 = A_0^\perp = 1$ and $A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_{n-k-1} = A_1^\perp = A_2^\perp = \dots = A_{k-1}^\perp = 0$.

2.3 The subset sum problem and the Schur product

Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$. The *subset sum problem* over \mathcal{S} is to determine if there is a subset $\emptyset \neq \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_\ell = b. \quad (7)$$

Let $N(\ell, b, \mathcal{S})$ be the number of subsets $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ such that Equation (7) holds. For $b = 0$, we say that \mathcal{S} contains an ℓ -zero-sum subset if $N(\ell, 0, \mathcal{S}) > 0$; and say that \mathcal{S} is ℓ -zero-sum free if $N(\ell, 0, \mathcal{S}) = 0$. It is usually interesting but difficult to determine the value of $N(\ell, b, \mathcal{S})$. In [24], Li and Wan determined the exact values of $N(\ell, 0, \mathcal{S})$ for $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and \mathbb{F}_q .

Lemma 2.3. ([24, Theorem 1.2]) *Let notations be the same as above. Then the following statements hold.*

$$(1) \text{ If } \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{F}_q^*, \text{ then } N(\ell, 0, \mathbb{F}_q^*) = \frac{1}{q} \left[\binom{q-1}{\ell} + (-1)^{\ell + \lfloor \frac{\ell}{p} \rfloor} (q-1) \binom{\frac{q}{p}-1}{\lfloor \frac{\ell}{p} \rfloor} \right].$$

$$(2) \text{ If } \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{F}_q, \text{ then } N(\ell, 0, \mathbb{F}_q) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q} \binom{q}{\ell}, & \text{if } p \nmid \ell, \\ \frac{1}{q} \left[\binom{q}{\ell} + (-1)^{\ell + \frac{\ell}{p}} (q-1) \binom{\frac{q}{p}}{\frac{\ell}{p}} \right], & \text{if } p \mid \ell. \end{cases}$$

The *Schur product* of two vectors $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ in \mathbb{F}_q^n is defined by $\mathbf{x} \star \mathbf{y} = (x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2, \dots, x_n y_n)$. Based on this, the *Schur product* of two linear codes C_1 and C_2 of the same length is given by $C_1 \star C_2 = \{\mathbf{c}_1 \star \mathbf{c}_2 : \mathbf{c}_1 \in C_1 \text{ and } \mathbf{c}_2 \in C_2\}$. Moreover, if $C_1 = \langle \mathbf{c}_{11}, \mathbf{c}_{12}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{1k} \rangle$ and $C_2 = \langle \mathbf{c}_{21}, \mathbf{c}_{22}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{2\ell} \rangle$ are two q -ary linear codes with the same length, then their Schur product $C_1 \star C_2$ is also a q -ary linear code given by

$$C_1 \star C_2 = \langle \mathbf{c}_{1i} \star \mathbf{c}_{2j} : 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \ell \rangle.$$

Based on the Schur product, we can judge whether a linear code is a GRS code or not.

Lemma 2.4. ([30, Proposition 10], [42, Proposition 2.1 (1)]) *If C is an $[n+1, k]_q$ GRS code with $3 \leq k < \frac{n+2}{2}$, then*

$$\dim(C \star C) = 2k - 1.$$

Lemma 2.5. ([16, Lemma 3.3]) *If C is an $[n+1, k]_q$ GRS code with $3 \leq k < \frac{n+2}{2}$, then*

$$d(C \star C) \geq 2.$$

2.4 Some auxiliary results

At the end of this section, we recall some auxiliary results for later use.

Lemma 2.6. ([27, Corollary 3.6]) *Let C be an $[n, k]_q$ linear code with a generator matrix G . Then C is self-orthogonal if and only if GG^T is a zero matrix of size $k \times k$.*

Lemma 2.7. ([17, Page 466], [28, Lemma 17]) *For any integer $n \geq 3$, it holds that*

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & a_n \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 & \cdots & a_{n-1}^2 & a_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_1^{n-2} & a_2^{n-2} & \cdots & a_{n-1}^{n-2} & a_n^{n-2} \\ a_1^n & a_2^n & \cdots & a_{n-1}^n & a_n^n \end{pmatrix} = (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_j - a_i). \quad (8)$$

Lemma 2.8. *For any subset $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ with $n \geq 3$, it holds that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^\ell u_i = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq \ell \leq n-2, \\ 1, & \ell = n-1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i, & \ell = n. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Proof. For the cases where $0 \leq \ell \leq n-2$ and $\ell = n-1$, the desired results have been documented in [25, Theorem 3.1] and [11, Lemma II.1], respectively. For the left case, the desired result follows immediately by combining Lemma 2.7 and the proof given in [25, Theorem 3.1]. \square

3 The class of linear codes $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$

Definition 3.1. Let n and k be two positive integers satisfying $3 \leq k \leq n \leq q$. Let $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$. Denote by $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ the q -ary linear code generated by the matrix

$$G_k = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n & 0 \\ v_1 a_1 & v_2 a_2 & \cdots & v_n a_n & 0 \\ v_1 a_1^2 & v_2 a_2^2 & \cdots & v_n a_n^2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ v_1 a_1^{k-2} & v_2 a_2^{k-2} & \cdots & v_n a_n^{k-2} & 0 \\ v_1 a_1^k & v_2 a_2^k & \cdots & v_n a_n^k & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

With the notation \mathcal{V}_k given in Equation (4), $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ can be further expressed as

$$C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty) = \{(v_1 f(a_1), v_2 f(a_2), \dots, v_n f(a_n), f_k) : f(x) \in \mathcal{V}_k\},$$

where f_k is the coefficient of x^k in $f(x)$.

In the following, we focus on the parameters, non-GRS properties, weight distributions, and self-orthogonal properties of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$.

3.1 Parameters of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$

In this subsection, we determine the parameters of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$. We will prove that $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ must be MDS or NMDS codes and give necessary and sufficient conditions for them. First of all, we determine the length and dimension of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_k given in Equation (10). Then $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ has parameters $[n+1, k]_q$.*

Proof. It is clear that the length of C is $n+1$. Denote the i -th row of G_k by \mathbf{g}_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$. To prove that $\dim(C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)) = k$, it suffices to show that $\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \dots, \mathbf{g}_k$ are linearly independent. Assume that there are $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $u_1\mathbf{g}_1 + u_2\mathbf{g}_2 + \dots + u_k\mathbf{g}_k = \mathbf{0}$. This implies that $u_k = 0$ and the polynomial $f(x) = u_{k-1}x^{k-2} + u_{k-2}x^{k-3} + \dots + u_2x + u_1$ has n distinct roots a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n . Since $n > k-2$, it follows that $u_1 = u_2 = \dots = u_{k-1} = u_k = 0$, and hence, $\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \dots, \mathbf{g}_k$ are linearly independent. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_k given in Equation (10). Suppose that $v'_i = u_i v_i^{-1}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *The $(n-k+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix*

$$H_{n-k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} v'_1 & v'_2 & \cdots & v'_n & 0 \\ v'_1 a_1 & v'_2 a_2 & \cdots & v'_n a_n & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ v'_1 a_1^{n-k-2} & v'_2 a_2^{n-k-2} & \cdots & v'_n a_n^{n-k-2} & 0 \\ v'_1 a_1^{n-k-1} & v'_2 a_2^{n-k-1} & \cdots & v'_n a_n^{n-k-1} & -1 \\ v'_1 a_1^{n-k} & v'_2 a_2^{n-k} & \cdots & v'_n a_n^{n-k} & -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

is a parity-check matrix of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$.

