

Exploration of faint X-ray and radio sources in the massive globular cluster M14: A UV-bright counterpart to Nova Ophiuchus 1938

Yue Zhao,^{1*} Francesca D’Antona,² Antonino P. Milone,^{3,4} Craig Heinke,⁵ Jiaqi Zhao,⁵ Phyllis Lugger,⁶ and Haldan Cohn⁶

¹*School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK*

²*INAF – Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, Via Frascati 33, I-00040 Monte Porzio Catone, Roma, Italy*

³*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia ‘Galileo Galilei’, Univ. di Padova, Vicolo dell’Osservatorio 3, I-35122 Padova, Italy*

⁴*Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica – Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell’Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy*

⁵*Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G 2E1, Canada*

⁶*Department of Astronomy, Indiana University, 727 E. Third St., Bloomington, IN 47405, USA*

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

Using a 12 ks archival *Chandra* X-ray Observatory ACIS-S observation on the massive globular cluster (GC) M14, we detect a total of 7 faint X-ray sources within its half-light radius at a 0.5 – 7 keV depth of 2.5×10^{31} erg s⁻¹. We cross-match the X-ray source positions with a catalogue of the *Very Large Array* radio point sources and a *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) UV/optical/near-IR photometry catalogue, revealing radio counterparts to 2 and *HST* counterparts to 6 of the X-ray sources. In addition, we also identify a radio source with the recently discovered millisecond pulsar PSR 1737–0314A. The brightest X-ray source, CX1, appears to be consistent with the nominal position of the classic nova Ophiuchi 1938 (Oph 1938), and both Oph 1938 and CX1 are consistent with a UV-bright variable *HST* counterpart, which we argue to be the source of the nova eruption in 1938. This makes Oph 1938 the second classic nova recovered in a Galactic GC since Nova T Scorpii in M80. CX2 is consistent with the steep-spectrum radio source VLA8, which unambiguously matches a faint blue source; the steepness of VLA8 is suggestive of a pulsar nature, possibly a transitional millisecond pulsar with a late K dwarf companion, though an active galactic nucleus (AGN) cannot be ruled out. The other counterparts to the X-ray sources are all suggestive of chromospherically active binaries or background AGNs, so their nature requires further membership information.

Key words: globular clusters: individual: NGC 6402 (M14) – X-rays: binaries – pulsars: general – stars: novae, cataclysmic variables

1 INTRODUCTION

Globular clusters (GCs) have been recognised as veritable factories of close binaries. Throughout their advanced ages, they had witnessed the deaths of the most massive stars that leave behind populations of white dwarfs (WDs), neutron stars (NSs), and black holes (BHs). These compact objects join many dynamical encounters that are facilitated by the very dense GC environment, giving rise to a variety of close binaries hosting compact objects (e.g., Clark 1975; Fabian et al. 1975; Sutantyo 1975; Hills 1976; Camilo & Rasio 2005; Ivanova et al. 2006, 2008).

These close binaries could be sources of X-rays. The early X-ray missions (e.g., *Uhuru*, *OSO-7*) revealed that GCs are orders of magnitude more abundant in X-ray sources compared to the field (Katz 1975). Owing to the limited instrument sensitivity, these sources are typically bright ($\geq 10^{36}$ erg s⁻¹; Giacconi et al. 1974) and are attributed to accreting NSs in low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs; see

e.g., Clark et al. 1975; Canizares & Neighbours 1975; Katz 1975). Subsequently, more fainter ($\leq 10^{34}$ erg s⁻¹) sources were revealed by the *Einstein Observatory* (Hertz & Grindlay 1983) and *ROSAT* (Verbunt 2001), and finally till today, the *Chandra X-ray Observatory* still provides the unprecedented angular resolution and sensitivity to further push the depths of the observations, revealing a plethora of faint sources in many GCs (e.g., Grindlay et al. 2001; Pooley et al. 2002b; Bassa et al. 2004; Heinke et al. 2005; Kong et al. 2006; Bassa et al. 2008; Servillat et al. 2008; Haggard et al. 2009; Henleywillis et al. 2018; Cohn et al. 2021; Lugger et al. 2017, 2023; Zhao et al. 2019, 2020b; Vurgun et al. 2022).

Faint sources have been long suggested to be a mix of multiple types of close binaries. Typically, many GCs host a population of cataclysmic variables (CVs) where a white dwarf accretes from a low-mass donor star (e.g., Hertz & Grindlay 1983; Pooley et al. 2002a; Rivera Sandoval et al. 2018), while NSs in quiescent LMXBs (qLMXB; e.g., Verbunt et al. 1984; Heinke et al. 2014) and radio millisecond pulsars (MSPs; e.g., Saito et al. 1997; Bogdanov et al. 2010) have also been noted to emit X-rays through thermal and non-

* E-mail: yue.zhao@soton.ac.uk

thermal processes. GCs also host a significant population of close binaries made of non-degenerate companions (Bailyn et al. 1990; Grindlay et al. 2001). These close binaries have tidally-locked orbits that force fast stellar rotation, and as a result, they have significantly enhanced chromospheric activity that emits X-rays at an observable level (Dempsey et al. 1997), hereafter referred to as active binaries (ABs). To minimise the effect of crowding in GCs, identification of these faint X-ray sources have been greatly aided by incorporating deep and high-resolution imaging observation in UV/optical/near-IR (e.g., Bassa et al. 2004; Dieball et al. 2010; Lugger et al. 2017; Cohn et al. 2021; Zhao et al. 2019, 2020b), and/or in radio (e.g., Fruchter & Goss 2000; Strader et al. 2012; Chomiuk et al. 2013; Shishkovsky et al. 2018; Zhao et al. 2020a; Lugger et al. 2023).

M14 is one of the most massive GCs in our Galaxy (8th most massive in Baumgardt & Hilker 2018, 13th most massive in Baumgardt’s 4th cluster update¹, at $6 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$). It has a distance slightly above average for GCs (9.3 kpc; Harris 1996, 2010 edition). Its relatively low central density ($\log \rho_c = 3.32$, Baumgardt & Hilker 2018) and large core (2.28 pc) combine to give it a relatively low stellar encounter rate (about a factor of 8 below that of 47 Tuc; Bahramian et al. 2013), which suggests it should have only a moderate number of compact binaries, such as quiescent neutron star LMXBs and MSPs, produced by dynamical interactions (e.g. Pooley et al. 2003; Bahramian et al. 2013). Indeed, recently five MSPs, a moderate number, have been discovered in M14 using the *Five-hundred-meter Aperture Spherical radio Telescope* (FAST; Pan et al. 2021); one of these MSPs has a timing solution and a faint X-ray counterpart (Zhao & Heinke 2022). However, the number of close binaries containing BHs is not expected to scale with stellar encounter rate, as the BH population self-segregates early in the cluster history, and strongly influences the cluster parameters by heating the core (e.g., Kremer et al. 2018; Arca Sedda et al. 2018). With N-body simulations, Ye et al. (2019) show that MSPs are favoured by larger GC masses but are suppressed by retained BHs; typically, MSPs are more concentrated in GCs that have a smaller number of BHs. M14, as a massive cluster with a large core, seems likely to have numerous BH binaries.

This makes the identification of a number of excess radio sources in M14 of particular interest. Shishkovsky et al. (2020) found that M14 has an excess of radio sources within its half-mass radius of 7.3 ± 3.8 sources. Zhao et al. (2021) used a radio luminosity limit of $5 \times 10^{27} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ to compare clusters, and found M14 to have by far the largest radio source excess within its half-mass radius, 14 sources when only 5.7 are expected (nearly a 3σ excess, by Gehrels 1986). The other two clusters that Zhao et al. (2021) found to have radio source excesses, M62 and NGC 6440, have high stellar encounter rates. M14, in contrast, may be a window on massive clusters with large numbers of BHs.

This work uses an archival *Chandra* X-ray observation of M14, UV/optical/near-IR *HST* imaging, and deep *VLA* radio imaging observations to identify exotic binaries. The paper is organised as follows: Sec 2 describes observational data used in this study; in Sec 3, we show related methods for processing and analysis; in Sec 4, we present results and discussions on individual sources; and finally in Sec 5, we draw conclusions.

2 OBSERVATIONS

2.1 X-ray Observation

M14 was observed in 2008-05-24 by the *Chandra X-ray Observatory* (Cycle 09; observation ID: 8947; PI Pooley). A single exposure of 12.09 ks was performed using the ACIS-S detector. We retrieved data of this exposure using the *Chandra* search portal² and reprocessed the observation files with the up-to-date calibration database (CALDB, version 4.10.4) that is integrated in the `chandra_repro` script in the CHANDRA INTERACTIVE ANALYSIS OF OBSERVATIONS (CIAO) software (version 4.15.1)³.

2.2 Radio Observations

M14 was observed by the *Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA)* in July 2015 (Project code: 15A-100; PI Strader) as a part of the Milky Way ATCA⁴ and VLA Exploration of Radio sources In Clusters (MAVERIC) survey (Tremou et al. 2018; Shishkovsky et al. 2020; Tudor et al. 2022), a deep radio imaging survey dedicated to finding more accreting compact objects in GCs. The observations of M14 were performed in the most extended A configuration, totalling 10 hours (8.4 hours on source) of integration. Data were acquired with the C band receiver, which is further split into two 2-GHz sub-bands centered at 5.3 and 7.2 GHz. For convenience, we use “low” and “high” to refer to the 5.3 and 7.2 sub-band, so ν_{low} and ν_{high} denote their central frequencies, and S_{low} and S_{high} represent specific flux densities at ν_{low} and ν_{high} , respectively. Fluxes of the two sub-bands can be used to compute the radio spectral index α , defined as $S_{\nu} \propto \nu^{\alpha}$, where S_{ν} is the specific flux density at frequency ν .

The processes of data reduction, imaging and source extraction are described in Shishkovsky et al. (2020, Sh20, hereafter); the resulting radio images at the low and high sub-band have noise levels of 1.8 and $1.7 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ with synthesised beam sizes of $0''.46 \times 0''.40$ and $0''.35 \times 0''.29$, respectively. Sh20 reports a total of 14 compact radio sources at the 5σ level within the cluster half-light radius ($r_h = 1.3$; Harris 1996, 2010 edition), of which 4 sources are in the core (Figure 1). In Figure 2, we plot the S_{low} and α values for these sources.

2.3 UV/optical/near-IR Observations

M14 was observed by the Wide-Field Camera 3 (WFC3) on board the *Hubble Space Telescope (HST)* in August 2021 (GO-16283; PI D’Antona). Data were acquired with the UVIS imager with a range of UV, optical, and near-IR filters, including F275W (UV₂₇₅), F336W (U₃₃₆), F438W (B₄₃₈), and F814W (I₈₁₄). Individual exposures are arranged at intervals of 1–2 days from 2021-02-06 to 2021-02-13. For our analysis, we query individual calibrated *HST* images (FLC files) from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) using the Hubble Search portal⁵; these images have been flat-fielded and corrected for charge transfer inefficiency. A summary of observation is given in Table 1.

² <http://cda.harvard.edu/chaser/>

³ <https://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/ciao/>

⁴ *The Australia Telescope Compact Array*

⁵ <https://mast.stsci.edu/search/ui/#/hst>

¹ <https://people.smp.uq.edu.au/HolgerBaumgardt/globular/>




Figure 1. $2'.72 \times 2'.72$ ACIS-S image of M14 between 0.5–10 keV; north is up and east is to the left. Solid and dashed circles depict the $1'.3$ half-light radius and the $0'.79$ core radius, respectively. X-ray sources found by `wavdetect` are indicated by blue circles; VLA source positions are indicated by red crosses; and the radio timing position of the known MSP, M14 A, is marked by a magenta square.

Table 1. A summary of *HST* observations used in this work.

Proposal ID	Instrument	Filter	Number of exposures	Total exposure time (s)
16283	WFC3	F275W (UV ₂₇₅)	13	17172
16283	WFC3	F336W (U ₃₃₆)	10	7610
16283	WFC3	F438W (B ₄₃₈)	13	3760
16283	WFC3	F814W (I ₈₁₄)	11	1353

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Imaging

3.1.1 UV/optical/near-IR

To improve the signal-to-noise ratio of the images and help in the identification of faint counterparts to close binaries, we aligned and combined the FLC images for each filter. This was accomplished using the `DRIZZLEPAC` Python package (version 3.5.1)⁶. The individual FLC images are firstly aligned to a reference frame (selected to be the FLC image with the longest exposure) by the `tweakreg` tool, which are then “drizzled” onto a common frame by `astrodrizzle`. To increase the image resolution and thus reduce potential crowding in the field, we set the combined image pixel scale to $0''.02/\text{pixel}$, which is half of the WFC3/UVIS scale.

3.1.2 X-ray

We filter and re-bin the processed event file to generate a $2'.72 \times 2'.72$ square image between 0.5 and 10 keV, which covers the whole r_h of the cluster (Figure 1). Since the field is not crowded, we do not over-bin the event file and keep the pixels at their original sizes ($0''.5$). For further source detection processes, we also generate a fluxed image and an associated exposure map of the square image in the same energy band, using the `CIAO fluximage` script.

3.2 X-ray source detection

We perform source detection with the `CIAO wavdetect` tool on the X-ray image. To account for spatial variation of the source point spread function (PSF), we also include a PSF map of the region which is generated by the `mkpsf` tool. These intermediate files are then given to the `wavdetect` tool, which performs a wavelet transform on the input image at different scales and calculates the corresponding correlation coefficients for each pixel — larger coefficients are considered more likely to belong to sources. We use scales of 1.0, 1.4, 2.0, 2.8 (powers of $\sqrt{2}$) and set the threshold significance to the

⁶ <https://www.stsci.edu/scientific-community/software/drizzlepac.html>




Figure 2. Flux density at the lower frequency sub-band (S_{low}) plotted against radio spectral indices (α) for the 14 radio sources within the cluster r_h . The error bars correspond 1σ uncertainties (see Sh20). The right scale shows the corresponding radio luminosity at ν_{low} assuming cluster distance of 9.3 kpc and flat spectra ($\alpha = 0$).

invert of the image area (9×10^{-6}), so there will be approximately one false detection in the resulting source list⁷.

Bahramian et al. (2020, B20, hereafter) reported a total of 7 sources within r_h , including 5 that are deemed confident, one marginal detection, and one likely false detection. Our `wavdetect` run found all of the 5 confident detections but missed the marginal and likely false detections. We check a $1''$ circular region around the marginal source, and found only 3 events between 0.5 and 10 keV. We therefore only include the five confident B20 detections in our catalogue. Beyond these 5 sources, our run also detected two faint sources that have 5 and 4 events within $1''$, and `wavdetect` estimates 4.7 and 3.8 net counts for them, respectively. In fact, it is very hard to make an unambiguous conclusion on the genuineness of these very faint sources given the short exposure time, so we keep these two new sources in our catalogue.

We sort the catalogue by the `wavdetect`-estimated net counts in descending order and rename the sources by appending a number (starting from 1) to “CX”. The net counts are also used to calculate the 95% error radii ($r_{\text{err},x}$) of the sources according to the empirical formula in Hong et al. (2005), which are used in our processes of counterpart searching. These sources are listed in Table 2.

3.3 X-ray variability

We ran the `ciao glvary` script to check for source variability. `glvary` separates the source events into multiple time bins and applies the Gregory-Loredo algorithm (Gregory & Loredo 1992) to detect significant variation between these bins. The script compute a variabil-

ity index between 0 and 10, which considers indices greater than 6 a confident sign of variability.

None of our X-ray sources are identified as variable. CX5 and CX6 have variability indices of 2 and 1, respectively, while all other sources have variability indices of 0. The results on the very faint sources (CX3–7) are not conclusive, considering the small number of counts (<10) available for the analysis.

3.4 X-ray spectral analysis

We noted that all M14 sources in the B20 catalogue are modelled with the hydrogen column density parameter (N_H) as a free parameter, with which one would get sensible constraints on N_H for sources that have sufficient counting statistics. This, however, might not be optimal for faint sources. Since all of our sources have low counting statistics (<40 counts), we perform a separate spectral analysis with N_H fixed to the cluster value $5.23 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (B20).

Spectra are extracted from the *Chandra* event file using the `ciao specextract` script, which are then regrouped to at least one count per bin using the `dmgroup` tool. We perform fitting using the `SHERPA` software (version 4.15.1; Burke et al. 2023) and use the W-statistics (`wstat`; Cash 1979). Since *Chandra* ACIS has a decreasing quantum efficiency at low energies⁸, we ignore spectral channels below 0.5 keV for our fitting, and in all of our fitting, we use the `wilm` abundance (Wilms et al. 2000) and the Verner et al. (1996) cross section table.

We construct the fitting model by convolving the `xSPEC` TBabs absorption model with a selection of additive models, including (1) a power-law model (`p1`), (2) a blackbody model (`bbody`), and (3) a emission spectral model for diffuse plasma (`apec`). Besides model normalisation, each of these additive component has one free parameter; for `p1` this is the photon index (Γ) defined in $F_E \propto E^{-\Gamma}$, where F_E is the specific energy flux at energy E ; for `bbody` and `apec`, the other free parameter is the blackbody or plasma temperature in kT . `SHERPA` reports `wstat` and the associated “Q-value” as a goodness of fit measure. The latter is the probability of observing the reduced statistics or a larger value assuming that the fit model is genuine. We choose the best-fit model to be the one that has the reduced `wstat` closest to 1 while having an acceptable Q-value ($\geq 5\%$); we report their maximum likelihood estimates and 1σ (68.27%) confidence intervals on fit parameters in Table 3. We want to point out that fitting to CX6, and CX7’s spectra have degrees of freedom (dof) less than 4, so they are too faint to get valid constraints on the parameters; we therefore apply a power-law model with a fixed $\Gamma = 2$ to just fit the normalisation.

With the best-fit model, we calculate fluxes using model parameters sampled from the best-fit parameters using the `SHERPA sample_energy_flux` function; this is performed for a soft (0.5 – 2 keV), a hard (2 – 7 keV) X-ray, and a broad (1 – 10 keV) band, and the fluxes are denoted by $f_{0.5-2}$, f_{2-7} , and f_{1-10} , respectively. f_{1-10} is used to compare with radio fluxes (see Sec. 3.9), while the soft and hard bands are used to define the X-ray hardness ratio, X_C :

$$X_C = 2.5 \log_{10} \left(\frac{f_{0.5-2}}{f_{2-7}} \right). \quad (1)$$

In Figure 3, we plot the hardness and $f_{0.5-2} + f_{2-7}$ fluxes for the X-ray sources, and in Figure 4, we show the spectra and best-fit models for CX1 and CX2, the two relatively bright sources.

⁷ <https://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/wavdetect/>

⁸ <https://cxc.cfa.harvard.edu/ciao/why/acisqcontamN0013.html>

Table 2. A catalogue of X-ray sources within r_h of M14.

CX ^a	CXOU_J ^b	RA (ICRS) ^c (hh:mm:ss.ss)	DEC (ICRS) ^c °:':"	D_{off}^d (')	Counts (0.5–10 keV)	$r_{\text{err},x}^e$ (")
1	173738.25-031441.7	17:37:38.24	−03:14:41.85	0.54	32.3	0.36
2	173734.32-031442.0	17:37:34.32	−03:14:42.31	0.44	20.7	0.38
3	173737.39-031351.8	17:37:37.39	−03:13:51.84	0.95	6.6	0.52
4	173736.04-031448.2	17:37:36.04	−03:14:48.21	0.05	6.5	0.50
5	173736.03-031506.2	17:37:36.03	−03:15:06.65	0.35	5.7	0.53
6	...	17:37:34.22	−03:13:57.31	0.93	4.7	0.59
7	...	17:37:38.07	−03:15:50.40	1.19	3.7	0.70

^aNew *Chandra* IDs; numbers ordered by net counts.