(2) *The dual code $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)^\perp$ is*

$$\left\{ \left(v'_1 g(a_1), v'_2 g(a_2), \dots, v'_n g(a_n), -g_{n-k-1} - g_{n-k} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) : g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]_{n-k+1} \right\}.$$

Proof. (1) On one hand, it is easy to see that $\text{rank}(H_{n-k+1}) = n-k+1$. On the other hand, we denote the s -th row of the generator matrix G_k by \mathbf{g}_s for any $1 \leq s \leq k$ and denote the t -th row of the matrix H_{n-k+1} by \mathbf{h}_t for any $1 \leq t \leq n-k+1$. Our main task, then, becomes to prove $\mathbf{g}_s \mathbf{h}_t^T = 0$ for any possible s and t . It is not difficult to calculate that

$$\mathbf{g}_s \mathbf{h}_t^T = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i a_i^{s+t-2}, & \text{if } 1 \leq s \leq k-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq t \leq n-k+1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n u_i a_i^{k+t-1}, & \text{if } s = k \text{ and } 1 \leq t \leq n-k-1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n u_i a_i^{n-1} - 1, & \text{if } s = k \text{ and } t = n-k, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n u_i a_i^n - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i, & \text{if } s = k \text{ and } t = n-k+1. \end{cases}$$

Then the desired result (1) follows straightforward from Lemma 2.8.

(2) Since a parity-check matrix of a linear code is a generator matrix of its dual code, then the expected result (2) immediately holds according to the result (1) above. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_k given in Equation (10). Then $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is either MDS or NMDS.

Proof. We first show that $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is MDS or AMDS. According to [21], the $(k+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix G'_{k+1} derived by adding a column vector $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$ of length $k+1$ at the end of the matrix $G_{\text{GRS}_{k+1}}$ given in Equation (6) can generate an $[n+1, k+1, n-k+1]_q$ MDS code C'_{k+1} . Note that G_k is a submatrix of G'_{k+1} , then $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is a subcode of C'_{k+1} . It implies that $d(C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)) \geq n-k+1$. On the other hand, $d(C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)) \leq n-k+2$ according to Theorem 3.2 and the well-known Singleton bound. Combining these two aspects, we conclude that $d(C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)) \in \{n-k+1, n-k+2\}$. Hence, $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is MDS or AMDS.

Next, we prove that $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)^\perp$ is also MDS or AMDS. Let \mathbf{c}^\perp be any nonzero codeword in $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)^\perp$. By Theorem 3.3 (2), there exists a polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]_{n-k+1}$ such that

$$\mathbf{c}^\perp = \left(v'_1 g(a_1), v'_2 g(a_2), \dots, v'_n g(a_n), -g_{n-k-1} - g_{n-k} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right).$$

Since $\deg(g(x)) \leq n-k$, we get $\text{wt}(\mathbf{c}^\perp) \geq n - (n-k) = k$. It turns out that $d(C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)^\perp) \geq k$. Again, according to the Singleton bound and similar arguments above, we know that $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)^\perp$ is MDS or AMDS. Therefore, the expected result follows from the fact that the dual code of an MDS code is still MDS. This completes the proof. \square

Motivated by Theorem 3.4, we can further explore under what conditions $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is MDS or NMDS. A complete characterization based on certain zero-sum conditions is obtained in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ and $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_k given in Equation (10). Then the following statements hold.

- (1) $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is an $[n+1, k, n-k+2]_q$ MDS code if and only if \mathcal{S} is k -zero-sum free.
- (2) $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is an $[n+1, k, n-k+1]_q$ NMDS code if and only if \mathcal{S} contains a k -zero-sum subset.

Proof. (1) Let $\mathbf{c}_{i_1}, \mathbf{c}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{i_k}$ be any k columns of the matrix G_k . Then $\mathbf{c}_{i_1}, \mathbf{c}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{i_k}$ can form a $k \times k$ matrix of the form

$$M_{k \times k}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{i_1} & v_{i_2} & \cdots & v_{i_k} \\ v_{i_1} a_{i_1} & v_{i_2} a_{i_2} & \cdots & v_{i_k} a_{i_k} \\ v_{i_1} a_{i_1}^2 & v_{i_2} a_{i_2}^2 & \cdots & v_{i_k} a_{i_k}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{i_1} a_{i_1}^{k-2} & v_{i_2} a_{i_2}^{k-2} & \cdots & v_{i_k} a_{i_k}^{k-2} \\ v_{i_1} a_{i_1}^k & v_{i_2} a_{i_2}^k & \cdots & v_{i_k} a_{i_k}^k \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } M_{k \times k}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{i_1} & v_{i_2} & \cdots & v_{i_{k-1}} & 0 \\ v_{i_1} a_{i_1} & v_{i_2} a_{i_2} & \cdots & v_{i_{k-1}} a_{i_{k-1}} & 0 \\ v_{i_1} a_{i_1}^2 & v_{i_2} a_{i_2}^2 & \cdots & v_{i_{k-1}} a_{i_{k-1}}^2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_{i_1} a_{i_1}^{k-2} & v_{i_2} a_{i_2}^{k-2} & \cdots & v_{i_{k-1}} a_{i_{k-1}}^{k-2} & 0 \\ v_{i_1} a_{i_1}^k & v_{i_2} a_{i_2}^k & \cdots & v_{i_{k-1}} a_{i_{k-1}}^k & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.7 that

$$\det(M_{k \times k}^{(1)}) = (a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} + \cdots + a_{i_k}) \prod_{j=1}^k v_{i_j} \prod_{1 \leq s < t \leq k} (a_{i_t} - a_{i_s}) \text{ and}$$

$$\det \left(M_{k \times k}^{(2)} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} v_{i_j} \prod_{1 \leq s < t \leq k-1} (a_{i_t} - a_{i_s}).$$

On one hand, $\det \left(M_{k \times k}^{(2)} \right) \neq 0$ since $v_{i_j} \neq 0$ and $a_{i_s} \neq a_{i_t}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $1 \leq s \neq t \leq k$.

On the other hand, we have that $\det \left(M_{k \times k}^{(1)} \right) \neq 0$ if and only if $a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} + \cdots + a_{i_k} \neq 0$. Then the desired result (1) follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.2.

(2) Combining Theorem 3.4 and the result (1) above, we immediately get the desired result (2). This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.6. Recently, Zhu and Liao studied in [42] some properties of the so-called (+)-extended twisted GRS code $C_{1,k-1,k,n}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \infty)$, where $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. According to [42, Definitions 2.2 and 2.3] and [42, Remark 2.1], it can be seen that $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ and $C_{1,k-1,k,n}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \infty)$ are different and non-equivalent in general. It should be emphasized that lots of concrete examples can be found based Remark 3.13 below.