^bSource IDs from B20.

^cSky coordinates aligned to *Gaia* DR3.

^dAngular offsets from the cluster centre in '.

^eHong et al. (2005) 95% error radii in ''.

Table 3. Spectral fitting results of best-fit models. Errors are at the 1σ (68.27%) level.

CX	Model	Parameter name	Parameter value	$f_{0.5-2}$	f_{2-7}	f_{1-10}	wstat (dof)	Q-value
				$(\times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2})$				
CX1	p1	Γ	$1.3^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$	$11.30^{+3.09}_{-3.01}$	$24.75^{+8.11}_{-6.05}$	$43.59^{+15.06}_{-10.46}$	48.27 (28)	0.01
	apec	kT	$> 5.71 \text{ keV}$	$12.40^{+2.35}_{-2.48}$	$22.62^{+4.69}_{-4.82}$	$37.89^{+7.88}_{-7.93}$	47.74 (28)	0.01
	bbbody	kT	$0.8^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \text{ keV}$	$8.18^{+1.97}_{-3.94}$	$15.79^{+6.81}_{-8.93}$	$22.73^{+8.06}_{-11.20}$	43.24 (28)	0.03
CX2	p1	Γ	$1.1^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$	$5.89^{+2.15}_{-2.31}$	$16.50^{+6.85}_{-5.10}$	$29.92^{+13.60}_{-9.43}$	17.95 (19)	0.53
CX3	p1	Γ	$1.1^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$	$1.82^{+1.51}_{-1.64}$	$3.26^{+3.89}_{-2.48}$	$5.74^{+7.43}_{-3.81}$	7.00 (6)	0.32
CX4	p1	Γ	$0.8^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$	< 2.19	$3.27^{+4.39}_{-3.20}$	$5.99^{+9.26}_{-5.45}$	3.13 (5)	0.68
CX5	p1	Γ	$4.6^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$	$10.77^{+8.49}_{-4.76}$	$0.27^{+0.93}_{-0.20}$	$1.80^{+1.98}_{-0.88}$	5.96 (4)	0.20
CX6	p1	Γ	2.0^\dagger	$2.43^{+1.25}_{-1.21}$	$2.19^{+1.08}_{-1.11}$	$4.02^{+2.01}_{-2.04}$	6.00 (5)	0.31
CX7	p1	Γ	2.0^\dagger	$2.38^{+1.07}_{-1.07}$	$2.13^{+0.99}_{-0.95}$	$3.93^{+1.82}_{-1.77}$	2.89 (3)	0.41

[†] parameters frozen to this value during the fit.

Fluxes have been corrected for cluster absorption.

3.5 Astrometry

Relative shifts between observations made by different instruments can be contributed by different calibration standards and/or cluster proper motion between epochs. For better positional identification, we choose the *Gaia* DR3 astrometry (epoch=2016.0) as the reference frame and inspect its alignment with *Chandra*, *VLA*, and *HST* astrometry. The radio observation was made only ≈ 0.4 yr earlier than the *Gaia* epoch, which corresponds to only minor shifts in RA and DEC of ≈ -1.4 mas and ≈ -2.0 mas adopting cluster proper motion in Vasiliev & Baumgardt (2021). *Chandra* and *HST* observations are 7.5 and 5.7 years apart from the *Gaia* epoch, so proper motion would contribute shifts $\approx 0''.04$, which may have a detectable effect on our identification considering the pixel scale of the *HST* images is $0''.02$. We therefore perform separate astrometric alignment for them.

3.5.1 UV/optical/near-IR

We align the drizzle-combined images to *Gaia* using source positions in the *Gaia* Data Release 3 (DR3). We query the DR3 database for sources within a radius of r_h and select sources that have precise positions. For UV₂₇₅, U₃₃₆, and B₄₃₈, we cut *Gaia* sources that have RA and DEC uncertainties ≥ 0.1 mas; for I₈₁₄, we select relatively fainter (*Gaia* G-band magnitude ≥ 19) sources as brighter sources are affected by saturation in the I₈₁₄ image; as a result, we loosen the

Table 4. *Gaia*–*HST* offsets in RA and DEC. Uncertainties are at the 1σ level.

Filter	ΔRA (")	ΔDEC (")	N_{match}^a
UV ₂₇₅	-0.044 ± 0.004	-0.046 ± 0.005	312
U ₃₃₆	-0.066 ± 0.005	-0.007 ± 0.006	312
B ₄₃₈	-0.047 ± 0.004	-0.027 ± 0.005	312
I ₈₁₄	-0.106 ± 0.009	-0.005 ± 0.010	164

^aNumber of *Gaia*–*HST* matches used.

upper limit on positional uncertainty to 1 mas to maintain a sensible source number. We use DAOSTarFinder module in PHOTUTILS (version 1.6.0; Bradley et al. 2023) to find sources in the *HST* images. DAOSTarFinder applies the DAOFIND algorithm that was developed as part of the DAOPHOT (Stetson 1987) photometry software. The source positions are then matched up to the *Gaia* positions to find relative offsets (*Gaia*–*HST*) in RA and DEC, which are summarised in Table 4.




Figure 3. X-ray hardness ratio (eq. 1) vs. unabsorbed 0.5–7 keV fluxes for X-ray sources in Table 2. Error bars are at the 1σ (68.27%) level. Filled circles and dashed lines present hardness ratios and fluxes for p1, bbody, and apec models at different parameters. For the p1 and apec model, the normalisation is fixed at some arbitrary values; while for the bbody model, we use an emitting region radius (R_{bb}) of 0.5 km to determine the normalisation.

3.5.2 X-ray

Chandra ACIS-S has an absolute astrometric uncertainty of $\approx 0''.5^9$, and since our *wavdetect* sources are all relatively faint ($\lesssim 50$ counts), this leads to non-negligible offsets relative to the *Gaia* frame. Therefore, confident matches are needed for correcting the *Chandra* boresight. The known pulsar PSR J1737–0314A (M14 A, hereafter) has a well-established timing position (Pan et al. 2021), but it is reported by Pan et al. (2021) as a black widow pulsar that hosts an extremely low-mass companion — very difficult to detect with optical observations. Instead, we refine the boresight correction of the *Chandra* catalogue in an iterative manner.

We first apply a rough correction using off-axis sources. There are two relatively bright *Chandra* sources that are outside $r_h \approx 3'.2$ southeast to M14; their PSFs are elongated because of their large off-axis angles; we denote the brighter north source and fainter south source with A and B (Figure 5), respectively. We then manually encircle source A and B with a $2''.1 \times 1''.4$ and a $1''.8 \times 1''.0$ elliptical region and use the *CIAO* *dmstat* tool to get the centroid positions of them. For each of A and B, we found one close *Gaia* source within $\approx 0''.2$, both of which have parallaxes and proper motions suggestive of foreground stars. In fact, both sources have proper motions that are $\approx 3\sigma$ away from the cluster proper motion in the vector point diagram (VPD; Figure A1). The *Gaia* counterpart to A (source_id=4368928767444987648) was also identified with the known young stellar object (YSO) UCAC4 434–071758 (Zari et al. 2018); The *Gaia* counterpart to B (source_id=4368928767444986880) has a BP – RP colour of 0.7 (de-reddened adopting the *Gaia* *ebpmnrp_gspphot*), sugges-

tive of a yellow dwarf (see Table A1 for a summary of source A and B). The *Gaia* astrometry of this source yield a satisfactory single-star solution (with renormalised unit weight error, or *ruwe*=1.05) so it is not likely a binary. This source is bright enough to also have a BP/RP spectrum that exhibits a likely broad $H\alpha$ absorption feature (Figure A2); this could be a result of rotational broadening, which could induce strong coronal activity and contribute to X-ray emission. We therefore also consider the *Gaia* counterpart to source B genuine. With the *Gaia* and centroid positions for A and B, we align *Chandra* to *Gaia* using the averaged offset in RA ($0''.14$) and DEC ($-0''.19$).

These corrected coordinates are then used to search for possible optical counterparts, and as a result, we found confident *HST* counterparts to CX1 and CX2 (see Sec 4.1). We therefore refine the *Chandra* boresight with the mean offset between these two sources and their counterparts. This gives (*Gaia*–*wavdetect*) $\Delta\text{RA} = -0''.15$ and $\Delta\text{DEC} = -0''.13$.

3.6 UV/optical/near-IR photometry

Detailed processes of UV/optical/near-IR photometry have been presented in D’Antona et al. (2022, D22, hereafter), including correction for differential reddening. The D22 photometry catalogue includes magnitudes in the WFC3 filters and the number of epochs where the magnitude is well-measured, with which we can further reduce the catalogue to make colour-magnitude diagrams (CMDs). Specifically, for each pair of filters, we use a least conservative condition to include sources that have at least one good measurement in both filters. In Figure 10, we present the $UV_{275} - U_{336}$, $U_{336} - B_{438}$, and $B_{438} - I_{814}$ CMDs for M14. These CMDs are further used in identifying X-ray and radio sources (Sec 3.7).

3.7 Counterpart searches

Searching for UV/optical/near-IR counterparts to X-ray or radio sources is based on positional matching; however, even though *Chandra* and *VLA* sources are localised to sub-arcsecond scales, the high number density of UV/optical/near-IR sources means that chance coincidences could confuse the genuineness of the matches. For some sources, it is possible to reduce the degeneracy by complementing the results with UV/optical/near-IR photometric properties which can be associated with relevant astrophysical processes. For example, UV photometry has been broadly used in identifying CVs as they commonly exhibit UV excesses that are attributed to emission from the hot WD surface and/or ongoing accretion, while CVs are more consistent with the main sequence in optical CMDs (e.g., Pooley et al. 2002a; Edmonds et al. 2003; Zhao et al. 2019; Cohn et al. 2021). Most BY Draconis (BY Dra) type of ABs are found to be consistent with the binary sequence (i.e., slightly above the main sequence) and often show $H\alpha$ emission (e.g., Cohn et al. 2010; Pallanca et al. 2017; Lugger et al. 2023). In essence, counterparts to X-ray emitting close binaries are expected to be photometric outliers, and the relative scarcity of these outliers also significantly reduces their probability of being chance coincidences.

For *Chandra* sources, we cross-match X-ray source positions with the D22 catalogue, searching for counterparts within $r_{\text{err},x}$. These counterparts are then further checked with the CMDs for photometric outliers. For the *VLA* sources, we use source-specific search radii (denoted with $r_{\text{err},r}$) that are set to the larger of 0.1 of the synthesised beam size at ν_{low} and the positional uncertainty reported in Sh20. Since *VLA* sources have error circles ≈ 10 times smaller than the *Chandra* sources, position matches are much less likely to be chance

⁹ <https://cxc.harvard.edu/cal/ASPECT/celmon/#catalogs>




Figure 4. *Chandra* spectra (red) of CX1 (left) and CX2 (right) with the best-fit *bbbody* and *p1* models (solid black lines) overplotted; the lower panels shows data to model ratios. Both spectra are re-grouped only for plotting purpose.




Figure 5. A 0.9×0.9 X-ray (0.5 – 10 keV) image including the two off-axis sources A (a YSO) and B; north is up and east is to the left. The blue ellipses are regions used to calculate centroids of the sources.

coincidences. Finally, we also cross-match the *Chandra* and *VLA* catalogues to find potential associations; the search radii must account for X-ray and radio positional uncertainties, so we use $\sqrt{r_{\text{err},x}^2 + r_{\text{err},r}^2}$ to calculate them.

In addition, we also compare the radio timing position of M14 A (Pan et al. 2021) with source positions in the *Chandra*, *VLA*, and D22 catalogues. The search results are further discussed in Sec 4.1.

3.8 X-ray/optical flux ratio

One helpful indicator for source identification is the X-ray/optical flux ratio. For X-ray sources with optical counterparts, one can compare their X-ray/optical ratios to empirical relations that separate different source classes. We follow the band and filter combination that has




Figure 6. 0.5 – 2.5 keV luminosity vs. approximated V-band absolute magnitude for X-ray sources that have *HST* counterparts. The dashed line is the empirical separatrix separating CVs above from ABs below, and the dotted line marks the empirical X-ray luminosity upper limits for stars and known ABs (Verbunt et al. 2008).

been conventionally used in other works (e.g., Edmonds et al. 2003; Bassa et al. 2004; Verbunt et al. 2008), calculating 0.5–2.5 keV X-ray luminosity and V-band absolute magnitude (M_V) using the cluster distance from Harris (1996) ($d = 9.3$ kpc). As there is no V-band observation, we approximate M_V with the averaged I_{814} and B_{438} absolute magnitudes (M_B and M_I), i.e., $M_V \approx 0.5(M_B + M_I)$. Sources with *HST* counterparts are plotted in Figure 6. We also include an empirical line separating cluster CVs from ABs and a line that marks upper limits of X-ray luminosities for nearby stars and ABs (Verbunt et al. 2008).

3.9 X-ray/radio flux ratio

X-ray sources that have radio counterparts can be further checked

against other accreting compact objects for their X-ray/radio ratios. Typically, it has been shown that accreting BHs exhibit a tight correlation between their X-ray and radio luminosities (e.g., Gallo et al. 2014). In Figure 7, we plot ν_{low} luminosity against $1 - 10$ keV luminosity for CX2 and CX3, together with a compilation of accreting BHs and BH candidates from Bahramian & Rushton (2022).

3.10 UV/optical/near-IR variability

In addition to photometric positions on CMDs, variability information could also be important to break the degeneracy. In practice, CVs are expected to show strong variability in the UV and optical bands (e.g., Warner 2003; Rivera Sandoval et al. 2018; Lugger et al. 2017), and ABs may show variation due to eclipses, ellipsoidal variability, and/or starspots (Albrow et al. 2001; Lugger et al. 2023). MSP companions may also show variability produced by ellipsoidal variations, or by varying visibility of a heated face of the companion (Callanan et al. 1995; Orosz & van Kerkwijk 2003). Since the D22 catalogue contains epoch photometry, an effective way of checking for variability is to search for excesses in root mean square (RMS; denoted by σ with a subscript indicative of the filter name) magnitude, over the bulk of non-variables at a given magnitude. In Figure 8, we plot RMS magnitude vs. magnitude for four *HST* filters, where strong variables tend to lie above the bulk of points in the plot.

3.11 Chance coincidence

The number of predicted chance coincidences, denoted with N_c , is calculated following the methods in Zhao et al. (2020b). In short, we divide up sources in the $B_{438} - I_{814}$ CMD into subgroups by applying polygonal selection regions using the `GLUEVIZ` software (Beaumont et al. 2015; Robitaille et al. 2017). The sources are then divided into those on the main sequence (MS), subgiant branch (SG), red giant branch (RG), horizontal branch (HB), blue stragglers (BSS), and sources with blue (BS) and red (RS) excesses (Figure 9). The number densities (counts per projected area) of sources in these subgroups are tallied for different radial offsets from the GC centre, and the N_c with a X-ray/radio source is then simply the product of the relevant number density and the area of the corresponding search regions. In Figure 9, we show N_c values calculated with the average error radius ($\approx 0''.5$) for different subpopulations.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

As a brief summary of results, cross-matching our *Chandra* catalogue with the *VLA* catalogue shows that CX2 matches the position of VLA8, while CX3 marginally matches with VLA50. The known pulsar M14 A's timing position is consistent with VLA45 (Table 6). By comparing magnitude and colours with the bulk photometry, we find potential *HST* counterparts to 6 of the X-ray sources. A cross-match of these *HST* counterparts with the *Gaia* DR3 catalogue does not reveal any match, so no further proper motion information is available for them. Details are summarised in Table 5 for *HST* counterparts; in Figure 10, we present photometry of the *HST* counterparts; and in Figure 11, we present the I_{814} finding charts for sources with *HST* counterparts. In the following sections, we present discussions on individual sources.

4.1 Individual sources

4.1.1 CX1: association with Nova Ophiuchi 1938

CX1's error circle is consistent with the source R0086886 that exhibits a strong UV excess in the $(UV_{275} - U_{336}, U_{336})$ and $(U_{336} - B_{438}, B_{438})$ CMDs (Figure 10). In contrast, it appears near the base of the red giant branch when viewed in the $(B_{438} - I_{814}, B_{438})$ CMD. It is close to the BSS population in the $(UV_{275} - U_{336}, U_{336})$ CMD, and if it is indeed a BSS, one expects a $N_c \approx 0.005$. If viewed as a RG the (Sec 3.11), $N_c \approx 0.09$. Even if R0086886 is not a BSS or RG, its exotic colours still makes it very unlikely to be a chance coincidence. This counterpart also shows marked variability in all four *HST* bands (Figure 10). This strong variability, however, is unlikely to fully account for the colour differences between CMDs, which points to an interpretation that the UV excess and red giant reflect two components of a binary.

CX1 is close ($\approx 0''.5$) to the classic nova candidate Nova Ophiuchi 1938 (hereafter Oph 1938). This nova was first recorded on photographic plates at the David Dunlap Observatory in 1938 and identified by Sawyer Hogg & Wehlau (1964). Shara (1989) obtained ground-based CCD observations of Oph 1938's field and obtained the epoch-1938 position down to $\approx 1''$ using the positions of nearby bright stars; this coordinate, however, was later found by Margon et al. (1991) to be at odds with refined observations with the *HST*/Faint Object Camera (FOC), from which the Oph 1938 position was re-measured with an uncertainty of $0''.5$. We convert this position to the ICRS frame and bring it to the *Gaia* epoch by applying the cluster proper motion in Vasiliev & Baumgardt (2021), giving ICRS RA (2016)=17:37:38.26(3), DEC (2016)=-03:14:42.2(5). The resulting error circle of Oph 1938 is consistent with both CX1 and R0086886 (Figure 11). We therefore argue that both CX1 and R0086886 are counterparts to Oph 1938, making it the second classic nova recovered in a Galactic globular cluster, after Nova T Scorpii in M80 (Dieball et al. 2010).

None of the additive models provide a satisfactory fit to CX1's X-ray spectrum; the best is a `bbbody` model with a temperature of 0.8 ± 0.1 keV and an emitting region of 84_{-19}^{+24} m. This model gives an X-ray luminosity ($0.5 - 7.0$ keV) of $\approx 2.4 \times 10^{32}$ erg s $^{-1}$, placing CX1 in the hard regime of faint GC X-ray sources. Despite the poor quality of the fit, the X-ray hardness is consistent with well-identified CVs in other GCs (e.g., Heinke et al. 2005). The UV excess and strong variability in all bands could be attributed to substantial mass transfer through an accretion disc; a CV nature is also favoured by its X-ray/optical ratio (Figure 6), which could be further confirmed by emission features (e.g., $H\alpha$) with future spectroscopic or photometric studies. A relatively high rate of mass transfer may be expected in a CV which has experienced a nova eruption (Warner 2003). Studying CVs nearly 100 years after a nova explosion can be helpful in understanding the relationship between nova explosions and mass transfer rates (e.g. Shara et al. 2017).