3.2 Non-GRS properties of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$

In this subsection, we determine the non-GRS properties of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$. Up to equivalence, it suffices to consider $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is a all-one vector. To this end, we focus on the Schur products $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty) \star C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)$ and $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp \star C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_k given in Equation (10). Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If $3 \leq k < \frac{n+1}{2}$, then $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty) \star C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty) = C_{2k}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)$.*
- (2) *If $k \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$, then $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty) \star C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty) = \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$.*

Proof. Denote $\mathbf{a}^i = (a_1^i, a_2^i, \dots, a_n^i)$ and $(\mathbf{a}^i, b) = (a_1^i, a_2^i, \dots, a_n^i, b)$ for any positive integer i and $b \in \{0, 1\}$. By definitions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty) \star C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty) \\ &= \langle (\mathbf{a}^i, 0) \star (\mathbf{a}^j, 0), (\mathbf{a}^i, 0) \star (\mathbf{a}^k, 1), (\mathbf{a}^k, 1) \star (\mathbf{a}^j, 0), (\mathbf{a}^k, 1) \star (\mathbf{a}^k, 1) : 0 \leq i, j \leq k-2 \rangle \\ &= \langle (\mathbf{a}^{i+j}, 0), (\mathbf{a}^{i+k}, 0), (\mathbf{a}^{k+j}, 0), (\mathbf{a}^{2k}, 1) : 0 \leq i, j \leq k-2 \rangle \\ &= \langle (\mathbf{a}^u, 0), (\mathbf{a}^{2k}, 1) : 0 \leq u \leq 2k-2 \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

(1) If $3 \leq k < \frac{n+1}{2}$, then $3 < 2k < n+1$. From Equation (12) and Definition 3.1, we can further conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty) \star C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty) \\ &= \{ (f(a_1), f(a_2), \dots, f(a_n), f_{2k}) : f(x) \in \mathcal{V}_{2k} \} \\ &= C_{2k}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the excepted result (1).

(2) If $k \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$, then $2k \geq n+1$. On one hand, it is clear that $C_k(S, \mathbf{1}, \infty) \star C_k(S, \mathbf{1}, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$. On the other hand, since $2k \geq n+1$, then $2k-2 \geq n-1$, which implies that

$$\mathbb{F}_q^n \times \{0\} = \langle (\mathbf{a}^\ell, 0) : 0 \leq \ell \leq n-1 \rangle \subseteq \langle (\mathbf{a}^u, 0) : 0 \leq u \leq 2k-2 \rangle.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1} = \langle \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \{0\}, (\mathbf{a}^{2k}, 1) \rangle \subseteq \langle (\mathbf{a}^u, 0), (\mathbf{a}^{2k}, 1) : 0 \leq u \leq 2k-2 \rangle = C_k(S, \mathbf{1}, \infty) \star C_k(S, \mathbf{1}, \infty).$$

Combining these two aspects, the desired result (2) holds.

We have finished the whole proof. \square

Theorem 3.8. Let $C_k(S, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_k given in Equation (10). Then the following statements hold.

(1) If $3 \leq k < \frac{n+1}{2}$, then $C_k(S, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp \star C_k(S, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp = \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$.

(2) If $k \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$, then $C_k(S, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp \star C_k(S, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp = C_1 + C_{2n-2k+1}$, where $C_1 = \langle \underbrace{(0, 0, \dots, 0)}_n, 1 \rangle$

and $C_{2n-2k+1}$ is

$$\left\{ \left(u_1^2 g(a_1), u_2^2 g(a_2), \dots, u_n^2 g(a_n), g_{2n-2k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + g_{2n-2k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^2 \right) : g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]_{2n-2k+1} \right\}.$$

Proof. Denote $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$, $\mathbf{h}^r = (u_1 a_1^r, u_2 a_2^r, \dots, u_n a_n^r)$ and $(\mathbf{h}^r, e) = (u_1 a_1^r, u_2 a_2^r, \dots, u_n a_n^r, e)$ for any positive integer r and $e \in \{0, -1, -\sum_{i=0}^n a_i\}$. By definitions and Theorem 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & C_k(S, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp \star C_k(S, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp \\ &= \langle (\mathbf{h}^r, 0) \star (\mathbf{h}^w, e), (\mathbf{h}^{n-k-1}, -1) \star (\mathbf{h}^{n-k-1}, -1), (\mathbf{h}^{n-k-1}, -1) \star (\mathbf{h}^{n-k}, -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i), \\ & \quad (\mathbf{h}^{n-k}, -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i) \star (\mathbf{h}^{n-k}, -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i) : 0 \leq r \leq n-k-2, 0 \leq w \leq n-k \text{ and } e \in \{0, -1, -\sum_{i=0}^n a_i\} \rangle \\ &= \langle (\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{h}^z, 0), (\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{h}^{2n-2k-2}, 1), (\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{h}^{2n-2k-1}, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i), (\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{h}^{2n-2k}, (\sum_{i=1}^n a_i)^2) : 0 \leq z \leq 2n-2k-2 \rangle \quad (13) \\ &= \langle (\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{h}^z, 0), \underbrace{(0, 0, \dots, 0)}_n, 1, (\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{h}^{2n-2k-1}, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i), (\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{h}^{2n-2k}, (\sum_{i=1}^n a_i)^2) : 0 \leq z \leq 2n-2k-2 \rangle \\ &= \langle (\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{h}^z, 0), (\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{h}^{2n-2k-1}, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i), (\mathbf{u} \star \mathbf{h}^{2n-2k}, (\sum_{i=1}^n a_i)^2) : 0 \leq z \leq 2n-2k-2 \rangle + \langle \underbrace{(0, 0, \dots, 0)}_n, 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(1) If $3 \leq k < \frac{n+1}{2}$, then $2n-2k-2 \geq n-1$. By taking a very similar argument to the proof of Theorem 3.7 (2), we immediately have $C_k(S, \mathbf{1}, \infty) \star C_k(S, \mathbf{1}, \infty) = \mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$.

(2) If $k \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$, then $2n-2k-2 \leq n-3$. By Equation (13), the desired result (2) obviously holds. This completes the proof. \square

Based on Theorems 3.7 and 3.8, we now can completely determine the non-GRS properties of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ as follows.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_k given in Equation (10). Then $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is non-GRS.*

Proof. Up to equivalence, it is sufficient to consider the case where $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{1}$. The proof can be divided into two parts.

Case 1: \mathcal{S} contains a k -zero-sum subset. It follows from Theorem 3.5 (2) that $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)$ is an NMDS code. Then $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)$ is clearly non-GRS.

Case 2: \mathcal{S} is k -zero-sum-free. It follows from Theorem 3.5 (1) that $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is an MDS code. We have two subcases.

Subcase 2.1: $3 \leq k < \frac{n+1}{2}$. Combining Theorems 3.2 and 3.7 (1), we know that $\dim(C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty) \star C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)) = \dim(C_{2k}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)) = 2k > 2k - 1$. By Lemma 2.4, $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)$ is non-GRS.