Our measured X-ray luminosity can provide a lower limit (dependent on the assumed white dwarf compactness, i.e. its mass) to the mass transfer rate in this system. Extrapolating the best-fit model flux (Table 3) to between $0.002 - 25$ keV with the `WebPIMMS` tool¹⁰, and approximating the accretion luminosity with this flux; we can get an estimate on the lower limit of the mass accretion rate $\approx 7.0 \times 10^{-11} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for a $0.5 M_{\odot}$ white dwarf.

¹⁰ <https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/Tools/w3pimms/w3pimms.pl>




Figure 7. 5 GHz radio vs. 1 – 10 keV X-ray luminosities plotted for accreting BHs (filled orange circles) and BH candidates (filled blue diamonds) from Bahramian & Rushton (2022, and references therein); radio luminosities are calculated assuming flat spectra ($\alpha = 0$). Two X-ray sources in M14 that have VLA counterparts, namely CX2/VLA8 and CX3/VLA50, are indicated with filled magenta diamonds. The dashed line shows the X-ray-radio correlation for accreting BHs from Gallo et al. (2014).

Table 5. Summary of *HST* counterparts.

CX	ID	Photometry					N_c	P_{AGN}^a	Colour	Comments	
	<i>HST</i>	UV ₂₇₅	U ₃₃₆	B ₄₃₈	I ₈₁₄	Variability				Identification	
1	R0086886	22.36 ± 0.12	21.34 ± 0.11	21.40 ± 0.06	18.42 ± 0.04	0.01	0.42	UV excess	All bands	Oph 1938	
2	R0087597	24.76 ± 0.42	23.92 ± 0.11	24.18 ± 0.09	21.12 ± 0.04	0.41	0.31	UV excess	No	VLA8; MSP?	
3	R0149357	23.88 ± 0.25	3.57	0.82	Faint; only in I ₈₁₄	No	VLA50; AGN?	
4	R0083336	23.24 ± 0.14	22.16 ± 0.02	21.68 ± 0.07	19.79 ± 0.04	1.24	0.00	SSG	in B ₄₃₈ and I ₈₁₄	RS CVn or MSP?	
5	R0054954	23.84 ± 0.18	22.42 ± 0.05	22.23 ± 0.04	19.54 ± 0.05	0.85	0.21	Binary sequence	only in I ₈₁₄	BY Dra?	
7-BY1	R0011261	...	25.99 ± 0.44	25.11 ± 0.08	21.49 ± 0.04	0.23	0.93	Binary sequence	only in I ₈₁₄	BY Dra?	
7-BY2	R0011269	26.29 ± 0.46	22.25 ± 0.23	0.23	0.93	Binary sequence	only in I ₈₁₄	BY Dra?	

^aProbability of finding at least one AGN within the source’s radial offset.

Table 6. Summary of VLA counterparts taken from Sh20.

VLA ID	Assoc.	S_{low}	S_{high}	α
		(μJy)		
VLA8	CX2	46.9 ± 1.9	26.6 ± 1.8	-1.86 ^{+0.25} _{-0.26}
VLA45	M14 A	12.3 ± 1.9	< 5.1	< 0.0
VLA50	CX3	11.6 ± 2.0	< 5.4	< 0.5

4.1.2 CX2/VLA8: a new MSP candidate?

In CX2’s *Chandra* error circle, the source R0087597 falls to the blue side of the (UV₂₇₅ – U₃₃₆, U₃₃₆) and (U₃₃₆ – B₄₃₈, B₄₃₈) CMDs but lies slightly to the red edge of the MS in the (B₄₃₈ – I₈₁₄, B₄₃₈) CMD (Figure 10), corresponding to a late K dwarf (Pecaut & Mamajek 2013). The blue excess might have been an effect of strong variability, but there is no sign of variability for R0087597 in all *HST* bands (Figure 8). The N_c with MS sources at the offset of CX2 is around 3, but if considered a BS source, its N_c value is reduced to around

0.2. Indeed, this could still be an overestimate for a source with a clear blue excess, considering a number of marginally blue (i.e., just slightly bluer than the MS) sources have been included in our estimate for N_c (Figure 9). R0087597 is also consistent with the steep radio source VLA8 (Figure 11), and the small radio error circle renders a chance coincidence even more unlikely — $N_c = 0.003$. We therefore consider R0087597 a genuine counterpart to CX2 and VLA8.

VLA8 has a well-constrained steep $\alpha = -1.86^{+0.25}_{-0.26}$, consistent with either a radio pulsar or an AGN (Gordon et al. 2021; Kramer et al. 1998), but its position within the cluster core makes it more likely to be a cluster member. Furthermore, the X-ray spectrum of CX2 can be fit by a relatively hard power-law ($\Gamma = 1.1 \pm 0.4$) at a 0.5 – 7.0 keV luminosity of $\approx 2.3 \times 10^{32}$ erg s⁻¹. The hardness and luminosity overlap ABs and faint CVs, but the former is strongly argued against by the high X-ray/optical ratio (Figure 6).

CX2/VLA8’s blue and red colour combination makes a CV interpretation plausible, where the blue colours are mostly contributed by an accretion disc and/or a white dwarf, while the B₄₃₈ – I₈₁₄ could




Figure 8. Magnitude RMS plotted against magnitude for 4 *HST* filters. Counterparts of interest are overplotted with different markers. Sources with an excess of RMS compared to the bulk of points have variability.

be from a bloated donor. VLA8’s bright radio luminosity ($L_{\text{low}} = 2.4 \times 10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$; Figure 7), however, could be an mild counterargument against a canonical CV (see e.g., Ridder et al. 2023 for a comprehensive summary of radio and X-ray measurements of CVs). Some more unusual magnetic CVs like AE Aquarii (Bookbinder & Lamb 1987), LAMOST J024048.51+195226.9 (Thorstensen 2020; Garnavich et al. 2021; Pelisoli et al. 2022), and AR Sco (Marsh et al. 2016), could be brighter during flares, but not as steep as VLA8 (e.g., Pretorius et al. 2021).

The steep radio spectral index suggests an MSP nature. However, in an MSP the optical emission arises only from the companion, which makes it difficult to generate the observed unusual colours. A white dwarf companion for an MSP could generate a UV excess (e.g., Rivera-Sandoval et al. 2015), or a redback MSP with a late-type, low-mass companion could produce red optical colours (e.g., Ferraro et al. 2001), but an MSP will not have both. Although irradiation of parts of a redback can make some parts hotter than others, examination of redbacks in globular cluster CMDs does not reveal similar examples of such different colours (e.g., Ferraro et al. 2001; Edmonds et al.

2002; Pallanca et al. 2013). A more plausible interpretation is that CX2/VLA8 is a transitional MSP (tMSP; Archibald et al. 2009; Hill et al. 2011; de Martino et al. 2013; Papitto et al. 2013; Linares et al. 2014) that switches between an accretion-powered LMXB (at the *Chandra* and *HST* observations) and a rotation-powered radio pulsar phase (when the *VLA* observation was performed), considering that its colour combination is similar to other LMXBs observed in GCs (e.g., Edmonds et al. 2002). Despite the type of the binary MSP, these scenarios are all challenged by the lack of variability in the counterpart (Figure 8), while orbital modulation is often observed in their optical light curves (e.g., Breton et al. 2013; Papitto et al. 2018). In all, we leave CX2/VLA8’s nature unsettled until more robust evidence of a pulsar (e.g., radio pulsations) and/or of membership (e.g., via proper motion) is available.

4.1.3 CX3/VLA50

CX3’s error circle is marginally consistent with that of VLA50; the latter contains one faint *HST* source R0149357 that has photometry




Figure 9. *Left:* $(B_{438} - I_{814}, B_{438})$ CMD showing different subpopulations (colours online). *Right:* Number of chance coincidences (N_c) as a function of offset from the cluster centre assuming a search radius of $0''.5$; the vertical dashed and dashed-dotted lines mark the core (r_c) and half-light radius (r_h), respectively. Shorthands: MS: main sequence, BS: sources with blue excesses, BSS: blue stragglers, RS: sources with red excesses, SG: subgiants, RG: red giants.




Figure 10. $(UV_{275} - U_{336}, U_{336})$, $(U_{336} - B_{438}, B_{438})$, and $(B_{438} - I_{814}, I_{814})$ CMDs of M14, with counterparts to *Chandra* and *VLA* sources overlotted.

only in the I_{814} band (Figure 11) with no sign of variability (Figure 8). If one adopts a B_{438} magnitude of (≈ 24) as a rough completeness limit, this gives a $B_{438} - I_{814}$ colour ≥ 0.12 , which overlaps with counterparts to various source classes (Figure 10). The probability of a spurious match between the *Chandra* and *VLA* sources is very small, as is the probability of a spurious *VLA/HST* match, so we consider R0149357 to be robust. From the radio perspective, VLA50 is only detected at ν_{low} , giving an upper limit of $\alpha \leq 0.5$. The X-ray

spectra can be fit by a hard power-law ($\Gamma = 1 \pm 1$); this, combined with its faint counterpart, makes CX3's X-ray/optical ratio too high to be an AB. CX3's X-ray and radio luminosities place it consistent with other accreting BHs in the X-ray-radio luminosity plot (Figure 7), and its α also overlaps with the flat-to-inverted ($\alpha \geq 0$) spectra observed in accreting BHs (e.g., Plotkin et al. 2019). This might suggest an accreting BH nature; however, though poorly constrained, the X-ray photon index ($\Gamma = 1 \pm 1$) is only marginally consistent




Figure 11. I_{814} finding charts of *Chandra* and *VLA* sources and their *HST* counterparts (colours online). North is up and east is to the left. The chart for CX1 is $2''.5 \times 2''.5$ and $2'' \times 2''$ in size for the other “CX” sources; the chart for M14 A is $1'' \times 1''$ in size. The blue circle in each chart indicates the [Hong et al. \(2005\)](#) 95% error circle (radius of $r_{\text{err},x}$), red circle is the *VLA* error circle (radius of $r_{\text{err},r}$), and yellow circles ($0''.05$ in radius) marks the *HST* sources that are mentioned in the discussion (Sec 4.1); the most likely counterparts are indicated by arrows. The cyan cross in the M14 A chart indicates the timing position from [Pan et al. \(2021\)](#) of M14 A after being backtracked with cluster proper motion, and the red circle close to CX1 marks the position of the classic nova candidate Oph 1938 advanced to 2016 by the cluster proper motion.

with quiescent BHs ($\Gamma \approx 2$; e.g., [Reynolds et al. 2014](#)). Moreover, CX3’s large offset from the cluster centre makes a background AGN contamination more likely. In all, the limited information on CX3 and VLA5 leads to various interpretations, although its location outside the core makes an AGN nature more likely than a member.

4.1.4 CX4

The fact that CX4 is only $3''$ from the cluster centre makes it more likely a cluster member. We noted that the source R0083336 has a varying colour across different CMDs. It exhibits a blue excess in the $(UV_{275} - U_{336}, U_{336})$ and $(B_{438} - I_{814}, B_{438})$ CMD; however, it appears as a sub-sub giant (SSG) in the $(U_{336} - B_{438}, B_{438})$ CMD (Figure 10). SSGs form a rare population below the subgiant branch and brighter than the binary sequence sources. Such unusual loci on CMDs are hard to reconcile with standard single-star evolutionary models ([Leiner et al. 2022](#)), and indeed, SSGs are found typically associated with binaries, and a fraction of them are also X-ray sources ([Geller et al. 2017](#)). To get an estimate on the N_c value for SSGs, we follow the loci of SSGs shown in [Geller et al. \(2017\)](#) and select SSGs from the RS sub-population by applying criteria of $B_{438} - I_{814} \geq 3.0$ and $21.7 \leq B_{438} \leq 22.5$. We found a total of 223 SSGs, and the corresponding N_c value with a SSG source is only 1.8×10^{-4} even at CX4’s vicinity to the cluster centre. Moreover, R0083336 shows marked B_{438} and moderate I_{814} variability (Figure 8), making it even too exotic to be a chance coincidence. We therefore conclude that this SSG is a genuine match.

The soft band flux is not constrained due to a dearth of counts, rendering CX4 a relatively hard source among the others. Its X-ray hardness can overlap with both CVs and ABs, but the X-ray/optical ratio argues against a CV nature (Figure 6); we hereby identify CX4 as a candidate AB.

4.1.5 CX5

There are two *HST* sources worth noticing within CX5’s error circle. R0054841 is a bright RG source in all three CMDs, which might be reminiscent of a RS Canum Venaticorum (RS CVn) type of AB, but it shows no sign of variability in any of the four *HST* filters. Moreover, the N_c value at CX5’s radial offset is ≈ 0.27 , so this RG source could also be a chance coincidence. A more likely counterpart is R0054954/CX5-BY, located north of CX5-RG (Figure 11). This source shows a mild red excess in the $(B_{438} - I_{814}, B_{438})$ CMD (Figure 10) and has a clear variability in I_{814} ; its location is close to the SSGs in the $(B_{438} - I_{814}, B_{438})$ CMD, but the $B_{438} - I_{814}$ colour is less red. If we treat CX5-BY as a source on the binary sequence, CX5 could then be a BY Draconis type of AB. The N_c value with a RS source for CX5 is 1, suggesting that this match is a chance coincidence, but a RS source with variability like CX5-BY is rarer. Though limited to the low counting statistics, the X-ray spectrum of CX5 can be fit with a soft p1 model ($\Gamma = 4.6^{+1.1}_{-1.2}$), which is reminiscent of faint CVs and ABs in GCs that tend to be softer (e.g., [Heinke et al. 2005](#)). An AB interpretation, however, is mildly argued against by its X-ray/optical ratio (Figure 6). In all, we suggest that R0054954 is more likely the true counterpart and classify CX5 as a likely AB.

4.1.6 CX7

The error circle of CX7 contains several photometrically rare sources, including a HB and two RS sources. The HB source (R001186) appears close to the red clump and has no variability in any *HST* filters. Although CX7’s error circle has a low N_c value with a HB source (≈ 0.03) that argues against a chance coincidence, this is not sufficient to identify it with CX7. One of the two RS sources, R0011261/CX7-BY1, exhibits a marked red excess on the $(B_{438} - I_{814}, B_{438})$ CMD but is consistent with the MS on the $(U_{336} - B_{438}, B_{438})$ CMD. The other RS source, R0011269/CX7-BY2, lies

at the fainter end of the $(B_{438} - I_{814}, B_{438})$ CMD and only has a moderate red excess; it is also a mild variable in I_{814} . N_c value with a RS at the offset of CX7 is around 0.4, so a chance coincidence cannot be robustly ruled out.

CX7-BY1 and CX7-BY2 might point to an AB nature; however, the relatively high X-ray/optical ratio strongly argues against this interpretation (Figure 6). Considering CX7's large offset distance from the cluster centre, it is also possible that CX7 is a background AGN; this is reasonable considering that the probability of finding at least one AGN within CX7's radial offset is around 0.97 (Figure 12; Sec 4.3).

Our identification will be further complemented by future observations. Typically for CX5 and CX7, where red outliers' N_c values are not sufficient in ruling out chance coincidences, future spectroscopic follow-ups or imaging observations with $H\alpha$ photometry will be useful in reducing the degeneracy.

4.1.7 PSR J1737–0314A (M14 A)

The epoch of M14 A's timing position is MJD 58900 (Pan et al. 2021), which is 4.14 years after the *Gaia* epoch. We therefore backtrack its position to the *Gaia* epoch using the cluster proper motion from Vasiliev & Baumgardt (2021). This gives $0''.015$ and $0''.02$ shifts (*Gaia*-timing) in RA and DEC, respectively. The corrected position is in the vicinity of the main sequence source R0102981 in the D22 catalogue (Figure 11). M14 A was found to be a black widow pulsar with a minimum companion mass of $0.016 M_\odot$ in a close orbit (≈ 5.5 hour; Pan et al. 2021). In such a close orbit, the low-mass companion is tidally locked with the pulsar, and the side facing the pulsar is strongly irradiated and heated by the pulsar wind (e.g., Romani & Sanchez 2016). Irradiation can significantly increase the brightness of the low-mass companion, possibly reaching that of R0102981 (e.g., Draghis et al. 2019; Koljonen & Linares 2023); however, a major counterargument arises from the lack of variability observed in the *HST* bands (Figure 8), while variations are expected in black widow systems as the heated side and the "night" side of the companion alternate within the line of sight.

The radio timing position is also marginally consistent with the VLA source VLA45, which is only detected in the ν_{low} sub-band, so α is only constrained by an upper limit ($\alpha < 0$); however, given the small timing and VLA positional uncertainties, we argue that VLA45 is very unlikely a chance coincidence with M14 A.

Zhao & Heinke (2022) extracted the X-ray spectrum of M14 A, from a circular region centred at its timing position, and found its spectrum is well fit by a bbody model with an X-ray luminosity (0.5–10 keV) of $\approx 4 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. M14 A is therefore the most X-ray-luminous black widow pulsar in GCs found to date, with unusually substantial thermal emission. However, it is noteworthy that there were only five photons extracted in the spectrum of M14 A, leading to large uncertainty in the spectral fitting. Hence, deeper X-ray observations of M14 are required to better resolve its spectrum and constrain its X-ray properties.

4.2 Other MSPs in M14

Apart from M14 A, another four MSPs have been detected in M14, although the others do not yet have available timing positions. M14 B and C are found in binary systems and both have an orbital period of ≈ 8.5 day (Pan et al. 2021), indicating that pulsar wind is unlikely to as strongly interact with the companion as in spider pulsars; the latter typically have orbital periods less than 1 day but could reach

up to 1.97 days (NGC 6397 B; Zhang et al. 2022). Such canonical MSP binaries typically have X-ray luminosities less than $10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Bogdanov et al. 2006; Zhao & Heinke 2022), while our limiting X-ray luminosity for M14 is about $6.5 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (B20). Therefore, the X-ray counterparts to M14 B and C are not expected to be detected in this *Chandra* observation. On the other hand, M14 D and E are found to be eclipsing redbacks, with minimum companion masses of $0.13 M_\odot$ and $0.17 M_\odot$, and orbital periods of 0.74 days and 0.85 days, respectively (Pan et al. 2021). A recent work by Zhao & Heinke (2023) reveals a positive correlation between X-ray luminosities and minimum companion masses for spider pulsars. According to their results, the X-ray luminosities of M14 D and E are predicted in ranges of $[0.2, 1.2] \times 10^{32} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $[0.2, 1.7] \times 10^{32} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, respectively, in 0.5–10 keV.

Can some of our X-ray sources be MSPs? CX2 has an X-ray luminosity (0.5–10 keV) of $3.2^{+1.4}_{-0.9} \times 10^{32} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (based on the best-fit model in Table 3), which is higher than either of the predicted luminosities of M14 D and E (it is consistent with the prediction for M14 E within $2\text{-}\sigma$ though). CX3 might be another redback, considering its X-ray luminosity and X-ray colour. However, as discussed above, its location outside the core increases the possibility of an AGN scenario. CX4's exotic SSG counterpart could be reminiscent of redback MSPs in other clusters (e.g., NGC 6397 A and B; Zhao et al. 2020a), despite a relatively low X-ray/optical ratio. CX5's relatively soft X-ray spectrum argues against spider pulsars that typically have hard non-thermal spectra, but it could be similar to pulsars in wider binaries such as M14 B or C. Finally, the very faint CX6 and CX7 do not provide very strong constraints on their X-ray spectra, but an AGN interpretation is plausible considering their relatively large offsets from the cluster centre. In all, more conclusive insights will be drawn from future radio timing solutions of these MSPs and X-ray observations of M14.