Subcase 2.2: $k \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$. According to Theorem 3.8 (2), we know that $d(C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp \star C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp) = d(C_1 + C_{2n-2k+1}) = 1 < 2$. It turns out from Lemma 2.5 that $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp$ is non-GRS. Recall that the dual code of a GRS code is still a GRS code. Therefore, $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)$ is non-GRS.

Combining Cases 1 and 2, we complete the proof. \square

3.3 Weight distributions of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$

In this subsection, we calculate the weight distributions of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$. By Theorem 3.4, we know that $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ must be MDS or NMDS. Note that all MDS codes have the same weight distribution for the same parameters [21], while NMDS codes may have different weight distribution even if their parameters are the same [8, 10]. For this reason, we only focus on the weight distributions of NMDS codes from the class of linear codes $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{S} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ and $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_k given in Equation (10). If \mathcal{S} contains a k -zero-sum subset, then the weight distribution of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is*

$$A_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-k\}, \\ (q-1)N(k, 0, \mathcal{S}), & \text{if } i = n-k+1, \\ \binom{n+1}{k-s} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (-1)^j \binom{n-k+1+s}{j} (q^{s-j} - 1) + (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} A_{n-k+1}, & \text{if } i = n-k+s+1, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}. \end{cases}$$

and the weight distribution of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)^\perp$ is

$$A_i^\perp = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}, \\ (q-1)N(k, 0, \mathcal{S}), & \text{if } i = k, \\ \binom{n+1}{k+s} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (-1)^j \binom{k+s}{j} (q^{s-j} - 1) + (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} A_k^\perp, & \text{if } i = k+s, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-k+1\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 (2), $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is an $[n+1, k, n-k+1]_q$ NMDS code. Firstly, we determine the number of codewords in $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ with the minimum weight $n-k+1$, *i.e.*, the value of A_{n-k+1} . Let \mathbf{c} be any codeword in $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$. By Definition 3.1, there exists a polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} f_i x^i + f_k x^k \in \mathcal{V}'_k$ such that $\mathbf{c} = (v_1 f(a_1), v_2 f(a_2), \dots, v_n f(a_n), f_k)$. By a direct observation, it is not difficult to deduce that

$$\text{wt}(\mathbf{c}) = n - k + 1 \text{ if and only if } \#\{a \in \mathcal{S} : f(a) = 0\} = k,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n-k+1} &= \#\left\{ \mathcal{V}'_k \cap \left\{ f(x) = \lambda \prod_{a \in \mathcal{S}'} (x-a) : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \#\mathcal{S}' = k \right\} \right\} \\ &= \#\left\{ \mathcal{S}' : -\lambda \sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}'} a = 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \#\mathcal{S}' = k \right\} \\ &= (q-1) \#\left\{ \mathcal{S}' : \sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}'} a = 0, \mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \#\mathcal{S}' = k \right\} \\ &= (q-1)N(k, 0, \mathcal{S}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equation holds since

$$\prod_{a \in \mathcal{S}'} (x-a) = x^k - \sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}'} ax^{k-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} (-1)^{k-i} \sum_{T \subseteq \mathcal{S}', \#\mathcal{T}=k-i} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{T}} ax^i.$$

Then the desired result follows straightforward from Lemma 2.2. \square

Furthermore, we have the following explicit results over \mathbb{F}_q^* and \mathbb{F}_q according to Lemma 2.3.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $C_k(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_k given in Equation (10). If $k \neq q-2$ and $(p, k) \neq (2, q-3)$, then the weight distribution of $C_k(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is*

$$A_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-k-1\}, \\ \frac{q-1}{q} \left[\binom{q-1}{k} + (-1)^{k+\lfloor \frac{k}{p} \rfloor} (q-1) \binom{\frac{q}{p}-1}{\lfloor \frac{k}{p} \rfloor} \right], & \text{if } i = q-k, \\ \binom{q}{k-s} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (-1)^j \binom{q-k+s}{j} (q^{s-j} - 1) + (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} A_{q-k}, & \text{if } i = q-k+s, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}. \end{cases}$$

and the weight distribution of $C_k(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)^\perp$ is

$$A_i^\perp = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}, \\ \frac{q-1}{q} \left[\binom{q-1}{k} + (-1)^{k+\lfloor \frac{k}{p} \rfloor} (q-1) \binom{\frac{q}{p}-1}{\lfloor \frac{k}{p} \rfloor} \right], & \text{if } i = k, \\ \binom{q}{k+s} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (-1)^j \binom{k+s}{j} (q^{s-j} - 1) + (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} A_k^\perp, & \text{if } i = k+s, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-k\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $3 \leq k \leq q-1$, it is easily verified that $N(k, 0, \mathbb{F}_q^*) > 0$ if $k \neq q-2$ and $(p, k) \neq (2, q-3)$, which implies that \mathcal{S} contains a k -zero-sum subset. Combining Lemma 2.3 (1) and Theorem 3.10, the desired result holds. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.12. *Let $C_k(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_k given in Equation (10). If $(p, k) \neq (2, q-2)$, then the weight distribution of $C_k(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is*

$$A_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-k\}, \\ \frac{q-1}{q} \binom{q}{k}, & \text{if } i = q-k+1 \text{ and } p \nmid k, \\ \frac{q-1}{q} \left[\binom{q}{k} + (-1)^{k+\frac{k}{p}} (q-1) \binom{\frac{q}{p}}{\frac{k}{p}} \right], & \text{if } i = q-k+1 \text{ and } p \mid k, \\ \binom{q+1}{k-s} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (-1)^j \binom{q-k+1+s}{j} (q^{s-j} - 1) + (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} A_{q-k+1}, & \text{if } i = q-k+s+1, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}. \end{cases}$$

and the weight distribution of $C_k(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbf{v}, \infty)^\perp$ is

$$A_i^\perp = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}, \\ \frac{q-1}{q} \binom{q}{k}, & \text{if } i = k \text{ and } p \nmid k, \\ \frac{q-1}{q} \left[\binom{q}{k} + (-1)^{k+\frac{k}{p}} (q-1) \binom{\frac{q}{p}}{\frac{k}{p}} \right], & \text{if } i = k \text{ and } p \mid k, \\ \binom{q+1}{k+s} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (-1)^j \binom{k+s}{j} (q^{s-j} - 1) + (-1)^s \binom{k}{s} A_k^\perp, & \text{if } i = k+s, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-k+1\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Corollary 3.11 and we omit it here. \square

In Remark 3.6, we pointed out that the class of linear codes $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ are non-equivalent to the (+)-extended twisted GRS codes $C_{1,k-1,k,n}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \infty)$ studied in [42]. In the following remark, we present some detailed comparison results based on the weight distributions to support this statement.

Remark 3.13. Some comparison remarks between results in [42] and ours are given as follows.