4.3 AGN number estimate

Sources further away from the cluster centre are more likely to be background AGNs simply because the cumulative number of AGNs increases with larger sky area, while the number density of true cluster sources declines. We estimate the number density of AGNs using the empirical model in Mateos et al. (2008); specifically, we convert the model f_{2-7} of CX7 to 2–10 keV to match the band in Mateos et al. (2008) and use this as the flux limit. The number of AGN is then estimated as a function of radial offset from cluster centre and presented in Figure 12; as a comparison, we also plot the empirical cumulative distribution function (ECDF) of source offsets. The source excess at smaller offsets is likely to be more significant. As a measure of probability, we also calculate the Poisson probability of finding at least 1 AGN within the given radial offset (P_{AGN}), given by $1 - \exp(-N_{\text{AGN}})$ (Table 5). Overall, we predict ≈ 3 AGNs within r_h that have 2–10 keV flux above $2.7 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Most likely, 3 of the 4 sources within r_c are cluster members, and 1 of the 3 sources between r_c and r_h .

5 CONCLUSIONS

Our study of the 12.09 ks *Chandra* observation of the massive GC M14 leads to the detection of 7 X-ray sources within its half-light radius at a 0.5–7 keV depth of $2.5 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Cross-matching with an *HST* photometry catalogue and the MAVERIC radio source catalogue reveals *HST* counterparts to 6 and VLA counterparts to 2 of the X-ray sources. We find that both CX1 and a UV-bright variable




Figure 12. Empirical cumulative distribution function (ECDF) of X-ray source offsets (solid black line) compared to expected number of AGNs (dashed red line) as a function of radial offset from the cluster centre.

source are consistent with the nominal position of classical nova Oph 1938, making it the second classic nova recovered in a Galactic GC after Nova T Sco in M80. CX2 is consistent with the steep radio source VLA8 whose position matches a *HST* source with clear UV and blue excess. We thus consider CX2 a possible transitional millisecond pulsar based on its radio steepness, so the X-ray and UV/optical/IR observations were made during its accretion-powered LMXB phase, while the steep radio source was observed during a pulsar phase. Another X-ray source that matches a radio source position is CX3, but the radio source (VLA50) has an unconstrained spectral index, so its nature is less certain. The other *HST* counterparts with faint X-ray sources point to identifications as likely ABs or AGNs, but further membership information is required to exclude interlopers. There is also a radio source consistent with the timing position of the recently discovered MSP M14 A, highlighting the MAVERIC survey’s potential to uncover new pulsars. Additionally, other faint X-ray sources could be associated with M14 D and E — two recently discovered redback MSPs, but further timing positions are needed before more conclusive identifications are made.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous reviewer for their helpful comments. YZ acknowledge the Science and Technology Facilities Council grant ST/V001000/1 for support. JZ is supported by China Scholarship Council (CSC), File No. 202108180023. The scientific results reported in this article are based on data obtained from the Chandra Data Archive. Data processing and analysis have made use of the software provided by the Chandra X-ray Center (CXC) in the application packages CIAO and SHERPA; retrieving and calibrating *Gaia* BP/RP spectrum has made use of the Python package GAIAXPY, developed and maintained by members of the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC), and in particular, Coordination

Unit 5 (CU5), and the Data Processing Centre located at the Institute of Astronomy, Cambridge, UK (DPCI). Finally, this research has also made use of the VizieR catalogue access tool, CDS, Strasbourg, France.

In addition to the software mentioned in the texts, analysis and visualisation in this work have also made use of the following packages (in alphabetic order): ASTROPY:¹¹ a community-developed core Python package and an ecosystem of tools and resources for astronomy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2022), MATPLOTLIB (Hunter 2007), NUMPY (Harris et al. 2020), PANDAS (pandas development team 2023), PHOTUTILS, an ASTROPY package for detection and photometry of astronomical sources (Bradley et al. 2023), and SCIPY (Virtanen et al. 2020).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data used in this work are publicly available and can be queried using web-based portals. The *Chandra* data (observation ID: 8947) can be downloaded from the Chandra Data Archive (Sec 2.1). *HST* imaging data associated with the proposal ID 16283 can be searched and downloaded from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (Sec 2.3). The MAVERIC catalogue has been published and can be queried using the VizieR catalogue access tool, and the associated *VLA* data can be downloaded from the NRAO data archive¹².

REFERENCES

- Albrow M. D., Gilliland R. L., Brown T. M., Edmonds P. D., Guhathakurta P., Sarajedini A., 2001, *ApJ*, 559, 1060
- Arca Sedda M., Askar A., Giersz M., 2018, *MNRAS*, 479, 4652
- Archibald A. M., et al., 2009, *Science*, 324, 1411
- Astropy Collaboration et al., 2022, *ApJ*, 935, 167
- Bahramian A., Rushton A., 2022, bersavosh/VRB-LrLx_pub: update 20220908, doi:10.5281/zenodo.7059313, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7059313>
- Bahramian A., Heinke C. O., Sivakoff G. R., Gladstone J. C., 2013, *ApJ*, 766, 136
- Bahramian A., et al., 2020, *ApJ*, 901, 57
- Bailyn C. D., Grindlay J. E., Garcia M. R., 1990, *ApJ*, 357, L35
- Bassa C., et al., 2004, *ApJ*, 609, 755
- Bassa C. G., Pooley D., Verbunt F., Homer L., Anderson S. F., Lewin W. H. G., 2008, *A&A*, 488, 921
- Baumgardt H., Hilker M., 2018, *MNRAS*, 478, 1520
- Beaumont C., Goodman A., Greenfield P., 2015, in Taylor A. R., Rosolowsky E., eds, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 495, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XXIV (ADASS XXIV). p. 101
- Bogdanov S., Grindlay J. E., Heinke C. O., Camilo F., Freire P. C. C., Becker W., 2006, *ApJ*, 646, 1104
- Bogdanov S., van den Berg M., Heinke C. O., Cohn H. N., Lugger P. M., Grindlay J. E., 2010, *ApJ*, 709, 241
- Bookbinder J. A., Lamb D. Q., 1987, *ApJ*, 323, L131
- Bradley L., et al., 2023, astropy/photutils: 1.9.0, doi:10.5281/zenodo.8248020, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8248020>
- Breton R. P., et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 769, 108
- Burke D., et al., 2023, sherpa/sherpa: Sherpa 4.15.1, doi:10.5281/zenodo.7948720, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7948720>
- Callanan P. J., van Paradijs J., Rengelink R., 1995, *ApJ*, 439, 928