- (1) Compared to [42, Theorem 3.3], the weight distributions of the NMDS (+)-extended twisted GRS codes depend on the values of $N(k, -\eta^{-1}, \mathcal{S})$, while our weight distributions presented in Theorem 3.10 are related to $N(k, 0, \mathcal{S})$. Note that $\eta \neq 0$, then $N(k, -\eta^{-1}, \mathcal{S})$ may not equal $N(k, 0, \mathcal{S})$. Hence, $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ and $C_{1,k-1,k,n}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \infty)$ may have different weight distributions. Moreover, the difference of $A_{n-k+1} = A_k^\perp$ in $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ and $C_{1,k-1,k,n}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \infty)$ is

$$(q-1)(N(k, 0, \mathcal{S}) - N(k, -\eta^{-1}, \mathcal{S})).$$

- (2) Compared to [42, Corollary 3.2] ($\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{F}_q$), the $[q+1, k, q-k+1]_q$ NMDS codes derived from the (+)-extended twisted GRS codes $C_{1,k-1,k,n}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \infty)$ and the class of linear codes

$C_k(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ have the same weight distribution if $p \nmid k$ and have different weight distributions if $p \mid k$. In fact, it can be verified that the value of $A_{q-k+1} = A_k^\perp$ between them differs by

$$(-1)^{k+\frac{k}{p}}(q-1)\binom{\frac{q}{p}}{\frac{k}{p}} \text{ for } p \mid k.$$

- (3) Compared to [42, Corollary 3.3] ($\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{F}_q^*$), the $[q, k, q-k]_q$ NMDS codes derived from the (+)-extended twisted GRS codes $C_{1,k-1,k,n}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \eta, \infty)$ and the class of linear codes $C_k(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ have different weight distributions. It is easily seen that the value of $A_{q-k} = A_k^\perp$ actually differs by

$$(-1)^{k+\lfloor \frac{k}{p} \rfloor}(q-1)\binom{\frac{q}{p}-1}{\lfloor \frac{k}{p} \rfloor}.$$

In the following, we give three concrete examples to visualize the results obtained so far. The first one is a non-GRS MDS code. The second and third ones are NMDS codes that are non-equivalent to (+)-extended twisted GRS codes constructed in [42].

Example 3.14. Let ω be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{16} . Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\omega, \omega^3, \omega^5, \omega^8, \omega^9, \omega^{11}, \omega^{13}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{16}$. Then it is easy to verify that \mathcal{S} is 5-zero-sum-free. Therefore, for any $\mathbf{v} \in (\mathbb{F}_{16}^*)^7$, it follows from Theorems 3.2, 3.5 (1) and 3.9 that $C_5(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is an $[8, 5, 4]_{16}$ non-GRS MDS code. Double-checked by Magma [4], all the statements are true.

Example 3.15. Let ω be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_9 . We have the following results.

- For any vector $\mathbf{v}_1 \in (\mathbb{F}_9^*)^8$, it follows from Theorem 3.9 and Corollary 3.11 that $C_4(\mathbb{F}_9^*, \mathbf{v}_1, \infty)$ is a $[9, 4, 5]_9$ non-GRS NMDS code with the weight enumerator

$$1 + 48z^5 + 480z^6 + 1152z^7 + 2616z^8 + 2264z^9.$$

- For any vector $\mathbf{v}_2 \in (\mathbb{F}_9^*)^9$, it follows from Theorem 3.9 and Corollary 3.12 that $C_6(\mathbb{F}_9, \mathbf{v}_2, \infty)$ is a $[10, 6, 4]_9$ non-GRS NMDS code with the weight enumerator

$$1 + 96z^4 + 1440z^5 + 8160z^6 + 38400z^7 + 115200z^8 + 204464z^9 + 163680z^{10}.$$

In addition, both $C_4(\mathbb{F}_9^*, \mathbf{v}_1, \infty)$ and $C_6(\mathbb{F}_9, \mathbf{v}_2, \infty)$ are non-equivalent to the (+)-extended twisted GRS codes constructed in [42] according to Remarks 3.13 (2) and (3). Verified by Magma [4], all these results are true.

Note that Heng and Wang [18] constructed three infinite families of $[q, k, q-k]_q$ NMDS codes for $k \in \{5, 6\}$ and $q = 2^m$, where $m \geq 5$ is odd. By taking $k = 5$ and 6 in Theorem 3.4, respectively, we can immediately obtain infinite families of $[q, k, q-k]_q$ NMDS codes for any $q > 8$. Moreover, their weight distributions can be explicitly determined by Corollary 3.11. To emphasize the validity and importance of our results, we collect these NMDS codes in the following theorems.

Theorem 3.16. *Let $q = p^m > 8$ and $C_5(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_5 given in Equation (10). Then $C_5(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is a $[q, 5, q - 5]_q$ NMDS code with the following weight distribution.*

- (1) *If $p = 2$, then the weight distribution of $C_5(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is given in Table 1.*
- (2) *If $p \in \{3, 5\}$, then the weight distribution of $C_5(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is given in Table 2.*
- (3) *If $p \geq 7$, then the weight distribution of $C_5(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is given in Table 3.*

Proof. Note that $\lfloor \frac{5}{p} \rfloor = 2$ if $p = 2$; $\lfloor \frac{5}{p} \rfloor = 1$ if $p \in \{3, 5\}$; and $\lfloor \frac{5}{p} \rfloor = 0$ if $p \geq 7$. Then the desired results follow from Corollary 3.11 after a direct but tedious calculation. \square

Theorem 3.17. *Let $q = p^m > 8$ and $C_6(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_6 given in Equation (10). Then $C_6(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is a $[q, 6, q - 6]_q$ NMDS code with the following weight distribution.*

- (1) *If $p = 2$, then the weight distribution of $C_6(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is given in Table 4.*
- (2) *If $p = 3$, then the weight distribution of $C_6(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is given in Table 5.*
- (3) *If $p = 5$, then the weight distribution of $C_6(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is given in Table 6.*
- (4) *If $p \geq 7$, then the weight distribution of $C_6(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is given in Table 7.*

Proof. Note that $\lfloor \frac{6}{p} \rfloor = 3$ if $p = 2$; $\lfloor \frac{6}{p} \rfloor = 2$ if $p = 3$; $\lfloor \frac{6}{p} \rfloor = 1$ if $p = 5$; and $\lfloor \frac{6}{p} \rfloor = 0$ if $p \geq 7$. Then the desired results again follow from Corollary 3.11 after a direct but tedious calculation. \square

Remark 3.18.

- (1) Note that our infinite families of $[q, 5, q - 5]_q$ NMDS codes and $[q, 6, q - 6]_q$ NMDS codes always exist for any $q = p^m > 8$, while the infinite family of $[q, 5, q - 5]_q$ NMDS codes constructed in [18, Theorem 31] and two infinite families of $[q, 6, q - 6]_q$ NMDS codes constructed in [18, Theorems 38 and 42] only exist for $q = 2^m$ with odd $m \geq 5$. Hence, our NMDS codes presented in Theorems 3.16 and 3.17 have more flexible parameters.
- (2) Comparing Table 1 with [18, Theorem 31] and Table 4 with [18, Theorems 38 and 42], we can also conclude that our NMDS codes are non-equivalent to those constructed by Heng and Wang [18] even for the special case where $q = 2^m$ and $m > 3$ is odd because of different weight distributions.

Table 1: The weight distribution of the $[q, 5, q-5]_q$ NMDS code $\mathcal{C}_5(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ for $p = 2$

Weight i	Multiplicity A_i
0	1
$q-5$	$(q-1)^2(q-2)(q-4)(q-8)/120$
$q-4$	$(q-1)^2(q-2)(9q-32)/24$
$q-3$	$(q-1)^2(q-2)(q^2-4q+32)/12$
$q-2$	$(q-1)^2(2q^3+11q^2-20q+64)/12$
$q-1$	$(q-1)(9q^4+9q^3+38q^2-24q+64)/24$
q	$(q-1)(44q^4+25q^3+5q^2-10q+56)/120$

Table 2: The weight distribution of the $[q, 5, q-5]_q$ NMDS code $\mathcal{C}_5(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ for $p \in \{3, 5\}$

Weight i	Multiplicity A_i
0	1
$q-5$	$(q-1)^2(pq^3-14pq^2+71pq-154p+120)/(120p)$
$q-4$	$(q-1)^2(9pq^2-65pq+154p-120)/(24p)$
$q-3$	$(q-1)^2(pq^3-6pq^2+55pq-154p+120)/(12p)$
$q-2$	$(q-1)^2(2pq^3+11pq^2-35pq+154p-120)/(12p)$
$q-1$	$(q-1)(9pq^4+9pq^3+53pq^2-129pq+120q+154p-120)/(24p)$
q	$(q-1)(44pq^4+25pq^3-10pq^2+95pq-120q-34p+120)/(120p)$

Table 3: The weight distribution of the $[q, 5, q-5]_q$ NMDS code $\mathcal{C}_5(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ for $p \geq 7$

Weight i	Multiplicity A_i
0	1
$q-5$	$(q-1)^2(q-7)(q^2-7q+22)/120$
$q-4$	$(q-1)^2(9q^2-65q+154)/24$
$q-3$	$(q-1)^2(q^3-6q^2+55q-154)/12$
$q-2$	$(q-1)^2(2q^3+11q^2-35q+154)/12$
$q-1$	$(q-1)(9q^4+9q^3+53q^2-129q+154)/24$
q	$(q-1)(44q^4+25q^3-10q^2+95q-34)/120$

Table 4: The weight distribution of the $[q, 6, q-6]_q$ NMDS code $C_6(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ for $p = 2$

Weight i	Multiplicity A_i
0	1
$q-6$	$(q-1)^2(q-2)(q-4)(q-6)(q-8)/720$
$q-5$	$(q-1)^2(q-2)(q-4)(11q-48)/120$
$q-4$	$(q-1)^2(q-2)(q^3-8q^2+74q-192)/48$
$q-3$	$(q-1)^2(q-2)(2q^3+15q^2-44q+192)/36$
$q-2$	$(q-1)^2(9q^4+16q^3+96q^2-160q+384)/48$
$q-1$	$(q-1)(44q^5+71q^4+35q^3+250q^2-184q+384)/120$
q	$(q-1)(265q^5+129q^4+100q^3+30q^2-140q+336)/720$

Table 5: The weight distribution of the $[q, 6, q-6]_q$ NMDS code $C_6(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ for $p = 3$

Weight i	Multiplicity A_i
0	1
$q-6$	$(q-1)^2(q-3)(q-6)(q^2-11q+38)/720$
$q-5$	$(q-1)^2(q-3)(11q^2-96q+228)/120$
$q-4$	$(q-1)^2(q-3)(q^3-7q^2+84q-228)/48$
$q-3$	$(q-1)^2(2q^4+11q^3-89q^2+420q-684)/36$
$q-2$	$(q-1)^2(9q^4+16q^3+111q^2-300q+684)/48$
$q-1$	$(q-1)(44q^5+71q^4+20q^3+405q^2-624q+684)/120$
q	$(q-1)(265q^5+129q^4+115q^3-125q^2+300q+36)/720$

Table 6: The weight distribution of the $[q, 6, q-6]_q$ NMDS code $C_6(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ for $p = 5$

Weight i	Multiplicity A_i
0	1
$q-6$	$(q-1)^2(q-5)(q^3-15q^2+80q-180)/720$
$q-5$	$(q-1)^2(11q^3-129q^2+556q-900)/120$
$q-4$	$(q-1)^2(q^4-10q^3+105q^2-520q+900)/48$
$q-3$	$(q-1)^2(2q^4+11q^3-89q^2+460q-900)/36$
$q-2$	$(q-1)^2(9q^4+16q^3+111q^2-340q+900)/48$
$q-1$	$(q-1)(44q^5+71q^4+20q^3+445q^2-880q+900)/120$
q	$(q-1)(265q^5+129q^4+115q^3-165q^2+556q-180)/720$

Table 7: The weight distribution of the $[q, 6, q-6]_q$ NMDS code $C_6(\mathbb{F}_q^*, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ for $p \geq 7$

Weight i	Multiplicity A_i
0	1
$q-6$	$(q-1)^2(q^4-20q^3+155q^2-580q+1044)/720$
$q-5$	$(q-1)^2(11q^3-129q^2+556q-1044)/120$
$q-4$	$(q-1)^2(q^4-10q^3+105q^2-520q+1044)/48$
$q-3$	$(q-1)^2(2q^4+11q^3-89q^2+460q-1044)/36$
$q-2$	$(q-1)^2(9q^4+16q^3+111q^2-340q+1044)/48$
$q-1$	$(q-1)(44q^5+71q^4+20q^3+445q^2-1024q+1044)/120$
q	$(q-1)(265q^5+129q^4+115q^3-165q^2+700q-324)/720$

3.4 Self-orthogonal properties of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$

At the end of this section, we characterize the self-orthogonal properties of $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$.

Theorem 3.19. *Let $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the q -ary linear code generated by G_k given in Equation (10). Then $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is self-orthogonal if and only if there exists a polynomial $g(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-2k} g_s x^s \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]_{n-2k+1}$ such that the following two conditions hold:*

- (1) $v_i^2 = u_i g(a_i)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$;
- (2) $g_{n-2k-1} + g_{n-2k} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = -1$.

Proof. According to Lemma 2.6, $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is self-orthogonal if and only if $G_k G_k^T$ is a $k \times k$ zero matrix, which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 a_i^j = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2k-2, & (14.1) \\ \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 a_i^{2k} + 1 = 0. & (14.2) \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Assume that $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is self-orthogonal and consider the system of equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j x_i = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq 2k-2. \quad (15)$$

With Lemma 2.8, we conclude that

$$(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n), (a_1 u_1, a_2 u_2, \dots, a_n u_n), \dots, (a_1^{n-2k} u_1, a_2^{n-2k} u_2, \dots, a_n^{n-2k} u_n)$$

are $n-2k+1$ linearly independent solutions of the system of equation (15). On the other hand, it is easy to see that the rank of the coefficient matrix of the system of equation (15) is $2k-1$. Since $(n-2k+1) + (2k-1) = n$, then all these $n-2k+1$ solutions form a basis of the solution space of the system of equation (15). It then turns out from Equation (14.1) that there are $g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-2k} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ satisfying

$$v_i^2 = \sum_{s=0}^{n-2k} g_s a_i^s u_i \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (16)$$

Denote by $g(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-2k} g_s x^s$. Then Equation (16) implies that $v_i^2 = u_i g(a_i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, it follows from substituting Equation (16) to Equation (14.2) and Lemma 2.8 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{s=0}^{n-2k} g_s a_i^s u_i \right) a_i^{2k} + 1 \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{n-2k} g_s \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{s+2k} u_i \right) + 1 \\ &= g_{n-2k-1} + g_{n-2k} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + 1 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which deduces that $g_{n-2k-1} + g_{n-2k} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = -1$. This completes the proof of sufficiency.