¹¹ <http://www.astropy.org>

¹² <https://science.nrao.edu/facilities/vla/archive/index>

- Camilo F., Rasio F. A., 2005, in Rasio F. A., Stairs I. H., eds, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series* Vol. 328, *Binary Radio Pulsars*, p. 147 ([arXiv:astro-ph/0501226](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0501226))
- Canizares C. R., Neighbours J. E., 1975, *ApJ*, **199**, L97
- Cash W., 1979, *ApJ*, **228**, 939
- Chomiuk L., Strader J., Maccarone T. J., Miller-Jones J. C. A., Heinke C., Noyola E., Seth A. C., Ransom S., 2013, *ApJ*, **777**, 69
- Clark G. W., 1975, *ApJ*, **199**, L143
- Clark G. W., Markert T. H., Li F. K., 1975, *ApJ*, **199**, L93
- Cohn H. N., et al., 2010, *ApJ*, **722**, 20
- Cohn H. N., et al., 2021, *MNRAS*, **508**, 2823
- D'Antona F., et al., 2022, *ApJ*, **925**, 192
- Dempsey R. C., Linsky J. L., Fleming T. A., Schmitt J. H. M. M., 1997, *ApJ*, **478**, 358
- Dieball A., Long K. S., Knigge C., Thomson G. S., Zurek D. R., 2010, *ApJ*, **710**, 332
- Draghis P., Romani R. W., Filippenko A. V., Brink T. G., Zheng W., Halpern J. P., Camilo F., 2019, *ApJ*, **883**, 108
- Edmonds P. D., Heinke C. O., Grindlay J. E., Gilliland R. L., 2002, *ApJ*, **564**, L17
- Edmonds P. D., Gilliland R. L., Heinke C. O., Grindlay J. E., 2003, *ApJ*, **596**, 1177
- Fabian A. C., Pringle J. E., Rees M. J., 1975, *MNRAS*, **172**, 15p
- Ferraro F. R., Possenti A., D'Amico N., Sabbi E., 2001, *ApJ*, **561**, L93
- Fruchter A. S., Goss W. M., 2000, *ApJ*, **536**, 865
- Gallo E., et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, **445**, 290
- Garnavich P., Littlefield C., Wagner R. M., van Roestel J., Jaodand A. D., Szkody P., Thorstensen J. R., 2021, *ApJ*, **917**, 22
- Gehrels N., 1986, *ApJ*, **303**, 336
- Geller A. M., et al., 2017, *ApJ*, **840**, 66
- Giacconi R., Murray S., Gursky H., Kellogg E., Schreier E., Matilsky T., Koch D., Tananbaum H., 1974, *ApJS*, **27**, 37
- Gordon Y. A., et al., 2021, *ApJS*, **255**, 30
- Gregory P. C., Loredó T. J., 1992, *ApJ*, **398**, 146
- Grindlay J. E., Heinke C. O., Edmonds P. D., Murray S. S., Cool A. M., 2001, *ApJ*, **563**, L53
- Haggard D., Cool A. M., Davies M. B., 2009, *ApJ*, **697**, 224
- Harris W. E., 1996, *AJ*, **112**, 1487
- Harris C. R., et al., 2020, *Nature*, **585**, 357
- Heinke C. O., Grindlay J. E., Edmonds P. D., Cohn H. N., Lugger P. M., Camilo F., Bogdanov S., Freire P. C., 2005, *ApJ*, **625**, 796
- Heinke C. O., et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, **444**, 443
- Henleywillis S., Cool A. M., Haggard D., Heinke C., Callanan P., Zhao Y., 2018, *MNRAS*, **479**, 2834
- Hertz P., Grindlay J. E., 1983, *ApJ*, **275**, 105
- Hill A. B., et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, **415**, 235
- Hills J. G., 1976, *MNRAS*, **175**, 1P
- Hong J., van den Berg M., Schlegel E. M., Grindlay J. E., Koenig X., Laycock S., Zhao P., 2005, *ApJ*, **635**, 907
- Hunter J. D., 2007, *Computing in Science & Engineering*, **9**, 90
- Ivanova N., Heinke C. O., Rasio F. A., Taam R. E., Belczynski K., Fregeau J., 2006, *MNRAS*, **372**, 1043
- Ivanova N., Heinke C. O., Rasio F. A., Belczynski K., Fregeau J. M., 2008, *MNRAS*, **386**, 553
- Katz J. I., 1975, *Nature*, **253**, 698
- Koljonen K. I. I., Linares M., 2023, *MNRAS*, **525**, 3963
- Kong A. K. H., Bassa C., Pooley D., Lewin W. H. G., Homer L., Verbunt F., Anderson S. F., Margon B., 2006, *ApJ*, **647**, 1065
- Kramer M., Xilouris K. M., Lorimer D. R., Doroshenko O., Jessner A., Wielebinski R., Wolszczan A., Camilo F., 1998, *ApJ*, **501**, 270
- Kremer K., Ye C. S., Chatterjee S., Rodriguez C. L., Rasio F. A., 2018, *ApJL*, **855**, L15
- Leiner E. M., Geller A. M., Gully-Santiago M. A., Gosnell N. M., Tofflemire B. M., 2022, *ApJ*, **927**, 222
- Linares M., et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, **438**, 251
- Lindgren L., et al., 2021, *A&A*, **649**, A4
- Lugger P. M., Cohn H. N., Cool A. M., Heinke C. O., Anderson J., 2017, *ApJ*, **841**, 53
- Lugger P. M., Cohn H. N., Heinke C. O., Zhao J., Zhao Y., Anderson J., 2023, *MNRAS*, **524**, 2088
- Margon B., Anderson S. F., Downes R. A., Bohlin R. C., Jakobsen P., 1991, *ApJ*, **369**, L71
- Marsh T. R., et al., 2016, *Nature*, **537**, 374
- Mateos S., et al., 2008, *A&A*, **492**, 51
- Orosz J. A., van Kerkwijk M. H., 2003, *A&A*, **397**, 237
- Pallanca C., Dalessandro E., Ferraro F. R., Lanzoni B., Beccari G., 2013, *ApJ*, **773**, 122
- Pallanca C., Beccari G., Ferraro F. R., Pasquini L., Lanzoni B., Mucciarelli A., 2017, *ApJ*, **845**, 4
- Pan Z., et al., 2021, *ApJ*, **915**, L28
- Papitto A., et al., 2013, *Nature*, **501**, 517
- Papitto A., et al., 2018, *ApJ*, **858**, L12
- Pecaut M. J., Mamajek E. E., 2013, *ApJS*, **208**, 9
- Pelisolì I., et al., 2022, *MNRAS*, **509**, L31
- Plotkin R. M., Miller-Jones J. C. A., Chomiuk L., Strader J., Bruzewski S., Bundas A., Smith K. R., Ruan J. J., 2019, *ApJ*, **874**, 13
- Pooley D., et al., 2002a, *ApJ*, **569**, 405
- Pooley D., et al., 2002b, *ApJ*, **573**, 184
- Pooley D., et al., 2003, *ApJ*, **591**, L131
- Pretorius M. L., et al., 2021, *MNRAS*, **503**, 3692
- Reynolds M. T., Reis R. C., Miller J. M., Cackett E. M., Degenaar N., 2014, *MNRAS*, **441**, 3656
- Ridder M. E., Heinke C. O., Sivakoff G. R., Hughes A. K., 2023, *MNRAS*, **519**, 5922
- Rivera-Sandoval L. E., et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, **453**, 2707
- Rivera Sandoval L. E., et al., 2018, *MNRAS*, **475**, 4841
- Robitaille T., Beaumont C., Qian P., Borkin M., Goodman A., 2017, glueviz v0.13.1: multidimensional data exploration, [doi:10.5281/zenodo.1237692](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1237692)
- Romani R. W., Sanchez N., 2016, *ApJ*, **828**, 7
- Saito Y., Kawai N., Kamae T., Shibata S., Dotani T., Kulkarni S. R., 1997, *ApJ*, **477**, L37
- Sawyer Hogg H., Wehlauf A., 1964, *J. R. Astron. Soc. Canada*, **58**, 163
- Servillat M., et al., 2008, *A&A*, **490**, 641
- Shara M. M., 1989, *PASP*, **101**, 5
- Shara M. M., et al., 2017, *Nature*, **548**, 558
- Shishkovsky L., et al., 2018, *ApJ*, **855**, 55
- Shishkovsky L., et al., 2020, *ApJ*, **903**, 73
- Stetson P. B., 1987, *PASP*, **99**, 191
- Strader J., Chomiuk L., Maccarone T. J., Miller-Jones J. C. A., Seth A. C., 2012, *Nature*, **490**, 71
- Sutantyo W., 1975, *A&A*, **44**, 227
- Thorstensen J. R., 2020, *AJ*, **160**, 151
- Tremou E., et al., 2018, *ApJ*, **862**, 16
- Tudor V., et al., 2022, *MNRAS*, **513**, 3818
- Vasiliev E., Baumgardt H., 2021, *MNRAS*, **505**, 5978
- Verbunt F., 2001, *A&A*, **368**, 137
- Verbunt F., van Paradijs J., Elson R., 1984, *MNRAS*, **210**, 899
- Verbunt F., Pooley D., Bassa C., 2008, in Vesperini E., Giersz M., Sills A., eds, *Vol. 246, Dynamical Evolution of Dense Stellar Systems*, pp 301–310 ([arXiv:0710.1804](https://arxiv.org/abs/0710.1804)), [doi:10.1017/S1743921308015822](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743921308015822)
- Verner D. A., Ferland G. J., Korista K. T., Yakovlev D. G., 1996, *ApJ*, **465**, 487
- Virtanen P., et al., 2020, *Nature Methods*, **17**, 261
- Vurgun E., et al., 2022, *ApJ*, **941**, 76
- Warner B., 2003, *Cataclysmic Variable Stars*, [doi:10.1017/CBO9780511586491](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511586491).
- Wilms J., Allen A., McCray R., 2000, *ApJ*, **542**, 914
- Ye C. S., Kremer K., Chatterjee S., Rodriguez C. L., Rasio F. A., 2019, *ApJ*, **877**, 122
- Zari E., Hashemi H., Brown A. G. A., Jardine K., de Zeeuw P. T., 2018, *A&A*, **620**, A172
- Zhang L., et al., 2022, *ApJ*, **934**, L21
- Zhao J., Heinke C. O., 2022, *MNRAS*, **511**, 5964
- Zhao J., Heinke C. O., 2023, *MNRAS*, **526**, 2736




Figure A1. A VPD showing the proper motion components in RA and DEC for *Gaia* sources within $4r_h = 5'2$ of M14 centre. The colour scale represents a density estimate using a Gaussian kernel. The red contours indicate (from the innermost to the outermost) 1, 2, and 3 σ confidence ellipses centered on the nominal cluster proper motion (marked by a red cross) from [Vasiliev & Baumgardt \(2021\)](#). The ellipses are calculated assuming the scatter follows a 2D Gaussian distribution. The two filled orange circles represent the *Gaia* counterparts to the two off-centre X-ray sources used for boresight correction (Sec 3.5.2) — both are at $\approx 3\sigma$ away from the cluster proper motion.

Zhao Y., Heinke C. O., Cohn H. N., Lugger P. M., Cool A. M., 2019, [MNRAS](#), **483**, 4560

Zhao Y., et al., 2020a, [MNRAS](#), **493**, 6033

Zhao Y., et al., 2020b, [MNRAS](#), **499**, 3338

Zhao Y., et al., 2021, [ApJ](#), **914**, 77

de Martino D., et al., 2013, [A&A](#), **550**, A89

pandas development team T., 2023, pandas-dev/pandas: Pandas, doi:10.5281/zenodo.7979740, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7979740>

APPENDIX A: OFF-AXIS SOURCES USED FOR BORESIGHT CORRECTION

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.

Table A1. Off-axis sources used for absolute astrometry.

ID	source_id	RA (<i>Chandra</i>) (hh:mm:ss.ss)	DEC (<i>Chandra</i>) °:′:″	RA (<i>Gaia</i>) (hh:mm:ss.ss)	DEC (<i>Gaia</i>) °:′:″	ϖ^a (mas)	<i>Gaia</i> G	BP–RP
A (YSO)	4368928767444987648	17:37:48.42	−03:15:47.77	17:37:48.41	−03:15:47.95	2.31 ± 0.07	13.4	1.5
B ^b	4368928767444986880	17:37:48.22	−03:15:52.96	17:37:48.24	−03:15:53.15	2.13 ± 0.02	12.5	0.7

^a*Gaia* parallaxes corrected for zero-point offsets (Lindegren et al. 2021).

^b*Gaia* magnitude and colour have been corrected for extinction and reddening.




Figure A2. Gaia BP/RP spectrum of 436892876744498688 (the *Gaia* counterpart to source B in Figure 5). The vertical line marks the vacuum wavelength of the $H\alpha$ line, around which the spectrum exhibits a likely broadened absorption feature.