Conversely, if there exists a polynomial $g(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-2k} g_s x^s \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]_{n-2k+1}$ such that the conditions (1) and (2) hold, then it is easy to verify that Equation (14) holds. Hence, $C_k(S, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is self-orthogonal. This completes the proof of necessity.

Combining these two aspects, we complete the proof. \square

Following Theorem 3.19, we further study the (almost) self-dual properties of $C_k(S, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$.

Corollary 3.20. *Let n be odd and $C_{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the $[n+1, \frac{n+1}{2}]_q$ linear code generated by $G_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ given in Equation (10). Then $C_{\frac{n+1}{2}}(S, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ can not be self-dual for any $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathbf{v} \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^n$.*

Proof. The desired result follows straightforward from taking $k = \frac{n+1}{2}$ in Theorem 3.19 and the fact that $n - 2k = -1 < 0$. \square

Corollary 3.21. *Let n be even and $C_{\frac{n}{2}}(S, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ be the $[n+1, \frac{n}{2}]_q$ linear code generated by $G_{\frac{n}{2}}$ given in Equation (10). Then $C_{\frac{n}{2}}(S, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is almost self-dual if and only if there is a $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $v_i^2 = \lambda u_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = -\lambda^{-1}$.*

Proof. The desired result follows straightforward from taking $k = \frac{n}{2}$ in Theorem 3.19. \square

According to Corollary 3.21, we give two explicit constructions of almost self-dual codes.

Theorem 3.22. *Let $q = 2^m \geq 8$ and $3 \leq k \leq \frac{q}{2}$. Suppose that $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2k}\}$ is a $2k$ -subset of \mathbb{F}_q . If $\sum_{i=1}^{2k} a_i \neq 0$, we let $v_i = (\lambda u_i)^{\frac{q}{2}}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq 2k$ and $\lambda = (\sum_{i=1}^{2k} a_i)^{-1}$, then $C_k(S, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is a $[2k+1, k]_q$ non-GRS MDS or NMDS almost self-dual code.*

Proof. Since q is even and $\sum_{i=1}^{2k} a_i \neq 0$, then $\lambda = (\sum_{i=1}^{2k} a_i)^{-1} \neq 0$ and $v_i = (\lambda u_i)^{\frac{q}{2}} \neq 0$ can be taken for any $1 \leq i \leq 2k$. Moreover, it follows from $\lambda u_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ that $v_i^2 = ((\lambda u_i)^{\frac{q}{2}})^2 = \lambda u_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 2k$. By Theorems 3.4 and 3.9 as well as Corollary 3.21, we immediately get the desired result. \square

Theorem 3.23. *Let r and m be two positive integers satisfying $r \mid m$ and $2 \mid \frac{m}{r}$. Let $q = p^m$ and $3 \leq k \leq \frac{p^r}{2}$. Suppose that $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2k}\}$ is a $2k$ -subset of \mathbb{F}_{p^r} . If $\sum_{i=1}^{2k} a_i \neq 0$, we let $v_i = (\lambda u_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq 2k$ and $\lambda = (-\sum_{i=1}^{2k} a_i)^{-1}$, then $C_k(S, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is a $[2k+1, k]_q$ non-GRS MDS or NMDS almost self-dual code.*

Proof. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{2k} a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^r}^*$ and $u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^r}^*$ for any $1 \leq i \leq 2k$. Note also that each element in \mathbb{F}_{p^r} is a square element in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} since $r \mid m$ and $2 \mid \frac{m}{r}$. Then $\lambda = (-\sum_{i=1}^{2k} a_i)^{-1} \neq 0$ and $v_i = (\lambda u_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$, i.e., $v_i^2 = \lambda u_i$ can be taken for each $1 \leq i \leq 2k$. Then the desired result again follows straightforward from Theorems 3.4 and 3.9 as well as Corollary 3.21. \square

In the following, we give two explicit examples of almost self-dual codes from Theorems 3.22 and Theorem 3.23.

Example 3.24. Let ω be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_8 and

$$\mathcal{S} = \{1, \omega, \omega^2, \omega^3, \omega^4, \omega^6\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_8.$$

Note that $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}} a = \omega^5$ and \mathcal{S} contains a 3-zero-sum subset such as $\{1, \omega, \omega^3\}$. Take $v_i = (\lambda u_i)^4$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 6$, where $\lambda = (\sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}} a)^{-1} = \omega^2$, and hence, we get that

$$\mathbf{v} = (\omega^3, \omega, 1, 1, \omega^3, \omega) \subseteq (\mathbb{F}_8^*)^6.$$

By Theorems 3.5 (2) and 3.22, $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is a $[7, 3, 4]_8$ NMDS almost self-dual code. Verified by Magma [4], these results are true.

Example 3.25. Let $p = 5$, $r = 2$ and $m = 4$. Let ω be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{5^4} and

$$\mathcal{S} = \{1, \omega^{26}, \omega^{52}, \omega^{78}, \omega^{104}, \omega^{130}, \omega^{182}, 2, \omega^{494}, \omega^{598}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{5^2} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{5^4}.$$

Note that $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}} a = \omega^{338}$ and \mathcal{S} contains a 5-zero-sum subset such as $\{1, \omega^{52}, \omega^{78}, \omega^{494}, \omega^{598}\}$. Take $v_i = (\lambda u_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 10$, where $\lambda = (-\sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}} a)^{-1} = \omega^{598}$, and hence, we get that

$$\mathbf{v} = (\omega^{247}, \omega^{260}, \omega^{208}, \omega^{247}, \omega^{143}, \omega^{39}, \omega^{195}, \omega^{26}, \omega^{390}, \omega^{65}) \subseteq (\mathbb{F}_{5^4}^*)^{10}.$$

By Theorems 3.5 (2) and 3.23, $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is an $[11, 5, 6]_{5^4}$ NMDS almost self-dual code. Verified by Magma [4], these results are true.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we introduce and study a special class of linear codes $C_k(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ involving their parameters, non-GRS properties, weight distributions, and self-orthogonal properties. Specifically speaking, we show that such codes must be MDS or NMDS and give some related sufficient and necessary conditions. We also prove that these codes are non-GRS by using the Schur method and completely determine their weight distributions with the help of the solutions to subset sum problems. In particular, by comparing weight distributions, we confirm that this class of linear codes are also non-equivalent to the (+)-extended twisted GRS codes studied in [42] and derive several new infinite families of 5- and 6-dimensional NMDS codes that are non-equivalent to those constructed in [18]. Finally, based on the characterization of the self-orthogonal properties, we show that there are no self-dual codes in this class of linear codes and present two explicit constructions of almost self-dual codes. As a future research topic, it would be interesting to construct more non-GRS MDS codes and NMDS codes.

References

- [1] Ball S.: Finite geometry and combinatorial applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2015).

- [2] Beelen P., Bossert M., Puchinger S., Rosenkilde J.: Structural properties of twisted Reed-Solomon codes with applications to cryptography. in: Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT), pp. 946-950 (2018).
- [3] Beelen P., Puchinger S., Rosenkilde J.: Twisted Reed-Solomon codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **68**(5), 3047-3061 (2022).
- [4] Bosma W., Cannon J., Playoust C.: The Magma algebra system I: The user language. J. Symb. Comput. **24**(3-4), 235-265 (1997).
- [5] Cadambe V.R., Huang C., Li J.: Permutation code: Optimal exact-repair of a single failed node in MDS code based distributed storage systems. in: Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT), pp. 1225-1229 (2011).
- [6] Chen H.: Many non-Reed-Solomon type MDS codes from arbitrary genus algebraic curves. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2023.33348146>.
- [7] Cheng W.: On parity-check matrices of twisted generalized Reed-Solomon codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2023.3333966>.
- [8] De Boer M.A.: Almost MDS codes. Des. Codes Cryptogr. **9**(2), 143-155 (1996).
- [9] Ding C., Tang C.: Infinite families of near MDS codes holding t -designs. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **66**(9), 5419-5428 (2020).
- [10] Dodunekov S., Landjev I.: On near-MDS codes. J. Geom. **54**(1-2), 30-43 (1994).
- [11] Fang X., Liu M., Luo J.: New MDS Euclidean self-orthogonal codes. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **67**(1), 130-137 (2020).
- [12] Gu H., Zhang J.: On twisted generalized Reed-Solomon codes with ℓ twists. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **70**(1), 145-153 (2023).
- [13] Guo G., Li R., Liu Y., Song H.: Duality of generalized twisted Reed-Solomon codes and Hermitian self-dual MDS or NMDS codes. Cryptogr. Commun. **15**(2), 383-395 (2023).
- [14] Han D., Fan C.: Roth-Lempel NMDS codes of non-elliptic-curve type. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **69**(9), 5670-5675 (2023).
- [15] Han D., Zhang H.: New constructions of NMDS self-dual codes. (2023). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.01593>.
- [16] He B., Liao Q.: The properties and the error-correcting pair for lengthened GRS codes. Des. Codes Cryptogr. (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-023-01304-7>.
- [17] Heineman E.R.: Generalized Vandermonde determinants. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **31**(3), 464-476 (1929).
- [18] Heng Z., Wang X.: New infinite families of near MDS codes holding t -designs. Discret. Math. **346**(10), 113538 (2023).

- [19] Heng Z., Li C., Wang, X.: Constructions of MDS, near MDS and almost MDS codes from cyclic subgroups of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **68**(12), 7817-7831 (2022).
- [20] Huang D., Yue Q., Niu Y., Li X.: MDS or NMDS self-dual codes from twisted generalized Reed-Solomon codes. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **89**(9), 2195-2209 (2021).
- [21] Huffman W.C., Pless V.: *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).
- [22] Jin L., Kan H.: Self-dual near MDS codes from elliptic curves. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **65**(4), 2166-2170 (2019).
- [23] Lavauzelle J., Renner J.: Cryptanalysis of a system based on twisted Reed-Solomon codes. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **88**(7), 1285-1300 (2020).
- [24] Li J., Wan D.: On the subset sum problem over finite fields. *Finite Fields Appl.* **14**(4), 911-929 (2008).
- [25] Li Z., Xing L., Wang X.: Quantum generalized Reed-Solomon codes: Unified framework for quantum MDS codes. *Phys. Rev. A* **77**(1), 012308 (2008).
- [26] Liu H., Liu S.: Construction of MDS twisted Reed-Solomon codes and LCD MDS codes. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **89**, 2051-2065 (2021).
- [27] Liu H., Pan X.: Galois hulls of linear codes over finite fields. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **88**(2), 241-255 (2020).
- [28] Liu Q., Ding C., Mesnager S., Tang C., Tonchev V.D.: On infinite families of narrow-sense antiprimitive BCH codes admitting 3-transitive automorphism groups and their consequences. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **68**(5), 3096-3107 (2022).
- [29] Luo G., Cao X., Ezerman M.F., Ling S.: Two new classes of Hermitian self-orthogonal non-GRS MDS codes and their applications. *Adv. Math. Commun.* **16**(4), 921-933 (2022).
- [30] Márquez-Corbella I., Martínez-Moro E., Pellikaan R.: The non-gap sequence of a subcode of a generalized Reed-Solomon code. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **66**, 317-333 (2013).
- [31] Roth R.M., Lempel, A.: A construction of non-Reed-Solomon type MDS codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **35**(3), 655-657 (1989).
- [32] Sakakibara K., Taketsugu J.: Application of random relaying of partitioned MDS codeword block to persistent relay CSMA over random error channels. in: *Proc. 5th Int. Congr. Ultra Modern Telecommun. Control Syst. Workshops (ICUMT)*, pp. 106-112 (2013).
- [33] Simos D.E., Varbanov Z.: MDS codes, NMDS codes and their secret-sharing schemes. (2018). http://www.singacom.uva.es/~edgar/cactc2012/trabajos/CACT2012_SimosVarbanov.pdf
- [34] Sui J., Yue Q., Li X., Huang D.: MDS, near-MDS or 2-MDS self-dual codes via twisted generalized Reed-Solomon codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **68**(12), 7832-7841 (2022).

- [35] Sui J., Yue Q., Sun F.: New constructions of self-dual codes via twisted generalized Reed-Solomon codes. *Cryptogr. Commun.* **15**(5), 959-978 (2023).
- [36] Sun Z., Ding C.: The extended codes of a family of reversible MDS cyclic codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2023.3342216>.
- [37] Thomas A., Rajan B.: Binary informed source codes and index codes using certain near-MDS codes. *IEEE Trans. Commun.* **66**(5), 2181-2190 (2018).
- [38] Wu Y.: Twisted Reed-Solomon codes with one-dimensional hull. *IEEE Commun. Lett.* **25**(2), 383-386 (2021).
- [39] Wu Y., Hyun J.Y., Lee Y.: New LCD MDS codes of non-Reed-Solomon type. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **67**(8), 5069-5078 (2021).
- [40] Zhang J., Zhou Z., Tang C.: A class of twisted generalized Reed-Solomon codes. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **90**(7), 1649-1658 (2022).
- [41] Zhou Y., Wang F., Xin Y., Luo S., Qing S., Yang Y.: A secret sharing scheme based on near-MDS codes. in: *Proc. IC-NIDC*, pp. 833-836 (2009).
- [42] Zhu C., Liao, Q.: The (+)-extended twisted generalized Reed-Solomon code. *Discret. Math.* **347**(2), 113749 (2024).